A COMPARISON OF ACADEMIC, PSYCHIATRIC, PHYSICAL, SOCIAL AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN ATTENDING SPECIAL CLASSES WITH A SPECIFIED GROUP OF CHILDREN ATTENDING REGULAR CLASSES OF THE ST. JOHN'S EDUCATION SYSTEM

CENTRE FOR NEWFOUNDLAND STUDIES

TOTAL OF 10 PAGES ONLY MAY BE XEROXED

(Without Author's Permission)

LINDA DARLENE HOLLETT



CENTRE FOR NFLD. STUDIES JAN 14 1977 ATEMORIAL UNIVERSIT

copy



A COMPARISON OF ACADEMIC, PSYCHIATRIC, PHYSICAL, SOCIAL AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN ATTENDING SPECIAL CLASSES WITH A SPECIFIED GROUP OF CHILDREN ATTENDING REGULAR CLASSES OF THE ST. JOHN'S EDUCATION SYSTEM

c) Lind

Linda Darlene Hollett, B.A.

by

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

Faculty of Medicine

Memorial University of Newfoundland

August 1974

St. John's

Newfoundland

COMPARISON OF ACADEMIC, PSYCHIATRIC, PHYSICAL, SOCIAL AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS OF CHILDREN ATTENDING SPECIAL CLASSES WITH A SPECIFIED GROUP OF CHILDREN ATTENDING REGULAR CLASSES OF THE ST. JOHN'S EDUCATION SYSTEM

> AN ABSTRACT OF A THESIS BY LINDA HOLLETT

THE PROBLEM

The purpose of this study was to compare the academic, psychiatric, physical, social and family characteristics of children attending special classes with children attending regular classes. In Newfoundland, the special classes were designed for "mentally handicapped" children with intelligence tests scores between 50 - 80. At most 27 percent of the estimated need was being met.

PROCEDURE

All the children from three special classes were compared with an equal number of children attending regular classes within the same schools, but experienceing educational, difficulty. The groups were equivalent for age, sex and socioeconomic status.

Information was gathered from the teachers, parents and the children. The instruments used were of known reliability and validity. The teachers-completed questionnaires concerning classroom behaviour and health. The parents and "

children were seen individually without knowledge of the child s academic placement. The W.I.S.C. and Wide Range Achievement Test were administered to each child blind.

RESULTS

The special class children were found to have a significantly lower intelligence test score than the regular class children, 80.9 as compared with 94. The regular class received higher grade, scores in reading and mathematics, while spelling grades were similar in both groups. The special class children demonstrated more educational backwardness than the regular class children but a similar degree of educational retardation as the regular class. Other significant differences included laterality, visual ability and maternal employment. The special class demonstrated increased abnormality in laterality and poorer vision ability than the regular class. The regular class had increased number of mothers working.

The groups were similar on prevalence of psychiatric disorder, motor task performance, health contacts, hearing, height and weight, social and family characteristics.

CONCLUSIONS

The groups were so similar that they were thought to represent collectively an educationally vulnerable group. The need arising from this seems to be for population statistics. Only one third of the special class children fell within the range of intelligence scores (50 - 80) designated as the range of the special classes. In addition the uncorrected visual difficulties, and unreported perceptual difficulties were found. This was thought to reflect a need for more comprehensive individual assessment, if adequate and effective remediable measures are to be undertaken.

WERE A DIRECT TO THE TANK

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The writer wishes to express her gratitude to the members of her supervisory committee - Drs. Bernard Boothroyd Brooks, Mary Boothroyd Brooks, Geoffrey Hopkinson, and Robert Mowbray - for their assistance and encouragement during the preparation and writing of the thesis. Also, to Dean F. Aldrich, gratitude is extended for his support.

The writer expresses her appreciation to the administration and staff of the Dr. Charles A. Janeway Child Health Center for their valuable counsel and support.

Also, appreciation is extended to the staff of the Division of Special Services, Mr. C. Andrews, Director, the Avalon Consolidated and Roman Catholic School Boards.

To those who participated in the data collection, the principals and the teachers of the three schools, the parents and children who gave so freely of their time and who were so open and co-operative, 'a heartfelt expression of thanks is extended.

The writer also wishes to express appreciation to the typist, Linda Collins for her inexhaustible patience and enthusiasm for the task at hand. Finally the counsel and encouragement of family, friends and faculty members at Memorial University is gratefully acknowledged.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

CHAPTER

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY	1
Delineation of the Study	3
Questions Examined	.3
Limitations of the Study	-4
II. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	6
Comparison of Characteristics of Educable	·
Mentally Retarded Children in Regular	· .
and Special Classes	6
Academic Attainments and School Behaviour .	7
Personal, Emotional Adjustment	10
Physical Attributes of the Children	13
Social Environment	14
Family Characteristics	16
Other Studies	18
III., THE STUDY	34
The Sample	- 34
Sources of Information and the Instruments	. `
) Used	40
Teachers	40
Parents	42
Children	43
) Interview with the Children as a Source	· · · ·
of Information	.43
Psychological Testing	44

1355 4.5 AL.

和是是 是的 是是

CHAPTER

Ι

Ţ,

Procedure	44
Inter Rater Reliability	48
Statistical Analysis of the Data	• 50
THE RESULTS	• 51
Intelligence Scores and Academic Attainment	. 51
Intelligence Tests Scores on the Wechsler	
Intelligence Scale for Children	. 51
Academic Attainment	. 57
Reading Attainment	57
Spelling Attainment	61
Arithmetic Attainment	63
Summary of Results with Regard to	
Academic Attainment	64
Adjustment - Emotional Disturbance of	
Children	65
Individual Symptoms	. 70
Psychiatric Disorder and Academic	
Attainments	81
Physical Attributes and Health of the	
Special Class Children and Regular Class	
Children	81
Motor Tasks	81
Health History	. 86
Present Physical State	91

vii

PAGE

CHAPTER	PAGE
Social and Family Characteristics of the	:
Children in Special and Regular Class	96.
Housing and Family Structure of the Children	
in Regular and Special Class	04
	96
The Parents of Regular and Special Class	00
Children	98
The Children and Their School History	101
The Children and the Peers	/ 102
SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION	107
The Questions Examined	107
Comparison of the Results with Research	^ر و ^ر
Literature	112
Comparison with Studies which have	·.'' · · · ·
Employed Two Groups of Educable	
Mentally Retarded - One in Special	
Class and the Other in Reular Class	112
Other Studies	115
Discussion	118
Recommendations	121
Summary	124
BIBLIOGRAPHY	126
APPENDIX A. Definition of Terms	149
APPENDIX B. Teacher's Behaviour Questionnaire	151
APPENDIX C. Teacher's Health Questionnaire	154

1.7.5. Ganal

CHAPTER	PAGE
APPENDIX D.	Parental Interview 172 165
APPENDIX E.	Interview with the Child
APPENDIX F.	Letters to the Parents
APPENDIX G.	Occupational Coding
APPENDIX H.	Chi Square Tables

A326 ****

•

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE PAGE Tests Used in Rubin, Krus and Balow's (1973) .1. Study and the Times they were Administered . 10 2. Characteristics of the Children Measured by the Parent's Interview 35 Characteristigs of the Children Measured by Teacher's Questionnaire 37 Characteristics of the Children Measured by the Interview with the Child . 38 Age, Sex and Paternal Occupation of the Children who Participated in the Study (September - December 1973) Grouped Frequency of Intelligence Test Scores. in Children Attending Special Class and Children Attending Regular Class Results of t Test Completed on Full Scale Scores of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children t Scores of Full Scale Scores (W.I.S.C.) ₿. According to Schools 54 t Scores for Verbal and Performance Intelligence Scores of Special Class Children and Regular Class Children

TABLE		PAGE
10.	Significant t Scores for Verbal and Performance	•
	Intelligence Scores for Regular and Special	o.
، بر - با	Class Children by Individual Schools	56
11.	Significant Differences Between Special and	•
	Regular Class Children in Reading	¹
	Attainments	58
12.	t Test Results on Measures of Reading Levels	1
	of Special Class and Regular Class Children	59
13.	Significant Differences Between Special and	
	Regular Class Children in Spèlling	• •
	Attainment	62
14.	Significant Differences Between Special and	
	Regular Class Children in Arithmetical	
* ,	Attainment	64
15,	Significant Differences Between the Prevalence	
n in grie Grief and	of Psychiatric Disorder in Special and	, , , .
. a. . 1	Regular Class Children, as Indicated by the Teachers	66
.16.	Individual Behavioural Items Elicited from	
b	Teacher's Questionnaire	71
17.	Individual Behavioural Items Elicited from	•
	bhe Parental Interview of Children	
	Attending Special and Regular Classes	72

1. 空行

へい や とうかう たう ちゃうち

うちょういい しょう しょうしょう

ζX

and the second		
		xii
•		¢
TABLE		PAGE
\$ 18.	Individual Behavioural Items Elicited from the	J.
li , v ,	Interviews with the Children	-73
. 19.	Significant Differences in the Report of	
*	Motor Items from Parents, Teachers and	
	Children	74
20.	Significant Differences on Stammering as	-
	Reported by the Teachers Between the	
	Special Class and Regular Class .	
	Children	76
21.	Significant Differences in Prevalence of	
	Fighting and in the Report of Antisocial	
	Symptoms by the Three Sources of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Information	77
22.	Significant Differences Noted on Relationship	
	Items	78
23.	Significant Differences Noted on Neurotic.	·
	Symptoms	79
24	Significant Differences Between Reports of	
	Teachers, Parents and Children on "Other	
	Behavioural Items"	80
25.	Significant Differences Between Special and	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Regular Class Children on Motor Task	
/.F	Performance	84
26.	Summary of Differences completed on Groupings	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	other than The Total Special Class and	-86
0	Regular Class Samples	

.9

ډ

ŵ

Significant Differences Between Regular and	
Special.Class Groups on Perinatal and	•
Neonatal History	89,
Significant Findings Between Regular and	· ·
Special Class Children on General	
Practitioner Contacts in Past Year	91
Significant Differences Between the Children	
Attending Special and Regular Class on the	, j
Vision Reading Chart	92
Significant Differences Between the Regular	•
and Special Class Children on Heights	•
and Weight's	94
Significant Differences in the Discrepancy of	
Weight Percentile from the Height Percentile,	• • •
Between the Special and Regular Class	
Children	95
Significant Differences Between the Regular	
and Special Class Children on Family	5
Characteristics	101
Groupings, Variables, Chi Square Values,	• .
Degrees of Freedom and Levels of	
- Significance of Peer Relationship	104

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	, PAGE
1.	Frequency of W.I.S.C. Scores in Special
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	and Regular Class Groups
ູ2.	Overlap Between Sources of Information in
¢7	"Identification of Psychiatric Disorder
, · · ·	in the Total Study Group (n=54)

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY

In Newfoundland, two special education classes serving approximately twenty-four children, were established in 1961. Since then there has been a rapid increase in the numbers serviced by such classes. Statistics for the 1971 -72 academic year indicate that 738 children were enrolled in the special education classes in the St. John's area.

The guidelines drawn up by the Provincial Department of Education to aid principals in the assessment of their schools' needs, stater:

"Under the provisions of the School Act and Regulations school boards may set up special education classes for handicapped children who cannot benefit from the normal school programme. Special education classes as discussed in this booklet are primarily designed for children who are mentally handicapped."1 "Mental handicap" is defined by these guidelines as intelligence scores from 50 - 75.2 Provincial authorities have stated the range of intelligence

¹Department of Education, "Guidelines for the Establishment of Special Education Classes." (St. John's, Newfoundland: Department of Education, 1969) p. 2

²<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 10

scores for such an educational placement as being 50 to 80.3 No statistics are available for the population of St. John's, but assuming that intelligence scores show a normal Gaussian curve of distribution, it is possible to estimate the number of children who might be expected to have intelligence scores falling within this range.

In 1972 there were 28,325 children enrolled in schools in the St. John's area and of these, 10.5 percent or 2977 children would be expected to have intelligence quotients in the range 50 - 80.

In fact the special class population at this time was 738 which represents only twenty seven percent of the estimated need. It appears that at the most only one mentally handicapped child in four receives the educational placement deemed necessary.

Two points emerge which have implications for the educational services for these children.

(1) Rapid growth in the special class population has occurred without any research concerned with the characteristics of the children so placed.

(2) The inability of the existing educational system to meet the estimated need based on intelligence scores.

The purpose of this study was to compare

³C. Andrews, Director of Special Services, personal interview, May 22, 1974. characteristics of children attending special classes with some children attending the regular classes in order to determine whether there were significant defferences existing between these two groups. Similarities and differences may be found to exist between the two groups which may give rise to additional questions concerning the nature and influence of poor academic performance, selection for and placement in special class, and the effect of placement in the special class.

DELINEATION OF THE STUDY

This study compares children attending three special classes in the St. John's area with children from a specified group within the regular classes in the same school. The groups were equivalent for age, sex and socioeconomic status. The children in the regular classes were selected by their teachers from the lower end of their classes in academic performance. Regular class children or regular class group within the context of this study refers to this specified group. The special class children had been so placed for periods ranging from one to four years. Measurements of emotional, social, familial, academic, intellectual and physical characteristics were undertaken.

QUESTIONS TO BE EXAMINED

1. Are the children attending special classes different from the regular class group in terms of emotional disturbance? If so, what is the nature of the disturbance? 2. Do the special class children differ from the regular class children in terms of social activities, participation in activities outside the home and friendships? 3. Do the families of the special class children differ from those of the regular class children (e.g. parental

educational status and status of natural parents etc.)? 4. Are there academic differences between the

special class children and the regular class children in terms of attainments in spelling, arithmetic and reading?

5. Do the regular class children demonstrate significantly higher intelligence scores compared with the special class children? If so, is intellectual superiority reflected in superior academic attainments?

6. Finally, how do special class children compare with regular class children in terms of physical characteristics (e.g. height, weight and in their ability to carry out tasks involving physical skills)?

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

The demographic characteristics of the populations serviced by each of the three schools which participated in the study could not be clearly defined. Although the policy of the school board is to place children in schools closest to their residence, this is not always possible as rapid growth of residential development in parts of the city would overload the area school and this has led to placement of children elsewhere. Thus it is not possible to define clearly the catchment area of the schools in the study. Nor was it possible to obtain information concerning occupational and educational status of the populations surrounding these three schools. Therefore, the population serviced by these special classes is unknown, and the results of the study cannot be generalized to other areas of the province nor to the province as a whole.

In summary, this is an exploratory study to compare the characteristics of children enrolled in special classes with children who are performing poorly in academic attainments in the regular class. The nature of the characteristics to be examined are emotional, social, familial, academic and physical.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

I. COMPARISON OF CHARACTERISTICS EDUCABLE MENTALLY RETARDED CHILDREN IN REGULAR AND SPECIAL CLASSES

The research concerned with the study of educable mentally retarded children in special classes has been designed primarily for two purposes (a) the examination of selection criteria for placement of children into special classes and (b) examination of the efficacy of such educational placements. Both types of study have frequently employed the same design - that of comparing children in special classes with those in regular classes. Often the authors have interpreted the findings according to the objectives of the study, emphasizing the effect of the selection criteria of the educational experience, even though, in many cases sufficient data were unavailable to separate these two important aspects.

Tizard and Bax (1969) stated that much of educational research has been characterized by "...failure of research workers to pay attention to diagnoses... (and) failure to examine the quality of the educational experience."4

J. Tizard and M. Bax, Research in Education in <u>Planning for Better Learning</u> (ed.) P. Wolff & R. MacKeith (London: Wm. Heinemann Medical Books Ltd., 1969) p. 148

and the for the second second

However such weaknesses need not detract from the information which has been gathered concerning the characteristics of educable mentally retarded children in special classes and those in regular classes. This review deals primarily with the results of the studies rather than the interpretations which have been placed upon them. These findings are grouped with reference to five major headings (a) academic performance and behaviour (b) personal, emotional adjustment (c) physical characteristics (d) social environment and (e) family setting.

A. ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS AND SCHOOL BEHAVIOUR

Bennett (1932) studied an equal number of educable mentally retarded children in special classes and in regular classes within the same school districts. These children who were matched for age and intelligence score on the Stanford Binet, completed attainment tests in spelling, arithmetic and reading. The educable mentally retarded children from the regular class scored significantly better on the tests than the special class children and the years spent in special class were not correlated with level of attainments.

Pertsch (1936) studied 150 special class pupils and an equal number of children of similar intellectual level attending the regular classes in the same school districts. The regular class children performed better on attainment tests than did the children enrolled in special classes. Blatt (1956) completed a comparison of educable mentally retarded children, with one group enrolled in regular classes in school districts in which no special class facilities existed. The groups were matched for age and intelligence quotients (mean age 12 and mean intelligence quotient of 67). The special class children were all "in the process of completing at least two years of special class elementary education ..."5 He administered the California Achievement Test to the groups. The results failed to provide any significant differences.

Elenbogen (1957) compared children who had received special class instruction for the previous two years with mentally retarded children in a regular class. The groups were equivalent for chronological age, sex, intelligence quotient and school districts. The special class had a "curriculum designed specially for the mentally retarded child".6 He measured academic attainment in reading and

⁵Burton Blatt, "The Physical, Personality and Academic Status of Children Who are Mentally Retarded Attending Special Classes as Compared with Children Who are Mentally Retarded Attending Regular Classes" (unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, The Pennsylvania State University 1956) p. 59

^OMorton Elenbogen, A Comparative Study of Some Aspects of Academic and Social Adjustment of Two Groups of Mentally Retarded in Special Classes and in Regular Grades. (unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, North Western University, Evanston, Illinois 1957) p. 1 arithmetic by administering the Stanford Achievement Test to children in the special and regular classes. These standardized tests revealed significantly higher mean scores for the children without special class training.

Cassidy and Stanton (1959) compared educable mentally retarded children attending special class for the previous two years, with educable mentally retarded children who were enrolled in the regular classes in school districts, in which special class instruction was unavailable. These two groups were equivalent for chronological age and intelligence quotient (age range 12 - 14 years 11 months; intelligence quotient range 50-75). The regular class children scored significantly higher on the Stanford Achievement Test than did the special class children.

Thurstone (1959) found that the 503 educable mentally retarded children attending a regular class did significantly better than 767 special class peers on all of the Stanford Achievement Tests with the exception of the subtest for simple arithmetic.

Hoeltke.(1967) in his comparison of 70 educable mentally retarded children enrolled in the special class and 50 educable mentally retarded children in the regular class concluded that the regular class children scored higher in reading. spelling and arithmetic than did their peers in the special classes.

Rubin, Krus and Balow (1973) compared a group of 17

children with intelligence quotients below 80, who were enrolled in the regular class stream, with a group of 32 children in special class. The special class group was further divided into three smaller groups (a) those who scored consistently low on the measures of intelligence tests (below 80) (b) those who consistently scored average on the two intelligence tests (over 80) and a smaller group who had scored over the 80 cut off on one measure but below on the other. Since this last group numbered only five subjects, the comparisons with the regular class children were made with (a) those of consistently low intelligence score (below 80) (b) those of consistently scored average intelligence quotient and finally (c) the total special class group including the inconsistent scores. In addition to the twointelligence tests used - Stanford Binet and the Wechsler-Intelligence Scale for Children - tests of attainment were also employed. The exact test and the times at which they were administered are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1. -- TESTS-USED in RUBIN, KRUS and BALOW'S (1973) STUDY and the TIMES THEY WERE ADMINISTERED

TEST ADMINISTERED	TIME ADMINISTERED
Stanford Binet	At 4 years
Wechsler Intelligence Scale for	At 7 years
Children	At 7 years
Wide Range Achievement Test	Summer of Child's
Partial Stanford Achievement	9th Birthday

. 10

There were no significant findings to distinguish the regular and special class children in terms of academic attainments. However, the School Behavior Profile was administered while both groups of children were attending first grade, and a significantly poorer score on this measure of classroom behaviour was found in the special class children with average intelligence than in the other groups. In terms of academic attainments, the findings of the comparison studies which have been controlled for the intelligence quotients in both groups, indicated that:-

(a) educable mentally retarded children enrolled in regular classes scored significantly higher on attainment tests than did those children in special classes <u>or</u>

(b) there are no differences in academic attainments between both groups.

However, in the majority of studies, the finding has been that regular class children scored significantly higher than the special class children. This has been consistently found in the use of Stanford Achievement Test, Elenbogen (1957), Cassidy and Stanton (1959), Thurstone (1959).

B. PERSONAL, EMOTIONAL ADJUSTMENT

Pertsch (1936) studied 150 special class pupils and an equal number of children of similar intellectual level attending the regular classes in the same school districts. Tests of general adjustment (Maller Character Sketches) were administered twice within a six month period. On each occasion the comparison group of regular class children received a better adjustment score than did the children in the special class.

Jordan (1959) completed a)comparison of educable mentally retarded children in regular and special classes with reference to several characteristics including emotional stability. She concluded:

"If these ratings are sound, mentally handicapped children in special classes are emotionally better adjusted (and) have a higher regard for their own mental ability...."

Blatt (1956) administered the New York Scale of Social Maturity and Emotional Stability to his two groups one including 75 special class children and the other including 50 regular class subjects who were matched with the study group for age and intelligence quotient. The questionnaires which were not tested for reliability and validity, revealed significantly higher. values for the special class children than the regular class children.

More recent studies have provided support for the earlier study of Pertsch (1936) who found the regular class

Ann Jordan, "Personal-social traits of mentally handicapped children, in T. Thurstone," <u>An Evaluation of</u> <u>Educating Mentally Handicapped Children in Special Classes</u> and <u>Regular Classes</u> (Chapel Hill, North Carolina: University of Northern Carolina, 1959) p. 170

children were better adjusted than their special class peers. Zito (1967) compared 100 urban black educable mentally retarded children enrolled in special and regular classes; the groups were equivalent for age, intelligence quotient, race and neighbourhood. The children in special classes were found to have significantly lower aspirations and lower choices of future occupations than did their contemporaries in the regular class.

Thus, the findings which have emerged from the comparison of educable mentally retarded children in special classes with those in the regular classes on aspects of personal adjustment are contradictory. Some of the studies have demonstrated that special class children are better adjusted than the regular class intellectually comparable contemporaries but other studies have shown the reverse. Those contradictions may be due to the use of different types of measures of uncertain reliability and validity or the presence of uncontrolled methodological biases.

C. PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES OF THE CHILDREN

Cassidy and Stanton (1959) in their study of two groups of educable mentally retarded children, one attending special classes and the other attending regular classes in school districts without special class facilities examined the physical disabilities in the children by means of teachers' questionnaires. The special class children had significantly more physical disabilities than the regular class/children. This study regarded this finding as an indicator of perceptiveness on the part of the teacher but no information concerning the prevalence of disabilities was given. It cannot be stated conclusively that the children differ in terms of physical disabilities but do so in the teachers' reports of such difficulties.

Blatt (1956) compared the physical status of the educable mentally retarded children in special classes with children in regular classes in school districts without. special class facilities. The groups were equivalent for chronological age and intelligence quotient. The physical variables measured included: (a) height (b) weight (c) uncorrected physical defect e.g. epilepsy (d) dental defect (e) performance on the Brace Scale of Motor Ability, vertical jump and hand grip strength. The two groups did not differ significantly in height and weight. The special class children had significantly more uncorrected or permanent defects than the regular class children. The children did not differ significantly on the other variables mentioned.

D. SOCIAL ENVIRONMENT

Elenbogen (1957) using the criteria of selection already described - age, sex, intelligence quotients, same school districts - examined social adjustment by rating scales and interview questions covering such variables as classroom, behaviour and adjustment; social participation was also measured. Social adjustment did show significant difference between the mean scores of the two groups, in favour of the special class. The special class children had more realistic vocational aspirations, more friends and were involved to a greater extent in after-school jobs and activities.

Cassidy and Stanton (1959) compared educable mentally retarded children attending special classes with educable mentally retarded children attending regular classes in school districts without special class instruction. In this study, the children in the regular class were judged by their teachers to be more indifferent towards their peers than the special In addition, other social activities, including class children. (a) church attendance (b) membership/in organized activities inside or outside the school (c) paid work - children performing tasks outside the home for money (d) adults least and best liked (e) best and least liked school activities (f) activities prior to the school day, were measured by "informally talking with the child".8 However, no significant differences between the two groups were noted on any of the variables examined. Both the special class children and the regular class children tended not to be "joiners" in

⁸Viola M, Cassidy & Jeanette E. Stanton, "An Investigation of Factors Involved in the Educational Placement of Mentally Retarded Children" (Columbus, Ohio: Department of Health Education and Welfare, 1959) p. 57

organized activity.

Again, the comparison study method has produced no clear picture of the nature of social relationships of educable mentally retarded children enrolled in special classes and those enrolled in regular classes. Weaknesses within the study design tend to provide uncertainties concerning the differences in the social relationships of the two groups. It is uncertain to what degree informal talks with children are an effective means of acquiring reliable and valid information.

E. FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS

Rúbin, Krus and Balow (1973) considered the socioeconomic status in four possible comparison groups -(a) low intelligence quotient (<80) regular class children

(b) special class children with low intelligence quotients (<80) (c) special class children with average intelligence quotients (≥80), (d) the total group of special class children encompassing those who had scored consistently above or below the 80 cut off, plus the children who had scored both above and below the 80 cut off, on one of the two tests. A socioeconomic index for each child was determined using a formula established by the U.S. Bureau of Census. The socioeconomic status was significantly lower in the special class children of both average and low intelligence quotients than in the regular class children with the low intelligence quotients.

In summary, with regards to family characteristics, some significant differences between the regular and special class children with similar intelligence quotients have been found. They are:

(a) higher percentage of parental death in the special class children than in regular class ones.

(b) significantly lower socioeconomic status in the special class children than in regular class children. It appears that the family characteristics of both educable mentally retarded children enrolled in special class and in regular class, have not been examined to the extent that other aspects of the child have been examined.

In the aspects examined - academic, personal, emotional, physical, social and family characteristics few

consistent findings emerge. The educable mentally retarded in the regular class were found to be doing at least as well as the special class children in academic attainments. However on social relationships and emotional adjustment the picture was unclear. The special class children were thought to have more physical disabilities, had experienced more parental death and were of a lower socioeconomic status than the regular class children.

II. OTHER STUDIES

Other studies have compared educable mentally retarded children with the general population or other selected groups. These studies have contributed to our knowledge of the characteristics of these children.

Martin (1941) administered the California Test of Personality to 380 children in special classes (mean age 16 and intelligence quotient range /50 - 85). The results were compared with standardized tables and the special class children were thought to be making average adjustment.

Wakefield (1964) compared the family backgrounds of educable mentally retarded children in special class with the family backgrounds of the general populations derived from county statistics. Data were gathered by survey cards from 563 families of children enrolled in public school classes for the educable mentally retarded concerning (a) tested parental intelligence (b) parental level of schooling (c) level of family income and (d) cost of family housing.
Parents of these children were found to be significantly lower than the general population in intelligence, level of schooling, family income and cost of family housing. However, the method employed in this study is open to criticism. Wakefield used two urban school districts as a sample in which 98 percent of the sample received incomes below \$6000 per year. So a study which is measuring indices of socioeconomic status - income, housing and education in a particular group would be expected to reveal lower cost of housing, lower educational attainment and income, when these school districts are compared with an area of undefined socioeconomic status. It would seem likely that other groups drawn from that predominantly lower social class area would also present a significantly different picture when compared with a larger population.

2.,

Studies have also been completed which have compared segments within groups. For example Johnson (1950) compared the social characteristics of children with different intelligence levels from schools without special classes. Sociometric tests were administered to two groups (a) 39 educable mentally retarded and (b) 38 borderline (70 - 89) children. When examined for social acceptance by peers, significantly more of the isolates and rejectees were found in the educable mentally retarded group than the group with higher intelligence quotients (70 - 89). Rejection of the children was attributed to objectionable behaviour, for example boastfulness, rather than poor academic performance. Baldwin (1958) discovered similar results for educable mentally retarded children, again in the regular class.

Jordan (1961) examined the social relationships within the special classes and discovered a similar arrangement of social relationships as had been found in the regular class in other studies - the low intellect children were maintaining low social position while the higher intellect children were occupying higher social position.

Blatt (1956) employed a combination of methodological designs, comparing both study groups with general population statistics. He did not find significant differences in weight between the regular class and the special class children, however, when these groups were compared with normative data, a greater number of children with low intelligence quotients were more than 10 percent underweight.

In summary, of these studies it would appear that these special class children tend to be from lower socioeconomic settings. Children with low intelligence scores appear to suffer peer rejection, although this was not thought to be a result of poor academic attainment but of behavioural difficulties. A greater number of children with low intelligence were of lower weight for their age, than the general population.

Finally, epidemiological survey of a total population of children has added to the knowledge of the

overall characteristics of children as well as the characteristics of specified groups within the total . among these groups have been children with intellectual and/ or educational retardation. The results of such an epidemiological study are presented in detail as the variables examined are similar to those incorporated into this study. Rutter, Tizard and Whitmore (1970) completed a population survey of all 9 - 10 year old children living in the Isle of Wight. They distinguished between the varieties of retardation and backwardness which were thought to be essential in the planning of services to deal with educational difficulties - intellectual retardation, specific reading retardation and reading backwardness. Intellectual retardation was defined as intelligence quotients occurring two standard deviations below the mean (70). Specific reading retardation and reading backwardness are regarded as aspects of educational handicap. Specific reading retardation was described as a specific disability in reading which was not explicable in terms of the child's intelligence quotient. Reading backwardness was defined as backwardness by 28 months in relation to average attainment given the child's age regardless of the child's intelligence quotient.

By group screening methods, 8 percent of the population were identified as having educational handicap or poor scholastic performance regardless of the reasons. Each of these children was then selected for a more extensive and intensive study and this group was compared with a randomly selected control group from the general population. Variables measured in addition to intellectual and/or educational retardation included psychiatric, neurological, medical, sodial and family factors. It is possible to gain information concerning the characteristics of the groups, similarities and differences among the groups and between the group and the general population, without the biasing factors involved in the study of children from highly selective clinic populations. Therefore, the results were reviewed with reference to four headings (a) Academic Performance (b) Adjustment - Psychiatric Characteristics (c) Physical Characteristics - Neurological and Medical Aspects (d) Social Aspects and Family Characteristics.

(A) ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE.

Only those children who had an intelligence quotient below 70 and/or were reading backward by 28 months and/or were reading retarded by at least 28 months, were included in the test group. These educational handicaps were thought to represent a severe handicap in the school situation. There was also evidence presented to suggest that it was a persisting educational problem, for when the children were followed up two years after initial study, little improvement in their reading was observed.

The children with specific reading retardation,

The second s

were more frequently boys (3.3 to 1 female); boys were also prominent among those children with reading backwardness (2 to 1) whereas the sexual distribution of intellectual retardation was more evenly distributed. The male preponderance was explained in terms of maturational delays, which was reflected in the higher prevalence of males exhibiting delays in other developmental areas including speech development.

Unlike the children with reading backwardness, the average intelligence of the children with specific reading retardation did not permit the reading delay to be explained in terms of intelligence scores. The patterns of verbal and performance subscores differentiated the poor reading groups from the control groups. There was a significantly higher number of poor readers who had verbal intelligence quotients lower than the performance intelligence scores than was present in the control group. The children with reading difficulties also performed poorly on group tests in anithmetic and spelling.

In summary, differentiating features have emerged to distinguish the educationally retarded from the other groups studied: (a) preponderance of males (b) lower verbal intelligence score than the performance (c) difficulties in other academic subjects. Children with specific reading retardation can be distinguished from backward readers by: (a) the sex ratio with males more common (b) average intelligence scores (c) delays in other maturational areas.

(B) ADJUSTMENT - PSYCHIATRIC CHARACTERISTICS

On group screening procedures, both the intellectually retarded children and the reading retarded children received a higher proportion of positive scores indicating possible psychiatric abnormality. Twenty-five percent and 40 percent of the intellectually retarded group scored positively on the teachers and parental questionnaire respectively; this was a rate some three to four times that of the control group. The high prevalence of psychiatric disorder continued to be present, when the children were assessed by individual psychiatric assessment, 8.1 percent of the reading retarded group had definite marked abnormality and 23.6 percent of the intellectually retarded group showed the same degree of abnormality, as compared with 1.4 percent of the control group.

When comparison of the groups was made in terms of psychlatric diagnoses, the picture presented by the two groups differed. The intellectually retarded group demonstrated an increase in both neurotic and antisocial disorders. The reading retarded group revealed no increase of neurotic disorder as compared to the control group, but the increase in antisocial disorder was similar to that demonstrated in the intellectually retarded group, and the difference between the prevalence of antisocial disorder in the control group and the reading retarded group was highly significant. The association between organic brain dysfunction and low intelligence quotient has been previously found to exist, as has the association between organic abnormality and the increased prevalence of psychiatric disorder and organic brain disorder. However, it was found that intellectually retarded children without any evidence of neurological abnormality also had a high rate of psychiatric problems.

Neurological abnormalities were found to be associated with psychiatric disorder as determined from the interview with the child. It was felt that "both I.Q. and organic brain dysfunction are important in the development of psychiatric disorder".9

On the examination of individual symptoms, an increased frequency in both the intellectually and reading retarded group was found as compared with the control group. In both groups - intellectually and reading retarded individual behavioural items such as fidgetiness and overactivity were more common than in the general population. Most frequent was poor concentration which was equally common in the intellectually and reading retarded groups. The difference from the normal population was highly significant.

Neurotic items - fearfulness, being worried and

سمتكوني ويشريبة والربي مريات مدور تياسي الموجر المتعادة

⁹Michael Rutter, Jack Tizard & Kingsley Whitmore, <u>Education Health and Behaviour</u> (London: Longman Group Ltd. 1970) p. 106

网络小花 计公司 动物的

miserable - were significantly more frequent in both retarded groups than in the general population. However, somatic complaints and sleeping difficulties were no more frequent in the retarded groups than in the general population.

Frequency of antisocial symptoms was higher in the reading retarded groups of normal intelligence quotients than those of the intellectually retarded group. This increase was characteristic of this group, for the group of intellectually retarded children showed an increase in both neurotic and antisocial disorders. In addition, there was an increased tendency for the reading retarded group to be 'socially isolated at school - described as "not liked", "solitary" but not in the home situation.

The intellectually retarded group were described more frequently as having speech disorders, other than stammering, than the reading retarded group. In contrast to the reading retarded group, the intellectual retarded group had more symptoms of developmental delay - bed wetting and thumb sucking.

In summary, the intellectually retarded children were found to have a high rate of behavioural and emotional disturbance even in the absence of organic brain dysfunction. The nature of the psychiatric disturbance seemed to be characterized by increased frequency of neurotic symptoms and antisocial symptoms, behavioural deviance and developmental delays. Among the reading retarded children there was an

increased rate of psychiatric disturbance as compared with the control group. Most prominent features included behavioural items such as poor concentration and increased rate of antisocial symptoms beyond that found in intellectually retarded children.

(C) PHYSICAL - NEUROLOGICAL AND MEDICAL FINDINGS

With regard to neurological abnormality - defined as a neurological function which is abnormal at any age and indicative of pathology of the central nervous system and usually a structural lesion of the brain - the intellectually retarded children from middle class homes were more likely to show neurological abnormalities than were other intellectually retarded children.

Speech and language development was assessed by a history of speech acquisition and the child's use of speech in the interview situation. The intellectually retarded children had a high rate of handicap in all aspects of speech and language; the specific reading retarded children also had difficulties which were several times more prominent than controls but less prominent than the intellectually retarded children. Even when the control and the retarded groups were equated for sex distribution, the difference in speech delays continued to be significant. Rutter et al concluded, "Poor language functioning, as shown by delays in the onset of speech and immaturities of speech and language continuing even at nine years and ten years, was much more frequent in retarded readers of normal intelligence than it was in the general population." $_{10}$

With regard to delays in the acquisition of normal motor functioning, the functions examined included (a) motor milestones (b) strabismus (c) co-ordination (d) constructional abilitles (e) motor impersistence (f) choreiform movements. The intellectually retarded children manifested delays in all the areas examined. Such difficulties were present in this group many times that which were found in the general population. The reading retarded group held an intermediate position in the frequency of clumsiness and motor impersistence, with the intellectually retarded children showing higher frequency and the control group showing the lower frequency. In the frequency of the other functions - strabismus, coordination and choreiform movements - the specific reading retarded children did not differ significantly from the general population. With motor milestones, the specific reading retarded children were delayed in walking and sitting but not to the extent that was present in the intellectually retarded group ~ Acquisition of bladder control in the reading retarded did not differ significantly from the general population.

No significant differences with respect to

¹⁰<u>Ibid</u>., p. 62

handedness, footedness, eyedness and also with discrepancies between handedness and footedness and handedness and eyedness were found between the retarded readers and the controls. No support could be given to theories concerning the influence of poor lateralization on reading difficulties. The examination of left-right differentiation did yield significant results; twice as many reading retarded scored poorly on this than did the general population.

29

In the case of neurological aspects, the intellectually retarded children demonstrated high frequency of neurological abnormality and delays. The reading retarded group, showed increased frequency over the general population in speech and language defects, clumsiness, motor impersistence and left-right confusion. Laterality was not found to be related to reading retardation.

On medical examination, the intellectually retarded children differed from both the control and reading retarded group on the factors measured. These children tended to be of shorter stature for their age, and were slightly overweight for their height. Bronchitis was more prevalent. Only the intellectually retarded boys demonstrated delay in bone growth to the extent that Four times as many intellectually retarded boys fell below the 10th percentile; this difference was significant at 5 percent level. Premature birth had occurred in 25 percent of the intellectually retarded group; of these there was an excess of "small for dates" children.

Other diseases and defects which were prominent in the intellectually retarded group included visual defect and squints; however when the squints were removed from the group the intellectually retarded group no longer demonstrated an excess of visual defects. Although there was more frequent report of early ear infection, and bronchitis, on examination, the occurrence of significant hearing loss was not more frequent than that in normal population. Not only was physical defect more prominent in the intellectually retarded group, but the majority of these defects tended to occur in the minority of the intellectually retarded. It was concluded: "the majority of intellectually retarded children as individuals.were only slightly more prone than other children to subnormal growth and physical health?" 11.

The reading retarded group was comparable in health to that of the normal population. Boys in this group demonstrated delay in bone maturation. Unlike the smaller size of intellectually retarded boys, only the girls in the reading retarded group tended to be small for their age.

In summary, the intellectually retarded group demonstrated higher frequency of defects and diseases; however these conditions were not uniform throughout the group, but rather occurred in a small proportion of multi-handicapped

¹¹<u>Ibid</u>., p. 101

children within this group. The reading retarded group did not show any significant deviations from the health and physical state of the normal population.

(D) SOCIAL ASPECTS AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS

"Social Aspects" in the case of the Isle of Wight study, deals primarily/with social class, rather than peer relationships. There was a lower incidence of non-manual occupations among the fathers of both the intellectually and reading retarded children. More of the children in the intellectually retarded group came from homes where fathers were employed in an unskilled or semi-skilled occupation, while the children in the reading retarded group frequently were from homes where the father was employed in a skilled occupation. Although the amount of time the fathers was away from home did not differentiate the groups, the fathers of the retarded groups were off work more.

Class mobility as measured by the grandfather father occupations, did differentiate the retarded groups from the control groups. There was a tendency towards downward occupational mobility among the families of both the intellectually retarded and the reading retarded children, whereas the control group mobility was upward.

The house size tended to be smaller for the intellectually retarded group, but no such tendency was found for the reading retarded group. The groups were similar in housing facilities, but children in the retarded groups were from much larger families. This tended to make living conditions overcrowded as reflected by the person/room ratio and the family sleeping arrangements.

In relation to maternal employment, the groups were undifferentiated from each other and the general population. There were no differences between the groups in the numbers experiencing broken homes; about one child in seven in all the groups was living in a situation other than with natural parents.

In conclusion, the results of the Isle of Wight study were given without reference to the educational placement of such children. Children who were not attending school or who were attending special schools were identified. However, there were sufficient numbers within the group to allow comparison of children attending a "progress class" within the ordinary school, and those attending the regular classes. The criteria for progress classes are not clearly defined but they are not specially designed for children with reading difficulties. These classes are a part of remedial services which have been described as "inadequate in amount and inadequate often in the conception as well as practice."₁₂ The children in the progress class did not differ from the regular class in terms of intelligence quotients, academic

¹²<u>Ibid</u>., p. 139

attainments in mathematics and reading, or in social circumstances. The children in these two educational placements did differ in terms of deviant behaviour on the teachers' scale. The progress class children tended to show more deviant behaviour than did the regular class children.

CHAPTER III

THE STUDY

The characteristics measured for the two groups of children - one attending regular classes and the other attending special classes, included social, emotional, familial, academic and physical factors. The specific variables are outlined in Tables 2, 3 and 44 together with the source of the data.

THE SAMPLE

The children were taken from three schools in the St. John's area. Two of the schools were under the jurisdiction of the Avalon Consolidated School Board, while the remaining-one was under the jurisdiction of the Roman Catholic School Board. Essentially the selection of these schools was made on the basis of schools in the St. John's area which had special classes for children aged 7 - 10 years and whose principals were likely to agree to having research done in their schools.

All of the pupils in the primary special class in each of the three schools were included in the study group. In addition, an equal number of children in each school was selected from the regular class. The teachers were asked to select children from the regular class whom they felt were performing poorly and who were of similar age, sex and

	·		
		AF MUT CUT	TODIN CERTORN N
16711.4	2CHARACTERISTICS	UI UI	Fhunderictonuan nü

THE PARENT'S INTERVIEW

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·
	SCCTAT ASPECTS	HEALTH AND PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES	SCHOOI, BEHAVIOUR ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS	FALII,Y ASPECTS
· .	Relationship with Poers Relationship with Sibs Relationship with Parents Relationship with Teachers	Hospitaliza- tions (Whole Life) Hospital/ Clinic Contacts (Past Year) G.P. Contacts	Child's School History Number of Schools Attended School Progress in	Position in Sibship Parental Ages Status of Natural Parents Child Living With
	Number of Peer Contacts Range of Peer Contacts Gang, Group Participation Withdrawal Communication	(Past Year) Complaint of Stomach Aches Head Aches Psychiatric Assessment	Each Reasons for Change Present School Progress (Examination of Difficulties By (a) contacts with teachers	Housing - (a)facilities (b)person - room ratio (c)mental health Education of Parents - (a)final grade completed
	Favourite Friends Visits of Child to Friends House Visit of Friend to Child's House		<pre>(b)definition of nature of difficulties (c)homework place and time for completion (d)remedial steps taken if difficulties present</pre>	(b)academic difficulties (c)behavioural difficulties Siblings Educational Achievement Parents Irritability With Child Occupations of Parents

2 BLE Cont

SOCTAL ASPECTS	HEALTH AND PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES	SCHOOL BEHAVIOUR ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS	FAMILY ASPECTS
•			Family Life and Relationships
			Marital Relationship
			(a)overall rating
		D	(b)irritabilit between parent
~			(c)quarrels between parent

TABLE 3. -- CHARACTERISTICS of THE CHILDREN MEASURED by

THE TEACHER'S QUESTIONNAIRE

		NEALTH AND PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES	SCHOOL BEHAVIOUR ACADENTC	FAMILY
••••	SOCIAL ASPECTS	ATTRIBUTES	ATTAIN ENTS	ASPECTS
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Relationship with Peers	General Health Presence of Prolonged Disease	Classroom Behaviour	
• •	v			
· ·				
, . , .				
	0			
			· " · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•				
	9			

"ABLE 4. -- CHARAGTERISTICS of THE CHILDREN as MEASURED by

THE INTERVIEW WITH THE CHILD

	· · · · ·	· · ·		<u> </u>
	SOCIAL ASPECTS	HEALTH AND PHYSICAL ATTRITUTES	SCHOOL BEHAVICUR ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS	FAULLY ASPHCTS
•	Relationship with Peers	Height of Child	Reading Attainment	Number of Siblings
,	Relationship with Sibe	Weight of Child	Spelling Attainment	
•	Relationship with Teachers	Vision - Complaints Vicion	Arithmetic Attainmenț	
1	Range of Peer Contacts	Reading Chart Hearing -	Intellectual Functioning	•
	Number of Peer Contacts	Complaints Test	Child's Assessment of Attainment in	
	Activities - Clubs, Teams, Groups, Reading, T.V.	Graphesthesia Gross Motor Novement	School Homework - Time for	
		Nominal, Receptive	Completion Place Completed	
•		Dysphasia Fine Motor	Likes and Dislikes in	
1 		Movements Constructional	Acádemic Subjects	
· · · :		Abilities Motor " Impersistence		
		Apraxias of Ideational		
· ·		Ideamotor or Motor Type		
.1		Laterality		
			,	

્ર 3્ટ

TABLE 4-Continued

	D SOCIAL ASPECTS	HEALTH AND PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES	SCHOOL BEHAVIOUR ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS	FAMILY ASPECTS
		Left-Right Confusion		•
		Medical Attention (Past Year)		
- 4	19-1 4-1	Hospitaliza- tions		•
ł	e (Psychiatric Assessment		
•				
[
	1	5	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	
• • •			•	
•				
				: د د
•	1			•

socioeconomic backgrounds as the children in the special class. Poor academic attainment was employed as a selective factor since no I.Q. test scores were available. As the groups were chosen at the end of the academic year, the teacher's knowledge of each child's attainment was likely to be accurate.

The characteristics of the study children defined in May 1973 are outlined in Table 5, page 41. The children ranged in age from 7 years 11 months to 12 years; boys were more prevalent than girls. It can be seen from the tables that the special class group and the regular class group were equivalent in age, sex ratio and socioeconomic status.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION AND THE INSTRUMENTS USED

Information was obtained from the teachers, the parents and the children themselves.

1. TEACHERS

The questionnaire employed contained 26 descriptions of behaviour about which the teacher is asked to respond according to a three interval scale - "doesn't apply", "applies somewhat" and "certainly applies" (Appendix B). This questionnaire has been tested for retest reliability with results derived by product moment coefficient of +0.89 (Rutter 1966). Validity was tested by applying the questionnaire to children from a normal population and children attending a psychiatric clinic. Eleven percent of the boys and

TABLE 5 .-- AGE, SEX and PATERNAL OCCUPATION of the CHILDREN WHO PARTICIPATED in the STUDY

(SEPTEMBER - DECEMBER 1973)

SPECTAL CLASS CHILDREN REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN SEX AGE OCCUPATION OCCUPATION SEX AGE PATHER'S OCCUPATION M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 117 M 10 yrs. 117 M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 117 M 10 yrs. 117 M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 117 M 10 yrs. 117 M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 513 M 7 yrs. 1 mo. 333 M 12 yrs. 6 mo. 611 F 9 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 10 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 9 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 10 yrs. 8 mo. 731 M 9 yrs. 1 mo. 613 M 10 yrs. 9 mo. 878 M 10 yrs. 2 mo. 611 M 9 yrs. 1 mo. 878 F 10 yrs. 3 mo. 874 M 10 yrs. 878 M 9 yrs. 1 mo. 853 M 10 yrs. 878 M 9 yrs. 1 mo. 853 M		·	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		<u> </u>		·	·····
SEX AGE FATHER'S OCCUPATION SEX AGE FATHER'S OCCUPATION M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 117 M 10 yrs. 117 M 8 yrs. 8 mo. 117 M 10 yrs. 117 M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 279 M 10 yrs. 117 M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 513 M 7 yrs. 110 M 12 yrs. 6 mo. 611 F 9 yrs. 2 mo. M 10 yrs. 7 mo. 611 M 12 yrs. 611 M 10 yrs. 8 mo. 731 M 9 yrs. 7 mo. M 10 yrs. 8 mo. 731 M 9 yrs. 612 M 9 yrs. 1 mo. 821 M 10 yrs. 813 M 10 yrs. 9 mo. 878 M 10 yrs. 854 M 10 yrs. 9 mo. 878 M 9 yrs. 853 M 9 yrs. <td></td> <td colspan="4"></td> <td>Ŕ</td> <td>EGULAF</td> <td>R CLASS</td> <td>CHI</td> <td>LDREN</td>						Ŕ	EGULAF	R CLASS	CHI	LDREN
M 8 yrs. 8 mo. 117 M 10 yrs. 6 mo. 117 M 11 yrs. 4 mo. .279 M 10 yrs. 1 mo. 117 M 9 yrs. 9 mo. 513 M 7 yrs. 1 mo. 333 M 12 yrs. 6 mo. 611 F 9 yrs. 2 mo. 513 F 9 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 9 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 10 yrs. 8 mo. .731 M 9 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 10 yrs. 8 mo. .731 M 9 yrs. 7 mo. 612 M 9 yrs. 11 mo. .821 M 9 yrs. 11 mo. 813 M 8 yrs. .878 F 10 yrs. 3 mo. 854 M 10 yrs. 9 mo. .878 F 9 yrs. 11 mo. 854 M 10 yrs. 854 M 9 yrs. 5 mo. 853 M 10 yrs. 9 mo. 878 M 8 yrs. 10 mo. 854 M 10 yrs. 9 mo. 878 M 8 yrs. 10 mo. 917 F 10 yrs. 6 mo. 821 <td< td=""><td>•</td><td>SEX</td><td></td><td>GE °</td><td>FATHER'S</td><td>SEX</td><td></td><td>AGE</td><td>· · ·</td><td></td></td<>	•	SEX		GE °	FATHER'S	SEX		AGE	· · ·	
I TUL AATPA TT MOPEL MOP WYOMM L L AAATPA O MOPL -		M M M M M M M M M M M M M F F M M M F F M M M F F M M M F M M M M M F M	9 yrs 8 yrs 11 yrs 9 yrs 12 yrs 9 yrs 10 yrs 10 yrs 9 yrs 10 yrs	 9 mo. 8 mo. 9 mo. 9 mo. 9 mo. 6 mo. 1 mo. 7 mo. 8 mo. 9 mo. <	117 117 279 513 611 611 612 731 858 821 878 878 878 878 878 854 854 854 854 854 854 854 854 854 85	MMMMFMMMMMFMFMMMMMMMFFFFM	10 10 7 9 9 9 9 11 9 9 9 10 9 9 9 9 11 9 9 9 11 9 9 9 10 9 9 10	10 yrs. yrs. 6 yrs. 1 yrs. 2 12 yrs. yrs. 2 yrs. 2 yrs. 2 yrs. 7 yrs. 6 yrs. 2 yrs. 11 yrs. 3 yrs. 10 yrs. 11 yrs. 5 yrs. 2 yrs. 10 yrs. 11 yrs. 2 yrs. 10 yrs. 10 yrs. 10 yrs. 10 yrs. 10 yrs. 10 yrs. 10 yrs. 2 yrs. 2 yrs. 3 yrs. 4 yrs. 3 yrs. 4 yrs. 4	mo mo mo mo mo mo mo mo mo mo mo mo mo m	117 117 333 513 611 612 619 611 813 854 854 854 854 853 854 853 854 853 858 821 917 955 999 999 999 999 917 279 Not Known

n of females = 8

27

n of females = 8 average age = 9.85 yrs. average age = 9.84 yrs. Occupational coding represent those employed by Statistics Canada - (Appendix G)

3.5 percent of the girls from a normal population scored nine or more as compared with 80 percent of the boys and 60 percent of the girls from a clinic population.₁₃ In addition, a subscore can be determined to differentiate between neurotic and antisocial disorders. "In about 90 percent of the antisocial children and 80 percent of the neurotic children, the questionnaire diagnosis and the clinical diagnosis were in agreement."₁₄

The teachers were also asked to complete a questionnaire about health difficulties in the children concerned (Appendix C).

2. PARENTS

Most parents hold a wealth of knowledge concerning the individual child and his family. Difficulties which hinder the eliciting of accurate information include (a) those of retrospective accounts and (b) those of attitudinal biases; these have been studied by Robbins (1963), Wenar. (1963), Donoghue and Shakespeare (1967) and Chess, Thomas and Alexander (1966).

¹³Michael Rutter, "A Children's Behaviour Questionnaire for Completion by Teachers: Preliminary Findings", <u>Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry VIII</u> (1966), p. 4

¹⁴Ibid., p. 4

.42

The parental questionnaire employed in this study incorporated the interview technique of Brown and Rutter (1966) and that of Graham and Rutter (1968) which yielded reliable and valid results in the measurement of family life and activities, and led to the acquisition of a complete picture of behavioural abnormalities in the child. The questionnaire combined an open ended interview to elicit spontaneous complaints and a semi-structured approach to review systematically related behavioural disturbance (Appendix D).

3. CHILD

A. INTERVIEW WITH THE CHILD AS A SOURCE OF INFORMATION.

A diagnostic interview suitable for 7 to 12 year old children which was developed and tested for reliability and validity by Rutter and Graham (1968) and Rutter et al (1970) was employed. This interview was a semi-structured one which necessitates exploring and probing the severity and duration of a symtom, in order for coding to be possible. This assessment had known limitations in the detection of antisocial disorders and monosymptomatic disorders, but is otherwise of proven validity.

In addition to this assessment, it was necessary to incorporate other variables to be assessed. Such variables included physical characteristics e.g. height, weight, vision, hearing and selected tests of motor development. This section was completed during the interview which maintained the child's interest without fatiguing him.

The interview employed permitted discussion of nonanxiety producing and high interest topics at the outset; spontaneous comments were noted and discussed so that a more detailed picture was obtained. The coding of the interview was 'primarily a three interval scale with "zero" representing 'not present and "two" definite abnormality (Appendix E).

B. PSYCHOLOGICAL TESTING

The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children and the Wide Range Achievement Test were administered to each of the study children individually. The psychologist who tested these study children had extensive experience in testing similar children.

PROCEDURE

Permission to contact the school principals was obtained from the two school boards involved. Letters were then sent to the principals and this was followed up by an interview.

The groups were then defined by the teachers at each of the schools, on the criteria already mentioned. Once the groups had been delineated by the schools, a letter was sent to each child's parents explaining the purpose of the study and requesting permission for their child to participate in it (Appendix F). Similar letters went to both groups, as the schools had provided either an alphabetical or randomized list so that the academic placement of each child was unknown to the worker. The non-responders were followed up, by making a visit to the homes to discuss any doubts which bothered the parents.

The number of spontaneous responders cannot be accurately given, primarily because the teacher's questionnaires had to be completed prior to completion of the school year, so that the teachers' knowledge of the children gained over the year could be utilized. To maximize this, visits to the children's homes were made within a week of the introductory letters being mailed. Only in three cases was it not possible to take advantage of the teachers' knowledge; for it was not possible by the end of the school year to obtain consent from three families, due in two cases to failure to contact them despite repeated efforts and in one case due to family distress at the time.

Consent was obtained for 54 of the 55 children (98.3 percent). The parents of one child refused consent upon follow up, as they felt the child's academic difficulties were due to teaching methods and expressed a desire to remove him from the school in the next year. The actual numbers from which all the data were collected were 27 special class children and 26 regular class children. One child from the special class moved from the study area during the summer of 1973, and it was not possible to obtain an interview with one parent of a child from the regular class group despite . follow up visits to the home. So all data were collected on 27 of the 28 positive consents received initially in the special class, and 26 of the 27 regular class children. In one case in each group data were incomplete.

The majority of the children were seen during the fall term in the 1973 - 74 school year. All but three children were seen at school. These three children were seen at the pediatric hospital at the end of the school year 1972 -73. These children would have been removed from the study area if the interviews had been delayed. For each of these children an additional explanation as to why they were visiting the hospital was given; the results of these interviews were not markedly different from those of the group to which they belonged.

The method employed for the majority of the group was to see each child at school first. The schools involved kindly permitted the use of available rooms. The children were seen in alphabetical order or in an order determined by the schools' prior commitment with the child. The interviewer saw each child, knowing only his name and age and without knowledge of his educational placement. The time required to see each of these children individually ranged from one to 2.5 hours. If signs of fatigue, restlessness or anxiety due to the length of the interview were noted, the child was permitted to return to his class and was seen on a second

occasion in order to complete the interview.

The parental interviews were arranged by means of visits to the homes to ascertain convenient times for the parents involved. The majority of the parents were seen at home; parents manifested some reluctance to an interview located outside the home. Parents were usually seen during the school day, while the child was at school or in the evening after the child had been settled for the night.

47

The most frequent source of information was the mother alone (n = 43) next was both parents together (n = 5)and least frequent was the father alone (n = 2). Older siblings of the study children were sometimes present during the interview and frequently contributed to the information gathered. In two instances substitute parents were interviewed - one being a foster mother, the other a superintendent of a children's home. Auxiliary information was obtained in these two cases through the interviewing of a child welfare officer and relatives of the child.

The parental interview required about three hours. On occasion, it was necessary to make a second visit to the (homes to complete the interview schedule.

Individual psychological testing was also completed at school, within two weeks of the completion of the interview with the child. Once again, the order was determined by the alphabetical list or schools commitments. In two of the three cases where the child was seen at the hospital, psychological testing was completed during the hospital visit. In the cases where the children had already been tested by the same psychologist during the previous academic year these test results were employed upon written consent from the parents for the release of the information (n = 3).

INTER RATER RELIABILITY

A measure of inter rater reliability was attempted -for both the interview with the child and that of the parent. For the inter rater reliability with the child an additional ten children were seen and rated by two observers independently. Due to practical difficulties such as the time available, it was necessary to use the children referred for a psychiatric assessment to the out patient department of a pediatric hospital. The children seen at the hospital ranged in age from 10 to 13 years. The variation in the sex ratio from that of the study groups was a reflection of the disportionate number of boys in this age range referred for psychiatric assessment. The sex ratio of this group was four males to one female, while in the study samples the fatio was nine males to four females. The children were interviewed alternately by the writer and another worker with comparable experience and training, knowing only the child's name and age:

Agreement in the overall global judgement occurred in nine of the ten children seen. Comparison of results of

the individual items yielded agreement on 93 percent of the items. Variation between the interviews as wide as two interval codes, only occurred on 2.7 percent of the items in which disagreement was found.

Inter rater reliability with the parental interview was obtained from the parents of ten children referred for psychiatric assessment at a pediatric hospital. The age of the children referred showed more variation than did the study sample, but the difficulties which were operating were similar to those in a psychiatric department i.e. referral biases, patients not keeping appointments and with the additional constraint of limited time. The sex ratio of the children assessed by parental interview was five males to five females.

As with the study groups, the mother was the primary source of information (n = 7). Both parents as informants, occurred less frequently (n = 3) but this represents a higher proportion than observed in the study group.

The parent(s) were seen and the information obtained was rated independently by two observers who were only aware of the child's name and age. A similar method was employed as described above with each rater alternately interviewing the parents.

Overall diagnosis of the information gathered from the parents was identical in 90 percent of the parents seen. The inter rater reliability on the individual variables

49.

measured was 93.3 percent agreement on the codings.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF DATA

50

The numerical scales involved in this study are primarily of two types (a) nominal - given to coded information and (b) interval - given to scores, measurements etc. From these data and given the purpose of the study to compare two groups of children to determine whether the variables measured are significantly different within these groups, two statistical tests were employed (a) chi square tables and (b) t test for two uncorrelated samples.

In order to assess whether the frequency of the occurrence or absence of a variable in the special class group was significantly different from that in the regular class group, a 2 x 2 chi square table was used. The r x c chi square tables with (r-1) (c-1) degrees of freedom were employed to determine whether there were significant differences in the extent or severity of a particular variable occurring within the two groups. On one occasion the Goodness of Fit Test was used to determine whether each or both groups differed significantly from normative populations.

Student's t tests for uncorrelated samples fulfilled the same function with interval data as the chi square had with the nominal data. For the purposes of this study a p value of .05 was regarded as indicating significant results. CHAPTER IV

THE RESULTS

The results are discussed under the headings that have already been used in the presentation of the variables studied and in the review of previous research findings. They are: (1) intelligence scores and academic attainments (2) personal or emotional adjustment (3) physical characteristics and (4) social aspects and family characteristics. Each variable has been examined using the null hypothesis that children attending special class and those attending regular class do not differ significantly in the variable measured. For the purposes of this study a difference between the groups with a p value less than .05 but more than .01 will be referred to as significant and a difference with a p value of less than .01 will be referred to as highly significant.

I. INTELLIGENCE SCORES AND ACADEMIC ATTAINMENT

A. INTELLIGENCE TEST SCORES ON THE WECHSLER INTELLIGENCE

SCALE FOR CHILDREN

The range of the Full Scale intelligence quotients on the WISE for the special class children was from 55 to 105. The range of scores of the regular class children was from 77 to 117. The distribution of the scores on each group is shown by Table 6 and Figure 1 shows the extent of overlap

graphically (Figure 1, p. 53).

TABLE 6.--GROUPED FREQUENCY of INTELLIGENCE TEST SCORES in CHILDREN ATTENDING SPECIAL CLASS and CHILDREN ATTENDING REGULAR CLASS

	•		•
INTELLIGENCE TEST SCORES	•	SPECIAL CLASS n = 27	$\begin{array}{c c} REGULAR CLASS\\ n = 26 \end{array}$
50 - 59 60 - 69		1 3	0
70 - 79		4	1
80 - 89		13	6
90 - 99		5	13
100 - 109		1	4
110 - 119		0.	. 2

Only 29.9 percent of the special class children obtained scores on the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children which fell within the range 50 - 80, for which the special classes were instituted.

The mean intelligence score of the regular class children was 94.9 and that of the special class children was 80.9. The difference between the mean scores was highly significant. Table 7 provides data from this comparison.



3. 2. 1

TABLE 7.--RESULTS of t TESTS COMPLETED on FULL SCALE SCORES of THE WECHSLER INTELLIGENCE

SCALE for CHILDREN

1	GROUPING	NUMBER	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	t SCORE	DEGREE OF FREEDOM	P VALUE
·	SPECIAL CLASS REGULAR CLASS	27 26	80.85 94.88	10,82 9.79	5.01	51	.001

When the comparisons were repeated with each of the three schools individually, the results in each case reached a significant level (Table 8).

TABLE 8.--t SCORES of FULL SCALE SCORES (WISC) ACCORDING to SCHOOLS

							, ` 'r
SCHOOL	CLASS	NUMBER	MEAN	STANDARD DEVIATION	t SCORE	DEGRÉES OF FREEDOM	SIGNIF-
Å	SPECIAL REGULAR	10	81.70 91.80	11.63 • 8.21	2.15	18	.0502
В	SPECIAL REGULAR	9 9	77.77 1 100.12	10.80 10.26	4.35	15	beyond .001
C	SPECIAL REGULAR	8 8	83.25 94.12	10.38 9.14	2.22	14	.05 02
DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN VERBAL AND PERFORMANCE SCALES OF THE W.I.S.C.

Approximately 25 percent of both the regular and special class groups had a scatter of at least 15 points between the verbal and performance intelligence scores. In each group, a majority of children who had this degree of scatter obtained higher scores on the performance than the verbal scale of the W.I.S.C.

The results of the t test for verbal and performance scales in children attending special and regular classes are shown in Table 9. Highly significant differences occurred between the special and regular class children with regard to verbal and performance scores with the regular class children having higher mean scores in both measures.

TABLE 9.-- T SCORES for VERBAL and PERFORMANCE INTELLIGENCE SCORES of SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN

and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN

	PERFORMANCE SCORES	VERBAL SCORES
	SPECIAL REGULAR CLASS CLASS	SPECIAL REGULAR CLASS CLASS
STANDARD DEVIATION	11.11 11.48	10.89 9.84
MEAN	85.96 97.55	78.88 93.4
T STATISTIC	3.77	5.15
DEGREES OF FREEDOM	52	52

TABLE 9-Continued

	PERFORMANCE SCORES.	VERBAL SCORES
	SPECIAL REGULAR CLASS CLASS	SPECIAL • REGULAR CLASS CLASS
LEVEL OF SIGNIFIC- ANCE	Beyond .01	Beyond .001.

When the two groups of children were compared in each of the schools, the performance scores between the special class children and the regular class children were significantly different in only one school; whereas the verbal scores differed to a highly significant extent in two of the three schools. In both instances the regular class children received higher mean verbal scores than the special class children (Table 10).

TABLE 10.--SIGNIFICANT t SCORES for VERBAL and PERFORMANCE INTELLIGENCE SCORES for REGULAR and SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN by INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS

GROUP	X	σ	t SCORE	df	P VALUE
SPECIAL CLASS (School 3)	81.2	11.5			
PERFORMANCE REGULAR CLASS			3.8	16	Beyond .01
(School B)	101.4	11.0			
SPECIAL CLASS (School B)	77.3	10.5			
VERBAL REGULAR CLASS			3.6	. 16	Beyond .01
(School B)	97.0	12.3		,	
SPECIAL CLASS (School A)	77.3	11.9			
VERBAL REGULAR CLASS			3.1	18	Beyond .01
(School A)	92.0	9.5			

- 56

TABLE 10-Continued

- X Mean
- 0 Standard Deviation
- df Degrees of Freedom.

In summary, the children in the regular class scored higher on the W.I.S.C. than the special class children. Discrepancy between the verbal and performance scores of at least 15 points was common in both groups. In a majority (85 percent) of the cases where this discrepancy occurred, the performance score was higher than the verbal score. The difference between the regular and special class groups in verbal and performance scores was highly significant with the regular class feceiving the higher means on both measures of intelligence.

B. ACADEMIC ATTAINMENT

. READING ATTAINMENT

The reading grades from the Wide Range Achievement Test were employed to obtain a measure of the children's reading performance. From the reading grade scores, the children in the special class were found to have a mean reading grade of 1.6 and the regular class had a mean reading grade of 2.7. This difference was highly significant (Table 11, p. 58).

From the child's reading performance, it was

determined whether each child was reading retarded and/or reading backward. Reading retardation takes into consideration the intelligence quotient of the child and his age, whereas reading backwardness represents the child's reading ability compared with his age and the average reading level at that age. For example, a child who is ten years old and has the mental ability of a twelve year old, would be considered reading retarded if he were reading at a ten year old level. He would not, however, be reading backward, as his reading attainment would be appropriate to his chronological age.

All of the children were reading at a level behind that which would be expected by calculation based on chronological age. A significant difference was found between the two groups on the extent of reading backwardness; the special class group demonstrated the more extensive degree of difficulty (Table 11).

TABLE 11.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SPECIAL and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN in READING ATTAINMENTS

GROUP	T	σ	t STATISTIC	d _f	P VALUE
Special Class Reading Grade Score Regular Class Reading Grade Score	1.63 2.68	.61	4.67	51	.01
Special Class Reading Backwardness Regular Class Reading Backwardness	(behind) 28.62 mo. (behind) 19.92 mo.	· · · · · · · · ·	2.88	51	.01

TABLE 11-Continued

- Mean
- 0 Standard Deviation
- df Degrees of Freedom

When the reading level was compared with the level expected, given the child's intelligence quotient on the W.I.S.C., only five of the twenty seven special class children and four of the twenty six regular class children were performing up to or beyond the level expected. The mean delay for the two groups was not significantly different. There was also no significant difference in the extent of reading retardation when the comparison was limited to special class children and regular class children who were shown to be reading retarded (Table 12).

TABLE 12.-- T TEST RESULTS on MEASURES of READING LEVELS of SPECIAL CLASS and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN

GROUP	x	N	t STATISTIC	đ	P VALUE
READING STATUS (BASED ON INTELLIGENCE SCORES)					
SPECIAL CLASS	11.2 mo. (Behind) 15.3 mo. (Behind)	27. 26	1.2	51	.20

TABLE 12--Continued .

	GROUP	, x	N	t STATISTIC	df	P VALUE
	READING RETARDATION SPECIAL CLASS	15.6 mo. (Behind)	21			6.
·	REGULAR CLASS	19.2 mo. (Behind)	22	1.2	41	. 10

K - Mean

- Number

df - Degrees of Freedom

Eleven percent of the special class children were reading retarded by as much as two years, while 22 percent of the regular class children were handicapped in reading to that extent. Seventy-four percent of the special class children were reading backward by atileast two years and 38 percent of the regular class children were reading backward to that extent.

In summary, the children in both the special class and the regular class appear to be functioning below the expected reading level. Significant differences have arisen in reading levels; when only chronological age is considered the special class children experienced more extensive difficulty. When intelligence scores of the children were taken into account, the regular class children demonstrated a similar degree of reading retardation as that shown by the

60

special class children.

2. SPELLING ATTAINMENT

Similar comparisons can be made with spelling attainment as have been made with reading attainment. The grade scores derived from the Wide Range Achievement Test did not yield significant differences between the mean grade of the special class children and that of the regular class children. As in the examination of reading status, the child's expected attainment level in this academic subject was determined by a grade level derived from either his ' chronological age or his intelligence score. Both scores are relative, one by comparison with a large number of peers and the other with the child's own ability.

The discrepancy between the regular class children's spelling grade and the grade level expected given the chronological age was compared with the discrepancy shown by the special class children. The results showed that the regular class children had less spelling difficulty than the special class children. The difference was highly significant (Table 13, p. 62).

When the intelligence quotients of the children were taken into account the special class children were delayed on average 10.3 months in spelling whereas the regular class children were 14.1 months behind the level expected from calculation based on the children's intelligence test scores. The differences were not significant.

Eleven percent of the special class children were spelling retarded by at least two years while 16 percent of the regular class children experienced this degree of difficulty. The prevalence of spelling backwardness of at least two years within the special class and the regular class were 63 percent and 23 percent respectively.

TABLE 13.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SPECIAL and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN in SPELLING ATTAINMENT

GROUPS	x	N	ō	t STATISTIG	d _f	P VALUE
Special Class (Spelling Backwardness)	28.7 mo. (behind)	27	10.0			
			•	4.15	51	.01
 Regular Class (Spelling Backwardness)	18.6 mo. (behind)	26	7.6			

X + Mean

N - Number

- Standard Deviation df - Degrees of Freedom

In summary, with regard to spelling, there were no significant differences in mean spelling grades between the two groups. In the measure of spelling backwardness, the regular class children had less handicap than the special class children. The difference was highly significant. When the children's spelling status which took into account each child's intelligence test score was compared, no

5Ż

significant differences were demonstrated.

3. ARITHMETIC ATTAINMENT

The arithmetic grade scores were generally higher than either reading or spelling scores. The average grade level in the special class was 2.7, while the grade score, indicative of the level of functioning in this area, for the regular class was 3/6. The difference in the mean grade for the special class and the regular class children was highly significant (Table 14, p. 64).

A measurement of backwardness in arithmetic can be obtained by the discrepancy between each child's present level of arithmetic functioning and the level which would be expected given the child's age. The special class children demonstrated significantly more backwardness in arithmetic than the regular class group (Table 14, p.64).

When the child's intellectual functioning was taken into account, the regular class demonstrated similar degrees of retardation in arithmetic as that shown by the special class. The difference was not significant.

When arithmetical delay calculated from chronological age was considered, 33 percent of the special class group were behind by at least two years while only 10 percent of the regular class group were experiencing that degree of difficulty. Four percent of the regular class children were retarded in arithmetic by at least two years, whereas none of the special class children were delayed to that extent. TABLE 14, -- SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SPECIAL and REGULAR.

	•			·		• •
• GROUP	Ī	N		t SCORE	df	P VALUE
Special Class (Arithmetic Grade)	2.69	27	.77	4.53	51	.01
Regular Class (Arithmetic Grade)	3 • 57	26	.63			1
Special Class (Arithmetic Backwardness)	19.33	27	10.24	2.08	.45	.05
Regular Class (Arithmetic Backwardness)	.13.80	20	8.43		ų.	-

CLASS CHILDREN in ARITHMETICAL ATTAINMENT

X - Mean

N - Number

 σ - Standard Deviation

Degrees of Freedom

In summary, the special class children had a lower mean grade score in arithmetic than the regular class children. This difference was highly significant. The special class had greater handicap when the level of attainment was related to chronelogical age (backwardness). However, the handicap was similar in the regular class and special class children when intelligence test scores of the children were taken into consideration.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS WITH REGARD TO ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS

The regular class children received significantly

64

higher grade scores in reading) and arithmetic than did the special class children. The mean spelling score was similar for both groups.

The children in the regular and special classes demonstrated significant differences in academic backwardness. In the three academic areas studied - reading, spelling and arithmetic - the special class children showed the more extensive degree of backwardness based on calculation with their chronological /age than did the regular class children.

When academic delay was based on calculation of the children's intelligence test scores significant differences as had been found in the measurement of backwardness did not emerge. The children attending special classes and those attending regular class were similar in extent of reading, spelling and arithmetic retardation.

II. ADJUSTMENT - EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OF CHILDREN

Three sources of information were used to determine the prevalence of psychiatric difforder in both the regular and special class groups. They included: (a) the Teacher (b) the Parents (c) the Child. The prevalence rates and types of disorder for the total group and for the regular and special classes separately will be reviewed according to the source of information.

(A) Teachers. The teachers selected 31.4 percent

of the total group as having psychiatric disorder. In the special class 12 of 27 (44 percent) had psychiatric disorder. Of these 12 children in the special class who demonstrated psychiatric disorder, 9 had antisocial disorder and 3 had neurotic disorder. No children in the group had mixed psychiatric disorder.

In the regular class group, 5 of the 27 children (18.5 percent) were found from the teachers' questionnaires to demonstrate psychiatric disorder. Of these 5 children, three were thought to be manifesting neurotic disorder while the remainder were thought to display antisocial disorder. No other psychiatric disorder was indicated by the teachers in the regular class group. The difference between the number with psychiatric disorder in the regular and special class group, was significant (Table 15).

TABLE 15.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN the PREVALENCE of PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER in SPECIAL and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN as INDICATED by the TEACHERS

GROUP DEMONSTRATING HIGHER PREVALENCE RATE	COMPARISON GROUP	х ²	df	P VALUE
	······································	· · · ·		
Special Class	Regular Class	4.2	1	.05 7

TABLE 15-Continued

X² - Chi Square df - Degrees of Freedom

(B) Parents. From the number of positive findings elicited from the parents 16.6 percent (9 of 53) of all the children were thought to have some psychiatric disturbance. According to the parents'questionnaire, six children (23 percent) of the special class group had psychiatric disorder. The disorders demonstrated in these six children were neurotic disorder and mixed disorder (symptoms of neurotic and antisocial disorder were present with neither type predominating). These disorders were equally prevalent with three children demonstrating each.

Three children in the regular class (11 percent) were found to have psychiatric disorders from the parental interview. Of these children two were thought to have neurotic disorder whereas the remaining one was thought to have mixed disorder.

(C) Child. From the interviews with the children, 17 children demonstrated psychiatric disorders. Of the 17 children identified by this assessment, 9 (33 percent) of the special class had psychiatric disorders. Six of these children were thought to be manifesting neurotic disorder whereas the remaining three of the special class children with psychiatric disorder were demonstrating mixed disorder. Eight children in the regular class (29.6 percent of the total group) were thought by this assessment to have psychiatric disorder. Six had neurotic disorder whereas two had mixed disorder. No antisocial disorder was found in either the regular class or the special class groups, by this assessment.

Some 30 children from the total study population (n= 54) received positive findings on at least one of the assessment techniques. This represents an overall prevalence rate of psychiatric disorder of 59.2 percent.

From Figure 2, the extent of overlap from the sources of information can be seen.



INTERVIEW WITH CHILD TOTAL = 17

FIGURE 2. -- OVERLAP BETWEEN SOURCES of INFORMATION in DENTIFICATION of PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER in the TOTAL STUDY GROUP (n=54) From this, it can be seen that the teachers had some overlap with both parents' and children's interviews, however, well over half of the children identified by the teachers were not identified by another source. All of the children indicated by the parents were identified by the teachers, or the interviews with the children or both. The parents did not add any new children to the group with psychiatric disorder. The interview with the child contributed as many children to the group with psychiatric disorder as the teachers, however only four children from each group were identified by both sources. This assessment procedure identified nine children whom were not identified by any other source,

It was interesting to note that of the 10 children identified by the teachers only three children were from the regular class and the remaining seven were from the special class. However, of the nine children dentified by the interview with the child, only three of the children attended special class whereas the remaining six were attending the regular class. So nineteen children were identified by one source only, nine children by two sources, and two children by all three sources.

The total number of children identified as having, psychiatric disorder by at least one source was 30, 18 of these were in the special class and 12 in the regular class. The difference in prevalence of psychiatric disorder between, the two groups was not significant.

69

INDIVIDUAL SYMPTOMS

The prevalence of the individual symptoms as obtained from the three sources of information (teachers, parents, children) are outlined in Tables 16, 17 and 18. In each of the tables, the prevalence for the children attending special classes and those attending regular classes are expressed as percentages. The statistical analysis included 2 x 2 chi square test to determine whether the occurrence in one group differed significantly from the other. Yates correction for continuity was used where the smallest expected value was less than five and where there was one degree of freedom.

A. Motor Items. Over half of the special class children were thought by the teachers to have poor concentration and increased activity. However, no significant differences were found between the two groups on motor items as reported by the teachers nor did the two other sources of information - interview with parent and interview with child yield any significant differences between the children attending regular class and those attending special class.

When the comparison was made between the sources of information on the variables as reported in each of the two groups of children, significant differences did emerge. Teachers reported overactivity more frequently than the parents in both the regular and special class group. The difference was highly significant (Table 19, p.74).

5

5

÷

VIOURAL ITEMS ELICITED INDI FROM ΓE VIDUAL

TEACHERS QUESTIONNAIRE

SPECIAL CLASS | REGULAR CLASS

"Motor Items" Overactive Fidgety Twitches Poor Concentration	59.2 44.4 18.5 55.5	42.3 25.9 3.7 37.0	1.85 2.03 3.00 1.86
"Developmental Items" Stammers Other Speech Abnormality	29.6	3,7	4.80
"Antisocial Items" Truants Destructive Fights Disobedient. Lies Steals Bullies	25.9 22/2 59.2 48.1 25.9 3.7 22.2	3.7 3.7 29.6 29.6 14.8 0 25.9	3.67 2.62 4.79 1.95 1.03 1.02 0.10
"Relationship Item" Irritable Not Liked Solitary	40.7 40.7 18.5	25:9 11.1 33.3	1.33 4.72 * 1.00
"Neurotic Items" Worried Miserable Fearful Fussy Absence from School for Trivia	29.6 25.9 22.2 11.1 25.9	25.9 14.8 11.1 7.4 11.1	0.09 1.02 1.20 0.20 1.90
"Other Items" Sucks Thumb Bite Nails Aches and Pains	74.0. 3.7 0	0 0 3.7	2.07 1.02 1.02
Total Number of Questionnaires Completed	27	27	
* signif: ** signif:	icant beyond p icant beyond p	.05	

TABLE 17.--INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOURAL ITEMS ELICITED FROM the PARENTAL INTERVIEW of CHILDREN ATTENDING SPECIAL and REGULAR CLASSES

	SPECIAL CLASS	REGULAR CLASS	x ²
"Motor Items" Overactive Fidgety Poor Concentration	7.4 18:5 3.7	0 0 0	2.08 3.38 1,02
"Developmental Items" Stammers Other Speech Abnormality Wets	3.7 y 28.5 22.0	3.85 19.20 11.5#	0 0.11 1.20
"Antisocial Items" Truants Destructive Fights Lies Steals Bullies	11.0 •0 28.5 7.4 25.9	11.50 11.50 11.50 11.50 26.90	0 3.30 1.07 0.26 0
"Relationship Items" Irritable Not Liked Solitary	40.0 40.0 7.4	57 26.9 19.2	1.52 1.13 1.23
"Neurotic Items" Worried Miserable Fearful Fussy Absence from School for Trivia	40.0 33.0 40.0 0	23.00 11.50 53.80 0	1,65 3.59 0.91 0
"Other Items" Sucks Thumb Bites Nails Aches and Pains	11.0 37.0 29.6	7:60 26.90 7.00	0.18 0.62 3.08
Total Number of Questionnaires Completed	27	26	
* sie ** sie	mificant beyond mificant beyond	p.05 p.01	

72

TABLE 18 .- - INDIVIDUAL BEHAVIOURAL RIEMS ELICITED FROM the

INTERVIEW WITH the CHILD

•.

S,P1	EÇIAL CLASS	REGULAR CLASS	χ ²
"Motor Items" Fidgety Twitches Poor Concentration	22.2 3.7 25.9	7.4 0 14.8	2.35 1.02 1.03
"Antisocial Items" Hitting Others At School Outside School	14.8 59.2 51.8	3.7 70.3 40.7	1.98 0.73 0.67
"Relationship Items" Difficulties with Teacher Difficulties with Sibs	3.7 22.2	7.4 7.4	0.35 2.35
"Neurotic Items" Worries Fears Miserable	55.5 66.6 51.8	66.6 70.3 59.2	0.70 0 0.30
Suicidal Ideas	59.2	62.9	0.08
"Other Items" Aches and Pains Self Depreciation Feelings of Reference	22.2	25.9	0.10
Total Number of Questionnaires Completed	27	27	

significant beyond p .01

On the item of fidgetiness, highly significant differences were found in the reports from the three sources of information for the regular class children. This item was most likely to be reported by the teacher and least likely by the parent (Table 19).

The reports of poor concentration were compared from the parent and child interviews, as well as the teachers questionnaires for the regular and special class children separately. In both instances, the teachers reported significantly more children with poor concentration than did the other two sources of information (Table 19).

In summary, the teachers reported overactivity and poor concentration in the regular and special class more frequently than the other sources of data. Fidgetiness was also reported by the teachers in the regular class children more frequently than appeared in the reports of the parents or from the children's interviews. In each case the difference between the gources was highly significant (Table 19).

TABLE 19.-SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES in the REPORT of MOTOR / ITEMS FROM PARENTS, TEACHERS and CHILDREN

GROUP REPORTING HIGHER PREVALENCE	COMPARISON GROUP(S)	x ²	df	P VALUE
Teachers' Report (Overactivity in Special Class Children)	Parent	15.70	1	.01

TABLE 19-Continued

GROUP REPORTING HIGHER PREVALENCE	COMPARISON GROUP(S)	x ²	df	P VALUE
Teachers' Report (Overactivity in Regular Class Children)	Parent	14.94	1	.01
Teachers' Report (Fidgetiness in Regular Class Children)	Parents & Children	9.52	\$.01
Teachers' Report (Poor Concertration in Special Class Children)	Parent & Children's Interview	17.44	2	.01
Teachers' Report (Poor Concentration in Regular Class Children)	Parent & Children's Interview	12.79	2	.01

X² - Chi Square

- Degrees of Freedom

(B) Developmental Items. Developmental items, as reported by the teachers yielded significant differences between the special class and regular class children.
"Stammering" was recorded by the teachers as being present in 29.6 percent of the special class children as compared with 3.7 percent of the regular class children (Table 20, p. 76). No other significant differences emerged when the sources of information were compared with the developmental

items for the regular and special class children.

TABLE 20.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES on STAMMERING as REPORTED by the TEACHERS BETWEEN the SPECIAL CLASS

and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN

GROUP DEMONSTRATING HIGHER PREVALENCE	COMPARISON GROUP	Sx	đf	P VALUE
Special Class	Regular Class	4.8	1	.05

X2 - Chi Square with Yates Correction for Continuity

(C) Antisocial Symptoms. Among the antisocial symptoms the teachers' report of fighting was significantly higher in the special class group than in the regular class group (Table 21, p. 77). No significant differences were found on other antisocial items, between the special and regular class as noted by parents or in the interview with the child.

On the item, destructiveness, there was a significant difference between the parents' and teachers' reports of this behaviour in the special class children. The teachers reported destructiveness in special class children more frequently than did the parents (Table 21, p. 77).

•

; ;

\$1

TABLE 21.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES in PREVALENCE of FIGHTING and in REPORT of ANTISOCIAL SYMPTOMS by the THREE SOURCES of INFORMATION

the second se		•	and the second sec	
GROUP SHOWING INCREASED NUMBER	COMPÁRISON GROUP	x ²	df	P VALUE
Special Class (Fighting Reported by Teacher)	Regular Class	6.13	1	.05
Teacher (Destructiveness in Special Class)	Parents	4.12	1	•05

x² - Chi Square

f - Degrees of Freedom

(D) Relationship Items. Once again the teachers' questionnaires yielded significant differences between the regular and special class groups on the item "not liked" (Table 22, p. 78). The special class children were more often described as "not liked" than the regular class children. No other significant differences emerged from the comparison of special and regular class children.

When the sources of information were considered, the parents of the special class children reported a similar percentage to that reported by the teachers on the items "irritable" and "not liked". The parents of the regular class children significantly more often described their children as "irritable" than did the teachers for the same group (Table 22, p. 78). No other differences among the sources of

information emerged.

TABLE 22.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES NOTED 'ON RELATIONSHIP

ITEMS

	A		• •	
GROUP SHOWING INCREASED NUMBER	COMPARISON GROUP	x ²	^d f	P VALUE
Special Class (not liked as reported by teacher)	Regular Class	6.17	1	•05
Parents' Report of Regular Class Children on Irritable	Teachers of Regular Class Children Report	5.5		.05

 x^2 - Chi square

^af - Degrees of Freedom

(E) Neurotic Items. No significant differences between the regular and special class children were noted. The overall prevalence of neurotic symptoms was similar from both the child's and parents' interviews while the teachers' report of these items was lower.

Significant differences did arise from the comparison of the sources of information on the individual items within the regular and special class groups. The report of worrying among regular class group, from the children's interviews, differed significantly than the report of teachers' and parents' of the same group (Table 23, p. 79). Information from the interviews with the children reported a higher prevalence of worrying.

Of the three sources of information, the interviews with the children indicated that the prevalence of "miserable"

78

was higher in the regular class children than had been reported from the teachers and parents. This difference was highly significant (Table 23). The children's interviews 'also demonstrated significantly greater prevalence of fears. in the regular class children than had been reported in the other sources of data (Table 23).

TABLE 23 .-- SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES NOTED on NEUROTIC

'	SI	NPT.	OMS
	:.	i	

SOURCE REPORTING HIGHER PREVALENCE	COMPARISON GROUP	x ²	df	P VALUĖ
Interview with Regular Class Children on "Worried"	Parents & Teachers	13.43	2	.01
Interview with Regular Class Children on "Miserable"	Parents & Teachers	18.59	2	.01
Interview with Regular Children, on "Fears"	Parents & Teachers	20.37	2	.01

 x^2 - Chi Square

df - Degrees of Freedom

(F) Other Behavioural Items. No significant differences between the regular and special class children were noted.

Under this category, the only significant differences between the sources of information were that complaints of aches and pains were more frequently reported by the parents of children attending special class, and that reports of nail biting were significantly more common with parents of regular class children than with teachers (Table 24).

TABLE 24.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REPORTS of TEACHERS PARENTS, and CHILDREN on 'OTHER

BEHAVIOURAL ITEMS'

SOURCE REPORTING HIGHER PREVALENCE	COMPARISON SOURCE(S)	x²	d.	P VALUE
Parents of Special Class Children on Complaints on Aches and Pains	Teachers	7 , 54	1	.05
Parents of Regular Class Children on Nail Biting	Teachers	6.22	1	.05

X² - Chi Square with Yates Correction

df - Degrees of Freedom

In summary only "fighting" and "stammering" on the teachers questionnaires demonstrated significant differences between the regular and special class children. However, significant differences did arise in the comparison of the sources of information. The teachers more frequently reported overactivity, poor concentration, fidgetiness in both groups of children than did the other sources. A greater proportion of the special class children were regarded as destructive by their teachers than by their parents. Parents reported higher incidence of relationship items in their regular class children, and also other behavioural items " "hail biting, and complaints of aches and pains were more frequently reported in parents of special class children than on the teachers questionnaire and children's interviews. On neurotic symptoms, however, the interviews with the children reported a higher prevalence of "worries", "fears" and more children being miserable than was reported by the other sources of information.

PSYCHIATRIC DISORDER AND ACADEMIC ATTAINMENTS

Significant differences did/not emerge when the type and severity of psychiatric abnormality was compared with the severity of academic retardation and backwardness. The children with antisocial disorder did not have more extensive educational retardation than children with other disorders, or than children who did not demonstrate any psychiatric abnormality.

III. PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES AND HEALTH OF THE SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN AND REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN

This section of the results has been divided into three headings (a) motor tasks (b) health history and (c) present physical state.

A. MOTOR TASKS

The tasks examined in the section included (a) gross motor movements (b) fine motor movements (c) constructional abilities (d) motor impersistence (e) nominal and receptive dysphasia (f) graphesthesia (g) laterality and (h) left-right confusion. These motor tasks were completed as a group for both the children attending special class and those attending regular class, following an introductory period during which information concerning friends and activities was obtained.

1. Gross Motor Movement. In gross motor performance, the special class children performed the tasks equally as well as the regular class children. Neither of the groups received a coding indicating definite abnormality.

2. Fine Motor Movements. The special class group did not differ significantly from the regular class children on this item.

3. Constructional Abilities. Only 11 percent of the special class children and 22 percent of the regular class children were able to complete all of the shapes triangle, diamond, L, a cross and a star - accurately. The difference was not statistically significant.

When the regular class children with intelligence scores below 85 (n = 3) were compared with the regular class children with intelligence scores at or above 85 (n = 24), the group with low intelligence quotient exhibited significantly more difficulty in the completion of the cross and star (Table 25, p. 84). No other significant differences emerged when other intelligence quotient groups were considered, nor were there significant differences between the regular class and the special class children, when the comparison was made in each of the three schools.

4. Motor Impersistence. No significant differences were noted between the special class children and the regular class children. No child in either the special class or the regular class received a coding indicating abnormality.

5. Nominal and Receptive Dysphasia. Both the children attending special class and those attending regular class behaved similarly on these litems. Further statistical analyses of the groups yielded results consistent with overall findings. None of the children in either group , received a coding of two, indicating definite abnormality.

6. Graphesthesia. No significant differences resulted in the overall response of the children's identification of the letters traced on their hands under the three conditions - (a) eyes open (b) eyes averted and (c) eyes closed. The most frequent error was not that children would fail to identify the letters but rather that the letters were recalled in an inverted manner for example M's were recalled as W's and P's as d's.

7. Laterality. The emphasis in this item was placed on whether the children demonstrated mixed laterality or poor lateralization.

Only 11 percent of the special class children demonstrated consistent dominance on one side of the body whereas 59 percent of the regular class children were consistent in this regard. The special class group showed 83-

a significantly increased number with hand differing from eye - foot orientation (Table 25, p. 85). When further statistical analyses were completed on these two groups in each of the individual schools the differences were maintained in only one school.

84

In this school 60 percent of the children in the special class demonstrated mixed laterality with hand differing from eye - foot orientation, whereas only 10 percent of the regular class demonstrated similar findings. Statistical examination of various groups determined by intelligence quotients did not yield any further differences.

8. Left-Right Confusion. Requests we're made of the children which attempted to determine whether the child had (a) the concept of right/left side of his body and (b) knowledge of the right side differentiated from left of his own body and that of another who was facing him. No significant differences were demonstrated in the occurrence of left-right confusion between the special and regular class children. However, 70 percent of the special class and 48 percent of the children attending regular class scored positively on this item which indicated left-right confusion.

TABLE 25.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN SPECIAL and REGULAR CLASS on MOTOR TASK PERFORMANCE

		s		
GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	COMPARISON ." GROUP	x ²	d _f	P VALUE
Regular Class (IQ<85) Constructional Abilites	Regular Class (IQ 285)	14.85	2	.01

TABLE 25-Continued

GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	COMPARISON GROUP	x ²	df	P VALUE
Special Class (n=27) Laterality	Regular Class	8.55	2	.02

X² - Chi Square

f - Degrees of Freedom

85

SUMMARY OF MOTOR TASKS

In the motor tasks defined, significant differences occurred between the special class and the regular class children, on the item of laterality the special class demonstrated an increased prevalence of mixed laterality when compared with the regular class children. There was some evidence to suggest that the significant findings at one school may have influenced the overall findings.

The performance of the special and regular class children was similar on tests of gross and fine motor movements, constructional abilities, motor impersistence, nominal and receptive dysphasia, graphesthesia and left-right confusion. However, when statistical analyses were completed on the groupings, significant findings did evolve. These are outlined in Table 26 with the groupings enumerated. The first grouping column was indicative of the pupils who experienced increased difficulty in the assigned tasks. TABLE 26. -- SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES COMPLETED on GROUPINGS OTHER than the TOTAL SPECIAL CLASS and REGULAR CLASS SAMPLES

VARIABLE *	GROUPING DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	COMPARISON GROUPING	LEVEL OF SIGNIFIC- ANCE
Construction- al Abilities	Regular/Class (I.Q. Less Than 85)	Regular Class (I.Q. at or Above 85)	beyond .01
Laterality	Special Class (School #4)	Regular Class (School A)	beyond ,05

B. HEALTH HISTORY

The variables measured under this heading included (1) perinatal and neonatal history with milestone acquisition (2) more recent medical contacts and hospitalization,

1. Perinatal and Neonatal History Including Milestone Acquistion. The variables examined were (a) pregnancy complications (b) maternal health during and after pregnancy (c) location of delivery (d) maturity (e) birth weight (f) neonatal difficulties (g) convulsions (h) jaundice. (i) milestones (j) comparison of child's milestone acquisition with siblings.

(a) Pregnancy Complications. Both the regular and special class groups presented a similar picture with regard to pregnancy complications. Nineteen percent of the special class group reported definite complications such as Caesarian section and 12 percent of the regular class group were reported to have had pregnancy complications.

(b) Maternal Health During and after Pregnancy. Mothers in both groups were similar in the recall of health difficulties during this period. None of the mothers in either group experienced any definite health problems at this time.

(c) Location of Delivery. No significant differences arose on this variable, but 11 percent and 8 percent of the special and regular class mothers respectively reported that the delivery had occurred at home.

(d) Maturity. No significant differences in the maturity of the children at birth were observed. Seventyeight percent and 85 percent of the special and regular class children were full term at delivery. However, some 7 percent (2) and 15 percent (4) of the special and regular class children respectively were premature by one to four weeks. These mothers reported that the doctors had indicated this prematurity to them. Only 4 percent (1) of the special class group reported that the baby was overdue at the time of delivery.

(e) Birth Weight. There were no significant differences in the mean birth weight between the special and regular class children. The mean birth weights for the regular and special class children were 7.7 pounds and 7.0 pounds respectively. Eleven percent of the special class children were at or below 5.5 pounds at the time of birth

while 8 percent of the regular class children were at or below that weight.

(f) Neonatal Difficulties. The groups were similar in the reported prevalence of neonatal difficulties such as difficulties with breathing or feeding.

(E) Convulsions.....None of the informants in both groups reported any episodes of convulsions after birth.

(h) Jaundice. None of the mothers of the regular class children reported their children having jaundice after birth, while 7 percent of the special class children were reported to have had jaundice but none to the extent that blood transfusion was required. The differences did notreach statistical significance.

(1) Milestones. The children in both groups demonstrated a similar picture in the acquisition of walking, talking, and bowel and bladder control. Only 11 and 8 percent of the special class group and the regular class group respectively demonstrated delay.

(j) Comparison of Child's Milestone Acquistion with Siblings. Even though the actual objective data concerning milestones were not significantly different, each parent's comparison of his child's milestones with other siblings in his family did reveal highly significant differences. More of the parents of the special class children described their child's milestones as being delayed when compared with the siblings, than did parents of the regular class children (Table 27, p. 89).

TABLE 27. -- SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REGULAR and SPECIAL CLASS GROUPINGS on PERINATAL and NEONATAL HISTORY

GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	COMPARISON GROUP	. x²	d_f	P VALUE
Special Class (Comparison of Milestones with Siblings)	Regular Class	11.64	2	.01

x² - Chi Square

df - Degrees of Freedom

In summary, the children in the special class were similar to the children in the regular class on all the variables with the exception of comparison of milestone acquistion with siblings. The special class children were more frequently regarded as making less favourable development than their siblings in milestone acquisition than the regular class children, even though the recalled milestone did not demonstrate significantly more defay than that in the regular class group.

2. More Recent Medical Contacts. The variables which were examined under this heading included (a) general practitioner contacts and hospital/clinic contacts in the past year (b) absences from school in the past year (c) hospitalization during whole life.

(a) Medical Contacts. There were no significant differences in attendance/non-attendance at general practitioner's office or at hospital/Slinic between the regular and special class children. Forty-one percent of the special class had not attended a hospital or clinic and 26 percent had not attended a general practitioner's office in the past year. Fifty-four percent of the regular class children had not attended a hospital or clinic and 35 percent had not attended a general practitioner's office in the past year. When further statistical analyses were completed, the special class group from one school had significantly more general practitioner contacts than the regular class (Table 28, p. 91).

(b) Absences' From School in Past Year. Only 15 percent of the special class children and 8 percent of the regular class children had medical conditions which at the time of the interview were reported by the parents only to require periodic checks. Among the conditions reported were asthma, orthopedic difficulties, epilepsy, cardiao, difficulties and tuberculosis. However, when absences from school as reported by the teachers were compared in the two groups, no significant differences emerged, nor were there any differences when the children with long term illness were compared with the remainder of the group.

(c) Hospitalizations. Both groups were similar in regard to hospital admissions of one week duration. Forty-six percent of the special class children had been in hospital for at least one week during their life and 27 percent of the regular class had experienced hospitalization of that duration during their life.
TABLE 28.--SIGNIFICANT FINDINGS BETWEEN REGULAR and SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN on GENERAL PRACTITIONER CONTACT

in PAST YEAR

GROUP REMONSTRATING INCREASED G.P. CONTACT	COMPARISON CONTACTS	xa	d _f '	P VALUE
Special Class (one school)	Regular Class (same school)	5.2	1	.05

x2 - Chi Square with Yates Correction

^af - Degrees of Freedom

C. PRESENT PHYSICAL STATE

During the interview with the child an assessment of (1) vision (2) hearing (3) height and weight were made. 1. Vision. The children were asked about difficulties

with their vision and each of them was asked to read a vision reading chart from a distance of twenty feet. In the majority of the cases a Snellen Chart was used but for three children who were unable to identify the letters a kindergarten chart or the Snellen Symbol Chart was used. The coding recorded indicated the last line which the child had correctly read.

Significant differences did occur between the coded vision chart reading ability of the special class and that of the regular class children. The children in the special class more frequently were only able to read 20/70, 20/50 and 20/40 lines on the charts used, while the regular class children more frequently read at 20/30 and 20/25 level (Table 29).

When the data were analyzed according to schools, in one school significant difference was demonstrated between the regular and special class on coded vision reading level with better vision being demonstrated by the regular class (Table 29).

When the comparison was limited to special class children with intelligence quotients at or above 85 and all the regular class, the regular class children obtained significantly higher scores on the vision reading chart than the special class children with intelligence quotients at or above 85 (Table 29).

In summary, special class children were functioning with poorer visual ability as measured by the vision reading chart than were regular class children.

TABLE 29. -- SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN the CHILDREN ATTENDING SPECIAL and REGULAR CLASS

GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	COMPARISON GROUP	x ²	đf	P VALUE
Special Class (n=27)	Regular Class (n=27)	13.96	5	.02
Special Class (School A)	Regular Class (School A)	13.66	4	.01

ON VISION READING CHART

TABLE 29-Continued

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	COMPARISON GROUP	x ²	đ	P VALUE
Special Class (I.Q. ≥85)	Regular Class (n=26)	29.96	3	.02

X² - Chi Square

df - Degree of Freedom.

2. Hearing. Of the two measures of hearing ability employed, the child's ability to hear a wrist watch tended to be a more valuable test than repetition of words said from a distance of twenty feet. The latter measure tended to be more handicapped by extraneous noise. The children's ability in hearing was quite similar in both groups. Only one child was unable to hear the wrist watch even when placed against his ear; upon additional audiological assessment he was found to have a bilateral 30 decibel conductive loss. Children demonstrated some misinterpretation in word repetition more frequently in the special class than the regular class but this was not a significant difference.

3. Height and Weight. The children in both the regular and special class presented a similar picture on the variables of height and weight. There were no significant differences between these two groups. When the numbers occurring on or near each of the percentile levels in the special and regular class groups were compared, significant differences did occur. The chi square Goodness of Fit Test was used

to compare the number occurring in each group at the percentiles with the numbers which would be expected to occur. When the tests were performed with the heights of special class children and that of the regular class children, both groups were found to be significantly different from the numbers which would be expected from a normal population (Table 30). When weights of each group were compared with the normal population, only the special class was found to be significantly different from the general population (Table

Twenty-three percent of the special class children were at or below the third percentile for height, whereas 15 percent of the regular class were at or below the third percentile for height. When weight was considered 31 percent of special class children were at or below the third percentile, and 23 percent of the regular class children were at or below this percentile for weight.

30).

TABLE 30 .-- SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN the REGULAR and

GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED ABNORMALITY	GROUP	x ² .	đ _i	P VALUE
Special Class (Heights)	Normal .* Population	2.53	, 7	.01
Regular Class (Heights)	Normal Population	24.72	7	.01
Special Class (Weights)	Normal Population	74.09-	°7 .	.01

SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN on HEIGHT and WEIGHTS

TABLE 30-Continued

X² - Chi Square *Percentile charts used, based on the work of R.G. Stennett and D.M. Cram "Cross-sectional, Percentile Height and Weight Norms for a Representative Sample of Urban, School-aged, Ontario Children." Canadian Journal Public Health 1969 60, No. 12, p. 465.

When each child's height percentile was compared with his weight percentile, more of the children attending special class were at a significantly lower percentile for weight than height, than the regular class children. The regular class tended to be heavier than their height percentile. In fact three (12 percent) of the regular class who were at or below the 25 percentile for height, were at or above the 75 percentile for height (Table 32, p. 101).

TABLE 31.--SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES in the DISCRERANATY of WEIGHT PERCENTILE from the HEIGHT PERCENTILE, BETWEEN THE SPECIAL

and REGULAR CLASS CHILDREN

GROUP WITH INCREASED NUMBER OF OVERWEIGHT CHILDREN GIVEN THEIR HEIGHT	COMPARISON GROUP	x ²	, d t	P VALUE
Regular Class	Special Class	7.89	2	.02

 X^2 - Chi Square

df - Degrees of Freedom

In summary of the present physical state, the special class children were more frequently reading the vision reading chart at a level which indicated greater handicap than the regular class children. Although the other items measured - hearing, height and weight - did not demonstrate significant differences between the two groups, there was some evidence to suggest that the special and regular class children as a group were shorter than would be expected. Also the special class group weighed significantly less than would be expected from the population percentiles; however, this difference was not present between the regular class and the population census.

IV. SOCIAL AND FAMILY CHARACTERISTICS OF THE CHILDREN IN SPECIAL AND REGULAR CLASS

This aspect of the results, dealt with the environment of each child in the study, which at this age included not only the family but also the school and his peer relationships. The examination of these areas can best be described under four subheadings (A) Housing and Family Structure (B) The Parents (C) The Children and the School (D) The Children and Their Friends.

> A. HOUSING AND FAMILY STRUCTURE OF THE CHILDREN IN REGULAR AND SPECIAL CLASS

The children of the special and regular classes came from families in which there had been on an average of 7.19 and 5.6 children born to their mothers respectively., The number of children presently living in their homes was not significantly different between the regular and special class

children; the special class child was, on the average, one of six, while the regular class child was, on the average, one of five. The child's position within that family was fairly similar, irrespective of his educational placement. Sixtyfive percent of the special class children and 55 percent of the regular class children were middle children.

The status of the natural parents in 22 percent of the special class group and 25 percent of the regular class group was other than both parents married and living together. So approximately one out of four children in both groups were living in homes with other than both natural parents.

Housing conditions for both groups was also quite similar --- 57 percent of the special class children and 73 percent of the regular class were living in houses in which their families were the sole occupant. Only 11 percent of the children in both groups had a bedroom of their own. More common was sharing a bedroom with a sibling, and most common in both groups was sharing a bed with a sibling. Fifty-seven point six percent of the special class and 53.8 percent of the regular class were reported to be sharing a bed. Another index of the degree of crowding within the living accommodation of both groups is person/room ratio. The average person/room ratio for the housing of children attending special class was .96 and the ratio for the regular class children was 1.19. The t test did not yield significant results for these measures.

Just over one half of the families of the special class children have water and sewerage facilities in their homes, and of the remainder, 75 percent did not have any indoor plumbing while three quarters of the homes of regular class children have such facilities, some 19 percent are without indoor water and/or sewerage. This did not represent a significant difference between the groups.

In summary, the overall picture of the two groups, in terms of family size, housing, facilities, person/room ratio is that the groups are remarkably similar.

B. THE PARENTS OF REGULAR AND SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN

The average ages of the parents for both groups was similar; fathers of regular and special class children were 42.96 and 42.20 years respectively. The mothers of special class children were, on the average 38.56 years, and those of regular class children were 37.81 years. Only two years separates the average length of marriage of the parents of children attending special class (19.2 years) from that of the parents of children attending the regular class (17.68 years).

Comparison of health factors in the father of special and regular class children presented a similar picture in terms of general health, psychiatric contacts, presence of prolonged hospitalization, reported worrying, fears, nervousness, anxiety, unhappiness, suicidal questioning,

A Start Start

crying, fielings of reference, loss of weight, loss of appatite, sleep disturbance. However it was interesting to note that 29,6 percent of the fathers of special class children and 22 percent of the fathers of regular class children had within the past five years experienced a period of hospitalization and/or convalescence of at least three weeks duration.

The paternal educational experience was also similar. Fifty-nine percent of the fathers of special class children did not obtain a high school diploma; half of these did not go beyond elementary school (Grade VI). Seventy-six percent of the fathers of regular class children did not complete high school, and one quarter of these did not go beyond the elementary grades (Grade VI).

At the time of the interview, the employment of the fathers of regular and special class children did not usually necessitate being away from home for long periods; the fathers of the regular class children tended to be away from home more frequently. Fifteen percent of the fathers with children attending special class were unemployed, while 19 percent of the fathers with children attending regular class were unemployed.

121

۱. ۲.

. .

;

÷,

4

The mothers of both groups also presented a similar picture on maternal health, hospitalizations, contacts with medical services for psychiatric reasons, overall worrying, fears, nervousness, anxiety, unhappiness, crying, suicidal questioning, questioning regarding feelings of reference, loss of appetite, loss of weight, and sleep disturbance. Approximately 40 percent of the mothers of both groups of children reported health difficulties in the past year. Forty percent of the mothers with children attending regular classes had experienced prolonged hospitalization of at least three weeks, in the past five years. More of the mothers with regular class children were definite worriers (50 percent), as compared to the mothers of special class children (30 percent). This difference was not statistically significant:

With regards to maternal education and work, the mothers of both groups were similar in educational

achievement; approximately 92 percent of the mothers of both groups did not go beyond high school; of these approximately one quarter of the mothers of special class children did not graduate from elementary school, and 12 percent of the mothers of regular class children did not go beyond this level. Two fathers and one of the mothers of the special class children were illiterate. When the comparison was limited to special class children with intelligence test scores below 85; and all the regular group of children the maternal education in the special class group was significantly lower than that of the regular class children (Table 32, p. 101). More of the mothers with children attending

regular class were working outside the home than mothers with special class children. This difference was highly significant (Table 32, p. 101). The duration of employment.

ę

varied from full or part-time seasonal employment to full time year round employment. Many of the mothers felt they had to work to augment the family income. If the mother was away at work at the time of the child's arrival home from school the most common caretakers would be either an older sibling or father.

The siblings of the children attending regular and special classes were similar in educational achievement. Only 30 percent of the siblings of the children attending special class had not experienced academic difficulty and 38 percent of the siblings of the children attending regular class were reported as not having academic difficulties.

TABLE 32 .-- SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN the REGULAR and

SPECIAL CLASS CHILDREN on

	CHARACTERISTICS	
P A M 1 I I I		
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		

GROUP DEMONSTRATING INCREASED NUMBER	COMPARISON GROUP	X ²	d _f	P VALUE
Special Class (Less Maternal Education) (105¥85)	Regular Class	8.63	3	05
Regular Class (Maternal Employment)	Special Class	9.74	3	.05

 x^2 - Chi Square

- Degrees of Freedom

C. THE CHILDREN AND THEIR SCHOOL HISTORY.

The group from the special classes was similar to the group from the regular classes on such items as number

of school moves, parents' knowledge of difficulties, method employed to inform parents, parent - teacher contacts, and the child's relationship with his teacher.

Almost half (47 percent) of the regular and special class children had experienced at least one school move since the commencement of their academic career. Approximately 60 percent of the parents of both groups reported being aware of their child's academic difficulties. The most frequently reported means of communication between the parent and teacher, if contact was being maintained at all, was the arranged interviews with teachers, following parental receipt of the report card.

The majority of the children in the special and regular classes were described as having a good relationship with the teacher. No child was coded as having difficulty on this item. Similar findings emerged when the children were asked about, their relationship with their teachers. The majority of the children in both groups indicated a good relationship with their teacher. One child in the special class indicated definite difficulties being present. None of the differences were statistically significant.

D. THE CHILDREN AND THE PEERS

The children in regular and special class demonstrated similar patterns of peer relationships. The parents indicated that over half of the children in both

groups had adequate peer relationships; only 15 percent of the special class were thought by the parents to be experiencing definite difficulties in peer relationships. A similar picture of peer relationship evolved from the interview with the child.

The overall groups did not yield any significant differences from parental or child interview on items including teasing by peers, bullied by peers, hitting other children, and loneliness. However, when comparison was made of different intelligence score groupings within the regular and special class samples, significant differences did emerge. Children in the special class with intelligence scores below 85 (n = 8) and the regular class group were significantly different on measures of "teasing by peers" and "bullied by. peers". The special class grouping experienced significantly more difficulty in these aspects than the regular class children. When the same special class grouping (intelligence quotients below 85) was compared with only regular class children with intelligence quotients at or above 85, significant differences were noted in "buillied by peers" and "in trouble for hitting others". Once again the special class grouping demonstrated increased difficulty.

The special class children with intelligence quotients at or above 85 were compared with those special class children with intelligence scores below 85; significant differences emerged on (a) teasing by peers and (b) bullied by peers. The special class grouping with the intelligence scores below 85 reported increased teasing and bullying than did the special class children with intelligence scores at or above 85. These same intelligence score groupings were compared in the regular class as well; the regular class children with intelligence scores below 85 (n = 3) indicated the more serious difficulties in "getting in trouble for hitting peers" than the regular class grouping with intelligence quotients at or above 85. Table 33 provides a brief summary of the groupings, variable examined, chi square value, degrees of freedom and levels of significance.

TABLE 33.--GROUPINGS, VARIABLES, CHI SQUARE VALUES, DEGREES of FREEDOM and LEVELS of SIGNIFICANCE on

PEER RELATIONSHIPS

			0	· . ·	•
GROUPING DEMONSTRATING INCREASED DIFFICULTY	COMPARISON GROUPING	VARIABLES	x ²	đf	LEVEL OF SIGNIFI- CANCE
Special Class (IQ <85)	.Regular Class	Teasing by Peers Bullied by Peers	8.81 7.38	2 2	beyond, .05 beyond .05
Special Class (IQ (85)	Regular Class (10 285)	Bullied by Peers In Trouble, for Hitting Others	7.17 6.20	2	beyond .05 beyond .05
Special Class (IQX85)	Special Class (IQ985)	Teasing by Peers Bullied by Peers	9.11 9.12	3	beyond .05 beyond .05

TABLE 37-Continued

GROUPING DEMONSTRATING INCREASED DIFFICULTY	COMPARISON GROUPING	VARIABLES	x2	df	P VALUE
Regular Class (IQ 285)	Regular` Class (IQ ⊇85)	Trouble with Peers	6.39	2	beyond .05

 $x^{2} -$

chi Square di la construction de la construction de

In summary, there appears to be no significant differences in overall adequacy of friends between the regular and special class. However, various groupings based on educational placement and intelligence scores, have indicated differences. It would appear that special class children with intelligence scores below 85 do experience greater difficulty in peer relationship than their regular class peers on teasing and bullying from friends, and they do experience greater difficulty than regular class children with higher intelligence scores, both for being bullied and getting into trouble for hitting others.

When the regular and special class groups are broken down into those with intelligence quotient below 85 and those with intelligence quotient at or above 85, differences in the area of peer relationship again emerge. The special class children with intelligence below 85 are subject more to bullying and teasing from their peers than the special class children with intelligence at or above 85.

10.5

The regular class children with intelligence scores below 85 get themselves into more difficulties for hitting peers than their study classmates with intelligence scores at or above 85.

- CHAPTER V

SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The purpose of the study was to compare the academic, personal, physical, social and family characteristics of children attending regular class and children attending special class. The children in the regular class were of the same age, sex and socioeconomic status as those children in the special class and were performing poorly. Poor academic performance was the alternative adopted when it was found that information concerning the intellectual functioning as measured by intelligence test scores was not available because the children had not been tested. It was thought that a greater possibility existed that the children with low intelligence quotients would also be performing poorly in academic subjects.

The task of this chapter is to consider the results in relation to the questions posed in Chapter I, to compare the results with previous findings and then discuss their significance and make recommendations.

THE QUESTIONS EXAMINED

The results of this study make it possible to answer the questions posed in Chapter I. Each of the questions will be dealt with individually, but the order of presentation will be altered slightly to facilitate later discussion. 1. Do the special class children differ from the regular class children in terms of social activities, participation in activities outside the home and friendships? Although questions were asked regarding leisure time

activities, it was not possible to draw conclusions about the relationships between educational placement and the children's activities. Among the three schools, the regular and special class children had unequal opportunity for leisure time activity in the schools. The special class children tended to live farther from the school, so that extra curricular school activities were less accessible to them. School organized recreational programmes appeared to be a primary source of social activity, as neighbourhood or other recreational services did not appear to exist. Thus, the extent to which these children did participate in social activities may have been influenced more by differences in accessibility than by the inclination of the children concerned.

The children attending regular class and those attending special glass were similar in the extent to which peer relationships were adequate. There were difficulties demonstrated in contacts with other children as manifested by being teased, bullied and getting into difficulties for hitting other children. Some of these items did occur with children of lower intelligence (less than 85) in both classes, and when the children in the regular and special class with intelligence tests scores at or above 85 and below 85 respectively were compared, the

.

special class children were found to be having more difficulty in this area.

2. Do the families of special class children differ from those of the regular class children in terms of such variables as parental educational status and the marital status of natural parents?

The families of both the regular and special class children were statistically quite similar not only in parental educational and marital status, but also interental health histories; the extent to which fathers are away from home, and unemployment rate of fathers. Family size, housing, sleeping arrangements, person-room ratio were also similar in both groups:

The only variable on which the families of children attending special class and those attending regular class did differ was maternal employment. The mothers of the regular class children more frequently went out to work than the mothers of special class children. The children were usually cared for by an older sibling or father if the mother was not at home at the time of the child's arrival home from school.

3. How do special class children compare with regular class children in terms of physical characteristics eg. height, weight and their ability to carry out tasks involving physical skills?

The children attending special class were similar

to the regular class children in the performance of assigned tasks, health histories and the physical attributes of hearing, height and weight. The only differentiating features from this broad grouping were:-

(a) Laterality. Children attending special class demonstrated increased mixed lateralization compared with children attending regular class.

(b) Comparison of Milestones with Siblings. More of the children in the special class than children in the regular class were described by their parents as having made less favourable milestone acquistion than their siblings, even though the special class children were not reported to have had an increased number with delayed milestone acquistion.

(c) Vision Reading Chart. The children in special class were less able, as a group, to read the vision chart than the regular class group.

4. Are the children attending special classes different from the children attending regular classes in terms of emotional disturbance? If these differences do exist, what is the nature of the disturbance?

Children attending the special class were found to have a similar prevalence of emotional disturbance as those children attending regular class.

The type of disorder was fairly similar in regular and special class children, with the exception of antisocial disorder which was more prevalent in the children attending

special class than the children attending regular class. Several interesting points were found in the sources of identification of disorder as well. The teachers identified a significantly higher number of special class children than was identified by any other source of information. Not only were the numbers significantly different but the teachers reported significantly higher prevalences of individual symptoms in special class group than the regular class group. When the sources of information were considered, the teachers reported motor and antisocial items more frequently in both groups than the other sources of information, whereas the children's interview reported more frequent prevalence of neurotic items, than the other two sources. The parents reported other items e.g. aches and pains as being more prevalent than reported by the other sources.

5. Do the regular class children demonstrate significantly higher intelligence scores compared with the special class children? If so, is their intellectual superiority reflected in superior academic attainments?

The children attending the regular class scored significantly higher on the **Wechsler** Intelligence Scale for Children than did the children attending special class. These children also showed superior academic attainments on the Wide Range Achievement Test in that the mean grade scores in reading and arithmetic were significantly higher in the regular class group than in the special class group. There was no significant difference between the two groups in mean spelling grades.

6. Are there academic differences between the special class children and the regular class children in terms of attainments in spelling, arithmetic and reading?

The academic attainments, as indicated by the grade scores on the achievement tests were used to compare the two groups with reference to educational backwardness i.e. each child's attainment being compared to that expected according to his chronological age. The special class children were significantly more backward in the three academic areas measured, than the regular class. When the educational delay was measured with reference to each child's intelligence quotient a different picture emerged. Then the special class group was observed to have a similar degree of retardation in spelling and arithmetic and reading as the regular class group.

So the academic differences which emerged between the two groups varied according to the method employed to determine the educational delay.

COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS WITH RESEARCH LITERATURE

(1) COMPARISON WITH STUDIES WHICH HAVE EMPLOYED TWO GROUPS OF EDUCABLE MENTALLY RETARDED-ONE IN SPECIAL CLASS AND THE OTHER IN REGULAR CLASS

The studies which examined the personal adjustment of children with similar intelligence quotients, attending regular and special classes, found in some instances better adjustment of special class children than regular class children (Jordan 1959, Blatt 1958); whereas others found the regular class better adjusted (Pertsch 1936). However, as the nature of the educational experience has not been fully controlled, an equally credible premise could be that, in some way, the special or regular class placements themselves were influencing adjustment. In this study, 66 percent of Special class children did manifest psychiatric disorder. The number of special class children reported by the teachers as having emotional disturbance was considerably higher than the number selected by the other sources. Rubin et al (1973) also noted that children in special class had lower scores on a measure of classroom behaviour than did the regular class children.

Rutter (1964) found that the psychiatric clinic population differed from the normal population in terms of intelligence, with an excess of children with intelligence quotients of 80 to 89 occurring in the clinic population. Although this was regarded as an artifact of referral bias, the association between low intelligence score and psychiatric disorder was supported by other epidemiological surveys - Douglas, 1964; MacFarlane et al 1954; Mulligan, 1964; Rutter et al 1970. The nature of the association, is.

thought to be real. In fact, "antisocial disorders in boys and neurotic disorder in girls were associated with a slightly below average I. Q."₁₅. It may well be that the present study's findings are a reflection of this association.

In the comparison of social features, peer relationships are the only aspect which can be adequately compared, as organized activity was not universal or pratical difficulties made existing services not accessible to all. Children in the special class were able to identify friends with whom they played and recount activities in which they had been involved, quantitatively and qualitatively just as well as children in the regular class. The school friends appeared to be primarily classmates; special class children only infrequently identified children outside of the special class. Children in their own class and frequently other children with academic difficulties were identified. A^p minority of children in both groups had difficulties with their friendships.

Difficulties were noted in peer relationships. Children with lower intelligence tests scores (less than 85) in both classes did appear to have difficulties with some aspects of peer relationships. When the children with intelligence scores at or above 85 in regular groups were compared,

¹⁵Rutter, Tizard & Whitmore <u>op. cit.</u>, p. 239

with the children in special class who had intelligence test scores below 85, the special class children demonstrated more difficulties in some aspects of their relationships e.g. trouble for hitting others, than the regular class children with higher intelligence test scors. These were somewhat different findings from those found by Elenbogen (1957) and Cassidy and Stanton (1959) in which special class children had more friends and the regular class children were more indifferent to their peers.

The physical attributes of the children in the present study tend to coincide with the findings of Blatt (1958) for height and weight. The groups of children in both studies were similar on height and weight. Physical disabilities have been found by Cassidy and Stanton (1959) and Blatt (1958) to be more prominent among special class children than the regular class children; this was supported to some extent by the present study, in that children in the special class were reading the vision chart with significantly more difficulty than the regular class children. When the children in special class with intelligence test scores at or above 85 were compared with the regular class (n=26), the special class children continued to demonstrate significantly less ability.

2. OTHER STUDIES

Basic information concerning the Newfoundland population as a whole was not available and the population

from which the samples were selected was unknown. Population variations have been found to influence test results, for example Rutter, Tizard and Whitmore (1970) found that children in the Isle of Wight study, when tested on the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children scored considerably higher than the standardized tables would have predicted. This was thought to be a reflection of the differences existing in American children tested in the 1940's and British children of the 1960's. This sort of variation may exist in Newfoundland due to such influences as those discussed by Rutter et al (1970)and/or to various cultural differences. Since attempts have not been completed to ascertain whether these tests need standardization for this population; the extent to which Newfoundland children's scores may deviate is unknown.

In addition, statistics concerning the children and the familie's serviced by the schools could not be obtained for two reasons:-

(a) The school populations are not defined, geographically or demographically by the schools, so that comparison of the findings with data gathered by Statistics Canada was not possible.

(b) Even if this were possible, information concerning some of the variables studied e.g. educational attainments, or occupation of the residents of the area were unavailable. The present findings concerning peer relationships appear to be similar to the findings of Johnson (1950) and Jordan (1961) who found that the social relations of children attending regular and special class appear to be directly related to intelligence level.

This present study produced similar findings to Blatt (1958). When he compared his two classes of children with typical population for height and weight, he found that more than 25 percent of the special class children were underweight by 10 percent or more. In addition to findings of the present study that the special class children were significantly smaller for weight than the general population, children in both groups were significantly shorter than would be expected on the percentile charts.

Although speech and language development was found to be a significant variable in the Isle of Wight study, speech could not be adequately assessed in this study to 'permit comparisons. The children in the study were not sufficiently loquacious to allow a judgment concerning speech and language to be made.

Some interesting comparisons can be made between the findings of Rutter et al (1970) and the findings of the present study in terms of socioeconomic status and social class. Over 90 percent of the Isle of Wight study children were living in houses whereas just over 50 percent of the children in this study had this type of accommodation. Although the person/room ratios were similar in both studies, twice as many children in this study were sharing sleeping accommodations than was the case with the educationally handicapped group from the Isle of Wight study.

More of the families in the present study tended to be larger than the families in the Isle of Wight study. Approximately 75 percent of the children in the present study came from families where there were four or more children, whereas only 50 percent of the educationally handicapped from the Isle of Wight came from families with that number of children. One child in five from this study lived in a situation: with other than both natural parents, whereas one child in seven from the Isle of Wight study lived in a similar situation.

From these comparisons, it would appear that the educationally handicapped children of the St. John's area are at a distinct disadvantage on important variables such as child-parent contact and overcrowding as measured by sleeping arrangements and person/room ratio, which might be important in the educational functioning of a child to his potential. In order to obtain information about the possible disadvantage, it would be necessary not only to identify the children experiencing educational difficulty, but also to acquire data concerning social environment.

DISCUSSION

From the study, there has been some evidence to

The Rever

suggest that these children collectively are a part of an "at risk" or vulnerable population. The supportive evidence includes:

(a) a higher report of psychiatric disorder in both groups than has been previously reported among the educationally mandicapped children and the 20 percent prevalence rate of psychiatric disorder found in a pilot total population epidemiological study in Newfoundland. 16

(b) relatively high prevalence rate of children who are at or below the third percentile for height.

(c) family characteristics such as a seemingly high number of parents in both groups who have had recent prolonged hospitalization₁₇; and the fact that over 75 percent of the families in this study have experienced some educational difficulties.

(d) a higher number of children who are not living with both natural parents than has been found elsewhere. This disruption may have had at least-practical implications such as a change of schools.

(e) difficulties in motor task performance. Approximately half of the children demonstrated left-right

¹⁶M. Sullivan, personal communication, July 31, 1974

¹⁷M, Rutter, <u>Children of Sick Parents; an</u> <u>environmental and psychiatric study</u>. Maudsley Monography no. 16. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1966 confusion.

Another point for discussion arising from this study, is the relative absence of children with intelligence scores between 50 - 80 in the special class. From a catchment population of several hundred children serviced by these schools and using a Gaussian curve, one would expect approximately 10 percent of these school children would fail within the range of special class candidates. It is interesting to note that only eight special class children fall within this range. The present special class population has a mean intelligence score of 80.9, higher than what could be acquired if all the children were within the range of specified I.Q. for such classes.

The question then appears to be where are these children? The answer can only be logically pursued by the data which could be provided by a total population survey. An epidemiological population of school children or and other selective population, would not be able to provide evidence that such children were not at home or elsewhere,

If special education classes were implemented to benefit mentally handicapped children (I.Q. from 50 to 80) by reduced teacher - pupil ratio and less competitive academic pace, one wonders where these children are and how they are managing without that which was thought to be desirable.

Another point which should be discussed is the number of children with intelligence test scores over 80 in

the special class. The benefit of the special classes to the children of average intelligence might also be questioned if the same teaching techniques are being implemented with these children as those for whom the classes were originally designed. Even if the children are demonstrating other learning disabilities, the teaching techniques presently employed may not be most effective means of teaching. There is some evidence to suggest that other learning difficulties. do exist; as some 18 children were selected from the study group as possibly having perceptual difficulties. Only two of these children had been previously tested. Of those tested in this present study, 80 percent of the children did show perceptual handicap of visual and/or auditory nature. If these children were not able to discriminate at an age appropriate level, it would seem that regular teaching techniques would not be all that helpful. What would appear to be needed in these cases is specific teaching techniques which would compensate for the particular difficulty. . In' order for this to be implemented, it would appear that adequate educational assessment must be made prior to the

RECOMMENDATIONS

implementation of service

From this exploratory study, there appears to be; (a) lack of basic knowledge concerning the school population upon which services may be developed. This

information is thought to be essential before systematic. inquiry considereing the efficacy of an education experience can be undertaken.

(b) a lack of specific assessment of each individual's academic difficulty. There is a need for comprehensive assessment, prior to implementation of a programme of treatment (educational rehabilitation) be it in special class or elsewhere.

Action needs to be taken to help children who are suffering severe educational handicap; for there is evidence to suggest that this degree of disability will not decrease if the handicap is untreated. Rutter et al (1970) found that children who were two years retarded and/or backward at the time of the study, continued to show that degree of disability when tested two years later. In addition, other complicating factors such as experience of failure, may do much to compound the educational difficulty.

Whether educational handicap is the precipitant or the consequence of antisocial disorder can be actively debated. But there is some evidence (Rutter et al 1970) which indicates that the disorder develops in association with failure in reading. Forty percent of the children who were reading at a level 28 months behind what would be expected given their intelligence quotient had disorders which had lasted less than three years; whereas 21.7 percent of the normal readers had disorders of less than three years. The

increase in disorder may be a reflection of the frustration felt by educationally handicapped. However, the frequent association of severe reading retardation with antisocial disorder remains.

The recommendations of this study are therefore. (a) that a total population study be undertaken so that the characteristics of the children in Newfoundland be known, so that a rationale of service based on knowledge of the extent and severity of the educational and mental handicaps suffered by children in Newfoundland can be adequately formulated,

(b) that a more comprehensive assessment of the individual needs be completed prior to embarking on a plan of remedial action. Inherent in this assessment procedure is the implication that there exists more than one way to deal with academic underachievement.

Some consideration will now be given to the possible method which can be employed to obtain knowledge concerning the characteristics of the children of this province. A two phased total population survey seems to be necessary. The first phase would involve group screening techniques. Teachers and parents can be readily approached by questionnaires and group testing of the children to ascertain intellectual and educational level is practical. Information from this study has shed light on the possible sources of information. Although the parents did not identify any children who had not been identified by the other sources, the parents did provide additional information concerning the nature of the difficulties. The numbers selected by the teachers may be a reflection of the fact that they are a sensitive instrument in the detection of disturbance or that the children manifest abnormality in the school and only in that situation.

124

Secondly then an intensive study of all the children selected by each of the methods, and a randomly selected control group, would be necessary to ascertain the needs of these children so services can be planned. This more in depth study will involve interviews with the children and parents and individual psychological assessment in much the same manner as the present study.

SUMMARY

An exploratory study was undertaken to compare the characteristics of children attending special class and those attending regular class. The groups were similar in age, sex and socioeconomic status. The special class children were found to have significantly lower W.I.S.C. full scale score than the regular class children; however, only 30 percent of the special class children had intelligence scores within the range (50 - 80) for which special class was instituted. Certain aspects of the children were so similar in terms of social, family and physical characteristics that it was suggested that both groups together were a part of a vulnerable population. Comparisons with general populations were not possible, but it was felt that in order for beneficial services to develop, a priority must be the systematic accumulation of data concerning the characteristics and academic, social and medical needs of the general population of the children of Newfoundland.

BIBLIOGRAPHY ...

Abeson, A. "Right to Education," <u>Mental Hygiene</u>, 57:34-37. Spring, 1973.

Anderson, D. "Identification and Investigation of Twenty Underachievers at Thornhill Secondary School 1959-1962," Ontario Education Research Council Proceedings of Annual Conference, 1960.

Anderson, H. "Domination and Integration in the Social Behavior of Young Children in an Experimental Play Situation," <u>Genetic Psychology Monographs</u>, 19:341-408, 1937.

Andrews, C. "What's in a Name?" <u>Newfoundland Teachers</u> Association Journal, 61:10-12, October, 1969.

Andrews, C. Personal Communications, May 22, 1974.

Barr, K. & McDowell, R. "Comparison of Learning Disabled and Emotionally Disturbed Children on Three Deviant Classroom Behaviors," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 39:60, September, 1972.

Bates, W.G. "Saskatchewan and Special Education," <u>Special</u> <u>Education</u>; 41:19-22, February, 1967.

Baumeister, A.A. & Ellis, N.R. "Delayed Response Preformance of Retardates," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 67:714-22, March, 1963.

Beck, H. & Lam, R.L. "The Use of the W.I.S.C. in Predicting Organicity," <u>Journal of Clinical Psychology</u>, 11:154-8, 1955.

Beery, K.E. "Comprehensive Research Evaluation and Assistance for Exceptional Children," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 35:223-8, 1968.

Belmont, L. & Birch, H. "Lateral Dominance, Lateral Awareness and Reading Disability," <u>Child Development</u>, 36:57-71, 1965.

Bennett, A. <u>A Comparative Study of Subnormal Children in</u> <u>Elementary Grades.</u> Reprint of 1932 Doctoral Thesis, Teachers College, New York: A.M.S. Inc., 1972.

Benton, A. "Right-Left Discrimination," <u>The Pediatric Clinics</u> of North America, 15:747-58, August, 1968.
Birch, H. & Belmont, L. "Auditory-Visual Integration in Normal and Retarded Readers," <u>American Journal of</u> <u>Orthopsychiatry</u>, 34:852-61, 1964. 127.

- Birch, H. & Belmont, L. "Auditory-Visual Integration, Intelligence and Reading Ability in School Children," <u>Perceptual Motor Skills</u>, 20:295-305, 1965.
- Blackman, L.S. "The Dimensions of a Science of Special Education," <u>Mental Retardation</u>, 5:7, 1967.
- Blackman, L.S. & Heintz, P. "Mentally Retarded; Education, Special Classes," <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 36:10-12, February, 1966.
- Blair, X.F. "Where are we Going in Learning Disabilities?" Special Education in Canada, 43:15-17, 19-21, February, 1969.
- Blanchard, P. "Reading Disabilities in Relation to Adjustment," <u>Mental Hygiene</u>, 12:772-88, 1928.
- Blatt, B. "The Physical Personality and Academic Status of Children who are Mentally Retarded Attending Special Classes as Compared with Children who are Mentally Retarded Attending Regular Classes," Unpublished Doctor of Education Thesis, Pennesylvania State University, 1956,
- Blatt, B. "Physical, Personality and Academic Status of Children who are Mentally Retarded Attending Regular Classes," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 62:810-18, 1958.
- Blom, G.E. "The Concept, 'Perceptually Handicapped' Its Assets and Limitations," <u>Seminars in Psychiatry</u>, 1:253, August, 1969.
- Blom, G.E. & Jones, A.W. "Bases of Classification of Reading Disorders," <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 3:606-17, December, 1970.
- Blum. E.R. & Blackman, L.S. "Research and Demonstration Approach to Special Education in the 70's; Interview, Education and Training of Mentally Retarded, 5:186-93, 1970.
- Boag, A.K. "Special Services; Are they Increasing in our Schools?" <u>New York State Education</u>, 53:21+, May, 1966.
- Bradfield, R. et al. "The Special Child in a Regular Classroom," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 39:384, February, 1973.

Bradley, R.C. <u>Education of Exceptional Children</u>. Wolf City, Texas: University Press, 1970.

128

Bransby, E.R. et al. "Effects of Certain Social Conditions on Health of School Children," <u>British Medical Journal</u>, 2:767-69, 1946.

Brennan, M.E. "Social Factors Contributing To Maladjustment in Children," <u>Royal Society Health Journal</u>, 90:216, 1970.

Brenner, M.W. & Gillman, S.; Zangwill, O.L. & Farrell, M. "Visuo-Motor Disability in School Children," <u>British</u> <u>Medical Journal</u>, 4:259-262, 1967.

Broadhead, G.D. "Social Class Factors in Special Education," Journal of Biosocial Science, 4:315-24, July, 1972.

Brody, E.B. "Achievement of 1st and 2nd Year Pupils in caraded and Non-graded Classrooms;" <u>Elementary School</u> <u>Journal</u>, 70:391-4, April, 1970.

Brown, G. & Rutter, M. "The Measurement of Family Activities" and Relationships. A Methodological Study," <u>Human</u> <u>Relations</u>, 19:241-264, 1966.

Brown, J. "Investigation of Hearing of a Group of Educationally Backward Children," <u>Medical Officer</u>, 113:163-64, 1965.

Brown, R.L. "Distractibility and Some Scholastic Skills," Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 6:55-62, May, 1965.

Burch, C. "Assessment of Variables Relevant to the Referral and Placement of Pupils in Educationally Handicapped Classes," Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, 1969.

Burns, R. & Kaufman, S. <u>Kinetic Family Drawings (K-F-D).</u> New York: Bruner/Mazel Publishers, 1970.

Burt, C. <u>The Backward Child</u>. London: University of London Press, 1950.

Burt, C. <u>The Causes and Treatment of Backwardness</u>. London: University of London Press, 1953.

Bush, W. & Mattson, B. "W.I.S.C. Test Patterns and Underachievers," <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 6:251-256, April, 1973.

- Budoff, M. "Learning Potential: A Supplementary Procedure for Assessing the Ability to Reason," <u>Seminars in</u> <u>Psychiatry</u>, 1:278, August, 1969.
- Cahn, L. & Peterson, R. "Education and Mental Health: A Need for Interdisciplinary Involvement," Journal of School <u>Health</u>, 43:218, 1973.
- Canadian Education Association. "Canada: Report on Developments in Education, 1963-64; Exceptional Children, Canadian Educational Research Digest, 4:84, June, 1964.
- Carter, D. (ed.) <u>Interdisciplinary Approachers to Learning</u> <u>Disorders</u>. Philadelphia: Chilton Book Company, 1970.
- Cassidy, V.M. & Stanton, J.E. <u>Investigation of Factors in-</u> volved in the Educational Placement of Mentally Retarded Children. Columbus, Ohio: Teachers College, 1959.
- Catterall, C.D. "Special Education in Transition: Symposium," Journal of School Psychology, 10:91-211, June, 1972.
 - Cawley, J.K. "Reading Performance Among the Mentally Handicapped: A Problem in Assessment," <u>Training School</u> <u>Bulletin (Vinel)</u>, 63:11-6, May, 1966.
 - Cawley, J. et al. The Slow Learner and the Reading Problem. Springfield: C.C. Thomas & Son, 1972.
 - "Characteristics of the Slow Learner," <u>Education</u>, 90:92, September, 1969.
 - Chess, S., Thomas, A. & Birch, H. "Distortions in Development Reporting Made by Parents of Behaviorally Disturbed Children," Journal of the American Academy of Child Psychiatry, 5,226, 1966.
 - Chidley, N. "Special Education for the Slow Learner," <u>Canadian Education Research Digest</u>, 3:204-15, September, 1963.
 - Christoplos, F. & Renz, P. "A Critical Examination of Special Education Programs," <u>Journal of Special Education</u>, 3:371, 1969.

Clarke, A.D.B. & Clarke, A.M. (ed.) <u>Mental Deficiency</u>; <u>The Changing Outlook.</u> New York: Free Press, 1965. Clausen, J. "Mental Deficiency - Development of a Concept," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 71:727, 1967.

Collins, L. et al. "A Factor Analysis of Some Child Psychiatric Clinic Data," Journal of Mental Science, 108:274, 1962.

Connor, F.P. "Decade Ahead," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 30:389-90, April, 1964.

Connor, L.E. "Changing World of Special Education," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 34:65-6, September, 1967.

Cruickshank, Wm. & Johnson, G.O. <u>Education of Exceptional</u> <u>Children and Youth (2nd. ed.)</u>. Englwood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1967.

Cruickshank, Wm. "Some Issues Facing the Field of Learning Ability," <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 5:380-88, August, 1972.

Culley, Wm. & Jolly, D. "Heights and Weights of Mentally Retarded Children," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 68:203-210, September, 1963.

Cunningham, J.J. "Sociocultural Factors in Labeling Mental Retardates - A Reply," <u>Peabody Journal of Education</u>, 48:188-205, April, 1971.

Daniels, A. "I Don't Want to be Different," <u>Crippled Children</u>, 26:20-23, 1948.

Darrah, J. "Diagnostic Practices and Special Classes for the Educable Mentally Retarded -- A Layman's Critical Review," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 33:523-7, April, 1967.

Davie, A. In a Class of Their Own. London: Longmans, Green and Co. Ltd., 1971.

11

Delacato, C. (ed.). <u>The Diagnosis and Treatment of Speech</u> <u>and Reading Problems</u>. Springfield: Charles C. Thomas, 1963.

Department of Education. "Guidelines for the Establishment of Special Education Classes," St. John's, Newfoundland: Department of Education, 1969 (mimeographed).

STREES STREET

観察と知

Department of Education. "Annual Report," St. John's, Newfoundland: Department of Education, March 31, 1971.

Department of Education. "Statistical Supplement to the Annual Report of the Department of Education and Youth for the School Year Ending June 30, 1972," St. John's, Newfoundland: Department of Education, 1972.

Department of Education. "Educational Services, Division of Special Education," St. John's, Newfoundland: Department of Education, January, 1974.

Di Leo, J. Young Children and Their Drawings. New York: Brunner/Mazel Publishers, 1970.

Dingman, H.F. et al. "Comparison of Empirical Learning Curves in Programmed Instruction at Different Ability Levels," <u>Psychological Report</u>, 17:275-82, August, 1965.

Donohu'e, E. & Shakespeare R. "The Reliability of Paediatric Case-History Milestones," <u>Developmental Medicine and</u> <u>Child Neurology</u>, 9:64-69, 1967.

Donohue, G.T. & Nichtern, S. <u>Teaching the Troubled Child</u>. New York: The Free Press, 1965.

Dorner, S. & Elton, A. "Short Taught and Vulnerable," Special Education, 52,12, June, 1973.

Douglas, J.W.B. & Ross, J.M., "The Effects of Absence on Primary School Performance," <u>British Journal of</u> <u>Educational Psychology</u>, 35:28-40, 1965.

Dunn, L.M. et al. "The Slow Learner (Symposuim)," <u>National</u> <u>Education Association Journal</u>, 48:19-28, October, 1959.

Dunn, L.M. "Is Much of Special Education (as we have known it) Obsolete," <u>Principals' Journal</u>, 8:66-95, March, 1965.

Dunsing, J.D. "Learning Disabilities" Art Science or Witchcraft? Or Let's Save the Baby After the Wash," <u>Academic</u> <u>Therapy</u>, 8:451-60, May, 1973.

Durojaiye, M.O.A. & Bluch, M. "Predicting Educational Suitability of Children in an Assessment|Unit," Journal of Experimental Education, 40:27-36, Winter, 1971.

Eames, T.H. "Incidence of Diseases Among Reading Failures and Non-failures," <u>Journal of Pediatrics</u>, 33:614-17, 1948.

Editorial: "Segregation or Integration," <u>Special Education</u>, 62:11, June, 1973.

Elenbogen, M. "A Comparative Study of Some Aspects of Academic and Social Adjustment of Two Groups of Mentally Retarded Children in Special Classes and in Regular Grades," Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, 1957.

132

- Elkind, D. & Scott, L. "Studies in Perceptual Development: I The Decentering of Perception," <u>Child Development</u>, 33: 619-631, 1962.
- Elkind, D. & Koegler, R. "Studies in Perceptual Development: II Part-Whole Perception," <u>Child Development</u>, 35:81-92, 1964.
- Engelhardt, G. "Increasing the Efficacy of Special Class Placement for Socially Maladjusted," <u>Journal of Special</u> <u>Education</u>, 4:441, 1970.
- Esposito, D. "Homogeneous and Heterogeneous Ability Grouping: Principal Findings and Implications for Evaluating and Designing more Effective Educational Environments," <u>Review of Educational Research</u>, 43:163-79, September, 1973.
- Erikson, M.J. <u>Mentally Retarded Children in Classroom</u>. New York: MacMillan, 1966.
- Ferguson, G. <u>Statistical Analysis in Psychology and Education</u>. Third Edition, New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1971.
- Floud, J.E., Halsey, A.H. & Martin, F.M. <u>Social Class and</u> <u>Educational Opportunity</u>. London: Heinemann, 1957.
- France, N, "Incidence of Emotional and Learning Disorders in Saskatchewan Children," <u>Saskatchewan Journal of</u> <u>Educational Research Development</u>, 1:21-9, Fall, 1970.
- Franks, F.L. "Special Education," Journal of Rehabilitation in Asia, 4:61, 1963.
- Fransella, F. & Gerver, D. "Multiple Regression Equations for Predicting Reading Age from Chronological Age and W.I./S.C. Verbal I.Q.," <u>British Journal of Educational</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 35:86-9, 1965.
- Franseth, J. & Koury, R. <u>Survey of Research on Grouping as</u> <u>Related to Pupil Learning</u>. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Printing Office, Department of Health, Education and Welfare Office, 1966.

Freehill, M. "Children: Disturbing and Disturbed," <u>Pulse</u>, 9:25-30, May, 1970. Furneaux, B. <u>Special Child</u>. Harmondsworth, Middlesex: Penquin, <u>1973</u>

Gallagher, J.J., "New Directions in Special Education," Exceptional Children, 33:441-7, March, 1967.

Gallagher, J.J. "Special Education Contract for Mildly Handicapped Children," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 38:527-35, March, 1972.

Gearheart, B.R. <u>Administration of Special Education:</u> <u>A Guide</u> <u>for General Administrators and Special Educators</u>. Springfield: C.C. Thomas & Son, 1970.

Gessell, A. & Ames. L. "The Development of Directionality in Drawing," Journal of Genetic Psychology, 68:45-61, 1946.

Gessell, A. & Ilg. F. <u>Child Development</u>, <u>An Introduction to</u> <u>the Study of Human Growth</u>. New York: Harper & Brothers Publishers, 1949.

Glass, D.V. "A Note on the Occupational Grouping Used in Tabulating the 1999 Births. Appendix 5 in the Analysis of Births in England and Wales 1939, by Fathers Occupation Part 2, W A.B., Hopkins & Hajnal," Journal of Population Studies 1:296, 1947.

Glasser, A.J. & Zimmerman, I.L. <u>Clinical Interpretation of</u> <u>the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children</u>. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1967.

Glidewell, J., "Behaviour Symptoms in Children and Adjustment in Public Schools," <u>Human Organization</u>, 18:123-130, 1959.

Gluck, M. & LeGasse, A. "Methodology and Problems of Clinical Data Collection and Progressing," <u>Journal of American</u> <u>Academy of Child Psychiatry</u>, 4:7%, 1965.

Goldberg, M.L. <u>Effects of Ability Grouping</u>. New York: Teachers College, 1966.

Goodlad, J. <u>School, Curriculum and the Individual</u>. Xerox College, Waltham, Mass.: Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1966.

Goodman, J. & Sours, J. <u>The Child Mental Status Examination</u>. New York: Basic Books Inc., 1967.

Graham, C. "From Label to Child," <u>Academic Therapy</u>, 8:277-84, Spring, 1973. Graham, E. "Wechsler-Bellevue and W.I.S.C. Scattergrams of Unsuccessful Readers," Journal of Consulting Psychology, 16:268-271, 1952.

Graham, P. "Perceiving Disturbed Children," Special Education, 56:29-33, 1967.

Graham, P. & Rutter, M. "The Reliability and Validity of the Psychiatric Assessment of the Child. II Interview with the Parent," <u>British Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 114:581-592, 1968.

Greene, M. & Retish, F. "A Comparative Study of Attitudes Among Students in Special Education and Regular Education," Training School Bulletin (Vinel), 70:10-4, May, 1973.

Goldberg, J., Kysela, G. & Whyte, L. "Special Education and the Regular Classroom," <u>Elements</u>, 4:4-6, March, 1973.

Hall, J. & Jones, D.C. "The Social Grading of Occupations," British Journal of Sociology, 1:31, 1950.

Hargis, C.H. "The Relationship of Available Instructional Reading Materials to Deficiency in Reading Achievement, <u>American Annuals of the Deaf</u>, 115:27-29, January, 1970.

Haring, N.G. et al. "The Effect of Gross Motor Development on Visual Perception and Eye-hand Co-ordination," Physical Therapy, 46:129-35, February, 1966.

Haring, N, & Ridgway, R. "Early Identification of Children with Learning Disabilities," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 33: 387, February, 1967.

Harris, A. "Lateral Dominance, Directional Confusion and Reading Disability," Journal of Psychology, 44:283-294, 1957.

Harris, D. <u>Children's Drawings as Measures of Intellectual</u> <u>Maturity</u>. New York: Harcourt Brace and World Inc., 1963.

Harshman, H.W. "Toward Differential Treatment of Curriculum," Journal of Special Education, 3:385-87, 1969.

Harvey, J. "To Fix or To Cope: A Delemma for Special Education," <u>Journal of Special Education</u>, 3:389+ 1969.

Heger, H. "Ability Grouping: Can it be Justified," <u>Ohio</u> <u>School</u>, 45:15-16, March, 1967.

- Heidmann, M.A. <u>The Slow Learner in the Primary Grades.</u> Columbus, Ohio: Charles A. Merrill Publishing Company, 1973.
- Henson, C.M. & Shadvach, J.P. "Low Achiever, Our Responsibility," School and Community, 57:16+, April, 1971.
- Hewett, F. Emotionally Disturbed Children in the Classroom. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, 1968.
- Hewett, F. "Strategies of Special Education," <u>Pediatric</u> <u>Clinics of North America</u>, 20:695, August, 1973.
- Hodgson, F.M. "Special Education Facts and Attitudes," Exceptional Children, 30:196-201, January, 1964.
- Hoffman, L.M. & Hoffman, M.L. <u>Review of Child Development</u> <u>Research</u>. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, 1964.
- \Holowinsky, I.Z. "Special Child or Retarded Child? Some Problems of Special Class Placement," <u>Training School</u> <u>Bulletin</u>, 60:118-22, November, 1963.
- Holroyd, J. & Wright, F. "Neurological Implications of W.I.S.C. Verbal-Performance Discrepancies in a Psychiatric Setting," <u>Journal of Consulting Psychology</u>, 29:206-212, 1965.
- Holt, J. <u>How Children Fail</u>. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books, 1964.
- Holt, J. The Underachieving School. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books, 1970.
- Hopkins, K. "An Empirical Analysis of the Efficacy of the W.I.S.C. in the Diagnosis of Organicity in Children of Normal Intelligence," Journal of Genetic Psychology, 105:163-172, 1964.
- Hopkin, W.A.B. & Aajnal, J. "Analysis of Births in England and Wales, 1939, by Father's Occupation Part I," <u>Population Studies</u>, 1:187, 1957.
- Housego, B.E.J. "Non-graded Elementary School: Selected Problems," <u>Canadian Educational Research Digest</u>, 8:245-56, December, 1968.
- Hunter, C. & Meyers, C. "Classroom Climate and Pupil Characteristics in Special Classes for the Educational Handicapped," Journal of School Psychology, 10:25, 1972.
- Hurley, R.L. <u>Poverty and Mental Retardation: A Causal</u> <u>Relationship</u>, New York: Random House, 1969.

Ireton, H. et al. "The Draw-A-Man Test as an Index of Developmental Disorders in Pediatric Outpatient Population," <u>Child Psychiatry and Human Development</u> 2:42-49, Fall, 1971.

Johnston, A.M. "Intellectual Segregation," <u>Elementary School</u> Journal, 67:207-12, January, 1967.

136

Johnson, G.O. <u>Education for the Slow Learner</u>. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Printice-Hall, 1965.

Jones, A.P. & Murray, W. "The Heights and Weights of Educationally Subnormal Children,: Lancet 1:905, 1958.

Jones, R.E. "Traditions of Special Education," <u>Argus</u>, 25:158-9, April, 1966.

Jordan, J. "Intelligence as a Factor in Social Position - A Sociometric Study in Special Classes for the Mentally Handicapped," <u>Dissertation Abstract</u>, 214,2987-2988, 1960-61.

Joynt, R., Benton, A. & Fogel, M. "Behavioral and Pathological Correlates of Motor Impersistence," <u>Neurology</u>, 12:876-881, 1962.

Kahl, J.A. & Davis, J.A. "A Comparison of Indexes of Socioeconomic Status," <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 20:317, 1955.

Kappelman, M.M. et al "Profile of Disadvantaged Child with Learning Disorders," <u>Mental Health Difest</u>, 3:20-4, September, 1971.

Kass, C.E. "Introduction to Learning Disabilities," <u>Seminars</u> in <u>Psychiatry</u>, 1:240, August, 1969.

Kass, C.E. & Johnson, M. "Paradoxical Nalues in Learning Disabilities," <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 5:463-6; October, 1972.

Kauppi, D. "The Emperor Has No Clothes: Comments on Christoplos and Renz," Journal of Special Education, 3:393-396, 1969.

Kellmer-Pringle, M.L.; Butler, N.R. & Davie, R. <u>11,000 Seven</u> <u>Year Olds</u>. The First Report of National Child Development Study, (1958 Cohort). London: Longmans, 1966.

Kelly, E.J. <u>Philosophical Perspectives in Special Education</u>. Columbus, Ohio, C.E. Merrill Publishing Co., 1971.

- Kender, J.P. "Is there really a W.I.S.C. Profile for Poor ... Readers," <u>Journal of Learning Disabilities</u>, 5:397-400, August - September, 1972.
- Kennedy, W. & Lindner, R., "A Normative Study of the Goodenough Draw-A-Man Test on Southeastern Negro Elementary School Children," <u>Child Development</u>, 35:33-63, 1965.
- Kenny, T. & Clemmens, R. "Medical and Psychological Correlates in Children with Learning Disabilities," <u>Journal of Pediatrics</u>, 78:273-277, 1971.
- Kephart, N. <u>Slow Learner in the Classroom</u>. Columbus: C.E. Merrill Books, 1961.
- Kershaw, J. "Little Known Aspects of Special Education," <u>Toronto Educational Quarterly</u>, 4:12-15, Winter, 1964-65.
- Kinsbourne, M. & Warrington, E.K. "Developmental Factors in Reading and Writing Backwardness," <u>British Journal of</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 54:145-156, 1963.
- Kline, L.W. "Label not the Learner," <u>Reading Teacher</u>, 26:452-3, February, 1973.
 - Knoblock, P. "Open Education for Emotionally Disturbed Children," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 39:358, February, 1973.
- Kolstor, O. <u>Mental Retardation An Educational Viewpoint.</u>
 New York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston, 1972.
- Kokstoe, O.P. "Programs for the Mildly Retarded: A Reply to the Critics," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 39:51-6, September, 1972.
- Konopnicki, J. "Etiology of School Backwardness," International Review of Education, 15:451-60, 1969.
- Koppitz, E.M. <u>Psychological Evaluation of Children's Human</u> <u>Figures Drawings</u>. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1968.
- Koppitz, E.M. <u>Children with Learning Disabilities A 5</u> Year Follow-up Study. New York: Grune & Stratton, 1971
- Kraft, A. "Down with most Special Classes," <u>Acdemic Therapy</u>, 8:207-16, Winter, 1972+73,
- Kushbeck. A. "Social Problems of Mental Subnormality in Chapter 5, Foundations of Child Psychiatry, A. Miller (ed.), 369, 1968.

- Langdon, M. "Special Education for Handicapped Children -Where and When to Use it," <u>Clinic Pediatrica (Phila)</u>, 5:363-4, June, 1966.
- La Pouse, R. & Monk, M.A. "An Epidemiologic Study of Behaviour Characteristics in Children," <u>American</u> <u>Journal of Public Health</u>, 48:1134-44, 1958.
- La Pouse, R. & Monk, M. "Fears and Worries in a Representative Sample of Children," <u>American Journal</u> of Orthopsychiatry, 29:803-818, 1959.
- La Pouse, R. "The Relationship of Behaviour to Adjustment in a Representative Sample of Children," <u>American</u> <u>Journal of Public Health</u>, 55:1130-1141, 1965.
- Laurita, R.E. "Slow Learner: A Convenient Label," <u>New York</u> <u>State Education</u>, 55:14-17, November, 1967.
- Larson, H.L. "Evaluation & Reporting," <u>Council on Social</u> <u>Administration Bulletin</u>, 9:35-53, February, 1970.
- Lee, J. "The Effectiveness of Routine Examination of School Children," <u>British Medical Journal</u>, 1:573-76, 1958.
- Leeson, J. "Evaluation of a Questionnaire to Parents as a Method of Screening School Children," <u>Medical Officer</u>, 113:313-14, 1965.
- Lewis, C. & Jacobson, B. "Toward a View of Education and the Disturbed - Disturbing Child," <u>Journal of School Health</u>, 43:387-90, June, 1973.
- Lilly, M.S. "Special Education A Teapot in a Tempest," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 37:43-49, 1970.
- Littell, Wm. "The Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Review of a Decade of Research," <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 57:132, 1960.
- Long, N.J. et al. <u>Conflict in the Classroom: The Education</u> <u>of Children with Problems. (2 ed.)</u>. Bemont, California: Wadsworth Publishing Company, 1971.
- Love, H.D. <u>Educating Exceptional Children in Regular Class-</u> rooms. Springfield: Charles C. Thomas & Son, 1972.
- Lowenfeld, V. Your Child and His Art A Guide for Parents. New York: The MacMillan Company, 1967.

- ^o MacFarlene, J.W., Allen, L. & Honzik, M.P. <u>A Developmental</u> <u>Study of the Behavior Problems of Normal Children</u> <u>Between 21 Months and 14 Years</u>. Berkeley, University of California Press, 1962.
- Machover, K. "Human Figure Drawings of Children," Journal of Projective Techniques, 17:85-91, 1953.
- Mackie, R.P. "Spotlighting Advances in Special Education," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 32:77-81, October, 1965.
- McCarthy, J. "Learning Disabilities: Where Have We Been? "Where are We Going?" <u>Seminars in Psychiatry</u>, 1:354, August, 1969.
- McCoy, G.F. "Some Ego Factors Associated with Academic Success and Failure of Educable Mentally Retarded," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 30:80-4, October, 1963.
- McLeod, D.M. "General Conclusions Regrading Grouping," <u>Modern Instructor</u>, 35:387-9, March, 1967.
- McPherson, H. "Special Classes -- May Be a Mistake," British Columbia Teacher, 48:96-9, December, 1968.
- Mahan, T.W. "Slow Learner: Fact or Excuse?" <u>School Review</u>, 73:77-88, Summer, 1965.
- Maietta, D.F. "Current Halloos Confronting Special Learning Disabilities," <u>Seminars in Psychiatry</u>, 1:245, August, 1969.
- Manitoba Education Research Council. "A Survey of Research on the Slow Learner," 2:1-3, December, 1966.
- Mann, L. "Perceptual Training: Misdirections and Redirections," <u>American Journal of Orthopsychiatry</u>, 40: 30-8, January, 1970.
- Martin, E. "Tests of Muscular Efficiency," <u>Physiological</u> <u>Review</u>, 1:454, 1921.
- Martin, F. "Personality Development and Social Adjustment of Mentally Retarded Children," <u>American Journal of</u> Mental Deficiency, 46:28, 1941.
- Martin, W. & Iamrin, D. "An Analysis of the Reliability and Factorial Composition of Ratings of Children's Drawings," Child Development, 22,133, 1951.

Maxwell, A.E. "Discrepancies in the Variances of Test Results for Normal and Neurotic," <u>British Journal of Statistical</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 13:165-72, 1960.

Maxwell, A.E. "Discrepancies Between the Pattern of Abilities for Normal and Neurotic Children," <u>Journal of Mental</u> <u>Science</u>, 107:300-7, 1961.

Mensh, I.N., Kantor, M.B. & Domke, H.R. et al. "Children's Behaviour Symptoms and Their Relationships to School Adjustment, Sex and Social Class," <u>Journal of Social</u> <u>Issues</u>, 15:8-15, 1959.

Mercer, J. "Sociocultural Factors in Labeling Mental Retardates," <u>Peabody Journal of Education</u>, 48:188, April, 1971.

Meyerowitz, J.H. "Parental Awareness of Retardation," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 71:37, 1966-67.

Michal-Smith, H. "Learning Problems of Children," <u>Canadian</u> <u>Mental Health</u>, 16:10-20, November-December, 1968.

Miles, T.R. <u>On Helping the Dyslexic Child</u>. London: Methuen Educational Ltd., 1970.

Miller, J. & Schoenfelder, D. "A Rational Look at Special Class Placement," Journal of Special Education, 3:397, 1969.

Ministry of Department of Man Power and Training, <u>Directory</u> of Occupation Classification, Ottawa, Canada: Information Canada, 1971.

Mitchell, S. & Sheppard, M. "A Comparative Study of Children's Behaviour at Home and at School," <u>British</u> Journal of Educational Psychology, 36:248-254, 1966.

Monea, H.P. "Learning Problems: A New Way of Delivering Services," Journal of School Health, 43:228, 1973.

Money, J. (ed.). <u>Reading Disability: Prgress and Research</u> <u>Needs in Dyslexia</u>. Baltimore: John Hopkins Press, 1962.

Morris, D. <u>Success and Failure in Learning to Read</u>. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books Ltd., 1972.

Morris, J.M. <u>Reading in the Primary School</u>. London: National Foundation for Educational Reseach in England & Wales, 1959.

Muchlberger, C.E. "Factors Related to the Acceptance of Special Classes within the Public Schools," <u>Journal of</u> <u>Special Education for Mentally Retarded</u>, 6:104-8, Winter, 1970. Mulligan, G. "Delinquency and Symptoms of Maladjustment: The Findings of a Longitudinal Study," <u>Proceedings</u> of the Royal Society of Medicine, 56:1083-1086, 1963.

Murphy, L. "Problems in Recongnizing Emotional Disturbances in Children," <u>Child Welfare</u>, 42:473-487, 1963.

Mussen, P. (ed.). <u>Handbook of Research Methods</u> in Child <u>Development</u>. New York: John Viley & Son Inc., 1960.

Nelson, C., & Schmidt, L. "The Question of the Efficacy of Special Classes," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 37:381, 1971.

Noar, G. "Nature of Human Relations Problems in the Classroom," <u>North Central Association Q</u>, 39:196-9, Fall, 1964.

Norin, W.W. "Some Practices in Grouping," <u>Child Education</u>, 45:189-96, December, 1968.

Osterling, 'Olle. <u>The Efficacy of Special Education - A</u> <u>Comparative Study of Class for Slow Learners.</u> Studia Scientiae Paedagogicae Upsaliensia VIII Norstedts: Suenska Bokforlaget.

Padover, A. "Some Words are not Healthy for Children and Other Living Things," <u>Academic Therapy</u>, 8:437-45, Summer, 1973.

Patterson, L.P. "Normality of Exceptional Children;" <u>Canadian</u> <u>College for Teachers</u>, 8:7-17, 1965.

Pearson, G.H. "A Survey of Learning Difficulties in Children," Psychoanalytic Study of the Child, 7:322-86, 1952.

Penn, J. "Reading Disability: A Neurological Defect?" Exceptional_Children, 32:243-248, December, 1966

Pertsch, C. & Frederick, . "A Comparative Study of the Progress of Subnormal Pupils in the Grades and in Special Classes," Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, 1936.

Pikulski, J.J. "A Comparison of Figure Drawings and W.I.S.C. I.Q. Among Disabled Readers," <u>Journal of Learing</u> <u>Disabilities</u>, 5:156-9, March, 1972.

Porter, R., Collins, J.L. & McIver, M.R. "A Comparative Investigation of the Personality of Educable Mentally Retarded Children and Those of a Norm Group of Children, <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 31:457-63, May, 1965.

A Primary Prevention Program for Grade School Children <u>Hospital Community Psychiatry</u>, 18:26, 1967.

Pringle, M.L.K. <u>Able Misfits - A Study of Educational and</u> <u>Behaviour Difficulties of 103 Very Intelligent Children</u> (I.Q.'s 120-200). London: Longman, 1970.

Proceedings of 1st International Conference of the Association for Special Education, 25-28th, July, 1966 - What is Special Education. London, Avery Hill College.of

Proposed C.E.C. Policy Statement on the Organization and Administration of Special Education, <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 39:493-7, March, 1973.

Quay, H.C. "Special Education: Assumptions, Techniques and Evaluative Criteria," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 40:165-70, November, 1973.

Ramanauskas, I., Burrow, W.H. "W.I.S.C. Profiles: Above Average and Mentally Retarded Good and Poor Readers," <u>Mental_Retardation</u>, 11:12-14, April, 1973.

Reger, R. "Eye-hand Co-ordination, Peer Acceptance and Emotional Distrubance," <u>American Journal of Mental</u> <u>Deficiency</u>, 67:589-91, January, 1963.

Reger, R. "Concepts in Special Education," <u>Education</u>, 87:328-32, February, 1967.

Reznikoff, N. & Reznikoff, H. "The Family Drawing Test: A Comparative Study of Children's Drawings;" <u>Journal of</u> <u>Clinical Psychology</u>, 12:167-69, 1956.

Ricketts, D. "Special Education: A Catch all Category," School and Community, 59:17, March, 1973.

Rhodes, Wm. "The Disturbing Child: A Problem of Ecological Management," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 33:449-455, 1967.

Robbins, L. "The Accuracy of Parental Recall of Aspects of Child Rearing Practices," <u>Journal of Social Psychology</u>, 66:261-270, 1963.

Rosenthal, G.H.: "Where did the System Fail?" Clearing House, 41:558-61, May, 1967. Ross, D. "Poor School Achievement" A Psychiatric Study and Classification," <u>Clinical Pediatrics</u>, 5:109, February, 1966.

Rourke, B.P. & Telegdy, G. "Lateralizing Significance of W.I.S.C. Verbal-Performance Discrepancies for Older Children with Learning Disabilities," <u>Perceptual and</u> <u>Motor Skills</u>, 33:875-83, December, 1971.

Rourke, P.G. & Quinlan, D.M. "Psychological Characteristics of Problem Children at the Borderline of Mental Retardation," <u>Journal of Consultation and Clinical</u> <u>Psychology</u>, 40:59-68, February, 1973.

Rubin, R. & Balow, B. "Learning and Behaviour Disorders: A Longitudinal Study," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 37:293, December, 1971.

Rubin, R. & Krus, P. "Validation of a School Behavior Rating Scale Presented at annual Meeting of American Education Research Association," New Orleans, February, 1973 (authors reprint).

Rubin, R.A. et al. "Factors in Special Class Placement," Exceptional Children, 39:525-32, April, 1973.

Runyon, Richard and Haber. <u>Audrey Fundamentals of Behavioral</u> <u>Statistics, Second Edition</u>. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1971.

Rutter, M. "Intelligence and Childhood Psychiatric Disorder," British Journal of Social & Clinical Psychology, 3:120-29,'64.

Rutter, M. "Classification and Categorization in Child Psychiatry," Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 6:71-83, 1965.

Rutter, M. "A Children's Behaviour Questionnaire, for Completion by Teachers: Preliminary Findings," <u>Journal of</u> Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 8:1-11, 1966.

Rutter, M. <u>Children of Sick Parents: An Environmental and</u> <u>Psychiatric Study</u>. Maudsley Monography, No. 16; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1966. Rutter, M. & Graham, P. "Psychiatric Disorders in 10 and 11 Year Old Children," <u>Proceedings of the Royal Society</u> of Medicine, 59:382-387, 1966.

Rutter, M. & Brown, G. "The Reliability and Validity of Measures of Family Life and Relationship in Families Containing a Psychiatric Patient," <u>Social Psychiatry</u>, 1:38-53, 1966.

Ruttar, M., Graham, P. & Birch, H. "Interrelations Between the Choreiform Syndrome, Reading Disability and Psychiatric Disorder in Children of 8 - 11 Years," <u>Developmental Medicine in Child Neurology</u>, 8:149-159, 1966.

Rutter, M. & Graham, P. "The Reliability and Validity of the Psychiatric Assessment of the Child. I Interview with the Child," <u>British Journal of Psychiatry</u>, 114:563-579, 1968.

Rutter, M., Graham, P. & Yule, W. <u>A Neuropsychiatric</u> Study in Childhood. Clinics in Developmental Medicine <u>nos 35/36.</u> London: Wm. Heigeman Medical Books Ltd., 1970.

Rutter, M., Tizard, J. & Whitmore, K. <u>Education, Health and</u> <u>Behaviour</u>, London: Longman Group, 1970.

Rutter, M., Shaffer, D. & Shephard, M. "An Evaluation of the Proposal for a Multi-axial Classification of Child Psychiatric Disorder," <u>Psychological Medicine</u>, 3:244--250, 1973.

Sabatino, D.A. & Becker, J. "Relationship Between Lateral Preference and Selected Behavioural Variables for Children Failing Academically," <u>Child Development</u>, 42: 2055-60, December, 1971.

Safran, C. "Integration and Segregation in Special Education, Special Education in Canada, 46:37-43, November, 1971.

Saxton, G., Blackman, L. & Tretakoff, M. "Achievement Measurement and Academic Grade Placement in Educable Mental Retardates," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 67:748, 1962.

Scott, J.A. <u>Report on Heights and Weights of School Pupils</u> in the County of London in 1959. London, County Council Report 4086, 1961.

Sears, R.R. "Ordinal Position in the Family as a Psychological Variable," <u>American Sociological Review</u>, 15:397-401, 1950.

Seashore H.G. "Differences Between Verbal & Performance I.Q.'s on the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children," <u>Journal</u> of Consulting Psychology, 15:62-7, 1951.

Sellin, D.F. "The Usefulness of the I.Q. in Predicting the Performance of Moderately Mentally Retarded Children," <u>American Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 71:561-62, January, 1967.

Silverman, H. "Special Education - Some References," Orbit, 4:14, February, 1973.

Silverstein, A.B. "Estimating Full Scale I.Q.'s from W.I.S.C. Short Forms," <u>Psychological Report</u>, 20:1264, 1967.

Sloan, W. "Lincoln - Oseretsky Motor Development Scale," <u>Genetic Psychology Monograph</u>, 51:187-252, 1955.

Smythe, P. & Stennett, R. "Centralized Verus Decentralized Classes for Training Mental Retardates: An Unsuccessful Search of the Research Literature," <u>Special Education</u> <u>in Canada</u>, 46:12-5, March-April, 1972.

Southworth, R.S. "Average Student: An Educational Nonentity?". Clearing House, 40:328-8, February, 1966.

Sparks, H.L. & Blackman, L.S. "What is Special About Special Education Revisited: The Mentally Retarded," <u>Exceptional</u> <u>Children</u>, 31-242-7, January, 1965.

Sparrow, S.S. "Dyslexia and Laterality: Evidence for a Developmental Theory," <u>Seminars in Psychiatry</u>, 1:270, August, 1969.

Statistics Canada. "Census tract Bulletin - 1971 Cenus of Canada. Population and Housing Characteristos by Census tracts - St, John's," 95-701 (CT - 1A) Series A, Ottawa: Information Canada, March, 1973.

Stennett, R.G. & Cram, D.M. "Cross-sectional, Percentile Height and Weight Norms for a Representative Sample of Urban School-aged, Ontario Children," <u>Canadian</u> <u>Journal Public Health</u>, 60:465, 1969.

Stevens, H. & Heber, R. (eds.). <u>Mental Retardation: A Review</u> of Research, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1964.

Stuck, G. & Wyne, M. "Study of Verbal Behaviour in Special and Regular Elementary School Classroom," <u>American</u> <u>Journal of Mental Deficiency</u>, 75:463-469, 1971.

Sullivan, L.E. "Analysis of the Effects of Age-at-Placement in Special Class on the Behaviour Characteristics of the Educable Mentally Retarded Child," <u>Teachers College</u> Journal, 36:211, March, 1965. Sullivan, M. Personal Communication, July 31, 1974.

Tansley, A.E. "Studying Children 'At Risk'," <u>Special</u> <u>Education</u>, 55:15-19, 1966.

Thorne, F., Schulman, J. & Kaspar, J. "Reliability and Stability of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children For a Group of Mentally Retarded Boys," <u>American Journal</u> of Mental Deficiency, 67:455, 1962-63.

Thurstone, L.L. "Attitudes can be Measured," <u>American Journal</u> of Sociology, 33:529, 1928.

Thurstone, J.R. "Too Close to Normalcy: Parental Involvement in the Education of Slow Learners," <u>Clearing House</u>, 38:296-8, January, 1964.

Thurstone, T.G. <u>An Evaluation of Educating Mentally</u> <u>Handicapped Children in Special Classes and in Regular</u> <u>Classes</u>. Chapel Hill, North Carolina: School of Education, University of North Carolina, 1959.

Tizard, J. & Grad, J. <u>The Mentally Handicapped and Their</u> <u>Families - A Social Survey</u>. Institute of Psychiatry Maudsley Monographs Number 7, London: Oxford University Press, 1961.

Tuckman, S. "Placement of Pseudo Retarded Children in Classes for Mentally Retarded," <u>Academic Therapy</u>, 7:165-70, Winter, 1971-72.

Ullman, C. "Public Health Monograph No. 7. Identification of Maladjusted School Children," p. 1-41, 1952.

Vacc, N. "Long Term Effects of Special Class Intervention for Emotionally Disturbed Children," <u>Exceptional</u> Children, 39:15, September, 1972.

Valentine, C.W. <u>The Normal Child and Some of his Abnormalities.</u> Harmondsworth: Penguin Books, 1972.

Vergason, G.A. "A Critical Review of Grouping," <u>The High</u> <u>School Journal</u>, 48:427-33, 1965.

Vergason, G.A. "Exceptional Children Abstracts: General," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 32:645-9, May, 1966.

Wachstein, S. "Bedford Stuyvesant's P.S. 83: A School for Healing," Child Welfare, 51:650-7, October, 1972.

Wakefield, R.A. "An Investigation of the Family Backgrounds of Educable Mentally Refarded Children in Special Classes," Exceptional Children, 31:306, 1964. Walker, H.M. <u>Early Identification and Assessment of</u> <u>Behaviorally Handicapped Children in Primary Grades</u>, <u>Report No. 2</u>. Washington, D.C.: Bureau of Education for the Handicapped, 1971.

· · · · · · ·

Walton, J,; Ellis, E, & Court, S. "Clumsy Children: Developmental Apraxia and Agnosia," <u>Brain</u>, 85:603-12, 1962.

Warrington, E.K. "The Incidence of Verbal Disability / Associated with Reading Retardation," <u>Neuropsychologia</u>, 5:175-9, 1967.

Wasserman, M. "Two Classes," Magazine School, 4:101-14, 1970.

Wechsler, D. Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children-Manual. New York: The Psychological Corporation, 1949.

Wenar, C. "The Reliability of Developmental Histories,"/ Psychosomatic Medicine, 25:505-509, 1963.

Willenberg, E.P. "Critical Issues in Special Education: Categorical Aid," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 33:327-8, January, 1967.

Willerman, L. "Biosocial Influences on Human Development," <u>American Journal of Orthopsychiatry</u>, 42:327-328, 1972.

Williams, P. & Gruber, E. <u>Response to Special Schooling</u>. London: Longman's, 1967.

Williams, P. & Gruber, E. "Some Characteristics Differentiating Two Groups of ESN Children," <u>British Journal of</u> <u>Psychiatry</u>, 113:875-884, 1967.

Williams, M.L. & Scarr, S. "Effects of Short Term Intervention on Performance in Low-Birth-Weight, Disadvantaged Children," <u>Pediatrics</u>, 47:289-298, January, 1971.

Winstanley, R.A. "Identification Selection and Instruction of Children with Learning Disabilites," <u>Principals'</u> <u>Journal</u>, 9:19-36, March, 1969.

Wolff, P, & MacKeith, R. (eds.) <u>Planning for Better Learning</u> <u>Clinics in Developmental Medicine No. 33</u>. London: Spastics International Medical Publications, 1969.

Wolff, S. "Recognition of Emotional Disturbance Among School Children," <u>Public Health</u>, 82:11, 1967.

Wright, R.G. "Special: But not Separate," <u>Education</u>, 87: 554-7, May, 1967.

Yarrow, M., Campbell, J. & Burton, R. "Reliability of Maternal Retrospection: A Preliminary Report," <u>Family</u> <u>Process</u>, 3:207-218, 1964.

Yates, J. "Model for Preparing Regular Classroom Teachers for Mainstreaming," <u>Exceptional Children</u>, 39:471, March, 1973.

Yule, W: "A Short Form of the Oseretsky Test of Motor , Proficiency," <u>Bulletin of British Psychological</u> <u>Society</u>, 20:29A-30A, 1967.

Zigmond, N.K. "Learning Patterns in Children With Learning Disabilities," <u>Seminars in Psychiatry</u>, 1:344, August, 1969.

Zimmerman, I.L. & Woo-Sam, J. <u>Research with the Wechsler</u> <u>Intelligence Scale for Children: 1960-1970</u>. Monograph Supplement # 33, Brandon, Vermont: Clinical Psychology Publishing Company, 1972.

Zito, R. "Some Effects of Regular Class and Special Education Programs on Achievement Motivation in Educable Mentally Retarded Negro Adolescent," Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, New Brunswick, New Jersey: Rutter's University, 1967.

APPENDIX A

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Terms are presented in the order of appearance in the text.

Mentally Handicapped Children and Educable Mentally Retarded Children. Within the context of this study those children with intelligence test scores between 50 and 80, were described as either mentally handicapped or educable mentally retarded.

Intellectually Retarded Children. The children with an intelligence test score falling at or below the second standard deviation below the mean, i.e. receiving a test score of 70 or less, were described as intellectually retarded children.

Academic Retardation. Children who are performing at a level of academic functioning below that which should be expected given their age and intelligence test scores are described as academically retarded. The areas of academic retardation studied in this study are reading, spelling and arithmetic. For example a 10 year old with the mental ability of a 14 year old who was reading at a 12 year level, may be described as reading retarded by two years.

Academic Backwardness. Academic backwardness was used to describe those children who demonstrate a delay in their present functioning in academic subjects when compared with the level expected given the chronological age. The areas of academic backwardness examined in this study were reading, spelling and arithmetic. For example, a child of 12 years who was functioning at a reading level of a 10 year old, may then be described as reading backward by two years.

Educational Disability/Handicap. Both educational disability and/or handicap within the context of this thesis were general terms which described children who were demonstrating academic delay whether it be based on calculation with age and/or intelligence test scores.

Parent. Any person in the role of parent to the child other than temporarily, whether or not the natural parent, was regarded as parent; with these terms of reference step parents were included in the description of health history, unless it was otherwise indicated in the interview schedule. Sibling. Siblings included all those acting as sibs (i.e. this included adopted, foster, half, or step sibs) if they had been present in the home for more than 6 months. With these terms of refernce, children from a previous marriage who had only lived in the home for a period of six months during the subject's life were not included.

Psychiatric Disorder. Diagnosis of psychiatric disorder was made according to Rutter (1965). Behavioural items were assessed in the context of age for severity and frequency. The disorders employed were:-

1. Neurotic Disorder. Any disorder in which neurotic symptoms were predominant was thought to be neurotic disorder. Such symptoms included: enuresis, encropresis, eating, sleeping and speech difficulties, tension or comfort habits, anxiety, headaches, fainting attacks and unhappiness or misery.

2. Antisocial Disorder. A disorder in which antisocial symptoms were predominant was referred to as antisocial. Such symptoms included lying, traunting, wandering away from home, stealing, disobedience, destructiveness, fighting and sexual disorders, morbid deviant sexual activity.

3. Mixed Disorder. Any disorder in which there is such a mixture of neurotic and antisocial symptoms that neither tould be said to predominate. APPENDIX B

Teacher's Behaviour Questionnaire

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIA

FOR OFFICE USE OF

SCALE

TO BE COMPLETED BY TEACHERS

Name	of Child	si ⊰oʻ Linina a			Boy/Girl		School			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • •
• •	•	··· .	· . • .				·• ·	. * • • • •	· · ·	• •		
	of Birth				£	· · ·	Grade	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		 	
	•	9	•			ę		т. `• • • • •	, · ·			•• 1
								· · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Τ.

Below are a series of descriptions of behaviour often shown by children. After each statement are three columns:-- "Doesn't Apply", "Applic Somewhat" and "Certainly Applies". If the child definitely shows the behaviour described by the statement place a cross in the box under Column "Certainly Applies". If the child shows the behaviour described by the statement but to a lesser degree or less often place a cross in the box unde Column 1 "Applies Somewhat". If, as far as you are aware, the child does not show the behaviour. place a cross in the box under Column "Doesn't Apply". . 18

Please complete on basis of childs behaviour IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS.

Put ONE cross against EACH stalement. Thank you.

	2 Certainiy Applies	FOR OFFIC
1. Very restless, has difficulty staying seated for long		
2. Truants from school		
3. Squirmy, fidgety child		
4. Often destroys or damages own or others' property		
5. Frequently fights or is extremely quarrelsome with other children		
6. Not much liked by other children		, D
7. Often worried, worries about many things		
8. Tends to be on own-rather solitary		
9. Irritable. Touchy. Is quick to 'fly off the handle'	Ô	
10. Often appears miserable, unhappy, tearful or distressed		
11. Has twitches, mannerisms, or ties of the face or body		
2. Frequently sucks thumb or finger		

	10	•	
STATE	MF	NT	

ST	ATEMENT 0 1 2 Doesn't Applies Certainly Apply Somewhat Applies	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
14.	Tends to be absent from school for trivial reasons	
15,	Is often disobedient	
16.	Cannot settle to anything for more than a few moments	
17.	Tends to be fearful or afraid of new things or new situations	
18.	Fussy or over-particular child	
-19,	Often tells lies	 "
20.	Has stolen things on one or more occasions in the past 12 months .	
21,	Unresponsive, ivert or apathetic (
22.	Often complains of aches or pains	
23.	Has had tears on arrival at school or has refused to come into the building in the past 12 months	
24.	Has a stutter or stamoser	
25.	Resentful or aggressive when corrected	
26.	Builles other children	' , □

Is there anything else unusual about this child's behaviour?--or are there any other comments you would like to make? , . . .

ς.

.

•• •

· · · · ·

٦.

. •

.

· ..*

۰.

Signature: Mr./Mrs./Miss · · · •, THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HELP

ʻ. •

I,

•:

Ľ

'e ` .

Ξ, ٠; . .

٠.

. . .

· ·

• •

• • • •

• . ·•. .

.

ζ.



APPENDIX C

Teacher's Health Questionnaire

the second statement of the

CHILD HEALTH FORM (TEACHERS).

STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

NAME OF CHILD _____ DATE OF BIRTH _

SCHOOL

Instructions:

2.

Please answer ALL questions on the form by putting a number or x as appropriate in the boxes by each question. Please give approximate numbers if you are uncertain.

1. How much schooling has he/she missed for any reason in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?

Fall Term (Sept.-Dec.)

Possible attendances (half-days)

Actual attendances (half-days)

Winter Term 1973 (Jan.-Apr.)

Possible attendances (half-days)

Actual attendances (half-days)

las the child been admitted to hospital during the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?

Yes No Don't know

How long was the child away from school for this reason (days)?

(humber of days)

If admitted, for what reason was the child in hospital? Specify if known

	156
2	Has he/she had to be sent home for ANY medical reason
، د	in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
	Yes 🗍 No 🗍
	If 'YES', on how many days has this been altogether in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
	(number of days)
	Specify reasons if known
4.	Has the school staff had to supervise in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972) any medical dressings?
	Yes No
	If 'YES', on how many days has this been necessary in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	(number of days)
	Specify reasons if known
5.	Has the school staff had to supervise in the present . school year (i.e. since September 1972) any taking of tablets or medicine?
	Yes No
	If 'YES', on how many days has this been necessary in the present school year (i.e. singe September 1972)?
	(number of days)
	Specify reasons for tablets or medicines, if known:
e de la composición d Composición de la composición de la comp	

Se and

ł.

÷

		a 157.
	6	. Are there any school activities in which he/she is not allowed to take part?
		Yes . No
n na sa waa a saa saƙa		taking part
		If 'YES', by whose authority is he/she not allowed to take part (parent, teacher, school doctor, G.P. etc.)
	?	(a) Does he/she have a special diet for meals at school?
April Antonio Transform		Yes No (b) Does he/she go home for lunch because of a special
	ска ,	diet? Yes 🔲 No 🗍
	8	Have special arrangements been made for transport to and from school?
		Yes No
	9	Have any other special arrangements been made for this child whilst attending school? (e.g. wheelchair, help with feeding or toileting)
		Yes 🔲 No 🛄
		If 'YES', please specify
· · ·		
-	<u> </u>	

3

Contraction of the local distance of the loc

55 L

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · ·	158
•	
FITS	
	Has he/she had any fits (e.g. momentary blank spells or falling down unconscious with or without twitching of his/her limbs) at school in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
	Yes No 🔽
	If 'NO', go straight on to Question 11.
	(a) If 'YES', what do his/her fits consist of?
	Put an x in all boxes that apply momentary blank spells
	falling down unconscious WITHOUT twitchings
	falling down unconscious WITH twitchings
	other type of attack
	please specify
	(b) If momentary blank spells, how often have these occurred at school in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
	(number of times)
	(c) If falling down unconscious, with or without twitchings of his/her limbs, how often have these occurred at school in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
	(number of times)
	(d) If other type of attack, how often have these occurred at school in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
	(number of times)
	(e) Has he/she ever injured himself/herself in any of these attacks (since September 1972)?
	Usually Sometimes Never
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

(f)	Has he/she needed special attention in these attacks (since September 1972)?
	Usually Sometimes Never
•	If 'USUALLY' or 'SOMETIMES' please specify
(g)	Has he/she ever passed water or lost control of his/her bowels in an attack (since September 1972)?
۰. جارج	Usually Sometimes Never
(h)	Has he/she ever been dazed or vague after coming out of a fit (since September 1972)?
•	Usually Sometimes Never
	If 'USVALLY' or 'SOMETIMES' for how long does he/she usually remain dazed or vague?
	Minutes
(i)	Has there been any other change of behaviour occurring before or after his/her fits (since . September 1972)?
	Usually Sometimes Never
•	If 'YES', please specify
(1)	During the present school year (i.e. since September
	1972) has he/she been sent home after a fit?
	Yes No
	If 'YES', how many times has this occurred?
	(number of times)
DIABETES	
11. As fa	ar as you know, does this child have sugar diabetes?
Yes	

長知の行 1.1 . . : ÷. 6.44 1-14

	алан ^{са} ла Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан Алан	
•	,	160
•	· . · · ·	
	If 'N	0' go straight on to Question 12.
		If 'YES', has he/she had any reaction of any
· · · · · · · ·	. <	sort at school in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
•••	} .	Yes No
	: •	If yes, please describe
·. ·		
	(Ъ)	If 'YES', how often have they occurred at school in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972)?
•		(number of times)
	ا، د م ۲	
		During the present school year (i.e. since September 1972) has he/she been sent home after a reaction?
· · · · · ·		Yes No
	1	If 'YES', how many times has this occurred?
	: .	(number of times)
	,	
ASTH	<u>IMA</u> ⊲	
		e/she had any asthma or attacks of wheezing at 1 in the present school year (i.e. since September ? (include wheezing on exercise)
	Yes	No 🖸
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	If N	0'; go straight on to Question 13.
		If 'YES', how often have they accurred at school in the present school year (i.e. since September
		1972)?
		(number of times)
		How often in this period has he/she been sent home after an attack?
	l	(number of times)
	•	

-----45 1121.51

•

SKIN CONDITIONS

- 13. As far as you know has he/she suffered from any skin rash or spots since September 1972?
 - Yes No 🛄
 - If 'NO', go straight on to Question 14.
 - (a) If 'YES', has he/she any skin rash or spots which are visible when fully dressed?

161

- Yes No
- (b) Are the skin rash or spots embarrassing to him/her at any time at school, e.g. when doing PE?
 - Yes 🔲 Possibly 🛄 No 🛄
- (c) Has he/she required bandages or special medical applications for the spots or rash (since September 1972)?

Yes No

SPEECH

14. Is this child's speech:

entirely normal *

abnormal in some way, but distinct, clear and easily understandable

speech not quite distinct or clear but easily understandable

understandable with some difficulty

understandable with considerable difficulty

hardly understandable at all

if speech is abnormal or unclear in any way, please describe the child's speech difficulty

SEVERE	HEADACHE
	TIPASMARYILL

15. In the present school year (i.e. since September 1972), has he/she had any severe headaches at school (sufficient to affect his/her concentration)?

162

Yes 🗌 No 🗌

If 'NO', go straight on to Question 16.

(a) If 'YES', on how many days have they occurred at school in the present.school year (i.e. since September 1972)?

(number of days)

(b) How often in the present school year (i.e. since September 1972) has he/she had to be sent home from school because of a severe headache?

(number of days)

(c) Are these headaches sometimes accompanied by vomiting?

Yes No

WEAKNESS OR PARALYSIS

16. Has he/she got any weakness or paralysis of his/her arms or legs?

Yes No

If 'NO', go straight on to Question 17.

If 'YES', please describe it

ENERGY

17. Has this child usually a normal amount of energy? Bounding with energy
Just normal amount of energy Tired, sluggish or lacking in energy Very sluggish, tired, or lacking in energy 163

HEARING

18. Has this child any difficulty with hearing?

- Yes, marked difficulty
- Yes, slight difficulty
- Noj
- If 'YES', please describe

SIGHT

- 19. Has this child difficulty with sight even when wearing glasses?
 - Yes, marked difficulty
 - No
 - If 'YES', please describe _____

COORDINATION

No

- 20. Is this child clumsy or poorly coordinated for his/her age?
 - Yes, marked clumsiness
 - Yes, slight clumsiness
 - I

If 'YES', please describe'

. .

.

21. Are there any other problems in the health or education of this child? Please specify

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME

Name of person completing this form

•Date of completing form

1

161

* The format of the interview has been altered somewhat for presentation within the appendix of the thesis. The interviews were initially compiled, for ease in recording of answers. From the systematic questioning, item one, the pages were so arranged that they were facing each other, the question page on the left and the answer page to the right.

APPENDIX D

Parental Interview

Introduction to the parents should include:

166

"My name is and I am as you know conducting a study concerning children with some difficulties in school. As I might have mentioned to you previously, it may be possible to help the teachers spot other children who may be having some difficulty. I have taken groups of children from two other schools in the St. John's area besides the one your child is attending."

(All the interview times will be arranged previously by telephone.)

Thank you for letting me ask you some questions. Anything you say will of course be kept confidential. I'd like to begin by getting a few details on yourself and your family.

HOW MANY CHILDREN ARE THERE HERE?

AND WHO IS THE OLDEST?

<u>Obtain Details on Children in Household</u> - i'.e group sharing cooking facilities

• • •	NAME	SEX	ACE	NAME OF PRESENT OR LAST SCHOOL	LIVING IN	STEP, FOSTER ADOPTED OR OWN CHILD	;
÷.							

Check by asking

SO THERE ARE CHILDREN LIVING HERE?

HAVE YOU ANY OTHER CHILDREN LIVING AWAY FROM HOME? AND THEN THERE'S YOURSELF, HOW OLD ARE YOU?

_____ Age of Wife

AND YOUR HUSBAND?

Age of Husband 😱

IF DEAD, YEAR OF DEATH _____ REASON,

HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN MARRIED?

HAVE EITHER OF YOU BEEN MARRIED BEFORE?

NUMBER OF MARRIAGES FOR HUSBAND

NUMBER OF MARRIAGES FOR WIFE.

IF NO: THEN ALL THE CHILDREN ARE YOUR OWN, I MEAN NONE OF THEM ARE FOSTERED OR ADOPTED CHILDREN? IF YES: ARE ANY OF THE CHILDREN, CHILDREN OF A PREVIOUS MARRIAGE(S) OR ARE ANY OF THEM FOSTER OR ADOPTED CHILDREN? 168

NO. OF CHILDREN LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD (regardless of age) TOTAL NO. OF CHILDREN BORN ALIVE TO X'S MOTHER

(This rating refers to the whole of the child's life time up to the day of interview. Ordinal position should be coded according to the child's position in the family to all other children with whom he has shared the household for the period of 6 months or longer. Foster children should be rated according to the natural family if child not in foster family for more than 1 year.)

> 0 - oldest 1 - youngest 2 - only 3 - other 4 - twin

9 - not known

IF X'S FATHER IS ALIVE AND LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD, OMIT THE FOLLOWING:

IFAX'S FATHER DEAD, ASK:

WHEN DID HE DIE? (if not already known).... WHEN DID YOU REMARRY? (if applicable)

IF X'S FATHER IS ALIVE BUT NOT LIVING IN HOUSEHOLD, ASK:

ARE YOU DIVORCED?

LEGALLY, SEPARATED?

LIVING APART?

5

WHEN DID HE STOP LIVING WITH YOU? YEAR.. DOES HE SEE THE CHILDREN AT ALL?

HOW OFTEN HAS HE SEEN THEM IN THE PAST YEAR?

(If mother dead, repeat page, phrasing questions appropriately)

Status of Natural Parents .of X

	Scaleı 🗌	
	0 - Married and living together (include common law marriage)	•••
	1 - Unmarried	
	2 - Separated	
	3 - Divorced 1	,.
	4 - Widowed	
	5 - Other	
8	B - Adopted or fostered within 1 year of birth	
	9 - Not known	.•
Now Living With		
	0 - Both natural parents	
	1 - Natural father only	; :
	2 - Natural mother only	
	3 - Natural father and other parent substitute	

X Now Living With Cont'd

- Natural mother and other parent substitute

170

- 5 Other relatives (not parents)
- 6 Other person (neighbor, friend, etc., foster parents)

Scale B

A Not known

- 7 Institution
- 8 Other
- 9 Not known

House

NOW LA WOULD LIKE TO ASK A LITTLE ABOUT THE HOUSE AND SO ON.

DO YOU OWN THE HOUSE OR ARE YOU RENTING?

- <u>Scale A</u>
- 1 House with family household as 1 Owners only household
- 2 House shared with other 2 Rental , household (facilities common) privately
- 3 Apartment part of a building 3 Agency complex complex
- 4 Apartment within house
- 5 Semi-detached house
- 6 Other
- 7 Not known

APART FROM YOU, YOUR HUSBAND AND THE CHILDREN, IS THERE ANYONE ALSO LIVING WITH YOU, SUCH AS OTHER RELATIVES OR A BOARDER?

HAS THERE BEEN DURING THE LAST 3 MONTHS?

	House Cont't	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	NUMBER OF PERSONS IN THE HOUSEHOLD (regardle age) (all those who regularly share eating f	
	HOW MANY ROOMS HAVE YOU ALTOGETHER?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LIVING ROOM? BEDROOMS?	\$
	KITCHEN?	
	WHAT ARE THE SLEEPING ARRANGEMENTS?	
	NO. OF ROOMS IN HOUSEHOLD,	
	PERSON-ROOM RATIO (express to one decimal pl (no. of persons divided by no. rooms)	ace)
	SLEEPING ARRANGEMENTS: (of selected child)	
n,	1 - Child sleeps alone/own room	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 - Sleeps with parents/own bed	
	3 - Sleeps with parents/parents bed	£.
• • • •	4 - Sleeps with sibs/own bed	
· · · · · ·	5 - Sleeps with sibs/shares bed	
1	6 -) Shares bed with sibs in parents room	· · ·
	7 - Any other sleeping arrangement/own bed	
	8 - Any other sleeping arrangement/shares be	a
	9 - Not known	
· · · · ·	Facilities	
	DO YOU HAVE A FIXED BATH?	a Do You
	A KITCHEN?	SHARE IT WITH ANYC
	RUNNING HOT WATER? (must) come from tap)	
, ****** , ******		0



ARE YOU SATISFIED WITH YOUR HOUSING CONDITIONS?

Satisfaction with Housing

VERBATIM ANSWER:

0 - No dissatisfaction

1 - Slight dissatisfaction

2 - Moderate dissatisfaction

172

3 - Marked dissatisfaction

9 - Not known

Children's Health

G.P. HOW HAS THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH BEEN IN THE PAST YEAR? HAS HAD TO SEE THE DOCTOR FOR ANYTHING?

Children's Health Cont'd

WHY WAS THIS? 0

HAS HE/SHE BEEN FOR ANY OTHER REASON?

173

HOW ABOUT CHECK-UPS?

OR REGULAR PRESCRIPTIONS?

OR ACCIDENTS?

OR ANYTHING ELSE?

HAS HE/SHE HAD TO TAKE ANYTHING TO HELP HIM/HER SLEEP IN THE PAST YEAR?

HAS HE/SHE HAD TO TAKE ANYTHING FOR WORRYING?

(Repeat the questions for each child. Probe to get a clear idea of contacts and reasons for them, in the past year. Find out whether the child has had to take sedatives or stimulants.)

G.P. Contacts (notes on contacts and reasons)

	·····	·	·		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	Child	Medical	Dubious Psychiatric	Psychiatric	Sedatives Stimulants
•					
•					

Children's Health Cont'd

CODING: 0 - No

1 - Yes 9 - Not known 174

Hospitals and Clinics

HAVE THE CHILDREN HAD TO GO TO HOSPITAL OR CLINICS IN THE PAST YEAR?

EITHER AS OUTPATIENT OR AN INPATIENT?

FOR ACCIDENTS?

OR CHECK UPS?

OR SPECIAL TESTS?

WHY WAS THIS?

HOW LONG WAS HE/SHE THERE?

WHEN WAS THIS?

WHICH HOSPITAL?

HAVE YOU EVER HAD TO TAKE ANY OF THE CHILDREN. TO SEE A SPECIALIST, ABOUT ANY BEHAVIOUR DIFFICULTIES OR NERVES, OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT?

HOSPITAL CONTACTS: IN PAST YEAR (note details)

Hospitals and Clinics Cont'd

•	Children	Medical Reasons	Dubiously Psychiatric Reasons	Psychiatric Reasons	Psychiatric Contacts: Whole Life
ĺ		4,19	•••••		
				(

CODING: 0

0 - None1 - OP

2 - IP 9 - Not known

.7

WHAT ABOUT GOING TO HOSPITAL FOR ANY REASON WHEN THEY WERE YOUNG?

HAS EVER BEEN ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL?

IF YES - WHAT FOR?

WHEN?

NOTE DETAIL:

HAS HE/SHE EVER BEEN TO ANY CLINIC? SUCH AS SPEECH THERAPY CLINIC? IF YES - WHAT WAS THAT FOR?.

WHEN?

(Note reason for attendance and date)

175

Hospitals and Clinics Cont'd

,	Children	Medical Reasons	Dubious Psychiatric Reasons	Psychiatric Reasons
•				

1	No contacts for these reasons
	OF contact only for these reasons
	IP contact for these reasons
• •	Not applicable

Not known

CODING

Separation (if applicable)

YOU MENTIONED THAT WAS ADMITTED TO HOSPITAL WHEN HE/SHE WAS YEARS OLD.

HAS HE/SHE EVER BEEN AWAY FROM YOU OR YOUR HUSBAND FOR ANY (OTHER) REASON?

SUCH AS YOUR GOING INTO HOSPITAL. (FOR EXAMPLE TO HAVE A BABY)

OR YOUR HUSBAND COING INTO HOSPITAL?

OR HIS/HER GOING TO STAY WITH RELATIVES OR FRIENDS FOR A TIME?

OR YOUR HUSBAND WORKING AWAY FROM HOME?

(Obtain details of any separations from either parent for as long as one week, noting reason, age of the child at the time and nature of placement.)

<u>Separations and Admissions - Whole Life</u> (note all separations and admissions)

·	Child Separations, Admissions
, <u> </u>	Separations - Whole Life
•	None
, 13.	Father only (1/52 or more)
•	Mother only (1/52 or more) 2
· ;	Mother and Father at different 3 times (1/52 or more)
`) .'	Mother and Father simultaneiously 4 (1/52 or more)
	Not known 9
Ì	Admissions - Whole Life
: ,	No admission for as long as 1/52 0

Admissions - Whole Life Cont'd

Admission to hospital for 1/52 or Longer but no admission to other institutions or foster homes

Admission to an institution other than hospital or to foster care for 1/52 or longer

Not known

Emotional and / or Behaviour Difficulties

AS I MENTIONED AT THE BEGINNING WE ARE PARTICULARLY CONCERNED WITH SO PERHAPS I COULD ASK IN A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ABOUT HIS/HER BEHAVIOUR OR EMOTIONAL DIFFICULTIES? OR ANY DIFFICULTY WITH HIS/HER NERVES?

(if no go on to next topic).

IF YES:

DO YOU THINK THAT (the difficulties) ARE MORE THAN , MOST BOYS OR GIRLS OF'S AGE HAVE?

WHAT SORT OF DIFFICULTY HAS HE/SHE GOT?

(Obtain a listing. Do not probe for details after the parent stops - ask "Does he/she have any other difficulties? Until the parent says no.)

WHAT DO YOU THINK THESE MAY BE DUE TO?

(Write down informant's answer - verbatim as far as possible. Encourage informant to offer opinion without specific probes.)

BRIEFY LISTING OF DIFFICULTIES



	•		
,			
	CODING:		
1	GUIDENGE		
- L - L		•	

1.

「二、「「「「」」

0	<u>-</u> ′.	No difficulties
1.		Factors in child (other than heredity).
2	-	Factors in school ,
3	<u> </u>	Factors in heredity .
4	-	Handling by parents
5	•	Strees outside school
6		Normal part of "growing up"
7		Physical disorder in child
.8	. –	Other (specify)

9 - Not known

HAVE YOU HVER GONE TO ANYONE FOR HELP OR ADVICE ______ ABOUT THESE DIFFICULTIES?

IF YES - WHO DID YOU SEE?

WHEN DID YOU GO?

WHERE?

WHOSE SUGGESTION WAS IT?

DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU NEED ANYMORE HELP THAN YOU ARE GETTING?

<u>IF NO</u> - DO YOU FEEL THAT YOU WOULD NEED OR WOULD LIKE HELP OR ADVISE FROM ANYONE ABOUT*S DIFFICULTIES?

IF YES - WHO DO YOU THINK COULD GIVE YOU THIS SORT

ATTENDANCE AT CLINIC ETC.: 0 - Not attending any clinic, hospital,

doctor, etc. 1 - Attending G.P. only

for behaviour difficulties

2 - Attending child guidance clinic or psychlatrist

3 - Attending other agency only

9 - Not knówn

IF ATTENDING ANYWHERE SPECIFY:

WHERE -

SINCE WHEN -

IF ATTENDING ANYWHERE SPECIFY CONT'D:

ON WHOSE SUGGESTION -

WHETHER STILL ATTENDING -

, MORE HELP:

0 - Not wanted

-1 - Wanted

2 - Vague or uncertain

8 - Not applicable - no difficulties

9 - Not known

HELP WANTED - SPECIFY FROM WHOM:

(The interviewer should now obtain a detailed account of all the behavioural or emotional difficulties mentioned earlier by the informant. Questions for descriptions of actual behaviour is not adequate as an account. The "interviewer must find out how the child manifests if and in what circumstances. Similarly, the interviewer should not accept the statement that 'he is jealous' without finding out what it is. which makes the parent think this.) The interviewer should also ask about:

Where the behaviour is shown

Severity of the behaviour

Frequency

Date of onset

Precipitants

Course of behaviour last year

NOW MAY I ASK YOU IN A BIT MORE DETAIL ABOUT THE (behaviour or difficulties) YOU MENTIONED BARLIER. WHAT EXACTLY DOES HE/SHE DO? COULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE IT FOR ME?

POSSIBLE PROBES - IS HE LIKE THIS ALSO WHEN HE'S AT SCHOOL? ... OR STAYING WITH FRIENDS OR WITH RELATIVES? WHEN WAS HE FIRST LIKE THIS?

HOW OFTEN DOES HE (behaviour) NOW? WHAT SEEMS TO BRING IT ON?

WHAT MAKES IT BETTER?

HAS IT BEEN GETTING BETTER OR WORSE OVER THE LAST YEAR?

IN WHAT WAY?

(For inferential items like "worries" ask.)

WHAT IS IT ABOUT HIM/HER THAT MAKES YOU THINK HE IS WORRYING?

1.11.2

(In each item make a judgment as to whether the behaviour is significantly abnormal for a child of X's age. Put yes (definitely); possible (minor or doubtful); or no.)

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE



(If no behavioural or emotional difficulties have been reported by informant ask.)

PERHAPS I COULD JUST CHECK NOW WHETHER HE/SHE HAS HAD ANY OF A NUMBER OF OTHER HEALTH OR BEHAVIOUR PROBLEMS OFTEN SHOWN BY BOYS AND GIRLS?

(Proceed with list of items, but do not ask items) already covered, and do not probe on behaviours which were present prior to 12 months.)

いいようかいたのか

PROBE ON .	WHERE SHOWN
	SEVERITY
	FREQUENCY
	ONSET DATE

PRECIPITANTS

Tranta

DOES HE OR SHE EVER HAVE SEVERE HEADACHES? IF YES - IS HE/SHE SICK WITH THEM? DOES IT AFFECT HIS/HER SIGHT AT ALL?

۲.,

2. WHAT ABOUT STOMACH ACHES?

IF YES - DOES HE/SHE VOMIT?

WHAT TIME OF THE DAY DOES HE/SHE GET THEM?

DOES HE/SHE GET THEM MORE AT WEEKENDS OR DURING THE WEEK?

WHAT ABOUT DURING SCHOOL HOLIDAYS?



DOES HE/SHE EVER WET HIS/HER BED? IF NO - DOES HE/SHE EVER HAVE ANY ACCIDENTS? IF YES - HOW OFTEN DOES HE/SHE WET THE BED? HAS HE/SHE ALWAYS WET THE BED? OR WHEN DID HE/SHE START?

. 7 1

WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD HE/SHE HAS BEEN DRY?

186

DOES HE/SHE EVER WET HIS/HER PANTS, OR ARE THERE EVER ANY ACCIDENTS?

IF YES - HOW OFTEN DOES HE/SHE WET HIS/HER PANTS?

> HAS HE/SHE ALWAYS WET HIS/HER PANTS OR WHEN DID IT START?

WHAT'S THE LONGEST PERIOD HE/SHE HAS BEEN DRY?

DOES HE/SHE WET AWAY FROM-HOME, SUCH AS WITH RELATIVES OR ON HOLIDAY?



 5. DOES HE/SHE EVER SOIL HIM/HERSELF?

IF YES - HOW OFTEN DOES HE/SHE SOIL HIM/ HERSELF?

> ARE THERE ACTUAL PIECES OF MOTION IN HIS/ HER PANTS OR IS IT JUST STAINING?

-188

HAS HE/SHE ALWAYS SOILED HIM/HERSELF..... OR WHEN DID THIS START?

WHAT IS THE LONGEST PERIOD HE/SHE HAS BEEN CLEAN?

DOES HE/SHE SOIL HIM/HERSELF WHEN AWAY FROM HOME WITH RELATIVES OR ON HOLIDAYS FOR EXAMPLE.

6. DOES HE/SHE STUTTER OR STANMER?

IF YES - WHAT IS IT LIKE?

2.16

HOW OFTEN? WHEN IS IT WORSE?

WHEN IS IT BETTER? WHEN DID IT START?

A Contraction of the second se



٩; •

CONTRACT OF

DOES HE/SHE HAVE ANY OTHER DIFFICULTY WITH SPEECH? IF YES - WHAT IS IT LIKE? HOW OFTEN? WHEN IS IT WORSE? WHEN IS IT BETTER? WHEN DID IT START?

7:

IS THERE ANY DIFFICULTY NOW WITH EATING?

WHAT THINGS WON'T HE/SHE EAT?

WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU TRY TO PERSUADE HIM/HER TO EAT THESE THINGS?

WILL HE/SHE EAT THEM WHEN HAVING A MEAL AT SCHOOL, OR RESTAURANT?

Contraction of the second s

2



IS THERE ANY DIFFICULTY WITH SLEEPING? DOES HE/SHE HAVE ANY DIFFICULTY GETTING OFF TO SLEEP? DOES HE/SHE EVER WAKE IN THE NIGHT? DOES HE/SHE SCREAM....OR COME TO YOUR BED? DOES HE/SHE EVER HAVE NIGHTMARES OR WAKE UP WITH BAD DREAMS?

DOES HE/SHE EVER WALK IN HIS/HER SLEEP?

10. HOW ACTIVE IS HE/SHE? WOULD YOU SAY THAT HE IS AN UNUSUALLY OVERACTIVE OR RESTLESS CHILD?

IF YES - HOW DOES HE/SHE SHOW IT?

WILL HE/SHE STAY IF EXPECTED TO - E.G. AT MEAL TIMES?

CAN HE/SHE BE STILL FOR AS LONG AS AN HOUR IF HE/SHE IS DOING SOMETHING HE/SHE IS INTERESTED IN e.g. WATCHING T.Y. OR READING A BOOK?

IF CHILD UNABLE TO, STAY STILL, ASK: -

DOES HE/SHE GET UPSET IF HE/SHE IS FORCED TO BE STILL EVEN FOR A LITTLE WHILE?



.:,

11. IS HE/SHE A FIDGETY CHILD?

IF YES & HOW DOES HE/SHE SHOW IT?

WHEN DOES HE/SHE FIDGET?

ARE THERE TIMES WHEN HE/SHE DIDN'T FIDGET AT ALL?

194

- 12. WHAT IS HIS/HER CONCENTRATION LIKE?
 - (If not already clear from question on activity, ask:)

WOULD HE/SHE SPEND AS LONG AS 3/4 OF AN HOUR DOING SOMETHING HE/SHE IS INTERESTED IN? (e.g. watching T.V.)

13. DOES HE/SHE HAVE ANY MANNERISMS OR TICS, SUCH AS TWITCHES OF HIS/HER FACE OR SHOULDERS? OR A HABIT OF BLINKING?



14. DOES HE/SHE SUCK HIS/HER THUMB? OR DOES HE/ SHE SUCK ANYTHING ELSE, PENCILS, CLOTHING OR THINGS LIKE THAT?

IF YES - WHAT DOES HE/SHE SUCK?

HOW OFTEN?

DOES HE/SHE TEND TO DO IT AT ANY PARTICULAR TIME? (e.g. ON GOING TO BED OR WHEN WORRIED?)

WHEN DID HE/SHE START DOING IT?

15. DOES HE/SHE BITE HIS NAILS? OR PENCILS OR ANYTHING ELSE?

IF YES - WHAT DOES HE/SHE BITE?

HOW OFTEN?

DOES HE/SHE BITE, HIS/HER NAILS RICHT DOWN?

DOES HE/SHE MAKE THEM BLEED?

DOES HE/SHE TEND TO DO IT AT ANY PARTICULAR TIME?

WHEN DID HE/SHE START DOING IT?

16. DOES HE/SHE HAVE ANY OTHER HABITS OF THAT KIND? SUCH AS BANGING HIS/HER HEAD OR PICKING AT HIS/ HER CLOTHERS?


17-20.

HAS HE/SHE GOT ANY FRIENDS AT SCHOOL?

HOW OLD ARE THEY?

DOES HE/SHE PREFER BOYS/GIRLS HIS/HER AGE OR DOES HE/SHE PREFER THOSE WHO ARE YOUNGER OR OLDER? 198

DOES HE/SHE EVER SEE THEM OUTSIDE SCHOOL?

HOW OFTEN?

WHAT' ABOUT AT HOME?

HAS HE/SHE ANY FRIENDS WHO LIVE NEARBY?

HOW OLD ARE THEY?

HOW OFTEN DOES HE/SHE SEE THEM?

HOW MANY TIMES WAS THAT IN THE LAST WEEK?

21-22.

HAS HE/SHE GOT A SPECIAL FRIEND?

SOMEONE HE/SHE SPECIALLY ENJOYS GOING OUT WITH?

S NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
]	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
· · · · · ·	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATIONS WHERE SHOWN

	٠.						•	
	1	7		Ż	0			
	Т	1	-	4	۷	٠		

		•	•	
dontard	~		٠	
CODING	SI.			

	None. O	One T 1	wo-Three 2	Four-Six 3	Seven + 4	Not	Known 9
		$(1-g) = (1-g)^{2/3}$	· · · ·			,	50 ° .
	PEER	CONTACTS	(past we	ək)		· .	
<i></i>	17.	HOME FRIEN	DS	•			
	18.	SCHOOL FRI	ENDS			:	
	RANG	OF PEER C	ONTACTS	(pást week	6)	·] · .	·, ·
	19.	HOME FRIEN	DS			``````````````````````````````````````	· · · ·
	20.	SCHOOL FRI	ENDS			•	
-	SPECI	AL FRIEND		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6 m .	•	
	21.	HOME FRIEN	D	•		· · ·	
	22.	SCHOOL FRI	END			,	

DOES HE/SHE GENERALLY GO AROUND WITH A CROWD? I MEAN A GROUP THAT GENERALLY GOES AROUND TOGETHER?

23.1

200

ARE THERE BOYS AND GIRLS IN THE GROUP? WHEN DID HE/SHE LAST GO WITH THE GROUP?

24. WHERE DOES HE/SHE USUALLY MEET HIS/HER FRIENDS?

DO OTHER CHILDREN EVER COME AND CALL FOR HIM/HER?

DOES HE/SHE EVER GO TO THE HOMES OF HIS/HER FRIENDS?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN MEMBER OF GANG, CROWD OR PEER GROUP 23. None Dubious membership. Definite membership (home oriented group) . Definite membership (school oriented group) Definite membership (both groups simultaneously) Definite membership (of any but not seen group in last week) Not know VISITS TO FRIEND'S HOUSE (in past month) 24. No visits Visits to friend's house of 'home oriented friend in last month Visits to friend's house of 'school oriented' friend in last month Visits to house of home & school oriented

friend

Not Known

25. DOES HE/SHE HAVE FRIENDS COME TO VISIT HIM/ HER AT HOME?

202

26-27

WHAT DO YOU THINK OF HIS/HER FRIENDS?

HAVE YOU EVER HAD TO SAY THAT HE/SHE SHOULDN'T GO AROUND WITH A RARTICULAR BOY OR GIRL?

IF YES - DID HE/SHE DO AS YOU ASKED?

ALWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
Munico noi Di	
•	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
·	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIÖRATING FACTORS
· · · · · ·	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN
-	93.

VISIT OF FRIEND TO OWN HOUSE (last month) No visits

Visit of home oriented friend to own house

Visit of school oriented friend Visit of Home & school friend

Not Known

ઝે

25.

26.

PARENTAL APPROVAL OF FRIENDS (home oriented) No parental comment or approval only Parental disapproval but no prohibition Prohibition of contact with friends but

Prohibition by parents adhered to by child Not Known

3

DOES HE/SHE TEND TO BE A LEADER OR FOLLOWER?

OR DOES HE/SHE PREFER TO DO THINGS ON HIS/HER OWN? .

IS HE/SHE A GOOD MIXER?

28. HOW DO YOU THINK HE/SHE GETS ON WITH OTHER. BOYS & GIRLS?

27. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY SCHOOL FRIENDS OF WHOM YOU HAVE DISAPPROVED? IF YES - OBTAIN DETAIL CONCERNING RESTRICTIONS.

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN

27. PARENTAL APPROVAL OF FRIENDS (school oriented) No parental comment or approval only Parental disapproval but no prohibition Prohibition of contact with friends but ineffective Prohibition by parents adhered to by child

20-5

3

Not Known

28. OVERALL RATING OF ADEQUACY OF PEER RELATIONSHIP (PAST YEAR) 29. DOES HE/SHE EVER GET TEASED BY OTHER BOYS OR GIRLS? 206

WHAT WOULD IT USUALLY BE ABOUT?

DO YOU THINK THAT HE/SHE GETS TEASED MORE THAN OTHER BOYS/GIRLS?

13

30. WHAT ABOUT BULLYING? DOES HE/SHE EVER GET BULLIED?

DO YOU THINK HE/SHE GETS BULLIED MORE THAN OTHER CHILDREN?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 207

1

29. TEASED BY PEERS (past year) Not teased Teased but no more than other children

Teased somewhat more

Teased a lot more than others

Not Known

30.

BULLIED BY PEERS (past year)

Not bullied Bullied but no more than other children" Bullied somewhat more

Bullied a lot more than others

DOES HE/SHE EVER BULLY OR PICK ON OTHER BOYS OR GIRLS? HAS HE/SHE EVER BEEN IN TROUBLE FOR THIS?

31.

32.

208

MANY BOYS/GIRLS HAVE TIMES WHEN THEY FEEL LONELY.

DO YOU THINK X EVER FEELS LIKE THAT? IF YES - HOW OFTEN?

AT WHAT SORTS OF TIMES?

ALW	AYS NOTE: EXAMPLE
· .	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
· · ·	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
•	DATE OF ONSET
• •	SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN
• •	
31.	IN TROUBLE FOR HITTING OTHER CHILDREN (past year)
• * بر	IN INCODED FOR MITTING OTHER ONTEDRICK (Past Jear)
	Never O
	In trouble but no more than other children 1
•	In trouble somewhat more 2.
•	In trouble a lot more
, • . •	

209

Not Known

32. LONELINESS (past year) Never felt Only rarely Occasionally Often

Not Known

3 . DOES HE/SHE GET POCKET MONEY? HOW MUCH? OR DOES HE/SHE EARN ANY MONEY FOR DOING JOBS? WHAT DOES HE/SHE USUALLY SPEND HIS/HER MONEY ON?

210

34. DOES HE/SHE HAVE TO BE IN AT A CERTAIN TIME AT NIGHT? WHAT TIME? DOES HE/SHE COME IN WHEN HE/SHE IS SUPPOSED TO? DOES HE/SHE HAVE A CERTAIN TIME TO BE IN BED?

WHAT TIME?

IS HE/SHE USUALLY IN BED BY THAT TIME?

WAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER PAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANT
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATIONS WHERE SHOWN

POCKET MONEY IN THE PAST	MONTH
None regular	
25¢ per week or less	•.
26¢-50¢ per week	• •
51¢-75¢ per week	2 * * * * :
76¢-\$1.00 per week	• • •
\$1.00 + per week	
Not Known	

34.

33:

PARENTAL DIS APPROVAL OF CHILD'S ACTIVITIES

No comment or approval only

Parental disapproval but no prohibition

Prohibition of activities or time in but ineffective

Prohibition by parents adhered to by child Not Know 35. DO YOU USUALLY KNOW WHERE HE/SHE GOES WHEN HE/SHE S "OUT"?

WHAT DO YOU THINK OF THE PLACES HE/SHE GOES?

DO YOU EVER DISCUSS WITH HIM/HER WHERE HE/SHE . GOES AND WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT IT?

WHAT DOES HE/SHE SAY?

DOES THIS EVER LEAD TO AN ARGUMENT?

HOW OFTEN HAS THIS HAPPENED IN THE PAST MONTH? (Is it usually with you or your husband.)

LWAYS	NOTE	EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER PAST YEAR PRECIPITANT AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET
· · .	•	DATE OF ONSET SITUATIONS WHERE SHOWN

ALTERCATION ON CHILD'S ACTIVITIES (LAST WEEK) No comment or approval only Altercation once only in past month 2-3 altercations in the past month Weekly or more often Daily or nearly daily Not Known

35B. ALTERCATION WITH MOTHER OR FATHER No altercation Mainly with mother Mainly with father Mainly with both parents together Mainly separately but equally frequent with either parent Not Known (If no sibs omit this question) .

. HOW DOES HE/SHE GET CON WITH HIS/HER BROTHERS AND SISTERS?

HOW MUCH- DO: THEY SQUABBLE?

WHAT OVER?

DO THEY EVER COME TO BLOWS?

IS HE/SHE JEALOUS AT ALL OF THE OTHERS?

• (HOW DOES HE/SHE SHOW IT? WHEN DID HE/SHE START TO BE JEALOUS?)

37. HOW DOES HE/SHE GET ALONG WITH YOU?

IN WHAT WAYS DOES HE/SHE SHOW AFFECTION TOWARDS YOU?

38. WHAT ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND? HOW DOES child s name GET ON WITH HIM?

39. HOW DOES HE/SHE GET ON WITH HIS/HER TEACHERS AT SCHOOL?

40. IS HE/SHE USUALLY HAPPY OR MISERABLE? DOES HE/SHE EVER CRY?

IP UNHAPPY - HOW DOES HE/SHE SHOW IT?

WHAT DOES HE/SHE DO?

HOW OFTEN IS HE/SHE LIKE THAT? DOES ANYTHING SPECIAL SEEM TO MAKE

HIM UNHAPPY?.

HOW MISERABLE DOES HE/SHE GET?



41. HAS HE/SHE TENDED AT ALL TO BLAME HIM/ HERSELF FOR THINGS THAT DON'T SEEM IMPORTANT?

42. HAS HE/SHE EVER SAID LIKE IS NOT WORTH LIVING? IF YES - IF EVIDENCE OF MISERY OR UNHAPPINESS? HAS HE/SHE EVER TRIED TO HARM HIM/ HERSELF OR TAKE HIS/HER LIFE?

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN WORRIED THAT HE/SHE MIGHT?

43. HAS HE/SHE EVER SEEMED TO THINK PEOPLE WERE AGAINST HIM/HER? (obtain details)
IF YES - HAS HE/SHE ACCUSED YOU OF THINGS?
HAS HE/SHE FELT PEOPLE WANTED TO HARM HIM/HER IN ANY WAY?

44. HAS HE/SHE EVER BEHAVED STRANGELY IN ANY WAY? IF YES OBTAIN DETAILS

45. DOES HE/SHE GET WORRIED EASILY?

IF YES - HOW DOES HE/SHE SHOW IT?

HOW OFTEN DOES HE/SHE GET WORRIED?



46. DOES HE/SHE EVER WORRY ABOUT HIS HEALTH AT ALL? HAS HE/SHE EVER THOUGHT. HE/SHE MIGHT HAVE A PHYSICAL DISEASE?

218

DOES HE/SHE EVER HAVE STOMACH ACHES IN THE

IF YES - OBTAIN DETAILS

and a second second second second second

47. How EASILY DOES HE/SHE GET CROSS, IRRITABLE? DOES HE/SHE TEND TO SULK?

> IF YES - HOW DOES HE/SHE SHOW THAT HE'S CROSS? WHAT SORT OF THINGS MAKE HIM CROSS AND SULKY?

> > HOW OFTEN IS HE/SHE LIKE THAT?

DOES HE/SHE EVER HAVE TEMPER TANTRUMS? IF YES - WHAT ARE THEY LIKE?

TED - WINT WITZ THET TIME

DOES HE/SHE SCREAM? OR LIE ON THE FLOOR?

HOW OFTEN?

HOW LONG DO THEY LAST?

WHAT SEEMS TO BRING THEM ON? DOES HE/SHE HAVE TANTRUMS WHEN AT SCHOOL? OR WHEN WITH RELATIVES OR FRIENDS?

HOW DO YOU DEAL WITH THEM?



48. DOES HE/SHE TEND TO BE OVER-FUSSY ABOUT THINGS? LIKE HAVING CLEAN HANDS OR A CLEAN PLATE? OR ABOUT THE WAY HE/SHE PUTS ON HIS/HER CLOTHES?

OR ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE?

IN WHAT WAY?

ARE THERE THINGS HE/SHE INSISTS ON DOING IN A SPECIAL WAY?

DOES HE SOMETIMES FIND HIM/HERSELF DOING THINGS W THAT SEEM SILLY - LIKE TOUCHING THINGS OR WASHING OVER AND OVER AGAIN? ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER PAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS, AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 221

48.

DOES HE/SHE EVER GET WORRIED OR FRIGHTENED IN CERTAIN SITUATIONS?

222

OR ARE THERE SPECIAL THINGS THAT FRIGHTEN HIM?

FOR EXAMPLE SOME BOYS AND GIRLS YGET WORRIED AND UPSET GOING TO SCHOOL IN THE MORNING. DOES HE/ SHE EVER GET LIKE THAT?

WHAT ABOUT GETTING CHANGED FOR P.E. AT SCHOOL OR UNDRESSING WHEN OTHER PEOPLE ARE THERE?

OR BEING IN A CROWD?

49-60.

OR GOING TO A PARTY?

OR MEETING NEW PEOPLE?

OR BEING LEFT ALONE IN THE HOUSE?

OR BEING IN THE DARK?

OR ALSO SOME BOYS AND GIRLS ARE FRIGHTENED OF ANIMALS SUCH AS DOGS OR CATS, IS HE/SHE?

WHAT ABOUT INSECTS?

WHAT ABOUT THUNDER AND LIGHTNING?

OBTAIN FULL DETAILS WHENEVER A FEAR IS REPORTED AND DESCRIBED IN FULL.

ALWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
· · · ·	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
•	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
· · ·	PRECIPITANTS
•	AMELIORATING FACTORS
•	DATE OF ONSET
ۍ ب	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN

SITUATIONAL - SPECIFIC ANXIETY

CODING

¢υ

· · ·	•			Avoidance	•
	· · · · · ·			of object	•
				or situation	Not
Nil	Dubious	Anxiety	Panic Attacks	because anxious	Know
0	1			7 . 11 .	· 0

49.	Going to School	49.
50 .	Undressing	50 .
51.	Crowds	51.
52.	Meeting new People	52.
53.	Going to a Party	53.
54.	Being left alone in the House	54
55.	Dark	55
56.	Dogs	56.
.57 .	Other animals	57.
58,	Insects	58.
59.	Thunder and Lightning	59.
60.	Other Situation - Specify fear	60.
-		•

(IF A GIRL) HAVE PERIODS STARTED YET? IF YES - WHEN DID THEY START? IF NO - ARE THERE ANY SIGNS OF PUBERTY YET? BREAST DEVELOPMENT? BODY HAIR? 224

(IF A BOY) HAS HE BEGUN TO DEVELOP BODY HAIR YET? IN HIS ARMPITS? AROUND, HIS PRIVATES?

62. HAS HE/SHE TAKEN ANY INTEREST IN THE OPPOSITE SEX?

HOW SHOWN?

61.

ARE THERE ANY DIFFICULTIES ABOUT THIS?

(ASK FOR DETAILS IF ANY ABNORMALITY REPORTED.)

	2	225
A T IJ	AYS NOTE: EXAMPLE	
ALM	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY	
	QOURSE OVER LAST YEAR HRECIPITANTS	•
	AMELIORATING FACTORS	•
	DATE OF ONSET SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN	
· · ·		
· · ·		
		. • ·
61.	(Describe the state of puberty)	•
	NO SIGNS OF PUBERTY YET	Ø
	PUBESCENT	1
	PUBERTAL (PERIODS STARTED IF GIRL)	2.
	NOT KNOWN	9
	GIRLS AGE OF ONSET OF PERIODS [] [] (months	
	GIRLS AGE OF ONSET OF PERIODS [] [] [] (months of age)	
		;
	BOYS AGE OF ONSET OF APPRECIABLE BODY HAIR	
•		
		6
		•
· · · · · · ·		• • •
		. [.]
62.	(DESCRIBE ANY SEXUAL DIFFICULTY)	
		`,
		, ,
· · ·		

;

63. HAVE YOU EVER TOLD HIM/HER ANYTHING ABOUT SEX? 'WHERE BABIES COME FROM? DO YOU THINK HE/SHE KNOWS? HOW DID HE/SHE FIND OUT?

Is HE/SHE EVER DESTRUCTIVE? DOES HE/SHE EVER DAMAGE OTHER PEOPLES THINGS? HAS HE/SHE EVER HAD THE HABIT OF SETTING FIRES TO THINGS? IF YES - DOES HE/SHE SEEM TO DO'IT DELIBERATELY? WHOSE THINGS DOES HE/SHE DAMAGE? HAS THERE BEEN ANY TROUBLE WITH NEIGHBORS?

HOW OFTEN DOES IT OCCUR?

114

65. DOES HE/SHE TELL LIES AT ALL? IF YES - WHAT SORT?

> IN WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES? DOES HE/SHE LIE TO OTHER PEOPLE?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LASP YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 227

SEXUAL INFORMATION NO INFORMATION INFORMATION GLEANED FROM PARENTS INFORMATION GLEANED FROM PEERS INFORMATION GLEANED GROM SCHOOL INFORMATION GLEANED FROM OTHERS SPECIFY.

NOT KNOWN

63.

65.

66. HAS HE/SHE EVER TAKEN THINGS THAT DON'T BELONG TO HIM/HER?

OR STOLEN ANYTHING?

IF YES - HOW OFTEN?

WHAT SORTS OF THINGS? WHERE FROM? (HOME, SCHOOL, SHOPS) WAS HE/SHE ON HIS/HER OWN? OR DID HE/SHE DO IT WITH OTHERS? HOW DO YOU DEAL WITH IT? WHAT DOES HE/SHE DO WITH THE THINGS HE/SHE HAS TAKEN? 228

HAS HE/SHE EVER TRUANTED? OR RUN AWAY FROM HOME? OR STAYED OUT ALL NIGHT?

IF YES - WHEN?

IN WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES?

WHAT HAPPENED?

DID HE/SHE DO IT ON HIS OWN OR WITH SOMEONE ELSE?

WHERE WAS HE/SHE WHEN HE/SHE SHOULD HAVE BEEN AT SCHOOL?



68. HAS HE/SHE EVER BEEN IN ANY SORT OF TROUBLE WITH THE POLICE?

230

HAS HE/SHE EVER BEEN TO COURT? IF YES - OBTAIN DETAILS?

IS THERE ANYTHING (ELSE) ABOUT HIS/HER DEVELOPMENT, HIS/HER BEHAVIOUR OR HIS/HER NERVES THAT YOU ARE CONCERNED ABOUT?

7.4

69.

÷.



70. HAS TENDED TO WITHDRAW FROM THE REST OF THE FAMILY AT ALL?

SUCH AS GOING OFF TO HIS/HER ROOM OR STAYING OUT OF THE HOUSE?

OR NOT DOING THINGS WITH THE REST OF THE FAMILY?

OR STAYING IN BED A LOT?

IS THIS ANY DIFFERENT TO THE WAY THINGS WERE WHEN HE/SHE WAS YOUNGER?

SINCE WHAT AGE?

71. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY DIFFICULTIES "GETTING THROUGH" TO X?

IN KNOWING HOW HE/SHE FEELS?

DOES TALK TO YOU ABOUT WHAT HE/SHE'S DOING OR PLANNING TO DO?

OR. ABOUT HOW HE/SHE FEELS?

IS THIS ANY DIFFERENT TO THE WAY THINGS WERE WHEN HE/SHE WAS YOUNGER?

SINCE WHAT AGE?



70. 0--- No withdrawal.

1 - Some withdrawal but always been
2 - Marked withdrawal but always been
3 - Some withdrawal, change from when younger
4 - Marked withdrawal, change from when younger
5 - Some increase in family contact
6 - Marked increase in family contact
9 - Not known


PARENTS ATTITUDE TO CHILD'S EDUCATION

i.

1.1

· · ·

I'D LIKE TO ASK YOU NOW A LITTLE ABOUT.child:s.name.. SCHOOLING?

72. HOW DO YOU THINK THAT HE/SHE IS GETTING ON WITH HIS/HER SCHOOL WORK COMPARED WITH OTHER BOYS AND GIRLS OF HIS/HER AGE?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

72. VERBATIM DESCRIPTION

0 - Exceptionally good average
1 - Doing well, better than ever
2 - Above average
3 - Below average, not very well
4 - Doing very badly - failing

235

9 - Not known

73. DOES HE/SHE HAVE HOME WORK?

IF YES - HOW MUCH TIME DOES HE/SHE SPEND AT IT DURING THE WEEK?

WHERE DOES HE/SHE DO IT?

DOES HE/SHE GET ANY HELP FROM ANYONE?

23

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OBER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

(a)

b)

Homework 0 - No homework dona done: ast 2 1 - Homework done only irregularly wks, or 2 - Less than 1 hour per weekday on average) on homework 3 - 1-2 hours per weekday on homework

> - More than 2 hours per weekday on 4 homework 5 - Homework set but not done 8 - No homework assigned 9 - Not known

237

0 - No homework set 1 - Homework set, no special place, no family concession 2 - Homework set, no special place, family concession

- Homework set, special place available 4 - Homework set, usually done in school

5 - Homework set, done in library, elsewhere out of home

9-- Not known

3

· Homework ·

Place

HAVE YOU HAD ANY CONTACT WITH THE TEACHERS? WAS IT DURING A REGULAR TEACHERS-PARENTS

WAS IT PRECIPITATED BY YOU?

THE TEACHER?

MEETING?

75.

HAS EVER GOT INTO DIFFICULTIES AT SCHOOL THAT YOU ARE AWARE OF?

BEHAVIOURAL - HAD TO BE DISCIPLINED FOR INFRACTIONS OF RULES? ACADEMIC - HAD EXTRANDUTORAL INSTRUCTION OR PARTICIPATED IN AN EXPERIMENTAL

OR PARTICIPATED IN AN EXPERIMENT COURSE - TO AID LEARNING?



「日本は、大部を見ていたのである」とうでにいてい

76. HOW WERE YOU MADE AWARE OF CHILD'S DIFFICULTIES?

240

77. WHAT WAS DONE ABOUT THESE DIFFICULTIES?

241
and the second
ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS
DATE OF ONSET ;
SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN
76. METHOD USED TO INFORM PARENT OF CHILD'S
DIFFICULTIES
0 - No difficulties
1 - Parents told by teacher in parent- teacher meeting situation
2 - Parents told by principal in P-T
meeting situation
3 - Parents told by teacher in interview situation
4 - Parents told by principal in interview situation
5 - Parents told by teacher & principal
6 - Discussed by telephone conversation
9 - Not known
77. DIFFICULTIES DEALT WITH
0 - No difficulties
1 - Nothing done
2 - Referral to school medical officer
3 - Referral to Public, Health Nurse
4 - Referral to counsellor
5 - Recommended additional teaching experiences
6 - Special class placement
9 - Not known

SCHOOL HISTORY

.: ?. • •

Ş

HAS THIS BEEN THE ONLY SCHOOL YOUR SON/DAUGHTER HAS ATTENDED?

242

IF NO - WHICH SCHOOLS HAS HE/SHE ATTENDED? HOW DID HE/SHE GET ALONG THERE? WHY THE CHANGE OF SCHOOLS?

AT ANY OF THESE OTHER SCHOOLS, DID THE TEACHER EVER CONTACT YOU ABOUT?

SCHOOL HISTORY

DESCRIPTION:

NO. OF SCHOOLS

- 0 No change since commencement of school life
- 1 Only one change during school life
- 2 Two changes or more
- 3 One change per academic year since beginning of school
- 4 More than one change per academic year since beginning of school
- 9 Not known

DIFFICULTIES AT OTHER SCHOOL

- 0 None
- 1 Inability to keep up academically
- 2 Infractions of school regulations
- 3 Combination of both
- 4 Other, specify ...
- 8 Not applicable
- 9 Not known

REASONS FOR CHANGE

- 0 Continuation of academic career (child had attained highest grade available in that school)
- 1 Family move into a different school district
- 2 Parent motivated school move due to dissatisfaction with child's achievement, or school
- 3 School motivated move due to unsuitability
- 4 Other, specify
- 9 Not known

PERSONAL HISTORY

PREGNANCY & DELIVERY

DID YOU HAVE ANY COMPLICATIONS WITH EITHER PREGNANCY OR DELIVERY? 78. WERE INSTRUMENTS USED? EXPOSURE TO INFECTIONS -V.D. VAGINAL

DIABETES? TOXEMIA OF PREGNANCY? THREATENED ABORTIONS? BREECH DELIVERY? PLACENTA PREVIA? CORDING OF BABY? PROLONGED LABOUR? CAESARIAN SECTION? RH FACTOR TWINING? ... FIRST BIRTH?

79.

18 A 19

GERMAN MEASLES

WAS THE BABY BORN AT HOSPITAL OR AT HOME?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 246

-]78. 0 No abnormality 1 - Dubious
 - 2 Definite
 - 9 Not known

- 79. LOCATION 0 - Home alone
 - 1 Midwife or doctor in attendance
 - 2 Hospital admission
 - 3 Other (specify)
 - 9 Not known

80. WAS THE BABY FULL TERM? PREMATURE? HOW MANY, WEEKS? HOW MANY WEEKS? LATE? WHO DECIDED PREMATURITY? DOCTOR?

HOW MUCH DID THE BABY WEIGH? 81.

82. HOW WAS YOUR HEALTH DURING AND AFTER PREGNANCY?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 248

80. MATURITY
0 - Full term
1 - Premature (1-3 wks)
2 - Premature (4 + wks)
3 - Post mature (1-3 wks)
4 - Post mature (4 + wks)
9 - Not known

B1. WEIGHT (Weight to be given to one decimal place.)

82. SPECIFY - GIVE DETAILS - MEDS

DEVELOPMENT IN INFANCY & NEONATAL PERIOD

DID HE/SHE HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES BREATHING OR FEEDING?

IF YES - WERE THERE TIMES WHEN HE/SHE WOULD BECOME BLUE?

WHAT ABOUT TIMES WHEN HE/SHE APPEARED TO BE GAGGING?

249

DID HE/SHE APPEAR TO HAVE DIFFICULTY FEEDING DURING NEONATAL PERIOD?

II. DID HE/SHE HAVE ANY CONVULSIONS SHORTLY AFTER BIRTH?

III. WAS HE/SHE JAUNDICED AFTER BIRTH?

IF YES - HOW LONG DID IT LAST?.

WAS A TRANSFUSION NECESSARY?

IV. WAS A PLACID OR ACTIVE BABY?

DID HE/SHE RESPOND TO YOU, IN SMILING IF YOU CAME TO FEED OR CHANGE HIM/HER?

, · · ·		
·- ·	DEVEL	OPMENT IN NEONATAL PERIOD AND INFANCY -
	I.	DESCRIPTION
·		0 - No abnormality
· - · .		1 - Some or dubious abnormality
· . · . • •	· · ·	2 - Definite abnormality
	II.	CONVULSIONS
, <i>*</i> .		0 - None
	· · / · · ·	1 - Dubious form behaviour
	,	2 - Convulsions present during period
		9 - Not known
	· ·. ·	
	III.	JAUNDICE
	· · · ·	0 - Not present
	· · · ·	1 - Dubious
	· · ·	2 - Present but not warranting transfusion
1997 - 1 997 1997	•	3 - Present and needing blood transfusion
		9 - Not known
	τv	RESPONSE TO MOTHER - DESCRIPTION
ليتسا	¥.	0 - Mother reports nothing unusual
· · ·	· • · · · · · ·	
		1 - Mother felt baby did not respond
· · · .		9 - Mother does not remember

2<u>5</u>0

MILESTÓNES

- I. MOTOR
 - WHEN DID SIT UP ON HIS OWN?
 - WHAT ABOUT STANDING?
 - WHEN DID HE/SHE BEGIN TO WALK?

II. VERBAL

III.

IV.

WHEN WAS HE/SHE ABLE TO SAY PIRST WORDS i.e. NOT BABBLING?

WHAT ABOUT THREE WORD PHRASES?

BOWEL AND BLADDER CONTROL

i.e. THAT HE/SHE WAS CONTINENT DURING THE DAY AND NOT HAVE ANY ACCIDENTS?

HOW DOES HIS/HER DEVELOPMENT COMPARE, DO YOU THINK, WITH OTHER CHILDREN IN YOUR FAMILY?

T:

		252
MILES	TONES - Coding:	·
	0 - No abnormality	
	1 - Abnormality	
	9 - Not known	
□ I.	SAT UNSUPPORTED ON A FLAT SURFACE <u>BEFORE</u> THE AGE OF 10 MONTHS AND WALKED WITHOUT HELP OR HOLDING ON BEFORE THE AGE OF 20 MONTHS.	
II.	USED WORDS WITH MEANING (OTHER THAN MAMA, DADA, DAY DAY). <u>BEFORE</u> THE AGE OR 2 YEARS AND MADE TWO WORD PHRASES BEFORE THE AGE OF 2 ¹ / ₂ YEARS.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Î III.	FULLY CONTINENT OF URINE (BY DAY AND NIGHT) BEFORE THE AGE OF 5 YEARS AND FULLY CONTINENT OF FECES BEFORE THE AGE OF 4 YEARS.	
		· · · ·
L_J IV.	0 - Development same as other sibs	· · · · ·
	1 - Development more favourable than sibs	
	2 - Development less favourable than sibs	· ::
	8 - No sibs 9 - Not known	

FATHER'S HEALTH IN PAST YEAR (Omit this Section if Husband Dead or Separated)

G. P. CONTACTS

NOW I WOULD LIKE TO ASK A LITTLE ABOUT THE REST OF THE FAMILY

PERHAPS I CAN BEGIN WITH YOUR HUSBAND'S HEALTH.

83. WHAT HAS HIS GENERAL HEALTH BEEN LIKE OVER THE PAST YEAR? HAS HE HAD TO GO SEE A G.P. FOR ANYTHING? HOW ABOUT CHECK UPS? OR REGULAR PRESCRIPTIONS? OR ACCIDENTS?

OR ANYTHING ELSE?



84. HAS HE HAD TO TAKE ANYTHING IN THE WAY OF SLEEPING TABLETS IN THE PAST YEAR?

255

OR TONICS?

OR NERVE PILLS?

OR ANYTHING FOR DEPRESSION OR WORRYING?

(Probe to get a clear picture of contacts and the reasons for them in the past year. Probe also for sedatives and stimulants etc. from any resource.) ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 256

84. Sedatives or Stimulants <u>For as Long as a</u> <u>Week</u> - Specify

0 - No

1 - Yes

ANXIETY, FEARS, WORRIES

- 85. IS YOUR HUSBAND THE SORT WHO WORRIES MUCH?
 - DOES HE WORRY ABOUT THE CHILDREN AT ALL?
 - OR HIS WORK (IN PAST YEAR)?
 - DOES HE EVER WORRY ABOUT HIS HEALTH?
 - IF YES IN THE PAST YEAR?
 - HAS HE WONDERED AT ALL WHETHER HE MAY HAVE A PHYSICAL DISEASE?
 - WHAT DID HE DO?

HAS HE WORRIED ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE?

IF ANY WORRY - ASK:

HAS WORRYING EVER INTERFERED WITH WHAT HE'S DOING? 257

AFFECTED HIS CONCENTRATION. OR HIS WORK?

OR HOW HE IS AT HOME?

DOES HE EVER LIE AWAKE WORRYING?





- 0 None
- 1 Dubious
- 2 Definite
- 3 Delusions of physical disorder

DOES HE HAVE ANY SPECIAL SORTS OF FEARS? SUCH AS FEAR OF GOING OUT? OR BEING ALONE, OR ANYTHING ELSE?

IF YES - HAS HE SHOWN THAT HE IS AFRAID OF.. IN THE LAST YEAR?

HAS IT INTERFERED WITH HIS LIFE AT ALL?

HAS HE STOPPED (GOING OUT, STAYING HOME, OR WHATEVER IT IS)?

DOES HE HAVE ANY PERIODS OF ANXIETY OR PANIC?

IS HE AN ANXIOUS SORT OF PERSON?



86. DEPRESSION

HAS HE BEEN DEPRESSED OR MISERABLE?

OR TEARFUL?

OR EVER SAID THAT LIFE DIDN'T SEEM WORTH LIVING?

261

IF YES - HOW DID HE SHOW IT?

DID HIS FEELING LIKE THAT INTERFERE WITH HIS LIFE AT ALL? THE WAY HE WAS AT HOME? THE AMOUNT HE WENT OUT?

HIS WORK?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OBER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

يند مدد، من

262

B6. (a) MISERABLE, UNHAPPY 0 - None

> 1 - Dubious $2^{/}$. Definite

(b) SUICIDAL THOUGHTS

0 - None

1 - Suicidal thoughts, method not thought of

2 - Thoughts of method of suicide

3 - Active steps taken but no attempt

4 - Suicidal attempt

HAS HE BLAMED HIMSELF AT ALL FOR THINGS THAT WEREN'T REALLY IMPORTANT?

HAS HE TRIED TO HARM HIMSELF OR TAKE HIS LIFE?

263

HAVE YOU BEEN WORRIED THAT HE MIGHT?

HAS HE EVER FELT THAT PEOPLE WERE AGAINST HIM?

OR WERE LOOKING AT HIM WHEN HE WENT OUT AS PEOPLE SOMETIMES DO WHEN THEY ARE FEELING LOW?

HAS HE BEEN JEALOUS OF THE CHILDREN..... OR OF YOU?

¥.

:, -: ; ;

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

بن (

(c) SELF-DEPRECIATION

0 - None

.

1 - Tendency to run self down

264

2 - Self blame for trivia

(d) CRYING 0 ~ None 1 - Some

5

韻

2 - Definite

(e) FEELINGS AND REFERENCE

87. OBSESSIONS

IS HE UNUSUALLY FUSSY OR FINICKY ABOUT ANYTHING?

265

(HOW DOES HE SHOW: IT?):

LIKE ABOUT GERMS AND CLEANLINESS?

DOES HE TEND TO CHECK THINGS THAT HE REALLY KNOWS ARE ALREADY DONE

LIKE GASTAPS, LOCKS ON DOORS OR THINGS LIKE THAT?

DOES HE HAVE RIGID ROUTINES OF DOING THINGS FROM WHICH HE CAN'T DEPART?

IF YES - (GET DETAILS OF FREQUENCY, SEVERITY AND WHETHER IT INTERFERES WITH LIFE AT ALL)



266



1 Jubious 2 - Definite

(b) OBSESSIONAL RITUALS

2 - Definite

WHAT IS HIS APPETITE LIKE?

267

「ない」のないで、はに、言いないないになったいないで、まちゃ

ならいのかい

ANY CHANGE IS THIS?

ANY WEIGHT LOSS RECENTLY?

HOW ABOUT SLEEP, HOW HAS THAT BEEN?



88. CONTACTS WITH HOSPITALS AND CLINICS

I'VE ASKED ABOUT GOING TO SEE YOUR FAMILY DOCTOR, BUT WHAT ABOUT HOSPITALS?

69

269.

こうないないのできょうないのないでものです。

HAS HE HAD TO GO TO HOSPITAL OR & CLINIC FOR ANYTHING IN THE LAST 5 YEARS, EITHER AS AN OUTPATIENT OR 'AN INPATIENT?

PERHAPS FOR ACCIDENTS AT WORK?

OR CHECK UPS?

. . .

OR SPECIAL TESTS?

TO SEE A PSYCHIATRIST?

OR OTHER SPECIALIST?

WHY WAS THIS?

HOW LONG DID HE GO FOR?

WHEN WAS IT? -

WHICH HOSPITAL?
2	?	Ģ)	'

ALWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
· ·	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN

- 88. HOSPITAL/CLINIC CONTACT
 - CODE: O No Visit
 - 1 0.P. Contact only for these reasons
 - 2 I.P. contact for these reasons
 - NOTE DETAILS OF ANY CONTACT

MEDICAL:	LAST	YEAR	
··· ;	LAST	5 YEARS	

DUBIOUS	PSYCHIATRIC	LAST YEAR
		LAST 5 YEARS

DEFINITE	PSYCHIATRIC	LAST	YEAR		·
		LAST	5 YE	IRS (

HAS HE BEEN OFF WORK THROUGH ILLNESS IN THE PAST YEAR?

HOW MANY TIMES?

89.

HOW LONG ON EACH OCCASION?

WHAT WAS THE TROUBLE THEN?

HAS HE BEEN OFF WORK FOR ANY OTHER REASON AT ALL?

和自己

20-11-10-11-

ものないであることであるとう

	· · ·	• • • •			· · · ·
			-		
	· · · ·				6
89. HU	SBAND OFF	WORK BECA	USE OF I	LINESS	
		· · · ·	· · ·		le .
	DE: 00 -		• • • •		D.
	· · · · ·	Not appli	· ·		
	.97 -	Not appli other rea	cable as son	unemploy	ed for
	98 -	Not appli from home	cable as altoget	husband her	away
	. 99 -	Not known			
		,		• • •	
				•	
NO. OF	WEEKS IN L	AST YEAR			· · · · ·
A.					e ^{ll} to see
	SBAND UNEM			AR (i.e.	without
		,,			• · · · ·
Co	de as abov	e			
	$(\mathbf{x}_{i}) \in \mathbf{A}_{i}$				
	n de la composition d Composition de la composition de la comp	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	1 				
				•	
	•				
8	1 			•	,0. +
1					
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

90. FATHER'S JOB

(N.B. Ask even if husband now dead or separated from wife, but put questions appropriately according to individual circumstances.)

WHAT IS(WAS) YOUR HUSBAND'S JOB?

EXACTLY WHAT DOES HE DO?

IS HE IN CHARGE OF OTHERS AT ALL?

IF YES: HOW MANY?

DID HE HAVE ANY FURTHER EDUCATION AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL?

WHAT?

DOES HE WORK JUST ORDINARY HOURS OR IS HE ON SHIFT WORK OR NIGHTS AT ALL?

HAS HE GOT A SECOND JOB? (IF YES - OBTAIN DETAILS)





(b)'. WORKING HOURS

- 0 Ordinary working hours
- 1 Rotating shifts excluding nights
- 2 Rotating shifts including nights
- 3 Regular night work
- 4 Unemployed
- 5 Other
- 9 Not known

DOES HIS WORK EVER TAKE HIM AWAY FROM HOME OVERNIGHT?

IF YES - HOW OFTEN WOULD HE BE AWAY FROM HOME IN AN AVERAGE MONTH?

IS THAT THE SAME ALL THE YEAR AROUND?

WHAT WAS YOUR HUSBAND'S FATHER'S JOB?

IS (WAS) HE IN CHARGE OF OTHERS AT ALL? (IF YES - HOW MANY?.....)

DID HE HAVE ANY FURTHER EDUCATION AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL?

IF YES - WHAT?

1.1

LWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOW

(c) HUSBAND AWAY FROM HOME

- 0 Never or rarely away from home
- 1 Away from home during week but home at weekends for any part of year
- 2 Away from home less than a week for each month on average
- 3 Away from home more than a week in each month for any reason
- 9 Not known
- (d) HUSBAND'S FATHER'S JOB
 - Description:
 - 0 Never or rarely away from home
 - Away from home during week but home at weekends for any part of year
 - 2 Away from home less than a week for each month on average
 - 3 Away from home more than a week in each month for any reason
 - 9 Not known

91. FATHER'S EDUCATION

HOW FAR DID YOUR HUSBAND GET IN SCHOOL?

DID HE HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES WITH READING, ETC.? WITH SPEECH, ETC.?

277

 σ_{c}

DID HE HAVE DIFFICULTIES GETTING ALONG IN SCHOOL?

GETTING INTO FIGHTS?

MINOR INFRACTIONS OF REGULATIONS?

91. FATHER'S EDUCATION

- (a) CODEi
 - 0 Grades I VI
 - 1 Grades VII IX
 - 2 Grades X XI
 - 3 University Attendance
 - 4 University Degree.
 - 5 College of Trades & Technology

278

- 6 College of Fisheries
- 7 Other (specify)
- 9 Not Known

(b) ACADEMIC DIFFICULTIES

- 0 None
- 1 Slight (failure of 1 grade)
- 2 Definite (numerous failures, inability to read, etc.)
- 9. Not known

(c) OTHER SCHOOL DIFFICULTIES

0 - None

A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF THE

- 1 Slight (minor infractions, fighting)
- 2 Definite (major infractions, expulsion, repeated fighting)
- 9 Not known:

の時代のないとうないで、

279

(obtain description adequate for coding) ARE YOU IN CHARGE OF OTHERS AT ALL? DID YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER EDUCATION AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL?

IS IT ALL YEAR ROUND? IF APPLICABLE: WHEN DID YOU GIVE IT UP?

(excluding work done at home)

HAVE YOU HAD A JOB OUTSIDE THE HOME SINCE SEPTEMBER 1971?

NOW MAY I ASK ABOUT YOUR WORK?,

92. MOTHER'S JOB

HAVE YOU NOW?

WHAT IS YOUR JOB?

2.2

EXACTLY WHAT DO YOU DO?

ALWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR .
	PRECIPITANTS
6, , ,	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOW

92.	MOTHÊR	S JOB	•
(a)	Descri	otion	



(b) EMPLOYMENT SINCE SEPTEMBER 1971

- 0 Employed for less than a month in all or
- 1 Employed part-time, tourist season or other period lasting 1 month or more but less than 6 months
- 2 Employed part-time, six months or more
- 3 Employed full time tourist season or other | period lasting one month or more but less than six months
- 4 Employed full-time, six months or more
- 9 Not known

The state

WHAT SORT OF HOURS DO YOU WORK?

ARE YOU USUALLY AT HOME WHEN......GETS

281

-IF NO - WHO USUALLY LOOKS AFTER HIM UNTIL YOU GET BACK?

WHAT WAS YOUR FATHER'S JOB? IS (WAS) HE IN CHARGE OF OTHERS AT ALL?

IF YES - HOW MANY?

DID HE HAVE ANY FURTHER EDUCATION AFTER LEAVING SCHOOL?

IF YES - WHAT?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SÉVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANES AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

(c) ARRIVAL HOME AFTER WORK

- 0 Mother has not worked for as long as 1 month
- 1 Works always at home when child returns from school
- 2 Works usually at home when child returns. from school
- 3 Works sometimes at home when child returns from school
- 4 Works hardly at home when child returns from school

(d)/ CARE OF CHILD

- 0 Not applicable
- 1 A relative who is 15 years old or less
- 2 A relative who is 16 years old or more
- 3 A friend or neighbour
- 4 Someone else (specify)
- 5 No special arrangement.
- 9 Not known

(e) WIFE'S FATHER'S JOB

Description:

к.н.

14

93. MOTHER'S EDUCATION

HOW FAR DID YOU GET IN SCHOOL?

DID YOU HAVE ANY DIFFICULTIES WITH READING, ETC.? WITH SPEECH, ETC.?

283

* DID YOU HAVE DIFFICULTIES GETTING ALONG IN . SCHOOL?

GETTING INTO FIGHTS?

- MINOR INFRACTIONS OF REGULATIONS?

93. MOTHER'S EDUCATION

- (a) Codei
 - 0 Grade I VI
 - 1 Grades VII IX
 - 2 Grades X XI
 - 3 University Attendance
 - 4 University Degree
 - 5. College of Trades & Technology
 - 6 College of Fisheries
 - 7 Other (specify)
 - 9 Not known

(b) ACADEMIC DIFFICULTIES

- 0 None
- 1 Slight (failure of 1 grade)
- 2 Definite (numerous failures, inability to read, etc.)

284

0.4.1.1.1

9 - Not known

(c) OTHER DIFFICULTIES IN SCHOOL

0 - None

- 1 Slight (minor infractions, fighting)
- 2. Definite (major infractions, expulsion, repeated fighting)
- 9 Not known

94. SIBLINGS EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT

HOW ARE THE OTHER CHILDREN IN THE FAMILY DOING AT SCHOOL? 285

DO THEY HAVE DIFFICULTY IN MAINTAINING CLASS PROGRESS?

ARE THEY FAILING TESTS DURING THE YEAR?

FAILING GRADES?

などの記述のの時間の方をある

Ś

PLACEMENT IN SPECIAL EDUCATION CLASS?

AYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
6	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOW

ALW

いたのであるのであるので

Sec. 1

94. SIBLINGS EDUCATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT

O - No difficulties with other sibs, only shild

286

- 1 One or more sibs has failed a grade
- 2 One or more sibs experienced repeated grade failure
- 3 One or more sibs have been placed in special education class
- 4 Other siblings attending school for the mentally retarded
- 9 Not known

Description

OP.

95. MOTHER'S HEALTH IN PAST YEAR

(a) G.P. CONTACTS

and the state of the

T'D LIKE NOW TO TALK ABOUT YOUR HEALTH, PARTICULARLY IN THE PAST YEAR.

WHAT HAS YOUR GENERAL HEALTH BEEN LIKE?

HAVE YOU HAD TO GO TO THE G.P. FOR ANYTHING?

287

HOW ABOUT CHECK-UPS?

- OR REGULAR PRESCRIPTIONS?
- OR ACCIDENTS?
- OR ANYTHING ELSE?
- (b) HAVE YOU HAD TO TAKE ANYTHING IN THE WAY OF SLEEPING PILLS IN THE PAST YEAR?
 - OR NERVE PILLS?

63

OR ANYTHING FOR DEPRESSION OR WORRYING?

(Probe to get a clear picture of contacts and reasons for them in past year. Probe also for sedatives and stimulants, etc.) ALWAXS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 288

95. MOTHER'S HEALTH IN PAST YEAR-

(a) MEDICAL REASONS

ď

あいにない パング いたい

. .

0 - No

1

- Yes

(b) DUBIOUS PSYCHIATRIC

1 - Yes

0 -- No

. (c). PSYCHIATRIC REASONS

1 - Yes

0 - No

(d) SEDATIVES OR STIMULANTS FOR AS LONG AS A WEEK

O - No

1 - Yes (specify)

ANXIETY, FEARS, WORRIES

96.

ARE YOU THE SORT OF PERSON WHO WORRIES MUCH?

DO YOU WORRY ABOUT THE CHILDREN AT ALL? OR YOUR WORK? (in past year)

DO YOU WORRY ABOUT YOUR HEALTH?

IF YES - IN THE LAST YEAR?

HAVE YOU WONDERED WHETHER YOU MIGHT HAVE A PHYSICAL DISEASE?

WHAT DID YOU DO?

HAVE YOU WORRIED ABOUT ANYTHING ELSE?

IF ANY WORRY - HAS WORRYING INTERFERED AT ALL WITH WHAT YOU DO?

AFFECTED YOUR CONCENTRATION?

OR YOUR WORK?

OR HOW YOU ARE AT HOME?

DO YOU EVER LIE AWAKE AT NIGHT WORRYING?

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN 290

96. ANXIETY, FEARS, WORRIES

(a) OVERALL WORRYING/RUMINATIONS

- 0 None 1 - Dubious
- 2 Definite

(b) HYPOCHONDRIASIS

- 0 None
- 1 Dubious
- 2 Definite
- .3 Delusions of physical disorder

DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIAL SORT OF FEARS? SUCH AS FEARS OF GOING OUT?

OR BEING ALONE?

OR ANYTHING ELSE?

IF YES - IN WHAT WAY?

IN THE LAST YEAR?

HAS IT INTERFERED WITH YOUR LIFE AT ALL?

HAS IT STOPHED YOUR (GOING OUT, STAYING ALONE, OR WHATEVER IT IS?)

DO YOU HAVE PERIODS OF ANXIETY OR PANIC?

ARE YOU AN ANXIOUS SORT OF PERSON?

and the second

AL L	WAYS NOTE:	COURSE C PRECIPIT AMELIORA DATE OF	TING FACT	YEAR ORS	
(c) GENERAL	FEAR, ANX	IETY OR N	ERVOUSNESS	
		0 - None			
		1 - Dubi	ous		
		2 - Defi	nite		
[] (a) NON-SITU	ΑΨΤΌΝΑΤ. Έ	ANTC ልጥጥል	CKS	
	, non-alio	0 – None		UND	·
		1 - Dubi			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nite		
					· · · ·
(e) SITUATIO	NAL PANIC	ATTACKS		
		0 - No s anxi		l or objec	t spec
		1 - Dubi	ous		
	a		ation or o ety/nervoi	object spé usness	oific
		3 - Pani	c attacks		9
		4 - Posi	tive avoid	dance of s	ituati
		14. A 1			. *. N

二十二 でんどうたい

2

PALL IS

97. <u>DEPRESSION</u>

The second s

NL PARTY C

HAVE YOU EVER BEEN DEPRESSEDOR MISERABLE OR TEARFUL OR FELT THAT. LIFE WASN'T WORTH LIVING?

IF YES - HOW DID YOU FEEL?

DID YOUR FEELING LIKE THAT INTERFERE WITH YOUR LIFE AT ALL?

OR YOUR WORK?

OR THE WAY YOU ARE AT HOME?

OR THE AMOUNT YOU WENT: OUT?

HAVE YOU BLAMED YOURSELF AT ALL FOR THINGS THAT WEREN'T REALLY VERY IMPORTANT?

HAVE YOU EVER FELT SO BAD THAT YOU FELT LIKE ENDING IT ALL?

HAVE YOU EVER FELT THAT PEOPLE WERE AGAINST YOU OR THAT THEY WERE LOOKING AT YOU WHEN YOU WERE OUT - AS PEOPLE SOMETIMES DO WHEN THEY ARE FEELING LOW?

Par to support the

293

19.00

LWAYS NOTE:	
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER PAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATIONS WHERE SHOWN

97. DEPRESSION

🔲 (a) Miserable, Unhappy

0 - None

1 - Dubious

2 - Definite

(b) Suicidal Thoughts

0 - None

1 - Suicidal thoughts, no method thought out

294

2 - Thoughts of method of suicide .

3 - Active steps taken but no attempt

4 - Suicidal attempt

(c) Self Depreciation

0 - None

1 - Tendency to run self down

2 - Self blame for trivia

(d) Crying

0 + None

1 - Some

2 - Definité

(e) Feelings and Reference

0 - None 1 - Some 2 - Definite

HOW HAVE YOU BEEN EATING?

With The Design of the

IF POORLY - HAVE YOU LOST WEIGHT SINCE YOU HAVE NOT BEEN EATING WELL?

295

1. 2. 15

HOW HAVE YOU BEEN SLEEPING?

ANY DIFFICULTIES TO GET TO SLEEP?

WAKING EARLY IN THE MORNING?

ALWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER PAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS .
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN

296

(f) Loss of Appetite

。2016年4月20日本地的新闻》中的新闻的新行时

- 0 None 1 - Dubious
 - 2 Definite
- (g) Loss of Weight
 - 0 0-2 lbs.
 - 1 3-7 1bs.
 - 2 8+ 1bs.
 - 8 Not known but some loss

4.3

(h) Sleep Disturbance

and the second second second

* Stan 3 H g

- 0 None
- 1 Dubious
- 2 Definite

98. OBSESSIONS

ARE YOU UNUSUALLY FUSSY OR FINICKY ABOUT ANYTHING? * (IN WHAT WAY?)

LIKE ABOUT GERMS OR CLEANLINESS?

DO YOU EVER TEND TO CHECK THINGS THAT, YOU REALLY KNOW ARE DONE?

LIKE LIGHTS, LOCKS ON DOORS, TURNING STOVE OFF OR THINGS LIKE THAT?

DO YOU HAVE RIGID ROUTINES OF DOING THINGS FROM WHICH YOU CAN'T DEPART EVEN THOUGH YOU KNOW IT IS SILLY?

(IF YES, GET DETAILS OF FREQUENCY, SEVERITY, AND WHETHER IT INTERFERS WITH LIFE AT ALL?)

などの語言語の言語

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER PAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN int

The The

298

98. OBSESSIONS

- (a) Compulsive Thoughts
 - 0 None
 - 1 Dubious
 - 2 Definite
- (b) Obsessional Rituals
 - 0 None
 - 1 Dubious
 - 2 Definite

. Asser

99. \ CONTACTS WITH HOSPITALS AND CLINICS

Y'VE ASKED ABOUT GOING TO YOUR FAMILY DOCTOR, BUT WHAT ABOUT HOSPITALS?

HAVE YOU HAD TO GO TO HOSPITAL OR CLINIC FOR ANYTHING IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS, EITHER AS AN OUTPATIENT OR AN INPATIENT?

61.9

299

_PERHAPS FOR ACCIDENTS AT WORK?

OR CHECKUPS?

人名达卡 化氨基酸 化氨基酸乙酸钙 计相关的现在分词分词分词分词分词 机装饰 计数字分词

語が知られた。この意思を

。在成绩深处的地位

OR SPECIAL TESTS?

TO SEE A PSYCHIATRIST?

OR ANY OTHER SPECIALIST?

WHY WAS THIS?

HOW LONG DID YOU GO FOR?

WHEN WAS IT?

بيو المداخر العالم يشتريه المراجع المشارك المعاد المتأث

WHICH HOSPITAL?

100. HAVE YOU BEEN OFF WORK THROUGH ILLNESS IN THE PAST LEAR? HOW MANY TIMES? HOW LONG ON EACH OCCASION?

WHAT WAS THE TROUBLE THEN?

HAVE YOU BEEN OFF WORK FOR ANY OTHER REASON AT ALL?

ALWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
	COURSE OVER PAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHOWN

7 99. HOSPITAL/CLINIC CONTACT

CODE: 0 - No visit for these reasons

1 - OP contact only

2 - IP contact for these reasons (note details of any contact)

Medical: Last year

Last 5 years

Dubious Psychiatric: Last year

Last 5 years

300

Definite Psychiatrici Last year

Last 5 years

100. WIFE OFF WORK BECAUSE OF ILLNESS

CODE: 00 -- Never or less than 1 week/not working

96 - Not applicable as retired

97 - Not applicable as unemployed for other reason

98 - Not applicable as wife away from home altogether

99 - Not known

(a) No. of weeks in past year

(b) WIFE UNEMPLOYED IN LAST YEAR (i.e. without job for any reason) (code as above)

No. of weeks in last year

101. IRRITABILITY WITH CHILD

WHAT SORT OF THINGS DO YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND DO WITH X?

DOES X HELP ABOUT THE HOUSE AT ALL?

WHO REPRIMANDS WHEN THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE?

I SUPPOSE ALL PARENTS GET IRRITABLE WITH THEIR CHILDREN SOMETIMES - I MEAN SNAPPY OR LIKELY TO FLY OFF THE HANDLE, HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE LIKE THAT?

CAN YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE?

WHAT ABOUT THE LAST TIME IT OCCURRED?

WHAT SORT OF THINGS MAKE YOU IRRITABLE WITH HIM/HER?

HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU BE IRRITABLE WITH X?

WHEN IT HAPPENS DO YOU FIND YOU LOSE CONTROL A BIT?

DO YOU BELLOW AT HIM/HER?

HOW DO YOU FEEL INSIDE WHEN IT HAPPENS?

HOW LONG DOES IT GO ON FOR?

OBVIOUSLY X HAS TO BE TOLD OFF SOMETIMES BUT WOULD IT EVER COME TO MORE THAN THAT?

(Get frequency in last 3 MONTHS of shouting, losing some control or being really cross or irritable.)

WHAT ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND?

HOW OFTEN WOULD HE BE IRRITABLE OR CROSS

ALWAYS NOTE:

EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER PAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

- 101. IRRITABILITY TO CHILD
- (a) Mother to Child Description:

(b) Father to Child - Description:

IRRITABLE ACTS

0 - Once per month or less 1 - More than once per month/up to once per week

2 - 2-4 times per week

3 - 5-7 times per week

4 - More than daily

9 - Not known

新生产的 <u>长生</u>

303

ARE THERE ANY DIFFICULTIES YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO TALK OVER WITH HIM THAT YOU CAN'T FOR SOME, REASON?

HOW MUCH WOULD YOU TALK ABOUT ANY PROBLEMS THAT ARISE IN THE FAMILY?

OR WHAT'S ON THE NEWS ON THE T.V.?

2 SAVE STAT

HOW MUCH DO YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND CHAT ABOUT EVERYDAY THINGS - I MEAN THINGS LIKE WHAT. 'HAPPENED DURING THE DAY IN HIS JOB OR AT HOME?

HAVE THERE BEEN ANY DECISIONS RECENTLY YOU FEEL SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE DIFFERENTLY?

LIKE BUYING THINGS FOR THE HOME?

WHO USUALLY DECIDES THINGS AT HOME?

WITH 'THE HOUSEWORK?

HOW MUCH DOES THE REST OF THE FAMILY HELP OUT

DOES YOUR HUSBAND OR DO THE CHILDREN HELP : WITH THIS. AT ALL?

I'D LIKE TO ASK NOW A LITTLE BIT ABOUT HOW HOUSEHOLD JOES ARE DIVIDED UP IN YOUR FAMILY, FOR EXAMPLE WHO GENERALLY DOES THE SHOPPING FOR FOOD?

FAMILY LIFE 102.

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY AND FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

102. FAMILY LIFE

(Describe pattern of life and informant's attitude to this.)

100

103. I SUPPOSE IN ALL FAMILIES PEOPLE GET IRRITABLE WITH EACH OTHER SOMETIMES. HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU THINK THAT YOU GET IRRITABLE WITH YOUR HUSBAND?

30.5

- OR CROSS WITH HIM? WHAT WOULD YOU SAY? WHAT WOULD HE SAY OR DO? WHAT WOULD IT USUALLY BE ABOUT?
- (Obtain description and frequency in past <u>3 months.</u>)
- 104. WHAT ABOUT YOUR HUSBAND? HOW OFTEN WOULD HE BE IRRITABLE WITH YOU? WHAT WOULD YOU SAY? WHAT WOULD HE SAY OR DO? WHAT WOULD IT USUALLY BE ABOUT? (Obtain description and frequency in past 3 months.)

10.61

2.11
LWAYS NOTE:	EXAMPLE
	SEVERITY & FREQUENCY
1	COURSE OVER LAST YEAR
	PRECIPITANTS
	AMELIORATING FACTORS
	DATE OF ONSET
	SITUATION (S) WHERE SHO

- 103. IRRITABILITY OF WIFE TO HUSBAND
 - 0 Once per month or less
 - 1 More than once per month up to once per

1.1

306

2 - 2-4 times per week

week

- 3 5-7 times per week
- 4 More than daily
- 9 Not known/not applicable
- 104. IRRITABILITY OF HUSBAND TO WIFE
 - 0 Once per month or less
 - 1 + More than once per month up to once per week
 - 2 2-4 times, per week
 - 3 5-7 times per week
 - 4 More than daily
 - 9 Not known/not applicable

105. MOST FAMILIES HAVE QUARRELS OR ARGUMENTS FROM TIME TO TIME?

> APART FROM THE SORT OR IRRITABILITY WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, HOW OFTEN WOULD YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND HAVE A ROW OR TIFF?

(In questioning use informant's terminology i.e. tiff, argument; quarrel, etc.)

CAN YOU DESCRIBE THE LAST ONE FOR ME? HOW LONG DID IT LAST?

IS THAT THE USUAL TIME? WHAT SORT OF THINGS DO YOU SAY TO EACH OTHER?

DO YOU CALL EACH OTHER NAMES? OR SAY THINGS ABOUT EACH OTHER'S FAMILIES?

OR SHOUT | AT EACH OTHER?

OR HIT EACH OTHER?

HAVE YOU SLEPT APART BECAUSE OF A QUARREL? HOW DO YOU USUALLY MAKE UP QUARRELS?

(Obtain frequency in last 3 months.)

(In questioning on this section the interviewer must be flexible in approach. If clearly there are no tiffs of any kind DO NOT GO RIGHT THROUGH THE DETAILED PROBES. However check by rewording questions that this is so. Use informant's terminology and be prepared to go from quarrels to discussions to tiff to fights. i.e. up and down severity scale as the interview necessitates.) ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

105. QUARRELS

A QUARREL MUST INVOLVE: SHOUTING AND/OR VIOLENCE AND/OR DENIGRATION OF EACH OTHER AND/OR DENIGRATION OF EACH OTHERS FAMILIES AND/OR NOT SPEAKING AT LEAST ONE HOUR AFTER ALTERCATION AND/OR NEGATIVE INTERCHANCE LASTING AT LEAST HALF AN HOUR

Winds Andrea

308

派が設計調波が予約ない

NO. OF DAYS IN LAST 3 MONTHS IN WHICH THERE

WAS A	QUARREL	

NIGHTS SLEPT APART THROUGH STRAIN IN LAST

~ 四 苏雅

		· P	_	
3	MONTHS			Ŀ.

106. MARITAL RELATIONSHIP

In this section IT IS IMPORTANT TO GET THE

INFORMANT AS INVOLVED AS POSSIBLE IN THE

SUBJECT OF HIS / HER MARRIAGE. Use neutral

probes freely to elicit, feelings and attitudes.

1. 1. 1. 1.

309

HOW DO YOU USUALLY SPEND THE EVENINGS WHEN. YOU STAY AT HOME NOWADAYS?

WHAT DO YOU DO?

IS THERE ANYTHING THAT YOU AND YOUR HUSBAND DO TOGETHER LIKE:

WATCHING T.V.

SITTING AND CHATTING

PLAYING GAMES

HOBBIES

IS THERE ANYTHING YOU PARTICULARLY ENJOY DOING TOGETHER?

IN GENERAL, HOW WOULD YOU SAY YOU GET ON TOGETHER NOW?

CODING: 0 - None

100000000000

1 - Dubious

2 - Definite

ALWAYS NOTE: EXAMPLE SEVERITY & FREQUENCY COURSE OVER LAST YEAR PRECIPITANTS AMELIORATING FACTORS DATE OF ONSET SITUATION(S) WHERE SHOWN

ひつ さばい とのとい

23

1.1

106. MARRIAGE RELATIONSHIP

Description:

107. WELL, THAT FINISHES THE THINGS I WANTED TO ASK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HELP.

I VERY MUCH APPRECIATE YOUR GIVING OF YOUR TIME TO SPEAK TO ME.

I'VE ASKED YOU A LOT OF QUESTIONS: I WONDER WHETHER THERE IS ANYTHING NOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK ME?

(Explain about study again <u>if necessary</u>) A sample of 7-10 year old children attending schools in the St. John's area: to help children having difficulties in school. IF ASKED: About services or help (<u>but do not</u> <u>suggest services unless asked</u>)

Advise if you can; offer to pass on any requests for help; or to enquire what is available and let them know.)

Reassure again, if necessary about confidentiality.

DO NOT LEAVE ANYTHING BEHIND

のなどのないのないので、

107. CONDITIONS OF INTERVIEW

Describe

- 0 With mother alone
- 1 With father alone
- 2 With both parents together
- 3 Any other informant
- 4 Parents with child present
- 5 Other informant with child present,

3.75

312

6. - Other - specify.....

108. DURATION OF INTERVIEW



COMMENT ON INFORMANT: (rapport, co-operative attitude, quality of information, etc.)

313

NAME OF INTERVIEWER

ないのないないないないです。

DATE OF INTERVIEW

APPENDIX E

Interview with the Ohild

ð

1.5%

のため、「ためなどのない」というないない。「ないない」というないないで、ないない」というないない。

INTERVIEW WITH CHILD

NAME

SCHOOL

315

1 - 6 Code Number

Circle one number for each question. All questions are to be answered. If in doubt as to the rating circle item 9 (not known).

(The first 5 minutes are spent on general conversation without structured questions; in order to set the child at ease and get to know a little about him and his background.)

The introduction of worker to child will include the following points.

 seeing samples from a number of schools to see if we can find ways of helping children who may be having difficulties in school.
 also interested in outside school activities.

3. how boys and girls feel about different things.

4. finally that I will be visiting home to talk

to parents and to ask questions partly about them and partly about the family.

I belive your name is _____, what do you like to be called?

How old are you (name given). Do you know when your next birthday is?

What are you missing in school now, because you have come to talk with me?

How do you like

Static Sta

What sorts of things do you like about school... not like about school?

What sort of things do you like to do after school?

11/14/4/65/26

Who else is there at home any brothers and sisters?

Have you any pets at home? Who looks after him? What do you like to do on weekends?

(During this time and throughout interview it is important to note child's emotional responsiveness and ability to form relationship, evidence of anxiety, any mannerisms or tics, fidgetiness, overactivity, difficulties in concentration and number of spontaneous remarks. Also note any criticisms of mother, father or school.)

316

SECTION 1 LIFE AND ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE SCHOOL

Have you got any friends at school?

What are their names?

How old are they?

Have you seen (friend's name) outside of school (to talk to or play with for 5 to 10 mins).

What about at home - have you any other friends living near you?

What are their names?

Have you played with any of these friends in the last week?

Was last week about the usual in the number of times you have played with your friends?

PEER, CONTACTS (past week)

none one 2-3 4-6 7 or more not know

, same sex 0 1

opposite sex 0 1 2 3 4

α.

b .

2. RANGE OF PEER CONTACTS (past week)

none one 2-3 4-6 7 or more not known

317

3

a: same sex

b. opposite sex 0 1

** Have you a special friend? Someone you especially enjoy going out with or to whom you can share secrets?
3. SPECIAL FRIEND

none dubious definite not known

a. School friends 0

b. Home friends 0

** Do you generally play with a group of other children? (IF YES) Is there someone who generally acts as the leader? When did you last play in a group?

MEMBER OF PEER GROUP

i.e. a group of children who regularly play in a group None

Dubious Membership.

Definite Membership - school oriented group

Definite Membership - home oriented group

Definite Membership - common to both areas

Definite Membership of any but not seen in last week

Not Known

When do you usually meet your friends?

Do you ever go to the homes of any of your friends?

Have you done so in the last 2 weeks?

Do you ever have friends back to your home since the 24th of May holiday?

· VISITS TO FRIEND'S HOME (since 24th of May holiday).

No visit to friend's home since holiday

Visit to home of 'school' friend

Visit to home of 'home' friend

Visit to home of friend who is oriented to both home and school or has visited two friends from different foci of concern

Not Known

VISIT OF FRIEND TO OWN HOME (since holiday) No visit of friend to own home since holiday Visit of 'school' friend to own home Visit of 'home' friend to own home Visit of friend(s) both foci or different locations

Not Known

にいいたシー

Have your parents said anything about the friends you see? What did they say? Have they ever said that they did not like for you to be friends with them?

Times were related to the children, in terms of some evident memorable to them i.e. In the fall, the items used were since the end of your summer holidays and Halloween.

3.18

ويترون والمروي والمتعرف

PARENTAL APPROVAL OF FRIENDS (school) No parental comment or approval only Parental disapproval but no prohibition Prohibition of contact with friends but ineffective

Prohibition by parents adhered to by boy/girl Not Known 319

88 -:

99

PARENTAL APPROVAL OF FRIENDS (home) No parental comment or approval only Parental disapproval but no prohibition Prohibition of contact with friends but ineffective

Prohibition by parents adhered to by boy/girl Friend common under both conditions Not Known

(any criticisms of mother and father are to be noted for later ratings.)

* Do you have a T.V. at home?

How often do you watch it?

What programmes do you like best? (Exploring generally the child's interests.)

In the last week how long altogether did you spend watching T.V. (Checking both weekdays and weekends.)

9. T.V.

8.

. Hours watched in past week,

Not Known

Set broken for most of past week.

How often do you go to the movies? What about since Easter vacation? What did you see? 320

1Q. MOVIE ATTENDANCE (since Easter vacation) Not visited

Once.

Two - three.times

Four or more times

Not Known

- Are you a member of a club? How often do you go? What about since your Easter Holidays? Name the club
- 11. ÇLUB ATTENDANCE (since Easter holidays)
 Not attended / Not a member
 Once
 - Two three times
 - Not Known

51

÷.,

4

1

٤.

- ** What other sorts of things do you like to do? (Exploring interests).
 - Do you play on any sports teams outside school?
- 12. TEAM GAMES (excluding school teams)
 - None / not on any sport teams
 - Occasional
 - Regular
 - Not Known

Do you ever read comics or magazines? Which types? Give names.

13. COMICS, MAGAZINES READ SINCE EASTER HOLIDAYS

Occasionally (less than once weekly) Regularly (weekly or more often)

Not Known

**

Do you ever read any books outside school (including picture books)? What about since Easter holidays?

What sorts of books did you read?

Did you enjoy it?

Do you borrow books from the library?

BOOKS OUTSIDE SCHOOL CURRICULUM READ OR LOOKED AT (able to give story from examination of book).

None

One

14

Two to three

Four or more

Not Known

* Do you ever go out places with your parents?

Where do you go?

What do you do?

What about since your Easter holidays?

OUT WITH PARENTS (since holidays)

No

15.

Yes, with family

Yes, with friends

Yes, with friends on one occasion and family on another

Yes, with friends of own age, and with family

Yes, on own only

Not Known

Do you get any pocket money from home? How much do you get?

What do you usually spend it on?

(Note during discussion any criticism of mother or father for later rating.)

16. POCKET MONEY IN PAST WEEK (i.e. not including lunch money)

None regular

25¢ or less per wk.

26¢-\$1.00 per wk.

50¢-\$1.00 per wk.

\$1.00-\$1.50 per wk.

over \$1.50 per wk.

Not Known

2

Do you have to be in at night at a special time? What time? 323

2

What happens when you are late?

What do you think about this? Do you feel your parents are more strict or less strict than other parents?

What time do you go to bed?

Are you allowed to climb or jump on the furniture?

(If child mentions argument or alteration obtain frequency in last 2 weeks and obtain information as to which parent was usually involved.)

17. CHILD'S PERCEPTION OF PARENTAL STRICTNESS

Average

No parental strictness

Less strict

Generally, average but a few restrictions felt to be severe

More strict

Very much more strict.

Not known

* What are the sort of things that make you most upset or angry?

What else?

Anything in class What about out of class?

(If home is mentioned first, proceed on then to the areas, making sure after discussing one point to obtain an idea of other areas.) Do you help out at all about the house? For example shopping, washing up, gardening?

How often do you help with these things?

Do you do it as a regular thing - I mean are you expected to do it every day or every weekend for example?

How do you feel about chores?

HOUSEWORK AND REPAIRS (since Easter holidays) Never done by child Occasionally only

Several times a week but not regularly

Regularly but less than daily

Regularly and daily

Not known

. 18.

¥ ¥

19. FEELINGS ABOUT CHORES

Not do chores regularly

Likes to do it

Likes to do chores occasionally Dislikes - i.e. effort made to evade Dislikes strongly - i.e. has to be coerced Not Known

Have you ever been away from home, without your parents? Has your mother or father been away from home for a period longer than 1 month? Why?

Separations (more than 4 weeks)

Ŀ

Have you been in hospital? When? Why?

Did you ever go to the Janeway as an out patient? i.e. not to stay in overhight? How often in past year?

20. MEDICAL ATTENTION (within past 12 months) (contact with medical services - child's account)

None

Visits to dector's office

Visits to outpatient department

Admission to hospital

Long term hospital admission (more than 2 months)

Not Known/

21. SEPARATION FROM PARENTS FOR 1 WEEK OR MORE None

Separation from mother only

Separation from father only

Separation from mother & father at different times

Separation from parents simultaneously

Not Known

SECTION TWO - ATTITUDES TO PARENTS AND SCHOOL

22. CHILD'S CRITICISM OF MOTHER

None

熟悉的

One Critical Remark

2-3 Critical Remarks

- At least four Critical Remarks
- Not Known
- 23. CHILD'S CRITICISM OF FATHER
 - None
 - One Critical Remark
 - 2-3 Critical Remarks
 - At least four Critical Remarks
 - Not Known
- 24. CHILD'S REJECTION OF MOTHER None
 - Possible
 - Definite
 - Not Known
- 25. CHILD'S REJECTION OF FATHER.
 - None
 - Possible
 - Definite
 - Not Known
- 26. CHILD'S CRITICISM OF SCHOOL OR TEACHER(S)
 - None
 - One Critical Remark
 - 2-3 Critical Remarks
 - At least 4 Critical Remarks
 - Not Known

the start of

326

0

9

Ο

CHILD'S REJECTION OF SCHOOL OR TEACHERS

With the state of the second

None

27

**

- Possible
- Definite
- Not Known
- SECTION THREE
- Could I ask you to do some things for me?
- 28. CO-ORDINATION
 - Would you kick the bean bag over toward the window (wall)?
 - Can you hop on your foot? (Also note which leg is employed for laterality.)
 - GROSS MOTOR MOVEMENT
 - Within normal limits:
 - Possible abnormality
 - Definite abnormality
 - Not Known
 - Can you play the piano on my hand? (Briefly showing the child what I mean.)
 - Or can you touch tip of your fingers in turn with your thumb? (giving an example)
 - (This can employ threading beads onto a string, or making a paper chain. Number of clips or beads used in a 30 second period.)

心学校

29. FINE MOVEMENTS

Within normal limits

Possible abnormality

Definite abnormality

Not Known

The number of beads (clips) employed within 30 seconds.

328

The child is then asked to copy the shapes of triangle, diamond, an L, a cross and a star, by making the shapes with matches. To eliminate the possible clumsiness variable, no consideration is given to neatness or time required to complete tasks. Scoring will be on accuracy of copies with original models.

. CONSTRUCTION ABILITIES

All shapes age comparable

Shapes (triangle, diamond, L, X) comparable but star considerably distorted

Shapes triangle, diamond, L comparable X and star distorted

3 or more shapes not having model configuration properties

Not Known

_____AAA AMALAN

At this time, the child pould be asked to draw pictures

Would you draw me a picture? If the child enquires as to subject of picture, he should be encouraged by saying "Anything you want."

While he is occupied by this activity, an estimate of distractibility can be obtained, by the worker moving about the room, coughing, perhaps jungling coins in pocket, or open and close a drawer i.e. without causing undue noise, as may be the case by closing it forcefully. This is to be scored. Hater in observational data. The hand used for drawing to be noted for later scoring.

329

Once the child has finished this task, he is then asked about his picture. Using general questions i.e. "Would you tell me about it?" An assessment can be made of his speech and language, adequacy of description, grammar and articulation which may aid in the later scoring. Then the child is asked to draw a man.

31. MOTOR IMPERSISTENCE

It is defined as the inability to sustain a voluntary motor act that has been initiated by verbal command. The child is asked how long he can keep his eyes closed, protrude his tongue and keep his mouth open. Duration for which the child is able to maintain each activity is recorded:

Eyes closed.

Tongue protruding

Mouth open

CEREBRAL FUNCTIONING

Nominal dysphasia - The child is asked to participate in "names games" by naming objects pointed out by examiner. (book, chair; table, shirt, door, wall, pictures) and also body parts (eye lash, wrist).

t waters

Grate .

32. NOMINAL DYSPHASIA

Within normal limits

Possible

Definite

Not Known

Receptive dysphasia - The child is asked to participate in a game of "hide and seek" by finding an object he has previously named. 330

33. RECEPTIVE DYSPHASIA

Normal

Possible

Definite

Not Known

Apraxias of ideational, ideamotor, and motor type can be obtained by asking the child to perform a complicated motor task - folding a sheet of paper and placing it in an envelope. The tell how he would mail it. So a game of "Let's Play Secretary" is used to accomplish this.

. APRAXIAS OF IDEATIONAL, IDEAMOTOR OR OF MOTOR TYPE

No abnormality

Possible

Definite .

Not Known

Finally an aid in the detection of graphesthesia is to be employed. The child will be asked to tell the letters or numbers traced onto the palm of his hand by the examiner. Three conditions will be used:

Eyes opened

Eyes averted

Eyes closed

Scoring should take into account misidentification of three or more letters, in each condition as well as differences among conditions.

LETTERS USED		1 A A	1.		
	LETTERS US	SED	· · · ·	LETTERS.	REPORTED

331

	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	الوجيد ويستعدون ليصر ومناجع ومستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والمستعد والم			
	L	R	· · ·	L	R
Condition A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · ·	
Condition					
Condition C					

:35. OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF CEREBRAL FUNCTIONING

No abnormality

Possible abnormality present (as indicated by possible scoring on 1 of subtests)

Definite (either or both possible and/or definite on 3 or more tests

Not Known

Laterality - The child asked to write (print) some of letters in small letters.

To throw a bean bag towards the wall.

Handedness -

The child is encouraged to look through a telescope, or peep through a key hole.

Eyedness -

The child is then asked to kick the bean bag again, optimal to obtain 2 occasions of footedness. This score should be compared with previous observations. If conflicting the event may be done again with the explanation, "Let's pretend we are football stars or a ballerina standing on one foot."

Footedness

是1996年9月,在1996年9月,1996年9月,1996年9月 1997年1月,1997年1月,1997年1月,1997年1月,1997年1月,1997年1月

36. LATERALITY

Consistently right or consistently left Hand differing from eye-foot orientation Eye differing from hand-foot orientation Foot differing from hand-eye orientation Not Known

Right-Left differentation - The child is asked a number of questions including.

- 1. point to your nose with your left hand
- 2. point to the examiner's right hand
- 3. touch your left, foot with your right hand
- 4. point to the examiner's right hand with your left hand

37. RIGHT-LEFT CONFUSION

No abnormality

Right-left confusion

Not Known

Visual Data - The child is observed for any abnormality i.e. squint, and is recorded.

The child is asked regarding difficulty with his eyes "Do your eyes pain sometimes?" If yes, "When was the last time?"

Had he seen a doctor about his eyes?

* Do your eyes go blurry sometimes?

If yes - When was the last time this happened?

How often does this happen?

Did you have to go to a doctor then?

If yes - What did he do?

After this discussion the child is asked to read the eye chart from the prescribed distance first covering one eye then the other. Any abnormality in recounting the letters i.e. B for D should be noted.

38. VISION.

No difficulties

Physical abnormality present

Complaints of eyes within past 12 months

Carlo and the state of the stat

Tout and the particular and the second s

Not Known

39. VISION-READING CHART

Normal (6/9 - 6/6) Snellen Chart #8

20/200 (Snellen #1)

20/100 (Snellen #2)

20/70 (Snellen #3)

20/50 (Snellen #4)

20/40 (Snellen #5)

20/30 (Shellen #6)

20/25 (Snellen #7)

20/15 (Snellen #9)

20/13 (Snellen #10)

20/10 (Snellen #11)

Not Known

333

2

10

1.1

HEARING

The child is asked about difficulties -

Does your hearing seem foggy, or is it more difficult to hear things?

If yes - Please tell me about it.

When was the last time it happened?

Could you tell the about it?

What do you do about it?

Do your 'ears ever pain?

If yes - Tell me about the last time?

How often do you get earaches? What do you do when you get an earache?

Record verbatim account

Hearing tested by use of:

1. clock ticking

2. repetition of words said in low voice

Prior to actual testing it is necessary to determine to what extent if any is the child's ability disguised by formation of wax within the ear. This is done with the use of the otoscope.

The child, seated with his back toward the examiner is told to indicate when he is able to hear the ticking of a clock. The worker is to begin the movement of the watch toward the child's ear from a distance outside the child's range of hearing, slowly the watch is moved toward one ear until the child is able to recognize it. Then a similar procedure is to be repeated for the other ear. Distances are to be recorded in inches.

Left ear

へいたい かたいかい

Right ear

Another game situation is explained to the child by asking whether he would be able to hear and repeat words which would be said in a low voice. Distance between child and examiner should be between 20--25 feet. Words used - fat, cat, rat, scat, bat, pat, sat, hat, mat. To aid in detection of possible high tone auditory deficit, no visual cue can be given by worker, i.e. mouth is hidden. An attempt should be made to recount verbatim the child's responses.

評論を見ため かられい ものりため かいせいひょう

Word Said

Child's Response

- fat
- cat
- rat
- scat
- pat
 - bat
 - sat
 - hat
- 40. HEARING
 - No abnormality
 - Some misinterpretation of word repetition Considerable misinterpretation of words
 - Not Known
 - SECTION FOUR PSYCHIATRIC ACCOUNT FROM CHILD
- ** Now that we have completed all this, perhaps we could talk a little more about school and home.

Do you ever get teased at school? What would it usually be about?

Do you think that you get teased more than other boys/girls?

. How do you feel about it?

If upset, miserable, etc. get details.

**, What about bullying?

Do you get bullied at all?

Da you think you get bullied more than other children? Do you get into trouble for hitting other children? If yes - Do you think you are in trouble for this more often than other boys/girls?

Why is that?

* Many boy girls have times when they feel pretty lonely. Do you ever feel like that? 「「「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」」

If yes - How often?

What sort of times do you get lonely?

Can you remember the last time you felt lonely?

What do you do when you feel lonely?

41. . TEASED BY PEERS (since last summer)

Not teased

Teaped but no more than other children

Teased somewhat more

Teased a lot more than others

42. BULLIED BY PEERS (since last summer) Not bullied

> Bullied but no more than other children? Bullied somewhat more

337

Bullied a lot more than others Not Known

43. IN TROUBLE FOR HITTING OTHER CHILDREN (since last / summer) / Never

Not more than other children Somewhat more than other children A lot more than other children

Not Known

44. LONELINESS (since last summer) Never felt

> Only rarely Occasionally

Often

Not Known

45. OVERALL RATING OF ADEQUACY OF PEER RELATIONSHIP

Normal relationships

Slightly abnormality

Marked abnormality

Not Known

Nearly everyone gets into trouble for something or other at some time at school.

What sorts of things do you get into trouble for? How do you feel about it?

What about outside school?

Have there been things you <u>might</u> have gotten into trouble for?

(If criticism of school, alter earlier rating appropriately.)

46. ANTISOCIAL ACTIVITIES REPORTED AT SCHOOL (since last summer)

None

Minor disciplinary infringements only Occasional definite antisocial or aggressive behavior

Frequent definite antisocial or aggressive behavior

Not Known

Describei

47. ANTISOCIAL ACTIVITIES REPORTED OUTSIDE SCHOOL (since last summer)

N.one

Minor only (eg. mischief etc.)

Occasional definite antisocial activity Frequent definite antisocial activity

Not Known

Describe

and the second state of the second second

Do you know what a worry is? (If child not able to give definition - suggest that it is something which people think about and sometimes makes them unhappy.)

Well I suppose everyone worries about some things, don't they.

What sort of things do you get worried about?

If yes - Does worrying even bother you so much that you can't think about what you're suppose to be doing?

Can you stop worrying when you want to?

Does worrying about things ever keep you awake?

** Do you ever worry about your health?

If yes - Have you ever felt that you might be physically sick?

What did you think was the matter?

Do you ever worry about anything else?

48, OVERALL WORRYING

None

Dubious

Definite

Not Known

49. HYPOCHONDRIASIS

None

Dubious

Definite

Delusions of physical disease

Not Known

339

ALC: MARTINE STR

- Do you ever find that sometimes you feel nervous all over, or panicky for no particular reason?
- If yes When?
- What is it like?
- Does anything particular make you feel like that?
- 50. NON-SITUATIONAL ANXIETY/PANIC
 - Nil
 - Dubious
 - Non-situational specific anxiety
 - Non-situational panic attacks
 - Not Known
 - Do you find yourself getting worried or frightened in certain situations?
 - Or are there special things that frighten you?
 - FOR EXAMPLE: Some children get worried and upset going to school in the morning. Do you feel like that?
 - What about getting changed for gym or dressing when other people are there?
 - Or writing exams in school?
 - Being in a crowd?
 - Meeting new people?
 - Being in the dark?
 - Sometimes too, boys and girls about your age are afraid of animals, such as dogs or cats, are you?
 - What about insects?

Finally thunder and lightning frightens a lot of children, do you ever feel scared when we have thunder and lightning?

(Get details sufficient for rating whenever fear reported - writing out full description in an effort to obtain detail, "what do you do when you feel like that?")

SITUATION SPECIFIC ANXIETY

Ratings

Nil

Dubiaus

Specific anxiety

Situation specific panic attacks

Avoidance of objects or situation because anxious

Not Known

Put appropriate number in each of these spaces Going to school

52. Undressing

53. Examinations at school

54 Crowds

51.

55. Meeting new people

56. Being left in house alone

57 Dark

58. Dogs

59. Other animals

60. Insects

61. Thunder and lightning

62. Other situation specific fear

Q

1
Specify

- Do you gometimes feel unhappy and miserable?
 - If yes Can you tell me how you feel at this time? Do you ever want to cry?

こうちゃうしん 学校 しかい クリックション かいのうかい

342

- . Do you ever feel so bed that you want to get away from it all or to run away?
- What sorts of things make you feel like that?
- Can you remember the last time you felt like that?
 - How often do you feel like that?

63. MISERY - UNHAPPINESS

- None
- Slight
- Marked or very often
 - Not Known
- Do you everyfeel that what happens to you is less important than what happens to other people..... That you don't matter very much?
- 64. SELF DEPRECIATION
 - None

₩₩.

- Slight
- Marked
- Not Known
- Do you ever feel like you'd rather be any place other j
 - If yes Where would you rather be?

If child unable to give eg. - Would you rather be anywhere else?

Did you ever want to stop living?

- If yes When?
- What did you do?
- 65. SUICIDAL IDEAS
 - None
 - Suicidal thoughts only
 - Thought of method of suicide
 - Active steps taken but no attempt
 - Suicidal attempt
 - Not Known
- 8

2 ° 🖌 🖓 👘

- ** Do you ever get a tune in your head that you can't get rid of?
 - Of perhaps thoughts like that silly thoughts or words that won't go away, though you wished they would?
- 66. OBSESSIONAL RUMINATIONS
 - None
 - Slight
 - Marked
 - Not Known;

0

THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPE

67. OBSESSIONAL RITUALS

None Slight

Marked

Not Known

Sometimes when people are feeling sad, they get the feeling that other people are looking at them or talking about them, or maybe laughing at them.

Do you ever feel like that?.

If yes - Can you remember the last time you felt like that?

Do you think they were really laughing (talking about) at you?

68. IDEAS OF REFERENCE

No ideas of reference

Dubious

Definite ideas of reference recognized as unfounded

Delusional ideas of reference Not Known

** How do you get along with the teachers at school? (Explore if evidence of any difficulties.)

69. RELATIONSHIP WITH TEACHERS (since last summer) No abnormality

Slight difficulties or abnormalities

Marked difficulties or abnormalities

Not Known

(OMIT THE NEXT QUESTIONS IF NO SIBS)

What are your brothers and sisters like?

How do you get on with them?

Most brothers and sisters squabble sometimes. How often do you squabble?

What would it usually be about?

What happens?

O, RELATIONSHIP WITH SIBS

No or only trivial difficulties in relationship Slight difficulties or abnormalities only Marked difficulties or abnormalities

No sib

Not. Known

How well do you feel you're doing in school?

. SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT

Exceptionally good

Doing well, better than average

About average

Below average, not very well

Doing very badly, failing

Not Known

**

Do you find the work (more difficult, less difficult, the same) as compared to other children in the class? 1.1.1.

72. WORK AT SCHOOL AS COMPARED TO CLASSMATES

Average - the same as other children

Some subjects a little easier than others, Subjects a lot easier than others

A little bit more difficult

Canal Strate And Strate Strate

Considerably more difficult, Not Known

Do you get any homework? 4

How long has it actually taken you over the last week? Do you have a special place at home to do homework? When do you actually do it?

and the second and the

4

8.

346

「いいいいい」のないでいいできょうないです。

語のないの時間には語

73. HOMEWORK DONE (average past 2 weeks)

No homework done

Homework done only irregularly

Less than 1 hour per weekday on homework 1 - 2 hours per weekday on homework

More than 2 hours per weekday on homework

No homework assigned

Not Known

74. HOMEWORK PLACE (past 2 weeks)

No homework set

Homework set, no special place, no family concessions.

Homework set, no special place, family concessions

Homework set, special place available Homework set and usually done in school Homework set, done in library, elsewhere out of home 347

:4

ধ্ৰ

Homework set but not done

Not Known

75. O/E FIGETINESS (OBSERVATION OF CHILD AT INTERVIEW) SECTION FOUR

No squirming, wriggling or fidgetiness

Occasional fidgety movement - but not present. most of the time

Wriggling, squirming or fidgetiness present for most of interview

Not Known

You were telling me earlier about your school. Could I ask you to do one or two things in your head. See how quickly you can do, it.

Take 3 away from 29

Count by 2's to 20

Name the days of the week forward.

I am going to say some numbers. Listen carefully and when I am through say them right after me. '(Stop after the child misses both items.)

I 3,8,4, II 6,1,2 I 3,8,9,1,7,4

I 3,4,1,7 II 7,9,6,4,8,3

II 6,1,5,8

I 8,4,2,3,9

* Now I am going to say some more numbers, but this time, when I stop I want you to say them backwards. For example, if I say 9,2,1 what would you say?

- I 2,5
- II 6,3.
- I 5,7,4
- II 2,5,9 I 7,2,9,6

 - II 8,4,9,3
 - I 4,1,3,5,7
 - II 9,7,8,5,2
 - I 1,6,5,2,9,8
 - II. 3,6,7,1,9,4

(If the child fails to give the correct eg. provide the correct response. Discontinue as above.)

SECTION FOUR - OBSERVATION OF CHILD AT INTERVIEW

Abnormality

	None Slight Marked Not Kr	'n
	76. 0/E Anxiety 0 1 2 9	•
<i> </i> .	77. O/E Sad 0 1 2 9	•
	78. 0/E Tearful 0 1 -2 9	
	79. O/E Muscular Tension 0 1 2 9	2
	80. O/E Facial tics 0 1 2 9 (Specify type:	
•	81 0/F Other Highlike 0 1	,

81. O/E Other Tic-like 0 1 2 movements (Describe: own

ない、あいのとないのできないいとうない

82. O/E GROSS ACTIVITY LEVEL

Definitely underactive: very little spontaneous movements

Normal; child sits on chair throughout interview except when instructed to do otherwise

Tendency to increased activity; child gets up from chair spontaneously at least once or moves about at least once when should be standing الدائي منتوجي والمتحر المار

and the second second second second

349

Child definitely and markedly overactive relative to situation. Several times gets up from chair or moves about room when expected to stay still

Child definitely and markedly overactive to absolute extent. Not only gets up and moves about but <u>tempo</u> of activity also increased

Not Known

Use this to assess attention span and persistence but base rating on whole interview not just these responses.

83. O/E ATTENTION SPAN AND PERSISTENCE

Persists at tasks given until completed (the child may be momentarily distracted but must sportaneously return to the task.)

On some tasks needs occasional prompting or reminding to persist until completion

Usually needs prompting or reminding to continue with task until completion

Not Known

Western a grand manage based and a second state

84. O/E DISTRACTIBILITY (paying attention in rating to child's response to incidential usual stimuli.)

Not distracted (or only rarely) by usual stimuli when attention engaged in any activity. Not distracted or only momentarily distracted at onset of unusual O/E DISTRACTIBILITY CONT'D

stimuli (as specified in instructions) when engaged in a task.

Occasionally distracted by usual stimuli and/ or repeatedly distracted by unusual stimuli.

Distracted by usual stimuli or slight unusual stimuli, so that attention frequently diverted from any activity or task

Not Known.

85.

O/E EMOTIONAL RESPONSIVENESS (to interviewer)

Emotionally responsive in normal way to interviewer shows range of emotions appropriate to interview situation (may however show excess of emotion eg. crying).

Limited emotional response to interviewer. Definite evidence of some appropriate response but restriction of emotional involvement.

Markedly lacking in emotional response to interviewer, seems difficult to get through to child, every emotional involvement with interviewer.

Not Known

86. O/E RELATIONSHIP WITH EXAMINER

Good rapport established and maintained

Rather difficult to gain or maintain rapport (for any reason eg. autism, belligerence, unco-operativeness, negativism, etc.)

Rapport with child not obtained or severely limited (for any reason)

Not Known

87. C/E DISINHIBITION

Some reserve - as normal with a strange adult

Treats examiner in an easy, friendly manner with little or no reserve. Rather disinhipited for the interview situation.

Treats examiner as if a long standing friend of his own age, that is, markedly disinhibited and over-friendly for the interview situation, may be cheeky, make unprompted remarks about the room or the examiner or his clothes, ask a lot. of questions, etc.

Disregard of the interviewer or his instructions shown by proceeding with own interests with little regard to the demand of the interviewer. May ask spontaneous questions or make unprompted comments but cheeky or friendly. This kind of disregard is self-centered rather than outgoing, and overfriendly.

Not Known

88. O/E SPONTANEOUS TALK

At least 4 spontaneous comments during the interview

1-3 spontaneous comments

No spontaneous comments

Not Known/

89. O/E SMILING

Smiles appropriate.

Smiles only occasionally

No or very little smiling

Not Known

-2

and the second second

SECTION FIVE

المشاد الاتناط مرمع لاستراد

90. OVERALL JUDGEMENT OF PSYCHIATRIC STATE No abnormality 352

11

Dubious abnormality

Slight but definite abnormality

Moderate abnormality

Marked abnormality

Not Known

. TYPE OF ABNORMALITY

No abnormality

Anxiety or phobic state Depression

Other neurotic disorder Mixed neurotic/antisocial disorder

Antisocial

Hyperkinetic syndrome

Psychotic syndrome

Other - specify

Not Known

Specify the evidence for the diagnosis:

92. PHYSICAL APPEARANCE OF CHILD Definitely pleasant PHYSICAL APPEARANCE OF CHILD CONT'D. Rather unattractive

A TAN AN LANE LA MA LA MAR A MAR AND AND A

Ugly, deformed, or definitely unpleasant

Not Known

93. MANNER OF CHILD

Definitely pleasant

Ordinary

Rather unattractive

Definitely unattractive

Not Known

Free description of child at interview:

I've asked you lots of questions. Is there anything you would like to ask me?

and the second second

353

(As far as possible give an age appropriate response to the child - perhaps getting the answer he expected.) Thank you very much for coming along and being so helpful.

(Shaking hands - not only provide a friendly gesture but also can provide information concerning anxiety.)

DATE OF INTERVIEW

NAME OF INTERVIEWER:

NOTES FOR RATING AND GENERAL REFERENCES

354

Question 1 a, b

Peer: to be defined as another person between the ages of 6 - 11 who is not a sib and who has been seen to talk to for any reason outside school for more than 5 - 10 minutes. Contacts on the way to or from school, unless the child actually went into the friends home, or unless they went to a snack-bar or library on the way home are <u>not</u> to be counted.

Question 2 a, b

Range of peer-contacts: the number of different friends seen in the last week.

Question 3 a, b

A special friend: someone with whom the child has been in contact at least once 2/52, whom he especially enjoys going out with or finds it especially easy to confide in. Rating of 1 if there is such a person but it is doubtful if he feels a special role.

Question 4

Membership in group: child's perception of his being a member of such a group should be taken as sufficient for coding provided that he has had contact with the group within the last week!

Question:5

Visiting: the length of the visit is immaterial but it is not sufficient for rating if the child just knocks at a friend's door to call for him,

Question 7

Parental approval of friends: rating dependent on 1) having been expressed, 2) still actively operative during the period in question. Rating 1 child's thinking that his parents disapprove not sufficient, parents must actually have said something about his friends during the period for the rating to be made. Question 8

NOT THE REAL PROPERTY OF

T.V. Hours: round hours upwards if half an hour or more, downwards if less than 1/2 hour.

Question 10

Movies: rating is not dependent on the child's going in the company of friends or family.

Question 14

Books extra curriculum: any book not a requisite for completion of school work may be included.

Question 15.

Visiting, includes any sort of leisure outing lasting more than 1 hour as well as visiting relatives, shopping with parents.

Question 16

Pocket money: money given in some sort of regular way by parent or other near relative. Variation of pocket money over weeks - rate average. Earnings: gleaned from tasks inside or outside home, not to be included.

Question 17

Child's perception of parental strictness: Rating not made on the child's response to question although attention should be paid to this aspect. Also take into account any remarks the child makes in other parts of the interview to decide final rating.

Question 17

Parent-child disagreement on child's activities. alteration is a discussion with an element of bad feeling in it or anything more unpleasant than this such as an argument or episode of violence.

Question 18

Housework: any sort of chore taking more than one minute or so counts i.e. bed making. Such things as bringing milk from front door or care of personal or family pets count towards rating.

356

Question 22, 23, 26

Spontaneous criticism: remarks made in 1) disapproving or denigrating way; 2) negative emotive voice, eg. "My Mom.. its like talking to a brick wall."; 3) describing negative feeling in themselves which have been produced by the parent eg. he annoys me.

Question 24, 25, 27

Rejection: spontaneous hostility represents an all pervading attitude the child may have to a person, specific criticism not necessary in rating, as child rejects person as person, eg. "she just doesn't understand anything at all". If doubtful of all pervading attitude - rate 1.

Question 45

Adequacy of peer relationship: common difficulties inability or relative inability to make or keep friends, feeling in the child that he is especially picked on, is unpopular or is laughed at.

76. O/E Anxiety

- 1. facial expression
- 2. any trembling
- 3. sweating and autonomic components
- 4. comments by the child about way feeling

77. O/E Sad - rating dependent on:

- 1. general demeanor at interview
- 2. facial expression
- 3. tears coming to eyes
- 4. remarks made by child
 - Caution should be used in rating if child is unresponsive and apathetic.

- 78. O/E Tearful
 - 1 1. if tears come to eyes at one point
 - 2. child actually cries
- 9. 0/E Muscular tension at rest
 - 1. clenching of jaws
 - 2. sitting stiffly on edge of chair grasping of hand tightly

190 50 30

- 80. 0/E Facial tics repetitive movements of face
 - blinking:
 frowning
- 81. O/E Other tics repetitive movements manifested in parts of body other than face.
- 82. O/E Gross activity level (relative to situation of interview).
- 75. 0/E Fidgetiness
 - 1. squirmy movements, shifting around in chair
 - 2. cross and uncrossing legs
 - 3. movements of child are not a part of child going
 - from one place to another
- 84. O/E Distractability child distracted by:
 - 1. objects in room
 - 2. People walking past the door
 - 3. interviewer making notes
 - 4. assessment while child performing tasks
- 85. O/E Emotional responsiveness
- 90. Overall Judgment of Psychiatric State
 - Dubious abnormality: some abnormality unusual for age, sex, but not constituting any kind of handicapping; psychiatric disorder.

90. Cont'd

Slight but definite abnormality; clinically significant psychiatric abnormality present, but is only slight or is isolated eg. facial tic but nothing else evident; generally anxious child.

Moderate abnormality: rate for more general and more severe abnormality, child who is sad, unhappy at home, feeling life not worthwhile or difficulty in peer relationships and repeated antisocial acts.

Marked abnormality: gross and generalized abnormality in emotions, behaviour and relationships that is cyident from the interview <u>alone</u>; that the child has a handicapping psychiatric disorder of at least a moderate degree i.e. persistent antisocial behaviour together with social isolation or disregard for other people.

R

APPENDIX F

Letters to the Parents

March 23, 1973

Dear

I am concerned that some children may not be obtaining the greatest benefit from their attendance at school. As a graduate student at Memorial University, I would like to look at a group of children who are having difficulties in school to see if this is the case. From such information, it may be possible to aid your child and the ones who follow him, in their educational attainment. I would like to include your child, in this study.

The procedure which will be used with each child in the group includes:

- 1. obtaining a report from the teacher concerning the child's behaviour and his progress in school,
- seeing the child to obtain some idea of how he views his school, his activities and his friends. Psychological testing may also be required,
- 3. seeing the parents for the purpose of obtaining information concerning the child in the home.

I would like to stress that the intermation gained will be held in strictest confidence. The work of obtaining and compiling the information will be done by me and the material presented at completion of the work will not identify individual children or their families.

It would be greatly appreciated if you would indicate whether or not you are willing to participate in this effort to obtain more information about the school system in this province. Your school board has been contacted regarding this proposal and they have given their consent to allow me to work in the school. Please complete and detach the bottom portion of this letter and return by means of the enclosed reply envelope. If you need further information please call me at 722-5100 ext. 216.

Thank you for your time.

Yours very truly

(Miss) Linda Hollett

I give permission for my child, to be included in this study.

Signature:

Date:

ANN

If you have any questions, feel free to indicate them in the space below.

APPENDIX G

Occupational Coding

The State of the S

· 문화 그리네 한 몸가 많이.

MAJOR, MINOR AND UNIT GROUP CODE

1.1	
11	Managerial, Administrative and Related Occupations
21	Occupations in Natural Sciences, Engineering and Mathematics.
23	Occupations in Social Sciences and Related Fields
25	Occupations in Religion
27	Teaching and Related Occupations
31	Occupations in Medicine and Health
33	Artistic, Literary, Performing Arts and Related Occupations
37	Occupations in Sport and Recreation
41	Clerical and Related Occupations
51	Sales Occupations
61	Service Occupations
71	Farming, Horticultural and Animal-Husbandry Occupations
74	Fishing, Hunting, Trapping and Related Occupations
75	Forestry and Logging Occupations
77	Mining and Quarrying Including Oil and Gas Field Occupations
81/82	Processing Occupations
83	Machining and Related Occupations
85	Product Fabricating, Assembling and Repairing Occupations
87	Construction Trades Occupations
91	Transport Equipment Operating Occupations
93	Material-Handling and Related Occupations, n.e.c.
95	Other Crafts and Equipment Operating Occupations
99	Occupations Not Elsewhere Classified

MINOR GROUPS

MAJOR GROUP 11 - MANAGERIAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS

- 111 . Officials and Administrators Unique to Government
- 113/14 Other Managers and Administrators.
- 117 Occupations Related to Management and Administration

MAJOR GROUP 21 - OCCUPATIONS IN NATURAL SCIENCES, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS

- 211 Occupations in Physical Sciences
- 213 Occupations in Life Sciences-
- 214/215 Architecis and Engineers
- 216 Other Occupations in Architecture and Engineering
- 218 Occupations in Mathematics, Statistics, Systems Analysis and Related Fields

MAJOR GROUP 23 - OCCUPATIONS IN SOCIAL SCIENCES AND RELATED

- 233 Occupations in Social Work and Related Fields
- 235 Occupations in Library, Museum and Archival Sciences
- 239 Other Occupations in Social Sciences and Related Fields

MAJOR GROUP 25 - OCCUPATIONS IN RELIGION/

Occupations in Religion

251

MAJOR GROUP 27 TEACHING AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS

- 271 University Teaching and Related Occupations
- 273 Elementary and Secondary School Teaching and Related Occupations
- 279 Other Teaching and Related Occupations

MAJOR GROUP 31 - OCCUPATIONS IN MEDICINE AND HEALTH

- 311 Health Diagnosing and Treating Occupations
- 313 Nursing, Therapy and Related Assisting Occupations
- 315 Other Occupations in Medicine and Health

MAJOR GROUP 33 - ARTISTIC, LITERARY, PERFORMING ARTS AND RELATED

331 Occupations in Fine and Commercial Art, Photography and Related Fields

333	Occupations in Performing and Audiovisual Arts
33.5	Occupations in Writing
MAJOR G	ROUP 37 - OCCUPATIONS IN SPORT AND RECREATION
371	Occupations in Sport and Recreation
MAJOR G	ROUP 41 - CLERICAL AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS
411	Stenographic and Typing Occupations
413	Bookkeeping, Account-Recording and Related Occupations
414	Office Machine and Electronic Data-Processing Equipment Operator
415	Material Recording, Scheduling and Distributing Occupations
416	Library, File and Correspondence Clerks and Related Occupations
417	Reception, Information, Mail and Message Distribution Occupations
419	Other Clerical and Related Occupations
MAJOR G	ROUP 51 SALES OCCUPATIONS
•••••	Sales Occupations, Commodities
517	Sales Occupations, Services
519	Other Sales Occupations
MAJOR G	ROUP 61 SERVICE OCCUPATIONS
611	Protective Service Occupations
612	Food and Beverage Preparation and Related Service Occupations
613	Occupations in Lodging and Other Accommodation
614	Personal Service Occupations
616	Apparel and Furnishings Service Occupations
619	Other Service Occupations
	ROUP 71 - FARMING, HORTICULTURAL AND ANIMAL-HUSBAI
711	Farmers
713	Farm Management Occupations
718/719	Other Faiming, Horijcultural and Animal-Husbandry Occupations
	ROUP 73 - FISHING, HUNTING, TRAPPING AND RELATED
OCCUP	

.	
MAJOR GI	OUP 75 - FORESTRY AND LOGGING OCCUPATIONS
751	Forestry and Logging Occupations
MAJOR GE FIELD C	ROUP 77 MINING AND QUARRYING INCLUDING OIL AND GAS
771	Mining and Quarrying Including Oil and Gas Field Occupations
MAJOR GI	OUP 81482 - PROCESSING OCCUPATIONS
811	Mineral Ore Treating Occupations
813/814	Metal Processing and Related Occupations
- 815	Clay, Glass and Stone Processing, Forming and Related Occupations
816/817	Chemicals, Petroleum, Rubber, Plustic and Related Materials Processing Occupations
821/822	Food, Beverage and Relified Processing Occupations
823	Wood Processing Occupations, Except Paper Pulp
825	Pulp and Papermaking and Related Occupations
826/827	Textile Processing Occupations
829	Other Processing Occupations
MAJOR GI	ROUP 83 - MACHINING AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS
831	Metal Machining Occupations
833	Metal Shaping and Forming Occupations, Except Machining
835	Wood Machining Occupations
837	Clay, Glass, Stone and Related Materials Machining Occupations
.839	Other Machining and Related Occupations
MAJOR GI	ROUP 85 (PRODUCT FABRICATING, ASSEMBLING AND REPAIRING
	Fabricating, and Assembling Occupations, Metal Products, n.e.c.
853	Fabricating, Assembling, Installing and Repairing Occupations: Electrical; Electronic and Related Equipment
854	Fubricating, Assembling and Repairing Occupations, Wood Products

- 855/856 Fubricating, Assembling and Repairing Occupations: Textile, Fur and Leather
- 857 Fubricating Assembling and Repairing Occupations, Rubber, Plastic and Related Products
- 858 Mechanics and Repairmen, n.e.c.
- 859. Other Product Fabricating, Assembling and Repairing Occupations

MAJOR GROUP 91 - TRANSPORT EQUIPMENT OPERATIONS MAJOR GROUP 91 - TRANSPORT EQUIPMENT OPERATING OCCUPATIONS

- 913 Railway Transport Operating Occupations
- 915 Water Transport Operating Occupations
- 917 Motor Transport Operating Occupations
- 919 Other Transport Equipment Operating Occupations

MAJOR GROUP 93 - MATERIAL-HANDLING AND RELATED OCCUPATIONS, N.E.C.

931. Material-Handling and Related Occupations, n.e.c.

MAJOR GROUP 95 - OTHER CRAFTS AND EQUIPMENT OPERATING.

- 951 Printing and Related Occupations
- 953 Stationary Engine and Utilities Equipment Operating Occupations
- .955 Electronic and Related Communications Equipment Operating Occupations, n.e.c.
- 959 Other Crafts and Equipment Operating Occupations, n.e.c.

MAJOR GROUP 99 - OCCUPATIONS NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED

991 Occupations Not Elsewhere Classified

APPENDIX H

£+\L---.

Chi Square Tables

ADJUSTMENT

1. Teachers' Report of Psychiatric Disorder in Special and Regular Classes

	Dis- order	No Dis- order	0
Special Class	12	15	27
Regular Class	5	22	27

2. Reports of "Overactivity" in Special Class Children by Teachers and Parents

	Over- activity.	No Diffi- culty:	
arents	2	24 .	26
achers	16	11	27

3. Reports of "Overactivity" in Régular Class Children by Parents and Teachers .

370

		No Diffi- culty	
Parents	- 0	26	26
Teachers	. 12 12	'15 41	27

4. Reports of "Fidgetiness" in Regular Class Children by Parents and Teachers

Fidgeti- ness		No Difficulty				
Parents		26	26			
Teachers	7	20	27			

Ker the start and

5. Reports of "Poor Concentration" in Special Class Children by Parents, Teachers, Observation from the

Children's Interviews

Poor Con-	:	No	Dif	fi-	•
centrtion		cul			

Parents	1	25	26
Teachers	15	12	27
Children	7 23	20 57	27 と 80

6. Reports of "Poor Concentration" in Regular Class Children by Parents, Teachers, Observation from the Children's Interviews

Poor Con-	No	D1	f	f	1	-	۰.
centration ·							7

Parents	o	° 26	26
Teachers	10	17	27
Children	4	23 66	27 80

7	Teachers! Report of	"Stammering" in	Special	Class	and Regular
•			•		
۰ ^۰		Class Children		1 S	

. s i	0		
Speçial Class	8	19	27
Regular Class	1	26.	27

Ľ

۲.

8. Teachers' Report Of "Fighting" in Special and Regular Class Children



9.	Reports	of	"Destructiveness"	in Special	Class	Children by
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	Teachers and Parents				
	Destruc- tiveness	No Diffi- culty			
Teachers	6	21	27		
Parents	0	26 47	26 5		

10. Teachers' Report of "Not Liked" in Special and Regular Class Children



51C5 01 11	Teachers and Parents				
	Irri- table	No Diffi- culty			
Teachers	7	.20	27		
Parents	15	11 31	26		

11. Reports of "Irritable" in Regular Class Children by

12.Reports of "Worried" in Regular Class Children by Parents, Teachers and Children,

Worried		No Diffi-	· · ·
		culty	

Parents	Ð	20	- 26
Teachers	7	20	27
Children	(18 3 (9)	9	27

	Miser- able	No Diffi- culty	1 -		
Parents	3	23	26		
Teachers	4	23	27		
Children	16 23	11 57	27 80		

A.,

14. Reports of "Fears" in Regulas Class Children by Parents, Teachers and Children

	Fears	No Diffi- culty	
Parents	14	12	26
Teachers	3	24	27
Children	19 36	B 44	2.7 8.0
	. ()	\$	

375

12:22

15. Reports	of AAches	and Pains".	in Special	Class Children	by
					.,-

376

	Parents and	Teachers	
		No Diffi- culty	
Parenys	8	18	26
Teachers	o	27	27
	8	45	5

16. Reports of "Nail Biting" in Regular Class Children by Parents and Teachers



A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE A

PHYSICAL ATTRIBUTES AND HEALTH

17. Constructional Abilities in Regular Class (IQ below 85) and Regular Class Children (IQ at or above 85)



18. Laterality in Special Class Children and Regular Class

Children.



5%

B 17

a de la Carla de Carl Companya de Carla de C
19. Laterality in Special Class Children and Regular Class Children at One School



20. Comparison of Child's Milestone Acquisition with Siblings

Ŀ

2



Â,

344

ないがないないないないないないないである

ing.]



37 5 10 52

136

378

£ ...

21. G.P. Contacts in Special and Regular Class Children Attending One School



22. Vision Reading Chart Performance in Special and Regular Class Children



1

7. S

5

23. Vision Reading Chart Performance in Special and Regular Class Children in One School

Codings:	0	3	5	6	7	
Special Class	1	2	5	0	0	8
Regular Class	0	0	1	4	4	9
CIASS						

24. Vision Reading Chart Performance in Special Class (at or above 85) and Regular Class Children

			<i>5</i> %		2
Codings:	0	5	6. [7	
					•
Special Class	· 10	4	1	- 2 -	- 17
(🔿 . 85)					
Regular					
Class	0		11	14	26
			1/1		
				, ¹¹	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

「「「「「「「「「「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」」

25. Hefghtiin Special Class Children and the Normal Population

381

「「「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

ないならど

いいので

Percentiles: 3	10	25	50	75 .	90	97	100	
Special Class 6	5	6	2	4	3	0	0	26
Normal Populat- ion	1.7	3.6	6	0 5 8.6	3.6	1.7	•7	26
6.72	6.7	9.6	8	, 10	6.6	1.7	.7.	: 5

26. Height in Regular Class Children and the Normal Population

Percentiles:

, · ; · is	3		10	25	50	75	90	97	100	••••
Reg- ular		1	e i		-					50
Class			3		-	4	3	U	*	26
										,
· · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	р - с. с. 91 - с.		,						<u>.</u>
							$\mu_{\rm eff} = \mu_{\rm eff}$			
Nor-	{	1 e 1	•••							
mal				λ			5. 			
Populat		75	1.85	4.1	6.25	6.5	3.9	1.7	.9	26
ion		<u></u>		1						20
	4	75	6.85	8.1	13.25	8.5	5.9	1.7	1.9	52

wind where a state of a state of the state o

27. Weights in Special Class Children and the Normal Population

				EA	-9 pr		A9	100
	3	τu	43	50	75	90	97	100
Special	8	4	5	5	A	0		0 26
Class							•	
		· · · ·					العلق المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع	Stor & Burn
· · · · ·							1	
Normal				6.3				
Popula	.8	1.9	4.1	0.3	3.9	3.9 -	1.1	.9 26
ion								
1 (* 1. j.								
· · · · · ·	8.8	5.9	9.1	11.3	7.9	3.9	1.7	.9 5
ing a second								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Percentiles

28. Weighte Height Percentiles Discrepancies in Special and Regular Class Children

	Overweight	appiete	Under- weight	
Special				
Class	4	10	11	2!
	\sim			
Regular	11	11		21
Class				
	15	22	14	

1

29. Maternal Education in Special Class Children (below 85) and Regular Class Children

	Cod	lings:			
Special Class (< 85)	0 5	1 2	2	0	8
		1			
Regular Class	3	in	10		25
	8	13	11		3:

30. Maternal Employment in Regular and Special Class Children



33. "Builied by Peers" in Special Class Children (below 85) and Regular Class Children (at or above 85)



34. "In Trouble for Hitting Others"' in Special Class Children (below 85) and Regular Class Children (at or above 85)



ξ.

s∧i

139 45

Codings: 4



385

34

語ると言い



32. "Bullied by Peers" in Special Class Children (below885) and Regular Class Children



The State of the S

35. "Teasing by Peers" in Special Class Children (below 85) and Special Class Children (at or above 85)



36. "Bullied by Peers" in Special Class Children (below 85) and Special Class Children (at or above 85)

Codings:

	0.0	i	2	3
Special » Class	1	7	0,	0
⊈(≺ 85)		ę		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ан А
Special Class (≥ 85)	. 10	4	2	1
4	11	2311	2	4

386

19

17

25

37. "Trouble for Hitting Others" in Regular Class Children (at or above 85) and Regular Class Children (below 85)

Codings:

2 Regulat Class (<u>></u> 85) 0 e,



19

An see & see a standard and a set of the second sec

留また

- 「「「「「「「「「」」」」」

18

3

21

www.acompennecimetersenielicentersenielicentersenielicentersenielicentersenielicentersenielicentersenielicenter







