# 1.3 GA BIMODAL VOLCANISM IN SOUTHEASTERN LABRADOR: FOX HARBOUR

by

James T. Haley, B.Sc. (Hons.)

A thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Department of Earth Sciences/Faculty of Science

Memorial University of Newfoundland

May 2014

St. John's, Newfoundland

#### ABSTRACT

The Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic package, newly discovered in 2010 during exploration by Search Minerals Inc. for rare earth elements (REE) is located in southeastern Labrador (northern Grenville Province). This package of rocks consists of three separate belts, known as the Road Belt, MT Belt, and South Belt. They are highly deformed, with an age of formation of 1.3 Ga, determined via U-Pb analysis of zircon from rhyolitic units. A metamorphic age of 1.05 Ga has also been determined for this package of rocks, which is taken to represent time that the Grenville Orogeny affected this area, exposing it to amphibolite facies metamorphism. The Grenville Orogeny is responsible for much of the observed deformation. The MT Belt has undergone the most exploration, due to the fact that the Foxtrot Deposit is located in this belt. This means that a detailed stratigraphy is available, and a much better correlation between rock types and lithogeochemistry is possible for this belt. Geochemically, many of the rhyolite units are peralkaline, determined geochemically, and by the presence of sodic amphiboles and sodic pyroxenes. The REE-bearing mineral in the volcanic units was determined to be a Y-Nb oxide called fergusonite, determined via electron probe micro-analysis (EPMA). Although not analyzed, allanite is also an important REE-bearing mineral found in all mineralized units. Zircon was also analyzed via EPMA, revealing that a zircon population consisting of large microporous grains was different than the general population observed in Fox Harbour. These microporous grains are believed to be 1.05 Ga based on the limited success in obtaining U-Pb dates from them. In-situ determinations of Hf isotopes on the 1.3 Ga zircon crystals reveal that partial melting of 1.5 to 1.9 Ga felsic crustal

sources derived the Fox Harbour volcanic units. *In-situ* Hf determinations of the 1.05 Ga zircon crystal population suggest that these zircon have the same Hf-crustal evolution array for 1.5 to 1.9 Ga sources. This suggests that the 1.05 Ga metamorphism event was a closed system for Lu-Hf, and that there was no flux of REE into or out of the rocks during metamorphism.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor Paul J. Sylvester for overseeing this project, along with providing guidance throughout. The Research and Development Corporation of Newfoundland Labrador, and Search Minerals Inc. supported this research. This project would not have been possible without the generous contributions by these organizations.

I would like to thank Search Minerals Inc., for allowing me propagate my career via providing me with invaluable industry experience, all while allowing me to continue my academic pursuits. Vice President of Exploration, Randy R. Miller, is especially thanked for providing geological guidance and mentoring during my time with Search Minerals Inc. The many hours spent discussing Labrador geology, and regional tectonics will not soon be forgotten.

Many mentors at Memorial University of Newfoundland have provided me with invaluable knowledge that I will take with me for the rest of my career. First and foremost, I'd like to thank Greg Dunning, for taking the time to truly understand my projects issues, and helping me solve those problems in the best way possible. Toby Rivers, and Aphrodite Indares are also thanked for their thoughtful discussion and guidance with regards to the Grenville Province.

My mother and father are also thanked for the love and support through the years, and always having confidence in me.

Lastly, but certainly not least I would like to thank the love of my life, Amanda Langille for the loving support provided throughout the duration of this project. Taking care of two dogs (Beans, and Wilbur) while I was working in Labrador was never an easy task, but you always did it with a smile on your face.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ABSTRACT	ü	
ACKNOWLEDGEM	IENTS iv	
LIST OF TABLES	ix	
LIST OF FIGURES	Х	
LIST OF ABBREVI	ATIONS xiii	
LIST OF APPENDI	CIESxv	
<b>CO-AUTHORSHIP</b>	STATEMENT xvi	
CHAPTER 1 Intro	duction and overview1	
1-1	History and previous work2	
1-2	Thesis Objectives4	
1-3	Methods5	
1-4	Chapter overview6	
1-5 Summary		
CHAPTER 2 Disco	very of 1.3 Ga REE-enriched bimodal volcanism in the	
Gre	nville Province of southeastern Labrador, Canada19	
2-1 Introduction		
2-2	Regional Geology24	
	2-2-1 Lake Melville Terrane	
	2-2-2 Mealy Mountains Terrane	

	2-2-3	Pinware Terrane	
2-3	Mappir	ng, Sampling, and Exploration Methods	31
2-4	Local C	Geology	34
	2-4-1	Metamorphic Grade	35
	2-4-2	Description of the Volcanic Belts	36
2-5	U-Pb Z	ircon Geochronology of the Volcanic Rocks	49
	2-5-1	Sample Description And Petrography	50
	2-5-2	Analytical Methods	53
2-6	Zircon	Morphology and U-Pb Zircon Ages	60
	2-6-1	FHWT-6-02 – Rhyolite unit from South Belt	63
	2-6-2	FH-10-02 (8.4m) – Granitic vein/pegmatite with	in folded
		basalt	71
	2-6-3	FHC-44-01 – Rhyolite unit in MT Belt	72
	2-6-4	FHC-45-01 – Rhyolite unit in MT Belt	74
	2-6-5	Sample FHC-33-01A – Road Belt	76
	2-6-6	Sample FHC-34-03 – Road Belt	78
2-7	Discuss	ion	80
	2-7-1	Recognition of large supracrustal package	80
	2-7-2	U-Pb age of volcanic package	80
	2-7-3	Tectonic implications	85
2-8	Conclu	sions	89
2-9	Referer	1ces	91

CHAPTER 3	The 1	l.3 Ga bii	modal REE-enriched Fox Harbour volcanic belts: a	
	study of the lithgeochemical, mineralogical, and isotopic			
	chai	racteristi	cs106	
	3-1 Introduction			
	3-2	Geologi	ical Setting108	
	3-3	Local G	Geology114	
		3-3-1	South Belt114	
		3-3-2	MT Belt114	
		3-3-3	Road Belt	
	3-4	Analyti	cal Techniques118	
		3-4-1	Lithogeochemical Sampling From Channel Samples and	
			Diamond Drill Core	
		3-4-2	Lithogeochemical Analysis	
		3-4-3	Electron Probe Micro-Analysis of Zircon and	
			Fergusonite120	
		3-4-4	In-situ Lutetium-Hafnium Isotope Analysis of Zircon 121	
	3-5	Results		
		3-5-1	Lithogeochemistry	
		3-5-2	Electron Probe-Micro Analysis149	
		3-5-3	In-situ Lutetium-Hafnium Analysis of Zircon164	
	3-6	Discuss	ion169	
	3-7	Conclus	sions174	
	3-8	Referen	nces	

SUMMARY	
APPENDIX A	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Zircon reference material, sample: Harvard 91500.	55
Table 2-2: Zircon reference material, sample: Plesovice	56
Table 2-3: LA-ICPMS U-Pb data table for the Fox Harbour rocks	66
Table 2-4: TIMS U-Pb data for sample FHWT-6-02.	70
Table 3-1: Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for fergusonite	152
Table 3-2: Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for zircon	159
Table 3-3: Lu-Hf isotope measurements of zircon from Fox Harbour volcanic re	ocks by
LA-MC-ICPMS	

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2-1: Geological provinces of southern Laurentia in Mesoproterozoic	21
Figure 2-2: Location of the Fox Harbour project in Labrador.	23
Figure 2-3: Legend for geological map of Labrador	26
Figure 2-4: Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador	27
Figure 2-5: Airborne magnetometer survey for the Fox Harbour project	33
Figure 2-6: Geology map for Fox Harbour project area.	35
Figure 2-7: Typical rock type appearances in the South Belt	38
Figure 2-8: General outcrop appearances of units in the MT Belt	42
Figure 2-9: Geology map for the general vicinity of Foxtrot Deposit	45
Figure 2-10: Stratigraphy observed in the Foxtrot deposit	46
Figure 2-11: Channel and diamond drill sections chosen for U-Pb dating	51
Figure 2-12: Harvard 91500, and Plešovice zircon reference materials: Concordia	
diagrams	57
Figure 2-13: Cathodoluminescence photos of zircon from samples analyzed for U-Pb	61
Figure 2-14: Cathodoluminescence photos of zircon from samples analyzed for U-Pb	62
Figure 2-15: Cathodoluminescence photos of zircon from samples analyzed for U-Pb	63
Figure 2-16a: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHWT-6-02 plotted on a	
Concordia diagram	65
Figure 2-17: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FH-10-02 (8.4m) plotted on a	
Concordia diagram	72

Figure 2-18: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-44-01 plotted on a Concordia
diagram
Figure 2-19: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-45-01 plotted on a Concordia
diagram75
Figure 2-20: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-33-01A plotted on a
Concordia diagram
Figure 2-22: Photos of representative amazonite-bearing pegmatites from the Foxtrot
Project
Figure 2-23: Airborne magnetometer survey for the southeastern coast of Labrador89
Figure 3-1: Generalized geological map of Labrador110
Figure 3-2: Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador111
Figure 3-3: Fox Harbour geology, depicting three volcanic belts mapped and sampled.113
Figure 3-4: Geology map of the Foxtrot Deposit (MT Belt)
Figure 3-5: Stratigraphy observed in the Foxtrot Deposit
Figure 3-6: Photomicrographs from the most mineralized units in the Foxtrot Deposit. 124
Figure 3-7: Geology map of the Foxtrot Deposit
Figure 3-8: Geochemical diagrams for the South Belt
Figure 3-9: Harker Diagrams for the South Belt
Figure 3-10: Geochemical diagrams for the South Belt
Figure 3-11: Geology map of the Foxtrot Deposit, within the MT Belt134
Figure 3-12: Geochemical diagrams for the MT Belt
Figure 3-13: Harker Diagrams for MT Belt
Figure 3-14: Geochemical diagrams for the MT Belt

Figure 3-15: Spider diagrams for each unit in the MT Belt140
Figure 3-16: Geochemical diagrams for the Road Belt
Figure 3-17: Harker Diagrams for the Road Belt
Figure 3-18: Geochemical diagrams for the rhyolitic units of the Road Belt147
Figure 3-19: Spider diagrams for the rhyolitic, basaltic, and aplitic units of the Road Belt.
Figure 3-20: Plot designed by Ercit (2005) utilized in distinguishing yttrium-niobate
mineral groups
Figure 3-21: Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for fergusonite grains from sample
FHWT-17-13153
Figure 3-22: Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for fergusonite grains from sample FT-
11-10 (187.5m)
Figure 3-23: EPMA data for zircon in the Fox Harbour area
Figure 3-24: Cathodoluminescence images of zircon from the Fox Harbour area165
Figure 3-25: εHf ( <i>t</i> ) results for zircon from the Fox Harbour area167

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AMCG	anorthosite-mangerite-charnockite-granite
Amph	amphibole
APFU	atoms per formula unit
BSE	back scattered electron
ca	circa
CA-TIMS	chemical abrasion thermal ionization mass spectrometer
CPS	counts per second
CHUR	Chondritic uniform reservoir
CL	cathodoluminescence
СРХ	clinopyroxene
CV1, CV2	canonical variables 1, and 2
DDH	diamond drill hole
EDX	energy dispersive X-ray
εHf(t)	epsilon hafnium at time (t)
EPMA	electron probe microanalyzer
FH	Fox Harbour
FHC	Fox Harbour channel
FHWT	Fox Harbour west transect
FHRBC	Fox Harbour Road Belt channel
FTC	Foxtrot channel
FP	Fox Pond project

FT	Foxtrot deposit
HF	hydrofluoric acid
HFSE	high field strength element(s)
HREE	heavy rare earth element(s)
Ga	gigannum
LA-ICPMS	laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometer
LREE	light rare earth element(s)
Ma	megaannum
MC-ICPMS	multi-collector inductively coupled mass spectrometer
MLA	mineral liberation analyzer
MREE	middle rare earth element(s)
MSWD	mean square of the weighted deviates
MTB	MT Belt
MUN	Memorial University of Newfoundland
PHS	Port Hope Simpson
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
REE	rare earth element(s)
RB	Road Belt
SB	South Belt
SEM	scanning electron microscope
wt%	weight percent

# LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix 1	Lithogeochemical	data	187
------------	------------------	------	-----

#### **CO-AUTHORSHIP STATEMENT**

The manuscript presented as Chapter 2, entitled "Discovery of 1.3 Ga REEenriched bimodal volcanism in the Grenville Province of southeastern Labrador, Canada," and the manuscript presented as Chapter 3, entitled "Lithogeochemical, and isotopic study of the 1.3 Ga Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic package, Grenville Province, southeastern Labrador" contains contributions from three authors. These include, James T. Haley (Memorial University of Newfoundland), Paul J. Sylvester (Memorial University of Newfoundland), and Randy R. Miller (Search Minerals Inc.). As the first author, I was responsible for all aspects of the project, from project planning, formulating scientific hypotheses, literature research, data collection, and analysis. Coauthors provided guidance on geological hypotheses, data collection, data reduction, interpretation, and corrected the manuscript before submission.

#### CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

The Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic belts, located in southeastern Labrador have been the focus for rare earth element (REE) exploration by Search Minerals Inc. since late 2009 (Delaney and Haley, 2011; *unpublished* assessment report). The regional geology of the area is complicated, as it straddles three lithotectonic terranes, from north to south, the Lake Melville terrane, the Mealy Mountain terrane, and the Pinware terrane. The geology in the area generally consists of granitoids, highly deformed supracrustal packages, mafic intrusives, and pegmatites.

This project focuses on three volcanic belts named the Road Belt, MT Belt, and the South Belt (north to south). Rock types within these volcanic belts include: high field strength element (HFSE) enriched peralkaline rhyolite (comendite and pantellerite), subalkaline tholeiitic basalt, quartzite, garnetiferous volcaniclastic/metasedimentary units, along with discordant mafic dykes, and granitoid dykes. Observed textures and mineral assemblages indicate metamorphism at amphibolite facies. The belts have been mapped and sampled for 35 km, and are assumed to extend another 25 km based on limited grab samples (exhibiting similar mineralogy, textures, and geochemistry), and aeromagnetic patterns.

#### **1-1 HISTORY AND PREVIOUS WORK**

Early knowledge of the area is based mainly on descriptions of coastal localities (Lieber, 1860; Packard, 1891; Daly, 1902; Kranck, 1939; Christie, 1951; Douglas, 1953) and 1:500,000 scale reconnaissance mapping (Eade, 1962).

Complete aeromagnetic coverage and lake-sediment geochemical surveys were conducted for the region (Geological Survey of Canada, 1974a, 1974b, 1984). The Newfoundland and Labrador Geological Survey released a detailed lake sediment survey in 2010 for southeastern Labrador.

Geological mapping at 1:100,000 scale, as a 5-year Canada - Newfoundland joint project aimed at mapping an 80 km coastal fringe of the Grenville Province in southern Labrador, was carried out from 1984 to 1987 by Charles F. Gower of the Newfoundland and Labrador Geological Survey (Gower and Owen, 1984; Gower, 1985; Gower et al., 1987; Gower and Erdmer, 1988; Gower et al., 1992; Gower, 1994; Gower and van Nostrand, 1994; Gower, 1996a; Gower, 1996b; Gower et al., 1997; Gower and Krogh, 2002; Gower, 2003; Gower 2005, Gower, 2007; Gower et al., 2008a; Gower et al., 2008b; Gower, 2009, Gower, 2010).

Meyer and Dean visited the area in 1988 to investigate a Pb-Cd-W-Cu lake sediment anomaly (Meyer and Dean, 1988).

Scott et al. (1994) used U-Pb geochronology to directly determine the age of deformation within shear zones developed throughout the region.

Devonian Resources Inc. conducted work from June 1st – June 27th, 1996 in the eastern boundary of the current project area (assessment file 003D/05/0021). Work

2

conducted was ground follow up of a Geological Survey of Canada lake sediment survey that indicated anomalous copper, nickel and cobalt values. They concluded saying that no further exploration is recommended. They also attempted to relocate the sample location found by the Newfoundland Geological Survey in 1988 with anomalous zirconium (Zr) values. They did not find the rock described by the Newfoundland Geological Survey, and did not take any samples.

Greenshield Resources Inc. conducted work from May 29th – August 3rd 1996 on the eastern edge of the current project area (assessment file LAB/1205). This file describes a program of geological mapping, prospecting, lithogeochemical sampling, and diamond drilling. Most of the focus was towards the west (*i.e.*: outside of) the current project area. Exploration focused on assessing the potential for economic magmatic copper-nickel mineralized areas within the Alexis River Anorthosite. The program was completed with no significant economic mineralization discovered.

Rockhopper Corporation and Cartaway Resources conducted work between 1994 and 1996 in the center of the current project area, and focused on locating gem quality sapphires (assessment file LAB/1203). Work described consists of stripping, and prospecting, along with subsequent laboratory evaluations of the gems from the deposit.

Alterra Resources Inc. (Search Minerals Inc.) have worked on the Fox Harbour project since late 2009. Work thus far consists of an airborne radiometric survey, prospecting, lithogeochemical sampling, mapping, trenching, channel sampling, diamond drilling, and detailed magnetometer surveys.

#### **1-2 THESIS OBJECTIVES**

The main objectives of this thesis are:

1. To fully characterize the Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic belts.

The newly discovered Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic belts have never been described in literature. Recording and interpreting the physical characteristics of the belts, such as their location, main rock types, subdivisions, stratigraphy (if discernable), mineralogy, and petrography is an important first step for these packages of rocks.

2. Determine the absolute age of formation for the supracrustal units, along with the age of metamorphism for the general area (via dating the rhyolitic units).

The location of this volcanic package in southeastern Labrador is very interesting, as it straddles three separate lithotectonic terranes (Lake Melville, Mealy Mountain, and Pinware terranes). Dating of this volcanic package is essential for the full geological interpretation of the area.

3. Utilize the 10,000-lithogeochemical samples taken in the area for a full lithogeochemical study of the area.

Often times, when a mineral exploration company conducts work, the lithogeochemical assay package chosen is one that gives only elements of interest, and is not suitable for academic studies. Search Minerals Inc. decided to conduct a full assay package (i.e.: major, minor, and trace elements) on every single sample analyzed. This allows for a unique situation, where there is an abundance of lithogeochemical data suitable for academic research. Utilizing this geochemistry data to further understand the geochemical processes that affected the area is of utmost importance in this project.

4. Incorporate the Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic packages into the regional tectonic model for southeastern Labrador, and the Grenville Province.

Once fully characterized via mapping, petrography, lithogeochemistry, U-Pb geochronology, and isotopic studies, the volcanic package must be introduced into the tectonic model for southeastern Labrador.

#### **1-3 METHODS**

Southeastern Labrador has had a large amount of regional geological interpretation, including geological mapping, terrane identification, lithotectonic models, and U-Pb dating (refer to section 1-1). The Geological Survey of Newfoundland and Labrador, and the Geological Survey of Canada have completed much the work in this area. The Fox Harbour volcanic belts are a complex package of rocks, which have undergone very interesting igneous and metamorphic processes. Understanding, and characterizing this package or rocks requires a multidisciplinary approach, utilizing fieldwork, petrography (including polarizing microscopes, SEM-MLA, and CL), lithogeochemistry, U-Pb geochronology (using the laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometer, and the thermal ionization mass spectrometer, or LA-ICPMS, and TIMS, respectively), and understanding of accessory mineral geochemistry, by utilizing electron probe microanalysis (EPMA).

#### **1-4 CHAPTER OVERVIEW**

Chapter 2: Discovery of 1.3 Ga REE-enriched bimodal volcanism in the Grenville Province of southeastern Labrador.

The Fox Harbour volcanic package underwent a vast array of exploration within the first three years of its discovery (Delaney and Haley, 2011; *unpublished* assessment report). Exploration techniques include airborne radiometric survey, prospecting, mapping, lithogeochemical sampling, channeling, and diamond drilling. These exploration methods allowed for a detailed interpretation of the area on the surface, and extending to the subsurface in the case of the Foxtrot Deposit. The Fox Harbour volcanic belts, discovered in 2010, have not been described in literature; therefore a thorough write-up of the belts was required, and is presented in this chapter.

A regional geology map for the area between the town of St. Lewis and the intersection of highway 510 and 513 was created, identifying three separate volcanic belts (the South Belt, MT belt, and Road Belt) extending beyond the extent of this initial project area. A detailed understanding of each belt was conducted, attempting to identify the physical extent of the belts, and individual units within each belt.

This project set out to fully characterize each belt, along with the currently identified units within each respective belt. This characterization includes its lateral extent, size, rock types, stratigraphy, mineralogy, and U-Pb age, as recorded in zircon.

Representative thin sections from each belt were chosen for U-Pb dating via *insitu* laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS), and thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS). Zircon crystals were identified using the scanning electron microscope, coupled with the mineral liberation analyzer, otherwise known as the SEM-MLA. Complex zircon textures were identified, and subsequently analyzed. These data provide an absolute age of formation for the rhyolite units (1.3 Ga), along with the age of metamorphism for the area (1.05 Ga).

The South Belt was dated via LA-ICPMS and TIMS, confirming an age of  $1297 \pm 21$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) via LA-ICPMS, and  $1300 \pm 2.5$  Ma via TIMS. A number of samples were analyzed for U-Pb on the MT Belt (dated via LA-ICPMS), which identified both the age of formation, and age of metamorphism. Absolute age of formation range from  $1346 \pm 51$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), and  $1250 \pm 20$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), while the recorded metamorphic age is  $1018 \pm 30$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ). The Road Belt was analyzed via LA-ICPMS, and like the MT Belt recorded both the age of formation and age of metamorphism. The recorded age of formation is  $1256 \pm 24$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), while the metamorphic ages range from  $1050 \pm 21$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), and  $1047 \pm 17$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ).

# Chapter 3 The 1.3 Ga bimodal REE-enriched Fox Harbour volcanic belts: a study of the lithogeochemical, mineralogical, and isotopic characteristics.

Upwards of 10,000 lithogeochemical samples have been taken from the Fox Harbour area, with sampling methods ranging from hand samples, channel samples, and diamond drill hole samples. The combination of field observations, and stratigraphic reconstruction for the area allows for a detailed interpretation of this lithogeochemical data. Representative lithogeochemical samples were taken from each belt to fully characterize the volcanic belts geochemically.

Rhyolitic units in the Fox Harbour area tend to be peralkaline (i.e.: ~1.0 on the alkalinity index), and are further classified as comendite and pantellerite (Winchester and Floyd, 1977; Macdonald, 1974). Many of the more mineralized units contain peralkaline-indicator minerals, such as sodic pyroxenes and amphiboles. Lithogeochemical classification is done by utilizing geochemical diagrams designed for altered volcanic rocks (Harker, 1909; Shand, 1927; Macdonald, 1974; Winchester and Floyd, 1977; and Sun and Mcdonough, 1989).

The rhyolite units of the South Belt are generally peralkaline (as defined by Shand (1927), which may not be applicable to altered volcanics). Indicator minerals within the units suggest that the entirety of the South Belt is peralkaline, and roughly transitions from pantellerite in the north to comendite in the south, roughly in the center of the belt (Shand, 1927; Macdonald, 1974; Winchester and Floyd, 1977). These subdivisions are determined solely geochemically, as the South Belt is extremely homogenous on the surface. This makes individual unit identification near impossible. Mafic volcanic rocks in the South Belt are subalkaline tholeiitic basalts (Irvine and Barager, 1971). Rhyolitic units (comendite and pantellerite) display very slight differences in light rare earth element (LREE) and heavy rare earth element (HREE) slopes when plotted on a Chondrite normalized spider diagram.

Rhyolitic units of the MT Belt, are peralkaline, and are further classified to comendite (FT2) and pantellerite (FT2x, FT3, FT3b, and FT4) (Macdonald, 1974; Winchester and Floyd, 1977). Basalt in the MT Belt is generally subalkaline tholeiites

(Irvine and Baragar, 1971). Major elements in the rhyolitic units of the MT Belt are generally immobile, except for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, and K<sub>2</sub>O, which when plotted on simple Harker diagrams display erratic behavior (Harker, 1909). Simple immobile vs immobile element plots (i.e.: Zr vs Y, Zr vs Dy) suggest that these elements remained largely immobile (plot at a consistent ratio with respect to each other, throughout the full geochemical spectrum observed). Rhyolite units of the MT Belt display very similar patterns, with only slight LREE and HREE variations when plotted on the chondrite normalized spider diagrams.

Rhyolite units of the Road Belt are very similar to those found in the MT Belt, displaying similar lithological units, mineralogy, and geochemistry. Rhyolitic units are classified as comendite and pantellerite, and are peralkaline in nature (Macdonald, 1974; Maniar and Picolli, 1989). As with the South Belt, the further subdivision (i.e.: comendite or pantellerite) of the rhyolite units is made solely on the geochemical characteristics. The Road Belt is heavily deformed, and identifying individual units prior to acquiring lithogeochemistry is near impossible (Haley et al., 2013). The only exception to this is the pantelleritic units, which commonly contain a high amount of magnetite, amazonite, sodic pyroxene,  $\pm$  sodic amphibole, similar to units FT2x, FT3, FT3b, and FT4 in the MT Belt. As with the other belts, mafic volcanic rocks plot as subalkaline tholeiites, with a small amount of samples plotting as andesite/basalt (Irvine and Baragar, 1971; Winchester and Floyd, 1977). Due to the nature of volcanic systems, some of the major elements (Na, Ca, and K) appear to have been affected, likely by post deposition metasomatism often associated with subaerial volcanics. It is also possible that the metamorphism this unit experienced also affected the major elements. This creates a scattered affect on the Harker (1909) diagrams. Elements that were greatest affected include Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, and K<sub>2</sub>O, while FeO, CaO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> decrease with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub>. Although the major elements were affected, XY immobile vs immobile plots reveal that the trace elements have remained relatively immobile, as they plot consistently throughout the full range of geochemical values (i.e.: simple XY immobile vs immobile diagrams plot in a straight line). Patterns observed on the chondrite normalized spider diagrams are all very similar, with very little variation within each respective geochemical unit.

Electron microprobe analysis of the yttrium-niobate mineral identified via SEM-MLA confirms that the mineral is fergusonite, a Y-Nb oxide. Fergusonite grains were shown to contain ~20-29 wt.% REE, and are believed to be the main carrier of REE in the Fox Harbour area. Chondrite normalized REE patterns are fairly consistent in the two samples analyzed. Differences consist of slightly different Eu depletion anomalies, along with slight variations in the LREE and HREE slopes. Although not analyzed, allanite is also an important REE-bearing minerals observed throughout all units of the Fox Harbour area.

Electron microprobe analysis of zircon was conducted on a representative sample from all three belts, and the adjacent granitic augen gneiss. Many of the analyzed zircon crystals are consistent, with Zr (APFU: atoms per formula unit) ranging from 0.97-0.99, while U+Th (APFU) ranges from 0.0000-0.001, Nb+Ta (APFU) ranges from 0.000-0.001, and Y+Gd+Dy+Yb (APFU) ranges from 0.000-0.020. Zircon morphology in the Fox Harbour area is quite interesting, with many different textures, described by Haley et al. (2013). A population of microporous zircon crystals was analyzed by the EPMA, unveiling interesting results. These microporous zircon contained much less Zr (APFU) from approximately 0.92-0.95, much lower than the majority of the crystals analyzed in the Fox Harbour area. This means other elements are in the place of Zr. Looking at the U+Th, Nb+Ta, and Y+Gd+Dy+Yb (APFU) values for this specific zircon population quantifies this observation. This reveals that they are elevated with respect to the general population zircon in the Fox Harbour area. U+Th (APFU) ranges from 0.002-0.005, while Nb+Ta (APFU) ranges from 0.001-0.008, and Y+Gd+Dy+Yb (APFU) ranges from 0.010-0.080.

*In-situ* lutetium-hafnium (Lu-Hf) analysis of zircon crystals in the Fox Harbour area reveals interesting results as well. All three belts were analyzed, along with the two main age populations (1.3 Ga, and 1.05 Ga) as determined by Haley et al. (2013). *In-situ* Hf analysis reveals that the 1.3 Ga has an  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) that ranges from -0.65 to +7.59, and the 1.05 Ga zircon population has an  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) that ranges from +0.62 to -4.21. This suggests, first of all, that the 1.3 Ga rhyolite units in Fox Harbour were derived by partial melting of 1.5 to 1.9 Ga felsic crustal sources. Second of all, the 1.05 Ga zircon crystals have the same Hf-isotope crustal evolution array for 1.5 to 1.9 Ga sources, suggesting that the 1.05 Ga metamorphic event was a closed system for Lu-Hf. This suggests that there was no flux of REE into or out of the rocks, and that REE were remobilized within the volcanic package, and not added during Grenvillian metamorphism.

#### **1-5 SUMMARY**

The data presented in this thesis suggest that the age of formation for the Fox Harbour rhyolite units (and adjacent supracrustal units) is 1.3 Ga, determined via U-Pb age analysis of zircon. Many of the rhyolitic units in the belt are peralkaline, while almost as they display peralkaline indicator minerals (such as sodic pyroxenes and sodic amphiboles). The continent-continent collision, known as the Grenville Orogeny affected this package of rocks at 1.05 Ga, exposing it to amphibolite facies metamorphism, based on characteristic metamorphic mineral assemblages. Although heavily disturbed by deformation, it is suggested that there was no flux of HFSE (high field strength elements) into or out of the volcanic packages during deformation. This requires that all REE mineralization occurring in the packages be of a primary origin. The main REE-bearing mineral in Fox Harbour is shown to be fergusonite, a Y-Nb oxide.

#### REFERENCES

- Andrews, R., and Beecham, A.W. 1997: First, second and third year assessment report on geological exploration for licenses 646M, 709M, 4460M, 4484M, 4485M, 4555M, 4664M- 4666M, 4808M-4810M and 50009M-5010M on claims in the Doreen Pond area, near St. Lewis Inlet, southeastern Labrador, 2 reports.
  Rockhopper Corporation, Cartaway Resources Corporation, Peruvian Gold Limited, Clouston, I.B., McCarthy, A.W. NTS: 13D/05, 13A/08. Newfoundland and Labrador Geological Survey, Assessment File LAB/1203, 1997, 42 pages.
- Christie, A.M. (1951). Geology of the southern coast of Labrador from Forteau Bay to Cape Porcupine. *Geological Survey of Canada*, Paper 51-13, 19 pages.

- Christie, A.M., Roscoe, S.M. and Fahrig, W.F. (1953). Preliminary map. Central Labrador coast Newfoundland (descriptive notes). *Geological Survey of Canada*, Paper 53-14, 3 pages.
- Daly, R.A. (1902). The geology of the northeast coast of Labrador. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology*, (38-5), 205-270.
- Delaney, P., and Haley, J.T. (2011; *unpublished*). Report on mapping, prospecting, geochemical sampling, trenching, diamond drilling, and airborne radiometric/magnetometer survey on the Fox Harbour property, Port Hope Simpson, Labrador. 1<sup>st</sup> year assessment report, Alterra Resources Inc, pp. 429.
- Douglas, G.V. (1953). Notes on localities visited on the Labrador coast in 1946 and 1947. *Geological Survey of Canada*, Paper 53-1, 67 pages.
- Eade, K.E. (1962). Geology, Battle Harbour Cartwright, coast of Labrador, Newfoundland. *Geological Survey of Canada*, Map 22-1962.
- Gower, C.F. (1985). Correlations between the Grenville Province and Sveconorwegian
   Orogenic Belt Implications for Proterozoic Evolution of the Southern Margins
   of the Canadian and Baltic Shields. *The deep Proterozoic Crust in the North Atlantic Provinces*, 247–257. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-5450-2\_15.
- Gower, C.F. (1994). Distribution of pre-1400 Ma crust in the Grenville province:
  Implications for rifting in Laurentia-Baltica during geon 14. *Geology*, 22, 827-830.
- Gower, C.F. (1996a). The evolution of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador,
  Canada. *Geological Society London Special Publications*, *112*(1), 197-218. doi: 10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.112.01.11

- Gower, C.F. (1996b). Geology of the southeast Mealy Mountains Region, Grenville
   Province, Southeast Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* 96-1, 55-71.
- Gower, C.F. (2003). Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador. Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Map 2003-11. Open file: LAB/1379.
- Gower, C.F. (2005). Kinematic evidence for terrane displacements in the Grenville province. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* Report 05-01, pp. 73-92.

Gower, C. F. (2007). Protolith recognition of metamorphosed felsic volcanic/volcaniclastic rocks, with special reference to the Grenville Province in southeast Labrador. *Current Research. Newfoundland and Labrador Department* of Natural Resources. Report 07-01, pp. 11-23.

- Gower, C.F. (2009). Battle Island A geological treasure in coastal eastern Labrador.
   Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Department of Natural Resources,
   Geological Survey, Open File 003D/05/0031, 38 pages.
- Gower, C.F. (2010a). Geology of the St. Lewis River area (NTS sheets 03D/04 and 05; 13A/01, 02, 07 and 08), southeastern Labrador. Geological Survey, Mines Branch, Department of Natural Resources, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Map 2010-24, Open File LAB/1566.
- Gower, C.F. (2010b). Geology of the Port Hope Simpson area (NTS sheets 03D/12 and 13; 13A/09, 10, 15 and 16), southeastern Labrador. Geological Survey, Mines

Branch, Department of Natural Resources, Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Map 2010-20, Open File LAB/1565.

- Gower, C., & Owen, V. (1984). Pre-Grenvillian and Grenvillian lithotectonic regions in eastern Labrador-correlations with the Sveconorwegian Orogenic Belt in Sweden. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 21(6), 678–693.
- Gower, C.F., Neuland, S., Newman, M., Smyth, J., 1987: Geology of the Port Hope
   Simpson map region, Grenville province, eastern Labrador, *Current Research* (1987) Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Mineral Development Division, Report 87-1, 183-199.
- Gower, C. F., & Erdmer, P. (1988). Proterozoic metamorphism in the Grenville Province: a study in the Double Mer-Lake Melville area, eastern Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 25(11), 1895–1905.
- Gower, C., Schärer, U., & Heaman, L. (1992). The Labradorian orogeny in the Grenville Province, eastern Labrador, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 29(9), 1944–1957.
- Gower, C.F., van Nostrand, T. (1994). Geology of the Pinware River Region, southeast Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources. 94-1, 347-369.*
- Gower, C., Hall, J., Kilfoil, G., Quinlan, G., & Wardle, R. (1997). Roots of the Labradorian orogen in the Grenville Province in southeast Labrador: Evidence from marine, deep-seismic reflection data. *Tectonics*, *16*(5), 795–809.

- Gower, C., & Krogh, T. (2002). A U–Pb geochronological review of the Proterozoic history of the eastern Grenville Province. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 39(5), 795–829. doi: 10.1139/E01-090
- Gower, C., Kamo, S., & Krogh, T. (2008). Indentor tectonism in the eastern Grenville Province. *Precambrian Research*, *167*(1-2), 201–212.
- Gower, C., Kamo, S., Kwok, K., & Krogh, T. (2008). Proterozoic southward accretion and Grenvillian orogenesis in the interior Grenville Province in eastern Labrador: Evidence from U-Pb geochronological investigations. *Precambrian Research*, *165*(1-2), 61–95.
- Haley, J.T., Sylvester, P.J., Miller, R.R. (2013). Discovery of 1.3 Ga REE-enriched bimodal volcanism in the Grenville Province of southeastern Labrador, Canada.

Harker, A., (1909). The Natural History of Igneous Rocks. London, Metheuen, 384 pp.

- Hodge, R. (1996). First year assessment report on prospecting for licence 4087m on claims in the Fox Harbour area, southeastern Labrador, *Newfoundland and Labrador Geological Survey*, 3D/05/0021, 13 pages.
- Irvine, T. N., & Baragar, W. (1971). A Guide to the Chemical Classification of the Common Volcanic Rocks. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, (8), 523-548.
- Jolliffe, T.S. (1997). Second year assessment report on geological, geochemical and diamond drilling exploration for licences 2559m-2560m, 4160m-4166m, 4367m-4375m, 4412m-4413m, 4602m, 4689m and 4766m-4772m on claims in the Alexis River and Fox Harbour areas, southeastern Newfoundland, *Newfoundland and Labrador Geological Survey*, LAB/1205, 115 pages.

- Kranck, E.H. (1939). Bedrock geology of the seaboard region of NewfoundlandLabrador. *Newfoundland Geological Survey*, Bulletin 19, 50 pages. [LAB/0071].
- Lieber, O.M. (1860). Geology of the coast of Labrador. United States Coast Survey Report (Eclipse Expedition).
- Macdonald, R. (1974). Nomenclature and petrochemistry of the peralkaline oversaturated extrusive rocks. *Bulletin volcanologique*, *38*(2), 498–516.

Maniar, P.D., Piccoli, P.M. (1989). Tectonic discrimination of granitoids, *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 101(5), 635–643.
doi:10.1130/00167606(1989)101<0635:TDOG>2.3.CO;2

- Meyer, J.R., Dean, P.L. (1988). Industrial minerals and follow-up of lake- and streamsediment geochemical anomalies in Labrador, *in Current research, Newfoundland and Labrador Mineral Development Division*, Report No. 88-1, 1988, p. 247-259.
- Packard, A.S. (1891). The Labrador coast: a journal of two summer cruises to that region, with notes on its early discovery, on the Eskimo, on its physical geography, geology and natural history. *N.D.C. Hodges, New York* (publisher), 513 pages.
- Scott, D., Machado, N., Hanmer, S., & Gariépy, C. (1993). Dating ductile deformation using U-Pb geochronology: examples from the Gilbert River Belt, Grenville Province, Labrador, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *30*(7), 1458–1469.
- Shand, S.J. (1927). On the relations between silica, alumina, and the bases in eruptive rocks, considered as a means of classification. *Geological Magazine*, (64), 446-446.

Shand, S. J. (1951). Eruptive Rocks. New York: J. Wiley.

- Sun, S. S., & McDonough, W. F. (1989). Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes. *Geological Society*, *London, Special Publications*, (42), 313-345.
- Winchester, J. A., & Floyd, P. A. (1977). Geochemical discrimination of different magma series and their differentiation products using immobile elements. *Chemical Geology*, (20), 325–343. doi:10.1016/0009-2541(77)90057-2

# CHAPTER 2 DISCOVERY AND U-PB DATING OF 1.3 GA REE-ENRICHED BIMODAL VOLCANISM IN THE GRENVILLE PROVINCE OF SOUTHEASTERN LABRADOR, CANADA

James T. Haley<sup>1</sup>, Paul J. Sylvester<sup>1</sup>, Randy R. Miller<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada,

A1B 3X5

<sup>2</sup>Search Minerals Inc., Toronto, ON, Canada, M5H 3B7

E-mail: j.haley@mun.ca

#### ABSTRACT

The Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic package, located in southeastern Labrador Canada is a highly deformed package of rocks. There are three volcanic belts in the area (South Belt, MT Belt, and Road Belt), with rock types within the volcanic packages consisting of rhyolite (containing sodic pyroxene and amphibole, suggesting that they are peralkaline), subalkaline tholeiitic basalt, quartzite, aplitic dykes, and andesitic dykes. The mineralogy, surficial extent, and description of individual units within each volcanic belt are presented. Rhyolitic units from each volcanic belt have been dated via U-Pb zircon geochronology (LA-ICPMS, and TIMS). Zircon grains from each belt revealed an age of formation 1.3 Ga, and an age of metamorphism ~1.05 Ga (i.e.: Grenvillian deformation). This demonstrates that there was volcanic activity along this area of the Laurentian margin during this time, coinciding with other occurrences of 1.3 Ga supracrustal packages throughout the Grenville Province. The Fox Harbour volcanic packages underwent amphibolite facies metamorphism during the Grenvillian orogenic event, at 1.05 Ga.

#### **2-1 INTRODUCTION**

Rock packages are intensely deformed and metamorphosed during large-scale continental collisions, obscuring their original age and character, limiting their recognition in the geological record, and biasing the record of crustal growth. One of the largest continental collisions in Earth history is represented by the Grenville Province, which extends for over 2000 km from southern Ontario to eastern Labrador, with a width ranging from 300-400 km for most of its length (up to 600 km in the south) (Figure 2-1). It is known to extend several thousand kilometers further to the southwest but is largely concealed by Paleozoic cover in the southeastern United States (Hynes and Rivers, 2010).


Figure 2-1: Geological provinces of southern Laurentia in Mesoproterozoic. Modified after Hoffman (1989) and Rivers (1997).

Determining the protolith of supracrustal rocks in medium to high-grade metamorphic terranes of the Grenville Province is generally a challenge. The supracrustal rocks are largely concealed by and structurally concordant to granitoid units in high-grade gneissic terranes (Corriveau and Bonnet, 2005; van Breemen and Corriveau, 2005; Gower, 2007; Kamo et al., 2011). Unless obvious primary textures are observed, or there is a field spatial relationship with obvious supracrustal rocks, they often go unrecorded (Gower, 2007).

The Fox Harbour project of the Port Hope Simpson area of southeastern Labrador (Figure 2-2) has been a focus for rare earth element (REE) exploration in felsic gneisses

by Search Minerals Inc. since late 2009 (Delaney and Haley, 2010 *unpublished*; Srivastava et al., 2012). The Foxtrot deposit (Defined as the occurrence of a thick sequence of volcanic rocks within the MT Belt, as seen in Figure 2-2, and 2-9), within the Fox Harbour project has undergone the majority of the exploration in the project area. The region is located in the northeastern portion of the Grenville Province, on the Laurentian margin of present day North America. Laurentia includes the Archean Superior and Nain cratons, and several accreted terranes of Paleoproterozoic orogens such as the Trans-Hudson, Torngat, Penokean, Makkovik, Yavapai and Mazatzal (Figure 2-1), each of which may now be deformed constituents of the Grenville Province (Gower et al., 1997; Hynes and Rivers, 2010).



Figure 2-2: Location of the Fox Harbour project in Labrador. Inset depicts detailed outline of project area. Star depicts location of the Foxtrot deposit within the Fox Harbour project area.

During the 2010 exploration season, a bimodal mafic and felsic volcanic package was discovered, near the communities of St. Lewis and Port Hope Simpson. This contribution is the first detailed description of the geology of the Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic packages. A geological map and tectonostratigraphy is presented, along with a petrographic description and geochemical characterization of the major lithologies present in each belt in the area. New U-Pb zircon geochronology for six rhyolitic units are also presented, documenting that the volcanic rocks formed during *ca*.1.3 Ga magmatism and were strongly recrystallized during the subsequent *ca*. 1.1 Ga Grenville orogeny.

## **2-2 REGIONAL GEOLOGY**

The Grenville Province in Labrador generally consists of medium- to high- grade rocks (Gower, 1996; Rivers, 1997, 2002, 2008, and 2009). The geology within the study area consists of granitoids, highly deformed supracrustal packages, mafic intrusive rocks, and later pegmatites, intruding all previously mentioned units. All have been affected by one or more phases of deformation (even the later pegmatites, which are often seen deformed), making primary features and protolith recognition often difficult to determine. Intrusives consist of K-feldspar megacrystic granite, granodiorite to diorite, quartz monzonite, and syenite, in many places with intruded amphibolite mafic dykes.

Most previous work in the eastern Grenville Province of southern and central Labrador has been dedicated to large-area regional mapping of consistent terranes. In this context, a terrane is defined as a fault-bounded crustal block or metamorphic domain with a common Grenvillian metamorphic history, following Gower (1996) and Rivers (2009). Terranes have thus been distinguished on the basis of distinct lithologies, structures, metamorphic facies, along with numerous crystallization and metamorphic ages.

The regional geology of the Port Hope Simpson area straddles three separate lithotectonic terranes within the eastern Grenville Province (Gower, 1996, 2005; Gower and Krogh, 2002). These include the Lake Melville terrane, Mealy Mountain terrane, and the Pinware terrane, from north to south, respectively (Figure 2-4). Differing lithologies, structures, metamorphic facies, along with distinctive crystallization and metamorphic events characterize these terranes (Gower and Owen, 1984; Schärer and Krogh, 1986; Schärer and Gower, 1988; Gower and Schärer, 1992; Scott et al., 1993; Tucker and Gower, 1994; Gower, 1994, 1996, 1997, 2005, 2009; Kamo et al., 1996, 2011; Wasteneys et al. 1997; Rivers, 1997). Rivers (2009) described the Lake Melville terrane as an allochthonous medium- to low-pressure metamorphic belt of granulite to amphibolite facies rocks (800 MPa, 820 °C) formed during the early Ottawan phase (1088-1046 Ma) of the Grenville Orogeny. It is separated from the down-dropped Mealy Mountains terrane, which largely escaped Grenville metamorphic reworking, along the transtensional English River shear zone. The Pinware terrane is an allochthonous medium-pressure metamorphic belt of amphibolite facies rocks formed during the later stages of the Ottawan phase (1036-1020 Ma) of the Grenville Orogeny (Rivers 2009).



Figure 2-3: Legend for geological map of Labrador. Upper left inset depicts area drawn in the following figure, and lower left inset depicts lithotectonic terranes located in eastern Labrador (Gower, 2003).



Figure 2-4: Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador (Gower, 2003). AR - Alexis River anorthosite; EID - Earl Island domain; GB - Gilbert Bay pluton; GRB -Gilbert River belt; KL - Kyfanan Lake layered mafic intrusion; MMIS - Mealy Mountains Intrusive Suite; PA - Paradise Arm pluton; PMGB - Paradise metasedimentary gneiss belt; PP - Picton Pond pluton; SH - Sand Hill Big Pond gabbronorite; UBB - Upper Beaver Brook pluton; UNR - Upper North River pluton; UPR - Upper Paradise River pluton; WBAC - White Bear Arm complex.

#### 2-2-1 LAKE MELVILLE TERRANE

The Lake Melville terrane is up to 60 km wide in the northeastern section of the terrane, but thins to 20 km in the southeast portion of the terrane (Figure 2-3). This southeastern section has been referred to as the Gilbert River Shear belt (Figure 2-4), and subsequently as the Gilbert River belt, following the investigation of Hanmer and Scott (1990) (Gower and Owen, 1984; Gower et al., 1987, 1996; Hanmer and Scott, 1990). The Lake Melville terrane consists of K-feldspar megacrystic granitoids, biotite-bearing granite, granodiorite, quartz-to-diorite gneiss, metasedimentary gneisses, as well as layered mafic to anorthositic rocks (Gower and Owen, 1984; Gower et al., 1987, 1988, 1994; Gower, 1996). The most prominent rock types within the Gilbert River section are K-feldspar megacrystic granites, and the Alexis River anorthosite, which is approximately 5 km wide, but can be traced along strike length for over 150 km (Gower et al., 1985, 1987, van Nostrand 1992, van Nostrand et al., 1992). In the project area, the Fox Harbour fault zone (Gower, 2005) defines the tectonic boundary between the Lake Melville terrane and the Mealy Mountain terrane to the south. This fault zone contains high-grade mylonites, and is characterized by changes in lineations and differences in garnet abundances of rocks of similar composition north and south of the fault (Gower 1996, 2005). Published magmatic ages for the protoliths of the Lake Melville terrane are generally 1.6-1.7 Ga in age (known as Labradorian). A megacrystic granitoid was dated at  $1678 \pm 6$  Ma, and a banded migmatite orthogeneiss was dated at 1677+16/-15 Ma (Shärer et al., 1986; Gower, 1996). A granitic vein, which is deformed itself, and a megacrystic granitoid rock were dated at 1664+14/-9 Ma, and 1644+8/-6 Ma, respectively (Scott et al., 1993). The next event dated in this area is the intrusion of a pyroxene bearing syenite to

granite, which is strongly deformed and referred to as the Upper North River syenite, dated at 1296+13/-12 Ma (Shärer et al., 1986).

#### 2-2-2 MEALY MOUNTAINS TERRANE

The Mealy Mountain terrane is the central terrane in the Port Hope Simpson area, and thins drastically from 100 km in the west to 10 km in the southeast (i.e.: adjacent the study area). This terrane contains two different lithologies that are concentrated in different areas. The northern section consists of a large anorthositic, leucogabbroic, and leucotroctolitic intrusive complex named the Mealy Mountains Intrusive Suite, along with younger pyroxene dominated quartz monzonite intrusions (Emslie, 1976). To the southeast, tracts of silliminite-bearing pelitic gneisses dominate the Mealy Mountain terrane, along with granitic and mafic intrusives throughout. Granitic rocks consist of quartz diorite, quartz monzonite, granodiorite, granite and K-feldspar megacrystic intrusions (Gower, 1996). An extensive mylonite zone separates the Lake Melville and Mealy Mountain terranes and is present within the study area. Published magmatic ages in the Mealy Mountain terrane range from  $1646 \pm 2$  Ma to  $962 \pm 3$  Ma (Emslie & Hunt, 1990; Gower, 1996). The previously mentioned anorthositic bodies in the northern portion of the Mealy Mountains terrane contain ages of  $1646 \pm 2$  Ma, and 1635+22/-8Ma, found within a pyroxene monzonite, and a pyroxene granite, respectively (Emslie & Hunt, 1990; Gower, 1996). A deformed discordant aplite vein, just south of this studies project area, which cross-cuts a mylonite gave a lower intercept of 1509 +11/-12, and was taken as the minimum age of emplacement (Scott et al., 1993). The Mealy Dykes, which

are northeast-trending olivine tholeiitic gabbros and diabases have been dated at  $1250 \pm 2$  Ma (Emslie et al., 1997). Finally, a small granite pluton in the southeast part of the terrane has been dated. The age of this pluton is  $962 \pm 3$  Ma, and is apart of a widespread suite found throughout the Grenville Province (Gower et al., 1996).

#### 2-2-3 PINWARE TERRANE

The third and most southern terrane is the Pinware terrane (Figure 2-4), originally defined by Gower et al. (1988). Lithologies in this terrane consist of felsic and mafic supracrustal units, foliated to gneissic granitoids, layered mafic intrusions, mafic dykes, syn- to late- Grenvillian granitoid rocks and late- to post- Grenvillian granitoid rocks (Gower 1988, 1996, 2005). Supracrustal rocks are largely recrystallized, commonly quartzofeldspathic rocks with inhomogeneous texture (Gower 1996). It is often extremely difficult to confidently define the protolith of these fine-grained rocks; therefore protolith determination is often based on adjacent rock types that have an unambiguous supracrustal parentage (Gower, 1996, 2007, 2008, 2009; Kamo et al., 2011). U-Pb dating within the Pinware terrane has been focused largely on the granitoid rocks, which comprise much of the terrane. The oldest dated rocks in the Pinware terrane come from a quartz monzonite intrusion, yielding ages of 1650+18/-19 Ma, and 1649±7 Ma (Wasteneys et al., 1997; Heaman et al., 2004). Other likely volcaniclastic rocks dated by Tucker and Gower (1994), and Wasteneys et al. (1997), produced ages of 1640±7 Ma, and 1637±8 Ma (Tucker and Gower, 1994; Wasteneys et al., 1997). Granitoids located just south of the project area for this study have been dated at 1490±5 Ma, 1479±2 Ma,

and 1472±3 Ma (Tucker and Gower, 1994). The discordant aplitic dyke mentioned earlier revealed an age of 1509+11/-12 Ma (Scott et al., 1993). The last plutonic addition to the Pinware terrane are a large suite of granitoid plutons, ranging in age from 1043 to 951 Ma, thought to be emplaced shortly after Grenvillian orogenesis (Tucker and Gower, 1994; Wasteneys et al., 1997; Heaman et al., 1996; Heaman et al., 2004; Gower et al., 2008).

# **2-3 MAPPING, SAMPLING, AND EXPLORATION METHODS**

The Foxtrot deposit (located in the MT Belt) area was mapped to 1:10,000. The mapping was supported by a wide array of exploration studies carried out by Search Minerals Inc., including: airborne radiometric and magnetometer surveys, a detailed ground based magnetometer survey, channel sampling, and diamond drilling. Search collected over 1000 samples from surface bedrock outcrops (hand and channel samples) for chemical analysis. They also completed a total of 57 diamond drill holes at the Foxtrot deposit, totaling 18,000 m of core, and accounting for over 10,000 lithogeochemical analyses. Channel samples were from 10 cm deep by 8 cm wide cuts, made using a gaspowered diamond saw from cleared outcrops. Each channel was cut into two vertical sections, similar to drill core, with a 6 cm thick section (weathering removed) being sent out for assay. A 2 cm thick section is stored in channel boxes for reference and to provide due diligence/verification samples. The channels were cut perpendicular to strike, pieced together, logged, and photographed to produce geological and geochemical sections, similar to diamond drill holes.

Airborne radiometric maps were utilized during initial mapping of the volcanic belts (Delaney and Haley, 2011, *unpublished*). The airborne magnetometer survey proved invaluable, as many units in the belts contain abundant magnetite, appearing as positive anomalies on the magnetometer map (Figure 2-5). The mapping technique involved traverses that were conducted perpendicular to general strike of the area (traverses were generally north-south), mapping the north and south contact of the belts at a spacing of approximately 0.25-1.0 km. Hand-held gamma radiations detectors (RS-125 Super-SPEC) were also utilized in locating the exact location of the volcanic belts during traverses, as the rocks exhibit anomalous enrichments of HFSE (high-field strength elements including Th and U).



Figure 2-5: Airborne magnetometer survey for the Fox Harbour project (Delaney and Haley, 2011, *unpublished*).

Representative samples were taken from all units in the area, regardless of counts per second (CPS) recorded on the spectrometer, with preference given to units with higher CPS. Moderately detailed lithogeochemical sampling has been completed across 30 km of the packages, from the coast adjacent St. Lewis to the junction of Highway 510 and 513 (Figure 2-6). Less detailed sampling has been completed on the remaining 25km, due to limited exposure and outcrop, and fewer traverses.

# **2-4 LOCAL GEOLOGY**

The Fox Harbour bimodal felsic and mafic volcanic package was discovered and recognized as having a volcanic origin while attempting to identify rock units prospective for REE mineralization (Delaney and Haley, 2011, *unpublished*). The felsic volcanic rocks, presumed to be highly deformed rhyolitic flows, within this volcanic package tend to be enriched in HFSE, and have been the subject of detailed mineral exploration. Within these volcanic belts, rock types include: rhyolite, basalt, quartzite, and garnetiferous volcaniclastic/metasedimentary units, along with discordant mafic and granitoid dykes. The garnetiferous volcaniclastic/metasedimentary units, with very characteristic geochemistry. Adjacent to the volcanic packages, rock types include mylonitic to megacrystic granitic augen gneiss with concordant amphibolite dykes, and metagabbroic gneiss.

The volcanic protolith determination for the felsic magmatic rocks is based on their association with basalt units adjacent, the volcaniclastic/metasedimentary rocks, and the quartzite units (Section 2-4-2). Some of the felsic units may be subvolcanic intrusions but deformation makes detailed interpretations of specific units difficult.

Three bimodal mafic and felsic volcanic belts have been mapped within the Fox Harbour area, from south to north: South Belt, MT Belt, and Road Belt (Figure 2-6). These volcanic belts possibly extend up to 55km from the coast adjacent to the town of St. Lewis to Port Hope Simpson. All three volcanic belts have been confirmed from St. Lewis to 30 km to the west.



Figure 2-6: Geology map for Fox Harbour project area. Sample locations from U-Pb dating are shown. Note: Due to the scale of the figure, the granitic augen gneiss unit separating the MT Belt and South Belt is not visible, although present.

# 2-4-1 METAMORPHIC GRADE

The metamorphic grade of the volcanic package is amphibolite facies, as determined from the observed mineral assemblages. Basaltic units commonly exhibit coarse recrystallized hornblende, and occasionally garnet, which have since in part retrograded to chlorite. Large epidote pods observed within the basaltic units have small (1-2 cm) amphibole rich zones around them, but are largely intact (Figure 2-8g). These metamorphic mineral assemblages suggest that the volcanic packages were exposed to amphibolite grade.

# 2-4-2 DESCRIPTION OF THE VOLCANIC BELTS

All three belts have very similar lithological units, and display very similar textures, and geochemistry. All three belts have been traced and mapped from the coastline adjacent to St. Lewis for approximately 30 km, and are postulated to extend at least another 25 km based on airborne magnetometer survey and limited grab samples (Figure 2-5). The physiography of the area consists of approximately 50% outcrop in the coastal areas, and 10-25% in the inland areas. Grab samples in the inland area are limited due to lack of outcrop, as the weathering profile of the felsic volcanic rocks causes it to form low-lying areas, which are commonly filled by bogs and marsh. The representative grab samples show very similar textures and geochemistry to those found in the main (eastern) Fox Harbour area.

## 2-4-2-1 South Belt

The South Belt is the most southern belt currently identified within the Fox Harbour volcanic area (Figure 2-6). As seen in Figure 2-5, there is another magnetic anomaly that runs parallel to the volcanic belts in Fox Harbour, south of the South Belt. This belt was shown to exhibit similar mineralization to the rest of the Fox Harbour area, but was not the focus of exploration; therefore little is known about it. The South Belt has the thickest package of rhyolitic and basaltic rocks, ranging in thickness from 100-250 m (in the general vicinity of the Foxtrot Deposit) along strike. The basalt units within the South Belt are resistant to erosion with respect to other units in the area. This resistance has created an E-W trending ridge that extends for approximately 10 km, with an elevation up to 120 m, known locally as Deer Harbour Ridge. The main units within the South Belt are, highly deformed rhyolites, basalts, quartzite, a discordant mafic sill, and an unmineralized rhyolite (i.e.: no elevated Zr, Y, and REE) or aplite intrusion, all of which are discussed below.



Figure 2-7: Typical rock type appearances in the South Belt. Note: Pen tip direction points towards north in all photos. (A) Typical appearance of the rhyolite unit located in the South Belt. Darker continuous bands are rich in mafic material (magnetite, and biotite). (B) 2m wide quartzite located within the southern edge of the Deer Harbour Ridge basaltic unit, and north of the rhyolite package within the South Belt. (C) Typical outcrop appearance of the Deer Harbour Ridge basaltic unit.

The rhyolite unit in the South Belt ranges in thickness from approximately 50-100

m (in the general vicinity of the Foxtrot Deposit), and occurs on the south side of Deer

Harbour Ridge. It is bound to the south by the mylonitic to megacrystic granitic augen

gneiss, and to the north by a large basaltic package. It is an extremely homogenous

package with very characteristic weathering appearance and outcrop color (Figure 2-7a).

On surface it tends to weather to a sandy-like material, and is generally pink to grey in

color. Little to no lichen tends to grow on the rhyolite units, which makes field identification with respect to adjacent units (granite, basalts) easier. The rhyolitic unit is fine-grained (~1-3mm grain size), and is largely recrystallized. The mineralogy is dominated by orthoclase, albite, and quartz, accounting for approximately 75% of the mode, with minor minerals consisting of biotite (Fe- rich end-member annite), magnetite, allanite, fluorite, chlorite and zircon. Much of this unit has small concordant quartz veins (1-5 cm), which are often extremely folded, often displaying buckle folds. It should be noted that the South Belt is largely devoid of the boudinaged pegmatitic intrusions, often seen in the Foxtrot Deposit.

The basaltic unit in the South Belt also defines a large outcrop pattern, and ranges in thickness from approximately 50-100 m. The mafic volcanics make up the majority of Deer Harbour Ridge; therefore this mafic unit is named the Deer Harbour basalt. It is bound to the south by the rhyolite unit of the South Belt, and to the north by mylonitic megacrystic granitic augen gneiss. It displays characteristic differential weathering due to the variable grain sizes of individual layers. It is dark brown/green to black in color, and the mineralogy largely consists of hornblende, biotite, plagioclase feldspar, epidote and magnetite in places (Figure 2-7c). There are large epidote pods observed in other parts of the mafic volcanic unit, which are not as prevalent in this large pile, but are present, displayed as strung out blebs of epidote. This may reflect primary differences between the units, or possibly differences in metamorphic grade and/or deformation.

A 2 m thick quartzite unit that has been confirmed and traced for approximately 3 km is bound entirely by mafic volcanics within the southern margin of the volcanic pile (Figure 2-7b). Another thinner quartzite unit is present in the center of the Deer Harbour

mafic volcanic package. Exposure of this second quartzite is limited, but it is >1 m wide, where observed. The quartzite units are weathered to a dull white color, and are dominated by quartz with minor biotite and epidote.

Finally there is a fine-grained felsic unit, likely a separate rhyolitic flow or aplitic dyke/sill, in the South Belt. It is located within the northern margin of the belt, within the Deer Harbour mafic volcanics, and is approximately 30 m thick and extends for approximately 1 km. It is characterized by quartz, K-feldspar, plagioclase feldspar, biotite, and very minor magnetite. The outcrop appearance is very similar to that of the felsic volcanics in the southern section of South Belt, where it is fine-grained and recrystallized, but contains much less magnetite. It is extremely deformed with tight folds affecting the entire unit. It is possible that this unit is part of a felsic volcanic, or it may be a later granitic sill/dyke that intruded the volcanic units.

#### 2-4-2-2 MT Belt

The MT Belt is the central belt within the Fox Harbour volcanic units, located just north of Deer Harbour Ridge, and is in general 20-150 m thick. The MT Belt has been the main focus of detailed exploration for REE within the Fox Harbour area due to the fact that specific rhyolite units within the volcanic package are much more enriched in REE (Delaney and Haley, 2011, *unpublished;* Srivastava & Gauthier, 2012). The area has been explored with the most detailed channeling and diamond drilling, and with a groundbased magnetometer survey. The ongoing exploration has provided a much better understanding of the apparent stratigraphy within the MT belt and many units have been identified and mapped at surface, along strike, and at depth. The main rock types within the MT Belt are highly deformed rhyolites, basalts, quartzite, discordant mafic and granitic intrusions, and intermediate garnetiferous volcaniclastic/metasedimentary units (Figure 2-8). Many individual rhyolitic units have been identified within the MT belt, but here, only a general overview of the units is described.



Figure 2-8: General outcrop appearances of units in the MT Belt; all photos taken from the Foxtrot Project, within the MT Belt. (A) Boudinaged amazonite pegmatite within fine-grained rhyolite unit (FT3). This pegmatite extends over 40 m. (B) Epidote pod within basaltic unit, located between FT2 and FT3. (C) Intense cuspate and lobate folding within rhyolite unit (FT4) and adjacent basaltic unit. (D) Third-order folding, observed folding rhyolite unit FT4; folds are plunging to the east. (E) Third-order folding, units observed in FTBuff (white to cream color, observed on outer part of limbs), and FT4 (grey unit, in center of fold). (F) Mafic dyke. Notice coarser grained, and small seam of tonalitic melt rock beneath pen magnet. (G) Basaltic unit with large epidote pod preserved. Epidote pod is outlined in red.

The MT Belt contains several different units of fine-grained recrystallized variably mylonitic and migmatized rhyolite units. Variably thick basaltic units often separate the rhyolite units from one another. On surface they are weathered similarly to the South Belt, but do not break down to a sandy texture as readily, and often exhibit very smooth weathered surfaces. The color of the units on surface ranges from pink to green, which is largely controlled by mineralogy. With respect to the other units in this area, the felsic units are preferentially weathered, where it is typical to locate the felsic volcanic package in low-lying areas. Where outcrop is visible, very little lichen tends to grow on these outcrops, similar to the South Belt. Mineralogy varies between each separate subunit, but generally consists of K-feldspar, plagioclase feldspar, quartz, magnetite, aegirine-augite, biotite, K-hastingsite, calcite, allanite, zircon, and fergusonite. Some mineralized (i.e.: containing anomalous Zr, Nb, Y, and REE) units contain concordant boudinaged granitic pegmatites stretched over 10's of meters, observed best in outcrop. These pegmatites also tend to have a variety of K-feldspar called amazonite (Figure 2-8a), which is a deep turquoise/green color, thought to be a result of elevated contents of lead in the mineral, possibly also with high levels of divalent Fe (Arnaudov et al., 1967; Plyusnin, 1969; Szuzkiewicz and Körber, 2010). Some of the rhyolite units have many late stage quartz veins, some of which are concordant and some are discordant, often displaying buckle folds.

The mineralized rhyolite units often contain aegirine-augite and a Na-rich amphibole indicating that they are peralkaline rhyolites. Lesser-mineralized felsic volcanic units, especially in the MT zone often exhibit these same minerals but they are present in lower abundances and are seen reacting to other minerals, often biotite,

43

magnetite, and K-feldspar. Therefore it is believed that these units are often peralkaline, which aids in understanding the HFSE enrichment, and aids in determining the tectonics of that time, which will be discussed later.

The main zone of exploration within the MT Belt has been undertaken on the Foxtrot Deposit. This area has been studied intensely since exploration began on this property in 2009. The rhyolite units within the Foxtrot Deposit are subdivided as: FT2a, FT2b, FT2x, FT3, FT3b, FT4, and FT5. These subdivisions are based on mineralogical and textural differences, along with stratigraphy, and geochemistry. For all intents and purposes, these units can be treated as separate volcanic packages, separated by small basalt units. A generalized geology map for the Foxtrot Deposit, located within the MT belt is presented below (Figure 2-9). This map shows the surficial extent of the three belts on surface in the Foxtrot Deposit area. The stratigraphy observed in the Foxtrot Project is shown in Figure 2-10.



Figure 2-9: Geology map for the general vicinity of Foxtrot Deposit, (located within the MT Belt). Sample locations are indicated via filled circles (channel), or a hollow circle (diamond drill hole collar location).



Figure 2-10: Stratigraphy observed in the Foxtrot deposit. The dyke/sill units (i.e.: the mafic dyke, and FT Buff) are likely pre-deformation discordant intrusions into the volcanic pile.

The basalt units in the MT Belt are similar to the South Belt volcanics, in that they display characteristic differential weathering, and are dark brown/green to black in color. The mineralogy consists of hornblende, biotite, plagioclase feldspar, epidote and magnetite in places. Certain mafic volcanic units have large epidote "pods," up to 1.5 x

1.0 m in length and width (Figure 2-8b). These epidote pods often are assumed to be alteration "pipes/veins" within the volcanic package, often associated with basaltic rocks.
Much like the Deer Harbour Ridge mafic volcanics, this pile contains a quartzite unit, but it is much smaller, ranging in thickness from 0.2-0.5 m and extends along strike for ~500 m.

Small intermediate garnetiferous volcaniclastic/metasedimentary units are also present within the MT belt. The thickness and stratigraphic position of the unit is extremely variable. It is almost always associated with the basalt packages, often at contacts between individual units. It weathers to a dark grey surface, with a mineralogy consisting of quartz, biotite  $\pm$  garnet  $\pm$  magnetite, with biotite and garnet varying from unit to unit. Garnet often appears to be porphyroblastic and occurs as very small grains (0.5-2 mm).

A large discordant mafic sill/dyke occurs within the bimodal volcanic package (Figure 2-8f). The unit weathers to a very dark brown/green to black color, with grain sizes varying from 0.5-1.0 cm. A visible grain size reduction is visible at contacts with its host, which is likely caused by a chilled margin contact, and/or subsequent shearing after formation. Its observed thickness at surface and depth ranges from approximately 2-13 m. The unit is discerned from the adjacent mafic volcanic units by its coarse grained nature, and it also lacks the intermediate garnetiferous metasedimentary unit that is commonly associated with the mafic volcanics. Where the unit is thin, either due to primary thinning, or reduction in size due to shearing, the only way to be certain it is the sill is the absence of the associated intermediate volcaniclastic unit. On surface this unit is much more

47

competent and lacks the characteristic differential weathering observed in the mafic volcanics.

Another discordant intrusion observed within the MT belt is a felsic granitic intrusion within the volcanic pile, which is geochemically and texturally distinct from the rhyolitic units (Figure 2-8e). Similarly to the mafic sill, it is found solely within the bimodal volcanic package in the Fox Harbour area. This unit has been identified in both the MT Belt and the Road Belt (discussed below). It tends to be extremely fine grained, with a mineralogy consisting of quartz, K-feldspar, and biotite, and is named FT Buff, or RB Buff depending on its host belt. This unit is distinguished from the felsic volcanics by its cream buff color, and negligible magnetite content. This intrusion also has a much different geochemical signature than the rhyolite units. This unit is similar to the felsic intrusive/volcanic unit at the northern side of the Deer Harbour Ridge basaltic unit, but the relationship between them is not clear.

Two discordant sills/dykes have been identified in the area with the thickest volcanic units, where exploration has been focused on this area. Both units intrude the volcanic pile similarly: they occur in the bottom of the pile in the west, and higher up in the stratigraphy in the east. These units appear to be nearly concordant presently, due to the shearing that has occurred since the time of formation.

# 2-4-2-3 Road Belt

Lithologically the Road Belt and MT Belt display similar units, mainly rhyolitic units, basalt, and the discordant felsic intrusion. The Road Belt has experienced much more deformation; therefore identifying units based solely on stratigraphy is very difficult.

A unit that is not observed within the adjacent belts in the area is a metagabbroic gneiss that is found consistently to the north of the rhyolite units of the Road Belt. It occurs as an internally complexly deformed unit, but is extremely consistent along strike, and has been mapped for approximately 30 km, and is inferred for another 25 km. It does not weather readily, and is generally a positive topographic feature. The mineralogy consists of plagioclase feldspar, hornblende, biotite, garnet, quartz and titanite, where titanite is often associated with hornblende.

# 2-5 U-PB ZIRCON GEOCHRONOLOGY OF THE VOLCANIC ROCKS

Polished thin sections from different rhyolitic units within each volcanic belt were chosen for U-Pb analysis via thin section on the laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometer (LA-ICPMS). A total of 6 thin sections were chosen for the representative dating; one from the South Belt, three from the MT Belt, and two from the Road Belt. One sample was also analyzed via CA-TIMS (chemical-abrasion thermal ionization mass spectrometry). All samples have been taken from either surface outcrops (via channel saw) or diamond drill hole.

Laser ablation-ICPMS was first chosen for U-Pb dating because the rhyolite thin sections contained so many zircon grains, making the *in-situ* technique ideal for a preliminary pass. Age determinations were initially intended to simply determine which tectonic terrane (section 2) the Fox Harbour volcanic package belonged to. It quickly

became evident that these rocks weren't going to simply fit into a tectonic package. Laser ablation-ICPMS was successful in identifying the metamorphic age of these rocks, but gave inconclusive data for the igneous age of the rocks. Therefore, one sample was chosen for more precise dating via CA-TIMS, to determine the igneous primary age of these volcanic rocks.

#### 2-5-1 SAMPLE DESCRIPTION AND PETROGRAPHY

The first sample, taken from the South Belt, (FHWT-6-02), consisting of highly deformed and folded section of fine grained rhyolite near the center of the South Belt stratigraphy (Figure 2-9). Outcrop appearance is pink to grey in color, weathering to a sandy material. Mineralogy consists of K-feldspar (orthoclase) with sericite alteration, quartz, magnetite, biotite, allanite, zircon, epidote, titanite, chlorite, apatite, and fluorite along grain boundaries. This thin section has a zone (approximately 2 mm wide, extending the width of the section) of alteration, rich in zircon, and allanite. Potassium feldspar grains around this zone of alteration appear to have abundant fluid inclusions, suggesting possible fluid interaction.

The next three samples are from the MT Belt, (FT-10-02 (8.4m), FHC-44-01, and FHC-45-01), all of which sample highly deformed fine-grained rhyolite units within the Foxtrot Project (Figure 2-9).

#### (A) FHWT-6-02 (South Belt)



Figure 2-11: Channel and diamond drill sections chosen for U-Pb dating. Thin section slab cuts can be seen in the majority of the samples. Scale for channel sections is 1 m, and 1.5 m for diamond drill core. (A) FHWT-6-02; sample taken in synform. (B) FH-10-02 (8.4m); sample cut visible in second row of core, at approximately 10m. (C) FHC-44-01; sample taken from first piece of rock. (D) FHC-45-01; sample taken from first section of rock pictured. (E) FHC-33-01A; sample taken from first section of rock pictured. (F) FHC-34-03; sample taken from last section of rock pictured.

FT-10-02 (8.4m) is a small (10 cm) patch of granitic vein/pegmatite; this interpretation is due to the coarser nature of the rock, with respect to the adjacent rhyolite (FT2). It is located within fine-grained basaltic rocks at the top of the MT Belt supracrustal package (FT2), and is from a diamond drill hole (Figure 2-11b). Mineralogy consists of plagioclase feldspar, K-feldspar, quartz, magnetite, allanite, and zircon. Magnetite and allanite are closely related, and tend to form magnetite, allanite, and zircon bands through the thin section.

FHC-44-01 and FHC-45-01 sample outcrops on the southern limb of the regional scale fold that affects the Foxtrot Project (Figure 2-6). Outcrop appearance is bleached white to pink, with variable magnetite, and abundant folding (Figure 2-11c). The mineralogy in sample FHC-44-01 consists of K-feldspar, quartz, magnetite, biotite, titanite, and epidote. Minerals epidote, zircon, and allanite are all closely associated with magnetite. Epidote, zircon, and allanite form discrete 1-2mm layers/bands through the thin section.

Sample FHC-45-01 consists of K-feldspar, quartz, magnetite, garnet, allanite, biotite, epidote, titanite, and  $\pm$  pyroxene (Figure 2-11d). As previously observed, minerals epidote, garnet, and allanite are all closely associated with magnetite.

The final two samples are from the Road Belt (FHC-33-01A and FHC-34-03), both of which sample a 30-40 m thick rhyolitic package approximately 16 km from the coast adjacent St. Lewis (Figure 2-6). Outcrops appear white to grey, with small positive relief equigranular magnetite grains spotting the outcrop. Sample FHC-33-01A mineralogy consists of K-feldspar, quartz, magnetite, allanite, garnet, zircon, pyroxene, epidote, titanite and fluorite (Figure 2-11e). Pyroxene is skeletal and is observed reacting to allanite, magnetite, and K-feldspar. Allanite and zircon are very closely associated with magnetite.

Sample FHC-34-03 is fine-grained and recrystallized, consisting of K-feldspar, quartz, biotite, magnetite, allanite, zircon,  $\pm$  fluorite,  $\pm$  amphibole, and  $\pm$  pyroxene (Figure 2-11f). Allanite is closely associated to magnetite, often times with zircon. Abundant zircon grains are present through the entire thin section, within most minerals, except coarser grained K-feldspar.

## 2-5-2 ANALYTICAL METHODS

# 2-5-2-1 Zircon Imaging by Scanning Electron Microscopy

High-resolution images of zircon grains in polished thin sections of the rocks were acquired using backscattered electron (BSE) and cathodoluminescence (Gatan ChromaCL) detectors on an FEI Quanta 650F field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM). The microscope was operated under high vacuum conditions with an accelerating voltage of 25 keV, a beam current of 10 nA and at a 10 mm working distance. The zircon imaging characterized the nature and distribution of compositional domains and zones within grains that were targeted for subsequent LA-ICPMS U-Pb analysis.

# 2-5-2-2 In-Situ U-Pb – Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICPMS)

U-Pb isotopic data were acquired using a Thermo-Scientific ELEMENT XR magnetic sector, single-collector ICPMS and Lambda Physik ComPex Pro 110 ArF GeoLas laser ablation system, using procedures described in detail by Košler and Sylvester (2003). For analysis, a 10  $\mu$ m laser beam with an energy density of ~ 5 J/cm<sup>2</sup> at a repetition rate of 10 Hz was scanned across the sample surface by moving the sample stage at a velocity of 10  $\mu$ m/sec, ablating a 40 x 40  $\mu$ m box. The sample aerosol was transported from the sample cell to the ICP using a He-carrier gas to improve sample transport efficiency. During data acquisition, <sup>202</sup>Hg, <sup>204</sup>Hg, <sup>204</sup>Hg, <sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>207</sup>Pb, <sup>208</sup>Pb, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>238</sup>U isotopes from the zircon and gas were measured along with a mixed <sup>203</sup>Tl, <sup>205</sup>Tl, <sup>209</sup>Bi, <sup>233</sup>U, <sup>237</sup>Np internal standard tracer solution, simultaneously nebulized throughout each analysis. The tracer solution was used for matrix-independent, real-time instrumental mass bias correction of the U-Pb and Pb-Pb ratios using the known isotopic composition of the tracer solution. Data acquisition for each analysis was 3 minutes, with the first  $\sim$  30 seconds used to measure the gas background and tracer solution followed by  $\sim 150$  sec of laser ablation. Raw data were reduced off-line using the LAMDATE macro-based spreadsheet program (Košler et al. 2008). An instrumental mass bias correction was made using the measured ratios of the tracer solution. Laser-induced U-Pb fractionation was corrected using the intercept method of Sylvester and Ghaderi (1997). No common Pb correction was applied to any data; an analysis is rejected when <sup>204</sup>Pb was detected above background. Standard reference zircons Harvard 91500 ( $1065 \pm 3$  Ma; Wiedenbeck et al.

1995) and Plesovice (337  $\pm$  0.37 Ma; Slama et al. 2008) were each analyzed between every ~ 8 unknowns during the analytical session in order to monitor the accuracy and reproducibility of U-Pb analyses. Final ages and Concordia diagrams were produced using the Isoplot/Ex 3 macro (Ludwig 2008). The Concordia age for all analyses of the 91500 zircon are 1069  $\pm$  13 Ma (2 $\sigma$ , MSWD of concordance = 0.24; Probability of concordance = 0.63, n = 21) and for Plesovice zircon is 334  $\pm$  4 Ma (2 $\sigma$ , MSWD of concordance = 0.25; Probability of concordance = 0.62, n = 16) over the course of all the U-Pb analytical sessions (Table 2-1, 2-2; and Figure 2-12).

Table 2-1: Zircon reference material, sample: Harvard 91500.

Harvard	Harvard 91500 LA-ICPMS U-Pb Data																	
SAMPLE		ISOTOPIC	RATIOS						AGES Ma						%	Th232	U238	Th/U
spot #	file	207/235	7/5 err	206/238	6/8 err	Rho	207/206	7/6 err	7/5 age	1 sigma	6/8 age	1sigma	7/6 age	1 sigma	concordancy	ppm	ppm	Ratio
	0115002	1 9205	0 1616	0 1777	0.0063	0.2169	0 0699	0.0052	1060	54	1054	25	1107	27	90	26	00	0.27
8	au15a02	1 7824	0.1313	0.1777	0.0003	0.2100	0.0000	0.0000	1030	/2	1068	/1	1050	137	102	26	33 73	0.37
15	au15a14	1 8232	0.1100	0.1888	0.0075	0.2531	0.0646	0.0045	1053	51	1115	41	1059	45	102	23	67	0.34
28	au15a27	1.7800	0.0867	0.1834	0.0046	0.2589	0.0686	0.0036	1038	32	1086	25	987	45	110	30	85	0.36
13	au15a41	1.7781	0.1133	0.1830	0.0061	0.2596	0.0654	0.0042	1037	41	1084	33	1076	43	101	30	85	0.35
24	au15a52	1.9680	0.0879	0.1806	0.0045	0.2777	0.0739	0.0032	1105	30	1070	24	1129	37	95	31	83	0.37
25	au15a53	1.9348	0.1476	0.1764	0.0089	0.3288	0.0745	0.0047	1093	51	1047	48	1080	43	97	31	85	0.36
		1 0000	0 4007	0 4000	0.0070	0.0777	0.0750	0.0040	10.10		4074		4000					
2	au15a55	1.8086	0.1307	0.1808	0.0073	0.2///	0.0752	0.0049	1049	47	1071	40	1223	48	88	29	83	0.34
3	au15a56	1.8957	0.1021	0.1793	0.0067	0.3457	0.0773	0.0040	1080	30	1063	3/	1093	44	97	30	84	0.35
24	au 15a67	1.0/00	0.1230	0.1601	0.0000	0.2703	0.0754	0.0040	1073	44	1007	20	1200	40	02	30	/0	0.39
24	auroarr	1.9430	0.1014	0.1029	0.0000	0.3479	0.0745	0.0043	1090	33	1003	30	1107	41	55	21	01	0.34
1	au15a92	1 8069	0 1044	0.1777	0.0070	0.3401	0.0710	0 0044	1048	38	1054	38	1090	40	97	37	101	0.37
9	au15a86	1 6866	0.1360	0.1782	0.0088	0.3057	0.0686	0.0053	1003	51	1057	48	1049	49	101	20	62	0.32
14	au15a91	1.8417	0.0900	0.1837	0.0054	0.2987	0.0681	0.0034	1060	32	1087	29	1019	36	107	36	97	0.37
2	au10a70	1.8207	0.0995	0.1814	0.0052	0.2644	0.0727	0.0038	1053	36	1075	29	1139	43	94	19	59	0.33
11	au10a79	1.8231	0.1516	0.1807	0.0103	0.3437	0.0732	0.0054	1054	55	1071	56	1055	38	102	34	92	0.37
13	au10a50	1.9308	0.0938	0.1716	0.0050	0.2980	0.0776	0.0038	1092	33	1021	27	1109	42	92	38	103	0.37
	au10a01	4 0700	0 4007	0 4004	0.0057	0 0000	0.0747	0 0020	4074	40	1004	24	4400	-		27	100	0.07
	au 10201	1 9205	0.1227	0.1831	0.0057	0.2399	0.0727	0.0039	1074	43	1084	31	1193	32	91	37	100	0.37
15	au 10803 au 10a14	1 0617	0.0073	0.1795	0.0040	0.2024	0.0727	0.0030	1102	30	1085	20	1000	30	90 106	25	68	0.34
22	au10a14	1 8700	0.1149	0.1846	0.0055	0.3931	0.0730	0.0043	1074	36	1000	30	1025	37	100	24	74	0.35
	au 1082 I	1.0/90	0.1021	0.1040	0.0000	0.2733	0.0739	0.0042	10/4	30	1092	30	1075	40	102	20	/4	0.00

Table 2-2: Zircon reference material, sample: Pleisovice

Plesovice LA-ICPMS U-Pb Data																		
SAMPLE		ISOTOPIC RATIOS							AGES Ma						%	Th232	U238	Th/U
spot #	file	207/235	7/5 err	206/238	6/8 err	Rho	207/206	7/6 err	7/5 age	1 sigma	6/8 age	1sigma	7/6 age	1 sigma	concordancy	ppm	ppm	Ratio
5	au15a04	0.4034	0.0242	0.0525	0.0017	0.2679	0.0530	0.0027	344	18	330	10	377	36	88	79	729	0.11
6	au15a05	0.3731	0.0314	0.0539	0.0020	0.2202	0.0469	0.0035	322	23	338	12	330	45	103	60	572	0.11
7	au15a06	0.3874	0.0160	0.0523	0.0012	0.2704	0.0501	0.0019	332	12	329	7	402	33	82	106	780	0.14
16	au15a15	0.3832	0.0241	0.0531	0.0014	0.2142	0.0506	0.0026	329	18	334	9	345	35	97	94	794	0.12
29	au15a28	0.4040	0.0157	0.0541	0.0013	0.3081	0.0524	0.0020	345	11	339	8	381	32	89	125	895	0.14
1	au15a54	0.3973	0.0222	0.0530	0.0017	0.2863	0.0487	0.0026	340	16	333	10	303	42	110	92	817	0.11
14	au15a42	0.4075	0.0175	0.0542	0.0012	0.2498	0.0517	0.0018	347	13	340	7	320	34	106	88	822	0.11
4	au15a57	0.3872	0.0177	0.0522	0.0013	0.2774	0.0522	0.0021	332	13	328	8	371	37	89	93	836	0.11
15	au15a68	0.3917	0.0149	0.0530	0.0011	0.2758	0.0518	0.0018	336	11	333	7	399	31	83	103	877	0.12
14	au10a51	0.3982	0.0382	0.0528	0.0036	0.3580	0.0538	0.0042	340	28	331	22	394	49	84	59	680	0.09
23	au10a60	0.3803	0.0147	0.0527	0.0010	0.2470	0.0515	0.0020	327	11	331	6	310	39	107	52	646	0.08
4	au10a04	0.3918	0.0222	0.0530	0.0018	0.2974	0.0522	0.0024	336	16	333	11	339	32	98	69	645	0.11
5	au10a05	0.4000	0.0257	0.0537	0.0022	0.3180	0.0522	0.0026	342	19	337	13	360	36	94	59	564	0.10
6	au10a06	0.3977	0.0222	0.0543	0.0016	0.2590	0.0525	0.0025	340	16	341	10	351	36	97	64	610	0.11
7	au10a22	0.4008	0.0231	0.0537	0.0017	0.2830	0.0531	0.0025	342	17	337	11	373	35	90	58	577	0.10
16	au10a15	0.3760	0.0169	0.0531	0.0012	0.2469	0.0496	0.0021	324	12	333	7	323	36	103	67	623	0.11


Figure 2-12: Harvard 91500, and Plešovice zircon reference materials: Concordia diagrams.

# 2-5-2-3 U-Pb – Chemical Abrasion – Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry (CA-TIMS)

CA-TIMS requires a number of time intensive steps prior to analyzing. These include, crushing, heavy mineral separation via heavy liquids, and the Frantz magnetic separator, initial picking, annealing, physical abrasion, etching, final picking, and finally dissolution and loading of chosen zircon for analysis on the TIMS (Krogh, 1973; Krogh, 1982; Mattinson, 2005). These steps are discussed in detail in the following.

Due to the large amount of zircon within the rhyolite units, an extremely small sample (leftover thin section puck measuring approximately 2x4 cm) was required for crushing, and heavy mineral separation. This sample was initially broken down into smaller chips via hammering in a large sample bag, along with mortar and pestle. It was then crushed into a fine powder using the disk mill. Once crushed, heavy minerals were extracted using methylene iodide (3.32 g/cm), where minerals that are heavier than the methylene sink, and those that are lighter, float. Once collected this heavy mineral concentrate was allowed to dry, and underwent further separation by using a Frantz magnetic separator. The Frantz separates the heavy mineral concentrates into groups based on the magnetism of different minerals. Magnetic, and non-magnetic field strength of the Frantz. Once separated, zircons were picked based on a number of parameters. Grains chosen tend to be the clearest, least magnetic, inclusion and crack free grains in the mineral separates.

Once picked, zircon grains were physically abraded using the technique developed and described by Krogh (1982). Zircon grains are abraded in the physical abrader for 10 hours, with pyrite grains being used to abrade the grains (Krogh, 1982). This technique allows for the removal of the outer skin of the zircon grains, which were shown to (via CL imaging) have U-rich outer rims, which would likely cause the zircon to be discordant.

Physically abraded zircon grains were then hand-picked and annealed in a high purity alumina crucible in a furnace at 900°C for 36 hours, following techniques described by Mattinson (2005). Once annealed, grains are etched, effectively removing zones in the zircon with radiation damage, where Pb loss has likely occurred (Mattinson, 2005). Etching involves putting the grains in a TEFLON bomb, with concentrated hydrofluoric acid (HF), at 200°C. Once abraded, annealed and etched, they are then examined using binocular microscope, and the best grains (i.e.: clearest, fracture/inclusion free) grains were chosen for isotope dilution.

Prior to dissolution of the sample, a  $^{205}$ Pb/ $^{235}$ U spike was added to the Teflon dissolution capsules. The zircon were then dissolved using ~0.10 mL of concentrated HF, and 0.2 mL of 8N HNO<sub>3</sub> at 210°C for 5 days, then dried to a precipitate, and re-dissolved in~0.15 mL of 3N hydrochloric acid (HCL) (Krogh, 1973).

Uranium and Pb are then isolated from the zircon solutions using standard column ion exchange chromatography techniques (Krogh, 1973). Once isolated, the U and Pb are deposited on an outgassed rhenium filament with silica gel and are evaporated through heating in a clean box (Gerstenberger and Haase, 1997). The U and Pb were analyzed a MAT 262 thermal ionization mass spectrometer, at Memorial University of Newfoundland. Techniques used generally followed those outlined in Sánchez-García et al. (2008), except for a few differences, discussed below. First of all, as mentioned previously (section 5.2.3.2.) zircon grains were physically abraded following Krogh (1982) to remove the thin-skin U-rich rim present on some zircon grains, to avoid Pb-loss. Both U and Pb were measured on the axial ion-counting secondary electron multiplier.

## 2-6 ZIRCON MORPHOLOGY AND U-PB ZIRCON AGES

Zircon grains from these samples display many interesting textures, and are often quite complicated. Common textures include well to poorly defined oscillatory zoning, sector zoning, bimodal (cauliflower) zoning, local recrystallization, along with variable amounts of cracking, and voids/pits.

It should be noted that all LA-ICPMS laser spots were placed on the most homogeneous domains of the zircon grains (Figures 2-13, to 2-15). Occasionally due to small zircon size, or large amounts of internal complexities (zoning, cracking, inclusions, voids), somewhat heterogeneous domains could not be avoided.



Figure 2-13: Cathodoluminescence photos of zircon from samples analyzed for U-Pb. Grain number located in top left corner. Scale bars are either 100  $\mu$ m or 200  $\mu$ m. Laser spots are represented with white box, measuring 40x40  $\mu$ m. Th/U ratio is shown in the bottom right corner of each image.



Figure 2-14: Cathodoluminescence photos of zircon from samples analyzed for U-Pb. Grain number located in top left corner. Scale bars are either 100  $\mu$ m or 200  $\mu$ m. Laser spots are represented with white box, measuring 40x40  $\mu$ m. Th/U ratio is shown in the bottom right corner of each image.



Figure 2-15: Cathodoluminescence photos of zircon from samples analyzed for U-Pb. Grain number located in top left corner. Scale bars are either 100  $\mu$ m or 200  $\mu$ m. Laser spots are represented with white box, measuring 40x40  $\mu$ m. Th/U ratio is shown in the bottom right corner of each image.

## 2-6-1 FHWT-6-02 – RHYOLITE UNIT FROM SOUTH BELT

The morphologies of zircon grains from sample FHWT-6-02 are fairly consistently sub-equant to somewhat elongated with rounded edges, but there are some differences with respect to their internal zoning patterns. Grains are 100-300 µm in size. The majority of the grains analyzed display well-developed oscillatory zoning (e.g., grains 954, 2731 in Figure 2-13), and often contain a small-recrystallized rim around them that luminesce brightly in CL. Other grains exhibit more diffuse zoning (e.g., grains 1450, 936). Some grains have been locally recrystallized, with small embayments (e.g., grain 2613). One grain is quite different where it is large, luminesces poorly, and looks completely recrystallized (grain 2830).

# 2-6-1-1 FHWT-6-02 via LA-ICPMS

Twelve zircon grains were analyzed for U-Pb age by LA-ICPMS (Table 2-3) including those with oscillatory and more diffuse zoning. Thorium/U ratios from all analyzed zircon grains are consistently in the range of 0.5-1.1, typical of magmatic zircon (Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003). Eleven of the U-Pb analyses give a Concordia age (Ludwig, 2008) of  $1297 \pm 21$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) but with a low probability of concordance (<0.003) (Figure 2-16a). U-Pb analysis of recrystallized grain 2830 is significantly more discordant than the others and was excluded from the age calculation.

FHWT-6-02



Figure 2-16a: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHWT-6-02 plotted on a Concordia diagram. Eleven analyses shown in blue ellipses give a Concordia age of 1297±21 Ma ( $2\sigma$ ). One analysis, grain 2830, labeled "A" and shown in orange ellipse is 12% discordant and excluded from the age calculation.

SAMPLE F	HWT-6-02		ISOTOPIC	RATIOS						AGES Ma							Th (232)	U (238)	Th/U
Spot #	Grain #	File	207Pb/ 235U	1 sigma	206Pb/ 238U	1 sigma	Rho	207Pb/ 206Pb	1 sigma	207Pb/ 235U	1 sigma	206Pb/ 238U	1 sigma	207Pb/ 206Pb	1 sigma	% U-Pb concordancy	ppm	ppm	ratio
7	511	au15a35	2.4170	0.2759	0.2085	0.0128	0.2695	0.0849	0.0014	1248	82	1221	68	1314	31	93	144	177	0.82
8	611	au15a36	2.6284	0.1065	0.2205	0.0061	0.3437	0.0815	0.0014	1309	30	1284	32	1234	34	104	63	80	0.79
9	/8/	au15a37	2.6083	0.2628	0.2130	0.0141	0.3277	0.0849	0.0013	1303	74	1245	/5	1312	29	95	198	185	1.07
10	930	au 15a36	2.7400	0.2106	0.2201	0.0098	0.2024	0.0807	0.0017	1341	57	1067	32	1404	37	94	72	69	1.09
10	1201	au 15a39	2.0299	0.1965	0.2171	0.0092	0.2008	0.0850	0.0019	1309	50	1207	49	1404	40	90	106	102	1.00
12	1202	au 15a40	2.0031	0.2070	0.2170	0.0104	0.3014	0.0853	0.0013	1302	30	1200	20	1323	30	90	190	103	0.67
15	1392	au 15a43	2.7319	0.1144	0.2211	0.0066	0.3274	0.0850	0.0014	1206	18	1200	35	1316	25	90	313	123	0.07
19	2612	au15a47	2.3033	0.1033	0.2266	0.0000	0.3607	0.0852	0.0012	1361	28	1317	32	1319	23	100	568	433	1 27
20	2613	au15a48	2.6398	0.1209	0.2184	0.0067	0.3347	0.0894	0.0013	1312	34	1274	35	1412	28	90	92	125	0.74
22	2731	au15a50	2,4356	0.2895	0.2021	0.0144	0.2991	0.0852	0.0019	1253	86	1187	77	1321	42	90	81	120	0.67
23	2830	au15a51	2.3365	0.0956	0.1909	0.0060	0.3871	0.0835	0.0009	1223	29	1126	33	1280	22	88	1059	1060	1.00
SAMPLE F	H-10-02 (8.4	m)																	
14	2671	au10a13	1.7195	0.0972	0.1686	0.0058	0.3058	0.0740	0.0009	1016	36	1004	32	1041	25	96	20	197	0.10
18	3480	au10a17	1.9477	0.1183	0.1746	0.0062	0.2900	0.0813	0.0013	1098	41	1038	34	1229	31	84	8	173	0.05
21	4705	au10a20	1.7171	0.0632	0.1654	0.0054	0.4435	0.0746	0.0009	1015	24	987	30	1058	23	93	5	313	0.02
SAMPLE F	HC-44-01	10.75	0.0040	0.4000	0.4000	0.0077	0 0005	0 0005	0.0010	1 1011		44.55		1000		00	100	100	
/	100	au10a75	2.3949	0.1200	0.1963	0.0077	0.3895	0.0885	0.0010	1241	30	1155	41	1393	22	83	186	426	0.44
å	395	au10a70 au10a77	1 6222	0.1354	0.2095	0.0083	0.5755	0.0886	0.0009	979	53	754	60	1595	20	50	104	1174	0.04
10	400	au10a78	2.0493	0.0480	0.1794	0.0034	0.4070	0.0811	0.0007	1132	16	1064	19	1224	18	87	353	593	0.60
14	636	au10a82	2.4025	0.1585	0.1958	0.0074	0.2850	0.0949	0.0010	1243	47	1153	40	1527	19	76	351	334	1.05
16	811	au10a84	2.4417	0.0869	0.2022	0.0043	0.3015	0.0839	0.0009	1255	26	1187	23	1290	20	92	290	418	0.69
17	1887	au10a85	2.2207	0.0699	0.1786	0.0063	0.5639	0.0849	0.0009	1188	22	1060	35	1314	20	81	75	503	0.15
18	2111	au10a86	2.5085	0.0939	0.2142	0.0056	0.3497	0.0863	0.0014	1274	27	1251	30	1346	30	93	29	90	0.33
SAMPLE F	HC-45-01	au15a07	0.0007	0 12 10	0.0404	0.0004	0 4070	0.0045	0.0000	1 1204	25	1200	47	1450	45	00	67	50	4.45
9	86	au 15a07 au 15a08	2.9087	0.1540	0.2421	0.0091	0.4076	0.0915	0.0022	1036	30 56	1020	47	1450	45	90	59	50	0.12
10	86	au15a09	2,1086	0.1244	0.1912	0.0075	0.3326	0.0804	0.0010	1152	41	1128	41	1208	24	93	81	331	0.24
11	367	au15a10	2.5835	0.2012	0.2160	0.0121	0.3606	0.0852	0.0008	1296	57	1261	64	1321	18	95	289	394	0.73
12	422	au15a11	2.4714	0.1678	0.2113	0.0115	0.4021	0.0814	0.0011	1264	49	1236	61	1232	25	100	311	383	0.81
13	1020	au15a12	2.5477	0.1437	0.2163	0.0070	0.2862	0.0897	0.0019	1286	41	1262	37	1420	41	89	29	58	0.50
14	1091	au15a13	2.3490	0.1132	0.2099	0.0067	0.3302	0.0842	0.0016	1227	34	1228	36	1296	36	95	35	71	0.50
17	1297	au15a16	2.2166	0.1319	0.2025	0.0064	0.2635	0.0753	0.0031	1186	42	1189	34	1249	28	95	1/2	168	1.02
19	1537	au15a18	2 5155	0.1609	0.2125	0.0000	0.2255	0.0044	0.0013	1223	46	1200	40	1459	41	85	81	105	0.30
20	1613	au15a19	2.6236	0.2059	0.2188	0.0124	0.3619	0.0835	0.0010	1307	58	1276	66	1280	24	100	207	303	0.68
21	1635	au15a20	2.6288	0.2520	0.2186	0.0159	0.3797	0.0844	0.0015	1309	71	1275	84	1302	34	98	115	132	0.87
22	1862	au15a21	2.5930	0.1943	0.2201	0.0120	0.3649	0.0825	0.0017	1299	55	1282	64	1258	41	102	44	65	0.68
23	2557	au15a22	2.4296	0.2240	0.2065	0.0158	0.4145	0.0822	0.0015	1251	66	1210	84	1250	36	97	46	88	0.52
24	2699	au15a23	2.3545	0.1454	0.2095	0.0084	0.3251	0.0850	0.0015	1229	44	1226	45	1316	34	93	74	94	0.79
25	2/1/	au15a24	2.5/0/	0.2693	0.21/6	0.0131	0.28/7	0.0885	0.0017	1294	76	1269	69 52	1394	38	91	54	87	0.62
20	1635	au15a26	2.4968	0.1077	0.2151	0.0075	0.4030	0.0844	0.0013	1271	31	1256	40	1301	31	97	67	126	0.53
		30.0020	2.1000	0.1011	0.2.01	0.001.0	0.1000	0.0017	0.0010			.200							0.00

# Table 2-3: LA-ICPMS U-Pb data table for the Fox Harbour rocks.

			ISOTOPIC RATIOS														Th (232)	U (238)	Th/U
Spot #	Grain #	File	207Pb/ 235U	1 sigma	206Pb/ 238U	1 sigma	Rho	207Pb/ 206Pb	1 sigma	207Pb/ 235U	1 sigma	206Pb/ 238U	1 sigma	207Pb/ 206Pb	1 sigma	% U-Pb concordancy	ppm	ppm	ratio
SAMPLE FHC-33-01A																			
6	85	au15a59	2.4577	0.0978	0.2073	0.0056	0.3374	0.0859	0.0011	1260	29	1214	30	1335	25	91	269	256	1.05
7	198	au15a60	1.8415	0.0516	0.1775	0.0037	0.3684	0.0761	0.0009	1060	18	1053	20	1098	22	96	127	516	0.25
8	388	au15a61	2.5405	0.2047	0.2115	0.0128	0.3748	0.0874	0.0009	1284	59	1237	68	1369	19	90	687	863	0.80
11	773	au15a64	2.3813	0.2090	0.2026	0.0105	0.2966	0.0866	0.0010	1237	63	1189	57	1352	22	88	167	698	0.24
13	889	au15a66	1.7356	0.1275	0.1730	0.0082	0.3230	0.0737	0.0007	1022	47	1029	45	1033	20	100	55	747	0.07
17	986	au15a70	2.5123	0.0630	0.2186	0.0041	0.3780	0.0798	0.0007	1276	18	1275	22	1193	18	107	200	598	0.34
18	1008	au15a71	2.1331	0.1449	0.1852	0.0091	0.3611	0.0841	0.0009	1160	47	1095	49	1296	20	85	143	466	0.31
22	1155	au15a75	2.3742	0.1803	0.2034	0.0108	0.3508	0.0841	0.0009	1235	54	1193	58	1296	22	92	1408	511	2.76
2	1237	au15a79	1.8790	0.1167	0.1775	0.0064	0.2909	0.0764	0.0008	1074	41	1053	35	1105	22	95	307	578	0.53
3	1411	au15a80	1.7925	0.0942	0.1728	0.0063	0.3475	0.0760	0.0009	1043	34	1028	35	1096	24	94	84	502	0.17
4	1657	au15a81	1.8417	0.0713	0.1705	0.0061	0.4586	0.0748	0.0010	1060	25	1015	33	1064	26	95	47	324	0.15
8	2098	au15a85	3.0035	0.1056	0.2469	0.0084	0.4817	0.0858	0.0012	1409	27	1423	43	1333	26	107	134	227	0.59
SAMPLE F	HC-34-03																		
6	517	au10a43	1.7487	0.0896	0.1748	0.0061	0.34	0.0747	0.0011	1027	33	1039	34	1061	31	98	10	249	0.04
7	575	au10a44	1.7099	0.1215	0.1712	0.0059	0.24	0.0815	0.0014	1012	46	1019	33	1233	34	83	8	167	0.05
8	583	au10a45	1.9587	0.1214	0.1885	0.0068	0.29	0.0776	0.0012	1101	42	1113	37	1137	31	98	13	235	0.05
10	603	au10a47	1.6605	0.0996	0.1671	0.0071	0.36	0.0727	0.0010	994	38	996	39	1004	29	99	19	289	0.07
12	674	au10a49	1.7917	0.0757	0.1759	0.0042	0.28	0.0784	0.0012	1042	28	1044	23	1158	30	90	11	210	0.05
15	740	au10a52	1.9009	0.1293	0.1802	0.0068	0.28	0.0803	0.0012	1081	45	1068	37	1205	30	89	23	267	0.09
17	892	au10a54	1.8625	0.0746	0.1802	0.0044	0.30	0.0757	0.0012	1068	26	1068	24	1087	31	98	12	218	0.06
24	1372	au10a61	1.8710	0.1260	0.1806	0.0059	0.24	0.0774	0.0014	1071	45	1070	32	1131	36	95	10	224	0.04
26	2578	au10a63	1.7643	0.0813	0.1739	0.0059	0.37	0.0745	0.0011	1032	30	1033	32	1056	29	98	73	344	0.21
29	3358	au10a66	1.7155	0.1309	0.1704	0.0102	0.39	0.0746	0.0010	1014	49	1014	56	1057	26	96	38	395	0.10

Table 2-3 (continued): LA-ICPMS U-Pb data table for the Fox Harbour rocks.

% U-Pb concordancy = 100\*(206Pb/238U age)/(207Pb/206Pb age)

## 2-6-1-2 FHWT-6-02 via CA-TIMS

This sample was chosen for CA-TIMS because it contains a large number of large (100-300  $\mu$ m) grains with oscillatory zoning (imaged via SEM and CL) of likely igneous origin. A total of 6 zircon fractions were analyzed, where two fractions contained single grains (Z1, and Z4), three fractions contained two grains (Z2, Z5, and Z6), and one contained three grains (Z3) (Table 2-4). All six zircon fractions were concordant, with five of the analysis (Z1, 2, 3, 4, and 6) superimposed on one another in the U-Pb Concordia diagram, yielding a weighted average  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{238}$ U age of 1300 ± 2.5 Ma. One fraction (Z5) exhibited Pb-loss along a Discordia line to ~1050 Ma, and was not included in the weighted average (Figure 2-16b).



Figure 2-16b: CA-TIMS U-Pb zircon age for FHWT-6-02 plotted on a Concordia diagram

Table 2-4: TIMS U-Pb data for sample FHWT-6-02.

Fraction	Weight (mg)	ht (mg) Concentration Measured Correcte			rected	d Atomic Ra	atios		Age (Ma)						
		U (ppm) (a)	Pb rad (ppm) (b)	Total commo n Pb (pg)	206Pb/ 204Pb	208Pb/ 206Pb	206Pb/ 238U	±	207Pb/ 235U	±	207Pb/ 206Pb	±	206Pb/ 238U	207Pb/ 235U	207Pb/ 206Pb
Z1 1 lrg prm	0.002	117	29	9	387	0.2058	0.22259	86	2.5934	156	0.0845	44	1296	1299	1304
Z2 1 lrg + 1 sml prr	0.003	196	52.1	2	4903	0.297	0.22356	106	2.6024	116	0.08443	20	1301	1301	1302
Z3 3 sml prm	0.003	76	19.5	3	1218	0.2419	0.22377	126	2.5921	146	0.08401	34	1302	1298	1293
Z4 1 Irg prm	0.002	123	30.1	2	2164	0.1855	0.22374	110	2.6048	112	0.08444	30	1302	1302	1303
Z5 2 sml prm	0.002	208	54	2	2620	0.2843	0.22057	146	2.5503	150	0.08386	34	1285	1287	1289
Z6 2 sml prm	0.002	86	22.4	1	1943	0.2646	0.22374	172	2.5965	222	0.08417	48	1302	1300	1296

Notes; All zircon was physically abraded (Krogh, 1982), and chemically abraded (Mattinson 2005) prior to dissolution. Z, zircon; 1,2 number of grains in analysis; prm, prism; sml, small; frag, fragment.

a. weights of grains were estimated, with potential uncertainties of 25-50% for these small samples.

b. radiogenic lead

c. Atomic ratios corrected for fractionation, spike, laboratory blank of 0.6- 2 picograms (pg) common lead, and initial common lead at the age of the sample calculated from the model of Stacey and Kramers (1975), and 0.3 pg U blank. Two sigma uncertainties are reported after the ratios and refer to the final

# 2-6-2 FH-10-02 (8.4M) – GRANITIC VEIN/PEGMATITE WITHIN FOLDED BASALT, FROM THE FOXTROT DEPOSIT (MT BELT)

The morphologies of zircon grains from sample FH-10-02 (8.4m) are all consistently elongated with aspect ratios of ca. 2:1. Grains are small (50-100  $\mu$ m), luminesce poorly, and contain complex internal features, such as poorly developed oscillatory zoning, and sector zoning (Figures 2-13 to 2-15). BSE images reveal that the grains are largely featureless, except for some very small cracks.

LA-ICPMS analyses of three zircon grains (Table 2-3) yield a U-Pb Concordia age of  $1018 \pm 30$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) (Figure 2-23). Thorium/U ratios for the 3 analyzed zircon grains are quite low, 0.02, 0.05 and 0.10, typical of metamorphic zircon (Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003).



Figure 2-17: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FH-10-02 (8.4m) plotted on a Concordia diagram.

# 2-6-3 FHC-44-01 – RHYOLITE UNIT IN MT BELT

The morphologies of zircon grains from sample FHC-44-01 are all quite irregular and complicated. Grains are 100-200  $\mu$ m in size, and display complex internal features. Zircon grains do not display well-developed oscillatory zoning, but appear to be composite resorbed, with bimodal zoning. All grains contain numerous barren voids/pits, and are variably cracked. LA-ICPMS U-Pb analyses for 8 grains (Table 2-3) indicate that the zircon is moderately (7-13%, for 4 grains) to highly discordant (50%, grain 395) with a trend on the Concordia diagram suggesting recent Pb loss. The U-Pb data can be fit by a model 1 solution, with an upper intercept age of  $1346 \pm 51$  Ma, and a lower intercept at the origin (Figure 2-18). Thorium/U ratios range from 0.15 to 1.05 in zircon grains analyzed from this sample. Grain 395, which is most discordant, contains the most Th (1060 ppm) and U (1174 ppm).





Figure 2-18: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-44-01 plotted on a Concordia diagram.

# 2-6-4 FHC-45-01 – RHYOLITE UNIT IN MT BELT

The morphologies of zircon grains from sample FHC-45-01 are all similar. They are generally are 100-200 um in size and luminesce brightly. In CL and BSE, some grains display well-preserved oscillatory zoning (e.g., grains 1297, 1537, 2699), whereas others show little or no zoning (e.g., grains 1020, 1091, 1613). Xenocrystic cores are visible in some grains (e.g., grains 65, 86, 367, 1537, 2717, 2853). Zircon grains contain a minimal

amount of cracks and inclusions, visible in BSE. Occasionally grains have a small resorption rim, which luminesces brightly (e.g., 1613).



FHC-45-01

Figure 2-19: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-45-01 plotted on a Concordia diagram. Fifteen analyses shown in blue ellipses give a Concordia age of  $1250\pm20$  Ma (2 $\sigma$ ). Three analysis labeled "A", "B" and "C", shown as orange ellipses, are excluded from the age calculation.

Eighteen analyses of 17 zircon grains plot along the U-Pb concordia from ca. 1400 to 1000 Ma (Table 2-3). Fifteen analyses shown in blue ellipses give a Concordia age of  $1250 \pm 20$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) (Figure 2-19). Three analyses labeled "A", "B" and "C" in Figure

2-19 are outliers. Analysis A, which includes an apparent xenocrystic core in grain 65 (Figures 2-13 to 2-15), has a Concordia age of  $1388 \pm 65$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ). Analyses B and C are from grain 86 and have Concordia ages of  $1140 \pm 67$  Ma and  $103 \ 1\pm 73$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), respectively. Analysis C was positioned on the dark CL rim of the grain, whereas Analysis B overlapped in part with the brighter CL xenocrystic core of the grain. Thorium/U ratios for all grains range from 0.50 to 1.15, typical of magmatic values, except for grain 86, which has lower Th/U ratios of 0.12 (rim) and 0.24 (overlapping rim and core).

#### 2-6-5 SAMPLE FHC-33-01A – ROAD BELT

The morphologies of the zircon grains from sample FHC-33-01A are complicated. Zircon grains range in size from 100-400 µm, display complex oscillatory zoning, sector zoning, and cauliflower (bimodal zoning) texture. Zircon grains exhibit variable amount of cracking, inclusions, and small voids, while CL is variable from almost non-existent to bright. Some grains (e.g., 85, 889, 1155, 2098) exhibit somewhat brighter CL cores than rims, which may represent xenocrystic domains.





Figure 2-20: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-33-01A plotted on a Concordia diagram. There are two clusters of analyses shown as blue ellipses and two outliers (labeled "A" and "B") shown as orange ellipses. See text for details.

Twelve U-Pb zircon analyses (Table 2-3) plot along the U-Pb Concordia, ranging from ca. 1400 to 1000 Ma, similar to sample FHC-45-01 (rhyolite from MT Belt). The oldest analysis (labeled "A" in Figure 2-20) has a Concordia age of  $1410 \pm 53$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ); it represents a brighter CL core of grain 2098 that may be xenocrystic. All of the other analyses cluster in two populations, except for one grain (labeled "B" in Figure 2-20) that plots in between the two clusters. The younger population (5 analyses; grains 198, 889, 1237, 1411, 1657) has a Concordia age of  $1050 \pm 21$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ). The grains contain similar morphologies, where they luminesce poorly, have minimal oscillatory zoning, and occasionally display erratic internal textures. Thorium/U ratios of zircon grains analyzed in this younger population range from 0.07-0.53. The older population (5 analyses; grains 85, 388, 773, 986, 1155) has a Concordia age of  $1256 \pm 24$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ). The older grains appear to preserve a complicated magmatic/restoration history, compared to the younger grains, which are smaller and less internally complicated. Thorium/U ratios from zircon grains in this older population range from 0.24-2.76.

# 2-6-6 SAMPLE FHC-34-03 – ROAD BELT

The morphologies of zircon grains from sample FHC-34-03 are fairly consistent. Zircon grains are 100-200  $\mu$ m in size, long and slender, with bright luminescing oscillatory growth zoning. Grains exhibit small pits/voids largely in the center of the grains, perhaps representing xenocrystic cores inadvertently plucked out of the mount during polishing.



Figure 2-21: LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon data for sample FHC-34-03 plotted on a Concordia diagram.

Ten zircon grains analyzed in this sample give a Concordia age of  $1047 \pm 17$  Ma (2 $\sigma$ ) as seen in Figure 2-21, Thorium/U ratios from zircon grains analyzed in this sample are very low, ranging from 0.04-0.10, which are typical of metamorphic ratios, except for one grain (2578), which has a Th/U of 0.21 (Table 2-3).

#### **2-7 DISCUSSION**

#### 2-7-1 RECOGNITION OF NEW SUPRACRUSTAL PACKAGE

The recognition of the Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic package in southeastern Labrador has important economic, and scientific implications. This area of the Grenville Province is important in that it is thought to be the boundary between three lithotectonic terranes with differing Grenvillian metamorphic histories in the area. The detailed history of the area, as shown with this project is complex, with multiple phases of deformation, and metamorphism throughout.

Understanding the affect that metamorphism had on the rocks in the Fox Harbour area may aide in the identification of similar packages throughout the Grenville Province.

#### 2-7-2 U-PB AGE OF VOLCANIC PACKAGE

*In*-situ U-Pb zircon age determinations were made on all three of the identified bimodal volcanic belts in the Fox Harbour project area, and identified two major age populations: one at ca. 1300 Ma, which is interpreted as the magmatic age of the rocks, and the other at ca. 1050 Ma, which is thought to present a high-grade metamorphic age. The magmatic zircon grains tend to have higher Th/U ratios (typically 0.5 - 1.1) compared to the metamorphic zircon grains (typically <0.20), as is commonly reported in high-grade metamorphic terranes elsewhere (Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003). Chemical abrasion TIMS was conducted on one sample, from the South Belt (FHWT-6-02), and dated the magmatic age very precisely. All 3 belts exhibit zircons with the ca. 1300 Ma high-

grade metamorphic age. This is consistent with the idea that magmatic and tectonic events in the belts were related.

Xenocrystic cores in two zircon grains from the MT Belt (sample FHC-45-01) and Road Belt (sample FHC-33-01A) gave ages of ca. 1400 Ma, which may represent the age of the source rocks or country rocks of the felsic magmas of the Fox Harbour volcanic belts. If they were derived from the source rocks, they would be residual zircon that did not completely melt during the crustal melting event that produced the rhyolite magmas. If the xenocrystic zircon were derived from the country rocks, they would have formed as contaminants partially assimilated by the rhyolite magmas as they rose through the crust.

#### 2-7-2-1 1300 Ma age population

The magmatic age population recorded in the Fox Harbour area is most precisely and accurately dated by the CA-TIMS U-Pb zircon age of  $1300 \pm 2.5$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) for rhyolite sample FHWT-6-02 from the South Belt. Less precise LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon ages within error of this result are:  $1297 \pm 21$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), also from sample FHWT-6-02; and  $1346 \pm 51$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), derived from a discordant population of zircon grains from sample FHC-44-01 from the MT Belt. This zircon population is taken to be the age of formation for the rhyolitic units within the bimodal volcanic package. It is assumed that other supracrustal units (i.e.: basalt, quartzite, metasediments) in the area were also deposited around the same time as the rhyolite units. LA-ICPMS U-Pb zircon ages of  $1250 \pm 20$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) from sample FHC-45-01 from the MT Belt and  $1256 \pm 24$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ) from sample FHC-33-01A from the Road Belt also likely represent magmatic zircon crystallization at ca. 1300 Ma. The magmatic zircon grains in both samples are strongly overprinted by the ca. 1050 Ma metamorphic event and we suspect that the LA-ICPMS analysis intersected micron-scale metamorphic domains, resulting in integrated (mixed) ages that are somewhat younger than 1300 Ma.

The alternative interpretation is that magmatism in the MT Belt and Road Belt was some 50 Ma younger than in the South Belt. Current data are not sufficient to say whether one interpretation is right over the other. CA-TIMS U-Pb analyses of these samples would be needed to resolve this question unambiguously.

#### 2-7-2-2 1050 Ma age population

The metamorphic age recorded in the Fox Harbour area is ~1050 Ma. This age presumably reflects new zircon growth, perhaps by dissolution reprecipitation (Geisler et al., 2007) during the Grenville deformation/metamorphism in this area of southeastern Labrador.

The metamorphic zircon population is most well-represented by the Concordia age of  $1047 \pm 17$  Ma (2 $\sigma$ ), based on 10 grains from sample FHC-34-03 from the Road Belt; and  $1050 \pm 21$  Ma (2 $\sigma$ ), based on 5 grains from sample FHC-33-01A, also from the Road Belt. Two zircon Concordia ages from the MT Belt, may represent the same ca. 1050 Ma event, or a slightly younger event: One grain from sample FHC-45-01 has an

age of  $1031 \pm 73$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ), and three grains from sample FH-10-02 (8.4m) give an age of  $1018 \pm 30$  Ma ( $2\sigma$ ).

Kamo et al. (2011) dated an amazonite pegmatite on Battle Island, approximately 20 km from the main area of study in this project (Figure 2-22a). The amazonite pegmatite dated by Kamo et al. (2011) is texturally very similar, and is assumed to be the same as those found throughout the MT Belt, and Road Belt (specifically those found in the Foxtrot area of MT Belt, seen in Figure 2-22b). The time of emplacement for the amazonite pegmatite on Battle Harbour was taken to be  $1024 \pm 3$  Ma (Kamo et al., 2011). This is based on three analyses, which define a co-linear line with the concordant analysis (Figure 2-22d) (Kamo et al., 2011). Based on findings by Kamo et al. (2011), and findings in this study, the large boudinaged pegmatites found mainly within the MT Belt, and also the Road Belt, are also interpreted to be Grenvillian in age (~1050 Ma). Cathodoluminescence images of the zircon grains show often well-defined oscillatory zoning, along with a much lower Th/U ratio, suggesting they are metamorphic in nature. These zircon grains (and amazonite pegmatites) are believed to have formed during a melting event that focused on the HFSE enriched rhyolitic units in the Fox Harbour area (i.e., mineralized units in the MT Belt, and Road Belt). This melting event explains the well developed oscillatory zoning observed in the zircon of this age, where a simple metamorphic event would not produce such zircon textures.



Figure 2-22: Photos of representative amazonite-bearing pegmatites from the Foxtrot Project within the MT Belt, and Battle Island, located 20 km to the southeast of the main project area. (A) Amazonite-bearing pegmatite located on Battle Island (Kamo et al., 2011). (B and C) Amazonite-bearing pegmatites located in the Foxtrot Project, within the MT Belt. (D) Concordia diagram for the amazonite-bearing pegmatite dated by Kamo et al. (2011).

It should be noted that the South Belt does not contain extensive pegmatites, like those found in the MT and Road Belts, and no Grenvillian age was recorded in the one sample dated in this belt. This suggests that melting (and zircon resetting/growth) did not occur as readily in the South Belt during Grenvillian Orogenesis.

#### 2-7-3 TECTONIC IMPLICATIONS

The occurrence of a supracrustal package in this area of the Grenville Province is not completely unexpected, as supracrustal rocks have been identified in the northern Grenville Province (namely units in the Pinware terrane, the Wakeham Group, and Seal Lake). Nonetheless, there had been no previous evidence that a significant 1.3 Ga magmatic event occurred in this particular region. Supracrustal rocks in the Pinware terrane have been assumed to be much older (i.e.: 1600-1700 Ma) than the age of the Grenville metamorphism, but recent studies have revealed that this is not universally the case (Tucker and Gower, 1994; Wasteneys et al., 1997; Kamo et al., 2011).

It is believed that between ca. 1.5 to 1.3 Ga, there existed a continental-margin arc along the Laurentian margin (Tucker and Gower, 1994; Corrigan, 1995; Corrigan and Hanmer, 1995; McLelland et al., 1996; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000; Davidson, 2008; Hynes and Rivers, 2010, Kamo et al., 2011). Continental-margin arcs can have been shown to exhibit variable architecture, and can be either compressional or extensional (Uyeda and Kanamori 1979; Royden 1993; Waschbusch and Beaumont 1996; Pope and Willett 1998; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000). They have been shown to fluctuate between the extensional and compressional depending on the velocity of the tectonic plates involved (Uyeda and Kanamori 1979; Royden 1993; Waschbusch and Beaumont 1996; Pope and Willett 1998; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000). A compressional regime creates features such as an advancing subduction boundary, large imbricated thrustal stacks, with no back-arc magmatic activity (Uyeda and Kanamori 1979; Royden 1979; Royden 1993; Waschbusch and Beaumont 1996; Pope and Willett 1998; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000). An extensional arc has a retreating subduction boundary, with normal faults dominating, leaving the basement

largely undeformed, and active linear back-arcs (Uyeda and Kanamori 1979; Royden 1993; Waschbusch and Beaumont 1996; Pope and Willett 1998; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000).

The occurrence of the Fox Harbour HFSE enriched rhyolitic units (assumed to peralkaline based on indicator minerals present), along with basaltic rocks can be attributed to being formed in an extensional rifting event, likely in a back-arc regime. This back-arc regime is believed to have existed by at least 1300 Ma. The Grenville Province during this time was moderately active. Geological activity during Geon 13 includes large AMCG suites such as the Nain Plutonic Suite (1320-1270 Ma), the Adirondack Highlands (1336-1301 Ma) (McLelland and Chiarenzelli, 1990; Ryan, 1991; Connelly 1993; Connelly and Ryan, 1999; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000). Also present are many granitic intrusions such as Arrowhead Lake (1307 Ma), the Red Wine Intrusive Suite (1337-1317 Ma), and the Dysart-Mt. Holly granitoids (1400-1301 Ma) (Hill and Miller, 1990; Lumbers et al., 1990; Rivers, 1997; and River and Corrigan, 2000). A lot of activity is recorded in the Grenville Province during Geon 12, including small intrusions, up to large supracrustal packages. Intrusions include the Upper North River syenite (1296 Ma), Flowers River granite (1271 Ma), Harp, Mealy, and Sudbury dykes (1250 Ma, 1273 Ma, and 1235 Ma, respectively), the Tshenuktish granite (1298 Ma), and the Strange Lake granite (1240 Ma) (Krogh et al., 1987; Hill and Miller, 1990; Cadman et al., 1993; Dudas et al., 1994; Romer et al., 1995; Emslie et al., 1997; Cox et al., 1998; Miller et al., 1997). Supracrustal packages during this time include the Bancroft-Cabonga-Elzevir-Mazinaw-Sharbot Lake terranes (1290-1230 Ma), the Frontenac-Mont Laurier-Morin terranes (1300-1230 Ma), and the Seal Lake Group (1273-1250 Ma) (Hill and Miller, 1990; Sager-Kinsman and Parrish, 1993; Friedman and Martignole, 1995; Romer et al., 1995). Much of this geological activity noted above is believed to have formed in an extensional setting, some of which is continental rifting, and some of which likely formed in a back-arc.

Grenvillian orogenesis is interpreted to have began at ~1100 Ma, lasting 100 Ma, resulting from the collision of Laurentia and another continent, likely Amazonia (McLelland et al., 1996; Carr et al., 2000; Hanmer et al., 2000; Tohver et al., 2004; Tohyer et al., 2006; Gower et al., 2008; Rivers, 2008; Rivers 2009; Hynes and Rivers, 2010). The ~1050 Ma event recorded in the Fox Harbour rocks represents the Grenvillian deformation. It is believed that most of the deformation observed in this area occurred at this time, confirmed by the deformation observed in the amazonite pegmatites, which have been dated at  $1024 \pm 3$  Ma (Kamo et al., 2011). Grenvillian deformation in this area is thought to have caused selective migmatization, where units that melt readily (i.e.: volatile rich, hydrous) were the focus of melting. This relationship is observed in the Foxtrot project, where the mineralized units often contain amazonite pegmatites, and lesser-mineralized units do not contain pegmatites/migmatites. The Road Belt, and the MT Belt recorded this 1050 Ma age.

As mentioned previously, supracrustal units accurately dated in the Pinware terrane are consistently older (1710-1600 Ma) than the Grenville metamorphism. Accretion of the Pinware terrane accretion is believed to have ended by 1450 Ma, meaning the Fox Harbour volcanic package formed much later than this event. A regional aeromagnetic map of Labrador completed by the government suggests that the area around Cartwright, Port Hope Simpson, St. Lewis, Battle Island, Mary's Harbour, down

to Red Bay may include a belt of ~1300 Ma supracrustal rocks, which is currently poorly defined and understood (Figure 2-23). The area described appears as a magnetic low (in comparison to known Pinware terrane), and extends upwards of 250 Km. U-Pb dating in the area of this study reveals that Pinware age (1520-1460 Ma) units do occur proximal to the Fox Harbour units, such as the Cape Charles and Wolf Cove quartz monzonites, and a granite vein just south of the project area, dated at  $1490 \pm 5$  Ma,  $1472 \pm 3$  Ma,  $1509 \pm 11 - 12$ , respectively (Scott et al., 1993; Tucker and Gower, 1994). It is likely that Grenvillian deformation caused this area to be extremely dismembered, juxtaposing 1.4-1.7 Ga Pinwarian rocks against younger 1.3 Ga supracrustal packages, such as the Fox Harbour, and Battle Harbour supracrustal units. Further work understanding the character, structure, magmatic and depositional age of these rocks is needed to define the geological history precisely.



Figure 2-23: Airborne magnetometer survey for the southeastern coast of Labrador. The magnetic low, is thought to be a generalized outline of the Lake Melville terrane. It is possible that within this terrane, there is a 1300-1200 Ma belt of rocks. Arrows point to area of interest, such as the location of the Battle Island 1200 Ma supracrustal sequence, the 1300 Ma volcanic units, and samples collected by the Geological Survey of Newfoundland, enriched in HFSE.

# **2-8 CONCLUSIONS**

The Fox Harbour area consists of a newly discovered structurally concordant supracrustal package within a amphibolite facies terrane. These units were formed at 1.3 Ga, in an extensional environment, coinciding with magmatism throughout much of the Grenville at this time. Many other units throughout the Grenville indicate the Laurentian margin was in an extensional setting during this time. These include large AMCG suits, small granitic to syenitic intrusions, mafic dyke swarms (Harp, Mealy, and Sudbury dykes), along with supracrustal packages (Bancroft-Cabonga-Elzevir-Mazinaw-Sharbot Lake terrane, the Frontenac-Mont Laurier-Morin terrane, and the Seal Lake Group) (Krogh et al., 1987; Hill and Miller, 1990; Lumbers et al., 1990; McLelland and Chiarenzelli, 1990; Ryan, 1991; Cadman et al., 1993; Connelly 1993; Sager-Kinsman and Parrish, 1993; Dudas et al., 1994; Friedman and Martignole, 1995; Romer et al., 1995; Emslie et al., 1997; Miller et al., 1997; Rivers, 1997; Cox et al., 1998; Connelly and Ryan, 1999; and Rivers and Corrigan, 2000).

Lithological mapping completed in the project area revealed three extensive supracrustal belts, named the Road Belt, MT Belt and South Belt. In-situ U-Pb age determinations completed via LA-ICPMS, along with CA-TIMS U-Pb age determinations allowed for the recognition of two age populations. These rocks may have formed in an extensional back-arc environment around 1300 Ma within the continental margin arc, which is believed to have existed along the Laurentian margin between 1.5 and 1.3 Ga (Tucker and Gower, 1994; Corrigan, 1995; Corrigan and Hanmer, 1995; McLelland et al., 1996; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000; Hynes and Rivers, 2010). The second recorded age population is 1050 Ma, assumed to be the age of Grenvillian deformation and metamorphism for this area. This age, along with those recorded by Kamo et al. (2011) in an amazonite pegmatite reveal that much of the deformation that affects the Fox Harbour supracrustal package is Grenvillian in age. Grenvillian deformation in this area created large shear zones, regional to outcrop scale folds, and selective migmatization of certain units, and possibly causing the amazonite pegmatites observed throughout the project area.

A large magnetic low, seen in Figure 2-23 is thought to contain the generalized outline of the Lake Melville terrane. Within this terrane, there is a 1.2-1.3 Ga supracrustal

packages belt of rocks. This terrane, and possibly supracrustal packages are suggested to extend upwards of 250 km, and is possibly much longer than this. The occurrence of this belt of rocks, in the Lake Melville terrane, with an age recorded in it of 1.3 Ga, in the northern Grenville is extremely interesting. Many studies have been completed on similar supracrustal packages in the southern Grenville. The identification and interpretation of the Fox Harbour volcanic rocks will hopefully aid in the locating, and understanding the origin of similar rocks that may be unrecognized throughout the northern Grenville Province.

#### **2-9 REFERENCES**

- Arnaudov, V.; Pavlova, M.; Petrusenko, Sv. (1967): Lead content in certain amazonites. Izvestiya na Geologicheskiya Institut, Bulgarska Akademiya na Naukite, 16, 41-44 (in Bulgarian).
- Cadman, A. C., Heaman, L., Tarney, J., Wardle, R., & Krogh, T. E. (1993). U-Pb geochronology and geochemical variation within two Proterozoic mafic dyke swarms, Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 30(7), 1490–1504.
- Carr, S., Easton, R., Jamieson, R., & Culshaw, N. (2000). Geologic transect across the Grenville orogen of Ontario and New York. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 37(2-3), 193–216.
- Chiarenzelli, J. R., & McLelland, J. M. (1991). Age and regional relationships of granitoid rocks of the Adirondack Highlands. *The Journal of Geology*, 99(4), 571–590.

- Connelly, J.N. 1993. U–Pb geochronological research agreement: final report for the Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Labrador Mapping Section.
  Unpublished report on file with the Geological Survey Branch, Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy.
- Connelly, J., & Heaman, L. (1993). U-Pb geochronological constraints on the tectonic evolution of the Grenville Province, western Labrador. *Precambrian Research*, 63(1-2), 123–142.
- Connelly, J. N., & Ryan, A. B. (1999). Age and tectonic implications of Paleoproterozoic granitoid intrusions within the Nain Province near Nain, Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 36(5), 833–853.
- Corfu, F., Hanchar, J., Hoskin, P., & Kinny, P. (2003). Atlas of zircon textures. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, *53*(1), 469.
- Corriveau, L., & Bonnet, A.-L. (2005). Pinwarian (1.50 Ga) volcanism and hydrothermal activity at the eastern margin of the Wakeham Group, Grenville Province, Quebec. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *42*(10), 1749–1782. doi:10.1139/e05-086.
- Corrigan, D., 1995. Mesoproterozoic evolution of the south- central Grenville orogen: structural, metamorphic and geo- chronologic constraints from the Mauricie transect. Ph.D. Thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa.
- Corrigan, D., Hanmer, S., 1995. Arc accretion, thickening, post- collisional extension and plutonism in the Grenville orogen; constraints from the Mauricie region, south-central Quebec. In: Precambrian '95, International Conference on Tectonics
and Metallogeny of Early/Mid Precambrian orogenic Belts, Program and Abstracts, Montreal, p. 106.

- Corrigan, D., Rivers, T., & Dunning, G. (2000). U-Pb constraints for the plutonic and tectonometamorphic evolution of Lake Melville terrane, Labrador and implications for basement reworking in the northeastern Grenville Province\* 1. *Precambrian Research*, 99(1-2), 65–90.
- Cox, R. A., Dunning, G. R., & Indares, A. (1998). Petrology and U–Pb geochronology of mafic, high-pressure, metamorphic coronites from the Tshenukutish domain, eastern Grenville Province. *Precambrian Research*, 90(1), 59–83.
- Davidson, A. (2008). Late Paleoproterozoic to mid-Neoproterozoic history of northern
  Laurentia: An overview of central Rodinia. *Precambrian Research*, 160(1-2), 5–
  22. doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2007.04.023.
- Delaney, P. and Haley, J.T. (2011; *unpublished*). Report on mapping, prospecting, geochemical sampling, trenching, diamond drilling, and airborne radiometric/magnetometer survey on the Fox Harbour property, Port Hope Simpson, Labrador. 1<sup>st</sup> year assessment report, Alterra Resources Inc, pp. 429.
- Dudàs, F.Ö, Davidson, A., and Bethune, K.M. 1994. Age of the Sudbury dykes and their metamorphism in the Grenville Province, Ontario. *In* Radiogenic age and isotope studies: Report 8. Geological Survey of Canada, Current research, 1994-F, 97– 106.

- Emslie, R.F. (1976). Mealy Mountains Complex, Grenville Province, southern Labrador.
   *In Report of activities, part A*. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 76-1A, 165–170.
- Emslie, R.F., and Hunt, P.A. (1990). Ages and petrogenetic significance of igneous mangerite-charnockite suites associated with massif anorthosites, Grenville Province. *Journal of Geology*, 98, 213–231.
- Emslie, R. F., Hamilton, M. A., & Gower, C. F. (1997). The Michael Gabbro and other Mesoproterozoic lithospheric probes in southern and central Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 34, 1566-1580.
- Friedman, R. M., & Martignole, J. (1995). Mesoproterozoic sedimentation, magmatism, and metamorphism in the southern part of the Grenville Province (western Quebec): U-Pb geochronological constraints. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *32*(12), 2103–2114.
- Gerstenberger, H., & Haase, G. (1997). A highly effective emitter substance for mass spectrometric Pb isotope ratio determinations. *Chemical Geology*, *136*(3), 309– 312.
- Giesler, T., Schaltegger, U., Tomaschek, F. (2007). Re-equilibration of zircon in aqueous fluids and melts, *Elements*, (4), 43-50, doi:10.2113/gselements.3.1.43.
- Gower, C.F. (1985). Correlations between the Grenville Province and Sveconorwegian Orogenic Belt — Implications for Proterozoic Evolution of the Southern Margins

of the Canadian and Baltic Shields. *The Deep Proterozoic Crust in the North Atlantic Provinces*, 247–257. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-5450-2\_15.

- Gower, C.F. (1994). Distribution of pre-1400 Ma crust in the Grenville province:
  Implications for rifting in Laurentia-Baltica during geon 14. *Geology*, 22, 827-830.
- Gower, C.F. (1996a). The evolution of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador,
  Canada. *Geological Society London Special Publications*, *112*(1), 197-218. doi: 10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.112.01.11
- Gower, C.F. (1996b). Geology of the southeast Mealy Mountains Region, Grenville
   Province, Southeast Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* 96-1, 55-71.
- Gower, C.F. (2003). Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador. Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey, Map 2003-11. Open file: LAB/1379.
- Gower, C.F. (2005). Kinematic evidence for terrane displacements in the Grenville province. Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources. Report 05-01, pp. 73-92.
- Gower, C. F. (2007). Protolith recognition of metamorphosed felsic volcanic/volcaniclastic rocks, with special reference to the Grenville Province in

southeast Labrador. *Current Research. Newfoundland and Labrador Department* of Natural Resources. Report 07-01, pp. 11-23.

- Gower, C.F. (2009). Battle Island A geological treasure in coastal eastern Labrador.
   Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Department of Natural Resources,
   Geological Survey, Open File 003D/05/0031, 38 pages.
- Gower, C., & Owen, V. (1984). Pre-Grenvillian and Grenvillian lithotectonic regions in eastern Labrador-correlations with the Sveconorwegian Orogenic Belt in Sweden. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 21(6), 678–693.
- Gower, C.F., Neuland, S., Newman, M., Smyth, J., 1987: Geology of the Port Hope
   Simpson map region, Grenville province, eastern Labrador, *Current Research* (1987) Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Mineral Development Division, Report 87-1, 183-199.
- Gower, C. F., & Erdmer, P. (1988). Proterozoic metamorphism in the Grenville
  Province: a study in the Double Mer-Lake Melville area, eastern Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 25(11), 1895–1905.
- Gower, C., Schärer, U., & Heaman, L. (1992). The Labradorian orogeny in the Grenville Province, eastern Labrador, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 29(9), 1944–1957.
- Gower, C.F., van Nostrand, T. (1994). Geology of the Pinware River Region, southeast Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* 94-1, 347-369.

- Gower, C., Hall, J., Kilfoil, G., Quinlan, G., & Wardle, R. (1997). Roots of the Labradorian orogen in the Grenville Province in southeast Labrador: Evidence from marine, deep-seismic reflection data. *Tectonics*, *16*(5), 795–809.
- Gower, C., & Krogh, T. (2002). A U–Pb geochronological review of the Proterozoic history of the eastern Grenville Province. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 39(5), 795–829. doi: 10.1139/E01-090
- Gower, C., Kamo, S., & Krogh, T. (2008a). Indentor tectonism in the eastern Grenville Province. *Precambrian Research*, *167*(1-2), 201–212.
- Gower, C., Kamo, S., Kwok, K., & Krogh, T. (2008b). Proterozoic southward accretion and Grenvillian orogenesis in the interior Grenville Province in eastern Labrador: Evidence from U-Pb geochronological investigations. *Precambrian Research*, *165*(1-2), 61–95.
- Hanmer, S., and Scott, D.J. (1990). Structural observations in the Gilbert River belt, Grenville Province, southeastern Labrador. *Current research, part C. Geological Survey of Canada*. Paper 90-IC, 1- 11.
- Hanmer, S., Corrigan, D., Pehrsson, S., & Nadeau, L. (2000). SW Grenville Province,
  Canada: the case against post-1.4 Ga accretionary tectonics. *Tectonophysics*, *319*(1), 33–51.
- Heaman, L., Gower, C., & Perreault, S. (2004). The timing of Proterozoic magmatism in the Pinware terrane of southeast Labrador, easternmost Quebec and northwest Newfoundland. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *41*(2), 127–150. doi:10.1139/e03-088.

- Hill, J.D., and Miller, R.R. 1990. A review of Middle Proterozoic epigenic felsic
  magmatism in Labrador. *In* Mid-Proterozoic Laurentia–Baltica. *Edited by* C.F.
  Gower, T. Rivers, and A.B. Ryan. Geological Association of Canada, Special
  Paper 38, pp. 417–431.
- Hoffman, P.F. (1989). Precambrian geology and tectonic history of North America. *In*The Geology of North America: an Overview. Geological Society of America,Boulder, Colo., The Geology of North America, Vol. A., pp. 447–512.
- Hoskin, P., & Schaltegger, U. (2003). The composition of zircon and igneous and metamorphic petrogenesis. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, *53*(1), 27.
- Hynes, A., & Rivers, T. (2010). Protracted continental collision-evidence from the Grenville Orogen. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *47*(5), 591–620.
- Kamo, S., Wasteneys, H., Gower, C., & Krogh, T. (1996). U-Pb geochronology of Labradorian and later events in the Grenville Province, eastern Labrador. *Precambrian Research*, 80(3-4), 239–260.
- Kamo, S. L., Heaman, L. M., & Gower, C. F. (2011). Evidence for post-1200 Ma pre-Grenvillian supracrustal rocks in the Pinware terrane, eastern Grenville Province at Battle Harbour, Labrador. This article is one of a series of papers published in this Special Issue on the theme of Geochronology in honour of Tom Krogh. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 48(2), 371–387. doi:10.1139/E10-052.
- Košler, J., & Sylvester, P. J. (2003). Present Trends and the Future of Zircon in Geochronology: Laser Ablation ICPMS. *Reviews in mineralogy and* geochemistry, 53(1), 243-275.

- Košler J., Forst L, Slama J. (2008). LAMDATE and LAMTOOL: Spreadsheet-based data reduction for laser ablation-ICPMS. In: Sylvester PJ (ed) Laser Ablation ICP-MS in the Earth Sciences: Current Practices and Outstanding Issues, Mineralogical Association of Canada p. 315-317.
- Krogh, T. E. (1973). A low-contamination method for hydrothermal decomposition of zircon and extraction of U and Pb for isotopic age determinations. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 37(3), 485–494.
- Krogh, T. E. (1982). Improved accuracy of U-Pb zircon ages by the creation of more concordant systems using an air abrasion technique. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 46, 637-649.
- Krogh, T.E., Corfu, F., Davis, D.W., Dunning, G.R., Heaman, L.M., Kamo, S.L.,
  Machado, N, Greenough, J.D., and Nakamura, E. 1987. Precise U–Pb isotopic ages of diabase dykes and mafic to ultramafic rocks using trace amounts of baddeleyite and zircon. *In* Mafic dyke swarms. *Edited by* H.C. Halls and W.F.
  Fahrig. Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 34, pp. 147–152.
- Ludwig K. R. (2008) Isoplot 3.6; A Geochronology Toolkit for Microsoft Excel. Berkeley Geochronology Center, pp. 77.
- Lumbers, S.B., Heaman, L.M., Vertolli, V.M., and Wu, T-W. 1990. Nature and timing of Middle Proterozoic magmatism in the Central Metasedimentary Belt, Grenville Province, Ontario. *In* Mid-Proterozoic Laurentia–Baltica. *Edited by* C.F. Gower, T. Rivers, and A.B. Ryan. Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 38, pp. 243–276.

- Mattinson, J. M. (2005). Zircon U–Pb chemical abrasion ("CA-TIMS") method: Combined annealing and multi-step partial dissolution analysis for improved precision and accuracy of zircon ages. *Chemical Geology*, 220(1-2), 47–66. doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.03.011.
- McLelland, J. M., & Chiarenzelli, J. (1990). Isotopic constraints on emplacement age of anorthositic rocks of the Marcy masiff, Adirondack Mts., New York. *The Journal* of Geology, 19–41.
- McLelland, J., & Daly, J.S., McLelland, J.M. (1996). The Grenville orogenic cycle (ca. 1350-1000 Ma): an Adirondack perspective. *Tectonophysics*, 265, 1-28.
- Miller, R. R., Heaman, L. M., & Birkett, T. C. (1997). U-Pb zircon age of the Strange Lake peralkaline complex: implications for Mesoproterozoic peralkaline magmatism in north-central Labrador. *Precambrian Research*, 81(1), 67–82.
- Plyusnin, G. S. (1969): Color of amazonites. *Zapiski Vsesoyuznogo Mineralogicheskogo Obshchestva*, 98, 3-17 (in Russian).
- Pope, D.C., and Willett, S.D. 1998. Thermal-mechanical model for crustal thickening in the central Andes driven by ablative subduction. Geology, **26**: 511–514.
- Rivers, T. (1997). Lithotectonic elements of the Grenville Province: review and tectonic implications. *Precambrian Research*, 86(3-4), 117–154.
- Rivers, T., Ketchum, J., Indares, A., & Hynes, A. (2002). The High Pressure belt in the Grenville Province: architecture, timing, and exhumation. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 39(5), 867–893. doi:10.1139/e02-025
- Rivers, T. (2008). Assembly and preservation of lower, mid, and upper orogenic crust in the Grenville Province--Implications for the evolution of large hot long-duration

orogens. Precambrian Research, 167(3-4), 237–259.

doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2008.08.005.

- Rivers, T. (2009). The Grenville Province as a large hot long-duration collisional orogen
   insights from the spatial and thermal evolution of its orogenic fronts. *Geological Society London Special Publications*, 327(1), 405–444. doi:10.1144/SP327.17.
- Rivers, T., & Corrigan, D. (2000). Convergent margin on southeastern Laurentia during the Mesoproterozoic: tectonic implications. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 37(2-3), 359–383.
- Rivers, T., Ketchum, J., Indares, A., & Hynes, A. (2002). The High Pressure belt in the Grenville Province: architecture, timing, and exhumation. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 39(5), 867–893. doi:10.1139/e02-025.
- Romer, R., Schärer, U., Wardle, R., & Wilton, D. (1995). U-Pb age of the Seal Lake Group, Labrador: relationship to Mesoproterozoic extension-related magmatism of Laurasia. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 32(9), 1401–1410.
- Royden, L. H. (1993). Evolution of retreating subduction boundaries formed during continental collision. *Tectonics*, 12(3), 629. doi:10.1029/92TC02641.
- Ryan, B. (1991). Makhavinekh Lake pluton, Labrador, Canada: geological setting, subdivisions, mode of emplacement, and a comparison with Finnish rapakivi granites. *Precambrian Research*, 51(1-4), 193-225.

Sager-Kinsman, E. A., & Parrish, R. R. (1993). Geochronology of detrital zircons from

the Elzevir and Frontenac terranes, Central Metasedimentary Belt, Grenville Province, Ontario. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *30*(3), 465–473.

- Sánchez-García, T., Quesada, C., Bellido, F., & Dunning, G. R. (2008). Two-step magma flooding of the upper crust during rifting: The Early Paleozoic of the Ossa Morena Zone (SW Iberia). *Tectonophysics*, 461, 72-90. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2008.03.006.
- Schärer, U., & Krogh, T. (1986). Age and evolution of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador from U-Pb systematics in accessory minerals. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*. (94), 438-451.
- Schärer, U., & Gower, C. (1988). Crustal evolution in eastern Labrador: Constraints from precise U-Pb ages. *Precambrian Research*, 38(4), 405–421.
- Scott, D., Machado, N., Hanmer, S., & Gariépy, C. (1993). Dating ductile deformation using U-Pb geochronology: examples from the Gilbert River Belt, Grenville Province, Labrador, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *30*(7), 1458–1469.
- Shand, S.J. (1927). On the relations between silica, alumina, and the bases in eruptive rocks, considered as a means of classification. *Geological Magazine*, (64), 446-446.
- Shand, S. J. (1951). Eruptive Rocks. New York: J. Wiley.

Slama J., Košler J., Condon D.J., Crowley J.L., Gerdes A., Hanchar J.M., Horstwood

M.S.A., Morris G.A., Nasdala L., Norberg N., Schaltegger U., Schoene B., Tubrett M.N., Whitehouse M.J. (2008). Plesovice zircon – a new natural reference material for U-Pb and Hf isotopic microanalysis. Chemical Geology (249), 1-35.

- Srivastava, R.M., Gauthier, J. (2012). Technical Report on the Foxtrot Deposit in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. NI-43-101 Report.
- Stacey, J.S., Kramers, J.D. (1975). Approximation of terrestrial lead isotope evolution by a two-stage model, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, (26), 207-221.
- Sylvester, P. J., & Ghaderi, M. (1997). ScienceDirect.com Chemical Geology Trace element analysis of scheelite by excimer laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ELA-ICP-MS) using a synthetic silicate glass standard. *Chemical Geology*, 141, 49-65.
- Szuzkiewicz, A. & Körber, T. (2010): "Amazonit" oder "Grüner Mikroklin" Zur Ursache der Grünfärbung von Kalifeldspäten aus dem Striegauer Granit. Lapis 35 (7-8), 75-77; 86. (in German).
- Tohver, E., Bettencourt, J. S., Tosdal, R., Mezger, K., Leite, W. B., & Payolla, B. L. (2004). Terrane transfer during the Grenville orogeny: tracing the Amazonian ancestry of southern Appalachian basement through Pb and Nd isotopes. *Earth* and Planetary Science Letters, 228(1), 161–176.

- Tohver, E., Teixeira, W., van der Pluijm, B., Geraldes, M. C., Bettencourt, J. S., &
  Rizzotto, G. (2006). Restored transect across the exhumed Grenville orogen of
  Laurentia and Amazonia, with implications for crustal architecture. *Geology*,
  34(8), 669. doi:10.1130/G22534.1.
- Tucker, R., & Gower, C. (1994). A U-Pb geochronological framework for the Pinware terrane, Grenville Province, southeast Labrador. *The Journal of Geology*, 102(1), 67–78.
- Uyeda, S., & Kanamori, H. (1979). Back-arc opening and the mode of subduction. *J. geophys. Res*, *84*(3), 1049–1061.
- Van Breemen, O, and Corriveau, L. (2005). U-Pb age constraints on arenaceous and volcanic rocks of the Wakeham Group, eastern Grenville Province. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 42(10), 1677–1697. doi:10.1139/e05-079.
- Van Nostrand, T., Dunphy, D., Eddy, D. (1992). Geology of the Alexis River map region, Grenville Province, southeastern Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey Branch.* 92-1, 399-412.
- Waschbusch, P., & Beaumont, C. (1996). Effect of a retreating subduction zone on deformation in simple regions of plate convergence. J. geophys. Res, 101(B12), 28133. doi:10.1029/96JB02482.
- Wasteneys, H., Kamo, S., Moser, D., Krogh, T., Gower, C., & Owen, J. (1997). U-Pb geochronological constraints on the geological evolution of the Pinware terrane and adjacent areas, Grenville Province, southeast Labrador, Canada. *Precambrian Research*, 81(1-2), 101–128.

Wiedenback M., Alle P., Corfu F. (1995). Three natural zircon standards for U-Th-Pb,

Lu-Hf, trace element and REE analyses. Geostandards Newsletter 19: 1-23

# CHAPTER 3 THE 1.3 Ga BIMODAL REE-ENRICHED FOX HARBOUR VOLCANIC BELTS: A STUDY OF THE LITHGEOCHEMICAL, MINERALOGICAL, AND ISOTOPIC CHARACTERISTICS

James T. Haley<sup>1</sup>, Paul J. Sylvester<sup>1</sup>, Randy R. Miller<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, Memorial University, St. John's, NL, Canada,

A1B 3X5

<sup>2</sup>Search Minerals Inc., Toronto, ON, Canada, M5H 3B7 E-mail: jhaley@mun.ca

# ABSTRACT

The 1.3 Ga Fox Harbour volcanic packages contain a variety of supracrustal, and syn-supracrustal intrusive rocks. Geochemically, the rhyolites are peralkaline, and are further classified as pantellerites or comendites based on major element geochemistry. Rhyolitic major elements appear to have behaved largely immobile during metamorphism, generally decreasing with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub>, with the exception of elements Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, which display scatter throughout the Fox Harbour area, but could be due to primary hydrothermal activity after deposition, often seen in volcanic systems. Trace elements are also shown to be immobile, via utilizing a simple immobile vs immobile element plot. Electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) of the yttrium-niobate mineral, initially analyzed using the SEM-MLA, is in fact fergusonite, and that REE are major elements in the structure, forming ~20-29 wt.% of the mineral. EPMA analysis of zircon reveals that the majority of the zircon grains show little compositional variation, except for a 1.05 Ga microporous zircon population that contains higher amounts of HFSE (U, Th, Nb, Ta, Y, Dy, Gd). *In-situ* Lu-Hf measurements reveal that the 1.3 Ga zircon grains have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values between -0.65 to +7.59, and 1.05 Ga zircon have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) ranging between +0.62 to -4.21. These findings suggest that the Fox Harbour volcanic packages were derived by partial melting of 1.9-1.5 Ga felsic crustal sources, and there was no flux of REE into or out of the packages during Grenvillian metamorphism.

#### **3-1 INTRODUCTION**

The *ca.* 1300 Ma Fox Harbour bimodal, basalt-rhyolite volcanic package, located in the northern Grenville Province of Labrador, Canada, was discovered during the 2010 mineral exploration season of Search Minerals Inc. (Delaney and Haley, 2013; *unpublished*). The volcanic units were initially studied and sampled by Search Minerals while looking for rare earth element (REE) mineralization. This package was intensely deformed, and metamorphosed during the Grenville Orogeny, a continental-scale collision, around 1050 Ma (Kamo et al., 2011; Haley et al., 2013).

A very large number (~10,000) of whole rock samples (hand, channel, and diamond drill hole samples) were collected throughout the Fox Harbour property by Search Minerals and analyzed for lithogeochemistry. In this paper, a small representative

subset of the lithogeochemistry of the ~10,000 samples is integrated with previous U-Pb zircon geochronology (Haley et al., 2013) of the rocks, and *in-situ* analyses of hafnium (Hf) isotopes of the zircon. The data are used to improve the understanding of the tectonic setting (Haley et al. 2013) and petrogenesis of the volcanism, and origin of the REE mineralization. Preliminary examination of the rocks using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) suggested that the main carrier of heavy REEs in the rocks is a yttrium-niobate mineral thought to be fergusonite (YNbO<sub>4</sub>), and allanite. However, many minerals such as samaraskite, euxenite, and pyrochlore, have compositions and physical properties similar to fergusonite, so electron microprobe analyses were used to investigate the REE mineralogy further.

# **3-2 GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Grenville Province in Labrador generally consists of medium- to high- grade rocks (Gower, 1996; Rivers, 1997, 2002, 2008, and 2009). The Fox Harbour bimodal volcanic package is located adjacent to the towns of St. Lewis and Port Hope Simpson, Labrador. This area is known to contain three separate terranes (Lake Melville, Mealy Mountain, and Pinware terranes, as seen in Figure 3-1), which are distinguished by differing lithologies, structures, metamorphic facies, along with distinctive crystallization and metamorphic ages (Gower and Owen, 1984; Schärer and Krogh, 1986; Schärer and Gower, 1988; Gower et al., 1992; Scott et al., 1993; Tucker and Gower, 1994; Gower, 1994, 1996a, 1996b,, 2005, 2009; Gower et al., 1997; Kamo et al., 1996, 2011; Wasteneys et al. 1997; Rivers, 1997). The Fox Harbour volcanic package has not been

108

assigned to one of these terranes, as it lies in an area where the terranes are amalgamated (Figure 3-2) and highly deformed (Haley et al., 2013).



Figure 3-1: Generalized geological map of Labrador. Upper left inset depicts area drawn in the following figure, and lower left inset depicts lithotectonic terranes located in eastern Labrador (Gower, 2003).



Figure 3-2: Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador. Localities legend: AR - Alexis River anorthosite; EID - Earl Island domain; GB - Gilbert Bay pluton; GRB - Gilbert River belt; KL - Kyfanan Lake layered mafic intrusion; MMIS - Mealy Mountains Intrusive Suite; PA - Paradise Arm pluton; PMGB - Paradise metasedimentary gneiss belt; PP - Picton Pond pluton; SH - Sand Hill Big Pond gabbronorite; UBB - Upper Beaver Brook pluton; UNR - Upper North River pluton; UPR - Upper Paradise River pluton; WBAC - White Bear Arm complex (Gower, 2003).

The Fox Harbour area consists of three steeply dipping volcanic belts (Road, MT, and South Belt; seen in Figure 3-3). These volcanic belts are believed to have formed in an extensional crustal environment, at 1300 Ma, based on geological relationships and *in-situ* LA-ICPMS (laser ablation inductively coupled mass spectrometer), and CA-TIMS (chemical abrasion thermal ionization mass spectrometry) U-Pb dating of magmatic zircon (Haley et al., 2013). This package was then metamorphosed to amphibolite-facies during the Grenvillian Orogeny (1050 Ma), based on mineral assemblages and textures and U-Pb ages of hydrothermal-metamorphic zircon (Haley et al., 2013; Kamo et al., 2011).

It is believed that between 1.5 to 1.3 Ga, a continental-margin arc existed along the Laurentian margin (Tucker and Gower, 1994; Corrigan, 1995; Corrigan and Hanmer, 1995; McLelland et al., 1996; Rivers and Corrigan, 2000; Davidson, 2008; Hynes and Rivers, 2010, Kamo et al., 2011). The Grenville Province contains many occurrences of rift-related rocks, suggesting that the area was subjected to both compressional and extensional architecture.

Continental rifts often contain large amounts mafic rocks, along with less voluminous rhyolite packages, which are often peralkaline (White and McKenzie, 1989). Different models exist for their formation, such as (1) deep fractional crystallization of mantle magmas in intermediate crustal magmatic chambers (Kovalenko, 1977; Litvinovsky et al., 1996, Yarmolyuk et al., 2001; Vorontsov et al., 2004; Barberi et al., 1975; Civetta et al., 1998; Peccerillo et al., 2003); (2) anatectic melting of crustal rocks triggered by the heat of basic magmas (Davies and Macdonald, 1987); (3) partial melting of basic rocks at the crustal base with the subsequent crystallization differentiation of obtained melts (Trua et al., 1999).



Figure 3-3: Fox Harbour geology, depicting three volcanic belts mapped and sampled. Sample locations are depicted. Due to the required scale of this map, the South Belt, and MT Belt appear to be touching, but that is not the case. Granitic augen gneiss separates these two belts along its entirety. Note: \* depicts U-Pb and Hf sample location.

# **3-3 LOCAL GEOLOGY**

# 3-3-1 SOUTH BELT

The South Belt is the most southern belt in the Fox Harbour area (Figure 3-3), and contains the thickest package of rhyolitic, and basaltic rocks (Haley et al., 2013). The rhyolite package is approximately 50-100 m in thickness, whereas the basaltic rocks are consistently 50-100 m for approximately 10 km (Haley et al., 2013). Rock types within the belt consist of highly deformed rhyolite (comendite, and pantellerite), basalt, quartzite, a discordant mafic sill, and an unmineralized rhyolite or aplite intrusion (Haley et al., 2013). The rhyolitic units have an extremely homogenous appearance on surface, weathering to a sandy material and are pink to grey in color (Haley et al., 2013). Mineralogy is dominated by orthoclase, albite, and quartz, with minor mineralogy consisting of biotite, magnetite, allanite, fluorite, chlorite and zircon (Haley et al., 2013).

### 3-3-2 MT BELT

The MT Belt is the central belt in the Fox Harbour area, and currently contains the most prospective occurrence of REE mineralization, termed the Foxtrot Deposit (Haley et al., 2013). Much like the South Belt, the lithological units within the belt range from rhyolite (comendite and pantellerite), basalt, quartzite, but also contains other units such as volcaniclastic/metasedimentary units, discordant mafic dyke/sills, and granitic dykes/sills. These units have been mapped to 1:10,000 at the surface (Figure 3-4), and have been traced to a depth of 500 m by diamond drill holes. As a result of the

114

exploration for REE, individual rhyolitic units have been identified via stratigraphic location, textures, and geochemistry.



Figure 3-4: Geology map of the Foxtrot Deposit (MT Belt), along with the extent of the Road Belt and South Belt around the Foxtrot Deposit. U-Pb and Hf sample locations are indicated by red stars. Lithogeochemistry samples are indicated by either filled black circles (channel sample locations), or open black circles (diamond drill hole locations).

Rhyolitic unit names in the Foxtrot Deposit are as follows: FT2, FT2x, FT3,

FT3b, FT4, FT5, and FTBuff (Figure 3-5). FT2 is the largest occurrence of rhyolite in the Foxtrot Deposit, and occurs as two 30-40 m sections separated by a 5-10 m thick unit of basalt. FT2 is characterized by its amphibole content, and slightly coarser grained nature. It is not considered prospective for REE mineralization, but is anomalous. FT2x occurs at

the bottom of FT2, and is characterized largely by its mineralogy and observed textures. FT2x contains "buck shot" equigranular magnetite grains (0.5-3 mm), along with Naamphibole and Na-pyroxene which are extremely skeletal and observed reacting to magnetite and K-feldspar. FT3 is the most prospective rhyolite unit in the Foxtrot Deposit, and ranges in thickness from 4-27 m. FT3 is discerned from adjacent units largely by the occurrence of amazonite pods/blebs, which are thought to be migmatitic melts (Haley et al., 2013). FT3 is extremely fine-grained, and is green to grey in color. FT3b contains lesser amounts of amazonite, and less prospective for REE. FT4 contains amazonite, but is less prevalent in this unit. FT5 is a unit bound by basaltic unit approximately 10-15 m below the main rhyolite volcanic stratigraphy. FT5, unlike other rhyolite units in the area contains a lot of muscovite, which is not observed as readily in other units. FTBuff is a discordant microgranite/aplite intrusion, located largely in rhyolite units FT3b and FT4.



Figure 3-5: Stratigraphy observed in the Foxtrot Deposit.

# 3-3-3 ROAD BELT

The Road Belt is the most northern belt in the Fox Harbour area (Figure 3-3, and 3-4). The belt contains similar units to those found in the MT Belt, but appears to have undergone a greater amount of deformation (Haley et al., 2013).

The Road Belt is approximately 10-50 m across much of the strike, except for at the extreme east adjacent to the town of St. Lewis. In this area the belt is thickened, likely due to folding, and/or primary stratigraphic thickness. The Road Belt contains an amazonite-bearing unit, similar to that seen in the Foxtrot Deposit, suggesting a genetic link. Rock types consist of highly deformed rhyolite (comendite, pantellerite), basalt, and an aplitic/microgranite intrusion, much like the unit FTBuff, found in the Foxtrot Deposit, of the MT Belt.

# **3-4 ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES**

# 3-4-1 LITHOGEOCHEMICAL SAMPLING FROM CHANNEL SAMPLES AND DIAMOND DRILL CORE

All lithogeochemical samples discussed in this study have been taken from surficial exposures (i.e., channel sampled) and diamond drill core. Due to the steeply dipping (60-75°) nature of the volcanic belts in the Fox Harbour area, channel sampling the surficial outcrop is effectively a horizontal diamond drill hole. This allows for the detailed stratigraphic interpretation and correlation of units at depth, discussed earlier in section 3.2.

Channel samples are 10 cm deep by 8 cm wide, and were cut using a gas-powered diamond saw from cleared outcrops. Each channel is cut into two vertical sections, similar to drill core, with a 6 cm thick section (weathering removed) being sent out for assay. A 2 cm thick section is stored in channel boxes for reference and to provide due diligence/verification samples. The channels are cut perpendicular to strike, pieced

together, logged, and photographed to produce geological and geochemical sections. These channel samples, or horizontal drill holes, produce the same data as vertical diamond drill holes, except the data are from horizontal geological sections and the collected sample is 6 to 8 times bigger than NQ drill core.

Diamond drill holes (NQ drill core size, 47.6mm inside diameter) were designed to intersect mineralization across the strike of the Foxtrot Deposit, at depths of 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300, 350, 400, and 450 m. The diamond drill holes used in this study are FT-11-10, FT-11-17, FT-11-20, and FT-11-21 (Figure 3-4). Diamond drill hole FT-11-21 is the representative drill hole for the Foxtrot Deposit, with supplemental data from FT-11-17/20.

Diamond drill holes were logged according to company procedures, similar to channel sample logging. Drill core was then cut in half using a diamond bladed circular saw. Half of the core is kept for due-diligence purposes, and the other half is sent away for lithogeochemical analysis. Sample lengths tend to less than or equal to 1.0 m, while inducing as little lithological mixing as possible.

# 3-4-2 LITHOGEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS

Lithogeochemical samples were crushed at Activation Laboratories Ltd., located in Goose Bay, Labrador. Samples were initially crushed 80%-mesh and riffled to produce a representative sample. This sample is then pulverized to 95%-200 mesh with the pulverizing mills being cleaned after every sample with cleaning sand. Samples were then transported to the Activation Laboratories (ActLabs) Ltd. analytical facility in Ancaster, Ontario. A representative sample is treated by a lithium metaborate/tetraborate fusion and then analyzed by inductively coupled plasma/optical emission spectroscopy (ICP/OES) and mass spectrometry (ICP/MS). Mass balance is carried out as an additional quality control technique, and elemental totals of the oxides should be between 98.5% and 101%. For QA/QC purposes Search Minerals Inc. requires duplicates every 25 samples and two Search Minerals reproducibility standards every 50 samples. ActLabs analyses duplicates and splits approximately every 15 samples and also analyses 29 measured standards for QA/QC. ActLabs is an ISO/IEC 17025 accredited laboratory.

#### 3-4-3 ELECTRON MICROPROBE ANALYSIS OF ZIRCON AND FERGUSONITE

Grains of zircon and the yttrium-niobate mineral thought to be fergusonite were located in polished thin sections using a FEI Quanta 650 field emission scanning electron microscope – mineral liberation analyzer (SEM-MLA) at Memorial University, St. John's, NL (Sylvester, 2012). The instrument is equipped with automated software for backscattered electron (BSE) imaging and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis. Rare earth element minerals in REE-enriched pegmatites, and A- to I-type granites/rhyolites commonly contain members of fergusonite, samaraskite, euxenite, and pyrochlore groups (Ecrit, 2005). These minerals have the general chemical formula (Y, REE, U, Th)-(Nb, Ta, Ti) oxide.

A JXA JEOL-8900L electron probe micro-analyzer (EPMA) at McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, was utilized to determine the identity of the yttriumniobate grains in polished sections of two samples. Zircon grains were also analyzed on the EPMA to determine if magmatic and hydrothermal-metamorphic zircon had variable compositions associated with primary igneous crystallization and post-crystallization dissolution and re-precipitation. The analysed areas of the minerals were homogeneous in BSE images and free from inclusions, pore space and surface contamination. Operating conditions included a beam of 5 μm diameter, 20 kV accelerating voltage, and 20 nA beam current. Calibration standards and count times were: Ta (K<sub>2</sub>Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 100s); Na (Na<sub>2</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 20s); Ca (Diopside, 20s); Y (Y garnet, 20s); Fe (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 20s); Eu (MAC\_Eu, 100s); Si (Zircon, 20s); Ti (TiO<sub>2</sub>, 20s); Nb (Na<sub>2</sub>Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 20s); Mn (Spessartine, 20s); Er (MAC-Er, 50s); Pb (Vanadinite, 100s); Zr (Zircon, 20s); Ce (MAC-Ce, 50s); Th (ThO<sub>2</sub>, 100s);); U (UO<sub>2</sub>, 100s); La (MAC-La, 50s); Nd (MAC-Nd, 100s); Pr (MAC-Pr, 100s); Dy (MAC-Dy, 50s); Sm (MAC-Sm, 50s); Gd (MAC-Gd, 50s); Yb (MAC-Yb, 50s); Hf (Zircon, 100s).

#### *3-4-4 IN-SITU LUTETIUM-HAFNIUM ISOTOPE ANALYSIS OF ZIRCON*

*In-situ* analysis of hafnium isotopes in zircon, coupled with U-Pb age provides further understanding of the primary, and metamorphic processes affecting this area. The six thin sections chosen by Haley et al. (2013) for *in-situ* U-Pb zircon dating were also analyzed for *in-*situ Hf isotopic analysis. *In-situ* Lu-Hf analyses were made on 40 or 49um spots made directly over the *in-situ* U-Pb LA-ICPMS pits. Lu-Hf analyses were collected using a Finnigan NEPTUNE double focusing, high-resolution multi-collector inductively coupled mass spectrometer (MC-ICPMS) operated in static mode at Memorial University, St. Johns, NL. Ablation pits were made with Lambda Physik ComPex Pro 110 ArF excimer GeoLas laser ablation system operating at a wavelength of 193nm and a pulse width of 20 ns. Zircon grains were located in thin section via previously acquired BSE and cathodoluminescence images, collected by Haley et al. (2013).

Instrument operating conditions, data collection parameters and data reduction procedures are given in Souders et al. (2013). Reference zircons were measured along with the unknown zircons to monitor the accuracy of <sup>176</sup>Yb and <sup>176</sup>Lu interference and Lu and Hf isotope mass bias corrections. The reference zircon chosen for this study were Plešovice ( $^{176}$ Yb/ $^{177}$ Hf = 0.003 to 0.008) and FC-1 ( $^{176}$ Yb/ $^{177}$ Hf = 0.02 to 0.07), which were ablated at the beginning, middle, and end of the set of analyses for every thin section. The average value of  $^{176}$ Hf/ $^{177}$ Hf for the Pleišovice zircon was 0.282471 ±0.000028 (n=13), compared to the accepted value of 0.282482 ± 0.000013 (Sláma et al., 2008). Analysis of the FC-1 zircon gave a value of 0.282180 ±0.000073 (n=15), compared to the accepted value of 0.282182± 0.00014 (Vervoort, 2010).

Initial Hf-isotope ratios were calculated using <sup>176</sup>Lu decay constant of 1.867x10-11/yr (Söderlund et al., 2004). Epsilon Hf (*t*) values were calculated using the chondritic values of <sup>176</sup>Lu/<sup>177</sup>Hf=0.0336 and <sup>176</sup>Hf/<sup>177</sup>Hf=0.282785 (Bouvier et al., 2008). Depleted mantle model Hf ages are determined assuming <sup>176</sup>Lu/<sup>177</sup>Hf = 0.010 for felsic crustal sources (Pietranik et al., 2008) and a depleted mantle with a present day <sup>176</sup>Hf/<sup>177</sup>Hf ratio of 0.28325 and <sup>176</sup>Lu/<sup>177</sup>Hf ratio of 0.0388 (Griffin et al., 2000; updated by Andersen et al., 2009).

## **3-5 RESULTS**

## 3-5-1 LITHOGEOCHEMISTRY

Major and trace element data for the lithogeochemical samples used in this study are tabulated in Appendix A. Sample locations are plotted on a map of the study area in the same Appendix.

The rhyolite units display diverse geochemical characteristics, which in many cases coincide with mineralogical and textural differences visible in hand specimen, and outcrop. Generally, the rhyolite units are peralkaline in nature (Figure 3-8c, andFigure 3-12c). Many of the units are characterized by sodic pyroxene (aegirine-augite) ± sodic amphibole (K-hastingsite, arfvedsonite, ferrorichterite), confirming that these units are peralkaline. Variably distressed aegirine-augite grains are depicted in Figure 3-6. The two most mineralized units are displayed in Figure 3-6 (FT2x, and FT3), as these units tend to have the most well preserved sodic minerals. Although not pictured with photomicrograph, small sodic amphibole grains have been recorded using the SEM-MLA. Aegirine-augite is much more abundant, although often skeletal, and reacting to magnetite and K-feldspar.



Figure 3-6: Photomicrographs from the most mineralized units in the Foxtrot Deposit, as these units have the most well preserved examples of aegirine-augite. (A) A large grain of aegirine-augite displaying 90° cleavage, from the interval FT-11-22 (182.3m), unit FT3. (B) Large grain of aegirine-augite from interval FT-10-04 (116.4m), unit FT2x. (C) Skeletal aegirine-augite displaying small "buck-shot" magnetite within the grains. A small rim of K-feldspar  $\pm$  qtz is present around each magnetite grain. From interval FT-11-22 (169m), from unit FT2x. (E) A heavily cleavaged grain of aegirine-augite, from sample FT-11-22 (169m), from unit FT2x.

A detailed description of the lithological units determined via surface and diamond drill hole, and channel logging is presented in Section 4.1. The focus of this lithogeochemical study will be the rhyolitic units, as sampling was focused on these units during exploration for REE. A small representative subset of the basaltic units present in each belt will be briefly described as well. As discussed earlier, a much more detailed interpretation has been created for the MT Belt, due to it being the focus of exploration within the project area (i.e., Foxtrot Deposit within the MT Belt).

Geochemical diagrams used will be those designed for use with altered, and metamorphosed volcanic rocks. These diagrams often use trace elements, as they are believed to be less mobile, and are more representative of the primary igneous geochemistry. Simple X-Y diagrams are utilized in testing the mobility of both major elements, and trace elements. Specific diagrams that will be discussed and used for interpretation are:

- Winchester & Floyd's (1977) Nb/Y vs Zr/TiO<sub>2</sub>, designed to distinguish rock type in altered volcanic rocks by utilizing immobile elements;
- (2) Shand's (1927) Index, as presented in Maniar and Picolli (1989), which utilizes major element geochemistry to determine the degree of alumina saturation. Due to the metamorphosed nature (along with possible metasomatism) of the volcanic rocks in the Fox Harbour area, this index is likely not representative of the primary igneous geochemistry, and shouldn't be the sole determination of the alumina saturation.

- (3) Irvine and Baragar's (1971) AFM diagram, used to determine whether subalkaline basalts are tholeiitic or calc-alkaline (where  $A = Na_2O + K_2O$ ,  $F = FeO + (0.8998 \text{ x Fe}_2O_3)$ , and M = MgO).
- (4) A diagram designed by Macdonald (1974) for mildly peralkaline volcanic rocks, using FeO vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; (Rock types that apply plot in the com/pan field (comendite/pantellerite) on the Winchester and Floyd (1977) diagram. Macdonald's (1974) diagram subdivides the units to: comendite, comenditic trachyte, pantellerite, or pantelleritic trachyte.)
- (5) Harker diagrams, designed by Harker (1909), which are simple X-Y diagrams plotting SiO<sub>2</sub> on the x-axis, against other major oxides on the Y-axis; (Harker diagrams are readily affected by metamorphism and alteration, but are designed to show the evolution of magmas.)
- (6) Chondrite normalized REE diagrams, after Sun and McDonough (1989).
- (7) A La/Sm vs Gd/Lu diagram, which is utilized to quantify the variations observed on the chondrite normalized REE plots; (This diagram effectively plots the slope of the LREE, against the slope of the HREE. Geochemical assays that have similar LREE and HREE slopes plot as clusters.)
- (8) Various X-Y diagrams, plotting incompatible, immobile elements on the axes;(These diagrams vary between each unit, and are utilized in determining various geochemical patterns not readily visible in previously mentioned diagrams.)

All lithogeochemical sample locations (diamond drill holes, and channels) are shown in Figure 3-3, and 3-4

# 3-5-1-1 South Belt Lithogeochemistry

The entire South Belt (north to south) has been channel sampled to observe geochemical variations across the belt (Channel names: FHWT-5-11, and FHWT-13-17), as seen in Figure 3-7. These channels, cut perpendicular to the strike of the belt demonstrates that the rock type of the South Belt is extremely homogenous, and lithological distinctions are extremely difficult to discern in hand sample. This is partly due to the extremely fine-grained nature of the belt, making absolute mineral identification difficult in hand sample, as discussed in Section 3.1, and Haley et al. (2013). Variations consist of slight differences in phenocryst size, amount of quartz veins, and magnetite content. Although difficult to determine in hand sample, this approach has revealed several notable geochemical features of the belt that would have been otherwise overlooked. Samples that included more than one lithological unit (i.e., mixtures of two rock units) were excluded from interpretation.



Figure 3-7: Geology map of the Foxtrot Deposit. Inset depicts close up of general location for lithogeochemical samples analyzed for the South Belt. U-Pb and Hf sample locations are indicated by red stars. Lithogeochemistry samples are indicated by either filled black circles (channel sample locations), or open black circles (diamond drill hole locations). Inset depicts close up of channel locations chosen in the South Belt (yellow lines). Also depicted is a proposed boundary between the pantelleritic and comenditic units within the South Belt.

Analyzed rhyolite samples plot in the comendite/pantellerite field (Figure 3-8a) on the Zr/TiO<sub>2</sub> vs Nb/Ydiagram (Winchester and Floyd, 1977; Maniar and Picolli, 1989). Using the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs FeO plot (Figure 3-8c) designed by Macdonald (1974), to differentiate peralkaline rhyolites, the rhyolite units are further classified as comendite and pantellerite (Macdonald, 1974). Basaltic units in the South Belt are generally subalkaline basalts (Figure 3-8a), but a number of samples plot in the alkaline basalt field, and andesite field
(Winchester and Floyd, 1977). These slight geochemical variations are not discernable in hand sample, as all the rocks described as basalt look similar. Geochemically, the rhyolite units in the South Belt roughly display pantellerite lithogeochemistry in the north, and more of a comenditic lithogeochemistry in the south. This transition occurs roughly in the center of channel FHWT-11, as shown in the inset of Figure 3-7. A proposed lithogeochemical boundary has been created for the South Belt, as indicated by the dashed line in Figure 3-7. This boundary is used to indicate the location of pantellerite dominant (north) versus comendite dominant (south) throughout the South Belt, although both comendites and pantellerites are seen on either side of this boundary.



Figure 3-8: Geochemical diagrams for the South Belt. (A) Rhyolite and basaltic units plotted on Winchester and Floyd's (1977) diagram for determining rock type; (B) Basaltic units plotted on Irvine and Baragar's (1971) diagram, used to determine if the subalkaline basalts are calc-alkaline or tholeiitic; (C) Rhyolite units plotted on Macdonald's (1974) diagram, utilized in mildly peralkaline volcanic rocks; (D) Rhyolite and basaltic units plotted on Shand's (1927) Index, after Maniar and Picolli (1989).

The contents of all major elements decrease with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> (Figure 3-9),

while a few elements such as Na<sub>2</sub>O, K<sub>2</sub>O, and CaO are slightly more clustered. (Harker,

1909).



Figure 3-9: Harker Diagrams for the South Belt, displaying the rhyolitic (comendite – pink diamond, and pantellerite – blue square) units (Harker, 1909). (A)  $SiO_2$  vs  $Al_2O_3$ , (B)  $SiO_2$  vs FeO, (C)  $SiO_2$  vs MnO, (D)  $SiO_2$  vs MgO, (E),  $SiO_2$  vs CaO, (F)  $SiO_2$  vs Na<sub>2</sub>O, (G)  $SiO_2$ , vs K<sub>2</sub>O, and (I)  $SiO_2$  vs TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Trace elements are shown to have quite a complicated relationship, in which the X-Y immobile vs immobile plot (i.e.: Zr vs Y) displays variable ratios depending on the amount of each element present. The ratio ranges from ~5 to ~13, with the majority of the samples ranging between 6-10 (Figure 3-10a). The Zr vs Y diagrams also displays that the pantellerite units have higher concentrations of these elements with respect to the comendites. Chondrite normalized spider diagrams display very similar REE patterns for all units, with small variations in the slope of the LREE and HREE (Figure 3-10b). The La/Sm vs Gd/Lu plot shows the small slope differences displayed on the Chondrite normalized plot, where the pantellerites generally plot on the left side of this diagram. The La vs Dy (i.e.: LREE vs HREE) plot displays the tendency of the pantellerite units to have more HREE with respect to LREE. This is displayed as a very slight distinction in slopes between the pantellerite (ratio of 2-4) and comendites (2.5-8).



Figure 3-10: (A) Zr vs Y diagram for the rhyolite units of the South Belt, (B) Chondrite normalized spider diagrams for the rhyolite and basaltic units of the South Belt, (C) La/Sm vs Gd/Lu diagram for the rhyolite units in the South Belt, (D) La vs Dy diagram for the rhyolite units of the South Belt.

# 3-5-1-2 MT Belt Lithogeochemistry

For the purposes of this study, a single representative drill hole (FT-11-21)

intersecting a representative section of the entire Foxtrot Deposit will be presented.

Supplemental data from both channel samples (FTC-11-08), and diamond drill holes (FT-

11-17, and FT-11-20) will be utilized to ensure every unit is adequately represented. All

diamond drill hole collar locations, along with channel sample locations are presented in Figure 3-11.



Figure 3-11: Geology map of the Foxtrot Deposit, within the MT Belt. U-Pb and Hf sample locations are indicated by red stars. Lithogeochemistry samples are indicated by either filled black circles (channel sample locations), or open black circles (diamond drill hole locations).

All rhyolitic units are peralkaline, largely determined via indicator minerals mentioned earlier, such as sodic amphiboles, and sodic pyroxenes. Units FT2, FT2x, FT3, FT3b, FT4, and FT5 plot in the comendite/pantellerite field on the Nb/Y vs Zr/TiO<sub>2</sub> diagram, while FTBuff plots in the rhyolite field (Winchester and Floyd, 1977). Basaltic units plot in the subalkaline basalt field, with some samples plotting in the andesite/basalt

field (Winchester and Floyd, 1977). Basaltic samples are further classified as tholeiitic on the AFM diagram (Irvine and Baragar, 1971). Using the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs FeO plot, units FT2, FT2x, FT3, FT3b, FT4, and FT5 are further classified as comendite and pantellerite (Macdonald, 1974). Lithological unit FT2 largely plots in the comendite field, with a small amount of scatter to the pantellerite field. Rock unit FT2x plots in the pantellerite field, as a sub-horizontal line. Units FT3, FT3b, and FT4 also plot in the pantellerite field, but occur as clusters, with unit FT3 and FT3b having lower Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (i.e.: plotting lower on the FeO vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> plot). FT5 plots in both the comendite and pantellerite field.



Figure 3-12: (A) Nb/Y vs Zr/TiO<sub>2</sub> diagram for the rhyolitic, basaltic, and aplite units of the MT Belt (Winchester and Floyd, 1977), (B) AFM diagram for the basaltic units of the MT Belt, (C) FeO vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diagram for the rhyolite, and aplite intrusions of the MT Belt (Macdonald, 1974).

Major elements in the MT Belt are slightly more scattered than in the South Belt.

Major elements FeO, CaO, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> decrease with increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> content. Major

elements Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>O, and K<sub>2</sub>O are generally clustered when plotted against SiO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure 3-13: Harker Diagrams for MT Belt, displaying the rhyolitic (comendite and pantellerite) units FT2, FT2x, FT3, FT3b, FT4, and FT5, along with the microgranite intrusion FTBuff (Harker, 1909). (A) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (B) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs FeO, (C) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MnO, (D) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MgO, (E), SiO<sub>2</sub> vs CaO, (F) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs Na<sub>2</sub>O, (G) SiO<sub>2</sub>, vs K<sub>2</sub>O, (H) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MnO, (I) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Using an immobile vs immobile element plot, such as Zr vs Y, or Zr vs Dy, we are able to determine if these elements acted as immobile elements during metamorphism. The Zr vs Y plot displays a consistent slope of approximately 10:1, while the Zr vs Dy plot displays a consist ratio of 5:1. This indicates that although elemental variation between different elements exist, (i.e.: Zr vs Dy, and Zr vs Y) and contain different slopes, they are consistent throughout the geochemical spectrum observed in the area, suggesting they acted as immobile elements during all post crystallization processes (volcanic, hydrothermal, other weathering processes, deformation, and metamorphism). As with the South Belt, chondrite normalized spider diagrams display very similar REE patterns, showing very little variation between each rhyolite unit. Unit FT5 has a shallow LREE slope, and a steeper HREE slope than the rest of the units; this is also seen in the La/Sm vs Gd/Lu plot.



Figure 3-14: Geochemical diagrams for the MT Belt. (A) Y vs Zr diagram for the rhyolite and aplite units of the MT Belt, (B) Zr vs Dy diagram for the rhyolite and aplite units of the MT Belt, (C) La/Sm vs Gd/Lu diagram for the rhyolite and aplite units of the MT Belt.



Figure 3-15: Spider diagrams for each unit in the MT Belt. (A) FT2, (B) FT2x, (C) FT3, (D) FT3b, (E) FT4, (F) FT5, (G) FTBuff, and (H) Basalt.

## 3-5-1-3 Road Belt Lithogeochemistry

The deepest diamond drill holes designed to intersect the Foxtrot Deposit also intersected the Road Belt. Two diamond drill hole intersections (FT-11-33, and FT-11-47), along with surficial data from channels (FHC-32-40, and FHRBC-11-01-FHRBC-11-02) will be presented in this study (see Figure 3-4). Naming of these units (i.e.: like the Foxtrot Deposit naming scheme) is difficult, and not possible yet. Therefore, all rock names are based on lithogeochemical signatures. Samples that included more than one lithological unit (i.e., mixtures), and the granitic augen gneiss were excluded.

Many of the rhyolite samples that were analyzed for lithogeochemistry from the Road Belt are peralkaline, as determined by the presence of sodic amphiboles, and sodic pyroxenes. Units that were deemed peralkaline plot in the comendite/pantellerite field (Figure 3-16a) while other lesser mineralized (non peralkaline) rhyolites plot in the rhyolite field, while the microgranite/aplite dyke plots in the rhyodacite/dacite field on the diagram designed by Winchester and Floyd (1977) (Figure 3-16a).

Geochemical units have been separated based on a few different criteria. Pantellerites in the Road Belt tend to contain a high amount of magnetite, sodic pyroxenes, titanite, and amazonite. Often times this mineralogy has been disturbed, and is difficult to determine prior to the acquisition of geochemical data. Comendite units in the Road Belt are extremely difficult to discern from adjacent highly mylonitized, and migmatized granitic augen gneiss prior to obtaining lithogeochemistry results. Magnetite content, and the presence of skeletal sodic pyroxenes (similar to those seen in Figure 3-6) are the only way to distinguish from the granitic augen gneiss in drill core. Filled and hollow red circles are pantellerites. The pantellerites have been separated by their REE/Zr ratio (Figure 3-18a and b), where filled red circles have a higher REE/Zr, and vice-versa. This REE/Zr ratio assumes that all REE are acting the same, and the ratio remains generally the same throughout. The pantellerites are also the most mineralized samples with regards to REE and HFSE. Pink diamonds are comendites, and tend to be moderately mineralized with respect to REE and HFSE. Blue squares are metaluminous rhyolites, which have been separated from the pantellerites (red circles), and moderately mineralized comendites (pink diamonds) using the Winchester and Floyd (1977) plot.



Figure 3-16: Geochemical diagrams for the Road Belt. (A) Nb/Y vs  $Zr/TiO_2$  diagram for the rhyolitic, basaltic, and aplite units of the Road Belt (Winchester and Floyd, 1977), (B) AFM diagram for the basaltic units of the Road Belt, (C) Shand's (1927) Index, as presented by Maniar and Picolli (1989) for the rhyolite, basaltic, and aplite units of the Road Belt, (D) FeO vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diagram for the rhyolite, and aplite intrusions of the Road Belt.

Major elements in the Road Belt are quite scattered, possibly due to the fact that they have been affected by metamorphism and/or there are primary lithogeochemical differences between the units. The more mineralized pantelleritic units (i.e.: those containing magnetite, amazonite, sodic pyroxene  $\pm$  sodic amphiboles) show much more variation than the lesser mineralized comendites. The pantelleritic units display erratic  $Al_2O_3$ ,  $Na_2O$ , and  $K_2O$ , much lower than adjacent units (Figure 3-17a, e, f), possibly due to post deposition metasomatic alteration often observed in subaerial volcanics. FeO appears to have remained fairly immobile, with the pantellerites plotting above the comenditic units, but generally decreasing with increasing  $SiO_2$  (Figure 3-17b). Generally the rhyolite units (non-peralkaline) appear as clusters, which have likely not undergone the same metasomatic alteration and/or metamorphism. CaO and TiO2 appear to have remained immobile, and decrease with increasing  $SiO_2$  (Figure 3-17e, and h).



Figure 3-17: Harker Diagrams for the Road Belt, displaying the rhyolitic units (Harker, 1909). (A) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, (B) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs FeO, (C) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MnO, (D) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MgO, (E), SiO<sub>2</sub> vs CaO, (F) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs Na<sub>2</sub>O, (G) SiO<sub>2</sub>, vs K<sub>2</sub>O, (H) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MnO, (I) SiO<sub>2</sub> vs TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Much like the previously discussed belts, the trace elements appear to be relatively immobile, where individual rock types follow the same ratio through the entire geochemical spectrum. The first most prominent distinction is that of the pantelleritic units, which clearly display two trends on a simple XY immobile vs immobile plots (i.e.: Zr vs La, La vs Hf) (Figure 3-18a and b). These two trends have been termed higher REE/Zr pantellerite and lower REE/Zr pantellerite, where these units generally display a correlation between the Zr and REE content. The higher REE/Zr pantellerites have an approximate ratio of 10:1, whereas the lower REE/Zr pantellerites have a ratio of approximately 15:1. This suggests a slightly different unit (possibly a different volcanic package) is present in the Road Belt. The general patterns of the Chondrite-normalized REE spider plots are consistent within units, with more variability in the pantelleritic units (Figure 3-19a and b), which is expected with slightly different units present. Light REE slopes vs HREE slopes can be compared by using the plot La/Sm vs Gd/Lu (Figure 3-18c), and in the case of the Road Belt, it displays the variability described previously. The pantellerites are scattered, which is expected when observing the spider diagram for these units, as they tend to be slightly variable. Comenditic units are less scattered, agreeing with the consistency of the Chondrite-normalized spider diagrams for these units. The aplite/microgranite plots in a small cluster (Figure 3-18c).



Figure 3-18: Geochemical diagrams for the rhyolitic units of the Road Belt (A) Zr vs La, (B) La vs Hf, and (C) La/Sm vs Gd/Lu.



Figure 3-19: Spider diagrams for the rhyolitic, basaltic, and aplitic units of the Road Belt. (A) Pantellerite (higher REE/Zr ratio), (B) Pantellerite (lower REE/Zr ratio), (C) Comendite and rhyolite (D) microgranite/aplite, and (E) basalt.

### 3-5-2 ELECTRON MICROPROBE ANALYSIS

#### 3-5-2-1 Electron Microprobe Analysis of Fergusonite

Two thin sections, one from the MT Belt, and one from the South Belt (FT-11-10 (187.5m) and FHWT-17-13) were chosen for the analysis (Figure 3-11). Sample FHWT-17-13 is from the comenditic southern section of the South Belt (Figure 3-7). The MT Belt sample, FT-11-10 (187.5m) is a pantellerite from the unit FT3, discussed in detail in section 3.2.

Ercit (2005) developed a method of differentiating the yttrium-niobate mineral groups of fergusonite, samaraskite, euxenite, and pyrochlore. The method involved using statistical discrimination of certain elements that are commonly found in these mineral groups. These elements, and elements groups consist of Na, Ca, Pb, Fe\*, Y, LREE, HREE, U\*, Ti, Nb, and Ta\* (Ecrit, 2005). The variables utilized in the following diagram are CV1 and CV2, otherwise known as canonical variables 1 and 2, and are further discussed in Ercit (2005). The mathematical formulas used to create these variables are:

 $CV1 = 0.172 \text{ Na} - 0.027 \text{ Ca} + 0.058 \text{ Fe}^* + 0.069 \text{ Pb} - 0.306 \text{ Y} - 0.167 \text{ LREE} - 0.237 \text{ HREE} - 0.093 \text{ U}^* + 0.108 \text{ Ti} - 0.016 \text{ Nb} - 0.013 \text{ Ta}^* + 5.60 \text{ (oxide wt.\%)}$ 

**CV2** = 0.275 **Na** + 0.089 **Ca** - 0.152 **Fe\*** + 0.414 **Pb** + 0.148 **Y** + 0.249 **LREE** + 0.118 **HREE** + 0.067 **U\*** + 0.305 **Ti** + 0.066 **Nb** + 0.083 **Ta\*** - 10.01 (oxide wt.%) Using this statistical method of differentiating these (Y, REE, U, Th) – (Nb, Ta, Ti) oxide minerals, it was confirmed that the REE-bearing mineral in the Fox Harbour area is in fact fergusonite, as seen in Figure 3-20.



Figure 3-20: Plot designed by Ercit (2005) utilized in distinguishing yttrium-niobate mineral groups. Both samples from Fox Harbour (FT-11-10 (187.5m), and FHWT-17-13) plot in the fergusonite group field, as indicated by a filled blue field.

REE are major elements in the analyzed fergusonite, forming a total of  $\sim 20 - 29$  wt.% of the mineral (Table 1). Chondrite-normalized REE patterns are fairly consistent from grain to grain, with HREE enriched relative to LREE and large negative Eu

anomalies. The LREE pattern has a positive slope increasing from La to Sm, whereas the HREE pattern is much more flat, ranging from slightly positive to slightly negative (Figure 3-21, and 3-22).

There are some differences in the fergusonite between the two analyzed samples. South Belt comendite sample FHWT-17-13 displays more strongly LREE depleted patterns with concentrations of La at  $\sim 100 - 1000 \text{ x}$  chondrites and Sm at  $\sim 10,000 \text{ to}$ 50,000 x chondrites. Negative Eu anomalies are very large, with Eu/Eu\* (chondritenormalized Eu/mean of chondrite-normalized Sm and Gd) of  $\sim 0.001 - 0.002$ . HREE are enriched at  $\sim 100,000 \text{ x}$  chondrites.

For fergusonite grains in MT Belt pantellerite sample FT-11-10 (187.5 m), LREE tend to be more enriched than in sample FHWT-17-13: concentrations of La range from  $\sim$ 5,000 – 10,000 x chondrites whereas Sm are at  $\sim$ 50,000 to 100,000 x chondrites. Negative Eu anomalies are variable, with Eu/Eu\* of  $\sim$ 0.001 – 0.09. HREE are enriched at  $\sim$ 100,000 x chondrites, as in sample FHWT-17-13.

	FT-11-10 (187.5) Main (FT3) Belt						F	FHWT-17-13 South Belt		
	YNb-1	YNb-2	YNb-3	YNb-4	YNb-5	YNb-6	YNb-1	YNb-2	YNb-3	YNb-4
SiO2	2.09	2.62	1.80	3.56	3.56	0.51	0.18	0.02	0.35	0.25
TiO2	0.60	0.85	0.38	0.58	0.65	0.29	0.82	0.13	0.87	0.73
MnO	0.38	0.53	0.54	0.96	0.39	0.12	<0.01	0.04	<0.09	<0.01
CaO	1.80	2.23	1.53	3.91	3.26	0.67	0.09	0.35	0.04	0.11
Na2O	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Nb2O5	44.89	43.61	45.70	44.58	44.37	45.96	47.21	48.18	48.29	46.43
Ta2O5	0.53	0.50	1.62	1.58	1.06	1.22	0.65	0.74	0.26	0.42
UU2 ThO2	0.60	0.53	0.59	0.66	0.21	0.63	0.28	0.89	0.23	0.35
PhO	0.67	2.23	0.94	0.13	0.09	<0.59	0.06	0.06	0.47	0.25
ZrO2	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.08
HfO2	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.11	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.04	0.06
Y2O3	19.84	18.61	24.42	19.34	19.81	22.85	27.79	28.95	29.15	28.26
La2O3	0.091	0.166	0.137	0.278	0.541	0.116	0.001	0.013	0.041	0.005
Ce2O3	1.12	1.32	0.77	1.80	2.69	0.97	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.09
PrzO3	0.75	0.71	1.70	0.47	0.53	0.54	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03
Sm2O3	2.20	2.24	0.73	0.90	1.01	1.73	0.53	0.25	0.56	0.59
Eu2O3	0.043	0.104	0.001	0.052	< 0.001	0.098	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
Gd2O3	6.05	5.73	4.63	3.95	4.17	5.54	5.12	2.97	5.43	5.19
Tb2O3	0.99	0.93	0.83	0.72	0.75	0.92	0.94	0.62	0.99	0.93
Dy2O3	5.90	5.54	5.50	4.83	5.03	5.65	6.50	4.80	6.78	6.22
Ho2O3	1.08	0.99	1.05	0.87	0.98	1.02	1.17	1.09	1.26	1.16
Er2O3	2.45	2.21	2.57	1.95	2.48	2.27	2.60	3.23	2.95	2.73
Yh2O3	2.04	1.94	2 23	2 21	2.31	2.22	2.88	5.05	2.81	3.20
Lu2O3	0.27	0.26	0.30	0.35	0.33	0.32	0.45	0.88	0.40	0.51
TOTAL	101.13	100.79	99.14	98.72	100.14	100.33	100.48	100.90	102.14	98.88
		St	tructural form	ulae calculate	d on the basi	s of 2 (A + B) c	ations and 4 (O)			
Ti	0.020	0.028	0.013	0.018	0.021	0.010	0.027	0.004	0.028	0.025
Si	0.091	0.113	0.079	0.148	0.149	0.023	0.008	0.001	0.015	0.011
Fe(3+)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024	0.009	0.031	0.001	0.006
Nb	0.881	0.851	0.901	0.840	0.840	0.927	0.947	0.952	0.951	0.946
Ta	0.006	0.006	0.019	0.018	0.012	0.015	0.008	0.009	0.003	0.005
Zr Hf	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.002
B cations	1 000	1 001	1 014	1 028	1 024	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	0.001
D Gallonio	1.000	1.001		1.020	1.021	1.000		1.000		0.000
Ca	0.084	0.103	0.072	0.175	0.146	0.032	0.004	0.016	0.002	0.005
Na Ee(2+)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000
re(2+) Mn	0.013	0.019	0.020	0.033	0.014	0.019	0.000	0.023	0.002	0.000
Y	0.458	0.428	0.567	0.429	0.441	0.543	0.656	0.674	0.676	0.677
La	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.008	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000
Ce	0.018	0.021	0.012	0.027	0.041	0.016	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Pr	0.012	0.011	0.004	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Nd	0.094	0.095	0.028	0.040	0.047	0.073	0.010	0.003	0.009	0.010
Sm	0.033	0.033	0.011	0.013	0.015	0.027	0.008	0.004	0.008	0.009
Gd	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Tb	0.014	0.013	0.012	0.010	0.010	0.013	0.014	0.009	0.014	0.014
Dy	0.083	0.077	0.077	0.065	0.068	0.081	0.093	0.068	0.095	0.090
Но	0.015	0.014	0.015	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.015	0.017	0.017
Er	0.033	0.030	0.035	0.025	0.032	0.032	0.036	0.044	0.040	0.038
Tm	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.009	0.006	0.006
Yb	0.027	0.025	0.030	0.028	0.029	0.030	0.039	0.067	0.037	0.044
LU Dh	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.012	0.005	0.007
г» U	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001
Th	0.007	0.022	0.009	0.013	0.002	0.006	0.013	0.001	0.002	0.003
A cations	1.000	0.999	0.986	0.972	0.976	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.005
CV1	1.55	1.38	0.64	0.17	0.83	1.35	1.01	0.78	1.46	1.01
CV2	-5.99	-5.55	-5.96	-4.54	-5.10	-6.71	-7.15	-7.46	-7.63	-6.87

Table 3-1: Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for fergusonite

Note: Tb2O3, Ho2O3, Tm2O3, Lu2O3 are calculated values determined by interpolation from chondrite-normalized REE pattern. Fe(3+) calculated from total FeO\* to make total number of B cations equal 1 (or approach 1 were the total Fe is insufficient). CV1 and CV2 are discrimination variables for the chemical classification of (Y,REE,U,Th)-(Nb,Ta,Ti) oxide minerals from the three-group model of Ercit (2005).



Figure 3-21: Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for fergusonite grains from sample FHWT-17-13.



Figure 3-22: Chondrite-normalized REE patterns for fergusonite grains from sample FT-11-10 (187.5m).

## 3-5-2-2 Electron Microprobe Analysis of Zircon

Zircon from the Fox Harbour area often exhibits very interesting morphologies, and textures. Some of the textures observed consist of well- to poorly- defined oscillatory zoning, bimodal (cauliflower zoning), sector zoning, local recrystallization, along with variable amounts of cracking, and microporous voids/pits (Haley et al., 2013). Features within the zircon correlate with the analyzed *in-situ* U-Pb age (Haley et al., 2013). Attempting to understand the geochemical signature of the different age populations allows for further understanding of the processes that affected these rocks.

A total of four thin sections were chosen for EPMA analysis on zircon. All three belts are represented by thin sections, along with a sample of the granitic augen gneiss. Thin sections include FHWT-17-13 – South Belt, FT-11-10 (187.5m) – MT Belt (unit FT3), FHC-32-01 – Road Belt, and FT-10-05 (13.8 m) – granitic augen gneiss. Most of the interesting zircon morphologies observed in the Fox Harbour area are represented in this dataset.

Sample FHWT-17-13, from the South Belt included a large cluster of zircon grains, with approximately 9 analyses from in and around this cluster. All grains are almost featureless in BSE, with only small cracks present throughout the grains. Sample FT-11-10 (187.5m) from unit FT3 within the MT Belt contains eight analyses throughout the entire thin section. Grain morphology in this sample is consistent, ranging in size from 50-100  $\mu$ m, often displaying small pits/voids in the center of the grain. Sample FHC-32-01, from the Road Belt contains the most variable zircon morphology from those analyzed in this study. As discussed in Haley et al. (2013), there are many different zircon textures present in the Fox Harbour area. The majority of the grains in sample FHC-32-01 are fairly simple, displaying simple growth zoning, minimal cracking with the occasional pit/void. Occasionally, there are large zircon grains (100-500  $\mu$ m), displaying voids/pits throughout the entire grain. A number of these grains are present in sample FHC-32-01, and will be discussed in the following.

The diagram utilized when attempting to interpret EPMA zircon data is a simple X vs Y diagram, utilizing the atoms per formula unit (APFU). In this study, the X-axis will always be Zr (APFU), as the mineral of interest is zircon. The Y-axes chosen consist of U+Th (APFU), Nb+Ta (APFU) and Y+Gd+Dy+Yb (APFU), utilized to show the variability of the HFSE and REE elements in zircon. As can be seen in Figure 3-23, there is a small amount of variability within zircon grains within samples analyzed for the Fox Harbour area.

Sample FHWT-17-13 (South Belt) is fairly consistent on all plots, with the majority of them plotting around 0.99 Zr (APFU). Generally the Y-axes are consistent as well, with average values of 0.0001 (U+Th), 0.0001 (Nb+Ta), and 0.005 (Y+Gd+Dy+Yb). One grain plots with a slightly lower Zr value at 0.965, and higher Y-axes values of 0.0009 (U+Th), 0.000 (Nb+Ta), and 0.0273 (Y+Gd+Dy+Yb). Morphologically, this grain does not look different than the other zircon grains analyzed.

Sample FT-11-10 (187.5m), which is from the MT Belt (FT3), is very similar to the South Belt sample. The grains analyzed plot around 0.95-0.99 Zr (APFU). Uranium-Th in the zircon grains range from 0.000-0.0014, Nb-Ta ranges from 0.0000-0.0018, while Y+Gd+Dy+Yb ranges from 0.0002-0.0081. A single zircon grains appears to be an outlier with a lower Zr value (0.95), and higher U+Th (0.0014), Nb+Ta (0.0018), and average Y+Gd+Dy+Yb (0.0046). Morphologically, the grain does not look different than other zircon grains analyzed.

Sample FHC-32-01, from the Road Belt is the most interesting sample analyzed for this study. As mentioned previously, it contains variable zircon morphologies, which have correlated well with interesting patterns observed in the zircon chemistry. There are

156

two general populations of zircon in this sample. The first geochemical population is similar to the previous samples, with Zr values ranging from 0.97-1.0, U+Th values of 0.0002-0.0016, Nb+Ta values of 0.0000-0.0006, and Y+Gd+Dy+Yb values of 0.0023-0.0214. Morphologically, these zircon grains are very similar to those seen in previous samples, and are the dominant grain type in the Fox Harbour area. The second population of zircon in this sample is the previously mentioned large microporous grains. As seen in Figure 3-23, this population has much lower Zr values, generally ranging from 0.926-0.943 APFU, which is lower than the general population of grains analyzed. Y-axes values range from 0.0025-0.0048 (U+Th), 0.0012-0.0082 (Nb+Ta), and 0.0130-0.0802 (Y+Gd+Dy+Yb). Similar grains were analyzed for U/Pb and Hf in Haley et al. (2013) with variable success. Many of the disturbed zircon grains (i.e.: those with pits/voids, erratic zoning, and those with embayments) were the younger population (~1050 Ma).

The final sample analyzed by the EPMA was sample FT-10-05 (13.8m), which is a sample of granitic augen gneiss. This sample contains a simple population of zircon, morphologically, and chemically speaking. Zircon grains are small, featureless in BSE, and contain erratic zoning when viewed in CL. Zirconium values range from 0.986-0.997 APFU. The Y-axes values are also quite low in comparison to other samples analyzed in the Fox Harbour area. Y-axes value range from 0.0000-0.0003 (U+Th), 0.0000-0.0000 (Nb+Ta), and 0.0000-0.0005 (Y+Gd+Dy+Yb). Zircon in this sample contains much less variability than the rhyolite units throughout the Fox Harbour area, which is expected.



Figure 3-23: EPMA data for zircon in the Fox Harbour area displayed as simple X vs Y A.P.F.U (atoms per formula unit) plots. (A) Zr vs U+Th, (B) Zr vs Nb+Ta, (C) Zr vs Y+Gd+Dy+Yb.

		FT-11-10 (187.5) Main (FT3) Belt										
	zircon-1 area 7	zircon-2 area 7	zircon-3 area 7	zircon-4 area 9	zircon-5 area 9	zircon-6 area 10	zircon-7 area 10	zircon-8 area 11				
SiQ2	32,46	32.28	32.11	32.17	33.76	32.91	32.06	32.46				
TiO2	0.012	0.000	0.028	0.017	0.032	0.005	0.000	0.001				
FeO*	0.099	0.143	0.285	0.259	0.049	0.077	0.081	0.238				
MnO	0.004	0.000	0.019	0.017	0.027	0.011	0.000	0.014				
CaO	0.004	0.000	0.066	0.005	0.061	0.072	0.036	0.038				
Na2O	0.012	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Nb205	0.021	0.044	0.048	0.000	0.106	0.022	0.000	0.023				
Ta2O5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.045	0.000	0.000	0.000				
U02	0.037	0.027	0.048	0.076	0.168	0.013	0.022	0.000				
ThO2	0.015	0.002	0.019	0.017	0.034	0.021	0.000	0.000				
PbO	0.008	0.023	0.017	0.018	0.000	0.043	0.020	0.000				
ZrO2	65.94	66.08	64.93	65.41	64.35	64.81	64.89	64.76				
HfO2	1.490	1.424	1.496	1.386	1.415	1.396	1.457	1.272				
Y2O3	0.027	0.047	0.618	0.152	0.225	0.041	0.049	0.014				
Gd2O3	0.008	0.000	0.038	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.024				
Dy203	0.027	0.015	0.000	0.027	0.070	0.047	0.000	0.000				
Yb2O3	0.000	0.006	0.078	0.017	0.033	0.000	0.000	0.000				
TOTAL	100.16	100.09	99.80	99.58	100.39	99.46	98.61	98.85				
		Structural for	rmulae calcula	ited on the ba	asis of 4 oxyg	ens						
Si	0.995	0.992	0.990	0.993	1.023	1.010	0.997	1.005				
Nb	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Та	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Zr	0.986	0.990	0.977	0.984	0.951	0.970	0.985	0.977				
Hf	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.013				
U	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Th	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Ti	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Y	0.000	0.001	0.010	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.000				
Gd	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Dy	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Yb	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Са	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001				
Na	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Fe(2+)	0.003	0.004	0.007	0.007	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.006				
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000				
Pb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
SUM	2.001	2.002	2.005	2.003	2.002	2.002	2.001	2.003				
Nb+Ta	0.0003	0.0006	0.0007	0.0000	0.0018	0.0003	0.0000	0.0003				
U+Th	0.0004	0.0002	0.0005	0.0006	0.0014	0.0002	0.0002	0.0000				
Y+Gd+Dy+Yb	0.0008	0.0010	0.0113	0.0030	0.0046	0.0011	0.0008	0.0005				

Table 3-2: Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for zircon

	FHWT-17-13 South Belt										
	zircon-1	zircon-2	zircon-3	zircon-4 light domain	zircon-5 dark domain	zircon-6	zircon-7 light domain (core)	zircon-8 dark domain (rim)	zircon-9		
SiO2	32.65	32.53	32.78	32.34	32.81	32.50	32.56	32.43	32.25		
TiO2	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.028	0.020	0.000	0.000		
FeO*	0.226	0.032	0.033	0.082	0.488	0.096	0.042	0.015	0.016		
MnO	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.009		
CaO	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.010	0.005	0.000	0.013	0.000	0.009		
Na2O	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Nb205	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.035	0.036	0.000	0.000		
Ta2O5	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000		
U02	0.002	0.008	0.000	0.039	0.000	0.000	0.051	0.000	0.020		
ThO2	0.009	0.024	0.004	0.088	0.000	0.010	0.035	0.000	0.013		
PbO	0.071	0.040	0.026	0.018	0.027	0.012	0.000	0.007	0.049		
ZrO2	66.39	66.05	66.38	64.40	66.44	66.12	66.12	66.90	66.32		
HfO2	1.048	1.040	1.155	1.060	0.880	0.931	1.330	0.965	1.035		
Y2O3	0.000	0.465	0.071	1.356	0.034	0.025	0.312	0.026	0.213		
Gd2O3	0.006	0.043	0.000	0.130	0.018	0.000	0.035	0.048	0.007		
Dy203	0.047	0.060	0.029	0.123	0.000	0.080	0.094	0.042	0.075		
Yb2O3	0.000	0.019	0.005	0.263	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.078		
TOTAL	100.46	100.32	100.48	99.91	100.72	99.84	100.65	100.44	100.09		
		Sti	ructural formu	lae calculate	d on the basis	of 4 oxygens	5				
Si	0.997	0.995	0.999	0.996	0.997	0.997	0.994	0.991	0.991		
Nb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Та	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Zr	0.988	0.985	0.987	0.968	0.985	0.989	0.984	0.997	0.993		
Hf	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.009	0.010	0.014	0.010	0.011		
U	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Th	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Ti	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Y	0.000	0.008	0.001	0.022	0.001	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.003		
Gd	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Dy	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001		
Yb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001		
Са	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Fe(2+)	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.012	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000		
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Pb	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
SUM	2.003	2.001	2.000	2.006	2.005	2.001	2.000	2.000	2.001		
Nb+Ta	0.0000	0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0005	0.0005	0.0000	0.0000		
U+Th	0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0009	0.0000	0.0001	0.0006	0.0000	0.0002		
Y+Gd+Dy+Yb	0.0005	0.0088	0.0015	0.0273	0.0007	0.0012	0.0063	0.0013	0.0050		

Table 3-2 (continued): Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for zircon

	FHC-32-01 Road Belt- Part 1											
	zircon-1 micro porous grain 216	zircon-2 light domain grain 216	zircon-3 light domain grain 223	zircon-4 dark domain grain 223	zircon-5 grey domain grain 245	zircon-6 grey domain grain 372	zircon-7 grey domain grain 477	zircon-8 light domain grain 490	zircon-9 light core grain 566			
SiO2	30.57	32.04	31.89	31.87	31.79	32.32	32.00	32.18	32.25			
TiO2	0.039	0.014	0.024	0.034	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.012			
FeO*	0.810	0.182	0.014	0.014	0.066	0.616	0.064	0.041	0.102			
MnO	0.181	0.028	0.000	0.009	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.006			
CaO	0.080	0.042	0.000	0.012	0.004	0.032	0.010	0.009	0.008			
Na2O	0.063	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Nb205	0.573	0.000	0.021	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.011	0.002	0.000			
Ta2O5	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
UO2	0.165	0.117	0.018	0.064	0.022	0.022	0.102	0.048	0.072			
ThO2	0.180	0.041	0.119	0.005	0.017	0.000	0.127	0.011	0.044			
PbO	0.045	0.000	0.029	0.000	0.037	0.022	0.032	0.007	0.009			
ZrO2	56.13	64.76	64.87	65.89	64.22	65.77	64.94	63.87	65.22			
HfO2	1.309	1.492	1.014	0.991	1.020	1.229	0.921	1.070	1.075			
Y2O3	3.812	0.109	0.779	0.000	1.086	0.010	1.065	0.858	0.455			
Gd2O3	0.546	0.000	0.088	0.002	0.093	0.000	0.119	0.043	0.063			
Dy203	0.720	0.026	0.089	0.003	0.107	0.009	0.166	0.173	0.062			
Yb2O3	0.316	0.022	0.069	0.000	0.141	0.000	0.123	0.183	0.131			
TOTAL	95.54	98.88	99.04	98.91	98.62	100.03	99.68	98.49	99.51			
		Structure	l formulao ool	ouloted on th	a basis of 4 s							
c:	0.000	Structura					0.000	1 002	0.005			
JI Nh	0.900	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.992	0.993	0.909	0.000	0.993			
	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
1a 7r	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Z1 1 I <del>C</del>	0.920	0.982	0.982	0.998	0.978	0.983	0.979	0.971	0.982			
	0.014	0.013	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.009	0.011	0.011			
U Th	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000			
т.	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000			
v	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000				
- Gd	0.004	0.002	0.013	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.010	0.014	0.007			
	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001			
y Yh	0.007	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.001			
Гэ Сэ	0.003	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.002	0.001			
Ca Na	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000			
ινα Fo(2±)	0.004		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Mn	0.021	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.010	0.002	0.001	0.005			
Ph	0.005	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
SUM	2.031	2.004	2.001	2.000	2.005	2.008	2.003	2.004	2.002			
Nb+Ta	0.0082	0.0000	0.0005	0.0002	0.0000	0.0000	0.0002	0.0000	0.0000			
U+Th	0.0025	0.0011	0.0010	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0016	0.0004	0.0008			
Y+Gd+Dy+Yb	0.0802	0.0023	0.0153	0.0001	0.0214	0.0003	0.0216	0.0182	0.0100			

Table 3-2 (continued): Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for zircon

	FHC-32-01 Road Belt- Part 2										
	zircon-10 micro porous grain 566	zircon-11 light domain grain 1300	zircon-12 micro porous grain 1300	zircon-13 light domain grain 1465	zircon-14 grey domain grain 1689	zircon-15 micro porous grain 1684	zircon-16 micro porous grain 1684	zircon-17 grey domain grain 1892	zircon-18 grey domain grain 1892		
SiO2	32.90	32.24	32.13	32.02	32.33	32.10	32.77	32.50	32.36		
TiO2	0.051	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.018	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000		
FeO*	0.100	0.027	0.135	0.037	0.026	0.447	0.276	0.026	0.069		
MnO	0.000	0.000	0.047	0.004	0.000	0.126	0.011	0.000	0.010		
CaO	0.049	0.023	0.036	0.007	0.000	0.202	0.024	0.012	0.014		
Na2O	0.087	0.003	0.071	0.000	0.000	0.077	0.119	0.000	0.000		
Nb205	0.115	0.046	0.083	0.000	0.000	0.072	0.231	0.000	0.000		
Ta205	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.019	0.068	0.062	0.000	0.021	0.000		
U02	0.172	0.067	0.172	0.052	0.003	0.191	0.127	0.043	0.022		
ThO2	0.245	0.000	0.173	0.038	0.024	0.271	0.553	0.000	0.023		
PbO	0.070	0.016	0.030	0.035	0.028	0.028	0.114	0.010	0.026		
ZrO2	61.46	65.42	60.90	64.77	64.02	60.98	61.15	64.72	66.07		
HfO2	1.659	1.123	1.236	1.094	1.016	1.431	1.678	1.105	1.074		
Y2O3	0.683	0.092	0.937	0.454	0.707	0.925	1.044	0.411	0.565		
Gd2O3	0.065	0.007	0.091	0.064	0.081	0.068	0.129	0.066	0.008		
Dy2O3	0.049	0.000	0.108	0.085	0.099	0.060	0.139	0.062	0.065		
Yb2O3	0.055	0.044	0.164	0.035	0.075	0.109	0.190	0.000	0.106		
TOTAL	97.76	99.10	96.31	98.72	98.50	97.15	98.56	98.97	100.41		
		St	ructural form	ulae calculate	d on the basis	s of 4 oxygen	s				
Si	1.027	0.997	1.020	0.997	1.004	1.014	1.021	1.005	0.991		
Nb	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.000	0.000		
Та	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Zr	0.935	0.987	0.943	0.983	0.970	0.939	0.929	0.976	0.987		
Hf	0.017	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.011	0.015	0.017	0.011	0.011		
U	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000		
Th	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.000		
Ti	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Y	0.011	0.002	0.016	0.008	0.012	0.016	0.017	0.007	0.009		
Gd	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000		
Dy	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		
Yb	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001		
Са	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.001	0.000	0.000		
Na	0.005	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.005	0.007	0.000	0.000		
Fe(2+)	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.012	0.007	0.001	0.002		
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.000		
Pb	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000		
SUM	2.008	2.000	2.010	2.003	2.001	2.018	2.013	2.003	2.003		
Nb+Ta	0.0016	0.0006	0.0012	0.0002	0.0006	0.0016	0.0033	0.0002	0.0000		
U+Th	0.0029	0.0005	0.0025	0.0006	0.0002	0.0033	0.0048	0.0003	0.0003		
Y+Gd+Dy+Yb	0.0130	0.0020	0.0195	0.0094	0.0142	0.0179	0.0218	0.0081	0.0109		

Table 3-2 (continued): Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for zircon

		FT-10-05(	13 <b>.</b> 8) Aug	gen Gneiss	
	zircon-1	zircon-2	zircon-3	zircon-4	zircon-5
	grain 97-1	grain 97-2	gran 260	grain 245	grain 485
_					
SiO2	32.24	32.19	32.19	32.14	32.09
TiO2	0.042	0.162	0.003	0.024	0.005
FeO*	0.541	0.102	0.139	0.208	0.228
MnO	0.006	0.000	0.006	0.011	0.017
CaO	0.004	0.090	0.057	0.055	0.051
Na2O	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Nb205	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ta205	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
UO2	0.033	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.039
ThO2	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
PbO	0.020	0.000	0.022	0.000	0.026
ZrO2	66.28	65.76	66.40	66.86	65.58
HfO2	1.462	1.596	1.536	1.529	1.624
Y2O3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Gd2O3	0.000	0.055	0.009	0.000	0.016
Dy203	0.053	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000
Yb2O3	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL	100.68	99.96	100.36	100.83	99.67
C+				- 6 4	
St	ructural form		on the basis	of 4 oxygens	0.001
51	0.987	0.990	0.987	0.983	0.991
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
la _	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Zr	0.989	0.986	0.993	0.997	0.987
Ht	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.017
U	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Th	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Ti	0.001	0.004	0.000	0.001	0.000
Y	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Gd	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
Dy	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Yb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Са	0.000	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
Na	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Fe(2+)	0.014	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006
Mn	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Pb	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
SUM	2.007	2.003	2.002	2.003	2.004
Nb+Ta	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
U+Th	0.0003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0003
Y+Gd+Dv+Yh	0.0005	0.0006	0.0001	0.0000	0.0002
	2.0000				

Table 3-2 (continued): Electron microprobe analyses (wt.%) for zircon

## 3-5-3 IN-SITU LUTETIUM-HAFNIUM ANALYSIS OF ZIRCON

Lutetium and Hf isotopes were analyzed on the two main age populations of zircon identified in the study by Haley et al. (2013). These two age populations are the primary age of formation of the rhyolite units, at ~1300 Ma, and the age of high-grade Grenvillian deformation ~1050 Ma (Haley et al., 2013). There is a third, less present age population, consisting of inherited zircon grains. A total of two zircon grains are considered inherited, dated at  $1388 \pm 65$  Ma, and  $1410 \pm 53$  Ma (Haley et al., 2013). The Lu-Hf isotope results are presented in Figure 3-24, and 3-25, while all Lu-Hf isotope measurements are presented in Table 3-3.


Figure 3-24: Cathodoluminescence images of zircon from the Fox Harbour area. *In-situ* U-Pb 40x40 µm spots depicted by white box. *In-situ* Hf analysis (40µm, or 49µm circles) depicted by red circle, directly overtop U-Pb spot.

A total of six zircon grains were analyzed for sample FHWT-6-02, located in the South Belt. These analyses have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values ranging from +1.74 to +7.59. All grains analyzed in this sample contain the magmatic age of  $1300 \pm 2.5$  Ma (Haley et al., 2013).

A total of two grains were analyzed for sample FH-10-02 (8.4 m), located in the MT Belt. Analyzed grains have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values ranging from -2.97 to -4.12, and have a U-Pb age of 1018 Ma (Haley et al., 2013).

A total of six zircon grains were analyzed for sample FHC-44-01, located in the MT Belt. All grains analyzed have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values ranging from +1.46 to +6.80, and a U-Pb magmatic age of 1346 ± 51 Ma (Haley et al., 2013).

A total of 13 zircon grains were analyzed for sample FHC-45-01, located in the MT Belt. All grains analyzed have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values ranging from -4.12 to +4.98. Sample FHC-45-01 has three *in-situ* U-Pb ages,  $1031 \pm 73$  Ma,  $1250 \pm 20$  Ma, and  $1388 \pm 65$  Ma, of which the majority of the zircon grains analyzed are  $1250 \pm 20$  Ma (Haley et al., 2013).

A total of 12 zircon grains were analyzed for sample FHC-33-01A, located in the Road Belt. All grains analyzed have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values ranging from -2.35 to +6.05. Sample FHC-33-01A has three *in-situ* U-Pb ages,  $1050 \pm 21$  Ma,  $1256 \pm 24$  Ma, and  $1410 \pm 53$  Ma (Haley et al., 2013).

A total of nine zircon grains were analyzed for sample FHC-34-03, from the Road Belt. All grains analyzed have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values ranging from -4.21 to -0.51, and a metamorphic age of  $1047 \pm 17$  Ma (Haley et al., 2013).

All of the analyzed zircon analyses plot below the Hf isotope evolution curves for depleted and arc mantle (Figure 3-25). Most of the *ca*. 1300 Ma grains have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*)

values of ~0 to +5, whereas most of the *ca*. 1050 Ma grains have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values of ~0 to -5.



Figure 3-25:  $\epsilon$ Hf (*t*) results for zircon from the Fox Harbour area. U-Pb zircon ages obtained via *in-situ* LA-ICPMS analysis (Haley et al., 2013). Lutetium decay constant from Söderlund et al. (2004). CHUR values from Bouvier et al. (2008). Model depleted mantle from Griffin et al. (2000); updated by Andersen et al. (2009). Model arc mantle from Dhuime et al. (2011). Model Hf evolution lines for felsic crustal sources assuming <sup>176</sup>Lu/<sup>177</sup>Hf = 0.010 (Pietranik et al., 2008).

Table 3-3: Lu-Hf isotope measurements of zircon from Fox Harbour volcanic rocks by LA-MC-ICPMS

Grain <sup>1</sup>	Age <sup>2</sup>	±2s	$Hf^{3}$	<sup>176</sup> Hf/ <sup>177</sup> Hf	±2SE	<sup>176</sup> Lu/ <sup>177</sup> Hf	±2SE	${}^{176}\mathrm{Hf/}{}^{177}\mathrm{Hf_{(t)}}^{4}$	$\epsilon H {f_{(T)}}^5$	±2SE	Т <sub>DM</sub> <sup>6</sup>
	(Ma)		(ppm)								(Ga)
SAMPL	E FHC	-33-01	A (Road	d Belt)							
Metame	orphic g	rains									
889	1050	21	8722	0.282153	3.8E-05	0.000778	2.7E-06	0.282137	0.62	1.35	1.69
1657	1050	21	6806	0.282065	3.3E-05	0.000569	2.4E-06	0.282053	-2.35	1.16	1.84
1141	1050	21	8226	0.282099	3.5E-05	0.000646	7.0E-06	0.282086	-1.19	1.24	1.78
198	1050	21	3804	0.282118	6.5E-05	0.001090	3.2E-05	0.282096	-0.85	2.30	1.76
1237	1050	21	7553	0.282082	3.4E-05	0.001117	2.5E-05	0.282060	-2.12	1.19	1.83
Magma	tic grai	ns									
986	1256	24	8928	0.282082	2.5E-05	0.000679	4.3E-06	0.282066	2.77	0.89	1.74
1155	1256	24	7653	0.282097	3.2E-05	0.002008	1.2E-04	0.282050	2.19	1.13	1.77
1008	1256	24	8979	0.282064	3.5E-05	0.000959	1.6E-05	0.282041	1.89	1.24	1.79
85	1256	24	4722	0.282032	4.6E-05	0.000488	2.1E-05	0.282021	1.17	1.64	1.83
773	1256	24	7757	0.282063	3.9E-05	0.000583	1.9E-05	0.282049	2.17	1.39	1.77
388	1256	24	4524	0.282092	6.9E-05	0.001454	1.6E-05	0.282058	2.48	2.45	1.76
Inherite	ed grain										
2098	1410	53	4746	0.282078	5.7E-05	0.000691	1.1E-05	0.282059	6.05	2.01	1.70
SAMPL	E FHC	-34-03	(Road	Belt)							
Metam	orphic c	rains									
603	1047	17	7196	0.282039	5.8E-05	0.000795	8.6E-06	0.282023	-3.50	2.05	1.90
2978	1047	17	7922	0.282047	2.8E-05	0.000871	8.6E-06	0.282030	-3.25	1.00	1.88
3358	1047	17	3426	0.282034	7.9E-05	0.000943	2.9E-05	0.282015	-3.78	2.79	1.91
517	1047	17	4351	0.282117	5.3E-05	0.000478	4.3E-06	0.282107	-0.51	1.87	1.74
892	1047	17	8042	0.282091	3.5E-05	0.000414	9.3E-06	0.282082	-1.39	1.23	1.79
1372	1047	17	8029	0.282014	3.9E-05	0.000546	2.0E-06	0.282003	-4.21	1.39	1.93
583	1047	17	4246	0.282085	3.9E-05	0.000995	5.6E-06	0.282065	-2.01	1.40	1.82
740	1047	17	4554	0.282034	4.6E-05	0.000543	4.7E-06	0.282023	-3.50	1.63	1.90
575	1047	17	6337	0.282059	4.0E-05	0.000458	9.3E-06	0.282050	-2.55	1.41	1.85
SAMPL	E FHC	-44-01	(MT Be	elt)							
Magma	atic grain	ns	<b>`</b>	-7							
400	1346	51	2820	0.282132	6.1E-05	0.002131	1.3E-05	0.282078	5.26	2.16	1.69
811	1346	51	6501	0.282099	3.7E-05	0.000734	2.0F-05	0.282080	5.34	1.32	1.68
1887	1346	51	3311	0.282131	7.4E-05	0.001087	3.3E-05	0.282103	6.14	2.61	1.64
100	1346	51	3676	0.282153	6.2E-05	0.001229	4.2E-05	0.282122	6.80	2.20	1.61
381	1346	51	3815	0.282021	5.6F-05	0.000813	3.6F-05	0.282000	2.49	1.98	1.83
636	1346	51	3990	0.281983	4.8E-05	0.000457	1.3E-05	0.281971	1.46	1.71	1.89

Table 3-3 (Continued)

Grain <sup>1</sup>	Age <sup>2</sup>	±2s	$Hf^3$	<sup>176</sup> Hf/ <sup>177</sup> Hf	±2SE	176Lu/177Hf	±2SE	$^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}_{(t)}^{4}$	εHf <sub>(T)</sub> <sup>5</sup>	±2SE	Т <sub>DM</sub> 6
	(Ma)		(ppm)					()	( )		(Ga)
SAMPL	E FH-1	0-02 (	8.4m) (N	VT Belt)							
Metamo	orphic g	rains									
2671	1018	30	4765	0.282078	3.9E-05	0.001005	1.6E-05	0.282059	-2.87	1.37	1.84
4705	1018	30	4084	0.282041	5.1E-05	0.000882	5.6E-06	0.282024	-4.12	1.80	1.91
SAMPL	E FHC	-45-01	(MT Be	elt)							
Metamo	orphic g	<u>rain</u>									
86-1	1031	73	4262	0.282154	5.8E-05	0.003161	5.1E-05	0.282092	-1.40	2.05	1.78
Magma	<u>tic gra</u>	ins									
1297	1250	20	4844	0.282122	4.1E-05	0.003127	2.9E-05	0.282049	2.02	1.47	1.78
1862	1250	20	4467	0.282077	5.0E-05	0.001641	1.4E-05	0.282038	1.65	1.78	1.80
1613	1250	20	4266	0.282040	6.2E-05	0.002809	1.8E-05	0.281973	-0.65	2.21	1.92
1091	1250	20	4057	0.282085	5.9E-05	0.000954	2.3E-06	0.282063	2.52	2.09	1.75
1635	1250	20	2463	0.282040	7.5E-05	0.001855	2.1E-05	0.281996	0.15	2.65	1.88
1338	1250	20	3630	0.282100	6.9E-05	0.002666	5.4E-05	0.282037	1.60	2.44	1.80
2699	1250	20	3725	0.282123	6.0E-05	0.001734	2.0E-05	0.282082	3.20	2.14	1.72
367	1250	20	3404	0.282114	6.7E-05	0.002765	6.0E-06	0.282049	2.04	2.38	1.78
2717	1250	20	3322	0.282124	5.9E-05	0.000984	4.5E-06	0.282101	3.87	2.08	1.68
1020	1250	20	3360	0.282165	6.2E-05	0.001404	6.4E-06	0.282132	4.98	2.21	1.62
1657	1250	20	4762	0.282095	3.7E-05	0.001892	8.5E-05	0.282050	2.07	1.30	1.78
Inherite	d grain										
65	1388	65	3179	0.282006	5.3E-05	0.001041	6.6E-06	0.281979	2.70	1.87	1.86
SAMPL	E FHW	/T-6-02	2 (South	Belt)							
Magma	tic gra	ins									
611	1297	21	2454	0.282080	6.7E-05	0.001703	4.1E-05	0.282039	2.74	2.37	1.78
2612-1	1297	21	3035	0.282048	5.1E-05	0.000822	7.7E-06	0.282028	2.37	1.80	1.80
511	1297	21	2399	0.282216	7.2E-05	0.001630	2.0E-05	0.282176	7.59	2.54	1.53
1392	1297	21	4018	0.282033	4.3E-05	0.000912	7.6E-06	0.282011	1.74	1.54	1.83
1391	1297	21	3634	0.282119	8.5E-05	0.002214	2.5E-05	0.282065	3.66	3.02	1.73
954	1297	21	4098	0.282052	3.7E-05	0.000912	9.6E-06	0.282029	2.41	1.32	1.80

<sup>1</sup> Grain numbers are the same as those analyzed for U-Pb geochronology by LA-ICPMS

<sup>2</sup> Ages determined by U-Pb geochronology by LA-ICPMS

<sup>3</sup> Hf concentrations determined from sensitivity of 178Hf (V) in Plešovice zircon using Hf=11167 ppm (Sláma et al., 2008).

<sup>4</sup> Initial Hf-isotope ratio calculated using <sup>176</sup>Lu decay constant (1.867x10<sup>-11</sup>/yr) of Söderlund et al. (2004)

<sup>5</sup> Epsilon values calculated using chondritic values of <sup>176</sup>Lu/<sup>177</sup>Hf=0.0336 and <sup>176</sup>Hf/<sup>177</sup>Hf=0.282785 (Bouvier et al., 2008)

<sup>6</sup> T<sub>DM</sub> (Ga) are the model Hf ages for felsic crustal sources assuming 176Lu/177Hf = 0.010 (Pietranik et al., 2008) and model depleted mantle

with present day 176Hf/177Hf ratio of 0.28325 and 176Lu/177Hf ratio of 0.0388 (Griffin et al., 2000; updated by Andersen et al., 2009)

#### **3-6 DISCUSSION**

Geochemically, the rhyolitic units from the Fox Harbour display a very interesting story. Generally, the rhyolite units are comendites and pantellerites; rock types denoted to units that are peralkaline. Many of the REE mineralized samples contain sodic- pyroxenes ± sodic- amphiboles, minerals often found in peralkaline rocks. The more mineralized samples also plot in the peralkaline field on Shand's Index, utilized for determining the alumina saturation for rocks (Maniar and Picolli, 1989), although it should be noted that Shand's Index is often inconsistent with altered volcanic rocks, as is the case in this project area. Therefore, the peralkaline nature of these rocks is determined by the presence of sodic amphibole, and sodic pyroxene, which are commonly found in peralkaline rocks. It appears as though the units in the Fox Harbour area have been subjected to variable amounts of post-depositional metasomatism, which often affects subaerial volcanic rocks of this nature. The observed Na, Al, and K mobility is possibly due to alteration of the volcanic piles after deposition, and that the removal of these elements is not due to metamorphism. This metasomatism appears to have had variable geochemical affect on the rhyolitic units, concentrating on the highly mineralized units. The chondrite-normalized REE diagrams for all three belts are very similar, with only small variations discernable between them.

Electron microprobe analysis confirmed the occurrence of fergusonite as a major REE carrier mineral in the rocks. The two samples analyzed, one from the South Belt, and one from the MT Belt have slightly different REE patterns. Fergusonite grains from the MT Belt (FT-11-10 (187.5 m)) display variable geochemistry, such as variable negative Eu anomalies, and somewhat variable LREE slopes between grains. Fergusonite grains from the South Belt (FHWT-17-13) show more consistent REE patterns, each with more strongly depleted LREE slopes, along with large negative Eu anomalies. The variation of fergusonite chemistry is likely primary, although remobilization during Grenvillian

deformation cannot be ruled out. Although not analyzed, allanite is also a major REEmineral present in the Fox Harbour area.

Electron microprobe analysis of zircon records differences in zircon grains throughout the Fox Harbour area. The majority of the grains plot similarly, with small amounts of U, Th, Nb, Ta, Y, Gd, Dy, or Yb. A small population of zircon grains, mostly found in sample FHC-32-01, although morphologically similar grains are present in other non-analyzed samples, displays a much different chemistry. These grains have much lower Zr (APFU) values, likely due to the fact that the U, Th, Nb, Ta, Y, Gd, Dy, and Yb have replaced Zr in the crystal structure. These grains look drastically different in backscatter and CL imaging, appearing as large microporous grains with voids/pits throughout. EPMA confirmed that these pits are not inclusions of minerals. U-Pb dating of these particular grains concluded that they were of the ~1050 Ma age population found in the Fox Harbour package of rocks (Haley et al., 2013). Based on the data presented in this study, an interesting conclusion can be displayed. The author suggests that there was a zircon dissolution and reprecipitation event during 1050 Ma Grenvillian high-grade metamorphism. Zircon features in the Fox Harbour are very similar to those found in Giesler et al. (2007), and Schwartz et al. (2010); studies conducted on the re-equilibration of zircon in aqueous fluids and melts.

*In-situ* Lu-Hf measurements integrated with *in-situ* U-Pb age determinations allow for the interpretation of the magma source. Zircon grains with an age of ~1.3 Ga have slightly variable  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values, ranging between -0.65 to +7.59, as seen in Figure 3-25. This suggests that the magmas that formed the ~1.3 Ga Fox Harbour units were derived

by partial melting 1.5 to 1.9 Ga felsic crustal sources. This finding suggests that any of the terranes in southeastern Labrador could have been the source for the magmas that created the Fox Harbour volcanics. Labradorian rocks, ranging in age from approximately 1.6-1.7 Ga characterize both the Lake Melville, and Mealy Mountain terranes. The Pinware terrane contains a broad range of magmatic ages, ranging from ~1650 Ma to ~950 Ma. The older ~1.6 Ga rocks are highly deformed supracrustal packages, found locally throughout the Pinware terrane. Much of the Pinware terrane has been dated at approximately ~1.45 Ga, meaning that it is possibly too young to be the source for the Fox Harbour magmas.

The metamorphic 1.05 Ga zircon grains have  $\varepsilon$ Hf (*t*) values, ranging between + 0.62 to -4.21, as seen in Figure 3-25. The Lu/Hf ratios for the 1.3 Ga primary magmatic and 1.05 Ga metamorphic grains are very similar such that the younger grains fall along the same Hf-isotope crustal evolution array for 1.5 to 1.9 Ga sources as the older grains. This suggests that the 1.05 Ga amphibolite grade Grenvillian metamorphism was a closed system for Lu-Hf isotopes, with no flux of REE into or out of the rocks, which would have affected the analyzed Lu/Hf ratios. This is a very interesting finding, as it suggests that the HFSE and REE were simply remobilized within the volcanic packages, and not removed or added during the Grenville metamorphism.

Based on the findings in this discussion, along with those presented by Haley et al. (2013), this package of volcanic to sub-volcanic rocks tells a very interesting story. Rhyolite units that have been dated confirmed a date of formation at 1.3 Ga (Haley et al., 2013). Although not quantified, it is believed that the subalkaline basalts, quartzite, and

aplitic intrusions are of similar age. The rhyolite units were derived from partial melts of 1.5 to 1.9 Ga felsic crustal sources. All lithotectonic terranes in this area of the Grenville Province contain 1.5 to 1.9 Ga felsic crust, therefore a definitive source cannot be confidently identified. As shown by the *in-situ* Hf isotopic analysis of zircon, there was no flux of REE in or out of the volcanic packages. Therefore, all HFSE and REE present in the rhyolitic units are primary, with the possibility of some secondary mobilization within the rhyolite units, presumably during deformation. Lithogeochemistry suggests that REE mobility between units was minimal to nil, as shown by the XY incompatible vs incompatible, which plot at a consistent ratio across the full geochemical spectrum with respect to each element taken into consideration (i.e.: Zr vs Y, or La vs Dy, etc).

The 1.3 Ga rhyolites in the Fox Harbour area formed during an extensional phase, often found in the Grenville Province, as suggested by Haley et al. (2013). This means that the rhyolites (and adjacent supracrustal units) are likely anorogenic in origin. A-type granites, can form by a number of processes, such as (i) differentiation from an OIB (oceanic island basalts)-like basaltic magma, (ii) differentiation from a continental tholeiite basaltic magma, or (iii) melting of lower continental crust. Based on the findings of this study, it is believed that the Fox Harbour rhyolite package was formed by the partial melting of lower continental (felsic) crust. REE enrichment likely occurred at this time via extreme fractional crystallization of the magma. It has been shown that there was no infiltration of REE during the 1.05 Ga metamorphic event, but there must be redistribution and concentration of certain elements during this time. This is present in the Fox Harbour area in the form of 1.05 Ga zircon grains that are very large, and microporous, often associated with zircon that has been reprecipitated.

#### **3-7 CONCLUSIONS**

The 1.3 Ga Fox Harbour REE enriched peralkaline volcanic units were derived from partial melts of 1.5-1.9 Ga felsic crustal sources. The 1.5-1.9 Ga crustal source is still poorly defined, but could be any of the terranes present in the area, such as the Lake Melville (1.7-1.2 Ga), Mealy Mountains (1.7-0.9 Ga), or Pinware terrane (1.65-0.9 Ga). The 1.05 Ga amphibolite facies Grenvillian metamorphism induced no flux of HFSE into or out of the volcanic units, and these elements were simply remobilized within. The main REE-bearing mineral in the Fox Harbour area is fergusonite, and contains slightly variable geochemistry based on which volcanic belt it is present in. Although not analyzed, allanite is probably an important mineral in the Fox Harbour area, and is observed in all mineralized units throughout the area. Microporous zircon in the Fox Harbour area dated at 1.05 Ga Ma record slight differences in chemistry, likely associated with the dissolution and reprecipitation of hydrothermal zircon during Grenville deformation.

#### **3-8 REFERENCES**

Andersen, T., Simonsen, S.L. & Haug, L.E. (2009). LAM-ICPMS Lu-Hf isotope data on magmatic zircons from felsic and intermediate intrusions in the Oslo Rift: Constraints on the mantle source. Abstracts, NGF Winter Conference, Bergen, Jan. 2009. Norsk Geologisk Forening Abstracts and Proceedings, (1), 3-4.

- Barberi F., Ferrara G., & Santacrose R. (1975). Transitional Basalt-Pantellerite Sequence of Fractional Crystallization, the Boina Centre (Afar Rift, Ethiopia). *Journal of Petrology*. (16), 22–56.
- Bouvier, A., Vervoort, J. D., & Patchett, P. J. (2008). The Lu–Hf and Sm–Nd isotopic composition of CHUR: Constraints from unequilibrated chondrites and implications for the bulk composition of terrestrial planets. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*.
- Civetta, L., D'Antonio, M., Orsi, G., and Tilton, G. R. (1998). The Geochemistry of Volcanic Rocks from Pantelleria Island, Sicily Channel: Petrogenesis and Characteristics of the Mantle Source Region. Journal of Petrology, (39), 1453–1491.
- Corrigan, D., 1995. Mesoproterozoic evolution of the south- central Grenville orogen: structural, metamorphic and geo- chronologic constraints from the Mauricie transect.
   Ph.D. Thesis, Carleton University, Ottawa.
- Corrigan, D., Hanmer, S., 1995. Arc accretion, thickening, post- collisional extension and plutonism in the Grenville orogen; constraints from the Mauricie region, south-central Quebec. In: Precambrian '95, International Conference on Tectonics and Metallogeny of Early/Mid Precambrian orogenic Belts, Program and Abstracts, Montreal, p. 106.
- Davidson, A. (2008). Late Paleoproterozoic to mid-Neoproterozoic history of northern
   Laurentia: An overview of central Rodinia. *Precambrian Research*, *160*(1-2), 5–22.
   doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2007.04.023

Davies, G. R. and Macdonald, R. (1987) Crustal Influences in the Petrogenesis of the

Naivasha Basalt–Comendite Complex: Combined Trace Element and Sr–Nd–Pb Isotope Constraints. *Journal of Petrology*, (28-6), 1009–1031.

- Delaney, P., Haley, J.T. (2011; *unpublished*). Report on mapping, prospecting, geochemical sampling, trenching, diamond drilling, and airborne radiometric/magnetometer survey on the Fox Harbour property, Port Hope Simpson, Labrador. 1<sup>st</sup> year assessment report for Alterra Resources Inc, pp. 429.
- Dhuime, B., Hawkesworth, C., & Cawood, P. (2011). When continents formed. *Science*, (331), 154-155.
- Ercit, T.S. (2005). Identification and alteration trends of granitic-pegmatite-hosted (Y, REE, U, Th)-(Nb, Ta, Ti) oxide minerals: a statistical approach, *The Canadian Mineralogist*, (43), 1291-1303.
- Giesler, T., Schaltegger, U., Tomaschek, F. (2007). Re-equilibration of zircon in aqueous fluids and melts, *Elements*, (3), 43-50.
- Gower, C.F. (1985). Correlations between the Grenville Province and Sveconorwegian
   Orogenic Belt Implications for Proterozoic Evolution of the Southern Margins of
   the Canadian and Baltic Shields. *The Deep Proterozoic Crust in the North Atlantic Provinces*, 247–257. doi:10.1007/978-94-009-5450-2\_15.
- Gower, C.F. (1994). Distribution of pre-1400 Ma crust in the Grenville province: Implications for rifting in Laurentia-Baltica during geon 14. *Geology*, 22, 827-830.

Gower, C.F. (1996a). The evolution of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador, Canada.
 *Geological Society London Special Publications*, *112*(1), 197-218. doi:
 10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.112.01.11

- Gower, C.F. (1996b). Geology of the southeast Mealy Mountains Region, Grenville
   Province, Southeast Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* 96-1, 55-71.
- Gower, C.F. (2003). Geological map of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador.
   Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Mines and Energy, Geological Survey,
   Map 2003-11. Open file: LAB/1379.
- Gower, C.F. (2005). Kinematic evidence for terrane displacements in the Grenville province.
   *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources*.
   Report 05-01, pp. 73-92.
- Gower, C. F. (2007). Protolith recognition of metamorphosed felsic volcanic/volcaniclastic rocks, with special reference to the Grenville Province in southeast Labrador. *Current Research. Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* Report 07-01, pp. 11-23.
- Gower, C.F. (2009). Battle Island A geological treasure in coastal eastern Labrador.
   Government of Newfoundland and Labrador, Department of Natural Resources,
   Geological Survey, Open File 003D/05/0031, 38 pages.

Gower, C., & Owen, V. (1984). Pre-Grenvillian and Grenvillian lithotectonic regions in

eastern Labrador-correlations with the Sveconorwegian Orogenic Belt in Sweden. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *21*(6), 678–693.

- Gower, C.F., Neuland, S., Newman, M., Smyth, J., (1987). Geology of the Port Hope
  Simpson map region, Grenville province, eastern Labrador, *Current Research (1987) Newfoundland Department of Mines and Energy, Mineral Development Division,*Report 87-1, 183-199.
- Gower, C. F., & Erdmer, P. (1988). Proterozoic metamorphism in the Grenville Province: a study in the Double Mer-Lake Melville area, eastern Labrador. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 25(11), 1895–1905.
- Gower, C., Schärer, U., & Heaman, L. (1992). The Labradorian orogeny in the Grenville Province, eastern Labrador, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 29(9), 1944–1957.
- Gower, C.F., van Nostrand, T. (1994). Geology of the Pinware River Region, southeast Labrador. *Current Research, Newfoundland and Labrador Department of Natural Resources.* 94-1, 347-369.
- Gower, C., Hall, J., Kilfoil, G., Quinlan, G., & Wardle, R. (1997). Roots of the Labradorian orogen in the Grenville Province in southeast Labrador: Evidence from marine, deepseismic reflection data. *Tectonics*, 16(5), 795–809.
- Gower, C., & Krogh, T. (2002). A U–Pb geochronological review of the Proterozoic history of the eastern Grenville Province. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *39*(5), 795–

- Gower, C., Kamo, S., & Krogh, T. (2008). Indentor tectonism in the eastern Grenville Province. *Precambrian Research*, *167*(1-2), 201–212.
- Gower, C., Kamo, S., Kwok, K., & Krogh, T. (2008). Proterozoic southward accretion and Grenvillian orogenesis in the interior Grenville Province in eastern Labrador: Evidence from U-Pb geochronological investigations. *Precambrian Research*, *165*(1-2), 61–95.
- Griffin, W.L., Pearson, N.J., Belousova, E., Jackson, S.E., van Achter- bergh, E., O'Reilly,
  S.Y. & Shee, S.R. (2000). The Hf isotope composition of cratonic mantle: LAM-MCICPMS analysis of zircon megacrysts in kimberlites. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* (64), 133-147.
- Haley, J.T., Sylvester, P.J., Miller, R.R. (2013). Discovery of 1.3 Ga REE-enriched bimodal volcanism in the Grenville Province of southeastern Labrador, Canada.

Harker, A., (1909). The Natural History of Igneous Rocks. London, Metheuen, 384 pp.

- Hynes, A., & Rivers, T. (2010). Protracted continental collision-evidence from the Grenville Orogen. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 47(5), 591–620.
- Irvine, T. N., & Baragar, W. (1971). A Guide to the Chemical Classification of the Common Volcanic Rocks. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, (8) 523-548.
- Kamo, S., Wasteneys, H., Gower, C., & Krogh, T. (1996). U-Pb geochronology of

Labradorian and later events in the Grenville Province, eastern Labrador. *Precambrian Research*, *80*(3-4), 239–260.

- Kamo, S. L., Heaman, L. M., & Gower, C. F. (2011). Evidence for post-1200 Ma pre-Grenvillian supracrustal rocks in the Pinware terrane, eastern Grenville Province at Battle Harbour, Labrador. This article is one of a series of papers published in this Special Issue on the theme of Geochronology in honour of Tom Krogh. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 48(2), 371–387. doi:10.1139/E10-052
- Kovalenko V. I., *Petrology and Geochemistry of Rare- Metal Granites* (Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1977) (in Russian).
- Litvinovsky B. A., Zanvilevich A. N., Shadaev M. G., and Lyapunov S. M. (1996). The Role of Fractional Crystallization in the Formation of a Bimodal Trachybasalt-Trachyte Series: Malo-Khamardabanskaya Volcano-Tectonic Structure, Transbaikalia, *Petrologiya*, (4), 26–45 (in Russian).
- Macdonald, R. (1974). Nomenclature and petrochemistry of the peralkaline oversaturated extrusive rocks. *Bulletin volcanologique*, *38*(2), 498–516.
- Maniar, P.D., Piccoli, P.M. (1989). Tectonic discrimination of granitoids, *Geological Society* of America Bulletin, 101(5), 635–643.
  doi:10.1130/00167606(1989)101<0635:TDOG>2.3.CO;2
- McLelland, J., Daly, J.S., & McLelland, J.M. (1996). The Grenville orogenic cycle (ca. 1350-1000 Ma): an Adirondack perspective. *Tectonophysics*, 265, 1-28.

- Peccerillo A., Barberio M. R., and Yirgu G. (2003). Relationships between Mafic and Peralkaline Silicic Magmatism in Continental Rift Settings: A Petrological, Geochemical and Isotopic Study of the Gedemsa Volcano, Central Ethiopian Rift. *Journal of Petrology*, (44), 2003–2032.
- Pietranik, A.B., Hawkesworth, C.J., Storey, C.D., Kemp, A.I.S., Sircombe, M.J., Whitehouse, M.J., Bleeker, W. (2008). Episodic, mafic crust formation from 4.5 to 2.8 Ga: New evidence from detrital zircons, Slave craton, Canada, *Geology*, *36*(11), 875-878, doi:10.1130/G24861A.1
- Rivers, T. (1997). Lithotectonic elements of the Grenville Province: review and tectonic implications. *Precambrian Research*, 86(3-4), 117–154.
- Rivers, T. (2008). Assembly and preservation of lower, mid, and upper orogenic crust in the Grenville Province--Implications for the evolution of large hot long-duration orogens. *Precambrian Research*, *167*(3-4), 237–259. doi:10.1016/j.precamres.2008.08.005.
- Rivers, T. (2009). The Grenville Province as a large hot long-duration collisional orogen insights from the spatial and thermal evolution of its orogenic fronts. *Geological Society London Special Publications*, 327(1), 405–444. doi:10.1144/SP327.17.
- Rivers, T., & Corrigan, D. (2000). Convergent margin on southeastern Laurentia during the Mesoproterozoic: tectonic implications. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 37(2-3), 359–383.
- Rivers, T., Ketchum, J., Indares, A., & Hynes, A. (2002). The High Pressure belt in the

Grenville Province: architecture, timing, and exhumation. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, *39*(5), 867–893. doi:10.1139/e02-025.

- Schärer, U., & Krogh, T. (1986). Age and evolution of the Grenville Province in eastern Labrador from U-Pb systematics in accessory minerals. *Contributions to Mineralogy* and Petrology. (94), 438-451.
- Schärer, U., & Gower, C. (1988). Crustal evolution in eastern Labrador: Constraints from precise U-Pb ages. *Precambrian Research*, 38(4), 405–421.
- Schwartz, J.J., John, B.E., Cheadle, M.J., Wooden, J.L., Mazdab, F., Swapp, S., Grimes, C.B.
  (2010). Dissolution-reprecipitation of igneous zircon in mid-ocean ridge gabbro,
  Atlantis Bank, southwest Indian Ridge, *Chemical Geology*, (274), 68-81.
- Scott, D., Machado, N., Hanmer, S., & Gariépy, C. (1993). Dating ductile deformation using
   U-Pb geochronology: examples from the Gilbert River Belt, Grenville Province,
   Labrador, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 30(7), 1458–1469.
- Shand, S.J. (1927). On the relations between silica, alumina, and the bases in eruptive rocks, considered as a means of classification. *Geological Magazine*, (64), 446-446.
- Shand, S. J. (1951). *Eruptive Rocks*. New York: J. Wiley.
- Slama J., Košler J., Condon D.J., Crowley J.L., Gerdes A., Hanchar J.M., Horstwood M.S.A., Morris G.A., Nasdala L., Norberg N., Schaltegger U., Schoene B., Tubrett M.N., Whitehouse M.J. (2008). Plesovice zircon – a new natural reference material for U-Pb

and Hf isotopic microanalysis. Chem Geol 249: 1-35

- Souders, A., Sylvester, P., Myers, J., 2012. Mantle and crustal sources of Archean anorthosite: a combined in situ isotopic study of Pb–Pb in plagioclase and Lu–Hf in zircon. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 1–24.
- Sun, S. S., & McDonough, W. F. (1989). Chemical and isotopic systematics of oceanic basalts: implications for mantle composition and processes. *Geological Society*, (42), 313-345.
- Sylvester P.J. (2012). Use of the Mineral Liberation Analyzer (MLA) for mineralogical studies of sediments and sedimentary rocks. *In* Quantitative Mineralogy and Micro-Analysis of Sediments and Sedimentary Rocks (P. Sylvester, *ed.*). *Mineral. Association of Canada Short Course Series* (42), p. 1-16.
- Trua T., Daniel C., and Mazzuoli R. (1999). Crustal Control in the Genesis of Plio-Quaternary Bimodal Magmatism of the Main Ethiopian Rift (MER): Geochemical and Isotopic (Sr, Nd, Pb) Evidence. *Chemical Geology*, (155), 201–231.
- Tucker, R., & Gower, C. (1994). A U-Pb geochronological framework for the Pinware terrane, Grenville Province, southeast Labrador. *The Journal of Geology*, *102*(1), 67–78.
- Vorontsov A. A., Yarmolyuk V. V., and Baikin D. N. (2004). Structure and Composition of the Early Mesozoic Volcanic Series of the Tsagan–Khurtei Graben, Western

Transbaikalia: Geological, Geochemical, and Isotopic Data. *Geokhimiya*, (11), 1186–1202 (in Russian).

- Yarmolyuk V. V., Litvinovsky B. A., Kovalenko V. I. (2001). Formation Stages and Sources of the Peralkaline Granitoid Magmatism of the Northern Mongolia–Trans- baikalia
  Rift Belt during the Permian and Triassic. Petrologiya (9), 351–389 (in Russian).
- Wasteneys, H., Kamo, S., Moser, D., Krogh, T., Gower, C., & Owen, J. (1997). U-Pb geochronological constraints on the geological evolution of the Pinware terrane and adjacent areas, Grenville Province, southeast Labrador, Canada. *Precambrian Research*, 81(1-2), 101–128.
- White, R., & McKenzie, D. (1989). Magmatism at rift zones: The generation of volcanic continental margins and flood basalts. J. Geophys. Res, 94(B6), 7685.
  doi:10.1029/JB094iB06p07685
- Winchester, J. A., & Floyd, P. A. (1977). Geochemical discrimination of different magma series and their differentiation products using immobile elements. *Chemical Geology*, (20), 325–343. doi:10.1016/0009-2541(77)90057-2

#### **SUMMARY**

The data presented in this thesis provide the first description of the Fox Harbour volcanic packages available in literature. Three individual volcanic belts have been discovered (South Belt, MT Belt, and Road Belt), each with slightly different lithological units. Rock types consist of peralkaline rhyolitic units (comendite and pantellerite, determined geochemically), subalkaline tholeiitic basalt, discordant mafic and granitic dykes/sills, and quartzite.

U-Pb zircon geochronology conducted on representative samples from each volcanic belt identified two main age populations. The first is 1.3 Ga, taken to be the age of formation for the rhyolite units, and inferred age of formation for adjacent supracrustal units. The second is 1.05 Ga, taken to be the age that Grenvillian metamorphism affected this area of southeastern Labrador, subjecting the area to amphibolite facies metamorphism.

Zircon analyzed for U-Pb was also analyzed for Lu-Hf, to determine if findings were consistent. Hafnium isotopes in ca. 1.3 Ga zircon suggest that partial melting of 1.5-1.9 Ga felsic crustal sources derived Fox Harbour supracrustal packages. Although an interesting finding, a definitive source cannot be identified as the majority of southeastern Labrador ranges in age from 1.5-1.9 Ga. Hafnium isotopes in zircon containing an age of 1.05 Ga follow the same Hf-isotope crustal evolution array for 1.5 to 1.9 Ga sources. This suggests that the 1.05 Ga high-grade Grenvillian metamorphism was a closed system for Lu-Hf isotopes, and there was no flux of REE into or out of the rocks. This means that the REE present in the packages currently were present when deposited.

#### **APPENDIX A**

# LITHOGEOCHEMICAL DATA

Lithogeochemical data tables for each belt. Note that data are broken into a number of sections in order to allow the data to display properly.



Figure A-1: Geology map of the Fox Harbour project area, displaying all samples locations.

Sample	Channel*	SiO2	AI2O3	Fe2O3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	K2O	TiO2	P2O5	LOI	Total
	Number													
A103237	FHWT5001	75.92	9.97	5.52	4.96	0.022	0.11	0.38	2.6	4.48	0.167	<*0.01	0.26	99.43
A103238	FHWT5002	75.96	9.92	5.29	4.76	0.03	0.17	0.63	2.54	4.45	0.204	<*0.01	0.36	99.56
A103239	FHWT5D03	76.57	9.54	5.61	5.04	0.04	0.21	0.45	2.82	3.82	0.177	<*0.01	0.16	99.39
A103240	FHWT5004	45.23	13.99	16.73	15.04	0.408	5.61	5.96	1.78	4.56	3.678	0.45	1.22	99.62
A103241	FHWT5005	76.89	9.92	5.78	5.2	0.042	0.15	0.51	2.58	3.95	0.173	<'0.01	0.15	100.1
A103242	FHW15006	/5.96	9.98	5.56	5	0.048	0.16	0.51	2.79	3.97	0.188	< 0.01	0.3	99.46
A103243	FHW15007	11.3	10.04	5.27	4.74	0.028	0.12	0.53	3.06	3.37	0.171	< 0.01	0.28	100.2
103244	EHWT6001	71.13	13.14	4.86	4 37	0 104	0.42	0.78	4.76	3 74	0.495	0.03	0.64	99.6
103245	FHWT6D02	67.44	14.64	6.66	5.99	0.066	0.17	1.26	5.94	2.25	0.459	0.04	0.6	99.52
103246	FHWT6D03	65.21	15.17	7.28	6.54	0.083	0.21	1.65	5.74	2.59	0.49	0.04	0.99	99.45
103247	FHWT6D04	71.52	13.41	4.8	4.32	0.066	0.38	0.89	4.22	3.6	0.407	0.02	0.68	99.99
103248	FHWT6D05	54.32	14.25	13.4	12.05	0.229	3.54	4.82	2.78	2.98	1.891	0.34	2.06	100.6
103249	FHWT6D06	46.92	14.52	16.08	14.46	0.31	4.89	6.98	2.34	2.57	2.941	0.51	2.59	100.6
400000	5101177004	70.20	0.04	4.70	0	0.045	0.74	0.00	2.24	4.05	0.007	0.00	0.75	00.50
103250	FHW17001	79.28	8.81	4.79	4.31	0.046	0.34	1.41	3.31	2.00	0.307	0.03	0.75	99.59
103251	FHWT7003	43.21	14.21	16.79	15.09	0.351	5.55	6.16	2.02	3.47	3.868	0.5	3.6	99.74
103253	FHWT7004	78.1	9.21	5.04	4.53	0.049	0.33	0.47	1.97	4.15	0.206	0.01	0.63	100.2
103254	FHWT7005	75.88	10.01	4.83	4.34	0.022	0.14	0.44	2.74	4.1	0.206	0.02	0.46	98.85
103255	FHWT7D06	75.87	10.17	5.11	4.59	0.033	0.13	0.71	2.8	4.17	0.213	0.01	0.77	99.99
103256	FHWT7D07	76.88	10	4.97	4.47	0.015	0.07	0.4	2.9	4.48	0.195	<*0.01	0.38	100.3
103257	FHWT7D08	75.45	10.59	4.92	4.42	0.02	0.08	0.6	2.84	4.87	0.19	0.02	0.83	100.4
103258	FHW17009	74.9	10.25	5.3	4.76	0.017	0.09	0.38	2.92	4.51	0.204	0.01	0.36	98.94
102259	FHW17LLU	76.93	10.10	4.67	4.50	0.022	0.09	0.51	2.76	4.05	0.141	<10.01	0.5	99.92
103260	FHWT7DI2	76.34	10.43	4 75	4.52	0.019	0.10	0.17	2.0	4.37	0.132	0.02	0.3	99.40
103262	FHWT7DL3	54.34	13.1	11.85	10.65	0.365	3.33	10.37	0.77	1.73	1.374	0.17	3.28	100.7
103263	FHWT7DL4	74.63	10.48	4.64	4.17	0.017	0.1	0.32	2.91	4.42	0.194	0.02	0.59	98.3
103264	FHWT7DL5	75.99	10.82	4.45	4	0.019	0.14	0.4	2.94	4.49	0.21	0.02	0.61	100.1
103265	FHWT7DL6	76.51	10.17	4.76	4.28	0.021	0.17	0.32	2.62	4.69	0.195	<'0.01	0.33	99.8
103266	FHWT7DL7	78.6	9.31	4.86	4.37	0.017	0.13	0.25	2.53	4.27	0.16	<*0.01	0.32	100.5
103267	FHWT7DL8	56.27	13.11	12.74	11.45	0.235	3.43	5.23	2.93	2.53	2.38	0.28	1.47	100.6
103268	FHW17L19	74.47	10.77	4.82	4.33	0.03	0.11	0.63	2.86	4.6	0.211	0.01	0.32	98.85
103209	FHWT7D1	74.78	10.85	4.90	4.40	0.031	0.09	0.73	2.8	4.76	0.215	0.02	0.45	99.74
103271	FHWT7D22	75.79	9.5	5.75	5.17	0.031	0.13	0.7	2.39	3.97	0.242	0.02	0.23	98.75
103272	FHWT7D23	75.73	10.85	4.39	3.95	0.028	0.11	0.63	3.03	4.27	0.227	<*0.01	0.42	99.68
103273	FHWT7D24	76.21	10.71	4.9	4.41	0.034	0.18	0.73	2.95	4.23	0.273	0.01	0.26	100.5
103274	FHWT7D25	51.31	13.95	13.08	11.76	0.277	4.92	3.67	3.05	3.86	2.008	0.36	2.57	99.06
103275	FHWT7D26	71.04	12.5	6.02	5.41	0.083	0.43	0.91	3.37	4.29	0.301	0.02	0.57	99.54
103276	FHWT7D27	76.75	10.9	4.26	3.83	0.037	0.18	0.81	3.21	3.58	0.226	0.01	0.36	100.3
103277	FHW17128	67.29	11.04	4.42	5.97	0.07	0.25	2.01	2.00	3.24	1.74	0.01	1.96	100.4
103327	FHWT7D25	47.54	14.03	15.29	13.75	0.301	5.31	6.55	2.38	3.21	2.516	0.39	1.00	99.28
103329	FHWT7D27	66.62	16.3	3.34	3	0.1	0.49	1.66	3.52	7.23	0.571	0.12	0.52	100.5
103330	FHWT7D28	74.22	11.14	4.67	4.2	0.033	0.31	0.83	1.9	6.55	0.478	0.06	0.47	100.7
					0									
103278	FHWT8D01	75.24	10.09	4.84	4.35	0.036	0.11	0.92	2.65	3.88	0.269	0.01	0.14	98.19
103279	FHWT8002	44.91	15.25	13.47	12.11	0.352	7.52	7.07	2.4	3.07	1.69	0.19	2.52	98.44
103280	FHW18003	/4.0/	10.9	4.21	3./8	0.038	0.13	1.13	3.02	3.94	1.00	0.02	0.43	98.11
103281	FHWT8005	73.91	11.05	4.55	4.26	0.23	0.14	0.95	3 71	3.34	0 241	0.15	0.25	98.84
103283	FHWT8006	73.87	10.87	4.29	3.86	0.033	0.15	1.21	2.63	4.92	0.247	0.01	0.85	99.08
103284	FHWT8D07	74.03	10.89	4.28	3.85	0.036	0.12	1.26	2.74	4.76	0.216	0.01	0.56	98.91
103285	FHWT8008	73.1	10.98	5.07	4.56	0.054	0.11	0.79	3.09	4.52	0.262	<*0.01	0.43	98.42
103286	FHWT8D09	72.27	11.46	4.83	4.34	0.059	0.09	0.75	3.28	4.89	0.255	0.01	0.34	98.24
103287	FHWT8DL0	73.51	10.72	4.32	3.88	0.051	0.1	0.87	3.08	4.62	0.248	<*0.01	0.45	97.97
103288	FHWT8D11	70.02	12.15	4.65	4.18	0.047	0.08	1.44	3.1	5.52	0.298	0.01	0.53	97.86
103289	FHW18LL2 FHWT8DL3	72.52	11.78	4./1	4.23	0.0/1	0.1	0.95	3.22	4.86	0.33	0.01 <10.01	0.48	99.03
103291	FHWT8DI4	73.57	11.79	4.04	3.86	0.04	0.05	1.17	2.88	4.75	0.308	0.01	0.18	98.47
103292	FHWT8DL5	74.56	10.68	4.69	4.22	0.037	0.04	0.93	2.67	4.51	0.227	0.02	0.15	98.5
103293	FHWT8DL6	73.65	10.95	5.31	4.77	0.039	0.06	0.83	2.74	4.77	0.254	0.02	0.06	98.68
103294	FHWT8D17	55.73	18.3	9.65	8.68	0.1	0.13	3.52	7.33	1.01	0.658	0.01	0.58	97.01
103295	FHWT8D18	74.69	11.55	4.35	3.91	0.042	0.07	0.99	2.92	4.9	0.208	0.02	0.17	99.91
					0									
103296	FHWT9D01	43.39	14.23	16.68	15	0.263	5.36	7.7	2.93	2.58	3.821	0.49	1.78	99.24
103297	FHW19002	/3.63	11.67	5.7	5.12	0.044	0.21	1.31	4.63	1.14	0.305	0.01	0.3	98.94
103230	110019002	12.23	11.79	4.0	4.14	0.029	0.09	0.97	5.51	5.92	0.501	0.02	0.58	30.03

Table A1-1: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Sample	Channel* Number	SiO2	Al2O3	Fe2O3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	K2O	TiO2	P2O5	LOI	Total
103299	FHWT9D04	74.17	10.79	5.05	4.54	0.021	0.08	0.48	3.14	4.67	0.245	0.01	0.32	98.98
103300	FHWT9D05	73.83	10.69	4.8	4.32	0.022	0.11	0.57	3.34	4.01	0.199	<'0.01	0.43	9
103301	FHWT9D06	45.85	14.49	15.41	13.85	0.306	5.2	5.4	2.66	4.18	3.271	0.42	2.13	99.3
103302	FHWT9D07	44.08	14.67	16.6	14.92	0.288	5.32	7.19	2.83	3.04	3.767	0.53	0.99	99.2
103303	FHWT9D08	73.54	12	4.54	4.08 0	0.037	0.09	0.9	3.57	4.01	0.281	0.02	0.48	99.4
103304	FHWT10D01	42.91	14.27	16.6	14.92	0.299	5.42	8.01	2.89	2.55	3.625	0.5	1.7	98.7
103305	FHWT10002	72.87	11.72	4.51	4.05	0.027	0.29	0.8	3.21	4.63	0.295	0.02	0.62	98.9
103306	FHWT10003	70	12.49	5.16	4.64	0.025	0.14	0.81	3.71	5.1	0.289	0.02	0.57	98.3
103307	FHWT10004	73	11.1	4.73	4.25	0.029	0.12	0.6	3.38	4.75	0.251	0.01	0.44	98.4
80660	FHW110L05	/2.4/	11.27	4.66	4.19	0.029	0.15	1.13	3.57	4.64	0.257	0.01	0.96	99.1
103309	FHW110106	72.48	11.92	4.13	3.71	0.019	0.19	0.3	3.44	5.17	0.239	0.01	0.52	98.4
03310	FHW110107	/5.58	10.69	3.6	3.24	0.024	0.13	0.54	3.16	4.73	0.209	0.01	0.43	99.
03311	FHWT10008	73.6	11.6	4.08	3.67	0.014	0.11	0.27	3.21	5.42	0.196	< 0.01	0.57	99.0
03312	FHW11009	72.40	11.45	4.54	4.08	0.025	0.12	0.51	3.49	4.91	0.227	0.01	0.45	90.1
02214	FHWT10LL0	73.09	11.0	4.19	3.77	0.023	0.03	0.82	3.7	4.09	0.21	0.01	0.4	96.9
02215	FHWT10L1	75.29	10.15	4.49	4.04	0.029	0.16	0.89	3.30	4.09	0.235	<10.01	0.0	99.7
03315	FHWT10D13	72.18	11 44	4 19	3 77	0.015	0.11	0.55	3.54	4.78	0.135	0.01	0.45	97.9
103317	FHWT10D4	73.79	10.98	4.23	3 79	0.029	0.21	0.61	3 24	4.68	0.225	0.01	0.53	98.5
03317	FHWT10015	73.56	11.81	4.22	4.01	0.025	0.27	0.51	3.55	4.00	0.225	<10.01	0.55	99.7
03319	FHWT10D6	74.01	11.26	4.34	3.9	0.035	0.24	0.81	3.38	4,78	0.207	<10.01	0.66	99.7
03320	FHWT10017	73.13	11.39	4.26	3.83	0.028	0.18	0.71	3.47	4.73	0.196	< 0.01	0.46	98.5
03321	FHWT10D18	73.9	11.28	4.47	4.02	0.036	0.2	0.78	3.39	4.72	0.221	0.01	0.44	99.4
03322	FHWT10D19	72.04	11.32	4.58	4.12	0.027	0.17	0.47	3.47	4.76	0.222	0.01	0.43	97.
03323	FHWT10020	73.96	11.41	4.19	3.77	0.028	0.25	0.53	3.19	4.99	0.223	<*0.01	0.46	99.2
103324	FHWT10021	74.03	10.35	4.31	3.87	0.062	0.29	1.26	2.63	4.95	0.211	<*0.01	0.99	99.
03325	FHWT10022	74.09	10.37	4.09	3.68	0.031	0.19	1.12	3.12	4.32	0.193	<*0.01	0.9	98.4
103376	FHWT10D23	73.89	11.48	4.31	3.87	0.028	0.22	0.57	3.44	4.75	0.201	0.01	0.57	99.4
103377	FHWT10024	73.94	10.78	4.14	3.72	0.021	0.21	0.57	3.2	4.44	0.208	<*0.01	0.61	98.1
103378	FHWT10025	75.3	10.94	4.68	4.21	0.035	0.21	0.48	3.03	4.94	0.258	0.02	0.49	100.
22276	FHWTDL0D26	73.81	10.74	4.93	4.43	0.033	0.26	0.65	3.24	4.66	0.294	0.01	0.46	99.0
22277	FHWTD11	44.31	14.9	16.08	14.46	0.291	5.72	6.91	2.95	2.15	3.517	0.44	1.65	98.9
122278	FHWTD11	66.89	14.97	4.92	4.42	0.083	0.47	1.94	4.96	2.28	0.316	0.02	1.1	97.9
22279	FHWTD11	72.14	13.18	3.96	3.56	0.046	0.13	1.42	3.37	5.16	0.236	<*0.01	1.12	100.
22280	FHWTD11	70.67	12.65	4.03	3.62	0.04	0.29	1.29	3.35	5	0.307	0.03	0.87	98.5
22281	FHWTD11	69.06	13.08	5.59	5.03	0.073	0.58	1.38	3.55	4.73	0.534	0.06	0.96	99.6
122282	FHWTD11	70.85	12.53	4.15	3.73	0.042	0.19	0.9	3.88	5.1	0.258	0.01	0.43	98.3
122283	FHWTD11	75.92	11.34	3.92	3.52	0.041	0.16	0.93	3.65	3.91	0.264	0.01	0.32	100.
422284	FHWTD11	44.25	14.79	15.56	13.99	0.351	5.38	6.24	3.12	4.06	3.497	0.53	1.42	99.1
122285	FHWTD11	71.3	12.55	5.06	4.55	0.046	0.22	1.04	3.99	4.35	0.338	0.03	0.38	99.3
122286	FHWTD11	71.76	12.46	4.62	4.15	0.034	0.2	0.93	3.9	5.01	0.264	0.01	0.58	99.7
122287	FHWTD11	71.35	12.61	4.47	4.02	0.039	0.27	0.83	3.97	5.11	0.254	0.02	0.67	99.
22288	FHWID1	72.68	11.56	4.89	4.4	0.035	0.12	0.64	3.72	4.69	0.274	< 0.01	0.33	98.9
22289	FHWTD11	73.44	12.19	4.22	3.79	0.022	0.13	0.45	3.97	4.69	0.251	0.01	0.31	99.6
122290	FHWID1	70.34	13.29	4.66	4.19	0.031	0.08	0.69	4.4	5.2	0.272	0.02	0.37	99.3
+22291	FRIWILLI ELIWITEII	51.32	14.5	14.67	12.10	0.222	5.28	0.3	2.97	2.43	1.725	0.22	1.5/	99.2
122292		40.15	14.83	14.0/	13.19	0.274	0.22	1.52	2.99	2.19	2.276	0.31	1.59	99.0
122295	FRIWILLI	/1.38	12.06	5.29	4.76	0.049	0.21	1.06	3.58	4.75	0.305	0.02	0.54	99.2
122294	FRIWILLI ELIWITEII	72.42	10.54	5.58	5.02	0.052	0.35	0.83	2.95	4.55	0.279	0.02	0.48	97.8
22295		72.44	11.45	5.14	4.02	0.054	0.25	1.08	3.11	4.00	0.281	0.02	0./	99./
22290	FRIWILLI ELIWITEII	/1.43	11.54	5.35	4.81	0.042	0.13	1.04	3.31	4.09	0.305	0.01	1.04	99.0
22297	FRIWILLI ELIWITEII	58.88 72.45	12.08	5.2	4.0/	0.065	0.2	2.05	3.25	4.76	0.307	0.02	1.21	98.6
22230	CHARTER 1	72.45	12.22	5.41	4.60	0.001	0.33	1.12	3.2	5.09	0.505	0.02	0.05	100.
22299	FRIWILLI ELIWITEII	70.86	12.32	5.5	4.94	0.055	0.23	1.12	3.09	5.28	0.332	0.02	0.75	99.5
22300	FRIWILLI ELIWITEII	72.19	10.04	4.82	4.33	0.063	0.27	0.85	2.05	5.05	0.28/	0.01	0.82	98./
22231	ELIW/TO1	72.01	10.94	4.77	4.29	0.040	0.11	1.50	3.01	5 07	0.203	0.02	0.04	36.5
22222	ELIW/TO1	73.5	12 12 70	4.7	4.25	0.051	0.13	0.79	3.07	5.07	0.207	0.02	0.91	100
22255	FHWTD11	72.12	11.68	4.85	4.34	0.037	0.15	1.23	3.19	4.78	0.298	0.01	0.52	98.8
22255	FUNCTION 2	70.62	12.67	5.33	0	0.040	0.22	0.5	3.00	47	0.202	0.07	0.5	~~
22255	FRIWILLS	70.62	12.6/	5.23	4./	0.049	0.23	0.5	3.99	4./	0.293	0.02	0.5	98
22250	FRIWILLS	72.91	11.81	4.00	4.19	0.05/	0.29	0.69	3.78	4.58	0.303	0.02	0.67	99.5
2223/	CHW/TRI2	70.85	12.32	5.38	4.84	0.048	0.00	0.67	3.89	4.00	0.310	0.03	0.5	98./
22258	FRIWILLS	70.79	12.18	4.9/	4.4/	0.055	0.09	0.94	3.91	4.07	0.291	0.02	0.58	98.5
22259	FRIWILLS	70.2	12.42	5.09	4.58	0.067	0.19	0.93	3.74	4.83	0.288	0.01	0.73	98.
22200	ELIW/TO 2	70.24	12.54	4.59	4.15	0.084	0.15	1.11	5.67	4.04	0.293	0.03	0.52	99.0
22201	ELIW/TO 2	70.24	12.10	4.73	4.25	0.064	0.1	1.08	4.00	4.24	0.272	0.02	0.9	96.5 00 7
22202	ELIW/TO 2	70.5	12.83	4.79	4.51	0.073	0.10	0.65	4.55	4.45	0.206	0.03	0.43	36.7
+22203	rrivv IIJ S	/1.36	12.81	4.80	4.3/	0.096	0.1	0.79	4.7	4.71	U.ZMb	0.03	U./	99.9

Table A1-2: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Sample	Channel*	SiO2	AI2O3	Fe2O3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	к20	TiO2	P2O5	LOI	Тс
	Number						0							
422264	FHWTD13	71.62	12.96	4.71	4.23	0.082	0.15	0.96	3.85	4.52	0.317	0.02	0.85	
422265	FHWTD13	67.77	12.7	5.5	4.94	0.094	0.58	2	3.95	3.37	0.544	0.06	1.24	
422266	FHWTD13	70.61	12.99	4.75	4.27	0.069	0.08	0.93	4.04	4.67	0.28	0.02	0.78	
422267	FHWTD13	71.79	13.02	4.69	4.22	0.045	0.12	0.63	4.09	4.33	0.395	0.02	0.69	
422268	FHWTD13	70.03	11.93	6.31	5.67	0.118	0.28	1.64	3.65	3.77	0.61	0.07	0.65	
					0									
					0									
422269	FHWTD14	65.28	15.91	5.19	4.67	0.095	0.45	1.89	4.91	3.69	0.627	0.11	0.86	
422270	FHWTDL4	58.24	16.18	8.7	7.82	0.175	0.86	3.16	4.25	4.13	0.994	0.19	1.01	
422271	FHWTD14	52.49	13.09	15.25	13.71	0.223	3.31	6.21	2.88	2.53	2.311	0.3	0.95	
422272	FHWTD14	63.71	15.06	8.04	7.23	0.147	0.59	2.61	4.67	2.56	0.88	0.17	0.66	
422273	FHWTD14	50.72	12.95	15.74	14.15	0.228	3.78	6.31	2.73	2.93	2.395	0.31	1.53	
422274	FHWTD14	69.69	12.5	6.71	6.03	0.094	0.86	2.24	3.7	3.07	0.761	0.1	0.69	
422275	FHWTD14	60.57	15.95	8.3	7.46	0.147	1.48	3.25	5.42	2.12	1.774	0.12	1.07	
422301	FHWTD14	62.5	14.33	8.52	7.66	0.149	0.9	2.22	4.26	3.67	1.016	0.15	1.09	
422302	FHWTD14	70.79	13.26	4.97	4.47	0.051	0.26	0.72	4.38	3.73	0.37	0.02	0.47	
422303	FHWTD14	71.8	12.43	4.99	4.49	0.054	0.39	0.8	4.31	3.47	0.472	0.04	0.58	
422304	FHWTD14	61.66	14.26	7.51	6.75	0.114	0.42	2.99	4.28	4.45	0.768	0.12	1.65	
422305	FHWTD 4	69.88	14.06	4.6	4.14	0.036	0.05	0.9	5.15	3.77	0.653	0.01	0.32	
422306	FHWTD 4	68.16	14.63	5.07	4.56	0.044	0.05	1.08	5.11	3.99	0.578	<*0.01	0.5	
422307	FHWTD 4	66.93	14.11	5.42	4.87	0.068	0.08	1.78	4.88	4.06	0.854	<*0.01	0.84	
422308	FHWTD 4	66.21	13.4	6.79	6.1	0.073	0.1	2.41	4.76	3.43	1.164	0.01	0.76	
422309	FHWTD 4	63.91	14.04	6.78	6.1	0.135	0.21	3.24	4.25	4.35	0.902	0.1	1.77	
422310	FHW/TDI4	67.7	13.67	6 74	6.06	0.082	0.14	1 74	4 73	3.97	0.859	0.06	0.63	
422311	FHW/TDI4	60.88	15.07	7.88	7.08	0.158	0.3	2.46	4 38	4 99	0.858	0.15	1 17	
422312	FHW/TDI4	62 54	14.89	8.03	7.00	0 141	0.88	2.10	4.28	3 31	1.065	0.16	0.75	
422312	EHW/TOLA	51 20	12.63	16.3	14.65	0.232	4.01	7.4	2.85	1 25	2 571	0.20	0.53	
422313	EHW/TDL4	57.04	14.9	9.62	8 65	0.136	3.62	4.06	3.07	2.66	1 /07	0.34	1 37	
422314	EHW/TDL4	70.06	12 94	6.51	5.85	0.076	0.02	1 1 2	4 71	3.28	0.598	<10.01	0.44	
422315	EHW/TDL4	64.88	14.67	7 23	6.5	0.119	0.00	1.12	4.71	1 13	0.555	0.01	0.83	
422310	EHW/TDL4	69.35	14.05	5.87	5 28	0.085	0.08	0.84	4.57	4.45	0.571	0.05	0.05	
422317	EHW/TDL4	70.84	13 77	5.78	5.20	0.005	0.00	0.04	5 31	2 78	0.521	0.02	0.41	
422510	111001124	70.04	15.77	5.70	0	0.071	0.17	0.57	5.51	2.70	0.501	0.02	0.4	
					0									
322456	EHW/TO 6D	71 92	11 5	5 52	4 96	0 107	0.49	0.42	3 18	5 1 8	0.28	0.02	0.33	
222450		72.52	12.5	4 72	4.50	0.061	0.45	1 5 4	2 56	4.11	0.20	<10.02	0.55	
322457	EHW/TDL6D8	72.17	12.55	4.72	4.24	0.001	0.10	1.34	3.86	3.03	0.201	0.02	0.5	
222458	FINATOLOD	14.30	14.96	4.81	4.32	0.070	6.44	2.43	3.80	3.03	2 620	0.02	1.2	
322433		70.07	19.80	13.02 E 17	14.04	0.230	0.44	0.05	2.73	2.75	0.220	0.3	0.62	
322400		68.37	12.05	5.17	4.05	0.000	0.43	1.60	3.22	4.88	0.335	0.02	0.03	
322401		72.72	12.0	0.54	5.7	0.074	0.91	1.09	3.41	3.91	0.721	0.09	0.55	
322403		73.72	11.47	4.92	4.42	0.037	0.17	1.08	3.25	5.05	0.276	0.04	0.46	
322404	FILWITELOUD	71.04	10.00	4.54	4.44	0.038	0.21	1.27	2.04	3.12	0.207	0.02	0.20	
322405		74.25	10.69	4.7	4.25	0.039	0.18	1.57	2.00	3.7	0.271	0.02	0.56	
322400		52.99	15.44	15.00	12.40	0.275	5.00	4.55	2.35	5.91	1.99	0.27	1.55	
322407		70.2	11.55	5.74	5.10	0.087	0.54	1.59	3.14	4.00	0.497	0.03	0.69	
322408		72.57	11.0	4.95	4.45	0.058	0.15	0.96	3.50	4.55	0.298	0.02	0.5	
322469	FHWILLGLL4	69.91	12.55	5.55	4.99	0.053	0.16	1.21	3.83	4.55	0.309	0.02	0.76	
522470	FRIVILLOLLS	69.19	12.99	5.15	4.01	0.080	0.15	1.52	5.67	5.10	0.29	0.05	0.71	
		=0.00			0									
3224/1	FHWID1/D1	70.38	12.42	5.43	4.88	0.101	0.11	1.26	3.59	4.7	0.292	0.02	0.66	
522472		69.76	12.43	5.61	5.04	0.069	0.22	1.11	4.03	4.06	0.316	0.02	0.79	
322473	FHWTD17D8	67.76	12.1	6.84	6.15	0.101	0.75	1.69	3.45	4.04	0.684	0.08	0.83	
322474	FHWTD17D4	41.76	13.63	19.31	17.36	0.301	6.46	6.52	2.28	3.19	3.041	0.37	2.72	
322475	FHWTD17D5	69.06	12.93	5.13	4.61	0.071	0.33	1.11	4.12	4.71	0.322	0.03	0.64	
321918	FHWTD17D6	71.08	12.69	4.92	4.42	0.081	0.2	0.86	3.62	4.87	0.278	0.05	0.58	
321919	FHWTDL7D7	69.87	12.6	4.91	4.41	0.106	0.28	1.77	3.65	4.67	0.303	0.06	0.82	
321920	FHWTD17D8	68.67	12.62	5.18	4.66	0.116	0.39	2.14	3.55	4.54	0.3	0.03	1.14	
321921	FHWTD17D9	69.34	13.08	4.91	4.41	0.125	0.19	1.16	3.87	4.8	0.311	0.04	0.58	
321922	FHWT017010	70.16	12.35	4.97	4.47	0.103	0.13	0.98	3.77	4.58	0.292	0.03	0.58	

Table A1-3: Lithogeochemical d	lata for the South Belt
--------------------------------	-------------------------

Sample	Channel*	Sc	Be	v	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Ag	In	Sn	Sb	Cs
	Number		-		-									-					U		-		
4403337	51 0 4 75 FB4			-					400					20	220	2240	202					. en c	
A103237	FHWI5L01	<1	11	_	<*20	<1	< 20	<10	100	51	3	<5	454	20	328	3249	293	<*2		< 0.2	51	< 0.5	< 0.5
A103238	FHW15002	<1	16	<5	< 20	<1	< 20	<10	170	51	2	~	439	20	401	3367	276	<-2		< 0.2	49	< 0.5	< 0.5
A103239	FHW15003	<1	22	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	20	390	50	3	<5	410	122	389	3/15	318	3	1.2	<10.2	55	< 10.5	< 0.5
A103240	FHW/T5004	51	15	204	- 100	47		50	360	29	2	< 5 ./F	350	125	360	4391	40		1.2	< 0.2	51	< 0.5	
A103241	FHW/T5005	<1	15	< 5 . #	< 20	1	< 20	-**0	380	57	2	< 5 ./F	309	25	350	4361	221	- 2		< 0.2	51	< 0.5	< 0.5
A103242		<1	11	< 5 . #	< 20	4	< 20	10	260	60	2	< 5 ./F	397	22	309	3010	2//	- 2		< 0.2	30	< 0.5	< 0.5
A105245	FRW15007	<1	15	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	10	240	55	2	× 5	290	50	509	2650	210	< 2		¢ 0.2	59	< 0.5	× 0.5
102244	EUW/TGR01	,	17	17	~**0	2	<*20	50	120	52	2	~*	154	65	222	1909	166	~*		<10.7	16	~*n s	~*n 5
103245	FHW/T6002		17	11	< 20	2	< 20	<*10	190	57	-	~	108	71	363	2564	278	3		<10.2	21	<10.5	<10.5
103246	FHW/T6003	1	19	15	< 20	1	< 20	30	260	63	3	~	130	80	377	2588	200	3		<10.2	21	<10.5	<10.5
103247	FHWT6I04	<1	17	17	< 20	3	< 20	110	180	52	2	<5	180	53	227	1883	178	<7		<10.2	21	<10.5	<10.5
103248	FHWT6005	31	4	185	40	33	< 20	50	270	25	2	<5	230	184	50	363	20	<7	1.1	<10.2		<10.5	1.3
103249	FHWT6006	33	9	292	50	43	30	60	440	31	2	<5	271	116	65	215	28	<7	0.6	<10.2	9	<10.5	1.3
103250	FHWT7001	1	17	23	<*20	3	<*20	50	300	44	2	<5	127	58	388	3614	399	<7		<10.2	51	<10.5	<10.5
103251	FHWT7002	4	25	37	<*20	5	<*20	10	930	59	3	<5	454	49	707	5016	516	2		<10.2	85	<10.5	<10.5
103252	FHWT7003	32	7	298	60	46	40	50	880	26	2	<5	387	149	59	335	34	9	1.2	<10.2	5	<10.5	1.6
103253	FHWT7004	1	30	5	<*20	2	<*20	10	240	48	2	<5	400	23	363	3279	267	<*2		<10.2	54	<10.5	<'0.5
103254	FHWT7005	<1	29	6	<*20	1	<*20	<10	90	56	2	<5	366	20	373	3910	319	<*2		<10.2	60	<10.5	<10.5
103255	FHWT7D06	<1	30	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	110	57	2	<'5	394	24	439	4041	323	<*2		<*0.2	64	<'0.5	<*0.5
103256	FHWT7007	<1	24	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	80	55	2	<'5	403	15	384	3931	292	<*2		<*0.2	55	<10.5	<*0.5
103257	FHWT7008	<1	41	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	<*30	59	3	<'5	560	18	441	3857	331	<*2		<*0.2	63	<10.5	<*0.5
103258	FHWT7009	<1	34	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	40	58	3	<'5	484	18	485	3560	383	<*2		<*0.2	64	<10.5	<*0.5
103259	FHWT7DL0	<*1	30	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	<*30	55	2	<'5	538	10	253	2612	214	<*2		<*0.2	42	<*0.5	<*0.5
103260	FHWT7D11	<*1	19	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	<*30	52	2	<'5	441	9	222	2018	221	<*2		<*0.2	39	<*0.5	<*0.5
103261	FHWT7DL2	<*1	20	<*5	<*20	<1	<*20	10	<*30	51	2	<5	405	15	265	2273	237	<*2		<*0.2	41	<'0.5	<*0.5
103262	FHWT7DL3	25	7	179	110	30	80	30	760	29	3	<5	250	132	39	113	21	<*2	<*0.5	<*0.2	7	<'0.5	1.7
103263	FHWT7DL4	<*1	16	<*5	<*20	<1	<*20	<*10	<*30	51	2	<5	415	14	205	2023	157	<*2		<*0.2	32	<'0.5	<*0.5
103264	FHWT7DL5	<*1	16	<*5	<*20	1	<*20	<*10	<*30	52	2	<5	425	15	209	2123	168	<*2		<*0.2	33	<'0.5	<*0.5
103265	FHWT7DL6	<*1	17	6	<*20	<1	<*20	<*10	<*30	56	2	<5	478	14	257	3321	272	<*2		<*0.2	53	<'0.5	<*0.5
103266	FHWT7DL7	<*1	10	10	<*20	<1	<*20	<*10	<*30	51	2	<5	411	13	245	2671	235	<*2		<*0.2	43	<'0.5	<*0.5
103267	FHWT7DL8	22	12	190	40	32	30	100	460	35	2	<5	313	135	111	924	63	2		<*0.2	14	<'0.5	2.5
103268	FHWT7D19	<*1	17	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	40	54	2	<5	377	18	272	2348	168	<*2		<*0.2	35	<'0.5	<*0.5
103269	FHWT7020	<*1	21	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	110	55	3	<*5	379	20	310	2267	198	<*2		<*0.2	38	<*0.5	<*0.5
103270	FHWT7D21	<*1	18	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	40	57	3	<5	323	20	332	2960	219	2		<*0.2	41	<'0.5	<*0.5
103271	FHWT7D22	<*1	20	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	50	54	3	<5	304	21	437	4725	304	3		<*0.2	47	<'0.5	<*0.5
103272	FHWT7D23	<*1	19	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	30	55	2	<5	299	22	264	2317	181	<*2		<*0.2	34	<'0.5	<*0.5
103273	FHWT7D24	<*1	24	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	130	55	2	<5	309	24	286	2330	205	<*2		<*0.2	40	<'0.5	<*0.5
103274	FHWT7D25	22	21	181	70	41	80	60	520	35	2	<5	607	64	66	420	41	<*2	1.4	<*0.2	12	<'0.5	5
103275	FHWT7D26	2	32	16	<*20	2	<*20	<*10	130	69	2	<5	348	39	271	2189	185	3		<*0.2	58	<'0.5	<'0.5
103276	FHWT7027	1	26	7	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	40	51	2	<'5	235	31	270	2304	185	<*2		<*0.2	36	<10.5	<'0.5
103277	FHWT7D28	1	28	9	<*20	1	<*20	<10	90	57	2	<'5	246	36	248	2188	184	<*2		<*0.2	38	<'0.5	<*0.5
103326	FHWT7D25	23	3	56	<*20	9	<*20	<10	30	22	2	<'5	67	296	41	207	19	<*2	0.7	<*0.2	4	<'0.5	1.3
103327	FHWT7D26	30	4	228	70	40	50	<10	1220	22	2	<'5	89	240	40	254	17	<*2	0.8	<10.2	3	<10.5	1
103329	FHW1/D2/	11	2	1/	<*20	2	< 20	<10	<'30	19	2	<5	130	308	39	470	1/	<*2	1.5	< 0.2	3	< 0.5	0.6
103330	FHW1/L28	5	4	8	<*20	2	< 20	<10	<*30	22	2	<5	211	72	146	1358	106	<*2		< 0.2	18	<0.5	<0.5
400000	51 II A (70 PM		43					20			-		270	20	220	1005					24		
103270	FHW/TODD1	20	15	201	160	51	120	110	110	40	2	~ 5	270	151	250	141	145	- 2	0.7	< 0.2	51	0.9	1.0
103279	FHW18002	30	4	221	160	52	130	110	100	23	2	<5	332	151	32	141	12	<12	0.7	<10.2	3	1	1.9
103280	FHIVIOUS	20	15	216	140	10	110	30	100	45	2	~ 5	201	147	180	1405	106	- 2	0.7	< 0.2	20	0.8	× 0.5
103201	FHW/TOD94	50	16	210	140	49	110	30	150	50	2	~ 5	333	147	47	159	122	- 2	0.7	< 0.2	24	1.2	
103282	FHINTODO	<1	10	10	< 20	1	< 20	50	150	55	2	~ 5	211	20	199	1774	135	- 2		< 0.2	24	11	< 0.5
103203		1	1/	10	- 20	~ 1	< 20	-**0	110	49	2	~ 5	333	20	215	1774	159	- 2		< 0.2	27	1.1	< 0.5
103264		<1	10	9	< 20	~ 1	< 20	< 10	110	50	2	~ 5	345	16	220	1094	140	- 2		< 0.2	20	0.8	< 0.5
102285	ELIM/TODO	<1	14	~*	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	140	54	2	~ ~ ~	3/3	10	237	1910	134	<2		< 10.2	20	1.1	< 0.5
103287	FHW/T8DIO	21	18	-5	< 20	21	< 20	<10	150	52	2	~	390	13	273	2283	186	- 2		<10.2	33	1	<10.5
103287	FHW/T8D11	1	19		< 20	21	< 20	< 10	110	57	3	~5	433	20	307	2033	160	~ 2		<10.2	36	11	0.5
102200	ELIM/TODI 1	1	19	~5	< 20	~1	< 20	< 10	110	57	2	~5	433	15	242	2033	174	~2		< 0.2	25	1.1	<*n 5
102200	ELIM/TODL2	-1	10	~5	< 20	~1	< 20	< 10	100	56	2	~5	420	14	102	1697	120	~2		< 0.2	35	1.1	< 0.5
103290	FHW/T8DLA	21	10	2	< 20	24	< 20	<10	110	50	2	~	286	28	251	1590	130	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		<10.2	20	1.1	0.5
103291	FHW/T8DI5	21	10	11	< 20	24	< 20	<10	130	49	2	~	260	34	201	2182	117	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		<10.2	33	0.9	0.5
103292	FHW/T8DI6	21	12	8	< 20	24	< 20	<10	140	51	2	~	317	25	200	2252	151	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		<10.2	32	0.5	<10.5
103294	FHW/T8DI7		45	52	< 20	24	< 20	<10	280	81	2	~	70	114	2.50	4547	280	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		0.2	52	1	<10.5
103294	FHW/TSDIS	- 1	18	-5	< 20	24	< 20	<10	120	43	2	~	315	27	262	1772	116	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		د.ں د11 ت	20	<10 5	0.5
103233		~ 1	10	~ 5	~ 20	~ 1	~ 20	~ 10	120	40	2	~ 5	ندد	21	202	1//2	110	~ 4		× 0.2	24	× 0.3	0.0
103296	FHW/T9I01	31	3	301	80	46	60	80	260	25	,	~*	250	199	51	292	79	<*7	11	<10.7	0	0.8	37
103297	FHWT9D02	<1	14	<5	<*20		<*20	50	200	39	5	<5	104	73	211	1839	157	<*2	***	<10.2	27	0.7	<10.5
103298	FHWT9D03	1	17	<5	<*20	<1	<*20	<10	150	54	2	<5	303	29	226	1919	166	<*2		<10.2	33	0.9	<'0.5

## Table A1-4: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Sample	Channel*	Sc	Be	v	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	7n	Ga	Ge	As	Rb	Sr	Y	7r	Nb	Mo	Ag	In	Sn	Sh	Cs
	Number			-	-										-				8		-		
103299	FHWT9D04	<*1	17	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	210	55	2	<5	414	17	272	2712	247	<*2		<*0.2	47	0.7	<*0.5
103300	FHWT9005	<*1	22	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	200	52	2	<5	391	20	278	2569	218	<*2		<*0.2	42	0.7	<*0.5
103301	FHWT9D06	32	14	247	50	43	40	120	970	33	2	<5	494	139	76	290	68	3	1	<*0.2	14	0.8	3.3
103302	FHW/T9/07	32	5	295	50	46	40	80	400	27	2	15	320	195	50	296	31	2	11	<11.7	5	0.8	2.2
102202	ELUA/TODO9	-11	15	-5	< 20	-10	< 10	< 10		57	2		205	255	270	2540	210	~ 2		<10.2	27	0.0	~10.5
105505	1111111111111111	~1	15	~ 5	~ 20	~ 1	~ 20	~ 10	50	57	2		505	25	275	2545	210	~2		< 0.2	57	0.8	< 0.5
102204	EUM/T10/001	21	-	204	60	F 1	60	110	560	27		.*	260	140	53	222	24	-	1.2	- 10 3	-	0.0	
105504	FHWTLOBEL	51		294	- 100	51	- 100	110	300	2/	2		209	149	33	323	54		1.5	< 0.2	27	0.9	2.2
103305	FHWIIUUUZ	1	14	5	< 20	<1	< 20	<10	310	54	2	< 5	336	34	193	1/21	150	< 2		< 0.2	27	0.6	< 0.5
103306	FHW110003	<1	13	<3	< 20	<1	<*20	<10	360	60	2	<5	420	29	246	2353	194	<*2		<0.2	34	0.8	<0.5
103307	FHWT10004	<1	14	<"5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<"10	460	56	2	<5	437	19	262	2193	203	<"2		<'0.2	37	0.8	<'0.5
103308	FHWT10005	<*1	18	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	340	54	2	<*5	398	19	252	2188	190	<*2		<*0.2	34	0.8	<*0.5
103309	FHWT10006	<*1	13	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	180	54	2	<'5	431	19	217	1938	203	<*2		<'0.2	38	0.8	0.7
103310	FHWT10007	<*1	12	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	200	49	2	<'5	390	16	204	1754	168	<*2		<*0.2	31	0.9	0.6
103311	FHWT10008	<*1	10	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	190	53	2	<5	428	15	261	2408	258	<*2		<*0.2	30	0.7	1.4
103312	FHWT10009	<*1	16	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	300	55	3	<5	424	17	258	1970	182	<*2		<*0.2	31	0.7	<*0.5
103313	EHWT10DI0	<*1	15	<5	< 20	<*1	< 20	<*10	130	55	2	<5	401	24	244	1554	180	?</td <td></td> <td>&lt;10.2</td> <td>35</td> <td>1</td> <td>&lt;10.5</td>		<10.2	35	1	<10.5
103314	FHWT10D11	<1	19	-5	< 20	<*1	<*20	<10	170	56		-5	437	19	279	2338	226	< 17		<10.2	41	0.7	<10.5
102215	EHW/T10D12	- 1	15		< 20	- 1	< 20	< 10	140	49	2		295	16	273	1076	196	~2		<10.2	22	0.7	<10.5
103315	FHWT10022	~ 1	17	~ 5	< 20	~ 1	< 20	< 10	140	40	2	~ 5	422	10	221	1048	100	- 2		< 0.2	35	0.8	< 0.5
105510	FINITAODA		1/	< 0 	< 20		< 20	< 10	140	55	2		455	10	200	1946	190	< 2 		< 0.2	37	0.8	- 0.5
103317	FHW110LL4	<1	18	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	<10	120	52	2	< 5	390	21	243	1883	162	< 2		< 0.2	36	0.7	< 0.5
103318	FHWI10LIS	<1	15	<3	< 20	<1	<*20	<10	100	56	2	<5	401	20	220	1921	197	<*2		<0.2	39	0.8	<0.5
103319	FHWT10DL6	<1	16	<"5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<"10	150	53	2	<5	409	17	252	2123	187	<"2		<'0.2	35	0.8	<10.5
103320	FHWT10D17	<1	17	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	90	52	2	<5	400	18	258	1876	167	<*2		<*0.2	35	1.1	<*0.5
103321	FHWT10018	<*1	18	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	100	54	2	<5	414	14	246	1726	157	<*2		<'0.2	36	0.7	<*0.5
103322	FHWT10D19	<*1	15	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	90	56	2	<5	429	14	241	2253	207	<*2		<*0.2	40	0.7	<*0.5
103323	FHWT10020	<*1	17	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	100	53	2	<5	417	18	277	2121	201	<*2		<*0.2	38	0.8	<*0.5
103324	FHWT10D1	<*1	19	<5	< 20	<*1	< 20	<*10	170	48	2	<5	431	18	262	1865	182	?</td <td></td> <td>&lt;10.2</td> <td>35</td> <td>1</td> <td>&lt;10.5</td>		<10.2	35	1	<10.5
103325	FHWT10022	<1	17	-5	< 20	<*1	<*20	<10	70	50		-5	367	15	215	1491	141	< 17		<10.2	30	0.8	<10.5
102276	EUW/T10022	- 1	10		< 20	- 1	< 20	< 10	70	50	2		209	15	213	1710	165	~2		<10.2	27	0.0	<10.5
103370	FHM/T10/23	~ 1	17	~ 5	< 20	~ 1	< 20	< 10	70	54	2	~ 5	350	15	204	1543	100	- 2		< 0.2	32	0.0	< 0.5
105577	FHWT10024		1/	< 0 	< 20		< 20	< 10	70	50	2		505	15	204	1345	120	× 2		< 0.2	20	0.7	< 0.5
103378	FHW110L25	<1	20	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	<10	100	51	2	< 5	422	19	338	1956	222	< 2		< 0.2	51	0.6	0.9
422276	FHWILLOLZ6	<1	22	<3	< 20	<1	<*20	<10	110	54	2	<5	388	18	320	2260	190	<*2		<0.2	51	1	0.6
422277	FHWTD11	31	3	281	100	51	50	90	210	27	2	<'5	142	208	55	358	32	2	1	<'0.2	4	<*0.5	1.6
422278	FHWTD11	2	22	15	<*20	<*1	<*20	100	540	62	2	<'5	127	73	241	1576	138	4		<*0.2	34	<*0.5	0.5
422279	FHWTD11	2	19	8	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	340	62	2	<5	345	23	231	1930	154	6		<'0.2	42	<*0.5	<*0.5
422280	FHWTD11	2	21	12	<*20	2	<*20	<*10	390	60	2	<5	360	22	243	1949	142	6		<*0.2	44	<*0.5	<*0.5
422281	FHWTD11	4	19	34	<*20	5	<*20	10	370	58	3	<5	309	32	220	1883	134	7		<*0.2	44	<*0.5	0.8
477787	EHWTDI1	2	17	10	< 20	1	< 20	<*10	200	58	3	<5	304	26	223	1938	136	4		<10.2	38	<10.5	<10.5
422283	EHWTD11	2	14	10	< 20	2	<*20	30	250	51	3	-5	191	46	250	1980	110	2		<10.2	28	<10.5	<10.5
422203	ELIM/TOL1	20	14	792	20	47	20	60	750	22	2		200	142	61	251	49	-	1	<10.2	17	<10.5	9.6
422204	CHINA TO 1	- 10	10	205	~***0	*/	- 10	10	730	55	2	~ 5	333	145	241	2120	105		-	< 0.2	22	< 0.5	
422265	FRANCE		19	-	< 20		< 20	10	370	62	2		292	40	241	2150	195	3		< 0.2	22	< 0.5	- 0.5
422286	FHWILLI	<1	23	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	<10	300	62	3	< 5	334	22	230	2156	215	<-2		<0.2	33	< 0.5	< 0.5
422287	FHWTD11	<1	20	<"5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<"10	290	62	3	<5	334	19	204	1782	172	<"2		<'0.2	28	<'0.5	0.5
422288	FHWTD11	<*1	15	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	210	62	3	<*5	346	15	213	1776	182	<*2		<*0.2	35	<'0.5	<*0.5
422289	FHWTD11	<*1	13	11	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	120	58	3	<'5	268	21	165	1906	161	4		<'0.2	28	<*0.5	<*0.5
422290	FHWTD11	<*1	15	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	180	64	3	<'5	284	26	223	1957	184	3		<*0.2	28	<*0.5	<*0.5
422291	FHWTD11	24	6	188	120	47	90	70	390	33	2	<5	198	173	96	703	63	16	3.1	<'0.2	10	<*0.5	2.3
422292	FHWTD11	30	3	242	150	58	120	110	210	25	2	<5	174	194	37	212	17	4	1	<'0.2	2	<*0.5	1.6
422293	FHWTD11	<*1	22	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	300	62	3	<5	246	32	269	2563	208	4		<*0.2	36	<*0.5	<*0.5
422294	FHWTD11	1	18	8	<*20	2	<*20	10	360	60	3	<5	377	17	230	1743	192	6		<*0.2	40	<*0.5	<*0.5
422295	EHWTDI1	<*1	21	<5	< 20	<*1	< 20	10	420	60	3	<5	369	27	219	1925	169	3		<10.2	38	<10.5	<10.5
422206	ELUM/TOL1	-11	20		< 20	-11	< 20	< 10	240	61	-	-*	205	70	776	2006	146	-		<10.2	21	<10.5	<10.5
422230	CHINA TO 1	~ 1	20	~ 5	~ 20	~ 1	< 20	< 10	340	64	2	~ 5	233	25	220	2030	170	- 10		< 0.2	21	< 0.5	< 0.5
422297	FRANCE		27	10	20		< 20	< 10	200	64	2		515	50	205	21/9	1/8	< 2 		< 0.2	31	< 0.5	0.0
422298	FHWILLI	<1	20	5	< 20	<1	< 20	<10	240	63	3	< 5	367	25	230	2256	190	< 2		< 0.2	31	< 0.5	0.9
422299	FHWILL1	1	1/	<3	< 20	<1	<*20	<10	160	65	3	<5	344	31	246	2615	199	<*2		<0.2	28	< 0.5	0.8
422300	FHWTD11	<1	20	<"5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<"10	280	62	3	<5	387	28	233	2309	178	4		<'0.2	33	<'0.5	0.9
422251	FHWTD11	<*1	19	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	250	57	3	<*5	232	25	229	1951	163	<*2		<*0.2	29	<'0.5	<*0.5
422252	FHWTD11	<*1	14	<'5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	210	61	3	<'5	313	22	195	2039	151	6		<'0.2	24	<*0.5	<*0.5
422253	FHWTD11	<*1	14	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	230	61	3	<'5	287	26	212	2141	155	8		<*0.2	23	<*0.5	<*0.5
422254	FHWTD11	<*1	14	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	10	240	56	3	<5	259	32	203	1983	138	4		<'0.2	23	<*0.5	0.7
422255	FHWTDL3	<*1	15	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	10	160	65	3	<*5	249	18	191	2423	161	7		<*0.2	24	<*0.5	<*0.5
422256	FHWT013	1	10	<5	<*20	1	<*20	10	170	59	3	<5	195	36	163	2334	132	8		<10.2	17	<*0.5	<10.5
422257	FHWTDI 3	<*	10	<*	< 20	<*1	< 20	<10	210	63	3	<5	219	27	163	2405	140	- 7		<10.2	18	<10.5	<10.5
422258	FHWTD13	- 1	10		< 20	- 1	< 20	<10	200	62	2		267	24	185	2598	155	, 7		<11.2	26	<10.5	<10.5
422250	ELIM/TEL2	~1	14	~ 3	< 20	~1	< 20	< 10	200	50	2	~ 3	207	24	194	2330	135	, ,		< 0.2	10	< 0.5	< 0.5
4222239	FUNCTION 2	<1 .*	14	- 5	< 20	<1	< 20	10	320	05	3	< o	250	20	170	2020	127	,		< 0.2	19	< 0.5	< 0.5
+22200	TIT WILLS	<1 	12	- 5	× 20	1	× 20	10	240	02	3		154	40	1/9	2007	124	5		× 0.2	10	× 0.5	× 0.5
422201	FRIWILLS	<1	13	<5	< 20	<-1	< 20	10	280	64	3	<>	167	40	190	2327	144	22		< 0.2	1/	< 0.5	< 0.5
422262	FHW1013	2	11	9	<*20	2	<120	20	190	66	3	<5	195	40	134	2132	102	5		<10.2	14	< 0.5	< 0.5
422263	FHWTD13	1	9	<'5	<"20	<"1	<"20	10	210	64	3	<'5	204	31	137	2297	114	11		<'0.2	12	<'0.5	0.5

## Table A1-5: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Comple	Channel*	60	Re	M	~	60	NG	C	70	63	60	A.c.	Rb	c.,	v	7.	NIb	Ma	A.a.	le.	5.0	ch	64
Sample	Number	SC	ве	v	Cr	0	INI	cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	AS	KD	51	r	Zr	ND	IVIO	Ag	in	50	50	LS .
422264	FHWTD 3	1	11	5	<*20	<*1	<70	10	230	64	2	<5	182	37	267	2510	336	7		<10.2	13	<10.5	0.6
422265	FHWT013	3	10	30	< 20	5	< 20	30	250	58	2	~	131	76	150	1771	101	6		<10.2	12	<10.5	0.8
422205	FUNCTION OF		10		~ 20	5	~ 20	10	200	50	2	- 5	100	10	100	1001	101	6		- 0.2	12	-0.5	
422200	FILMATERS	< 1 .**	5	< 3	< 20	1	< 20	10	190	64	2	< 3	150	40	147	1901	115			< 0.2	12	< 0.5	< 0.5
422267	FRIVILLI	<1	0	0	< 20	<1	< 20	20	140	62	2	< 5	114	32	119	1257	74	/		< 0.2	9	< 0.5	< 0.5
422268	FHW1013	/	5	18	<*20	2	< 20	<10	120	36	2	<5	//	124	88	929	74	6		<0.2	/	<0.5	< 0.5
		-	-			_						-						_			-		
422269	FHWT014	9	6	17	<*20	3	<*20	30	140	38	2	<5	82	185	101	1356	74	3		< 0.2	8	< 0.5	0.5
422270	FHWTD14	17	6	35	<*20	7	<*20	60	260	39	2	<5	109	229	115	2141	86	2		< 0.2	10	< 0.5	0.8
422271	FHWTD14	37	3	317	30	44	30	50	210	29	2	<5	107	178	51	376	29	<*2	1.8	<'0.2	4	<'0.5	1.2
422272	FHWTD14	16	7	27	<*20	5	<*20	20	200	37	2	<5	72	194	79	1044	65	3		<'0.2	8	<'0.5	0.7
422273	FHWTD14	38	2	353	30	48	40	70	210	26	2	<*5	126	177	39	247	15	<*2	1.2	<*0.2	3	<'0.5	6
422274	FHWTD14	10	5	68	<*20	9	<*20	40	120	43	2	<*5	80	131	55	632	42	<*2	3.2	<*0.2	6	<*0.5	1.4
422275	FHWTD14	13	8	95	<*20	16	20	20	250	51	2	<*5	111	156	128	800	103	3	3.9	<*0.2	14	<'0.5	2.9
422301	FHWTD14	12	8	28	<*20	5	<*20	20	200	36	2	<5	124	139	104	1247	79	2		<*0.2	10	<*0.5	2.5
422302	FHWTD14	<1	10	8	<*20	<*1	<*20	20	130	50	2	<*5	119	37	149	1793	86	<*2		<*0.2	9	<*0.5	<*0.5
422303	FHWTD14	3	6	15	<*20	1	<*20	20	90	41	2	<5	89	48	98	1177	70	<*2		<*0.2	8	<*0.5	1
422304	FHWTD4	12	6	18	<*20	<*1	< 20	<*10	120	37	2	<5	114	93	107	1453	88	<*2		<10.2	8	<10.5	0.5
422305	FHWT014	1	4	-5	< 20	<*1	< 20	<10	70	47	1	45	75	67	127	1500	110	12		<10.2	12	<10.5	<10.5
422305	EHWTOL	1	6	9	< 20	- 1	<20	< 10	90	50	1	15	92	77	156	1172	117	5		<10.2	12	<10.5	<10.5
422300	EHW/TDL4	1		2	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	120	50	2	~5	101	49	163	1175	125	21		< 10.2	14	< 0.5	<10.5
422307	FLUARTER A	-	5	17	~ 20	- 1	~ 20	~ 10	140	17	2	- 5	101	40	105	1705	12.5	12		- 0.2	14	-0.5	< 0.5
422308	FRIVILLA	2	5	1/	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	140	47	2	< 5	74	03	184	1/95	144	13		< 0.2	15	< 0.5	< 0.5
422309	FHVV1LL4		_	_	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	250	38	2	< 5	145	99	128	1158	105	0		< 0.2	12	< 0.5	0.6
422310	FHWTD14	3	7	7	<*20	<1	<*20	<10	170	48	2	<5	105	76	131	1081	119	11		< 0.2	14	< 0.5	< 0.5
422311	FHWTD14	12	9	7	<*20	<"1	<*20	<"10	230	37	2	<5	164	161	93	1071	80	6		< 0.2	9	< 0.5	0.9
422312	FHWTD14	13	7	27	<*20	5	<*20	50	180	31	2	<5	132	218	118	2103	96	5		<'0.2	10	< 0.5	1
422313	FHWTD14	39	1	361	<*20	36	20	60	130	20	2	<5	39	224	41	229	15	<*2	0.9	<*0.2	3	<'0.5	0.7
422314	FHWTD14	14	5	91	30	24	50	20	150	25	1	<5	139	265	63	656	38	<*2	2.8	<*0.2	5	<'0.5	3
422315	FHWTD14	2	10	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	60	160	57	2	<5	89	63	77	365	37	7	1.6	<*0.2	5	<'0.5	0.6
422316	FHWTD14	8	6	<"5	<*20	<*1	<*20	30	160	43	2	<5	143	126	78	631	49	5	2.8	<*0.2	6	<*0.5	0.9
422317	FHWTD14	3	6	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<10	140	58	2	<*5	157	57	62	485	34	11	2.1	<*0.2	4	<*0.5	<*0.5
422318	FHWTD14	2	7	8	<*20	<*1	<*20	<10	140	63	2	<*5	81	52	68	504	38	10	2.3	<*0.2	5	<*0.5	0.8
322456	FHWTD16D1	<1	26	5	<*20	<*1	<*20	20	250	52	3	<5	332	29	197	2031	102	<*2		<*0.2	29	0.9	0.9
322457	FHWTD16D2	<1	20	<"5	30	<*1	<*20	10	530	58	3	<5	197	38	241	2220	118	<*2		<*0.2	22	0.9	<*0.5
322458	FHWTD16D8	2	14	15	<*20	2	<*20	40	220	54	3	<5	112	107	211	2236	114	7		<*0.2	25	0.9	0.5
322459	FHWTDL6D4	30	3	271	90	57	100	50	180	24	2	<*5	198	199	38	233	21	3	1.2	<*0.2	4	0.9	2.8
322460	FHWTDL6D5	1	14	15	<*20	3	<*20	80	210	56	3	<*5	297	30	200	2208	113	4		<*0.2	27	0.9	1
322461	FHWTDL6D6	4	13	42	30	7	<*20	30	170	51	3	<*5	226	78	182	1905	99	2		<*0.2	23	0.9	1.4
322463	FHWTDL6D8	<1	19	13	<*20	<*1	<*20	<10	160	50	3	<*5	166	54	236	2113	121	<*2		<*0.2	29	0.9	<*0.5
322464	FHWTDL6D9	<*1	14	14	<*20	1	<*20	<10	140	51	2	<5	254	49	232	2130	116	2		<*0.2	26	0.9	<*0.5
322465	FHWTD 6D0	<٩	15	11	20	1	< 20	30	170	49	3	~	189	52	225	2242	107	<*7		<10.2	26	0.9	<10.5
322466	FHWTD 6D 1	29	5	222	180	43	100	50	400	33	2	~5	277	110	53	326	30	<*7	1.8	<10.2		0.9	2.7
322467	FHWTD16D12	3	15	23	30	45	< 20	20	290	55	3	~	254	32	199	2273	115	6	1.0	<10.2	29	0.9	
222469	EHW/TRICK 2	- *	15	2.5	- 20	-**	- 20	< 10	170	55	2	-*	225	26	212	2469	116	7			23	0.0	~10 E
322400	ELIWITE CEL	~ 1	10	~*	~ 20	~ 1	< 20	~ 10	200	62	2		235	20	215	2017	127	,		< 0.2	25	0.5	< 0.5
322409	FHWTDOL4	<1	19	< 3	- 20	<1	< 20	<*0	230	64	2	< 3	270	24	240	2517	140			< 0.2	33	0.8	0.5
522470	FRIVILLOLLS	<1	19	< 3	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	230	04	5	< 3	300	27	245	2045	140	11		< 0.2	20	1	0.0
222474	CUM/TO 70	.**	20		- 800	. #	-800	.**0	240	<i>c</i> <b>1</b>	2	.*	202	24	245	2622	105	0		- 40 0	20		-10.5
322471		< 1 .**	20	< 3	< 20		< 20	< 10	240	64	2	< 3	255	24	213	2025	133	5		< 0.2	20	1.1	< 0.5
322472	FHWILL/LZ	<1	38	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	20	270	64	3	< 5	258	21	210	2909	120	4		< 0.2	33	1	0.5
322473	FHWID1/D8	5	16	49	< 20		< 20	30	280	56	3	<5	207	59	1/4	2435	116	9		< 0.2	25	1.1	0.6
322474	FHWT01704	29	8	262	80	64	140	20	440	38	2	<5	259	113	50	263	41	<*2	1.4	< 0.2	10	1.1	3.7
322475	FHWT01705	1	15	<5	<*20	<"1	<*20	<"10	350	63	3	<5	230	40	219	2733	107	8		<'0.2	20	0.9	0.7
321918	FHWT01706	<1	13	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	60	360	58	3	<5	295	27	201	2499	115	6		<*0.2	21	1.1	1.2
321919	FHWTD17D7	<1	14	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<10	300	59	3	<5	259	33	216	2760	130	8		<*0.2	19	1.1	1
321920	FHWT01708	<"1	20	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	10	530	59	3	<*5	308	27	235	2587	129	6		<*0.2	23	1.2	1.9
321921	FHWTD17D9	1	16	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<10	320	60	3	<*5	300	27	216	2604	121	9		<*0.2	21	1.2	1
321922	FHWT017010	1	15	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<10	290	57	3	<*5	300	23	220	2622	116	7		<*0.2	20	1.2	0.9
321923	FHWT017011	1	13	<*5	<*20	<*1	<*20	30	330	58	3	<*5	302	33	203	2567	113	11		<*0.2	19	1	0.5
321924	FHWT017012	<1	13	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	370	59	3	<*5	311	23	211	2732	105	7		<*0.2	21	0.9	0.6
321925	FHWT017013	<1	17	<5	<*20	<*1	<*20	<*10	360	63	2	<*5	323	18	212	2874	186	7		<*0.2	28	0.6	<*0.5

## Table A1-6: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Sample	Channel Number	Ва	Ві	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Та	w	TI	Pb	Th	U
A103237	FHWT5-01	32	< 0.4	191	432	53.1	208	54	1.23	49.7	9.7	63.8	13.6	41.8	6.57	44.8	6.77	92.8	18.6	2	1.6	35	52	12
A103238	FHWT5-02	29	< 0.4	215	470	58.1	228	57.4	1.31	55.3	10.6	69.3	14.7	45.1	7.06	46.8	7.3	85.9	18.3	1	1.4	41	51	10.7
A103239	FHWT5-03	45	0.5	263	579	72.2	280	71.3	1.63	66.3	12.1	76.2	15.8	48.6	7.6	49.8	7.78	96.6	20.3	2	1.2	161	57.7	12.9
A103240	FHWT5-04	296	< 0.4	31.4	72.6	9.7	44.4	10.9	2.68	11	1.8	11.1	2.3	6.8	1	6.5	0.99	7.2	1.9	< 1	2.6	134	3.1	1.1
A103241	FHWT5-05	58	< 0.4	268	586	72.4	282	70.7	1.51	63.7	10.9	67.6	13.9	41.1	6.33	42.5	6.64	123	16.6	2	1.2	134	43.2	12.4
A103242	FHW15-06	51	0.6	291	620	79.9	313	//.5	1.65	/0.4	11.9	72.2	14.7	42.8	6.4 E 42	42.5	6.55 E 64	84	16.2	2	1.7	133	46.5	10.9
A103243	111113-07	52	× 0.4	230	524	05.0	200	05.1	1.50	57.5	5.7	56.6	12	30	J.42	50.5	5.04	70	14.1	1	1.1	131	30.7	5.5
103244	FHWT6-01	172	< 0.4	359	786	82.8	320	55.8	2.51	43.2	6.9	42.1	8.6	25.8	3.83	24.5	3.67	43.9	7.8	< 1	0.5	43	26.7	4.6
103245	FHWT6-02	159	< 0.4	541	1220	134	526	97.2	3.84	75.5	12.3	73.5	14.4	41.3	5.93	37.8	5.63	59.8	10.9	< 1	0.3	58	40.2	6.3
103246	FHWT6-03	165	< 0.4	589	1330	144	559	101	3.71	77.9	12.6	75.1	14.6	41.9	5.87	37.4	5.65	59.2	10.2	< 1	0.4	107	36.7	5.9
103247	FHWT6-04	342	0.6	366	824	87	332	60.2	2.44	45.8	7.2	42.9	8.5	25	3.71	24.5	3.59	46.9	8.7	< 1	0.5	255	29.1	4.9
103248	FHWT6-05	627	< 0.4	48.2	113	13.1	56.6	11.4	2.51	10	1.7	9.6	1.9	5.4	0.81	5.3	0.84	8.9	0.9	< 1	1.1	47	5.9	1.1
103249	FHWT6-06	334	< 0.4	40	96.9	11.9	52.2	12.2	2.32	10.9	1.9	11.3	2.1	6.1	0.9	5.7	0.89	5.5	1	< 1	1.1	47	3.2	1.1
103250	FHWT7-01	131	< 0.4	175	456	50.2	201	523	1 54	53.3	11.2	77.2	15.9	47.5	7 47	50.1	7.56	107	77.4	< 1	0.4	86	71.6	13.9
103251	FHWT7-02	81	0.6	321	795	91.5	374	100	2.75	97.4	18.9	123	25.1	74.7	11.8	78	12.1	147	28.4	1	1.5	89	79.2	17.1
103252	FHWT7-03	316	< 0.4	33.6	82.6	10.1	46.8	11	2.86	10.7	1.8	10.8	2.1	6.3	0.92	5.8	0.91	8.1	1.8	<1	1.4	94	3.4	1
103253	FHWT7-04	76	< 0.4	140	363	42.1	170	46.1	1.29	46.9	9.3	60.9	12.8	39.8	6.31	43	6.81	103	17.1	< 1	0.9	67	48.1	12.1
103254	FHWT7-05	46	< 0.4	219	545	61.5	246	60.2	1.56	55.8	10.5	66.4	13.8	42.4	6.88	47.1	7.29	121	19.7	< 1	0.9	36	55.5	12.9
103255	FHWT7-06	46	< 0.4	282	674	75.8	296	68.7	1.73	62.3	11.6	78	16.6	49.8	7.83	53.4	8.27	121	21.2	< 1	1	44	53.6	12.8
103256	FHWT7-07	34	< 0.4	183	453	53.2	217	57.2	1.47	54.7	10.5	67.4	14	42.5	6.79	47.3	7.44	116	18.9	<1	1	29	54.3	12
103257	FHWT7-08	45	< 0.4	233	517	65.7	247	65.1	1.63	63.1	11.5	75.9	16.4	49.2	7.63	52.5	8.13	110	21.6	< 1	1.5	32	62.1	13.5
103258	FHW17-09	30	< 0.4	280	220	/9.9	299	74.1	1.79	08.5 2E 7	13.2	85.0 45.0	18.0	20.7	8.7	34.2	9.25	74.2	24.Z	- 1	1.4	39	74.1	14.5
103259	FHWT7-10	32	< 0.4	143	200	36.4	148	33.8	0.94	30.8	6.0	40.6	89	27 4	4.89	30.1	4.74	57.3	13.5	21	1.7	33	39.7	9.2
103261	FHWT7-12	67	< 0.4	155	344	42	155	37.4	1.02	35.1	6.9	46.7	10.4	31.8	5.02	34.4	5.42	63.5	14.9	<1	1.3	55	44.3	8.6
103262	FHWT7-13	97	2.3	16.9	37	5.03	22.2	5.7	1.48	6.1	1	5.9	1.2	3.7	0.52	3.4	0.52	2.8	0.5	< 1	1	124	1.4	0.5
103263	FHWT7-14	48	< 0.4	149	328	38.4	139	32.9	0.93	30.2	5.6	36.6	7.8	23.2	3.56	24.3	3.84	53.3	10.8	< 1	1.3	25	30.8	6.6
103264	FHWT7-15	49	< 0.4	141	315	36.9	134	32.6	0.95	31.1	5.8	37.9	8.2	24.3	3.69	24.9	4.02	57.4	11.4	< 1	1.3	26	31	6.8
103265	FHWT7-16	45	< 0.4	152	360	42.8	156	41.3	1.12	39.1	7.3	47.9	10.3	31.1	4.84	33.2	5.28	90.4	17.2	< 1	1.4	38	47.3	10.5
103266	FHWT7-17	38	< 0.4	126	297	35.7	133	35.7	0.95	35.3	6.7	45	9.8	30.2	4.74	32.1	5.04	74.6	15	1	1.3	34	44	9.1
103267	FHW17-18	156	< 0.4	78.7	178	21.3	81.6	18.8	2.14	17.5	3	18.6	3.8	11.5	1.76	12.1	1.92	23.9	4.2	1	1.2	56	13.1	2.8
103268	FHW17-19	41	< 0.4	202	432	51.9	192	44.4	1.18	40.2	7.1	45.2	9.6	29.6	4.64	31.8	5.09	59.5	12.2	1	1.2	36	34.6	7.6
103209	FHWT7-20	45	< 0.4	241	502	62.4	220	58.7	1.55	54.6	9.8	60.7	10.9	37.4	5.02	37.8	5.89	81.4	14.5	2	0.9	40	49.4	9.2
103271	FHWT7-22	51	< 0.4	221	503	63	236	60.7	1.58	59.8	11.5	76.4	16.9	55.3	9.28	64.9	10	131	21.9	2	0.9	47	70.5	15.7
103272	FHWT7-23	59	< 0.4	186	403	49.6	181	43.5	1.19	40.1	7.2	45.9	9.8	30	4.62	31.3	4.94	62	11.9	< 1	0.9	39	32.9	7.2
103273	FHWT7-24	74	< 0.4	197	433	53.1	199	47.3	1.27	43.2	7.9	48.9	10.2	30.6	4.75	32	4.94	64.6	13	< 1	0.8	32	35.5	7.4
103274	FHWT7-25	209	< 0.4	43.3	94.1	11.5	45.5	10.6	1.6	10.1	1.7	10.6	2.2	6.6	1	6.6	1.04	11.2	2.1	< 1	2.3	60	6	1.3
103275	FHWT7-26	95	< 0.4	202	435	52.8	193	44.8	1.31	40.3	7.5	47	9.9	30.4	4.69	32.1	5.12	61.4	11	< 1	0.9	43	38.9	5.9
103276	FHW17-27	108	< 0.4	251	527	63.4	231	50.7	1.45	44.4	7.7	48.5	10.1	30.1	4.6	31.1	4.89	63.3	11.7	2	0.7	44	47.5	/.2
102226	FHW17-28	1022	< 0.4	241	109	12.2	213	45.9	2.32	39.5	1.1	44.4	9.2	27.9	4.20	29.5	4.65	4.9	11.1	<1	0.7	48	41.3	24
103327	FHWT7-26	969	< 0.4	32.2	72.4	9.33	38.7	8.7	2.27	8.4	1.3	7.6	1.4	4.3	0.64	4.5	0.00	4.0	1	<1	0.4	26	4.1	0.9
103329	FHWT7-27	1839	< 0.4	120	225	25.4	90.1	13.8	2.62	9.4	1.3	7.2	1.4	4.2	0.66	4.6	0.76	10.6	0.9	< 1	0.6	27	18.1	2.9
103330	FHWT7-28	599	< 0.4	262	541	64.5	234	41.8	2.66	30.5	4.9	28.5	5.7	16.8	2.52	16.6	2.57	33.3	7.1	< 1	0.8	33	38.3	6.2
103278	FHWT8-01	69	< 0.4	150	332	41.1	165	39.5	1.08	35.4	6.3	38.4	8.2	25.5	4.11	28.2	4.79	42.7	13.3	< 1	0.7	45	37.1	6.2
103279	FHWT8-02	211	< 0.4	16.6	37.1	4.96	22.6	5.7	1.51	5.7	0.9	5.7	1.1	3.4	0.55	3.9	0.67	2.9	0.7	< 1	1.3	67	2.2	0.6
103280	FHWT8-03	326	< 0.4	190	3/6	44.5 6.81	708	34./	1.01	29.9	17	29./	14	10.1	2.95	22.4	3.82	31.5	10.7	< 1	0.8	54 79	30.3	4.7
103282	FHWT8-05	73	< 0.4	20	478	53.7	201	40.3	1.14	32.9	5.5	33.8	1.4 6.8	20.3	3.35	25.3	4.31	38	11.7	<1	0.6	84	2.2	5.2
103283	FHWT8-06	69	< 0.4	170	357	43.7	171	38.8	1.1	34.4	5.9	36.5	7.4	22.4	3.68	26.5	4.49	41.7	12.3	< 1	1.1	33	32.9	5.6
103284	FHWT8-07	61	< 0.4	199	410	49.7	191	40.9	1.13	36.1	6	36.8	7.5	23.2	3.82	27.4	4.67	42.9	12.3	< 1	1	37	24.6	5.5
103285	FHWT8-08	56	< 0.4	217	444	53.8	204	43.1	1.22	37.3	6.5	40.6	8.3	25.4	4.12	29.5	4.94	44.7	14.1	< 1	1.1	38	32.2	5.4
103286	FHWT8-09	49	< 0.4	204	419	51.2	197	41.9	1.15	36.3	6.1	37	7.5	22.7	3.69	27	4.58	42.8	12.5	< 1	1.2	34	26.1	5.6
103287	FHWT8-10	40	< 0.4	252	514	63.3	245	53.1	1.41	46	7.8	47.6	9.6	29.1	4.63	33.5	5.74	54.6	16.7	< 1	1.1	34	38.4	7.3
103288	FHW18-11	53	0.4	369	762	90.8	341	69.4	1.78	55.1	8.7	50.2	9.7	28.3	4.55	33.1	5.6	45.2	14.5	< 1	1.2	41	45.4	5.6
103289	FHW18-12	39	U.4	188	405	51.2	204	46.9	1.42	40.8	7.1	42	8.5 6.4	25.3	4.19	32.2	5.51	51.Z 20.5	15.7	< 1	1.3	31	40.3	6.4 4 0
103290	FHWT8-14	42	0.4	212	404	40.1 51.6	102	30.Z	1.1	38.1	5.5	40.3	8.1	24.1	5.54 4.07	24.4	4.15	37.8	14.1	< 1	1.2	51	20.5	++. <del>7</del> 5.5
103292	FHWT8-15	62	0.7	222	460	56.7	220	49.7	1.32	44	7.8	47.3	9,4	27	4.27	30.2	5.02	50.8	14.3	<1	0.8	51	42	6.3
103293	FHWT8-16	51	0.5	278	569	69	267	56.3	1.51	47.4	8	46.7	9.1	26.4	4.38	31.2	5.22	51.9	15.4	< 1	1	47	42.9	6.9
103294	FHWT8-17	34	1.7	525	1090	134	527	119	3.41	115	21.5	132	26.6	79.9	12.4	83.2	13	103	33	< 1	0.2	50	92.5	19.9
103295	FHWT8-18	60	< 0.4	202	428	50.2	195	44.4	1.19	36.1	6.9	43.5	9	27.2	4.26	29	4.82	49.9	10.8	< 1	0.8	40	38.6	6
103296	FHWT9-01	247	< 0.4	27.6	64,1	8.36	36.7	8.8	2.54	9.2	1.6	9,2	1.8	5.2	0.76	5	0.78	7.3	1.6	< 1	1.1	60	3,4	0.9
103297	FHWT9-02	193	< 0.4	163	335	40	145	34.2	1.06	31.8	5.8	36.1	7.3	22.3	3.56	24.4	3.91	54.7	10	< 1	0.4	88	22.4	6.2
103298	FHWT9-03	63	< 0.4	142	325	35.3	126	30.8	0.94	30.3	5.8	37.8	7.6	22.7	3.49	23.7	3.66	55.5	10.9	<1	1	71	23.3	5.7

Sample	Channel Number	Ва	Bi	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Та	w	TI	Pb	Th	U
03299	FHWT9-04	53	< 0.4	142	326	38.5	141	36.6	1.04	36.6	7.2	47.2	10	30.9	4.94	33.5	5.32	80.8	15.7	< 1	1.5	62	35.9	9.7
03300	FHWT9-05	57	< 0.4	167	366	44	160	39.5	1.11	37.8	7.4	46.8	9.6	29.3	4.55	30.5	4.75	76.7	14.5	< 1	1.4	52	41.6	9.3
03301	FHW19-06	427	0.6	32.6	74.1	9.37	39.1	9.7	2.35	10.5	1.9	11.7	2.4	7.4	1.16	7.5	1.16	7.4	2.3	<1	2.4	70	3.5	1.4
03302	FHW19-07 FHWT9-08	356 47	< 0.4 < 0.4	182	71 399	8.83 47.5	37.4 173	42.5	2.54	9.3 40.7	1.6 7.6	9.2 47.5	1.8 9.7	5.2 28.9	4.44	5.1 29.7	4.63	74.8	1.6	< 1 < 1	0.9	39	3.3 36.1	1 8.1
03304	FHWT10-01	305	< 0.4	31.7	74.3	9.37	39.2	9.5	2.61	9.7	1.6	9.6	1.8	5.3	0.81	5.2	0.81	7.7	1.6	< 1	1	63	4.1	1.5
03305	FHWT10-02	50	< 0.4	135	289	32.9	117	27.5	0.87	26.7	5.2	32.6	6.6	19.7	3.12	21.7	3.42	49.8	9.5	< 1	1	60	26.5	5.8
03306	FHWT10-03	55	< 0.4	198	402	47.5	168	38.2	1.15	36.5	6.8	42.8	9	25.9	4.18	27.9	4.44	68.4	12.3	< 1	1.2	110	37.5	7.4
03307	FHW110-04	43	0.5	165	358	42.1	156	38.3	1.12	37.6	/.1	45.2	9	27.6	4.44	29.6	4.6	67.9	13.5	< 1	1.3	132	37.9	7.2
03308	FHW110-05	44	< 0.4	231	473	55.b 22.6	198	43.5	1.22	39		43.3	8.5	25.6	4.05	27.3	4.31	64.8 E9.4	12.1	< 1	1.3	99	36.6	6./
03310	FHWT10-07	49	0.5	160	343	37.8	133	30.3	0.92	29.1	5.6	34.9	7.0	20.4	3.26	22.6	3.53	53.2	11.3	<1	1.2	56	26.4	6.1
03311	FHWT10-08	51	1	145	313	35.3	127	31.1	1.01	32	6.7	44.6	9.1	28.2	4.61	31.1	4,99	73.6	15	<1	1.3	53	45	9.9
03312	FHWT10-09	38	0.7	202	414	48.4	169	38.3	1.18	35.7	6.9	44.5	8.3	24	3.75	24.2	3.89	60.4	11.5	< 1	1.2	46	57.3	7.5
03313	FHWT10-10	34	< 0.4	157	328	38.3	135	32.2	0.98	31.6	6.2	40.9	8.1	23.7	3.8	24.6	3.84	45.9	10.5	< 1	1.1	51	30.5	6.1
L03314	FHWT10-11	34	< 0.4	207	431	51.3	182	41.4	1.23	38.9	7.5	48.7	10	29.9	4.72	32.4	5.09	71.1	14.5	< 1	1.1	35	42.4	8
03315	FHWT10-12	30	< 0.4	187	386	46	161	36.5	1.05	33.4	6.3	38.8	7.9	23.7	3.69	26	4.07	59.9	11.9	< 1	1	35	36.3	7.1
103316	FHWT10-13	36	< 0.4	181	380	45.4	162	38.3	1.17	37.3	7.1	45.3	9.2	27.1	4.16	28.7	4.52	60.2	12.8	< 1	1.1	27	39.5	7.5
03317	FHW110-14	38	0.4	189	396	47.3	169	38.2	1.15	35.8	6.8	42.6	8.8	25.7	4.02	28.4	4.42	56	11./	<1	1	2/	33.1	5.5
02210	FHW110-15	30	0.8	152	357	39.1	159	33.5	1.01	31.5	6.7	42.4	0 7	23.7	3.7	25.9	4.1	59.2	12.4	<1	1	23	32.1	1.2
103320	FHWT10-17	36	< 0.4	185	389	42.0	155	38.1	1.07	36.8	6.9	42.4	89	25.0	4.1	27.2	4.50	56.9	12.1	< 1	1	20	39.2	7.4
103321	EHWT10-18	37	< 0.4	178	377	44.8	161	37.7	1.14	36.1	6.6	40.6	8.4	24.8	3.94	28	4.47	53.8	10.7	< 1	1	27	18.8	5.6
103322	FHWT10-19	36	0.4	164	355	41.1	147	34.2	1.01	32.1	6.2	40	8.2	24.8	3.88	27.6	4.4	66.9	13.1	< 1	1	22	45.4	8.2
103323	FHWT10-20	48	0.5	196	416	49.1	175	40.9	1.17	38.4	7.4	46	9.5	28.9	4.5	29.6	4.63	65.6	13.5	< 1	1.1	24	39.2	7.4
103324	FHWT10-21	90	< 0.4	174	367	43	155	35.8	1.05	33.9	6.5	41.4	8.5	26	4.19	28.4	4.4	55.4	11.5	< 1	1.1	28	34.4	6.2
03325	FHWT10-22	39	< 0.4	176	361	42.8	152	34.1	1.01	31.9	5.6	36	7.2	21.7	3.44	23.8	3.83	45.9	9.4	< 1	1	21	28.8	5.3
.03376	FHWT10-23	44	< 0.4	187	392	45	161	35	1.02	31.8	5.7	35.3	7.1	21.2	3.39	23.9	3.77	51.7	10.4	< 1	1.1	19	33.1	5.8
03377	FHWT10-24	40	< 0.4	163	346	40.6	147	33.8	0.98	31.4	5.4	33.7	6.9	20.7	3.33	23	3.69	45.7	9.7	< 1	1	22	21.2	5.5
03378	FHWT10-25	46	0.4	186	409	47.6	172	44.4	1.29	45.5	9	57.9	11.4	32.9	4.83	31	4.81	62.4	13.4	< 1	1.2	34	23.7	7.8
22276	FHW1-10-26	44	< 0.4	155	355	41.9	157	41.4	1.2	46.9	8.8	56.9	11.7	34.5	5.28	35	5.54	72	16.5	2	1	28	30.1	8.1
22277	FHWT-11 FHWT-11	346	< 0.4	42	94.2	12.3	53.1	11.4	2.74	11.1	1.8	10.7	2.1	6.2	0.89	5.8 24.3	0.92	7.7	2.4	1	0.7	25	5.9	1.1
22279	FHWT-11	49	0.8	203	438	49.2	183	37.2	1.28	34.2	6.4	40.4	8.4	25.6	3.92	26.2	4.19	55.9	13.4	2	1.1	79	32.9	6.6
22280	FHWT-11	53	< 0.4	211	454	51.3	191	38.9	1.4	35.8	6.6	41.5	8.5	25.5	3.82	25.5	4.03	54.7	13.3	< 1	1.1	105	35.1	6.6
22281	FHWT-11	93	< 0.4	208	448	50.7	188	37.8	1.46	34	6.2	38	7.8	23.5	3.53	23.8	3.82	52.9	12.2	< 1	1	91	29.5	5.6
22282	FHWT-11	78	< 0.4	221	475	54.2	203	41.4	1.35	37.1	6.6	40.9	8.2	24.7	3.71	25.3	4	55.1	12.6	< 1	0.9	91	31.9	6.6
22283	FHWT-11	113	< 0.4	266	572	64.9	244	48.8	1.6	43.8	7.6	46.7	9.2	26.7	3.88	25.3	3.98	54.2	11.4	< 1	0.5	96	39.9	5.9
22284	FHWT-11	249	< 0.4	34.3	75.1	10.1	44.6	10.2	2.68	10.6	1.7	10.9	2.2	6.7	0.99	6.4	1.05	7.8	2.7	< 1	1.6	82	4	1.1
22285	FHWT-11	67	< 0.4	286	555	68	232	47.1	1.47	39.9	7.4	43.6	8.8	25.6	3.78	25.5	4.11	55.7	11.7	< 1	0.7	113	31.9	6.9
122286	FHWT-11	44	< 0.4	262	508	61.8	211	44.2	1.38	38.1	7.1	43.3	8.7	25.8	3.9	26.6	4.3	59.8	13.7	< 1	0.9	102	31.9	6.6
22287	FHWI-II	51	0.4	246	4/5	57.7	193	39	1.24	32.4	5.8	35.2	74	20.8	3.13	21.4	3.54	4/ 51.7	10.3	< 1	0.9	84	25.6	4.9
122289	FHWT-11	78	< 0.4	178	379	47.9	145	30	0.98	25.9	49	30		18	2 72	18.6	3.13	55.3	11.3	<1	0.5	57	28.4	5.5
22290	FHWT-11	75	< 0.4	252	499	59.4	200	40.8	1.33	34.4	6.5	40	8.1	24.2	3.68	25.2	4.03	52.4	12.2	<1	0.8	72	32	6
122291	FHWT-11	372	< 0.4	99.8	207	23.9	83.9	17.7	1.87	15.9	2.8	16.9	3.4	9.8	1.42	9.7	1.58	17.9	3.6	< 1	0.8	71	10.2	2.2
22292	FHWT-11	392	< 0.4	20.6	47.5	6.06	25.5	6.3	1.91	6.5	1.1	6.6	1.4	3.9	0.57	3.8	0.61	5.1	1	< 1	0.7	37	2	0.6
122293	FHWT-11	91	< 0.4	307	606	74.3	257	52.7	1.59	43.7	7.9	48.8	9.9	29.8	4.62	31.4	5.15	67.7	13.2	< 1	0.9	35	39.8	7.6
122294	FHWT-11	47	0.8	92.7	221	27.6	103	29.2	0.92	30.7	6	38.4	7.8	23	3.56	24.7	4.1	53	13.3	< 1	1	43	28.1	7.4
122295	FHWT-11	80	< 0.4	299	577	69.4	233	44.7	1.4	36.3	6.3	37.5	7.4	21.9	3.27	22.7	3.77	47.1	9.4	< 1	1.1	31	23.5	5.3
122296	FHWT-11	70	< 0.4	353	676	81.2	272	51.1	1.59	40.8	6.7	39.3	7.5	21.3	3.04	21.1	3.63	50.3	9.9	< 1	0.9	32	29.4	5.2
122297	FHWT-11	78	< 0.4	366	701	84.6	287	55.7	1.74	45	7.6	46.5	9.2	27.2	4	26.7	4.31	55.1	11.4	< 1	1	35	33.7	5.9
122298	FHWI-II	93	< 0.4	343	792	/9./	269	51.9	1.03	41.7	7.2	42.9	8.5	24.8	3.01	24.2	3.90	55.0 61.7	10.9	< 1	1.2	25	32.4	6.4
122239	FHWT-11	97	< 0.4	320	617	95.5 73.8	249	48.9	1.01	47.5	7.9	40.5	9.1	20.0	3.90	26.7	4.55	56.9	11.4	1	1.2	20	32.4	0.9
122251	FHWT-11	55	< 0.4	264	509	62.1	210	42.2	1 34	36.4	6.4	39.8	8	24.1	3.55	24.1	3.81	49.8	10.4	< 1	0.8	36	29	64
122252	FHWT-11	77	< 0.4	275	522	62.1	211	40.2	1.26	32.6	5.6	34.4	6.9	20.3	3.01	20.5	3.35	49.3	9.2	<1	1	29	25.3	5.2
22253	FHWT-11	72	< 0.4	293	557	66.7	224	43.6	1.38	35.8	6.1	37.4	7.5	21.7	3.21	21.5	3.51	52.1	9.8	< 1	0.8	41	26	5.4
22254	FHWT-11	92	< 0.4	300	569	66.8	223	41.6	1.32	33.8	5.8	34.9	7	20.8	3.08	21.3	3.49	47	8.6	< 1	0.8	69	23.9	5.1
122255	FHWT-13	56	< 0.4	292	577	67	225	43.7	1.29	35.1	5.9	36.1	7	20.6	3.05	20.6	3.34	54.6	9.7	< 1	0.7	49	27.8	5.1
22256	FHWT-13	144	< 0.4	312	584	67.7	223	40.2	1.22	31	5	29.3	5.8	17.3	2.55	17.4	2.9	49	8	3	0.7	49	22	4.5
22257	FHWT-13	94	< 0.4	308	571	66.1	217	39.2	1.18	30.3	5.1	30.1	6	17.6	2.63	18	3	48.7	8	< 1	0.6	44	21.9	4.6
22258	FHWT-13	75	< 0.4	336	624	72.2	239	44.1	1.31	35.5	6	35.2	6.9	20.1	2.9	19.6	3.18	54.8	8.6	< 1	0.7	46	25.3	5.1
-22259	FHWT-13	80	< 0.4	331	618	71.4	236	43.6	1.25	34.4	5.7	33.3	6.5	18.7	2.74	18.7	3.06	47	7.4	< 1	0.6	51	21.9	4.2
22260	FHW1-13	160	< 0.4	327	603	68.8 77.9	226	40.4	1.21	31.8 25.2	5.2	30.8	ь.2 7	18	2./1	18.6	3.07	50.9	7.7	<1	0.4	54	20.9	4.3
122262	Fr1W1-13	103	< 0.4	342	540	/2.8	240	43./	1.32	35.3	5.9	35 72 C	/	20.3	2.93	14.9	3.21	47.0	1.8	< 1	0.8	55	23.3	4.8
+22202	ELIMT 12	103	0.7	290	340 485	60.9 E6 7	197	21.0	1.09	23 6	4.1	20.0	4.8	14.5	2.12	14.6	2.49	42.9	0./	<1	0.9	126	21.0	5.9

 Table A1-8: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Sample	Channel Number	Ва	Bi	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Та	w	Tİ	Pb	Th	U
422264	FHWT-13	82	< 0.4	303	565	65.1	220	44.6	2	41.3	7.7	50.7	10.9	31.4	4.34	25.2	3.57	53.9	10.4	< 1	0.6	64	32.9	18.4
422265	FHWT-13	155	< 0.4	311	565	64.4	210	36.4	1.27	27.6	4.4	26.1	5.2	15.5	2.32	15.4	2.57	35.7	6.3	< 1	0.5	40	18.5	3.5
422266	FHWT-13	94	< 0.4	339	615	70	227	38	1.23	27.9	4.4	25.9	5.2	15.5	2.37	15.6	2.59	38.7	6.4	2	0.6	41	19.6	4.2
422267	FHWT-13	99	< 0.4	251	446	52.2	169	30.3	1.17	23.5	3.8	22.1	4.2	12.1	1.76	11.2	1.76	25.2	4.3	< 1	0.3	27	11.5	2.3
422268	FHWT-13	957	< 0.4	136	265	27.9	92.3	17.5	1.58	15.3	2.7	16.2	3.3	9.7	1.51	10.4	1.73	22.3	4.8	< 1	0.4	34	12.4	2.2
422269	FHWT-14	1335	< 0.4	145	293	32.3	108	20.9	2.81	16.9	2.9	18.1	3.7	10.9	1.6	10.4	1.67	28.6	4.7	<1	0.3	66	13.7	2.7
422270	FHWT-14	1333	< 0.4	174	365	41.5	143	27.3	4.22	21.7	3.6	21.2	4.3	12.5	1.82	12.1	1.98	40.3	4.4	< 1	0.5	45	13.8	3.3
422271	FHWT-14	495	< 0.4	35	77.6	9.4	36.3	8.5	2.06	8.4	1.5	9	1.9	5.4	0.79	5.1	0.82	8.8	1.6	< 1	0.5	16	6.6	1.3
422272	FHWT-14	1084	< 0.4	142	290	31.8	107	19.8	3.3	15.7	2.6	15	2.9	8.6	1.26	8.3	1.36	21.4	3.5	< 1	0.3	34	11.5	2
422273	FHW1-14	425	< 0.4	31.5	69.7	8.55	33.5	7.6		7.3	1.3	7.4	1.5	4.1	0.6	4.1	0.66	5.9	0.9	< 1	0.6	15	5.6	1
422274	FHW1-14	300	< 0.4	127	257	27.6	93.2	10.2	1.41	12.2	2	11.5	2.1	0.2	0.9	5.9	0.95	14.4	2.3	< 1	0.5	27	0.1	1./
422275	FHW1-14	3/3	< 0.4	100.5	154	15.4	105	15	1.//	10.4	3.2	20.3	4.1	11.7	1.67	10.5	1.57	22.0	6.6	< 1	0.5	19	15.7	2.5
422301	FHW1-14	992	< 0.4	212	237	29.4	205	21.4	2 00	10.2	5	21.2	5.0	10.4	2.51	12.4	1.51	20.2	4.1	<1	0.5	30	12 5	2.3
422302	EUWT 14	105	< 0.4	102	204	/2./	149	47.9	1.44	37.7	3.8	19.0	3.7	10.2	1.49	13.4	1.40	33	4.2	<1	0.4	34	10.6	2.5
422303	FHWT-14	772	< 0.4	275	495	54.5	179	28.7	3.04	21.5	3.0	18.3	3.5	10.5	1.43	9.6	1.49	25.9	3.0	× 1	0.5	25	11.6	22
422305	FHWT-14	414	< 0.4	97.7	204	21.3	71.5	15.9	0.57	15.5	2.9	19	4	12	1.47	11.6	1.50	30.4	6.1	< 1	0.3	25	13.7	3
422306	FHWT-14	520	< 0.4	126	262	28.6	97.3	22.4	0.78	21.8	3.9	24.2	4.8	13.9	1.98	12.3	1.83	29.6	6.9	<1	0.3	31	18.4	3
422307	FHWT-14	368	< 0.4	115	244	27.2	94.2	22	0.72	21.5	3.8	23.7	4.8	13.6	1.95	12.7	1.89	26.5	6.6	< 1	0.5	28	15.7	2.9
422308	FHWT-14	369	< 0.4	104	224	25.4	90.1	21.9	0.82	22.1	3.9	24.6	5.2	15.5	2.26	14.8	2.22	36.1	7.1	<1	0.3	25	15.6	3.4
422309	FHWT-14	800	< 0.4	105	222	25.6	92.7	20.8	2.58	19.7	3.3	20.3	4	11.7	1.71	11.2	1.73	25.2	5.5	< 1	0.6	34	13.8	2.8
422310	FHWT-14	578	< 0.4	55.9	129	15.7	59.8	17.2	1.42	18	3.4	21.4	4.3	12.6	1.88	11.8	1.68	29.3	7.4	< 1	0.5	28	18.3	3.1
422311	FHWT-14	1314	< 0.4	121	248	28.2	101	20.1	3.59	17.4	2.9	16.7	3.3	9.6	1.42	9.3	1.46	23.4	4.5	<1	0.8	43	12.5	2.2
422312	FHWT-14	1032	< 0.4	225	434	49.4	169	30.2	3.75	23.6	3.8	21.9	4.3	13	1.91	12.7	2	40.1	5.1	< 1	0.7	36	19.2	3.8
422313	FHWT-14	322	< 0.4	19.2	44.3	5.81	24.4	6.2	1.84	6.7	1.2	7.3	1.5	4.4	0.65	4.2	0.65	5.4	0.9	< 1	0.2	12	4.9	0.8
422314	FHWT-14	671	< 0.4	87	180	20.2	72.3	14.2	2.08	12	1.9	10.8	2.1	6	0.87	5.7	0.9	15	2	< 1	0.6	21	10.7	2.3
422315	FHWT-14	199	< 0.4	74.1	132	14.3	50	10.4	0.52	10.3	1.6	9.7	1.9	5.5	0.83	5.8	1	7.8	1.6	< 1	0.4	23	3.4	0.7
422316	FHWT-14	938	< 0.4	82.7	164	18.7	67.9	13.7	2.43	12.3	2	11.8	2.3	6.7	0.99	6.7	1.13	13.3	2.5	< 1	0.6	27	6.4	1.3
422317	FHWT-14	317	< 0.4	61.9	118	13.2	47.7	9.8	0.94	9.4	1.5	8.6	1.7	5	0.74	5.4	0.95	10.6	1.8	< 1	0.6	15	4.2	0.8
422318	FHWT-14	161	< 0.4	42.5	81.2	8.99	32.7	7.7	0.32	8.2	1.5	8.9	1.8	5.3	0.8	5.7	1	11.1	2	< 1	0.3	16	3.9	1
322456	FHWT-16-1	127	< 0.4	249	502	58.6	204	41.2	1.33	37.5	6.5	38	7.6	22.2	3.46	23.8	3.93	52	9	2	0.9	65	28.8	5.2
322457	FHWT-16-2	71	< 0.4	342	667	77.5	268	52	1.59	45.2	7.6	45.4	9.2	26.5	4.02	27.3	4.43	58.5	9.3	3	0.5	159	32	5.5
322458	FHWT-16-3	150	< 0.4	259	515	59.8	206	40.9	1.38	36.7	6.5	40.1	8.2	24.3	3.71	24.8	4.02	58.3	9.4	< 1	0.3	66	30.7	5.8
322459	FHWT-16-4	232	< 0.4	24	49.3	7.05	29.9	7.5	2.05	8.3	1.3	7.7	1.5	4.2	0.62	4	0.64	5.7	1.3	1	0.8	21	2.2	0.5
322460	FHW1-16-5		< 0.4	281	555	63.4	218	41.3	1.32	35.9	6.1	3/	1.1	22.3	3.52	23.6	3.88	54.6	8.5	2	0.6	26	27.5	5.4
322461	FHW1-16-6	141	< 0.4	261	512	59.5	205	39.9	1.55	34.5	5.7	34.4	6.9	20.5	3.2	21.3	3.52	47.9	7.6	2	0.6	27	24.5	4./
322463	FHW1-10-8	93	< 0.4	290	5/5	67.3	235	48.1	1.48	44.5	7.7	45.9	9.3	26.6	4.07	26.9	4.51	80	9.9	3	0.4	47	33.3	5.4
322464	FHW1-10-9	114	< 0.4	294	581	07.8	235	47	1.43	43	7.5	43.9	8.9	25.4	3.83	25.5	4.12	55.2	9.3	2	0.6	40	30.8	5.8
222405	FHWT-16-10	260	< 0.4	312	008	11.1	45 1	40.3	1.47	45.0	1.3	43.3	0.7	24.0	3.77	23	4.04	35.5	0.7	1	0.4	40	25.7	0.0
322400	FHWT-16-12	300	< 0.4	269	525	61.0	216	13.2	1.75	38.5	6.5	38.6	7 8	23.2	3.53	23.4	3.86	50.0	1.5	2	0.3	70	26.7	0.5
322407	EHWT-16-13	74	< 0.4	265	525	61.2	210	43.2	1.55	40.6	6.7	41.4	83	20.2	3.66	24.2	3.80	65.8	9.7	1	0.5	68	30	52
322460	FHWT-16-14	60	< 0.4	317	628	74.2	260	52.6	1.25	48.5	8.2	48.1	9.5	27.4	4 14	27.3	4 4 1	76.5	10.7	2	0.6	50	33 3	5.2
322470	FHWT-16-15	84	< 0.4	347	684	79.8	277	55.7	16	50.4	8.5	50.2	9.9	29.1	4 38	28.8	4 57	70.6	10.5	2	0.8	84	35.1	6
OLL IVO		0.		011		1510		0011		0011	010	0012	515	LUIL	100	2010	1107	, 010	2010		010		0012	•
322471	FHWT-17-1	80	< 0.4	328	658	76	263	53.4	1.5	46.8	7.8	45.1	8.7	25.8	3.84	25.3	4.11	68.8	10.1	2	0.9	72	34	5.3
322472	FHWT-17-2	66	< 0.4	347	688	80.4	277	54.7	1.55	47.2	7.7	43.7	8.5	24.8	3.73	25.2	4.19	68.5	8.4	1	0.7	103	30.9	4.9
322473	FHWT-17-3	129	< 0.4	257	507	58.2	202	39.7	1.44	34.3	5.8	34.7	7.1	21.3	3.34	22.2	3.66	61.7	8.8	2	0.6	114	28.4	4.7
322474	FHWT-17-4	303	< 0.4	27.2	58.3	8.34	35	8.8	2.07	9.8	1.6	9.4	1.9	5.6	0.85	5.5	0.89	6.5	1.6	2	1	18	2.7	0.7
322475	FHWT-17-5	73	< 0.4	335	647	74.8	259	49.9	1.42	43.9	7.2	41.6	8.3	25	3.77	25.5	4.25	63.4	8.3	2	0.7	48	28.9	5.4
321918	FHWT-17-6	54	< 0.4	328	616	67.5	236	43.4	1.21	36.5	6.2	37	7.5	22.4	3.45	22.8	3.75	58.5	8.1	2	0.8	50	27.3	5.3
321919	FHWT-17-7	62	< 0.4	383	735	80.2	281	51.5	1.59	42.1	7	40.5	8.1	24	3.64	24.1	3.96	60.5	8.9	2	0.8	43	27.5	4.9
321920	FHWT-17-8	54	< 0.4	367	702	77.3	273	51.3	1.44	43.7	7.5	44.1	8.8	25.9	3.88	25.5	4.18	58	8.8	4	1	51	27.5	4.8
321921	FHWT-17-9	67	< 0.4	359	695	77.1	274	51.8	1.45	43.7	7.3	43.2	8.5	24.9	3.75	24.5	4.04	58.8	8.2	2	0.9	54	27.5	4.6
321922	FHWT-17-10	64	< 0.4	388	735	81.3	286	53.5	1.55	44.4	7.4	43	8.4	24.9	3.73	24.7	4.05	59.4	8.3	4	0.9	42	28.4	4.9
321923	FHWT-17-11	64	< 0.4	335	656	72.9	261	49.3	1.51	41.2	6.6	39.5	7.7	22.4	3.37	22.2	3.67	55.3	7.4	1	0.8	55	25.8	4.6
321924	FHWT-17-12	64	< 0.4	374	726	81.1	288	54.2	1.51	44.3	7.2	41	7.9	23.2	3.47	23	3.77	57	7.3	1	0.8	50	25.4	4.1
321925	FHWT-17-13	52	< 0.4	343	606	77.1	249	47.2	1.41	37.6	6.4	37.7	7.5	22.4	3.37	22.6	3.75	59	9.5	1	0.9	87	27.7	6.4

## Table A1-9: Lithogeochemical data for the South Belt

Sample	Felsic Belt unit	SiO2	AI2O3	Fe2O3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	K2O	TiO2	P2O5	LOI	Total
510539	FT2	69.62	12.3	7.22	6.5	0.099	0.85	1.53	3.26	3.82	0.566	0.11	0.63	100
510540	FT2	51.44	14.61	11.8	10.62	0.197	5.08	6.41	3.71	1.89	2.18	0.33	1.18	98.83
510541	FT2	44.75	15.56	14.96	13.46	0.204	5.89	7.78	3.49	1.52	2.958	0.54	0.88	98.54
510542	FT2	45.13	16.44	14.93	13.43	0.2	5.93	6.98	3.86	1.77	2.8	0.52	0.95	99.52
510543	FT2	44	16.07	14.66	13.19	0.205	5.98	8.1	3.56	1.34	2.833	0.51	0.88	98.12
510544	FT2	45.92	15.31	14.66	13.19	0.214	5.73	6.37	3.26	2.63	2.836	0.55	1.2	98.68
510545	FT2	43.58	15.71	15.21	13.69	0.218	5.24	8.68	2.72	2.85	2.786	0.58	1.83	99.4
510546	FT2	50.35	14.4	13.37	12.03	0.196	5.36	6.34	3.02	2.98	2.329	0.39	1.87	100.6
510547	FT2	44.84	15.7	14.9	13.41	0.272	6.2	6.82	3.62	2.19	2.966	0.53	1.27	99.3
510548	FT2	48.82	14.58	13.59	12.23	0.296	5.31	5.9	3.59	2.56	2.635	0.41	1.03	98.72
510549	FT2	72.06	12.23	5.21	4.69	0.071	0.54	1.3	4.66	1.75	0.385	0.03	0.52	98.75
510550	FT2	68.99	12.42	5.56	5	0.087	0.74	1.5	4.09	3.14	0.464	0.04	0.79	97.84
510551	FT2	45.53	15.3	12.94	11.64	0.319	7.91	5.4	3.4	3.08	1.581	0.18	5.04	100.7
510552	FT2	68.97	12.24	5.97	5.37	0.093	0.49	1.29	4.09	3.72	0.427	0.03	0.54	97.86
510553	FT2	71.63	11.89	5.86	5.27	0.078	0.29	1.26	4.07	3.79	0.361	0.02	0.49	99.73
510554	FT2	71.92	12.01	6.07	5.46	0.093	0.2	1.22	4.04	3.65	0.321	0.01	0.42	99.97
510555	FT2	50.28	15.03	13.31	11.98	0.266	5.71	4.17	4.06	2.93	2.014	0.42	2.18	100.4
510556	FT2	70.27	11.71	4.89	4.4	0.062	0.19	1.26	3.76	4.54	0.274	0.01	0.66	97.61
510557	FT2	73.89	11.77	4.11	3.7	0.052	0.15	1.01	3.99	4.57	0.29	0.01	0.41	100.2
510558	FT2	76.09	11.15	3.82	3.44	0.045	0.1	0.9	3.72	4.23	0.311	0.02	0.42	100.8
510559	FT2	69.09	11.68	6.36	5.72	0.119	0.24	1.53	3.96	4.51	0.529	0.07	0.35	98.45
510560	FT2	70.01	13.04	4.91	4.42	0.084	0.31	1.31	4.03	4.84	0.398	0.08	0.57	99.58
510561	FT2	70.48	13.25	3.7	3.33	0.064	0.59	1.46	4.06	4.9	0.53	0.13	0.83	100
510562	FT2	70.1	12.92	5.5	4.95	0.084	0.26	1.02	4	4.58	0.354	0.03	0.66	99.5
510563	FT2	70.63	12.09	5.37	4.83	0.101	0.37	1.17	3.71	3.41	0.298	0.06	0.54	97.77
510564	FT2	47.31	16.09	13.97	12.57	0.245	6.27	7.21	3.01	3.24	1.931	0.27	1.26	100.8
510565	FT2	69.81	13.21	5.08	4.57	0.092	0.25	1.54	4.42	3.52	0.407	0.03	0.41	98.79
510566	FT2	70.75	13.29	5.14	4.62	0.082	0.11	1.12	4.41	4.23	0.388	0.02	0.39	99.92
510567	FT2	71.49	13.51	4.52	4.07	0.055	0.09	0.89	4.53	4	0.298	0.01	0.36	99.74
510568	FT2	69.77	13.16	6.03	5.43	0.101	0.6	1.41	4.38	3.54	0.574	0.07	0.54	100.2
510569	FT2	68.42	14.27	6.08	5.47	0.139	0.17	1.57	4.3	4.71	0.427	0.04	0.3	100.4
510570	FT2	55.31	15.2	11.14	10.02	0.222	3.2	4.21	4.64	3.64	1.962	0.37	0.83	100.7
510571	FT2	46.67	15.05	15.16	13.64	0.281	4.73	6.54	3.85	3 31	2 867	0.59	1.01	100.1
510572	FT2	53.35	14.76	11.57	10.41	0.202	3.72	3.42	4.36	3.72	1.881	0.35	1.38	98.7
510573	FT2	45.97	14.36	16.74	15.06	0.26	5.33	3.48	3.13	5.32	2.58	0.52	1.8	99.49
510574	FT2	62.62	14.5	7.26	6.53	0.131	1.95	2.06	5.54	2.25	0.838	0.12	0.75	98.02
510575	FT2	46.02	16.5	12.74	11.46	0.271	7.37	5.94	3.31	3.21	1.758	0.26	1.59	98.97
510576	FT2	46.96	17.15	12.81	11 53	0.265	7.16	6.89	3 73	2.17	1.53	0.24	1.56	100.5
510577	FT2	44.33	18.21	11.96	10.76	0.24	6.71	8.62	3.16	1.84	1.416	0.32	1.81	98.63
510578	FT2	45.47	17.84	13 11	11.8	0.302	7.05	6.89	3.62	1 98	1.811	0.25	1.46	99.79
510579	FT2	45.24	17 11	13.54	12.18	0.216	7 13	7 77	3.46	2.07	1 736	0.28	1 74	99.79
510580	FT2	45.46	17.19	13.98	12.58	0.301	6.1	7.72	3.22	2.83	2.204	0.34	1.28	100.6
510581	FT2	51.1	15.26	12.2	10.98	0.235	2.94	6.09	3.95	2.91	2.298	0.46	1.46	98.9
510582	FT2	56.42	13.98	11.74	10.56	0.238	2.21	5.4	3.96	2.64	2.135	0.46	1.06	100.2
510583	FT2	52.39	14.4	12.71	11.44	0.22	4.1	6.51	3.85	2.2	2.336	0.4	1.01	100.1
510584	FT2	74.22	11.02	4.77	4.29	0.066	0.25	0.9	2.94	4.25	0.323	0.03	0.42	99.17
510585	FT2	64.68	11.68	8.74	7.86	0.148	1.68	2.77	3.39	3.82	1.133	0.18	0.6	98.82
510586	FT2	75.86	11.06	4.87	4.38	0.078	0.19	0.74	3.06	4.45	0.297	0.02	0.37	101
510587	FT2	71.06	11.65	6.29	5.66	0.089	0.68	1.35	3.55	4.15	0.602	0.04	0.59	100
510588	FT2	75.47	10.71	4.78	4.3	0.07	0.07	0.75	3.33	4.56	0.254	0.01	0.35	100.4
510589	FT2	72.63	10.65	5.64	5.07	0.072	0.06	0.82	3.55	4.17	0.254	<0.01	0.31	98.15
510590	FT2	70.01	10.97	7.24	6.51	0.125	0.55	1.69	2.83	4.7	0.536	0.04	0.66	99.35
510591	FT2	73.24	11.44	4.55	4.09	0.088	0.09	1.13	3.61	4.47	0.282	0.02	0.39	99.31
510592	FT2	71.98	11.91	5.09	4.58	0.073	0.13	1.1	3.78	4.75	0.301	0.03	0.59	99.74
510593	FT2	70.81	10.82	5.41	4.87	0.076	0.48	1.48	3.15	3.91	0.361	0.04	1.05	97.6
510594	FT2	50.94	12.87	13.25	11.92	0.218	4.03	6.29	3.62	2.12	2.504	0.41	1.49	97.75
510595	FT2	49.25	13.05	14.32	12.89	0.251	4.27	7.1	3.49	2.38	2.548	0.48	1.11	98.24
510596	FT2	71.21	12.09	4.83	4.35	0.087	0.16	1.14	3.99	4.31	0.306	0.01	0.61	98.75
510597	FT2	71.51	11.86	4.66	4.19	0.084	0.12	1.04	4.04	4.88	0.274	0.01	0.62	99.09
510598	FT2	71.22	11.83	4.6	4.14	0.085	0.12	1.29	4.08	4.5	0.27	0.02	0.46	98.46
510599	FT2	43.92	14.61	15.64	14.07	0.273	6.09	7.63	3.33	2.62	2.676	0.31	1.55	98.64
510600	FT2	71.82	11.82	4.73	4.26	0.075	0.15	1.48	3.84	4.54	0.297	0.01	0.72	99.48
510601	FT2	71.58	11.49	4.55	4.09	0.077	0.12	1.21	3.92	4.6	0.271	0.01	0.69	98.52
510602	FT2	73.13	11.91	4.49	4.04	0.08	0.1	1.6	4.03	4.68	0.258	< 0.01	0.67	100.9
510603	FT2	70.9	11.58	5.49	4.94	0.086	0.21	1.32	4.08	4.33	0.344	0.04	0.51	98.88
510604	FT2	72.15	11.29	5.25	4.72	0.079	0.04	0.92	3.94	4.45	0.237	< 0.01	0.33	98.7
510605	FT2	73.06	11.17	6.31	5.68	0.103	0.11	1.32	3.12	4.14	0.305	0.01	0.6	100.3
510606		51.25	13.56	13.79	12.41	0.243	4.22	5.25	4.19	3.08	2.218	0.26	1.53	99.58
510607	FT2	72.8	12.08	5.31	4.78	0.11	0.24	1.36	5.61	1.32	0.296	<0.01	0.44	99.61
510608	FT2x	70.78	10.81	6.84	6.15	0.117	0.11	1.16	3.36	4.35	0.288	0.03	0.4	98.24
510609	FT2x	48.61	12.39	14.39	12.95	0.402	3.85	5.58	4.32	3.94	2.676	0.51	1.7	98.37
510610	FT2x	65.01	10.93	7.93	7.14	0.239	0.53	3.13	5.02	2.1	0.799	0.09	0.44	96.21
510611	FT2x	63	9.32	10.57	9.51	0.33	1.25	3.05	2.96	3.55	1.144	0.13	0.75	96.05

## Table A2-1: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt

Sample	Felsic Belt unit	SiO2	AI2O3	Fe2O3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	K20	TiO2	P205
510612	FT2x	64.98	10.92	9.37	8.43	0.287	0.12	1.75	3.59	4.6	0.466	0.05
510613	FT2x	66.36	11.28	9.13	8.22	0.276	0.25	2.05	3.5	4.37	0.453	0.05
510614		48.9	13.19	15.62	14.05	0.237	3.7	6.7	4.52	2.67	2.835	0.47
510615	FT2x	66.55	8 31	11.95	10.75	0.426	0.65	3.12	1.15	3.6	0.338	0.03
510616	FT2-Like unit?	62.35	11.64	10.37	9.33	0.276	1 37	3.51	3.26	4	0.905	0.12
510617	FT2-Like unit?	61.87	11.04	10.45	9.4	0.285	1.37	3.62	2.93	3 77	0.864	0.11
510618	Permatite	71.21	12.8	3.56	3.7	0.091	0.29	1.44	3.56	5.85	0.357	0.03
510619	Permatite	77.25	12.03	3.48	3 13	0.073	0.23	1.44	3.30	5 79	0.337	0.05
510620	reginatite	16.46	12.7	16.29	14.74	0.075	4.95	7 2 2	3.22	3.05	2 270	0.05
510621		47.96	13.95	15.76	14.18	0.255	4.65	6.9	4.47	2.30	3 117	0.45
510622	ET 3	72.07	6.77	8 86	7.97	0.323	0.24	2 79	1 19	3.89	0.456	0.11
510622	ETS	70.22	5.99	9.17	9.25	0.484	0.15	2.75	1.29	3.55	0.477	0.06
510624	ETD	67.69	5.50	11.2	10.17	0.501	0.15	2.16	1.20	4.47	0.572	0.00
510626	ET2	69.66	6 22	11.5	10.52	0.551	0.07	1.91	2.42	2.37	0.525	0.14
510625	ET3	68.60	6.01	10.67	10.55	0.337	0.07	2.01	2.43	4.25	0.436	0.15
510627	ET3	67.72	6.59	11.19	10.06	0.42	0.08	1.15	2.22	4.23	0.550	0.00
510629	ET2	69.65	6.50	11.10	10.00	0.449	0.15	2.02	2.57	2 22	0.510	0.00
510620	ET2	69.43	6.66	0.94	0.02	0.399	0.22	2.02	2.04	2.35	0.402	0.04
510625	ETS	68.43	8.01	9.04	0.05	0.368	0.00	3.33	2.43	4.27	0.403	0.03
510630	F13	68.08	7.47	5.30	0.03	0.238	0.03	2.25	2.37	4.37	0.431	0.02
510651	F13	00.2	7.42	10.05	9.02	0.272	0.07	2.10	1.02	4.44	0.415	0.02
510652	F15	60.51	3.97	10.45	9.4	0.362	0.21	2.00	1.62	3.69	0.456	0.05
510633	F13	70.43	6 27	9.04	0.13	0.266	0.28	2 97	1.67	3.41	0.544	0.08
510634	F13	70.42	0.37	9.03	8.13	0.308	0.14	3.87	1.44	2.99	0.410	0.03
510635	FIS	67.15	8.49	9.56	0.0	0.31	0.22	4.39	2.25	3.41	0.295	0.05
510656	ri5	01.25	10.46	10.51	9.26	0.313	1.5	4.20	4.17	1.15	0.922	0.15
510658		46.01	14.5	15.41	13.67	0.252	5.4	5.8	3.01	3.50	3.349	0.51
510639		12 00	8.29	9.55	8.59	0.259	1.4	5.33	2.47	1.68	1.149	0.14
510540		45.88	14.69	16.27	14.64	0.24	5.91	8.06	3.06	2.64	3.02	0.37
510641	67.4	46.35	14.33	15.68	14.11	0.349	5.42	7.09	3.51	2.71	2.752	0.35
510642	FI4	/2./1	7.25	8.95	8.05	0.249	0.74	2.93	1./	2.02	0.622	0.11
510643	F14	66.82	9.31	9.05	8.14	0.274	0.5	2.4	2.50	4.04	0.46	0.06
510644	F14	65.34	9.44	10.15	9.13	0.279	0.35	2.96	3	4.22	0.551	0.04
510645	F14	68.27	9.18	9.58	8.62	0.25	0.29	2.27	2.81	4.26	0.482	0.05
510646	F14	68.22	9.38	9.4	8.46	0.232	0.24	2.05	2.46	4.47	0.466	0.08
510647	FI4	68.21	9.4	8.33	7.5	0.234	0.24	2.28	2.94	3.68	0.374	0.03
510648	FI4	66.79	8.65	9.62	8.66	0.284	0.38	1.98	2.39	3.97	0.433	0.03
510649	F14	66.19	8.85	9.71	8.74	0.283	0.43	2.39	2.08	4.28	0.516	0.05
510650	F14											
510651	FIButt	/3./2	12.05	2.59	2.33	0.042	0.19	0.94	3.01	5.68	0.28	0.02
510652	FIButt	/5.65	11.36	2.5	2.25	0.033	0.18	0.93	2.89	5.16	0.249	< 0.01
510653	FTBuff	68.62	11.84	6.35	5.71	0.104	1.74	2.6	2.61	5.06	0.861	0.09
510654	FT4	76.1	11.38	2.37	2.13	0.024	0.08	0.86	2.54	6.29	0.261	0.02
510655	FT4	66.98	11.12	7.54	6.78	0.183	1.01	2.32	2.99	4.87	1.007	0.13
510656	FTBuff	72.05	11.11	4.19	3.77	0.09	0.68	1.84	2.31	6.01	0.627	0.06
510657	FT4	70.16	11.68	6.61	5.95	0.133	0.29	1.72	3.29	4.89	0.455	0.05
510658	FT4	65.25	10.31	10.2	9.18	0.279	0.9	2.66	2.91	3.91	0.966	0.14
510659	FT4	64.68	10.03	10.98	9.88	0.232	1.17	2.75	2.35	3.46	0.926	0.13
510660		46.34	15.59	13.84	12.45	0.221	7.11	8.79	3.21	1.44	2.376	0.37
510661		45.15	15.54	13.4	12.06	0.195	7.72	9.19	2.98	1.39	2.146	0.33
510662		45.48	16.17	11.95	10.75	0.198	9.71	8.92	2.87	1.41	1.43	0.17
510663		46.74	17.05	10.79	9.71	0.172	8.96	8.71	3.19	1.72	1.096	0.1
510664		51.86	14.02	11.66	10.49	0.186	6.85	7.24	2.72	1.9	1.736	0.23
510665		45.76	16.66	12.13	10.91	0.184	8.46	9.68	2.82	1.17	1.586	0.18
510666		46.1	16.82	11.71	10.54	0.183	8.44	9.59	2.83	1.23	1.506	0.15
510667		47.35	15.94	11.36	10.22	0.18	9.29	7.66	2.81	1.54	1.415	0.17
510668		43.95	14.59	12.51	11.26	0.188	13.78	9	1.68	0.75	1.06	0.14
510669		45.2	15.89	11.68	10.51	0.23	10.65	9.27	2.45	0.41	1.198	0.12
510670		45.21	15.37	11.81	10.63	0.175	11.86	10.21	1.94	0.26	1.089	0.12
510671		46.82	16.6	11.65	10.48	0.241	9.93	9.85	2.74	0.37	1.247	0.14
510672		44.58	15.88	11.38	10.24	0.206	11.43	9.61	2.2	0.55	0.953	0.1
510673		45.81	15.58	11.03	9.92	0.216	10.89	8.56	2.43	0.82	0.969	0.08
510674		44.28	15.1	12.46	11.21	0.188	13.1	8.53	1.97	0.8	1.275	0.2
510675		43.74	13.87	12.39	11.15	0.188	14.39	7.86	1.49	1.32	0.93	0.1
510676		47.89	16.16	10.35	9.31	0.176	8.66	6.34	3.19	2.59	1.168	0.16
510677		48.22	14.84	11.96	10.76	0.214	8.94	6.5	2.97	2.24	1.442	0.17
510678		44.53	15.14	11.87	10.68	0.176	12.17	7.73	2.16	2	1.166	0.13
510679		47.91	15.06	13.43	12.08	0.206	6.76	7.87	3.06	1.54	2.07	0.28
507545	F i Buff	74.75	11.76	2.48	2.23	0.029	0.18	0.71	2.67	6.37	0.262	0.01
507546	Fi Buff	75.33	11.95	2.46	2.21	0.03	0.14	0.49	2.74	6.4	0.264	0.02
507548	FI Buff	75.87	11.54	2.32	2.09	0.038	0.18	0.64	2.38	6.55	0.246	0.03

## Table A2-2: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt

Sample	Felsic-Belt-unit	SiO2	Al2O3	Fe2O3	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	K2O	TiO2	P2O5	LOI	Total
511012	FT3b	69.9	7.98	10.16	9.14	0.209	0.45	1.57	2.07	2.35	0.474	0.04	0.35	95.54
511046	FT5	71.24	12.11	5.37	4.83	0.089	1.5	1.43	2.95	2.34	0.592	0.08	1.09	98.8
511049	FT5	74.3	10.44	4.95	4.45	0.066	0.67	1.52	3.56	1.02	0.382	0.04	0.64	97.59
511050	FT5	71.85	11.84	3.17	2.85	0.062	0.79	2.07	4.2	0.81	0.334	0.04	0.77	95.94
510312	FT3b	69.09	9.92	8.19	7.37	0.168	0.18	1.72	2.27	5.25	0.364	0.02	0.77	97.94
510313	FT3b	69.78	7.17	9.38	8.44	0.268	0.26	2.51	1.41	3.87	0.428	0.06	1.34	96.48
510340	FT5	65.46	11.07	9.14	8.22	0.143	2.04	2.54	2.69	2.7	1.213	0.12	0.71	97.82
510341	FT5	76.7	8.39	6.22	5.6	0.071	0.38	0.48	0.75	4.69	0.369	0.01	0.4	98.46
510342	FT5	72.56	11.8	4.31	3.88	0.062	0.83	1.22	2.65	3.88	0.292	< 0.01	0.58	98.18

Table A2-3: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt
Table A2-4: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt
---------------------------------------------------

Sample	Felsic-Belt-unit	Sc	Be	V	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Ag	In	Sn	Sb	Cs
510539	FT2	6	12	24	<-20	5	< 20	20	410	43	3	<6	161	149	247	1626	129	2		< 0.2	22	< 0.5	0.5
510540	FT2	24	3	190	50	40	70	50	210	21	3	<6	97	194	38	273	18	<+2	0.5	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	1.6
510541	FT2	26	1	228	50	50	100	90	110	21	2	<6	61	312	35	217	10	<+2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	2
510542	FT2	27	1	212	50	51	90	50	110	20	2	<6	76	307	33	185	8	<+2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	3.2
510543	FI2	25	1	213	50	51	100	50	100	20	2	<6	60	336	32	199	9	<+2	<0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	2.3
510544	FIZ FT2	25	2	209	50	49	100	/0	220	20	2	<6	154	245	33	212	8	<12	< 0.5	< 0.2	12	< 0.5	17.2
510546	FT2	23	4	199	40	48	90	50	220	27	2	<6	214	200	37	203	20	2	0.5	<0.2	13	< 0.5	9.6
510540	FT2	26	1	227	50	51	100	80	170	21	2	<6	139	279	34	214	11	<-2	0.6	<0.2	3	< 0.5	9.9
510548	FT2	27	5	215	60	42	90	80	270	24	3	<6	175	206	52	312	26	<-12	0.6	< 0.2	6	< 0.5	6
510549	FT2	2	13	17	<-20	3	< 20	20	250	55	4	<6	84	86	222	2056	105	6		< 0.2	18	< 0.5	0.7
510550	FT2	2	15	23	<-20	4	< 20	20	270	56	4	<6	162	73	251	2095	99	16		< 0.2	20	< 0.5	< 0.5
510551	FT2	27	7	194	120	47	140	10	350	31	3	<6	334	127	40	120	24	3	< 0.5	< 0.2	6	< 0.5	2.8
510552	FT2	2	18	14	<-20	3	< 20	20	360	60	4	<6	215	60	276	2896	128	12		< 0.2	27	< 0.5	< 0.5
510553	FT2	<4	18	7	<-20	1	< 20	20	350	62	4	<6	209	46	252	2225	120	8		< 0.2	24	< 0.5	< 0.5
510554	FI2	<6	15	5	<+20	<1	<+20	<+10	290	63	4	<6	142	50	1/8	3182	79	15		< 0.2	1/	< 0.5	< 0.5
510555	FIZ	25	11	182	/0	38	80	20	430	34	3	<6	342	136	83	885	48	5	1./	<0.2	13	< 0.5	5.6
510550	F12 FT2	<4	10	25	< 20	<4	< 20	10	190	50	2	~	211	47	1/10	1257	75	°		< 0.2	14	< 0.5	< 0.5
510558	FT2	<4	10	10	<-20	<4	<-20	40	230	52	2	<6	209	36	145	1165	80	5		<0.2	13	< 0.5	<0.5
510550	FT2	6	12	<6	<-20	<1	< 20	<+0	260	46	3	<6	215	59	130	1758	79	4		<0.2	15	< 0.5	0.8
510560	FT2	5	14	12	< 20	2	< 20	<=10	270	46	4	<6	260	80	166	1247	92	2		< 0.2	18	< 0.5	0.8
510561	FT2	8	10	29	<-20	4	< 20	10	140	25	2	<6	250	103	115	930	68	6	2.1	< 0.2	11	< 0.5	1.1
510562	FT2	<4	12	9	<-20	2	< 20	30	330	61	4	<6	225	37	173	2099	102	10		< 0.2	16	< 0.5	1.1
510563	FT2	<4	28	6	<-20	2	< 20	10	400	67	5	5	195	51	531	2993	130	11		< 0.2	24	< 0.5	0.9
510564	FT2	30	4	227	130	50	110	110	230	29	3	<6	327	202	38	267	25	4	0.7	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	2.4
510565	FT2	1	11	9	<-20	2	< 20	10	240	63	4	<6	120	59	202	1602	158	5		< 0.2	33	< 0.5	< 0.5
510566	FT2	<4	9	6	<-20	<4	<-20	10	240	64	4	<6	137	44	145	1502	99	6		< 0.2	16	< 0.5	< 0.5
510567	FI2	<6	/	8	<+20	<-8	<+20	20	180	61	3	<6	121	65	150	1554	80	4		< 0.2	13	< 0.5	<+0.5
510568	F12	3	12	22	<+20	5	<120	20	320	60	3	<6	154	71	141	1537	99	8		<0.2	15	<0.5	1
510509	FT2	21	15	143	40	27	50	60	460	34	3	46	274	131	100	876	58	6	1.8	<0.2	15	<0.5	4.8
510570	FT2	31	4	236	40 60	46	80	50	350	23	3	<6	299	188	39	266	18	4	0.8	<0.2	4	<0.5	4.0
510572	FT2	16	12	141	40	32	60	10	430	39	4	<6	371	131	94	1004	63	2		< 0.2	11	< 0.5	6.3
510573	FT2	19	9	202	40	44	80	<+10	510	44	3	<6	531	123	74	358	57	<-2	0.9	< 0.2	12	< 0.5	7.4
510574	FT2	8	9	62	20	14	40	20	280	46	3	<6	163	111	116	1340	73	5		< 0.2	9	< 0.5	2.1
510575	FT2	22	2	191	70	55	130	20	210	23	2	<6	224	206	21	129	11	<-2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	2.7
510576	FT2	19	6	169	60	55	130	50	280	26	2	<6	135	206	26	136	17	<-12	< 0.5	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	1.4
510577	FT2	17	4	152	40	56	130	20	200	26	2	<6	116	241	18	100	7	3	<0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	1.1
510578	FT2	21	2	213	50	57	120	70	190	21	2	<6	115	207	21	138	8	<+2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	1.9
510579	FT2	20	2	186	60	59	130	60	140	21	2	<6	117	198	26	148	12	<+2	0.6	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	1.5
510580	FT2	23	3	223	70	55	110	40	320	24	2	<6	148	256	30	192	15	<+2	< 0.5	< 0.2	3	< 0.5	1.3
510581	FIZ	24	4	164	<+20	31	30	/0	2/0	34	3	<6	139	255	92	744	53	3	1.9	<0.2	11	< 0.5	0.7
510562	F12 FT2	22	, ,	107	50	24	20	40	290	20	2	~	105	190	67	470	29	4	1.7	< 0.2	14	< 0.5	0.5
510584	FT2	1	10	10	<-20	2	<-20	20	370	51	3	<6	214	44	162	1484	110	8	1.1	<0.2	19	< 0.5	0.0
510585	FT2	10	9	84	20	18	30	30	410	47	4	<6	414	79	141	1372	115	10		<0.2	18	< 0.5	2.4
510586	FT2	<4	10	5	<-20	1	< 20	<+10	340	58	3	<6	306	23	170	1617	115	7		< 0.2	20	< 0.5	1.2
510587	FT2	4	10	39	<-20	6	< 20	10	360	54	4	<6	296	49	159	1574	108	4		< 0.2	20	< 0.5	1.2
510588	FT2	<4	11	<6	<-20	<-8	< 20	<=10	350	59	3	<6	253	26	195	1910	142	5		< 0.2	24	< 0.5	0.7
510589	FT2	<4	11	<6	<-20	<4	< 20	<=10	450	61	4	<6	203	32	217	2161	129	5		< 0.2	26	< 0.5	< 0.5
510590	FT2	3	9	26	<-20	5	< 20	<=10	510	51	4	<6	235	56	218	2208	142	4		< 0.2	26	< 0.5	1.2
510591	FT2	<4	11	<6	<-20	<4	< 20	<+10	310	51	4	<6	214	45	172	1449	104	5		< 0.2	22	< 0.5	0.5
510592	FT2	<4	12	<6	<-20	<4	< 20	<+10	370	60	5	<6	315	29	240	2612	159	6		< 0.2	27	< 0.5	< 0.5
510593	FI2	2	18	14	<+20	4	<+20	40	940	54	4	<6	248	31	240	2189	191	10		< 0.2	35	< 0.5	0.8
510594	F12	30	5	292	40	38	40	70	570	26	3	<6	147	193	47	495	38	2	1.5	<0.2	6	<0.5	1.5
510595	FT2	34	18	551	50 <-20	40	40 <+20	40	330	57	5	46	205	247	223	2210	163	7	0.8	<0.2	29	<0.5	1.0
510507	FT2	-4	16		< 20	-4	< 20	<40	200	5/	4	~6	276	22	100	2175	103	12		< 0.2	2.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
510598	FT2	<4	16	<6	<+20	<4	<+20	<40	340	59	5	<6	256	32	191	2075	134	8		<0.2	20	<0.5	<0.5
510599	FT2	30	2	259	110	57	110	150	220	24	3	<6	250	265	34	201	18	<-2	0.7	< 0.2	3	< 0.5	1.7
510600	FT2	<4	17	5	<-20	1	< 20	<=10	330	58	4	<6	280	34	200	1922	144	7		< 0.2	28	< 0.5	0.6
510601	FT2	<4	21	<6	<-20	<4	<=20	<+10	420	55	3	<6	239	35	221	1956	139	8		< 0.2	29	< 0.5	0.8
510602	FT2	<4	19	<6	<-20	<4	< 20	<+10	330	55	4	<6	254	31	213	1858	136	9		< 0.2	27	< 0.5	0.6
510603	FT2	2	16	7	< 20	2	< 20	20	390	55	4	<6	233	44	252	2568	190	8		< 0.2	29	< 0.5	0.5
510604	FT2	<4	12	<6	< 20	<€	< 20	<=10	410	56	4	<6	223	26	210	1940	139	13		< 0.2	24	< 0.5	< 0.5
510605	FT2	<4	20	<6	<-20	1	<-20	40	460	52	4	<6	223	45	254	2335	176	7		0.2	31	< 0.5	0.9
510606		21	12	196	60	37	60	50	330	34	3	<6	349	156	105	963	73	4	3.3	< 0.2	15	< 0.5	3.9
510607	FT2	<4	14	6	<+20	2	< 20	30	340	50	3	<6	90	66	225	1896	112	3		< 0.2	17	< 0.5	0.7
510608	FT2x	<4	9	8	< 20	<4	< 20	<+10	440	60	5	5	237	44	370	8138	231	<-2		< 0.2	31	< 0.5	0.5
510609	FIZX	36	34	352	<+20	36	<-20	30	350	30	3	<6	843	102	87	558	45	5	1.4	< 0.2	19	< 0.5	6.6
510610	F12X	4	28	33	<+20	5	< 20	80	540	5/	6	8	149	/8	594	12650	305	<+2		< 0.2	82	< 0.5	0.8
210011	F12X	/	45	//	20	11	<120	30	850	70	ð	15	581	143	1102	9678	532	<+2		<10.2	144	<+0.5	2.1

## Table A2-5: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt

Sample	Felsic Belt unit	Sc	Be	v	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Ag	In	Sn	Sb	Cs	
510612	FT2x	< 1	57	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1200	84	8	10	670	79	895	7565	542	14		< 0.2	94	< 0.5	0.5	
510613	FT2x	< 1	60	9	< 20	2	< 20	10	1330	82	8	10	409	114	924	7157	605	12		< 0.2	86	< 0.5	0.8	
510614		39	4	262	50	39	20	120	190	26	3	< 5	266	194	50	304	22	2	0.8	< 0.2	5	< 0.5	1.9	
510615	FT2x	1	61	14	< 20	< 1	< 20	30	1150	76	7	9	415	152	1664	12890	581	< 2		< 0.2	133	< 0.5	0.5	
510616	FT2-Like unit?	9	45	70	20	11	< 20	30	920	64	5	< 5	412	155	724	5907	377	3		< 0.2	70	< 0.5	1.4	
510617	FT2-Like unit?	8	46	67	20	11	< 20	30	900	63	5	< 5	409	149	746	6069	389	3		< 0.2	73	< 0.5	1.3	
510618	Pegmatite	5	14	14	< 20	2	< 20	20	260	34	3	< 5	303	91	146	1395	78	15		< 0.2	19	< 0.5	1	
510619	Pegmatite	5	5	13	< 20	3	< 20	< 10	150	25	2	< 5	311	79	71	513	42	< 2	1.3	< 0.2	9	< 0.5	1	
510620		33	4	329	50	45	50	60	190	25	3	< 5	292	248	48	302	28	< 2	0.8	< 0.2	6	< 0.5	3.6	
510621		34	3	339	40	42	40	60	190	25	2	< 5	318	259	4/	299	23	< 2	0.6	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	3.3	
510622	FIS	2	88	10	< 20	2	< 20	< 10	1700	/1	9	14	633	135	1034	9570	839	3		< 0.2	126	< 0.5	1.1	
510623	FIS	<1	102	5	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	1960	//	9	16	6/6	145	1062	9183	973	3		< 0.2	188	< 0.5	1.8	
510624	F13	2	122	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	20	2940	82	12	20	700	100	1461	11810	1010	3		< 0.2	220	< 0.5	2.0	
510625	F13 ET2	-1	102	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	21/0	77	11	10	597	120	1595	14500	072	4		< 0.2	191	< 0.5	12	
510628	F13	1	99	25	< 20	<1	< 20	20	1730	72	11	10	569	110	1321	14300	972	4		< 0.2	170	< 0.5	1.3	
510628	FT3	1	87	25	< 20	21	< 20	< 10	1800	74	11	15	567	110	1379	12500	977	4		< 0.2	170	< 0.5	11	
510629	FT3	<1	96	- 5	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	1610	74	9	13	703	112	1226	10200	814	3		< 0.2	142	< 0.5	1.8	
510630	FT3	< 1	83	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1490	82	10	14	787	82	1248	11360	786	3		< 0.2	142	< 0.5	0.7	
510631	FT3	1	88	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1490	76	10	14	802	81	1238	11810	792	< 2		< 0.2	145	< 0.5	0.6	
510632	FT3	< 1	99	5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1620	77	10	15	712	132	1397	13120	847	< 2		< 0.2	158	< 0.5	0.7	
510633	FT3	< 1	86	12	< 20	2	< 20	< 10	1430	73	9	14	592	132	1322	12010	791	< 2		< 0.2	141	< 0.5	0.8	
510634	FT3	< 1	89	11	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1440	69	9	13	451	148	1296	12270	841	< 2		< 0.2	139	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510635	FT3	< 1	94	11	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1460	75	10	15	479	180	1294	11510	916	< 2		< 0.2	132	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510636	FT3	6	93	77	30	10	< 20	30	970	71	8	14	185	177	1070	9286	835	< 2		< 0.2	102	< 0.5	0.7	
510638		29	10	283	80	45	70	80	310	26	3	< 5	483	283	62	702	40	7	1.8	< 0.2	7	< 0.5	2.9	
510639		7	71	72	20	11	< 20	40	4610	50	4	< 5	222	118	593	4474	504	4		< 0.2	81	< 0.5	0.6	
510640		31	3	276	100	52	90	150	190	25	2	< 5	406	247	38	236	23	3	0.8	< 0.2	5	< 0.5	2.3	
510641		27	18	249	90	45	80	150	550	34	3	< 5	491	204	139	1066	82	3		< 0.2	17	< 0.5	2.3	
510642	FT4	2	141	21	< 20	5	< 20	20	950	68	11	14	234	160	1305	10360		< 2		< 0.2	182	< 0.5	0.6	
510643	FT4	2	95	12	< 20	2	< 20	10	1570	71	9	10	440	106	865	6232	732	< 2		< 0.2	129	< 0.5	0.6	
510644	FT4	< 1	115	6	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1400	77	9	10	476	101	794	6595	924	< 2		< 0.2	130	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510645	FT4	1	143	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1370	75	8	9	529	81	825	6849	851	< 2		< 0.2	117	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510646	FT4	< 1	110	7	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1490	74	8	9	475	72	903	6379	769	< 2		< 0.2	113	< 0.5	0.5	
510647	FT4	< 1	74	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	980	64	8	9	369	100	801	5688	658	< 2		< 0.2	104	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510648	FT4	1	89	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1330	79	9	11	643	76	1032	9059	754	< 2		< 0.2	123	< 0.5	0.6	
510649	FT4	1	82	10	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	1240	76	9	12	579	100	1111	10890	841	< 2		< 0.2	131	< 0.5	0.6	
510650	FT4		,					. 40					200		70	150								
510651	FTBuff	4	6	< 5	< 20	1	< 20	< 10	160	23	2	< 5	309	55	70	458	48	< 2	0.9	< 0.2	8	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510652	FIBUTT	4	0	< 5	< 20	< 1	< 20	10	210	20	2	< 5	276	52	62	438	35	< 2	0.8	< 0.2	6	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510655	FIDUIT	10	9	02	50	10	- 20	70	260	23	2	< 5	320	100	97	696	14	- 2	1.4	< 0.2	12	< 0.5	1.5	
510654	F14	*	43	, ,	< 20	1	< 20	< 10	70	19	2	× 5	515	30	226	401	40	- 2	0.8	< 0.2	40	10.5	1.6	
510655	FTH FTPuff	5	45	22	< 20	5	< 20	10	730	40	3	< 5	340	40	120	2801	104	<2	1.2	< 0.2	49	< 0.5	1.0	
510657	FTA	3	47	21	< 20	3	< 20	10	590	52	Â	- 5	412	62	346	2812	300	~ 2	1.5	< 0.2	46	< 0.5	0.5	
510658	FT4	7	109	61	< 20	8	< 20	20	1260	63	6	8	504	90	674	5811	661	2		< 0.2	94	< 0.5	1	
510659	FT4	6	68	57	30	11	< 20	70	1450	63	7	ğ	514	132	744	6091	752	< 2		< 0.2	103	< 0.5	15	
510660		28	6	245	90	51	120	80	180	20	2	< 5	136	241	36	244	21	< 2	0.6	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	2.1	
510661		28	3	238	100	52	140	50	120	18	2	< 5	114	219	25	147	10	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	3	< 0.5	2.2	
510662		28	3	209	150	56	190	50	180	16	2	< 5	112	284	20	96	5	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	3.6	
510663		27	2	194	140	51	170	30	100	16	2	< 5	117	327	17	65	3	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	1	< 0.5	2.9	
510664		26	5	209	100	46	130	80	150	18	2	< 5	150	229	28	158	11	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	3.5	
510665		29	3	231	130	51	160	70	120	18	2	< 5	85	247	22	99	8	4	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	1.8	
510666		29	3	221	130	50	150	90	110	18	2	< 5	86	253	21	94	7	4	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	0.9	
510667		26	3	191	120	53	180	60	120	18	2	< 5	117	210	23	142	9	2	< 0.5	< 0.2	3	< 0.5	2.8	
510668		24	< 1	187	120	73	360	20	90	14	2	< 5	48	77	14	67	3	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	2	
510669		26	< 1	201	130	61	250	40	120	15	2	< 5	21	285	16	60	3	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	1	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510670		27	< 1	199	150	68	300	< 10	80	16	2	< 5	9	178	16	63	6	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	1	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510671		29	< 1	218	140	58	190	40	110	15	2	< 5	16	343	16	62	3	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	1	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510672		25	< 1	192	140	63	260	60	110	15	2	< 5	35	195	15	57	3	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	< 1	< 0.5	< 0.5	
510673		25	< 1	192	130	60	250	50	110	15	2	< 5	58	257	14	63	2	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	< 1	< 0.5	0.8	
510674		25	1	183	130	72	340	60	90	16	2	< 5	48	112	19	100	6	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	2	
510675		21	3	156	110	78	390	< 10	130	14	2	< 5	89	57	14	61	6	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	4.6	
510676		23	14	165	100	49	170	70	190	21	3	< 5	205	287	44	91	19	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	6	< 0.5	5.2	
510677		27	4	191	100	54	200	60	180	17	2	< 5	151	192	26	152	9	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	3.2	
510678		24	1	172	110	69	310	40	110	15	2	< 5	109	153	25	145	12	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.2	2	< 0.5	3	
510679		34	2	238	110	48	100	110	140	19	3	< 5	84	276	32	165	11	< 2	0.5	< 0.2	3	< 0.5	1.4	
507545	FT Buff	4	6	< 5	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	50	20	1	65	298	29	5.4	395	36	c 2	16	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	< 0.5	
507546	FT Buff	4	5	~ 5	< 20	<1	< 20	< 10	< 30	18	<1	25	299	33	51	404	32	< 2	1.0	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	< 0.5	
507548	ET Buff	4	6	25	< 20	< 1	< 20	< 10	110	19	< 1	25	368	26	55	389	33	< 2	15	< 0.2	4	< 0.5	< 0.5	
		*		. 3	. 10		. 20	. 10	-10				- 50	20	55	303	35		4.5		-			
511011	FT3b	3	62	32	< 20	5	< 20	20	910	64	6	6	437	83	715	6585	690	< 2		< 0.2	89	< 0.5	0.9	

Sample	Felsic-Belt+unit	Sc	Be	V	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Ag	In	Sn	Sb	Cs
511012	FT3b	<4	93	11	<-20	2	< 20	20	1510	67	7	9	363	94	915	8485	938	<+2		< 0.2	139	< 0.5	0.5
511046	FT5	7	19	47	20	10	30	50	390	54	4	<6	295	123	573	4801	492	<-2		< 0.2	108	< 0.5	1.9
511049	FT5	2	22	22	<-20	4	<+20	20	520	57	4	<-6	149	103	892	7122	912	<-2		< 0.2	114	< 0.5	0.9
511050	FT5	4	28	27	<-20	4	< 20	<+10	350	54	5	<6	112	162	1342	11540		<+2		< 0.2	84	< 0.5	0.8
510312	FT3b	1	75	<6	<+20	<4	<=20	<=10	670	55	4	7	500	65	710	5530	391	<+2		<-0.2	63	< 0.5	<-0.5
510313	FT3b	<4	115	<6	<-20	<#	<+20	<=10	1050	68	6	12	625	106	1276	12850	725	<-12		< 0.2	142	< 0.5	0.6
510340	FT5	9	31	94	30	17	30	70	930	50	4	<-6	452	142	997	8207	639	<-2		< 0.2	96	< 0.5	2.4
510341	FT5	<4	5	10	<-20	<4	<+20	10	1170	64	4	<-6	482	60	1324	11250	983	<+2		0.2	171	< 0.5	1.4
510342	FT5	1	19	15	<-20	4	<+20	20	550	50	3	<-6	416	85	522	5569	354	<+2		< 0.2	60	< 0.5	1.6

## Table A2-6: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt

## Table A2-7: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt

	6 1 1 0 h . h		01													10								
Sample	Felsic-Belt-unit	Ва	Bi	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Ht	Ta	W	TI	Pb	Th	U
510539	FIZ	584	<0.4	153	328	37.3	141	32.3	2.27	34.1	7.2	46.5	9.4	26.3	3.63	21.6	3.05	32.8	8	<4	0.6	51	25.7	4.9
510540	FIZ	493	<0.4	36.5	83	9.81	40.3	8.1	1.88	7.7	1.3	7.6	1.5	4.2	0.6	4	0.63	6.1	1	<4	0.5	28	7.2	1.7
510541	FT2	473	<0.4	21	50.5	6.76	33.1	7.1	2.28	7.1	1.2	7.1	1.4	3.9	0.56	3.7	0.59	4.6	0.7	<4	0.4	13	1.5	0.4
510542	FT2	516	<0.4	19.5	47.3	6.49	30.8	6.7	2.22	7.1	1.2	6.8	1.4	3.8	0.52	3.4	0.55	4.3	0.5	<4	0.4	9	1.2	0.3
510543	FT2	476	< 0.4	19.5	48.1	6.77	31.2	7.1	2.29	7.1	1.2	6.8	1.3	3.8	0.53	3.4	0.55	4.1	0.5	<4	0.3	8	1.2	0.3
510544	FT2	636	< 0.4	20.4	51	6.93	32.9	6.8	2.22	7.4	1.2	6.9	1.4	3.7	0.52	3.5	0.56	4.6	0.5	47	0.8	18	1.3	0.3
510545	FT2	593	1	19.5	47	6.48	30.9	6.5	2.06	6.8	1.2	6.8	1.4	3.9	0.55	3.7	0.57	4.4	1.1	1	1.1	25	1.2	0.5
510546	FT2	562	< 0.4	24.6	57.1	7.25	32.4	7	1.83	7.6	1.3	7.3	1.4	4.1	0.6	3.8	0.61	5.5	1.3	<4	1.2	39	3	0.6
510547	FT2	624	< 0.4	18.8	46.6	6.28	30	6.3	2.22	7.4	1.2	6.8	1.4	3.9	0.54	3.6	0.58	4.5	0.7	1	0.8	34	1.3	0.3
510548	FT2	568	< 0.4	29.1	68.5	8.74	38.9	8.5	2.25	9.3	1.6	9.8	2	5.8	0.83	5.7	0.9	7	1.7	<4	1	57	3.1	1.4
510549	FT2	138	< 0.4	333	710	81.9	308	56.9	1.8	49.6	8.3	46.4	8.8	23.9	3.27	20.3	3.04	39.2	6.2	<4	0.3	51	22.8	4.5
510550	FT2	123	< 0.4	328	698	81.4	302	59.4	1.99	50.3	9.2	50.2	9.4	25.1	3.35	21.4	3.16	40.2	6.1	<4	0.6	59	41.5	5.1
510551	FT2	255	< 0.4	12.5	31.1	4.01	19	4.7	1.25	6.3	1.1	6.7	1.4	3.9	0.55	3.5	0.6	2.6	0.7	<4	1.4	23	0.9	0.5
510552	FT2	117	< 0.4	331	711	80.9	310	60.1	1.86	54.3	9.3	53	10.2	28.2	3.87	25	3.76	60.4	8.4	<4	0.7	69	31.7	5.5
510553	FT2	106	0.4	420	848	98.7	377	69.8	1.93	57.8	9.2	49.4	9.3	25	3.46	21.9	3.46	46.3	7.1	<4	0.7	53	25.6	4.9
510554	FT2	126	< 0.4	373	763	89.8	343	60.5	1.82	47.1	6.9	36.1	6.8	18.6	2.63	17.6	2.91	68.3	5.3	<4	0.4	32	19.4	3.5
510555	FT2	405	1	89.9	189	22.2	88.8	17.5	2.66	16.1	2.6	15.4	3.1	8.5	1.23	8.1	1.28	19.7	3	<4	1.7	32	6.9	1.9
510556	FT2	157	< 0.4	306	605	67.6	247	42.6	1.22	35	5.5	29.2	5.7	15.9	2.17	14.1	2.16	36.8	5.3	<4	0.6	47	17.8	3.4
510557	FT2	105	< 0.4	272	521	56	201	36.3	1.03	31.4	4.9	27.5	5.4	14.5	1.99	12.5	1.95	27.2	4.8	<4	0.7	51	16.9	2.9
510558	FT2	98	< 0.4	173	320	32.5	111	20.6	0.67	19.6	3.3	20.8	4.3	12.1	1.74	11.3	1.78	23.8	4.7	1	0.7	61	15.6	2.8
510559	FT2	391	< 0.4	184	358	39.9	145	25.7	1.79	23	4	23.8	4.9	14	2.05	13.7	2.15	34.3	5	<4	0.8	44	17.7	3.4
510560	FT2	501	< 0.4	322	629	68.8	257	45.8	1.98	37.5	5.9	32.2	6	16	2.18	13.7	2.23	27.1	4.6	2	1.2	45	28.4	4.8
510561	FT2	821	< 0.4	174	347	36.3	135	25.2	1.84	20.8	3.5	21.4	4.5	12.4	1.74	12.1	1.87	22	3.3	<4	1.2	39	34.1	6
510562	FT2	147	< 0.4	328	648	70.9	267	47.1	1.42	37.8	6	31.8	6.2	17	2.38	16.3	2.58	37.9	5.1	1	0.8	47	18	3.1
510563	FT2	145	< 0.4	601	1080	120	477	90.4	1.95	81.8	15.2	97.3	21.8	60.5	7.58	40.5	5.42	64.5	6.6	<4	0.7	38	24.8	4.6
510564	FT2	342	< 0.4	37.9	80.1	9.49	40.8	8.4	1.85	8.1	1.3	7.5	1.6	4.4	0.6	4	0.67	5.5	1.1	1	1.7	29	2.4	0.6
510565	FT2	105	< 0.4	322	637	69.5	260	47.2	1.59	41.4	7.3	42.3	8.1	21.4	2.96	19.3	2.93	31.6	6.7	2	0.5	48	36.6	6.1
510566	FT2	95	< 0.4	337	659	71.2	264	44.8	1.54	36.4	5.7	30.3	5.8	15.8	2.14	14.2	2.32	30	4.9	<4	0.5	42	17.7	2.6
510567	FT2	173	< 0.4	273	531	56.7	212	37.6	1.16	31.3	5.4	30.2	5.6	15.3	2.05	13.3	2.04	31.2	5.1	<4	0.4	41	16.2	3
510568	FT2	179	< 0.4	298	586	64.5	239	41.5	1.73	32.8	5.1	28.6	5.5	15.3	2.14	14.5	2.25	31	4.6	<4	0.7	41	17.3	2.7
510569	FT2	230	< 0.4	394	773	87.1	329	58.3	3.66	46.6	6.7	36.3	7.2	19.6	2.72	17.5	2.78	41.9	4.6	<4	0.6	39	22.1	3.1
510570	FT2	457	0.8	151	312	34.7	136	24.7	2.98	21.5	3.4	18.7	3.7	10.4	1.48	9.1	1.47	15.8	2.4	2	1.7	49	9.9	1.6
510571	FT2	445	0.7	31.3	69.7	8.57	39.7	8.6	2.59	8.2	1.4	7.8	1.5	4.3	0.59	4	0.67	5.8	0.9	<4	2	45	3.3	0.8
510572	FT2	360	0.5	152	315	35.6	140	25.2	2.73	21.2	3.4	18.7	3.6	10	1.42	9.7	1.6	19.6	2.8	<4	2.2	34	9.7	2.9
510573	FT2	375	0.5	83.4	166	18.4	74.3	15.8	2.19	14.4	2.4	13.5	2.7	7.4	1.03	7.1	1.13	7.8	2.2	<4	3.2	20	5	1.2
510574	FT2	331	< 0.4	211	411	45	172	30.6	2.27	25.2	3.9	22	4.3	12.2	1.69	11.1	1.85	28	3.5	<4	1	32	13.5	2.7
510575	FT2	404	< 0.4	13.4	29.8	3.81	17.7	4.1	1.42	4.4	0.8	4.2	0.8	2.4	0.36	2.4	0.39	2.7	0.5	<4	1.3	33	1.1	0.3
510576	FT2	365	< 0.4	15.4	33.7	4.31	19.4	4.4	1.36	4.7	0.8	4.9	1.1	2.9	0.42	2.9	0.48	3	0.5	<4	0.7	33	1.2	0.3
510577	FT2	384	<0.4	11.4	25.6	3.24	15.4	3.6	1.36	3.6	0.6	3.5	0.7	2	0.29	1.9	0.29	2.2	0.4	<4	0.5	36	0.7	0.2
510578	FT2	357	<0.4	17.8	37.7	4 74	20.8	4.4	1.47	4.6	0.7	4.3	0.9	24	0.35	2.3	0.4	2.9	0.4	2	0.6	40	1.3	0.2
510579	FT2	361	<0.4	21.2	44.5	5.36	23.7	5.2	1.49	5.2	0.9	5.1	1	3	0.42	2.8	0.45	3.5	0.6	2	0.6	31	1.5	0.3
510580	FT2	658	<0.4	22.9	49.5	6.13	27.7	6	1.83	6	1	5.8	1.2	33	0.45	3.1	0.51	4.2	0.8	2	0.9	87	2	0.5
510581	FT2	696	<0.4	112	231	25.8	104	20.4	2.59	17.9	3	17.6	3.6	10.2	1.49	10.1	1.59	16.5	2.9	<4	0.9	54	10.9	2.2
510582	FT2	548	<0.4	125	252	28.6	114	21.9	2.52	19.2	3.1	18.7	3.7	11.2	1.67	11.5	1.9	18.5	3.2	<4	0.7	48	12	2.4
510583	FT2	463	<0.4	65.7	137	15.4	65.2	13.2	2.11	12.2	2	11.5	2.3	6.5	0.94	6.4	1.02	10.1	2	<4	0.5	34	6.3	1.4
510584	FT2	179	<0.4	157	296	31.4	115	25.5	0.59	23.7	4.6	27.4	5.9	16.9	2.53	17.5	3.02	33.9	5.7	<4	1.1	49	18.6	4
510585	FT2	205	<0.4	129	246	25.4	97.5	21.3	1.21	20.7	4	24.8	5.3	15.6	2.34	17.1	2.87	32.8	6.1	<4	2	70	17.3	3.6
510586	FT2	79	<0.4	156	300	31.7	114	25.2	0.56	24.6	4.7	28.8	6.1	17	2 47	17.4	2.85	35.3	5.7	<4	12	43	31.4	3.8
510587	FT2	89	<0.4	151	296	32.1	118	25.7	0.8	24.8	4.6	27.7	5.8	16.7	2.43	17.1	2.94	37.5	5.7	<4	1.1	45	20.4	4.1
510588	FT2	61	<0.4	244	441	46	165	33.9	0.73	30.9	5.7	33.5	7	19.6	2.97	20.5	3.35	41.1	7.2	1	0.9	47	23.9	4.8
510589	FT2	53	<0.4	340	608	61.1	217	41.9	0.89	36.7	6.5	38.1	7.9	22.6	3 33	23.3	3.86	48	7.9	<4	0.6	65	26.8	5.3
510590	FT2	139	< 0.4	320	602	64	239	49	1.28	42.5	7.5	42.2	8.4	23.5	3.24	22	3.68	53.4	7.1	2	1	41	29.4	5.5
510591	FT2	103	< 0.4	234	453	48.8	183	35.8	0.9	31.1	5.5	31.2	6.4	17.8	2.53	16.5	2.64	39.7	5.7	2	0.8	39	24.1	3.9
510592	FT2	62	c0.4	361	694	74.4	273	51.2	1 37	42.7	7.2	42.9	9	24.8	3 58	24.2	3.00	60	7 1	2	1	37	33	5.9
510593	FT2	94	15.9	258	513	55.6	212	42.4	1.27	38.3	6.8	41.5	89	25.5	3.69	25.7	3.97	54.5	10.5	<4	1	660	33.5	7
510594	FT2	464	<0.4	61.5	126	14.8	60.6	12.6	2.35	12.1	2	12.1	2.5	7.3	1.03	6.9	1.11	11.5	1.9	5	1	64	7.4	2.1
510595	FT2	663	<0.4	42.2	89.9	10.8	46.5	9.9	2.51	9.3	1.5	8.7	1.7	4.9	0.73	4.9	0.8	8.1	1	<4	1.4	35	4.5	0.9
510596	FT2	85	c0.4	289	569	60.7	230	44.4	1 38	37	6.4	30.2	8.2	23.8	3 52	24.1	4.02	51.3	8.6	1	0.9	65	31.3	6.3
510597	FT2	60	c0.4	203	529	56.4	208	38.5	1.50	31.5	5.7	32.6	6.6	18.9	2 75	19.7	3.17	46.6	6.7	c.4	0.5	45	24.9	4.7
510598	FT2	80	c0.4	302	581	61.4	220	41.2	1.2	34	5.7	32.8	6.8	19.7	2.03	20.2	3.28	43.7	6.8	c.4	0.0	72	23.2	4.9
510599	FT2	384	c0.4	19.4	45.2	5.68	27.6	6.5	1.97	6.4	1.1	6.4	1.3	3.6	0.52	3.5	0.58	45	1	c.4	1.4	62	1.8	0.5
510600	FT2	88	<0.4	268	518	56.1	209	39.5	1.15	34.4	5.9	34.2	7.1	21	3.12	21.8	3.56	45.9	81	1	0.8	77	26.3	5.4
510601	FT2	79	<0.4	246	488	55.7	205	40	1.12	35	6.1	36.5	7.5	21.3	3.41	22.1	3.62	49.3	8.7	<4	0.8	81	24.6	5.2
510602	FT2	69	<0.4	268	527	60	217	41.9	1.18	35.4	6.2	36.7	7.3	21.1	3.42	22.3	3.62	47.2	8.2	<4	0.8	58	26.4	5
510602	FT2	95	<0.4	200	490	57	217	41.5	1.10	33.4	7.1	43.1	0	21.1	4 22	22.3	4.7	47.2 68.4	10.5	<.4	0.0	64	33.4	7.8
510003	5 T 2	55	<0.4	241	490	5/	107	20.4	1.30	22.2	·.1	43.1	7 1	20.4	9.22	20.2	2 /1	47.2	10.5	~4	0.9	E 2	22.4	1.0
E1060E	5 T 2	115	1.4	207	407	L1 7	224	45.2	1.01	27.4	6.2	33.0	7.1	20.4	3.11	20.0	4.12	*/.2 EG	7.7	~4	0.0	102	22.2	4.7
510005	112	115	1.4	307	222	01./	224	45.2	1.2	37.4	0.5	30	7.0	10.2	3.55	23.7	4.13	21.1	2.1	<= <u>1</u>	0.9	102	31.0	0.9
510606	670	316	<0.4	115	238	27.8	110	22.1	2.11	17.9	3	17.5	3.5	10.3	1.5/	10.7	1.75	21.1	5.1	<1	2	29	10	2.6
510607	F12	/0	<0.4	334	502	65.8	235	44.4	1.13	35.5	12.0	35.5	7.4	22.5	3.56	24.5	5.94	42.5	4.1	<#	0.5	31	36.5	4.4
510608	F12X	98	<0.4	582	1240	153	5/9	108	4.86	82.5	12.9	/4.1	14.6	40.1	5.41	35.2	5.48	192	10.4	1	0.8	33	44.9	11.8
510609	F12X	422	0.6	03.1	142	18.6	1/1.5	18.2	3.26	15.1	2.5	15.4	3.1	9.1	1.28	8.5	1.5	13.4	2.8	<#	4.2	96	5.9	1.4
510010	r 12X	100	<-U.4	1510	19/0	250	1010	202	9.95	157	20	147	20.0	120	10.8	/1.4	10.9	294	50	1	1.0	270	49.0	13.0
210011	r 1 2 X	103	0.0	1210	2240	429	1000	200	10.1	433	20.2	221	44.9	120	10.0	100	10.9	222	44.1	4	1.0	3/0	00.9	12.9

Tuble The 0. Entitle geochemical auta for the first Delt
----------------------------------------------------------

Sample	Felsic-Belt-unit	Ba	Bi	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dv	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Та	W	TI	Pb	Th	U
E10612	ETDy	76	<0.4	1210	2750	225	1260	226	11.7	170	27.0	161	21.4	97.5	11.0	76.1	11.4	191	22.7	2	1.6	210	96.3	15
510612	ET2v	192	~10.4	1220	27.50	226	1260	230	11.7	179	27.3	162	21.9	87.5 99 E	11.9	76.1	11.4	162	21.0	1	1.0	242	121	10.1
510013	1124	103	0.4	1330	2000	10.0	1200	233	11.8	1/8	20.2	103	1.0	50.5	11.0	70.2	11.2	103	31.5	1	1.2	17	121	15.1
510614		565	< 0.4	35.9	79.6	10.2	44.1	9.8	2.5	9.4	1.0	9	1.6	5.3	0.81	5.5	0.86	7.2	1.1	<+1	1.4	17	5.0	1
510615	FIZX	115	< 0.4	1810	4010	4/4	1900	369	19.6	311	52.2	300	56.4	160	23.7	141	20.6	275	49.6	3	1.3	163	85.6	16.3
510616	FIZILIKe-lunit?	294	< 0.4	957	2080	241	930	1/2	9.5	139	22.9	132	25.9	70.5	10.5	63.2	9.57	125	23.7	1	1.5	154	75.2	15.3
510617	FT2ILike+unit?	274	< 0.4	987	2150	250	968	179	9.67	146	23.9	136	27	73.6	10.9	65.7	9.87	131	25.2	1	1.6	161	80.6	15.7
510618	Pegmatite	360	< 0.4	190	374	42.4	155	27.3	1.36	22.7	4	24.8	5	14.4	2.15	13.7	2.15	30.4	4.8	<4	1.4	77	18.1	4.6
510619	Pegmatite	502	< 0.4	102	207	23.7	88.6	15.7	1.23	12.7	2.1	12.7	2.6	7.7	1.2	7.7	1.23	13.4	2.4	<4	1.7	49	20	3.5
510620		642	< 0.4	34.4	78.2	10.3	44.2	10.1	2.69	9.3	1.5	9.1	1.7	5	0.77	4.7	0.78	6.9	1.5	<4	2.5	17	3.5	1.2
510621		732	< 0.4	35.5	79.3	10.4	44.8	10.1	2.66	9.1	1.5	8.5	1.6	4.6	0.69	4.3	0.71	6.7	1.1	<4	2.9	19	3.5	0.7
510622	FT3	95	0.5	2270	4020	463	1660	285	14	213	32.7	181	32.9	89.9	12.9	88	13.8	237	38.9	2	1.7	447	838	30.3
510623	FT3	76	0.5	2500	4770	554	2040	353	17.5	260	36.6	189	33.8	88.9	11.6	73.8	10.6	235	46.3	2	2.1	518	589	39
510624	FT3	116	0.6	3360	5970	669	2430	411	20	304	45.2	254	48.3	133	18.2	117	17.1	256	52.7	1	2.8	707	197	76.7
510625	FT3	104	0.6	3160	5490	610	2210	383	18.9	284	42.2	239	45.8	130	17.5	110	16.3	241	48	1	2.3	724	276	72
510626	FT3	89	0.7	2650	5390	636	2350	421	20.7	315	49	276	51.7	147	20	129	19.1	298	54.6	1	2	870	141	154
510627	FT3	77	0.8	2050	4160	495	1890	341	17.1	262	41.6	236	46.6	130	18.5	117	17.4	271	44.4	2	1.9	474	162	28.9
510628	FT3	76	0.9	2070	4220	514	1920	350	17.6	267	42.6	243	47	134	18.4	119	18	290	44.6	1	2	366	170	42
510620	FT3	85	0.5	1690	3550	428	1600	300	14.9	234	37.7	210	42.7	118	16.2	104	15.3	241	41.0	2	2.8	276	139	37.1
E10620	ET2	61	0.0	1590	2440	426	1600	202	15.2	225	20	220	45.5	120	17.0	115	17	271	42.1	2	2.0	201	133	26.1
510030	613	01	0.9	1580	3440	420	1000	302	15.2	233	20.0	230	45.5	129	17.5	115	17	201	43.1	2	2.7	431	123	20.1
510631	F13	65	0.9	1670	3000	438	1080	517	15.9	242	39.0	229	40.5	150	17.9	117	17.0	281	43.5	2	2.8	421	125	20
510632	F13	64	1.6	1820	3880	475	1800	341	1/	261	43.4	252	49.7	141	19.8	125	19.1	303	47	2	2.8	622	142	26.9
510633	FT3	108	0.8	1670	3700	449	1720	320	16.3	247	40.7	238	47.7	138	18.9	120	18.1	273	44.7	2	2.3	477	129	26
510634	FT3	83	1.1	1800	3920	480	1800	334	17	257	41.5	241	47.5	133	18.7	123	18.3	288	46.7	2	1.5	702	141	28.2
510635	FT3	84	2	1980	3970	485	1790	323	16	245	38.7	222	42.4	119	16.3	103	15.4	247	44.2	2	1.6	611	173	29.8
510636	FT3	115	< 0.4	2000	3720	428	1590	283	14.2	218	33.3	185	35.1	98.4	13.6	85.8	12.7	202	34.3	2	1.3	252	130	55.4
510638		565	< 0.4	75.1	161	19.8	79.9	15.5	2.78	13.4	2.1	11.6	2.3	6.3	0.95	6.2	0.97	12.6	2.3	2	3.4	42	5.8	1.4
510639		111	< 0.4	826	1730	196	733	128	7	101	16.8	98.4	20	56.5	8.57	53.2	7.79	95.1	22.2	5	1.3	387	109	17.3
510640		351	< 0.4	22.4	52.3	6.99	31.7	7.7	2.25	7.4	1.3	7.2	1.4	4	0.61	4	0.62	5.6	1.2	<4	2.4	50	2.1	0.6
510641		366	< 0.4	147	319	38.4	153	30	3.16	26.1	4.4	25.1	5	14	2.09	13.3	2.09	24.9	5.1	<4	2.8	91	14.8	2.6
510642	FT4	177	0.5	2170	4350	507	1850	326	16.4	246	38.1	219	42.5	120	16.9	109	16.1	239	41.8	3	1	240	247	27.6
510643	FT4	197	0.4	1530	3020	359	1310	226	11.2	166	26.4	149	29.1	81.8	11.2	72	10.9	160	29.7	2	1.8	311	159	21.4
510644	FT4	80	0.5	1480	2830	330	1170	199	9.61	148	23.2	134	26.4	76	10.7	71	10.9	168	25.9	2	17	144	315	27.3
510645	FT4	71	c.0.4	1480	2840	323	1180	197	9.95	148	23.4	135	26.7	75.1	10.8	70.7	10.5	151	24	2	2	180	162	32.9
E10645	ET4	0.9	< 0.4	1510	2000	227	1210	200	10.6	160	23.4	149	20.7	976	12.6	91	12.1	159	26.2	2	1.0	165	121	22.9
510040	F 14	107	-0.4	1310	2500	337	11210	203	10.0	100	25	148	20.4	87.0	12.0	71.2	12.1	158	20.3	3	1.0	105	131	23.8
510647	F14	107	< 0.4	1350	2010	308	1150	204	10.5	154	25.5	148	28.4	80.5	11	/1.2	10.5	167	30.3	3	1.5	121	125	19.5
510648	F14	68	0.8	1460	3030	368	1360	252	12.5	192	31.4	185	37.4	105	14.6	94.9	14.4	220	36.6	3	2.3	214	118	22.2
510649	FT4	95	0.4	1540	3230	392	1460	274	14.2	215	35.8	208	40.9	116	16.7	107	16	266	42.3	3	2.2	176	81.5	26.6
510650	FT4																							
510651	FTBuff	312	< 0.4	120	243	29	104	18.6	0.83	13.6	2.2	12.9	2.6	7.7	1.12	7.6	1.17	12.6	2.3	<4	2.2	36	23.1	4.1
510652	FTBuff	323	< 0.4	110	225	26.3	95.5	17.4	0.76	12.7	2	11.6	2.3	6.5	0.96	6.5	1.04	10.8	1.9	<4	1.9	66	21.9	3.7
510653	FTBuff	417	< 0.4	142	292	35.1	129	24.5	1.65	18.9	3.1	18	3.6	10.3	1.52	9.6	1.53	18	3.7	<4	2.4	74	19.4	3.4
510654	FT4	355	< 0.4	109	224	26.2	94.6	17.2	0.77	12.4	2	11.3	2.3	6.8	0.98	6.8	1.09	11.2	1.9	<4	2.7	27	22.2	4
510655	FT4	265	< 0.4	534	1070	131	484	85.3	4.6	63.9	10.1	57.2	11	31.5	4.43	28.2	4.41	65.3	12.5	1	3.3	99	72.3	16.7
510656	FTBuff	337	< 0.4	169	333	40.7	148	26.4	1.46	20.9	3.5	20.7	4.1	12.1	1.69	11	1.71	16.6	3.9	1	3.6	59	29.3	6
510657	FT4	215	< 0.4	558	1100	135	486	84.4	4.26	64.4	10.1	60.3	11.7	32.6	4.56	30.5	4.63	64	12.1	1	2.5	72	106	12.4
510658	FT4	177	< 0.4	1170	2310	270	981	169	8.73	128	19.5	112	21.7	60.1	8.32	53.3	8.12	124	22.6	2	1.7	164	163	16
510659	FT4	204	< 0.4	1340	2640	314	1120	193	9.92	145	21.9	125	23.8	67	9.14	58.5	8.83	137	26.4	6	2	197	211	26.3
510660		300	< 0.4	27.8	60.9	7.75	33.1	7.1	1.87	6.9	1.1	6.6	1.3	3.7	0.56	3.4	0.56	5.2	1	2	0.9	20	37	0.7
510661		312	c 0 4	12.7	20.8	4 19	19.6	4.9	1.66	4.7	0.8	4.8	0.9	2.6	0.4	2.6	0.4	3	0.6	<u></u>	0.6	14	11	0.4
E10662		229	< 0.4	10	20.0	2.04	12.0	2.5	1.00	2.5	0.6	2.0	0.9	2.0	0.21	2.0	0.22	22	0.0	- 4	0.0	15	0.7	0.4
510002		422	-0.4	10	12.0	2.94	13.2	3.5	1.22	3.5	0.0	3.8	0.8	1.0	0.31	10	0.33	1.7	0.3	2	0.7	10	0.7	0.3
510003		423	-0.4	10.7	13.9	1.94	3.5	2.0	0.37	2.5	0.5	5.1	0.0	1.5	0.28	1.5	0.29	1.7	0.2	2	0.7	15	0.4	0.1
510004		364	< 0.4	16./	41.4	3.35	23.1	20	1.40	5	0.9	5.2	1	2.9	0.42	2.9	0.49	3.9	0.7	2	0.0	22	2.5	0.9
510005		200	< 0.4	9	21.5	2.96	13.8	3.0	1.23	4	0.7	4.2	0.8	2.3	0.36	2.4	0.38	2.4	0.4		0.6	17	0.7	0.2
510000		1/9	< 10.4	0.0	20.5	2.65	13./	3.5	1.10	3.0	0.7	4	0.8	2.4	0.35	2.5	0.56	2.4	0.4	2	0.4	17	0.0	0.2
510667		296	<+0.4	17.4	38	4.72	20.1	4.5	1.15	4.3	0.8	4.5	0.9	2.6	0.37	2.4	0.42	3.5	0.5		0.7	13	2	0.5
510668		94	< 0.4	6.1	14.7	2.06	9.4	2.4	0.99	2.7	0.5	2.8	0.6	1.6	0.24	1.6	0.27	1.6	0.2	3	0.3	<6	0.5	0.1
510669		53	< 0.4	5.8	14.2	2.04	10.2	2.8	1.08	3	0.5	3.1	0.6	1.8	0.25	1.7	0.28	1.6	0.2	3	0.1	11	0.3	0.1
510670		18	< 0.4	6.8	15	2.09	10.1	2.7	1.02	2.9	0.5	3.2	0.6	1.9	0.28	1.8	0.29	2.4	0.3	3	< 0.1	6	0.4	0.1
510671		47	< 0.4	5.7	13.3	1.96	9.6	2.8	1.08	3	0.5	3.2	0.6	1.8	0.27	1.8	0.3	1.8	0.2	3	< 0.1	13	0.2	0.1
510672		69	< 0.4	4.8	11.5	1.69	7.9	2.3	0.91	2.5	0.4	2.8	0.6	1.6	0.23	1.6	0.24	1.6	0.2	2	0.2	37	0.2	< 0.1
510673		117	< 0.4	4.4	11.2	1.67	8.2	2.2	0.85	2.6	0.4	2.6	0.5	1.5	0.23	1.5	0.24	1.4	0.2	2	0.3	11	0.2	< 0.1
510674		92	< 0.4	9.7	22.3	2.94	13.4	3.3	1.16	3.6	0.6	3.7	0.7	2.1	0.32	2	0.31	2.2	0.4	5	0.3	7	0.7	0.3
510675		161	< 0.4	5.3	12.7	1.8	8.6	2.3	0.79	2.4	0.4	2.5	0.5	1.5	0.22	1.5	0.27	1.6	0.4	3	0.6	<6	0.5	0.5
510676		461	< 0.4	10.2	22.9	3.08	13.9	3.4	1.05	3.8	0.8	5.5	1.3	4.3	0.78	5.6	0.94	2.4	1.6	2	1.3	68	2.4	1.9
510677		432	< 0.4	22	46.9	6.08	24.9	5.5	1.49	5	0.9	4.8	1	2.9	0.42	2.7	0.46	3.4	0.6	3	1	26	4.1	0.5
510678		377	< 0.4	14.5	32.2	4.32	17.1	4.1	1.04	4.4	0.8	4.4	0.9	2.5	0.37	2.4	0.41	3.4	0.8	3	0.8	16	2.5	0.4
510679		435	c.0.4	18.9	41.5	5.79	24.5	6	1.68	5.6	1	5.7	11	3.3	0.5	3.3	0.54	3.9	0.6	2	0.6	24	3.3	0.5
510075		455		10.5		5.75	14.5		1.00	5.0	-	3.7	***	3.3	0.0	3.5	0.34	3.5	0.0	-	0.0		5.5	0.0
E07E4E	ET Quff	215	<04	04.0	100	21.2	75.9	12.2	0.54	10.7	17	0.5	1.0		0.95	E 7	0.01	10.2	16	- 4	2.0	19	20.2	2.2
507540	F 110UII	315	< 10.4	04.0	100	21.2	/5.8	15.2	0.54	10.7	1./	9.5	1.9	5.0	0.05	5./	0.91	10.5	1.0	<11	2.9	10	20.5	3.3
507546	r i Butt	385	<+0.4	70	163	17.5	62.3	11.1	0.45	9.1	1.5	8.b	1./	5.2	0.81	5.4	0.88	9.9	1.5	<1	2.3	24	19.3	2.8
507546	ritouii	212	< 10.4	90	133	22.4	/9.0	14.2	0.56	10.9	1.7	9.7	1.9	5.7	0.00	5./	0.95	9.9	1.5	<11	3.4	22	19.1	3.1
511011	FT3b	118	0.7	1160	2390	257	985	176	8 99	141	21.6	130	24.6	72	10.2	62.7	9.25	131	23.9	2	19	88	105	16

Sample	Felsic Belt unit	Ba	Bi	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Та	w	TI	Pb	Th	U
511012	FT3b	85	0.9	1590	3320	358	1360	239	12	191	28.4	165	30.7	89.5	12.7	77	11.4	165	31.1	4	1.7	186	224	19
511046	FT5	392	0.5	326	667	88.8	322	79.4	2.33	80.3	16	102	22.3	65	9.65	65.4	10.5	123	26	4	1.2	171	73.2	20.8
511049	FT5	124	0.5	516	1170	140	501	125	2.99	125	25.6	165	35.8	108	16.4	110	17.6	195	46.5	3	0.5	126	124	36.7
511050	FT5	131	0.9	558	1320	159	567	150	3.77	159	33.6	233	52.9	163	25	174	28	304	67.8	5	0.5	159	146	56.4
510312	FT3b	162	< 0.4	962	2020	234	848	153	7.5	128	20.9	129	23.6	65.4	9.29	59.9	9.56	105	20.8	3	2.5	99	81.4	19.7
510313	FT3b	84	0.6	1850	3940	460	1660	299	14.7	244	38.9	243	44.9	123	17.3	110	17.3	241	37.7	5	2.7	205	120	39.8
510340	FT5	213	1.2	614	1340	159	552	144	3.49	145	29.3	199	38.8	111	16.5	110	17.3	190	40.5	5	2.1	196	118	27.7
510341	FT5	164	< 0.4	712	1560	184	629	172	3.56	174	36.7	258	52.4	151	23.1	158	26.2	258	60.3	7	1.9	228	158	32.4
510342	FT5	214	< 0.4	335	728	85.9	303	75.9	1.93	75.2	15.1	104	20.1	56.6	8.51	59.4	10.2	126	20.7	4	1.8	226	61.4	18.5

## Table A2-9: Lithogeochemical data for the MT Belt

Table A3-1:	: Lithogeod	chemical	data t	for the	Road	Belt
	0					

6	DDH/Channel% No.	Type%f% Sample	Felsic% Belt%0.	SiO2	AI2O3	Fe203	FeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	к20	TiO2	P2O5	LOI	Total
	FTJ11J33	DDH		72.53	12.52	4	3.60	0.06	0.21	0.85	3.54	5.15	0.377	0.009	0.4	99.64
1	FTJ11J33	DDH		72.44	12.84	4.04	3.64	0.056	0.21	0.86	3.65	5.43	0.373	0.01	0.52	100.4
	FTJ11J33	DDH		67.09	12.94	8.19	7.37	0.162	0.33	2.48	4.01	3.5	0.83	0.1	0.65	100.3
	FTJ11J33	DDH		66.97	8.72	12.39	11.15	0.223	0.53	2.79	2.31	3.75	0.646	0.05	0.84	99.22
	FT111122	DDH		67.04	7.1	12.52	11.05	0.274	0.75	2.42	1.40	2 70	0.75	0.08	0.71	97.55
	FT111122	DDH		64.49	10.65	10.97	0.79	0.275	0.09	2.45	2 10	4 21	0.450	0.01	0.55	97.03
i.	FTJ11J33	DDH		71.51	12.56	3.6	3.24	0.097	0.25	1.31	3.9	5.39	0.413	0.04	0.67	99.73
	FTJ11J33	DDH		66.44	8.92	10.17	9.15	0.272	0.31	3.24	2.98	4.08	0.663	0.11	0.32	97.52
	FTJ11J33	DDH		69.9	12.33	5.8	5.22	0.117	0.18	1.33	3.48	5.64	0.442	0.05	0.12	99.4
	FTJ11J33	DDH		71.27	11.56	4.02	3.62	0.05	0.33	1.27	2.7	5.51	0.458	0.05	0.59	97.81
	FTJ11J33	DDH		60.91	10.08	11.87	10.68	0.222	3	3.8	2.02	2.93	1.283	0.18	1.63	97.93
	FTJ11J33	DDH		68.04	11.89	6.08	5.47	0.08	0.2	1.91	3.25	4.97	0.41	0.03	0.66	97.51
	FTJ11J33	DDH		73.63	8.32	7.29	6.56	0.063	0.29	0.99	1.78	4.37	0.501	0.009	0.34	97.59
	FTJ11J33	DDH		71.8	7.86	9.16	8.24	0.08	1.08	0.7	1.45	3.92	0.451	0.04	0.7	97.24
	FTJ11J33	DDH		73.71	7.88	7.73	6.96	0.048	0.4	0.69	1.32	4.63	0.403	0.009	0.43	97.26
	F1J11J33	DDH		46.89	14.05	16.72	15.04	0.305	6.UZ	5.91	3.20	1.76	2.752	0.43	1.85	101
1	FT111122	DDH		40.00	14.55	11.90	10.70	0.239	6.12	5.26	3.20	2.27	1.465	0.43	2.16	100.9
	FTJ11J33	DDH		51.94	14.63	11.48	10.33	0.182	6.87	6.65	3.41	1.8	1.445	0.22	1.98	100.6
	FT111147	DDH		67.58	13 37	6.4	5.76	0.12	0.53	2 13	3 35	4.82	0.749	0.12	0.64	99.83
	FTJ11J47	DDH		74.08	12.56	3.66	3.29	0.06	0.19	1.14	3.56	4.83	0.374	0.05	0.48	101
	FTJ11J47	DDH		64.49	12.82	9.36	8.42	0.262	0.22	2.77	2.99	4.1	0.871	0.13	0.46	98.47
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	63.51	10.21	11.19	10.07	0.296	1.48	4.15	2.51	3.88	0.886	0.11	0.28	98.52
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	67.75	8.3	10.47	9.42	0.246	0.3	2.76	2.28	3.56	0.461	0.05	0.19	96.36
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	56.59	6.66	14.88	13.39	0.486	0.71	8.28	1.59	1.97	0.972	0.09	0.12	92.35
1	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	63.69	11.04	8.92	8.03	0.222	0.5	2.86	2.91	5.4	0.442	0.07	0.28	96.34
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	62.52	12.97	9.7	8.73	0.243	0.66	3.11	4.66	4.88	0.96	0.35	10.05	100
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	66.29	9.7	8.88	7.99	0.239	0.18	2.55	2.69	4.66	0.613	0.07	0.07	95.94
	F1J11J47	DDH	KB4	50.99	10.47	15.29	13.76	0.381	0.54	4.46	3.29	4.76	0.9/3	0.17	0.11	97.44
	F111147	DDH	004	64.03	12.45	10.05	5.25	0.074	0.18	1.09	3.31	3.0	0.534	0.04	0.23	56.15
	FTI11147	DDH	RB%Buff	66.86	13.34	5.84	5.05	0.203	1.44	1.69	3.23	4.03	0.943	0.08	0.01	99.73
	FTI11147	DDH	RB%Buff	70.27	13.26	46	4 14	0.09	0.56	1.61	3 39	5.8	0.755	0.15	0.35	101
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	69.76	13.3	4.57	4.11	0.084	0.59	1.68	3.36	5.66	0.745	0.15	0.63	100.5
÷.	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	73.09	12.1	3.41	3.07	0.041	0.22	1.2	3.05	5.67	0.37	0.04	0.55	99.74
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	69.66	12.33	5.59	5.03	0.101	0.97	2.11	3.31	4.01	0.813	0.1	0.74	99.74
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	70.65	12.25	4.93	4.44	0.067	0.08	1.7	3.91	4.96	0.304	0.03	0.66	99.54
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	70.38	12.08	6.14	5.52	0.115	0.18	2.03	3.64	4.82	0.345	0.04	0.55	100.3
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	69.69	13.06	5.6	5.04	0.098	0.18	1.97	4.05	4.95	0.368	0.03	0.53	100.5
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	65.97	14.23	6.48	5.83	0.136	0.61	1.86	4.17	5.01	0.67	0.15	0.35	99.65
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	77.04	7.22	6.05	5.44	0.097	0.32	1.5	1.67	3.32	0.573	0.05	0.24	98.08
	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	66.68	8.79	10.08	9.07	0.145	1.73	2.63	1.82	3.29	0.838	0.13	0.56	96.69
	FTJ11J47	DDH		47.06	15.06	13.44	12.09	0.212	7.06	7.83	3.15	2.01	1.733	0.19	1.04	98.79
1	FTJ11J47	DDH		48.49	15.44	13.64	12.27	0.213	6.27	8.04	3.4	1.89	1.751	0.16	0.77	100.1
	FTJ11J47 FTJ11J47	DDH		46.02	16.71	13.58	12.22	0.196	6.28	9.17 8.45	3.18	2.07	1.79	0.18	1.3	100.3
	FHCJ32	Channel		66.85	12.94	6.19	5.57	0.062	0.26	1.82	3.88	5	0.43	0.05	0.88	98.36
	FHCJ32	Channel		67.5	12.99	6.16	5.54	0.036	0.14	1.37	4.07	4.71	0.436	0.04	0.47	97.93
	FHCJ32	Channel		64.68	12.7	7.62	6.86	0.09	0.55	2.48	3.54	4.8	0.451	0.03	1.1	98.04
	ENC122	Channel		67.66	14.21	6.02	5.02	0.055	0.04	1.04	2.45	4.35	0.425	0.04	0.47	98.02
	FHC132	Channel		68.69	13.19	5.46	4 91	0.036	0.13	1.04	3.73	5.62	0.368	0.04	0.46	98.74
	546122	Channel		73.60		2.14	2.02	0.053	0.07	1.05	3.73	4.30	0.160	0.02	0.55	08.60
	FHCJ33	Channel		71.77	11.59	5.01	4.51	0.077	0.05	1.14	3.54	4.94	0.318	0.02	0.38	98.83
				no -				0.40-	. ·				0.077	0.07	0.4-	03.01
	FHCJ34 FHCJ34	Channel		70.7	7.19	11.94	10.74	0.139 0.149	0.1	1.11 1.02	1.35	3.91 3.66	0.375	0.03	0.17	97.02 97.53
	FHCJ35 FHCJ35	Channel		76.71 73.9	8.5 9.54	7.38	6.64 6.39	0.055	0.04	0.89	3.32	1.67 3.56	0.209	<%0.01 0.01	0.01	98.79 98.25
	FHCJ36 FHCJ36	Channel Channel		71.67	13.58 12.33	2.81 3.29	2.53	0.039	0.22	0.91	3.82	5.3 5.35	0.339	0.04	0.61	99.33 99.47
	F110123	en anne		73.23	12.55		2.50	0.030	0.2.5	0.05	5.00	5.55	0.351	0.05	0.35	
ļ	FHCJ37 FHCJ37	Channel		72.42	12.78	3.38	3.04	0.049	0.24	0.93	3 2.96	5.87	0.373	0.05	0.46	98.99
	FUC IOR	Channel		72.10	12.01	2.24	2.01	0.056	0.25	1.04	2.07	E 02	0.419	0.05	0.44	00.7
	FHCJ38	Channel		72.05	12.69	3.09	2.78	0.038	0.23	0.79	2.87	6.19	0.418	0.05	0.55	98.93
	FHCJ39 FHCJ39	Channel		69.75 73.08	14.07 12.83	3.05	2.74	0.042	0.23	0.93	3.99	5.62 5.92	0.396	0.04	0.73	98.86 100.2
	FHCJ40 FHCJ40	Channel Channel		74.24 73.92	10.31 10.96	5.15 4.11	4.63 3.70	0.048	0.04	0.58	2.83 3.19	4.69 4.75	0.295	<%0.01 <%0.01	0.35	98.54 98.07
	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		60.64	11.05	10.71	9.64	0.19	3.55	3.53	2.43	2.26	1.276	0.25	2.16	98.06
	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		70.52	10.16	7.41	6.67	0.094	1.07	1.5	2.15	4.59	0.806	0.11	0.74	99.15
	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		69.71	13.65	4.69	4.22	0.081	0.82	2.02	3.8	4.4	0.462	0.06	0.67	100.4
	►HRBCJ11J01	unannel		71.55	11.35	6.01	5.41	0.092	0.52	1.72	2.48	4.66	0.512	0.03	0.35	99.28
	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		72.58	6.09	10.56	9.50	0.281	0.24	2.97	0.42	3.23	0.43	0.13	0.54	97.46
	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		70.88	12.53	5.36	4.82	0.092	0.64	1.56	3.39	4.6	0.581	0.07	0.5	100.2
	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		69.8	12.53	6.66	5.99	0.112	0.49	2.21	3.15	4.51	0.568	0.06	0.66	100.7
	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		68.07	8.98	10.91	9.82	0.082	0.14	1.36	1.63	4.54	0.81	0.04	2.2	98.77
	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		70.18	13.41	4.88	4.39	0.061	1.68	1.49	4.66	2.53	0.563	0.07	1.23	100.8

Assay% Number	DDH/Channel9 No.	Type%f% Sample	Felsic% Belt%Io.	Sc	Be	v	Cr	Co	Ni	Cu	Zn	Ga	Ge	As	Rb	Sr	Y	Zr	Nb	Mo	Ag	In	Sn	Sb	Cs
663330	FT111122	DDU			7		10.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	140	21	2	4.00	207	42	108	1016		1.00		0.10	10	0.40	0.40
553380	FTJ11J33	DDH		1	7	7	19.99	0.99	20	9.99	140	28	3	4.99	207	42	108	1010	45	1.99		0.19	9	0.49	0.45
553384	FTJ11J33	DDH		12	5	8	19.99	3	19.99	130	160	28	3	4.99	102	86	86	1069	49	1.99		0.19	7	0.49	1.3
553435	FTJ11J33	DDH		2	11	32	19.99	4	19.99	9.99	810	64	4	4.99	242	120	420	8760	238	7		0.19	41	0.7	0.49
553436	FTJ11J33	DDH		4	18	37	19.99	6	19.99	9.99	710	59	6	4.99	317	112	742	14410	297	1.99		0.19	53	0.49	0.49
553437	F1J11J33	DDH		0.99	30	4.99	19.99	0.99	19.99	9.99	970	62	6	9 6	336	143	1241	16420	427	1.99		0.19	102	0.49	0.49
553440	FTJ11J33	DDH		5	8	8	19.99	2	19.99	120	330	29	2	4.99	379	73	155	762	62	2	5.2	0.19	18	0.49	0.49
553441	FTJ11J33	DDH		3	48	9	19.99	2	19.99	9.99	810	67	6	7	385	96	1024	9706	500	1.99		0.2	115	0.49	0.49
553442	FTJ11J33	DDH		4	13	4.99	19.99	1	19.99	9.99	390	36	3	4.99	357	69	289	2756	205	4		0.19	20	0.49	0.49
553449	FTJ11J33	DDH		5	7	14	19.99	2	19.99	30	110	22	2	4.99	204	73	132	1092	81	4		0.19	12	0.49	0.49
553452	FTI11133	DDH		12	16	9	19 99	1	19 99	9.99	330	46	3	6	215	70	267	3006	169	4		0.19	20	0.49	0.49
553455	FTJ11J33	DDH		0.99	4	12	19.99	2	19.99	9.99	460	35	3	4.99	146	73	500	6953	336	2		0.19	41	0.49	0.49
553457	FTJ11J33	DDH		2	7	23	19.99	5	19.99	130	270	37	3	4.99	137	50	513	6133	400	1.99		0.19	38	0.49	0.49
553459	FTJ11J33	DDH		1	6	20	30	2	19.99	180	180	29	3	4.99	135	93	422	7095	275	1.99		0.19	32	0.49	0.49
553424	FTJ11J33	DDH		31	2	292	80 70	51	80	90	180	23	1	4.99	99	144	35	211 217	12	1.99	0.49	0.19	1 0.99	0.49	2.5
553450	FTJ11J33	DDH		23	5	199	40	41	70	9.99	170	19	2	4.99	120	200	47	296	24	1.99	2.4	0.19	4	0.49	1.4
553451	FTJ11J33	DDH		25	4	173	100	43	140	70	230	18	2	4.99	100	174	37	211	24	2	1.9	0.19	3	0.49	2.5
							-									100									
554502	F111147	DDH		3	4	120	<120	4	<120	20	110	24	3	CB 25	106	100	74 90	794	32	<12 _12	3.1	<10.2	4	<10.5	<10.5
554516	FTJ11J47	DDH		11	4	8	<120	2	<120	20	180	26	3	<15	96	79	75	1088	35	2	-	<10.2	5	<10.5	<10.5
554555	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	8	16	54	20	11	<120	10	630	54	5	7	299	176	690	9643	304	2		<10.2	51	<10.5	0.8
554556	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	2	20	15	<120	2	<120	<%10	690	65	5	<%	285	111	622	10910	307	10		<%0.2	50	<10.5	<10.5
554557	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	4	105	34	<120	6	<120	180	1200	117	19	34	104	262	2434	22800	224	<12		0.3	193	<10.5	<10.5
554561	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	20	20	10	<120	3	<120	<110	480	38	4	<15	321	102	224	2019	174	7		<10.2	23	<10.5	0.7
554562	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	2	37	12	<120	<%	<120	<%10	760	87	9	11	412	100	1088	11150	517	<12		<10.2	99	<10.5	<10.5
554563	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	8	29	17	<120	3	<120	<%10	1070	75	11	11	306	85	822	9912	350	<12		0.2	76	<%0.5	<10.5
554564	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	4	10	9	<120	1	<120	<%10	210	27	3	<%	338	61	122	1219	68	3		<10.2	9	<10.5	<19.5
554565	F111147	DDH	RB4 PD10ff	11	30	40	<120	<16	<120	<310	110	21	3	6	251	114	521	8982	348	~32	0.6	<10.2	61 E	<10.5	<10.5
554568	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	10	5	19	<120	3	<120	<%10	90	20	2	<\$	186	109	67	568	33	2	<10.5	<10.2	4	<10.5	<10.5
554569	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	10	4	18	<\$20	3	<120	<%10	90	20	2	<%	184	111	65	557	32	<12	<10.5	<%0.2	4	<10.5	<%0.5
554570	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	4	9	12	<120	1	<120	<%10	110	24	2	<5	214	62	129	1050	75	2		<10.2	12	<10.5	<10.5
554571	F111147	DDH	RE3BUTT PD 2	~	21	38	<120	~	<120	<%10	250	26	2	CB 25	275	88	133	2459	171	2		<10.2	20	<10.5	<10.5
554577	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	1	26	11	<120	3	<120	<110	400	56	5	<15	263	71	328	4095	253	9		<10.2	30	<10.5	<10.5
554578	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	1	20	12	<120	1	<120	<%10	360	55	3	<%	211	76	223	2828	137	4		<%0.2	16	<10.5	<10.5
554579	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	11	10	21	<120	3	<120	50	270	37	2	<%	188	127	118	1304	89	3		<%0.2	8	<%0.5	<10.5
554582	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	2	9	15	<120	2	<120	20	520	42	4	<%	130	74	681	8482	696	3		0.2	76	<10.5	<19.5
554583	FTI11147	DDH	KBZ	28	4	211	<320	13	140	30	830	46	2	CB	149	102	903	10670	448	<12	12	<10.2	55	<10.5	1.6
554550	FTJ11J47	DDH		29	3	212	150	48	100	140	210	23	2	<5	106	203	55	196	31	<12	1.4	<10.2	7	<10.5	1.4
554551	FTJ11J47	DDH		30	2	211	140	51	130	110	140	21	2	<%	111	226	28	138	11	<12	<10.5	<%0.2	1	<%0.5	2.1
554552	FTJ11J47	DDH		28	2	221	120	47	120	100	130	20	2	<%	128	203	29	133	8	<12	<10.5	<10.2	1	<10.5	1.6
103857	FHC132	Channel		<16	29	<%	< 10	<16	<190	10	110	62	3	5	370	31	326	2467	170	6		<19.2	30	0.7	<10.5
103858	FHCJ32	Channel		<%	22	<%	<120	<15	<120	<%10	130	61	3	<%	337	29	298	2325	162	<12		<10.2	28	0.6	<10.5
103859	FHCJ32	Channel		<%	30	<%	<120	<%	<120	<%10	190	69	4	5	383	32	368	2843	185	2		<%0.2	38	<%0.5	<10.5
103860	FHCJ32	Channel		<%	20	<%	<120	<%	<120	<%10	80	60	3	<%	277	38	276	2042	117	<12		<10.2	32	<10.5	<19.5
103862	FHCI32	Channel		<16	11	5	<120	<16 - 15	<120	<%10	220	58	2	CB	383	36	210	1827	132	<12		<10.2	25	<10.5	<10.5
103351	FHCJ33	Channel		<%	31	<%	<\$20	<%	<120	<%10	310	67	3	7	340	44	411	3942	690	<12		<%0.2	61	1.7	<10.5
103352	FHCJ33	Channel		<%	15	<%	<120	<%	<120	<%10	330	63	3	<%	294	43	214	2303	169	3		<%10.2	26	1.3	<10.5
103354	FHCJ34	Channel		2	3	<%	<120	<16	<120	<%10	640	58	6	8	250	80	256	13850	116	2		<10.2	36	1.3	<10.5
103355	FHCJ34	Channel		<1	6	5	<120	<%	<120	<%10	740	40	4	<\$	298	90	627	16560	151	<12		<10.2	47	0.5	<19.5
103356	FHCJ35	Channel		<%	5	<%	<120	<%	<120	<%10	260	45	3	<%	69	86	273	3852	103	<12		<10.2	12	1.4	<19.5
10222/	rnciss	channel		- 10	6	1.0	<38U	- 16	< ad	N38U	240	49	3	×.10	119	100	203	2400	142	- 2		530.2	23	1.1	50.5
103358	FHCJ36	Channel		5	5	<%	<120	1	<120	<%10	60	24	2	<%	173	80	60	538	42	<12	1.9	<%0.2	8	1.1	0.7
103359	FHCJ36	Channel		4	4	5	<\$20	2	<120	10	60	22	2	<%	172	80	73	615	42	<12	2.3	<%0.2	8	1.3	0.7
	61.00X						-																		
103360	FHCJ37	Channel		6	4	6	<120	2	<120	10	100	23	2	CB 25	211	/8 66	62	521	40	<12 _12	2.4	<10.2	2	1.2	2.2
100001	meas	channel		2	-	,	=0	-		10	00	2.5	-			00	05	550	55		-		'		3.1
103362	FHCJ38	Channel		6	5	5	<120	1	<120	<%10	80	24	2	<%	202	72	79	623	47	<12	2.2	<%0.2	9	1.4	1.9
103363	FHCJ38	Channel		5	4	<%	<\$20	1	<120	<%10	70	23	2	<%	213	78	67	521	38	<12	1.8	<10.2	7	1.3	2.1
102264	ENC 120	Channel		c	6	7	<180	1	<180	<110	70	27	2	a	211	79	59	565	42	-11	2.1	<10.2		1.2	2.2
103365	FHCI39	Channel		5	4	6	<120	1	<120	<160	90	26	2		198	84	78	549	45	~12	19	<19.2	7	1.2	23
				-		-		-					-												
103366	FHCJ40	Channel		<%	7	<%	<\$20	<%	<120	<%10	230	48	1	<%	210	37	148	1951	102	<12		<%0.2	15	0.8	<10.5
103367	FHCJ40	Channel		<%	10	<%	<120	<%	<120	<%10	230	50	2	<%	231	45	149	2946	103	<12		<10.2	17	0.7	<10.5
508065	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		15	59	123	40	23	40	70	450	37	5	12	211	173	504	4274	395	7		<10.2	71	0.7	0.9
508066	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		5	13	43	<120	8	<120	40	240	36	4	<\$	169	91	307	3154	219	3		<10.2	33	<10.5	<10.5
508067	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		5	9	30	<120	6	<120	30	150	31	4	<%	126	178	167	1771	101	<12		<%0.2	11	<10.5	<10.5
508069	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		4	6	30	<120	4	<120	20	300	36	3	<%	117	144	269	2932	187	<12		<10.2	26	<10.5	<10.5
508055	FHRRC111/02	Channel		c#	109	٥	<180	,	<180	<**0	1040	57	٥	٥	330	221	1522	13220		<9		0.2	190	0.8	<10.5
508056	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		4	105	28	<320	6	<120	20	240	38	4	<15	156	96	205	1926	120			<10.2	18	<10.5	<10.5
508058	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		3	12	20	<120	4	<120	50	340	36	4	6	117	150	278	2527	178	5		<90.2	22	<10.5	<10.5
508059	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		<1	2	12	<120	2	<120	70	380	45	4	5	116	123	537	5604	269	<12		<10.2	37	<10.5	<10.5
508061	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		9	6	65	20	8	20	10	120	26	3	<%	75	146	112	2419	68	7		<10.2	11	<10.5	0.7

Table A3-3:	Lithogeoc	hemical	data	for	the	Road	Belt
	0						

Assay% Number	DDH/Channel% No.	Type%it% Sample	Felsic% Belt%lo.	Ва	Bi	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	ТЪ	Dy	Но	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Та	w	TI	Pb	Th	U
553379	FTJ11J33	DDH		86	0.39	147	321	38.4	153	29	0.86	24	3.6	21.2	4.1	11.4	1.68	10.8	1.7	22.3	3	0.99	0.9	34	18	3.1
553380	FTJ11J33	DDH		86	0.39	142	311	37	143	26.9	0.88	23.6	3.5	20.1	3.8	11.1	1.69	10.5	1.67	22.4	3.1	0.99	1	37	17.9	3.1
553384	FTJ11J33	DDH		104	0.39	598	1250	28.1	593	114	6.11	97.4	3.1 15.8	90.7	3.3	48.9	1.48	47.7	7.39	192	16.9	0.99	0.5	23 50	33.5	8.5
553436	FTJ11J33	DDH		80	0.39	868	1850	211	914	180	9.45	157	26.4	158	30.5	86	13.1	83	12.9	301	27.6	0.99	0.8	46	33.9	11.5
553437	FTJ11J33 FTJ11J33	DDH		324	0.7	1340	2950	349	1510	293	15.5	245	40.2	249	46.1 29.8	128	20.2	126	19.2	334	45.6	0.99	0.7	115	43 54.4	13.9
553440	FTJ11J33	DDH		480	0.39	120	256	29.1	120	26.1	2.14	24.4	4.2	27.8	5.2	14.4	2.15	13.4	1.99	16.4	5.7	0.99	1.5	107	14.2	2.7
553441 553442	FTJ11J33 FTJ11J33	DDH		107	0.6	1220	2710	327	1310	250	13.5 3.84	212	33.1	186 55.4	35.3	96.9 31.9	14.6 4.76	90.3 28.9	13.4	197	37	0.99	1.3	109	52.1 36.2	13.1
553449	FTJ11J33	DDH		513	0.39	186	398	45.6	171	31	1.97	24.7	4.2	24.5	5.2	14.6	2.22	14.3	2.16	26.8	5.7	0.99	1	36	29.4	5.6
553452	FTJ11J33	DDH		320	0.39	911	1880	215	794	143	8.23	116	18.9	107	21	57.3	8.19	51.8	7.62	116	21.2	0.99	0.9	72	114	15.6
553455	FTJ11J33	DDH		158	0.39	308	668	77.1	272	67.4	1.8	64	13.6	86.5	18.9	57.3	8.75	56.7	8.57	160	20.3	0.99	0.7	35	53.5	9.1
553457	FTJ11J33	DDH		99	0.39	204	448	48.9	173	46.4	1.55	51.4	12.7	88.8	20.5	64.2	9.96	64.3	9.78	147	23	0.99	0.6	42	52.3	10.4
553459	FTJ11J33 FTJ11J33	DDH		375	0.39	22.9	50.2	6.35	31.6	7.7	2.52	58.2	12.5	6.9	1.3	45.9	0.6	4/	0.64	4.9	0.8	0.99	0.6	3/	2.4	9.8
553428	FTJ11J33	DDH		418	0.39	24.3	52.9	6.64	27.8	7.1	2.21	6.5	1.1	6.9	1.4	4	0.58	3.6	0.65	5	0.6	0.99	0.4	11	3.5	0.7
553451	FTJ11J33	DDH		282	0.39	49.7	56.5	6.82	28.4	6.1	1.48	6.2	1.5	6.5	1.6	3.9	0.63	4.4	0.83	4.8	1.6	0.99	0.6	13	4.1	1.2
554502	FT111147	DDH		395	<19.4	88.1	184	22.5	91.9	17.4	1 47	15.1	2.5	14.2	2.8	7.6	1 13	7.5	1.28	17	19	<%	0.5	22	14.3	2.4
554503	FTJ11J47	DDH		229	<19.4	118	245	29.5	114	21.8	0.75	17.4	2.9	17.3	3.3	9.3	1.42	9.3	1.48	17.8	2.5	<%	0.6	20	19.6	3.5
554516	FTJ11J47	DDH	PD4	187	<10.4	92.9	199	25.6	101	20.5	2.19	17.2	2.7	16.1	3.1	8.7	1.35	9.3	1.49	21.1	1.8	<%	0.5	20	10.6	1.8
554556	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	78	0.9	775	1770	204	799	163	8.35	134	21.7	126	25.3	69.7	10.8	65	10	240	25.5	<%	0.6	197	32.5	9.3
554557 554560	FTJ11J47 FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4 RB4	249 416	<10.4	3900	8710 2710	974 308	3690 1180	703	35.7	544 180	83.3 28.2	473	92.5 32.7	248 89 5	35.8 13.8	209	30.8	499 201	87.3	3	0.3	111	132	41.6
554561	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	739	<10.4	340	677	75.9	297	58.3	5.41	44.2	6.8	38.9	7.4	20.9	2.97	18.5	2.8	42.2	7.8	2	1.6	80	40.5	5.4
554562	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	107	0.6	1490	3430	384	1500	302	15.5	237	36.2	209	41.5	110	16.3	95.9	14.2	241	42.5	<%	1.3	105	47.4	12.1
554564	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	450	<10.4	185	390	43.2	168	32.4	2.06	25	3.9	22.7	4.4	12.6	1.88	11.8	1.8	27.2	3.6	<%	1.6	48	20.1	4
554565	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB4	110	<10.4	1270	2680	298	1180	215	10.7	159	22.5	129	25	66.3	9.67	58.3	9.1	170	18.6	<%	1	62	65.9	11.1
554568	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	933	<10.4	91.1	192	20.6	79.2	15.5	1.86	12.6	2	11.9	2.4	6.8	1.09	6.8	1.08	13.3	1.9	<%	1	27	19.9	4.6
554569 554570	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	966	<10.4	91.1	187	20.5	77.9	15.6	1.9	12.5	2	11.8	2.5	6.9	1.11	6.8	1.1	13.5	5 1	<%	1.1	26	19.9	4.7
554571	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB%Buff	397	<10.4	184	379	41.5	159	31.3	2.13	24.9	4	24.5	5	14	2.16	13.7	2.1	26.2	5.4	<%	0.9	34	25	5
554576	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	118	<10.4	322	640	69.7	261	53.1	2.62	42	6.9	41.6	8.4	22.9	3.49	21.4	3.45	54.6	9.2	<%	1.4	44	30.6	5.6
554578	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	140	<10.4	330	654	71.6	265	53.5	2.84	44.8	7.1	42.3	8.4	23.2	3.5	22.2	3.4	58.2	7.6	<%	1.5	59	28.5	4.6
554579	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	828	<10.4	137	286	31.7	121	25.7	2.97	21.5	3.5	21.1	4.3	11.8	1.74	11.2	1.71	29.7	4.2	<%	0.9	70	16.9	3.6
554583	FTJ11J47	DDH	RB2	166	<10.4	321	686	74.3	251	84.2	2.3	95.1	23.2	155	34.5	106	16.1	102	15.3	262	29.9	2	0.6	51	63.6	13.9
554549	FTJ11J47	DDH		226	<10.4	16.8	37.7	5.1	23.1	5.6	1.44	5.7	1.1	6.8	1.5	4.3	0.66	4.5	0.73	4	2	2	0.6	16	2.3	0.7
554551	FTJ11J47	DDH		225	<10.4	12.3	29.2	3.72	16.9	4.7	1.40	4.8	0.8	5.3	1.2	3.2	0.49	3.1	0.52	3.2	0.8	<%	0.5	16	1.5	0.6
554552	FTJ11J47	DDH		231	<10.4	13.9	31.3	3.94	17.5	4.6	1.38	4.9	0.8	5.3	1.1	3.2	0.49	3.2	0.55	3.3	1	<%	0.6	17	2.2	0.7
103857	FHCJ32	Channel		122	<10.4	561	1200	129	489	89.5	3	67.7	11.3	64.2	12.5	34.9	5.06	32.5	5.07	58.6	12.1	1	1.2	32	45.7	7.3
103858	FHCI32 FHCI32	Channel		124	<19.4	466	1010	112	433	80.6 93	2.52	62.3 70.4	10.5	59.2 68.8	11.4	31.5	4.51	28.8 35.1	4.48	56.1 65.7	11.8	<% <%	1.2	31	40.5	6.1 7.1
103860	FHCJ32	Channel		159	<10.4	477	1010	111	423	76.4	3.14	58.2	9.4	54.2	10.4	29	4.09	25.9	3.95	47.4	8.2	<%	1	26	35	4.6
103862	FHCJ32 FHCJ32	Channel		185	<19.4 <19.4	343	711 765	75.5	284 304	52 54.2	2.3	40.1 41.2	6.7	40 39.8	7.8	22.8	3.3	21.3 21.5	3.29	50.9 44.8	8.9 9.2	<% <%	1.5	37	33.6	4.5
103351	FHCJ33	Channel		64 51	<10.4	212	451	51.5	185	44.7	1.68	48.6	10.2	70.9	15.6	49.8	7.9	56 25.2	9.62	103	47.7	1	1	117	113	13.7
103354	FHCI34	Channel		275	<10.4	1280	2210	254	974	147	4.5	104	12	59.1	9.7	24.1	3.27	21.8	3.74	349	8.7	<%	0.5	22	25.4	8.3
103355	FHCJ35	Channel		95	<10.4	268	588	68.7	248	54.7	1.64	50.5	10.1	63.2	12.4	34.8	4.68	29.3	4.65	89.3	3.9	<%	0.0	34	48.1	7.9
103357	FHCJ35 FHCJ36	Channel		181 392	<10.4	152 95.4	333 198	38.6	140 83.8	33.2 14.5	0.89	32.5	7.5	51.8 11.9	2.4	32.7	4.68	29.3	4.74	53.9 14.2	8.6 2.6	<% <%	0.5	38 30	36.6 25.4	5 3.5
103359	FHCJ36 FHCJ37	Channel		401 481	<10.4	130 174	267 310	31.9 35.9	120 130	20 19.9	1.67 1.46	15.3 14.9	2.6	14.7 14.5	2.9	8.3 8.2	1.21	8.3 8.1	1.48	16.6 16.2	2.4	<% <%	0.7	23	31.9 24.8	3.8
103361	FHCJ37	Channel		444	<10.4	114	226	26.3	98	16.2	1.14	12.5	2.2	12.5	2.5	7.1	1.04	7.2	1.29	14.2	2.1	<15	0.8	35	24.5	4.3
103363	FHCJ38	Channel		483	<19.4	114	230	27.1	102	17.2	1.26	13.4	2.4	13.7	2.6	7.6	1.12	7.7	1.37	13.9	2.2	<%	0.8	36	25.9	4.4
103364 103365	FHCI39 FHCI39	Channel Channel		452 541	<10.4 <10.4	92 108	184 216	21.8 25.9	82.1 99.3	14.3 17.7	1.02 1.26	11.4 14.5	2 2.6	11.8 15.8	2.3 3.1	6.9 9	1.04 1.31	7.1 8.7	1.28 1.45	15.3 14.4	2.6 3.4	<% <%	0.8	38 35	26.3 22.3	4.4 2.7
103366 103367	FHCJ40 FHCJ40	Channel Channel		92 90	<19.4 <19.4	72.3 140	160 287	18.4 32.6	70.3 120	18.7 27.6	0.6 0.75	18.8 24.2	3.9 4.7	25.9 28.6	5.6 5.9	16.8 17.6	2.62 2.89	17.7 20.7	2.92 3.56	37.5 60	8.6 8.1	<% <%	0.7 0.7	47 54	19.4 20.7	2.9 4.2
508065	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		387	0.8	964	1650	190	664	118	6.81	97.3	15.2	89	17.5	47.7	6.69	42.6	6.29	80.3	16.2	<%	0.9	158	295	20.7
508066 508067	FHRBCJ11J01 FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		393 438	<18.4 <18.4	504 257	945 485	108 56.8	382	75.7 43.8	4.14 2.35	60 37.4	10.2	59.8 35.1	12.1 6.8	33.9 18.4	4.55 2.44	29.4 14.9	4.35	66.8 36.9	12.3	<% <%	0.8	62 50	63.4 27.2	7.3
508069	FHRBCJ11J01	Channel		453	<10.4	323	698	74.8	267	60	2.24	54.6	9.3	56.5	11	28.7	3.93	23	3.38	65.3	10.8	<%	0.5	48	43.2	5.1
508055	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		123	1.1	2820	4680	541	1950	372	18.6	291	45.9	259	51.3	137	18.7	121	18.2	236	44.7	1	1.1	354	518	44.8
508056	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel Channel		344	<10.4	274	518 75P	60.2	213	45.6	2.57	37.1	6.6	39.3	7.9	21.9	3.15	21.2	3.03	41.6	7.4	<%	0.7	63	32.6	4
508058	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		307	<10.4	846	1680	188	653	138	4.47	124	20.5	119	21.4	55.5	7.28	41.5	5.71	113	16.2	<%	0.5	54	106	9.4
508061	FHRBCJ11J02	Channel		330	<99.4	123	263	29.3	105	25.3	1.26	22.4	4.1	25.9	4.8	13.4	1.99	13.3	2.02	54.5	4.7	<%	0.3	34	19.4	3.3