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Abstract

Kuwajima et al. reported that the Lewis acid-catalysed reaction of a ketal with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) followed by rearrangement of the resulting cyclobutanone derivative with trifluoroacetic acid can provide a 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanediione in a reasonable yield. While this transformation has been improved by several groups, we now report, contrary to the literature, the analogous reaction between ketones and 1 occurs. For many substrates addition of a small amount of water to the reaction medium after completion of the first step assisted the subsequent rearrangement to the product, such that reversion of the intermediate to the starting ketone became an insignificant process. Yields were best with cyclohexanones (>90%), but steric hindrance and the presence of conjugated double bonds reduced yields considerably. This new spiro-annulation procedure has been applied to model studies towards the syntheses of fredericamycin A and a [4.3.3]-propellane.

Model studies towards fredericamycin A began with 1-indanone. Geminal acylation with 1 followed by dehydrogenation provided the key enedione, spiro[3-cyclopentene-1,1′-inden]-2,5-dione (83), which had established the key spiro center required for fredericamycin A. Our efforts concentrated on the condensation of 83 with 5,7-dimethoxy-1(3H)-isobenzofuranone (143). In an alternative approach, a Diels-Alder
cyclization between the xylylene precursor, 3,4-bis(dibromomethyl)-1-methoxybenzene (114) and 83 was developed.

Our studies towards the synthesis of propellanes was based on a novel intramolecular geminal acylation of a bis(trimethylsilyloxy)-cyclononene moiety (175). We had hoped that 175 could be prepared from diethyl 5-(1',3'-dioxocyclopentane)-4-methyl-1,9-nonanedicote (174), however geminal acylation of diethyl 4-methyl-5-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)nonanedicote (173) with 1 provided 174 in only trace amounts. As reported from our studies on the geminal acylation of ketals and ketones with 1 we attributed this lack of reactivity to the methyl substituent. Our second approach concentrated on a symmetrical bis(trimethylsilyloxy)-nonene compound. Double Grignard addition of the organomagnesium compound derived from 5-bromo-1-pentene to an ester gave 1,10-undecadien-6-ol (177), which established the carbon skeleton required for the nonene structure. Oxidation to 1,10-undecadien-6-one (179), followed by geminal acylation with 1 afforded 2,2-bis(4'-pentenyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (181). Conversion of the terminal double bonds of 181 into esters gave the nonene precursor, dimethyl 5-(1',3'-dioxocyclopentane)nonane-1,9-dioate (185). Unfortunately, our attempts to effect the acyloin condensation (diester 185 to nonene species) and the subsequent intramolecular geminal acylation were not successful.
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### Glossary of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APT</td>
<td>Attached proton test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>Boiling point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bu</td>
<td>Butyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BuLi</td>
<td>n-Butyllithium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBAL</td>
<td>Diisobutylaluminum hydride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBU</td>
<td>1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>N,N-Dimethylformamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et</td>
<td>Ethyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCMS</td>
<td>Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hv</td>
<td>Ultraviolet irradiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>Infrared spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDA</td>
<td>Lithium diisopropylamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Methyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mp</td>
<td>Melting point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄</td>
<td>Magnesium sulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Mass spectrometry, mass spectrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOE</td>
<td>Nuclear Overhauser Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCC</td>
<td>Pyridinium chlorochromate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>Phenyl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBAF</td>
<td>Tetrabutylammonium fluoride</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TsOH**  
*para*-Toluenesulfonic acid

**THF**  
Tetrahydrofuran

**TFA**  
Trifluoroacetic acid

**TLC**  
Thin layer chromatography

**TMS**  
Trimethylsilyl

**TMSOTf**  
Trimethylsilyl triflate
To those who believed Thank-you

to those who didn't .......

Chapter 1

GEMINAL ACYLATION OF KETONES

I. INTRODUCTION

Kuwajima and coworkers\(^1\) demonstrated that 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) reacted with aldehydes, acetals and ketals, e.g. 2, under Lewis acid catalysis to afford a cyclobutanone derivative 3 (Scheme 1). For cyclic substrates the cyclobutanone derivative was determined by x-ray analysis to be the result of equatorial nucleophilic addition onto the carbonyl of the substrate.

**Scheme 1**
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Titanium tetrachloride gave the best results as the Lewis acid catalyst for the reaction with aldehydes and aliphatic acetals, but it was inferior to boron trifluoride etherate with the more reactive acetals and ketals\(^1\).

Subsequent acid-catalyzed rearrangement of the cyclobutanone
derivative 3, either as it was or after alkylidenation or reduction of the carbonyl, was reported (Scheme 1). In particular, rearrangement of 3 using excess trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) afforded the 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanenedione 4. Kuwajima\textsuperscript{1} noted that p-toluenesulfonic acid (TsOH) in hot benzene, BF\textsubscript{3}.Et\textsubscript{2}O, and trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf) in dichloromethane were also effective, but the authors claimed these did not offer the same ease of removal during work-up as did TFA. Both Wu and Burnell\textsuperscript{2} and Ayyangar and coworkers\textsuperscript{3} reported a more efficient approach using 1 and a large excess of BF\textsubscript{3}.Et\textsubscript{2}O, which afforded the 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanenedione directly from a ketal in good yield. The modifications to Kuwajima's initial procedure\textsuperscript{1} by both groups

Scheme 2
did not require isolation of the cyclobutanone derivative 3 and afforded the geminally acylated products in a one-pot two-step process in higher overall yield than those reported by Kuwajima. Both Burnell\(^2\) and Ayyangar\(^3\) reported virtually identical experimental procedures, but better yields are reported by the former. The mechanism of the overall transformation is expected to follow the route illustrated in Scheme 2.

**Scheme 3**

![Chemical structure](attachment:image.png)

The reaction of a ketal with 1 leading to the formation of a 1,3-cyclopentanedione moiety 4 has been thoroughly tested and its synthetic applications clearly demonstrated in the following examples. Compound 6, a precursor in the synthesis of β-bulnesene, was obtained by Oppolzer’s group\(^4\) in two steps from 5 in 41% yield (Scheme 3).

**Scheme 4**

![Chemical structure](attachment:image2.png)
The approach of Lee and Anderson towards the synthesis of trichothecane derivatives concentrated on the key intermediate 8 which they obtained from 7 in 35% (Scheme 4).

Scheme 5

Lee and Anderson also incorporated the reaction in the synthesis of γ-ketocarboxylic acids, notably 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b]furans. The starting ketal 9 was transformed into 2-hydroxy-2-(β-carbomethoxyethyl)-methyl-2,3-hydrobenzo[b]furan (10) in three steps in an overall yield of 50% (Scheme 5).

Scheme 6

It should be noted that Kuwajima's group reported a more
efficient method for producing silyl enol ethers of \( \gamma \)-keto esters such as compound 12 using tin tetrachloride as the Lewis acid catalyst (Scheme 6). (Compound 11 was obtained from 1 and the appropriate ketal.) Yields ranged from 70 to 94%.

Scheme 7
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In an approach to fredericamycin A, Parker \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{8} synthesized 4,9-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-1\( H \)-benzen(1\( f \))indene-1,3(2\( f \))-dione (13) using Kuwajima's procedure to obtain the key 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanedione portion in a 40% yield (Scheme 7).

Buni \textsuperscript{9} and Shangraw\textsuperscript{9} reported the \textit{in situ} generation of the reactive 2-methylene-1,3-cyclopentanedione 16 from the acid catalyzed \textit{retro}-Diels Alder of 15 (prepared from 1 and ketal 14). Under the reaction conditions (TFA) only 17 was isolated (Scheme 8).
Bach and coworkers' Diels-Alder approach to fredericamycin A concentrated on the spiro diketone 19 obtained from thioketal 18 in a 54% overall yield (Scheme 9).\textsuperscript{10}

Wu and Burnell's synthesis of isokhusimone (20) exploited the reaction of 1 with a cyclic ketal in the presence of an unprotected methyl...
ketone to obtain the triketone directly in 89% yield (Scheme 10).\(^{2b}\)

**Scheme 10**

![Scheme 10](attachment:image.png)

In the synthesis of 3-methoxyestra-1,3,5,8,14-pentaen-17-one (21)
by the same authors, the key step was the introduction of a D-ring
moiety using the reaction between a cyclic ketal and 1. The intermediate
cyclopentanedione was treated with TFA to close the C-ring. The overall
yield was 76% (Scheme 11).\(^{11}\)

**Scheme 11**

![Scheme 11](attachment:image.png)

While the synthetic utility of the reaction of 1 with ketals and
acetals has been clearly demonstrated, we now report that contrary to
reports in the literature\(^1,12,13\) the analogous reaction between 1 and
ketones to provide 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanediones does occur. Furthermore, in some instances, notably aryl substrates, the overall yields of the diketone products are superior to those via the corresponding ketal.

II. DEVELOPMENT OF THE METHODOLOGY

Although one might expect a reaction between 1 and a ketone to occur, Kuwajima and coworkers\textsuperscript{19} reported that this reaction was not observed under a variety of acidic and basic conditions. This apparent unreactivity of silyl enol ethers towards ketones was also reported for other systems.\textsuperscript{13} Mukaiyama\textsuperscript{14} showed that while titanium tetrachloride-catalysed reactions of silyl enol ethers did proceed with ketones, they were extremely sluggish. Thus, it appeared that if geminal acylation of ketones using 1 were to be successful, it would be necessary to overcome a lack of reactivity.

However, our initial attempts at this reaction indicated that the problem was not one of formation of the cyclobutanone derivative (from the condensation of a silyl enol ether with the ketone substrate) but rather the subsequent rearrangement of that derivative. This conclusion was based on the following evidence. Treatment of some ketones with 1,
using the conditions that had been proven successful in the preparation of 1,3-cyclopentanediones from ketals, gave crude products that contained little of the starting ketones. Analysis of the crude products by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GCMS) indicated that while the starting ketones were largely consumed, large amounts of the cyclobutanone derivatives remained, unlike the reactions involving the ketals (Scheme 12). During column chromatography on silica gel, the cyclobutanone derivatives were almost completely destroyed to give back the starting ketones and only a small amount of the diketone was obtained.

**Scheme 12**

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 R_2 & \overset{1}{\xrightarrow{\text{xs BF}_3 \cdot \text{Et}_2 \text{O}}} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
XO & \text{(major)} \\
(R_1 R_2) & \text{(minor)}
\end{array} \right. \\
X & = \text{H or TMS}
\end{align*}
\]

Kuwajima\(^1\) reported that the rate of rearrangement of the cyclobutanone intermediates was highly dependent on the nature of the substrate. The product of a ketal (22) rearranged the most rapidly. The product from an aliphatic acetal (23) exhibited slow conversion, but the rearrangement of the adduct of an unsaturated acetal (24) (Figure 1)
afforded complex results. Our research indicated that the cyclobutanone compound derived from a ketone (25) was generally much more reluctant to rearrange than those derived from ketals, as the rearrangement of 25 provided a large amount of the starting ketone in addition to the diketone product.

Figure 1. Cyclobutanone Intermediates.

![Cyclobutanone Intermediates Diagram]

\[ R = \text{Carbon} \]

The one-pot two-step process reported by both Burnell and Wu\(^2\) and Ayyangar and coworkers\(^3\) utilized a large excess of Lewis acid (10 - 15 equivalents) with two to three equivalents of 1, which were added to a dichloromethane solution of the ketal at -78°C. The solution was stirred overnight during which time it attained room temperature. Aqueous work-up followed by purification gave geminally acylated products in good to excellent yields. This procedure will be referred to as "ketal conditions" hereafter.

Subjecting ketone substrates to these conditions gave only modest yields of cyclopentanedione products. As shown in Table 1, only two
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>butanone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>26 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3-methylbutanone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>27 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>cyclopentanone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>28 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-methylcyclopentanone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>29 51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>norcamphor</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>30 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>cyclohexanone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>31 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2-methylcyclohexanone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>32 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>entry</td>
<td>substrate</td>
<td>product</td>
<td>yield (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4,4-dimethylcyclohexanone</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4-tertbutylcyclohexanone</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5α-cholestan-3-one</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>isophorone</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>acetophenone</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>6-methoxy-1-tetralone</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
substrates, namely 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone (entry 9) and 5α-cholestanone (entry 10), gave synthetically acceptable yields. The majority of the substrates provided diketone products in yields less than 45% as the major product was recovered starting ketone.

"Ketal conditions" with cyclohexanone provided a dark oil. The volatile component of this material was found to be a single compound by GCMS, the desired 1,3-cyclopentanedione, yet column chromatography on silica gel afforded the geminally acylated product 31 in only 31% yield. This yield was surprisingly low as the 1H nmr spectra of the crude product and GCMS both indicated a high proportion of 31. The low recovery of product might have been because less concentrated fractions were often invisible under standard thin-layer chromatography (TLC) visualization methods involving acid sprays (ceric ammonium nitrate/H₂SO₄, phosphomolybdic acid, or p-anisaldehyde in H₂SO₄) and I₂. This was observed for many 2,2-disubstituted 1,2-cyclopentanediones and in extreme cases the diketones were invisible under TLC visualization even when concentrated solutions were applied. Many samples were purified by concentrating fractions and using GCMS for detection. When the norcamphor diketone 30 was reintroduced to "ketal conditions" only 79% of the material was recovered. Similarly, when cyclohexanone was subjected to "ketal conditions", in the presence of 4-tert-butyl-diketone 34 the overall yield of diketone 31 was 35%, but, more
imponantly, only 82% of the 4-tert-butyl-diketone 34 was recovered. The recovery of 31 and 34 in these experiments suggests that the losses are the result of chromatography or the reaction itself. Noting that diketones 31 and 34 did not participate in additional geminal acylation reactions, which foreshadows the limited reactivity of sterically crowded carbonyls, visualization difficulties on TLC could account for a decrease in yields of diketones by 15 to 20%. This assumption was supported experimentally when 13% of the diketone 26 was not accounted for when the sample was re-chromatographed. These experiments however, did not explain why the crude yield of geminally acylated products are so low under "ketal conditions".

The two experiments using tin tetrachloride as the Lewis acid gave more complex results than did boron trifluoride etherate. The products from both the 2-methylcyclohexanone and cyclohexanone experiments gave messy gas chromatograms containing respectively four and three, major components that exhibited similar mass spectral fragmentations to those of the desired diketone products. In the light of these results the less vigorous BF₃·Et₂O was still the reagent of choice.

Next we varied the reaction time. However, the yield of 6-methoxy-1-tetralone diketone 38 was unchanged when the reaction time was increased from overnight to three days. Similarly, the ratio of ketone to diketone 40 in the product from the reaction of ethyl levulinate with 1
was also unaffected when the reaction time was increased to four days (Scheme 13). Substrates with an alpha double bond were more interesting. As with the other substrates, longer reaction times did not increase the yield, but double bond isomerisation did become a significant process. For example, when the reaction time for the sequence involving isophorone was increased from three days to five, the product was a mixture of diketone 36 (92%) and diketone 39 (8%) in which the double bond had migrated (Scheme 14). Similarly, the crude product obtained from the sequence involving progesterone after 28 days had the same ratio of starting ketone 41 to diketone 42 as the sequence
with a reaction time of only 3 days. However, after 28 days both the starting ketone and the product were mixtures of double bond isomers (Scheme 15). In both the isophorone and progesterone reactions double bond isomerism was detected only in the products from the longer reaction time sequences. In the case of 5α-cholestan-3-one increasing the reaction time did translate into a marginal improvement in yield. While "ketal conditions" gave a mixture of starting ketone (19%) and triketone (82%) (as determined from GCMS), increasing the reaction time from overnight to two days gave a crude material for which the gas chromatogram indicated a single volatile compound, the triketone. Flash chromatography provided the triketone in 87% yield.

If the cyclobutanone intermediate reverted to the starting ketone, then the effect of the addition of many equivalents of 1 might have been to allow the ketone to be recycled in situ. Experimentally, however, the overall yield for the norcamphor diketone 30 decreased from 42% to 12%
when the number of equivalents of 1 was increased from three to eight, whereas with isophorone there was no change in the yield when the number of equivalents of 1 was increased from three to five. If the amount of starting ketone were a consequence of the destruction of the cyclobutanone intermediate, these results indicated that the reversion must have occurred during aqueous work-up. Indeed, the yields of the cyclohexanone diketone 31 and the acetophenone diketone 37 rose to 65% and 62% respectively, when the reaction times were increased to several days and the reaction solution was concentrated directly onto the chromatographic silica, i.e., without aqueous work-up. Under identical conditions, however, norcamphor provided the diketone 30 in only 4% yield. Addition of eight equivalents of 1, in portions over many hours, to a heated solution of acetophenone and BF$_3$-Et$_2$O, did raise the yield to 91%. The conditions were wasteful of 1 and were found not to be beneficial for other substrates. In fact, when other substrates were subjected to these conditions the yield was lower than that obtained by using "ketal conditions". For example, 3-methylbutanone provided a crude material which by GCMS analysis contained only 11% of product 27. Similarly, 6-methoxy-1-tetralone provided the diketone product 38 in only a trace amount under these conditions. In both cases the major component of the crude product was the starting ketone.

GCMS analyses of reaction solutions often indicated only trace
amounts of the starting material with the major signal being that of the diketone product. In addition, there were varying amounts of cyclobutanone intermediates. However, after work-up the proportion of starting ketone was often much higher at the expense of the diketone. It seemed possible that the cyclobutanone intermediate might rearrange to the diketone in the injector port of the gas chromatograph (280°C). The cyclobutanone intermediate might liberate the starting ketone only under the aqueous conditions of work-up. Then subjecting the reaction to heat by heating the reaction solutions at reflux and/or purifying them after work-up by Kugelrohr distillation at high temperatures might improve the overall yields.

Scheme 16

Experimentally, only the yield of the 1-indanone diketone 43 (70 - 75%) was improved when the reaction solution was refluxed for several hours prior to work-up and purification by Kugelrohr distillation (Scheme 16). Under the same conditions, isophorone and 6-methoxy-1-tetralone provided only the starting ketones. While cyclohexanone diketone 31
could be prepared, the yield was highly variable (best 55%). As a precautionary measure to ensure that the diketone itself was not consumed at higher temperatures, the acetophenone diketone 37 was heated with 1 and BF$_3$-Et$_2$O under the same conditions, and 91% of 37 was recovered after chromatography. Kugelrohr distillation of diketone 37 was not very destructive, 86% of 37 was recovered.

Overall, there were few satisfactory results that arose from time and temperature variations on the "ketal conditions". The problem seemed to be the rearrangement of the cyclobutanone intermediate. Therefore we focused on that step. We found that the initial aldol step producing the cyclobutanone intermediate could be carried out following Figure 2. Isolated Cyclobutanone Intermediates.

Kuwajima's ketal procedure, namely using three equivalents of BF$_3$-Et$_2$O at -78°C. It was possible to isolate some intermediates in which the ketone oxygens had become trimethylsilyloxy groups and/or hydroxyls, e.g. 44 and 45 from 4-tert-butylocyclohexanone and 46 from
acetophenone (Figure 2), but during work-up and/or isolation there was significant reversion to the starting ketones. Only 10-15% (by GCMS) of the product mixtures were the rearranged diketones. Thus, rearrangement studies were performed on crude mixtures which in addition to cyclobutanone derivatives also contained some diketone. The cyclobutanone intermediates typically had retention times 2-4 minutes longer than those of the diketones, but these often exhibited mass spectral fragmentation patterns very similar to that of the diketone, and their highest mass fragment was often the same as that for the molecular ion of the diketone.

The acetophenone derived cyclobutanone 46, which was obtained as a diastereomeric mixture in a 3.5:1 ratio (by 'H nmr), was treated with a variety of acids. Treatment with TFA, which was successfully employed by Kuwajima in the rearrangement of cyclobutanone derivatives obtained from acetals, led to very little reaction even when the solution was heated under reflux conditions for many hours.

Crude samples of 46 were also treated with: TsOH, camphorsulfonic acid, Amberlyst 15, and silica gel. Silica gel was studied to determine whether any of the cyclobutanone intermediate in crude products rearranged during chromatography to yield diketone. The silica used was not especially dry for these experiments. After stirring overnight at room temperature the solutions were worked-up in the same way, and the crude products were analyzed by
GCMS. The material from treatment with TsOH indicated a mixture of starting ketone (35%) and diketone 37 (54%); the product from the camphorsulfonic acid sequence showed starting ketone (24%) and diketone 37 (68%); the crude product obtained from treatment with Amberlyst 15 was determined to be starting ketone (8%) and diketone 37 (76%); and the crude product from the silica gel reaction gave a messy chromatogram, but it indicated the starting ketone (6%) and the diketone 37 (67%). From these results it appeared that Amberlyst 15 did catalyze the rearrangement to an high degree (at least for the acetophenone substrate). In preparative experiments, however, Kugelrohr distillation of the crude products led to large amounts of starting acetophenone, despite the fact that GCMS analysis prior to distillation indicated only small amounts of the starting material. This phenomenon was also observed with sequences involving the other acids. For example, Kugelrohr distillation of the crude product from refluxing 46 with TsOH was determined by GCMS to be a mixture of acetophenone (88%) and diketone 37 (2%). The small amount of 37 was most likely generated during cyclobutanone formation and not from acid catalyzed rearrangement of the intermediate. Similarly, GCMS analysis of the solution of 46 and Amberlyst 15 showed only 1% of the ketone with the dominant signal being assigned to diketone 37 (86%), but after aqueous work-up and Kugelrohr distillation GCMS analysis indicated a mixture of the starting ketone (70%) and diketone 37 (30%). Concentrating the
solution of 46 which was stirred with silica gel to dryness (without aqueous work-up) and then placing this silica gel directly on top of a chromatography column (silica), provided the diketone in only 30% yield. This low yield demonstrated that silica was not an effective catalyst for this rearrangement, furthermore, it suggested that it was unlikely that cyclobutanone intermediates rearranged during column chromatography.

Experimentation with strong acids also failed to increase the efficiency of the rearrangement to the diketone. Treatment of 46 with concentrated sulphuric acid in glacial acetic acid afforded, after Kugelrohr distillation, highly colored material, which by GCMS analysis showed one major volatile component, acetophenone. No diketone was detected. Limited success was achieved when H$_2$SO$_4$ and silica gel were added to 46. Chromatography provided the diketone 37 in 50% yield. When the sequence was repeated in the presence of only silica gel (no H$_2$SO$_4$) the isolated yield was reduced to 31%, which was similar to the yield under "ketal conditions": 34%. Addition of H$_2$SO$_4$ and silica gel to a solution of cyclohexanone, BF$_3$ Et$_2$O, and 1, did afford a synthetically acceptable yield of diketone 31, 75% yield. The conditions, however, were not general because norcamphor under the same conditions furnished the corresponding diketone 30 in only 11% yield. Furthermore, the yield of diketone 30 was found to be independent of the amount of H$_2$SO$_4$. This led us to speculate that the key to the process was the moisture
contained in the acid and not the actual acid. Addition of water alone to solutions of a ketone, BF$_3$Et$_2$O, and 1, failed to increase the yield of the desired diketone. The products obtained under these conditions were found by GCMS and $^1$H nmr analysis to contain a high proportion of the cyclobutanone intermediate. After much experimentation we found that addition of excess BF$_3$Et$_2$O after the addition of water resulted in an initial exothermic reaction, and, after several hours the diketones were isolated in reasonable yields after work-up.

Our attention now returned to the first step in the process in order to fine-tune the overall yield. The amount of Lewis acid was varied. It was determined that the starting ketone was consumed when as few as 0.5 equivalents of the Lewis acid were present. In some cases, small amounts of both the geminally acylated product and the starting material were also isolated when only 0.5 equivalents of BF$_3$Et$_2$O were used to generate the cyclobutanone derivative. Increasing the amount of BF$_3$Et$_2$O to one molar equivalent, provided the cyclobutanone intermediates (in addition to a small amount of diketone) with only a minimal amount of residual ketone. Also, after considerable experimentation, we found that 2.5 to 3 equivalents of 1 were not necessary. The transformation to the cyclobutanone intermediate was equally successful when only 1.5 equivalents of 1 were used.

We found room temperature to be advantageous over -78°C.
because the time required for the reaction was greatly decreased. Isolated yields were similar despite the fact that the crude samples from the room temperature sequences were highly colored, commonly black, oils, as opposed to the tan or yellow oils isolated from the analogous reactions at -78°C. In all cases the room temperature procedure provided crude samples for which nmr and GCMS analysis indicated a very high proportion of the diketone. Most of the impurities and color were removed easily by passing an ethereal solution of the crude product through a small-bore column containing charcoal (3 g) and Florisil (5 - 7 g). Only a few diketone products had to be purified further by chromatography on silica gel.

The best general conditions for the intermolecular reaction were addition of 1.0 - 1.2 equivalents of BF₃·Et₂O to a solution of the ketone in dichloromethane at room temperature followed by 1.5 equivalents of 1. As stated above subsequent rearrangement of the cyclobutanone intermediates was accomplished in the same pot by the addition of water (a volume approximately equal to the volume of BF₃·Et₂O subsequently used) followed shortly thereafter by 15 equivalents of BF₃·Et₂O. Aqueous work-up consisted of washing the reaction solution with H₂O, reextraction of the aqueous layer with CH₂Cl₂, followed by drying the combined organic solutions by washing with brine and then adding MgSO₄. Purification was usually by passage through a charcoal/Florisil
plug. For the first attempt with a new substrate, a good starting procedure would involve a reaction time of 1 - 2 h for each step.

When the intermolecular reaction of 1-indanone with 1, for example, was only allowed five minutes, rearrangement (overnight) followed by isolation gave a 1:1 mixture of ketone and diketone 43. Increasing the reaction time of the intermolecular step to one hour under similar conditions gave diketone 43 in an overall yield of 71%. Allowing each step to proceed over 19 h gave only a marginal improvement in the yield (75%).

This general procedure was used as a starting point for the optimization of reactions involving a variety of ketones. For some substrates longer reaction times for either the intermolecular reaction or the intramolecular rearrangement, or both, led to higher overall yields. For very unreactive substrates allowing a day for each step gave the best overall yields, but in most cases two hours for each step was sufficient.

Scheme 17
These conditions proved equally effective for the 1,3-dioxolane ketal of cyclohexanone (Scheme 17). As a consequence we feel that the reaction of 1 and a ketal can be accomplished under these conditions offering the advantages of decreasing both the required equivalents of 1 and the reaction time in addition to offering a simplified isolation procedure. The 1,3-dithiane ketal of cyclohexanone was unreactive under our conditions (Scheme 17), so this may be used as a protecting group that might allow selective reaction of di- or triketones.

III. MECHANISTIC ANALYSIS

As previously stated, a cyclobutanone intermediate in which the ketone oxygen had become a trimethylsilyloxy group and/or an hydroxyl could be isolated, but, because there was significant reversion to the starting ketone during work-up and chromatography, these intermediates were seldom isolated.

The cyclobutanone derivatives were prepared from a few ketones by quenching a solution of the ketone substrate, one equivalent of BF$_3$•Et$_2$O and 1.5 equivalents of 1, after the intermolecular reaction had taken place (typically 1 - 2 h). For 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone, appreciable amounts of the diketone were formed when the reaction time was increased, despite the fact that only one equivalent of BF$_3$•Et$_2$O had been
added. Experimentally, stirring a solution of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone under these conditions overnight gave a crude product (78% yield) for which the $^1$H nmr spectrum showed the diketone 34 to be the major component. Cyclobutanone intermediates which had either trimethylsilyloxy groups or tertiary hydroxyls could be obtained depending upon the conditions used to quench the intermolecular reaction. Addition of a saturated aqueous boric acid solution to a solution of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone, one equivalent of BF$_3$.Et$_2$O and 1.5 equivalents of 1 provided after 2 hours a crude product, which was shown by GCMS analysis to be a mixture containing 63% of 44 (Scheme 18).

**Scheme 18**

![Scheme 18](image)

Chromatography provided the starting ketone (56% recovery) and the cyclobutanone derivative 44 (32% yield). Distinctive spectral features of 44 included the carbonyl stretch at 1784 cm$^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum and $^1$H nmr resonances for the trimethylsilyl groups as singlets at $\delta$ 0.15 and 0.11 ppm. The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included the carbonyl resonance at $\delta$
212.7 ppm, and the other quaternary cyclobutanone carbon had a resonance at δ 98.7 ppm. The two carbons bearing the trimethylsilyloxy or hydroxy substituents had characteristic resonances in the $^{13}$C nmr with shifts of δ 96 - 98 and 73 - 77 ppm for all cyclobutanone intermediates independent of the starting ketone. The x-ray structure of 44 (Figure 3) revealed it to be the product of an equatorial attack onto the carbonyl. This was the same direction of addition as Kuwajima had observed with the corresponding ketal.\textsuperscript{1b}

Figure 3. X-ray Crystal Structure of 44.

Under conditions that we suspected would favor 44, namely quenching with a large excess of chlorotrimethylsilane (TMSCl), surprisingly the cyclobutanone derivative 45, in which two oxygens were in the form of tertiary alcohols, was isolated in 35% yield along with 18%
of the diketone 34 (Scheme 19). Evidence supporting the structure of 45 included quaternary carbon resonances at $\delta$ 96.0 and 72.8 ppm in the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum.

Whereas the conversion of the doubly silylated cyclobutanone 44 to the diol cyclobutanone derivative 45 proceeded smoothly with tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF), the reverse reaction, conversion of the diol 45 to 44, was not successful with TMSCI in pyridine; diol 45 was simply recovered (Scheme 20). Neither sequence resulted in rearrangement to diketone 34, which was in agreement with preparative experiments in which synthetically useful yields of diketone were only
obtained after treatment with excess Lewis acid. Addition of H₂O or TBAF without the excess BF₃·Et₂O resulted in the isolation of the diketone in yields typically less than 20%, and 50 - 60% of the starting ketone was recovered.

Scheme 21

While both cyclobutanone derivatives 45 and 44 rearranged to diketone 34 upon treatment with excess BF₃·Et₂O (no H₂O), the reaction rates for the transformation were different (Scheme 21). Stirring a solution of 45 overnight in the presence of fifteen equivalents of BF₃·Et₂O provided diketone 34 as the only product, whereas under the same conditions 44 provided a mixture of diketone 34 and the diol 45 (7:1, respectively, by 'H nmr). Decreasing the reaction time from overnight to thirty minutes gave the same result. One explanation may be the
difference in bond strength between the oxygen-silicon (44) versus the oxygen-hydrogen bond (45). In order for rearrangement to begin, an oxygen-silicon in 44 must be broken, in contrast with an oxygen-hydrogen in 45. That is rearrangement of 44, compared to 45, will be a higher energy process. The fact that under the above conditions (BF₃·Et₂O) 44 afforded a mixture of diketone 34 and 45, is most likely the result of hydrolysis of 44 during aqueous work-up to give 45. One can speculate that under the experimental conditions we developed that the addition of water and excess BF₃·Et₂O must have facilitated the rearrangement by hydrolysis of one or both of the trimethylsilyloxy groups. We expected TBAF would cleave the trimethylsilyl moieties more effectively than did water but TBAF in the place of H₂O gave a mixture containing diketone 31 (69%) and the diol cyclobutanone derivative (23%) from cyclohexanone. In contrast, with H₂O the yield was 94%. Replacing H₂O with TBAF did improve the yield of 1-indanone diketone 43 to 71%, the best conditions involving reflux afforded 43 in 74%.

The large amount of starting ketone recovered from reactions of a ketone with 1 under the optimized conditions suggested that for these substrates the intermolecular aldol step may not have proceeded with high efficiency or that there was still another intermediate (which we have never been able to isolate) that reverted back to starting ketone instead of rearranging under Lewis acid catalysis. Evidence for the
existence of another intermediate was found when the reaction of acetophenone with 1 was followed by $^1H$ nmr (Figure 4). While the major signals were assigned to the diastereomeric doubly silylated cyclobutanones 46, other signals whose intensity did not decrease with time were also detected. Furthermore, while chromatography of the product from the reaction of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone and 1 provided pure 45 and 44 cyclobutanone intermediates, there were some fractions containing mixtures of the starting ketone and/or the 44 and 45 in addition to a minor component with a similar retention time and fragmentation pattern to 44 and 45 in the GCMS analysis. If this minor
signal were a third cyclobutanone it might have been destroyed on silica
to give the starting ketone.

Figure 5. 4-tert-Butylcyclohexanone and 1 Successive $^1$H nmr
Spectra.

The reaction of 4-tert-butylcyclohexanone with 1 was followed by
$^1$H nmr spectroscopy but unfortunately there was no change in the
successive spectra (Figure 5) after ten minutes. The doubly silylated
compound 44 was generated quickly, but no diketone signals emerged
with time nor did the proportion of the intermediates change with time.
When the reaction of acetone with 1 was monitored by $^1$H nmr
spectroscopy, signals for the doubly silylated cyclobutanone intermediate (analogous to 44) appeared quickly. In fact, the first acquisition (elapsed reaction time of 5 min) already showed these signals. Successive spectra (Figure 6) did show a decrease in the intensity of these signals, but, unlike acetophenone, no diketone signals emerged. What appeared to be signals for another cyclobutanone intermediate, quite possibly the dihydroxy intermediate arose.

Figure 6. Acetone and 1 Successive $^1$H nmr Spectra.

Cyclobutanone intermediates were obtained from tetrahydrocarvone (a 1:1 epimeric mixture), a substrate which bore an alpha substituent. Quenching its reaction with a saturated boric acid solution, as in the preparation of compound 44, provided a mixture that
consisted of diol intermediates 47 and 48 (Scheme 22). Chromatography provided the intermediates 47 (12%) and 48 (24%), each as an epimeric mixture with every resonance in their $^1$H and $^{13}$C nmr spectra doubled. In addition, a small amount (11%) of diketone 49 was obtained. It was remarkable that this product consisted very largely of the isomer with the methyl substituent in the axial position. This was in marked contrast with the major isomer obtained when the same tetrahydrocarvone was ketalized and then reacted with 1 under "ketal conditions". Under these conditions the product (50) had the methyl substituent in the equatorial position (Figure 7). Hence, depending on the parameters chosen, different isomers of the tetrahydrocarvone diketone could be prepared selectively. The $^{13}$C nmr resonances for the methylenes C-7, C-8, C-10 for 50 were $\delta$ 35.4, 29.4 and 28.5 ppm. In 49 the influence of the gauche relationship of the axial methyl (at C-6) was obvious from the significantly upfield shifts for the analogous methylenes at $\delta$ 27.6, 25.2 and 22.1 ppm.
Figure 7. Diketone Isomers of Tetrahydrocarvone.

The diol 47 had been obtained as a 1:1 mixture, epimeric at C-2 of the cyclobutanone moiety. Successive crystallizations resolved only one of the isomers. Key spectral features of this pure isomer included the carbonyl stretch in the IR spectrum at 1767 cm\(^{-1}\), and the tertiary alcohol resonances in the \(^{13}\)C nmr spectrum at \(\delta\) 97.9 and 76.1 ppm. From the material that could not be purified, the analogous remaining signals attributed to the other isomer included the resonances at \(\delta\) 95.8 and 77.0 ppm. These data did not allow us to assign the relative stereochemistry of the pure isomer and unfortunately the crystals were not suitable for x-ray crystallography.

The diol cyclobutanone intermediate 48 with the equatorial methyl was also an epimeric mixture in a ratio of 2.2:1. Unfortunately, crystallization of 48 failed to separate these isomers. The key tertiary alcohol resonances in the \(^{13}\)C nmr spectrum were found at \(\delta\) 97.1 and 76.0 ppm for the major isomer and at \(\delta\) 98.7 and 75.3 ppm for the minor
isomer.

In an attempt to isolate and characterize the bis(trimethylsilyloxy) intermediates from tetrahydrocarvone, the intermolecular reaction (tetrahydrocarvone, 1.5 equivalents of 1 and 1.1 equivalents of BF$_3$·Et$_2$O) was stirred overnight. Work-up, however, provided crude material that was again mainly a mixture of the diols 47 and 48. Surprisingly, there was no evidence for the bis(trimethylsilyloxy) intermediates. In preparative experiments only the diol cyclobutanone intermediates 47 and 48 (never the silylated derivative) were isolated along with diketones 49 and 50.

These results allowed us to speculate that the low yields for geminally acylated products with substrates bearing an alpha substituent are the consequence of both the reluctance of the intermolecular reaction to occur due to steric hindrance and a decrease in the efficiency of the rearrangement of the cyclobutanone intermediates.

IV. DISCUSSION OF YIELDS

The methodology was applied to a wide variety of ketone substrates, and, after considerable experimentation, optimal yields were reached for most cases. The optimized yield with each substrate is listed
The results confirmed that the reaction is very sensitive to the steric environment of the ketone as illustrated by a comparison of entry 1 (acetone), which furnished diketone 51 in 84% yield, with entry 6 (3-methylbutanone), in which diketone 27 was isolated in only 52% yield. Substrates having a quaternary center alpha to the ketone failed to react. For example, reintroducing diketone 28 (generated from 1 and cyclopentanone) into the reaction conditions resulted in no additional geminal acylation of the cyclopentanedione ketones. The result ensured that a 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanedione product does not continue to react under these conditions. High yields could only be obtained with unencumbered ketones. Substrates in which the adjacent carbons on both sides of the ketone bore substituents, e.g., 2,4-dimethyl-3-pentanone, also failed to react. The effect of an α-methyl substituent on either the cyclopentanone or cyclohexanone was to reduce the yield by approximately 30%. Cyclopentanone (entry 7) gave diketone 28 in 79% yield as opposed to 2-methylcyclopentanone (entry 8) for which the yield of diketone 29 was 55%. Similarly, cyclohexanone (entry 10) versus 2-methylcyclohexanone (entry 11) showed a decrease in yield from 94% to 62%. The effect of an α-methyl substituent is believed to be twofold: the

\[ \text{For the results of the actual optimization experiments see the data presented in tabular form for cyclohexanone, 2-methylcyclohexanone, norcamphor, isophorone, and 1-indanone in the Appendix.} \]
Table 2: Reactions of 1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) and Ketones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Acetone Product" /></td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>butanone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Butanone Product" /></td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6-methyl-2-heptanone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="6-Methyl-2-Heptanone Product" /></td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ethyl levulinate</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Ethyl Levulinate Product" /></td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3-pentanone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3-Pentanone Product" /></td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3-methylbutanone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="3-Methylbutanone Product" /></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>cyclopentanone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Cyclopentanone Product" /></td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2-methylcyclopentanone</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="2-Methylcyclopentanone Product" /></td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Reactions of 1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) and Ketones (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Image" /> 30</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>cyclohexanone</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Image" /> 31</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2-methylcyclohexanone</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Image" /> 32</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>tetrahydrocarvone (1:1 mixture of epimers)</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Image" /> 49 R₁ = H, R₂ = Me</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Image" /> 50 R₁ = Me, R₂ = H</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3-methylcyclohexanone</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Image" /> 54</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4,4-dimethylcyclohexanone</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Image" /> 33</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Reactions of 1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) and Ketones (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>5α−cholestan-3-one</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1,10-undecadien-6-one</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2-cyclohexen-1-one</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>isophorone</td>
<td>[structure]</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Reactions of 1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) and Ketones (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>4,4-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1-phenyl-2-propanone</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1-indanone</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2-indanone</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1-tetralone</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>6-methoxy-1-tetralone</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>acetophenone</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a From GCMS analysis of the crude product.
initial intermolecular reaction is discouraged because of steric hindrance; and experiments with tetrahydrocarvone indicated that the cyclobutanone intermediate once generated is much more resistant to rearrangement than cyclobutanone species bearing no α-methyl substituent.

The distant δ double bonds in entry 16 appeared not to influence significantly the reaction as the diketone 55 was isolated in good yield (78%). Furthermore, the diketone was predominantly the desired diketone with only a trace of double bond-isomerized material. Unfortunately, when the double bond occupied a γ-position to the ketone, as in entry 17, no diketone product was isolated. In fact, the crude product was found to be a complex mixture from GCMS and nmr analysis, but no signals characteristic of a cyclopentanedione moiety could be identified. Recently, Curran showed that substrates of this type undergo further cyclization as illustrated in Scheme 23. However, chromatography of our material failed to provide any cyclization product.
While reactions did proceed when the double bond was in an $\alpha$-position (entries 18 - 26), the yield was highly dependent upon the substrate. Simple enones (entries 18 - 20) gave poor yields. This was especially true for 2-cyclohexen-1-one (entry 19) in which double bond isomerization during geminal acylation was not deterred. Indeed, nmr analysis of the crude product indicated many olefinic and vinylic resonances, consistent with the TLC plate, which showed many compounds but no major component. In all attempts there was a relatively large amount of intractable material.

Scheme 24

While steric hindrance about the $\beta$-carbon inhibited the destruction of the enones (entries 18, 20, and progesterone), and despite the fact that the yields improved dramatically over that reported for entry 19, yields were still synthetically unacceptable except for progesterone and for 4,4-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (entry 21). Progesterone gave a respectable yield of spiro-annulated products. The rate of reaction of the
unhindered conjugated ketone at C-3 was faster than that of the α-substituted ketone (C-20) resulting in 42 being isolated in 66% yield whereas only 5% of 53 was obtained (Scheme 24). Increasing the number of equivalents of 1 had little effect on the proportion of 53 that was formed. 4,4-Dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (entry 21) gave an acceptable yield of diketone 59 probably as a consequence of the fact that isomerization of the enone was prohibited by the disubstitution on the γ-carbon.

While the ketals of conjugated ketones did not furnish any geminally acylated products, when ketalization was accompanied with double bond isomerization these ketals reacted with 1 without further double bond isomerization (Scheme 25).

Scheme 25

α-Keto-aromatic substrates reacted more efficiently than β-keto-
aromatic substrates (entry 23 versus 24 for example), but both types gave yields ranging from 50 to 75%. As seen from Table 2, the best yields were realized for acetophenone (entry 27) and 1-indanone (entry 23), but it is important to note that both of these aromatic substrates were studied much more extensively than the others. 6-Methoxy-2-tetralone decomposed under the reaction conditions, and benzophenone was unreactive.

The methodology that we have developed serves as a convenient process for the geminal acylation of ketones using 1. It appears that with specific substrates optimization would involve variation of the times of the two steps rather than variation of the amounts of the reagents. This methodology provided many cyclic and acyclic diketones in good yields, but the best yields, all over 90%, were with cyclohexanones. In comparison to the ketal reaction, the new method allows a reaction between 1 and a substrate with an α double bond, albeit it modest yield. Aromatic ketones were superior substrates compared to the corresponding aromatic ketals, and the amount of 1 required was reduced from typically 2.5 - 3 equivalents to 1.5 as was the total reaction time from overnight to typically 3-4 hours for most substrates. An obvious advantage of this new methodology is that the transformation is shorter by one step, i.e., ketalization, which is not always trivial, is not required. Finally it may be possible for more substituted substrates to favor one
isomer of diketone over another as was discussed for the reactions of 1 with tetrahydrocarvone and with ketalized tetrahydrocarvone.

V. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures.

Compound 1 was prepared by the method of Bloomfield and Nelke. All reactions were performed under nitrogen. Dichloromethane (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) was distilled from calcium hydride (CaH$_2$). Flash chromatography ("chromatography") used 230-400 mesh silica gel, with hexane containing an increasing proportion of ethyl acetate as the eluent. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as casts using a Mattson Polaris FT-IR instrument. Nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) spectra were obtained on a General Electric GE 300-NB (300MHz) instrument. $^1$H nmr spectra were obtained at 300 MHz in CDCl$_3$, unless otherwise stated; shifts are relative to internal TMS; coupling constants ($J$) are in Hz. $^{13}$C nmr spectra were recorded at 75 MHz, and chemical shifts are relative to solvent ($\delta$ 77.0 for CDCl$_3$, 53.8 for CD$_2$Cl$_2$); each $^{13}$C chemical shift is followed in parentheses by the number of attached protons for each carbon, as determined by attached proton test (APT) and heteronuclear correlation studies. Except where noted, both the low and the high resolution mass spectra (MS) data were obtained on a V.G. Micromass
7070HS instrument. A Hewlett-Packard 12.5 m fused silica capillary column with crosslinked dimethylsilicone as the liquid phase was used for GCMS analysis. Melting points (mp) were determined on a Fisher-Johns apparatus and are uncorrected. Data collection for the x-ray structure was made with a Rigaku AFC6S diffractometer.

**Ketal Conditions.** To a cooled solution (-78°C) of ketone (2 - 4 mmol) and BF$_3$·Et$_2$O (15 equivalents) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (30 mL) was added dropwise a solution of 1 (2.5 - 3 equivalents) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL). The reaction solution was stirred overnight during which time it attained room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed with H$_2$O (2 x 50 mL). The aqueous layers were re-extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 x 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 x 60 mL). The organic solution was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$, and then the solution was concentrated under vacuum with a rotary evaporator. Purification by chromatography provided the geminally acylated product.

As the spectral data for the material obtained under "ketone conditions" were identical with those of material produced by the ketal route,$^{16}$ only the reactions of 1 with ketones will be detailed. All entries in Table 1 were obtained as described above, i.e., "ketal conditions".

**Ketone conditions:** To a solution of ketone (2 - 4 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10.0 mL) at room temperature was added BF$_3$·Et$_2$O (1.2
equivalents) followed by 1 (1.5 equivalents). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 - 2 h, after which time H<sub>2</sub>O (equal volume to the BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O used earlier) was added. This turbid solution was stirred 10 min before BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (15 equivalents) was added and the reaction mixture stirred an additional 1 - 2 h. The reaction solution was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (2 x 50 mL). The aqueous layers were re-extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 x 50 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 x 60 mL). The organic solution was dried over anhydrous MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solution was concentrated under vacuum with a rotary evaporator. The crude products were dissolved in ether (100 mL) and filtered through a small bore column containing charcoal/Florisil. The plug was washed with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (70 mL). Concentration of the combined organic solutions under vacuum with a rotary evaporator followed by subsequent high vacuum pumping afforded the diketone products. In some cases the material obtained was not of acceptable purity and was subjected to chromatography.

2-Ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (26). To a stirred solution of butanone (204 mg, 2.84 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (9.0 mL) at rt was added BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.40 mL, 3.4 mmol) followed by 1 (1.15 mL, 4.3 mmol). This solution was stirred for 2 h at rt, after which time H<sub>2</sub>O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF<sub>3</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (5.2 mL, 43 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h. Work-up and purification
(charcoal/Florisil) provided 26 (243 mg, 61%) as an oil. IR: 1750 (shoulder) and 1720 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.78 (4H, s), 1.67 (2H, q, J = 7.4), 1.09 (3H, s), 0.81 (3H, t, J = 7.4). ¹³C nmr: δ 216.4 (2C, 0), 56.9 (0), 35.0 (2C, 2), 28.6 (2), 17.8 (3), 8.7 (3). MS: 140 (51, M⁺), 125 (92), 97 (31), 84 (12), 83 (13), 69 (100), 56 (36), 55 (29), 41 (83). Exact mass calcd. for C₈H₁₂O₂: 140.0837, found 140.0843.

2-Methyl-2-(methylethyl)-1,3-cyclopentanedione (27). To a solution of 3-methylbutanone (276 mg, 3.21 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.50 mL, 3.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (1.3 mL, 4.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt, before H₂O (approx. 0.5 mL) was added followed 20 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (5.9 mL, 48 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 27 (258 mg, 52%) as a colorless oil. IR: 1725 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.73 (4H, s), 2.01 (1H, septet, J = 6.9), 1.05 (3H, s), 0.94 (6H, d, J = 6.9). ¹³C nmr: δ 216.6 (2C, 0), 59.2 (0), 35.4 (2C, 2), 33.6 (1), 17.2 (2C, 3), 15.1 (3). MS: 154 (18, M⁺), 139 (100), 111 (31), 83 (51), 69 (8), 56 (24), 55 (60), 43 (30), 27 (51). Exact mass calcd. for C₈H₁₄O₂: 154.0993, found 154.09995.

Spiro[4.4]nonane-1,4-dione (28). To a solution of cyclopentanone (211 mg, 2.51 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (1.0 mL, 3.8 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt prior to the addition of H₂O (approx. 0.35 mL) followed
20 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (4.6 mL, 38 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 28 (300 mg, 79%) as a colorless solid, mp 54 - 57.5°C (lit.16 58 - 59.5°C). IR: 1720 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: δ 2.48 (4H, br s), 1.61 (8H, br s). ¹³C nmr: δ 215.8 (2C, 0), 63.0 (0), 34.7 (2C, 2), 34.6 (2C, 2), 26.8 (2C, 2). MS: 152 (100, M⁺), 124 (35), 111 (48), 97 (52), 96 (44), 95 (33), 69 (28), 68 (52), 67 (61), 56 (61), 41 (37). Exact mass calcd. for C₅H₁₂O₂: 152.0837, found 152.0831.

6-Methylspiro[4.4]nonane-1,4-dione (29). To a solution of 2-methylcyclopentanone (216 mg, 2.20 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.9 mL, 3.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and H₂O (approx. 0.3 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (4.5 mL, 37 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 29 (200 mg, 55%) as an oil. IR: 1718 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: δ 2.81 - 2.57 (4H, br m), 2.25 (1H, br m), 1.85 (5H, br m), 1.54 (1H, br m), 0.95 (3H, d, J = 7.2). ¹⁳C nmr: δ 217.3 (0), 216.6 (0), 66.7 (0), 46.9 (1), 36.2 (2), 35.8 (2), 34.5 (2), 33.5 (2), 24.6 (2), 15.2 (3). MS: 166 (64, M⁺), 151 (100), 125 (15), 109 (52), 95 (41), 81 (20), 67 (41), 55 (31), 41 (30). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₀H₁₄O₂: 166.0993, found 166.0997.

Spiro(bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,1'-cyclopentane)-2',5'-dione (30). To a solution of bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one (206 mg, 1.87 mmol) and
BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (0.25 mL, 2.0 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.75 mL, 2.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, after which time H$_2$O (approx. 0.3 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (3.4 mL, 28 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 30 (250 mg, 75%) as colorless crystals, mp 112 - 113°C (lit.$^{1b}$ 109.5 - 110.5°C). IR: 1760 (shoulder) and 1715 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: δ 3.07 - 2.51 (4H, m), 2.48 (1H, br d), 2.37 (1H, br apparent t), 1.89 - 1.76 (2H, m), 1.57 - 1.18 (6H, m). $^{13}$C nmr: δ 213.2 (0), 213.1 (0), 66.4 (0), 48.7 (1), 37.0 (2), 36.9 (1), 35.2 (2), 34.3 (2), 32.7 (2), 27.8 (2), 24.3 (2). MS: 178 (19, M$^+$), 149 (46), 112 (100), 93 (15), 79 (13), 67 (19), 66 (12), 65 (13). Exact mass calcd. for C$_{11}$H$_{14}$O$_2$: 178.0993, found 178.0993.

**Spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (31) from Cyclohexanone.** A solution of cyclohexanone (212 mg, 2.17 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (9.0 mL) was cooled to -78°C, and BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) was added followed by a solution of 1 (0.90 mL, 3.4 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4.0 mL) over 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 3 h, then it was allowed to attain rt over the next 2 h. H$_2$O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added, and the solution was recooled to -78°C before BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (4.0 mL, 33 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred overnight during which time it was allowed to return to rt. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 31 (337 mg, 94%) as large white crystals, mp 60 - 61.5°C (lit.$^{1b}$
61 - 62°C). IR: 1755 and 1720 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.68 (4H, s), 1.7 - 1.4 (10H, m). ¹³C nmr: δ 215.6 (2C, 0), 55.7 (0), 34.1 (2C, 2), 29.0 (2C, 2), 24.7 (2), 20.3 (2C, 2). MS: 166 (100, M⁺), 137 (25), 124 (32), 112 (61), 111 (46), 85 (46), 81 (37), 67 (74), 56 (44). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₀H₁₄O₂: 166.0993, found 166.0985.

**Spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (31) from the Ketal of Cyclohexanone.** To a solution of 1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (306 mg, 2.16 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.9 mL, 3.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at rt, and H₂O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (4.0 mL, 33 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 31 (343 mg, 96%).

**6-Methylspiros[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (32).** To a solution of 2-methylcyclohexanone (228 mg, 2.03 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.8 mL, 3.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and H₂O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (3.7 mL, 30 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 32 (227 mg, 62%) as an oil. IR: 1715 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.90 - 2.48 (4H, m), 1.95 - 1.17 (9H, m), 0.75 (3H, d, J = 6.3). ¹³C nmr: δ 217.4 (0), 216.3 (0), 60.0 (0), 35.6 (2), 35.5 (1), 35.3 (2), 32.0 (2), 28.9 (2), 25.2 (2), 20.0 (2), 18.0 (3). MS: 180 (74, M⁺), 165 (65), 126 (21), 125
Exact mass calcd. for C_{11}H_{18}O_2: 180.1149, found 180.1149.

8,8-Dimethylspiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (33). A solution of 4,4-dimethylcyclohexanone (230 mg, 1.83 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (9.0 mL) was cooled to -78°C, and BF_3·Et_2O (0.20 mL, 1.6 mmol) was added followed by a solution of 1 (0.80 mL, 3.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) over 15 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 3.5 h, then it was allowed to attain rt over the next 1.5 h. H_2O (approx. 0.3 mL) was added, and the solution was recooled to -78°C before BF_3·Et_2O (3.3 mL, 27 mmol) was introduced. The mixture was stirred overnight during which time it was allowed to return to rt. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 33 (320 mg, 90%) as cream-colored crystals: mp 65 - 66.5°C. IR: 1756 (shoulder) and 1715 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta\) 2.76 (4H, s), 1.61 (4H, m), 1.47 (4H, m), 0.95 (6H, s). \(^{13}\)C nmr: \(\delta\) 215.4 (2C, 0), 55.1 (0), 34.0 (2C, 2), 32.9 (2C, 2), 28.7 (0), 27.6 (2C, 3), 25.2 (2C, 2). MS: 194 (52, M\(^+\)), 179 (12), 151 (21), 138 (15), 137 (16), 125 (100), 112 (96), 111 (31), 95 (27), 93 (20), 83 (20), 81 (25), 69 (36), 67 (22), 56 (42), 55 (33), 53 (27), 41 (67). Exact mass calcd. for C_{12}H_{19}O_2: 194.1306, found 194.1300.

8-tert-Butylspiro[4,5]decane-1,4-dione (34) Directly from the Ketone. To a solution of 4-\(t\)-butylcyclohexanone (171 mg, 1.11 mmol) and BF_3·Et_2O (0.15 mL, 1.2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (9.0 mL) at -78°C was
added 1 (0.50 mL, 1.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (4.0 mL) over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78°C before it was allowed to attain rt. H₂O (approx. 0.2 mL) was added, and the mixture was cooled again to -78°C before BFs₃Et₂O (2.0 mL, 16 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to attain rt overnight. Work-up followed by purification yielded 34 (232 mg, 94%) as colorless crystals: mp 82.5 - 84°C. IR: 1753 (shoulder) and 1721 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: δ 2.75 (4H, br s), 1.76 - 1.49 (9H), 0.87 (9H, s). '³C nmr: δ 215.7 (0), 215.6 (0), 55.0 (0), 46.7 (1), 34.3 (2), 34.1 (2), 32.2 (0), 29.9 (2C, 2), 27.2 (3C, 3), 21.5 (2C, 2). MS: 222 (10, M⁺), 207 (8), 166 (59), 165 (23), 124 (13), 112 (21), 111 (23), 81 (11), 67 (10), 57 (100), 41 (43). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₄H₂₂₂O₂: 222.1619, found 222.1628.

Spiro(5α-cholestan-3-one-3,2′-cyclopentane)-1,3-dione (35). To a solution of 5α-cholestan-3-one (304 mg, 0.788 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (35 mL) was cooled to -78°C, and BFs₃Et₂O (1.5 mL, 12 mmol) was added followed by a solution of 1 (0.53 mL, 2.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5.0 mL) over 12 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt, and stirring was maintained for 48 h. Work-up afforded a brown solid, and chromatography of this provided 35 (310 mg, 87%) as colorless crystals: mp 150.5 - 152°C. IR: 1761 (shoulder) and 1721 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: δ 2.73 (4H, m), 2.0 - 0.9 (unresolved signals), 0.90 (3H, d, J = 6.4), 0.863 (3H, d, J = 6.6), 0.859 (3H, d, J = 6.6), 0.82 (3H, s), 0.64 (3H, s). '³C nmr: δ
7,9,9-Trimethylspiro[4.5]deca-5-ene-1,4-dione (36). A solution of 3,5,5-trimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (220 mg, 1.62 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) was cooled to -78°C, and BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (2.9 mL, 24 mmol) was added followed by a solution of 1 (1.3 mL, 5.0 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (6.0 mL) over 16 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt, and stirring was maintained for 65 h. Work-up afforded a black, viscous oil, and chromatography of this provided 36 (108 mg, 33%), which solidified on standing: mp 58 - 59.5°C. IR: 1723 cm$^{-1}$. 'H nmr: δ 5.05 (1H, br s), 2.84 (4H, m), 1.82 (2H, br s), 1.75 (3H, br s), 1.62 (2H, s), 1.00 (6H, s). 13C nmr: δ 212.6 (2C, 0), 139.7 (0), 112.8 (1), 62.9 (0), 43.3 (2), 38.3 (2), 34.7 (2C, 2), 30.2 (0), 29.2 (2C, 3), 24.5 (3). MS: 206 (100, M$^+$), 191 (49), 163 (24), 150 (28), 135 (20), 121 (22), 107 (94), 91 (42). Exact mass calcd. for C$_{13}$H$_{19}$O$_2$: 206.1306, found 206.1299.

2-Methyl-2-phenyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (37) by Multiple Additions of 1. A solution of acetophenone (509 mg, 4.24 mmol) in
CH₂Cl₂ (300 mL) was cooled to -78°C before BF₃·Et₂O (7.7 mL, 13 mmol) was added dropwise, followed by a solution of 1 (3.4 mL, 13 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). This was stirred for 15 min before the mixture was allowed to attain rt. The mixture was heated at reflux for 12 h, 16.5 h, and 38 h, at which times aliquots were removed and analysed by GCMS and additional 1 was added (1.0 mL, 1.0 mL and 3.0 mL, respectively). After heating for a total of 44 h, GCMS indicated that no acetophenone remained. The reaction was allowed to cool, and work-up provided a black residue. Vacuum distillation in a Kugelrohr apparatus gave 37 as a pale yellow oil (726 mg, 91%). IR: 1765 (shoulder) and 1724 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 7.38 - 7.19 (5H, m), 2.82 (4H, br symmetrical m), 1.42 (3H, s). ¹³C nmr: δ 212.9 (2C, 0), 136.8 (0), 129.1 (2C, 1), 127.8 (0), 126.2 (2C, 1), 61.7 (0), 35.0 (2C, 2), 19.6 (3). MS: 188 (100, M⁺), 159 (7), 145 (28), 132 (28), 105 (27), 104 (78), 103 (27), 78 (24), 77 (24), 51 (26). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₂H₁₄O₂: 188.0836, found 188.0828.

**2-Methyl-2-phenyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (37) by Ketone Conditions.** To a stirred solution of acetophenone (211 mg, 1.76 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added BF₃·Et₂O (0.25 mL, 2.0 mmol) followed by 1 (0.70 mL, 2.7 mmol). After 2 h, GCMS analysis of the mixture indicated the presence of 37 (73%) and 46 (19%) with only 2% acetophenone remaining; 30 min later H₂O (approx. 0.3 mL) followed after 10 min by BF₃·Et₂O (3.3 mL, 27 mmol), and stirring was continued
for 1 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) afforded 37 as a pale yellow oil (273 mg, 83%), but GCMS revealed that this was contaminated by approximately 1% acetophenone.

1',2',3',4'-Tetrahydro-6-methoxyspiro[cyclopentane-1,1'-naphthalene]-2,5-dione (38). To a solution of 6-methoxy-1-tetralone (198 mg, 1.13 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.40 mL, 3.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.90 mL, 3.4 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 47 h. An additional 0.40 mL (1.5 mmol) of 1 was added, and the mixture was stirred for another 45 h. After addition of H₂O (approx. 0.4 mL) and BF₃·Et₂O (2.1 mL, 17 mmol), the mixture was stirred for 3 days. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) gave 234 mg of yellow, oily crystals that GCMS revealed to contain 1% starting ketone, 86% 38, and 5% unrearranged intermediates. Chromatography yielded 38 (142 mg, 52%) as colorless crystals: mp 116.5 - 117.5°C. IR: 1716 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 6.70 - 6.64 (2H, m), 6.45 (1H, m), 3.75 (3H, s), 2.94 (4H, br symmetric m), 2.83 (2H, m), 1.92 (4H, narrow m). ¹³C nmr: δ 215.0 (2C, 0), 158.6 (0), 139.9 (0), 129.3 (1), 123.9 (0), 114.0 (1), 112.9 (1), 62.0 (0), 55.1 (3), 35.1 (2C, 2), 31.7 (2), 29.1 (2), 17.9 (2). MS: 244 (100, M⁺), 188 (42), 174 (21), 160 (89), 159 (23), 145 (23), 115 (27). Exact mass calc'd for C₁₅H₁₈O₃: 244.1099, found 244.1107.

7,9,9-Trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene-1,4-dione (39). Increasing the
reaction time for the sequence reported above for (36) from 3 days to 5 days provided yellow crystals (30% yield), which, by GCMS analysis, revealed a mixture of 36 (92%) and 39 (8%). The gas chromatogram and mass spectrum of 39 were identical with that reported by Wu and Burnell.\textsuperscript{2,16}

2-(2-(Carboethoxy)ethyl)-2-methyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (40).
A solution of ethyl levulinate (221 mg, 1.54 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (38 mL) was cooled to -78°C, and BF₃-Et₂O (2.7 mL, 22 mmol) was added followed by a solution of 1 (1.2 mL, 4.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.0 mL) over 30 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt, and stirring was maintained for 43 h. Work-up provided a dark oil, and chromatography afforded 40 as an oil (118 mg, 36%). IR: 1764 (shoulder) and 1723 cm⁻¹. \textsuperscript{1}H nmr: δ 4.08 (2H, q, J = 7.2), 2.86 (4H, s), 2.28 (2H, t, J = 7.5), 1.97 (2H, t, J = 7.5), 1.26 (3H, t, J = 7.2), 1.15 (3H, s). \textsuperscript{13}C nmr (C₆D₆): δ 214.5 (2C, 0), 172.6 (0), 60.4 (2), 54.9 (0), 34.6 (2C, 2), 29.2 (2), 28.9 (2), 19.6 (3), 14.1 (3). MS: 212 (M⁺, 9), 167 (12), 166 (13), 138 (62), 125 (100), 110 (21), 99 (15), 97 (26), 96 (13), 95 (13), 69 (29), 55 (70), 53 (11), 43 (20), 41 (45). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₁H₁₆O₄: 212.1048, found 212.1070.

Reaction of Progesterone (41) with 1. To a solution of 41 (259 mg, 0.824 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added BF₃-Et₂O (0.25 mL, 2.0 mmol) followed by (0.70 mL, 2.7 mmol) of 1. After stirring for 19 h at rt, H₂O (approx. 0.2 mL) followed 10 min later by BF₃-Et₂O (1.5 mL, 12
mmol) were added. After stirring for 2.5 h, the solution was worked-up. Chromatography provided 42 as pale yellow crystals (208 mg, 66%) and in a more polar fraction, 53 (19 mg, 5%) as cream-colored crystals. The nmr spectra revealed that each was contaminated with less than 10% of its 5-ene isomer. For 42: mp 135.5 - 138°C. IR: 1721 and 1703 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 4.86 (1H, br s), 2.83 (2H, m), 2.12 (3H, s), 1.07 (3H, s), 0.63 (3H, s) and other signals unresolved 2.9 - 0.9; NOE enhancement: irradiation at δ 4.86 gave an enhancement of m at 2.83 (0.5%) and a double m at 2.08 (3%); irradiation at δ 2.83 gave an enhancement of br s at δ 4.86 (4.5%) and a small signal for a minor isomer at 5.30 (m). ¹³C nmr: δ 214.8 (0), 213.2 (0), 209.5 (0), 151.4 (0), 111.9 (1), 63.6 (1), 60.5 (0), 56.0 (1), 53.4 (1), 44.0 (0), 38.7 (2), 36.8 (0), 35.7 (1), 34.8 (2), 34.6 (2), 32.6 (2), 32.5 (2), 32.2 (2), 31.5 (3), 25.0 (2), 24.3 (2), 22.7 (2), 21.4 (2), 19.1 (3), 13.3 (3). MS: 382 (100, M⁺), 367 (12), 191 (45), 190 (23), 164 (27), 43 (79). Exact mass calcd. for C₂₅H₅₄O₃: 382.2506, found 382.2513.

For 53: mp 267 - 268°C. IR: 1759, 1719 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 4.84 (1H, s), 2.91 - 2.62 (8H, m), 2.38 - 0.80 (m), 1.13 (3H, s), 1.03 (3H, s), 0.61 (3H, s), and a small signal for a minor isomer at 5.38 (m). ¹³C nmr: δ 217.8 (0), 216.8 (0), 214.9 (0), 213.0 (0), 151.4 (0), 119.0 (1), 60.5 (0), 56.9 (1), 55.6 (0), 54.9 (1), 53.3 (1), 42.9 (0), 38.9 (2), 36.7 (0), 35.5 (1), 35.2 (2), 34.2 (2), 34.8 (2), 34.2 (2), 32.5 (2), 32.3 (2), 32.1 (2), 24.9 (2), 22.9 (2), 21.7 (2), 21.0 (2), 20.7 (3), 19.0 (3), 14.9 (3). MS: 450 (100, M⁺), 435
(7). 422 (8), 339 (8), 323 (12), 191 (65), 190 (38), 164 (45), 147 (22),
135 (19), 113 (22), 107 (25), 105 (32), 91 (45). Exact mass calcd. lor
C_{29}H_{38}O_4: 450.2768, found 450.2761.

2',3'-Dihydropiro[cyclopentane-1',1'-[1H]indene]-2,5-dione
(43). A solution of 1-indanone (210 mg, 1.59 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (80 mL)
was cooled to -78°C and BF_3·Et_2O (2.9 mL, 24 mmol) was added
followed by a solution of 1 (1.7 mL, 6.5 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (6.0 mL) over
18 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for 20 min before
being allowed to warm to rt, and 1.5 h later the solution was heated at
reflux for 1.5 h. Work-up gave a red-brown oil that was distilled under
vacuum in a Kugelrohr apparatus to give a bright yellow oil (247 mg),
which GCMS revealed was s..% 43. Chromatography of a similarly
derived sample yielded 43 as colorless crystals: mp 104 - 105.5°C. IR:
1754 (shoulder) and 1722 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta 7.33 - 7.10 (3H, m), 6.89 (1H,
d, J = 7.5), 3.15 (2H, t, J = 7.4), 2.92 (4H, center of complex m), 2.37
(2H, t, J = 7.4). \(^13\)C nmr: \(\delta 213.1 (2C, 0), 144.7 (0), 140.6 (0), 128.2 (1),
126.8 (1), 125.3 (1), 122.4 (1), 69.8 (0), 35.4 (2C, 2), 32.8 (2), 31.6 (2).
MS: 200 (94, M\(^+\)), 144 (56), 130 (15), 129 (15), 116 (82), 115 (100).
Exact mass calcd. for C_{13}H_{12}O_2 200.0837, found 200.0838.

(1'\alpha, 4'\alpha)-2-(4-t-Butyl-1-trimethylsilyloxy-3-cyclohexyl)-2-
trimethylsilyloxy-3-cyclobutanone (44). To a solution of 4-tetrt-
butylcyclohexanone (215 mg, 1.40 mmol) and BF_3·Et_2O (0.20 mL, 1.6
mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.60 mL, 2.3 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 2.7 h. A saturated aqueous boric acid solution (approx. 0.3 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up afforded a white solid (355 mg) for which GCMS analysis included only 4% starting ketone, 8% diketone 34, and 63% 44. Chromatography provided the starting ketone (120 mg, 55% recovery) and 44 (99 mg, 32%) as large colorless crystals: mp 62.5 - 64°C. IR: 1784 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: 8 2.82 - 2.59 (2H, m), 2.49 (1H, ddd, $J = 6.6$, 10.5, 12.3), 1.95 (1H, ddd, $J = 3.0$, 3.0, 10.2), 1.82 (1H, ddd, $J = 8.4$, 11.1, 12.3), 1.67 (1H, dddd, $J = 3.3$, 12.3, 12.3, 12.3), 1.60 - 1.47 (2H, m), 1.36 (1H, dddd, $J = 3.8$, 12.2, 12.2), 0.89 (1H, partially overlapped m), 0.84 (9H, s), 0.15 (9H, s), 0.11(9H, s). $^{13}$C nmr: 8 212.7 (D), 98.7 (O), 75.8 (O), 47.3 (1), 41.2 (2), 34.6 (2), 32.3 (O), 30.8 (2), 27.5 (3C, 3), 25.1 (2), 22.1 (2), 21.9 (2), 2.4 (3C, 3), 1.5 (3C, 3). MS: 384 (0.5, M$^+$), 329 (12), 328 (38), 327 (21), 230 (18), 227 (53), 147 (27), 75 (32), 73 (100), 62 (13), 57 (43), 45 (47), 41 (20).

\begin{align*}
(1'^{\alpha},4'^{\alpha})-2-(4'-\text{Butyl}-1\text{-hydroxycyclohexyl})-2\text{-hydroxycyclobutanone} & \text{ (45). To a solution of 44 (20 mg, 0.052) in CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \text{ (2.0 mL) was added approximately 0.1 mL of a 1 M solution of TBAF in THF (Aldrich). The solution was stirred at rt for 6 h. Work-up provided no 34, just 45 (8.8 mg, 70%) as a colorless solid: mp 147 -}
\end{align*}
149.5°C. IR: 3376 and 1757 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: δ 3.41 (1H, br s), 3.02 - 2.75 (2H, m), 2.33 (1H, ddd, J = 6.4, 11.1, 12.6), 2.03 (1H, ddd, J = 8.7, 11.2, 12.6), 1.90 - 1.70 (2H, m including apparent br s at 1.81), 1.70 - 1.50 (4H, m), 1.44 - 1.21 (3H, m), 0.97 (1H, m), 0.87 (9H, s). ¹³C nmr: δ 213.1 (0), 96.0 (0), 72.8 (0), 47.6 (1), 42.3 (2), 32.4 (0), 30.5 (2), 27.5 (3C, 3), 23.4 (2), 21.8 (2), 21.7 (2). MS: no M⁺, 222 (1.3, M⁺· H₂O), 207 (2), 184 (2), 155 (14), 123 (10), 98 (13), 95 (14), 81 (21), 57 (100), 43 (37), 41 (42). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₄H₂₂O₂ (M⁺· H₂O): 222.1619, found 222.1626.

8-tert-Butylspiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (34) from 44. To a solution of 44 (13 mg, 0.033 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was added a drop of H₂O followed by BF₃·Et₂O (0.06 mL, 0.5 mmol). The reaction solution was stirred at rt overnight. Work-up provided 8.3 mg of a cream-colored solid, 'H nmr analysis revealed it was composed of 45 and 34, in a 1:1.8 ratio, respectively.

8-tert-Butylspiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (34) from 45. A solution of 45 (18 mg, 0.075 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.15 mL, 1.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was stirred at rt for 17.5 h. Work-up provided 34 (17 mg, 100%).

2-(1-Phenyl-1-trimethylsilyloxyethyl)-2-trimethylsilyloxy-cyclobutanone (46). A solution of acetophenone (229 mg, 1.91 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (75 mL) was cooled to -78°C, and BF₃·Et₂O (0.12 mL, 0.98 mmol)
followed, dropwise, by a solution of 1 (1.5 mL, 5.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.0 mL) over 30 min. The solution was allowed to warm to rt over the next 2 h, and then it was heated at reflux for 1 h. Work-up provided a bright yellow liquid. Chromatography gave 46 (a diastereomeric mixture in a 3.5:1 ratio, by ¹H nmr) as an oil: IR: 1793 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr for the major isomer: δ 7.51 (2H, br d, J ~ 7.1), 7.41 - 7.27 (3H, m), 2.88 (1H, ddd, J = 8.7, 11.7, 17.6), 2.70 (1H, ddd, J = 5.7, 10.8, 17.6), 2.50 (1H, ddd, J = 5.7, 11.7, 12.2), 1.83 (3H, s), 1.66 (1H, ddd, J = 8.7, 10.8, 12.2), 0.15 (9H, s), 0.08 (9H, s); and some discernable signals for the minor isomer: δ 2.70 - 2.48 (m), 1.94 (1H, m), 1.77 (3H, s). ¹³C nmr for the major isomer: δ 213.6 (0), 143.6 (0), 127.1 (2C, 1), 127.0 (2C, 1), 126.9 (1), 97.1 (0), 79.3 (0), 41.8 (2), 24.2 (2), 22.9 (3), 2.5 (3C, 3), 2.1 (3C, 3); and some discernable signals for the minor isomer: δ 211.6 (0), 143.5 (0), 126.6 (2C, 1), 98.0 (0), 79.4 (0), 41.3 (2), 25.5 (2), 24.2 (3), 2.5 (3C, 3), 1.7 (3C, 3). MS: 350 (0.3, M⁺), 294 (24), 232 (12), 231 (6), 193 (47), 147 (19), 75 (14), 73 (100), 45 (14). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₈H₃₀O₃Si₂: 350.1732, found 350.1753.

(1'α,4'α)-2-(2-Methyl-5-methylethyl-1-hydroxycyclohexyl)-2-hydroxycyclobutanone (47) and (48). To a solution of tetrahydrocarvone (9:1 mixture of epimers) (291.8 mg, 1.90 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10.0 mL) was added BF₃·Et₂O (0.3 mL, 2.1 mmol) followed by 1 (0.8 mL, 2.8 mmol). The reaction solution was stirred for 3.5 h after
which time an aqueous saturated boric acid solution (0.3 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight. The solution was washed with H\(_2\)O (2 x 30 mL) and the aqueous solutions were re-extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (2 x 30 mL). The organic solutions were washed with brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO\(_4\). Concentration at reduced pressure followed by chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) gave starting ketone (33.4 mg, 11% recovery), mixture of 47 and 48 (169.0 mg, 37% if pure) and diketone 49 (48.0 mg, 11%). Rechromatographing the cyclobutanone mixture on a small bore column provided 47 (105.1 mg, 23%) as opaque crystals (mixture of diastereomers from \(^1\)H nmr ratio determined to be 1:1.5) and earlier fractions provided 48 (34.0 mg, 7%) as colorless crystals (mixture of diastereomers from \(^1\)H nmr ratio 3:1).

While rechromatographing failed to resolve the mixtures, successive recrystallizations did allow one isomer of 47 to be resolved. For this isomer of 47: m.p 130 - 131.5°C. IR: 3508, 3341 and 1768 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta\) 2.93 (2H, overlapping unsymmetrical t), 2.66 (1H, br s), 2.56 (1H, m), 1.91 (3H, unresolved m), 1.62 (2H, br AB d, \(J = 1.5\)), 1.56 (3H, br s), 1.37 - 1.49 (6H, unresolved m), 1.00 (3H, d, \(J = 7.2\)), 0.88 (6H, br t, \(J = 5.7\)). \(^13\)C nmr: \(\delta\) 210.8 (0), 97.9 (0), 76.1 (0), 42.7 (2), 38.3 (1), 34.9 (2), 32.6 (1), 30.4 (2), 29.1 (2), 23.8 (2), 22.3 (1), 20.0 (3), 19.3 (3), 15.6 (3). MS: no M\(^+\), 222 (11), 207 (25), 179 (10), 165 (16), 155 (56), 151 (21), 139 (22), 138 (16), 137 (49), 125 (18), 123 (22), 111 (32), 109
Resolved signals for the minor isomer of 47: $^{13}$C nmr: $\delta$ 214.8 (0), 95.8 (0), 43.9 (2), 38.1 (1), 32.9 (1), 32.0 (1), 28.6 (2), 25.2 (2), 22.7 (2), 19.3 (3), 15.2 (3). For 48 (mixture 3:1 from $^1$H nmr): IR: 3456 and 1763 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr (CD$_2$Cl$_2$): $\delta$ 2.88 (6H, m), 2.66 (2H, m), 1.65 - 2.03 (10H, unresolved m), 1.24 - 1.55 (16H, unresolved m), 0.82 - 0.94 (30H, overlapping d). $^{13}$C nmr: $\delta$ 213.3 (213.3), 98.7 (98.7), 76.0 (76.0), 43.5 (43.5), 38.8 (38.8), 37.6 (37.6), 32.9 (32.9), 31.4 (31.4), 28.9 (28.9), 26.0 (26.0), 20.1 (20.1), 17.0 (17.0).

(6S,9R)-6-Methyl-9-(methylethyl)spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (49) and (6R,9R)-6-Methyl-9-(methylethyl)spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (50). To a solution of tetrahydrocarvone (1:1 mixture of methyl epimers; 340 mg, 2.20 mmol) and BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (0.30 mL, 2.6 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (9.0 mL) was added 1 (0.9 mL, 3.3 mmol) at rt, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 22.5 h. H$_2$O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added, followed 10 min later by BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (4.0 mL, 33 mmol). This was stirred for 30 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 408 mg of a yellow oil. Chromatography of the oil gave 12 mg of recovered tetrahydrocarvone, 50$^{18}$ (14 mg, 3%) and the more polar isomer 49 (254 mg, 52%) as a yellow oil: IR: 1759 (shoulder) and 1719 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: $\delta$ 3.01 - 2.74 (2H, m), 2.66 - 2.49 (2H, m), 2.07 - 1.74 (3H, m), 1.70 - 1.00 (6H, m), 0.91
(3H, d, J = 5.0), 0.874 (3H, d, J = 6.7), 0.869 (3H, d, J = 6.8). 13C nmr:
δ 214.6 (0), 214.1 (0), 60.8 (0), 36.6 (1), 34.7 (2), 34.3 (2), 32.7 (1), 31.7
(1), 27.6 (2), 25.2 (2), 22.1 (2), 19.7 (3), 19.4 (3), 14.6 (3). MS: 222 (21,
M+), 207 (8), 179 (12), 151 (13), 138 (59), 126 (59), 125 (100), 112 (37),
111 (24), 98 (46), 95 (25), 55 (54), 43 (37), 41 (87). Exact mass calcd.
for C14H20O2: 222.1618, found 222.1604.

2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (51). To a solution of
acetone (165 mg, 2.84 mmol) and BF3·Et2O (0.35 mL, 2.9 mmol) in
CH2Cl2 (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (1.1 mL, 4.2 mmol). The reaction
mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and H2O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added
followed 10 min later by BF3·Et2O (5.1 mL, 42 mmol), which provoked a
vigorous exothermic reaction. The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and work­
up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) which afforded 51 (299 mg,
84%) as a pale yellow solid, mp 36.5 - 38°C. IR: 1725 cm⁻¹. 1H nmr: δ
2.81 (4H, s), 1.15 (6H, s). 13C nmr: δ 216.3 (2C, 0), 52.6 (0), 34.5 (2C,
2), 20.2 (2C, 3). MS: 126 (54, M+), 111 (19), 83 (18), 70 (100), 56 (23),
55 (21), 42 (83). Exact mass calcd. for C7H10O2: 126.0680, found
126.0678.

2-Methyl-2-(3-methylbutyl)-1,3-cyclopentanedione (52). A
solution of 6-methylheptanone (303 mg, 2.37 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (35 mL)
was cooled to -78°C, and BF3·Et2O (4.5 mL, 37 mmol) was added
followed by a solution of 1 (1.6 mL, 6.1 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (6.0 mL) over
25 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt, and stirring was maintained for 3 days. Work-up provided a dark, viscous oil, from which chromatography afforded 52 (300 mg, 65%) as an oil: IR: 1764 (shoulder) and 1724 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.76 (4H, apparent narrow d, J = 0.6), 1.58 (2H, m), 1.49 (1H, m), 1.22 - 1.03 (4H, m), 1.09 (3H, s), 0.82 (6H, d, J = 6.6). ¹³C nmr: δ 216.3 (2C, 0), 56.4 (0), 38.7 (2), 35.7 (2), 34.9 (2C, 2), 27.2 (1), 22.1 (2C, 3), 22.0 (2), 18.5 (3). MS: 196 (1.4, M⁺), 181 (1), 153 (4), 125 (100), 113 (30), 112 (60), 97 (24), 82 (17), 69 (27), 41 (61). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₂H₂₀O₂: 196.1462, found 196.1454.

2,2-Diethyl-1,3-cyclopentanone (53). To a solution of 3-pentanone (243 mg, 2.83 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.40 mL, 3.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (1.1 mL, 4.2 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3.7 h at rt, and H₂O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (5.2 mL, 42 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) yielded 53 (204 mg, 47%) as an oil that crystallized during storage: mp 62 - 63.5°C. IR: 1720 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.74 (4H, s), 1.68 (4H, q, J = 7.5), 0.77 (6H, t, J = 7.5). ¹³C nmr: δ 217.4 (2C, 0), 62.0 (0), 36.2 (2C, 2), 27.7 (2C, 3). MS (from GCMS): 154 (82, M⁺), 139 (100), 126 (27), 125 (91), 111 (24), 97 (33), 83 (48), 69 (20), 55 (59). Exact mass calcd. for C₉H₁₄O₂: 154.0993, found 154.0983.

7-Methylispiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (54). To a solution of 3-
methylcyclohexanone (205 mg, 1.83 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.25 mL, 2.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.75 mL, 2.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt, and H₂O (approx. 0.3 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (3.3 mL, 27 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) yielded 54 (306 mg, 93%) as a colorless solid: mp 68 - 70.5°C. IR: 1714 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 2.76 (4H, m), 1.94 (1H, m), 1.90 - 1.53 (5H, m), 1.41 (1H, ddd, J = 3.9, 12.8, 13.4), 1.13 (1H, dd, J = 12.7, 13.1), 0.89 (1H, m), 0.87 (3H, d, J = 6.6). ¹³C nmr: δ 215.9 (0), 215.5 (0), 56.7 (0), 36.8 (2), 34.3 (2), 34.2 (2), 33.6 (2), 29.0 (2), 26.2 (1), 22.2 (3), 20.6 (2). MS: 180 (55, M⁺), 165 (6), 151 (5), 125 (21), 124 (49), 112 (100), 111 (25), 95 (37), 81 (43), 69 (28), 67 (38), 55 (69), 41 (51). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₁H₁₈O₂: 180.1149, found 180.1162.

2,2-Bis(4-pentenyl)-1,3-cyclopentanedione (55). To a solution of 1,10-undecadien-6-one (1.75 g, 10.6 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (1.3 mL, 11 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (90 mL) was added 1 (4.2 mL, 16 mmol) at rt, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 11 h. H₂O (approx. 1.3 mL) was added followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (20 mL, 165 mmol). This was stirred for 3.5 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 2.15 g of a brown oil, which GCMS analysis showed was 90% 55, some unrearranged material, and less than 5% starting ketone. A colorless sample was obtained by chromatography: IR: 1722 and 1541 cm⁻¹. ¹H
nmr: δ 5.69 (2H, m), 4.95 (4H, m), 2.71 (4H, s), 1.96 (4H, apparent q, J = 7.0), 1.62 (4H, m). 13C nmr: δ 217.3 (2C, 0), 137.3 (2C, 1), 115.1 (2C, 2), 60.8 (0), 36.1 (2C, 2), 34.6 (2C, 2), 33.7 (2C, 2), 23.7 (2C, 2). MS: no M', 205 (2), 167 (52), 166 (33), 141 (26), 125 (25), 124 (17), 112 (44), 111 (35), 99 (21), 81 (38), 79 (26), 68 (27), 67 (54), 55 (69), 53 (26), 41 (100).

Reaction of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one with 1. Attempt to prepare 56. To a solution of 6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one (198.5 mg, 1.58 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) was added BF₃·Et₂O (0.2 mL, 1.6 mmol) and 1 (0.6 mL, 2.4 mmol). The reaction was stirred 1.5 h prior to the addition of H₂O (0.3 mL). After further stirring 10 min, BF₃·Et₂O (2.9 mL, 24.0 mmol) was added and then the reaction solution was stirred overnight. Work-up followed charcoal/Florisil purification provided a brown oil, (71.5 mg, 23% yield if pure). GCMS very complex with the major signals all containing a mass fragment corresponding to 56. In addition many higher mass fragments were present. A small portion of this material was heated with TFA under reflux to give a black tar upon work-up, but unfortunately, GCMS analysis indicated no new signals. Chromatography (2% EtOAc/hexanes) of the remaining material, gave a small sample, a yellow oil whose nmr did not suggest 56 nor any further cyclized material as reported by Curran.¹⁵

7-Methylspiro[4.4]non-6-ene-1,4-dione (57). To a solution of 3-
methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (222 mg, 2.31 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) was added 1 (0.95 mL, 3.6 mmol) followed by BF₃·Et₂O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. H₂O (approx. 0.4 mL) was added followed by BF₃·Et₂O (4.2 mL, 34 mmol), and this was stirred for 1 h. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) gave 210 mg of a viscous oil for which GCMS analysis indicated a mixture of double bond isomers, including the major peak (41%), with this MS: 164 (100, M⁺ required for C₁₅H₁₂O₂, 149 (14), 136 (29), 121 (20), 108 (83), 80 (82), 79 (94), 77 (34). The ¹H nmr spectrum of the mixture showed olefinic multiplets at δ 5.95, 5.18, and 5.01 in a ratio of 4:1:2.5, respectively.

Spiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-1,4-dione (58). A reaction of 2-cyclohexen-1-one (251 mg, 2.61 mmol) under conditions very similar to those for 36 and subsequent chromatography ultimately provided only 9.3 mg (approx. 2%) of a yellow oil, which GCMS suggested contained a mixture of 58 and its double bond isomer (3:1, respectively). For 58: MS: 164 (96, M⁺), 136 (13), 135 (10), 122 (12), 108 (58), 80 (57), 79 (100), 77 (37).

8,8-Dimethylspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene-1,4-dione (59). To a solution of 4,4-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (214 mg, 1.73 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.20 mL, 1.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.70 mL, 2.7 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at rt prior to the addition of H₂O (approx. 0.2 mL) followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (3.2 mL, 26
mmol). The mixture was stirred for 70 min. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) afforded 59 (237 mg, 72%) as pale yellow crystals: mp 78.5 - 80°C. IR: 1755 (shoulder) and 1716 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 5.88 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 5.11 (1H, d, J = 9.9), 2.85 (4H, m), 1.79 (2H, m), 1.60 (2H, m), 1.04 (6H, s). ¹³C nmr: δ 213.3 (2C, 0), 143.3 (1), 117.2 (1), 60.0 (0), 34.6 (2C, 2), 31.7 (2), 31.1 (0), 28.9 (2C, 3), 25.6 (2). MS: 192 (48, M⁺), 177 (100), 149 (18), 131 (20), 121 (43), 107 (17), 93 (34), 91 (30), 77 (29). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₂H₁₂O₂: 192.1149, found 192.1141.

2-Benzyl-2-methyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (60). To a solution of 1-phenyl-2-propanone (260 mg, 1.94 mmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.80 mL, 2.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.3 h at rt prior to the addition of H₂O (approx. 0.4 mL) followed 10 min later by BF₃·Et₂O (3.6 mL, 29 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) afforded 317 mg of a pale brown oil from which chromatography yielded 60 (300 mg, 51%) as waxy yellow crystals: mp 42 - 43°C. IR: 1724 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 7.21 (3H, m), 7.03 (2H, m), 2.95 (2H, s), 2.55 (2H, m), 2.05 (2H, m), 1.19 (3H, s). ¹³C nmr: δ 217.2 (2C, 0), 135.8 (0), 129.4 (2C, 1), 128.4 (2C, 1), 127.0 (1), 58.1 (0), 42.8 (2), 35.6 (2C, 2), 19.8 (3). MS: 202 (33, M⁺), 187 (10), 159 (18), 145 (11), 117 (18), 91 (100). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₃H₁₄O₂: 202.0993, found 202.0989.
2',3'-Dihydropyro[cyclopentane-1,2'-[1H]indene]-2,5-dione (61). To a solution of 2-indanone (259 mg, 1.96 mmol) and BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.80 mL, 2.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at rt prior to the addition of H$_2$O (approx. 0.4 mL) followed 10 min later by BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (3.6 mL, 29 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 61 (258 mg, 66%) as a beige solid: mp 112 - 114°C. IR: 1721 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: δ 7.17 (4H, br s), 3.22 (4H, 5), 2.84 (4H, 5). $^{13}$C nmr: δ 213.7 (2C, 0), 139.2 (2C, 0), 127.1 (2C, 1), 124.2 (2C, 1), 62.0 (0), 40.0 (2C, 2), 34.7 (2C, 2). MS: 200 (56, M'), 172 (100), 158 (53), 143 (42), 128 (47), 116 (74), 115 (85), 58 (59).

Exact mass calcd. for C$_{19}$H$_{12}$O$_2$: 200.0837, found 200.0858.

1',2',3',4'-Tetrahydropyro[cyclopentane-1,1'-naphthalene]-2,5-dione (62). To a solution of 1-tetralone (296 mg, 2.03 mmol) and BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (0.30 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (9.0 mL) at rt was added 1 (0.80 mL, 2.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2.2 h at rt prior to the addition of H$_2$O (approx. 0.4 mL) followed 10 min later by BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (3.7 mL, 31 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight. Work-up followed by purification (charcoal/Florisil) provided 312 mg of a pale brown solid that GCMS analysis showed was a 1:3.3 mixture of 1-tetralone and 62. A colorless, analytical sample was obtained by chromatography: mp 102.5 - 104°C. IR: 1719 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: δ 7.21 - 7.03 (3H, m), 6.53 (1H, d, J =
7.6), 2.91 (4H, complex m), 2.82 (2H, narrow m), 1.92 (4H, narrow m).

$^{13}$C nmr: $\delta$ 214.7 (2C, 0), 138.4 (0), 131.7 (0), 129.6 (1), 128.3 (1), 127.4 (1), 126.2 (1), 62.3 (0), 35.1 (2C, 2), 31.4 (2), 28.6 (2), 17.8 (2). MS: 214 (100, M+), 186 (16), 158 (43), 130 (64), 129 (73), 128 (40), 115 (37).

Exact mass calcd. for C$_{14}$H$_{14}$O$_2$: 214.0993, found 214.0995.
Chapter 2

STUDIES TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF FREDERICAMYCIN A

I. INTRODUCTION

Fredericamycin A, isolated from strains of *Streptomyces griseus* in 1981, is an antitumor and antibiotic compound which, unlike other members of this class, has a unique L-shape structure owing to the spiro ring system. As a result of its promising anticancer activity, there have been numerous model studies and four successful total syntheses. While the first total synthesis of fredericamycin A was reported by Kelly and workers in 1986, it was 1992 before the second synthesis was completed. In 1993, two additional total syntheses have been reported.
A common strategy for the synthesis of fredericamycin A was to establish the spiro center by the condensation of a lower DEF ring synthon with an upper ABC synthon. Indeed, the initial synthesis by Kelly and workers\textsuperscript{20,31} provided (±)-fredericamycin A (in less than 1% overall yield) with the key step being the base induced cyclization of the lower DEF synthon 63 with the upper ABC synthon 64 (Scheme 26).
Both Clive\textsuperscript{32} and Rao\textsuperscript{33} established the key spiro center using radical cyclization. Clive and coworkers\textsuperscript{21,32} prepared the pentacyclic alcohol 67 (68\%) by the condensation of aldehyde 65 with the carbanion derived from bromide 66 (Scheme 27) and subsequent functional group manipulation led to the radical precursor 68. 5-exo-Digonal closure using triphenyltin hydride gave the spirocyclic compound 69 in 50\% yield as a single isomer (Scheme 28).

\textbf{Scheme 28}

\begin{center}
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\end{center}

Rao and coworkers\textsuperscript{22,33} gained access to the key spiro center using a 5-endo-trigonal radical cyclization. Aldol condensation of aldehyde 70 with the ABC synthon 71 in the presence of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) furnished adduct 72 (54\%) (Scheme 29). Sodium methoxide-mediated rearrangement of 72 (58\%) followed by introduction of a halogen using copper(II) bromide and manganese(III) acetate in acetic acid gave the radical precursor 73 (Scheme 30). Radical
Scheme 29

cyclization followed by dehalogenation yielded the hexamethyl ether of fredericamycin A in 55% yield.

Scheme 30

While our work was in progress, Julia and coworkers published the total synthesis of fredericamycin A using a very similar approach to ours. The entire lower portion of fredericamycin A including the spiro center was prepared using the "ketal conditions" developed in our laboratories with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene 1. Spiroannulation of ketal 74 with 1 afforded spiro diketone 75 in 33% yield.
Subsequent bromination and dehydrobromination provided the key enone 76. Condensation of the AB synthon, lactone 77 (see earlier model studies by both Parker and Bach for similar AB ring construction), with enedione 76 under basic conditions (LDA then NaH) gave access to the complete carbon skeleton with all the oxygen functionalities of fredericamycin A (Scheme 32).
In addition to these total syntheses there have been numerous model studies and partial syntheses. Parker and coworkers$^6,24$ concentrated their efforts on the preparation of the trialkoxyphthalic acid derivative \( \text{78} \), which was to serve as the ABC ring precursor (Scheme 33). Subsequent transformation to the analogous lactone was intended to lead to the ABCDEF rings using an isobenzofuran approach developed previously (\( \text{79 to 80} \))$^{24a}$ (Scheme 34) in conjugation with the DEF synthon \( \text{81} \) (Scheme 35)$^{24d}$.
Scheme 35

An extension to Parker's isobenzofuran approach was adopted by Bach and coworkers,\textsuperscript{25} who reported a Diels-Alder cyclization between the isobenzofuran 82 (generated \textit{in situ}) with enone 83 (Scheme 36).

Scheme 36

Boger and Jacobsen\textsuperscript{25} furnished the ABCDE rings using an alkyne-chromium carbene complex benzannulation reaction followed by
Scheme 37

aldol cyclization. Alkyne 84 (Scheme 37), prepared from 4-chromanone in six steps in 36% overall yield, was coupled with the chromium carbene 85 (Scheme 38), generated from vanillin in 43% overall yield (chromium carbene being established by metallation), to afford the key tetracyclic compound 86 in a single step in 48% yield (Scheme 39). Cleavage of the silyl ethers, Swern oxidation of the alcohols, and aldol closure established the ABCDE rings.

Scheme 38
Terashima accessed the ABCD rings using an intramolecular Diels-Alder cyclization. Dienyne 87 furnished adduct 88 (Scheme 40) in a high yield since the configuration of the carbon-carbon single bond of the diene portion was fixed (only $s$-cis dienes will partake in the Diels-Alder reaction). The result demonstrated that this method may be used with an optically active dieneyne derivative to enable an optically active synthesis of fredericamycin A.

Scheme 40

Kende and Ebetino approached the spiro center by a phenoxy-
enoxy radical cyclization. Treatment of β-diketone 89, prepared from 3,5-dimethylphenol in 18% overall yield, with ferricyanide provided a mixture of 90 (67%) and the desired tetracyclic compound 91 (8%) (Scheme 40). The authors reported that the yield increased with the introduction of an iodine in the 4-position of the aromatic starting material. The iodine analogue of 91, however, was recovered in less than 50% yield.

Scheme 41

A novel, two-step photochemical approach toward fredericamycin A was reported by Mehta and Subrahmanyam. Enone 92, prepared by the condensation of an indenyl anion with 2-methylbenzoyl chloride, provided the spirocyclic compound 93 (Scheme 42). The mechanism presumably involved a 1,6-hydrogen abstraction from the methyl substituent followed by spirocyclization. Unfortunately, introduction of the second carbonyl in the C ring was found to be a multistep task.

Model studies by Braun and Veith (Scheme 43) concentrated on an intramolecular Friedel-Crafts cyclization. The key thioacetal substrate
Scheme 42

94 was derived from phthalic anhydride in several steps and provided the desired tetracyclic compounds 95a and 95b upon treatment with aluminum trichloride or silver perchlorate.

Scheme 43

95a R = H (16%)  
95b R = OMe (6%)
II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our synthetic studies towards Fredericamycin A focused on the preparation of the molecule 96, which addressed the ABCDE rings. The spiro center, which had proved troublesome in other approaches, would be established by geminal acylation of 1-indanone with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1). Indeed, the preparation of 43 (Scheme 44) was described in the previous chapter.

Scheme 44

Our initial attempts to extend this methodology was to employ a
much more elaborate cyclobutene reagent. Thus, we hoped to not only incorporate the C ring but also the A and B rings during the geminal acylation step. For such a transformation to be successful, a fused tricyclic cyclobutene would be required such that its rings were either aromatic at the onset or had functionality in place to allow aromatization in a later step. Noting the high degree of oxygen substitution on both the A and B rings it seemed beneficial to have an aromatic cyclobutene derivative such as 97 (Scheme 45), in which not only were the rings aromatic, but they also possessed the required oxygen substituents. The conjugated aromatic cyclobutene derivative 97 was expected to be of much higher energy than 1, as all four carbons of the cyclobutene moiety would be $sp^2$. Furthermore, the diester required to generate such a cyclobutene analogue, 98, would be more rigid than an aliphatic diester, and this might discourage the acyloin coupling. However, if the cyclobutene 97 could be prepared, even as a short-lived species, and
the subsequent geminal acylation were successful, the entire ABCD ring system with the oxygen substituents, in addition to a nonfunctionalized E ring, could be realized in a single synthetic transformation (Scheme 46).

Scheme 46

Model studies utilized the nonsubstituted phthalate 99 and it was subjected to conditions used for the preparation of 1 (Scheme 47). All the fractions obtained during vacuum distillation of the product boiled at temperatures greater than 100°C / 2 mm Hg and were highly colored. The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum of the fractions all contained resonances for the starting diester 99, and while some spectra included minor signals assigned to TMS and aromatic resonances, there were also alkane resonances. These resonances suggested that reduction and/or polymerization of the starting material and/or product may have occurred as both the starting material and solvent were aromatic. In an attempt to avoid these processes, the reaction was repeated under milder
conditions as reported by Ruhlmann\textsuperscript{35a} such that the sodium/TMSCl/toluene solution was cooled to room temperature prior to the addition of the diester. As an additional precaution, vacuum distillation of the product was not attempted. Removal of the solvent by simple distillation under a nitrogen atmosphere left a highly colored liquid, which, from nmr analysis, was found to be mainly the starting diester 99.

It was possible that the cyclobutene species was generated but in such a small quantity that its nmr signals were masked by the residual starting diester, or that the cyclobutene species was of such high reactivity that it was consumed in polymerization and/or decomposition pathways. We reasoned that while chromatography would not directly provide the proposed cyclobutene (stability of cyclobutenes on silica is poor), if the proposed cyclobutene could be reacted with a carbonyl moiety, the subsequent geminally acylated product could be isolated from residual starting diester 99. Isolation and characterization of such
an acylated product would not only prove the in situ generation of the
cyclobutene but would also give an indication of the efficiency of the
geminal acylation reaction. In practice, ketalized cyclohexanone was
added to the filtrate obtained from the acyloin sequence, followed by an
excess BF$_3$.Et$_2$O. Aqueous work-up provided a crude product whose nmr
spectrum was dominated by signals for the remaining diester.
Chromatography gave a 64% recovery of diester 99, but, more
importantly, there was a series of later fractions (crude yield 30%) that,

while impure, indicated the geminally acylated product 100.
Unfortunately, both the diester 99 and the putative geminally acylated
product 100 had similar $R_f$'s making separation difficult. As a result the
nmr spectra of the putative product 100 also included resonances for the
starting material. The $^1$H nmr spectrum included two aromatic
resonances at $\delta$ 7.5 and 7.8 ppm, two broad, ill-defined alkane
resonances between $\delta$ 1.0 - 1.3 ppm, in addition to four multiplets from $\delta$
1.7 to 2.0 ppm having approximately equal integration. Included in the
\(^{13}\)C nmr spectrum were a number of resonances that were similar to the resonances reported for the analogous carbons of spiro[4.5]nonane-1,4-dione (ppm) 31: \( \delta \) 100 (vs 31) 202.2 (215.0), 52.6 (55.6), 33.9 (39.1), 29.6 (29.0), 24.7 (24.7), 20.3 (20.3) ppm in addition to aromatic and ester type resonances. While this data does support an acylation product, repeating the experiment failed to provide the proposed acylated product 100 free from the starting diester. In all attempts the recovery of the diester was typically 60 - 77%, suggesting that if the acyloin sequence were successful, the subsequent geminal acylation would not afford the desired diketone in a synthetically acceptable yield. Faced with a low chemical conversion and no pure samples to determine actual yields, we turned to a sequential route for the addition of rings A, B, and C. A compound with ring C was dione 43 that was prepared in the methodological study (Chapter 1).

**Scheme 48**

Dehydrogenation of dione 43 with benzeneselenenic anhydride provided enedione 83 in 59% yield (Scheme 48). Its 'H nmr spectrum
included vinylic hydrogen resonances at δ 7.45 ppm. The structure of enedione 83 was similar to that of N-phenylmaleimide 101 and maleic anhydride 102, two very effective Diels-Alder dienophiles. It was this correlation that suggested the possibility of generating the A and
B rings by successive Diels-Alder cyclizations, as depicted in Scheme 49. However, the limitations of enone 83 as a dienophile with conventional dienes was quickly realized. Indeed, no evidence for any Diels-Alder adduct between 83 and 2-methoxyfuran could be obtained even under catalysis by ethylaluminum chloride. Even the more reactive Danishefsky's diene (trans-1-methoxy-3-(trimethylsilyloxy)-1,3-butadiene) (103) was very reluctant to cyclize. A solution of 83 and 103 (1.5 equivalents) even after being heated at reflux for 8 days, provided only 13% of adduct 104, which had aromatized by the loss of methanol during chromatography (Scheme 50). Its $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included carbonyl resonances at δ 203.0 and 201.4 ppm in addition to alkane resonances.

Scheme 50

![Scheme 50 Diagram](image)

at δ 68.5, 33.4, and 32.9 ppm. The $^1$H nmr spectrum included two distinctive one-proton aromatic resonances at δ 7.05 and 7.92 ppm. This was in contrast to the same sequence by Bach and coworkers$^{25c}$ in which 106 was obtained after chromatography (Scheme 51). These authors
reported that a mixture of diastereomers 105a and 105b (87%) was obtained after heating the reaction at reflux for six hours, but the trimethylsilyl group was lost upon chromatographic workup affording 106 (60%). One can speculate that the increase in reaction time from 6 h to 8 days was the major difference. It is important to note however, that we had observed very little consumption of the starting materials by TLC during the first hours of reaction.

Scheme 52
The apparent unreactivity of enone 83 with 2-methoxyfuran was a concern as our retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 49) hinged upon a cyclization involving a highly substituted furan diene. The substituted furan diene 110 derived from tetronic acid 108 (Scheme 52), was studied as it more closely resembled the required bicyclic furan diene 107. Furthermore, we hoped that the additional electron donating group would induce Diels-Alder reactivity. Before attempting the Diels-Alder cyclization with enone 83, the analogous sequence with the more reactive N-phenylmaleimide 101 was studied. Unfortunately, experimental efforts to trap diene 110, generated from the treatment of enone 109 with Scheme 53

LDA and TMSCl, with 101 failed (Scheme 53). Despite a low recovery of starting materials, no evidence for a Diels-Alder product could be found. The result suggested that the less reactive dienophile 83 would not undergo a Diels-Alder cyclization under these conditions.
Xylylene derivatives are much more reactive in Diels-Alder reactions owing to concomitant restoration of aromaticity. Such derivatives have been used successfully in the synthesis of a number of polycyclic ring systems.\(^{36}\) Bromination of ortho-xylene\(^ {37}\) allowed access to the nonfunctionalized xylylene precursor, compound 111, in 60 - 70\% yield (Scheme 54). Subsequent treatment of 111 with sodium iodide in a refluxing dimethylformamide (DMF) solution\(^ {38}\) allowed the in situ generation of diene 112, which could be trapped in the presence of enedione 83 to give pentacyclic compound 113 (Scheme 55). Neither
chromatography nor crystallization provided pure 113, rather a mixture of enone 83 and the desired adduct 113 was obtained. In an attempt to obtain 113 free of 83, the sequence was repeated using three equivalents of tetrabrominated 111, which allowed the isolation of adduct 113 in 47% yield. The IR spectrum for 113 included two carbonyl resonances at 1734 and 1705 cm$^{-1}$. Included in the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum was the carbonyl resonance at $\delta$ 201.6 ppm and the spiro carbon at $\delta$ 68.5 ppm. The $^1$H nmr spectrum included aromatic resonances with the singlet at $\delta$ 8.60 ppm being most distinctive. The transformation was not optimized, but a similar reaction by Bach and workers found activated zinc to be superior to Nal.$^{25c}$ It was important first to functionalize the brominated precursor to allow incorporation of some oxygen substituents.

Scheme 56

\[ \text{MeO} \begin{array}{c} \text{CHBr}_2 \text{CHBr}_2 \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \text{CHBr}_2 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{CHBr}_2 \end{array} \text{Nal} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \text{O} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{O} \end{array} \text{MeO} \text{CHBr}_2 \text{CHBr}_2 \text{CHBr}_2 \]
The methoxy was introduced using 3,4-dimethylanisole instead of ortho-xylene as the starting material. Tetrabrominated 114, prepared in the same manner as that reported from ortho-xylene, provided adduct 115 (Scheme 56), albeit in a lower yield (14%). Again, the sequence was not optimized. Unlike the unfunctionalized adduct 113, however, the material obtained by chromatography was only partially soluble in CDCl₃. The soluble material contained 115. The insoluble white powder that remained was readily dissolved in CD₃OD, and it showed no resonances assigned to 115. The adduct 115 obtained in this way was of reasonable purity, contaminated by only trace amounts of the CD₃OD-soluble material. The ¹H nmr spectrum of 115 included aromatic resonances at δ 8.48, 8.02, and 6.82 in addition to the methoxy resonance at δ 4.00 ppm. The ¹³C nmr spectrum included carbonyl resonances at δ 201.9 and 201.4 ppm, and the aromatic carbon bearing the methoxy substituent appeared at δ 160.5 ppm. Derivatizing such a methoxy compound would allow incorporation of what would become the quinone oxygens in addition to the methoxy substituent of the A ring. A similar scheme was previously reported,²⁵ but it did not address the B ring oxygens. Work by other groups²⁴,²⁵,²⁹ has demonstrated the reluctance of an unfunctionalized B ring to be oxidized. Therefore, it was very important to have oxygen functionality established in what would become both the A ring (OMe and phenolic) and the B ring (quinone).
While the required oxygens of the A ring may be derived from a highly substituted anisole derivative, the oxygens of the B ring would require the sites of bromination to be primary alcohol derivatives.

(Scheme 57). A target such as 116 would be necessary but its sensitivity towards bromination and subsequent xylylene generation is unknown. As shown in Scheme 58, it was hoped that the trisubstituted aromatic 119 could be prepared to serve as a model compound. Diels-Alder reaction of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate with Danishefsky's diene 103 provided the aromatic diester 117 in 58% yield. The adduct
had aromatized by the loss of methanol, just as we had found with the sequence involving enedione \( \text{83} \). The \(^1\text{H nmr} \) spectrum of the product included the phenolic resonance at \( \delta 8.06 \) ppm in addition to aromatic resonances at \( \delta 7.73, 7.01 \) and \( 6.93 \) ppm. Protection of \( \text{117} \) using dimethyl sulfate proceeded smoothly to afford \( \text{118} \), but in a low yield (39\%). We suspected that ester hydrolysis may have been a competing reaction to give the polar dicarboxylic acid, which would not have been eluted during chromatography. Unfortunately, ester reduction was not straightforward using \( \text{LiAlH}_4 \) as the material isolated after chromatography had a complex \( \text{nmr} \) spectrum. Under the assumption
that at least some material was reduced to the alcohol, the product was brominated. The compound itself was to serve only as a model study, so no attempts were made to isolate and characterize the protected benzyl alcohol derivative or the brominated analogue 119. Subsequent trapping of the xylylene with N-phenylmaleimide 101 was not successful.

It was uncertain whether this was because the tetrabrominated species had not been prepared or that the xylylene had not been generated. Later work involving the reduction of another aromatic diester 136 was only accomplished using DIBAL at \(-78^\circ\text{C}\). All products recovered from attempts to reduce the diester with LiAlH\(_4\) were found to be complex, which suggested that the former reason was correct.

**Scheme 59**

Bromination of 2-‘-hydroxymethyl)phenol 120 (Scheme 59), under conditions that had proven successful in brominating xylene and the derivative with the methoxy substituent, provided a crude product which from the nmr spectra suggested a mixture of the monobromo product
121 (the nmr spectrum obtained on the crude product included the following resonances: in the $^1$H nmr spectrum a singlet at $\delta$ 4.50 ppm, while the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included a benzyl resonance at $\delta$ 27.1 ppm), the further brominated derivatives such as 122 (the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included an additional alkane resonance at $\delta$ 32.8 ppm whereas the aromatic region suggested a mixture of at least three different compounds) and the oxidized derivative 123 (the $^1$H nmr spectrum of the crude product also included an aldehyde resonance at $\delta$ 9.80 ppm and a carbonyl resonance at $\delta$ 194.9 ppm was observed in the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum). One possible explanation for the formation of aldehyde 123 is through the bromo compound 124 as illustrated in Scheme 60. While it is still uncertain as to whether a brominated protected benzyl alcohol can be prepared, we redirected our attention to base-induced cyclizations.

**Scheme 60**

![Scheme 60](image)

To a solution of 43 and LDA was added diacid chloride 125, obtained from the treatment of phthalic anhydride with phosphorus pentachloride ($\text{PCl}_5$), the product obtained after an additional equivalent
of LDA was added, gave very little indication of any reaction as the starting materials were not consumed (Scheme 61).

**Scheme 61**

\[
\text{43} + \text{125} \xrightarrow{1.12 \text{ eq LDA}} \text{126} \\
\text{1.4-Nucleophilic additions to enedione 83 were more successful. Subsequent 1,2-addition would give the desired carbocycle as illustrated in Scheme 62. A suitable nucleophile would be the anion obtained by the deprotonation of bicyclic lactone 126. Deprotonation at the benzylic position would result in two potential reaction modes, via the two resonance structures 127 and 128 (Scheme 63). The former should react as a nucleophile, whereas the latter might react by a Diels-Alder}
\]
cyclization. Both would result in the formation of the same product.

Scheme 63

![Chemical Structure](attachment:image.png)

Lactone 126 was obtained from the pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC) oxidation of the crude DIBAL product (lactol 129 and diol 130) (Scheme 64), the yield was unexpectedly low, 60% from the starting diester using normal DIBAL conditions. The yield increased to approximately 75% by gently heating the resulting gel after the DIBAL solution was quenched and washing it with a large volume of dichloromethane (300 mL). Spectral characteristics of lactone 126 included the carbonyl resonance in the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum at δ 171.1 in
addition to the benzylic carbon at δ 69.6 ppm. The benzylic hydrogen resonance in the \(^1\)H nmr spectrum was observed at δ 5.33 ppm.

Scheme 65

As discussed above, deprotonation of 126 can provide two canonical forms of the same anion, 127 and 128. If the latter were dominant, one would expect that during the reduction of diester 99, which was likely to proceed through an intermediate such as 131, a Diels-Alder cyclization might occur if a dienophile were added. Some ambiguous evidence for adduct 132 was found upon addition of N-phenylmaleimide.
101 to a solution of DIBAL and diester 99 (Scheme 65).

Chromatography, not unexpectedly, established the major component to be the diol 130 (the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included a benzyl resonance at $\delta$ 63.4 ppm), but in addition it yielded a small amount (4%) of adduct 132. Its $^1$H nmr spectrum included a multiplet at $\delta$ 4.5 ppm, a double doublet at $\delta$ 3.4 ppm, a double doublet at $\delta$ 2.8 ppm, and two distinct aromatic signals at $\delta$ 7.5 ppm and 7.3 ppm. However, reproducibility was a problem as subsequent experiments yielded only diester 99, lactone 126 and diol 130.

Scheme 66

![Scheme 66](image)

Deprotonation of lactone 126 and trapping with chlorotrimethyl-
silane gave overwhelmingly 133, not the O-alkylated product 134 (Scheme 66). Included in the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum of 133 were resonances at $\delta$ 170.9, 77.9, and -4.4 ppm. This result suggested that nucleophilic addition rather than Diels-Alder cyclization was the better reaction path. It is possible that the isobenzofuran 134 was generated in situ, but, being extremely reactive, it was destroyed on workup as the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum of the product also included resonances for the starting lactone 126. The intensity of these resonances (lactone 126) were approximately half compare with those attributed to 133.

Deprotonation of lactone 126 with LDA followed by the addition of N-phenylmaleimide 101 afforded a highly colored solution. Chromatography gave a fraction that contained a crystalline colorless solid (16%), which was insoluble in many deuterated solvents (CDCl$_3$, CD$_3$OD, C$_2$D$_6$O, C$_6$D$_6$), but it had a limited solubility in $d_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide. A second fraction (17%) was less pure, but contained mainly the same compound. The nmr spectra were consistent with an aromatic product. The adduct was assigned structure 135, in which one of the

![Structure 135](image_url)
oxygen of 126 was lost to generate a second aromatic ring. Its $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included a carbonyl resonance at $\delta$ 166.3 ppm in addition to a phenolic resonance at $\delta$ 152.5 ppm. The $^1$H nmr spectrum included multiplets at $\delta$ 8.42 and 8.15 ppm, both integrating to two protons, in addition to a singlet at $\delta$ 8.02 ppm. Recrystallization from dimethyl sulfoxide provided crystals suitable for x-ray analysis, which confirmed structure 135 (Figure 8). Because the reaction was to serve only as a model the reaction was not further studied.

Figure 8. X-ray Crystal Structure for 135.

If one oxygen could be incorporated in each of the A and B rings (in addition to the required methoxy substituent), subsequent oxidation to the desired phenolic and quinone systems should be feasible. Therefore, our efforts concentrated on the preparation of lactone 137 despite the
fact that under the reaction conditions one oxygen of the B ring was lost. Bach\textsuperscript{24c} had reported that chromium trioxide in 80% acetic acid solution failed to oxidize the 4- and 9-positions of the B ring in a compound similar to 115. They attributed the reluctance of the aromatic ring to undergo oxidation to deactivation by adjacent cyclopentane ring carbonyls. Parker and coworkers\textsuperscript{23} reported the preparation of a triply oxygenated aromatic diester in a related study.

**Scheme 67**

As determined from model studies with phthalide, a suitable precursor for the lactone appeared to be the substituted aromatic diester 136. The preparation of 136 by Diels-Alder sequences was studied. It
was hoped that the Diels-Alder adduct from Danishefsky’s diene and furanone could be oxidized to 136. However, no adduct was detected even after 6 days at room temperature (Scheme 67). Furthermore, heating this reaction solution at reflux overnight was also fruitless as only the starting furanone (35%) was recovered. Our earlier investigation showed that cyclization involving Danishefsky's diene and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate did afford an aromatic diester in which one oxygen was lost to gain aromaticity. If another substituent could be lost instead of the desired oxygen the idea could be of synthetic use.

Protection of the enol of dinedone as the methyl ether was accomplished using Amberlyst 15 and methanol which afforded 138 in excellent yield (Scheme 68). The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included the methoxy resonance at $\delta$ 55.1 ppm while the $^1$H nmr spectrum included the vinylic hydrogen resonances at $\delta$ 5.36 ppm in addition to the methoxy singlet at $\delta$ 3.71 ppm.

**Scheme 68**

[Diagram showing the reaction sequence]

Deprotonation and subsequent trapping of the enolate with TMSCl.
gave the desired oxygen-alkylated diene 139 (Scheme 68) in greater than 80% yield. The regiochemical purity was easily evident from nmr analysis. The $^1$H nmr spectrum showed only two vinylic hydrogen resonances at δ 4.72 and 4.37 ppm, and the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum contained nine signals including the trimethylsilyloxy resonance at δ 0.15 ppm.

Scheme 69

Diels-Alder cyclization between diene 139 and dimethyl acetylene-dicarboxylate slowly afforded the desired aromatic diester 140 (Scheme 69) that was not purified for fear of cleaving the trimethylsilyloxy group during chromatography.

DIBAL reduction of 140 followed by PCC oxidation gave less than 1% of the desired lactone 141$^1$ (Scheme 70). (Its $^1$H nmr spectrum included aromatic resonances at δ 6.90 and 6.62 ppm in addition to the

1 The structure of 141 was assigned from comparison with lactones 143 and 144. Included in the $^1$H nmr spectra of 141 was a methoxy resonance at δ 3.88 ppm which was very similar for that in 143 which had a shift at δ 3.89 ppm.
trimethylsilyloxy resonance at δ 0.31 ppm.) The major product was the desilylated lactone. Therefore, diester 140 was converted into the dimethoxy derivative 142 by cleaving the silyl ether with TBAF followed by reprotction as the methyl ether using iodomethane (Scheme 71). DIBAL reduction of 142 followed by PCC oxidation gave lactones 143 (60%) and 144 (less than 10%) (Scheme 72). The regiochemistry of 143 and 144 was assigned based upon the NOE (Nuclear Overhauser Effect) enhancement of the H-3 singlet by 4% upon irradiation of H-4 for 143. Furthermore, lactone 143 showed two distinct methoxy resonances in its $^1$H nmr spectrum, at δ 3.95 and 3.89 ppm, whereas the $^1$H nmr spectrum
Scheme 72

for the minor lactone 144 gave a single methoxy signal at $\delta$ 3.88 ppm.

With the functionalized lactone 143 in hand, condensations with enone 83 were attempted in the hope of preparing the tetracyclic compound 145 (Scheme 73).

Scheme 73

A solution of the lactone anion, generated with LDA, and enedione 83 was stirred overnight, but chromatography led only to the recovery of
the starting materials, 83 (17%) and 143 (79%). (Under the same conditions the analogous silylated lactone 141 was also unsuccessful, as chromatography provided recovered lactone 141 (31%) and 83 (100%), as was the regioisomer, lactone 144).

Experiments in which the lactone 143, not the enedione 83, was the limiting reagent, and using many equivalents of LDA, resulted in consumption of the starting materials but failed to effect the desired transformation. Repeating the sequence but with only two equivalents of LDA yielded a crude product that from nmr analysis showed new resonances in the aromatic region. Chromatography and crystallization gave a product whose structure we could not assign from the nmr data. The $^1$H nmr spectrum suggested that it was composed of 83 and lactone 143 in a 2:1 ratio, but, unfortunately, the crystals were not suitable for x-ray analysis. We suspected the product might have been derived from the desired tetracyclic compound by additional deprotonation and incorporation of the second enedione molecule. When the sequence was repeated using 1.2 eq LDA we recovered only starting materials: enedione (48%) and lactone 143 (95%). While to date the conditions required to generate the direct precursor for the synthetic target have not been found, it is believed that with further experimentation, especially varying the concentration of the base or the base itself, the desired cyclized material can be prepared. In related work by both Parker$^{24}$ and
Bach\textsuperscript{25} 

one equivalent of tert-butyllithium was successful for the reaction utilizing a derivatized lactone. Both of these routes involved isobenzofuran intermediates.

**Figure 9. Target Molecule for Fredericamycin A Synthesis.**

One crucial difference between our synthetic target 96 and freudericamycin A (Figure 9) is that the target compound did not contain an oxygen substituent in the E ring. This functionality in addition to the remainder of freudericamycin A might be attained by starting from ketone 146, prepared by Clive and coworkers,\textsuperscript{32} instead of 1-indanone.
During the development of the geminal acylation of ketones with 1 (Chapter 1 of this manuscript), we did not evaluate substrates bearing a β-oxygen substituent. For a δ-substituent there was little effect on the overall yield as both α-tetralone and δ-methoxy-1-tetralone gave nearly identical yields of cylopentanone products 62 and 38: 55 and 54%, respectively. It was hoped that this would also be true for aromatic substrates with a β-substituent such as ketone 146.

Scheme 74

If this route fails, the E ring substituent may be realized via 4-chromanone. Geminal acylation of chromone with 1 gave 147 in 35%
yield. It is planned to cleave the ether function using boron tribromide and to recyclize to 148 (Scheme 74). Dehydrogenation of 148 with benzeneselenenic anhydride would afford the analogous enone, which could replace the unfunctionalized enedione 83.

III. Experimental

For general experimental conditions and instrumentation see Chapter 1. Each nmr resonance has been assigned where the numbers after the H (for $^1$H nmr resonances) or C (for $^{13}$C nmr resonances) denote the numbering scheme used for naming. For example, $\delta$ 7.45 (2H, s, H-3, H-4) refers to a $^1$H nmr resonance integrating to two protons which are attached to carbons 3 and 4, respectively.

Spiro[3-cyclopentene-1,1'-indan]-2,5-dione (83). To a solution of diketone 43² (312.9 mg, 1.56 mmol) in chlorobenzene (80 mL) was added benzeneselenenic anhydride (0.68 g, 1.9 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux overnight. Removal of the solvent under vacuum furnished a tan oil. Chromatography (2% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded 83 (182 mg, 59%) as yellow crystals: mp 58.5 - 61°C. IR: 1767 (shoulder) and 1703 cm⁻¹. $^1$H nmr: $\delta$ 7.45 (2H, s, H-3, H-4), 7.30 (1H, symmetrical m, H-5'), 7.11 (1H, symmetrical m, H-4'), 6.78 (1H, m, H-7').

² See Chapter 1 for preparation and characterization.
3.21 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$, H-3'), 2.39 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$, H-2'). $^{13}$C nmr: δ 204.2 (C-2, C-5), 150.2 (C-3, C-4), 145.4 (C-7a'), 140.1 (C-3a'), 128.4 (C-5'), 126.8 (C-4'), 125.2 (C-6'), 122.2 (C-7'), 63.1 (C-1), 31.8 (C-2'), 31.7 (C-3'). MS: 198 (M', 100), 170 (M' - CO, 29), 155 (2), 141 (37), 115 (87), 82 (14), 58 (37). Exact mass calcd. for $C_{13}H_{10}O_2$: 198.0680, found 198.0689.

**Spiro[cyclohexane-1,2'-(2H)indene]-1',3'-dione (100).** Following the procedure of Ruhlmann, sodium metal (1.24 g, 54.0 mmol) was boiled in toluene (250 mL) for 1.5 h. After cooling to rt, TMSCI (7.5 mL, 5.9 mmol) was added to the suspension followed by 99 (5.9 g, 27 mmol). After the additions were complete the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 3.5 h and the mixture was maintained at approximately 60°C overnight. After refluxing for an additional 7.5 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool, after which time it was filtered under a $N_2$ atmosphere. To the filtrate (under $N_2$) was added cyclohexanone ketal (461 mg, 3.25 mmol) and $BF_3·Et_2O$ (1.3 mL, 9.7 mmol). The solution was stirred overnight. The solution was washed with $H_2O$ (2 x 50 mL), and the aqueous layers were re-extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (70 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$, and evaporated at reduced pressure. The crude material was filtered through a charcoal/Florisil plug as described in Chapter 1 before chromatography (2% EtOAc/hexanes), which provided 99 (3.82 g, 64% recovery) and, in a later fraction, impure 100 (209 mg, 30% yield).
tentative structure). $^1$H nmr resonances included aromatic patterns at $\delta$: 7.8 and 7.5 in addition to alkane resonances at $\delta$: 1.6 and 1.3.

Resonances in the $^{13}$C nmr of interest included $\delta$: 202.2, 133.9, 131.0, 128.8 (2C), 125.6, 122.0, 52.6, 33.94, 29.6, 26.6, 24.7, 23.3, 22.1, 14.0.

5'-Hydroxyspiro[2',1-Indane-2H-Indene]-1',3'-dione (104). To a solution of 83 (212 mg, 1.07 mmol) in benzene (2.0 mL) was added 103 (30 mg, 1.6 mmol). The starting materials were not consumed after stirring at room temperature overnight. The reaction solution was heated under reflux for 7 days. Evaporation of the benzene at reduced pressure followed by chromatography (35% EtOAc/hexanes) yielded 104 (55.0 mg, 13%) as yellow, hair-like crystals: mp 210 - 213.5°C. IR: 3366, 1736, and 1692 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr (CD$_3$OD): $\delta$ 7.92 (1H, d, $J = 8.4$, H-7'), 7.27 - 7.37 (4H, m, H-4, H-4', H-6' and phenolic H), 7.20 (1H, m, H-5), 7.05 (1H, symmetrical m, H-6), 6.59 (1H, d, $J = 7.8$, H-7), 3.22 (2H, t, $J = 7.5$, H-3), 2.47 (2H, two overlapping t, $J = 7.5$, H-2'). $^{13}$C nmr (CD$_3$OD): $\delta$ 203.0 (C-1'), 201.4 (C-3'), 166.9 (C-5'), 146.9 (C-3a' or C-7a), 146.7 (C-7a or C-3a'), 143.7 (C-7a'), 135.8 (C-3a), 129.2, 127.8, 127.0, 126.0, 125.5, 123.6 (unassigned signals for C-4, 5, 6, 6', 7'), 109.1 (C-4), 68.5 (C-2'), 33.4 (C-2), 32.9 (C-3). MS: 264 (M$^+$, 61), 247 (9), 235 (5), 207 (5), 178 (4), 149 (17), 115 (15), 62 (12), 45 (26), 28 (100). Exact mass calcd. for C$_{17}$H$_{12}$O$_3$: 264.0786, found 264.0776.

2(5H)-4-methoxyfuranone (109). To a solution of tetronic acid
(424 mg, 4.24 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL) were added Amberlyst 15 beads (2.0 g). The solution was stirred at rt for 4 days. Filtration followed by concentration directly on chromatographic silica provided 109 (166.1 mg, 35%) as orange crystals upon flushing the column with MeOH: mp 58.5 - 60°C. \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta\) 5.12 (1H, t, \(J = 1.1\), H-3), 4.64 (2H, d, \(J = 1.2\), H-5), 3.91 (3H, s, methoxy). \(^13\)C nmr: \(\delta\) 180.3 (C-2), 88.7 (C-4), 67.6 (C-3 and C-5), 59.4 (methoxy). An earlier fraction provided 2(5H)-4,4-dimethoxy-

**furanone** (15.5 mg, 3%) as a pale yellow liquid. IR: 1789 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr \(\delta\): 4.26 (2H, s, H-5), 3.28 (6H, s, methoxy), 2.73 (2H, s, H-3). \(^13\)C nmr \(\delta\): 173.1 (C-2), 104.4 (C-4), 72.2 (C-5), 49.8 (methoxy), 38.0 (C-3). MS: 146 (M\(^+\), 6), 115 (28), 104 (10), 89 (5), 88 (86), 73 (11), 71 (26), 59 (14), 58 (28), 45 (21), 43 (100), 42 (31), 41 (34). Exact mass calcd. for C\(_6\)H\(_{10}\)O\(_2\): 146.0578, found 146.0589.

**1,2-Bis(dibromomethyl)benzene** (111). A solution of ortho-xylene (30.0 mL, 250 mmol) in CCl\(_4\) (45 mL) was heated at reflux for 0.5 h. While maintaining reflux the solution was irradiated with a 100W flood light, 2 - 3 cm away from reaction vessel, and a solution of bromine (1.8 mL, 18 mmol) in CCl\(_4\) (10 mL) was added over 3 h. The reaction solution was heated at reflux and irradiated for an additional 5 h before being allowed to cool to rt. The reaction vessel was left open to the atmosphere overnight. The sample was filtered through a charcoal / Florisil pad which was washed with CCl\(_4\) (200 mL). Evaporation at
reduced pressure afforded a rust colored solid. This material was dissolved in hot CHCl₃ (400 mL) and filtered through a charcoal plug. The solution crystallized upon storing in the refrigerator to give colorless crystals. Filtration to remove the solvent followed by drying under vacuum gave **111** as colorless crystals (43.12 g, 41%): mp 110.5 - 112.0°C. IR: 1230 and 1135 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 7.66 (2H, m, H·2, 4 or H·3,4), 7.32 (2H, m, H·3,4 or H·2,4), 7.12 (2H, s, dibromomethyl H). ¹³C nmr: δ 130.3 (C-2,3,4,5), 129.3 (C·1,6), 36.4 (dibromomethyl). MS: 343 (M⁺(⁸¹Br)+ 1, 100), 341 (M⁺ (⁷⁹Br), 99), 339 (33), 264 (14), 262 (28), 183 (34), 181 (35), 131 (15), 102 (51), 101 (13), 75 (15), 51 (47), 50 (24). Exact mass calcd. for C₆H₆Br₂ (⁸¹Br): 342.7971, found 342.7996 and for C₆H₆Br₂ (⁷⁹Br): 340.8000, found 340.7999.

**Spiro[2H-benz(f)indene-2,1'-indan]-1,3-dione (113).** To a solution of **83** (255 mg, 1.29 mmol) in DMF (16 mL) was added **111** (1.73 g, 4.10 mmol). The mixture was heated at reflux overnight, and then stirred for one day at rt during which time the mixture gelled. The material was transferred into a stirred solution of NaHSO₃ (0.65 g, 6.2 mmol) in H₂O (30 mL). After stirring for 10 min, the contents were transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with ether (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting black tar was purified by chromatography (3% EtOAc/hexanes) to yield **113** (180
mg, 47%) as beige crystals: mp 211 - 212.5°C. IR: 1734 and 1705 cm⁻¹.

¹H nmr: δ 8.60 (2H, s, H-4 and H-9), 8.13 (2H, symmetrical m, H-5,8),
7.73 (2H, m, H-6,7), 7.34 (1H, d, J = 7.5, H-4'), 7.21 (1H, two
overlapping t, J = 7.5, H-6'), 7.02 (1H, symmetrical m, H-5'), 6.61 (1H, d,
J = 7.8, H-7'), 3.35 (2H, t, J = 7.5, H-3'), 2.64 (2H, t, J = 7.5, H-2'). ¹³C
nmr: δ 201.6 (C-1, C-3), 145.4, 142.3, 137.1, 136.5, 130.6, 129.7, 128.2,
126.7, 125.2, 124.9, 122.7, 68.5 (C-2), 32.7 (C-2' or 3'), 32.1 (C-3' or 2').
MS: 298 (M', 99), 283 (11), 269 (7), 239 (12), 208 (5), 183 (14), 155
(10), 118 (44), 91 (5), 69 (100), 51 (16). Exact mass calcd. for C₂₃H₁₄O₂:
298.0993, found 298.0990.

3,4-Bis(dibromomethyl)-1-methoxybenzene (114). The
procedure was as for 111 except that 3,4-dimethylanisole (1.16 g, 8.53
mmol) and Br₂ (3.3 mL, 34.0 mmol) were used and the sequence was
refluxed 8 h. The solution was filtered through a large bore column
containing charcoal (3 g) and Florisil (1.5 g). Concentration of the filtrate
under vacuum provided crude 114 (3.85 g, 99% if pure) as a red,
viscous oil. Key resonances from the nmr spectrum of the crude product
included: ¹H nmr: δ 7.18 (1H, unsymmetrical d, J = 2.4, H-6), 7.12 (2H,
s, dibromomethyls), 7.06 (1H, s, H-2), 6.85 (1H, dd, J = 8.7, 2.7, H-5),
3.83 (3H, s, methoxy). ¹³C nmr: δ 160.5 (C-1), 139.0 (C-3 or C-4), 137.1
(C-3 or C-4), 130.8 (C-2), 115.9 (C-2 and C-6), 55.6 (methoxy), 36.4
(dibromomethyl), 36.2 (dibromomethyl). MS: 372 (M' (⁸¹Br), 71), 371 (M')
(79Br), 71), 293 (27), 292 (18), 229 (36), 227 (35), 212 (12), 211 (41), 149 (35), 148 (95), 133 (37), 121 (45), 105 (22), 89 (50), 82 (43), 77 (29), 59 (49), 51 (43), 45 (61), 43 (100). Exact mass calcd. for C9H8OBr2:
(81Br): 372.8085, found 372.8102 and (79Br): 370.8106, found 370.8114.

6-Methoxyspiro[2H-berliz(t)lndene-2,1'-lndan]-1,3-dlone (115).
A solution of 83 (230 mg, 1.16 mmol), NaI (1.04 g, 6.93 mmol) and 114
(1.65 g, 3.65 mmol) in DMF (16 mL) was heated under reflux overnight.
The solution was allowed to cool and it was stirred at rt for 2 days. The
reaction mixture was poured into a H2O (50 mL) and stirred vigorously.
After 10 min, NaHSO3 (0.58 g, 5.5 mmol) was added and stirring was
continued for 10 min. The solution was extracted with ether (3 x 50 mL),
and the combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL),
dried over MgSO4, and concentrated at reduced pressure.
Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) of the black oil gave 115 (53.8
mg, 14%) as a tan powder: mp 235 - 239°C. Upon dissolving in CDCl3 a
white powder remained (soluble in CD3OD). Its nmr spectrum, however,
was not compatible with that of the CDCl3 soluble material (115). For
115: 1H nmr: δ 8.52 (1H, s), 8.45 (1H, s), 8.02 (1H, d, J = 9.0), 7.35 -
7.40 (5H, m), 7.20 - 7.29 (2H, m), 7.19 (1H, br t, J = 7.5), 6.82 (1H, d, J
= 7.5), 4.00 (3H, s, methoxy), 3.34 (2H, t, J = 7.8, H-2'), 2.62 (2H, t, J =
7.8, H-3'). 13C nmr: δ 201.9 (C-1 or C-3), 201.3 (C-1 or C-3), 160.5 (C-6),
145.4, 138.7, 137.8, 135.5, 132.0, 128.2, 126.7, 125.2, 124.8, 123.3,
123.0, 122.8, 107.5, 69.5 (C-2), 55.6 (methoxy), 32.7 (C-2' or C-3'), 32.2
(C-2' or C-3'). Note that two carbons must have the same chemical shift.
MS: 328 (M', 100), 313 (12), 299 (3), 268 (2), 240 (2), 213 (12), 185 (6),
156 (10), 142 (5), 115 (15). Exact mass calcd. C_{22}H_{16}O_{5}: 328.1099, found
328.1095.

**Dimethyl 4-hydroxyphthalate (117).** To a solution of 103 (533
mg, 3.10 mmol) in benzene (8 mL) was added dimethyl acetylene-
dicarboxylate (0.50 mL, 4.0 mmol). The solution was stirred at rt under a
nitrogen atmosphere, and the progress of the reaction was followed by
TLC. After 5 days the solution was concentrated directly onto the silica
gel used for chromatography. Chromatography (2% EtOAc/hexanes)
provided 117 (359 mg, 55%) as a yellow waxy solid: mp 76.5 - 80°C. IR:
3369 and 1721 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: δ 8.06 (1H, br s, phenol), 7.73 (1H, d, J =
8.4, H-3), 7.01 (1H, d, J = 2.4, H-5), 6.93 (1H, symmetrical m, H-6), 3.90
(3H, s, methoxy), 3.86 (3H, s, methoxy). \(^13\)C nmr: δ 182.8 (2C, carbonyl),
169.6 (C-4), 167.2 (C-2), 159.7 (C-1), 131.8 (C-3), 117.2 (C-5), 115.2 (C-
6), 52.9 (methyl ester), 52.4 (methyl ester). MS: 210 (M', 30), 179 (M'-
OMe, 100), 149 (4), 120 (3), 85 (62). Exact mass calcd. for C_{10}H_{10}O_{5}:
210.0528, found 210.0518 and for C_{9}H_{8}O_{4} (M'- OMe): 179.0344, found
179.347.

**Dimethyl 4-methoxyphthalate (118).** To a two-phase system of
50% NaOH/H\(_2\)O (70 mL) and CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (100 mL) was added crude 117
(0.77 g, 3.7 mmol), (MeO)$_2$SO$_2$ (1.4 g, 11 mmol), and tetrabutyl-
ammonium iodide (4.0 g). The mixture was stirred vigorously at rt
overnight. The solution was washed with H$_2$O (100 mL) and the organic
layer was re-extracted with saturated NaHCO$_3$ (100 mL) and brine (100
mL). The solution was dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated at reduced
pressure. Chromatography gave 118 (325 mg, 39%) as a yellow oil. IR:
1725 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: δ 7.81 (1H, d, J = 8.7, H-6), 7.06 (1H, d, J = 2.7, H-
3), 6.99 (1H, dd, J = 8.4, 2.7, H-5). $^{13}$C nmr: δ 168.8 (carbonyl), 166.6
(carbonyl), 162.0 (C-4), 135.6 (C-2), 131.482 (C-3), 122.0 (C-1), 115.6
(C-5 or C-6), 113.3 (C-5 or C-6), 55.6 (methoxy), 52.7 (methyl ester),
52.3 (methyl ester). MS: 224 (M$^+$, 30), 193 (M$^+$- OMe, 100), 165 (7), 107
(5), 92 (4), 77 (6), 63 (8), 28 (10). Exact mass calcd. for C$_{11}$H$_{13}$O$_5$:
244.0684 found, 224.0676.

Bromination of 2-(hydroxymethyl)phenol (120). A solution of 2-
hydroxybenzyl alcohol (1.14 g, 9.17 mmol) in CCl$_4$ (45 mL) was heated
at reflux for 0.5 h. While maintaining reflux the reaction solution was
irradiated with a 100W flood light ~2 - 3 cm away from reaction vessel
during the addition of bromine (1.8 mL, 18 mmol) in CCl$_4$ (10 mL) over 3
h. The reaction solution was heated at reflux and irradiated for an
additional 5 h before allowed to cool to rt. The reaction vessel was left
open to the atmosphere overnight. The sample was filtered through a
charcoal/Florisil pad, which was washed with CCl$_4$ (200 mL).
Concentration at reduced pressure provided a orange oil which crystallized under vacuum: mp 63 - 65°C. Nmr suggested a mixture of 2-bromomethylphenol 121, 2-dibromomethylphenol 122, and 2-hydroxybenzaldehyde 123. For 121: \(^1H\) nmr: \(\delta 4.50\) (benzyl H's) in \(^13C\) nmr: \(\delta 27.1\) (bromomethyl) For 122: \(^1H\) nmr: \(\delta 6.99\) (benzyl H) in \(^13C\) nmr: \(\delta 32.8\) (dibromomethyl), and for 123: \(^1H\) nmr: \(\delta 9.81\) (aldehyde) in \(^13C\) nmr: \(\delta 194.9\) (aldehyde).

1(3H)-IsoBenzofuranone (126). From 130. To a solution of 130 (71.5 mg, 0.52 mmol) in \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) (90 mL) was added PCC (0.14 g, 0.62 mmol). The solution was stirred overnight at rt. The reaction mixture was filtered through a large bore column containing silica gel (~ 30 - 40 g). The silica plug was washed with ether (100 mL) and \(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\) (90 mL) and the combined organic solutions were concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) yielded 126 (39.0 mg, 56%) as colorless crystals. From diester 99: To a solution of 99 (447 mg, 2.01 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) cooled to -78°C, was added DIBAL (4.0 mL, 6.0 mmol). After stirring for 3.5 h, \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (3 mL) was added over 5 min. The gelatinous mixture was allowed to warm to rt over 40 min. The solution was washed with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (2 x 50 mL) and the combined aqueous solutions were re-extracted with ether (2 x 30 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO\(_4\) and concentrated at reduced pressure to give a colorless toluene solution.
PCC (0.88 g, 4.0 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight. Filtration through a silica pad provided, after chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexanes), 126 (132 mg, 49%) as colorless crystals: mp 64.0 - 65.0°C. IR: 1757 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 7.93 (1H, d, J = 7.8, H-7), 7.70 (1H, overlapping t, J = 7.8, H-5), 7.53 (2H, two overlapping dd, J = 7.5, 6.6, H-4, H-6), 5.34 (2H, s, H-3). ¹³C nmr δ: 171.1 (C-1), 146.5 (C-3a), 133.9 (C-7a), 129.0 (C-5, C-6), 125.7 (C-7), 122.1 (C-4), 69.6 (C-3). MS: 134 (M⁺, 44), 105 (100), 77 (44), 50 (12). Exact mass calcd. for C₈H₆O₂: 134.0367, found 134.0354.

Attempt to trap intermediate isobenzofuran generated from 99 and DIBAL with 101. To a solution of 99 (669 mg, 3.01 mmol) in toluene (20 mL) at -78°C was added DIBAL (6.0 mL 1.2 M solution, 6.3 mmol). After stirring for 3 h, a solution of 101 (363 mg, 2.10 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added. After stirring for 3 h, the solution was allowed to warm to rt (1 h) then ice was added slowly. The resulting gel was filtered through Celite, the Celite pad was washed with H₂O (100 mL) and ether (100 mL). The organic solutions were washed with H₂O (2 x 50 mL), and the aqueous solutions re-extracted with ether (2 x 100 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. Concentration at reduced pressure provided a yellow oil upon evaporation at reduced pressure. Chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 101 (308 mg, 85%), 4-hydroxy-3a,9a-dihydro-1H-
benz[f]isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione-4,9-oxide 132 (24.6 mg, 4%) and 1,2-bis(hydroxymethyl)benzene 130 (95.4 mg, 23%). For 130, beige crystals: mp 46.5 - 48°C. IR: 3275 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: δ 7.29 (4H, s, H-3,4,5,6), 4.61 (4H, s, benzyl), 3.85 (2H, br s, hydroxy). 13C nmr: δ 139.3 (C-1, C-2), 129.6 (C-3, C-6), 128.4 (C-4, C-5), 63.9 (benzyl). MS: 120 (M⁺, 100), 119 (74), 92 (20), 91 (90), 89 (8), 79 (22), 77 (28), 65 (19), 51 (15). Exact mass calcd. for C₈H₁₀O₂: 120.0575, found 120.0575. For 132 (major resonances from impure material): 'H nmr: δ 7.53 - 7.40 (4H, m, H-5,6,7,8), 7.35 - 7.24 (5H, m, phenyl H), 4.50 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 8.4, H-3a), 3.42 (1H, dd, J = 18.0, 5.7, H-9a).

3-Trimethylsilyl-1(3H)-isobenzofuranone (133). THF (20 mL) was cooled to 0°C and diisopropylamine (0.4 mL, 2.9 mmol) was added followed by nBuLi (1.3 mL of a 2.5 M solution, 3.1 mmol). After stirring for 1 h, the reaction solution was cooled to -84°C (EtOAc/liquid N₂), and 126 (356 mg, 2.66 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added over 25 min. After stirring for 1 h, TMSCl (0.7 mL, 5 mmol) was added. The solution was stirred overnight while it attained rt. Filtration followed by concentration at reduced pressure gave a pale orange oil which crystallized on standing. Nmr analysis showed 133 to be the major product. IR: 1755 cm⁻¹. 'H nmr: (integration as it appeared on the spectrum of the crude material) δ 7.64 (3H, dq, J = 7.8, 0.9), 7.43 - 7.51 (4H, m), 7.35 (2H, dd, J = 7.8, 0.6), 5.33 (2H, br s), 0.12 (17H, s, trimethylsilyl). 13C nmr (major
resonances): δ 170.9, 150.2, 133.5, 127.2, 125.6, 120.6, 77.9, -4.4.

4-Hydroxy-2-phenyl-1H-benz[f]isoindole-1,3(2H)-dione (135).

THF (20 mL) was cooled to 0°C and diisopropylamine (0.5 mL, 3.3 mmol) was added followed by nBuLi (1.6 mL of a 2.5 M solution, 3.5 mmol) over 5 min. The solution was stirred at 0°C for 1.5 h, before it was cooled to -78°C. To this solution was added dropwise a solution of 126 (398 mg, 2.97 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The solution was stirred for 2 h before a solution of 101 (455 mg, 2.63 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added in four portions over 5 min. After an additional 4 h, TMSCI (0.8 mL, 6.0 mmol) was added, and the solution was stirred overnight while it attained rt. Filtration and concentration at reduced pressure gave a brown oil. Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) provided 135 (121 mg, 16%) as yellow crystals: mp 206 - 209.5°C. TLC visualization for 135 was poor and a second sample (126 mg, 17%) was obtained by blindly collecting later fractions (the purity of this sample was ~90% from 13C nmr). IR: 3340 (weak), 1765, and 1688 cm⁻¹. 1H nmr (CD₃SOCD₃): δ 8.43 (1H, m, H-5), 8.15 (1H, m, H-8), 8.02 (1H, s, H-9), 7.75 (2H, symmetrical m, H-6, H-7), 7.59 - 7.43 (5H, m, phenyl H). (The phenolic H was not identified. We suspect it was buried beneath other signals). 13C nmr (CD₃SOCD₃): δ 166.3 (C-1, C-3), 152.5 (C-4), 136.6 (C-9a), 132.1 (C-3a), 130.0, 129.7, 128.8, 128.5 (C-8a), 128.3, 127.9, 127.4, 124.0, 116.6, 107.1 (C-4a). MS: 289 (M⁺, 100), 245 (26), 217 (10), 169 (5), 114 (15), 77 (13). Exact mass
calcd. for C_{15}H_{11}O_3N: 289.0738, found 289.0725.

3-Methoxy-5,5-dimethyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (138). To a solution of 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione (1.86 g, 0.13 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) was added Amberlyst 15 beads (~2 g), and this was stirred overnight. Filtration followed by concentration at reduced pressure gave a viscous oil. Chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexanes) yielded 138 (1.69 g, 83%) as a pale yellow oil. IR: 1657 and 1609 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta\) 5.36 (1H, s, H-2), 3.71 (3H, s, methoxy), 2.29 (2H, s, H-4), 2.20 (2H, s, H-6), 1.08 (6H, s, methyls). \(^13\)C nmr: \(\delta\) 198.4 (C-1), 176.2 (C-3), 100.4 (C-2), 55.1 (methoxy), 50.2 (C-4), 42.0 (C-6), 27.6 (2C, methyls). MS: 154 (34), 143 (17), 111 (4), 98 (100), 91 (51), 79 (3), 69 (32), 68 (69), 55 (8), 41 (14), 40 (26).

1-Methoxy-5,5-dimethyl-3-trimethylsilyloxy-1,3-cyclohexadiene (139). To a cooled (-78°C) LDA solution (1.2 equivalents, 12.1 mmol) was added a solution of 138 (1.55 g, 10.1 mmol) in THF (10 mL) over 15 min. After stirring for 1.5 h, TMSCI (2.6 mL, 21 mmol) was added, and the solution was allowed to warm to rt overnight. Filtration followed by vacuum distillation afforded 139 (1.69, 72%) as a colorless liquid: bp 62-63°C/2 mm Hg. IR: 1656 and 1610 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta\) 4.72 (1H, br s, H-2), 4.37 (1H, br s, H-4), 3.58 (3H, s, methoxy), 2.10 (2H, br s, H-6), 1.01 (6H, s, methyls), 0.20 (9H, s, trimethylsilyl). \(^13\)C nmr: \(\delta\) 159.8 (C-3), 147.4 (C-1), 107.3 (C-2), 93.5 (C-4), 54.7 (methoxy), 42.1 (C-6), 28.7
(2C, methyls), 0.15 (3C, trimethylsilyloxy). MS: M⁺ not found, 212 (38), 211 (100), 195 (37), 154 (36), 144 (42), 98 (63), 75 (38), 73 (63), 69 (38), 68 (48). Exact mass calcd. for C₃₁H₂₁O₂Si (M⁺·Me): 211.1153, found 211.1139.

**Dimethyl 3-methoxy-5-trimethylsilyloxyphthalate (140).** To a solution of 139 (1.49 g, 6.58 mmol) in benzene (10 mL) under an argon atmosphere was added dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (1.3 mL, 9.9 mmol). The reaction solution was heated under reflux for 8 days. Concentration under reduced pressure yielded crude 140 (1.8 g, 90%) as an orange waxy solid. The major component was 140 and further purification was not undertaken for fear of cleaving the trimethylsilyloxy group. ¹H nmr: δ 7.03 (1H, d, J = 2.0, H-4), 6.62 (1H, d, J = 2.1, H-6), 3.86 (3H, s, methoxy), 3.83 (6H, s, methoxy), 0.27 (9H, s, trimethylsilyloxy). ¹³C nmr: δ 167.6 (carbonyl), 165.3 (carbonyl), 157.5 (C-3), 156.8 (C-5), 129.4 (C-1), 128.0 (C-6), 112.6 (C-2), 105.5 (C-5), 55.9 (methoxy), 53.2 (ester methyl), 52.3 (ester methyl), -0.1 (trimethylsilyloxy). MS: 240 (M⁺·TMS, 23), 209 (100), 181 (7), 151 (13), 136 (8), 92 (9), 69 (13), 57 (13), 41 (13).

**5-Methoxy-7-trimethylsilyloxy-1(3H)-isobenzofuranone (141).**
To a solution of 140 (1.80 g, 5.79 mmol) in toluene (15 mL) cooled to -78°C was added DIBAL (11.6 mL, 17.0 mmol). After stirring at -78°C for 2 h, the solution was allowed to warm to 0°C, and the solution was
poured into a vigorously stirred ice/H$_2$O (150 mL) mixture. The resulting gelatinous mixture was filtered through a Celite pad, and the aqueous layer extracted with ether (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$, and concentrated at reduced pressure. Chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 141 (14.0 mg, 1%) as pale yellow crystals: mp 225 - 229°C. $^1$H nmr and mass spectroscopy indicated the major product to be the desilylated analogue. $^1$H nmr: δ 6.90 (1H, d, J = 1.5, H-6), 6.62 (1H, d, J = 1.8, H-4), 5.20 (2H, s, H-3), 3.86 (5H, s, methoxy), 0.31 (11H, s, trimethylsilyloxy).

Dimethyl 3,5-dimethoxyphthalate (142). To a solution of 140 (1.73 g, 5.57 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (15 mL) was added TBAF (4.8 mL of a 1.0 M solution, 4.8 mmol). After stirring at rt for 3 h, iodomethane (0.5 mL, 7.3 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. Concentration at reduced pressure provided a red colored oil which crystallized upon standing. GCMS analysis showed a mixture of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (remaining from 140 preparation) 22%, and 142 50%. The sample was not further purified.

5,7-Dimethoxy-1(3H)-isobenzofuranone (143) and 4,6-
-133-

dimethoxy-1(3H)-isobenzofuranone (144). To a cooled (-78°C) solution of impure 142 (108 mg, 0.42 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added DIBAL (0.80 mL of a 1.6 M solution, 1.3 mmol). After stirring for 3 h, the solution was allowed to warm to 0°C and ice/H₂O (5 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting gel was filtered through a Celite pad. The Celite pad was washed with H₂O (50 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 30 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) to which PCC (0.2 g, 0.8 mmol) was added, and this was stirred overnight. Filtration through a silica plug, as for 126, followed by concentration and chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 144 (2.0 mg, 5%) as pale yellow crystals, and later fractions gave 143 (50 mg, 60%). For 143: mp 131 - 132.5°C. IR: 1749 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 6.49 (1H, br s, H-4), 6.42 (1H, br s, H-6), 5.17 (2H, s, H-3), 3.95 (3H, s, methoxy), 3.89 (3H, s, methoxy). ¹³C nmr: δ 166.7 (C-1), 159.5 (C-5, C-7), 151.6 (C-7a), 106.3 (C-3a), 98.7 (C-4 or C-6), 97.5 (C-6 or C-4), 68.5 (C-2), 55.9 (2C, methoxy). NOE irradiation of H-3 gave a 4% enhancement of H-4. MS: 194 (M⁺, 73), 176 (50), 165 (47), 148 (100), 135 (29), 120 (13), 106 (14), 92 (12), 77 (18), 63 (25), 50 (20). Exact mass calcd. for

Filtration was greatly aided by gently warming the sides of the suction funnel using a heat gun. Under these conditions the yield was dramatically improved from 15% to typically 60%.
C_{10}H_{10}O_4: 194.0578, found 194.0585. For 144: 'H nmr (100 MHz): δ 6.95 (1H, d, J = 2.5, H-7), 6.68 (1H, d, J = 2.5, H-5), 5.25 (2H, s, H-3), 3.88 (6H, s, methoxy). MS (from GCMS): 194 (70), 193 (13), 165 (100), 137 (23), 122 (17).

**Attempted reaction of 143 with 83.** To a LDA solution (2.0 mmol) cooled to -78°C, was added a solution of 143 (190, 0.98 mmol) in THF (3 mL) over 25 min. The solution was stirred for 1 h prior to the addition of a solution of 83 (274 mg, 1.38 mmol) in THF (4 mL) over 25 min. The solution was warmed to rt over 0.5 h, after which time TMSCI (0.3 mL, 2.4 mmol) was added. After stirring for 5 - 10 min, the reaction solution was concentrated to half its original volume and filtered through a plug of Florisil (10 g). The plug was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (200 mL), and the combined organic solutions were concentrated at reduced pressure but did not provide any material with nmr signals consistent with the expected product. The Florisil plug was re-extracted with MeOH (300 mL), and concentration of this solution followed by chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes) gave tan crystals (31 mg) which GCMS indicated was a mixture of 83 (5%) and an unknown material (70%). Successive recrystallizations afforded white crystals with the following spectroscopic features. 'H nmr: δ 7.34 - 7.26 (m), 7.11 - 7.23 (m), 6.83 (d, J = 7.5), 6.45 (dd, J = 20.7, 1.8), 5.30 (s), 4.44 (s), 3.954 (s), 3.932 (s), 3.76 (unsymmetrical dd, J = 9.9, 1.2), 3.56 (d, J = 9.6), 3.35 - 3.15 (m
including t, $J = 6.6$), 3.15 - 3.0 (m including two s), 2.95 - 2.80 (m), 2.70 - 2.55 (m), 2.55 - 2.41 (m), 1.57 (br s). $^{13}$C nmr: δ 214.3, 146.0, 145.4, 128.8, 128.2, 126.8, 126.4, 125.9, 125.0, 124.7, 124.7, 122.0, 99.9, 99.0, 81.6, 78.6, 77.2, 56.5, 56.12, 56.05, 55.2, 54.2, 33.9, 33.5, 33.0, 31.9, 31.2.

**Spiro compound from chromanone (147).** To a solution of 4-chromanone (523.3 mg, 3.54 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (10 mL) was added BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (0.5 mL, 3.9 mmol) and then 1 (1.4 mL, 5.3 mmol) neat. Solution stirred at rt 2 h before H$_2$O (0.5 mL) was added. After further stirring 10 min, BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (6.5 mL, 53.0 mmol) was added and the resulting solution stirred overnight. The reaction solution was washed with H$_2$O (2 x 50 mL) and the combined organic solutions were re-extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 x 40 mL), washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated at reduced pressure. Chromatography (5% EtOAc/Hexanes) gave 147 (269.8 mg, 35%) as cream colored crystals: mp 99.5 - 102°C. IR: 1723 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr δ: 7.16 (1H, dt, $J = 7.8$, 1.8, H-5), 6.90 (1H, dd, $J = 7.2$, 1.2, H-6 or H-7), 6.82 (1H, dt, $J = 7.5$, 1.2, H-7 or H-6), 6.56 (1H, dd, $J = 7.5$, 1.5, H-8), 4.27 (2H, t, $J = 5.4$, H-2). $^{13}$C nmr δ: 213.6 (C-1', C-3'), 155.2 (C-4a), 129.2 (C-6 or C-7), 128.0 (C-7 or C-6), 120.8 (C-5), 117.7 (C-8), 117.6 (C-8a), 60.8 (C-4' and C-5'), 57.0 (C-1), 35.2 (C-3), 28.9 (C-2). MS: 216 (M⁺, 100), 187 (6), 160 (37),
146 (21), 145 (7), 132 (30), 131 (85), 115 (4), 103 (12), 77 (18), 76 (7),
66 (8), 63 (6), 51 (17), 50 (7), 39 (8), 28 (10), 27 (9). Exact mass calcd.
for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_3$: 216.0786, found 216.0782.
Chapter 3

STUDIES TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF A [4.3.3]-PROPELLANE

I. INTRODUCTION

The name "propellane" was coined by Ginsburg for compounds having three non-zero bridges and one zero bridge between a pair of bridgehead carbons. While propellanes have been the subject of a great deal of research, mostly concerning their spectroscopic and physical organic characteristics and behaviour. Indeed, Ginsburg has published several volumes on propellanes, which cover the literature through part of 1984, and since that time Wiberg has written two reviews. As a result, only a few examples of propellane syntheses will be illustrated in this manuscript. These have been chosen to be representative of the different synthetic strategies that have been pursued.
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\text{1. CHBr}_3 & \quad \text{Et}_2\text{Zn} \\
\text{2. TosN}_2 & \quad \text{[RN(OAc)]}_2
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149 (25\%) \quad 150
Transannular ring closure has been used successfully by several groups. Reingold and Drake accessed [3.3.1]-propellane-2,8-dione (150), by the transannular addition of a carbene to the exocyclic double bond of 149 (Scheme 75). Rhodium acetate-catalyzed decomposition provided 150 quantitatively. Yamago and Nakamura obtained the [3.3.3]-propellane 152 by a metal-catalysed transannular ring closure of the methylene cyclopropane 151 (Scheme 76). The authors reported that Ni(1,5-cyclooctadiene)₂ in the presence of 0.5 equivalents of triphenylphosphine effected cyclization solely in the desired manner in 74% yield.

Scheme 76

More conventional chemistry such as acid- and base-catalysed cyclizations of bicyclic precursors have also been proven successful for propellane formation. Weber and Cook gained entry to the [n.3.3]-propellanes (n = 10, 6, 4, and 3) by acid-catalyzed cyclization of two glutarate molecules and an alicyclic 1,2-dione (Scheme 77). For
example, the authors reported that stirring a solution of dimethyl 3-
Scheme 77
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\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{+} \quad (\text{CH}_3)_n \quad \text{pH} = 5.6 \\
\text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3 & \quad \text{days} \\
\text{n} & = 8, 4, 2, 1 \\
\text{R} & = \text{CO}_2\text{Me}
\end{align*}
\]

ketoglutarate and an alicyclic 1,2-dione in an aqueous buffer (pH = 5.6) afforded good yields of propellanes 153 after several days. Kuck and Paisdor offered a new and efficient route to tribenzo[3.3.3]-propellanes
Scheme 78

such as 154 from 1,3-indanedione (Scheme 78). Based on earlier work
by Thompson and coworkers, the key step was the cyclodehydration of the 2,2-disubstituted diketone using polyphosphoric acid (PPA). Mundy and Wilkening gained access to simple propellanes by nucleophilic displacement via diol 155. Treatment of diol 155 with p-toluenesulfonyl chloride afforded the propellane 156 albeit in a low yield (36%) (Scheme 79).

Scheme 79
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\begin{align*}
\text{155} & \quad \xrightarrow{\text{TsCl, py}} \quad \text{156} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Paquette and coworkers prepared [4.4.4]-propella-3,6,10-trien-2-one 158 by the-base catalysed aldol closure of the bicyclic precursor 157 (Scheme 80).  

Scheme 80

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{157} & \quad \xrightarrow{\text{NaOH, EtOH}} \quad \text{158 (54%)} \\
\end{align*}
\]
Kraus and Shi\textsuperscript{50} synthesized propellanes from bridgehead bromide precursors, e.g. 159. Upon nucleophilic addition, these precursors underwent Favorskii rearrangement to give a bicyclic precursor, 160, which was now suited for aldol cyclization (Scheme 81). The authors suggested the mechanism to involve the addition of the nucleophile to the carbonyl group of 159 to give the bicyclic precursor 160, followed by base-catalysed aldol closure to furnish 161.

**Scheme 82**

\[ R = (\text{MeO})_2\text{POCH}_2 \]
There are numerous examples of syntheses of small-ring propellanes based on the nucleophilic displacement of a leaving group. For example, Fuchs and Szeimies\(^5\) reported the synthesis of \([n.n.1]-\)propellane 163 from a dihalogenated cyclopropane 162 (Scheme 82).

**Scheme 83**

\[
\text{Scheme 83}
\]

Photochemical pathways have also been studied, especially by Wender and Dreyer\(^6\) in their work towards modephene. The \([3.3.3]-\)propellane skeleton of modephene was quickly established by the

**Scheme 84**
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photochemical addition of an olefin to an aromatic substrate (Scheme 83). \textit{meta}-Addition of vinyl acetate to indan provided the propellane 164
(21% based on consumed starting indan). Smith and Jerris\textsuperscript{53} reported a 
\([2 + 2]\) photochemical addition as the key step in their synthesis of
modephene. Irradiation of a solution of enone 165 and 1,2-
dichloroethene provided the propellane 166 in 67% yield (Scheme 84).

Yamago and Nakamura\textsuperscript{44} accomplished a transannular \([2 + 2]\)
photoaddition of 151 to generate 167 in 90% yield (Scheme 85).

\textbf{Scheme 85}
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Diels-Alder cyclization was employed by Ghosh and coworkers\textsuperscript{54} in
their short and convenient strategy for the synthesis of \([3.3.3]\)-propellanes.
Hydrolysis of the adduct 168, followed later by an aldol closure provided
169 in 62% overall yield from cyclopentadiene (Scheme 86).

\textbf{Scheme 86}
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II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our attempt to gain access to medium-sized-ring propellanes was based on the spiroannulation reaction first reported by Kuwajima and coworkers\textsuperscript{1} and extensively studied in our laboratories.\textsuperscript{2,18,55} It was hoped that one could perform an intramolecular spiroannulation of the type illustrated in Scheme 87. Thus, by preparing different 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy) ring sizes, a variety of propellanes might be realized, including the natural product modephene 170. However, while
the reactions of 1 and 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclopentene have been
studied\textsuperscript{2} and employed in total synthesis,\textsuperscript{3-12} the reactivity of such larger
bis(trimethylsilyloxy) rings is unknown. If the geminal acylation did
proceed, it would be the first intramolecular cyclization of this type.

**Scheme 88**

It was believed that the carbon framework could be assembled by
successive geminal acylations, as illustrated in the retrosynthetic analysis
in Scheme 88. The first, involving the ketal of ethyl levulinate and 1,2-
bis(trimethylsilyloxy)-cyclopentene,\textsuperscript{2a} would provide the precursor for the
preparation of the key 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclononene (172 \(\rightarrow\) 173).
The second geminal acylation, this time involving 1, would provide the remainder of the carbons for the framework of the propellane (174). If the substituted bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclononene species 175 were prepared its reactivity might require that the crude material be treated with a Lewis acid and the propellane (rather than the bis(trimethylsilyloxy) derivative) be isolated directly.

Scheme 89

Under the conditions reported by Wu and Burnell,\textsuperscript{2a} treatment of the ethylene ketal of ethyl levulinate 171 with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclopentene under boron trifluoride etherate catalysis gave 172 (Scheme 89), for which the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum showed a ketone carbonyl at $\delta$ 209.7 ppm and an ester carbonyl at $\delta$ 172.7 ppm. Chromatography of 172 gave poor recovery, therefore, the crude product was treated with sodium ethoxide to yield directly the keto-diester 176 (Scheme 90). Its $^{13}$C nmr spectrum included carbonyl resonances at $\delta$ 213.0 ppm and
173.1 ppm, the latter being attributed to both ester functions. The crude yield of keto-diester 176 (based on 171) was 85%. This implied that the conversion to 1,3-cyclohexanedione 172 must have been high, and the yield of 172 after chromatography was poor due to TLC visualization difficulties as previously discussed\(^1\). Ketalization of 176 was accomplished using standard conditions to provide 173 (Scheme 91). Its

\(^1\)This reaction has been reported by Wu and Burnell\(^{2a}\) but unlike these authors our attempts failed to provide respectable yields of 172 after chromatography. We attribute this difference to TLC visualization difficulties. For individual reaction parameters considered see Table 8 in the Appendix.
13C nmr spectrum had only carbonyl resonances for the ester functions and it possessed a quaternary resonance at δ 113.0 ppm. It was interesting that whereas the nmr spectra of the product showed the material to be reasonably pure, chromatography led to poor recovery (41%).

Scheme 92

Generation of the diketone 174 (Scheme 92) in more than trace quantities was unfortunately not successful. At best the crude product was determined from GCMS analysis to contain the geminally acylated product, 174 in only 27%. The major component in all attempts was hydrolysed starting material, 176. From the combination of several crude products a small sample (less than 1% yield) of 174 of approximately 90% purity was obtained after tedious chromatography. Its 1H nmr spectrum included a doublet at δ 0.93 ppm, attributed to the methyl

---

Table 9 in the Appendix provides a summary of the conditions and parameters that were studied, but compound 174 remained elusive as the reaction was not reproducible.
resonance, in addition to a resonance at δ 2.73 ppm characteristic of the cyclopentandione hydrogens. Included in the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum was the quaternary carbon resonance at δ 63.4 ppm.

This work was carried out prior to the extensive studies on geminal acylation of ketals$^{2,16}$ and ketones.$^{55}$ In retrospect, the result with 173 is not surprising as it is consistent with studies involving other substrates bearing an alpha methyl substituent with 1. In all cases, there was a sharp decrease in yield compared to substrates having no alpha substituent.

**Scheme 93**

The initial strategy was modified to avoid the α-methyl substituent, as depicted in our second retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 93). The
symmetrical framework was to be generated by a double addition of the Grignard reagent derived from 5-bromo-1-pentene to a formyl ester. After oxidation and subsequent geminal acylation with 1, oxidation of the terminal double bonds to esters, might afford the precursor required for propellane formation.

**Scheme 94**

After considerable experimentation, good yields of the desired alcohol 177 were obtained in a two step process (Scheme 94). Generation of the organomagnesium compound from 5-bromo-1-pentene, followed by the addition of isobutyl formate gave a mixture of the alcohol 177 (with its $^{13}$C nmr spectrum showing an alcohol resonance at $\delta$ 71.3 ppm and its IR spectrum including an OH stretch at 3400 cm$^{-1}$) and the ester 178 (for which the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum showed a formate resonance at $\delta$ 160.7 ppm, and its IR spectrum included a carbonyl stretch at 1722
Simple base hydrolysis of the mixture provided acceptable yields of crude 177. Chromatography proved unnecessary as good yields of ketone 179 could be obtained by direct oxidation of crude 177 with PCC (Scheme 95). Characteristic for 179 was the carbonyl resonance at δ 210.5 ppm in the $^{13}$C spectrum in addition to the carbonyl stretch at 1714 cm$^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum.

Scheme 96
Ketalization of 179 gave 180 (84%) with 1,2-ethanediol under standard conditions. The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum for 180 included the quaternary carbon resonance at $\delta$ 111.6 ppm and its $^1$H nmr spectrum revealed a dioxolane resonance at $\delta$ 3.92 ppm. Subsequent geminal acylation gave a poor yield of the 2,2-disubstituted-1,3-cyclopentanedione 181 (Scheme 96), despite the fact that the crude reaction product was determined from GCMS analysis to contain a high proportion of 181. The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum of 181 showed a ketone resonance at $\delta$ 217.4 ppm, and the distinctive cyclopentanedione hydrogens appeared at $\delta$ 2.71 ppm in the $^1$H nmr spectrum. Suspecting, as before, that the low yield was at least partly a consequence of our inability to detect the diketone using conventional TLC visualization methods during chromatography, we focused on the preparation of 181 from the ketone 179, because our modified "ketone procedure" obviated the need for chromatography. As discussed in Chapter 1, diketone products of acceptable purity could be obtained by filtration through a Florisil/charcoal plug. Thus, treatment of crude ketone 179 with 1 under the "ketone conditions" gave 181 in 47% yield, approximately twice that obtained when the analogous ketal substrate was employed (25%).

With the cyclopentanedione moiety established, it was now necessary to convert the terminal double bonds into esters. Periodate-permanganate oxidation of the terminal double bonds of 181 failed to
provide any of the desired dicarboxylic acid 184. The best conditions were found to be ozonolysis with reductive work-up followed by subsequent oxidation rather than ozonolysis with oxidative work-up.

Scheme 97

Bubbling ozone through a solution of 181 followed by addition of dimethyl sulfide gave a mixture of the dialdehyde 182 and some more oxidized material, 183 (Scheme 97). The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum of the crude product included two carbonyl resonances, one at $\delta$ 201.2 ppm, characteristic of an aldehyde and the other at $\delta$ 162.9 ppm, characteristic of a carboxylic acid. This crude material was oxidized to the diacid 184 using a potassium permanganate solution in a phosphate buffer (Scheme 98). The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum of 184 included the ketone resonance at $\delta$ 216.4 ppm and the carboxylic acid resonance at $\delta$ 176.9 ppm. Esterification with Amberlyst 15 in methanol provided the key diester 185 (Scheme 98). The $^{13}$C nmr spectrum for 185 showed two carbonyl resonances, one for the ketones at $\delta$ 216.2 ppm and the other for the esters at $\delta$
173.0 ppm, in addition to the methyl resonance at δ 51.5 ppm. The ketones were not ketalized to any degree. The diester 185 was obtained in 55% overall yield from 5-bromo-1-pentene.

What remained was the key process of preparing the 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclononene compound and its spiroannulation
(Scheme 99)\textsuperscript{3} With the \textbf{185} in hand, and in light of the research involving \textit{1} and ketones, direct access to the propellane skeleton was attempted without protection of the ketone functions. Under the same conditions as we had used for the preparation of \textit{1},\textsuperscript{17} the diester \textbf{185} was boiled in toluene with 8.5 equivalents sodium metal and 6.7 equivalents of chlorotrimethylsilane to give a golden yellow solution. No attempt was made to isolate an intermediate cyclononene, but rather \textit{BF}_{3}\textit{.Et}_{2}O was added and the solution was stirred overnight. Water was added followed by excess \textit{BF}_{3}\textit{.Et}_{2}O. This should have afforded the spiroannulated product \textbf{186}, but in the event that the ketones had been reduced by the excess sodium in the acyloin step, \textit{PCC} was added to bring all oxygen functions to at least the ketone oxidation level. Unfortunately there were no ketone resonances in the \textit{13C} nmr spectrum of the crude product, and both the \textit{13C} and \textit{1H} nmr spectra were very complex. No starting material was recovered.

Ultrasonic irradiation affects chemical reactions in solution by the generation of sound waves which induces rapid growth and sudden collapse of bubbles. The overall result is an intense localized pressure and temperature change during collapse of these bubbles. More importantly because these are localized changes it does not elevate the

\textsuperscript{1}For a detailed account of the parameters considered see Table 10 in the Appendix.
temperature of the total reaction solution. Furthermore, many organic transformations involving metals have been developed or improved from ultrasonic studies.\textsuperscript{58} We felt that the use of ultrasonic conditions might favor the desired acyloin reaction, which is a process known to occur on the surface of the metal. Unfortunately, this also failed to give any acyloin product or geminal acylation product. Unlike the previous conditions, however, the major component could be identified from crude spectra as the starting diester 185. This result demonstrated that whereas ultrasonic irradiation was mild enough to leave the ketones untouched, it also failed to be of use in facilitating the desired acyloin sequence.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH}_2\text{O}_2\text{C} & \quad \text{CO}_2\text{CH}_3 \\
& \quad \text{187}
\end{align*}
\]

The failure of ultrasonic irradiation led us to reconsider the initial conditions using boiling toluene, as it was important to determine whether the acyloin reaction actually took place. The most likely problem with this reaction would be reduction or coupling reactions involving the ketone functions. Therefore, the diester 187, with the ketones protected as ketal, was considered. To avoid a possible problem with
-157-

transesterification, this ketalized derivative was prepared from 181 so that ketalization preceded ester formation.

Scheme 100

Acid-catalysed ketalization of 181 with 1,2-ethanediol was difficult, presumably because this involves the formation of three adjacent quaternary centers. The major product was the mono-ketal 188 (Scheme 100). The \(^{13}\text{C}\) nmr spectrum included a ketone resonance at \(\delta\) 216.5 ppm and a quaternary carbon at \(\delta\) 117.0 ppm, and the IR spectrum showed a carbonyl stretch at 1740 cm\(^{-1}\). A very small amount of the diketal 189 was also obtained by chromatography. It had no carbonyl signals in either the IR or the \(^{13}\text{C}\) nmr spectra but included in its \(^{13}\text{C}\) nmr spectrum was a quaternary carbon resonance at \(\delta\) 117.9 ppm. Reintroduction of monoketal 188 back into the ketalization conditions did not generate any more of the desired diketal 189. Attempts to recycle the monoketal resulted in its destruction. Spectral analysis of the crude product showed evidence of double bond isomerization and opening of
the cyclopentanedione ring. Ketalization using 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol was unsuccessful even when the Dean-Stark water separator was filled with anhydrous copper sulfate or Molecular Sieves to facilitate water removal, as only starting 181 was recovered. Ketalization using 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)ethane under trimethylsilyl trifluoromethane sulfonate catalysis was also unsuccessful.

Scheme 101

The ketalization using 1,2-ethanediol was not optimized, due in part to uncertainty regarding the stability of a ketal under the conditions required for ester formation, and also the uncertainty as to whether the desired propellane skeleton can be accessed from such a precursor. As discussed for the diester 185, the ketalized analogue was prepared from the terminal double bond precursor in the same manner. Ozonolysis with reductive work-up, followed by oxidation with permanganate in a phosphate buffer and esterification with Amberlyst 15 in methanol gave a
mixture of diester 185 (57%), the monoketel 190 (9%), and diketal 191 (32%) (by GCMS analysis) (Scheme 101). The mixture was treated with sodium metal and chlorotrimethylsilane in boiling toluene in the hope of obtaining some evidence for a propellane (Scheme 102). The filtrate obtained from the acyloin step was cooled to -78°C prior to the addition of BF₃·Et₂O. Aqueous work-up followed by flash chromatography gave only one identifiable compound, the diester 185.

Scheme 102

Faced with no satisfactory conditions for generation of the propellane skeleton, the diester 185 was treated with a variety of non-nucleophilic bases in the hope of preparing the angularly fused triquinane, 192 (Scheme 103). Aldol cyclization onto the ketones of the 1,3-cyclopentanedione moiety should allow access to such a system as illustrated in Scheme 103. Initial attempts using a large excess of NaH gave only what appeared to be polymeric material. The ¹³C nmr spectrum of this product showed no evidence for either ester or olefinic
functionality, but the alkane region was extremely complex. Addition of sodium metal to a methanol solution of the diester did show some evidence for aldol condensation. Among the volatile components were what appeared to be (by GCMS) a mixture of cyclopentanedione ring opened analogues of starting diester 185 and two isomers having the correct molecular ion for 192 (the chirality of the carbinol centers will give diastereomeric mixtures). Dehydration should force the mixture to a single compound if double bond isomerization does not occur (Scheme 104), so the crude material was heated in the presence of ρTSA.

Scheme 104
Chromatography failed to give any pure fractions and while the $^1$H nmr spectrum of some fractions did include olefinic resonances (may possibly be double bond isomers of 193) in addition to methoxy resonances, the amount of material isolated was very small (all combined fractions would account for only 1 - 2% yield). Inverse addition led to a crude product for which the $^{13}$C nmr spectrum was very complex in the aliphatic region, but it did show olefinic and ester signals. Flash chromatography gave a very small amount (less than 1%) of a material that showed olefinic signals at $\delta$ 125.7, 128.2, 128.3 ppm and an ester resonance at $\delta$ 173.7 ppm in its $^{13}$C nmr spectrum. The $^1$H nmr spectrum showed no olefinic resonances (the dehydrated triquinane would have tetrasubstituted double bonds) and many methoxy singlets in the $\delta$ 3.6 to 3.8 ppm range in addition to a very complex aliphatic region likely due to polymeric impurities. The volatile component of this material was analysed by GCMS, and this showed what may have been a mixture of the non-dehydrated triquinane ($M^+ 298$: (4), $M^+ - H_2O$: 280 (12)) having a 27% peak area and the singly dehydrated triquinane ($M^+ 280$: (8), $M^+ - H_2O$: not observed) having a 9% peak area. The mixture was stirred in the presence of pTSA for 16 days after which GCMS analysis indicated isomers of two singly dehydrated triquinanes in a ratio of 3.5:1. Chromatography failed to give pure fractions and only trace amounts of material were recovered.

Two-pot sequences were also studied in which only one
deprotonation at a time should occur. Both the sequence employing t-BuOK and NaH failed to give any evidence for a triquinane derivative as both crude materials were, from nmr analysis, found to be only polymeric material. Unlike the one-pot processes, no olefinic or carbonyl resonances were detected in the $^{13}$C nmr spectra.

Further experimentation will be required if such triquinane species are to be accessed from diester 185. From our studies it is uncertain whether the cyclopentanedione hydrogens are also abstracted, especially when large number of equivalents of base are used, and whether intermolecular/intramolecular coupling of the anion with the ester function is a competing reaction.

III. EXPERIMENTAL

For general remarks and instrumentation see Chapter 1. As discussed in Chapter 2 nmr spectra resonances have been completely assigned with the numbers referring to the numbering scheme.

**Ethyl 4-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-pentanoate (171).** To a solution of ethyl levulinate (12.7 g, 88.6 mmol) in benzene (75 mL) was added pTSA (-0.3 g) and 1,2-ethanediol (10.9 g, 0.18 mol). The mixture was heated under reflux overnight with azeotropic removal of water. After cooling, the solution was washed with saturated NaHCO$_3$ (70 mL) and
then with H₂O (50 mL). The aqueous layers were re-extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure. Vacuum distillation of the yellow residue gave 171 (12.5 g, 75%) as a colorless liquid, b.p. 68 - 69°C / 1 mm Hg: IR: 1740 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 4.11 (2H, q, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 3.92 (4H, symmetrical m, dioxolane), 2.35 (2H, t, J = 7.7, H-2), 1.99 (2H, t, J = 7.7 H-3), 1.29 (3H, s, H-S), 1.24 (3H, t, J = 7.2, ester CH₃). ¹³C nmr: δ 172.5 (C-1), 108.3 (C-4), 64.0 (2C, dioxolane), 59.4 (ester CH₂), 33.4 (C-2), 28.3 (C-3), 23.2 (ester CH₃), 13.5 (C-5). MS: no M⁺, 173 (M⁺ - CH₃, 15), 143 (M⁺ - ethyl, 20), 129 (9), 99 (56), 87 (cleavage between C-3 and C-4, 100), 43 (R, 8). Exact mass calcd. for C₈H₁₃O₄ (M⁺ - CH₃): 173.0813, found 173.0809.

Ethyl 3-(1'-methyl-2',6'-dioxocyclohexane)propanoate (172).

The following is a representative procedure⁴: A solution of 171 (306 mg, 1.62 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was cooled to -78°C. BF₃·Et₂O (3.0 mL, 24 mmol) was added followed, dropwise, by the addition of a solution of 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclopentene (4.1 mmol, 1.2 mL) in CI₂H₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The mixture was stirred for 45 h during which time the mixture attained room temperature. The dichloromethane solution was washed with water (2 x 30 mL), and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 40 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL),

⁴For individual experiments see Table 8 in the Appendix.
dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure. The dark residue (GCMS showed one volatile component) was dissolved in ether (150 mL) and purified by filtration through a charcoal / Florisil plug to give 172 (99.0 mg, 27%) as a red viscous oil. Nmr showed one major set of signals that was consistent with those in the literature.²⁺¹H nmr: δ 4.09 (2H, q, ester CH₂), 2.69 (4H, m, H-4' and H-6'), 2.10 - 2.22 (4H, m, H-1 and H-2), 1.90 - 2.09 (2H, m, H-5'), 1.26 (3H, s, C-1' CH₃), 1.21 - 1.24 (3H, br t, ester CH₃). ¹³C nmr: δ 209.7 (C-2' and C-6'), 172.7 (C-3), 64.2 (C-1'), 60.5 (ester CH₂), 37.7 (C-3' and C-5'), 30.4 (C-2), 29.4 (C-1), 20.7 (C-5'), 17.5 (C-1' CH₃), 14.1 (ester CH₃).

Diethyl 4-methyl-5-oxononanedioate (176). After bubbling a stream of nitrogen through a solution of crude 172 (3.47 g, 15.4 mmol) in EtOH (60 mL), freshly cut sodium (42 mg, 18 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under N₂ until the sodium had been consumed. Water (200 mL) was added, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 50 mL) and EtOAc (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure to give 176 (1.88 g, 85%) as a tan oil. No purification was undertaken. IR: 1700 and 1730 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 4.12 (2H, q, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 4.10 (2H, q, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 2.62 (1H, m, H-4), 2.50 - 2.59 (2H, m, H-7), 2.25 - 2.39 (4H, m, H-2 and H-8), 1.96 (1H, m, H-6), 1.83 - 1.93 (2H, m, H-3),
1.65 (1H, symmetrical m, H-6), 1.26 (3H, t, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 1.24 (3H, t, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.9, methyl). ¹³C nmr: δ 213.0 (C-5), 173.1 (C-1 and C-9), 60.31 (ester CH₂), 60.27 (ester CH₂), 45.5 (C-4), 39.9 (C-6), 33.7 (C-2 or C-8), 31.7 (C-2 or C-8), 27.5 (C-7), 18.7 (C-3), 16.3 (methyl), 14.1 (2C, ester CH₂). MS: 272 (M⁺, 0.7), 227 (M⁺ - OEt, 7), 181 (50), 143 (α-cleavage between C-5 and C-6, 100), 115 (59), 101 (22), 87 (39), 55 (40), 43 (23). Exact mass calc. for C₁₂H₁₉O₄ (M⁺ - OEt): 227.1282, found 227.1292.

Diethyl 4-methyl-5-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)nonanedioate (173). To a solution of 176 (4.11 g, 15.1 mmol) in benzene (75 mL) was added 1,2-ethanediol (1.87 g, 20.2 mmol) and pTSA (~200 mg). The reaction mixture was heated under reflux overnight with azeotropic removal of water. GC/MS analysis of the mixture still indicated unketalized material. Additional 1,2-ethanediol (3.6 g, 60 mmol) and pTSA (~500 mg) were added, and the system was heated under reflux for an additional 20 h. After cooling, the solution was washed with H₂O (2 x 50 mL), then the aqueous layers was re-extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure. Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) of the brown residue afforded 173 as a pale yellow liquid (1.98 g, 41%): IR: 1730 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 4.12 (4H, q, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 3.94 (4H, s, dioxolane), 2.21 - 2.43 (4H, m, H-7 and H-2 or H-8), 1.90 (1H,
symmetrical m, H-6), 1.63 - 1.78 (5H, m, H-4, H-3 and H-2 or H-8), 1.41 (1H, m, H-6), 1.25 (6H, t, J = 7.2, ester CH₃), 0.93 (3H, d, J = 8.9, methyl). ¹³C nmr: δ 173.6 and 173.3 (C-1 and C-9), 113.0 (C-5), 65.02 and 64.96 (dioxolane), 60.0 (ester CH₂), 38.9 (C-4), 34.2 (C-7), 32.8 (C-2 or C-8), 32.5 (C-2 or C-8), 26.4 (C-6), 18.6 (C-3), 14.1 (ester CH₃), 13.9 (C-4 CH₃). MS: M⁺ not found, 271 (M⁺ - OEt, 16), 225 (8), 201 (cleavage between C-5 and C-6, 39), 187 (cleavage between C-4 and C-5, 100), 113 (29), 99 (63), 55 (24). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₄H₂₀O₅ (M⁺ - OEt): 271.1544; found 271.1532.

Diethyl 5-(1',3'-dioxocyclopentane)-4-methyl-1,9-nonanedioate (174). A solution of 173 (186 mg, 0.57 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was cooled to -78°C under N₂. Tin tetrachloride (8.6 mmol, 1.0 mL) was added at once, followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of 1 (1.43 mmol, 0.40 mL) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (6.0 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight during which time the mixture attained room temperature. The dichloromethane solution was washed with water (2 x 50 mL), and the aqueous layers were re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 30 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (2 x 50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure. During aqueous work-up emulsions were encountered. GCMS analysis of the crude material showed hydrolyzed starting ketal 176 (major) and 174 (approximately 20%). After repeated chromatography (1.5 drops of MeOH per 10 mL of
CH₂Cl₂), a small sample of 174 (35 mg, 8%) as a yellow oil was obtained. IR: 1710 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 4.11 (2H, q, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 4.10 (2H, q, J = 7.2, ester CH₂), 2.71 (4H, narrow m, H-4' and H-5'), 2.20 - 2.41 (4H, m, H-2 and H-8), 1.91 (1H, m, H-4), 1.76 (1H, m, H-6), 1.61 - 1.72 (4H, m, H-3 and H-7), 1.40 (1H, m, H-6), 1.24 (3H, t, J = 7.2, ester CH₃), 1.22 (3H, t, J = 7.2, ester CH₃), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.9, methyl). ¹³C nmr: δ 216.9 (C-1' and C-3'), 172.9 and 172.6 (C-1 and C-9), 63.4 (C-5), 60.4 (ester CH₃), 37.5 (C-4), 36.53 and 36.48 (C-4' and C-5'), 34.3 (C-2 or C-8), 32.1 (C-2 or C-8), 31.2 (C-7), 26.3 (C-6), 19.9 (C-3), 14.1 (ester CH₃), 13.6 (C-4 methyl). MS: 340 (M⁺, 39), 294 (40), 267 (47), 239 (cleavage between C-3 and C-4, 70), 193 (239 - OEt, 85), 165 (193 - CO, 85), 137 (61), 81 (59), 55 (100). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₆H₂₈O₆: 340.1884; found 340.1871.

1,10-Undecadien-6-ol (177). To a suspension of freshly cut Mg ribbon (129 mg, 5.29 mmol), anhydrous ether (0.4 mL) and a crystal of I₂, was added a solution of 5-bromo-1-pentene (880 mg, 5.91 mmol) in anhydrous ether (0.8 mL) at a rate that maintained a gentle reflux. After the addition was complete, the solution was stirred at room temperature for 10 min, and then it was heated in a water bath for 15 min. After cooling to 0°C, a solution of methyl formate (436 mg, 7.26 mmol) in anhydrous ether (0.4 mL) was added in three portions over approximately 5 min. The solution was stirred an additional 20 min
before quenching (at 0°C) with saturated NH₄Cl (3 mL). The organic layer was washed with H₂O (2 x 10 mL), then the aqueous layers were re-extracted with ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (2 x 10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The dark yellow oil was shown (by GCMS) to be a mixture of the alcohol 177 (27%) and (1,10-undecadien-6-yl) formate 178 (62%). The crude sample was heated in a solution of KOH (0.9 g) in EtOH (20 mL) and H₂O (3.0 mL) for 30 min. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) of the residue gave 177 as a yellow oil (215 mg, 48%). For 177: IR: 3400 and 1690 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 5.80 (2H, symmetrical m, H-2 and H-10), 4.92 - 5.03 (4H, m, H-1 and H-11), 3.57 (1H, narrow m, H-6), 2.27 (1H, br s, OH), 2.03 - 2.09 (4H, m, H-3 and H-9), 1.37 - 1.57 (8H, m, H-4, H-5, H-7, and H-8). ¹³C nmr: δ 138.6 (C-2 and C-10), 114.4 (C-1 and C-11), 71.3 (C-6), 36.7 (C-3 and C-9), 33.6 (C-5 and C-8), 24.8 (C-4 and C-7). MS: M⁺ not found, 135 (2), 121 (3), 81 (M⁺ - H₂O and cleavage between C-5 and C-6, 100), 67 (20), 55 (70). For 178: a light yellow oil (1.24 g, 43%). IR: 1722 and 1641 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 8.08 (1H, s, CHO), 5.78 (2H, symmetrical m, H-2 and H-10), 4.93 - 5.00 (4H, m, H-1 and H-11), 5.03 (1H, m, H-6), 2.06 (4H, dq, J = 7.5, 1.5, H-3 and H-9), 1.55 - 1.61 (4H, m, H-5 and H-7), 1.37 - 1.47 (4H, m, H-4 and H-8). ¹³C nmr: δ 160.8 (CHO), 138.1 (C-2 and C-10), 114.7 (C-1 and C-11), 73.7 (C-6), 33.3 (C-
110-undecadien-6-one (179). To crude 177 (169 mg, 1.01 mmol)
in CH2Cl2 (10 mL) was added PCC (467 mg, 2.17 mmol) and, to facilitate
stirring, a Scoopula-tip of silica gel. The solution was stirred overnight
before ether (150 mL) was added, and the solution was filtered through a
silica gel plug. Evaporation of the filtrate at reduced pressure gave a
yellow oil. Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) provided 179 (157 mg,
94%). IR: 1715 and 1641 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 5.78 (2H, symmetrical m, H-2
and H-10), 4.93 - 5.00 (4H, m, H-1 and H-11), 2.40 (4H, dq, J = 7.5, ₂₂,
H-3 and H-9), 2.05 (4H, br q, H-5 and H-7), 1.62 - 1.72 (4H, m, H-4 and
H-8). ¹³C nmr: δ 210.5 (C-6), 137.8 (C-2 and C-10), 115.0 (C-1 and C-
11), 41.8 (C-3 and C-9), 33.0 (C-5 and C-7), 22.6 (C-4 and C-8). MS:
166 (M⁺, 0.5), 112 (21), 97 (cleavage between C-5 and C-6, 35), 69 (59),
58 (44), 41 (100). Exact mass calcd. for C₆H₁₃O (cleavage between C-5
and C-6): 97.0653, found 97.0648.

6-(1',3'-Dioxolan-2'-yl)undeca-1,10-diene (180). To a solution of
179 (114 mg, 0.69 mmol) in benzene (75 mL) was added 1,2-ethanediol
(0.20 g, 3.5 mmol) and pTSA (- 0.5 g). The mixture was heated under
reflux overnight with azeotropic removal of water. After cooling the
solution was washed with H₂O (2 x 50 mL), and then the aqueous layers
were re-extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic
solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure. Chromatography (10% EtOAc/hexanes) of the residue afforded 180 as a yellow liquid (122 mg, 84%): IR: 1650 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 5.80 (2H, symmetrical m, H-2 and H-10), 4.93 - 5.03 (4H, m, H-1 and H-11), 3.92 (4H, s, dioxolane), 2.05 (4H, br q, J = 7.2, H-3 and H-9), 1.58 - 1.64 (4H, m, H-5 and H-7), 1.40 - 1.50 (4H, m, H-4 and H-8). ¹³C nmr: δ 138.6 (C-2 and C-10), 114.5 (C-1 and C-11), 111.6 (C-6), 64.9 (dioxolane), 36.5 (C-5 and C-7), 33.8 (C-3 and C-9), 23.0 (C-4 and C-8). MS: no M⁺, 141 (cleavage between C-5 and C-6, 100), 99 (57), 69 (13), 55 (18), 41 (43).

2,2-Bis(4'-pentenyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (181). From ketal 180: A solution of 180 (104 mg, 0.49 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was cooled to -78°C. BF₃·Et₂O (1.05 g, 7.41 mmol) was added at once, followed, dropwise, by the addition of a solution of 1 (350 mg, 1.50 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight during which time the mixture attained room temperature. The reaction solution was washed with water (2 x 30 mL), and the aqueous layers were re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 25 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated at reduced pressure. Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) gave 181 as a yellow oil (36.0 mg, 31%).

From ketone 179: To a solution of 179 (1.96 g, 11.8 mmol) in
CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (70 mL) at rt was added BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (1.5 mL, 12 mmol) followed by 1 (4.7 mL, 18 mmol). Water (0.7 mL) was added after 26 h, followed 10 min later by BF$_3$.Et$_2$O (22.0 mL, 1.76 mol). The solution was stirred overnight before being washed with water (2 x 50 mL). The aqueous layers were re-extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated at reduced pressure. The brown residue was taken up in ether (150 mL) and filtered through a charcoal/Florisil plug (as described in Chapter 1), to give 181 as a red oil (1.89 g, 47%): IR: 1722 and 1641 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H nmr: δ 5.80 (2H, symmetrical m, H-4' and H-4' of other tether), 4.93 - 5.00 (4H, m, H-5' and H-5' of other tether), 2.71 (4H, s, H-4 and H-5), 1.92 - 1.99 (4H, br q, $J=6.9$, H-3' and H-3' of other tether), 1.59 - 1.65 (4H, m, H-1' and H-1' of other tether), 1.14 - 1.25 (4H, m, H-2' and H-2' of other tether). $^{13}$C nmr: δ 217.4 (C-1 and C-3), 137.5 (C-4' and C-4' of other tether), 115.2 (C-5' and C-5' of other tether), 60.9 (C-2), 36.2 (C-4 and C-5), 34.7 (C-1' and C-1' of other tether), 33.8 (C-3' and C-3' of other tether), 23.8 (C-2' and C-2' of other tether). MS: no M+, 205 (2), 167 (52), 141 (26), 125 (25), 112 (44), 99 (21), 81 (34), 67 (54), 55 (69), 41 (100), 39 (42).

5-(1',3'-dioxocyclopentane)nonane-1,9-dial (182). A stream of ozone was bubbled through a solution of 181 (343 mg, 1.47 mmol) in dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (75 mL) at -78°C until the blue color persisted. Oxygen was
bubbled through the solution until the solution was devoid of color. The solution was placed under a N₂ atmosphere and dimethyl sulfide (3.5 mL) was added. The solution was stirred overnight while it attained room temperature. Concentration at reduced pressure gave a pale yellow oil. Chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes) provided a small sample of 182 as a pale yellow oil (70.7 mg, 20%), but the major component was a mixture of 182 and 183. For 182: IR: 1720 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 9.78 (2H, s, CHO), 2.74 (4H, s, H-4' and H-5'), 1.61 - 1.69 (8H, m, H-2, H-4 and H-6, H-8), 1.23 - 1.31 (4H, m, H-3 and H-8). ¹³C nmr δ: 216.6 (C-1' and C-3'), 201.8 (C-1 and C-9), 60.2 (C-2'), 36.1 (C-4' and C-5'), 34.4 (C-2 and C-8), 31.2 (C-4 and C-6), 18.8 (C-3 and C-7). For 183: the ¹³C nmr spectrum included resonances at δ 201.2 and 162.9 ppm in addition to those resonances assigned to 182.

5-(1',3'-Dioxocyclopentane)nonane-1,9-dioic acid (184). The pH of a suspension of 182 (201 mg, 0.84 mmol) in t-BuOH (3.2 mL) was adjusted to 5 - 6 by the addition of a 1.2 M phosphate buffer (2.2 mL) before the addition of KMnO₄ (3.2 mL of a 1.0 M aqueous solution). The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min before saturated aqueous Na₂SO₃ (5.0 mL) was added. Adjustment to pH 3 by the addition of ice cold dilute HCl, was followed by extraction with ether (3 x 35 mL), drying of the combined organic solutions over MgSO₄ and concentration at reduced pressure to afford 184 as a yellow oil (117 mg, 51%): IR: 3412
and 1720 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^{13}\)C nmr \(\delta\): 216.4 (C-1' and C-3'), 176.9 (C-1 and C-9), 69.6 (C-2 and C-8), 60.5 (C-5), 35.9 (C-4 and C-6), 33.8 (C-4' or C-5'), 33.6 (C-5' or C-4'), 19.5 (C-3 and C-7).

**Dimethyl 5-(1',3'-dioxocyclopentane)nonane-1,9-dioate (185).**
To a solution of crude 184 (5.55 g, 20.6 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) were added Amberlyst-15 beads (~1 g). This was stirred for 10 h. The beads were removed by filtration, and the solution was concentrated at reduced pressure. The tan residue was purified by dissolving it in ether (100 mL) and filtering the solution through a charcoal/Florisil plug. Concentration at reduced pressure gave 185 (4.57 g, 74%) as a yellow viscous oil: IR: 1735 and 1724 cm\(^{-1}\). \(^1\)H nmr: \(\delta\) 3.65 (6H, s, ester CH\(_3\)), 2.75 (4H, s, H-4' and H-5'), 2.23 (4H, t, \(J = 7.2\), H-4 and H-6), 1.61 - 1.63 (4H, m, H-2 and H-8), 1.45 - 1.48 (4H, m, H-3 and H-7). \(^{13}\)C nmr: \(\delta\) 216.3 (C-1' and C-3'), 173.0 (C-1 and C-9), 60.4 (C-5), 51.5 (2C, ester CH\(_3\)), 35.9 (C-4' and C-5'), 33.72 (C-4 and C-6), 33.66 (C-2 and C-8), 19.7 (C-3 and C-7). MS: 298 (M\(^+\), 3), 266 (5), 234 (19), 221 (8), 179 (14), 151 (32), 137 (17), 115 (31), 97 (29), 79 (28), 55 (100), 41 (71), 39 (10). Exact mass calcd. for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{22}\)O\(_6\): 298.1415; found 298.1419.

6-(1''-(1",3"-Dioxolan-2"-yl)-3'-oxocyclopentane)undeca-1,9-diene (188) and 6-(1',3'-bis(1",3"-dioxolan-2"-yl)cyclopentane)undeca-1,9-diene (189). To a solution of 181 (519 mg, 2.22 mmol) in benzene (75 mL) was added 1,2-ethanediol (2.0 g, 0.30 mol) and pTSA (0.5 g). The
reaction mixture was heated under reflux with azeotropic removal of water for approximately 30 h. After cooling, the solution was washed with H₂O (2 x 50 mL), and the aqueous layers were re-extracted with ether (2 x 50 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (75 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated at reduced pressure.

Chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) of the brown residue afforded 188 (297 mg, 48%) as a yellow liquid and 189 (121 mg, 17%) as a dark yellow oil. For 188: IR: 1740 and 1641 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 5.69 (2H, symmetrical m, H-2 and H-10), 4.84 - 4.94 (4H, m, H-1 and H-11), 3.90 (4H, symmetrical narrow m, dioxolane), 2.23 (4H, br t, J = 8.1, H-5 and H-7), 1.89 - 1.99 (4H, m, H-4' and H-5'), 1.53 - 1.64 (2H, m, H-3), 1.35 - 1.46 (2H, m, H-9), 1.21 - 1.31 (4H, m, H-4 and H-8). ¹³C nmr: δ 216.5 (C-3'), 138.5 (C-2 and C-10), 117.0 (C-1 and C-11), 114.3 (C-1'), 64.5 (dioxolane), 56.0 (C-6), 34.8 (C-5 and C-7), 34.0 (C-4'), 29.6 (C-5'), 27.8 (C-3 and C-9), 22.5 (C-4 and C-8). MS: 278 (M⁺, 1), 223 (54), 209 (5), 167 (4), 99 (100), 87 (10), 67 (19), 55 (29), 41 (45). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₇H₂₆O₃: 278.1881, found 278.1878. For 189: IR: 1642 cm⁻¹. ¹H nmr: δ 5.84 (2H, symmetrical m, H-2 and H-10), 4.91 - 5.03 (4H, m, H-1 and H-11), 3.90 (8H, s, dioxolane), 1.99 - 2.05 (4H, q, J = 6.6, H-5 and H-7), 1.88 (4H, s, H-4' and H-5'), 1.54 - 1.61 (4H, m, H-3 and H-9), 1.43 - 1.51 (4H, m, H-4 and H-8). ¹³C nmr: δ 139.2 (C-1 and C-11), 117.9 (C-1' and C-3'), 114.0 (C-2 and C-10), 64.0 (dioxolane), 52.6 (C-6), 35.0 (C-
3 and C-9), 32.4 (C-4' and C-5'), 27.9 (C-5 and C-7), 23.3 (C-4 and C-8).

MS: 322 (M', 1), 276 (1), 223 (100), 205 (3), 167 (4), 119 (2), 100 (79), 86 (23), 67 (23), 56 (14), 41 (53), 27 (14). Exact mass calcd. for

\( \text{C}_{19} \text{H}_{30} \text{O}_4 \): 322.2141, found 322.2143.

**Attempt to prepare dimethyl 5-(1',3'-bis(1",3"-dioxolan-2"-yl)cyclopentyl)nonane-1,9-dioate (191).** Following the procedure previously reported for the transformation of 181 to 185, noting the following changes: the starting diene being 189 (621.2 mg, 1.93 mmol) and that characterization and purification were omitted. Ozonolysis, oxidation and esterification were performed sequentially on the crude product obtained from the previous sequence. GCMS of the crude product after esterification indicated a mixture of the nonketalized diester 185 (27%); GCMS: no M', 234 (23), 189 (21), 164 (17), 151 (29), 123 (26), 105 (25), 67 (35), 55 (100); dimethyl 5-(1'-1",3"-dioxolan-2"-yl)-3'-oxocyclopentane)nonane-1,9-dioate 190 (10%); GCMS: no M', 255 (13), 241 (10), 179 (12), 151 (13), 137 (13), 99 (100), 86 (18), 55 (62) and dimethyl 5-(1',3'-bis(1",3"-dioxolan-2"-yl)cyclopentane)nonane-1,9-dioate 191 (42%); GCMS: no M', 342 (8), 311 (7), 255 (46), 199 (15), 179 (17), 151 (20), 99 (100), 55 (58). Chromatography (5% to 60% EtOAc/hexanes over 500 fractions) using a small bore column with approximately 10 g of silica was not successful in separating the compounds. None of the fractions collected contained either of the
compounds above despite the increase in polarity to 60%. Stripping the column with EtOAc provided a pale yellow oil (190 mg, 27% if pure) which from GCMS analysis indicated a mixture of 185 (32%), 190 (9%) and 191 (57%). This mixture was not purified but was treated directly with sodium and chlorotrimethylsilane in boiling toluene following the procedure successfully used in the preparation of 1. After boiling in toluene for 9 h, the solution was filtered under a nitrogen atmosphere to give a yellow toluene solution. This filtrate was cooled to -78°C where BF₃·Et₂O (15 equivalents based upon 185). The solution was stirred overnight where it was allowed to attain rt. The solution was washed with water (2 x 70 mL) and the aqueous solutions were re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 75 mL). The combined organic solutions were washed with brine (70 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated at reduced pressure. Unfortunately, no evidence for the propellane skeleton was found from nmr analysis of the crude product. The major component was identified as 185.
REFERENCES


96, 7503.


17. Bloomfield, J. J.; Nelke, J. M. Org. Synth. 1988, Coll. Vol. VI, 167. It was important that 1 be pure, therefore redistillation of 1 under vacuum is strongly recommended if impurities are evident in its $^1$H nmr.


27. Terashima, S. *Synlett* 1992, 9, 691.


Appendix

SUB-APPENDIX 1. DATA TABLES

SUB-APPENDIX 2. $^1$H NMR SPECTRA
## SUB-APPENDIX 1. DATA TABLES

The following abbreviations have been used in the Data Tables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Column</td>
<td>Column chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude</td>
<td>Crude product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>days</td>
<td>typically 5 - 8 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT</td>
<td>Cyclobutanone intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kugelrohr</td>
<td>Kugelrohr distillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Lewis Acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Reagent added without dilution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pdt</td>
<td>2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanedione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Starting material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub</td>
<td>Substrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5Ring</td>
<td>1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)-cyclopentene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment #</td>
<td>RATIO Ketone I BF,E2,O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.25:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.25:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.25:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.025:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment #</td>
<td>RA/TO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:3:15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1:2:1:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1:2:1:1:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1:2:1:1:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1:2:1:1:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1:2:1:1:0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1:2:1:1:0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3: Optimization of Cyclohexanone with 1 (cont.)**
Table 3: Optimization of Cyclohexanone with 1 (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>RATIO Ketone 1 BF$_2$EtO$_2$</th>
<th>Ketone Conc. mol/L</th>
<th>Quantity 1 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$</th>
<th>Add' time min</th>
<th>Rtn Sequence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neal</td>
<td>Add' @ RT 1 h Str 1 h vs BF$_2$EtO</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Pirosid yield=61% GCMS=93%</td>
<td>Incomplete reaction GCMS also showed 42% intermediate. Note no H$_2$O added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Neal</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Reflux 3 h Cool (15 min) vs BF$_2$EtO Str 1 h</td>
<td>2.5 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Pirosid yield=39% GCMS=49%</td>
<td>Incomplete Run GCMS also showed 12% SM Note no H$_2$O added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.94 0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add' @ -78°C Str 3 h Warm to RT 1 h</td>
<td>23 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Pirosid yield=94% Spectra good</td>
<td>Yields 94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1:3:3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>Neal</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Str 22 h H$_2$O (10 min) vs BF$_2$EtO Str 3 h</td>
<td>26 h</td>
<td>Column Yield=59%, 12% recovery of diketone used as dope</td>
<td>Note sample doped with another spiro diketone but both had same RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>RATIO Ketone 1 BF$_3$EtO</td>
<td>Ketone Conc mol/L</td>
<td>Quantity 1 in CH$_2$Cl$_2$</td>
<td>Add time min</td>
<td>FXN Sequence</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Isolation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>102515</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.8/4.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight</td>
<td>19 h</td>
<td>Not isolated GCMS=31%</td>
<td>Diekene not isolated Very messy chromatogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>102515</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight</td>
<td>26 h</td>
<td>Not isolated GCMS=6%</td>
<td>SiO$_2$ catalysis very poor for diekene formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>102515</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight</td>
<td>27 h</td>
<td>Not isolated GCMS very messy</td>
<td>GCMS showed diekene 41%, and opened diekene isomers 17 and 19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>102515</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Column yield=18% GCMS=98%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>102515</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.060</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-78°C to RT Stir @ RT for days</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>Column yield=28% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Yield=26% Note GCMS of crude showed 56% diekene and 25 and 11% of opened isomers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Added in sequence of 3, 3, 1 and 1 eq</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT Reflux days</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Kugelrohr=56% GCMS=56%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=33% GCMS showed 19% SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.7.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>8 additions of 1 eq</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>L.A. added @ RT 1 added @ reflux</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Kugelrohr=42% GCMS=50%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=31% GCMS showed 14% SM Additional 1 did not improve yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3.15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.660</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C Stir 7 h 15 eq BF$_3$EtO Stir overnight</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>Aqueous workup Retreat crude with BF$_3$EtO Aqueous workup Retreat crude with TFA</td>
<td>GCMS results SM, ptf int 5 40 42 (normal) 0.74 16 (2° BF$_3$EtO) 28.68 0 (TFA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0.15.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.6/4.0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C Stir 3 h Quench @ -79°C</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Crude SM 12%, ptf 2%, and int 70% was treated with TFA</td>
<td>charcoal/sodium GCMS SM 98% and ptf 92% No int present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0.15.10 H$_2$Oxs BF$_3$EtO</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT Stir 1 h H$_2$O (10 min) xs BF$_3$EtO Stir 1 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>charcoal/sodium yield=65% GCMS=91%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Optimization of 2-Methylcyclohexanone with 1 (contd.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>P-TIO Ketone 1 BF₃Et₂O</th>
<th>Ketone Conc. mEq</th>
<th>Quantity 1 in CH₂Cl₂</th>
<th>Add' time min</th>
<th>RXN Sequence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10 15 10 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 2 h H₂O (10 min) vs BF₃Et₂O Stir 1 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 63% GCMS = 40%</td>
<td>GCMS also showed 17% SM and 35% intermediate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10 15 10 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 1 h H₂O (10 min) vs BF₃Et₂O Stir 1 h</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 62% NMR pure</td>
<td>Yield 62%, Suggests rearrangement step requires more than 2 h. See experiments 10 &amp; 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10 15 10 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 1 h H₂O (10 min) vs BF₃Et₂O Stir overnight</td>
<td>1 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 47% NMR good trace of SM GCMS = 79%</td>
<td>Yield 47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10 15 10 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 1 h H₂O (10 min) vs BF₃Et₂O Stir overnight</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 63% GCMS 2% SM 55% prod and 29% intermediate</td>
<td>°C NMR complex mixture of intermediate and product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10 15 10 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 1 h H₂O (10 min) vs BF₃Et₂O Stir overnight</td>
<td>20 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 7% GCMS = 80%</td>
<td>Some SM detected in NMR, 20% yield = 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10 15 10 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 2 h Warm to RT (1 h) H₂O (10 min) Recover to 78°C vs BF₃Et₂O Stir overnight</td>
<td>23 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 65% GCMS only 28%</td>
<td>Note SM 65%, suggesting 78°C is not as effective as RT 1-2: see entry 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>13 3 H₂Ox BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' 0.0 RT Stir 4 h H₂O (10 min) vs BF₃Et₂O Stir 3 days</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>charcoal/Florisil yield 56% GCMS = 79%</td>
<td>Corrected yield = 75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Optimization of Norcamphor with 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>RATIO Ketone 1 SF,EtO</th>
<th>Ketone Conc mol/L</th>
<th>Quantity 1 in EH,Si</th>
<th>Add time run</th>
<th>RXN Sequence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.025 15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnighth</td>
<td>20 h</td>
<td>No ppt isolated</td>
<td>GCMS=100% SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.025 15.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnighth</td>
<td>20 h</td>
<td>Column yield=42%</td>
<td>GCMS=100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.815</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnighth 5-6 days</td>
<td>25 days</td>
<td>Column yield=12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.815</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnighth</td>
<td>19 h</td>
<td>Column unsuccessful</td>
<td>No ppt detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.025 15.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnighth</td>
<td>25 h</td>
<td>Column yield=53%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.01</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-78°C to RT</td>
<td>28 h</td>
<td>Kugelroth 96%</td>
<td>GCMS=60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Add •-78°C Str 20 min Wash to RT (1 h) prior to refluxing 1 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Kugelroth 64%</td>
<td>GCMS=91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.315</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Add •-78°C Aliquots removed and studied using GCMS</td>
<td>10 h</td>
<td>No ppt even after 1.5 h •-78°C Ppt only detected at temp 0°C or higher Ratio levelling 1 to 3 in favour of ppt</td>
<td>Note some SM remained even after • RT for 3 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment #</td>
<td>RATIO Ketone 1:BFeL0</td>
<td>Ketone Conc. molL</td>
<td>Quantity 1 in CH2Cl2</td>
<td>Add' time min</td>
<td>RXN Sequence</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Isolation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.3.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>40/100</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Add' @ 78°C Then reflux prior to refluxing days</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Alkylates removed and quenched at intervals during reflux. Ratio determined by GCMS</td>
<td>After 7 h reflux pdt 81% and SM 8%. As reflux time increases pdt% decreases and int detected. After 23 h reflux 7% SM remained 1 eq 1 added next GCMS 1 h later no SM. Note pdt maintained 85% and int 12%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Then reflux</td>
<td>23 h</td>
<td>GCMS showed only SM</td>
<td>Suspect immediate reflux does not allow the initial alkyl step to proceed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0+5.15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>40/100</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Add' @ 78°C Warm to RT (in 15 min) prior to refluxing overnight</td>
<td>26 h</td>
<td>Kupelioni 94% GCMS+76% also 11% SM</td>
<td>Corrected yield=66% GCMS after 26 h showed 11 SM pdt. After more 1 SM = 6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0+5.10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Stir 2 h H2O (10 min) vs BF3:EtO Stir 1 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>chromatography yields=76% GCMS=63% and 4% SM NMR very good</td>
<td>Corrected yield=63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0+5.10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Reflux 1 h Cool (15 min) add H2O (10 min) vs BF3:EtO Stir 1 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>chromatography yields=54% GCMS=160%</td>
<td>Fe=0x64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0+5.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Reflux 1 h Cool (15 min) add H2O (10 min) vs BF3:EtO Stir 1 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>chromatography yields=76% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Fe=0x76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment #</td>
<td>RATIO Ktore 1 BF,EtO</td>
<td>Ketone Conc. mol L</td>
<td>Quantity l CHCl3</td>
<td>Add't time min</td>
<td>RXN Sequence</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Isolation</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.015 1.0 H2O/BF,EtO</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=74% GCMS=100% NMR good</td>
<td>Reflux as above is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.015 1.0 H2O/BF,EtO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=72% GCMS=95% NMR good</td>
<td>Corrected yield=68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.015 1.0 H2O/DM BF,EtO</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT</td>
<td>18 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=41% NMR showed mixture of SM and ptt</td>
<td>Incomplete Run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.3 1.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.5/6.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C</td>
<td>21 h</td>
<td>Column yield=11% GCMS=63%</td>
<td>Suggests H2O and DM BF,EtO are required for useful yields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.3 1.3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.5/6.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C</td>
<td>5 h</td>
<td>Column yield=8% GCMS=94%</td>
<td>Poor yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.2 1.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.4/6.0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>Column  yield=4% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Yield=4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.01 1.5 1.0 H2O/BF,EtO</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=91% GCMS=71%</td>
<td>GCMS indicated 6% SM and 16% INT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.0 1.5 1.0 H2O/BF,EtO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.2/4.0</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=75% GCMS=81%</td>
<td>GCMS also showed 40% int</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6: Optimization of Isophorone with 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>RATIO Ketone 1 BF₃·O</th>
<th>Ketone Conc. mol%</th>
<th>Quantity 1 in CH₂Cl₂</th>
<th>Add’ time min</th>
<th>RXN Sequence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.025:150</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.4/5.0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight (Reex to -78°C (4 h))</td>
<td>26 h</td>
<td>Not isolated Only SM identified</td>
<td>No evidence for diketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.2/5.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight Str @ RT</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Column yield=32% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Suspect low yield due to polymerization / impurities used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>12/6.0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C Str 1 h 40 mm Warm to RT (15 h) Silica/H₂SO₄ Str 1 h</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>Column yield=20% GCMS=61%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=19% 45% based on recovered SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>12/6.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C Str 20 min WARMED at RT (1 15min) Reex 1 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Kugelrohr GCMS=100% SM</td>
<td>No diketone detected 90% recovery of SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>14/6.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add @ -78°C Str 20 min Reex 3 days</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Kugelrohr yield=55% GCMS=32%</td>
<td>GCMS showed 80% SM Ppt isomers with double bond only 7.5% and 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>13/6.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight Str @ RT days</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Column yield=33% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Yield=33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>12/6.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-78°C to RT overnight Str @ RT days</td>
<td>5 days</td>
<td>Column yield=33% GCMS=92%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=27% Note GCMS showed 8% of double bond shifted ptt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.01510 H₂DMS BF₃·O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT Str 3h H₂O(10 mm) as BF₃·O Str 40 mm</td>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>Not isolated Crude GCMS 21% SM Ppt only 6 &amp; 14%</td>
<td>Incomplete run Note ptt - 1 ratio of shifted and unshifted double bond isomers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.01510</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT Str days</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>charcoal/filtered yield=60% GCMS=25% SM only 51% ptt</td>
<td>Very little ppt Suggests that H₂O and BF₃·O are needed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.1510</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Neat</td>
<td>Add @ RT Str in [CH₃(CH₂)₃]₂NF (10 mm) as BF₃·O Str 1 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>Not isolated Crude GCMS showed SM 36% and ppt 24%</td>
<td>Suspect insufficient run time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Optimization of 1-Indanone with 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>RATIO Ketone 1 BF3EtO</th>
<th>Ketone Conc prod.</th>
<th>Quantity 1 in CH3Cl</th>
<th>Add' time mm</th>
<th>RXN Sequence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:3:15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.760</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Add' @ -78°C Str 20 mm Warm to RT (1h10mm) prior to refluxing 1.5 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Column yield=53% GCMS=63%</td>
<td>Crude GCMS=97% Stripping of column gave a dark oil GCMS=63% 66% pdd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:3:15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.460</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Add' @ -78°C Str 20 mm Warm to RT (1h10mm) prior to refluxing 1.5 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Kupelrohn=72% GCMS=63%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=87% Note Kupelrohn appears superior for isolation over column</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:4:15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.760</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Add' @ -78°C Str 20 mm Warm to RT (1h10mm) prior to refluxing 1.5 h</td>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>Kupelrohn=78% GCMS=65%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:3:15</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add' @ -78°C Str 20 mm Warm to RT(40mm) Str 46 h</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Column yield=49% GCMS=85%</td>
<td>Corrected yield=48% Note other fractions collected after ptt and GCMS showed 85% M'=200 but retention time was 4 min longer (intermediate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:0.5:1:0 H2O, BF3EtO</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Str 5 mm H2O (10 mm) × BF3EtO Str 30 mm</td>
<td>30 mm</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=75% GCMS=61% and SM 49%</td>
<td>Note 1 to 1 mixture of SM to ptt suggests there was insufficient time allowed for initial acid step</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1:0.1:5:1:0 H2O, BF3EtO</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Str 3 h H2O (10 mm) × BF3EtO Str 30 mm</td>
<td>1.5 h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floral yield=75% NMR showed diketone with trace of SM and other</td>
<td>Corrected yield=71% With acid step on time increased from 5 mm to 1 h, yield increased by 40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1:0.5:1:1:0 H2O, BF3EtO</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Next</td>
<td>Add' @ RT Str 1 h H2O (10 mm) × BF3EtO Str 1.5 h</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>Charcoal plug only Sample colored and contained some charcoal</td>
<td>Note Floral needed to obtain cleaner samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1:0.5:1:0 H2O added at start × BF3EtO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>Next</td>
<td>H2O added at start Add' @ RT Str 1.5 h × BF3EtO Str 1.5 h</td>
<td>1.5 h</td>
<td>Not isolated</td>
<td>Crude GCMS 100% SM Critical that the acid step be anhydroous Suggests H2O needed for rearrangement of intermediate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Optimization of 1-Indanone with 1 (contd.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>RATIO</th>
<th>Ketone Cont. mol.</th>
<th>Quantity 1 in CH₂Cl₂</th>
<th>Add' time min</th>
<th>RXN Sequence</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Isolation</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1:0.1:5:1.0 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.55/4.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add' @ 78°C</td>
<td>20h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=71% GCMS=80%</td>
<td>GCMS showed 9% SM NMR 12.0 18.6 58.6SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1:0.1:5:1.0 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=63% GCMS=91% SM 23%</td>
<td>NMR showed some SM 15 to 1 from integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=63% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Incomplete run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=63% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>NMR and GCMS excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=61% GCMS=91% SM 18%</td>
<td>Note no H₂O added get incomplete run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1:0.15:1:0 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>22h</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>2h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=63% GCMS=100%</td>
<td>Note no H₂O yet complete run in this case where the initial acid step was subject to reflux conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1:3.3 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3days</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>3h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=76% GCMS=89%</td>
<td>Corrected yield 67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1:3.3 H₂Ox/ BF₃Et₂O</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4days</td>
<td>Add' @ RT</td>
<td>3h</td>
<td>charcoal/Floreal yield=75% GCMS=85% NMR good</td>
<td>Same sample reported by column chromatography yields 33% GCMS=127% NMR excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8: Optimization for the Geminal Acylation of 171 with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>Sub/5Ring/LA</th>
<th>Molar Conc</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Crude</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0:1.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Stir overnight 5Ring added as soln over 30 min</td>
<td>Mixture of SM and diketone. Flash, impure pdt, SM recovered</td>
<td>Hydrolysed SM and impure diketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Add LA stir 30 min then 5Ring over 30min, Stir 19h</td>
<td>Crude GCMS pdt 62% and INT 36%</td>
<td>Column failed to give any pure diketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Add LA stir 30 min then 5Ring over 17h, Stir 48h</td>
<td>Crude messy pdt only 16% by GCMS</td>
<td>add&quot; of 5Ring soln over long period gave poor yield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C 5Ring added fast (5 min)</td>
<td>Hydrolysed SM no pdt detected</td>
<td>No pdt with fast add&quot; of 5Ring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0:3.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C add 2.5 eq 5Ring stir 19h add 1eq 5Ring stir 2 h</td>
<td>Messy crude pdt, no diketone detected</td>
<td>Sequence failed to provide pdt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Add 5Ring stir @ -78°C 48 h</td>
<td>Pdt only 13% by GCMS</td>
<td>Very little rxn @ -78°C 48 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Add 5Ring 1h Stir overnight</td>
<td>Mostly hydrolysed SM</td>
<td>Only trace of pdt in GCMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Add 5Ring Stir overnight Then @ rt 50h total</td>
<td>Crude GCMS 100% pdt No hydrolysed SM detected</td>
<td>Florisil/charcoal removed most of the color</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C stir for 48h</td>
<td>Crude GCMS 9% pdt, 21% hydrolysed SM</td>
<td>Still SM unlike entry 8 where soln stirred @ rt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8: Optimization for the Geminal Acylation of 171 with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (cont.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>Sub/5Ring/LA</th>
<th>Molar Conc</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Crude</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 10           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.12       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight then stir for 26h | Crude GCMS
pdt 85% but TLC very streaky | Florisil x 5
Yield = 27% |
| 11           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.16       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight then stir for 26h | Crude GCMS,
pdt major signal but only 50% | Florisil
Yield = 58% |
| 12           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.09       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight then stir for 26h | Rxn divided into 3 portions, all pooled after aqueous | Crude not as good for more conc runs but 5Ring was not fresh |
| 13           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.08       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight then stir for 26h | Two identical runs pooled for workup | Crude GCMS
65% pdt, INT 20% |
| 14           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.19       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight then stir for 26h | Crude GCMS
pdt 45% | Unknown
detected 55% |
| 15           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.27       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight | Crude GCMS
only 60% pdt | Unknown
(m/z 243) 22% also detected |
| 16           | 1.0:1.9:15.0 | 0.10       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight | Crude GCMS
pdt only 29% mostly SM | Less than 2.5eq 5Ring not as effective |
| 17           | 1.0:2.5:15.0 | 0.07       | Cool to -78°C
Warm to rt overnight then stir 25h | Crude GCMS
pdt 100% | Used without purification in next step |
Table 9: Attempt to prepare 174.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>Sub/LA</th>
<th>Molar Conc</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Crude</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Stir overnight 1 added as soln over 60 min</td>
<td>No diketone only SM detected in GCMS</td>
<td>Hydrolysed SM and impurity from 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0:8.0:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Stir overnight 1 added as soln over 60 min</td>
<td>Crude GCMS no pdt. only hydrolysed SM</td>
<td>Crude GCMS no diketone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0:3.0:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Stir overnight 1 added as soln over 60 min</td>
<td>Crude SM Emulsions LA=SnCl₄ Stir overnight 33% and pdt 10 very severe. Change in LA resulted in emulsions</td>
<td>Additional 1 failed to give pdt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Stir overnight 1 added as soln over 60 min</td>
<td>Crude SM 33% and pdt 27%. Change in LA resulted 2 days @ rt. Some pdt detected</td>
<td>Emulsions very severe during work-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0:3.0:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight stir 2 days @ rt. 1 added as soln over 60 min</td>
<td>Crude SM 37% and pdt 18%. Similar to experiment 4 despite the increase time</td>
<td>Additional time did not improve ratio of SM:pdt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Remove after add-ons. Stir 2 days @ rt. 1 added as a soln over 1 h</td>
<td>No pdt detected only SM. Appears temp -78°C beneficial</td>
<td>Removing immediately from -78°C appears to prohibit the rxn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Keep @ -78°C overnight quench cold</td>
<td>No pdt detected TiCl₄ as catalyst. NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Keep @ -78°C overnight Warm to rt</td>
<td>Trace of pdt &lt;1%</td>
<td>Very little rxn. Longer rxn time required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Add* @ rt stir 22 h</td>
<td>Crude no pdt</td>
<td>Appears add* @ -78°C best</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9: Attempt to prepare 174 (cont.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>Sub/1/LA</th>
<th>Molar Conc</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Crude</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight then stir for 26h</td>
<td>Crude GCMS</td>
<td>Rxn not predictable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>no pdt only</td>
<td>hydrolysed SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight</td>
<td>No pdt detected</td>
<td>Only hydrolysed SM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight Recool stir overnight</td>
<td>No pdt detected</td>
<td>Cannot reproduce result for pdt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:2.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight</td>
<td>No pdt detected</td>
<td>Less LA did not destroy all the SM (ketal).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight then recool for 3.5h Warm to rt</td>
<td>Crude GCMS</td>
<td>Fresh LA used but still no pdt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight</td>
<td>Crude GCMS</td>
<td>BF₃ etherate used no pdt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight Recool stir 3.5h</td>
<td>Crude GCMS</td>
<td>Adjusted pH to 7 and re-extracted still no pdt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0 LA=SnCl₄</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C Warm to rt overnight Recool stir 3h</td>
<td>Crude GCMS</td>
<td>Pdt detected but major hydrolysed SM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9: Attempt to prepare 174 (cont.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment #</th>
<th>Sub/1/LA</th>
<th>Molar Conc</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Crude</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.0:2.5:15.0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C</td>
<td>GCMS showed pdt with only 18% peak area</td>
<td>filtered thru cells to aid emulsion before extraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA=SnCl&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm to rt overnight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.0:10.0:15.0</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Cool to -78°C</td>
<td>pdt ~1% hydrolysed SM 21%</td>
<td>GCMS complex suspect due to xs 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA=SnCl&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>Warm to rt overnight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recool stir 3.5h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10: Attempt to Access the Propellane Skeleton from 185.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>185/Na/TMS</th>
<th>185/toluene</th>
<th>rxn time</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0:8.5:6.7</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>10.5 h</td>
<td>Reflux add 185/TMSCl over 2h. Reflux additional 8 h. Cool and filter. BF$_3$Et$_2$O (3eq) added immediately after filtration and soln stirred overnight under N$_2$. H$_2$O xs BF$_3$Et$_2$O added and solution further stirred overnight. Aqueous work-up was followed by treatment of the crude with PCC. Stir 1h before filtering through silica. No carbonyl signals in $^{13}$C nmr spectrum (very complex). Does not appear to indicate propellane, polymeric material formed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.0:4.0:4.0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>4.5 h</td>
<td>Na/toluene, ultrasound for 1 h prior to the add of 185/TMSCl soln over 1 h. The mixture was further irradiated for an additional 1 h. Solution filtered under N$_2$ where xs BF$_3$Et$_2$O was immediately added. Solution stirred overnight. Aqueous work-up followed by spectral analysis showed major signal to be the starting ester, 185.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0:6.0:6.0</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>Na/toluene ultrasound for 10 min prior to the add 185/TMSCl soln over 10 min. The mixture was further irradiated for an additional 2 h. Solution filtered under N$_2$ where xs BF$_3$Et$_2$O was immediately added. Solution stirred overnight. Aqueous work-up followed by spectral analysis showed major signal to be the starting ester, 185.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUB-APPENDIX 2. $^1$H NMR SPECTRA.

The $^1$H nmr spectra of the synthetic samples are arranged in the order in which they appear in the text and unless otherwise noted were recorded in CDCl$_3$. 
49 $R_1 = H$, $R_2 = \text{Me}$
50 R1 = Me, R2 = H