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## Abstract

The purpose of this study was to investigate the independent. reading preferences of children in grades four, five, and six, in three selected areas of Newfoundland, and to determine whether there were significant relations between these preferences and the grade levely sex, and geographical locations of the students.

The imstrument used in this survey was identical to that used'by Drṣ. Edward G. Summers and Ann Lukasevich of the University of British Columbia in a.survey they did of the reading preferences of intermediate-grade children in three Canadian cities in 1978. Fourteen representative categories of reading were included in the inventory, and following the paired comparison format were, organized-so that eieh category appeared first and second about an-equal-number-of times, and a total group ginety-one pairs was presented in random order.

The sample consisted of 747 grade four, flve, and six, classes. from three areas in the province; namely, Gander, Glovertown, and Fogo Island. Whole classor, irrespective of ability, were included in the study. Testing was done within a.aix-week period during the fall term of the 1984-85 school year.

An ahalysis of the rank order of reading preferences indicated that the most popular categories for students in
this survey were mystery, adventure, romance, fantasy, and humour. The survey, indicated, however, that reading .preferences are affected by the variables of sex, grade level, and to a lesser extent, geographical location. An analysis of variance results (ANOVA) indfated highly significant preference difference for sevg of the fourteen categories for the three communities; for five of the fourtéen categories: for grades four', five, and six; and eleven of the fourteen 袘ategories. for boys and girls. Significant interactions were also found in combinations of community, grade, and sex for many of the fourtén categories. The survey indicated that Gander students and Glovertown students were fairly similar in their reading preferences, bu't that Fogo students varied significantiy in their readling preferences; when their reading'preferences were compared with either the reading preferences of Gander students or Glovertown students. There were also ciear maturational changes in reading preferences for the three grades. ${ }^{(3)}$ The reading preference inventory (RPI) and the related index of reading preference (IRP) proved to be useful and reliable tools ior measuring and ranking reading preferences in the intermediate grades, and could be used by teachers; librarians, and reading specialists, to help. identify, develop and stimulate reading interests.
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## CHAPTER I

THE PROBLEM.

## Introduction

Dewey (1964), in one of the earliest definitions of "interest"; identified it thus:

Interest and aims, concerns and purposes are necessarily connected:..such words as interef affection, concern, motivation, emphasize active desire to act to secure possible result (g. 1.25 )

Huriock (1956), in defining "interest" with respect to the child, made this observation:

An interest is a learned motive which drives the individual to -act accordance with that interest. It is defined،as preoccupation with an activity When the indiyidual is free-to choose. "When the child finds an activity satisfying, it, continues to be an interest (p., 440).

Thus, capable educators cognizant of themportance of interest in learning, utilize this factor in planning the curriculum, and nowhere is this more important than in "the area of reading. The importance of interest to reading iadescribed by Barde (19.63) as follows:

The teacher who can develop with on her children permanent interests in reading has succeeded in her goal of teaching reading. The child may then continue learning, even without help of the teacher, and his education will continue throughouthi's life. The importance of the interest factor in the reading program must not be minimized for it is the keystone $-\theta$ the child's learning (p. 490).

This study is concerned specifically with identifying teading interests as reflected by the choices of reading materials that intermediate-grade students make for-pleasure or for information. The study does not take into consideration any materials that students. read for the purpose of satisfying a teacher or a parent.

## The purpose of the study

The purpose of this study was to investigate the independent reading preferences of children in grades four, five, and six, in three selected areas of Neweundland, namely fogo Island, Gander, and Glovertown. Most of the students attend schools which are under the jurisdiction of the Terra Nova Integrated School Board. The schools on Fogo, Island were considered a unit of study although there are oseven small primary-elementary schools feeding into one central high school. This school is jointly administered by the Terria Nova, Integrated school Board, and the Gander-Bonavista-Connaigre Roman Catholic school Board. The elementary schools on fogo Island come undér several 'Juřtsdictions: one is a pentecostail school, tworare joint service operated by the Roman Cátholic and In'tegrated School Boärs, three are "Ińtegrated, and one is a Roman Catholic school. $P_{i}^{9}$

The answers to two major questions were sought:

1. What are the independent reading interests of
children in the middle grades?
2. Are there significant relations between these interests and a. grade level?
b. sex?
c. geographical location?
*.

## Statement of the Problem

_- Although there are now literally hundreds of studies of children's reading interests, the vast majority of those fiave been qarried out in the United.States. With the exception of those of Minkler (1948), Áshley (1972), Landy (1977), Burdenuk (1978), and Summers 'and Lukasevich (1983), few studies have been done which explored the reading interests ${ }^{\circ}$ of Canadian children. Very little has been done on reading interests in the province of Newfoundiand, especially in the intermediate grades. Brett (1964) surveyed the leisure reading of grade nine students in Central High schools of the province, and Crocker (1967), the leisure reading of high school students in Newfoundland, library facilities in the 'schools, and home background as it related to reading. Practically nothing has been done on the reading interests of intermediate-grade children except Galway's (1980) study which explored the reading interests of beginning grade six students under the jurisdiction of one particular school board, and the congruency of those interests with selected basal reading programs.

Meanwhile, there is increasing evidence that children of t'oday are readíng more, and more widely than any previous generation (Landy, 1977; Ashley, 1972; Summers \& Lukasevich, 1983). There are many reasons given for this and, one that seems to predominate is that there are more books available today than ever before, and because of improved library facilities and programs, those books are made avalilable to more and more children. Within this province, as elsewhere, elemegntary. schools are establishing libraries within the schools, and'some school boards are employing, trained resource people to coordinate library programs with the school curricula. Most teachers include coufses.in children's literature and library science in their training programe. Public libraries, too, are giving increased attention to their children's sections, with the expressed aim of increasing communications with the home and school and of́ promoting more reading and a more effective use of library facillties.

There is also an increased popularity in children's book clubs, often sponsored by classroom teachers. Children are able to buy reprints of quality literature in. inexpensive paperbacks, some of which include reproductions of original illustrations.: Modern children's literature is reflecting changes in society and the resultant concerns brought about by these changes. In view of this, it is. logical to expect children's-reading interests to change. Also, because of
technological chaoges brought about. by television, their. interests are extending beyond the confines of home, school, and compunity, and their awarenesss of the world and its problems is broadening. .

Mackintosh (1957) slimmarized the influence of the contemporary scene as follows:

Children as human beings tave not changed over the. Years, but in the last. decade science has modified. various aspects of their environment iñ such a way as to create new interests. As one example, more children than ever pefore have travelled beyond the boundaries of their own county, eity, state, and even-nation. Children are on the move as parents seek new jobs, or as their work requires that they move from one place to another. Changes in transportation and communication have brought about increased mobility of population (p. 142).

Thus, this study sought to identify the reading interests of children in the intermediate grades in three geographical areas of Newfoundland namely, Fogo Island. Gainder, and Glovertown. It also tried to determine whether `such factors as sex, age, and geographical location, affected these interests, and whether these interests are changing. The findings should be useful in aiding teachers, librarians, and reading specialists, to bring books and children together.

## Linitations of the study

1. The investigation of children's reading interests in this particular study was confined mainly to children in one
educational district of the province, the Terra Nova Integrated School Board. The exception was Fogo Island where children attend schools operated by the Terra Nova Integrated School Board, the Gander-Bonavista-Connaigre Roman Catholic School Board, the Pentecostal School Board, and those operated jointly by the Terrá Nova Integrated and Roman Cathoilic School Boarids.
2. Although the subjects were urged to react to the questions on the inventory in the ways they really felt, it can be assumed that'social pressures and expectations had some influence on the subjects' respdnses.
3. Since it was considered necessary in the instructions to encourage the students to make choices, even in cases where they might find it very difficult to decide which of the two alternatives they preferred, a somewhat artificial situation may have resulted where a preference is stated to exist where there may not have' been gne.
4. No attempt was made to consider the relationship other factors such as intelligence, library facilities, and home background, to children's reading interests. The scope of this particular investigation made such considerations prohibitive.

## Organization of the Thesis

This chapter has presented the purpose of the study, the im significance of the study, and the limitations of the study.

Chapter II includes a review of relevant literature. First, the writer discusses the general relevancy of interest to reading and then how the factors of sex, age, and geographical location, each in turn, affect reading interests, The chapter concludes with a brief summary of the literature mreviewed.

The methodology for the study is given in chapter III. It'explains the choice of communities and grades and the type of school used in the survey. It also describes the design of the inventory, and the collection of the data.

Chapter IV presents the major findings of the study and a discussion of the effects of the factors being analyzed.

Chapter V summarizes the study; draws conclusions, and makes comparisons, based on the findings. The thesis. concludes with a discussion of certäin implications and makes a number of recommendations for further research.

## CHAPTER II

## REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

## Introduction

Since the eafliest reported study on children's reading interest's by True in 1893, literally hundreds of others have been made (Witty, 1960: Harris, 1969). Many diverse methods have abeen employed to determine what children read, how much they read, and what factors such as sex, 'age, race, geographical löcation, and intelligence, affect children's choices of reading, materials. Eecause this present study analyzes children's independent reading ińnterests, and how these particular factors - sex, age, and geographical location -- may or may not influence fifem, the literature reviewed deals mainly with these several factors and how they influence what children read.

## Interest as a Determinant in Reading Success

Most reading people feel that the. student, irrespective of ability, will do well when materials used are interesting. White (1972) set forth evidence to show that if books are interesting, students will read materials above their present grade level. Lowry (1968) said that interest helps a child make "those intuitive insights frequently, referred. to ás using cp̧ntext clues" (p. 14), Jenkinson (1964), in stressing the importance of interest to reading; stated:

Interest will determine not only whether an individual will learn to read, but how well he will read; how much he will read, and in what areas he will read (p. 123.).

Byers (1964), In discussing the importance of interest as a key factor to readíng development., said:

The importance of vital, interesting material for reading is uncontested. What a child reads.with f nterest is rewarding ta him and may lead.to further reading; what a child reads without interest may assist in the development of reading skills but may impede, the formation of positive attitudes toward reading and the desire to do more reading. There is also evidence that interest in materials read correlates highly with, reading comprehension (p. 227).

The role of the teacher in recognizing the importance of interest is described by Barbe (1963):

The teacher who can develop within her childiren permanent interest in reading has succeeded in her. goal of teaching reading. The child may then continue learning, even without the help of the teacher, and his education will continue throughout his life. The importance fof the interest factor in the reading program must not be minimized,. for it is" the keystone of the child!s learning ( $p .490$ ).

Understanding the relationship between children's ability to read and their interest in it, is of paramount importance to educators, not only in teaching children how to read, but in a proper dęelopment of the reading process.

## Sex and Reading Interests

In most of the studies reviewed, sex was found to be the
most important determinant of differences in reading. The researchers reported that girls read more and matured earlier in readiñg abtwity than boys did, whereas boys have wider reading interests than girls. They also contended that cultural-influences and sexual maturation (or the lack of it) were reasons for these differences. A great deal of evidence supported the theory of social conditioning as the basis for this sex-typed behaviour, Researchers and social scientists (Elder and Carpenter, 1929; DeBoer, 1958; Row, 1968) have suggested that because boys and girls have been trained differently, they behave differently; that sex differences in reading interests are. not so much a result of inborn characteristics as they are of cultural training. With reference to an article written by Dunn-(1921), McKay (1971) observed that even then there was ground for belief, that certain sex differences in reading interests were probably acquired. *
: Lazer (1937), in studying over 2,000 middle-grade pupils In thirteen New York City schools, and with specific reference to girls' reading of so-called "girls'" books, made a similar observation:


> ...girls read, these books because social opinion has prescribed them. Teachers, parents librarians and book dealers, when asked to recommend books for girls, will probably select those, that tradition has sanctioned for girls. Girls are continually exposed to such types of books. They like, them because they do not know any other kind (p. 92 ).

Yet, in spite of this observation, 2 imet (1966) reported that Lazei went right on finding and reporting sex differences without suggesting the possibility that it was not sex differences, per se, but cyltural differences that made sex one of the most highly significant factors in reading interests. With respect to the books chosen by. children, $Z$ imet found that both boys and girls chose mystery stories first, but that boys chose, next in order, adventure stories, detective stories, stories of an historical nature, and stories of invention: girls chose stories related to activities at homeror at schpol. She also noted that girls read more.

Terman and Lima (1931), in assessing children's reading interests, also found that sex differences were apparent from the age of nine; and bectame more marked as the years advanced. The results of their study showed that boys preferred s'tories of adventure and vigorous action, while girls preferred fairy tales, poetry, and "sentimental" fiction. They also found that, girls read more than boys at every level, but that boys read more nion-fiction, such as biography and information books, than didgirls. The results of this study were simllar to.those of a study conducted by - (Jordan (1921), one of the earliest studies of reading Interests and sex differences.

In comparing the reading interests of slow and fast - learning children, Thorndike and. Hency (1940) also made a
comparison of the reading interests of boys and girls: Their results, to, showed a consistent pattern of sex differences cutting across all age and grade levels. The authors commented on -the "point-blank refusal" of many boys to have anything to do with so-called girls' books, such as stories of romantic love and family life, or those in'which the leading characters were girls. They observed that girls, on the' other hand, often read boys' books, that is, books of valour, chivalry, adventure, and the like, in which the main characters were always boys. In fact, he found that such books were very popular with girls.

One of the most comprehensive studies on sex differences in reading interests was done by Norvell in 1958. The report was based on 960,000 expressions of opinion náde by 24,000 children in grades three through six assembled by teachers in schools of all sizes in New York. This information, along with that collected earlier (1946, 1950), brought the total data to more than four million expressions of opinion from 124,000 chilđ̂́ren, and spanned twenty-five years. Norvéll reported that from the fifth grade to adulthood, the sex of children has a dominating influence on their reading choices. Boys, he found, were interested in detective stories, stories of physical struggle, courage and heroism, invention and science, but responded unfavourably to description, didacticism, fairy tales, stories of romantic love, of physical weakness in males, and stories in which females were
the leading characte'rs. Gifls showed a marked dislike for stories of violent action, didacticism, and fierce animals.
 similar observations.

In examining the contents of intemediategrade basal readers to determine objectively children's interests in the titles and stories. in such readers. in a study involving over two thousand children, equally distributed by sex and grade in seven communities an Massachusettes and New Hampshires Droney, Cucciova, and Scipione (1953) concluded that sex preferences were noted. Boys rejected titles which included a female character, whereas girls did not rejectatitles which included a male character. .

Boys, it would seem, read more comics than girls do. Witty (1941), by use of a qúuestionnairedand interviews; found. that, on an average, boys read fourteen comics per week while girls read eleven. zorbaugh (1944), citing the results of a nationwide survey by Market Reseatch Company of Canada, revealed that ninety-five percent of the boys and ninety-one percent of the girls between the ages of sik and eleven read comic books regularly.

In a comprehensive study of the voluntary reading interests of children in Canadian elementary schools, Minkler (1948) concluded that the sex of the childwas the strongest. determinant of reading interest. In a gurvey that encompassed rural, suburbañ, and urban schools in four"areas
of Canada - the Maritimes, Ontario, the Prairies, and British Columbia - it was shown that girls at each grade level read more and had, a greater range of interests than boys did. This latter observation is contrary to most stüdies. which indicate that boys have a wider range of interests than girls do (Wolfsoñ 1960: King, 1967), Although Minkler discovered that girls generally rejected stories having to do with sports and recreational activites, 'there was some indication that as their interests 'in these _activities increased, their desire to read about. them also increased.

Stanchfield (1969) found in her particular study that girls reạd significantly better than boys did. In attempting to determine the reason. she talked with the-teachers involved in her study; and found that boys had. negative attitudes about schoo, 1 , that they liked stories about sports, and the outdoors, but disliked stories about home life and school. Teachers of all-boy groups reported their inability to interest them in reading, matter that lacked action and excitement. Teachers of all-girl groups repolited the opposite, and that there was little difeiculty in holding the Interest of girls in a variety of reading rgaterial.

By administering an interest inventory containing 120 items; Wolfson (1960) investigated the reading intérests of two thousand pupils in grades three. through six in schools in "Coninecticuti Boys showed a high'interest in mechanics and
science, whereas girls displayed a high interest in family life, music, art, and the like. In keeping with many earlier studies, Wolfson alse found that boys displayed a wider range of intefest than girls did.

ค
In her appraisal of research to date on this topic. King ( 1967 ) ' reported that there were few sex differences in. reading interests up to age nine, bみt marked differences appeared thereafter. She also found that boys read more widely than girls did. Girls will read a book considered of interest to boys, but boys will not read a book considered of interest to girls. By the sixth grade. the girls outnumbered the boys two to one in their choice of mystery book ${ }^{\circ}$ and children's stories, whereas the boys almost reversed the scores $\therefore$ n preferences for non-fiction.

A study by feeley (1974), involving 2.50 boys and 282 girls in grades five and si, in New Jersey schools, also showed tha 1 there were farked differencea in the reading interests of boys and girls. Boys" "high-scoring clusters were sports, excitement-fantastic, recreational, excitement-realistic, and informational. Their low-scoring categories were fantasy-comedy., sociall empathy, people, récreation and hobbies. Girls' favourites were social empathÿ; fun ánd excftement, fantasy, and peoplé while socíal studies, science and sports were, the least favoured. Feeley concluded that sex continues tóo be major determiner of middle-grade children's reading interest and that they
seemed not yet affected by the feminist movement of the times.
"-Landy (1977) surveyed' the entire grade seven population 1 of schools in Regina to find the amount of voluntary reading 'engaged in by this' group. He also found that girls read an Taverage of twelve books a year while boys read only two per yeaf. "Boys read far more non-fiction such as biographies and books concerned with sports than did girls, but girls read far more books pertaining to topics of adolescent develofment than did boys. Animal stories were requally pqpular with both groups. Like so many other studiés done previoưslý, this study also showed that girls read boys' books, such as the Hardy Boy series, but that boys rarely read girls' books such as the Nancy Drew series.

Schofer (1981) studied the reading preference of Brittish and American elementary children by using a ten-item rank order preference, list developeă and field tested from categories identified by children. The respons'es were then analyzed and compared by sex, age, and maturity. Schofer found that reading preferentes were only minimally differentlated by sex with the five most popular categories. in the same sequence. The researcher speculated on this lack of acute differentiation by sex, as the first indication of the results of the implementation of a non-sex differentiated curriculum, and concluded that children are obviously reading across what had'previously been 'perceived as sex appropriate:
baundaries.
In a study involving intermediate-grade children in three Canadian communities, Summers and Lukasevich (1983) found highly significant differences between the reading interest of boys and gitls in eleven of fourteen categories of literature. An examination of the results revealed that A boys had a stronger preference for history/geography, sports, science; fantasy", and travel than did girls; ginls had, a stronger preference for animal storieq.inildren and family 'lífe, poetry and romance, thán did' boys.' Girls and :boys had similar preferences for adventure, hurfour, and nature study.

Galway $(1980)$, in analyzing the reading interests of a group of beginning sixth'grade pupils in an educational district in Newfoundland, found that when the pupils were grouped on the basis of sex, significant differences were found at the 0.05 level' between boys and girls in ten 'areas of literature: adventure, mystery, school stories, family and home life, aviation and space, factual stories, hobbies; science and inventiton, sports, humorous and nonsensical poems. Also, when the same pupils were grouped on the basis of sex, boys and girls showed different levels of interest in the basal readers.

With very few exceptions, researchers agree that sex is the most important personal determinant of differences in "reading preferences. Purves and Beach. (1972) offered as an explanation for these differences in preference, children's
identification with sex-Felated characters. Peltola (1965) suggested sex-role stereotypes. She found sharp sex -differences in fourth and sixth graders in types of characters preferred. Klein (1968) measured interests as related to occupation and sef of short story main charagters and found sex-appropriate occupations were rated high in interest by each sex. He found that boys rated male characters significantly higher than female characters, while girls likewise rated female characters higher than males in each occupation. Feeley (1974) oaptly summed up the then present state of affairs:

Generally it would seem that the culturgily related variables of sex and social class continue to be effective in shaping children's interestsf The middle-grade children of 1971 continue to reflect the traditional "boy". and "girl" patterns. They seem not yet to have been affected by the unisex,
women's lik movements of the times ( $p$, 1003).

## Age and Reading Interests

Most researchers in their efforts to discover the various factors that affect reading interests place particular emphasis on á child's age to determine if it has any influence on the type of material the child chooses to read. Furthermore, if it is considered an influentiàl factor, they then tyy to ascertain in what ways it controls the selection of reading material. It is the general conclusion of most researchers that at the early elementary level- at least through age nine, there is very little
difference between the reading habits of boys and girls at a particular age level, but marked differences exist for the children as a group from one age level to the next. Freeland (1964) described these early elementary years as the "golden years" of reading. She contended that during thís period children reach their peak in reading, and that they, will read more than they will ever again, and that the teacher of this group has a very fertile field in which to promote personal growth through reading.

As early as 1925, Terman and Lima discussed the pragression of reading interests of boys and girls. Their study showed that at age nine boys were mainly interested in real-life stories: at. ten they preferred stories about travel; by eleven their interest was in mystery, adventure, and science, and by the a age of twelve when, according to their resèarch, the point of greatest reading interest is reached, boys enjoyed stories of hero worship. They found. that nine-year-old girls still wanted to read fairy tales; \% ten-year-old girls, like their male classmates, preferred stories about travel. Eleven-year-old girls requested. stories about home and school life, and twelve-year-old girls, like boys, enjoyed storieg of hero worship.

In a later study conducted in 1931, Terman and Lima concluded that as childiren's experiençes grow and as their reasoning powers and imágination develop, certain well-defined tendencies in reading interest change. They
observed that few differences in reading choices appeared until age nine when, as they put it, "the divergence is very marked and the breach continues to widen up to adupt life" (p.131).

Norvell (1946), in his twelveyear investigation of the reading habits of over 50,000 children in all types of communities in New York State, found that there was a gradual change in reading habits of children from primary grades to upper elementary grades. In a 1950 publication, Norvell reported that stories in one grade were ursually liked equally well by children in grades as many as three levels above or below it, and that age was more influential in governing reading choices inlthe elementary school than in the secondairy school.

Minkler (1948), in one of the first Canadian studies on children's reading interests, found that age, grade, mental ability, and reäding skill, influenced reading interests; but to a iesser extent than does the sex of the child. He also concluded "that mental age, reading age, and reading grade, influence reading interests more definitely than do chronological age and şchool grade" (p. 80).

In. a study in which he analyzed children's taped conversations, McAulay (1961) sought to identify children's social studies interests. Three hundred ninety-seven chifdren in grades four, five, and six, made up part of his* study group. Analyzing the responses, of these children he
was able tó make the following generalizations:

Fourth-grade children have broad interests in particular areas of the earth's surface...and, in the general social processes of those geographic areas...the Queen of England, the religions of Japan.

Fifth-grade children are interested in those geographic areas which dominate the current news the Middle East, Russia, China..:the historical reasons for lárge social problems that appear, on the national and international scene.

Sixth-grade children have social studies interests similar to fifth-graders. However, the interests are deeper. Sixth-grade children indicate an interest in ideas, their beginnings and trends; for example, the beginnings of communism, the development of the cold war (pp. 407-409).

As a result of questignnnaires sent to children, their parents, their teachers, and librarians in 270 cendus regions In the United States, Rudman (1955) was able to conclude that from grades four to eight interest in mystery stories, sport stories, and stbries about other recreational "activities increased, while interest in' cowboy stories and fairy tales decreased. Interest in animal stories, however, wgs imatatified throughout the grade levels studied. Peterson (1955) in a study of the reading interest of children in five elementary schools in Chicago found .. that for both sexes there was-a decreasing interest in stories of folklore, faify 'tales, and tales of long ago, but an increasing interest, in mystery stories, and stories of, careers. for girls in 'particular there was an increasing interest in romiance stories.

Stanchfield (1969), studied the reading interests of 153 boys in grades four, six, and eight in Los Angeles city schools. She found through personal conferences that there were no sigr̀ificant differences found in the choices of boys who weresreading below grade level, at grade level; or above grade level. As for differences by grade levels, there were ten categories, which received significantly different ratings, but she concluded that "these differences appeared to be in the expected direction of change in tastes with increasing age and maturity" (p. 43). A similar study was conducted by Geeslin and Wilson (1972) which attempted to answer the following questions:

1. Does the eight-year-old pupil who is two years accelerated in reading ratify the choices of hik classtnates or 'does he prefer the favoured books of fifth graders2.
2. Does the twelve-year-old who is two years retarded in reading like the books favoured by seventh graders better than those preferred by his reading peers, the fifth graders? **
They concluded that there was no evidence that members of either chronological age group, when the group was taken as a whole, were more influenced by reading age than by chronological age.

In la survey to assess the expressed reading interests of 791 elementary school children in gradea four, five, and six,
in selected schools in urban and Suburban Pittsburgh, McKay (1971) found that those areas selected as most interesting to nine-year-olds were adventure and mystery; ten-year-olds indicated a preference for mystery, adventure, and animals. Most interestíng, to eleken-year-olds were mystery, adventure, and sports, while the areas chosen as most appealing to twelve-year-olds wère mystery, adventure, and animals.

Ashley (1972), in a comprehensive survey of the reading habits of 1,500 Canadian children from grades four through to eight, found "general catholicity". in reading interests for grade four boys and girls. Both boys and girls had reached the "high tide" of reading involvement by grade five, and by grade six their tastes in literature had formed. By grade seven children were not reading nearly as indiscriminately as in earlier years.

Lawritzen and Cheves (1974) analyzed and reported on an informal study of children's reading interests carried out by
"the Beta Upsiilon Chapter, Pi Lambda Theta, in the Kansas city area. From data relevant to the intermediate grades it was evident that nine-year-old boys in the study best liked stories about animals, tfansportation, science and sports; ten-year-old boys best liked animal stories, mystery stories, and science related stories; eleven-year-old boys best liked mystery stories, stories about transportation, history, sports, and science; while the two most popular categories for twelve-year-old boys were stories about animals and
science. Nine-year-old girls in the study preferred stories about animals, mysteries, and people; ten-year-old girls liked to read stories about animals, mysteries, and people; eleven-year-old girls indicated a very strong preference for $\checkmark$ - mystery. stories, followed by stories about animals and people; and twelve-year-old girls preferred stories about animals, mysteries, and people. A general, overview of this study showed that animal stofies were the most popular category for both boys and girls in all age groups. Interest in mystery stories grew for both boys and girls:

Hypothesizing that the reading preferences of Canadian children would vary in relation to grade level (as well as sex, ethnographic and socio-economic factors), Summers and Lukasévich (1983) surbeyed 1,127 grades five, six, and seven children in three Canadian communities to test their hypothesis. The data were organized to see if there were significant Aifferences over a one-year and a two-year developmental spread. When the results were'analyzed and a comparison made between the preférences of grades six and seven, the results indicated a significant difference for only one of the fourteen categories; whereas, when the preferences of the grade five children were compared with the preferences of the grade seven children, significant differences were found in eight of the fourtéen categories. This, according to the researchers, suggested that maturation differences in preference may occur acrose larger
developmental spans but not necessarily on a year-by-year basis.

Much research has thus shown that students' reading interests :vary with their chronological ages, and also that the apount of reading done by students reaches its peak during the intermediate grades and then gradúally decreases. There was also some indication in some previous studies that younger children today are maturing faster in their reading interest than did children in early studies: Even as far back as the early sixties, McAulay (1962) identified more mature interests in söcial studies materials among younger children and concluded that this was due to the influence of television, radio, movies, and travel.

## Geography and Reading Interests

Although most: research indifates that sex and age are two of the strongest personal determinants of reading interests, much of the same research concludes that socio-economic, ethnographic and demographic factors do nôt to lany significant degree affect what children read (Byers, 1964; Ford and Koplyay, 1968; Ashley, 1972). Purves and Beach (1972) offer as an explanation for this the fact ${ }^{-1}$ that the majority of the studies examined were students who were exposed to a literature curriculum of middle-clasa orientation (p. 104).

One of the questions asked by Minklér (1948) in his
examination of voluntary reading interests of intermediate children in Canadian schools was whether or not there were any 'significant differences in the reading interests of children in different areas of the country. The questionnaire method was adopted and his survey iṇcluded a sampling from all'provinces of the Dominion. (Newfoundland was not included as it' was not then a Province of Canada). The results of this comprehensive survey showed that qeither the type of school -- rural, suburban, or urban -- nor the geographical area -- Maritimes, Ontario, Prairies, or British Columbia -- exerted any significant influence on the reading interests of Canadian children. : Minkler did discover, without speculating on the cause, that the was some indication that children attending urban schools and those residing in coastal areas of the country had a wider range of reading interests, but he felt that the general agreement of all the responses was such that those differences could not be regarded as significant.

Rudman (1957), in seeking to identify what children read about, and what information they seek in books, found little difference in the reading interests of children from rural, urban, and metropolitan centres. His conclusion was that regardless of the geographical area from which a youngster comes, and regardless of the size of the population centre in which he lives, reading interests are rather uniform across the United States.

An explicit aim of the study of Lehtovaara and Saarinen (1964), which included over. two thousand intermediate-grade children from different parts of Finland, was to study the reading habits of the children from regions with different dialects and from regions, with anthropologically different populations to see if there were any significant differences 'in their reading habjts. As weli', they included subjects from urban and rural areas in proportions cofresponding to the ratio between urban and rural populations for the total population of the country. Their conclusion was that the reading interests of all sub-groups dealt with in the study did not differ significantly from one anóther.
, Ashley ( 1972 ) conducted a study on the reading interests of 1,500 children in two geographical areas of British Columbia -- metropolitan Vancouver and smaller urban settlements of up-country Okanagan. Although the researcher was not primarily concerned with a comparison of the reading interests of the children of the two areas, he reported that there were no distinct differences in the patterns of reading preferencesyof the children for both regions.

Schulte. (1967) looked at the recreational reading interests of intermediate-grade children in folr states of the United states, each state representing a major geographical region. in the, country. Opinions of 6,568 children were reported and the results showed that there were no di,fferences in their reading habits which could be
attributed to the geographical area in which they lived.
McKày (1971) stưded a school population of 791 intermediate-grade children from three different socio-economic-geographic settings in the United States -two urban and one suburban -- to discover if any changes had occurred in children's reading interests in "an era of such events as moon landings, political assássinations, and rácial strife". He discovered that of afl considered factors, the influence of geographic location was minimal.

A Canadian study of the reading interests of Intermediate-grade children in three cities, was conducted by Summers and Lukasevich (1983). Using a sample of l,124 children in two provinces $\therefore$ British Columbia and Ontario the researchers organized'the data to find out if raional differences influenced reading preferiences. They. hypothesized that if such differences existed, they could expect patterns of preferences for the two ontiario communities (London and Windsor) to be similar and the pattern for the British Columbia community (Richmond) to differ from the patterns of the @tiario communities. Their in fact, revealed.that there was no marked regional effect on reading preferences.
1 The brevity of this section reflects the scarcity of careful research on geographical location as an important factor affecting reading interests. Most studies dealt with reading interest within' a single area, usually'a metropoilitan
area, which included samples from urban and suburban regions. The. study under review, however, indicated that of the three determinants considered, geographicall loçation exerted the least influence on students: reading interests.

## Suimary

The literature reviewed, indigated that over the years children's reading preferences have' changed very liftle: . Se $\dot{x}$ © differences, considered by some to be culturally and socially induced, accounted more for the differentes in reading interests than did either age or geographical location, the other two factors considered. In fact, geographical location seemed to be a very insignificaṇt factor.

Most studies indicated that intermediate-grade boys and girls like'equally well the categories of adventure', mystery, and animal stories. The studies also indicated that there is a gradual change in girls' interests during these grades as. their interest in the traditional fairy, tales, merges, with other reading interests, especially fantasy; and "their interests change to stories concerned with personal relations and romance. There'was a general consensus that girls read more than boys dö, and that they of en enjoy' books especially written for boys such as the Hardy Boys series.

The interests of boys remain much the same thraunhout the intermediate-grade years, although there is a developmental in'terest in sports and science-fiction. Most
researchers pointed out that while boys might read less than girls do, they have a wider range of interest than girls do.' They rarely read books "designated" as gírls! books such as the Bobsey Twins or, the Naricy Drew series.'

CHAPTER III
METHODOLOGY

## Introduetion

It was toward the end of the nineteenth century that educators began using scientific procedures to investigate reading interests: steiert (1966) reported that on of the first to do so was Clark Wissler. In 1895 he conducted a study of the reading interests of nearly $2,0 \mathrm{O} 0$ intermediate childrén in the state of Indína. This study dealt specifically with the best-liked stories, classified accórding to theme from readers used, in the schools'. instructional programs. Since then, numerous methods have been used to determine children's reading interests, and what factors affect their choices of reading materials. " Some ${ }^{\text {P/ }}$ of the most popular methods used by researchers to assess reading interests are the questionnaire, the interview technidue, and the reading record. *

## Questionnaire

In surveying the studies since 1900, McKay (1968), found that the questionnaire method was the most popular, and that this method held a two-to-one' numerical edge over all other methods. It has certain inherent advantages, such as the expediency with which it can be administered and interpreted. It is also elexible, to the' extent that additional questions may be asked when answers to previous questions make this
necessary.
There are, however, a number of disadvan'tages and shortcomings to this method. Meckel (1946) observed that since the reliability of the questionnaire depends to a great extent on the subject's ability to comprehend his own motives for reading, and on $h i s$ subjective recall, he may recall only unique, unusual books or books recently. read. Purves and Beach (1972) feared that students might respond in ways that teachers and investigators expected them to respond, or that their choices might' be directed by what the school or family provided (p. 62).

## The Interview Technique

The interview technique is used either as a separate method or as a supplement to the questionnaire method., The chief advantage of this. method is that it can reveal ciertain personality factors', attitudes, and pertinent information about the home environment (Strang, 1942; Ennis; 1965). When it if used as a supplement to the questionnaire method, it enables the researcher to complete and check answers which are incomplete or unsatisfactoty. However, Lehtovaara and Saarinen (1964); commenting on this very fact, felt that "because additional_guestions, presentation of different alternatives, and so forth, are alwaýs necessary, therefore, the need fqr, and the fype of these further questions vary. with the age of the suphects, and hence, the uniformity of
the method brąaks down" (p. 36).
A major disadvantage of the interview technique is that it is extremely time-consuming and that it requires highiy trained investigators acquainted with child psychology who would be able to detect fallacious answers. Shatter (1951) found that students overestimated the amount of reading they did. Byers (1964) also found that preferences stated by children in interviews were of ten different from those galned. by actual observation, because students sometimes stated only culturally approved interests. Lehtovaara and saarinen (1964) made similar observations: •

Most researchers have actually found that the answers of children are' by no means always in particularly good agreement with the actual state of affairs. Fairly often children want to give the most fabourable possible picturé of themselves, and they modify the facts in their answers accordingly...Still more frequently the answers may depart from the actual stater of affairs because the subjects interviewed...give replies indicating how matters should be; or how they wish they should be (p. 18 ).

## Reading Record'

Anothes common device used to measure the reading interests of children is the reading record kept by the - students themselves or their teachers or librarians. As Meckel (1946) pointed out, one can readily see the types of books borrowed by the children'and the frequencies of the loans of different types of books. This device also allows the researcher to break down the subjects according to age
and sex.
As with other methods, there are certain disadvantages. Purves and Bèach (1972), in commenting on selectivity factors which may bias any results, stated that the sooio-economic class of subjects who frequent the library is such that the withdrawal of books is a selected sample (p. 65). Lehtovaara and Saarinen (1964) observed that children of high income families make less use of public librarie's than do those from middle and low income families, and concluded that valid conclusions concerning the total population were difficult to draw (p. 22). Another shortcoming mentioned by several researchers is that librarians, as well as parents, usualily select books they think most appropriate. Because libraries, do not often have fadditional copies of popular books, the rate of borrowing 'of such books is much lower than it would be, were there additional books. There is also the question of whether withdrawal from a library means that a book has been read.

## Summary

There is, therefore, much discussion on the reliability of the various means of determining reading interests. Getzels (1966) felt that there were four areas in which researich in reading interest was deficient: (1) precision of definition: (2) rĭgor or theory; (3) depth of analysis; (4) exactness of observation. zimet ( 1966 ) noted that often (
there were conflicting results given in studies done on reading interests. These she attributed to the use of dissimilar pópulation samples :and to differont mexthods used in assessing children's interests. Future research, she felt, should be broader, should use better instruments, and should use a more representative sampling, Weintraub (1969) felt that there should be some common basis established for categorizing responses. He used as an example the fact that an interest in "animals" might be labelled a science by one investigator or be placed under some other broad category by a second investigator. McNinch (1970), in commenting on his own findings and those of others, concluder that there was much conflict between the findings of many researchers and had this to say:

The question of defining children's interests is a problem that has not been definitely answered. Enough conflict still exists to warrant further. investigation. Further investigation should not be . confined merely to the determination of intersts but to the construction of reliable valid tests (p. 37).

Finally, Purves and Beach (1972) summed up thé then current statoriof-the art on ins'trumentation in stating

Littie research has been directed to which of these techniques, if any, provides a more accurate picture of reading interests. Thus different techniques may have' produced such different results that any generalization about results: from different studies is highly suspect (pp. 66).

While the plethora of studies in reading interests has',
in sym, been plagued by methodological problems that render many of the results dubious, these studies do depict some general trends. Interest is more closely associated with the content of a book rather than the form or style of the book. Also, patterns of interest in the content of books show differences between younger and older children and between boys and girls. Comparisons with the various methods of assessing reading interests are difficult to make and perhaps of questionable value.

## The' Method of Paired Comparisons

 $:$
## A Brief History of "the Method and Its General Applitation

In the method, paired comparisdns introduced in its embryonic form by G.t. Fechner in 1860 and, after considerable extensions, made popular by L.L. Thurstone in 1940, objects are presented in pairs to one or more judges. "Object" is a covering term which may stand for "item"; "treatment", "stimulus", and the like. In its simplest form the comparison, is between two objects, $A$ and $B$, by one judge. This comparison may be made by any number of judges, and the number of objects under consideration may be greater than \#wo, although if the number of objects is too great, then the number of comparisons becomes unmanageable. Each judgement consists of aimple preference for one or the other object, since ties are not permitted.

If more than two objecṭs are under consideration, every
judge performs every possible paired comparison. . This corresponds in the language of sports to a round robin tournament, the roles of the players in the tournament being analogous to those of the objects in the paired-comparison experiment. For $t$ 'objects and $n$ judges the number of paired comparisons will be $n(t \backslash 2)$.

The method of paired comparisons is used primarily in cases when objects to bé compared can be judged only subjectively, as in the case of reading preferences. Other example's where this method is employed are in taste.testing, and personnel rating (David, 1963).

## Paired Crimparisons and Reading Interests

$\leftrightarrow$ Ljimited use to date has been made of the pairg-comparison approach in measuring reading interests. Lehtovaara and Saarinen (1964) used this method with three Ofher methods in their study of the reading, interests of 2,000 Finnish children. One of the reasons they gave for using it was:
...the ${ }^{\circ}$ method of paired-comparisons offered the possibility of creating a more natural experimental situation frotm the point of view of the inIldren, than the situations presupposed by other methods; and this was regarded as a point of particular value: it was likely to facilitate comparisons of different age groups, which was one of the objects of the study. The certainty that each of the elements is made, in turn, the subject of comparison, was also considered to be very valuable (p. 62).

Chiu (1973), using a 45-item questionnaire covering ten categories of books. fud also employing the method of paired-comparisons, investigated the reading preferences of fourth grade children in two elementary schools in the north-central part of Indiana. Results showed that test-retest, reliabilities for the ten categories with a one-month interval were relatively higl with the median reliability coefficient of .72 for the to $\begin{aligned} & \text { fal group. }\end{aligned}$

In similar fashion, Fairleigh, Evard, \& McDaniel (1974) developed a picture inventory to compare the reading interests of boys and girls in grades four, five, and six. Eleven different pictures were shown, representing a different category of literature. Each picture was paired with every other picture in the set, resulting in its final form of a picture inventory consisting of fifty-five pairs of pictures. The inventory :was administered to 150 children, and'the means and'standard deviations of the scores for each category on the inventory were computed'by sex and grade level. The data showed a pronounced sex difference in reading interestg, and were consistent over the age-range studied with other surveys.

The method of paired comparisons was used by Summers and Lūkasevich (1983) in constructing a reading preference inventory and this inventory was administered to 1,127 intermediate-grade children in three Canadian communities. Their assessment of the merits of this method was summed up
thus:
We feel that one of the more promising measurement approaches is the use of paired-comparison inventories. Paired-comparisons allow us to quantify and rank preference data. This approach alleviates many measurement problems and the measurement methodology can also be brought within the environment of the jclassroom teacher (p. 349).

## Advantages of Paired Comparisons

1. Paired-comparison instruments are flexible and allow thorough comparisons of n possible combinations of preference themes.
2. Inventories are easily developed, administered, scored, and interpreted.
3. . The technique avoids some of the well-known measurement problems related to affective assessment, including acquiescense, responding in a socially desirable manner, and being unduly influenced by the set of the developer of the instrument.
4. The results can be easily aggregated for statistical andiysis across groups and across studies..

## Disadvantiages of Paired Comparisons

* 1 . Because each judgement consists of a simplé preference for one or the other object, and because ties are not permitted (David, 1963; p. 10), preferences may be stated where there is hardly any.

2. Problems may, arise with the vocabulary, and, the
laboriousness of the method especially with slow readers and with children in the early grades. In this study. there was a total of ninety-one comparisons and solutions, which :would be too difficult a task for such respondents.

## The Sample

The subjects for this sample were 747 grades four, five. and six classes from three areas. in the province; namely. . Gander, Glovertown, and Fogo Island. This sample consisted of entire classes, irrespective of ability, enrolled in schools mainly operated by the Terra Nova Integrated'School Board. An explanation of the operation of the various schools, on Fogo Isyand is found in Chapter. I. Although there are seven elementary schools on Fogo Island the researcher used the entire student body of the classes involved as one unit of study. For the purposes of the study, it was felt that this sample might be sufficiently large to provide the data necessary to determine the effect of the variables being considered. Those schools were'selected for a number of reagons:

1. The schools are located mainly in one geographical area avoidipg problems with distribution, testing and collection.
2. The schools represent, at least in the researcher's viey, a cross-section of types of schools and the students
represent a variety of socio-economic backgrounds. The schools on pogo Island are allínall, poorly equipped, and neither has a library nor resource person. The books available are contained within the classroom. There is a public library for the whole island. And this. is housed. within the Central High School. This school is situated in the centre of Pogo Island and access to the library is not easy, especially for midale-grade children. Glovertown is a relatively small primary-elementary school (Grades $K$ to VII) with a well'equipped resource. centre which also houses the public library. The school employs a full-time resource person, and in adoption, there is a part-time public librarian. Gander Academy is a relatively large primary-elementary school (K-6) with a well-equipped children's library and the School Board has a full-time resource, person in the school.

## Instrumentation

The instrument used in this survey employs the method of paired comparisons and was identical to that used by Summers and Lukasevich (1983). Permissiómwas sought' and obtained for the use of the same. The authors' in reviewing the literature found anywhere from five to thirty content-and-form themes or categories used previously to classify books in measuring interest and preference at. the intermediate-grade level. Reported classifications from
earlier research were collated and fourtieen! representative categories were identified and included in the inventory. .The categories were adventure, history/geography, animals, chifidren/family, spprts,. humor, science, potry, fantasy, biography, travel, romance, nature study, and mystery.

In developing the inventory', the researcher organized these categóry pairs so that each appeared first and second about an equal"number of times, and a total group of Jinety-one pairs was presented in random order.

- To aid in distinguishing among categories, a briêf explanation was ladded by the researcher to provide some descriptive terms for the categories; for example, after "biography" the phrase "an account of a person's life", was' added. For example, the category of mystery with biography appeared asjfollows:

I like most to read about:
__ MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
__ BIOGRAPHY (an account of a person's life)
To illustrate better the reading preference differences and changes, Summers and Lukasevich (1983) deveboped an index of reading preference (IRP). Isaac (1971) defined a scale as a measuring device allowing the assignment of symbols or numbers to individuals or groups, or their behaviours, by rule. He went on to, say that such an assignment of symbols or numbers ingiofted the individual's or group's possession, measure (p, 100). Nis researcher requested and was granted
perimission to use the scale in this study. The IRP, is calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { IRP }= & \text { the number of times } \\
& \text { the categary is } \\
& \text { selected }
\end{aligned}
$$

the number of limes the category. is not selected'

## \{the number of possible selections\}

Thus, if the IRP was administered to a 'group of 134 subjects, the total number of possible selections for the category of adbenture, if it was always selected over the other thirteen categories in all pairings ${ }^{\circ}$ would be 1,742 . If, however, the category of adventure were actually sélected first in all comparisons 1,201 times, there would be a balance of 501 instances where the other categóries. predominated. Using the above formula we obtain -

$$
I R P=\frac{(1,201-501)}{\frac{1}{1,742}} \times 100=40.07
$$

A. sharate IRP calculation is made for each category; the " lower the value of the index for a category. the more the Other categorites, are preferred to it. High preferences resuit in high pdsitive values, low preferences in high negative values.'

## Pr cedure

The Reading Preferienca Inventory was administered during
the month of October, 1984, to subjects as a group in their classrooms by classroam teachers, with the exception of Fogo Island, where the researcher conducted the survey himself. Subjects were instructed thus:

This booklet contains statements about types of books" boys and"girls like to read. Read each statement carefully.: Decide which of the two types of books you wpuld rather choose. place an $X$ in front of the one you choose. Choose only one type 1 of book for each statement.

Teacher help was provided to, subjects throughout, and no time limits were imposf The average time to complete the inventory was a half. hour.

The completed inventories were collected by the researcher or were forwarded, to the researcher by the, teachers immediately upon completion.

AN ANALYSIS OF STUDENT RESPONSES

## Introduction

In this chapter the responses, Ergo the seven hundred and forty seven students in the sample are reported and - discussed. The investigation mas concerned with the impendent reading preferences of children in grades four, five, and six, in, three selected*areas of Newfoundland -Pogo Island, Glovertown, and Gander. In addition, the study attempted to determine whether there were significant
${ }^{8}$ relations between the reading. interests and grade level, sex, and geographical loaction.

First, there is an analysis and discussion of the various group rank orderings, and the relative changes in, and distances between the various. ranks in the orderings. There is la an analysis of community differences, sex differences, and grade-to-grade fluctuations in reading preferences of the elementary children in this study. Secondly, there is an analysis of variance (ANOVA) results for the community, grade level, and sex difference results.

Table 1 shows the number of students for each of the sexes for each grade for each community.'

Table 1

Distribution of Students According to Community, Grade, and Sex.
$?$

| Community | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade Four } \\ & \text { Male Remale } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } \\ & \text { Male } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Five } \\ & \text { Female } \end{aligned}$ | Grade SizMale Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | emale |  |  |  |  |
| Fogi | 52 | 38. | 45 | 441 | 31. | 33 |
| Gander | 58 | 61 | 58 | 64 | . 55 | 63 |
| Glovertown | 24 | 17 | 23 | 23 | 33 | 28 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Analyses of the Rank Order of Reading Preferences

## The Index of Reading Preference

This research attempted to determine the reading preferences of intermediate children in the province of Newfoundland and, as welf to investigate the extent to which these preferences are affected by community, sex, and grade level. To do this, the researcher has presented a rank ordering of the reading preferences for the fourteen reading preference inventory (RPI) categories organized by community, sex, andgrade level, as shown in table 2. It is obvious from this table that the most popular categories of reading for the students in this survey are mystery, adventure, romance, fantasy, and humour, and that such.categories as history/geqgraphy, children/family, poetry. biography, and nature study are, the least popular categories of reading with this large. group of childen. This table also shows that
girls prefer such categories as romance and animals more than boys do, and that such categories as sports and science are more widely read by boys than they are by girls. "Community differences are not so obvious, but the table 'does show, for 'example, that Fogo boys prefer romance more than do boys in either Glovertown or Gander; or that science is a more popular category of reading with both Glovertown boys and Gander boys than it is with Fogo boys. Such a table of rank orderings is not able to show reiative changes in preferences, nor indicate the distances between the ranks in the orderings. Neither is such a table able to show community differences, sex variation, or the grade-to-grade fluctuations in preferences for the fourteen categories of reading. However, using the formula discussed in Chapter III, (p. 4l), an index of reading preference, scores is produced, which not only shows the ranked preferences for the fourteen categories, for the total 747 children, and for the various grdupings according to sex, age, and fommunity, but also. shows relative changes in preferences, together with the distances between the various orderings. Furthermore, it also shows more clearly the varigus community differences in reading preferences, a comparison of the reading, preferences of boys and gixls, together with any changes in their reading interests as these students progress through the elementary grades. As stated in the discussion of the IRP in Chapter III, the higher the value of the index score for a particular

8
category, the greater the number of times that category is preferred, or the lower the value of the index score for a particular category, the less frequently that category is. preferred. Thus, since the ${ }^{*}$ IRP scores range from ( $-1 \frac{1}{100.00)}$ to $(+100.00)$ an IRP score of $(+50.00)$ for any category means that the subjects in that particular group chose that category seventy-five percent of the total, number of times'it might have been chosen, or an IRP score of means that this ${ }^{\circ}$. particular category was chosen or rejected fifty percent of the total number of times it might have been and that. the 'children are neutral in their. preference for it. On the other hand, an IRP score bf (-50.00) for any particular category means that a particular group rejected this category. sevel $\ddagger Y$-fiye percent of the total number of times.
$\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr}-\quad \\ 0\end{array}\right.$


TAETE $E^{\frac{6}{2}} 2$


Rank Order of Peading Preferences for the Pourteeh Categories by Commenity, :Grade and Sex.


## , Rank Order For All Subjects

Table 3 shows the ranked preferences and the corresponding ,scores for the fourteen catcegories of reading. This table shows that the most popular categories for all the , 747 subjects in this survey are mystery (57.76), adventure (4.5.40); romance. (32.46), fantasy (27.43), and humour (14.13). The IRP scores for mystery, and adventure, particularly, show that these two categories are strongly preferred by most students in this survey. The categories least. preferred by this group are children/family (-43.19), biography (-37.52), poetry (-31.26), history/geography $(-29.76)$, science $(-29.68)$, and nature study (-25.87). The IRP scores for sports (3.81), animals (3.83), and travel (-5.85), show that the children in this survey have somewhat neutral prefereñes for these categories; that is, they prefer these categories in about the same proportions as they do the other categories.

Mystery and adventure were also the two most. popular categaries of reading for all the subjects in the survey by Summers and Lukasevich (19B3). The other. popular categories In their survey were fantasy, humour, and to a lesser extent, aninfalst The IRP scores for mystery, fantasy, and humour, were similar to those in this study. In thelir survey; adventure ( 51.8 .8 ) was slightly more popular than it was with the children in this study, but romance $(-9.66)$ was rated. considerabiy lower in their survey than it was, by, children in
this survey. Thelcategories least preferred by the students in their survey -were identical to those by, the students in this survey, although the rank orderings were different, and the IRP scores varied. Most notable was the category of science. students in their survey rated this category (-10-40), while the students in this survey rated it (-29.68). Subjects in their survey were also neutral in their preferences for the categories of sports and travel. and, to a lesser extent, the category of romance:

An important conclusion that may be drawn from this table is that fiction, generally speaking, holds a much greater interest for children in the middle grades than does non-fiction.
-
:
,
-•••••

Rank Order of Reading Preferences for the Fourteen Categories Organized for all 747 Subjects. Regardless of Grade, Sex or . . Community.


Sex
Table 4 shows the IRP scores rank ordered for the pame subjects but organized by sex. The most papular categories for the boys are mystery (56.77), adventure' (51.33), fantasy (25.88), sports (21.53), and humour (1.27). Boys show a marked lack of preference for the categories of chjıdren/family (-54.66), biography (-48.65), and poetry (-41'.95), an'd to a lesser extent, the catego'ries of history/geography (-27.99.), and nature study (-19.55). The boys. in this survey are neutral in their preferences for romance ( 3.55 ) travel. (0.35), animals ( -2.37 ), and science (-7.90) .

The most popular categories for the girls are romance (61.37), mystery (58.78), adventure (39.46), fantasy (28.97), and humbur (10.99). The most unpopular categories for the girls are science (-51.46), history/geography (-46.07), natüre study ( -32.19 ), children/family (-31.52), biography (-26.38), and poetry (-20.57). Girls are somewhat neutral in their preference for the category of animals (9.03).

With the exception of humour and travel, all other categories of reading are differentially preferred by boys and girls. The IRP scores indicate that both boys and girls rate mystery and fantasy almost equally, Boys show a greater preference than do girls for the categories of adventur.e, sports, humour, travel. science, nature study, ánd history/geography. Girls, on the other hand, show a greater
preference than do boys, for the categories of romance, animals, poetry, biography, and children/family.

Of special interest is the great range of differences between the IRP scores of boys and girls for the categories of sports, romance, and science, Boys rate sports fairly - high, fourth in rank order, and with an IRP score of 21.53, whereas girls rank this category eighth, with an IRp score of (-13.92). Although science $(-7.90)$ is somewhat a neutral preference for the boys, it is the most unpopular category with girls, with an IRP score of (-51.46). Romance ranks sixth with boys with an IRP score of ( $\mathbf{3} .55$ ), but is an eextremely popular category with the giris who rank it number one, with an IRP score of (61.37).

In the study by Summers and Lukasevich (1983) a similar table shows that miystery and adventure were the two most popuiar categories for boys and girls, with humour similar in ranking, but less popular with girls than with boys. With the exception of these three categories all other categories were differentially preferred by boys and girls. Sports and science were more strongly preferred by boys than girls, while romance and animals were more strongly preferred by girls than they were by boys.

## TABTE 4

Rank Order of Reading Preferences for the Fourteen Categories Organized by Sex.
RPI category for males
$(n-379)$


## Grade Level

Table 5 shows the ranked $\mathrm{IRP}^{-}$scores for the fourteen categories organized by grade for the 747 subjects. An interesting feature of this tably is that the rank ordering for the first five preferences of categories are identical for each grade. They are mystery, adventure, romance, fantasy, and humour. This,indicates, at the very least, that the reading preferences of the students in the elementary grades in this survey do not change dramatically. Although the categories of mystery and adventure are top ranked by the students in the three grades, there is a marked increase in interest in these two categories as they progress through the middle grades. Thus the IRP score for m/stery by grade four students is (52.18), for grade five students it is (55.54). and for grade six students it reaches a very high score of (65.81). Similarily, grade.four students rate adventire (36.06), grade five students rate it, (46.34), and grade six students rate it (54.29). Three other less popular categories where there is marked increase in interest between grades four and six are humour samals, and science. Grade four students rate humour (9.11) while grade six students rate it (15.92). Also grade four students rate animals (3.57) while grade six students rate it (7.95). Finally, grade four 'students rate sports (-1.37) while grade人 six students, rate it (9.02). On the other hand, grade six students when compared with grade four students, show a
slight' decline in interest for the unpopular categories of biography, poetry, and nature study.

In the study done by Sumn and yrkasevich (1983) there is an increase in interest frystrade five to grade seven for the popular categories of adfiture, humoun and romiance, and also in the less«populag categories-of biography, and children/family: They also found that poetry. history/geography, and nature study, were less popular with "grade seven students, than they were with grade five students. * Perhaps this is bectase poetry at the grade. five level is simple, often with a pleasant beat. Children read poetry for the sheer joy of doing so. Often there'is choral reading, or the teachef reads poetry to the gass. By the time théy reach grade seven, children are. often requested to analyze poetry, which at this level is not so. rhythmic nor is the language as simple. Rather, the language' is of ten figurative and difficult. Social studies at the grade five level is usually human interest material and anecdotal, whereas by grade-seven, it is more specialized, descriptive, and technical. In a similar way nature study at the grade five level is often about cuddiy creatures, and the like. discussed in family-like sitúations. Grade five children. probably identify naṭure study with fied trips, trips to the 200, slide shows of strange creatures, eftic flowers, - Insects, and birģs. By the time students get to grade seven there are fewer outings, more research is required of the
students, and nature study, like social -studies, becomes more specialized.

- The developmental fluctuations found in the reading preferences of, the students in this survey, are also consistent with those of previous research. Merman and Lima as early as 192'5. found that younger" children. were more interested in animal stories and fairy tales, but by the time they reached the age of twelve, they were more interested in mystery, adventure, and hero worship. Norveli (1946) also [ound gradual but consistent change in the reading habits of primary grade children to those of upper elementary grades; where, he found, reading, interests generally stabilized. Rudman (1957) also. concluded that from grade four to grade eight, interest in mystery stories and sports stories increased, but interest in cowboy stories and fairy Eases decreased. Ashley (1972) found that the reading. interest of grade four boys and girls did not vary much. but by the time they reached grade $s i x$, both sexes hag, developed di\&tinct reading tastes.

TABTE 5

Rank Order of Reading Preferences for the Fourteen Categories Organized by Grade.


## Grade and Sex

Table 6 shows the ranked preferences and the corresponding IRP scores for the fourteen categories of reading organizix by sex for grades four, five, andrsix girls. Although the four most. popular categories -- mystery, romances adventure, and fantasy -- are the same, far the three grades, the IRP scores show that from grade four to grade six there is an increase in interest in the categories of mystery, romance, and adventure, but a decline in interest in the category of fantasy. The IRP scores of grade four girls for mystery, romance, and adventure are (51.59): (50,40), and " (30.11) respectively., Grade five girls gave these categories (53.00) , (62.02), and (37.98) respectively, and grade six girls ( 71.46 ), (70.97), and (49.75) respectlvely. Humour (1.33) is a neutral preference with grade four girls. It is: however, considerably more popular with grade five girls (19.11), and, with grade six girls (19.56). Although sports is not a popular category with the girls in either grade, there is a marked increase in interest from grade four (-22.68) to grade six (-10.79).

The only one of the popular categories* where there is a decline in interest is fantasy. Grade four girls rate this category (40.05), grade five girls (2d, 00), and grade six girls (19.60), Although the categories of poetry, travel, biography, nature study, and history/geography, are unpopular categories with the girls in the three grades, they are less
-
popular with grade $s i x$ girls than they are with grade four girls. Meanwhile, the IRP scores indicate that there is no marked increase or decline in interest in the categories of animals, children/family, and science, with the girls in grades four, five, and six, in this survey.

## TABLE 6

Rank order of Reeding Prefurenow for the Portion Categories organised for Grade pour. Five, and sir Girls



Table 7 shows the ranked preferences and the corresponding IRP scores, for the fourteen categories of reading organized by sex for grades four, five, and six boys. Although the rank ordering for the categories mystery, adventure, and fantasy, are the same for the three grades, the IRP scores show that these categories are increasingly more popular with grade sifx boys than they are with gradé four boys. Mystery, adventure, and fantasy are rated. respectively, (52.70), (41.23), and (20.32), by grade four boys: (58.11), (54.82) and (27.37) respectively, by grade five boys; and (59.47), (59.02), and (30.58), respectively. by grade six boys. Spörts and humour are also two other. fairly popular categories with the boys in this survey, and become more popular, with them as they progress though the middle grades. Science is not a popular category with grade four boys ( $=14.12$ ), but it increases in popularity with grade five boys ( -4.76 ), and with grade six boys ( -4.20 ).

Romance lis a fairly popular category with grade fóur boys (19.63) ! but becomes considerably less popular with grade five boys (-5.86). and with grage six boys (-4.59). Grade six boys are also less inclined to read the unpopular categories of nature study, biography, and'children/family, than are grade four boys. The tRP scores for the three grades. sem to indicate that there is no dramatic change across the three grades in interest for the categories of history/geography, poetry, travel, and animals.

A comparison of tables. 6 and 7 show that for both boys and girls in this survey there is a rise in interest from grade four to grade six in the categories of mystery, adventure, and humour. Romance is considerably more popular with grade six girls than it is wifi grade four girls, but is considerably less popular with grade six beys than it is. with grade four boys. Fantasy, on the other hand, is more popular with_grade six boys than it is with grade four boys, but is less popular with grade six girls than it is with grade four gifts. Sports is a popular category with the boys in this survey, but is much more popular with grade six boys than it, (is with grade four boys. On the other hand, sports is a rather ${ }^{\prime}$ unpopular category with the girls in dill three grades in this survey. Sports, however, is more popular with grade six girls than it is with grade four girls, but the increase in interest across the grades is much more marked with boys than it is with the girls. The category of science is a neutral preference with the boys in this survey. There is, however, an increase in interest from grade four to grade six, whereas with the girls, there is no marked difference. from grade four to grade six:

TABCE 7

## Pank Order of Reading Preferences for the Pourteen Categories

 Onganised for Grades Faur, Five, and Six Boys.

## Community

Table 8 shows the ranked IRP scores for the fourteen categories organized by community. The five most populad categories -- mystery, adventure, romance, fantasy, fand humour -- are the same for each community, but the rank ordering. (with the exception of humour) and the IRP scores vary from community to, community. The rank orderings and IRP scores för , Fogo students are romance (59,67), mystery (49.77), adventure (37.46), fantasy (23.25), and.humour (7.51). For Glovertown students they are mystery (54.3 3) , adventure (42.79), romance (3.7.21) f fantasy. (27:11), iand humour (14.16). For Gander students they are mystery (64.78), adventure (52.90), fantasy (30.42), romahce (20.30). and humour. (19.0.4) . Similarly, this table shows that for - each community the unpopular categories - children/family, science, nature study history/geography, biography, and poetry, are also the same, but the rank ordering fwith the exception of children/family which is last ranked by all' three communities) and the IRP scores are different for each community. Thus, except for the categories of humour, travel, and children/Eamily, which are rank ordered fifth, eighth, and fourteenth ${ }^{\text {c }}$ by the three communities, there is a wide variation in the reading preferpfices of the three communities. The IRP scores rshow that Gander students have a greater preference for the categories of mystery, adventure, fantasy, humour, and travel, than do students in either Fogo.
or Glovertown. In addition to these categories, Gander students have a greater preference than do Fogo students pr the categories of animals, nature study, and science, and a greater.preference than do Glovertown students for the category of' history/geography. The IRP scores show that Glovertown students have a greater preference than either do Fogo students or do Gander students for the categories of animal, nature study, and science. As well, Glovertown students show a greater preference than do Gander students for the categories of romance, poetry; biography, and childrenffamily, and a greater preference than do Fogo students for the categories of mystery, adventure, fantasy, humour, and sports. The IRP scores for Fogo students show that they have a greater preference than either Glovertown or Gander students for the categories of romance, poetry, blography, history/géoǵraphy, and children/family, In addition to these categories, Fogo students show a greateit preference than Glovertown students, for the category of travel. Sinc'e rgmance is not usually a popular category with

- boys" (Peterson, 1955; Summers and Lukasevich, 1983) it.is interesting to note that fogo boys rank ordered this category firstg with an IRP score of (59.67). It•is aifficult to speculate why this is so.". It is undoubtedly true that since Glovertown students and Gander students are séparately housed in single buildings, and that each school has a qualifiéd librarian; students are exposed to what ths considered "good"
books. It is not likely that such personnel would consider 'romance', if it is equated with Harlequin novels, and the like, as' good reading material for elementary childień. Elementary students on Fogo Island, on the other hand, attend a number of schbols and neither has a library nor a librarian. Also, this researcher noted that there was a large selection of Harlequin romances at the high school library, and in conversation with the librarian there, was informed that these books were the most popular ones-with students in the school. Elementary students were undoubtedly being-exposed to these books through their older brothers and sisters. 'An elementary teacher also expressed dismay that the boys and girils in her class were very interested in love stories' and indicated that this was the only type of reading material available in many homes.

TABCE 8

Rank Order of Reading Preferences of 747 Students for the Fourteen Categories Organized by Comunity.


## Community and Sex

Table 9 shows the ranked preference ordering and the corresponding IRP, scores. for the girls in the three communities. An interesting feature of this table is the similarity in the rank orderings and the IRP scores of Fogo girds and Glovertown girls in the more popular categories of romance, mystery, adventure, fantasy, animals, and humour, and "the similarity in the rank orderings and the IRP scores of Gander girls and Glovertiown girls in the less popular categories of children/family, biography, history/geography, poetry, science, nature study, and travel: It is difficult to'rexplain why this is so.

The most popular categories for Fogo girls are romance (68.90), mystery (53.34), adventure $\ddot{(36.57)}$, and fantasy (27.56). The unpopular categories are science (-45.97), history/geography (-34.53), nature study $(-33.51)$, sports (-24..39), and children/family (-24.25). They are somewhat neutral in their preferences for animals (5.51). humour (2.52), poetry ( -4.90 ), and, to a lesser extent, for the categories of travel (-8.57), and biography (-12.25): The only one of the popular categories for which Eogo gixls show a greater preference than either Gander or Glovertown girla is romance. However, the IRP scores for the less, popular categories of poetry, travel, biography, childrẹnffamily; history/geography., and science, indicate that Fogo girls are not so strong in their disiike of these categories as either

Gander, girls or Glovertown girls. Also, Fogo girls show a slightly greater preférence for the oategory of adventure than do Glovertown girls.

The most popular categories of reading for Glovertown girls are romance (65.44), mystery (52.91) imdenture (34.97), fantasy (29.25), and animals (15.38). The unpopular categories for Glovertown girls are science (-54.32) , history/geography (-54.10), children/family (-31.92), biogxaphy ${ }^{\circ}(-29.68)$ naturye stuay. (-28.71) , and poetry $\left(\div 27.06^{\prime}\right):$. The: anly "categóry that" Glovertown' girls show a neutral preference for is humour ( 4.24 ). Glovertown girls show a greater preference than either Fogo girls or Gander girls for the category of'animals, a greater preference than Fogo girls for the categories of humour", sports," and nature study, and a greater preference than Gainder girls for the categories of romànce, biography, and children/family.

The most popular categories for Gander girls are mystery (64.46)i romance (59.26), adventure (42.64), fantasy (31..11), and humour (18.99)...The unpopular categories are science (-54.40), history/geography (-50.54): children/family, (-35.33), biography ( -3.341 ), nature study, ( -32.49 ), and postry (-22.02).. Gander girls are somewhat neutral-in their preferpences for animals ( 9 .24), sports ( -7.12 ), and travel $(-12.25)$. Gander girls show a greater preference than oither fogo girls or Glovertownirls for the categories of mystery; adventure fantasy, humour, and sports, They also
show a greater preference than Fogo girls for the categories $\because, ~ f$. of animals, nature study, and a greater preference than Gloyertown girls for the categories of travel, poetry, and history/geography.

## TABTE 9

Rank Order of Foading Prefierences of All Girle foc the Fourteen Categories Onganized by Oommaity.

Table 10 shows the ranked preferences ald the corresponding IR P scores for the fourteen categories of reading; argånized by_community for boys. This table shows that there is more similarity between the reading preferences . Of Gender and dlovertown boys than there is between the 1 reading preferences of, Pogo al nd Gander boys or Foo boys and Glover town boys in the more popular categories of reading. The five most popular categories -- mystery, adventure, fantasy, humour, and sports. -- are the same for Gander boys 'and Glovertown boys, with only a slight variation in the ramen ordering. Fog boys rankfromance number one, while Glover town and Gander rank it sixth and ninth respectively. Humour d is a fairly popular category with Glovertown boys and Gander boys but only a neutral preference with pogo boys. There is, however, a great similarity among the three* communities in the rank orderings of the five most unpopular . Categories. of children/family, biography, poetry. histolytgeography, and nature study. Science is also an unpopular category with pogo boys, butt a neutral’preference for both Glover town and Gander boys.
, $\because$ The most popular categories for pogo, boy are romance (50.44), mystery (46.20), adventure (38.35), éports (21.84). and fantasy (20.95). The unpopular categories are childiren/family $(-48.97)$, biography $(-37.46)$, poetry (-36.00), célence $(-20.00)$, nature study $(-18.33)$, arid histoty/géogkaphy. (-17.09). Their neutral*preferencde, are
trayel (-0.72) and animals (-6.18), and to a lesser extent, humour (-12.49). Fogo boys, show. greater preference than either do Glovertown boys or Gander boys for the more popular categories of romance and show less dislike than either Glovertion boys or Gander boys for the less popular categories of children/family, biography, poetry, nature sţudy., and history/geography. As well, Fógo boys show a greater preference than Glovertown boys for the categories of sports and travel.

The most popular categories for Glovertown boys are mystery ' (55.75), adventure (50.60), fantasy (25.30), humour (21.29), and sports (15.05). The unpopular categories are children/family (-58.56), biography (-55.35), poetry (-41.00), history/geography (-35.65), ghd 'nature study (-19.07). Glovertown boys are neutrai in their preferences for the categories of romance (8.99), science (3.46), animals (2.28), and travel (-9.34). They show a greater preference than Fogo boys and Gander boys far the categorips of humour and science, and as well. greater preference than Fogo boys for the categories of mystery, adventure. fantiay, and animala, and a greater preference than Ganderyboys for the categorles of romance and nature study.

The most popular categories for Gander boys are mystery (66.10). advinture (63.16), fantasy (39.72), sports (23.24). and, humour ( 19.0 ) 9 ) Ther unpopular categorieq are children/tamily (-59.79), biography (-54.19), poetry
(-47.11), history/geography ( -31.83 ), nature study (-21.18), and romance $(-18.66):$ The neutral categories with Gander boys, are travel (6.10), animals ( -1.47 ), and science' $(-4.13)$. Gander boys show a greater preference than either Foo boys or Glovertown boys for the categories of mystery, adventure, fantasy, sports, and travel. As well, Gander boys show a greater preference than pogo boys for the categories of humour, animals, and science, and a greater preference than Glovertown boys for the categories of history/geography and biography.

*.

Rank Ondar of Reading Preferences of All Boyla"for; the Fourteen Categories organired by commanity:


## Community and Grade

Table 11 shows the ranked ptreferences and corresponding IRP scores for the fourteen categories of reading, organized by community for grade four. Although the first four preferences -- romance, mystery, fantasy, and adventure-are the same for the three communities, the rank orderings and IRP scores are different. Gander grade four students rank mystery number one, with an IRP score of (61.79). Glovertown students also rank this cattegory number one but With a lower IRP score of (51.68). Fogo students rank this category number two with an IRP score of (39.5a): Gander students rank adventure number two with an IRP scofe of (45.33), Grovertown students rank this category number three with an IRP score of (35.77), and Fogo students rank it number four with an IRP score of (23.32). There is very little variation among the thre communities in-the IRP scores for fantasy. Romance, however, is the fourth choice for Gander with' an IRP score of $(18.26)$; it is number two for Giovertown with an IRP score of (48.68). jput is the number * onechoice for Fogo with an IRP score of (49.36). Humour is aifo a fairly popular' category of reading for both Glovertown ( 16.52 ) and Gander ( 15.38 ) but is considerably less popular with Fogo studenfs ( $-6, B Q$ ) erfill three communities show a somewhat neutral preference for the categories of sports, travel, and animals. Although there is some variation in the frank orderings and IRP, scotes, all grade four atudentes now
very little preference for the categories of poetry. history/ geography, nature study, children/family, and science.

TABLE 11
1
0
Rank Order of Reading Preference of All Grade Four Students 'for the Fourteen Zategories Organized by Community.


Table 12 show the ranked preferences and responding IRP scores for the fourteen categories of reading organized by community for grade five. Mystery is the number one Choice for grade five students in Gander with an IRP score of (65.06). Mystery is also the number one choice for Glover town students. but with a much lower IRP score of (42.48) Although mystery is the number two choice for Foo students, they rate it only (49.14). Gander and Foo *students are similar in their IR P ratings of fantasy with scores of ( 31.40 ) and (30.05) respectively, but Glovertown students rate it much lower, with a score of (12.38). Humour is a fairly popular category with foo and Glovertown students. Their IRP scores are (14.47) and (10.37) respectively. However, this category is considerably more popular with Gander students, who rate it (25.68). Romance is the sixth choice in the rank ordering for Gander students, with an IRP score of (10.46): is the third choice for Glovertown students, with an IRP score of (38.29): but is the first choice for pogo students with an IRP score of (52.59). Sports is considerably more popular with Gander students. (15.05) than it it with either Glovertown students ( $-1,3.04$ ) or Pogo students ( -0.74 ). All grade five stuphts in the three communities show neutral lereference for the categories of animal and travel, and show a lack of preference, with varying degrees, of rank oxderingi, and different IRP scores, for the categories of children/family,
science, history/geography, biography, nature study, and poetry.

TABTE 12

Pank Onder of Reading Preferences of All Grade Five Students for the Farrteen Categories Onganized by Commanity.'

| RPI category for Fogo$\text { ( } \mathrm{n}-86 \text { ) }$ |  | RPI categrey for Gloverton ( $n=0$ ) |  | RPI category for Gander$(\mathrm{n}=122)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pomance | 52.59 | Mystery | 42.48 | Mystery | 65.06 |
| Mystery | 49.14 | Adventure | 45.15 | Adventure | 54.84 |
| Adventure | 35.45 | Romance | 38.29 | Fantasy | 31.40 |
| Fantasy | 30.05 | Fantasy | 12.38 | Humour | 25.68 |
| Hurnour | 14.47 | Hamour | 10.37 | Sports | 15.05 |
| Spoits | -0.74 | Animals | 6.69 | Romance | 10.46 |
| Animals * | -4.24 | Travel | -7.70 | Animals | -2.95 |
| Travel | -9.40 | Sportas | -13:04 | Travel | -8.30 |
| Pootry. | -18.96 | scienct | -23.08 | Sclence | -25.46 |
| Nature Study | -25.50 | pootry | $-29.43$ | Nature Stu | -29.96 |
| Btography | -29:39 | Nature Stu | -29.77 | Poetry | -30.45 |
| Hist/Geog | -34.12 | Hist'/Geog | -41.14 | HLet/Geog | --37.79 |
| Science | -37.72 | Biogrophy | $-48.83$ | child/Fam | -46.49 |
| Child/Fam | -41.67 | Child/Fam | -51.51 | Biograpiny | -47.94 |

Table 13 shows: the ranked preferences for the fourteen categories of reading, organized by community for grade six studentq. Although there is some variation in the rank orderings and the IRP scores, the five most popular categories -- mystery, adventure, romancel humour, and fantasy -- are the same for the three. comminities. Fantasy, however, is considerably more popular with Glovertown students (35.78), and oander'students (30.70), than it is with ${ }^{\text {Fogo }}$ students (7.39), who rank it a rather neutral. preference. Also the categories of sporta and animals are preferred more by Gander students (12.72, 10.24) and Glovertown students $(14.29,13.00)$ than' they are by Fogo students $(-1.37,6.76)$ : Gander students show neutral preferences for the categories of sports, animals, and travel, while Glovertown students do not show clearly any neutral preferences. All three communities show, with varying degrees of rank orderings, and with different IRP scores, a lack of tefeference for the categories of history/geography, biography, nature study, poetry, children/family, and science. Glovertown atudents also show a lack of preference for the category of travel.

TABTE 13

Rank Onder of Beading Preferences of All Grade Sir Students for the Fourteen Zategories Organired by Camanity.



## Summary

This researcher-feels that the index. of reading preferences was an effective tool in illustrating reading preferences. It shows the relative changes in the rank orderings, and the distances between the ranks in the orderings: As well, it shows the community differences, sex variations, and the pattern of grade to grade fluctuations. The scaled preference values for the 747 subjects in the study are illustrated graphically in Figures 1 and 2. These figures provide a clear picture of category ${ }^{\text {p }}$ preferences, variation by community and sex. They also show fluctuations in preferences by grade level and posslíble neeractions between community, grade, and sex, across the fourteen categories of reading These graphs illugtrate that the categories of mystery, adventure, pand romance, are the most popular categories overall, although differences can be seen to exiat within communities, grades, and sex, in preference for other categories. Children/family, history/geography, and poetry, are the least preferred categories overall, but even these results" are influenced by interaction between the three variables.



Figure 2: $\quad$ Rank-order reading preferences by community and grade for females.


## Comparative Anialyses by Community, Grade, and Sex (ANOVA)

## Introduction

To determine statistically significant reading. "preference differences', separate three by three by two analysis of variance (communty by grade by sex) were computed, using the raw score values for each of the fourteen. categories. Such an analysis qhows whether community, sex, andyor grade have significant influence on reading preferences, and whether there are interactions among the variables.

## Comminity Results

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) results for the three communities revealed highly signiflcānt differences in preferences for seven of the fourteen categories (tabie 14). These categories were adventure, history/geography, anlmals, children/family, biography, romance, and science. In the study by Summers and Lukasievich (i983), the ANOVA results for the three communities revealed highly significant differences in preference for eight of the fourteen categories. These categories were adventure, children/family, poetry, fantasy, travel, romance, nature study, and mystery.

The ANOVA results also showed stgn!ficant interaction between comminity and grade level for the categories of romance and science, and between community and sex for the categories of adventure, sports, and science (table. 14).

This means that to some extent boys and girls differ in their preferences for some categories according to their, community location, and that preferences, for some categories-are also influenced by grade leveis within these communties. In the study by' Sumers and Lukasevich (1983) there were significant interactions for community by grade for the categoriés of children/family; science, and romance, and for community and sex for the categories of animals, romance, and nature, study.

The data were also organized to show, whether or not

- regional differences in reading preferences existed (table . 15). Thus, a comparison of the pattern of reading preferences of children attending school on Fogo Island"with the pattern of reading preferences of students attending "school in Glovertown showed significant differences'for nine of the fourteen categories. These categories wère adventure, history/geography, animals, children/family, science, biography, romance, and mystery. 'A comparison of the reading Rreferences of children attending school on Fogo Island with the reading preferences of children attending school in Gander showed significant differences in preferénce for eight of the fourteen categories. Thege categories were adventure, history/geography, animals, children/family, poetry, biography, romance, and mystery. A comparison of the reading. preferences of. children attending school in Glovertown with the reading preferences of children attending oriool in Gander showed significant differences in preference for only
two of the fourteen categories. This indicates that the reading habits of children attending school on pogo Island contrasts' greatly with the reading habits of children attending school in either Glovertown or Gander but that the reading. preferences of children attending school in Glovertown and Gander are somewhat similar. The results of this part of the study are at odds with that of summers and Lukasevich (1983) who concluded that' there were no marked regional differences in reading preferences by the students in their survey. A possible explanation for this, as noted earlier, is the fact that all elementary students in both Gander and Glovertown, separately, attend single. schools, with adequately equipped libraries, "and qualified resource people. Elementary students on Fog Island attend six separate schools, operated by three Boards. Neither school has a library nor. Librarian, and access to good books is obvioüsiy limited. Glovertown students and Gander students also have a greater access to bookstores in urban areas than do Fog students who live on an economically depressed island where transportation and communication facilities are limiting factors. Teachers on pogo Island also have a more limited access to workshops and resource people than do their counterparts in either Giovertown or Gander.


## Grade Level Results



When the data were organized by grade level, highly
significant differences in reading preferences were found for five of the fourteen categories (table 14). These categories were adventure, children/family, poetry, biography, anव mystery. Also, significant interaction between grade level and sex was found for the categories of fantasy, romance, mystery: and science. These results confirm that. to some extent. boys and girls differr in their reading preferences accoŕding to their level of maturation. In the study býp Summers and Lukasevich.(1983), with the data organized by grade level, highly significant differences in preference were, found for the categories of adventure, history/geography, children/family, poetry, biography, romance, nature study, and mystery, significant interaction between grade level and sex were found for the categories of animals, humour, biography, romance, and nature study.

The data were also organized tio show possible differences in reading preferences using a one-year developmental spread between ${ }^{\circ}$ grade five and grade six, and a two-year devélopmental spréad between grade four and grade six. The results indicated significant differences fot only one of the fourteen categories, poetry, for the grade fine and grade six comparison, while significant differences were found for the categories of adventure, history/geagraphy, children/family, sperts, poetry, biography, nature study, and mystery for the grade four and grade six comparison. In, the study by sumers and Lukasevich (1983) the result ${ }^{\prime}$ indicated.
significant differences for the category of mystery for the grade: $\widehat{s i x}$ and grade seven comparison while significant differences wete found for the categories of adventure, history/geography, children/family, poetry, biography, romance, nature study, and mystery for the grade five and grade séven comparison. These results suggest that maturational differences in reading preferences may occur across larger deveiopmental spans, but not necessarily on a year-by-year basis.

## Sex Difference Results

The ANOVA data organized by sex showed highly significant differences for eleven of the fourteen categories (table l4). .- These categories were adventure, history/geography, animals, chLidren/Eamily, poetry, biography, travel, romance; nature study, sports, and science. Summers and Lukasevich (1983) found significant differences in the categories of history/geography, animals, children/family, sports, science; poetry, fantasy, biography, travel, romance, and mystesy. The results of their survey and of' this one show that the feading preferences of boys and girls vary greatly.

ANOXA Results for Main Bffects and Interactions by Qumanity, Grade, and Sex


## tanes 15

ANOVA Pesilita for Ousmity and crade Oontrasts


## Conclusion

The purpose of this research was to investigate the reading preferences of intermediate children in three communities -- Fogo Island, Glovertown, and Gander, in the province of Newfoundland and to determine how these reading preferences were affected by the variables of sex, comminity, and grade level. The research found that there was a strong similarity in preference for the popular categoties of mystery, adventure, fantasy, humour, and romance. Other.researchers (Summers and Lukasevich, 1983; Ashley, 1972) also found that these were the categories of reading preferred most by middle-grade children. Also, the children showed similar degrees of dislike for the least preferred categories of children/family, poetry, biography, nature study, and.history/geography.

An examination of figures $l$ and 2 reveals that, generally, boys have a stronger preference for the categories of science, sports, adventure, humour, travei, nature study, and history/geography than do girls. Girls have a. stronger preference for the categories of romance, animals, poetry, biography, and childrea/family, than do boys, and that boys and gitls have similar preferences for the categories of mystery and fantasy.

The independent variables of sex, community, and grade level were found to differentialiy affect, reading preferences, and interaction among. the three variables also


## CHAPTER V

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS

## Summary

This study explored the' reading, interests, of intermediate-grade children in the province of Newfoundland. It focussed specifically on a group of 747 children in grades four, fiye, and six in one geographical area of Newfoundland. The writer feels that' this was a reasonably good sample of Newfoundland, children, although, the selection was not drawn - randomly. The children were classified according to three, types of criteria. The first classification was based upon grade placement or maturation. The second classification was made according to geographical location and included three. distinct areas; one, a large town, Gander (population approximately 10,000 ); two, a small town, Glovertown (population less than 2,500), and three, a sparsely populated area; Fogo Island, made up of six small communities, with populations ranging from less than 200 to 1,000 . The third classification, was based on sex.

To help determine the reading interests of the children 'in this study, and the effects of the various factors on these interests, the researcher used a, reading preference inventory (RPI) developed by Dr. Edward Summers of the University of British. Columbia. Fourteen categories of . IIteratare provided the content for a 9l-item RPI and
followed the paired comparison format. Testing was done during the fall term of the 1984-85 school year. With the exception of pogo Island, where the writer himself administered the inventory, all other testing was done by classroom teachers who willingly participated in the study. Teachers and principals were extremely interested in obtaining the $R P I$ ranked results in order that they might determine the reading likes, and dislikes of their children which in turn might serve as an aid in structuring activities to further develop reading interests. All data were collected and collated, and the statistics for the same were presented in Chapter IV.

## Conclusion

$\qquad$

The following are some conclusions based on the results of the survey which were discussed in Chapter IV.

1. The first five categories, regal ${ }^{\prime}$ d less of subgrouping, in the rank order of, independent reading interests of children in grades four, five and six, were mystery, adventure, romance, fantasy, and humour" It can therefore be concluded from this that the predominant independent reading interests of 'children in these grades were fictional in nature. Although this does not negessarily mean that the children were not interested in reading nonfiction, it is likely that children read don-fiction for informational purposes rather than for enjoyment.
2. The results of the index of reading preference (IRP) showed many differences among the reading interests of boys. and girls in grades four, five, and six. Thase results indicated little or no disagreement with the findings of mast 'earliér research, although recent thinking suggests that-sex idifferences in reading result not so much from an innate difference between the sexes, but stem more from the societal conditioning of sex-typed behaviour (Lazer, 1937; Peltola, 196.5; Zimet, 1966 ; Feeley, 1974 ; Schofer, 1981). Boys had a", jstronger preference, than girls for the categories of 'adventure, sports, humour, travel, and science. Girls on the other hand had a stronger preference than boys for the categories of romance; animals, poetry, biography, and "hildren/family. This provided evidence that boys were more interested in stories of adventure and action than girls, who, shbwed a greater preference than boys for the more passive categories of reading. !
3. The resuits of the ANOVA showed that when the reading preferences for the children attending school on Fogo Isiland were compared with the reading preferences of children attending school in Gander, there were significant differences for nine of the fourteen categoriès. Similarily, When the reading preferences of children attending school, on Fogo Island were compared with the reading preferences. of childrens attending school in Glovertown, there were significant differences in preference for eight of the
fourteen categories. However, when the reading preferences of children attending school in Glovertown were compared with the reading_preference of children attending schooi $i \frac{1}{n}$ Gánder, there were signifioant differences in preferefce for only two of the fourteen categories. This provided vidence to indicate the ir differences in reading preference vary according to geographical location, with greater contrasts between Fogo and Glovertown, and Fogo and Gander, fain between Gander and Glovertown.
4. The data showed only minimal differences in reading preference when organized to show possible differences ovidr the one-year time span from grade five to grade six. However, significant differences were found for eight of the fourteen categories when the reading preferences were compared using a two-jear time span from grade, four tótrade six. A conclusion here is that maturational differerices, in reading preferences may occur across larger developmental $\because$ spans but not necessarily on a year-by-ye̊ar. bäsis. "Aithough a change in interests was evident as students progressed through the grades, some few categories of interest remained constant.
5. The RPI provided a useful measure kor showing the reading: preference of groups of children. thé intermediate-grade children in this study seemed to focus only on a few of the reading categories, but a close study of the various tables shows variability of reading preference by*

9̧cde, geographical location, but more particularly by sex. -The index also demonstrated that reading preferences changed from grade to grade for both boys and girls. Thus, it can be - concluded, that reading preference's of individuals can be reliably measured using this instrument.

## Implications

The results of this study confirm the originala hypotheses put forward in this thesis, that the reading preferences of intermediatengrade children, according to this sample, differ significantly by community, grade level, and sex, and, furthermore, that these preferences will aliso be influenced by interactions among the three variables. Thus, based on the results of this study, a number of implications for teachers, librarians, reading specialists, and all others whose responsibility. it is to bring the child and the book (together, beçme evident.

1. Although the data do not provide strong support for the notion of regional patterns of preference, the communities themselves may exhibit. dissimilar paţterns of preference. Teachers, librarians, reading specialists and others should- be aware of this and provide reading material more specificaliy preferred in"certain communities, as it is possible that the ethnographic and socioeconomic factors inherent within the community can also influence preference ! choiceg.
2. Because maturational differences in reading preferences may occur across a developmental span of at least two years, teachers, librarians and reading specialists should provide a variety of graded reading material that will meet this growth in children.
3. Since this study showed that the reading interests of girls and boys in grades four, five, and six differ, it is' important that all those responsible for providing those children with the "appropriate reading" material be cognizant of this fact. Although it is imperative that both the sexes be provided with books they seem to prefer, the onus must be on teachers, to broaden the reading interests of children by interesting them in those genres of literature they seem least interested in. This may be accomplished through book tialks, projects, or by the teacher reading aloud to the class.
4. Generally speaking, all those whose responsibility it is to provide the appropriate reading material for children and, to encourage these children to read, should understand the nature of children's reading interests, and use these interests as motivational forces in reading, and encourage and expand these reading interests. Areachera, librarians, reading specialists and others should keep abreast of the reading interests of children and thus be able to supply them with a variety of reading materials, that will meet the wide range of interests of boys and girls of this
age group. A
5. Although what might be construed as aberrations in reading .preferences appeared in this study (eg. Ǵrade four boys in Eogo placing "romance" as their number one"choice) teachers and tibrarians should be tolerant of students' initially expressed choices for certain types of reading material, and use these choices as springboards for gididing students to expand"and improve their preferences.

## - Recommendations for Further Research

The following recommendations are proposed for further research:
1., As this study was concerned with the relationship of children's independent reading interests to three specific determinants: geographical location, sex, and maturation, further research should be conducted on the realtionship of children's independent "reading interests to such factors as intelligence, reading achievement, socio-economic status, general academic achievement, and the educational background of their parents:
2. In addressing the question of the effect of maturation on the reading preferences of intermediatengrade children the study took into consideration only a one-year and a two-year developmental span. Research should be undertaken on wider range of grade and age levels than were studied in the present investigation in order to identify the
scope and sequence of children's independent reading 'interests at the yarious levels and to locate points of significant changes in children's reading interests.
3. - In view of the fact that a great deal of evidence and opinion support the thëory of social conditioning as the basis fọr sex-typed behaviour (Lazer، 1937; Peltola, 1965; Zimet, 1966: Feeleす̈́, 1974; Schofer, 1981), and thus sex differences in reading interests may not be so much a result bef inborn charactefistics as they are of culture training, research should be undertaken to determine to what extent social pressure or training may be the most significant factor behind observed "sex differences",
4. Research should be conducted to determine what relation there is between the expressed independent, reading, preferences and observed reading preferences.
5. 'A longitudinal study of children's independent reading interests; using a similar $R P I$, should be conducted in order to identify changes in interests, especially between the sexes, and to determine the factors which cause any. perceived change.
6. Research should be conducted to detèrmine the relationship of children's independent reading interests to the nature of the reading programs in the curricula of the elementary schools. Investigations should be undertaken to analyze and categorize the content of selected basal reading programs to compare and/or contrast children's expressed 1
reading interests with the content of basal reading programs.
7. Research should be undertaken to determine how reading compares with other spare-time activities of elementary school children. A more specific study should be conducted to assess the influence of movies and television programs upon reading interests.
8.: The sample' for this study though reasonably large, was drawn from one geographical area in northeastern Newfoundland. Replícation of this study should be undertaken, in other areas to determine whether or not the findings are accurate and can be generalized.
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School $\qquad$
Date $\qquad$
-
Town $\qquad$

DO NOT write in these

Adventure
History/Geography $\qquad$
Animals $\qquad$
Childäamily_
Sports $\qquad$
Humour $\qquad$
Science $\qquad$


Biography
Travel $\qquad$
Romance $\qquad$
Na'ture Study


Mystery $\qquad$
Total

This booklet contains 90 statements about types of books boys and girls like to read. Read each statement carefullys Decide which of the two types of books you would rather read. Place an $x$ in front of the one you choose. Choose only ONE type of book for each statement.

SAMPLE COMPLETED STATEMENT:
I liké most to read about:
MYSTERY (ghósts, secrets, detectives; suspense)
$\cdots$ POETRY (rhymes)

ASK YOUR TEACHER IF YOU DO NOT UNDERSTAND THESE DIRECTIONS
B.L. Hewi.tt Memorial University
St. John's, Nfld. ,
,
A.'I like most to read about:
TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration) FANTASY (monsters, myths; make-believe? Eairy tales)
B. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animaks, rocks, firs) HISTORY/GEOGRA'PY' (past events, other coutries)
C. I like most t'o read about:

ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels) POETRY, (rhymes )

1. I like most to read about:

HUMOU'R (jokes, Xiddles, funny stories) CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life).
-- 2. I like most to read about:
FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales) SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities)
3. I. like most to read about:

```
___ ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrjlls, action)
```

$\qquad$

``` CHILDEEN/FAMILY (home and school life)
```

4.: I like most to read about:

- SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, plants) ÉIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)

5. I like most to read about:

SPORTS (games; hobbies, recreationakfactivities TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration)
6. I like most to read about:

ANIMALS (animal stories)
HUMOUR (jokes, riddles, funny stories)
7. I like most to read about:

ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels) BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
8. I like most to read about:

SCIENCE'(machines, science fiction, space; plants) PQETRY (rhymes)?
9. I like most to read about:

10. I like most to read about:

SCFENCE (machines, science fiotion, space, plants) ADVENTURE (excitement, dănger, thrills. action)
11. I like most to read about:

ANIMALS (animal stories)
CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life)
12.' I like most to read about: .

POETRY (rhymes)
CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life)
13. I like most to, read dbout:
_ FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy,tales) ANIMALS (animal stories)
14. "I like most to read about:

HUMOUR (jokes, riddles, funny stories)
HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries)
15. I like most to read about:

16. I like most to read about:
_- SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities)
——. HUMOUR (jokes, ṛiddles, funny stories)
17. I like most to read about:
___ BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
hUMOUR (jokes, riddies, funny stories)
18. I like most to read about:
$\square$ ANIMALS (animal stories)
—— ROMȦNCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels).
19. I like most to read about:

BIOGRAPHY (account ofa person't life) NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish)
20. I like most to read about:
POETRY (rhymes)
FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales)
21. I like most to read about:

ANIMALS (animal stories)
——— POETRY (rhymes)
22. I like most to read about:

23. I like most to read about: MÝSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
$\qquad$ HUMOUR (joke's, riddles, funny stories)
24. I like most to read about:
___ CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life)

- SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities)

25. I like most to read about:

NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish) TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration)
26. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries) SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreâtional activities)
27. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales)
$\qquad$ ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels)
28. I like most to read about:
__ HUMOUR (jokes, riddlés, funny stories) FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales)
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29. I like most to read about:

TRAVEL (trips, boyages, exploration)
——ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action)
30. I like most to read about:

CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life)
NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish)
31. I like most to read about:

CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life)
——SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, pląnts)
32. I like most to read about:

SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities) ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels)
33. I like most to read about: FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales) BIOGRAPHY (account of a; person's life)
34. I like most to read about:
___ ANIMALS (animal stories)
——ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action)
35. I ilike most to read about: ?

SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, plants) SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities)
36. I like most to read about:
_ BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life) POETRY (rhymes)
37. I like most to read about:

```
        ANIMALS (animal stories)
        MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
```

38. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities) ANIMALS (animal stories)
39. I like most to read about:

FANTASY, (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales) SCIENCE (machines, science fictionr space, plants)
40. I like most to read about:

HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries) SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space; plants)
41. I like most to read about:

```
                                    SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities) BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
```

42. I like most to read about:
43. I like most to read about:

ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action) POETRY (rhymes)

I like most to read about:
CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life) FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales)
45. I like most to read about:
___ SCIENCE (machines, sciénce fiction, space, plants) MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
46. I like most to fead about:
__ ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action) HUMOUR (jokes, riddles, funny stories)
47. I like most to read about:

```
    TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration)
    ROMANCE (boy-gi,rl stories,.love, novels)
\(\square\) ROMANCE (boy-gi.rl stories, love, novels)
```

48. I linde most to read about: SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, plants) $\ldots$ ANIMALS (animal stories)
49. I like most to read about:
$\downarrow$
$\longrightarrow \begin{aligned} & \text { CHILDREN/EAMILY (home and school life) } \\ & \text { TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration) }\end{aligned}$
50. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action) MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives; suspense)
51. I like most to read about:
—— NAT世RE STUDY(foutdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish)
52. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life) HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events? other countries)
53. I like most to read about:

SPORTS (games, hobbies; recreational activities) POETRY (rhymes)
54. I like most to read about:

ANIMALS (animal stories)
BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
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'55. I like most' to read about:
CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school: life) HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events; other countries)
56. I like most .to read about:

FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales) ADVENTURE excitement, danger, thrills, action)
57. I like most to read about:
$\square$ ROMANCE (boyagirl stories, love, novels.) HUMOUR (jokes, riddles, funny stories)
58. I like most to read about;

FANTASY (monsters, myths, make-believe, fairy tales) HISTURY/GEOGRAPHY (past events. other countries)
59. I like most to read about: 0
$\qquad$ HUMOUR (jokes, riddles, funny stories) TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration)
60. I like "most to read about:

ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action) ROMANCE (boy-girl-stories, love, novers)
61. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense) BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
'.
62. I like most' to read'about:
$\qquad$ NATURE STUDY'(outdoors, plants, "animals, rocks, fish) SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities)
63. I like most to read about.:
_._ HUMOUR (jokes; riddles, funny stories) SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, plants)
64. I like most to read about: SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities)
. -_ MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, dectectives, suspense).
65. I like most to read about:
__TRAVEL (trips, voyages, explorations) ANIMALS (animal stories)
66. I like" most to read about:
___ MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
__ ROMANCE (boy-girl stpries, love, novels).
67. I like most to read about:

NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish)
_ANIMALS (animal stories)
68. I like most to read about:

ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels)
_ HISTQRY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries)
69. I like most to read about:

* ___ SPORTS (games, hobbies, recreational activities) ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action)

70. I like most to read about:
__ BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
__ TRAVEL (trips, voyages, expoloration)
71. I like most to read about:

- NATURE STUDY (outdoors, ${ }^{\infty}$ plants, animals, rocks, fish). SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, plants)

72. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels)
__ CHILDREN/FAMILY ${ }^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ (home and school life)
73. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ MYSTERY lghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense) HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries)
,
: 74. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action)
__ HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries)
74. I like most to read about: MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense) CHILDREN/FAMILY (home and school life)
75. I like most to read about:

NAfURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish) MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
77. I like most to read ، about:
. ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action)
BIOGRAPHY (account of a person's life)
78. I like most to read about:
HUMOUR (jokes, riddles, funny stories)
_ NATORE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, fish)
79. I like most to read about:
$\qquad$ = FANTASY (monsters, myths, make -believe, fairy tales)
___ MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives, suspense)
80. I 'ike most to read about:
*_ HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events,' other countries)
——_ ANIMALS (animal stories)
81. I like most to read about:

ROMANCE (boy-girl stories, love, novels) NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish)
82. I like most to read about: HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries)
 TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration)
$l$
83. I like most to read about:
$\square$ NATURE STUDY (outdoors, plants, animals, rocks, fish)
$+$ ADVENTURE (excitement, danger, thrills, action)
84. I like most to read about:

TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration)
_ SCIENCE (machines, science fiction, space, plants)
85. I like most to read about:

POETRY (rhymes) NATURE STUDY (outdoors, Rants, animals, rocks, fish)
86. I like most to read about:

TRAVEL (trips, voyages, exploration) MYSTERY (ghosts, secrets, detectives," suspense)
87. I like most to read about:

POETRY (rhymes)
HISTORY/GEOGRAPHY (past events, other countries)



