

The classification of $[p]$ -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5

by

© Iren Darijani
M. Sc.

A thesis submitted to the
School of Graduate Studies
in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Memorial University of Newfoundland

March 5, 2015

ST. JOHN'S

NEWFOUNDLAND

Abstract

In this thesis, we will classify p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5. Any finite dimensional p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebra is nilpotent by Engel's theorem. Therefore, we use as our starting point, the classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 5 and classify the possible equivalence classes of p -maps on these Lie algebras. We first explain the method that we used to classify p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 which is the analogue of Skjelbred-Sund method for classifying nilpotent Lie algebras. Then, we will give a complete classification of p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 over perfect fields of characteristic $p \geq 5$.

Acknowledgements

I believe that apart from personal efforts, the success of any project depends largely on the support and guidance of many others around us. I take this opportunity to express my gratitude to the people who have been instrumental in the completeness of this work.

First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Hamid Usefi, as you have been a tremendous mentor for me during these last two years. Your support and encouragement have not only enabled me to accomplish this work, but also inspired me to pursue my goals. I specially thank you for your patience and being available at all times. I also wish to express my appreciation for your providing me with financial assistance throughout my Master's program.

Sincere appreciations are also given to all the staff at the Department of Mathematics and Statistics. I also gratefully acknowledge financial support from the school of Graduate studies.

I can never express my gratitude enough to my dear family who have a special place in my heart. Your constant care and attention helped me to get through every step of my life. Thank you for your unconditional love and your endless support. I love you.

Being away from my home country was hard for me, specially at the first of my life in Canada. Finally, I would like to thank my friends in Canada for their moral support when it was needed most.

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
1 Introduction	5
2 Preliminaries	10
2.1 Restricted Lie algebras	10
2.2 Constructing p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras	13
2.3 Isomorphism	21
2.4 Finding a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$	28
3 Restriction maps on the abelian Lie algebra	30
3.1 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	31
3.2 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2)$	31
3.3 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	32
3.4 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3)$	33
3.5 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	34
4 Restriction maps on $L_{5,2}$	36
4.1 Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,2}}{\langle x_3 \rangle}$	37

4.1.1	Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	39
4.1.2	Extensions of $(L, y_1^{[p]} = y_2)$	46
4.2	Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,2}}{\langle x_5 \rangle}$	47
4.2.1	Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	49
4.2.2	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$	52
4.2.3	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	55
4.2.4	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4)$	58
4.2.5	Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	60
4.2.6	Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	63
4.2.7	Extensions of $(L, x_4^{[p]} = x_3)$	65
4.2.8	Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3)$	68
4.2.9	A list of restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$	71
4.3	Detecting Isomorphisms	72
5	Restriction maps on $L_{5,3}$	85
5.1	Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,3}}{\langle x_4 \rangle}$	86
5.1.1	Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	88
5.1.2	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$	94
5.1.3	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	95
5.1.4	Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	100
5.2	Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,3}}{\langle x_5 \rangle}$	104
5.2.1	Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	106
5.2.2	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	110
5.2.3	Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4)$	113
5.2.4	Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	117
5.2.5	A list of restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,3}$	120

5.3	Detecting isomorphisms	122
6	Restriction maps on Lie algebras of 1-dimensional centre	147
6.1	Restriction maps on $L_{5,4}$	147
6.1.1	Extension of $L_{5,4}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map	149
6.1.2	Extensions of $(L_{5,4}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2)$	158
6.2	Restriction maps on $L_{5,5}$	159
6.2.1	Extension of $L_{5,5}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map	161
6.2.2	Extensions of $(L_{5,5}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$	167
6.3	Restriction maps on $L_{5,6}$	169
6.3.1	Extensions of $L_{5,6}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map	171
6.3.2	Extensions of $(L_{5,6}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	178
6.4	Restriction maps on $L_{5,7}$	179
6.4.1	Extensions of $L_{5,7}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map	181
6.4.2	Extensions of $(L_{5,7}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	187
7	Restriction maps on $L_{5,8}$	189
7.1	Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	191
7.2	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	196
7.3	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$	200
7.4	Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	201
7.5	Detecting isomorphisms	205
8	Restriction maps on $L_{5,9}$	212
8.1	Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$	215
8.2	Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$	222
8.3	Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4)$	226

<i>CONTENTS</i>	4
8.4 Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$	233
8.5 Detecting isomorphisms	239
8.5.1 Detecting isomorphism for $L_{5,9}^6$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\xi, \alpha)$	248
9 Conclusion	255
Bibliography	256

Chapter 1

Introduction

Let L be a Lie algebra over a field \mathbb{F} of positive characteristic p . Recall that L is called *restricted* if L affords a p -map that satisfies the following conditions for all $x, y \in L$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

1. $(\lambda x)^{[p]} = \lambda^p x^{[p]}$;
2. $(\text{ad } x)^p = \text{ad } (x^{[p]})$;
3. $(x + y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} s_j(x, y)$,

where $j s_j(x, y)$ is the coefficient of t^{j-1} in $(\text{ad } (tx + y))^{p-1}(x)$, t an indeterminate.

Recall that a restricted Lie algebra L is called p -nilpotent, if there exists an integer n such that $x^{[p]^n} = 0$, for all $x \in L$. The purpose of this thesis is to classify all p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 over perfect fields of characteristic $p \geq 5$. It follows from the Engel Theorem that if L is finite-dimensional and p -nilpotent then L is nilpotent. Our work builds upon the recent work of Schneider and Usefi [12] on the classification of p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension up to 4 over perfect fields of characteristic p . Our method is different than what is used in [12] as we describe below. The analogous

classification for small dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras has a long history. The classification of all nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension up to five over any field has been known for a long time. However, in dimension 6, the characterization depends on the underlying field. In 1958 Morozov [8] gave a classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 6 over a field of characteristic zero, see also [1, 7, 9, 11] for a classification over other fields. These classifications, however, differ and it was not easy to compare them until recently that de Graaf [4] gave a complete classification over any field of characteristic other than 2. de Graaf's approach can be verified computationally and was later revised and extended to characteristic 2 in [2]. The classification in dimensions more than 6 is still in progress, see for example [13, 10].

We now describe the method used in [12] and explain why this method is not applicable in dimension 5. Note that in order to define a p -map on L , it is enough to define it on a basis of L and then extend it to linear combinations using properties (1) and (3). Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : L \rightarrow L$ be two p -maps on L . Then the restricted Lie algebras (L, φ_1) and (L, φ_2) are isomorphic if and only if there exists $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ such that

$$A(\varphi_1(x)) = \varphi_2(A(x)) \quad \text{holds for all } x \in L.$$

Hence, φ_1 and φ_2 define isomorphic restricted Lie algebras if and only if there exists $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ such that $A\varphi_1A^{-1} = \varphi_2$; that is, they are conjugate under the automorphism group of L . In this case we say that the p -maps φ_1 and φ_2 are *equivalent*. This defines a left action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of p -maps and the isomorphism classes of restricted Lie algebras correspond to the $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits under this action. The main task using this approach would be then to find the $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits. This is exactly what the authors did in [12] to determine all p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension up to 4 over perfect fields. However, this task becomes computationally infeasible to carry out in dimension 5.

The method we use to classify p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 is the analogue of Skjelbred-Sund method for classifying nilpotent Lie algebras. We describe this method below.

Let L be a Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} and M a vector space, a q -dimensional cochain of L with coefficients in M is a skew-symmetric, q -linear map on L taking values in M . We denote the space of q -dimensional cochains of a Lie algebra L with coefficients in M by $C_{cl}^q(L, M)$. The coboundary map $\delta^q : C_{cl}^q(L, M) \rightarrow C_{cl}^{q+1}(L, M)$ is defined by

$$(\delta^q \phi)(l_1, \dots, l_{q+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq q+1} (-1)^{s+t-1} \phi([l_s, l_t], l_1, \dots, \widehat{l}_s, \dots, \widehat{l}_t, \dots, l_{q+1}),$$

where the symbol \widehat{l}_s indicates that this term is to be omitted. Now, let L be a restricted Lie algebra and M a vector space. We view M as a trivial L -module. Let ϕ be a skew-symmetric, 2-linear map on L taking values in M and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ a map. We say ω has \star -property with respect to ϕ , if for every $x, y \in L$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, we have

1. $\omega(\lambda x) = \lambda^p \omega(x)$

2. $\omega(x + y) = \omega(x) + \omega(y) + \sum_{\substack{x_j=x \text{ or } y \\ x_1=x, x_2=y}} \frac{1}{\#x} \phi([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p)$, where $\#x$ is the number of x .

We define the space of 2-dimensional cochains of a restricted Lie algebra L with coefficients in M as the subspace spanned by all such (ϕ, ω) . We denote this vector space by $C^2(L, M)$. Let $\psi : L \rightarrow M$ a map. It can be verified that $\tilde{\psi} : L \rightarrow M$ defined by $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(x^{[p]})$ has the \star -property with to $\delta^1 \psi$. We define

$$Z^2(L, M) = \{(\phi, \omega) \in C^2(L, M) \mid \delta^2 \phi = 0, \phi(x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}, y)\},$$

$$B^2(L, M) = \{(\phi, \omega) \in C^2(L, M) \mid \exists \psi \in C_{cl}^1(L, M), \text{ s.t. } \delta^1 \psi = \phi, \tilde{\psi} = \omega\}.$$

It can be seen that $B^2(L, M) \subseteq Z^2(L, M)$, so that, the quotient $Z^2(L, M)/B^2(L, M)$ is well-defined. The quotient $Z^2(L, M)/B^2(L, M)$ is called the second cohomology group of the restricted Lie algebra L with coefficients in M and we denote it by $H^2(L, M)$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, M)$. We set $L_\theta = L \oplus M$ as a vector space and define the Lie bracket and p -map on L_θ by:

$$[(x_1 + m_1), (x_2 + m_2)] = \phi(x_1, x_2) + [x_1, x_2], \quad (x + m)^{[p]} = \omega(x) + x^{[p]}.$$

Then L_θ with the given bracket and p -map is a restricted Lie algebra.

Now let K be a p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebra. Then its center $Z(K)$ is nonzero and there exists $x \in Z(K)$ such that $x^{[p]} = 0$. Let M be the one dimensional restricted ideal of K spanned by x , and set $L = K/M$. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence of restricted Lie algebras:

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Choose an injective linear map $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2])$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. It turns out that $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, M)$ and $K \cong L_\theta$. Therefore, any p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebra K of dimension n can be constructed as a central extensions of a p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension $n - 1$.

Now, the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(L)$ acts on $H^2(L, M)$ in a natural way. Let $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ and $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, M)$. We define $[A\theta] = [(A\phi, A\omega)]$, where $A\phi(x, y) = \phi(A(x), A(y))$ and $A\omega(x) = \omega(A(x))$. Let $[\theta_1], [\theta_2] \in H^2(L, M)$. It turns out that $[\theta_1]$ and $[\theta_2]$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if there exists an isomorphism $f : L_{\theta_1} \rightarrow L_{\theta_2}$ such that $f(M) = M$. Therefore, we use the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ to reduce the number of isomorphic restricted Lie algebras.

There are nine nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 5 that we denote them by $L_{5,i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 9$. Let i be in the range $1 \leq i \leq 9$ and set $K = L_{5,i}$. As we mentioned before, a

p -map is determined by its action on an \mathbb{F} -basis x_1, \dots, x_5 of K . Since the p -maps are p -nilpotent, there exists a central element $x \in K$ such that $x^{[p]} = 0$. Then we let $L = K/\langle x \rangle$ and use the methods above to find all 1-dimensional central extensions of L that lead to K . That is we choose those $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \langle x \rangle)$ such that L_θ is isomorphic as a Lie algebra to K . Then we list all possible p -maps that are obtained via different choices of θ and x . We still need to detect and remove the isomorphic algebras from this list. Finally, we shall prove that the remaining algebras in the list are pairwise non-isomorphic. Please note that a copy of this thesis exists in Arxiv.

Chapter 2

Preliminaries

2.1 Restricted Lie algebras

We first recall some definitions and notations that are mostly adopted from [15].

Definition 2.1.1 *A restricted Lie algebra of characteristic $p > 0$ is a Lie algebra L of characteristic p together with a map $L \rightarrow L$, denoted by $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$, that satisfies*

- $(\lambda x)^{[p]} = \lambda^p x^{[p]}$,
- $(x + y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} s_j(x, y)$
where $j s_j(x, y)$ is the coefficient of t^{j-1} in $(\text{ad}(tx + y))^{p-1}(x)$, t an indeterminate,
- $[x, y^{[p]}] = [x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_p]$,

for all $x, y \in L$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

The map $x \mapsto x^{[p]}$ is referred to as the p -operator. Note that the second property is equivalent to

$$(x + y)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + y^{[p]} + \sum_{\substack{x_j=x \text{ or } y \\ x_1=x, x_2=y}} \frac{1}{\#x} [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p],$$

where $\#x$ denotes the number of x 's among the x_j .

Note that long commutators are left-tapped, that is

$$[x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}] = [[x_1, \dots, x_k], x_{k+1}].$$

If L is a Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} and M is vector space, a q -dimensional cochain of L with coefficients in M is a skew-symmetric, q -linear map on L taking values in M . We denote the space of q -dimensional cochains of a Lie algebra L with coefficients in M by $C_{\text{cl}}^q(L, M)$. So, we have

$$C_{\text{cl}}^q(L, M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\Lambda^q L, M).$$

The coboundary map $\delta^q : C_{\text{cl}}^q(L, M) \rightarrow C_{\text{cl}}^{q+1}(L, M)$ is defined by

$$(\delta^q \phi)(l_1, \dots, l_{q+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq q+1} (-1)^{s+t-1} \phi([l_s, l_t], l_1, \dots, \widehat{l}_s, \dots, \widehat{l}_t, \dots, l_{q+1}),$$

where the symbol \widehat{l}_s indicates that this term is to be omitted.

Definition 2.1.2 *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra over \mathbb{F} and M a vector space. If $\phi \in C_{\text{cl}}^2(L, M)$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ a function, we say ω has the \star -property with respect to ϕ if for every $x, y \in L$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, we have*

1. $\omega(\lambda x) = \lambda^p \omega(x)$

2. $\omega(x + y) = \omega(x) + \omega(y) + \sum_{\substack{x_j = x \text{ or } y \\ x_1 = x, x_2 = y}} \frac{1}{\#x} \phi([x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p)$, where $\#x$ is the number of x .

Now, we define the space of 2-dimensional cochains of a restricted Lie algebra L with coefficients in M as the subspace spanned by all (ϕ, ω) such that ϕ is skew-symmetric and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ has the \star -property with respect to ϕ . We denote this vector space by $C^2(L, M)$.

Evidently if ω and ω' have the \star -property with respect to ϕ and ϕ' respectively, then $\omega + \omega'$ has the \star -property with respect to $\phi + \phi'$, and hence $C^2(L, M)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{F} by point wise addition in each coordinate. We have adopted these definitions from [5, 6], however, the definition of \star -property in the whole generality given in [5, 6] is ambiguous.

Lemma 2.1.3 *Let M be a vector space and $\psi : L \rightarrow M$ a linear map. Then $\tilde{\psi} : L \rightarrow M$ defined by $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(x^{[p]})$ has the \star -property with respect to $\delta^1\psi$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\tilde{\psi}(\lambda x) = \lambda^p \tilde{\psi}(x)$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Since $\psi[x_1, \dots, x_p] = (\delta^1\psi)([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(x + y) &= \psi((x + y)^{[p]}) = \psi(x^{[p]}) + \psi(y^{[p]}) + \psi\left(\sum_{\substack{x_j=x \text{ or } y \\ x_1=x, x_2=y}} \frac{1}{\#x} [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p]\right) \\ &= \psi(x^{[p]}) + \psi(y^{[p]}) + \sum_{\substack{x_j=x \text{ or } y \\ x_1=x, x_2=y}} \frac{1}{\#(x)} (\delta^1\psi)([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p), \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in L$. ■

Definition 2.1.4 *We define*

$$\begin{aligned} Z^2(L, M) &= \{(\phi, \omega) \in C^2(L, M) \mid \delta^2\phi = 0, \phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-1}, y)\}, \\ B^2(L, M) &= \{(\phi, \omega) \in C^2(L, M) \mid \exists \psi \in C^1_{cl}(L, M), \text{ s.t. } \delta^1\psi = \phi, \tilde{\psi} = \omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that it is easy to verify that $Z^2(L, M)$ and $B^2(L, M)$ are subspaces of $C^2(L, M)$.

Theorem 2.1.5 $B^2(L, M) \subseteq Z^2(L, M)$, so that, the quotient

$$H^2(L, M) = Z^2(L, M)/B^2(L, M)$$

is well-defined.

Proof. Let $(\delta^1\psi, \tilde{\psi}) \in B^2(L, M)$. First, We claim that $\delta^2\delta^1\psi = 0$. Indeed, for all $x, y, z \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2\delta^1\psi(x, y, z) &= \delta^1\psi([x, y], z) + \delta^1\psi([y, z], x) + \delta^1\psi([z, x], y) \\ &= \psi([[x, y], z]) + \psi([[y, z], x]) + \psi([[z, x], y]) \\ &= \psi([[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y]),\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to zero by jacobi identity. Next, we claim that

$$(\delta^1\psi)(x, y^{[p]}) = (\delta^1\psi)([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y),$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Indeed, for all $x, y \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta^1\psi)(x, y^{[p]}) - (\delta^1\psi)([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y) &= \psi[x, y^{[p]}] - \psi([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_p]) \\ &= \psi([x, y^{[p]}] - [x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_p]) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

We call $H^2(L, M)$ the second cohomology group of L with coefficients in M . Let $\theta = (\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$. Then we denote by $[\theta]$ the image of θ in $H^2(L, M)$.

Definition 2.1.6 A restricted Lie algebra M is called strongly abelian if, $[M, M] = 0$ and $M^{[p]} = 0$.

2.2 Constructing p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras

The analogue of the results of the remaining of this chapter for Lie algebras is known [14, 4]. However, we could not find a refrence for the corresponding results in the setting

of restricted Lie algebras.

Let L be a restricted Lie algebra, M a vector space and $\theta = (\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$. We construct a restricted extension of L by M as follows.

Lemma 2.2.1 *Let $L_\theta = L \oplus M$ as a vector space and define the Lie bracket and p -map on L_θ by:*

$$[(x_1 + m_1), (x_2 + m_2)] = [x_1, x_2] + \phi(x_1, x_2), \quad (x + m)^{[p]} = x^{[p]} + \omega(x).$$

Then L_θ with the given bracket and p -map is a restricted Lie algebra.

Proof. The bracket is clearly bilinear and skew symmetric and it is well known that the Jacobi identity is equivalent to $\delta^2\phi = 0$. We claim that L is restricted with the given p -map.

Let $x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} \in L, m_1, \dots, m_{k+1} \in M$. Note that by induction we have

$$[x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2, \dots, x_{k+1} + m_{k+1}] = [x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}] + \phi([x_1, \dots, x_k], x_{k+1}). \quad (2.1)$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1 + m_1, (x_2 + m_2)^{[p]}] &= [x_1 + m_1, x_2^{[p]} + \omega(x)] \\ &= [x_1, x_2^{[p]}] + \phi(x_1, x_2^{[p]}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$[x_1 + m_1, \underbrace{x_2 + m_2, \dots, x_2 + m_2}_p] = [x_1, \underbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}_p] + \phi([x_1, \underbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}_{p-1}], x_2),$$

by equation (2.1). We have

$$\phi(x_1, x_2^{[p]}) = \phi([x_1, \underbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}_{p-1}], x_2),$$

also we have

$$[x_1, x_2^{[p]}] = [x_1, \underbrace{x_2, \dots, x_2}_p].$$

Therefore,

$$[x_1 + m_1, (x_2 + m_2)^{[p]}] = [x_1 + m_1, \underbrace{x_2 + m_2, \dots, x_2 + m_2}_p].$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda(x + m))^{[p]} &= (\lambda x + \lambda m)^{[p]} = (\lambda x)^{[p]} + \omega(\lambda x) = \lambda^p x^{[p]} + \lambda^p \omega(x) \\ &= \lambda^p (x^{[p]} + \omega(x)) \\ &= \lambda^p (x + m)^{[p]}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_1 + m_1) + (x_2 + m_2))^{[p]} &= ((x_1 + x_2) + (m_1 + m_2))^{[p]} \\ &= (x_1 + x_2)^{[p]} + \omega(x_1 + x_2) \\ &= x_1^{[p]} + x_2^{[p]} + \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} [x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}] \\ &\quad + \omega(x_1) + \omega(x_2) + \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + m_1)^{[p]} + (x_2 + m_2)^{[p]} &+ \sum_{\substack{l_j=1 \text{ or } 2 \\ l_1=1, l_2=2}} \frac{1}{\#(x_1 + m_1)} [x_{l_1} + m_{l_1}, x_{l_2} + m_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p} + m_{l_p}] \\ &= x_1^{[p]} + \omega(x_1) + x_2^{[p]} + \omega(x_2) + \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} [x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}), \end{aligned}$$

by equation (2.1). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_1 + m_1) + (x_2 + m_2))^{[p]} &= (x_1 + m_1)^{[p]} + (x_2 + m_2)^{[p]} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{l_j=1 \text{ or } 2 \\ l_1=1, l_2=2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1 + m_1} [x_{l_1} + m_{l_1}, x_{l_2} + m_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p} + m_{l_p}]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. ■

Now let K be a restricted Lie algebra, and suppose that its center $Z(K)$, is nonzero. Let $0 \neq M \subseteq Z(K)$ such that $M^{[p]} = 0$, and set $L = K/M$. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Choose an injective linear map $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Note that we can easily show that $\pi([\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])) = 0$, for every $x_i, x_j \in L$ and $\pi(\sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})) = 0$, for every $x \in L$. Therefore, $[\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j]), \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]}) \in M$, for every $x_i, x_j, x \in L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. With these notations, we have:

Lemma 2.2.2 *Let $\theta = (\phi, \omega)$. Then $\theta \in Z^2(L, M)$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that ϕ is a bilinear and skew-symmetric form on L . We claim that

ω has the \star -property with respect to ϕ . Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(x_1 + x_2) &= (\sigma(x_1 + x_2))^{[p]} - \sigma((x_1 + x_2)^{[p]}) \\
&= (\sigma(x_1) + \sigma(x_2))^{[p]} - \sigma(x_1^{[p]} + x_2^{[p]} + \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} [x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}]) \\
&= \sigma(x_1)^{[p]} + \sigma(x_2)^{[p]} + \sum_{\substack{l_j=1 \text{ or } 2 \\ l_1=1, l_2=2}} \frac{1}{\#\sigma(x_1)} [\sigma(x_{l_1}), \sigma(x_{l_2}), \dots, \sigma(x_{l_p})] \\
&\quad - \sigma(x_1^{[p]}) - \sigma(x_2^{[p]}) - \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} \sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}]) \\
&= \omega(x_1) + \omega(x_2) + \sum_{\substack{l_j=1 \text{ or } 2 \\ l_1=1, l_2=2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} [\sigma(x_{l_1}), \sigma(x_{l_2}), \dots, \sigma(x_{l_p})] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} \sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi(x, y) \in M \subseteq Z(L)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}]) \\
&= [\sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}]), \sigma(x_{l_p})] - \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}) \\
&= [[\sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-2}}]), \sigma(x_{l_{p-1}})], \sigma(x_{l_p})] - \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-2}}], x_{l_{p-1}}), \sigma(x_{l_p})] \\
&\quad - \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}) \\
&= [[\sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-2}}]), \sigma(x_{l_{p-1}})], \sigma(x_{l_p})] - \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}).
\end{aligned}$$

If we repeat this procedure, we obtain that

$$\sigma([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_p}]) = [\sigma(x_{l_1}), \sigma(x_{l_2}), \dots, \sigma(x_{l_p})] - \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\omega(x_1 + x_2) = \omega(x_1) + \omega(x_2) + \sum_{\substack{x_{l_j}=x_1 \text{ or } x_2 \\ x_{l_1}=x_1, x_{l_2}=x_2}} \frac{1}{\#x_1} \phi([x_{l_1}, x_{l_2}, \dots, x_{l_{p-1}}], x_{l_p}).$$

Next, we claim that $\delta^2\phi = 0$. Indeed, for all $x, y, z \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\delta^2\phi)(x, y, z) &= \phi([x, y], z) + \phi([y, z], x) + \phi([z, x], y) \\
&= [\sigma([x, y]), \sigma(z)] - \sigma([[x, y], z]) + [\sigma([y, z]), \sigma(x)] - \sigma([[y, z], x]) \\
&\quad + [\sigma([z, x]), \sigma(y)] - \sigma([[z, x], y]) \\
&= [\sigma([x, y]), \sigma(z)] + [\sigma([y, z]), \sigma(x)] + [\sigma([z, x]), \sigma(y)] \\
&\quad - \sigma([[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y]).
\end{aligned}$$

By jacobi identity, $[x, y, z] + [y, z, x] + [z, x, y] = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) &= [\sigma([x, y]), \sigma(z)] + [\sigma([y, z]), \sigma(x)] + [\sigma([z, x]), \sigma(y)] \\
&= [[\sigma(x), \sigma(y)] - \phi(x, y), \sigma(z)] + [[\sigma(y), \sigma(z)] - \phi(y, z), \sigma(x)] \\
&\quad + [[\sigma(z), \sigma(x)] - \phi(z, x), \sigma(y)] \\
&= [[\sigma(x), \sigma(y)], \sigma(z)] - [\phi(x, y), \sigma(z)] + [[\sigma(y), \sigma(z)], \sigma(x)] - [\phi(y, z), \sigma(x)] \\
&\quad + [[\sigma(z), \sigma(x)], \sigma(y)] - [\phi(z, x), \sigma(y)] \\
&= [[\sigma(x), \sigma(y)], \sigma(z)] + [[\sigma(y), \sigma(z)], \sigma(x)] + [[\sigma(z), \sigma(x)], \sigma(y)],
\end{aligned}$$

which is equal to zero by jacobi identity.

Finally, we claim that $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y)$, for all $x, y \in L$. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x, y^{[p]}) - \phi([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y) &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y^{[p]})] - \sigma([x, y^{[p]}]) - [\sigma([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], \sigma(y)) + \sigma([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}])] \\
&= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y^{[p]})] - [\sigma([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], \sigma(y)) + \sigma([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}] - [x, y^{[p]}])] \\
&= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y^{[p]})] - [\sigma([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], \sigma(y))].
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-1}), \sigma(y)] &= [[\sigma(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-2}), \sigma(y)] - \phi(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-2}, y), \sigma(y)] \\ &= [[\sigma(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-2}), \sigma(y)], \sigma(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

If we repeat this procedure, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} [\sigma(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-1}), \sigma(y)] &= [\sigma(x), \underbrace{[\sigma(y), \dots, \sigma(y)]}_p] \\ &= [\sigma(x), (\sigma(y))^{[p]}]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, y^{[p]}) - \phi(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-1}, y) &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y^{[p]})] - [\sigma(x), (\sigma(y))^{[p]}] \\ &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)^{[p]} - \omega(x)] - [\sigma(x), (\sigma(y))^{[p]}] \\ &= [\sigma(x), \sigma(y)^{[p]}] - [\sigma(x), (\sigma(y))^{[p]}] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that $K \cong L_\theta$. Let $x \in K$. Then there exist unique $y \in L$ and $z \in M$ such that $x = \sigma(y) + z$. Indeed, we can take $z = \sigma(\pi(x)) - x$ and $y = \pi(x)$. Since, $\pi(z) = 0$, we have $z \in M$. Define $f : K \rightarrow L_\theta$ by $f(x) = y + z$. Then f is an isomorphism. Indeed:

$$f(x^{[p]}) = f((\sigma(y) + z)^{[p]}) = f\left(\sigma(y)^{[p]} + z^{[p]} + \sum_{\substack{g_j = \sigma(y) \text{ or } z \\ g_1 = \sigma(y), g_2 = z}} \frac{1}{\#(\sigma(y))} [g_1, \dots, g_p]\right).$$

Since $z \in M$, we have

$$z^{[p]} = 0, \text{ and } \sum_{\substack{g_j = \sigma(y) \text{ or } z \\ g_1 = \sigma(y), g_2 = z}} \frac{1}{\#(\sigma(y))} [g_1, \dots, g_p] = 0.$$

Thus,

$$f(x^{[p]}) = f(\sigma(y)^{[p]}) = f(\sigma(y^{[p]}) + \omega(y)) = y^{[p]} + \omega(y) = y^{[p]} + \sigma(y)^{[p]} - \sigma(y)^{[p]}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f(x)^{[p]} = (y + z)^{[p]} = y^{[p]} + \omega(y) = y^{[p]} + \sigma(y)^{[p]} - \sigma(y)^{[p]}.$$

Thus, $f(x^{[p]}) = f(x)^{[p]}$.

Similarly we can show that $f([x, y]) = [f(x), f(y)]$. ■

We conclude that any p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebra K of dimension n can be constructed from a restricted Lie algebra of lower dimension.

Lemma 2.2.3 *Let $\theta = (\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$ and $\eta = (\nu, \xi) \in B^2(L, M)$. Then we have $L_\theta \cong L_{\theta+\eta}$.*

Proof. We have $\eta = (\nu, \xi) \in B^2(L, M)$. Therefore, there exists $\psi \in C_{\text{cl}}^1(L, M)$ such that $\delta^1(\psi) = \nu$ and $\tilde{\psi} = \xi$. Note that $f : L_\theta \rightarrow L_{\theta+\eta}$ such that $f(x) = x + \psi(x)$ for $x \in L$ and $f(m) = m$ for $m \in M$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, let $x_1, x_2 \in L$ and $m_1, m_2 \in M$. Then we have

$$f([x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2]) = f([x_1, x_2] + \phi(x_1, x_2)) = [x_1, x_2] + \psi([x_1, x_2]) + \phi(x_1, x_2).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f(x_1 + m_1), f(x_2 + m_2)] &= [x_1 + \psi(x_1) + m_1, x_2 + \psi(x_2) + m_2] \\ &= [x_1, x_2] + (\phi + \nu)(x_1, x_2) \\ &= [x_1, x_2] + \psi([x_1, x_2]) + \phi(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f([x_1 + m_1, x_2 + m_2]) = [f(x_1 + m_1), f(x_2 + m_2)]$. Also, we have

$$f((x_1 + m_1)^{[p]}) = f(x_1^{[p]} + \omega(x_1)) = x_1^{[p]} + \psi(x_1^{[p]}) + \omega(x_1).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f(x_1 + m_1)^{[p]} = (x_1 + \psi(x_1) + m_1)^{[p]} = x_1^{[p]} + (\omega + \xi)(x_1) = x_1^{[p]} + \psi(x_1^{[p]}) + \omega(x_1).$$

Therefore, $f((x_1 + m_1)^{[p]}) = f(x_1 + m_1)^{[p]}$. ■

2.3 Isomorphism

Let L to be a restricted Lie algebra and M a strongly abelian restricted Lie algebra which is considered as a trivial L -module. Let e_1, \dots, e_s be a basis of M and set $\theta = (\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$. Let

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_i(x, y)e_i, \text{ and } \omega(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_i(x)e_i.$$

Lemma 2.3.1 *For every i , we have $(\phi_i, \omega_i) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Since ϕ is skew symmetric and bilinear form, so is every ϕ_i . We claim that $\delta^2\phi_i = 0$.

Indeed, $0 = \delta^2\phi(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s \delta^2\phi_i(x, y)e_i$, implying that $\delta^2\phi_i(x, y) = 0$.

Now we show that ω_i has \star -property with respect ϕ_i . First note that $\omega(\lambda x) = \lambda^p\omega(x)$.

Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^s \omega_i(\lambda x)e_i = \lambda^p \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_i(x)e_i$ and so $\omega_i(\lambda x) = \lambda^p\omega_i(x)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\omega(x + y) = \omega(x) + \omega(y) + \sum_{\substack{x_j = x \text{ or } y \\ x_1 = x, x_2 = y}} \frac{1}{\#(x)} \phi([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_i(x + y)e_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_i(x)e_i + \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_i(y)e_i + \sum_{\substack{x_j = x \text{ or } y \\ x_1 = x, x_2 = y}} \frac{1}{\#(x)} \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_i([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p)e_i, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\omega_i(x + y) = \omega_i(x) + \omega_i(y) + \sum_{\substack{x_j = x \text{ or } y \\ x_1 = x, x_2 = y}} \frac{1}{\#(x)} \phi_i([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p).$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(x, y^{[p]}) - \phi([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_i(x, y^{[p]})e_i - \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_i([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y)e_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\phi_i(x, y^{[p]}) - \phi_i([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. ■

The group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(L)$ acts on $H^2(L, M)$ in a natural way. Let $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ and $\theta = (\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$. We define $A\theta = (A\phi, A\omega)$, where $A\phi(x, y) = \phi(A(x), A(y))$ and $A\omega(x) = \omega(A(x))$. We claim that $A\theta \in Z^2(L, M)$. It is easy to see that $A\phi$ is skew symmetric and bilinear map. Since $\delta^2\phi = 0$, we have

$$\delta^2 A\phi(x, y) = \delta^2\phi(A(x), A(y)) = 0,$$

which implies that $\delta^2 A\phi = 0$. Also, $A\omega$ satisfies the \star -property with respect to $A\phi$. To see this, let $x, x_1, x_2 \in L$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we have,

$$A\omega(\lambda x) = \omega(A(\lambda x)) = \omega(\lambda A(x)) = \lambda^p \omega(A(x)) = \lambda^p A\omega(x),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
A\omega(x_1 + x_2) &= \omega(A(x_1 + x_2)) = \omega(Ax_1 + Ax_2) \\
&= \omega(Ax_1) + \omega(Ax_2) + \sum_{Ax_j = Ax_1 \text{ or } Ax_2} \frac{1}{\#(Ax_1)} \phi([Ax_1, \dots, Ax_{p-1}], Ax_p) \\
&= \omega(Ax_1) + \omega(Ax_2) + \sum_{x_j = x_1 \text{ or } x_2} \frac{1}{\#(x_1)} \phi(A[x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], Ax_p) \\
&= \omega(Ax_1) + \omega(Ax_2) + \sum_{x_j = x_1 \text{ or } x_2} \frac{1}{\#(x_1)} A\phi([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p) \\
&= A\omega(x_1) + A\omega(x_2) + \sum_{x_j = x_1 \text{ or } x_2} \frac{1}{\#(x_1)} A\phi([x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}], x_p).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&A\phi(x, y^{[p]}) - A\phi(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-1}, y) \\
&= \phi(A(x), A(y^{[p]})) - \phi(A(\underbrace{[x, y, \dots, y]}_{p-1}), A(y)) \\
&= \phi(A(x), A(y)^{[p]}) - \phi(\underbrace{([A(x), A(y), \dots, A(y)])}_{p-1}, A(y)) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that $\text{Aut}(L)$ preserves $B^2(L, M)$. Let $(\delta\psi, \tilde{\psi}) \in B^2(L, M)$, where $\psi : L \rightarrow M$ is linear. We have $A(\delta\psi, \tilde{\psi}) = (A\delta\psi, A\tilde{\psi})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A\delta\psi(x, y) &= \delta\psi(Ax, Ay) = \psi([Ax, Ay]) = \psi(A[x, y]) = A\psi([x, y]) = \delta(A\psi)(x, y), \text{ and} \\
A\tilde{\psi}(x) &= \tilde{\psi}(Ax) = \psi(A(x)^{[p]}) = \psi(A(x^{[p]})) = A\psi(x^{[p]}) = (\tilde{A}\psi)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

So $A(\delta\psi, \tilde{\psi}) = (\delta(A\psi), (\tilde{A}\psi))$. Therefore, $\text{Aut}(L)$ acts on $H^2(L, M)$.

Definition 2.3.2 Let $[\theta] \in H^2(L, M)$. We define $\bar{\theta} = \{A\theta \mid A \in \text{Aut}(L)\}$. We call $\bar{\theta}$

an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit and θ an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representative. We say θ_1 and θ_2 are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if there exists $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ such that $A\theta_1 = \theta_2$.

Lemma 2.3.3 *Let L be a restricted Lie algebra, M a vector space and e_1, \dots, e_s be a basis of M . Let $[\theta_1] = [(\phi_1, \omega_1)]$, $[\theta_2] = [(\phi_2, \omega_2)] \in H^2(L, M)$ where $\phi_1(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_{1i}(x, y)e_i$, $\phi_2(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^s \phi_{2i}(x, y)e_i$, $\omega_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_{1i}(x)e_i$ and $\omega_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^s \omega_{2i}(x)e_i$. Then there exists an isomorphism $f : L_{\theta_1} \rightarrow L_{\theta_2}$ such that $f(M) = M$ if and only if there is an $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ such that the images of $(A\phi_{2i}, A\omega_{2i})$'s span the same subspace of $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ as the images of (ϕ_{1i}, ω_{1i}) 's.*

Proof. Let $f : L_{\theta_1} \rightarrow L_{\theta_2}$ be an isomorphism such that $f(M) = M$. Then f induces an isomorphism of $L_{\theta_1}/M \cong L$ to $L_{\theta_2}/M \cong L$, i.e., an automorphism of L . Denote this automorphism by A . Let L be spanned by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then we write $f(x_i) = A(x_i) + v_i$, where $v_i \in M$, $f(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ji}e_j$, and $v_i = \sum_{l=1}^s \beta_{il}e_l$. Also write $[x_i, x_j]_L = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k x_k$, and $x_i^{[p]} = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} x_k$. We claim that

$$\phi_{2l}(A(x_i), A(x_j)) = \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j) + \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k \beta_{kl}. \quad (2.2)$$

To prove the claim, we note that $f([x_i, x_j]_{L_{\theta_1}}) = [f(x_i), f(x_j)]_{L_{\theta_2}}$. Then

$$f([x_i, x_j]_{L_{\theta_1}}) = f([x_i, x_j]_L + \phi_1(x_i, x_j)) = f([x_i, x_j]_L) + f(\phi_1(x_i, x_j)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f([x_i, x_j]_L) &= f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k f(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k (A(x_k) + v_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k A(x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k v_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\phi_1(x_i, x_j)) &= f\left(\sum_{k=1}^s \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j)e_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^s \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j)f(e_k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^s \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j) \sum_{l=1}^s a_{lk}e_l \\
 &= \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j)e_l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f([x_i, x_j]_{L_{\theta_1}}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k A(x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k v_k + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j)e_l \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k A(x_k) + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k \beta_{kl} e_l + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j)e_l.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f(x_i), f(x_j)]_{L_{\theta_2}} &= [A(x_i) + v_i, A(x_j) + v_j]_{L_{\theta_2}} \\
 &= [A(x_i), A(x_j)]_L + \phi_2(A(x_i), A(x_j)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[A(x_i), A(x_j)]_L = A([x_i, x_j]_L) = A\left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k A(x_k),$$

and

$$\phi_2(A(x_i), A(x_j)) = \sum_{l=1}^s \phi_{2l}(A(x_i), A(x_j))e_l.$$

Therefore, we have

$$[f(x_i), f(x_j)]_{L_{\theta_2}} = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k A(x_k) + \sum_{l=1}^s \phi_{2l}(A(x_i), A(x_j))e_l.$$

As a result, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^s \phi_{2l}(A(x_i), A(x_j))e_l = \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k \beta_{kl} e_l + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j)e_l,$$

which implies that

$$\phi_{2l}(A(x_i), A(x_j)) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k \beta_{kl} + \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j),$$

for $1 \leq l \leq s$. This proves the claim. Next we claim that

$$\omega_{2l}(A(x_i)) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} \beta_{kl} + \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \omega_{1k}(x_i), \quad (2.3)$$

for every $1 \leq l \leq s$. To prove the claim, we note that $f(x_i^{[p]}) = f(x_i)^{[p]}$. So,

$$f(x_i^{[p]}) = f(\omega_1(x_i) + x_i^{[p]}) = f(\omega_1(x_i)) + f(x_i^{[p]}).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega_1(x_i)) &= f\left(\sum_{k=1}^s \omega_{1k}(x_i) e_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^s \omega_{1k}(x_i) f(e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^s \omega_{1k}(x_i) \sum_{l=1}^s a_{lk} e_l \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \omega_{1k}(x_i) e_l, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f(x_i^{[p]}) = f\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} f(x_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} (A(x_k) + v_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} A(x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} v_k.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_i^{[p]}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} A(x_k) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} v_k + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \omega_{1k}(x_i) e_l \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} A(x_k) + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} \beta_{kl} e_l + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk} \omega_{1k}(x_i) e_l. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f(x_i)^{[p]} = (A(x_i) + v_i)^{[p]} = \omega_2(A(x_i)) + A(x_i)^{[p]},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(A(x_i)) &= \sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{2l}(A(x_i)) e_l, \text{ and} \\ A(x_i)^{[p]} &= A(x_i^{[p]}) = A\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik} A(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$f(x_i)^{[p]} = \sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{2l}(A(x_i))e_l + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}A(x_k).$$

As a result,

$$\sum_{l=1}^s \omega_{2l}(A(x_i))e_l = \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}\beta_{kl}e_l + \sum_{l=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk}\omega_{1k}(x_i)e_l,$$

which implies that

$$\omega_{2l}(A(x_i)) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}\beta_{kl} + \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk}\omega_{1k}(x_i),$$

for $1 \leq l \leq s$. This proves the second claim.

Now define the linear function $f_l : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by $f_l(x_k) = \beta_{kl}$. Then

$$(\delta^1 f_l)(x_i, x_j) = f_l([x_i, x_j]) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k \beta_{kl}, \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{f}_l(x_i) = f_l(x_i^{[p]}) = f_l\left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}x_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{ik}\beta_{kl}.$$

Hence, $(\delta^1 f_l, \tilde{f}_l) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. We deduce, by Equations (2.2) and (2.3), that the subspace spanned by all the $(A\phi_{2i}, A\omega_{2i})$'s is the same as the subspace spanned by all the (ϕ_{1i}, ω_{1i}) 's modulo $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Conversely, suppose that the images of $(A\phi_{2i}, A\omega_{2i})$'s span the same subspace of $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ as the the images of (ϕ_{1i}, ω_{1i}) 's. Then there are linear functions $f_l : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ and $a_{lk} \in \mathbb{F}$ so that

$$A\phi_{2l}(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk}\phi_{1k}(x_i, x_j) + f_l([x_i, x_j]), \text{ and}$$

$$A\omega_{2l}(x_i) = \sum_{k=1}^s a_{lk}\omega_{1k}(x_i) + \tilde{f}_l(x_i).$$

If we set $\beta_{kl} = f_l(x_k)$, then we see that Equations (2.2) and (2.3) hold. This means that, if we define $f : L_{\theta_1} \rightarrow L_{\theta_2}$ by $f(x_i) = A(x_i) + \sum_l \beta_{il}e_l$, $f(e_i) = \sum_j a_{ji}e_j$, then f is an isomorphism. ■

2.4 Finding a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$

Remark 2.4.1 *Let L be a p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebra of dimension 5 and $(\phi, \omega) \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x, y^{[p]}) &= \phi([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y), \\ \omega(x + y) &= \omega(x) + \omega(y) + \sum_{x_j=x \text{ or } y} \frac{1}{\#x} \phi([x, y, x_1, \dots, x_{p-3}], x_{p-2}),\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Since $p \geq 5$ and all of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 5 are nilpotent of class at most 4, we have $\phi([x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p-1}], y) = 0$ and

$$\sum_{x_j=x \text{ or } y} \frac{1}{\#x} \phi([x, y, x_1, \dots, x_{p-3}], x_{p-2}) = 0,$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$ and ω is semilinear.

Let L be a restricted Lie algebra of dimension n . We know that $C^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is the subspace spanned by all (ϕ, ω) such that $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a skew-symmetric and bilinear and $\omega : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ has the \star -property with respect to ϕ .

Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis for L and $(\phi, \omega) \in C^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. We represent ϕ by a skew symmetric matrix $B = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} c_{ij} \Delta_{ij}$, where Δ_{ij} is the matrix with (i, j) element being 1, and (j, i) element being -1 and all the others 0, and ω by $\omega = \alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n$, where $f_i(x_j) = \delta_{i,j}$.

Since we deal with nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 over a field of characteristic $p \geq 5$, we deduce by Remark 2.4.1 that ω is semilinear. Thus, the set

$$\{(\Delta_{12}, 0), \dots, (\Delta_{1n}, 0), \dots, (\Delta_{n1}, 0), \dots, (\Delta_{nn-1}, 0), (0, f_1), \dots, (0, f_n)\} \quad (2.4)$$

forms a basis for $C^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Now let $(\phi, \omega) \in C^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. If $(\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, then we must have $\delta^2 \phi = 0$, and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, which will impose certain constraints

on the c_{ij} 's. This way, we obtain a subset S of the set given in (2.4) that serves as a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. For every $s \in S$, we denote by $[s]$ the image of s in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})/B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Now if $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we must further have $\phi = \delta^1\psi$ and $\omega = \tilde{\psi}$, for some linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. These latter conditions impose further restrictions on the c_{ij} 's and α_k 's. This way, we obtain a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ in which every basis element is expressed as a linear combination of elements of S . So every element of this basis of $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ serves as a dependence relation between the elements of S in $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})/B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. So, as a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ we take the elements $[s]$, with $s \in S$, modulo these dependence relations.

Recall that for a subset $X \subseteq L$, we denote by $\langle X \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ the subspace spanned by X . Note that we denote by T_2 the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}^*/(\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and by T_3 the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}^*/(\mathbb{F}^*)^3$. Moreover, let G be the subgroup $G = \{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^* \mid \epsilon^5 = 1\}$. We denote by $T_{2,5}$ and $T_{3,5}$ the multiplicative groups $\mathbb{F}^*/((\mathbb{F}^*)^2 \cap G)$ and $\mathbb{F}^*/((\mathbb{F}^*)^3 \cap G)$ respectively.

Lemma 2.4.2 *Let $f : L \rightarrow H$ be an isomorphism of restricted Lie algebras. Then f induces an isomorphism between $L/\langle L^{[p]} \rangle_p$ and $H/\langle H^{[p]} \rangle_p$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : H \rightarrow H/\langle H^{[p]} \rangle_p$ be the canonical homomorphism. Then $\psi = \phi \circ f : L \rightarrow H/\langle H^{[p]} \rangle_p$ is a surjective homomorphism of restricted Lie algebras with $\ker \psi = \langle L^{[p]} \rangle_p$. Therefore, $L/\langle L^{[p]} \rangle_p$ and $H/\langle H^{[p]} \rangle_p$ are isomorphic.

■

Chapter 3

Restriction maps on the abelian Lie algebra

Let x_1, \dots, x_5 be a basis of the abelian Lie algebra $K_1 = L_{5,1}$ of dimension 5. Since we want to determine p -nilpotent maps on $L_{5,1}$, without loss of generality, we assume that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Let $L = L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle$. Since $\dim L = 4$, by [12], there are five restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

I.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2$;

I.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.4 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3$;

$$1.5 \quad x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4.$$

3.1 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

We have $x_i^{[p]} \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Hence $x_i^{[p]} = \alpha_i x_5$, for some $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq 4$. If $\alpha_i \neq 0$, then without loss of generality, we assume $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Now, we rescale x_1 such that $x_1^{[p]} = x_5$. Now, if $\alpha_j \neq 0$ then we rescale x_j such that $x_j^{[p]} = x_5$. Finally, if $x_j^{[p]} = x_5$, then we replace x_j with $x_j - x_1$ such that $x_j^{[p]} = 0$.

Therefore, the possible p -maps are as follows:

$$K_1^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

3.2 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2)$

In this case we have $x_1^{[p]} - x_2 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, $x_2^{[p]}, x_3^{[p]}, x_4^{[p]} \in \langle x_5 \rangle$. Hence, $x_1^{[p]} = x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5$, $x_2^{[p]} = \alpha_2 x_5$, $x_3^{[p]} = \alpha_3 x_5$, and $x_4^{[p]} = \alpha_4 x_5$, for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{F}$. We replace x_2 with $x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5$ to obtain that $x_1^{[p]} = x_2$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. $\alpha_2 = 0$: First, if $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ then we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2 \rangle.$$

Next, if one of α_3, α_4 is zero and one is nonzero, without loss of generality, we assume that $\alpha_4 = 0$. Now, we rescale x_3 so that $x_3^{[p]} = x_5$. Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Finally, if both α_3 and α_4 are non-zero then we rescale x_3 and x_4 so that $x_3^{[p]} = x_5$ and $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$. Now, we replace x_4 with $x_4 - x_3$ so that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain the same p -map as the previous one.

Case 2. $\alpha_2 \neq 0$: First, if $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_5 with $\alpha_2 x_5$ so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_5$. Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^5 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Next, if one of α_3, α_4 is zero and one is nonzero, without loss of generality, we assume that $\alpha_4 = 0$. Now, in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_3 with $(\alpha_2/\alpha_3)^{1/p} x_3$ and x_5 with $\alpha_2 x_5$ so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_5$ and $x_3^{[p]} = x_5$. Then, we replace x_3 with $x_3 - x_2$ so that $x_3^{[p]} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain the same p -map as K_1^5 .

Finally, if both α_3 and α_4 are non-zero then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_3 with $(\alpha_2/\alpha_3)^{1/p} x_3$, x_4 with $(\alpha_2/\alpha_4)^{1/p} x_4$ and x_5 with $\alpha_2 x_5$ so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5$ and $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$. Now, we replace x_3 with $x_3 - x_2$ and x_4 with $x_4 - x_2$ so that $x_3^{[p]} = 0$ and $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. Therefore, we obtain the same p -map as K_1^5 .

3.3 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

In this case we have $x_1^{[p]} - x_2 \in \langle x_5 \rangle, x_3^{[p]} - x_4 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, and $x_2^{[p]}, x_4^{[p]} \in \langle x_5 \rangle$. Hence, $x_1^{[p]} = x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 + \alpha_3 x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \alpha_2 x_5$, and $x_4^{[p]} = \alpha_4 x_5$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{F}$. We replace x_2 with $x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5$ so that $x_1^{[p]} = x_2$ and x_4 with $x_4 + \alpha_3 x_5$ so that $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$.

First, if $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = 0$ then we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle.$$

Next, if $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_4 = 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_5 with $\alpha_2 x_5$ so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_5$. Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Next, if $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_4 \neq 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_5 with $\alpha_4 x_5$ so that $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$.

Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Finally, if $\alpha_2 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_4 \neq 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_3 with $((\alpha_2/\alpha_4)^{1/p})^{1/p}x_3$, x_4 with $(\alpha_2/\alpha_4)^{1/p}x_4$ and x_5 with α_2x_5 so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_5$ and $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$. Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^9 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

3.4 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3)$

In this case we have $x_1^{[p]} - x_2 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, $x_2^{[p]} - x_3 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, and $x_3^{[p]}, x_4^{[p]} \in \langle x_5 \rangle$. Hence, $x_1^{[p]} = x_2 + \alpha_1x_5$, $x_2^{[p]} = x_3 + \alpha_2x_5$, $x_3^{[p]} = \alpha_3x_5$, and $x_4^{[p]} = \alpha_4x_5$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{F}$. We replace x_2 with $x_2 + \alpha_1x_5$ so that $x_1^{[p]} = x_2$ and x_3 with $x_3 + \alpha_2x_5$ so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_3$.

First, if $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$ then we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^{10} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.$$

Next, if $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_4 = 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_5 with α_3x_5 so that $x_3^{[p]} = x_5$.

Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^{11} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Next, if $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_4 \neq 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_5 with α_4x_5 so that $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$.

Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^{12} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Finally, if $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_4 \neq 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_4 with $(\alpha_3/\alpha_4)^{1/p}x_4$ and x_5 with α_3x_5 so that $x_3^{[p]} = x_5$ and $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$. Now, we replace x_4 with $x_4 - x_3$ so that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$.

Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^{13} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

3.5 Extensions of $(L_{5,1}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

In this case we have $x_1^{[p]} - x_2 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, $x_2^{[p]} - x_3 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$, $x_3^{[p]} - x_4 \in \langle x_5 \rangle$ and $x_4^{[p]} \in \langle x_5 \rangle$. Hence, $x_1^{[p]} = x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5$, $x_2^{[p]} = x_3 + \alpha_2 x_5$, $x_3^{[p]} = x_4 + \alpha_3 x_5$, and $x_4^{[p]} = \alpha_4 x_5$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{F}$. We replace x_2 with $x_2 + \alpha_1 x_5$ so that $x_1^{[p]} = x_2$, x_3 with $x_3 + \alpha_2 x_5$ so that $x_2^{[p]} = x_3$ and x_4 with $x_4 + \alpha_3 x_5$ so that $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$. First, if $\alpha_4 = 0$ then we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^{14} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle.$$

Next, if $\alpha_4 \neq 0$ then in $L_{5,1}$ we replace x_5 with $\alpha_4 x_5$ so that $x_4^{[p]} = x_5$. Therefore, we have the following p -map:

$$K_1^{15} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Therefore, the list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,1}$ are as follows:

$$K_1^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^5 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^9 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^{10} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^{11} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^{12} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^{13} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^{14} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_1^{15} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Note that $K_1^2 \cong K_1^3$, $K_1^7 \cong K_1^4$, $K_1^{10} \cong K_1^5$, $K_1^{14} \cong K_1^{11}$, and $K_1^6 \cong K_1^8 \cong K_1^{12}$.

Furthermore, K_1^{13} is identical to K_1^{11} .

Therefore, up to isomorphism the list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,1}$ are as follows:

L	$\dim L^{[p]}$	$\dim L^{[p]^2}$	$\dim L^{[p]^3}$
$L_{5,1}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \rangle$	0	0	0
$L_{5,1}^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	1	0	0
$L_{5,1}^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	2	0	0
$L_{5,1}^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	2	1	0
$L_{5,1}^5 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	3	1	0
$L_{5,1}^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	3	2	0
$L_{5,1}^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	3	2	1
$L_{5,1}^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$	4	3	2

Note that it is clear from the table that the above list of restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,1}$ are pairwise non-isomorphic.

Chapter 4

Restriction maps on $L_{5,2}$

Let

$$K_2 = L_{5,2} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

We have $Z(L_{5,2}) = \langle x_3, x_4, x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that there exists an element $\alpha x_3 + \beta x_4 + \gamma x_5 \in Z(L_{5,2})$ such that $(\alpha x_3 + \beta x_4 + \gamma x_5)^{[p]} = 0$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, then consider

$$H_1 = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_5 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_3 \rangle,$$

where $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = x_2, x'_3 = x_3, x'_4 = x_4, x'_5 = \alpha x_3 + \beta x_4 + \gamma x_5$. Let $\phi : K_2 \rightarrow H_1$ such that $x_1 \mapsto x'_1, x_2 \mapsto x'_2, x_3 \mapsto x'_3, x_4 \mapsto x'_4$, and $x_5 \mapsto x'_5$. It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, in this case we can suppose that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Next, if $\gamma = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ then consider

$$H_2 = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_5 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_3 \rangle,$$

where $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = x_2, x'_3 = x_3, x'_4 = \alpha x_3 + \beta x_4, x'_5 = x_5$. Let $\phi : K_2 \rightarrow H_2$ such that $x_1 \mapsto x'_1, x_2 \mapsto x'_2, x_3 \mapsto x'_3, x_4 \mapsto x'_4$, and $x_5 \mapsto x'_5$. It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, in this case we can suppose that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. Finally, if $\gamma = \beta = 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, then consider

$$H_3 = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_5 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_3 \rangle,$$

where $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = x_2, x'_3 = \alpha x_3, x'_4 = x_4, x'_5 = x_5$. Let $\phi : K_2 \rightarrow H_3$ such that $x_1 \mapsto x'_1, x_2 \mapsto x'_2, x_3 \mapsto x'_3, x_4 \mapsto x'_4$, and $x_5 \mapsto x'_5$. It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, in this case we can suppose that $x_3^{[p]} = 0$. Hence we have three cases:

I. $x_3^{[p]} = 0$;

II. $x_5^{[p]} = 0$;

III. $x_4^{[p]} = 0$.

Note that cases II and III yield the same restricted Lie algebras because given a restricted Lie algebra structure on $L_{5,2}$ for which $x_5^{[p]} = 0$, the automorphism of $L_{5,2}$ obtained by switching x_4 and x_5 gives rise to a restricted Lie algebra structure on $L_{5,2}$ for which $x_4^{[p]} = 0$.

4.1 Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,2}}{\langle x_3 \rangle}$

In this section we find all non-isomorphic p-maps on $L_{5,2}$ such that $x_3^{[p]} = 0$. We let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,2}}{\langle x_3 \rangle} \cong L_{4,1},$$

where $L_{4,1} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5 \rangle$. Note that we denote the image of x_i in L by x_i again. We rename the x_i 's so that $y_1 = x_1, y_2 = x_2, y_3 = x_4, y_4 = x_5$, and $y_5 = x_3$ and at the end we will switch them. Therefore, we have $L = L_{4,1} = \langle y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \rangle$. The group $\text{Aut}(L)$

consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.1.1 *Let $K = L_{5,2}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K such that $x_3^{[p]} = 0$ and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{12}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ be a linear map given by $x_i \mapsto x_i$, for all i . Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, for all i, j and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2] = x_3;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = [x_1, x_3] = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{12}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{12}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_{\theta}$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K such that $x_3^{[p]} = 0$ can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{12}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are five non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

$$\text{I.2 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2;$$

$$\text{I.3 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2, y_3^{[p]} = y_4;$$

$$\text{I.4 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2, y_2^{[p]} = y_3;$$

$$\text{I.5 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2, y_2^{[p]} = y_3, y_3^{[p]} = y_4.$$

In the following subsections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 4.1.1, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{12}, \omega)]$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$.

4.1.1 Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

We have

$$C^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (\Delta_{34}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Note that since L is an abelian Lie algebra and the p -map is trivial, $\delta^2\phi = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (\Delta_{34}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such

that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$\phi(y_1, y_2) = \delta^1\psi(y_1, y_2) = \psi([y_1, y_2]) = 0.$$

But $\phi(y_1, y_2) = a$. So we deduce that $a = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $b = c = d = e = f = 0$. Also, we have

$$\omega(y_1) = \tilde{\psi}(y_1) = \psi(y_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Hence, $\alpha = 0$. Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = 0$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = 0$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows

$$[(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(\Delta_{34}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then, we have $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{12} + b'\Delta_{13} + c'\Delta_{14} + d'\Delta_{23} + e'\Delta_{24} + f'\Delta_{34}$, for some $a', b', c', d', e', f' \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(y_1, y_2) &= \phi(Ay_1, Ay_2) \\ &= \phi(a_{11}y_1 + a_{21}y_2 + a_{31}y_3 + a_{41}y_4, a_{12}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + a_{32}y_3 + a_{42}y_4) \\ &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})a + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})b + (a_{11}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{12})c \\ &\quad + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22})d + (a_{21}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{22})e + (a_{31}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{32})f, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(y_1, y_3) &= \phi(Ay_1, Ay_3) \\ &= \phi(a_{11}y_1 + a_{21}y_2 + a_{31}y_3 + a_{41}y_4, a_{13}y_1 + a_{23}y_2 + a_{33}y_3 + a_{43}y_4) \\ &= (a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13})a + (a_{11}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{13})b + (a_{11}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{41})c \\ &\quad + (a_{21}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{23})d + (a_{21}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{41})e + (a_{31}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{41})f, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A\phi(y_1, y_4) &= \phi(Ay_1, Ay_4) \\
&= \phi(a_{11}y_1 + a_{21}y_2 + a_{31}y_3 + a_{41}y_4, a_{14}y_1 + a_{24}y_2 + a_{34}y_3 + a_{44}y_4) \\
&= (a_{11}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{21})a + (a_{11}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{31})b + (a_{11}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{41})c \\
&\quad + (a_{21}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{31})d + (a_{21}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{41})e + (a_{31}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{41})f, \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A\phi(y_2, y_3) &= \phi(Ay_2, Ay_3) \\
&= \phi(a_{12}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + a_{32}y_3 + a_{42}y_4, a_{13}y_1 + a_{23}y_2 + a_{33}y_3 + a_{43}y_4) \\
&= (a_{12}a_{23} - a_{22}a_{13})a + (a_{12}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{13})b + (a_{12}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{42})c \\
&\quad + (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23})d + (a_{22}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{42})e + (a_{32}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{42})f, \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A\phi(y_2, y_4) &= \phi(Ay_2, Ay_4) \\
&= \phi(a_{12}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + a_{32}y_3 + a_{42}y_4, a_{14}y_1 + a_{24}y_2 + a_{34}y_3 + a_{44}y_4) \\
&= (a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22})a + (a_{12}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{32})b + (a_{12}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{42})c \\
&\quad + (a_{22}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{32})d + (a_{22}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42})e + (a_{32}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{42})f, \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A\phi(y_3, y_4) &= \phi(Ay_3, Ay_4) \\
&= \phi(a_{13}y_1 + a_{23}y_2 + a_{33}y_3 + a_{43}y_4, a_{14}y_1 + a_{24}y_2 + a_{34}y_3 + a_{44}y_4) \\
&= (a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23})a + (a_{13}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{33})b + (a_{13}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{43})c \\
&\quad + (a_{23}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{33})d + (a_{23}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{43})e + (a_{33}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{43})f.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \\ e' \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{12} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22} & a_{21}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{22} & a_{31}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{32} \\ a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13} & a_{11}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{13} & a_{11}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{41} & a_{21}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{23} & a_{21}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{41} & a_{31}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{41} \\ a_{11}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{41} & a_{21}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{31} & a_{21}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{41} & a_{31}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{41} \\ a_{12}a_{23} - a_{22}a_{13} & a_{12}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{13} & a_{12}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{42} & a_{22}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23} & a_{22}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{42} & a_{32}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{42} \\ a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22} & a_{12}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{32} & a_{12}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{42} & a_{22}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{32} & a_{22}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42} & a_{32}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{42} \\ a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23} & a_{13}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{33} & a_{13}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{43} & a_{23}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{33} & a_{23}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{43} & a_{33}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{43} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,2}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ a_{13}^p & a_{23}^p & a_{33}^p & a_{43}^p \\ a_{14}^p & a_{24}^p & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such

that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (-\beta/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & (\alpha/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & (-\gamma/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & (\alpha/\delta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & (-\gamma/\delta)^{1/p} & (\beta/\delta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
& = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & (-\beta/\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & (\alpha/\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & (-\alpha/\gamma)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & (-\beta/\gamma)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \\
& \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\delta = \gamma = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\delta = \gamma = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we find the restricted Lie algebra structure corresponding to the orbit representative

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ We have } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = f_1 \text{ and hence } \omega = f_1 y_5. \text{ First, by Lemma 2.2.1 we get}$$

$$y_1^{[p]} = y_1^{[p]} + \omega(y_1) = y_5,$$

$$y_2^{[p]} = y_2^{[p]} + \omega(y_2) = 0,$$

$$y_3^{[p]} = y_3^{[p]} + \omega(y_3) = 0,$$

$$y_4^{[p]} = y_4^{[p]} + \omega(y_4) = 0.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structure is as follows:

$$K_2^2 = \langle y_1, \dots, y_5 \mid [y_1, y_2] = y_5, y_1^{[p]} = y_5 \rangle.$$

Next, we use the substitutions $y_1 = x_1$, $y_2 = x_2$, $y_3 = x_4$, $y_4 = x_5$, and $y_5 = x_3$. Hence, we have

$$K_2^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.$$

Similarly, we can obtain the restricted Lie algebra structures corresponding to the other orbit representatives. Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_2^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle; \\ K_2^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\ K_2^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\ K_2^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\ K_2^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_5^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

4.1.2 Extensions of $(L, y_1^{[p]} = y_2)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle y_2 \rangle$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, $\phi(x, y_2) = 0$ for all $x \in L$. Therefore, $\phi(y_1, y_2) = 0$ which implies that $a = 0$. Since $\phi = \Delta_{12}$ gives us $L_{5,2}$, we deduce by Lemma 4.1.1 that $L_{5,2}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also get $a = 0$.

$$\text{I.3 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2, y_3^{[p]} = y_4;$$

$$\text{I.4 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2, y_2^{[p]} = y_3;$$

$$\text{I.5 } y_1^{[p]} = y_2, y_2^{[p]} = y_3, y_3^{[p]} = y_4.$$

4.2 Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,2}}{\langle x_5 \rangle}$

In this section we find all non-isomorphic p -maps on $L_{5,2}$ such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. We let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,2}}{\langle x_5 \rangle} \cong L_{4,2},$$

where $L_{4,2} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle$. The group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & r & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.2.1 *Let $K = L_{5,2}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$ and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (0, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$,

$1 \leq i, j \leq 4$. and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2] - \sigma(x_3) = 0;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = 0$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (0, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$. ■

We deduce that any p -map on K such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$ can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (0, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are eight non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

II.1 Trivial p -map;

II.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3$;

II.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.4 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.5 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.6 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3$;

$$\text{II.7 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.8 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4.$$

In the following subsections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 4.2.1, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(0, \omega)]$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$.

4.2.1 Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = d = e = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since we want L_θ and $L_{5,2}$ to be isomorphic as Lie algebras, we should have $\phi = 0$. Since 0 is preserved under $\text{Aut}(L)$, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's.

Let $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Then, $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.1)$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^9 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{10} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

4.2.2 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and

$\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2 \phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_3) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_4, x_3) = 0$ which implies that $b = d = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + c\Delta_{14} + e\Delta_{24}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1 \psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $e = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_3) = a = \alpha$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, af_1)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_1)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_1)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_1)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 6$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $\omega = \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$. Since $\omega(x_1) = 0$, we have $A\omega(x_1) = 0$ which implies that $a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta = 0$.

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we need to have $a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta = 0$. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^{11} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{12} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{13} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{14} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

4.2.3 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and

$\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2 \phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + d\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1 \psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $d = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \alpha f_1)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^{15} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{16} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{17} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{18} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

4.2.4 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_3) = 0$ and $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $b = c = d = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3).$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ \beta &= \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and} \\ \gamma &= \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_3) = a = \alpha$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, af_1 + \beta f_2)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_1), (0, f_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_1)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_1)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_1)]$ is an scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 3$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r^p & 0 \\ a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_1) = 0$ which implies that $a_{31}^p\gamma + a_{41}^p\delta = 0$. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^2$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\delta/\gamma & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^{19} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{20} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{21} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

4.2.5 Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + d\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists

a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $d = 0$. Also, we have

$$\gamma = \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \gamma f_3)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If

$\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_2^{22} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_2^{23} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_2^{24} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_2^{25} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

4.2.6 Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_3) = 0$ and $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $b = c = d = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3).$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0, \text{ and} \\ \beta &= \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ \gamma &= \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and} \\ \delta &= \omega(x_4) = \tilde{\psi}(x_4) = \psi(x_4^{[p]}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\psi(x_3) = a = \beta$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, af_2 + \gamma f_3)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_2), (0, f_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_2)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_2)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_2)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 3$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_2) = 0$ which implies that $a_{21}^p\alpha + a_{42}^p\delta = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^2$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^{26} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{27} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{28} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

4.2.7 Extensions of $(L, x_4^{[p]} = x_3)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_3) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_4, x_3) = 0$ which implies that $b = d = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a

basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + c\Delta_{14} + e\Delta_{24}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ c &= \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $e = 0$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \omega(x_4) = \tilde{\psi}(x_4) = \psi(x_4^{[p]}) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ \alpha &= \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_3) = a = \delta$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, af_4)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_4) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_4)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_4)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_4)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 6$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix

form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_4) = 0$ which implies that $a_{34}^p\gamma = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^{29} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{30} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{31} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{32} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.$$

4.2.8 Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_3) = 0$ and $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $b = c = d = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3).$$

Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\gamma = \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\delta = \omega(x_4) = \tilde{\psi}(x_4) = \psi(x_4^{[p]}) = \psi(x_3).$$

Note that $\psi(x_3) = a = \delta$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \beta f_2 + a f_4)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_4), (0, f_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_4)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_4)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_4)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 3$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_3)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 4.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \gamma' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{31}^p \\ 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_4) = 0$ which implies that $a_{34}^p \gamma = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^2$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\gamma \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_2^{33} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{34} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{35} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.$$

4.2.9 A list of restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$

Therefore, the list of all (possibly redundant) restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_5^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^6 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^8 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{11} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{12} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{13} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{14} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{15} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{16} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{17} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{18} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{19} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{20} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{21} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{22} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2^{23} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{24} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{25} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{26} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{27} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{28} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{29} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{30} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{31} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{32} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{33} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{34} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{35} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

4.3 Detecting Isomorphisms

We can easily see that some of the algebras given above are identical. The following is the list of all irredundant restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$ and yet, as we shall see below, we prove that some of them are isomorphic.

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_5^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^8 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{12} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{13} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{14} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{15} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{16} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{17} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{18} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{19} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{20} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{21} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{22} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{23} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{24} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{25} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{26} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{27} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_2^{28} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_2^{30} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{31} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
K_2^{32} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;
\end{aligned}$$

$$K_2^{33} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{34} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle;$$

$$K_2^{35} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.$$

The group $\text{Aut}(L_{5,2})$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{34} & a_{35} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & a_{44} & a_{45} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & 0 & a_{54} & a_{55} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$.

Note that the following automorphism of $L_{5,2}$ that maps $x_1 \mapsto -x_2, x_2 \mapsto -x_1, x_3 \mapsto -x_3, x_4 \mapsto -x_5$ and $x_5 \mapsto -x_4$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies that

$$K_2^{24} \cong K_2^{17}, \quad K_2^{26} \cong K_2^{13}, \quad K_2^{27} \cong K_2^{20}.$$

Consider the following automorphism of $L_{5,2}$ that maps $x_1 \mapsto -x_2, x_2 \mapsto -x_1, x_3 \mapsto -x_3,$

$x_4 \mapsto -x_4$ and $x_5 \mapsto -x_5$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$K_2^3 \cong K_2^2, \quad K_2^8 \cong K_2^7, \quad K_2^{31} \cong K_2^{30}.$$

Finally, using the following automorphism of $L_{5,2}$ that only switches x_4 and x_5

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get

$$K_2^5 \cong K_2^4, \quad K_2^{15} \cong K_2^7, \quad K_2^{19} \cong K_2^{12}, \quad K_2^{23} \cong K_2^{17}.$$

Theorem 4.3.1 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,2}$, up to isomorphism,*

is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{5,2}^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^6 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^8 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{11} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{12} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{13} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{14} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{15} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{16} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{17} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{18} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{19} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{20} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle; \\
L_{5,2}^{21} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_3 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

In the remaining of this section we establish that the algebras given in Theorem 4.3.1 are

pairwise non-isomorphic, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 4.3.1.

It is clear that $L_{5,2}^1$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

We claim that $L_{5,2}^2$ and $L_{5,2}^3$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,2}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. Note that $L_{5,2}^2 \not\cong L_{5,2}^4$ because

$$L_{5,2}^2/(L_{5,2}^2)^{[p]} \not\cong L_{5,2}^4/(L_{5,2}^4)^{[p]}. \quad (4.2)$$

Similarly, $L_{5,2}^2 \not\cong L_{5,2}^5$ and $L_{5,2}^2 \not\cong L_{5,2}^6$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^2$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Similar argument as in (4.2) shows that $L_{5,2}^3 \not\cong L_{5,2}^4$, $L_{5,2}^3 \not\cong L_{5,2}^5$, and $L_{5,2}^3 \not\cong L_{5,2}^6$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^3$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^4$ and $L_{5,2}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^4 \rightarrow L_{5,2}^5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})^p x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^4$ and $L_{5,2}^6$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there

exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,2}^4$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{12}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{12} = 0$. Hence, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,2}^4$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^5$ and $L_{5,2}^6$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,2}^5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,2}^5$ and $L_{5,2}^6$ are not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,2}^7$ and $L_{5,2}^8$ are not isomorphic because $(L_{5,2}^7)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^8)^{[p]^2} \neq 0$. Also, $L_{5,2}^7$ and $L_{5,2}^9$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,2}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{12}^p x_3 + a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{12} = 0$ and $a_{22} = 0$. Hence, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Similar argument as in (4.2) shows that $L_{5,2}^7 \not\cong L_{5,2}^{10}$, $L_{5,2}^7 \not\cong L_{5,2}^{11}$, $L_{5,2}^7 \not\cong L_{5,2}^{12}$, and $L_{5,2}^7 \not\cong L_{5,2}^{15}$. Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^7$ and $L_{5,2}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{17} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^7$ and $L_{5,2}^{18}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^7 \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{18}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. Note that $L_{5,2}^7$ and $L_{5,2}^{19}$ are not isomorphic because $(L_{5,2}^7)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{19})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^7$ is not isomorphic to the remaining restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,2}^8$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^9$, $L_{5,2}^{10}$, $L_{5,2}^{11}$, $L_{5,2}^{17}$, $L_{5,2}^{18}$ because $(L_{5,2}^9)^{[p]^2} = (L_{5,2}^{10})^{[p]^2} = (L_{5,2}^{11})^{[p]^2} = (L_{5,2}^{17})^{[p]^2} = (L_{5,2}^{18})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^8)^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Similar argument as in (4.2) shows that $L_{5,2}^8$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{12}$ or $L_{5,2}^{15}$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^8$ and $L_{5,2}^{19}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there

exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{19} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^8$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= a_{34}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^8$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Similar argument as in (4.2) shows that $L_{5,2}^9$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{10}$, $L_{5,2}^{11}$, $L_{5,2}^{12}$, or $L_{5,2}^{15}$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^9$ and $L_{5,2}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{17} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^9$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= a_{44}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. Note that $L_{5,2}^9$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,2}^{18}$ nor $L_{5,2}^{19}$ because $(L_{5,2}^9)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{18})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ and $(L_{5,2}^{19})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^9$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{10}$ and $L_{5,2}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. Note that $L_{5,2}^{10}$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,2}^{12}$, $L_{5,2}^{15}$, $L_{5,2}^{18}$ nor $L_{5,2}^{19}$ because $(L_{5,2}^{10})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{12})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,2}^{15})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,2}^{18})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ and $(L_{5,2}^{19})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{10}$ and $L_{5,2}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{17} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{10}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{10}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,2}^{11}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{12}$, $L_{5,2}^{15}$, $L_{5,2}^{18}$, or $L_{5,2}^{19}$ because $(L_{5,2}^{11})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{12})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,2}^{15})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,2}^{18})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ and $(L_{5,2}^{19})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$. Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{11}$ and $L_{5,2}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{17} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= a_{34}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{11}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{12}$ and $L_{5,2}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{12} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})^p x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Similar argument as in (4.2) shows that $L_{5,2}^{12}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{17}$, $L_{5,2}^{18}$, or $L_{5,2}^{19}$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{12}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{13}$ and $L_{5,2}^{14}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{14} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{13}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})^p x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,2}^{13}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{16}$ or $L_{5,2}^{21}$ because $(L_{5,2}^{13})^{[p]^3} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{16})^{[p]^3} \neq 0$ and $(L_{5,2}^{21})^{[p]^3} \neq 0$. Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{13}$ and $L_{5,2}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{13} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_5) &= ((a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3)^{[p]} \\ a_{35}x_3 + a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{35} = 0$, $a_{45} = 0$, and $a_{55} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{13}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,2}^{14}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{16}$ or $L_{5,2}^{21}$ because $(L_{5,2}^{14})^{[p]^3} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{16})^{[p]^3} \neq 0$ and $(L_{5,2}^{21})^{[p]^3} \neq 0$. Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{14}$ and $L_{5,2}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{14} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= a_{11}^p x_5 + a_{21}^p x_4 + a_{41}^p x_3, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{21} = 0$. Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{14}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Similar argument as in (4.2) shows that $L_{5,2}^{15}$ is not isomorphic to any of to any of $L_{5,2}^{17}$, $L_{5,2}^{18}$, or $L_{5,2}^{19}$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{15}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,2}^{16}$ and $L_{5,2}^{20}$ are not isomorphic because $(L_{5,2}^{16})^{[p]^3} \neq 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{20})^{[p]^3} = 0$. Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{16}$ and $L_{5,2}^{21}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{21} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_3) &= (a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4 + a_{54}x_5)^{[p]} \\ (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})x_3 &= a_{34}^p x_4 + a_{44}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{16}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,2}^{17}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,2}^{18}$ or $L_{5,2}^{19}$ because $(L_{5,2}^{17})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{18})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ and $(L_{5,2}^{19})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$. It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{17}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,2}^{18}$ and $L_{5,2}^{19}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,2}^{18} \rightarrow L_{5,2}^{19}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{21}^p x_4 + a_{41}^p x_3, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that, $a_{21} = 0$. Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_4 + a_{42}^p x_3, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22} = 0$. Therefore, $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,2}^{18}$ and $L_{5,2}^{19}$ are not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Finally, $L_{5,2}^{20}$ and $L_{5,2}^{21}$ are not isomorphic because $(L_{5,2}^{20})^{[p]^3} = 0$ but $(L_{5,2}^{21})^{[p]^3} \neq 0$.

Chapter 5

Restriction maps on $L_{5,3}$

Let

$$K_3 = L_{5,3} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle.$$

We have $Z(L_{5,3}) = \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that there exists an element $\alpha x_4 + \beta x_5 \in Z(L_{5,3})$ such that $(\alpha x_4 + \beta x_5)^{[p]} = 0$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. If $\beta \neq 0$ then consider

$$K = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_5 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_3, [x'_1, x'_3] = x'_4 \rangle,$$

where $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = x_2, x'_3 = x_3, x'_4 = x_4, x'_5 = \alpha x_4 + \beta x_5$. Let $\phi : K_3 \rightarrow K$ given by $x_i \mapsto x'_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, in this case we can suppose that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. If $\beta = 0$ then $\alpha \neq 0$ and we rescale x_4 so that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$.

Hence we have two cases:

I. $x_4^{[p]} = 0$;

II. $x_5^{[p]} = 0$.

Note that the group $\text{Aut}(L_{5,3})$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{11}a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}^2a_{22} & a_{45} \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & 0 & 0 & a_{55} \end{pmatrix}.$$

5.1 Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,3}}{\langle x_4 \rangle}$

In this section we find all non-isomorphic p -maps on $L_{5,3}$ such that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. We let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,3}}{\langle x_4 \rangle} \cong L_{4,2},$$

where $L_{4,2} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle$. Note that we denote the image of x_i in L by x_i again. We rename x_4 with x_5 and x_5 with x_4 and at the end we will switch them. The group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & r & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$.

Lemma 5.1.1 *Let $K = L_{5,3}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K such that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$ and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$, where $M = \langle x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{13}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = [x_1, x_3] = x_4;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{13}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{13}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K for which $x_4^{[p]} = 0$ can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{13}, \omega)$. Note that by [12], there are eight non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

I.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3$;

I.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.4 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.5 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.6 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3$;

$$\text{I.7 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{I.8 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4.$$

In the following subsections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that, by Lemma 5.1.1, we can assume that $\phi = \Delta_{13}$.

5.1.1 Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = d = e = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then, we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{14} + c\Delta_{23} + d\Delta_{24}$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{14} + c'\Delta_{23} + d'\Delta_{24}$, for some $a', b', c', d' \in \mathbb{F}$.

We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.1)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,3}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we have

$$A\omega(x_1) = \omega(Ax_1) = \omega(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{12}^p \alpha + a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = r^p \gamma;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{34}^p \gamma + a_{44}^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.2)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (5.1) and (5.2) together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such

that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of

ϕ 's.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

If $\delta = 0$, then we have $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$.

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5.1.2 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11} = 1$, $a_{34} = 0$, $a_{12} = 0$, $a_{21} = 0$, and $\beta_2 = a_{22}^p \beta_1$ which gives that $\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Assume next that $\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows: (Note that

we need to switch x_4 and x_5 .)

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^4(\beta) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^6 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1.3 *We have $K_3^4(\beta_1) \cong K_3^4(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f : K_3^4(\beta_1) \rightarrow K_3^4(\beta_2)$ is an isomorphism. Then, f is an automorphism of $L_{5,3}$ and $f(\langle x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}) = \langle x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus, f induces an automorphism $A : K_3^4(\beta_1)/\langle x_4 \rangle \rightarrow K_3^4(\beta_2)/\langle x_4 \rangle$ and, by Lemma 2.3.3, we have that $A\theta_1 = c\theta_2$, for some $c \in \mathbb{F}^*$, where $\theta_1 = (\Delta_{13}, \beta_1 f_2)$ and $\theta_2 = (\Delta_{13}, \beta_2 f_2)$ which implies that $c^{-1}A\theta_1 = \theta_2$. Therefore, with-

out loss of generality, we can suppose that $c = 1$. So, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same

$\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit and it follows by Lemma 5.1.2 that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. The converse is easy to see by Lemma 2.3.3. ■

5.1.2 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle x_3 \rangle$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{14} + c\Delta_{23} + d\Delta_{24}$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, $\phi(x, x_3) = 0$, for all $x \in L$. Therefore, $\phi(x_1, x_3) = 0$ which implies that $a = 0$. Since

$\phi = \Delta_{13}$ gives us $L_{5,3}$, we deduce by Lemma 5.1.1 that $L_{5,3}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also get $a = 0$.

$$\text{I.4 } x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{I.6 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{I.7 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{I.8 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4.$$

5.1.3 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a

linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ b &= \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = 0$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and} \\ \beta &= \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \alpha f_1)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then, we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, c \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + c'\Delta_{23}$, for some $a', c' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a', c' . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, rx_3) \\ &= a_{11}ra + a_{21}rc; \text{ and} \\ A\phi(x_2, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, rx_3) \\ &= a_{12}ra + a_{22}rc. \end{aligned}$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}r & a_{21}r \\ a_{12}r & a_{22}r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,3}$.

Note that we need to have $A\phi(x_1, x_4) = A\phi(x_2, x_4) = A\phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that

$$a_{11}a_{34}a + a_{21}a_{34}c = 0,$$

$$a_{12}a_{34}a + a_{22}a_{34}c = 0.$$

Also, we have $\omega = \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = r^p \gamma;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{34}^p \gamma + a_{44}^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.4)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (5.3) and (5.4) together as follows:

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ c' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's and

$$a_{11}a_{34}a + a_{21}a_{34}c = 0, \quad a_{12}a_{34}a + a_{22}a_{34}c = 0.$$

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

If $\delta \neq 0$ and $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[(1/\gamma)^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^{-1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\gamma)^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

If $\delta \neq 0$ but $\gamma = 0$, then we have $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$. Next, if $\delta = 0$ but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[(1/\gamma)^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^{-1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\gamma)^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = \gamma = 0$, then we have $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$.

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5.1.4 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11} = 1$, $a_{12} = 0$, and $\beta_2 = a_{22}^p\beta_1$ which gives that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = a_{11}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Conversely, suppose that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

as required. ■

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows: (Note that

we need to switch x_4 and x_5 .)

$$\begin{aligned} K_3^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_3^8(\beta) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{11} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Similarly, as in Lemma 5.1.3, we can prove that $K_3^8(\beta_1) \cong K_3^8(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

5.1.4 Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = f.$$

Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ b &= \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = 0$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and} \\ \alpha &= \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, \gamma f_3)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (0, f_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}$. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ϕ 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}r & a_{21}r \\ a_{12}r & a_{22}r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.5)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,3}$. Note that we need to have $A\phi(x_1, x_4) = A\phi(x_2, x_4) = A\phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that

$$a_{11}a_{34}a + a_{21}a_{34}c = 0,$$

$$a_{12}a_{34}a + a_{22}a_{34}c = 0.$$

Let $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{12}^p \alpha + a_{22}^p \beta + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{44}^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (5.5) and (5.6) together as follows:

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ c' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's. Note that we take $a_{34} = 0$. Then we have

$$a_{11}a_{34}a + a_{21}a_{34}c = 0,$$

$$a_{12}a_{34}a + a_{22}a_{34}c = 0.$$

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\alpha^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 5.1.5 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11} = 1$, $a_{12} = 0$, $a_{21} = 0$, and $\beta_2 = a_{22}^p \beta_1$ which gives that $\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} = a_{11}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Conversely, assume that $\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows: (Note that we need to switch x_4 and x_5 .)

$$K_3^{12} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_3^{13} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_3^{14}(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_3^{15} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Similarly, as in Lemma 5.1.3, we can prove that $K_3^{14}(\beta_1) \cong K_3^{14}(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

5.2 Extensions of $L = \frac{L_{5,3}}{\langle x_5 \rangle}$

In this section we find all non-isomorphic p-maps on $L_{5,3}$ such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. We let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,3}}{\langle x_5 \rangle} \cong L_{4,3},$$

where $L_{4,3} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle$. The group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & r & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} \neq 0$.

Lemma 5.2.1 *Let $K = L_{5,3}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$ and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (0, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = 0$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (0, \omega) \in Z^2(L, M)$ and $K \cong L_{\theta}$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$ can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (0, \omega)$.

Note that by [12], there are four non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

II.1 Trivial p -map;

II.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.3 $x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4$;

II.4 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$.

5.2.1 Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $f = e = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and} \\ b &= \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and} \\ c &= \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that $d = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since we want L_θ and $L_{5,3}$ to be isomorphic as Lie algebras, we should have $\phi = 0$. Since 0 is preserved under $\text{Aut}(L)$, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's.

Let $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We can easily verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If

$\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta & \gamma^2/\delta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1/\beta)^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{-3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, if $\delta = \gamma = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\alpha)^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_3^{16} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{17} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_3^{18} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_3^{19} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_3^{20} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

5.2.2 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4), \text{ and} \\ 0 &= (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $f = e = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1 \psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \delta^1 \psi(x_2, x_3) = \psi([x_2, x_3]) = 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = b = \alpha$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, bf_1)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{13}, f_1), (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)] + [(0, f_1)] = [(\Delta_{13}, f_1)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_1)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 5$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 5.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's.

Let $\omega = \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = r^p \gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p r^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_1) = 0$ which implies that $a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta = 0$. Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we take $a_{21} = a_{31} = a_{41} = 0$.

Therefore, $a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta = 0$. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly

an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\gamma/\delta & \gamma^2/\delta^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1/\beta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\beta)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{-3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_3^{21} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{22} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_3^{23} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_3^{24} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

5.2.3 Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4), \text{ and} \\ 0 &= (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $f = e = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_2, x_3) = \psi([x_2, x_3]) = 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = \xi\psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = b = \beta\xi^{-1}$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, b\xi f_2)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{13}, \xi f_2), (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)] + \xi[(0, f_2)] = [(\Delta_{13}, \xi f_2)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_2)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 5$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 5.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's.

Let $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = r^p \gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p r^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we need to have

$A\omega(x_2) = 0$ which implies that $a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_3^{25}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_3^{26}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_3^{27}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_3^{28}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Note that $K_3^{25}(\xi)$ is identical to $K_3^4(\beta)$, $K_3^{26}(\xi)$ is identical to $K_3^8(\beta)$, and $K_3^{27}(\xi)$ is identical to $K_3^{14}(\beta)$.

Lemma 5.2.2 *We have $K_3^{28}(\xi_1) \cong K_3^{28}(\xi_2)$ if and only if $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. First assume that $K_3^{28}(\xi_1) \cong K_3^{28}(\xi_2)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $f : K_3^{28}(\xi_1) \rightarrow K_3^{28}(\xi_2)$. Therefore, we have $f(x_2^{[p]}) = f(x_2)^{[p]}$ which implies that

$$A(\xi_1 x_4) = (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]}$$

Hence, $\xi_1 a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 = a_{22}^p \xi_2 x_4 + a_{42}^p x_5$. We deduce that $\xi_2 \xi_1^{-1} = a_{11}^2 a_{22}^{1-p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Conversely, assume that $\xi_2 \xi_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then the following automorphism of $L_{5,3}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{2p} \end{pmatrix}$$

is an isomorphism between $K_3^{28}(\xi_1)$ and $K_3^{28}(\xi_2)$. ■

5.2.4 Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2 \phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2 \phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$0 = (\delta^2 \phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $f = e = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$.

Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_2, x_3) = \psi([x_2, x_3]) = 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\gamma = \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = b = \gamma$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, bf_3)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{13}, f_3), (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)] + [(0, f_3)] = [(\Delta_{13}, f_3)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_3)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 5$. Therefore,

$$\left\{ [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_4)] \right\}$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. As in Section 5.2.1, it is enough to find $\text{Aut}(L)$ -representatives of the ω 's. Let $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p r^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_3) = 0$ which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta = 0$. Now we find the representatives of the orbits of this action. Note that we take $a_{32} = 0$. Therefore,

$a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta = 0$. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, if $\beta = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\alpha)^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_3^{29} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{30} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{31} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^{32} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

5.2.5 A list of restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,3}$

Therefore, the list of all (possibly redundant) restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,3}$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_3^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^4(\beta) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4 \rangle; \\ K_3^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_3^6 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^8(\beta) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{11} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{12} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{13} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{14}(\beta) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{15} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{16} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{17} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{18} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{19} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{20} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{21} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{22} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{23} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{24} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{25}(\xi) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{26}(\xi) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{27}(\xi) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{28}(\xi) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_3^{29} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{30} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{31} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_3^{32} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

5.3 Detecting isomorphisms

We can easily see that some of the algebras above are identical.

Theorem 5.3.1 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,3}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$L_{5,3}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^4(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^5 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^8(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^9 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{10} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{11} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{12} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{14} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{15} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,3}^{16} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{5,3}^{17} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,3}^{18} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi) &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,3}^{20} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
L_{5,3}^{21} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
L_{5,3}^{22} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_5^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

In the remaining of this section we establish that the algebras given in Theorem 5.3.1 are pairwise non-isomorphic, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 5.3.1.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^1$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras. We claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^3$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\
A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\
a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\
A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\
a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^6$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^6$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^2 a_{22} = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^2$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^2 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^2$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p \beta x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22}^p \beta = 0$. Since, $\beta \neq 0$, we have $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^6$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^6$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^2 a_{22} = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22}x_4 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^2 a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^3$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^3$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^4(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^6$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^4(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^6$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{45}x_4 + a_{55}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^4(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^4(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^4(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^4(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^4(\beta)$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^5$ and $L_{5,3}^6$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{45} x_4 + a_{55} x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^5$ and $L_{5,3}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{11} x_1 + a_{21} x_2 + a_{31} x_3 + a_{41} x_4 + a_{51} x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^5$ and $L_{5,3}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^5$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^5$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^5$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^6$ and $L_{5,3}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^6$ and $L_{5,3}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^6$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^6$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^6$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^7$ and $L_{5,3}^{11}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^7 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{11}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11} a_{22} x_3 + a_{11} a_{32} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^7$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^7 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22} x_2 + a_{32} x_3 + a_{42} x_4 + a_{52} x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^7$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^7 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^7$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^9$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^8(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^9$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11} a_{22} x_3 + a_{11} a_{32} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{10}$, $L_{5,3}^{14}$, $L_{5,3}^{18}$, $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$, $L_{5,3}^{21}$, $L_{5,3}^{22}$ because $(L_{5,3}^8)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{12}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^8(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{12}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11} a_{22} x_3 + a_{11} a_{32} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^8(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^8(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{17}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ A(\beta x_4) &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ \beta a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^8(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^8(\beta)$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,3}^9$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{10}$, $L_{5,3}^{14}$, $L_{5,3}^{18}$, $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$, $L_{5,3}^{21}$, $L_{5,3}^{22}$ because $(L_{5,3}^9)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^9$ and $L_{5,3}^{12}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{12}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22}x_4 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^2 a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^9$ and $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p \beta x_4 + a_{32}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22}^p \beta = 0$. Since, $\beta \neq 0$ we have $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^9$ and $L_{5,3}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{17}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^9$ and $L_{5,3}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5 + a_{32}^p x_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^9$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{12}$, $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$, $L_{5,3}^{17}$, $L_{5,3}^{20}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{10})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ and $L_{5,3}^{14}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{14}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ and $L_{5,3}^{18}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{18}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ and $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ and $L_{5,3}^{21}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{21}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11} a_{22} x_3 + a_{11} a_{32} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4 + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{22}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^{10}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{11}$ and $L_{5,3}^{15}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{11} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{15}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{11}$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{11} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^{11}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{12}$ and $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{12} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p\beta x_4 + a_{32}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22}^p\beta \neq 0$. Since, $\beta \neq 0$ we have $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{12}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{14}$, $L_{5,3}^{18}$, $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$, $L_{5,3}^{21}$, $L_{5,3}^{22}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{12})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{12}$ and $L_{5,3}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{12} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{17}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{12}$ and $L_{5,3}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{12} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5 + a_{32}^p x_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^{12}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{13}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{14}$, $L_{5,3}^{18}$, $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$, $L_{5,3}^{21}$, $L_{5,3}^{22}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{13})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{17}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{17}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_4 + a_{21}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$ and $L_{5,3}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ A(\beta x_4) &= (a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ \beta a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= a_{22}^p x_5 + a_{32}^p x_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^{13}(\beta)$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{14}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{17}$, $L_{5,3}^{20}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{14})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{14}$ and $L_{5,3}^{18}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{14} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{18}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{14}$ and $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{14} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{14}$ and $L_{5,3}^{21}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{14} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{21}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{14}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{22} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{14}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_4) &= (a_{11} a_{22} x_3 + a_{11} a_{32} x_4)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^{14}$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{15}$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{15} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{16}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,3}^{15}$ and $L_{5,3}^{16}$ are not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{17}$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,3}^{18}$, $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$, $L_{5,3}^{21}$, $L_{5,3}^{22}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{17})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but this is not true for those restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{17}$ and $L_{5,3}^{20}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{17} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{20}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11} a_{22} x_3 + a_{11} a_{32} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{18}$ and $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{18} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{22} x_2 + a_{32} x_3 + a_{42} x_4 + a_{52} x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_4 + a_{42}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{18}$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,3}^{20}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{18})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ but this is not true for $L_{5,3}^{20}$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{18}$ and $L_{5,3}^{21}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{18} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{21}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4 + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{18}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{18} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{22}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{19}$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,3}^{20}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{19})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$ but this is not true for $L_{5,3}^{20}$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi)$ and $L_{5,3}^{21}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{19}(\xi) \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{21}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4 + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{19}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{19} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{22}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p a_{22}^p x_4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,3}^{20}$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,3}^{21}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$ because $(L_{5,3}^{20})^{[p]^2} = 0$ but this is not true for $L_{5,3}^{21}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,3}^{21}$ and $L_{5,3}^{22}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,3}^{22} \rightarrow L_{5,3}^{21}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}^2 a_{22} x_4)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^{2p} a_{22}^p = 0$. Therefore, we have $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{22} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Chapter 6

Restriction maps on Lie algebras of 1-dimensional centre

6.1 Restriction maps on $L_{5,4}$

Let $L_{5,4} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_5, [x_3, x_4] = x_5 \rangle$. We have $Z(L_{5,4}) = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ and so $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,4}}{\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}} \cong L_{4,1},$$

where $L_{4,1} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$.

Lemma 6.1.1 *Let $K = L_{5,4}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{34}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$,

$1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1, x_2] = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_3, x_4) = [\sigma(x_3), \sigma(x_4)] - \sigma([x_3, x_4]) = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = 0;$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{34}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{34}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{34}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are five non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

I.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2$;

I.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.4 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3$;

I.5 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4$.

In the following sections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 6.1.1, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{34}, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,4}$.

Note that the group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

6.1.1 Extension of $L_{5,4}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Since L is abelian and the p -map is trivial, $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (\Delta_{34}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $b = c = d = e = f = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (0, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = 0$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(\Delta_{34}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{12} + b'\Delta_{13} + c'\Delta_{14} + d'\Delta_{23} + e'\Delta_{24} + f'\Delta_{34}$, for some $a', b', c', d', e', f' \in \mathbb{F}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_2) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_2) \\ &= \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4) \\ &= (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})a + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})b + (a_{11}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{12})c \\ &\quad + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22})d + (a_{21}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{22})e + (a_{31}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{32})f, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_3) \\ &= \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{13}x_1 + a_{23}x_2 + a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4) \\ &= (a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13})a + (a_{11}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{13})b + (a_{11}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{41})c \\ &\quad + (a_{21}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{23})d + (a_{21}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{41})e + (a_{31}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{41})f, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_4) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_4) \\ &= \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{14}x_1 + a_{24}x_2 + a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4) \\ &= (a_{11}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{21})a + (a_{11}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{31})b + (a_{11}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{41})c \\ &\quad + (a_{21}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{31})d + (a_{21}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{41})e + (a_{31}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{41})f, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A\phi(x_2, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) \\
 &= \phi(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, a_{13}x_1 + a_{23}x_2 + a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4) \\
 &= (a_{12}a_{23} - a_{22}a_{13})a + (a_{12}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{13})b + (a_{12}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{42})c \\
 &\quad + (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23})d + (a_{22}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{42})e + (a_{32}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{42})f, \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A\phi(x_2, x_4) &= \phi(Ax_2, Ax_4) \\
 &= \phi(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, a_{14}x_1 + a_{24}x_2 + a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4) \\
 &= (a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22})a + (a_{12}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{32})b + (a_{12}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{42})c \\
 &\quad + (a_{22}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{32})d + (a_{22}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42})e + (a_{32}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{42})f, \text{ and}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A\phi(x_3, x_4) &= \phi(Ax_3, Ax_4) \\
 &= \phi(a_{13}x_1 + a_{23}x_2 + a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4, a_{14}x_1 + a_{24}x_2 + a_{34}x_3 + a_{44}x_4) \\
 &= (a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23})a + (a_{13}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{33})b + (a_{13}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{43})c \\
 &\quad + (a_{23}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{33})d + (a_{23}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{43})e + (a_{33}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{43})f.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \\ e' \\ f' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{12} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22} & a_{21}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{22} & a_{31}a_{42} - a_{41}a_{32} \\ a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13} & a_{11}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{13} & a_{11}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{41} & a_{21}a_{33} - a_{31}a_{23} & a_{21}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{41} & a_{31}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{41} \\ a_{11}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{21} & a_{11}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{31} & a_{11}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{41} & a_{21}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{31} & a_{21}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{41} & a_{31}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{41} \\ a_{12}a_{23} - a_{22}a_{13} & a_{12}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{13} & a_{12}a_{43} - a_{13}a_{42} & a_{22}a_{33} - a_{32}a_{23} & a_{22}a_{43} - a_{23}a_{42} & a_{32}a_{43} - a_{33}a_{42} \\ a_{12}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{22} & a_{12}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{32} & a_{12}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{42} & a_{22}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{32} & a_{22}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{42} & a_{32}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{42} \\ a_{13}a_{24} - a_{14}a_{23} & a_{13}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{33} & a_{13}a_{44} - a_{14}a_{43} & a_{23}a_{34} - a_{24}a_{33} & a_{23}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{43} & a_{33}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{43} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \\ e \\ f \end{pmatrix}.$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,4}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ a_{13}^p & a_{23}^p & a_{33}^p & a_{43}^p \\ a_{14}^p & a_{24}^p & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such

that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of

ϕ 's. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (-\beta/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & (\alpha/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ (-\alpha/\delta)^{1/p} & 1 & (\alpha\beta/\delta^2)^{1/p} & 0 & (-\alpha^2/\delta^2)^{1/p} & (\alpha/\delta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (-\beta/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & (\beta^2/\delta^2)^{1/p} & 1 & (-\alpha\beta/\delta^2)^{1/p} & (\beta/\delta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\beta/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & (-\alpha/\delta)^{1/p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ \beta/\delta & -\alpha/\delta & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{1/p} & (-\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta^{1/p} & (-\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta & -\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

$$= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, suppose that $\delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (\gamma/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ (-\gamma/\beta)^{1/p} & 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & (-\gamma^2/\beta^2)^{1/p} & (\gamma/\beta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (-\gamma/\beta)^{1/p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & -\gamma/\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma/\beta & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
 & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and} \\
 & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
 & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (\gamma/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (\gamma/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 & (\gamma^2/\alpha^2)^{1/p} & 1 & 0 & (-\gamma/\alpha)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-\gamma/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \gamma/\alpha \\ -\gamma/\alpha & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
 & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and} \\
 & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\
 & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\delta = \beta = \alpha = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that the following elements are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives.

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Indeed,

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Therefore, the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

6.1.2 Extensions of $(L_{5,4}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_2)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle x_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$.

Hence, $\phi(x, x_2) = 0$, for all $x \in L$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x_1, x_2) &= a\Delta_{12}(x_1, x_2) + b\Delta_{13}(x_1, x_2) + c\Delta_{14}(x_1, x_2) \\ &\quad + d\Delta_{23}(x_1, x_2) + a\Delta_{24}(x_1, x_2) + f\Delta_{34}(x_1, x_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a = 0$. Since $\phi = \Delta_{12} + \Delta_{34}$ gives us $L_{5,4}$, we deduce by Lemma 6.1.1 that $L_{5,4}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also cannot construct $L_{5,4}$.

I.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_3^{[p]} = x_4;$

I.4 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3;$

I.5 $x_1^{[p]} = x_2, x_2^{[p]} = x_3, x_3^{[p]} = x_4.$

Theorem 6.1.2 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,4}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$L_{5,4}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_5, [x_3, x_4] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,4}^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_5, [x_3, x_4] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

Note that by Lemma 2.3.3, the algebras in the Theorem above are pairwise non-isomorphic because these algebras correspond to different $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits.

6.2 Restriction maps on $L_{5,5}$

Let $L_{5,5} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = x_5 \rangle$. We have $Z(L_{5,5}) = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,5}}{\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}} \cong L_{4,2},$$

where $L_{4,2} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle$.

Lemma 6.2.1 *Let $K = L_{5,5}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$,

$1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = [x_1, x_3] = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_2, x_4) = [\sigma(x_2), \sigma(x_4)] - \sigma([x_2, x_4]) = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0;$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are eight non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

II.1 Trivial p -map;

II.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3$;

II.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.4 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.5 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$;

$$\text{II.6 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.7 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.8 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4.$$

In the following sections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 6.2.1, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,5}$.

Note that the group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & r & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & 0 & a_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$.

6.2.1 Extension of $L_{5,5}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$.

Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = d = e = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{14} + c\Delta_{23} + d\Delta_{24}$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{14} + c'\Delta_{23} + d'\Delta_{24}$, for some $a', b', c', d' \in \mathbb{F}$.

We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.1)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,5}$. Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$,

for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We can verify that the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's in the matrix form is as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.2)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (6.1) and (6.2) together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such

that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of

ϕ 's. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\gamma \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{3/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \gamma^2 \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Furthermore,

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \delta^{1/p} & 0 \\ -\delta^{1/p} & 1 & -\delta^{2/p} & \delta^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta^{1/p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\gamma = 0$, but $\delta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ (\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 1 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{2/p} & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha/\beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-3/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 6.2.2 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11} = 1$ and $ra_{12} = 0$, and $\beta_2 = a_{22}^p\beta_1$ which gives that $r = a_{11}^{-1}$, $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore, $a_{22} = a_{11}^{-2}$. Hence, $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = a_{11}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Conversely assume that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-3/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{-2} \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 6.2.3 The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if

$$\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} ra_{11} & 0 & ra_{21} & 0 \\ a_{11}a_{34} & a_{11}a_{44} & a_{21}a_{34} & a_{21}a_{44} \\ ra_{12} & 0 & ra_{22} & 0 \\ a_{12}a_{34} & a_{12}a_{44} & a_{22}a_{34} & a_{22}a_{44} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{34}^p & a_{44}^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11} = 1$ and $ra_{12} = 0$, $a_{12}a_{34} + a_{22}a_{44} = 1$, and $\delta_2 = a_{44}^p \delta_1$ which gives that $r = a_{11}^{-1}$, $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore, $a_{22} = a_{11}^{-2}$ and $a_{22}a_{44} = 1$. Hence, $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = a_{11}^{2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Conversely assume that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{-3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

6.2.2 Extensions of $(L_{5,5}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle x_3 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$.

Hence, $\phi(x_1, x_3) = 0$ which implies that $b = 0$. Since $\phi = \Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}$ gives us $L_{5,5}$, we deduce by Lemma 6.2.1 that $L_{5,5}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also cannot construct $L_{5,5}$.

$$\text{II.3 } x_1^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{II.4 } x_1^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{II.5 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{II.6 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.7 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.8 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4.$$

Theorem 6.2.4 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,5}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$L_{5,5}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,5}^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,5}^3(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,5}^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,5}^5(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, [x_2, x_4] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle$$

where $\beta, \gamma \in T_2$.

Note that by Lemma 2.3.3, the algebras in the Theorem above are pairwise non-isomorphic because these algebras correspond to different $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits.

Lemma 6.2.5 *We have $L_{5,5}^3(\beta_1) \cong L_{5,5}^3(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f : L_{5,5}^3(\beta_1) \rightarrow L_{5,5}^3(\beta_2)$ is an isomorphism. Then, f is an automorphism of $L_{5,5}$ and $f(\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}) = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus, f induces an automorphism $A : L_{5,5}^3(\beta_1)/\langle x_5 \rangle \rightarrow L_{5,5}^3(\beta_2)/\langle x_5 \rangle$ and, by Lemma 2.3.3, we have that $A\theta_1 = c\theta_2$, for some $c \in \mathbb{F}^*$, where $\theta_1 = (\Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}, \beta_1 f_2)$ and $\theta_2 = (\Delta_{13} + \Delta_{24}, \beta_2 f_2)$ which implies that $c^{-1}A\theta_1 = \theta_2$.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can suppose that $c = 1$. So, $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are

in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit and it follows by Lemma 6.2.2 that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. The converse is easy to see by Lemma 2.3.3. ■

Similarly, as in Lemma 6.2.5, we can prove that $L_{5,5}^5(\delta_1) \cong L_{5,5}^5(\delta_2)$ if and only if $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

6.3 Restriction maps on $L_{5,6}$

Let $L_{5,6} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, [x_2, x_3] = x_5 \rangle$. We have $Z(L_{5,6}) = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,6}}{\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle \cong L_{4,3}.$$

Lemma 6.3.1 *Let $K = L_{5,6}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{14} + \Delta_{23}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_4) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_4)] - \sigma([x_1, x_4]) = [x_1, x_4] = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_2, x_3) = [\sigma(x_2), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_2, x_3]) = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0;$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{14} + \Delta_{23}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{14} + \Delta_{23}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{14} + \Delta_{23}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are four non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

I.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.3 $x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4$;

$$\text{I.4 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4.$$

In the following sections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 6.3.1, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{14} + \Delta_{23}, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,6}$.

Note that the group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & r & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} \neq 0$.

6.3.1 Extensions of $L_{5,6}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $e = f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists

a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$c = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $d = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{14} + b\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{14} + b'\Delta_{23}$, for some $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a', b' . Note that

$$A\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(Ax_1, Ax_4) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{11}rx_4) = a_{11}^2ra;$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, rx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) = a_{22}rb.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2r & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.3)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,6}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = \omega(Ax_1) = \omega(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4) = a_{11}^p\alpha + a_{21}^p\beta + a_{31}^p\gamma + a_{41}^p\delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p\beta + a_{32}^p\gamma + a_{42}^p\delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = r^p\gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p\delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p r^p\delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.4)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (6.3) and (6.4) together as follows:

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta & \gamma^2/\delta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then we have $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$.

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 6.3.2 *The vectors* $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ *are in the same* $\text{Aut}(L)$ -*orbit if and only if*

$\alpha_2\alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ *and* $\epsilon^5 = 1$, *for some* $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11}^2 = 1$, $ra_{22} = 1$, and $\alpha_2 = a_{11}^p\alpha_1$ which gives that $\alpha_2\alpha_1^{-1} = (a_{22}^p)^{-2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and $a_{22}^5 = 1$. Therefore, $\alpha_2\alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Conversely assume that $\alpha_2\alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{4/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 6.3.3 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^$.*

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11}^2 = 1$, $ra_{22} = 1$, and $\beta_2 = a_{22}^p\beta_1$ which gives that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = (a_{11}^p)^{-3} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$ and $a_{11}^5 = 1$. Therefore, $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Conversely assume that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$ with $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{2/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-2/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 6.3.4 The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if

$\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11}^2 = 1$, $ra_{22} = 1$, and $\gamma_2 = r^p\gamma_1$ which gives that $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = (a_{11}^p)^{-2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and $a_{11}^5 = 1$. Therefore, $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Conversely assume that $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{2/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-2/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 6.3.5 The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if

$\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11}^2 = 1$, $ra_{22} = 1$, and $\delta_2 = a_{11}^p r^p \delta_1$ which gives that $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} = (a_{22}^p)^{-3} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$ and $a_{22}^5 = 1$. Therefore, $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Conversely assume that $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$, where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{4/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

6.3.2 Extensions of $(L_{5,6}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, $\phi(x_1, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = 0$. Since $\phi = \Delta_{14} + \Delta_{23}$ gives us $L_{5,6}$, we deduce by Lemma 6.3.1 that $L_{5,6}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also get $c = 0$.

$$\text{I.3 } x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4;$$

$$\text{I.4 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4.$$

Theorem 6.3.6 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,6}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$L_{5,6}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, [x_2, x_3] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,6}^2(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,6}^3(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,6}^4(\gamma) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = \gamma x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,6}^5(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle$$

where $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \in T_{2,5}$ and $\beta \in T_{3,5}$.

Similarly, as in Lemma 6.2.5, we can prove that $L_{5,6}^2(\alpha_1) \cong L_{5,6}^2(\alpha_2)$ if and only if $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$ where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $L_{5,6}^3(\beta_1) \cong L_{5,6}^3(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$ where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$, $L_{5,6}^4(\gamma_1) \cong L_{5,6}^4(\gamma_2)$ if and only if $\gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$ where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$, and $L_{5,6}^5(\delta_1) \cong L_{5,6}^5(\delta_2)$ if and only if $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ and $\epsilon^5 = 1$ where $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3.3, the algebras in the Theorem above are pairwise non-isomorphic because these algebras correspond to different $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits.

6.4 Restriction maps on $L_{5,7}$

Let $L_{5,7} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5 \rangle$. We have $Z(L_{5,7}) = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,7}}{\langle x_5 \rangle} \cong L_{4,3},$$

where $L_{4,3} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle$.

Lemma 6.4.1 *Let $K = L_{5,7}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{14}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_4) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_4)] - \sigma([x_1, x_4]) = [x_1, x_4] = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0;$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{14}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{14}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_{\theta}$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{14}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are four non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{4,3}$ given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

I.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

$$\text{I.3 } x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4;$$

$$\text{I.4 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4.$$

In the following sections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 6.4.1, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{14}, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,7}$.

Note that the group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & r & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} \neq 0$.

6.4.1 Extensions of $L_{5,7}/\langle x_5 \rangle$ via the trivial p -map

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $e = f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$c = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $d = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{14} + b\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{14} + b'\Delta_{23}$, for some $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a', b' . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_4) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_4) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{11}rx_4) = a_{11}^2ra; \\ A\phi(x_2, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, rx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) = a_{22}rb. \end{aligned}$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2r & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22}r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.5)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,7}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega =$

$\alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = \omega(Ax_1) = \omega(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = r^p \gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p r^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.6)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (6.5) and (6.6) together as follows:

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of

ϕ 's. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and} \\ & \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta & \gamma^2/\delta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and} \\ & \left[\delta^{-2/p} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{2/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{-3/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \delta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then we have

$$\left[\alpha^{2/p} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-2/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{3/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 6.4.2 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\beta_2 \beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11}^2 = 1$ and $\beta_2 = a_{22}^p\beta_1$ which gives that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = a_{11}^{-3p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

Conversely assume that $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{2/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-2/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 6.4.3 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[r \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $ra_{11}^2 = 1$ and $\gamma_2 = r^p\gamma_1$ which gives that $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = a_{11}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

Conversely assume that $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{2/p} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-2/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{3/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

6.4.2 Extensions of $(L_{5,7}/\langle x_5 \rangle, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle x_4 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$. Hence, $\phi(x_1, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = 0$. Since $\phi = \Delta_{14}$ gives us $L_{5,7}$, we deduce by Lemma 6.4.1 that $L_{5,7}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also get $c = 0$.

$$\text{I.3 } x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4;$$

$$\text{I.4 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4.$$

Theorem 6.4.4 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,7}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$L_{5,7}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,7}^2 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,7}^3(\beta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \beta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,7}^4(\gamma) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = \gamma x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,7}^5 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_1, x_4] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle$$

where $\beta \in T_3$ and $\gamma \in T_2$.

Note that, as in Lemma 6.2.5, we can show that $L_{5,7}^3(\beta_1) \cong L_{5,7}^3(\beta_2)$ if and only if $\beta_2\beta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$ and $L_{5,7}^4(\gamma_1) \cong L_{5,7}^4(\gamma_2)$ if and only if $\gamma_2\gamma_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.3.3, the algebras in the Theorem above are pairwise non-isomorphic because these algebras correspond to different $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits.

Chapter 7

Restriction maps on $L_{5,8}$

Let

$$K_8 = L_{5,8} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5 \rangle.$$

We have $Z(L_{5,8}) = \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that there exists an element $\alpha x_4 + \beta x_5 \in Z(L_{5,8})$ such that $(\alpha x_4 + \beta x_5)^{[p]} = 0$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. If $\alpha \neq 0$ then consider

$$K = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_5 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_4, [x'_1, x'_3] = x'_5 \rangle,$$

where $x'_1 = x_1, x'_2 = \alpha x_2 + \beta x_3, x'_3 = x_3, x'_4 = \alpha x_4 + \beta x_5, x'_5 = x_5$. Let $\phi : K_8 \rightarrow K$ given by $x_i \mapsto x'_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, in this case we can suppose that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $\beta \neq 0$ and we rescale x_5 so that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Hence we can assume either $x_4^{[p]} = 0$ or $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Consider the automorphism of K_8 given by $x_1 \mapsto x_1, x_2 \mapsto x_3, x_3 \mapsto x_2, x_4 \mapsto x_5$ and $x_5 \mapsto x_4$. Using this automorphism, we deduce that it is enough to determine all the p -maps on K_8 for which $x_5^{[p]} = 0$.

Lemma 7.0.5 *Let $K = L_{5,8}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$ and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{13}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_1, x_3) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_1, x_3]) = [x_1, x_3] = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{13}$. Now, by Lemma, 2.2.2 we have $\theta = (\Delta_{13}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{13}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,8}}{\langle x_5 \rangle} = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4 \rangle.$$

Then $L \cong L_{4,2}$. Indeed, the map $A : L_{4,2} \rightarrow L$ such that $x'_1 \mapsto x_1$, $x'_2 \mapsto x_2$, $x'_3 \mapsto x_4$, $x'_4 \mapsto x_3$ is an isomorphism, where $L_{4,2} = \langle x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_3 \rangle$. Therefore, by [12], there are eight non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on L given by the following p -maps:

II.1 Trivial p -map;

II.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

II.3 $x_1^{[p]} = x_3$;

$$\text{II.4 } x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.5 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.6 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{II.7 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{II.8 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3.$$

In the following sections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 7.0.5, it suffices to assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{13}, \omega)]$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,8}$.

Note that the group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & r \end{pmatrix},$$

where $r = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$.

7.1 Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and

$\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_4, x_3).$$

Thus, we get $f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$.

Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (\Delta_{24}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = d = e = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(\Delta_{24}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{14} + c\Delta_{23} + d\Delta_{24}$, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{14} + c'\Delta_{23} + d'\Delta_{24}$, for some $a', b', c', d' \in \mathbb{F}$.

We determine a', b', c', d' . Note that

$$A\phi(x_1, x_3) = a_{11}a_{33}a + a_{11}a_{43}b + a_{21}a_{33}c + a_{21}a_{43}d;$$

$$A\phi(x_1, x_4) = a_{11}rb + a_{21}rd;$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_3) = a_{12}a_{33}a + a_{12}a_{43}b + a_{22}a_{33}c + a_{22}a_{43}d;$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_4) = a_{12}rb + a_{22}rd.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{33} & a_{11}a_{43} & a_{21}a_{33} & a_{21}a_{43} \\ 0 & a_{11}r & 0 & a_{21}r \\ a_{12}a_{33} & a_{12}a_{43} & a_{22}a_{33} & a_{22}a_{43} \\ 0 & a_{12}r & 0 & a_{22}r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.1)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,8}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta'$. Note that

$$A\omega(x_1) = \omega(Ax_1) = \omega(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4) = a_{11}^p\alpha + a_{21}^p\beta + a_{31}^p\gamma + a_{41}^p\delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{12}^p\alpha + a_{22}^p\beta + a_{32}^p\gamma + a_{42}^p\delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = a_{33}^p\gamma + a_{43}^p\delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = r^p\delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}^p & a_{43}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.2)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (7.1) and (7.2) together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{33} & a_{11}a_{43} & a_{21}a_{33} & a_{21}a_{43} \\ 0 & a_{11}r & 0 & a_{21}r \\ a_{12}a_{33} & a_{12}a_{43} & a_{22}a_{33} & a_{22}a_{43} \\ 0 & a_{12}r & 0 & a_{22}r \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33}^p & a_{43}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \\ d' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such

that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of

ϕ 's.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\gamma/\delta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta^{-2/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \delta^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\delta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{2/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = \gamma = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{2/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\delta = \gamma = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-2/p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_8^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

7.2 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_4, x_3).$$

Thus, we get $f = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a

linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = a = \alpha$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, af_1)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$[(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [0, f_1], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_1)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_1)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_1)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 6$. Therefore,

$$[(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{12} + c\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$.

Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{12} + c'\Delta_{23}$, for some $a', b', c' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a', b', c' .

Note that

$$A\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4) = a_{11}a_{33}a + a_{21}a_{33}c;$$

$$A\phi(x_1, x_2) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4)$$

$$= (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})a + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})b + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22})c;$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4) = a_{12}a_{33}a + a_{22}a_{33}c.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{33} & 0 & a_{21}a_{33} \\ a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22} \\ a_{12}a_{33} & 0 & a_{22}a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.3)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,8}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = a_{33}^p \gamma + a_{43}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = r^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & a_{33}^p & a_{43}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.4)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (7.3) and (7.4) together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{33} & 0 & a_{21}a_{33} \\ a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22} \\ a_{12}a_{33} & 0 & a_{22}a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & a_{33}^p & a_{43}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A\phi(x_1, x_4) = A\phi(x_2, x_4) = A\phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ and $A\omega(x_1) = 0$ which imply that $a_{21}^p\beta + a_{31}^p\gamma + a_{41}^p\delta = 0$. Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of

$\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's. Note that we need to have $a_{21}^p\beta + a_{31}^p\gamma + a_{41}^p\delta = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$.

Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

If $\beta = 0$, then we have $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. If $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

Finally, if $\delta = \gamma = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_8^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_8^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_8^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_8^9 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_8^{10} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.$$

7.3 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_3)$

Note that $L^{[p]} = \langle x_3 \rangle$. Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$, where $\phi = a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}$, for some $a, b, c, d, e, f \in \mathbb{F}$.

Hence, $\phi(x_1, x_3) = 0$ which implies that $b = 0$. Since $\phi = \Delta_{13}$ gives us $L_{5,8}$, we deduce by Lemma 7.0.5 that $L_{5,8}$ cannot be constructed in this case. Similarly, we can show that for the following p -maps we also get $b = 0$.

$$\text{II.4 } x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.5 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3;$$

$$\text{II.6 } x_4^{[p]} = x_3, x_2^{[p]} = x_4;$$

$$\text{II.8 } x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_3.$$

7.4 Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore, we have

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_4, x_3).$$

Thus, we get $f = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a

linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = 0$. Also, we have

$$\gamma = \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = a = \gamma$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, af_3)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, f_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$[(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [0, f_1], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)] + [(0, f_3)] = [(\Delta_{12}, f_3)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_3)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{12}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 6$. Therefore,

$$[(\Delta_{12}, 0)], [(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_4)].$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{12} + c\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}$.

Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{12} + c'\Delta_{23}$, for some $a', b', c' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a', b', c' .

Note that

$$A\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4) = a_{11}a_{33}a + a_{21}a_{33}c;$$

$$A\phi(x_1, x_2) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4)$$

$$= (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})a + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21})b + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22})c;$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4) = a_{12}a_{33}a + a_{22}a_{33}c.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{33} & 0 & a_{21}a_{33} \\ a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22} \\ a_{12}a_{33} & 0 & a_{22}a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.5)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,8}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{12}^p \alpha + a_{22}^p \beta + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = r^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.6)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (7.5) and (7.6) together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}a_{33} & 0 & a_{21}a_{33} \\ a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} & a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22} \\ a_{12}a_{33} & 0 & a_{22}a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ a_{12}^p & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & r^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ & = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \\ c' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A\phi(x_1, x_4) = A\phi(x_2, x_4) = A\phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ and $A\omega(x_3) = 0$ which imply that $a_{43}^p \delta = 0$. Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set

of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on

the set of ϕ 's. Note that we take $a_{43} = 0$. Therefore, $a_{43}^p \delta = 0$. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If

$\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Let $\nu \neq 0$. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\delta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\delta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (-\alpha/\beta)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\delta = \beta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\alpha \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_8^{11} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_8^{12} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_8^{13} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ K_8^{14} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

7.5 Detecting isomorphisms

The following is the list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,8}$ and yet, as we shall see below, we prove that some of them are isomorphic.

$$\begin{aligned} K_8^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ K_8^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
K_8^6 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_8^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_8^8 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_8^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_8^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\
K_8^{11} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_8^{12} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_8^{13} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\
K_8^{14} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The group $\text{Aut}(L_{5,8})$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 & 0 \\
a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{11}a_{22} & a_{11}a_{23} \\
a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{53} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}a_{33}
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the following automorphism of $L_{5,8}$ that maps $x_1 \mapsto x_1, x_2 \mapsto x_3, x_3 \mapsto x_2,$

$x_4 \mapsto x_5$ and $x_5 \mapsto x_4$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix}$$

implies that

$$K_8^2 \cong K_8^6, \quad K_8^3 \cong K_8^{11}, \quad K_8^7 \cong K_8^{12}.$$

Moreover, $K_8^8 \cong K_8^{10}$ via the automorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 7.5.1 *The list of all the restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,8}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{5,8}^1 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^2 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^3 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^4 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^5 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^6 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^7 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^8 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^9 &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle; \\ L_{5,8}^{10} &= \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_4, [x_1, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the remaining of this section we establish that the algebras given in Theorem 7.5.1 are pairwise non-isomorphic, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 7.5.1.

It is clear that $L_{5,8}^1$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

We claim that $L_{5,8}^2$ and $L_{5,8}^3$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists

an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^2$ and $L_{5,8}^4$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^4 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^2$ and $L_{5,8}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,8}^2$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^3$ and $L_{5,8}^4$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^4 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_5) &= (a_{23}x_2 + a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4 + a_{53}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}a_{23}x_4 + a_{11}a_{33}x_5 &= a_{23}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{11}a_{33} = a_{23}^p$. Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{23} = 0$. First, if $a_{11} = 0$, we have a contradiction. Next, if $a_{23} = 0$ then $a_{11}a_{33} = 0$ and $a_{11}a_{23} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^3$ and $L_{5,8}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_5) &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_4 + a_{11}a_{32}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}a_{23}x_4 + a_{11}a_{33}x_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{11}a_{33} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,8}^3$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^4$ and $L_{5,8}^5$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^5 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_4^{[p]}) &= A(x_4)^{[p]} \\ A(x_5) &= (a_{11}a_{22}x_4 + a_{11}a_{32}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}a_{23}x_4 + a_{11}a_{33}x_5 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11}a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{11}a_{33} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,8}^4$ and $L_{5,8}^5$ are not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^6$ and $L_{5,8}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^7 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^6$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_5) &= (a_{23}x_2 + a_{33}x_3 + a_{43}x_4 + a_{53}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{11}a_{23}x_4 + a_{11}a_{33}x_5 &= a_{23}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{11}a_{33} = a_{23}^p$. Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ or $a_{23} = 0$. First, if $a_{11} = 0$, we have a contradiction. Next, if $a_{23} = 0$ then $a_{11}a_{33} = 0$ and $a_{11}a_{23} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,8}^6$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,8}^8, L_{5,8}^{10}$ because $(L_{5,8}^6)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,8}^8)^{[p]^2} \neq 0, (L_{5,8}^{10})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^6$ and $L_{5,8}^9$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^6$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_4 + a_{21}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,8}^7$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,8}^8, L_{5,8}^{10}$ because $(L_{5,8}^7)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,8}^8)^{[p]^2} \neq 0, (L_{5,8}^{10})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^7$ and $L_{5,8}^9$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^9 \rightarrow L_{5,8}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_4 + a_{31}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,8}^8$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,8}^9$, because $(L_{5,8}^9)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,8}^8)^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,8}^8$ and $L_{5,8}^{10}$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,8}^{10} \rightarrow L_{5,8}^8$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_1^{[p]}) &= A(x_1)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p x_4 + a_{41}^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a_{11} = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,8}^9$ is not isomorphic to $L_{5,8}^{10}$, because $(L_{5,8}^9)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,8}^{10})^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Chapter 8

Restriction maps on $L_{5,9}$

Let

$$K_9 = L_{5,9} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5 \rangle.$$

Note that $Z(K_9) = \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ and the group $\text{Aut}(L_{5,9})$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & u & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{11}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{31} & a_{11}u & a_{12}u \\ a_{51} & a_{52} & a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31} & a_{21}u & a_{22}u \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$. Note that there exists an element $\alpha x_4 + \beta x_5 \in Z(L_{5,9})$ such that $(\alpha x_4 + \beta x_5)^{[p]} = 0$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$. If $\alpha \neq 0$ then consider

$$K = \langle x'_1, \dots, x'_5 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = x'_3, [x'_1, x'_3] = x'_4, [x'_2, x'_3] = x'_5 \rangle,$$

where $x'_1 = \alpha x_1 + \beta x_2, x'_2 = \alpha^{-1}x_2, x'_3 = x_3, x'_4 = \alpha x_4 + \beta x_5, x'_5 = \alpha^{-1}x_5$. Let $\phi : K_9 \rightarrow K$ given by $x_i \mapsto x'_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. It is easy to see that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore, in this case we can suppose that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. If $\alpha = 0$ then $\beta \neq 0$ and we rescale

x_5 so that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Hence we can assume either $x_4^{[p]} = 0$ or $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Furthermore, we claim that it is enough to consider the case where $x_5^{[p]} = 0$. Indeed, suppose that there exists a p -map of $L_{5,9}$ such that $x_4^{[p]} = 0$. Then the image of the x_i 's under the following automorphism of $L_{5,9}$ yields another basis y_i of $L_{5,9}$ such that $y_5^{[p]} = 0$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,9}}{\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}} \cong L_{4,3},$$

where $L_{4,3} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_4 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4 \rangle$. The group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & d & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{11}a_{32} & a_{11}d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $d = a_{11}a_{22}$.

Lemma 8.0.2 *Let $K = L_{5,9}$ and $[p] : K \rightarrow K$ be a p -map on K such that $x_5^{[p]} = 0$ and let $L = \frac{K}{M}$ where $M = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Then $K \cong L_{\theta}$ where $\theta = (\Delta_{23}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : K \rightarrow L$ be the projection map. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\sigma : L \rightarrow K$ such that $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Then σ is an injective linear map and $\pi\sigma = 1_L$. Now, we define $\phi : L \times L \rightarrow M$ by $\phi(x_i, x_j) = [\sigma(x_i), \sigma(x_j)] - \sigma([x_i, x_j])$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ and $\omega : L \rightarrow M$ by $\omega(x) = \sigma(x)^{[p]} - \sigma(x^{[p]})$. Note that

$$\phi(x_2, x_3) = [\sigma(x_2), \sigma(x_3)] - \sigma([x_2, x_3]) = [x_2, x_3] = x_5;$$

$$\phi(x_1, x_2) = [\sigma(x_1), \sigma(x_2)] - \sigma([x_1, x_2]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\phi(x_1, x_3) = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi = \Delta_{23}$. Now, by Lemma 2.2.2, we have $\theta = (\Delta_{23}, \omega) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and $K \cong L_\theta$.

■

We deduce that any p -map on K can be obtained by an extension of L via $\theta = (\Delta_{23}, \omega)$, for some ω .

Note that by [12], there are four non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{4,3}$ given by the following p -maps:

I.1 Trivial p -map;

I.2 $x_1^{[p]} = x_4$;

I.3 $x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4$;

I.4 $x_3^{[p]} = x_4$.

In the following subsections we consider each of the above cases and find all possible $[\theta] = [(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ and construct L_θ . Note that by Lemma 8.0.2, it suffices to

assume $[\theta] = [(\Delta_{23}, \omega)]$ and find all non-isomorphic restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,9}$.

8.1 Extensions of $(L, \text{trivial } p\text{-map})$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4);$$

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $e = f = 0$. Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{14}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$c = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $d = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{14}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)].$$

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{14} + b\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{14} + b'\Delta_{23}$, for some $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a', b' . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_4) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_4) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, a_{11}dx_4) = a_{11}^2 da; \\ A\phi(x_2, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, dx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) = a_{22}db. \end{aligned}$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 d & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.1)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,9}$. Also we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} A\omega(x_1) &= \omega(Ax_1) = \omega(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta; \\ A\omega(x_2) &= a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta; \\ A\omega(x_3) &= d^p \gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta; \\ A\omega(x_4) &= a_{11}^p d^p \delta. \end{aligned}$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.2)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (8.1) and (8.2) together as follows:

$$\left[d \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of

ϕ 's. Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^4$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta & \gamma^2/\delta^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Hence the set of vectors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$ with $\delta \neq 0$ form an single orbit with orbit representative

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}$. Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[(1/\gamma)^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^{4/p} & 0 \\ 0 & (1/\gamma)^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{-2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \gamma^{-3} \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\beta^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} \beta^{4/p} & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then we have $\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$.

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8.1.1 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbits. Then

$$\left[d \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $da_{22} = 1$ and that $\alpha_2 = a_{11}^p \alpha_1$ which gives that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Assume next that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} (\epsilon)^{4/p} & 0 \\ 0 & (\epsilon)^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 8.1.2 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[d \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $\delta_2 = a_{11}^p d^p \delta_1$ and that $da_{22} = 1$ which gives that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-3p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$. Assume next that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$, with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\epsilon^{1/p} \begin{pmatrix} (\epsilon)^{4/p} & 0 \\ 0 & (\epsilon)^{-1/p} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Hence, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_9^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^2(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^5(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle$$

where $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Lemma 8.1.3 *We have $K_9^2(\alpha_1) \cong K_9^2(\alpha_2)$ if and only if $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $K_9^2(\alpha_1) \cong K_9^2(\alpha_2)$. Then there exists an isomorphism $f : K_9^2(\alpha_1) \rightarrow K_9^2(\alpha_2)$. Therefore, we have

$$f(x_2^{[p]}) = f(x_2)^{[p]}$$

$$0 = (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]}$$

$$0 = a_{12}^p \alpha_2 x_5,$$

which implies that $a_{12} = 0$. Hence, $f(\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}) = \langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus, f induces an automorphism $A : K_9^2(\alpha_1)/\langle x_5 \rangle \rightarrow K_9^2(\alpha_2)/\langle x_5 \rangle$ and, by Lemma 2.3.3, we have that $A\theta_1 = c\theta_2$, for some $c \in \mathbb{F}^*$, where $\theta_1 = (\Delta_{23}, \alpha_1 f_1)$ and $\theta_2 = (\Delta_{23}, \alpha_2 f_1)$ which implies that $c^{-1}A\theta_1 = \theta_2$.

Therefore, without loss of generality, we can suppose that $c = 1$. So, $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit and it follows by Lemma 8.1.1 that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. The converse is easy to see by Lemma 2.3.3. ■

Similarly, as in Lemma 8.1.3, we can prove that $K_9^5(\delta_1) \cong K_9^5(\delta_2)$ if and only if $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

8.2 Extensions of $(L, x_1^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4);$$

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $e = f = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$. Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_2, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_2, x_3) = \psi([x_2, x_3]) = 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = b = \alpha$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, bf_1)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [0, f_1], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)] + [(0, f_1)] = [(\Delta_{13}, f_1)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_1)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 5$. Therefore,

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{23}$ for some $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a, b' . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, dx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) \\ &= a_{11}da + a_{21}db; \end{aligned}$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, dx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) = a_{22}db.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.3)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,9}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \beta' f_2 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = d^p \gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p d^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.4)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (8.3) and (8.4) together as follows:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_1) = 0$ which implies that $a_{21}^p\beta + a_{31}^p\gamma + a_{41}^p\delta = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\gamma/\delta & \gamma^2/\delta^2 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\beta/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} (1/\beta)^{-3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\beta)^{-3} \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8.2.1 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}^p & a_{32}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $\delta_2 = a_{11}^p d^p \delta_1$ and that $da_{22} = 1$ which gives that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-3p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$. Assume next that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Hence, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_9^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^9(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Similarly, as in Lemma 8.1.3, we can prove that $K_9^9(\delta_1) \cong K_9^9(\delta_2)$ if and only if $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

8.3 Extensions of $(L, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2 \phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2 \phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4);$$

$$0 = (\delta^2 \phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $e = f = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$.

Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\beta = \omega(x_2) = \tilde{\psi}(x_2) = \psi(x_2^{[p]}) = \xi\psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\gamma = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = b = \beta\xi^{-1}$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, b\xi f_2)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, \xi f_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)] + \xi[(0, f_2)] = [(\Delta_{13}, \xi f_2)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_2)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 5$. Therefore,

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{23}$ for some $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.5)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,9}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4$, for some $\alpha, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \gamma' f_3 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{31}^p \gamma + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_3) = d^p \gamma + a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p d^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.6)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (8.5) and (8.6) together as follows:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \gamma' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such

that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_2) = 0$ which implies that $a_{32}^p \gamma + a_{42}^p \delta = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that

$\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\gamma/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\gamma \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\alpha/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} (1/\gamma)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (1/\gamma)^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \gamma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Finally, if $\gamma = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then we get $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8.3.1 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $da_{22} = 1$ and that $\alpha_2 = a_{11}^p \alpha_1$ which gives that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Assume next that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 8.3.2 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{31}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & d^p & a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $\delta_2 = a_{11}^p d^p \delta_1$ and that $da_{22} = 1$ which gives that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-3p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$. Assume next that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_9^{10}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{11}(\xi, \alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{12}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{13}(\xi, \delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle,$$

where $\alpha, \delta, \xi \in \mathbb{F}^*$.

Lemma 8.3.3 *We have $K_9^{10}(\xi_1) \cong K_9^{10}(\xi_2)$ if and only if $\xi_2 \xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. First assume that $f : K_9^{10}(\xi_1) \rightarrow K_9^{10}(\xi_2)$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$f(x_2^{[p]}) = f(x_2)^{[p]}$$

$$f(\xi_1 x_4) = (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]}$$

$$\xi_1(a_{11}ux_4 + a_{21}ux_5) = a_{22}^p \xi_2 x_4.$$

Hence,

$$\xi_1 a_{11} u = a_{22}^p \xi_2, \quad \xi_2 a_{21} u = 0,$$

which implies that $\xi_2 \xi_1^{-1} = a_{11}^2 a_{22}^{1-p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

Assume next that $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then the following automorphism of $L_{5,9}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

is an isomorphism between $K_9^{10}(\xi_1)$ and $K_9^{10}(\xi_2)$. ■

Note that in Section 8.5.1 we shall prove that $K_9^{11}(\xi_1, \alpha_1) \cong K_9^{11}(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$ if and only if $\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{\xi_2}{\alpha_2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and the equation

$$x^2 - \frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} y^2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$$

has a solution in \mathbb{F} .

Lemma 8.3.4 *We have $K_9^{12}(\xi_1) \cong K_9^{12}(\xi_2)$ if and only if $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. Assume that $f : K_9^{12}(\xi_1) \rightarrow K_9^{12}(\xi_2)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore,

$$f(x_3^{[p]}) = f(x_3)^{[p]}$$

$$a_{12}ux_4 + a_{22}ux_5 = u^p x_5$$

which implies that $a_{12}u = 0$. Therefore, the isomorphism f takes x_5 to a multiple of x_5 . Now, if $K_9^{12}(\xi_1) \cong K_9^{12}(\xi_2)$ then $K_9^{12}(\xi_1)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} \cong K_9^{12}(\xi_2)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ which implies that $L_{4,3}^3(\xi_1) \cong L_{4,3}^3(\xi_2)$. Note that by [12], $L_{4,3}^3(\xi_1) \cong L_{4,3}^3(\xi_2)$ if and only if $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

Conversely, suppose that $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Let $L_1 = K_9^{12}(\xi_1)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $L_2 = K_9^{12}(\xi_2)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$, it follows from [12] that $L_1 \cong L_2$. Now we extend L_1 to obtain $K_9^{12}(\xi_1)$ and L_2 to obtain $K_9^{12}(\xi_2)$. Note that both $K_9^{12}(\xi_1)$ and $K_9^{12}(\xi_2)$ are constructed by the orbit (Δ_{23}, f_3) . Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.3, $K_9^{12}(\xi_1) \cong K_9^{12}(\xi_2)$. ■

Lemma 8.3.5 *We have $K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1) \cong K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)$ if and only if $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.*

Proof. Assume that $f : K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1) \rightarrow K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)$ is an isomorphism. Then

$$f(x_4^{[p]}) = f(x_4)^{[p]}$$

$$f(\delta_1 x_5) = (a_{11}u x_4 + a_{21}u x_5)^{[p]}$$

$$\delta_1 a_{12}u x_4 + \delta_1 a_{22}u x_5 = a_{11}^p u^p \delta_2 x_5.$$

Hence, $\delta_1 a_{12}u = 0$ which implies that $a_{12} = 0$. So, f takes x_5 to a multiple of x_5 and induces an isomorphism $K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} \cong K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Therefore, by [12], $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.

Furthermore, the restricted Lie algebra $K_9^{13}(\xi, \delta)$ is constructed by the orbit $(\Delta_{23}, \delta f_4)$. Since $K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1) \cong K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)$, we deduce by Lemma 2.3.3 that two orbits $(\Delta_{23}, \delta_1 f_4)$ and $(\Delta_{23}, \delta_2 f_4)$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Therefore, $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

Conversely, suppose that $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$. Let $L_1 = K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $L_2 = K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)/\langle x_5 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $\xi_2\xi_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$, it follows by [12], that $L_1 \cong L_2$. Now we extend L_1 to obtain $K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1)$ and L_2 to obtain $K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)$. Note that $K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1)$ is constructed by the orbit $(\Delta_{23}, \delta_1 f_4)$ and $K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)$ is constructed by the orbit $(\Delta_{23}, \delta_2 f_4)$. Since $\delta_2\delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$, we deduce by Lemma 8.3.2 that $(\Delta_{23}, \delta_1 f_4)$ and $(\Delta_{23}, \delta_2 f_4)$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Therefore, by Lemma 2.3.3, $K_9^{13}(\xi_1, \delta_1) \cong K_9^{13}(\xi_2, \delta_2)$. ■

8.4 Extensions of $(L, x_3^{[p]} = x_4)$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{14} + d\Delta_{23} + e\Delta_{24} + f\Delta_{34}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and

$\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$. Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_2, x_4);$$

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_4) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_4) + \phi([x_2, x_4], x_1) + \phi([x_4, x_1], x_2) = \phi(x_3, x_4).$$

Thus, we get $e = f = 0$. Also, we have $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$. Therefore, $\phi(x, x_4) = 0$, for all $x \in L$ and hence $\phi(x_1, x_4) = \phi(x_2, x_4) = \phi(x_3, x_4) = 0$ which implies that $c = e = f = 0$.

Therefore, $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ has a basis consisting of:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3), (0, f_4).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$, we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3 + \delta f_4)$. Note that there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$.

So, we have

$$a = \phi(x_1, x_2) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_2) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = \psi(x_3), \text{ and}$$

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$c = \phi(x_1, x_4) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_4) = \psi([x_1, x_4]) = 0.$$

Also, we have

$$\gamma = \omega(x_3) = \tilde{\psi}(x_3) = \psi(x_3^{[p]}) = \psi(x_4), \text{ and}$$

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \delta = 0$. Note that $\psi(x_4) = b = \gamma$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13}, bf_3)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, f_3) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. Note that

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [0, f_1], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)], [(0, f_4)]$$

spans $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Since $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)] + [(0, f_3)] = [(\Delta_{13}, f_3)] = [0]$, then $[(0, f_3)]$ is a scalar multiple of $[(\Delta_{13}, 0)]$ in $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Note that $\dim H^2 = \dim Z^2 - \dim B^2 = 5$. Therefore,

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_4)]$$

forms a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $[(\phi, \omega)] \in H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $\phi = a\Delta_{13} + b\Delta_{23}$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\phi = a'\Delta_{13} + b'\Delta_{23}$ for some $a', b' \in \mathbb{F}$. We determine a, b' . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A\phi(x_1, x_3) &= \phi(Ax_1, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4, dx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) \\ &= a_{11}da + a_{21}db; \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$A\phi(x_2, x_3) = \phi(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \phi(a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4, dx_3 + a_{11}a_{32}x_4) = a_{22}db.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.7)$$

The orbit with representative $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of this action gives us $L_{5,9}$.

Also, we have $\omega = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \delta f_4$ for some $\alpha, \beta, \delta \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose that $A\omega = \alpha' f_1 + \beta' f_2 + \delta' f_4$, for some $\alpha', \beta', \delta' \in \mathbb{F}$. We have

$$A\omega(x_1) = a_{11}^p \alpha + a_{21}^p \beta + a_{41}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_2) = a_{22}^p \beta + a_{42}^p \delta;$$

$$A\omega(x_4) = a_{11}^p d^p \delta.$$

In the matrix form we can write this as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8.8)$$

Thus, we can write Equations (8.7) and (8.8) together as follows:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' \\ \beta' \\ \delta' \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Now we find the representatives of the orbits of the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ω 's such that the orbit represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is preserved under the action of $\text{Aut}(L)$ on the set of ϕ 's. Note that we need to have $A\omega(x_3) = 0$ which implies that $a_{11}^p a_{32}^p \delta = 0$.

Let $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$. If $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then $\{\nu\}$ is clearly an $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Suppose that $\delta \neq 0$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\alpha/\delta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\beta/\delta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \beta \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Next, if $\delta = 0$, but $\beta \neq 0$, then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} (1/\beta)^{-3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 \alpha \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

If $\alpha = 0$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and if $\alpha \neq 0$, we have $\begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 \alpha \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Finally, if $\beta = \delta = 0$, but $\alpha \neq 0$, then we have $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Thus the following elements are $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit representatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 8.4.1 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $da_{22} = 1$ and that $\alpha_2 = a_{11}^p \alpha_1$ which gives that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-2p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Assume next that $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} = \epsilon^2$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Lemma 8.4.2 *The vectors $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit if and only if*

$$\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3.$$

Proof. First assume that $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\text{Aut}(L)$ -orbit. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}d & a_{21}d \\ 0 & a_{22}d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^p & a_{21}^p & a_{41}^p \\ 0 & a_{22}^p & a_{42}^p \\ 0 & 0 & a_{11}^p d^p \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

From this we obtain that $\delta_2 = a_{11}^p d^p \delta_1$ and that $da_{22} = 1$ which gives that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = a_{22}^{-3p} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$. Assume next that $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} = \epsilon^3$ with some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Then

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{3/p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon^3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} \right],$$

as required. ■

Therefore, the corresponding restricted Lie algebra structures are as follows:

$$K_9^{14} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{15}(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{16} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{17}(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{18}(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle$$

where $\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{F}^*$. Similarly, as in Lemma 8.1.3, we can prove that $K_9^{15}(\alpha_1) \cong K_9^{15}(\alpha_2)$ if and only if $\alpha_2 \alpha_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and $K_9^{17}(\delta_1) \cong K_9^{17}(\delta_2)$ if and only if $\delta_2 \delta_1^{-1} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^3$.

Lemma 8.4.3 *We have $K_9^{18}(\alpha) \cong K_9^{15}(\alpha)$.*

Proof. Note that the following automorphism of $L_{5,9}$ is an isomorphism from $K_9^{15}(\alpha)$ to $K_9^{18}(\alpha)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -(1/\alpha)^{1/p} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\alpha^{1/p}((1/\alpha)^{1/p})^{1/p} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -((1/\alpha)^{1/p})^{1/p} & 1 & -(1/\alpha)^{1/p} \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha^{1/p}((1/\alpha)^{1/p})^{1/p} & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

■

8.5 Detecting isomorphisms

The following is the list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,9}$ and yet as we shall see below we prove that some of them are isomorphic.

$$K_9^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^2(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^5(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^8 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^9(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{10}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{11}(\alpha, \xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{12}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{13}(\xi, \delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{14} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{15}(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{16} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$K_9^{17}(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

Note that the following automorphism of $L_{5,9}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

implies that

$$K_9^3 \cong K_9^6, \quad K_9^{10}(\xi) \cong K_9^2(\alpha), \quad K_9^4 \cong K_9^{14}, \quad K_9^{12}(\xi) \cong K_9^{15}(\alpha), \quad K_9^8 \cong K_9^{16}.$$

Theorem 8.5.1 *The list of all restricted Lie algebra structures on $L_{5,9}$, up to isomorphism, is as follows:*

$$L_{5,9}^1 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^2(\alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^3 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^4 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^5(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^6 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_2^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^7 = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^8(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^9(\xi, \alpha) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_1^{[p]} = \alpha x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_3^{[p]} = x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_2^{[p]} = \xi x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle;$$

$$L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3, [x_1, x_3] = x_4, [x_2, x_3] = x_5, x_3^{[p]} = x_4, x_4^{[p]} = \delta x_5 \rangle,$$

where $\alpha, \xi \in T_2$ and $\delta \in T_3$.

In the remaining of this section we establish that the algebras given in Theorem 8.5.1 are pairwise non-isomorphic, thereby completing the proof of Theorem 8.5.1.

It is clear that $L_{5,9}^1$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras. We claim that $L_{5,9}^2(\alpha)$ and $L_{5,9}^3$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^2(\alpha) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^3$. Then $A(x_1^{[p]}) = A(x_1)^{[p]}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha x_5) &= (a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3 + a_{41}x_4 + a_{51}x_5)^{[p]} \\ \alpha a_{12}u x_4 + \alpha a_{22}u x_5 &= a_{11}^p x_1^{[p]} + a_{21}^p x_2^{[p]} + a_{31}^p x_3^{[p]} + a_{41}^p x_4^{[p]} + a_{51}^p x_5^{[p]} \\ \alpha a_{12}u x_4 + \alpha a_{22}u x_5 &= a_{21}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha a_{12}u = 0$. Since $u \neq 0, \alpha \neq 0$, we have $a_{12} = 0$. Thus, $u = a_{11}a_{22}$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22} = 0$. Therefore $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^2(\alpha)$ and $L_{5,9}^4$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^2(\alpha) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= u^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^2(\alpha)$ and $L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^2(\alpha) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$. Then $A(x_4^{[p]}) = A(x_4)^{[p]}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a_{11}ux_4 + a_{21}ux_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p u^p \delta x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{11} = 0$. Thus, $u = -a_{12}a_{21}$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}ux_4 + a_{22}ux_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{12}^p u^p \delta x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{12}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,9}^2(\alpha)$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^3$ and $L_{5,9}^4$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,9}^4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= u^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^3$ and $L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^3 \rightarrow L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$. Then $A(x_4^{[p]}) = A(x_4)^{[p]}$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a_{11}ux_4 + a_{21}ux_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{11}^p u^p \delta x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0$, $\delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{11} = 0$. Thus, $u = -a_{12}a_{21}$.

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}ux_4 + a_{22}ux_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{12}^p u^p \delta x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{12}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0$, $\delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,9}^3$ is not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^4$ and $L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^4 \rightarrow L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$. Then $A(x_4^{[p]}) = A(x_4)^{[p]}$. So,

$$0 = (a_{11}ux_4 + a_{21}ux_5)^{[p]}$$

$$0 = a_{11}^p u^p \delta x_5,$$

which implies that $a_{11}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{11} = 0$. Thus, $u = -a_{12}a_{21}$.

Also, we have

$$A(x_5^{[p]}) = A(x_5)^{[p]}$$

$$0 = (a_{12}ux_4 + a_{22}ux_5)^{[p]}$$

$$0 = a_{12}^p u^p \delta x_5,$$

which implies that $a_{12}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

It is clear that $L_{5,9}^4$ and $L_{5,9}^5(\delta)$ are not isomorphic to the other restricted Lie algebras.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^6$ and $L_{5,9}^7$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,9}^7$. Then

$$A(x_3^{[p]}) = A(x_3)^{[p]}$$

$$0 = (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]})$$

$$0 = u^p x_5.$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,9}^6$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,9}^8(\delta)$, $L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$, $L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$ because $(L_{5,9}^6)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,9}^8(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

In Section 8.5.1, we shall show that $L_{5,9}^6$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$ are not isomorphic.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^6$ and $L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^6 \rightarrow L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]}) \\ 0 &= u^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,9}^7$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,9}^8(\delta)$, $L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$, $L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$ because $(L_{5,9}^7)^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,9}^8(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^7$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^7$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]}) \\ 0 &= u^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^7$ and $L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^7 \rightarrow L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p \xi x_4 + a_{32}^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22}^p \xi = 0$. Since $\xi \neq 0$, we have $a_{22} = 0$. Thus, $u = -a_{12}a_{21}$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ A(x_5) &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]} \\ a_{12}ux_4 + a_{22}ux_5 &= u^p x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{12}u = 0$. Since $u \neq 0$, we have $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,9}^8(\delta)$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$, $L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$ because $(L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi))^{[p]^2} = (L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi))^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,9}^8(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^8(\delta)$ and $L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^8(\delta) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_2^{[p]}) &= A(x_2)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3 + a_{42}x_4 + a_{52}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{22}^p \xi x_4 + a_{42}^p \delta x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{22}^p \xi = 0$. Since $\xi \neq 0$, we have $a_{22} = 0$. Thus, $u = -a_{12}a_{21}$. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_5^{[p]}) &= A(x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (a_{12}ux_4 + a_{22}ux_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= a_{12}^p u^p \delta x_5, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{12}^p u^p \delta = 0$. Since $u \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$, we have $a_{12} = 0$. Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^8(\delta)$ and $L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^8(\delta) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]}) \\ 0 &= u^p x_4 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})^p \delta x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next, we claim that $L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$ and $L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]}) \\ 0 &= u^p x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Note that $L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$, $L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$ because $(L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi))^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Note that $L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi)$ is not isomorphic to any of $L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$, $L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$ because $(L_{5,9}^{10}(\xi))^{[p]^2} = 0$ but $(L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$, $(L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta))^{[p]^2} \neq 0$.

Finally, we claim that $L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta)$ and $L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$ are not isomorphic. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an isomorphism $A : L_{5,9}^{11}(\xi, \delta) \rightarrow L_{5,9}^{12}(\delta)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A(x_3^{[p]}) &= A(x_3)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= (ux_3 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})x_4 + (a_{21}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{22}x_5)^{[p]} \\ 0 &= u^p x_4 + (a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12})^p \delta x_5. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u = 0$, which is a contradiction.

8.5.1 Detecting isomorphism for $L_{5,9}^6$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\xi, \alpha)$

In this section, we show that $L_{5,9}^6 \not\cong L_{5,9}^9(\xi, \alpha)$.

Let

$$L = \frac{L_{5,9}^6}{M} \cong \frac{L_{5,9}^9(\xi, \alpha)}{M} \cong \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \mid [x_1, x_2] = x_3 \rangle \cong L_{3,2}.$$

First, we find a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3) \in Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we must have $\delta^2\phi(x, y, z) = 0$ and $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = 0$, for all $x, y, z \in L$.

Therefore,

$$0 = (\delta^2\phi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \phi([x_1, x_2], x_3) + \phi([x_2, x_3], x_1) + \phi([x_3, x_1], x_2) = 0.$$

Since the p -map is trivial, $\phi(x, y^{[p]}) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$, for all $x, y \in L$. Therefore, a basis for $Z^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$(\Delta_{12}, 0), (\Delta_{13}, 0), (\Delta_{23}, 0), (0, f_1), (0, f_2), (0, f_3).$$

Next, we find a basis for $B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Let $(\phi, \omega) \in B^2(L, \mathbb{F})$. Then we have $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12} + b\Delta_{13} + c\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2 + \gamma f_3)$. So, there exists a linear map $\psi : L \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\delta^1\psi(x, y) = \phi(x, y)$ and $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \omega(x)$, for all $x, y \in L$. So, we have

$$b = \phi(x_1, x_3) = \delta^1\psi(x_1, x_3) = \psi([x_1, x_3]) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $c = 0$. Also, we have

$$\alpha = \omega(x_1) = \tilde{\psi}(x_1) = \psi(x_1^{[p]}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we can show that $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 0$. Therefore, $(\phi, \omega) = (a\Delta_{12}, 0)$ and hence $B^2(L, \mathbb{F}) = \langle (\Delta_{12}, 0) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$. We deduce that a basis for $H^2(L, \mathbb{F})$ is as follows:

$$[(\Delta_{13}, 0)], [(\Delta_{23}, 0)], [(0, f_1)], [(0, f_2)], [(0, f_3)].$$

The group $\text{Aut}(L)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $d = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \neq 0$.

Let $(\phi, \omega) \in H^2(L, M)$ and $\phi = \Delta_{13}x_4 + \Delta_{23}x_5$. Let $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$. Then $A\phi = A(\Delta_{13}x_4 + \Delta_{23}x_5) = A(\Delta_{13})x_4 + A(\Delta_{23})x_5$. Note that we have

$$A\Delta_{13}(x_1, x_3) = \Delta_{13}(Ax_1, Ax_3) = \Delta_{13}(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3, dx_3) = a_{11}d;$$

$$A\Delta_{13}(x_2, x_3) = \Delta_{13}(Ax_2, Ax_3) = \Delta_{13}(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3, dx_3) = a_{12}d;$$

Therefore, $A\Delta_{13} = a_{11}d\Delta_{13} + a_{12}d\Delta_{23}$. Similarly, we can show that $A\Delta_{23} = a_{21}d\Delta_{13} + a_{22}d\Delta_{23}$.

Also, we have

$$Af_1(x_1) = f_1(Ax_1) = f_1(a_{11}x_1 + a_{21}x_2 + a_{31}x_3) = a_{11};$$

$$Af_1(x_2) = f_1(Ax_2) = f_1(a_{12}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{32}x_3) = a_{12};$$

$$Af_1(x_3) = 0.$$

Therefore, $Af_1 = a_{11}f_1 + a_{12}f_2$. Similarly, we can show that $Af_2 = a_{21}f_1 + a_{22}f_2$.

Lemma 8.5.2 *The restricted Lie algebras $L_{5,9}^6$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$ are not isomorphic for any values of $\alpha, \xi \in T_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $L_{5,9}^6 \cong L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$. Then by Lemma 2.3.3, we have

$$\langle A(\Delta_{13}, \xi f_2), A(\Delta_{23}, \alpha f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} = \langle (\Delta_{13}, f_1), (\Delta_{23}, f_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}.$$

Note that

$$A(\Delta_{13}, \xi f_2) = (a_{11}d\Delta_{13} + a_{12}d\Delta_{23}, \xi a_{21}f_1 + \xi a_{22}f_2).$$

Therefore, we need to have $a_{11}a_{32} - a_{31}a_{12} = 0$, $a_{11}d = \xi a_{21}$, and $a_{12}d = \xi a_{22}$. Hence, $a_{11}a_{22}d\xi = a_{21}a_{12}d\xi$ implying that $a_{11}a_{22} = a_{12}a_{21}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $L_{5,9}^6 \not\cong L_{5,9}^9(\alpha, \xi)$. ■

Lemma 8.5.3 *The restricted Lie algebras $K_9^{11}(\xi_1, \alpha_1)$ and $K_9^{11}(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{\xi_2}{\alpha_2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$ and the equation*

$$x^2 - \frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} y^2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$$

has a solution in \mathbb{F} .

Proof. Note that, by Lemma 2.3.3, $L_{5,9}^9(\xi_1, \alpha_1)$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists $A \in \text{Aut}(L)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\Delta_{13}, \xi_1 f_2), (\Delta_{23}, \alpha_1 f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} &= \langle A(\Delta_{13}, \xi_2 f_2), A(\Delta_{23}, \alpha_2 f_1) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} \\ &= \langle (a_{11}d\Delta_{13} + a_{12}d\Delta_{23}, \xi_2 a_{21}f_1 + \xi_2 a_{22}f_2), (a_{21}d\Delta_{13} + a_{22}d\Delta_{23}, \alpha_2 a_{11}f_1 + \alpha_2 a_{12}f_2) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that $L_{5,9}^9(\xi_1, \alpha_1)$ and $L_{5,9}^9(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if there exist $a_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$, that satisfy the equations

$$a_{11}d\xi_1 = \xi_2 a_{22}; \quad (8.9)$$

$$a_{12}d\alpha_1 = \xi_2 a_{21}; \quad (8.10)$$

$$a_{21}d\xi_1 = \alpha_2 a_{12}; \quad (8.11)$$

$$a_{22}d\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 a_{11}. \quad (8.12)$$

Let $\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} = \epsilon_1$, $\frac{\xi_2}{\alpha_2} = \epsilon_2$, and $\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} = \epsilon_3$. First suppose that $K_9^{11}(\xi_1, \alpha_1) \cong K_9^{11}(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$. Note that if $a_{12} \neq 0$ then Equations (8.10) and (8.11) imply that

$$\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{a_{21}}{a_{12}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\xi_2} \frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}}. \quad (8.13)$$

Therefore, $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = \left(\frac{a_{12}}{a_{21}}\right)^2 \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Also, if $a_{22} \neq 0$ then Equations (8.9) and (8.12) give us

$$\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{a_{11}}{a_{22}} = \frac{\xi_2}{\alpha_2} \frac{a_{22}}{a_{11}}. \quad (8.14)$$

Therefore, $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = \left(\epsilon_2 \frac{a_{22}}{a_{11}}\right)^2 \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Now, if $a_{11} = 0$ then $a_{22} = 0$ and $d = a_{12}a_{21}$. Then Equation (8.11) implies that $-a_{21}^2 \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_3$ and we can take $x = 0$ and $y = a_{21}$. If $a_{12} = 0$ then $a_{21} = 0$ and $d = a_{11}a_{22}$. Then Equation (8.12) implies that $a_{22}^2 = \epsilon_3$ and we can take $x = a_{22}$ and $y = 0$.

Suppose now that $a_{i,j} \neq 0$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. Then we deduce from Equations (8.14) and (8.13) that

$$a_{22} = \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2}\right)^{1/2} a_{11}, \quad a_{12} = (\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2)^{1/2} a_{21}. \quad (8.15)$$

Thus, a_{22} and a_{12} are determined by a_{11} and a_{21} . Now, Equation (8.11) implies that

$$\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2}\right)^{1/2}d = \epsilon_3. \quad (8.16)$$

Since, by Equation (8.15), $d = \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2}\right)^{1/2}a_{11}^2 - (\epsilon_1\epsilon_2)^{1/2}a_{21}^2$, we deduce from Equation (8.16) that

$$\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2}a_{11}^2 - \epsilon_1a_{21}^2 = \epsilon_3$$

Since $\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$, we deduce that the equation

$$x^2 - \epsilon_1y^2 = \epsilon_3 \quad (8.17)$$

has a solution in \mathbb{F} . To prove the sufficiency, suppose that Equation (8.17) has a solution in \mathbb{F} and $\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. It is easy to see that $\frac{\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. Then we take $a_{11} = \left(\frac{\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1}\right)^{1/2}x$, $a_{21} = y$, and find a_{12} and a_{22} from Equation (8.15). We can now verify that Equations (8.9), (8.10), (8.11), and (8.12) are satisfied. Thus, $K_9^{11}(\xi_1, \alpha_1) \cong K_9^{11}(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$. The proof is complete.

■

Lemma 8.5.4 *If $a \neq 0$ then the equation $x^2 - ay^2 = b$ has a solution in the prime field $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, where p is a prime number.*

Proof. Consider the two sets $A = \{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ and $B = \{ay^2 + b \mid y \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. Since $a \neq 0$, both sets have $\frac{p+1}{2}$ elements. Indeed, let $\{a_1, \dots, a_{p-1}\}$ be the nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_p . Then $a_i^2 \equiv (p - a_i)^2 \pmod{p}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Therefore, all quadratic residues must be among

$$a_1^2, \dots, a_{\frac{p-1}{2}}^2.$$

Now, we prove that the above elements are distinct. For this, suppose that $a_i^2 \equiv a_j^2 \pmod{p}$, for $1 \leq i, j \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. Then, we have $(a_i - a_j)(a_i + a_j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which implies that either $a_i - a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, or $a_i + a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Note that if $a_i + a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$,

then $p - a_i \equiv a_i \equiv -a_j \pmod{p}$, and hence $a_i - a_j \equiv p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which lead us to $a_i \equiv a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $a_i - a_j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{p}$. So, the number of elements of A is $\frac{p+1}{2}$. Note that we can easily define a bijection from set A to set B and hence the number of elements of B is also $\frac{p+1}{2}$. So, the sets A and B must overlap and hence a solution exists. ■

In fact, more can be said. We thank Behrang Noohi for the following lemma.

Lemma 8.5.5 *Let q be a power of a prime p . The number of solutions of the equation*

$$x^2 - ay^2 = b \tag{8.18}$$

over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q is equal to $q + 1$, provided that $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$.

Proof. First, if a is a square in \mathbb{F}_q , then the number of solutions is clearly $q - 1$ (factorize the left hand side). So assume that a is not a square in \mathbb{F}_q . Note that the number of solutions is at most $2q$ because for each choice of x there are at most 2 choices for y .

Consider the equation

$$x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 = 0 \tag{8.19}$$

in \mathbb{F}_q . By the well known Ax-Katz theorem, the number of solutions to this equation is divisible by q . Therefore, the number of non-zero solutions to this equation is of the form $qk - 1$, for some positive integer k . Note that for all such solutions we must have $z \neq 0$ as a is not a square. Consider the map

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x/z, y/z).$$

It is a $(q - 1)$ -to-one surjective map from the set of solutions of (8.19) to (8.18). Therefore, the number of solutions of (8.18) is $\frac{qk-1}{q-1}$. The only chance for this to be a positive integer (of size at most $2q$) is when $k = 1$ or $k = q$. The case $k = 1$ would imply that (8.18) has

a unique solution which is not possible (if x works, then so does $-x$). So we must have $k = q$, which means that (8.18) has exactly $q + 1$ solutions. ■

Corollary 8.5.6 *The restricted Lie algebras $K_9^{11}(\xi_1, \alpha_1)$ and $K_9^{11}(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{\xi_2}{\alpha_2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$.*

Proof. We have $\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 8.5.4, the equation

$$x^2 - \frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} y^2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$$

has a solution in the prime field \mathbb{F}_p . Note that since every field of characteristic p contains the prime field \mathbb{F}_p as a subfield then the equation

$$x^2 - \frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} y^2 = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}$$

has always a solution in \mathbb{F} . Therefore, by Lemma 8.5.3, the restricted Lie algebras $K_9^{11}(\xi_1, \alpha_1)$ and $K_9^{11}(\xi_2, \alpha_2)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\frac{\xi_1}{\alpha_1} \frac{\xi_2}{\alpha_2} \in (\mathbb{F}^*)^2$. ■

Chapter 9

Conclusion

In this thesis, we used the analogue of Skjelbred-Sund method to classify all $[p]$ -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 over perfect fields of characteristic $p \geq 5$. We obtained 8 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,1}$, 21 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,2}$, 22 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,3}$, 2 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,4}$, 5 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,5}$, 5 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,6}$, 5 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,7}$, 10 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,8}$, and 12 equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps for $L_{5,9}$. In this thesis, we have not included the equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps in characteristic 2 and 3. Let L be a restricted Lie algebra and M a vector space and $\theta = (\phi, \omega)$ be such that $[\theta] \in H^2(L, M)$. Then ω and the $[p]$ -map are not semilinear in characteristic 2 and 3. One may use the analogue of Skjelbred-Sund method to classify all equivalence classes of $[p]$ -maps in characteristic 2 and 3, but more computations must be performed. We wish to give a complete classification of p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension 5 over perfect fields of characteristic 2 and 3 in the future.

Bibliography

- [1] R.E. Beck, B. Kolman, *Construction of nilpotent Lie algebras over arbitrary fields*, Proceedings of the 1981 ACM Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, pages 169–174. ACM New York, 1981.
- [2] S. Cicalò, W.A. de Graaf, C. Schneider, *Six-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012), no. 1, 163-189.
- [3] H. Cohen, *Number Theory: Volume I: Tools and Diophantine Equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 239. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [4] W.A. de Graaf, *Classification of 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras over fields of characteristic not 2*, J. Algebra **309** (2007), no. 2, 640–653.
- [5] T.J. Evans, D. Fuchs, *A complex for the cohomology of restricted Lie algebras*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 3(2008), 159-179.
- [6] T.J. Evans, *Cohomology of Restricted Lie Algebras*, PhD thesis, University of California, California, U.S., 2000.
- [7] M.P. Gong, *Classification of Nilpotent Lie Algebras of Dimension 7*, PhD thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Canada, 1998.

- [8] V.V. Morozov, *Classification of nilpotent Lie algebras of sixth order*, Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika, (4 (5)) (1958) 161–171.
- [9] O.A. Nielsen, *Unitary representations and coadjoint orbits of low-dimensional nilpotent Lie groups*, volume 63 of Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics. Queen's University, Kingston, ON, 1983.
- [10] M. Romdhani, *Classification of Real and Complex Nilpotent Lie Algebras of Dimension 7*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 24:3 (1989), 167–189.
- [11] C. Schneider, *A computer-based approach to the classification of nilpotent Lie algebras*, Experiment. Math., 14(2) (2005) 153–160.
- [12] C. Schneider, H. Usefi, *The classification of p -nilpotent restricted Lie algebras of dimension at most 4*, 2014.
- [13] C. Seeley, *7-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), no. 2, 479–496.
- [14] T. Skjelbred, T. Sund. *Sur la classification des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes*. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 286(5), 1978.
- [15] H. Strade, R. Farnsteiner, *Modular Lie Algebras and Their Representations*, Marcel Dekker, Newyork, 1988.