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ABSTRACT

The Twillingate region is underlain by three lithologic units. The oldest of these is the Sleepy Cove Group, a one to two kilometer thick volcanic pile which exhibits ophiolitic affinities. To the west, and in thrust contact with this group is the Moretons Harbour Group, a massive, 8 to 9 kilometer thick volcanic pile which most likely developed in an island arc environment. The Twillingate Granite is intrusive into both units and is thus the youngest unit in the region.

The Twillingate Granite and its basaltic hosts were affected by four deformational episodes. The earliest of these events ($D_1$) produced two high temperature shear zones. Of these, the SHTI zone parallels the southern boundary of the study area and is characterized by recrystallized quartz grains enclosed in a recrystallized sodic plagioclase matrix. The BBB zone forms the contact between the Moretons Harbour Group and the Sleepy Cove Group, and is characterized by sheared and elongate pillow basalts and dykes.

The $D_2$ deformation resulted in the development of open to tight upright folds in the basalt and a strong, localized L-S fabric in the granite body. Quartz microstructures and petrofabrics in the granite suggest that this deformation occurred at much lower temperatures than $D_1$.

$D_3$ was also a low temperature deformation episode and was mainly responsible for developing broad, upright folds in the
basalts of North and South Twillingate Island. This event does not appear to have affected the granite terrain to any great extent.

The last event to affect the region ($D_4$), produced local kinks and faults in both the Twillingate Granite and the surrounding volcanic groups.
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I. **INTRODUCTION**

I.A. **Location**

The Twillingate study area is located along the east coast of Notre Dame Bay, North-central Newfoundland (fig. 1). It consists of four major islands and several smaller ones, including the Twillingate Islands, Black Island, the Trump Islands, New World Island, Matthews Island and Mouse Island. In all, the study area encompasses a total area of about 150 square miles, the centre of which lies at 49°40' west longitude and 54°45' north latitude.

I.B. **Access and Exposure**

Access to the Twillingate Islands is by good paved roads from Lewisporte or Gander. Unpaved secondary roads connect these highways to much of the study area, and afford access into many of the more distant parts of the region. Of the major land masses, only the Trump Islands and Black Island are inaccessible by car.

Access into the interior of the islands is made possible by numerous foot paths and moose runs. However, inland exposures are often lichen-covered and extremely difficult to interpret. This is especially true of the Moretons Harbour Peninsula, where even cliff faces are often without workable exposure.

In comparison, the shoreline of all islands offer extremely good exposure and are easily accessible by foot,
with the exception of the northernmost extremities of the Moretons Harbour Peninsula and North Twillingate Island. Boat work is feasible during the summer, although high cliffs and frequent gales make landing difficult on the windward shores.
Figure 1. Location map for the Twillingate region. Dots indicate the location of outcrops visited during this study.
Previous Work

Early Work

The earliest geological description of the Twillingate region is that of Jukes (1842), who visited the Twillingate Islands in 1840. He described the two principal lithologies in the region as a 'rather fine grained white granite' and a 'coarse slate rock' which he assigned to the 'Lower Slate Unit' of the Avalon Peninsula.

Following this investigation, several groups of geologists visited the Twillingate region, although most concentrated on the sediments of the New World Island and Exploits region. First among these were Murray and Howley (1881), who described the Ordovician-Silurian sediments of New World Island and recognized their 'angular discordency' at Goshens Arm. They hypothesized that the Silurian lay unconformably on top of the Ordovician, yet recognized the possibility that faulting may have also modified the contact. Heyl (1936) later showed that this contact was indeed structural, and named the tectonic lineament the 'Lukes Arm Fault'.

Heyl (1936) also attempted a structural analysis of Notre Dame Bay, and defined one major phase of deformation. $F_1$ folds were described as being noticeably asymmetric and locally isoclinal, with axial planes trending northeast-southwest and axes which 'change pitch both in degrees and
direction' (sic). Based on the attitudes and asymmetry of these folds, he suggested that the region was deformed 'under compressive stresses' acting from the southeast. Faults were thought to have developed concurrently with folds as a result of overtightening within the fold cores.

In 1953, D.M. Baird mapped the Twillingate region in reconnaissance fashion and described relationships between the 'Twillingate Granite' and its surrounding lava flows and greenstones. He suggested that the granite mass was intruded in the Acadian Stage as it 'cuts the Ordovician volcanic rocks and includes many fragments and remnants of them' (Baird, 1954). The Twillingate Granite was also described by Williams (1963), who suggested that contrasts in structural style across the Lukes Arm Fault were related to the 'modifying effects' of the granite pluton to the north.

I.C.2. Later Work

Up to the late 1960's, interest was only cursorily extended to the present study area. However, with the advent of modern plate tectonic theory, the Twillingate region became the focus of debate, with controversy centering about two distinctly different models for the region. The earlier model, first proposed by Dewey (1969), and later modified by Bird and Dewey (1970) and Dewey and Bird (1971), suggested that granitic plutons, such as the Twillingate body, intruded
ophiolitic terrains during the early Ordovician. They argued that these plutons developed as a result of plate subduction, and were therefore intimately associated with regions of island arc volcanism. Alternatively, Williams et al. (1972) argued that the Twillingate Granite represented an older crustal remnant enclosed in a matrix of younger mafic volcanic rocks. This hypothesis stems from relationships defined in the Little Port Complex (western Newfoundland), where a deformed granite pluton similar to the Twillingate Body was found to be surrounded by younger, undeformed volcanic rocks. (Comeau, 1972).

In order to determine the relative merits of each model, detailed field and geochemical studies were conducted in the study area under the leadership of Drs. D. Strong and H. Williams of Memorial University.

In 1972, Coish (1973) mapped the two Trump Islands and described the volcanic stratigraphy of the region. He supported Dewey and Bird's 1971 model by showing that the Twillingate Granite intruded and was deformed with the surrounding volcanic pile. He also suggested that variations in deformational intensity throughout the region occurred as a result of competency differences between the Twillingate Granite and its country rocks. In particular, he suggested that the granite pluton acted as a 'buttress' to deformation, and was therefore more competent than the surrounding basalts.
Following the publication of Coish's thesis, Strong and Payne (1973) formally described the stratigraphy of the Moretons Harbour-Twillingate region, and assigned all units to the 'Moretons Harbour Group'. They suggested that this group represented an Ordovician 'Island Arc', and pointed to its eight kilometer thickness and volcanic stratigraphy for supporting evidence. Payne (1974) and Payne and Strong (1979) further supported the Island Arc model by showing that the Twillingate Granite was trondhjemitic in composition, and probably developed in an oceanic (subduction zone?) environment.

Payne also conducted a detailed structural analysis on the region and was able to discern three deformational events. The first of these ($D_1$), was only noted in xenoliths at Little Harbour, and was therefore thought to have occurred prior to the intrusion of the Twillingate pluton. The main foliation in the region was assigned to $D_2$, while late faults and kinks were collectively assigned to $D_3$.

Although Payne (1974), and Strong and Payne (1973) both described the Moretons Harbour Group as a continuous sequence exhibiting a gradational but very definite eastward increase in intensity of strain and metamorphism, Williams and Payne (1975) suggested that a 'strong structural contrast' existed in the group at Tizzards Harbour and Sam Jeans Cove. They subdivided the volcanics into two groups on the basis of this contrast, and assigned the highly deformed volcanics east of Sam Jeans Cove and Tizzards Harbour to the Sleepy Cove Group.
The contact between the Sleepy Cove and Moretons Harbour Groups was defined as a major fault, which was thought to extend from Berry Island to the Chanceport Fault at Bridgeport. In order to explain this structural unconformity, they suggested that the Sleepy Cove Group represented an early island arc terrain which was intruded by the Twillingate Granite, deformed, and then overlain by the Moretons Harbour Group. Their hypothesis was based on the remnant arc model developed by Karig (1972), and explains the absence of granitic dykes and foliations on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula.

In 1978, Dean presented a third hypothesis which argued that the Sleepy Cove Group represents the upper unit of an ophiolite complex which was conformably overlain by the Moretons Harbour Group while being intruded by the Twillingate Granite. He saw no evidence for a structural unconformity at T'izzards Harbour, and preferred to include all rocks north of the Chanceport Fault in the Moretons Harbour Group.

The origin and displacement histories of the Lukes Arm and Chanceport Faults have also been the subject of numerous papers, with authors suggesting that fault displacement was either sinistral strike slip, dextral strike slip, or reverse. Evidence for each is presented by Dean and Strong (1977), and will be further discussed in chapter III.
I.D. Purpose and Scope of the Present Thesis

The field study described in this thesis was conducted during the fall of 1978 and the summer of 1979. Its main goals lay in answering some of the questions posed by earlier workers. In particular, effort was directed towards defining the geologic relationship of the Sleepy Cove Group to the Moretons Harbour Group. Can these volcanic units be separated on the basis of field and petrologic data?

In order to answer this question, a detailed petrologic and metamorphic analysis of the volcanic terrain was undertaken to see if any major lithologic breaks could be discerned. A detailed structural analysis was then conducted across all observed lithologic breaks to see whether variations in deformational history were present. If found, such variations would lend support to Williams and Payne's (1975) two stage model for the Twillingate region.

The question of deformational style in the Twillingate region was also considered during the present study. This problem was discussed by several authors (notably Coish (1973), Payne (1974) and Williams and Payne (1975)), all of whom commented on the heterogeneity of deformation in the region. In order to provide a reasonable explanation for this heterogeneity, a comprehensive study was undertaken of the macrostructures, microstructures, and petrofabrics present throughout the region. These data were then used to define the age of deformation, the strains associated with deformation, and the environmental conditions under which...
deformation occurred.

In the chapters which follow, each of these questions are considered in turn. Chapter II deals with the stratigraphic questions outlined in this chapter, while chapters III through V consider the problem of structural history. Conclusions reached in each chapter are then discussed together in chapter VI.
II. PETROGRAPHY AND FIELD RELATIONSHIPS

II.A. Introduction

The lithologies exposed in the Twillingate study area may be broadly separated into two lithic types (fig. 2). Of these, the predominant rock type is the Twillingate Granite and its apophyses. This intrusive unit occupies over 60% of the exposed terrain and is the predominant rock type on South Twillingate Island, Black Island, South Trump Island, and the northeastern part of New World Island. Elsewhere in the region, mafic lithologies predominate, with the most common rock types being volcaniclastic sediments, basaltic pillow lavas, massive flows, and mafic dykes.

The contact between the Twillingate Granite and its basaltic host is usually fault modified. However, in the few observed cases where the boundary is apparently undeformed (for example, at Jenkins Cove), it consists of an intrusive breccia in which the mafic volcanics are incorporated and partially assimilated into the granite body (see figs. 20 and 21, page 40).

In the following sections, the petrology and field morphology of these two lithologic units are described. Previously defined stratigraphic names are retained, although the spatial relationship between groups has been redefined. Thus, the names 'Sleepy Cove Group' and 'Moretons Harbour Group' are used throughout the text, even though the contact
between the two groups has been relocated on the basis of petrologic, geochemical, and geophysical data.
Figure 2. Generalized geologic map of the Twillingate region. Thick solid lines denote the trace of major faults.
II.B. **Mafic Extrusive and Intrusive Rocks**

Pillow lavas and massive basalt flows are by far the most common mafic rock types and underlie nearly 25% of the study area. Following these in order of abundance are basaltic and diabasic dykes (10%) and volcaniclastic sediments (5%), both of which are locally abundant on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula and are rare elsewhere.

II.B.1. **Basaltic Pillow Lavas and Massive Flows**

Well rounded to ellipsoidal pillow lavas are present throughout much of the study area and may be separated into two types on the basis of phenocryst abundance. Aphyric pillow lavas are the more common extrusive lithology and make up nearly 80% of the mafic exposures on North Trump Island and the Twillingate Islands. They are less common on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula, due to the intrusion of later dyke swarms, and form screens between these dykes (see fig. 8, page 25). In comparison, feldspar porphyritic pillow lavas (the Webber Bight Pillows of Strong and Payne (1973) are only exposed on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula, where they lie in close association with a suite of porphyritic feeder dykes.

II.B.1.a. **Aphyric Pillow Lavas and Flows**

Aphyric pillow lavas are commonly grey-green to black and
Vary from 20 centimeters to over two meters in diameter. Although locally deformed, pillow lavas in the vicinity of Moretons Harbour and the Main Tickle of North Twillingate Island exhibit well defined 'V' shaped bottoms and vesicular tops which indicate that the units young to the northwest. The pillow lavas are often tightly packed without interstitial sediment.

Massive aphyric flows and feeder dykes are well developed at Moretons Harbour and North Twillingate Island, and have not been observed elsewhere. Basalt flows average 5 to 7 meters in thickness and often contain abundant epidote-filled amygdules. These amygdules attain diameters of over 5 centimeters and vary in abundance between adjacent flows. Feeder dykes are thin (<1 meter), non-vesicular basaltic intrusives which, when present in quantity, exhibit a sheeted character. They are best exposed in the cliffs of Long Point and north of the stage at Crow Head, where individual dykes may be seen feeding and cross-cutting the surrounding pillow lavas (see Payne 1974, fig. 6).

The petrography of the aphyric pillow lavas has been previously described by Payne (1974), who showed that they consist of small porphyroblasts of actinolite or hornblende enclosed in a fine-grained oligoclase-chlorite groundmass. Calcite, apatite, zoisite, and quartz occur as common accessory minerals and rarely exceed abundances of over 10%. Indeed, of all the phases present, only the abundance of opaque minerals appears to vary in a systematic manner.
In the present study, variations in the abundance of opaque minerals has been used to separate the Moretons Harbour Group from the Sleepy Cove Group. Volcanics located on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula and North Trump Island were found to be rich in sulphides and oxides and locally contained up to 30% opaque minerals. Since these rocks all lay within an area previously mapped as the Moretons Harbour Group by Strong and Payne (1973), they are formally assigned to that group here. Volcanics east of this region are noticeably deficient in sulphides and oxides and are formally assigned here to the Sleepy Cove Group (see figs 3 and 4).

Although the contact between these two units lies underwater over most of its length, its location is well marked by a strong magnetic lineament (see the Twillingate Magnetic Anomaly Sheet, G.S.C. map 4452g, and fig. 5). For the most part, this lineament follows the shoreline of North Trump Island and South Twillingate Island, and turns abruptly northward near Batrix Island. This relatively abrupt change in orientation may be a fault-related phenomenon, and will be discussed further in chapter III.

A preliminary study of rare earth element abundances in the aphyric pillow lavas of both groups tends to support the above lithologic subdivision (fig. 6). While the Sleepy Cove Group lavas were all found to exhibit chondrite-normative rare earth element patterns similar to oceanic tholeiites, all of the Moretons Harbour Group pillow lavas were found to be enriched in light rare earth elements, a feature
Figure 3: Sleepy Cove pillow lava from Back Harbour, Twillingate. Plane light. Note the absence of opaque minerals in the matrix. Scale: 1cm. = .25mm.

Figure 4: Moretons Harbour Group pillow lava from Sam Jeans Cove. Plane light. The opaque content of this pillow is 15-20%. Scale: 1cm. = .25mm.
characteristic of alkali basalts (Strong and Dostal, 1980)). Thus, based on these results, it appears that the Moretons Harbour/Sleepy Cove Group contact defined here has petrogenetic as well as lithologic significance, and may indeed mark the boundary between island arc and ophiolite, as was initially suggested by Dean (1978).
Figure 5. Aeromagnetic map of the Twillingate region. Each line=100 gammas. Dotted line lies at the inferred Moretons Harbour/ Sleepy Cove Group contact.
Figure 6a: Rare earth element abundances in the Twillingate region. Figure 6a gives the location of samples used in this study as well as the location of the inferred Sleepy Cove Group–Moretons Harbour Group contact. Figure 6b gives the chondrite normative rare earth abundances found in the Sleepy Cove Group samples (dotted lines) and Moretons Harbour Group samples (solid lines). Sample numbers refer to samples stored in the Memorial University collection.
II.B.1.b. Webber Bight Pillows (after Strong and Payne, 1973)

Webber Bight Pillow lavas are locally exposed on the coast of Webber Bight, and have been described in detail by Strong and Payne (1973). These pillow lavas are 'spectacular feldspar-clinopyroxene porphyritic basalts' which contain large, poorly zoned plagioclase (50%) and augite (35%) crystals enclosed in a dark aphyric matrix. The pillows are often large (>2 meters), closely packed, and are intimately associated with hornblende-plagioclase porphyritic dykes. The dyke swarms are enclosed in a chocolate brown aphyric basalt matrix, suggesting that they may have been intruded into a pre-existing basaltic terrain.

II.B.2. Mafic Dykes

Mafic dykes of various compositions and ages occur throughout the Twillingate region. Most of these have been previously described by Coish (1973) and Payne (1974), who separated the dyke types into three groups on the basis of deformational style. Of these, the 'pre- or syn-tectonic dykes' were first to develop and are characterized by a strong foliation which usually parallels that found in the Twillingate Granite, but in some instances lies oblique to the granite foliation. The 'post-tectonic' dykes occur in the strongly deformed granite terrain, yet are themselves undeformed. They were considered to be co-genetic with the Herring Neck Group volcanic rocks which are found just south of the present study area.
Dykes emplaced in regions which escaped the effects of deformation and therefore could not be categorized were placed in a third group entitled 'dykes of uncertain age'.

In this section, these three dyke types, and others which have not been previously noted, will be described according to their order of intrusion.

II.B.2.a. **Pre- and Syn-Tectonic Mafic Dykes**

Pre- and syn-tectonic mafic dykes were first observed by Payne (1974), who described them as green to black weathering, fine grained intrusives locally found within the granite pluton. They are basaltic or amphibolitic in composition, and are extremely altered. The amphibolitic dykes were found to contain about 50% green pleochroic hornblende ± chlorite enclosed in a quartz-feldspar matrix, while the diabasic dykes consisted of subhedral augite and chlorite crystals enclosed in a fine grained plagioclase groundmass.

These dykes are commonly ruptured and discontinuous, and usually contain a strong foliation trending parallel to the regional schistosity. In some regions, particularly in the granite body just east of the White Hills, they occur so pervasively that it is difficult to tell where the actual basalt/granite contact lies. This may explain why the granite boundary reported in this thesis differs from that previously reported by Payne (1974).
II.B.2.b. Layered Basalt Dykes

Layered basalt dykes are only found on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula, and are particularly well developed on the northeast shore of Moretons Harbour, where they locally occupy nearly 100% of the exposure. These dykes average 10 to 20 centimeters in width and radically vary in orientation along their length. A stereonet of layered basalt dyke orientations is given in Figure 7.

Layered basalt dykes are most easily distinguished in the field by their coarsely layered appearance. This morphology is produced by numerous thin (1-2 cm wide) one-sided chilled margins which parallel the dyke walls and coarsen towards the dyke core. Always occurring in sets symmetrically distributed about the center of the dykes, most dykes contain from four to twelve parallel sets of chilled zones (Fig. 8 and 9).

In hand specimen, layered basalt dykes are composed of an aphyric grey-green vesicular basalt similar to that found in the pillow lavas they intrude. They are also petrologically similar to the pillow lavas and consist of small, euhedral plagioclase and chlorite crystals enclosed in a glassy matrix. Chilled margins are defined by the proportion and size of feldspar grains, with the chilled zones containing less plagioclase and more matrix than the intervening basalt.
Figure 7: Dyke orientations on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula. Small dots indicate the orientation of layered basalt dykes, while the larger dots denote the orientation of the hornblende-plagioclase porphyritic dykes. Schmidt net, lower hemisphere projection.
Figure 8: Layered Basalt dykes intruding pillow lavas just south of Beachy Cove on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula. Note the asymmetric chilled margins in the lowermost dyke. Width of lower dyke = 16 cm.

Figure 9: Closeup of the chilled margins in a dyke at Beachy Cove.
On the eastern shore of Moretons Harbour, layered basalt dykes intrude and feed an overlying pile of undeformed pillow lavas. In this region, variations in dyke orientation are extreme, with the result that a sinuous tangle of crosscutting dykes has developed.

The origin of these dykes is debatable, although it is likely that they developed in a manner similar to sheeted dykes; i.e. by the permissive and multiple injection of fresh magma into a pre-existing and partially cooled basalt dyke.

II.B.2.c. Hornblende-Plagioclase Porphyritic Dykes

Hornblende-plagioclase porphyritic dykes only occur on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula and are especially common east of Webber Bight. At this locality, the dykes feed and intrude Webber Bight Pillows. The dykes average 1 meter in width and are easily distinguished from all other intrusive rocks by the presence of large, euhedral phenocrysts of plagioclase and hornblende. The dykes always crosscut, and are therefore younger than, the layered basalt dykes.

In thin section, hornblende-plagioclase dykes consist of abundant phenocrysts of saussuritized plagioclase (40%) and green pleochroic hornblende (40%) enclosed in a groundmass of fine grained plagioclase, devitrified glass and minor opaques (20%) (Fig. 10). On the south shore of Sam Jeans Cove, dykes of similar composition are present, although here both the plagioclase and hornblende phenocrysts
display the effects of extensive deformation and recrystallization (Fig. 11). The hornblende prisms become aligned and define the main foliation and lineation in the area, while the plagioclase matrix recrystallizes into a polygonal groundmass of optically strain free new grains. Only the large plagioclase phenocrysts appear to have remained unaffected by the deformation episode.

The spatial orientation of 29 hornblende-plagioclase dykes is given in Figure 7, page 24.
Figure 10: ES-3121. Undeformed Hornblende-Plagioclase porphyritic dyke from Wild Bight. X polars. This rock consists of large plagioclase phenocrysts enclosed in a plagioclase and hornblende matrix. Scale: 1 cm = 0.25 mm.

Figure 11: ES-1090. Deformed Hornblende-Plagioclase dyke from Sam Jeans Cove. X polars. In this rock, both the hornblende and plagioclase grains have been deformed and partially recrystallized during the D₁ deformation. Scale: 1 cm = 0.25 mm.
II.B.2.d. Diabase Dykes

Diabase dykes are by far the most common dyke type in the Twillingate region. They occur on Salt Harbour Island, South Twillingate Island, the Trump Islands, and the Moretons Harbour Peninsula. In the latter area, they are the prevailing rock type and are known to cut both layered basalt and hornblende-plagioclase porphyritic dykes. On Trump Island and Salt Harbour Island, they intrude a highly deformed terrain yet are themselves undeformed, suggesting that they were emplaced post-tectonically. This is important, since their isotopic age of 473±9 ma. (Williams et al., 1976) sets constraints on the minimum age of deformation within the region.

Diabase dykes are recognized in the field by their felted texture, produced by the random distribution of small plagioclase laths (<1 mm) enclosed in a dark aphyric matrix. In thin section, these plagioclase laths (An30–An40) are mildly saussuritized and strongly zoned, while the groundmass consists of 35–40% augite (or hornblende), 10% chlorite, and minor amounts of calcite, apatite, and opaques (Fig. 12 and 13). A coarse grained gabbro body of similar composition has been described by Strong and Payne (1973) from the Moretons Harbour Peninsula, and may be genetically related to these dykes.
Figure 12: ES-1020. Diabase dyke from Wild Bight. X polars. This rock consists of large plagioclase laths and anhedral augite crystals surrounded by chlorite, calcite, and opaques. Scale: 1cm=.25mm.

Figure 13: ES-1052. Diabase Dyke from the southeastern corner of Salt Harbour Island. X polars. The mineralogy of this dyke is identical to ES-1020. This is the dyke which was dated at $473 \pm 9$ ma. by Williams et al. (1976). Scale: 1cm=.25mm.
II.B.2.e. Lamprophyre Dykes

Lamprophyre dykes were first observed in the Twillingate region by Jukes (1842) who made note of an 'extensive brown dyke' on the east coast of Twillingate Harbour. Since then, Payne (1974) has conducted a petrographic study on these dykes, and Williams et. al. (1976) has assigned a late Jurassic age to their emplacement.

Lamprophyre dykes are dispersed throughout the region and although most have been cut by late faults, some have preferentially intruded along the fault zones themselves. Thus fault development and dyke emplacement may have occurred simultaneously.

In hand specimen, lamprophyre dykes are brown, knobby weathering intrusives which are characterized by large euhedral phenocrysts of augite, biotite, and hornblende. These phenocrysts are enclosed in a medium grained matrix of acicular augite surrounded by minor biotite and magnetite. None of these minerals exhibit any optical effects of deformation in thin section.

The isotopic age and crosscutting relationships noted in the field both suggest that lamprophyre dykes represent the youngest intrusive event to affect the Twillingate region.
II.B.2.f. Other Dyke Types

Several rare dyke types were described by Payne (1974), but were not observed during the present study. These include Alkaline-Olivine Basalt Dykes and Herrinq Neck dykes, both of which only occur in the rocks immediately south of the present study area. For a detailed discussion of these dyke types, the reader is referred to Payne (1974; pages 50-64.)

II.B.3. Volcaniclastic Sediments

The least common rock types found in the study area are the volcaniclastic sediments, which occupy less than 5% of the total regional exposure. Localized outcrops of this lithology occur at Jenkins Cove and Back Harbour (Twillingate), near Fools Harbour (South Trump Island), on Salt Harbour Island, and at Berry Island and Moretons Harbour on the Moretons Harbour Peninsula.

In all but the last two occurrences, fine grained crystal tuffs and agglomerates predominate. The tuffs are medium-bedded (<5 cm thick), extremely fine grained, and are multicolored, with shades of grey, green, and red being most common (see, for example, figure 34, page 62). In comparison, the agglomerates are massively bedded, and consist of coarsely graded sequences of pin' rhyolite clasts enclosed in a dark green matrix. To date, these agglomerates have only been observed in a small outcrop east of Back Harbour Head (North Twillingate Island), although it has been suggested that elongate felsite clasts at Bread and
Butter Point and Salt Harbour Island may represent localized agglomerate horizons (Payne, 1974).

At this last locality, the agglomerate clasts are coarse-grained and strongly resemble nearby dykes of the Twillingate Granite. This suggests that the clasts and dykes may be deformed equivalents of each other, although geochemical analysis would probably be necessary to substantiate such a proposal (Fig. 14 and 15).

Graded volcaniclastic agglomerates are locally abundant in the cliff exposures east of Moretons Harbour, and may represent turbidite deposits derived from a nearby volcanic source. Distinct graded beds in this region attain thicknesses of 5 to 10 meters and are frequently capped by thick tuffaceous horizons and pillow basalts, suggesting interludes of quiescence between episodes of rapid sedimentation. In all cases, these graded beds suggest that the sedimentary sequence youngs to the northwest.

In thin section, the tuffs are extremely fine-grained and difficult to analyze. Quartz appears to be the major component, while chlorite, epidote, and an acicular amphibole (actinolite?) have been recognized as accessory phases. Localized domains of coarser grained quartz and chlorite may represent late veins or original detrital clasts.
Figure 14: Slightly sheared basalt/granite breccia at Gallows Cove, North Twillingate Island.

Figure 15: Strongly deformed 'siliciclastic' sediments (Payne, 1974) at Bread and Butter Point. The close spatial proximity of this outcrop with the one at Gallows Cove suggests that the former is a deformed equivalent of the latter.
II.C. The Twillingate Granite

The Twillingate Granite is an intrusive body of batholithic proportions (see Fig. 2, page 13). It underlies an insular region of over 12 square miles on northeastern New World Island, South Twillingate Island, Black Island, and South Trump Island, and may extend beneath Notre Dame Bay to include a total area of about 70 square miles. It has been isotopically dated from specimens collected on Salt Harbour Island by Williams et al. (1976) and has yielded a U/Pb age of 510±17 ma.

II.C.1. Field Characteristics

In general, the Twillingate Granite is a greyish-green equigranular rock consisting of 40% anhedral, clear to blue quartz, 40% sodic plagioclase, and up to 20% mafic minerals, including green pleochroic hornblende, chlorite, and pyrite. It is an extremely resistant rock, and usually forms steep-sided hills and cliffs of massively jointed stone. Talus slopes of granite are rare, and are only well developed near Spilers Cove, where a less resistant pink variety of granite is present. In most other regions the granite weathers to a chalky white or light grey colour.

The textures present in the Twillingate pluton depend on the degree of deformation it has undergone. In regions of little deformation, the granite exhibits a hypidiomorphic equigranular texture in which the crystal size ranges from .5 to 1 cm. (Fig. 16). With increasing strain, the quartz
grains become progressively more elongate and develop a strong L-S fabric (Fig. 17).

The Twillingate pluton is massive near its center and becomes strongly foliated as one approaches its eastern, northern and southern outcrop margins. This transition is well exposed at Clam Rock Head, where a progressive increase in foliation strength can be observed by walking seaward along the north shore of the peninsula (see Fig. 26).

A similar traverse may also be made from Codjack Cove towards Gunning Head, although here the region of highest strain has been intruded by a stock of nearly undeformed granite (Fig. 18 and 19). This late stock is similar in composition to the surrounding granite (Payne, 1974) and exhibits good intrusive relationships with the main granite pluton. Its presence suggests that localized stocks of the Twillingate Granite were emplaced after the cessation of the main deformation in the area.

Apart from apophyses and dykes, intrusive contacts of the Twillingate Granite with the Sleepy Cove Group are rare and only occur at Jenkins Cove and the west shore of Salt Harbour Island. At these localities, the Sleepy Cove Group basalts were intruded and metamorphosed by the granite (Fig. 20 and 21). A large xenolith of green massive basalt also occurs on the north shore of Martineau Pond (informal name) and shows the effects of partial assimilation into the pluton. In all three locations, the contact is marked by intense brecciation of the country rock with no evidence of
chilling between the two lithologies.

In contrast to these contacts, the basalt/granite interface on the west side of South Twillingate Island is marked by a narrow zone of fine grained quartz porphyritic granite which may represent a chilled margin to the pluton. This chilled zone is best exposed on the west end of the White Hills, where it dips shallowly to the east and is overlain by basalts of the Sleepy Cove Group. Although neither its upper or lower contacts were directly observed, this finer grained zone appears to grade transitionally into the main pluton and may attain a total thickness in excess of 8 meters.
Figure 16: Mildly deformed Twillingate Granite from Durrels Arm. X polars. Note the straight to curvilinear boundaries developed between the feldspar and quartz grains. Scale: 1cm=.25mm.

Figure 17: Strongly foliated Twillingate Granite from Gunning Head. Note the development of anhedral quartz blebs by the local intergrowth of adjacent grains. Scale: 1 block= 1 cm.
Figure 18: ES-1001. Deformed Granite from Gunning Head. X polars. Note the presence of small new grains at the boundaries of the larger, elongate quartz grains. Scale: 1cm=.25mm.

Figure 19: ES-1002. X polars. Mildly deformed granite from the tip of Gunning Head. This sample was collected 30 feet from sample ES-1001. Scale: 1cm=.25mm.
Figure 20: Granite/basalt contact at Jenkins Cove. This is by far the best exposed contact of the Twillingate Granite in the region.

Figure 21: Close-up of the granite/basalt contact at Jenkins Cove. Note the absence of a chilled margin at this location.
II.C.2. Quartz Porphry Dykes

Quartz porphyry dykes commonly occur throughout the Sleepy Cove Group, and extend into the rocks of the Moretons Harbour Group on North Trump Island and Mouse Island. They exhibit a tectonic history similar to the rocks they intrude and were therefore emplaced prior to deformation. The dykes vary in width from 30 cm. to over 3 m. and exhibit a preferred regional orientation in areas of high strain (Fig. 22). They are mineralogically and chemically similar to the Twillingate granite (Coish, 1973), but differ texturally in consisting of large (~5 cm.) phenocrysts of quartz and sodic plagioclase enclosed in a fine grained quartzo-feldspathic groundmass. Accessory minerals, such as hornblende and chlorite, rarely exceed 5% in abundance and decrease in importance away from the pluton.

II.D. Diatremes

Diatremes are late features in the Twillingate area, and occur throughout much of the region. They are circular to elliptical structures in plan which probably extend as pipes vertically. They average 1 to 2 m. in diameter and contain a heterogeneous assortment of well rounded clasts enclosed in a fine grained matrix. The best examples of diatremes occur behind Ashbourne's store at Twillingate Harbour, and on the southwest shore of Webber Bight, where the breccia pipe is cut by a late diabase dyke.
The lithologies exposed in the brecciated zones are primarily those of the surrounding country rocks, although some exotic clasts have been noted. At Twillingate, the principal rock types are massive basalt and granite, with minor clasts of gabbro and ultramafic rocks (serpentinite?). At Webber Bight, the breccia pipe consists of basaltic and feldspar porphyritic clasts enclosed in a basaltic matrix (fig. 23). No granite or ultramafic blocks were noted in this occurrence.
Figure 22: Quartz porphyry dykes at South Trump Island. Note the parallelism of these dykes with amphibolitized pillow lavas at this locality.

Figure 23: Hand specimen of diatreme material from the tip of Long Point, Twillingate. Scale: 1 block= 1cm.
II.E. Metamorphism

The metamorphic history of the Twillingate region consists of one major period of high-temperature dynamic metamorphism ($M_1$) followed by a period of lower-temperature metamorphism ($M_2$). Of these, $M_1$ in the basalts was previously recognised by Coish (1973), Payne (1974), and Williams & Payne (1975), while the effects of $M_1$ and $M_2$ in the granite are new observations.

II.E.1. $M_1$ Metamorphic Zonation in the Sleepy Cove and Moretons Harbour Groups

$M_1$ was the strongest metamorphic event to affect the Sleepy Cove and Moretons Harbour Groups, and led to the development of amphibolites to the south and epidote-amphibole (actinolite?) bearing rocks to the north. The surface trace of this metamorphic zonation parallels the Lukes Arm Fault at Sam Jeans Cove and northeastern New World Island, although this may be due, in part, to the truncation of the amphibolite facies zone by later faulting (fig. 24).

The amphibolite zone is best exposed on northeastern New World Island, at Sam Jeans Cove, and at the Trump Islands, where the typical metamorphic assemblage includes plagioclase (50%), hornblende (30%), clinopyroxene (1-10%), chlorite, epidote, apatite, and opaques (10%).
Figure 24. Metamorphic map of the Twillingate region.
Plagioclase in this zone is usually recrystallized and free from inclusions, although phenocrysts, where present, are frequently clouded. Recrystallized grains are optically strain-free and sometimes twinned, with compositions ranging from An$_{30}$ to An$_{45}$.

Hornblende is the characteristic mineral of this zone and is typically blue-green to brown pleochroic. It defines the dominant lineation and foliation in the southern extremities of the region and occurs as anhedral crystals within vesicles elsewhere.

Metamorphic clinopyroxene is also locally abundant at Sam Jeans Cove, where it forms equilibrium textures with plagioclase (see Payne and Strong, 1979). In this region, it is recognized by its high birefringence and relief, and its slight yellow pleochroism.

North and west of these occurrences, metamorphic grade gradually decreases, and phases characteristic of the Epidote-Amphibolite Facies become prevalent. The mineralogy of this zone consists of plagioclase (30-50%), actinolite (20-60%), chlorite (5-40%), epidote (15%) and calcite (5%). Minor opaques, apatite and sphene are also present in some areas.

Within this zone, plagioclase ranges in composition from An$_5$ to An$_{20}$ and is often saussuritized but not recrystallized. Fibrous actinolite occurs as vesicle fillings at Webber Bight and ranges from clear to light green pleochroic. Elsewhere, and especially on Mouse and Matthews
Islands, actinolite is the dominant mineral in thin section and forms small rosettes of acicular needles. Chlorite is a minor component in the southern part of the zone, but increases in abundance northwards, a feature which again suggests a gradual decrease in metamorphic grade in that direction.

No abrupt variations in metamorphic grade were noted within the study area. This is particularly true of the region north of Sam Jeans Cove, where an apparent metamorphic break led Williams and Payne (1975) to apply Karig's (1972) remnant arc model to the region. During the present study, it was found that this apparent break was caused by the intrusion, and possible fault juxtaposition of young, post M1 diabase dykes against the older, metamorphosed sediments of the Moretons Harbour Group.

II.E.2. M1 Metamorphic Zonation in the Twillingate Granite

Metamorphic grade in the Twillingate Granite appears to decrease towards the north. This is suggested on the basis of microstructural evidence, since the bulk composition of the granite body does not lend itself to the development of metamorphic index minerals.

In the southernmost parts of the study area, both the quartz and plagioclase fractions of the Twillingate Granite have undergone dynamic recrystallization. Since recrystallized feldspar grains of the type observed in the
study area (see page 89) are only known to occur in amphibolite/granulite facies terrains (Marshall and Wilson, 1976). The granite in this region must have been exposed to relatively high-grade metamorphic conditions.

As one progresses northwards, past an imaginary line connecting Purcells Harbour to the northern tip of South Trump Island, recrystallization in the quartz grains becomes virtually non-existent, and the plagioclase grains become saussuritized rather than recrystallized (see page 90). Thus, it appears that metamorphic grade in the granite decreases northwards in a manner consistent to that found in the surrounding volcanic terrain.

II.E.3. The M₂ Metamorphic Episode

Following the M₁ metamorphic period, retrogression of the high-temperature mineral assemblages occurred locally during M₂. During this event, rims of chlorite and actinolite were developed around cores of hornblende and clinopyroxene at Sam Jeans Cove, while at Salt Harbour Island they developed at the expense of hornblende. In the granite terrain, evidence for this later metamorphic event is less convincing, and is restricted to the development of albite rims in the clouded feldspar phenocrysts.
III. STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY- INTRODUCTION AND MACROSCOPIC ANALYSIS

III.A. Introduction

In section I.C., reference was made to various tectonic models applied to the Twillingate region since Heyl (1936). All of these models have been based on the existence of large scale variations in structural style and deformational intensity within the Twillingate region. However, since none of these variations have been adequately documented to date, it has been impossible to discuss the relative merits of any tectonic model. In order to resolve this problem, it was decided to conduct a detailed structural study of the Twillingate region, placing particular emphasis on the spatial extent of structural elements. This analysis is presented in the following chapters.

Chapter three considers the structural geometry of the region on the macroscopic scale, while chapters four and five analyse deformation on the microscopic scale. Together, these three chapters provide the data base for developing the stratigraphic/structural models presented in chapter six.
III.B, Deformational Characteristics of the Twillingate Region

In order to facilitate the comparison of the Moretons Harbour Group to the Sleepy Cove Group and Twillingate Granite, the study area was initially separated into two subregions.

The western subregion was defined to include rocks of the Moretons Harbour Group. It is characterized by a broad, west facing homocline of sediments and basaltic extrusives which have been tectonized near the southern and eastern margins of the area and are nearly undeformed elsewhere. The contact between the deformed and undeformed regions is usually gradational, although abrupt changes in structural style occur locally across fault boundaries (see Fig. 25, 26 and plate 1).

The eastern subregion includes exposures of the Sleepy Cove Group and the Twillingate Granite and is more intensely deformed than the western region. Its most distinguishing characteristic is a strong east-west trending foliation which is best developed near the northern, eastern and southern margins of the region and decreases in strength westward. This foliation overprints an early high strain zone to the south and is itself intensely folded to the north. Furthermore, a late faulting episode is locally evident and has subdivided the region into east-west trending tectonic blocks, each of which has its own distinctive structural characteristics.
Figure 25: Form surface map of foliations in the Twillingate region. The short lines indicate the strike of \( S_1\). The thick, continuous line denotes the eastern margin of the BBB Zone.
Figure 26: The intensity of L-S fabric development in the Twillingate region. The regions of strongest fabric development are the most heavily stippled.
The boundary between the western and eastern subregions is defined in the field by a narrow zone of highly foliated rock which extends from North Trump Island to Back Harbour Head and follows the west coast of the Twillingate Island (fig. 26). This zone, hereafter referred to as the BBB (or Bluff Head-Batrix Island-Back Harbour) zone, follows the Moretons Harbour/Sleepy Cove Group contact on the Trump Islands and South Twillingate Island, and deviates slightly on North Twillingate Island. Thus, it seems that the Moretons Harbour/Sleepy Cove boundary (as defined in this thesis) may have structural, as well as petrologic, geochemical, and geophysical significance.

III.C. Macropscopic Structures of the Twillingate Region

III.C.1. Introduction

Four phases of deformation have been defined in the present study area. Of these, D₁ and D₂ were the principal fabric-forming events, while D₃ was responsible for reorientating the earlier fabrics into local, open flexures. Late kinks and faults overprint F₃ folds and are therefore assigned to D₄.

According to Payne (1974), an early (pre-D₁) event may have affected the study area prior to the emplacement of the Twillingate Granite. This event was hypothesized on the basis of folded layering observed in some of the amphibolite inclusions at Little Harbour, South Twillingate Island. How-
ever, since unambiguous evidence for internally folded xenoliths was not observed at this locality during the present study, this event can not be further discussed here.

Overprinting evidence for \( D_1 \) through \( D_4 \) occurs at Grassy Point, where a strong \( D_1 \) foliation has been folded by \( D_2 \) and \( D_3 \), and subsequently kinked during \( D_4 \) (Figs. 27 and 28). Elsewhere in the study area, evidence for overprinting is rare, with the result that most determinations of structural age are based on structural style, orientation, and the metamorphic grade of mineral assemblages associated with the various fabric elements.

III.C.2. The \( D_1 \) Deformation—General Characteristics

\( D_1 \) was the first deformation event to affect the Twillingate region. It occurred during the \( M_1 \) metamorphic episode and is characterized by a strong metamorphic foliation \( (S_1) \) and stretching lineation \( (L_1) \) (Fig. 29). On the southern margin of the study area, both \( S_1 \) and \( L_1 \) are defined by aligned hornblende and quartz crystals, while actinolite, chlorite and quartz define the structural elements further to the north (Figs. 30 and 31). Both the Twillingate pluton and its apophyses were affected by \( D_1 \) and therefore must have been emplaced prior to or during this event.
Figure 27: A refolded fold from Grassy Point, Twillingate.
Scale: each box = 1 cm²

Figure 28: Sketch of sample 57, showing the orientation of S₁ and the axial planes of the F₂ and F₃ folds. Note the curvilinear nature of the F₃ fold axis.
Figure 29: Orientation data for the $D_1$ deformation. Stippled regions denote the orientation of $L_1$, lined regions enclose the poles to $S_1$. Contour interval: > 0, 5, 10, and 20% per 1% area. 'n' = the number of recorded measurements in each subregion. These are equal area, lower hemisphere stereographs.

Figure 29.6 gives the orientation of the axial planes (dots) and plunges (o's) of $F_1$ folds. The vergence of these folds is given by the arrows (clockwise = 'z' vergence, counterclockwise = 's' vergence).

Fig. 29.9 shows the orientation of $S_1$ and $L_1$ in areas 1, 2, and 3. Figure 29.10 is a composite diagram of $L_1$ as it occurs in both subregions.
Figure 30: $L_1$ as observed in the amphibolites of South Trump Island. Here, $L_1$ is defined by elongate, calcite filled vesicles in pillow basalts.

Figure 31: $L_1$ observed in the granite of South Trump Island. Here, $L_1$ is oriented vertically. Scale: 1 block = 1 cm.
III.C.2.a. Deformational Style

Structures related to $D_1$ are localized in two zones of high strain (fig. 32). These zones lie at nearly right angles to each other and meet at Trump Island where, due to the absence of workable exposure, it is impossible to determine which zone developed first. The more southerly zone—the Salt Harbour/Trump Island (or SHTI) zone—extends from Salt Harbour Island to South Trump Island and approximately parallels the Lukes Arm Fault. $L_1$ and $S_1$ are strongest near the fault, and weaken to the north, suggesting that strain decreases northwards (see fig. 26, page 52). The BBB zone lies to the north of the SHTI zone and parallels the coast of North Trump Island and the Twillingate Islands. Both zones are structurally identical and differ mainly in the degree of metamorphism each has suffered (Fig. 24, page 45).

The most accessible cross section through one of these zones occurs on the north shore of Bluff Head, where it is possible to walk from the nearly undeformed eastern margin of the BBB zone into its highly deformed core. Variations in strain intensity along this section are gradational and extreme, with pillows extended to over ten times their initial length within a distance of about 100 meters. In the most deformed part of this zone, a stretching lineation defined by aligned clots of actinolite and chlorite, parallels the long axis of the elongated pillows, and defines the $X$-direction of the finite strain ellipsoid.
Figure 32. Approximate areal extent of the BBB and SHTI zones. See text for discussion.
The width of the two zones is impossible to determine due to structural segmentation and overprinting during D4. The highly deformed core of the BBB zone, for example, lies in fault contact with nearly undeformed volcanic rocks at Batrix Island and Back Harbour Head, while at North Trump Island, the deformed core has been faulted out altogether. Fault segmentation also occurred throughout the SHTI zone, although in this case the zone margins are mainly obscured by D2 overprinting.

III.C.2.b. Orientation of Structural Elements

Throughout most of the region, S1 parallels the inferred boundaries of the BBB and SHTI zones while L1 plunges down the dip of the foliation (fig.29, page 56). Variations in the orientation of S1 locally occur, however, and are related to either reorientation during D2 and D3, or variations in the magnitude of finite strain imposed by D1. At Merritts Harbour, Salt Harbour Island, and Lobster Cove, for example, small scale variations in S1 orientation are associated with abrupt changes in finite strain as defined by quartz grain elongation (fig. 33). These zones of variable strain parallel the inferred boundary of the SHTI zone and average three meters in width. They are laterally extensive, and usually continue until truncated by faults.

These high strain zones are structurally identical to those described from ductile simple shear regimes by Ramsay.
and Graham (1970) and suggests that they developed in a similar environment.

Larger scale variations in the orientation of $S_1$ and $L_1$ occur locally as a result of reorientation during $D_2$ and $D_3$. On Salt Harbour Island, for example, $L_1$ has been locally rotated into parallelism with $F_2$ fold axes, while $S_1$ has been folded to produce $F_2$ folds (fig 29.8, page 56, and fig 37, page 67). Later deformation has also affected the BBB zone to the point that $S_1$ and $L_1$ have been redistributed into great circle configurations (fig.29.9, page 56).

Folds related to $D_1$ are extremely rare, although one outcrop on the southeast tip of South Trump Island (the SHTI zone) may contain several examples (fig.34). At this locality, volcanlastic sediments ($S_0$) have been deformed into asymmetric folds with variable plunges and axial planes which strike east-west and dip 52-68°N (fig 29.6, page 56). Their sense of asymmetry, when viewed down plunge, varies from "S" to "Z" and suggests that movement in the zone was updip towards the north (Hansen, 1971). $D_1$ folds were not recognized in the BBB zone.
Figure 33: The presence of small scale shear zones in the granite terrain on Salt Harbour Island may suggest that \( S_1 \) in this region developed as a result of simple shear.

Figure 34: Possible \( F_1 \) folds in the siliciclastic sediments of South Trump Island.
III.C.3. The $D_2$ Deformation—General Characteristics

$D_2$ represents a low-temperature deformational event which affected all lithologies in the study area (fig. 35). In the eastern subregion, $D_2$ resulted in the development of a strong foliation ($S_2$) and lineation ($L_2$), both of which are geometrically related to local $F_2$ folds. These three structural elements also occur in the western subregion, although their development is restricted to the west shore of North Trump Island and rocks immediately adjacent to Tizzards Harbour and Sam Jeans Cove.

III.C.3.a. Structural Elements

$F_2$ folds are the most distinctive structural feature associated with the $D_2$ deformation. They are especially well exposed at Salt Harbour Island, North Trump Island, and Grassy Point on South Twillingate Island, where they fold and crenulate $S_1$ (Figs. 36 and 37). Geometrically comparable structures at Webber Bight, Jenkins Cove, and Wild Cove may also have developed during this event, but lack definitive overprinting criteria (see Fig. 38).

$F_2$ folds are tight to isoclinal class III structures (Ramsay, 1967), which do not exhibit a preferred asymmetry (Fig. 39). They have nearly vertical northwest/southeast trending axial planes and axes which typically plunge from 45 to 55 degrees towards the northeast (fig 35.2). At Grassy Point, however, $D_3$ resulted in the reorientation of $F_2$ folds such that their axial planes lie north-south and their axes
plunge at a high angle towards the east and west. Elsewhere in the region, the effect of D3 on F2 structures was less intense and resulted in the regional shifting of S2 towards the north or east (Fig. 45, page 76).

In some localities, notably on the west coast of North Trump Island, F2 fold hinges are locally preserved in an otherwise chaotic pillow breccia. The presence of these hinges suggests that the breccia developed by the folding and rupturing of pillows whose long axes (L1) originally lay near the axis of maximum D2 shortening (fig. 40 and 41).

S2 lies axial planar to F2 folds and is well developed in both the basalt and granite terrains (Figs. 42 and 43). It is delineated in the basalts by either a strong biotite or chlorite alignment, or a coarse crenulation cleavage produced by the small scale folding of S1.

S2 is also well developed in the Twillingate granite, where it takes one of two forms depending on the grain size of the granitic rocks. In the coarser grained regions of the pluton, S2 is defined by aligned quartz ribbons which locally give the granite a finely streaked appearance (see fig. 17, page 38). In the aphanitic or quartz porphyritic varieties of the granite, S2 consists of a closely spaced fracture cleavage whose microlithons average three to five mm. in width. Both foliation types parallel S2 in the basalts and are best developed along the southern, eastern, and northern margins of the exposed pluton.
L₂, like S₂, is an extensively developed fabric element which is geometrically related to F₂. It parallels the axis of F₂ folds and is usually delineated by either rodded pillows, as on North Trump Island, or by a preferred mineral alignment of biotite, chlorite, and/or quartz aggregates. On the regional scale, L₂ is strongest in the southern and eastern parts of the region and is only locally observed to the north.
Figure 35: Orientation data for the D₂ deformation. Stippled regions denote the orientation of S₂, lined regions L₂. Contour interval = [0, 5, 10] and 15% per 1% area. The number of measurements made in each subregion is given in the stereonet itself. The dashed line in stereonets 2, 3, and 5 give the average orientation of the F₂ axial plane, while the dots in diagram 3 give the actual orientations throughout the area. Diagram 3 is a composite diagram of L₂ as it occurs throughout the area.
Figure 36: $F_2$ folds on North Trump Island. In this location $S_1$ is folded.

Figure 37: $F_2$ folds on Salt Harbour Island. Here, large pillows, most of which contain a strong $S_1$ foliation, are folded.
Figure 38: Sketch map of the $F_2(?)$ fold at Jenkins Cove, Twillingate. The fold itself is defined by a folded quartz porphyry dyke. Symbols used in this and the following diagram are defined in plate 1.
Figure 39: Sketch map of $F_2$ folds on the west shore of North Trump Island. Fine lines denote the trace of $S_1$. See point A on plate 1 for the location of this section.
Figure 40: Development of the tectonic pillow breccia on North Trump Island. The shape of the box in each case gives some idea of the finite strain the pillows have suffered. Note especially the presence of preserved fold hinges in diagram 40c.

Figure 41: Hand specimen of the tectonic breccia on North Trump Island. Again, as with 40c, note the presence of the $F_2$ fold hinge in the right central part of the photograph. Scale: 1 box = 1 cm$^2$. 
Figure 42: $S_2$ on Salt Harbour Island. In this region, $S_2$ (diagonal striping) is often defined by a biotite foliation. Thick, horizontal layering is bedding. Scale: 1 block $= 1$ cm.

Figure 43: $S_2$ on North Trump Island. Here, $S_2$ is defined by a coarse crenulation cleavage produced by the local folding of $S_1$. 
III.C.3.b. The SpatialExtent of $D_2$ Structural Elements.

Although the effects of $D_2$ have been observed throughout the eastern subregion and in parts of the western subregion, the amount of strain suffered by the region as a whole was by no means constant. In the eastern subregion, granite outcrops containing extremely oblate quartz grains and feldspar blebs ($S_2$) tend to lie just west of a hypothetical triangle which extends from Crow Head to Black Island and has its apex at Codjack Cove. $D_2$ strain within this triangle was minor to nonexistent, with granite outcrops exhibiting a near equigranular texture. In the western subregion, the effects of $D_2$ are centered around the BBB zone and decrease rapidly westward (see fig 44).
Figure 44: The spatial extent of $D_2$ structural elements.

Note: The dotted portions of this map denote regions affected by $D_2$. 
III.C.4. The D3 Deformation—General Characteristics

D3 was the last major deformation episode to affect the Twillingate study area. Its effect on the region was limited to outcrops adjacent to and within the BBB zone. It is characterized by broad, open F3 folds and a fine scale crenulation cleavage which is locally developed in the hinge regions of the F3 structures.

III.C.4.a. Structural Elements

F3 folds occur on various scales and are primarily found in the Sleepy Cove Group just east of the BBB zone on North and South Twillingate Island. At Grassy Point, they are open, upright folds, often concentric in style, whose axial planes strike northwest-southeast and plunge moderately to the northwest. Variations in plunge are probably the result of the D3 overprinting of F2 structures (see figure 27, page 55).

Large scale F3 structures have been recognized on North and South Twillingate Island, where nearly undeformed pillows have been reorientated into open, southwest plunging anticlines and synclines (Plate 1; X Section). Similarly, the BBB zone itself may have been folded during this event into an extremely large F3 synform which plunges to the south. By comparing the divergent axes of these folds, an axial plane has been defined which dips 75° towards N 25 E and trends nearly parallel to the F3 fold axes at Grassy Point (Fig. 45).
Both $S_3$ and $L_3$ are spatially restricted to the hinge regions of $F_3$ folds, and are defined by a fine scale crenulation cleavage and crenulation lineation respectively. $S_3$ and $L_3$ are best developed in exposures along the trail connecting Davy Buttons Cove to Crow Head on North Twillingate Island and have also been observed as far south as Bluff Head, where $S_3$ locally overprints the $S_1$ foliation.

Where present, $S_3$ strikes northwest-southeast and dips steeply to the southwest, while $L_3$ plunges to the northwest and parallels the axis of small scale $F_3$ folds (fig. 45d).
**Figure 45a:** Poles to $S_0/S_2$ on North Twillingate Island. Contour interval equals $0, 5, 10,$ and $20\%$ per $1\%$ area.

**Figure 45b:** Poles to $S_1$ in the BBB shear zone region. Contour interval is the same as for figure 45a.

**Figure 45c:** Poles to $S_0/S_2$ on South Twillingate Island. Contour interval is the same as for figure 45a.

**Figure 45d:** Composite diagram for the $D_3$ deformation.
- Solid line great circles give the phi girdles from diagrams 46.1-46.3.
- Dashed great circle defines the constructed axial plane for $F_3$.
- The dots give the orientation of the $F_3$ axial planes measured at Grassy Point.
- The dotted great circle defines the average $F_3$ axial plane orientation.
- The contoured region contains the orientation of $F_3$ fold axes (contour interval $\geq 0$ and $3\%$ per $1\%$ area).
III.C.5. The $D_4$ Deformation

III.C.5.a. Kinking

Kinks are observed to crosscut all structural features in the Twillingate region (apart from faults), and are therefore assigned to $D_4$. They are probably the most common features to be found within the study area, and are best developed in regions where strong foliations are developed. On Batrix Island and Back Harbour Head, for example, kinks of different asymmetries are so prevalent that it is difficult to establish the original orientation of $S_1$. In this region, as elsewhere, the overprinting of one kink generation by another commonly occurs, making it extremely difficult to analyse orientation data.

III.C.5.b. Faults—General Characteristics

Faults are the youngest tectonic features to develop in the Twillingate region. They cut all exposed structures and lithologies, including late Jurassic lamprophyre dykes (Payne, 1974). Most faults are characterized in the field by steep-sided valleys and cliffs separating regions of differing finite strain or structural style. On North Twillingate Island, for example, the Sleepy Cove Fault (Payne, 1974 - see Plate 1) parallels the Long Point escarpment and juxtaposes pristine vesicular pillow lavas against their flattened and sheared equivalents. A major
fault north of Crow Head also separates deformed and undeformed terrains and may be traced onto South Twillingate Island by using this strain contrast as a marker (see Fig. 26, page 52).

Most faults occur as simple, clean fracture surfaces, although some are associated with localized, small scale shear zones. These latter faults may have preferentially nucleated within zones of weakness created by ductile shearing.

III.C.5.b.1. Orientation, Distribution, and Fault Movement

Faults are most extensively developed in the vicinity of New World Island and decrease in abundance to the north. They occur in three principal orientations, with most trending NE-SW and dipping steeply to the northwest (fig. 46). This orientation coincides with the attitude of the Lukes Arm Fault, and suggests that many of the large and small scale faults in the region share a common origin.

Two less common fault orientations were also noted in the field and appear to have developed sequentially. The earlier faults trend NW-SE and are mainly found within the BBB zone, where they are associated with local shear zones (see above). It is likely that these faults either formed during the shear zone episode or much later by nucleation within the sheared region.

East-west trending faults are pervasively developed and crosscut all other faults in the area. They are long,
continuous structures which have been traced throughout the region and locally affect the BBB zone. One of these faults extends from Codjack Cove to Old House Cove and may be responsible for truncating the strong magnetic anomaly pattern noted just offshore of Moretons Harbour (see figure 5, page 19).

Although dykes are extensively developed throughout the region, it was never possible to trace any set of cross cutting dykes across a given fault zone. Consequently, it was also impossible to accurately determine the net slip vector of any of the faults. However, in general, it was noted that vertical dykes on horizontal surfaces were rarely offset to any great degree, while horizontal dykes cut by fault zones rarely reappeared on the other side of the fault. Thus, it is postulated that movement along the faults was principally dip slip, with an unknown, but probably small strike slip component. Slickensides, where observed, support this hypothesis.
Figure 46: 87 poles to fault planes in the Twillingate region. Contour interval = > 0, and 5% per 1% area. The large black dot in the northwest-southeast quadrant gives the orientation of the Lukes Arm Fault according to Dean and Strong (1977). Equal area, lower hemisphere projection.
IV. STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY—MICROSTRUCTURAL ANALYSIS

This chapter, and the one which follows, are concerned with the microscopic analysis of deformation in the Twillingate region. By combining the data contained in these chapters with that presented in the previous chapter, it should be possible to construct a comprehensive and well-founded structural history for the whole Twillingate region.

IV.A. General Aspects of Microstructural Development

The interpretation of microstructures in naturally deformed rocks has been the subject of extensive investigation for over 100 years (see references in Sander, 1970). As a discipline, it attempts to qualify the physical processes operative during a deformational episode by defining the changes in grain structure and morphology acquired during that event. These changes are mainly stress-induced, although temperature, strain rate, strain path and impurity content collectively determine the microstructural end product.

The way in which a rock deforms under deviatoric stress is grossly defined by its environment of deformation and grain size. At grain sizes larger than 0.5 mm. and upper crustal conditions (strain rate $>10^{-13}$ sec$^{-1}$; temp $<600^\circ$C) intragranular slip (or dislocation creep) is the dominant deformation mechanism in most minerals (White, 1975b); while at smaller grain sizes, higher temperatures, or
slower strain rates. Coble Creep predominates. Other diffusion controlled deformation mechanisms, such as Nabarro Creep and Nabarro-Herring Creep, are also known to exist, but are probably not operative under normal geological conditions (White, 1975b).

The conditions required for the operation of any one deformation mechanism may be defined by a set of constitutive equations known as the flow laws (White, 1975b). These laws are based on experimental data obtained at low stresses and high strain rates, and allow the extrapolation of this data set to more reasonable geologic conditions. The flow laws can only be treated as first approximations, however, since many of the variables which influence deformation (i.e. the fluid content of the aggregate, its strain path, and impurity geometry) are not directly considered in their derivation.

In the present study, the flow laws are presented as a series of charts or "deformation" maps (White, 1976)(fig. 47). These maps show that deformation in a coarse grained hypabyssal intrusion, such as the Twillingate Granite, should be dominated by dislocation creep processes. Although diffusive processes could also be locally important (see, for example, Bouchez, 1977). In dislocation creep, the principal agent of deformation is the dislocation. Dislocations are linear zones of high strain energy developed when an elastically deformed lattice ruptures and produces an interstitial half plane of atoms (Fig. 48). Strain relaxation then occurs by the expulsion of these defects from
The geometry assumed by dislocations trapped within a crystal is largely a function of the temperature of deformation. For example, dislocation pile-ups and tangles resulting from the interaction of dislocations with sessile obstructions and other defects are commonly developed at low temperatures, and produce a microstructure known as undulatory extinction (McQueen and Jonas, 1975). At higher temperatures, vacancy diffusion processes (such as dislocation climb) become active and allow the dislocations to climb over obstructions and escape from the atomic structure. This produces deformed crystals with low bound dislocation densities (Sellars, 1978; White, 1976) and large, well defined subgrains.
Figure 47: Deformation mechanism maps for quartz grains of 1 mm. (47a) and 10 μm. (47b). The dotted region in each diagram gives the most likely temperature/strain rate field for the Twillingate Granite.

After White, 1976, p. 81.
Figure 48: Development of dislocations in a stressed crystal. The dislocations occur at points X and Y in diagram 48c.
IV.B. Microstructures in the Twillingate Granite

Four distinct microstructural types are present in the Twillingate region.

- The TYPE 0 MICROSTRUCTURE: This microstructure is found in those parts of the Twillingate Granite which apparently escaped the effects of deformation. It consists of a hypidiomorphic equigranular aggregate of large anhedral feldspar grains enclosed in a quartz–hornblende–chlorite matrix.

- THE TYPE 1 MICROSTRUCTURE: The type 1 microstructure is found in regions predominantly affected by $D_1$ and is therefore correlated with that event. It consists of recrystallized feldspar and quartz grain aggregates which define a strong, albeit local L–S fabric in which $L>S$. Feldspar porphyroclasts are rare in thin section, and are usually surrounded by a thick zone of recrystallized feldspar grains.

- THE TYPE 2 MICROSTRUCTURE: This microstructure is restricted to regions predominantly affected by $D_2$ and is characterized by the presence of serrated grain boundaries and undulose extinction in the quartz grains. Feldspar grains are usually undeformed, and display no evidence of the recrystallization noted in the type 1 microstructure.
THE TYPE 3 MICROSTRUCTURE has been noted in those sections of the granite which were strongly affected by both D₁ and D₂. This microstructure consists of flattened and often kinked quartz grains surrounded by recrystallized felspar grains.

At present, there is no evidence to suggest that D₃ or D₄ produced any major microstructural changes in the granite pluton.

IV.B.1. The Type O (Initial) Microstructure.

The type O microstructure is a hypidiomorphic equigranular aggregate of large (5mm-10mm) anhedral quartz and subhedral feldspar grains with minor amounts of hornblende, chlorite, epidote, and opaques. Boundaries between phases are clean, unserrated, and are usually curvilinear. None of the crystals exhibit any major effects of intragranular strain, although it is possible that some twinning in the feldspar grains may have been deformationally induced. In order of crystallization, feldspar and hornblende were first to form while quartz, epidote, and the opaques fill the interstices between grains. Chlorite is a late metamorphic phase developed at the expense of hornblende.
IV.B.2. The Type 1 Microstructure

IV.B.2.a. Introduction

The type 1 microstructure is well developed in rocks deformed within the SHTI zone and is less commonly observed in the BBB zone, due perhaps to a lower temperature of deformation in the latter region (see Fig. 24, page 45). It is characterized by coarsely recrystallized quartz and feldspar grains, and is easily recognized even after overprinting by later deformational episodes (Fig. 49 and 50).

The type 1 microstructure is not equally well developed throughout the SHTI zone, but gradually diminishes in its intensity of development eastward. Quartz recrystallization, for example, is not apparent east of Merritts Harbour, although there is still some evidence of feldspar recrystallization. This suggests that the SHTI zone either dies out to the east or turns slightly northwards around Merritts Harbour so that its effect on New World Island is lessened.

IV.B.2.b. Morphology of the Type 1 Microstructures

In regions affected predominantly by \( D_1 \), quartz grains in the granite are commonly recrystallized into equigranular aggregates of large new grains. Grain orientations in these aggregates commonly vary in optic axis orientation by as much
as 40-50 degrees (Fig. 51). While no portion of the original grain remains, it is likely that the preferred optic orientation of most of the new grains cluster around the original orientation of the host.

The recrystallized new grains range in size from 100 µm to 1000 µm, although most are larger than 800 µm. They are nearly unstrained, although some appear to exhibit incipient subgrain development. They usually exhibit straight to curvilinear boundaries with their neighbours. Triple junctures between quartz grains commonly meet at 120 degree angles, as do quartz and feldspar boundaries, suggesting that the new grains have developed a microstructure in near equilibrium with respect to surface energy criteria (see Vernon, 1976).

The plagioclase component of the granite also recrystallized during D1 and forms recrystallized aggregates of equiaxed, unstrained new grains. Crystallographic misorientations across adjacent grain boundaries are small (1-4 degrees) and show a systematic deviation in orientation from a central region, reminiscent of the core and mantle geometry described by White (1975a). This suggests that these grains developed as a result of subgrain rotation from a deforming host. The average diameter of the recrystallized grains ranges from 100 to 200 µm, with larger grains attaining sizes up to 400 µm.
Figure 49: ES-202a. X polars. Recrystallized quartz grain from the southernmost tip of South Twillingate Island. Note the surrounding recrystallized feldspar grains. Scale: lcm=.25mm.

Figure 50: ES-1130. X polars. Recrystallized feldspar grains developing from a host plagioclase phenocrysts. Scale lcm=.25mm.
Figure 51: AVA diagram of one recrystallized quartz grain. In this diagram, the arrows denote the plunge of the C-axis in each new grain. Scale: 1 cm. = 0.12 mm.
IV.B.3. The Type 2 Microstructure

IV.B.3.a. Introduction

The type 2 microstructure is well developed throughout much of the granite pluton and overprints the type 1 microstructure at Purcells Harbour, South Trump Island, and New World Island. It is only found in regions which were affected by \( D_2 \), and is therefore correlated with that event.

IV.B.3.b. Morphology of the Type 2 Microstructure

The type 2 microstructure is defined on the basis of quartz morphology as none of the other minerals appear to have been greatly affected by \( D_2 \). Feldspar and epidote, for example, are morphologically similar in both the deformed and undeformed granite, while chlorite is only deformed in regions of medium to high finite strain. Other minerals, such as hornblende and the opaques, occur too rarely to be used as deformation markers and, where present, exhibit few effects of deformation apart from minor fracturing and kinking.

Since most phases in the granite were not noticeably affected by \( D_2 \), most of the ductile strain associated with deformation must have been accommodated by the quartz grains. This strain led to the activation of at least three known dislocation-related deformation mechanisms, each of which
became operative at different strain intensities. They will be described below in their order of development.

Within the least deformed parts of the pluton, flattening of the quartz grains was principally accommodated by intraqranular slip. This led to the development of several dislocation-related features, such as undulatory extinction, elongate subgrains, and slip lamellae. Which may (Christie et al., 1964) or may not (White, 1973, 1976; Lister et al., 1978) define the actual slip planes.

Undulatory extinction in the quartz grains is an extremely common feature found in all but the least deformed parts of the pluton. Variations in optic axis orientation are usually small, and average 10-20 degrees over the width of the crystal.

Subgrains locally occur in the mildly deformed parts of the pluton, although they are rarely well developed. As can be seen from Figures 52 and 53, subgrains are elongate, evenly spaced, and commonly extend from one margin of the host to the other. They average 100 um across and rarely vary in orientation by more than 1-2° degrees from each other.

Slip lamellae are common features in the quartz fraction of the Twillingate Granite and always lie parallel to (0001). This suggests that basal slip was an operative deformation mechanism during D₂ (see figure 52).

In the more strongly foliated parts of the pluton, intracrystalline strain in the quartz grains becomes
partially accommodated by grain boundary migration and recrystallization. In this region, grain boundary convolutions develop in which local extensions of one grain protrude into the adjacent grains (Fig. 54). These protrusions are often thin (<10 μm) and may extend up to 50 μm into the neighbouring grain. They develop as a result of strain differences between like phases and have been described by Cahn (1970) and McQueen and Jonas (1975), amongst others.

Equiaxed new grains commonly nucleate within the protrusions and rarely exhibit more than a 2-4 degree difference in orientation with their host (bulge nucleation - see Fig. 55). They average 10 μm in diameter and are frequently internally strained, suggesting that they developed during deformation.

In regions of stronger foliation development, grain boundary convolutions increase in frequency to the point where the boundary develops a serrated texture. This boundary zone increases in width as a function of strain intensity and, in some regions, may entirely consume the host grain. This end stage is best developed at Merritts Harbour and Salt Harbour Island, while intermediate states occur at Gunning Head and Durrels Arm (Fig. 56).

Within the most strongly foliated regions, the quartz grains were apparently unable to deform entirely by ductile means and suffered some brittle deformation as well (Fig. 57). Intragranular fractures are found throughout the quartz
Grains and may in part parallel the (10\overline{1}1) rhombohedral cleavage reputed to be present in quartz (Frondel, 1972). These fractures are pervasively developed in the vicinity of Salt Harbour Island, and have been reported in metals which have less than 5 independent slip systems (quartz has three; see Lister et al., 1978; Cahn, 1970).
Figure 52: ES-184. Undulose extinction, subgrain boundaries, and basal slip lamellae in a quartz grain from Durrels Arm. Crossed polarizers. Bar = .25 mm.

Figure 53: Camera Lucida drawing of the quartz grain in figure 52. The arrow gives the average C-axis orientation.
Figure 54. ES-206. Bulge nucleation in quartz grains as noted in a sample collected at Durrels Arm. X polarizers and sensitive tint plate. Scale - 1 cm. = .25 mm.
Figure 55: ES-206. X polars. Development of new grains in protrusions. These developed as a result of bulge nucleation. From a sample collected at Durrels Arm. Scale: 1cm=.05mm.

Figure 56: ES-1005. X polars. Serrated grain boundary developed as a result of bulge nucleation. The host grain lies at the bottom of the photograph. From Salt Harbour. Scale: 1cm=.15mm.
Figure 57: Microcracks (?) in quartz grains at Merritts Harbour. Plane light. Scale: 1 cm = .05 mm.
IV.B.4. The Type 3 Microstructures

Since the type 1 and type 2 microstructures are morphologically distinct, it has been possible to recognize both microstructures in spite of overprinting. On the bluff southeast of Purcells Harbour, for example, large recrystallized quartz grains characteristic of the type 1 microstructure exhibit the undulatory extinction and serrated grain boundaries identified with the type 2 microstructure. Similar examples may be found throughout the two shear zones, with local exceptions occurring in regions which were relatively unaffected by the D2 deformation (such as at Lobster Cove).

The type 3 microstructure is only found in rocks which display features of both the type 1 and type 2 microstructures and is characterized by mechanically kinked quartz grains (fig. 59). Kink zones in these grains usually form elongate, parallel regions whose average C-axis orientation differs widely from that noted outside of the zone. According to Bouchez (1977), it is this strong C-axis misorientation which differentiates kink bands from the more commonly observed subgrain boundaries (see fig. 52, page 95).

In some cases, the preferred recrystallization of one kink limb has occurred. This produces 'ribbon grains' of quartz which have a much higher aspect ratio than the original host grains (fig. 59). As a result, it is difficult
to qualitatively determine the finite strain suffered by these rocks from a study of quartz grain morphology.

In the Twillingate region, kinked quartz grains are best developed in the vicinity of Merritts Harbour, where the entire quartz population of several thin sections was observed to be intensely kinked and locally recrystallized along the kink band boundaries. The average dimension of these kink bands varies with the size of the host grain, although their length to width ratio is nearly constant at around 10:1. The boundaries themselves parallel the long axis of the grains and are usually sharply defined with only minor evidence of the grain boundary migration characteristic of the type 2 microstructure.
Figure 58: ES-1055. X polars + sensitive tint plate. 'Kinks' in a quartz grain from Merritts Harbour. Deviations in C-axis orientation in this case average around 60°. Scale: 1cm=.25mm.

Figure 59: ES-1055. X polars. Kinked grain in which one limb has recrystallized. Merritts Harbour. Scale: 1cm=.15mm.
V. **STRUCTURAL GEOLOGY - PETROFABRIC ANALYSIS**

V.A. Petrofabrics in the Twillingate Granite

V.A.1. Introduction

In the previous chapters, the geometry and age relationships of \( D_1 \) through \( D_4 \) were defined by macroscopic analysis, and the effects of \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) on the Twillingate Granite were determined by microstructural analysis. In this chapter, quartz petrofabric data are given which support the analyses presented in the previous chapters.

V.A.2. Methods

The first step in analysing the petrofabrics of the Twillingate region lay in choosing the correct specimens for study. These specimens were chosen in the following manner:

1. All specimens were required to contain a significant number of measurable quartz grains. This criterion was deemed necessary for two reasons. First, samples containing less than 20 measurable grains per thin section are difficult to analyse statistically; and secondly, recent studies of quartz petrofabrics have shown that the modal percentage of quartz can seriously affect the strength of the C-axis fabric developed (Starkey and Cutforth, in press). In the 13 samples analysed, the percentage of quartz per section was, in all cases, greater than 40%.
2. All specimens were required to exhibit a well defined and characteristic microstructure (see chapter IV). This parameter made it possible to relate fabric development directly to microstructural development and thus gain insight into the generation of both.

3. All four microstructural types and their variations had to be represented in the samples analysed. This criterion made it possible to compare fabric patterns in samples which suffered contrasting deformation histories at various temperatures.

While it is not implied that the thirteen fabrics described here represent all of the crystallographic fabric geometries present in the study area, it is hoped that they include most of the characteristic fabric types to be found in the region.

Once the 13 samples were chosen, two mutually perpendicular thin sections were taken from each. One of these sections was cut perpendicular to any foliation or lineation present in the rock, while the other was cut perpendicular to the foliation but parallel to the lineation. In measuring the quartz orientations present in these rocks, both sections were utilized to insure that certain, hard to measure C-axis orientations were not underrepresented in the final fabric.
Once all thin sections were cut, 75 to 150 unrecrystallized and type 1 recrystallized quartz grains were measured in each using the standard universal stage techniques described in Emmons (1943). The C-axis orientations of these grains were then plotted onto a Lambert equal area stereonet and rotated into a standard orientation in which the foliation lay east-west and the lineation plunged vertically. The resulting fabrics were then contoured in intervals of 1.3, and 5% per 1% area and drafted together to produce figure 60.

In order to facilitate the comparison of these fabrics with respect to structures noted in the field, each fabric on figure 60 is provided with a dotted great circle giving the orientation of the fabric in terms of True North.

V.A.3. Fabric Classification

Several authors (including Turner and Weiss, 1963, and Lister, 1974) have suggested that fabric geometry is a function of three independent parameters: initial orientation, temperature, and deformation path. While the first two parameters may be determined in most cases from the fabric geometry itself, the third requires a working knowledge of the micro- and macro-structural history the rock has undergone. Thus, in order to classify a suite of quartz C-axis fabrics, each should be analyzed in terms of both fabric geometry and tectonic history.
Figure 60: Quartz petrofabrics in the Twillingate region. Each fabric is referenced in the text according to the number given in the upper right hand corner of the stereonets. The number in the lower right corner of the diagrams gives the number of C-axis measurements included in each stereonet. See text concerning the contour intervals used for the diagrams.
In the present study, the 13 fabrics were classified with respect to these two requirements. The diagrams were first separated into four groups according to their inferred deformational/microstructural history, and were then further subdivided on the basis of fabric geometry.

VI.A.3.a. Group 0 Fabrics

This group includes samples 1 and 3, both of which exhibit the type 0 microstructure in thin section and do not display a definable foliation or lineation in hand specimen.

FABRIC SUBGROUP 0: This is a random C-axis fabric characterized by small, pole free areas, low maximum concentrations, and a lack of discernable symmetry elements. Both samples 1 and 3 belong to this subgroup.

VI.A.3.b. Group 1 Fabrics

Rocks belonging to this group usually exhibit a strong L-S fabric (L>S) in hand specimen, and are characterized by type 1 (+ minor type 2) microstructures in thin section. Samples 6, 7, 8, and 11 all belong to this group and fall into three subgroups:
FABRIC SUBGROUP 1a: This subgroup is characterized by two crossed girdles which are equally inclined to the foliation and intersect at a point maximum normal to the lineation. The opening angle between the two girdles is approximately 70 degrees. Specimen 7 belongs to this subgroup.

FABRIC SUBGROUP 1b: Fabric 1b is similar to 1a except that the crossed girdles are incomplete and are absent along a plane passing through the lineation and lying perpendicular to the foliation. In these fabrics, the incomplete girdle may be caused by undersampling of the quartz population. This fabric subtype has been recognized in samples 6 and 11.

FABRIC SUBGROUP 1c: This is a 'tailed maximum pattern' defined by a point maximum which tails off into a partial great circle lying normal to both the foliation and lineation. The C-axis maximum lies at an angle of about 40 degrees to the foliation and is elongate normal to the foliation plane. This is
the only fabric pattern observed which shows monoclinic symmetry. Sample 8 is the only specimen known to contain this fabric.

VI.A.3.c. Group 2 Fabrics

Rocks belonging to this group are strongly foliated and are characterized by the presence of the type 2 and the absence of type 1 and 3 microstructures. In hand specimen, the two samples belonging to this group differ in that sample 2 does not contain a well developed lineation, while sample 13 is characterized by a strong L-S fabric (L=S). This seemingly minor difference in deformation path has led to the development of two distinct fabric types, both of which are described below:

FABRIC SUBGROUP 2a: This fabric subgroup consists of a strong C-axis maximum (or small circle girdle?) which lies normal to the foliation. It is symmetrically orientated with respect to the foliation and lineation, and displays well developed orthorhombic symmetry. To date, it has only been recognized in sample 2.
FABRIC SUBGROUP 2b: This subgroup could easily be mistaken for a type la crossed girdle fabric if not for two distinguishing features. First is the lack of a C-axis maximum in the foliation plane, and second is the presence of the type 2 microstructure. It has only been observed in sample 13 and will be further discussed in the next chapter.

VI.A.3.d. Group 3 Fabrics

Group 3 fabrics are only found in rocks which exhibit both the type 1 and type 2 microstructures. They are found in the southern part of the region and include samples 4, 5, 9, 10, and 12. Samples 4 and 5 are extremely well foliated and exhibit the type 3 microstructure, while sample 12 is less strained and exhibits only minor effects of the D2 deformation. Samples 9 and 10 were both collected from quartz porphyry dykes and display a strong L-S fabric in which S>L.

The group 3 fabrics are subdivided into three subgroups:
FABRIC SUBGROUP 3a: This fabric subgroup is unique in that it is a random fabric developed in a highly foliated specimen. As with the type 0 fabric patterns, it is characterized by low maximum concentrations, small pole free areas, and a total lack of symmetry elements. Fabric 10 is the only fabric pattern assigned to this group.

FABRIC SUBGROUP 3b: Fabric 3b is directly comparable to subgroup 2b and consists of a weak girdle lying normal to the foliation and lineation. Two samples, 9 and 12, belong to this group. Sample 9 may have been undersampled.

FABRIC SUBGROUP 3c: This subgroup displays elements of both 3b and 2a fabrics and consists of a cleft (or small circle) girdle which lies normal to $S_2$ and crosses the foliation in a great circle lying 90 degrees from the lineation. Samples 4 and 5 are the only samples containing this fabric, and are also the only two analyzed samples which display the type 3 microstructure.
V.A.4. Fabric Summary

Four main fabric groups are present in the Twillingate region. Group 0 fabrics are only found in the undeformed core of the Twillingate Granite and consist of random C-axis patterns. Group 1 fabrics occur in regions that suffered the $D_1$ and $D_2$ deformation and exhibit the Type 1 microstructure. In most cases, Group 1 fabrics consist of two symmetrically arranged crossed girdles which intersect the foliation at a point maximum (types 1a and 1b). However, in sample 8 (type 1c), the fabric consists of an asymmetric 'tailed maximum pattern' in which the C-axis point maximum lies 40° from the foliation and tails off towards $S_{1/2}$.

Group 2 fabrics occur in regions which only suffered the $D_2$ deformation, and therefore exhibit the Type 2 microstructure. These fabrics either consist of a C-axis point maximum oriented perpendicular to $S_2$ (type 2a), or a near random pattern which lacks C-axis orientations in the direction of $L_2$ (type 2b).

Group 3 Fabrics are only found in rocks which strongly suffered both $D_1$ and $D_2$ and exhibit the Type 3 kinked microstructure. In one case (type 3a), it consists of a random pattern which lacks C-axis orientations parallel to $L_2$. In all other cases, it consists of a weak girdle fabric which may (type 3c) or may not (type 3b) display a strong C-axis point maximum perpendicular to $S_{1/2}$. In most respects it closely resembles fabric type 2b described above.
VI. ANALYSIS AND SYNTHESIS

VI.A. Stratigraphic Analysis

The Central Mobile Belt (Williams, 1964) is considered by most workers to contain the last vestige of the Iapetus Ocean, a major geographic feature thought to have existed between 800 and 460 million years ago (Williams, 1979). Isotopic dates on the Twillingate Granite (510± 17 ma) and the late diabase dykes (473± 9 ma) (Williams et al., 1976), suggest that all units in the region formed within this oceanic environment.

The calc-alkaline nature, and thickness (>8 km.) of the Moretons Harbour Group leaves little doubt that it represents either an island arc or intraplate volcanic pile (Strong and Payne, 1973; Fryer, pers. comm.). However, the same degree of certainty does not extend to the origin of either the Sleepy Cove Group or the Twillingate Granite.

In the past, the Sleepy Cove Group has been modelled as 1) the basal unit of an island arc sequence (Strong and Payne, 1973), 2) a deformed early remnant arc (Williams and Payne, 1975), and 3) the upper layer of an ophiolite suite underlying the island arc terrain (Dean, 1978). The present author favors the third model for the following reasons:

First, the Sleepy Cove/Moretons Harbour Group boundary may be defined on lithological, geochemical, structural, and geophysical grounds. These four parameters together provide a reasonable argument against the continuous volcanic
sequence envisioned by Strong and Payne (1973).

Secondly, the "strong structural contrast" noted at Sam Jeans Cove and Tizzards Harbour by Williams and Payne (1975) appears to have resulted from the fault juxtaposition of deformed and metamorphosed volcanics against undeformed diabase dykes (page 47). Furthermore, the presence of undeformed hornblende-plagioclase pillow lavas at Webber Bight and deformed hornblende-plagioclase feeder dykes at Sam Jeans Cove (page 27) suggests that the Webber Bight pillows were present prior to $D_1$.

Finally, there is little evidence to suggest that the Sleepy Cove Group exhibits features characteristic of island arc terrains. Indeed, the group as defined here appears to be a relatively thin (1 to 2 km.) tholeiitic volcanic pile probably underlain by sheeted dykes, gabbros and ultramafics (as hypothesized from diatreme analysis, page 42). The exposed sequence is compatible with that found in the ophiolite occurrences at Betts Cove (Upadhyay, 1973) and the Bay of Islands (Williams et al., 1972) and argues in favor of a similar mode of development for the Sleepy Cove Group. The only problem with this model lies in the presence of silicic tuffs and agglomerates at Salt Harbour Island, Jenkins Cove, and Back Harbour. These tuffs and agglomerates occupy less than 1% of the total Sleepy Cove area and are presently enigmatic.

The origin of the Twillingate Granite has also been the subject of numerous studies. In the past, the pluton has
been thought to have developed as a result of diapirism within a subduction zone (Payne, 1974) or by partial melting at the roots of an island arc (Payne and Strong, 1979).

While the origin of the granite is mainly a geochemical problem, this study has detailed certain aspects of its geology which should be considered in any model proposed for the petrogenesis of the body. These include:

1) The timing of emplacement - While the Sleepy Cove Group acted as host for the Twillingate pluton, apophyses of the granite are observed to cross the inferred Sleepy Cove/Moretons Harbour Group contact and intrude rocks of the Moretons Harbour Group at North Trump Island and Mouse Island. This requires that the Moretons Harbour "island arc" terrain was present prior to intrusion of the granite.

2) The duration of intrusion - The presence of post-tectonic stocks of trondhjemite within the Twillingate pluton suggests that either the intrusion of the granite was a long lived phenomenon, or a second magma source (melted Twillingate granite?) developed shortly after deformation ceased. At present, both of these possibilities are viable and cannot be discounted without further detailed geochemical work.

The only model being actively considered for the genesis of the Twillingate Granite is that of Payne and Strong.
(1979). They hypothesize that the trondhjemitic melt developed by the in-situ partial melting of amphibolite at the base of an active island arc. However, before this model can be considered viable, several points require clarification.

First, what is the geochemistry of trondhjemitic melts developed in such an environment? Preliminary work by Fryer and Strong (pers. comm.) suggests that the trondhjemitic melts occurring as pods and veins at Sam Jeans Cove share few geochemical characteristics with the Twillingate pluton. While the Twillingate Granite exhibits flat rare earth element patterns, the trondhjemitic pods are strongly enriched in light rare earth elements. Similar contrasts are also present in the major and trace element concentrations of the two occurrences making it unlikely that both formed in the same manner.

Secondly, how much amphibolite is required to produce a body the size of the Twillingate pluton? If a 10% partial melt is needed to produce trondhjemites (Payne and Strong, 1979), and the pluton is only 1/2 km. thick, the source amphibolite would have to be a block 140 square kilometers across, and 5 km. thick. This block increases the total thickness of the Moretons Harbour Group to 17 km. Given a geotherm gradient of 60°C/km. (Payne and Strong, 1979), this places the bottom of the pile well beyond the upper stability limit of amphibole. It is thus unlikely that a homogeneous intrusive body, such as the Twillingate Granite,
could form by such a mechanism.

In the author's opinion, the subduction model of Payne (1974) comes closest to predicting the relationships found in the Twillingate region. This model eliminates the need for a thick pre-existing amphibolite block at the base of the arc by allowing for a continuously replenishing slab of basaltic material. Similarly, the temporal and spatial relationship of the Moretons Harbour Group to the emplacement of the Twillingate Granite argues in favor of a subduction-, rather than a spreading center- related, magmatic source.

While the origin of the Twillingate granite still remains a geochemical problem, it is hoped that the stratigraphic relationships described in this chapter will help set constraints on future models for the body.
VI.B. Structural Analysis

VI.B.1. Structural Summary

Three major and one minor phase of deformation have been recognized in the Twillingate region. Each of these is characterized by its unique macrostructure and microstructure.

The earliest deformation event, \( D_1 \), was responsible for producing two non-parallel shear zones. Of these, the SHTI zone lies adjacent to the Lukes Arm Fault and extends northwards to include Purcells Harbour, Black Island, and the southernmost parts of the Duck Islands and South Trump Island. Associated with this zone is a strong downdip stretching lineation \( (L_1) \) which parallels the axis of possible \( F_1 \) folds on South Trump Island. These folds share a common axial plane and exhibit curvilinear axes whose vergence suggests that rocks north of the zone moved upwards with respect to those to the south (fig. 29.6, page 56).

In thin section, \( D_1 \) related microstructures and petrofabrics display features characteristic of a high-temperature deformation episode. The initially large plagioclase laths found in the undeformed portions of the pluton develop the 'core and mantle' geometry characteristic of feldspars deformed in amphibolite and granulite terrains. Similarly, quartz grains exhibit the effects of
recrystallization and have been reorientated such that their C-axes lie within the foliation, a feature indicative of high temperature prismatic slip (Liser and Patterson, 1979). Both of these features become less obvious east of Merritts Harbour, suggesting that the zone changes orientation slightly at this point and may turn towards the north.

A second shear zone, the BBB zone, extends northwards from North Trump Island and follows the west coast of the Twillingate Islands. The temperature of deformation within this zone was less than in the SHTI zone and varies from conditions representative of the epidote-amphibolite facies in the south to greenschist facies to the north. As this zone was mainly developed in the basaltic terrain, its microstructures and petrofabrics were not analysed during this study. However, the gross parallelism of $S_1$ with that found in the SHTI zone, and the downdip orientation of $L_1$ in the BBB zone (fig. 29.9, page 56), suggests that both zones may have shared a common kinematic history. Unfortunately, however, the sense of movement within the BBB zone could not be established from field evidence.

$D_2$ was a lower temperature event in which $S_2$ paralleled $S_1$ in regions of greatest $D_1$ strain. It affected the rocks of New World Island and the east coast of the Twillingate Islands and is poorly represented elsewhere. Geometrically, the region affected by $D_2$ can be described as lying east of a triangle whose base lies between Crow Head and South Trump Island and whose apex lies at Codjack Cove.
On Salt Harbour Island, a strong mineral lineation ($L_2$) parallels the axis of $F_2$ folds and a biotite foliation defines their axial planes. Elsewhere, $L_2$ is defined as either a stretching lineation (i.e., at Tizzards Harbour) or a crenulation lineation (as on North Trump Island), and $S_2$ is defined by a coarse crenulation cleavage.

In thin section, $D_2$ is characterized by microstructures produced during a low temperature episode. In regions which were unaffected by $D_1$, plagioclase grains are undeformed and quartz grains exhibit good mortar textures, basal slip lamellae, and undulatory extinction. C-axes in these quartz grains lie perpendicular to $S_2$, a feature characteristic of low temperature basal slip (Lister et al. 1978).

Regions which suffered both $D_1$ and $D_2$ exhibit kinked quartz grains whose C-axes define crossed girdle fabrics. These features appear to form when $\sigma_1$ lies within the plane of active slip (Bouchez 1977). If so, then the presence of this microstructure defines a unique geometry in which $\sigma_1$ parallels the intersection of the kinked basal planes (fig. 61). Spatially, this means that $\sigma_1(D_2)$ plunged approximately $20^\circ$ towards S40E or perpendicular to $S_2$.

The kinked quartz microstructure also suggest that $D_2$ was a coaxial (plane strain) deformation. Since kinking would not have occurred if $\sigma_1$ was allowed to rotate during $D_2$. This hypothesis is supported by the Type 2 petrofabrics, which all exhibit geometries similar to that reported in quartzites deformed in plane strain (Tullis 1977).
Figure 61: The orientation of basal planes in the kinked quartz grains before (6la) and after (6lb) kinking. The stippled plane defines the developing foliation as well as the orientation of the C-axes in the kinked grains.
The D₃ low-temperature episode developed broad, open flexures in the basalts of North Twillingate Island and the BBB zone. S₃ and L₃ are defined by an extremely fine crenulation cleavage and lineation respectively, and were well developed in the cores of the F₃ folds. Since the D₃ episode did not affect the granite terrain to any measurable extent, there are no microstructural or petrofabric data available to define the kinematics of deformation.

The D₄ event was primarily responsible for producing numerous normal faults in the region. Most of these faults parallel the Lukes Arm and Chanceport Faults and juxtapose deformed rocks against their undeformed equivalents.

VI.B.2. Structural Models

In this section, each of the four deformation episodes will be treated separately and, where possible, models will be presented for their development.

VI.B.2.a. The D₁ Deformation

The D₁ deformation is best characterized as being a shear zone episode in which two zones of differing orientation developed under relatively high ambient temperatures.

-The SHTI Zone: Very little is known about the development of the SHTI zone. It is extremely difficult to delineate in the field and is primarily defined on the basis of microstructural evidence; thus its exact boundaries are
unknown. On a broad scale, however, the zone appears to follow the Lukes Arm fault, suggesting that the two structures may have had a co-genetic history. If so, movement on the latter fault was probably updip from the north.

The BBB Zone: The most important feature of the BBB zone lies in its spatial orientation. For the most part, this zone follows the contact of the Moretons Harbour 'island arc' terrain and the Sleepy Cove 'ophiolite'. This suggests that the shear zone may have nucleated as a result of gravity instabilities developed between the two groups. If so, then movement on the BBB zone was probably downdip to the north.

On the other hand, the close proximity and structural similarity of the BBB zone to the SHTI zone suggests that movement may have been updip to the north. If so, then the BBB and SHTI zones may represent splays of the same thrust system. Unfortunately, neither theory can be further substantiated with the present data set.

VI.B.2. b. The D2 Deformation

D2 was a coaxial, plane strain event characterized by large scale variations in finite strain (i.e. heterogeneous bulk pure shear). In the Sleepy Cove Group and Twillingate Granite, deformation was most intense along the northern and eastern shorelines of New World Island and the Twillingate
Islands, while the effects of $D_2$ are virtually non-existent in the Moretons Harbour Group.

There is also good evidence to suggest that finite strain during $D_2$ was heterogeneous in the vertical direction. This evidence stems from the numerous late high-angle faults which frequently juxtapose undeformed and deformed terrains. Since these faults are considered to have suffered large dip slip displacements, variations in strain intensity which occur between adjacent blocks must reflect strain differences at depth. Thus, in order to model the $D_2$ deformation, we must first define a situation in which strain varies in both the horizontal and vertical dimension.

One way to develop the required strain geometry is to deform a homogeneous medium about an included rigid object (Savin, 1961). In this situation, an heterogeneous stress field develops about the object such that the magnitude of $\sigma_1$ is augmented in the region adjacent to the rigid body and parallel to $\sigma_1$, and is lessened in the matrix lying normal to $\sigma_1$. This stress field has been theoretically modelled by the finite element method (Stromg, 1973), and is graphically represented in figure 62.
Figure 62: The magnitude of $\sigma_1$ as measured around a circular rigid object. $\sigma_1$ is tensinal when the values given on the diagram are negative. After Stromgard (1973).
As may be seen in this diagram, the domain of least compressive stress forms a cone shaped region which opens towards the more competent body. As one enters this region, $\sigma_1$ rapidly decreases in strength and, in the case presented, actually becomes tensional. Since stress and strain are dependant variables, the mean strain should also decrease in this region. This type of stress/strain change would be recognised in the field by a gradational weakening of fabric elements as one approached the 'rigid', undeformed body.

In the Twillingate region, the boundary between the foliated and unfoliated terrains defines a narrow cone shaped region which opens, or widens, towards the west. This suggests that the more competent lithology should lie in this direction if the rigid body model is viable. In the Twillingate region, a likely candidate for the more competent unit would be the massive dyke swarms and basalts of the Moretons Harbour Group. Indeed, the rigid body model may explain why this group has remained relatively undeformed while the nearby Sleepy Cove Group and Twillingate Granite are characterized by their strong $D_2$ related textures.

In support of this hypothesis, consider the orientation of the strain shadow presented in figure 62. In this diagram, the normal to $\sigma_1$ defines a plane which lies perpendicular to the rigid body and bisects the apex of the strain shadow. If the Twillingate 'strain shadow' exhibits a similar geometry, then the rigid body will remain a tenable model to explain the $D_2$ deformation.
From the geometry of the kinked quartz grains presented above, it can be shown that $\sigma_1^{(D2)}$ plunges approximately 20 degrees towards S 40 E. If the rigid body model holds true in this region, the Twillingate 'strain shadow' should lie perpendicular to this orientation, or approximately N 60 E.

In Chapter III, the $D_2$ strain shadow was described as a triangular region whose base lay between Crow Head and Black Island, and whose apex lay at Codjack Cove (fig. 44, page 73). From this geometry, a hypothetical $\sigma_1$ direction can be defined and shown to trends approximately S 60 E (fig. 6.1). Since this orientation is what was expected from the discussion above, it appears that the rigid body model can be applied successfully to the rocks of the Twillingate region.

The origin of stresses operative during $D_2$ is open to debate, although it is interesting to note that $\sigma_1^{(D2)}$ was oriented approximately perpendicular to the Lukes Arm Fault. This suggests that rocks south of this lineament may have acted as an indentor to deformation.

VI.B.2.c. The $D_3$ deformation

Little is known about the $D_3$ deformation, therefore it is difficult to model. However, since the spatial distribution of $D3$ structural elements is similar to that described for $D_2$, the rigid body model may hold true for both deformations.
Figure 63: The theoretical orientation of $\sigma_1$ as defined by the Moretons Harbour 'strain shadow'.
VI.B.2.d. The $D_4$ Deformation

Kink bands and faults are the main structural features associated with this event. While little can be said regarding the origin of the kink bands, the spatial relationship of many of the faults to the Luke's Arm Fault merits further consideration.

As mentioned in section III.C.5.b. (page 77), most of the faults in the region are near vertical structures which share a common orientation with the Luke's Arm and Chanceport Faults. As these minor faults also increase in number as one approaches the Luke's Arm fault, it seems logical to assume that the large and small structures are co-genetic. This further suggests that the present expression of the Luke's Arm Fault has developed, for the most part, as a normal fault.

This hypothesis is supported by the regional gravity survey recently published by Miller and Deutch (1978). Their work has shown that a strong gravity contrast lies along the Luke's Arm Fault over its entire length, with the north side exhibiting the higher gravity anomaly. Since this difference in gravity could lead to an isostatic disequilibrium between the two regions, the Luke's Arm Fault, as it exists today, may define the suture between two blocks of rock which are rising at different rates. If so, it is similarly possible that the other faults noted throughout the region may have developed in order to further equilibrate this north-south gravity gradient.
VII. TECTONIC SYNTHESIS AND CONCLUSIONS

VII.A. Tectonic Synthesis

The stratigraphic and structural data presented in this thesis have allowed the development of a detailed tectonic history for the Twillingate region. This history has been summarized in chapter VI. and is presented in outline form below. Where possible, cross references to pertinent sections of the text are provided.

1. The Sleepy Cove group developed during the opening stage of the Iapetus Ocean. The Sleepy Cove Group is most likely ophiolitic in character (page 16), although only the sheeted dyke and pillow lava units are presently exposed. Diatreme evidence suggests that gabbroic and ultramafic units may occur at lower crustal levels in the sequence (page 42).

2. The Sleepy Cove Group was overlain by the Moretons Harbour Group. This massive, nearly undeformed unit is over 8 km thick and is intruded by layered basalt and hornblende-plagioclase porphyritic dykes (pages 23-29). Its calc-alkaline nature suggests that it developed in an island arc environment.

3. The Twillingate granite was emplaced contemporaneously with or slightly later than the formation of the Moreton's
Harbour Group. Intrusion occurred around 510 ma. The Twillingate Granite underlies an insular area of over 150 km² and is trondhjemitic in character. Its size and intrusive relationships with the Moretons Harbour Group (page 41) suggest that it developed in a subduction zone environment.

4. The $M_1$ metamorphism and $D_1$ deformation affected both the Twillingate granite and surrounding volcanic terrains. The SHTI shear zone developed parallel to the southern margin of the region and passes close to the more northerly BBB zone at North Trump Island (page 59). This latter zone parallels the contact of the Moretons Harbour and Sleepy Cove Groups and may have developed as a result of density instability tectonics or thrusting of the Moretons Harbour Group over the Sleepy Cove Group/Twillingate Granite Terrain (page 123). Movement on both shear zones appears to have been parallel to the dip of $S_1$, although the direction of movement is debatable.

5. The low-temperature, coaxial, $D_2$ event occurred such that $\sigma_1(D2)$ plunged approximately $20^\circ$ towards $S40E.$ (page 127). While the origin of this compressive stress is uncertain, the parallelism of deformation to the Luke's Arm fault suggests that rocks south of this lineament may have acted as an
indentor to deformation. The $M_2$ metamorphic event probably occurred at about this time.

6. A small stock of undeformed granite was emplaced at Gunning Head (page 5). The existence of this body within a region of high $D_2$ strain suggests that the source for the Twillingate granite may have still been active after $D_2$ occurred.

7. The $D_3$ deformation produced local changes in the orientation of existing structural elements in the vicinity of the BBB zone. There is no evidence that this event affected the Twillingate granite to any great extent. Compression during this event was probably horizontal from the northeast-southwest.

8. Diabase dykes were emplaced and provide a U/Pb isochron of 473 ± 9 Ma. This sets a minimum age on $D_3$ (page 29).

9. The Luke's Arm fault developed along the suture between the Moretons Harbour/Twillingate terrains and the shales of New World Island. If the inland faults are co-genetic structures with this major lineament, then movement on the fault was downdip and occurred as late as post Jurassic (as inferred from truncated lamprophyre dykes -page 31). At the same time, however, the parallelism of the SHTI zone to the
Luke's arm fault may indicate a much longer movement history for the latter structure.

VII.B. Conclusions

The following is a list of conclusions reached during the course of this study:

1. The Moretons Harbour and Sleepy Cove Groups are lithologically, geochemically, and structurally distinct units whose boundary parallels the BBB zone over most of its length.

2. Apophyses of the Twillingate granite intrude the Moretons Harbour Group at North Trump Island and at Mouse Island. Thus, the Twillingate granite intruded the volcanic terrain after or during the deposition of the Moretons Harbour Group. The deformed and metamorphosed hornblende-plagioclase dykes noted at Sam Jeans Cove tend to support this conclusion.

3. Both the Twillingate Granite and the Moretons Harbour Group were present in the area prior to D1. However, there is some evidence to suggest that the granite body was reintruded by small stocks of similar granite after D2.

4. The D1 deformation produced two high-temperature shear zones in the region. The SHTI zone may have developed during an early movement episode of the Luke's Arm fault, while the
BBB zone may have nucleated as a result of density differences between the Sleepy Cove and Moretons Harbour Groups.

5. Three other deformation episodes also affected the region. The strongest of these was \( D_2 \), a low-temperature, coaxial event which produced a strain shadow in the wake of the Moretons Harbour Group.

6. The BBB zone was rotated into its present orientation during \( D_3 \). There is no evidence to suggest that \( D_3 \) has any great effect on the granite pluton.

7. The spatial relationship of the late stage, small-scale faults and the Luke's Arm Fault suggests that the present trace of the latter structure developed as a result of normal displacement.

In conclusion, the Twillingate region has turned out to be a type locality for defining some of the enigmatic lithologic boundaries present in the Notre Dame Bay region of central Newfoundland. Furthermore, the presence of excellent exposure over much of the area has allowed the definition of a well constrained structural history for the study area. It is hoped that the structural and stratigraphic relationships defined herein will aid to future geologists working in this complex part of the Appalachian system.
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