### GENDER STEREOTYPES IN PERCEIVED ATTITUDES

CENTRE FOR NEWFOUNDLAND STUDIES

# TOTAL OF 10 PAGES ONLY MAY BE XEROXED

(Without Author's Permission)

AMANDA PARRIAG







### Gender Stereotypes in Perceived Attitudes

#### by

### @Amanda Parriag

A thesis submitted to the School of Graduate
Studies in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Department of Psychology Memorial University of Newfoundland April 1995



Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services Branch 395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A ON4 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Direction des acquisitions et des services bibliographiques 395, rue Wellington Ottawa (Ontario) K1A ON4

Your life Votre rélérence

Our like Notice of Grance

The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission. L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-612-01900-4

Canada

#### Abstract

Previous research by Button, Grant, Hannah, & Ross (1993) has established the existence of two dimensions underlying perceived attitudes: liberalism - conservativism and traditionalism - radicalism. The present study assessed perceptions of others' attitudes in relation to gender stereotypes and these dimensions. In the preliminary phase, dimension positions for 330 statements were determined by having subjects rate the relative liberalism and radicalism of the people who endorsed the statements. These subjects also indicated their own endorsement of the attitude items. In the second phase, the same statements were given to different subjects who indicated how much male and female targets would agree or disagree with the statements. Similar attitudes were observed for both males and females, as they were both generally expected to agree with less radical attitude statements, and more liberal attitude statements. The results also suggested that male subjects demonstrated stronger agreement with more liberal and less radical statements. As well, it was found that men and women have gender stereotyped perceived attitudes on both the liberal-conservative and the traditional-radical dimensions.

#### Acknowledgements

There were maladles so strange that one had to pass through them if one sought to understand their nature. And, yet, what a great reward one received! How wonderful the whole world seemed to one!

#### - Oscar Wilde

This thesis was the culmination of months of frustration, self-doubt and enlightenment. As I could not have finished it alone, it represents the collective efforts of many individuals other than myself. I would like to thank Dr. Al Kozma for his constructive help and advice. Thanks to Dr. Loraleigh Keashly, who assisted me through the process. I can't forget Tony Simmonds and Dr. Hank Davis who offered invaluable moral support.

This thesis would not have been completed without the help and support of friends and family. Thanks to my family, who hung in with me through the whole ordeal - Rod, Beulah, Natasha, Larissa and Tonya Parriaq, Donna and Jerry. Todd Morrison - I don't know how you stayed awake during those marathon revision sessions! Thanks to friends who read my drafts at 2 a.m., took me out for crisis intervention coffees, listened to my gripes and suggested new wrinkle creams - Jill, Peter, Jimackey, Susan, Kim, Jill T., Patrick and The Whits, Lise, Ken and Harold.

#### Table of Contents

Abstr	cact							•	•	•															. ii
Ackno	wled	gen	ner	nts																					iii
Table	of	Cor	ite	ent	s																				iv
Table	es .																								vi
Intro	duct	ior	1																						. 1
Metho	od .																	:							8
	Subj	ect	s																						8
	Mate	ria	als	3																					8
	Proc	edı	ire	9																					8
		it tr	ac	ns lit	2	ona	lih al-	ra	ess	l-d ica	or al	· ge	enc	e le i		ve	·				s i				9
		at	ti	tu	ide	es	e:	·C	ept		ons		·	01	:he	ers									11
Resul	lts .																								12
	Phas	e 1	L																						13
		te	ern	ns	01	E '	"1:	be	era	11-	-00	ons	sei	ve	ati	ive	"	aı	nd		in				13
		Ge	enc	lei	ide	di	ffe	ere	eno	e:		in •	sı.	ıb:	jeo	cts		01	wn						15
		SI	ib:	ec	ts	3'	ac	tt	ıa!	La	att	iit	uc	ies	3 8	ano	1 1	the	9 (	dir	of mer	ns.	ioi	n .	16
	Phas	•																							19
		Ar	nal	ys	iis	3 1	to	de	ete	eri	nir	ne	ge	enc	iei	r 8	ste	ere	eof	tvi	pe:	3			19
																									20
		Fa	mi	115	, ,	za:	Lue	es																	20

	Hor	nos	ехι	ıal	lit	У							٠	٠			•		•	٠			2	2
	Se:	× .						٠		•			•	×									2	5
	Vic	ole	nce	e a	anc	i	abu	ıse	9				•	٠	٠	×	•		•		•	٠	2	6
	Le	gal	S	yst	en	a.		٠															2	8
		mma:																			÷		3	1
	to	de th	te	rmi	ine	1	the	2 5	ti	ere	201	tyr	oic	2 1	nat	uı	e:e						3	2
		oje sit:																					3	2
		edia																		ter	ns		3	4
	Rel att	lat: titu	lor ide	nsh es ns	by of	t	et ger the	we de	er	it	inc	oje i t	he	er	and dir	i t	ar isi	or ·	et		•		3	4
Discussion	n .																				•		3	9
References	s .									٠						÷	•		٠	٠	•		4	7
Footnotes			٠		•		•			ŀ										•			. 5	5
Appendix A agreement values - A subjects	Rat	rat	ıs,	d	lim	er	nsi ven	on	y	bo	ir	ngs	al	inc	1 1	el	ev	ma	it	F			5	
Appendix E																•	•			•		•	3	ь
and Classi	fic	cati	on	0	f	th	ie	At	ti	tu	de	e I	te	ms	eı	·	·					•	16	7
Appendix O perceived values for	att	itu	ide	nt	of	at	al	e qs	ar	nd	fe	he	le	in	an	ge	ts	,						
positions	of	ite	ems	3			•		•	٠	٠	•	٠	•		٠	•	•					17	J

### List of Tables

PAGE

Table 1.	Correlations and Partial Correlations Between the Actual Attitudes of Male and Female Subjects and the Liberalism and Radicalism Scores of the Attitude Items
Table 2.	Examples of Statements Concerning Feminist Issues, Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Expected Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F Values
Table 3.	Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Family Values, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Expected Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F values 23
Table 4.	Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Homosexuality, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Expected Endorsement and Relevant F Values 24
Table 5.	Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Sex, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Expected Endorsement and Relevant F Values
Table 6.	Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Violence and Abuse, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Expected Endorsement and Relevant F Values
Table 7.	Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with the Legal System, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Expected Fordersement and Paleyant F. Values 30

#### Introduction

Research suggests that an individual's perception of the attitudes of others can affect his or her subsequent behaviour (Oskamp, 1977). For example, assumptions about others' attitudes influence behaviour in conformity situations (Eagly, Wood, & Fishbaugh, 1981), couple relationships (Simpson, Gangestead, & Lerma, 1990) and minority/majority situations (Mackie, Gastardo, & Skelly, 1992). Despite awareness of the influence of others' attitudes, little is known about how these attitudes are perceived and judged.

Messick (1956) was one of the first to investigate differences in perceived attitudes. In order to determine whether perceived attitudes could be adequately represented in dimensional terms, a theological seminary class and an Air Force officer candidate class (two groups assumed to have widely discrepant attitudes) were asked to rate attitude statements using Thurstone scales. These statements covered the topics of war, capital punishment and the treatment of criminals. The results, analyzed through multidimensional scaling, suggested that there was a two dimensional structure to perceived attitudes on these topics; one focusing on war and the other on punishment.

Nelson (1974) conducted an investigation of perceived attitudes among introductory psychology students. Multidimensional scaling showed a three dimensional configuration for attitudes about a large number of topics, including divorce, birth control, patriotism, sex and the existence of God. Two of the dimensions were labelled liberal-conservativism and moderate-extremism, while a third dimension was deemed uninterpretable.

Recently, Button, Grant, Hannah, & Ross (1993) conducted a multidimensional scaling study of attitude perceptions using a wide variety of issues and statements. The results suggested that there were two dimensions underlying perceived attitudes: liberal-conservativism and traditional-radicalism. The former dimension reflected liberal and conventional issues as diverse as the industriousness of the unemployed and the threat of nuclear weapons to humanity. The latter delineated traditional and moral concerns such as the irrelevance of voting to a country's political future and ethical issues surrounding the use of the death penalty. A subsequent study found that males and females were in agreement concerning the liberalism or traditionalism of the attitude statements. However, females were more likely to endorse statements on the traditional end of the dimension and assign higher importance ratings to those issues. In addition, females took longer to make their judgements (Grant, Hannah, Ross, & Button, 1993).

These results suggest that gender may be an important variable in our perceptions of others' attitudes. It is likely that we see others' attitudes in gender stereotypic

ways, particularly in the absence of other information. For example, a nurturing attitude is presumed to be more typical of women than men even when there is no other information about women present (Broverman, Vogel, Broverman, Clarkson, & Rosenkrantz, 1972). The prevalence of gender stereotypes of traits and personality attributes is well documented (Barak, Feldman, & Nov, 1991; Bem, 1981b; Branscombe, Crosby, & Weir, 1993; Coutts, 1987; Craig, 1992; Eagly et al., 1981; Fisher & Hall, 1988; Gervasio & Crawford, 1989; Graham, Gentry & Green, 1981; Jackson, Hansen, Hansen, & Sullivan, 1993; Komarovsky & Mayer, 1984; Matlin, 1993; McHale, Bartko, Crowter, & Penny-Jenkins, 1990; Paludi, 1984; Stark, 1991). Since perceptions of the traits and personality characteristics of individuals are genderstereotyped, it is probable that perceptions of others' attitudes are similarly stereotyped. However, the author does not know of any recent studies which have investigated gender-stereotypes in perceived attitudes. A body of related literature addressing the relationship between perceived attitudes and gender stereotyping is reviewed in the next section.

Parents actively socialize their children into genderstereotypic roles, such that children develop stereotyped traits and perceptions (Condry, Condry, & Pogatshnik, 1983; Pomerleau, Bolduc, Malcuit, & Cassette, 1990). For example, as children become older, they are often assigned

.

stereotypical chores (McHale et al., 1990). This presents gender as a dichotomous and often antagonistic construct. Stereotyped gender roles and behaviours are also encouraged through more indirect means. Children are greatly influenced by the degree to which their mother's occupation is gender stereotyped (Barak et al., 1991). Daughters are more likely to desire their mother's occupation when the occupation is stereotyped as female while sons are more likely to desire the mother's occupation when the occupation is less stereotyped as female. Gender differences leading to stereotyped roles are emphasized early in a person's life, and may increase throughout adolescence (McHale et al., 1990).

Gender stereotypes are also prevalent in interpersonal relationships. For example, research suggests that female employees with masculine characteristics are evaluated as more promotable than female employees with female characteristics (Hartman, Griffith, Crino, & Harris, 1991). Similarly, women are often stereotyped as depressed, a negatively valued state (Landrine, 1988). People also tend to view masculine and feminine traits as stereotypically "opposite"; that is, if one is low on masculine traits then one will be high on feminine traits (Deaux & Lewis, 1984).

In the absence of other information, gender stereotypes in personality characteristics are influential in shaping perceptions of others. (Jackson et al., 1993; Swim, 1992). In fact, gender stereotypes can be so powerful that individuals may feel that they have to conform to them in order to be socially accepted (Davidson, 1981). Stoppard and Gunn Gruchy (1993) have taken social acceptability of gender stereotypes one step further by proposing that there are different social consequences associated with stereotypic behaviour for men and women. They suggest that women in particular are expected to express positive emotion toward others, rather than toward themselves. Women seem to expect different social consequences than men, depending on the type and direction of the emotion being displayed in the social situation.

It is questionable whether such stereotypes are accurate gender representations. Judd, Ryan and Park (1992) and Judd and Park (1993) focused on the types of inaccuracies in stereotypes and the possible ways to assess these inaccuracies. They proposed three types of inaccuracies: stereotypic inaccuracy (when the group is seen as more or less stereotypic than it actually is); valence inaccuracy (when the group is seen as more or less positive than it actually is); and dispersion inaccuracy (when the group is seen as more or less variable than it actually may be). They found that assessment of stereotype accuracy is very difficult because it requires a criterion with which to compare stereotyped judgments. To establish this criterion, Judd et al., (1992) recommended using a full accuracy

design, where judgements are made on both negative and positive attributes and on both stereotypic and counterstereotypic attributes by members of the groups being evaluated.

Swim (1994) examined gender stereotype accuracy by comparing perceived gender differences with those differences documented through meta-analysis in the literature. The effect size for a variety of characteristics was taken as the criterion against which the perceived or estimated effect size given by the subjects was compared. Results suggested that on average gender stereotypes were more likely to be underestimated or accurately perceived, rather than overestimated. Also, self-reported perceptions of the sizes of gender differences were found to be more accurate than calculating the size of the perceived differences using meta-analytic standards. This study has relevance for the current study on stereotyped perceived attitudes, as no documented stereotypes for perceived attitudes exist in the literature. If these gender stereotypes can be obtained, then future research could utilize Swim's (1994) criterion method in the investigation of gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes.

In summary, research indicates that there are myriad gender stereotypic traits. As well, both men and women have a tendency to either underestimate or accurately identify their gender stereotypes (Judd & Park, 1993; Swim, 1994). At present, it is unknown whether there are gender stereotypes in the perceived attitudes of others.

On the basis of this review, it may be concluded that there are gender differences in attitudes and there should, therefore, be gender differences in perceived attitudes. However, the direction of such gender differences is not clear at this time and will be the main focus of this study.

This study is designed to investigate whether people perceive others' attitudes in gender stereotypic terms. Specifically, the study will determine if males and females are perceived as having different attitudes and if so, whether these perceived attitudes relate to the general dimensions outlined by Button et al., (1993).

There are four main issues encompassed in the general investigation into gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes. First, what are subjects' own attitudes and do they differ by gender? Furthermore, are subjects' own attitudes related to the underlying liberal-conservative and traditional-radical dimensions? Second, are there gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes? Third, do these gender stereotypes relate to the underlying dimensions? Finally, are there gender differences in the perceived attitudes?

#### Method

#### Subjects

Sixty Memorial University students were tested in Phase 1 and 120 were tested in Phase 2, with equal numbers of males and females in each phase. Subjects were paid for their participation (\$4.75 an hour).

#### Materials

Three hundred and thirty attitude statements, most used previously by Grant et al. (1993), Button et al. (1993) and some taken from Fisher & Hall, (1988) and Herek (1990), were used. Personal computers presented the instructions and stimulus materials and recorded the subjects' responses. A complete list of the attitude statements is provided in Appendix A.

#### Procedure

Data from phases 1 and 2 were gathered as part of a larger experiment. To increase external validity, experimental sessions were carried out at different times of the day. As subjects came in for a session, they were told, "You are going to take part in a study on attitudes. Please follow the instructions on the screen." Subjects were then asked to sit at computer terminals to perform the experiment.

Phase 1 - A preliminary step to rate items as liberalconservative or traditional-radical. This phase was a
preliminary step, necessary to obtain liberal-conservative
and traditional-radical ratings of a large, diverse pool of
items to be subsequently used in Phase 2. These items were
randomly divided into three sets of 110 items each. To
eliminate order and practice effects, the presentation of
the items within each set was randomized. In order to be
consistent with Grant et al., 1993, no prior definitions of
dimension endooints were given.

Subjects received two practice trials to ensure that they understood the procedure. The practice trials were performed in the same manner as the experimental trials discussed in the following section. After an opportunity to ask questions, the experiment began. The 20 male and 20 female subjects indicated their agreement or disagreement with the 110 statements on a seven point scale, where one was "strongly agree" and seven was "strongly disagree."
When the subject responded, the scale number they chose was circled. One and a half seconds later, the statement was replaced with another and the circle disappeared.

After supplying their own agreement ratings to the 110 statements, the same subjects provided a response representing the attitudes they felt other people would provide to the same 110 items. Ten of the males and females in each set of 20 subjects, chosen at random, were presented

with each of the same 110 statements attributed to someone else who agreed with the statements. The remaining ten males and females were presented with each of the 110 statements attributed to someone who disagreed with the statements. The subjects were asked to read the upcoming statements, note whether agreement or disagreement with the statements was indicated, and imagine the type of person who held those attitudes. Subjects then rated the hypothetical people on each of two seven point scales, the liberal-conservative and the traditional-radical scales. The scales had endpoints, 1 - "very conservative" and 7 - "very liberal", and 1 - "very traditional" and 7 - "very radical", respectively. Subjects were asked to respond as quickly as possible to the statements, but to avoid errors as it was not possible to return and correct an answer.

All subjects produced ratings on the liberalconservative and the traditional-radical scales, but the order in which they were asked to provide these ratings was counterbalanced across subjects. This was done to control for reduced variability and the response bias of acquiescence. Phase 2 - Assessing gender stereotypes in people's perceptions of others' attitudes. Phase 2 was designed to determine whether gender stereotypes operate in peoples' perceptions of the attitudes of others. Attitude statements and the general testing procedure from the first phase were used again with another group of sixty (30 male and 30 female) subjects.

Subjects were told that the experimenter was interested in their impressions of other people. The subjects read 110 attitude statements (randomly allotted to them) and then rated each one to reflect the attitudes of the "typical male" and the attitudes of the "typical female". For example, subjects were presented with the statement, "The death penalty is necessary," and asked how the typical male would rate the statement on the liberal-conservative and traditional-radical scales. After rating all 110 statements in this way, the subjects were asked to rate the same 110 statements, including "The death penalty is necessary" as the typical female would rate them on the liberalconservative and traditional-radical scales. The order in which the subjects were asked to rate the items as the "typical male " and "typical female" was counterbalanced. The computer recorded the gender of the subject and the actual rating given to each item. After completing the experiment, each participant was paid and debriefed.

#### Results

There were four objectives of these analyses, tailored to answer the four questions raised in the introduction. A series of analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were performed to examine subjects' actual attitudes and the gender differences in these attitudes'. Correlations and partial correlations were subsequently used to further examine the relationship of these actual attitudes to the liberal-conservative and traditional-radical dimensions.

A second set of analyses determined the gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes through repeated measures ANOVAs.

Correlational analyses determined whether gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes were related to the liberal-conservative and traditional-radical dimensions.

A final series of correlations and partial correlations examined the relationship between male and female subject attitudes, male and female target attitudes, and the dimension positions of the attitude items. These analyses focused on the gender differences between subjects in their predictions of target attitudes.

#### Phase 1

Categorization of the attitude items in terms of

"liberal-conservative" and "traditional-radical" difference
scores. In order to address the four objectives of the
analysis, it was necessary to create an index to categorize
each attitude item in terms of its relative liberalism or
conservativism and traditionalism or radicalism.

Recall that some subjects rated the items when they were presented as having targets <u>agree</u> with them (see section 2 of Appendix A on page 56 for these ratings), while other subjects rated the same items presented as having some target individual <u>disagree</u> with them (see section 3 of Appendix A on page 56 for these ratings). Presentation of the items in these two different ways produced attitudes from opposite ends of the spectrum, such that a very precise measure of the attitudes was created when one looked at the convergence of the two sets of attitudes.

A series of 2 x 2 ANOVAs (gender by target agreement-disagreement) were performed on the liberal-conservative and traditional-radical ratings outlined above. Thus, as in Bargh, Chaiken, Govender & Pratto (1992), the item was the unit of analysis. (See sections 2 and 3 of Appendix A for F values from these analyses).

Previous research has determined that each dimension has bipolar properties (Button et al., 1993). Under this premise, the difference between the ratings for agreement

and disagreement with each item was calculated to create a difference score (or index) for male and female subjects on both the liberal-conservative and the traditional-radical scales. The difference between the average rating on a dimension where someone was believed to agree with the item. and the average rating when someone was believed to disagree with the same item, produced either a liberal-conservative (L-C) or a traditional-radical (T-R) difference score. item with a difference score significantly greater than zero along the liberal-conservative dimension was classified as a liberal item and was considered to have a high L-C score. Conversely, an item with a difference score significantly less than zero was classified as conservative and was considered to have a low L-C score. In the same way, an item with a difference score significantly greater than zero along the traditional-radical dimension was considered to have a high T-R score and classified as a radical item. Finally, an item with a difference score significantly less than zero along the same dimension was seen as traditional. Examples of difference score calculations and classification of attitude items are presented in Appendix B.

Gender differences in subjects' own attitudes.

Subject agreement ratings for the attitude statements in Phase 1 were analyzed in a series of one-way ANOVAs to identify gender differences in subjects' own attitudes.

Males and females differed significantly on 43 of the 330 items (see section 1 of Appendix A). Of these, male subjects had stronger agreement for 19 radical statements and 18 liberal statements, while female subjects had stronger agreement with 15 radical statements and 17 liberal statements.

Further examination of the items showed that the statements on which females were more radical than males dealt mainly with the endorsement of feminism and homosexuality. Statements on which males were more radical than females dealt with such topics as the right to commit suicide, and the promotion of drugs, erotica and pornography. Females tended to be more liberal than males regarding the endorsement of feminism, and the positive perception of homosexuals. Males, on the other hand, tended to be more liberal than females when it came to legalization of drugs and prostitution, and promotion of erotica and public nudity. Thus, while males and females endorsed a similar number of liberal and radical items, male and female subjects differed in the content of the liberal and radical items they chose to endorse.

Relationship between the entire pool of subjects' actual attitudes and the dimension positions of these attitude items. Previous research (Button et al., 1993; Grant et al., 1993) suggests that the traditional-radical and liberal-conservative dimensions are intercorrelated. Therefore, individuals who strongly endorse liberal items should also strongly endorse radical items, a result which would tend to obscure the effect of one dimension in a correlation with an attitude score. In this study, the correlation between the liberal-conservative and the traditional-radical dimensions was r = 0.875, p<.01. To control for the confounding influence of the relationship between these dimensions, partial correlations were computed. In this way, the effect of one dimension on the variable in question could be examined without confounding influences from the other dimension.

Correlations were calculated between the subjects' own attitudes and the liberalism and radicalism scores. The correlations between liberalism scores and actual attitudes ( $\underline{r} = 0.410$ ,  $\underline{r} = 0.366$ ,  $\underline{p} < .05$  for males and females respectively), and radicalism scores and actual attitudes ( $\underline{r} = 0.183$ ,  $\underline{r} = 0.149$ ,  $\underline{p} < .05$  for males and females respectively) were positive and significant (see Table 1). The correlation between subjects' attitudes and radicalism scores was expected to be negative as previous research indicated that subjects did not strongly endorse radical

items (Button et al., 1993).

The partial correlations between the radicalism scores and subjects' attitudes across gender were negative and significant (see Table 1). Therefore, items rated as increasingly radical were less likely to be endorsed by men and women. The partial correlations between liberalism scores and subjects' attitudes across gender were positive and significant (see Table 1). Thus, the more liberal the item, the more likely it was that males and females would agree with it.

In summary, the test of significance for partial correlations did not reveal a significant gender difference. The actual attitudes of male and female subjects in relation to either the liberal-conservative scale or the traditional-radical scale did not differ. It should be noted, however, that these partial correlations are not entirely independent, as they represent differences across items and not across subjects.

Table 1

## Correlations and Partial Correlations Between the Actual Attitudes of Male and Female Subjects and the Liberalism and Radicalism Scores of the Attitude Items

DIMENSION	ACTUAL ATTITUDES							
	MALE	FEMALE						
ITEM LIBERALISM	0.410**	0.366**						
SCORE	0.525**	0.493**						
ITEM	0.183**	0.149**						
RADICALISM SCORE	-0.397**	-0.381**						

#### Note.

Bold Type = Correlation

Normal Type =

Partial correlation with the correlation between the Liberal-Conservative and Traditional-Radical dimensions partialed out

p<.01

#### Phase 2

Analysis to determine whether stereotypes in perceived attitudes. To determine whether stereotypes exist in perceived attitudes of others, a series of 2 x 2 repeated measures ANOVAs were conducted. Again, the item was the unit of analysis. (A detailed presentation of the items and pertinent statistical data are presented in Appendix C).

There was a significant main effect for sex of target in 178 of the 330 items. The results therefore indicate there are stereotypes about other's attitudes based on gender.<sup>2</sup>

These significant items were examined in a thematic analysis. They were inspected under standard protocols and common themes were extracted until distinct categories were apparent. The six resulting categories were: feminism, family values, homosexuality, sex, violence and abuse, and the legal system. The gender differences found in each of these categories and the relationship between the respective items and the liberal-conservative and the traditional-radical dimensions are outlined in the following section.

<u>Feminism</u>. Thirteen of the 178 items encompassed feminist issues such as employment equity and child custody laws. Subjects perceived females to endorse statements supporting feminist issues; males were not perceived to support these statements.

Results indicated that women were seen as consistently more liberal and more radical than men on their endorsement of statements supporting feminist issues. Conversely, men were seen as more traditional and conservative than women in their endorsement of statements which were anti-feminist (examples of these items, their dimension positions and endorsement by gender are presented in Table 2).

<u>Family values</u>. Fifteen of the 178 significant items for sex of target dealt with family values. The items included issues such as the importance of childcare, and marriage and the family. There seemed to be no discernable pattern when gender was related to the issues encompassed by these items.

Table 2

# Examples of Statements Concerning Feminist Issues, Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Perceived Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F Values

STATEMENT	DP	PERCEIVED MALE	PERCEIVED FEMALE	F VALUE
I have little interest in feminist issues.	C,T	5.80	3.70	25.28**
Most feminists hate men.	C,T	5.30	2.95	36.75**
Sexism is often taken too seriously by feminists.	T	6.10	4.55	17.13**
The women's liberation movement deserves strong support.	L,R	3.00	5.20	49.22**
Women deserve the same rights that men have.	L,R	5.25	6.85	19.36**
Women would be better off without men.	R	2.15	3.15	8.74**
All women should be feminists.	R	1.90	2.90	10.06**
Women have the same access to occupations that men do.	NDP	4.35	3.10	7.85*

#### Note.

PERCEIVED MALE	-	subject rating to predict the response of a materies to the attitude item, on a scale of $1$ -
PERCEIVED FEMALE	-	subject rating to predict the response of a female target to the attitude item, on a scale of 1 - $7$
DP		dimension position
NDP	-	no dimension position
L,C,T,R,	-	liberal, conservative, traditional, radical
*	-	significant at p<.05
**	=	significant at p<.01

As well, there was no discernable relationship between perceived endorsement for male and female targets and the dimension positions of the attitude items. It seems as though the subject area of family values is a large and consistently represented subject area in the data without a consistent pattern of responses associated with it. (see Table 3 for examples of these items, their dimension positions and the perceived endorsement by gender).

<u>Homosexuality</u>. Fifteen of 178 attitude items dealt with homosexuality. A variety of issues were explored including the general acceptance of homosexuals in society and the rights of homosexuals in the workplace. Generally, males were perceived to be less accepting than females of homosexuality.

When gender differences were examined in conjunction with the dimension position of the statements, males were perceived as being more conservative and traditional than females, while females were seen as more liberal and radical than males. (see Table 4 for examples of these attitude items, the perceived endorsement by gender, F values and the dimension position of the items).

Table 3

Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Family Values, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Perceived Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F Values

STATEMENTS	DP MALE	PERCEIVED FEMALE	PERCEIVED VALUE	r
The mother should stay home with the baby.	C,T	4.45	2.20	22.31**
Traditional values are important guiding principles in my life.	C, T	3.60	4.90	12.32**
A woman's place is in the home.	C, T	4.35	1.70	27.10**
An insult to your honour should not be forgotten.	T	5.15	4.10	14.75**
Having children is (has been/will be) an important part of my life.	т	4.80	6.15	12.13**
Marriage is outdated.	L, R	3.70	1.95	19.24*
I have little respect for authority.	L, R	3.55	2.45	6.62*
A career is as important for a woman as for a man.	L	4.25	6.65	36.00*

PERCEIVED MALE	-	subject rating to predict the response of a male target to the attitude item, on a scale of 1 -
PERCEIVED FEMALE		subject rating to predict the response of a female target to the attitude item, on a scale of 1 - $7$
DP	=	dimension position
NDP	-	no dimension position
L,C,T,R,	-	liberal, conservative, traditional, radical
	=	significant at p<.05
**	=	significant at p<.01

Table 4

Examples of Statements Concerning Issues Dealing with Homosexuality, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Perceived Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F Values

STATEMENTS	DP	PERCEIVED MALE	PERCEIVED FEMALE	F VALUE
If a homosexual propositioned me, I would be within my rights to physically attack that person.	с	5.55	2.50	56.86**
Homosexuals should not be permitted to teach children	C,T	4.75	3.35	10.08**
Sexual abuse is a symptom of the increased prevalence of homosexuality.	C,T	4.10	3.20	5.30*
Homosexuals are genetically defective.	C,T	4.20	2.75	11.38**
Homosexuality should be accepted.	L,R	3.10	5.35	42.09**
Homosexuals contribute positively to society.	L,R	2.80	4.10	9.33**
I could continue to be a friend with someone who admitted to being homosexual.	L,R	3.85	5.65	12.49**

Note. PERCEIVED MALE subject rating to predict the response of a male target to the attitude item, on a scale of 1 - 7 PERCEIVED FEMALE = subject rating to predict the response of a female target to the attitude item, on a scale of 1 - 7 DP dimension position MDD no dimension position L,C,T,R, liberal, conservative, traditional, radical significant at p<.05 \*\* significant at p<.01

Sex. Of the 178 attitude items, 38 dealt with different aspects of sex. These items examined a variety of topics including prostitution, pornography, and the frequency and durution of sexual activity. An analysis of the items showed that males were unanimously perceived to endorse promiscuous sexual behaviour, irrespective of its legality. Males were also perceived to endorse pornography, premarital sex, erotica and sexual aggressiveness in women. Females were perceived to hold attitudes antithetical to those held by men. Females were also perceived to support statements involving transsexualism and bisexuality, while males were perceived not to endorse these statements.

An analysis of the items dealing with sex showed that the majority, 25, were both conservative and traditional. Examining gender differences on the dimensions showed that women were seen as more likely to endorse conservative and traditional items, while men were more likely to endorse liberal and radical items. This held true with the exception of the items concerning bisexuality and transvestites, where men were seen as more conservative and traditional than women in their endorsement. This leads one to conclude that overall, men are seen as more liberal and radical in their endorsement of items related to sex and promiscuity in sexual behaviour. However, in unconventional sexual behaviour such as bisexuality and homosexuality, women are perceived to be more liberal and radical (see

Table 5 for examples of items dealing with sex, along with perceived ratings for males and females, F values and dimension positions of the statements).

Violence and abuse. Twenty-eight of the 178 significant items were focused on various aspects of violence and abuse. These included sexual harassment, domestic violence, sexual abuse, child abuse and sexual assault. Although neither men nor women were perceived to support statements advocating violence and abuse, it was noteworthy that women were perceived to endorse these statements much less than were men. When the statements centered around violence against women, women were perceived to support statements denouncing this violence much more than men.

The statements were classified in terms of their respective liberalism, conservativism, traditionalism, and radicalism, using L-C and T-R scores. There was no pattern to the dimension positions attributed to the statements until analyzed in relation to gender differences. Women were seen as both more radical and more liberal than men in their support of statements against all types of violence and abuse toward women. Men were seen as more conservative and traditional in their endorsement of these statements. However, women were seen as more conservative and

Table 5

Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Sex, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Perceived Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F Values

STATEMENTS		DP		PERCEIVED	PERCE	IVED F
			MALE	FEMA	LE.	VALUE
Using "sex toys" during lovemaking abnormal.	is	C,T		2.95	4.05	7.41*
Premarital sex happens too easil and too often.	У	C,T		3.05	5.40	43.13**
Premarital sexual intercourse is im		C,T		1.40	2.60	12.23**
Transvestites who in public should arrested.		C,T		4.70	3.05	20.38**
Bisexuality is a mental imbalance.	sign of	C, T		4.90	2.90	24.08**
Video rental outl ought to carry a selection of erot	greate	L,R		4.90	2.65	30.12**
I enjoy nudity in magazines or movi		L, R		5.95	2.95	60.00**
Prostitution shou run like a legiti business.		L,R		4.50	1.80	70.55**
Women should be m sexually assertive		L,R		5.35	4.40	4.86*
Note.						
PERCEIVED MALE	-	subject rat	ting to	predict the itude item,	responding on a se	nse of a male cale of 1 - 7
PERCEIVED FEMALE		subject rate female tare of 1 - 7	ting to get to	predict the	respon	nse of a on a scale
DP	=	dimension p	positio	n		
NDP	=	no dimensi	on posi	tion		

significant at p<.01

liberal, conservative, traditional, radical

significant at p<.05

L,C,T,R,

traditional than men in their endorsement of items dealing with violence in the media (See Table 6 for examples of the items dealing with violence and abuse, the relevant endorsement values, F values and dimension positions for the items).

Legal system. Fifteen items dealt with issues pertaining to the legal system. These included drinking and driving, the death penalty, drugs, the insanity defense and criminal rights. Generally, males were perceived to support illegal activities such as drug usage and drinking and driving more than women. As well, men were perceived to endorse statements dealing with the death penalty more strongly than women.

When analyzed in terms of dimension positions, it was found that women were perceived as generally more conservative and traditional than men with respect to criminal rights and drug usage. Men were also seen as more liberal and radical than women with reference to drug usage. (See Table 7 for examples of items dealing with the legal system, the relevant endorsement values predicted for males and females, the dimension position of the statements and the relevant F values for the statements).

Table 6

Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with Violence and Abuse, the Dimension Positions Attribute the Statements, Perceived Endorsement and Relevant F Values

STATEMENTS  Nowadays, men run a serious risk of being unjustly accused of sexual assault.	<b>DP</b> NDP	PERCEIVED MALE 6.00	PERCEIVED FEMALE 4.10	F VALUE 25.58**
The frequency of wife battering is exaggerated.	С	3.90	2.05	16.19**
Violent rock videos are harmful to children	С,Т	3.90	5.55	12.88**
The way a woman dresses has a lot to do with he being sexually assaulte	r	4.60	2.10	27.37**
A battered wife ought to stay in the marriage and make the best of it.	C,T	3.45	1.80	18.67**
The frequency of sexual assault is increasing in our society.	L	4.75	6.05	18.33**
Jail terms should be imposed on men who beat their wives.	L	4.85	6.25	9.67*
Domestic violence is not treated seriously enough by the legal system.	L	4.15	5.80	10.60**
Note. PERCEIVED MALE =	subject rat	ing to predi	ct the respo	onse of a male
PERCEIVED FEMALE =	subject rat female targ of 1 - 7	ing to predi get to the at	ct the responding	onse of a on a scale
DP =	dimension p	osition		
NDP =	no dimensio	on position		

significant at p<.01 29

significant at p<.05

liberal, conservative, traditional, radical

L.C.T.R.

..

Table 7

Examples of Statements Concerning Issues dealing with the Legal System, the Dimension Positions Attributed to the Statements, Perceived Endorsement by Gender and Relevant F Values

STATEMENTS A person who has		DP C,T	PERCEIVED MALE 2.80	PERCEIVED FEMALE 4.10	F VALUE 7.57*
used marijuana sh not be appointed the Supreme Court	to				
Harsher prison sentences should handed down to dr dealers.		C,T	5.15	5.95	10.77**
The rights of cri should not get in way of controllin	the	2.500	5.60	4.85	5.37*
I avoid driving a even a single dri	fter nk.	T	2.55	5.40	44.24**
The death penalty makes murderers o us all.	f	R	3.30	4.00	4.77*
Insanity should n be a defence at a criminal trial.	ot	NDP	5.20	4.45	9.51**
The penalties for drinking and driv need to be tougher	ing	NDP	4.65	6.05	7.93*
Note. PERCEIVED MALE		subject rat target to t	ing to prediction in the attitude :	ct the respon	nse of a male cale of 1 - 7
PERCEIVED FEMALE	-		ing to predic et to the at		
DP	=	dimension p	osition		
NDP		no dimensio	n position		
L,C,T,R,	ar .	liberal, co	nservative,	raditional,	radical

significant at p<.05

significant at p<.01

..

Summary of stereotypes in perceived attitudes. In general, the results showed that males were perceived to endorse conventional heterosexual behaviour (including acceptance of erotica and prostitution), illegal drug use, the death penalty and violence in the media. However, females were perceived to be more accepting of homosexuality and feminism, and less accepting of violence/abuse and permissive heterosexual sex. In summary, both sexes were perceived to support items which are characteristic of the archetypal man and woman. Thus, the prediction that just as there are gender-stereotyped traits there may also be gender-stereotyped attitudes is supported.

The results show further that gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes are consistent across gender. Significant main effects for sex of subject showed 25 of the 330 items to be significant. Similarly, the interaction between the sex of the subject and the sex of the target showed 33 of the 330 statements to be significant (see Appendix B for the significant items in both of these analyses). This number of significant statements would be expected by chance given the number of tests done.

Therefore, although there are some differences, males and females generally agree on the stereotypes they see in each others' attitudes.

<u>Dimension position as a predictive tool to determine</u>
<u>the stereotypic nature of the item</u>. The next important
question addressed in this research was the relationship
between stereotypical perceptions of attitudes and liberalconservative and traditional-radical ratings of those
attitudes. Specifically, did the conservativism or
traditionalism of an item indicate how stereotyped it was?

Two sets of analyses were performed on the target ratings with gender being the independent variable. The effect of predicted attitudes by subjects' gender was investigated while holding target gender constant. Similarly, the effect of predicted attitudes by target gender was examined with subject gender held constant.

Subject attitudes and the dimension positions of these attitude items. First, a correlation was done on the subjects' ratings of targets (collapsed across target gender) and the liberalism and conservativism scores of the attitude items. All of the correlations were significant (see Table 8). As the relationship between both dimensions (r = 0.875) was strong, partial correlations were calculated to hold one dimension constant while the other dimension was correlated with attitudes across subjects' gender. These partial correlations revealed that the more liberal the item, the more subjects strongly endorsed them, regardless

Table 8

### Correlations and Partial Correlations of Subject Attitudes With the Liberalism and Radicalism Scores of the Attitude Items

sı	JBJECTS	
MALE	FEMALE	
0.252**	0.296**	
0.395**	0.456**	
0.070	0.087	
-0.321**	-0.372**	
	0.252** 0.395**	0.252** 0.295** 0.395** 0.456** 0.070 0.087

## Note.

Bold Type = Correlation

Normal Type = Partial correlation with the correlation between the Liberal-Conservative and Traditional-Radical dimensions partialed out

\*\* = p<.01

\* = p<.05

of gender. However, as items became more radical, both male and female subjects endorsed them less strongly.

Predicted attitudes of targets and the dimension positions of these attitude items. Similarly, correlations were calculated for perceived target attitudes with subject gender held constant (see Table 9). All the correlations between liberalism and target attitudes for males and females were significant, but none of those were significant between radicalism and target attitudes. Partial correlations holding one dimension constant while the other dimension was correlated with the target attitudes showed that the relationship was as expected. Subjects perceived that both male and female targets would demonstrate a stronger acceptance of more liberal items. The effect was stronger for male targets than female targets. Conversely, subjects perceived both male and female targets as being less likely to endorse radical items. Furthermore, subjects perceived female targets to be less likely to endorse radical items.

Relationship between subject and target attitudes by gender, and the dimension positions of the attitude items.

Correlations were calculated between the liberalism scores of items and the predictions subjects made of the responses

Table 9

# Correlations and Partial Correlations of Predicted Target Attitudes with Liberalism and Radicalism Scores of the Attitude Items

DIMENSION POSITION		TARGETS		
POSITION	MALE		FEMALE	MALE/FEMALE DIFFERENCE
ITEM LIBERALISM SCORE	0.220**		0.236**	
Scores	0.263**		0.236**	SIGNIFICANT p<.005
ITEM RADICALISM SCORE	0.107		0.033	
	-0.182**		-0.369**	SIGNIFICATT p<.0 5

# Note.

Bold Type = Correlation

Normal Type = Partial correlation with the correlation between the Liberal-Conservative and Traditional-Radical dimensions partialed out

\*\* = p<.01

\* = p < .05

of male and female targets. The same analyses were performed on the respective radicalism scores. This was done in order to determine whether there was a gender difference between subjects in their predictions of male target attitudes compared to their predictions of female target attitudes and to determine the possible relationship between this gender difference and both liberalism and radicalism scores. As in the previous analyses (see Tables 8 and 9), all correlations were significant (see Table 10).

Liberalism was related to the predicted attitudes of male and female targets, but radicalism was only related to the predictions female subjects made of male target attitudes. Again, because of the relationship between the two dimensions, partial correlations were performed where the effect of one dimension was partialled out in order to examine the effect of the other. The partial correlations indicated that all of the relationships were significant (see Table 10). Thus, male and female subjects expected male and female targets to endorse liberal items more strongly than conservative items. However, the more radical the item, the less strongly male and female targets were expected, by both male and female subjects, to endorse it. Furthermore, female subjects expected female targets to endorse radical items significantly less strongly than male targets.

Table 10

Correlations and Partial Correlations of Subject Predictions of Male and Female Target Attitudes with Liberalism and Radicalism Scores of the Attitude Items

	PRE	DICTED ATT	TUDES	
	MALE SUBJ	ECT	FEMALE SU	BJECT
TARGET	MALE FEN	TALE	MALE	FEMALE
	0.207**	0.221**	0.196**	0.229**
LIBERAL SCORE	0.287*	0.379*	0.196**	0.438**
MALE/FEMALE DIFFERENCE	NOT SIGN	IFICANT	NOT SIGN	IFICANT
RADICAL	0.079	0.044	0.117*	0.020
SCORE	-0.217**	-0.318**	-0.116*	-0.383**
MALE/FEMALE DIFFERENCE	NOT SIGN	IFICANT	SIGNIFIC	ANT p<.0001

Bold Type = Correlation

Normal Type = Partial correlation with the correlation between the Liberal-Conservative and Traditional-Radical dimensions partialed out

\*\* = p<.01

\* = p<.05

In summary, perceived attitudes are gender stereotyped in much the same way as personality traits and characteristics (Judd & Park, 1993; Swim, 1994). These stereotypic perceived attitudes show an underlying structure reflected in the liberal-conservative and the traditionalradical dimensions. Examining each dimension individually, as items were located closer to the radical end of the traditional-radical dimension, both men and women were predicted to endorse them less strongly, but women were expected to do this to a larger degree. As items were located more closely to the liberal end of the liberalradical dimension, both men and women were predicted to endorse them strongly; however men were expected to evidence stronger endorsement. In addition, male and female subjects had very similar attitudes to each other - both male and female subjects were more likely to endorse liberal items and less likely to endorse radical items.

#### Discussion

Four primary issues were investigated in this study. The first issue examined gender differences in subjects' own attitudes. The second issue explored the relationship between these attitudes and the underlying liberal-conservative and traditional-radical dimensions. The third investigated gender stereotypes in perceived attitudes and their relationship to the underlying dimensions. The fourth issue addressed whether there were gender differences in perceived attitudes.

The main findings of the study revealed that although males and females held similar attitudes, they were perceived by one another as having attitudes which were differentiated on the basis of gender. In addition, the gender differences in subjects' own attitudes and in perceived attitudes were related to the traditional-radical and the liberal-conservative dimensions proposed to underlie the structure of perceived attitudes by Button et al. (1993).

Male and female subjects were both more likely to endorse liberal statements and less likely to endorse radical statements. This finding supports results in Grant et al. (1993). These authors proposed that the liberal end of the liberal-conservative dimension was not necessarily parallel to the radical end of the traditional-radical dimension. Therefore, subjects would not be expected to endorse liberal and radical items in the same way.

Another purpose of this study was to define the stereotypes which might exist in our perceptions of others' attitudes. This was done through an examination of the one hundred and seventy six items which were significant for the sex of the target (see Appendix C). There are a range of issues contained within these items, which if clustered by similarity, can be used to define the stereotyped attitudes. For example, men were overwhelmingly stereotyped as holding negative attitudes toward homosexuals and toward women's issues, and positive attitudes toward freedom in heterosexual sex. Women, on the other hand were stereotyped as holding negative attitudes toward promiscuity in heterosexual sex, but positive attitudes toward women's issues and freedom in homosexual sex. Thus, there are in fact a large and consistent number of stereotypes which men and women hold about each other's attitudes.

Significant differences were found between the actual attitudes of male and female subjects and the perceived attitudes of male and female targets. This result supports the hypothesis that males and females are perceived as having different stereotyped attitudes. These stereotypes are consistent with trait stereotypes associated with the traditional roles of males and females (Craig, 1992; Davidson, 1981) In addition, this finding is congruent with research by Grant et al. (1993). In their experiment, males

and females assigned the same dimension positions to the attitude statements, but females agreed more with statements rated as traditional. Finally, the results may provide an interesting parallel to the well documented discrepancy between behaviour and attitudes. There can sometimes be a difference between one's attitudes and one's behaviour, yet attitudes are often judged by the behaviour exhibited (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1974). Perhaps, in a similar way, there is a discrepancy between one's attitudes and the attitudes one is perceived to have. The present research may serve as a useful extension of previous work on attitudes and behaviour (Fazio & Williams, 1986; Fishbein & Ajzen, 1974; Kallgren & Wood, 1986) and the possible link between the two concepts warrants further investigation.

Results showed that the liberal-conservative and the traditional-radical dimensions helped explain stereotyped gender differences in perceived attitudes. In general, males were perceived to endorse radical items and liberal items more strongly than females. An exception occurred with homosexual sex and feminism items, where males' endorsement was much lesser than females' endorsement. Conversely, females were expected to endorse traditional and conservative items more strongly than males. Males were therefore stereotyped as holding more liberal and radical attitudes, and females as holding the more traditional and conservative attitudes. Given the pattern of responses

found for the subject areas encompassed in the attitude items, and the specific dimension positions they were given, it is likely that perceived attitudes can be predicted for both male and female targets from a subject population similar to that used in the study. The broader implication of these results is that people might think that perceived attitudes are actual attitudes. As the research has shown with trait stereotypes (Branscombe et al.; Eagly & Mladinic, 1989), this may be particularly true in the absence of other information. Making these kinds of assumptions is problematic if one believes that on the basis of gender alone, one is able to predict a person's attitudes.

Obviously, this may lead to incorrect assessments and misperceptions.

Male and female subjects predicted target attitudes quite consistently. Grant et al. (1993) found that although males and females saw others' attitudes as different, their own attitudes were quite similar. The same result was found in the present experiment. In Phase 1, results showed that males and females had different attitudes on only forty-three of the three hundred and thirty items. Given the number of comparisons made, it is possible that these differences were due to Type 1 error. Only precise replication of this study can determine whether these differences are indeed due to Type 1 error, or whether they are indeed representative differences between the

attitudes of males and females.

Swim (1994), concluded that males and females were actually quite accurate at predicting the stereotyped characteristics of others. On the other hand, Grant et al. (1993) concluded that males and females were not accurate at predicting others' attitudes. Perhaps the differences between Swim (1994) and both Grant et al. (1993) and the current study were due to the use of different methods and subject matter. Grant et al. (1993) used multidimensional scaling and self-reported data while Swim (1994) used results from meta-analyses to compare with self-report data. Swim (1994) and Judd et al. (1993) did in fact note that the method used would make a difference in the results obtained. It should be noted that, Grant et al. (1993) and this author dealt with attitudes and perceived attitudes while Swim (1994) focused on perceived personality characteristics. It may be easier to judge stereotyped traits than it is to judge stereotyped attitudes.

One of the more intriguing issues in the present study concerned the relationship between the two dimensions. The strong correlation between the two dimensions, r=.875, initially implied that the dimensions should be treated as one. However, the pattern of perceived characteristics and of stereotypes within these characteristics differed consistently for males and females on the two dimensions. This response pattern supports the two-dimensional model

suggested by Button et al. (1993).

A further finding in this study was that male and female subjects differed in the content rather than the number of attitude items they chose to endorse. When the content of these items was examined, males were more radical than females in their endorsement of items positive toward heterosexual activities, and more liberal than females in the same areas. Females were more radical and liberal than males in their endorsement of feminism and homosexuality. These attitudes are perceived in the same way by subjects. It seems that, at least in this case, there is a consistent pattern of endorsement in subjects' own attitudes and this pattern (or stereotype) is reflected accurately in perceived attitudes. If this particular stereotype concerning perceived attitudes is accurate, future research might address why accuracy has occurred. This result also supports the use of perceived attitudes as indicators of actual attitudes (not the actual attitudes per se). This usage would be useful in situations where the actual attitudes are not known.

These results are consistent with the extensive research on stereotypes in traits and characteristics. Personality traits and characteristics of other people are often judged and stereotyped, such that the public knows what a "typical meale" and a "typical female" should be like (Branscombe et al., 1993; Craig, 1992; Sedikides & Anderson,

1990; Simpson et al., 1990). This research implies that perceived attitudes can be stereotyped in much the same way as personality traits.

People who are prejudiced toward a group may have a negative stereotype about the group. As such, the stereotype would relate to characteristics or traits associated with the group (Eagly & Mladinic, 1989). Since there are parallels between perceived attitude stereotypes and trait stereotypes, there may also be prejudices associated with perceived attitudes. Future research could investigate this possible link.

To further the three main findings of this experiment, a study could be performed in which the stereotypes established in this experiment could be violated, and then the consequences of this action examined. This would lend insight into real world situations where gender roles are not clearly defined or gender stereotypes are often violated.

Finally, the conclusions are limited to college students, who may possess different attitudes than the general population. This study should be replicated with populations other than college students to increase generalizability and validity.

In conclusion, males and females have generally similar attitudes. They are perceived to hold stereotyped attitudes on a wide variety of issues, which are related to both the traditional-radical and the liberal-conservative dimensions. Thus women are perceived as stereotypically more traditional and more conservative than men. Therefore, people can also have well-developed ideas of what constitutes prototypical male and female attitudes.

#### References

- Barak, A., Feldman, S., & Noy, A. (1991). Traditionality of children's interests as related to their parent's gender stereotypes and traditionality of occupations. <u>Sex Roles</u>, <u>24</u>, 511-524.
- Bargh, J.A., Chaiken, S., Govender, R., & Pratto, F. (1992). The generality of the automatic attitude activation effect. <u>Journal of Personality and</u> Social Psychology, 62, 893-912.
- Bem, S.L. (1981b). Gender schema theory: A cognitive account of sex typing. <u>Psychological Review</u>, <u>88</u>, 354-364.
- Broverman, I.K., Vogel, S.R., Broverman, D.M., Clarkson, F.E., & Rosenkrantz, P.S. (1972). Sex role stereotypes: A current appraisal. <u>Journal of Social Issues</u>, <u>28</u>, 59-78.

- Button, C.M., Grant, M.J., Hannah, T.E., & Ross, A.S. (1993). The dimensions underlying perceived attitudes: Liberalism and concern for traditional values. Canadian Journal of Behavioral Science, 25, 230-252.
- Condry, S.M., Condry, Jr., J.C., & Pogatshnik, L.W. (1983).
  Sex differences: A study of the ear of the beholder.
  Sex Roles, 9, 697-704.
- Coutts, J.S. (1987). Masculinity-femininity of self-concept: Its effect on the achievement behaviour of women. Sex Roles, 16, 9-17.
- Craig, S.R. (1992). Women as home caregivers: Gender portrayal in OTC drug commercials. <u>Journal of Drug</u> Education, 22, 303-312.
- Davidson, L.R. (1981). Pressures and pretense: Living with gender stereotypes. <u>Sex Roles</u>, <u>7</u>, 331-347.
- Deaux, K., & Lewis, L. (1984). Structure of gender stereotypes: Interrelationships among components and gender label. <u>Journal of Personality and Social</u> Psychology, 46, 991-1004.

- Eagly, A.H., & Mladinic, A. (1989). Gender stereotypes and attitudes toward men and women. <u>Personality</u> and <u>Social Psychology Bulletin</u>, 15, 543-558.
- Eagly, A.H., Wood, W., & Fishbaugh, L. (1981). Sex differences in conformity: Surveillance by the group as a determinant of male nonconformity. <u>Journal of</u> Personality and Social Psychology, 40, 384-394.
- Fazio, R.H., & Williams, C.J. (1986). Attitude accessibility as a moderator of the attitude-perception and attitudebehaviour relations: An investigation of the 1984 Presidential election. <u>Journal of Personality and</u> Social Psychology, 51, 505-514.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1974). Attitudes toward objects as predictors of single and multiple behaviour criteria. Psychological Review, 81, 59-74.
- Fisher, T.D., & Hall, R.G. (1988). A scale for the comparison of the sexual attitudes of adolescents and their parents. The Journal of Sex Research, 24, 90-100.

- Gervasio, A.H., & Crawford, M. (1989). Social evaluations of assertiveness: A critique and speech act reformulation. <u>Psychology of Women Quarterly</u>, <u>13</u>, 1-25.
- Graham, J.W., Gentry, K.W., & Green, J. (1981). The self-presentational nature of emotional expression:
  Some evidence. <u>Personality and Social Psychology</u>
  <u>Bulletin</u>, 7, 467-474.
- Grant, M.J., Button, C.M., Hannah, T.E., & Ross, A.S.
  (1993). <u>Dimensions of attitude perceptions and ratings of issue importance</u>. Paper presented at the meeting of the Canadian Psychological Association, Montreal.
- Hartman, S., Griffith, R., Crino, M., & Harris, O. (1991).
  Gender-based influences: The promotion
  recommendation. <u>Sex Roles</u>, <u>25</u>, 285-300.
- Herek, G.M. (1990). Homophobia. In Wayne R. Dynes (Ed.),
  <u>Encyclopedia of homosexuality</u> (pp. 552 555) New
  York: Garland Publishing.

- Jackson, L.A., Hansen, C.H., Hansen, R.D., & Sullivan, L.A. (1993). The effects of stereotype consistency and consensus information on predictions of performance. <u>Journal of Social Psychology</u>, <u>133</u>, 293-306.
- Judd, C.M., 6 Park, B. (1993). Definition and assessment of accuracy in social stereotypes. <u>Psychological</u> Review, 100, 109-128.
- Judd, C.H., Ryan, C.S., & Park, B. (1992). Role of meaningful subgroups in explaining differences in perceived variability for in-groups and out-groups. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 63, 553-567.
- Kallgren, C.A., & Wood, W. (1986). Access to attituderelevant information in memory as a determinant of attitude-behaviour consistency. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Experimental Social Psychology</u>, 22, 469-489.
- Komarovsky, M., & Mayer, E. (1984). Consistency of female gender attitudes: A research note. <u>Social Forces</u>, 62, 1020 - 1025.

- Landrine, H. (1988). Depression and stereotypes of women: Preliminary empirical analyses of the gender-role hypothesis. Sex Roles, 19, 527-541.
- Mackie, D.M., Gastardo, M.C., & Skelly, J.J. (1992).
  Knowledge of the advocated positions and the processing of in-group and out-group persuasive messages.
  <u>Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin</u>, 18, 145-151.
- Matlin, M. (1993). The psychology of women. Fort Worth:
  Harcourt Brace College.
- McHale, S.M., Bartko, W.T., Crowter, A.C., & Penny-Jenkins, M. (1990). Children's housework and psychosocial functioning and the mediating effects of parents' sexrole behaviours and attitudes. <u>Child Development</u>, <u>61</u>, 1413-1426.
- Messick, S.J. (1956). The perception of social attitudes.
  <u>Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology</u>, 52, 57-66.
- Nelson, C.E. (1974). The perceived structure of social attitudes. <u>Bulletin of the Psychonomic</u> Society, 4, 449-451.

- Oskamp, S. (1977). Attitudes and opinions. Englewood
  Cliffs, N.S.: Prentice Hall.
- Paludi, M.A. (1984). Psychometric properties and underlying assumptions of four objective measures of fear of success. Sex Roles, 10, 765-781.
- Pomerleau, A., Bolduc, D., Malcuit, G., & Cassette, L. (1990). Pink or blue: Environmental stereotypes in the first two years of life. <u>Sex Roles</u>, <u>22</u>, 359-367.
- Sedikides, C., & Anderson, C.A. (1994). Causal perceptions of intertrait relations: The glue that holds person types together. Personality and Social

  Psychology Bulletin, 20, 294-302.
- Simpson, J.A., Gangestead, S.W., & Lerma, M. (1990).
  Perception of physical attractiveness: Mechanisms involved in the maintenance of romantic relationships. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 59, 1192-1201.
- Stark, L.P. (1991). Traditional gender role beliefs and individual outcomes: An exploratory analysis. <u>Sex</u> <u>Roles</u>, 24, 639 -650.

- Stoppard, J.M., & Gunn Gruchy, C.D. (1993). Gender, context and expression of positive emotion. <a href="Personality">Personality</a> and Social Psychology Bulletin, 19, 143-150.
- Swim, J.K. (1992). In search of gender bias in evaluations and trait inferences: The role of diagnosticity and gender stereotypicality of behavioral information. <u>Sex</u> <u>Roles</u>, 29, 213-237.
- Swim, J.K. (1994). Perceived versus meta-analytic effect sizes: An assessment of the accuracy of gender stereotypes. <u>Journal of Personality and Social</u> Psychology, 66, 21-36.

#### Footnotes

- All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences - Revised (SPSSX) software.
- 2. The procedure used in Phase 2 was more powerful than that used in Phase 1, as it utilized a within group comparison rather than a between group comparison. Within group comparisons control for the variance between groups such that it may be more likely to find a significant effect, thereby inflating the number of significant effects. Procedural differences, however, are unlikely to produce the large number of significant items found for sex of target in comparison with the small number found for subject sex.

# Appendix A

All items used in Phase I with actual agreement ratings, dimension ratings and F values for these ratings, All ratings given by both male and female subjects

All means are based on ten subjects

	:	1			2		3			
			Conse	vative	liberal		Traditional-radical			
	Agre	ement	Agree		Disagree		Agree		Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.65	1.93	4.60	1.62	3.50	1.28	4.10	1.58	3.20	1.89
F	5.95	2.04	4.80	1.40	3.10	1.76	5.30	1.42	3.80	2.18
F	0.221		0.0472	0.0472 7.55**3 0			2.2	046+ 0.2	257+	

1	- comparison between male and female actual agreement ratings
2	<ul> <li>subject x target agreement/disagreement ratings on the liberal- conservative scale</li> </ul>
3	<ul> <li>subject x target agreement/disagreement ratings on the traditional- radical scale</li> </ul>
1+	- main effect for gender
2+	- main effect for gender on the liberal-conservative scale
3+	<ul> <li>effect for difference between agreement/disagreement to the item on the liberal-conservative scale</li> </ul>
4+	<ul> <li>interaction between gender and agreement/disagreement to the item on the liberal-conservative scale</li> </ul>
5+	- main effect for gender on the traditional-radical scale
6+	<ul> <li>effect for difference between agreement/disagreement to the item on the traditional-radical scale</li> </ul>
7+	<ul> <li>interaction between gender and agreement-disagreement to the item on the traditional-radical scale</li> </ul>
L, C	- position of item on liberal-conservative scale
T, R	- position of item on traditional-radical scale
	- significant at p<.05
**	- significant at p<.01

F - F values
M - Male
F - Female
Sex of S - Sex of subject

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Traditional-radical				
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disagree		Agree		Disagree		
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	3.85	1.42	3.90	1.37	4.60	1.20	5.00	1.26	4.50	1.36	
F	4.35	1.74	3.90	1.58	3.90	1.51	4.10	1.30	4.30	1.49	
F	0.94		0.54	(	0.54 0.	54	1.48	(	0.11 0.	60	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Traditional-radical			
	Agreement		greement Agree Disag		agree	Ag	ree	Disagree		
Sex Mean SD	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
м	3.70	1.62	2.50	1.28	5.50	1.12	4.00	2.28	4.00	1.48
F	3.60	1.43	3.10	1.22	5.00	1.61	3.30	1.85	4.10	1.76
F	0.04		0.01	30.93	1** 1.	56	0.23		0.41 0.4	11

			Conser	Conservative-liberal				adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agreement		Ag	Agree Disagree		Agree		Disagree		
Lex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.40	1.66	3.50	1.43	4.50	1.57	4.40	1.43	4.30	1.42
F	4.95	1.56	3.30	1.42	5.30	0.78	3.20	1.99	4.40	1.36
F	1.11		0.46	11	.38** 1.	26	1.11	1	1.11 1.5	54

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.85	1.19	3.20	1.78	4.00	2.05	4.10	1.81	4.10	1.87
F	1.55	0.80	2.60	1.36	4.80	1.66	3.30	1.42	4.70	1.68
F	0.82		0.03	3 (	5.77* 1.47		0.03	1.52	1.	52

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.20	1.47	2.80	1.54	4.20	1.60	3.50	1.75	4.70	1.62
F	3.95	1.72	4.40	1.50	4.20	1.08	4.70	1.42	4.90	0.83
F	0.23		2.77		1.56 2.	77	2.1		2.11	1.0

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	A.g	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.85	1.49	2.50	1.50	3.90	1.58	2.30	1.35	4.50	1.57
F	4.45	1.86	2.90	1.51	4.30	1.95	2.80	1.54	4.60	1.56
F	1,21		0.53		5.51* 0.	51* 0.00		15.89	**	0.16

	_		Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.10	1.79	4.80	1.60	5.30	1.27	4.20	2.44	5.90	0.83
F	6.25	1.34	3.00	1.18	3.50	1.96	4.40	1.91	4.80	1.83

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	groo
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.60	1.88	3.50	2.16	5.50	1.28	4.30	1.73	5.10	1.81
F	2.65	1.74	2.50	1.50	4.40	1.50	3.40	2.33	4.00	1.67
F	0.01		3.68	12.	69** 0.	01	2.48	1.21	0.02	

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.95	1.32	2.70	1.79	4.10	1.64	2.60	1.20	4.60	1.56
F	3.85	1.49	2.70	1.10	6.10	0.94	2.40	1.36	5.90	1.14
F	3.87		4.50*	4.50* 25.92** 4.50			1.55	38.	84** 2.1	89

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.10	2.07	3.90	2.02	3.90	1.70	4.30	1.68	5.00	1.41
F	3.80	2.01	4.80	1.78	3.40	1.56	5.30	1.27	3.70	0.90
F	1.11		0.11		1.40 1.	40	0.11	1.	.01	6.59

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.15	2.17	4.20	1.60	3.30	1.27	5.30	0.78	2.10	1.14
F	5.05	1.66	4.70	1.68	2.70	1.62	5.90	0.94	2.30	1.35
F	0.03		0.01	7.	89** 1.	14	1.25	90	.47**	0.3

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.45	1.53	2.80	1.72	4.80	1.08	2.70	1.62	5.50	0.81
F	3.80	1.81	3.10	2.02	5.80	0.87	3.30	2.37	5.60	0.66
F	0.42		1.70	22.:	16** 0.	49	0.47		25.14**	0.2

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.35	1.06	4.50	1.36	4.60	1.36	4.60	1.28	4.30	1.27
F	4.00	1.58	4.00	1.84	3.20	1.08	4.80	1.72	3.60	1.02
F	0.64		3.94	(	0.53 0.	88	0.31		2.79 1.0	01

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.35	1.31	3.40	1.96	4.00	1.34	3.30	2.00	3.70	1.62
F	2.40	1.50	3.70	1.49	4.60	1.02	3.90	1.64	4.50	1.69
F	0.01		0.82	- 2	2.28 0.	09	1.45		.74 0.	03

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.50	1.96	6.20	0.98	3.90	2.02	5.60	0.49	2.90	1.45
F	4.25	2.19	6.00	1.00	2.30	0.90	6.00	1.00	2.30	1.55
F	1.24		4.2	5* 4	17.23**	2.57	0.06	-	54.22*	1.57

140			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.65	1.65	6.10	1.22	4.10	1.51	5.80	0.75	3.20	1.54
F	3.35	1.88	5.00	1.26	2.30	1.42	5.30	1.27	2.40	0.80
F	5.13*		10.24*	* 26.	90** 0.	60	2.94	52	.66**	0.16

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.00	2.05	3.60	1.80	5.20	1.78	4.80	1.83	5.20	1.08
	4.65	1.85	2.50	1.50	5.60	1.43	3.60	2.01	4.90	1.45
F	1.05		0.41	18.6	50** 1.	89	1.90		2.44 0.	68

			Conse	rvative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.95	1.24	5.80	1.78	4.20	1.72	6.50	0.50	3.00	2.19
F	2.45	2.18	5.10	2.02	2.10	0.94	5.50	1.69	2.00	1.41
F	0.75		6.36		17.16**	1.59	3.64		14.55**	0.1

- 2-22-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-2-			Conse	rvative	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radio	cal
etto de	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
н	2.95	1.77	5.80	0.98	4.00	1.55	5.50	1.02	2.60	1.20
F	2.35	1.82	4.90	1.22	2.70	1.42	5.60	1.20	2.50	1.28
F	1.06		6.35		20.99**	0.21	0.00	58	.06**	0.06

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
н	1.95	1.40	3.70	2.15	4.10	1.81	4.00	2.05	3.90	2.2
	1.25	0.43	2.00	1.00	5.00	1.34	2.90	2.12	4.30	1.68
F	.36*		0.5	1 9.	72** 5.	69*	0.27	(	0.93 1.2	24

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		TI	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Hean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.05	1.20	3.30	1.27	4.90	1.58	4.50	1.36	5.30	1.15
F	1.75	1.09	3.30	1.42	4.90	1.58	3.00	1.10	4.00	1.67
F	0.65		0.0	00 1	0.72**	0.00	9.72**		1.02 0.0	05

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.35	1.88	3.60	1.28	3.70	1.55	3.70	1.27	4.30	1.55
F	2.45	1.24	3.60	1.50	4.20	0.98	3.40	1.69	4.20	1.54
F	3.03		0.3	1 0	.61 0.	31	0.16	5 1	.91 0.0	04

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.40	1.56	2.10	1.45	4.70	1.90	2.00	0.77	4.60	1.56
F	2.95	1.53	2.70	1.35	5.90	1.14	2.90	1.81	4.70	1.79
F	1.20		3.31	34.4	10** 0.	37	0.94	18.	26**	0.60

	<u> </u>		Conser	vative-	liberal	8	T	radition	al-radi	cal
100.00	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.55	1.36	2.30	1.35	5.70	0.64	3.20	1.99	4.90	1.14
F	2.25	1.34	1.80	0.98	5.90	0.83	1.60	1.20	4.60	1.56
F	2.56		0.21	130.	81** 1.	14	3.56	21	.78**	1.67

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.40	1.66	5.20	1.83	1.60	0.66	4.60	1.56	2.30	1.68
F	5.80	1.36	5.20	2.18	2.30	1.49	5.40	1.62	1.60	1.02
F	0.66		0.43	35	5.31**	0.41	0.01	37	.50**	2.2

			Conset	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.80	2.06	4.80	1.72	2.60	1.20	4.50	1.96	3.30	1.85
F	5.50	1.69	4.90	1.22	3.30	1.35	5.00	1.48	3.10	1.70
F	1.31		0.75	16.	88**	0.42	0.07	- 7	.00* 0.:	36

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.00	1.82	3.90	1.58	4.50	1.57	3.80	1.72	4.40	1.36
F	4.95	1.50	3.70	1.19	4.40	1.74	3.90	1.70	4.90	1.14
F	3.09		0.09	) 1	.62 0.	01	0.36	- 7	.57 0.	16

			Conser	vative	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.40	1.59	3.20	1.33	4.70	1.85	3.20	1.47	3.80	1.60
F	5.75	1.41	3.90	2.21	5.10	1.22	4.20	1.99	5.50	1.12
F	.51		0.94		5.68* 0.	07	6.61*		3.27 0.	44

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.55	1.66	3.70	1.62	3.80	1.66	2.30	0.90	3.50	1.50
F	4.90	1.55	3.00	1.41	5.10	1.30	3.30	2.00	5.00	1.10
F	0.45		0.3	5 /	.81* 3.	97	6.8	* 9.	15**	0.27

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.60	0.86	3.40	1.85	5.10	1.30	2.50	1.91	4.20	1.60
F	1.55	0.80	1.80	0.75	5.50	1.50	2.20	1.78	5.20	1.89
F	0.03		1.63	33.	05** 4.	53*	0.34	1	5.38**	1.18

	videos (						(C, T	<u> </u>		
			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.75	1.48	3.00	1.41	4.00	1.55	2.90	1.51	4.60	1.56
F	4.45	1.72	3.40	1.85	5.50	1.20	3.30	2.10	5.80	0.98
F	0.33		3.50	)	9.31**	1.17	2.28	15	72** 0.	57

Large	familie	have	the happ	oiest c	ildren.		(0	, T)		
			Conse	vative	liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.80	1.21	3.00	1.18	3.40	1.28	2.30	0.90	3.90	1.5
F	2.80	1.44	2.70	1.27	5.20	0.98	2.60	1.56	4.60	1.4
F	5.40*		3.	51 1:	3.49**	7.07*	1.16		14.99**	0.9

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.05	0.22	3.10	2.34	3.80	1.89	4.40	2.54	3.80	1.99
F	1.05	0.22	3.00	2.24	4.40	2.01	2.30	1.42	3.40	1.96

The fer	minist m	novement	deserv	es stro	ng supp	ort.	(L,R	)		
			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag:	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.65	1.39	5.90	0.70	3.00	1.00	5.20	1.33	3.90	1.7
F	4.70	1.58	5.70	1.10	3.20	1.47	4.90	1.37	2.90	1.6
F	4.72*		0.0	00 54	.00**	0.30	1.61	1	0.39**	0.47

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag:	ree	Disa	gree	Ag.	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.40	0.58	4.50	2.46	4.50	1.96	5.70	1.55	3.90	2.21
F	3.15	2.08	4.40	2.01	3.20	0.98	4.70	2.24	2.50	1.12
F	12.47*	*	1.18	(	.87 0.	87	3.82	10.	62**	0.11

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.75	1.79	3.50	1.36	5.30	1.35	3.10	1.30	4.70	1.35
F	4.60	1.91	3.00	1.73	3.70	2.24	2.90	1.58	5.00	0.89
F	0.06		3.40	) 4	.82* 0.	93	0.01	18.1	5**	0.33

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.50	2.16	6.10	0.94	3.20	2.32	6.30	0.78	2.20	0.75
F	2.00	1.55	6.10	1.22	3.80	1.72	6.00	1.10	3.70	1.73
F	6.06*		0.3	0 22	.74**	0.30	2.41	68	3.52*	5.42*

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.20	1.44	4.10	1.58	3.70	1.68	3.70	1.62	2.90	1.30
F	4.65	2.01	5.10	1.64	3.90	1.64	3.30	1.73	3.50	1.69
F	0.94		1.2	1 7	2.16 0.	54	0.04		0.32 0.	39

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.35	1.56	3.60	1.91	5.30	1.19	4.00	1.79	4.10	1.30
F	3.95	1.94	4.10	0.83	4.20	1.54	4.20	1.54	3.80	0.98
F	0.49		0.4	10 3	.60 2.	84	0.01		0.10 0.2	27

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.35	1.15	4.00	1.73	4.20	1.54	3.30	0.78	4.10	1.58
F	5.00	1.26	4.50	1.43	4.20	1.25	4.70	1.62	4.50	1.20
F	0.80		0.25	5 (	.01 0.	25	4.07	(	.45 1.3	26

	1		Conse	vative-	liberal		Tı	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.25	1.61	4.10	1.76	4.30	1.49	4.50	1.75	4.40	1.74
F	5.50	1.43	3.40	1.56	5.00	1.26	3.70	1.79	4.70	1.35
F	0.26		0.00	) 3	.12 1.	89	0.20	(	.66 0.9	98

	L		Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.95	1.47	3.70	1.42	3.80	1.33	4.00	1.55	3.80	1.25
F	5.90	1.45	4.40	1.43	3.80	1.40	4.20	1.54	3.90	1.04
F	0.01		0.57		.29 0.	57	0.11		.30 0.0	01

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.80	1.12	3.90	1.37	4.60	1.91	4.30	1.55	4.30	1.27
F	6.30	1.00	4.80	1.89	4.00	2.05	5.40	1.74	5.40	1.02
F F	2.09	1.00	0.06	-	.01 1.		5.40		0.00 0.0	_

	Г		Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.75	1.92	4.50	1.50	4.00	1.95	4.90	2.21	2.90	1.87
F	4.40	2.08	4.30	1.73	3.40	1.43	3.60	2.15	3.30	1.79
F	1.00		0.52	2 1	.59 0.	13	0.45	2	.93 1.	60

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag:	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.65	1.62	4.20	1.94	4.00	1.18	5.30	1.27	4.00	1.79
F	2.65	1.85	3.20	1.54	4.00	0.89	4.40	1.43	3.90	1.5
F	0.00		1.08		.39 1.	08	0.98		3.19 0.	53

	l		Conse	rvative	-liberal		Tı	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.85	1.46	2.60	1.43	4.80	1.54	2.70	1.00	4.80	1.66
F	3.90	1.55	3.00	0.89	4.80	1.08	3.40	0.92	4.70	1.35
F	0.01		0.3	23	22.64**	0.23	0.50	16	5.21**	0.90

			Conse	rvative	-liberal		T	cadition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.80	1.44	4.70	1.42	4.40	1.20	4.20	1.17	4.20	1.78
	2.05	1.16	4.10	1.70	4.40	1.74	3.20	2.04	4.40	1.85

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.00	1.73	4.70	1.55	3.50	1.57	5.20	1.72	3.80	1.89
F	5.45	1.66	4.80	1.47	3.50	1.80	4.40	1.43	3.70	1.62
F	0.67	31 2 300	0.0	01	5.48* 0.	01	0.6	5 3	3.55 0.	39

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.70	1.31	4.10	1.51	5.00	1.18	3.80	1.72	3.90	1.58
F	4.80	1.60	3.90	1.14	3.40	0.92	4.00	1.55	3.70	1.55
F	0.04	N.00 C. S	5.01	.* (	.25 3.	03	0.00	) (	0.04 0.3	L4

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag:	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.65	1.82	2.00	1.79	4.50	1.96	2.20	1.78	5.20	1.60
F	2.35	1.56	2.70	1.73	5.10	1.81	1.30	0.64	5.00	1.84
F	0.30		1.14	16.	19**	0.01	1.14	4	2.39**	0.46

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.80	1.36	5.10	1.51	3.90	2.07	4.60	2.01	3.10	1.58
F	6.00	1.14	4.30	1.42	4.20	1.72	4.50	1.02	4.20	1.60
F	0.24		0.19	1	.32 0.	94	0.89	2	.88 1.2	85

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.40	1.71	5.10	1.76	4.00	1.61	4.10	1.45	4.10	1.76
F	2.30	1.85	4.70	0.78	3.70	1.79	3.80	1.40	4.80	1.78

			Conse	vative	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.05	1.43	2.90	1.04	3.90	0.94	4.50	1.28	3.80	1.47
F	3.25	1.09	3.20	1.66	4.30	1.00	3.30	1.68	4.00	0.89
F	3.76		0.77	1 6	5.90* 0.	02	1.2	1 (	0.00 2.	38

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	dean	SD
м	3.35	1.93	4.40	2.29	3.20	1.60	5.10	1.64	2.30	1.27
F	4.10	2.19	6.50	0.67	2.20	1.25	6.10	0.94	2.20	1.47
F	1.25		1.11	27.7	75**	8.82**	0.99		54.97**	1.48

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.70	1.23	4.30	1.55	3.20	1.60	4.10	1.64	4.10	1.51
P	4.70	1.42	3.80	1.08	4.00	1.61	3.90	1.14	4.10	1.87
F	0.00		0.09		0.84 1.	74	0.04		0.04 0.1	04

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.45	1.91	2.80	1.72	3.30	1.10	3.20	1.54	3.30	1.27
F	3.15	1.62	3.50	1.43	4.10	1.87	4.10	1.70	2.60	1.28
E	0.27		2.09	9 ;	1.12 0.	01	0.04	- 1	2.08 2.	71

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.50	1.32	5.00	1.67	3.80	2.14	4.60	2.01	4.60	1.96
F	6.20	1.54	5.00	1.34	2.40	1.96	4.20	2.27	4.70	2.00
F	0.42		1.36	5 10	0.00**	1.36	0.05		0.13 0.	13

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.90	1.51	3.20	1.25	3.00	1.34	3.80	1.17	4.00	1.67
F	4.65	1.71	3.50	1.20	3.50	1.43	4.10	1.87	4.10	1.45
F	0.23		0.8	1 (	0.05 0.	05	0.15	(	0.04 0.0	04

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.65	1.31	4.00	1.10	3.90	1.70	3.50	0.92	2.90	1.51
F	5.35	1.65	4.20	1.25	3.80	1.54	3.90	1.81	3.60	1.74
F	0.38		0.01		0.28 0.	10	1.15	(	0.77 0.0	09

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.40	1.56	4.60	2.01	4.50	1.36	4.00	1.48	5.10	0.94
F	2.50	1.80	3.10	1.64	4.80	1.47	3.70	2.24	5.00	1.00
F	2.70		1.21	. 2	.15 2.	72	0.16		5.70* 0.0	04

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.90	1.41	4.40	1.50	4.80	1.33	4.20	1.33	4.00	1.79
F	6.30	1.35	3.30	1.95	4.60	1.20	3.70	2.05	5.10	0.83

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.00	1.82	3.20	1.33	4.60	1.36	5.30	1.10	3.10	1.3
F	2.15	1.46	5.00	1.10	4.70	1.35	5.20	1.72	3.60	1.20
F	2.53		4.92	2* 1	.65 3.	93	0.19	1	7.33**	0.43

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-cadio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.70	1.14	5.60	0.92	2.60	1.28	4.90	1.64	4.10	1.87
F	6.00	0.95	5.30	0.90	2.00	0.89	3.70	1.42	3.40	1.80
F	0.77		1.78	87.3	34** 0.	20	2.84		0.95 0.2	20

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tz	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.25	2.02	3.40	1.50	5.10	1.58	2.70	1.35	4.20	2.09
F	4.25	2.26	2.10	0.94	5.30	1.35	1.90	0.94	4.70	1.95
F	0.00		1.47	29.	.08**	2.73	0.07	15.	31**	1.40

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		Ti	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.05	0.97	5.20	0.98	5.80	0.87	3.60	1.69	5.50	5.80
F	5.80	1.21	3.20	1.33	4.70	2.05	3.20	1.17	5.50	1.75
F	0.49		11.25		5.16* 0.	95	0.18	19.0	50** 0.1	18

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		TI	adj.tion	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.95	0.92	3.80	1.60	3.90	1.04	4.40	1.11	3.80	0.60
F	3.90	1.30	4.30	1.10	3.90	0.83	5.30	1.10	4.00	1.18
F	0.02		0.41	(	0.15 0.	41	2.59	7.	72** 1.	05

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.45	2.25	3.40	1.36	4.60	1.56	4.70	0.78	4.20	1.54
F	3.85	1.98	3.30	1.42	4.70	1.42	3.60	1.50	5.00	1.48
F	0.76		0.00		7.33* 0.	04	0.11	(	0.98 4.	38*

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.20	1.75	4.20	1.47	3.70	1.62	4.40	1.50	3.20	1.33
F	5.10	1.41	4.70	1.49	3.90	1.04	4.30	1.42	3.80	1.78
F	3.05		0.55		1.88 0.	10	0.25		2.84 0.4	18 -

Disagree					TIDGEST	vative-	Conser			
	_	Agree		gree	Disa	ree	Ag	ement	Agre	
Mean SD	D M	an SD	Mea	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	Sex of S
3.50 1.80	1 3.	0 0.81	5.5	1.08	4.80	1.00	4.70	1.71	3.15	М
3.10 0.83	6 3.	0 1.26	4.0	1.14	3.10	0.77	4.00	2.01	3.45	F
	_	0 1.26	-		3.10	0.77			-	м

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.70	1.98	3.60	1.50	4.40	1.91	3.10	1.92	4.80	1.60
F	4.60	1.66	3.70	1.79	4.40	1.74	3.40	1.85	4.70	1.85
F	0.03		0.01	1	1.67 0.	01	0.03	,	5.18* 0.	11

	1		Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	rae	Disa	igree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.15	1.39	1.60	0.80	5.10	1.14	2.10	1.64	5.70	1.10
F	3.25	1.73	3.10	1.92	5.40	0.92	2.80	1.72	4.60	2.01
F	0.04		4.51*	46.8	37** 2.	01	0.13	24.08	** 2.	68

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	kean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.15	1.49	4.60	1.50	3.70	1.62	4.30	1.00	3.40	1.50
F	5.80	1.4/	4.20	1.25	4.80	1.33	3.90	1.64	5.70	1.10
F	0.65		0.54	(	0.10 2.	48	4.54*		1.02 9.	18**

			Conse	rvative	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.20	2.01	2.90	1.70	2.80	1.54	4.20	1.83	2.50	1.12
F	3.65	1.98	5.00	1.73	4.00	1.48	5.00	1.79	3.30	1.49
F	0.72		9.38**	. :	1.04 0.	70	2.30	10.3	38** 0.0	00

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	: 3	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.15	1.39	3.00	1.00	3.60	1.43	4.10	1.51	3.40	1.11
F	2.65	1.85	3.30	1.19	5.00	1.55	4.40	2.06	3.90	1.76
F	0.89		3.80		5.95* 1.	59	0.53	1	.19 0.1	03

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.90	1.48	4.30	1.62	2.70	1.49	4.40	1.62	3.40	1.43
F	5.25	1.13	4.60	1.11	3.20	1.25	4.00	1.34	3.60	1.36
F	0.67		0.76	10.6	53** 0.	05	0.04	2	2.12 0.3	39

			Conse	vative	liberal		TI	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.05	1.28	4.40	1.80	3.80	1.54	4.40	1.28	3.10	0.94
F	4.80	1.54	3.60	1.02	3.70	1.00	3.70	1.00	3.50	1.12
F	0.30		0.95		0.29 0.	58	0.17	4.23	3* 2.	27

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.35	1.82	3.30	1.42	3.20	1.47	4.30	1.85	4.10	1.58
F	4.35	1.74	4.00	1.61	3.40	1.43	4.30	1.35	3.00	1.55
F	2.99		0.83	(	0.50 0.	26	1.08	2	2.00 1.0	08

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.60	0.92	3.30	1.90	4.60	1.36	5.70	1.27	3.10	1.58
M F	1.80	1.40	4.30	1.62	3.90	1.58	4.30	1.90	2.60	1.85
F	0.27		0.08		0.69 2.	47	2.91	14.92	** 0.0	55

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		TI	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.50	1.47	4.40	1.36	4.40	1.74	3.60	1.56	4.60	1.96
F	5.75	1.30	4.90	2.07	3.50	1.96	4.80	1.94	3.40	1.36
F	0.31		0.11		1.35 1.	35	0.00	0.12	4.	36*

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.85	1.80	3.40	2.11	5.90	0.83	3.70	2.24	5.60	1.11
F	2.75	2.05	1.80	0.87	5.20	1.25	2.80	2.27	5.10	1.58
F	0.03		6.39*	42.	05** 0.	98	1.27	11.42	2** 0.	10

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.20	1.63	3.70	1.00	4.80	1.40	3.70	1.85	4.30	1.85
F	5.15	2.46	3.30	1.79	4.40	1.50	4.90	1.76	3.90	2.12
F	2.41		0.68		.17* 0.	00	0.40		0.10 1.	60

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.70	1.82	3.80	1.83	4.80	1.25	4.20	0.98	3.70	1.19
F	3.50	1.66	4.10	1.14	3.90	1.58	4.50	1.91	3.50	1.86
F	0.13		0.37		0.66 1.	49	0.01		2.14 0.:	24

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.60	1.98	4.30	1.55	2.70	1.42	5.60	0.92	3.50	1.86
F	3.30	1.62	5.40	1.62	2.50	1.36	6.20	0.87	3.10	2.02
F	0.26		0.82	20.4	5** 1.	71	0.04	26.6	3** 0.5	98

	<u> </u>		Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	aditio	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.90	2.19	3.10	1.22	3.70	0.64	4.40	1.74	3.50	1.63
F	4.10	1.51	3.60	1.11	3.80	1.17	4.10	1.14	3.30	1.42
F	3.86		0.72	1	.28 0.	32	0.25		2.89 0.0	01

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.85	1.39	5.40	1.02	2.40	1.02	4.90	1.22	2.50	0.92
F	5.30	1.31	6.10	0.54	2.50	1.28	4.90	1.22	3.00	1.95
F	10.98		1.43	97.52		-	0.29	21.81		_

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.45	1.07	4.00	1.73	3.70	1.27	3.90	2.30	4.60	1.69
F	5.75	1.22	3.60	1.69	2.80	1.66	2.80	2.04	3.80	2.18
F	0.65		1.49		1.07 0.	22	1.91	1.53	0.	05

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.50	0.67	4.40	1.50	3.80	2.09	3.60	1.85	4.40	1.80
F	6.30	1.23	4.10	1.92	3.70	1.79	3.80	1.33	4.50	2.01
F	0.39		0.11		0.67 0.	03	0.06	1.62	0.	01

Agree				-liberal		11	adition	al-radio	car
	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
4.25	1.84	3.00	1.67	5.30	1.19	4.40	1.69	5.00	1.18
3.25	1.76	3.10	1.37	5.20	1.25	3.00	1.48	5.10	0.83
4	.25	1.25 1.84 3.25 1.76	1.25 1.84 3.00 3.25 1.76 3.10	1.25 1.84 3.00 1.67 3.25 1.76 3.10 1.37	1.25 1.84 3.00 1.67 5.30 3.25 1.76 3.10 1.37 5.20	1.25 1.84 3.00 1.67 5.30 1.19 3.25 1.76 3.10 1.37 5.20 1.25	1.25 1.84 3.00 1.67 5.30 1.19 4.40 1.25 1.76 3.10 1.37 5.20 1.25 3.00	1.25 1.84 3.00 1.67 5.30 1.19 4.40 1.69 1.25 1.76 3.10 1.37 5.20 1.25 3.00 1.48	1.25 1.84 3.00 1.67 5.30 1.19 4.40 1.69 5.00 1.25 1.76 3.10 1.37 5.20 1.25 3.00 1.48 5.10

	100		Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.30	1.35	4.10	1.51	4.20	1.78	3.80	2.04	4.70	1.49
F	4.70	1.31	4.00	1.95	4.10	1.22	3.90	1.64	4.10	0.83
F	0.86		0.03		0.03 0.	00	0.23		.12 0.4	45

		1001G 713G	Conse	vative-	-liberal		TI	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.20	1.25	6.00	0.77	2.00	0.77	4.30	1.90	2.00	1.18
F	6.40	0.30	5.20	1.25	2.50	1.75	5.60	1.02	2.70	1.55
F	0.35		0.14	69.54	** 2.	62	4.26*	28.7	** 0.3	38

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Tı	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.25	1.41	4.70	1.00	4.80	1.66	4.00	1.61	3.30	2.19
F	5.30	1.45	3.30	1.62	3.90	2.07	3.60	1.20	4.40	1.91
F	0.01		4.46*	(	0.41 0.	21	0.35	-	0.01 1.0	52

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.25	1.67	2.40	1.28	4.60	1.69	2.40	1.80	5.30	1.55
F	4.65	1.53	3.00	2.28	5.60	1.02	2.00	1.61	5.10	1.30
F	0.59		2.15	19.3	4** 0.	13	0.33	32.60	. 0.	04

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.05	1.40	3.30	1.68	4.00	1.26	4.00	1.41	4.10	0.94
F	3.45	1.83	2.50	1.50	3.80	1.47	3.00	1.55	4.40	1.50
F	1.29		1.02		1.08 0.	37	0.59	:	2.69 2.	02

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.65	1.56	4.00	1.67	4.60	1.62	3.70	2.24	5.40	1.74
F	3.55	1.28	2.70	1.68	4.40	1.85	2.50	1.50	4.50	1.57
F	0.05		1.73	-	1.07 0.	93	3.11	9.66**	0.0	06

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.25	1.55	5.10	0.83	2.90	1.70	5.00	1.55	3.60	1.43
F	3.20	1.96	6.00	0.77	3.40	1.56	5.40	1.43	3.40	1.56
F	0.01	1000	2.66	31.32	2** 0.	22	0.04	11.66*	. 0.:	36

	[		Conse	vative-	liberal	0	Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.85	1.65	2.90	1.37	5.10	1.51	2.10	1.45	4.70	1.85
F	4.60	1.43	3.40	1.62	5.50	0.92	1.90	1.04	5.50	0.50
F F	0.25		0.95	21.70	)** O.	01	0.47	50.51	3** 1.	32

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.20	1.47	5.80	1.17	3.90	1.92	6.30	0.64	3.20	1.78
F	1.65	0.96	5.10	1.58	3.50	1.80	5.20	1.60	2.30	1.27
E	1.86		1.01	10.2	22** 0.	08	4.65*		11.86**	0.03

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.90	1.58	3.30	2.00	5.10	1.04	3.20	1.25	5.30	1.10
	4.45	1.53	2.80	1.47	4.70	1.27	3.40	1.91	5.70	0.78
P F	1.19		0.82	13.8	39** 0.	01	0.46	24.82	2** 0.	05

	l		Conser	vative	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.30	1.85	3.50	2.20	5.30	0.78	2.60	2.06	5.40	0.92
F	5.00	1.61	3.00	1.55	5.60	1.50	2.10	1.30	5.80	1.08
F	1.55		0.04	17.2	5** 0.	57	0.01	47.95	* 0.	92

			Conser	rvative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.05	1.91	5.50	1.86	3.00	1.79	5.30	1.49	2.20	1.40
F	3.60	2.13	6.10	1.04	2.70	1.62	5.90	1.37	3.60	2.01
F	4.88*		0.0		0.27**	0.70	3.56	25.98	_	_

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.75	1.09	4.80	1.66	4.00	1.55	6.10	0.83	2.80	1.78
F	2.15	2.06	6.30	0.64	3.70	2.10	5.80	1.40	3.20	2.40
F	0.56		1.30	10.	42** 2.	92	0.01	27.	08**	0.38

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.50	1.60	2.50	1.50	4.60	1.43	2.10	1.76	5.60	1.02
F	4.65	1.71	4.00	2.32	5.30	0.78	3.40	2.33	5.60	1.20
F	0.08		4.23*	10.1	10** 0.	56	1.38	26.56*	* 1.:	38

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.70	1.76	3.90	1.51	4.70	1.62	2.50	1.43	4.70	1.27
F	2.80	1.89	4.30	2.28	4.50	1.50	3.00	2.24	4.30	1.19
F	2.31		0.03		.73 0.	26	0.01	10.9	5** 0.	72

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tı	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.00	1.41	4.20	1.54	4.20	1.40	4.20	1.78	4.20	1.40
F	3.40	1.39	3.10	2.02	4.90	1.30	3.00	1.34	4.20	1.40
F F	1.74		0.14	1 2	.89 2.	89	1.46		1.46 1.4	16

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag.	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.20	1.54	4.10	1.70	5.10	1.70	4.50	1.57	4.10	1.64
F	4.25	1.89	3.50	1.57	5.30	1.00	3.40	1.28	4.30	1.73
F	2.88		0.16	7.64*	* 0.	62	0.74	1 (	0.23 1.5	55

Only countries with good records of human rights should receive this country's foreign aid. (C)

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.90	1.48	2.70	1.10	4.90	1.97	3.80	1.83	4.50	1.36
F	3.25	1.76	3.10	1.14	5.80	0.98	3.70	1.35	3.80	1.54
F	1.52		2.07	29.4	10** 0.	31	0.61		0.61 0.	35

Pornog	raphic n	ateria	should	not be	sold i	n Canad	ia. (C, T)			
			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.85	1.46	3.20	1.89	5.40	1.62	2.70	1.73	5.20	0.98
F	4.60	1.96	2.90	1.64	5.50	1.36	2.50	1.50	5.70	1.00
F	9.75*	*	0.03	19.31	L** 0.	13	0.11		10.44**	0.61

			Conser	rvative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.55	2.06	5.90	1.30	3.20	1.33	5.90	0.70	3.00	1.48
F	4.05	2.16	5.90	1.70	2.80	1.60	5.10	1.70	2.10	1.45
F	0.53		0.16	34.02	. 0.	16	3.39	40.85	5** 0	.01

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.10	1.73	4.80	1.89	3.60	1.80	6.40	0.92	3.00	1.00
F	2.65	1.46	5.00	1.73	4.30	1.42	5.50	1.57	3.60	1.50
F	1.12		0.62	2	2.75 0.	19	0.12	38.72	* 3.	10

			Conse	rvative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.15	1.98	3.80	2.23	4.20	1.83	6.10	0.83	3.50	1.69
F	3.95	1.32	4.50	1.28	4.00	0.63	4.30	1.35	4.10	0.83
F	2.14		0.22	-	0.01 0.	70	2.15	11.68	* 8.	58*

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.05	1.56	4.80	1.83	3.10	1.22	4.80	1.40	2.60	1.28
F	3.85	2.10	5.30	1.68	3.20	1.66	4.50	1.86	4.00	1.73
F	1.77		0.31	12.47	7** 0.	14	1.08		5.53* 2.5	9

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.60	1.56	3.90	1.70	5.00	1.34	4.50	1.69	4.80	1.33
P	3.25	1.51	2.60	1.28	5.50	0.92	2.90	1.30	4.40	2.01
F	0.49		0.80		20.06**	4.06	3.48	- :	2.82 1.3	25

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.15	2.06	2.80	1.47	5.50	1.36	1.70	0.64	5.20	1.60
F	1.75	1.22	2.50	1.75	5.00	1.61	2.90	2.17	4.20	2.23
F	6.52*		2.60	25.1	19** 0.	04	0.03		16.43**	3.45

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.10	1.48	5.10	1.14	3.50	1.69	3.50	1.12	4.70	1.49
F	4.60	1.66	4.80	1.72	2.90	1.64	5.00	1.79	4.00	2.00
F	0.96		0.74	11.26**	0.	08	0.54		0.03 4.	09

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.50	1.69	3.20	1.25	4.50	1.86	2.50	1.12	5.00	1.34
F	3.70	1.90	2.80	1.83	5.10	1.22	3.20	1.72	5.30	0.78
F	1.88		0.04	11.8	3** 0.	91	1.36		28.77**	0.22

			Conse	vative	liberal		Tı	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.50	1.50	1.80	0.60	5.00	1.48	2.10	1.22	4.20	1.66
F	2.05	1.07	2.50	1.12	5.00	1.34	3.20	1.78	5.20	0.98
F	1.13		0.79	52.1	2** 0.	79	4.74*	:	18.08**	0.01

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.55	1.80	4.00	1.41	3.40	1.36	5.10	1.58	3.20	1.25
F	3.85	1.71	5.50	1.20	4.00	2.05	5.80	1.08	4.00	1.67
F F	0.28		4.18*	4	.18* 0.	77	2.53	15.38	** 0.1	01

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal_
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.25	1.89	3.60	2.11	4.10	1.51	4.00	1.95	3.10	1.37
F	4.45	1.43	3.70	1.95	4.70	0.90	4.90	1.64	5.30	0.90
F	0.13		0.3	19 1	.78 0.	20	9.41	** (	0.24 1.0	56

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ay	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.35	1.80	3.00	2.00	5.20	0.98	2.30	2.09	5.00	1.10
F	4.65	1.56	3.00	1.73	5.40	1.50	2.00	0.89	5.40	1.56
F	5.68	3*	0.0	04	18.67**	0.04	0.16	32.07	. 1.	17

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.25	1.70	3.00	1.67	4.20	1.89	2.80	1.72	3.40	1.62
F	2.20	1.63	2.80	1.83	5.50	1.50	2.40	1.56	4.30	1.55
F F	3.78	and the same of th	0.91	11.44	** 1.	69	0.22		5.38* 1.	16

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.15	1.01	4.40	2.11	5.10	1.81	4.50	1.75	5.00	1.73
F	6.00	1.48	4.30	1.49	3.60	2.11	3.80	1.40	4.30	1.85
F	0.13		1.60		0.00 1.	23	1.54	(	0.79 0.1	00

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.70	1.62	4.00	1.10	3.90	1.22	4.00	1.48	4.20	1.08
F	4.10	1.67	3.50	1.28	4.60	1.50	3.90	1.37	5.70	0.90
F	1.27		0.05	1	1.37 1.	97	2.91		5.94* 3.1	30

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sox of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.15	1.35	4.20	1.78	3.40	1.11	4.40	1.74	4.10	1.58
F	5.90	1.18	4.20	1.08	2.50	1.20	4.00	1.10	3.80	2.09
F	3.32		1.04	8.0	** 1.	04	0.40		0.20 0.	01

When it is the woman's word against that of her alleged assailant, I am inclined to believe the woman. Conservative-liberal Traditional-radical Agreement Agree Disagree Agree Disagree Sex Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD Mean SD of S 4.30 1.23 3.10 1.76 4.60 4.40 1.20 4.40 1.80 1.50

0.42 4.57\*

0.01

0.41 0.41

4.55 1.40 4.40 1.28 3.60 1.85 4.00 1.10 4.70 2.19

0.34

0.08

			Conser	vative	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.30	1.23	3.40	1.62	4.70	1.27	3.10	1.45	3.90	1.37
F	3.80	1.60	3.70	1.68	5.10	0.54	3.30	2.00	4.70	1.00
F	1.17		0.60	8.93	3** 0.	.01	1.00	-	1.84* 0.	36

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.10	1.41	4.10	1.30	4.40	1.62	3.80	1.40	3.70	1.42
F	4.45	1.40	4.30	1.27	4.20	0.60	3.80	1.60	4.30	0.78
F	2.04		0.00		0.06 0.	23	0.45		.20 0.4	15

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.20	1.69	4.10	1.51	4.60	1.02	4.90	1.14	3.90	0.94
P	3.40	1.77	4.40	1.85	3.70	0.90	4.30	1.68	3.30	1.00
F	2.03		0.43	3 (	0.05 1.	71	2.16		6.00* 0.1	00

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.30	2.15	4.00	1.48	4.40	1.85	3.40	1.80	4.20	1.66
F	3.40	1.98	4.00	1.41	4.40	1.62	3.30	1.49	4.90	1.37
F	0.02		0.00	(	0.56 0.	00	0.32		5.13* 0.5	57

	1		Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.00	1.34	3.60	1.28	3.40	0.66	4.60	1.28	4.10	1.70
P	5.30	1.68	3.70	1.68	3.80	1.40	3.50	1.50	3.60	1.80
F	0.37		0.33		0.01 0.	12	2.30	(	0.14 0.:	32

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.15	1.56	5.50	1.02	3.80	1.89	5.90	0.94	3.80	1.33
F	3.85	2.31	5.10	1.76	2.90	1.70	5.70	1.27	2.80	1.78
F	1.20		1.44	1 12.	93**	0.21	1.75		30.32**	0.78

	1		Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.95	1.66	3.90	1.92	4.80	1.25	3.80	1.40	4.30	1.73
F	2.45	1.75	2.60	1.20	5.20	0.98	2.20	1.17	5.30	1.42
F	0.82		0.95	14.	41**	3.40	0.39	1	13.99**	7.29

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.10	2.10	3.50	2.58	6.00	0.77	3.40	2.15	5.30	1.00
F	2.70	1.79	2.20	1.78	6.00	0.89	2.70	2.45	5.80	0.75
F	0.40		1.36	31.8	37** 1.	36	0.03		18.41**	1.06

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.90	1.61	4.70	1.79	5.10	1.30	5.00	1.90	4.70	1.73
F	2.50	1.72	5.30	1.62	4.10	2.07	3.90	2.47	3.90	1.87
F	0.55		0.12		.49 1.	95	2.01		0.05	0.05

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.85	1.46	4.10	1.45	5.10	0.83	2.60	0.92	4.20	1.72
P	4.95	1.86	3.30	1.19	3.30	1.90	2.80	1.25	4.00	1.73
F	4.12*		-		.15 1.	15	0.00	8.44*	0.:	17

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
M	2.00	1.45	2.00	1.48	5.00	1.67	1.80	1.17	4.70	1.68
F	1.50	1.32	1.10	0.30	5.20	1.89	2.70	2.61	5.50	1.36
P	1.23		0.51	. !	52.45**	1.26	2.03	22.79	9** 0.0	01

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.95	1.63	3.50	1.50	4.30	1.42	3.80	1.72	4.10	1.22
F	3.15	1.24	3.10	0.70	4.60	1.02	3.40	1.20	4.40	1.11
F	2.91		0.02	8.22*	* 0.	76	0.01	2	.13 0.	52

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.25	1.73	3.70	1.62	5.10	1.14	3.30	2.28	4.20	1.66
F	2.30	1.52	2.10	1.14	5.10	1.58	2.30	1.68	5.20	2.04
F	0.01		3.00		22.69**	3.00	0.00		3.70**	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.75	1.79	2.60	1.85	4.00	1.79	3.20	1.25	4.00	1.10
F	3.40	1.88	3.60	1.20	4.80	1.25	3.60	1.69	5.00	1.41
F	1.19		3.02		5.31* 0.	04	2.32	2 5	.73* 0.	43

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.10	1.18	4.80	1.25	3.50	2.20	4.40	2.11	3.70	2.37
F	6.45	1.07	4.80	1.78	2.50	1.69	4.10	2.07	2.80	2.18
F	0.92		0.72	9.39	* 0.	72	0.68	1	1.88 0.	17

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.15	1.59	4.40	1.62	4.00	1.79	4.60	1.74	4.80	1.08
F	3.40	1.71	3.80	1.17	4.20	1.60	3.00	1.61	4.80	1.89
F	0.22				0.00 0.	59	2.22		3.47 2.22	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	grea
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.90	1.41	3.60	1.50	3.70	1.27	3.00	1.10	3.10	1.87
F	4.10	1.41	3.70	1.42	4.60	1.28	2.50	0.92	4.30	1.35
F	0.19		1.20	1	1.20 0.	77	0.60	4	1.42* 3.	54

			Conser	vativ	e-liberal		T	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.20	1.12	5.80	1.33	3.70	1.73	5.60	1.11	3.10	2.07
F	2.30	1.38	5.00	1.41	2.40	1.02	5.30	1.85	1.80	0.98
F	4.85*		5.08	*	25.46**	0.29	2.33		32.73**	0.91

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.85	1.15	2.40	1.50	5.40	1.28	3.70	1.85	3.30	2.05
F	1.45	1.32	2.10	1.51	5.60	0.66	2.00	1.90	5.00	1.48
F	0.99		0.01	57	7.53**	0.34	0.00		1.53* 7.3	75

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.50	0.67	4.80	1.66	3.00	1.79	3.60	1.91	3.40	2.06
F	6.10	1.18	4.50	1.69	4.40	1.74	3.80	1.78	4.30	1.73
F	1.65		0.92	- 2	.74 2.	19	0.78		0.06 0.3	31

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.70	0.78	5.70	1.10	2.80	1.78	4.70	1.85	3.90	2.17
F	7.00	0.00	5.40	1.50	2.40	1.85	4.50	2.25	3.20	2.36
F	3.80		0.44	31.:	17**	0.01	0.39		2.12 0.	12

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.35	1.88	3.30	1.19	5.20	1.17	3.40	2.06	5.10	1.51
F	4.50	1.77	4.20	1.66	5.70	1.10	3.40	1.43	5.40	0.92
F	3.76		2.62	15.4	44**	0.21	0.09	13.09	** 0.1	09

			Conse	cvative	-liberal		T	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.30	1.49	4.90	1.37	3.80	1.72	4.20	1.08	3.60	1.85
F	6.55	0.67	5.60	1.96	3.70	1.42	4.20	2.14	3.50	1.75
F	11.17	**	0.30		7.57**	0.54	0.01		1.25 0.0	01

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	adition	ibar-ls	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.40	0.92	5.20	1.33	3.20	1.25	4.00	1.26	3.90	2.51
F	6.10	1.48	5.10	2.07	3.30	1.79	4.10	2.02	4.20	1.94
F	0.56		0.00	12.0	01**	0.03	0.09	(	0.00 0.0	02

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.60	1.80	4.40	1.36	3.70	1.79	3.60	1.91	3.90	1.70
F	2.00	1.22	4.30	1.85	4.50	1.96	3.70	1.90	4.30	1.95
F	1.44		0.36	-	0.18 0.	59	0.16		0.52 0.	06

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.65	1.06	2.80	1.54	4.70	1.90	4.10	1.81	3.80	1.78
F	1.50	0.87	3.50	1.69	5.50	1.20	4.10	1.30	4.70	1.79
F	0.23		1.97	13.3	33**	0.01	0.64		0.07 0.	64

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.85	1.62	5.60	1.20	2.80	1.17	5.00	1.34	4.90	1.83
F	6.05	1.50	4.40	1.69	3.40	1.20	4.00	1.95	4.10	1.45
F	0.16		0.46		18.36**	4.12	2.66	(	0.00 0.0	3

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.35	1.96	4.40	1.69	3.90	1.70	5.10	1.37	2.90	1.64
F	5.90	1.51	3.90	1.76	3.70	1.42	4.70	1.49	2.80	1.83
F	0.94		0.41		0.41 0.	07	0.22	14.91	** 0.0	08

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.30	1.52	2.70	1.19	4.40	1.43	3.50	1.91	4.20	1.99
F	3.80	1.44	2.80	1.47	4.90	0.83	3.60	1.69	4.70	1.19
F	1.09		0.51	20.63	3** 0.	23	0.27	- 2	.46 0.	12

			Conser	vative-	liberal		TI	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.60	0.97	3.90	1.30	4.10	1.51	3.70	1.35	4.60	1.50
F	5.15	1.62	4.40	1.69	3.90	1.14	3.90	1,51	3.90	1.14
F	1.08		0.10	(	0.10 0.	54	0.29	(	0.96 0.	96

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Ti	aditio	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.45	1.20	4.20	1.60	3.90	1.30	4.10	1.30	3.30	1.10
F	3.85	1.74	5.20	0.87	3.00	1.61	4.50	1.28	2.80	1.54
F	0.68		0.01	7.39**	4.	27*	0.01	8.14	* 1.0	05

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.30	1.71	6.10	1.04	3.10	1.14	6.30	0.64	3.70	1.00
F	3.85	1.85	4.60	2.37	2.90	1.14	4.10	2.07	3.40	1.28
F	0.91		2.79	21.35	* 1.	63	7.65**	13.3	33** 4.	12*

			Conse	vative	-liberal		123	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Men	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.30	1.10	4.80	1.60	3.70	1.73	4.20	2.14	4.10	1.58
F	6.00	1.26	4.00	1.26	3.20	1.78	3.60	1.85	4.00	2.05
F	0.61		1.47	2	3.15 0.	08	0.30	(	0.06 0.	15

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.00	1.76	4.80	0.98	3.70	1.27	4.50	1.36	3.90	1.22
F	4.25	2.17	3.70	1.35	4.50	1.28	4.30	1.49	3.50	0.81
F	0.15	2.17	0.13			39*	0.5		2.85 0.	_

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.25	1.55	3.50	1.63	3.50	1.80	3.50	1.75	4.60	1.36
F	5.30	1.05	4.30	1.55	3.70	1.42	4.00	1.67	4.60	1.28
F	0.01		0.87	(	0.31 0.	31	0.24		2.79 0.	24

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.10	1.30	5.00	1.55	5.10	1.45	4.30	1.42	5.00	1.10
F	4.20	1.63	4.00	0.77	4.60	1.56	2.50	1.20	5.00	1.73
F	0.04		2.69		0.59 0.	30	3.81	12.03	** 3.1	81

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
M	1.55	1.36	3.90	1.76	4.90	1.45	4.90	1.92	4.40	1.69
F	1.70	1.14	4.90	1.58	3.30	1.79	4.90	2.02	3.70	1.42
F	0.14		0.30	(	0.30 5.	59*	0.35		2.06 0.35	

			Conse	rvative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
M	2.50	1.47	4.40	1.20	4.10	1.51	4.80	1.47	4.20	1.7
F	2.85	1.19	4.00	1.26	4.30	0.90	4.20	2.09	4.50	1.63
F	0.65				0.00 0.	0.00 0.53		(	0.07 0.	50

	l		Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.65	1.11	3.10	1.70	3.80	1.72	2.30	1.27	4.80	1.54
F	3.95	1.63	3.60	1.69	5.10	1.14	3.10	1.92	6.10	1.04
F	2.40		2,92		.36* 0.	58	4.54*	31.1	11** 0.:	26

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.55	1.47	5.50	0.92	4.20	1.54	4.40	1.43	3.30	1.68
F	4.60	1.43	5.50	1.28	3.40	1.50	5.90	0.70	2.10	0.94
F	0.01		0.81	14.	65** 0.	81	0.13	34.69	* 10	.53

	1		Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.30	1.31	3.30	1.68	3.10	1.30	5.70	1.35	2.70	1.00
F	1.90	1.51	5.00	2.28	3.40	1.96	5.40	1.62	3.80	2.18
F	0.76		2.66	- 1	2.15 1.	30	0.56	18.6	3** 1.	73

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.50	1.40	3.70	1.35	5.10	1.22	4.40	1.80	4.40	1.3
F	2.75	1.58	2.70	1.27	4.90	0.70	4.10	1.76	4.30	1.35
F	0.27		2.40	21.	60**	1.07	0.14		.04 0.0	04

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.45	1.53	3.60	1.20	4.30	2.05	3.00	1.55	4.80	1.33
F	3.40	1.43	2.40	1.50	4.90	1.76	2.80	1.60	4.40	1.74
F	4.77*		0.30	8.39*	* 2.	66	0.33	10.66	5** 0.0	04

			Conser	cvative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.10	1.48	5.80	0.98	2.80	1.60	5.50	0.81	3.70	1.19
F	3.35	1.62	5.40	1.11	3.50	1.57	5.00	1.34	2.70	1.42
F	2.22		0.11	29.9	7** 1.	51	3.45	25.	77**	0.38

	L		Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.20	1.21	3.40	1.69	3.80	1.47	2.30	1.35	6.10	0.94
F_	5.35	2.06	4.40	2.01	5.90	1.04	2.80	2.09	5.60	1.50
F	2.41		8.54**		.21 1.	08	0.00	42.1	5** 0.	97

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.75	1.51	3.50	1.75	5.10	1.45	2.80	1.66	4.50	1.28
F	5.45	1.12	3.10	1.64	4.70	1.19	2.80	1.60	4.20	1.33
F	0.48		0.62	9.97	. 0.	00	0.09	9.91	* 0.1	09

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.60	2.11	3.10	1.87	4.90	1.22	3.70	2.00	4.50	1.20
F	3.60	1.71	3.90	1.64	4.30	1.10	4.80	1.54	4.70	1.10
F	2.57		0.04	4	.91* 1.	99	1.68	(	.49 0.8	31

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	radition	mal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	igree	Ag	ree	Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.85	2.06	3.7C	1.90	4.60	1.43	4.10	1.30	4.20	1.60
F	4.10	2.05	4.50	1.36	4.40	1.62	5.20	1.78	3.90	1.14
F	1.27		0.32		0.57 0.	89	0.66		1.49 2.	03

			Conse	rvative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.15	1.77	3.80	2.23	3.90	1.70	3.70	1.68	4.10	1.81
F	3.60	1.71	3.90	1.45	4.80	1.60	4.70	1.90	3.90	1.97
F	0.63		0.72		0.72 0.	46	0.42		0.11 0.	95

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.85	1.39	3.70	1.73	4.60	1.80	3.90	1.14	4.50	1.80
F	6.40	0.80	5.10	1.30	3.70	1.19	3.40	1.50	3.50	1.36
F	2.24		0.24		0.24 5.	09*	2.35	-	0.51 0.2	26

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.60	1.96	3.70	1.90	5.30	1.79	4.90	1.97	5.10	1.14
F	4.55	2.13	3.00	1.10	4.60	1.50	3.40	1.50	5.00	1.34
F	0.01		1.72	8.98	. 0.	00	2.50	3.16	1.9	1

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	tlean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.95	1.32	3.10	1.04	3.90	1.22	5.00	1.18	3.50	1.20
F	4.70	1.45	3.80	1.54	4.20	1.33	4.70	1.49	3.10	1.51
F	2.77				1.93 0.	1.93 0.21		11.77	7** 0.1	01

			Conser	vative	-liberal	l	Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.60	1,53	3.10	1.30	5.10	1.14	3.70	1.85	4.20	1.83
F	2.00	0.89	2.40	1.02	4.70	1.79	2.50	1.50	4.70	1.90
F	2.18		1.51	23.02	**	0.11	0.35		.19* 2.	06

		422	Conser	rvative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.95	1.32	5.50	1.63	3.30	1.68	5.00	1.90	3.20	1.72
F	3.50	1.75	4.60	1.74	2.80	0.87	5.00	1.90	3.10	1.51
F	0.80		1.90	15.55	5** 0.	16	0.01	9.90**	0.	01

200			Consei	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.65	1.85	5.30	1.55	4.10	1.45	5.30	1.27	3.40	1.36
F	3.80	1.72	5.90	0.70	2.80	1.60	5.70	1.49	2.80	1.78
F	0.07		0.58	22.04	** 4.	30*	0.04		23.51**	1.02

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.50	1.43	5.20	0.98	3.60	1.36	4.20	1.47	4.00	1.18
F	5.75	0.94	4.40	1.56	4.20	1.40	3.40	1.28	4.50	0.92
F	0.40		0.05	. 4	.05 2.	45	0.13		1.20 2.5	51

	1		Conser	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.90	1.73	4.20	1.83	2.90	2.12	3.10	1.81	2.30	1.85
F	6.05	2.01	5.10	1.37	2.90	1.58	4.50	1.20	2.90	1.92
F	0.06		0.60	9.01	** 0.	60	3.04		1.38* 0.4	19

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Tz	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.95	1.72	4.10	1.92	3.80	1.72	4.10	2.02	4.00	1.84
F	2.70	1.38	3.80	1.99	4.20	1.25	3.90	1.81	2.90	1.37
F	0.24		0.01		0.01 0.	36	1.20	(	0.86 0.	58

100 000			Conse	cvative-	-liberal		Tı	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.70	1.00	2.60	2.11	5.30	1.79	1.80	0.98	5.00	1.34
F	1.90	1.45	1.80	1.78	6.00	1.48	2.20	1.99	6.10	0.54
F	0.25	D 27775X	0.01	32.94	** 1.	56	2.89	64.7	2** 0.	53

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SE
м	6.85	0.36	4.90	2.34	2.40	1.91	4.40	1.56	1.70	1.19
F	6.95	0.22	5.10	1.81	2.70	1.55	5.50	1.91	2.30	2.1
F	1.09		0.15	14.5	7** 0.	01	2.15	25.8	7** 0.:	19

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	igree	Ag	rce	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.65	1.56	6.20	1.25	2.70	0.90	4.70	1.62	3.30	1.55
F	6.30	0.95	5.30	1.95	3.00	1.73	5.30	1.68	3.00	1.55
F	2.41		0.35	32.	98** 1.	41	0.08	12.0	04** 0.	71

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.90	1.70	5.90	0.94	3.50	1.75	5.00	1.55	3.70	1.68
F	3.65	1.62	5.10	1.51	2.50	1.12	5.30	1.49	2.40	1.11
F	0.22		3.90	30.0	3** 0.	05	1.04	18.3	33** 2.	66

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.10	1.70	2.80	1.89	5.90	1.22	3.90	2.02	5.50	1.28
F	1.85	1.31	2.80	2.32	5.50	1.43	3.30	2.28	5.10	2.17
F	0.26		0.12	24.30	* 0.	12	0.58		5.65* 0.	02

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disc	gree	Agree		Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.55	1.69	3.20	2.09	4.30	1.00	3.00	1.79	3.90	1.58
F	2.65	1.65	2.90	1.87	5.00	1.73	2.10	1.76	5.20	1.08
F	0.03		0.12	7.77*	* 0.	76	0.14	14.49	** 4.	38

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.05	2.06	2.90	1.97	5.30	1.10	3.40	2.11	5.40	1.36
F	1.90	1.48	2.00	1.18	5.60	1.11	2.10	1.58	4.60	2.11
F	3.90		0.42	41.86	. 1.	67	3.00	13	80** 0.	17

			Conse	vative-	-liberal	100	T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.20	1.72	5.20	1.94	2.10	0.94	5.30	1.73	2.90	1.76
F	4.90	1.87	5.10	1.76	3.00	1.34	5.90	1.70	2.70	1.79
F	0.27		0.60	25.51	L** C	.94	0.12	23.:	13** 0.	17

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.25	1.44	2.10	1.58	5.10	1.58	1.90	1.14	4.80	1.78
F	4.80	1.72	2.60	1.36	5.50	0.92	2.60	1.91	5.60	0.80
F	9.04**		0.95	40	.85**	0.01	2.32	35.89	9** 0.1	01

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.35	1.77	3.90	1.87	4.50	1.20	3.10	1.30	4.00	1.34
F	5.35	1.68	4.00	1.18	5.30	1.00	3.90	1.37	5.00	1.55
F	0.00		0.99		1.42* 0.	60	3.75		1.63* 0.	05

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agro	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Agree		Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.65	1.28	4.20	1.60	4.20	1.83	5.20	1.54	4.40	1.80
F	5.10	1.84	4.30	1.85	4.20	1.89	4.50	2.11	3.50	1.57
F	22.73	**	0.01		0.01 0.	01	1.84		2.33 0.0	3

			Consei	vative	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.15	2.29	4.10	1.87	3.80	1.83	5.20	1.72	4.00	1.79
F	5.60	1.74	3.80	1.66	4.40	2.01	5.10	1.30	3.50	1.63
F	4.83*		0.06		0.06 0.53		0.31		6.72* 0.14	

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.90	1.45	4.70	1.27	3.50	1.63	4.80	1.25	4.00	1.61
F F	6.35	1.19	3.50	1.28	4.00	2.14	3.30	1.62	3.80	1.33
F	1.09		0.42		0.42 2.	47	3.05	(	0.09 1.	78

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radical	
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.85	2.17	3.20	1.40	5.50	1.63	3.70	1.79	5.60	1.02
F	3.20	1.99	2.30	1.73	5.70	1.10	2.20	1.54	4.50	1.63
F	0.92		0.50	33.12	** 1.	23	6.57*	17.14	** (	0.16

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.90	2.21	5.00	1.79	3.10	1.70	4.00	2.00	2.90	1.64
F	4.45	2.40	5.70	1.27	2.60	1.20	4.70	1.95	3.10	1.87
F	0.54		0.04	24.62	2** 1.	42	0.52	4	1.69* 0.	16

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.30	2.19	5.10	1.70	2.70	1.68	5.70	1.10	2.10	0.54
F	4.10	2.07	5.60	1.02	2.60	1.11	5.20	1.47	2.90	1.51
F	0.08		0.18	32.89	. 0.	41	0.14	52.6	55** 2.	56

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		TI	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.20	1.72	3.50	1.20	4.00	1.34	4.80	1.99	4.70	1.35
F	5.05	1.99	4.80	2.09	4.40	1.28	4.30	2.33	3.50	1.50
F	1.99		2.81	(	0.01 0.	79	1.94		0.54 0.3	33

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dica	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.40	1.07	5.20	1.33	3.80	1.60	3.90	1.51	3.20	1.47
F	5.75	1.04	5.30	1.85	2.50	0.92	4.90	1.45	2.60	1.20
F	1.04		1.51	18.50	** 2.	06	0.18	10.	15** 2.1	89

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T:	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.05	1.83	2.60	1.20	4.50	1.69	3.70	1.55	5.20	1.33
F	5.50	1.12	3.10	1.70	5.10	1.30	3.10	1.81	4.80	1.66
F	0.84		1.23		15.43**	0.01	0.88	9.02	** 0.0	04

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.75	1.58	4.30	1.42	4.40	1.74	4.20	1.78	4.50	1.96
F	1.95	1.47	3.50	1.20	5.00	1.95	3.30	1.19	4.70	2.00
F	2.62		0.03		2.24 1.	71	0.35		2.09 0.	8

Judges	are not	being	tough •	nough o	n those	found	guilty	of chil	d abuse.	
			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.85	1.39	3.80	1.60	4.50	1.63	3.80	1.08	4.40	2.11
F	5.60	1.07	3.70	1.85	3.50	1.12	3.90	1.97	4.20	1.25
F	0.39		1.10	(	0.23 0.	74	0.01	1	0.66 0.	07

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.60	0.73	3.70	1.90	4.00	1.84	4.30	1.42	4.50	1.57
F	6.65	0.79	4.90	1.81	3.40	1.20	4.90	1.14	3.40	1.69
F	0.04		0.28	1	.10 2.	48	0.26	1	.77 3.1	)3

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.85	0.48	5.40	1.02	3.20	1.72	4.70	1.62	3.20	2.18
F	6.95	0.22	5.00	1.41	3.50	1.91	3.90	2.07	2.80	1.78
F	0.69	- Contract	0.01		12.77**	0.46	0.87		4.11 0.	10

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	igree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.10	1.95	5.00	0.89	2.70	1.19	5.10	1.70	2.40	1.20
F	4.80	1.78	5.60	0.66	2.80	1.66	5.70	1.49	2.40	1.62
F	1.34		0.82	43.27	* 0.	42	0.35	35.25	9** 0.:	35

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.95	1.07	2.00	1.00	4.80	1.78	2.10	1.22	3.90	1.97
F	1.65	0.85	1.60	0.49	5.50	0.67	2.50	1.80	5.40	1.85
F	0.91		0.17	83.3	0** 2.2	5	2.69	16.47**	0.90	

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.00	1.26	5.70	1.10	2.90	1.76	4.90	1.14	2.70	1.42
F	2.85	1.56	5.70	0.90	2.50	0.92	5.30	1.73	2.40	1.11
F	21.81*	*	0.24	54.36**	0.24		0.01	31.01**	0.58	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	igree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.00	1.87	2.50	0.81	4.20	1.99	2.40	1.20	5.00	1.67
F	3.10	1.84	1.60	0.66	4.80	1.60	2.80	2.23	4.80	1.47
F	2.23		0.11	28.40**	2.66		0.03	16.76**	0.29	

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	rec	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.05	1.20	2.20	0.87	5.10	1.51	2.20	0.98	4.10	1.51
F	3.45	1.60	2.10	1.64	4.40	1.96	2.80	2.18	5.60	1.36
F	0.76		0.60	25.40**	0.34	- 0.1	4.03	20.18**	0.74	V0000

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.55	1.36	2.90	2.26	4.00	1.61	3.20	2.23	3.90	1.70
F	1.40	1.32	2.30	1.55	4.70	2.33	2.00	1.79	5.00	1.61
F	0.12		0.01		7.11* 0.	98	0.01	9.03**	3.	19

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.35	0.85	4.40	1.50	3.70	1.55	3.20	1.40	3.80	1.66
P	6.00	1.14	4.40	1.50	3.10	1.58	4.70	1.79	3.50	1.96
F	1.15		0.35		3.84 0.	35	1.10	(	0.28 2.	48

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.90	2.07	3.40	1.28	3.40	1.43	3.10	1.70	3.50	0.81
F	3.60	2.35	3.90	2.07	4.30	1.42	2.90	1.45	4.60	1.74
F	0.17		1.77		0.14 0.	14	0.84		1.58* 1.	75

			Conser	vative-	liberal		TI	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	sp
м	3.30	1.87	4.50	2.16	4.00	1.73	5.10	2.02	4.20	1.94
F	4.35	2.17	4.90	1.37	3.90	0.83	4.50	1.57	3.30	1.35

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	groe
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.00	1.41	2.80	1.60	4.10	1.58	3.60	2.46	4.80	1.47
F	3.10	1.22	2.80	1.66	5.00	1.48	3.70	1.95	4.50	1.12
F	0.05		0.73	11.01	. 0.	73	0.03	-	2.71 0.	11

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.75	1.76	4.10	2.02	3.10	1.14	4.60	1.69	3.30	1.42
F	4.35	1.46	3.70	1.42	4.10	1.22	3.30	1.19	3.40	1.56
F	1.31		0.36		0.36 1.	99	1.49	1	.49 2.	03

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	rae	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SE
м	2.35	1.53	4.10	2.26	4.50	1.80	5.80	1.54	3.40	1.7
F	2.40	1.50	4.10	1.22	4.40	1.91	4.50	1.63	3.20	1.78
F	0.01		0.01		0.33 0.	01	1.81	10.5	99** 0.	97

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.05	1.60	4.60	1.85	3.60	2.11	4.30	2.10	3.70	2.33
F	5.65	1.49	3.50	1.36	4.10	1.92	4.00	1.48	4.50	2.11
F F	0.64		0.24 0.11 1.72			0.14 0.01 0.66				

			Conser	rvative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.35	0.85	5.20	1.33	3.50	1.36	3.30	1.42	4.00	1.84
F	6.30	1.05	4.80	1.40	4.60	1.20	4.30	2.15	4.50	1.50
F	0.03	0.03		0.63 4.63* 2.89			1.65 0.59 0.18			18

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal	
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	3.20	1.47	4.20	1.60	4.70	1.35	2.90	1.51	4.00	1.48	
F	2.75	1.55	3.00	1.34	5.20	1.25	3.40	1.85	4.90	1.76	
F	0.85		0.57	8.49	3.	36	1.60	1.60		5.52* 0.13	

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.00	1.95	3.90	1.51	3.70	1.42	3.40	1.56	5.20	1.40
F	5.10	1.51	3.40	1.91	4.30	1.49	3.90	1.97	4.60	1.56
F	0.03		0.01 0.43 1.07			0.01		5.24* 1.	01	

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.35	1.06	3.90	1.87	4.10	1.64	4.40	1.50	3.80	1.54
F	5.60	1.32	4.60	1.43	3.80	1.33	4.00	1.73	4.80	1.08
F	0.41		0.14 0.32 0.90		0.37 0.04 2.01					

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal	L	T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.70	1.93	4.30	1.95	4.40	1.80	3.60	1.80	3.80	1.9
F	4.20	1.44	5.30	1.55	4.50	1.57	4.40	1.57	4.00	1.2
F F	4.20	1.44	_	1.55		-		1.57		_

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.90	1.34	4.20	1.33	5.00	1.00	3.80	1.47	4.60	1.11
F	4.15	1.71	3.10	1.22	4.50	1.28	4.20	1.47	4.60	1.62
F	0.25		3.91 7.38*		0.55		0.18 1.58 0.18			

			Conse	rvative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.95	2.11	4.30	1.49	4.20	1.89	5.50	1.28	3.00	1.48
F	4.00	2.12	4.00	1.95	4.20	1.40	4.10	1.58	3.40	1.69
F	2.34		0.07	0.01	0.07		0.98	10.04*	3.18	7000

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.50	0.67	4.20	1.89	4.20	1.54	2.90	1.76	3.90	1.81
F	1.85	1.01	3.50	1.57	4.10	1.58	3.50	1.75	4.50	1.63
F	1.58		0.53 0.30 0.30			1.07 2.98 0.00				

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.35	1.93	2.60	2.06	5.10	1.30	3.00	1.79	5.20	1.08
F	4.55	1.56	3.60	2.01	4.40	1.43	4.00	2.41	4.70	1.79
F	0.12		0.07 8.16** 2.17			0.17 5.66* 1.51				

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.55	1.12	2.90	1.22	4.70	1.55	3.50	1.36	3.80	1.72
F	3.75	1.51	3.30	1.79	5.60	1.28	3.40	2.20	4.40	1.74
F	3.44		1.74 17.29** 0.26		0.18 1.20 0.35					

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.25	1.64	2.70	1.49	4.60	1.11	3.40	1.69	3.80	1.70
F	4.95	1.60	2.50	1.12	4.90	0.54	3.60	1.91	5.10	1.0
F	0.33		0.02	33.35	** 0.	45	1.89		3.03 1.0	01

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.15	1.56	4.40	2.01	2.40	1.28	4.90	1.14	2.40	1.02
F	4.10	1.97	6.20	0.60	2.40	0.92	6.20	0.98	2.60	1.56
F	3.32		4.24*	44.	01**	4.24*	3.53		58.45**	1.90

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	igree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.60	1.56	5.70	1.00	4.60	1.50	5.40	1.02	2.80	1.08
F	3.50	1.66	4.20	1.66	4.10	1.14	4.90	1.51	3.20	1.66
F	4.43*		4.93*	1.78	1.23		0.01	22.95**	1.01	

			Conse	rvative-	liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.85	1.85	1.90	1.45	4.50	1.63	2.90	1.70	4.30	1.27
F	2.15	1.35	2.10	1.22	6.30	0.64	1.90	1.76	5.20	1.66
F	1.77		5.42	62.67**	3.47		0.01	19.21**	3.14	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal	L	T	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.20	1.63	5.50	1.43	2.70	2.10	5.20	1.47	3.10	2.17
F	6.00	1.22	5.20	0.98	2.50	1.80	5.40	1.28	3.60	2.46
F	2.92		0.21	25.52**	0.01		0.30	9.42**	0.06	

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.30	1.79	3.90	2.39	5.50	1.02	3.30	2.05	5.10	1.14
F	2.20	1.33	1.90	1.45	5.40	1.74	3.00	2.19	5.70	0.90
F	0.04		3.34 19.72**		2.74		0.07	16.40*	0.66	

			Conse	rvative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.45	2.25	4.60	1.36	4.20	1.89	3.40	1.74	4.80	1.40
F	4.25	2.30	3.20	1.89	4.20	1.47	4.20	1.99	4.30	1.27
F	0.07		1.59	(	0.29 1.	59	0.08	1	.92 1.4	14

			Conse	rvative	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.90	1.73	2.90	1.97	5.10	1.58	2.40	1.28	4.70	1.35
F	2.50	1.53	2.20	0.87	5.60	1.50	2.20	1.47	5.50	1.63
F	0.57		0.04		30.09**	1.38	0.39	3	4.17**	1.09

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.00	1.45	3.90	1.45	4.20	1.89	3.20	1.40	5.40	1.28
F	3.50	2.09	3.50	1.20	4.40	1.85	3.80	2.04	4.20	2.09
F	6.63		0.03	3 :	1.23 0.	31	0.27		5.02* 2.	41

		2 2 2	Conser	vative-	liberal		TI	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.70	1.93	4.20	1.54	5.10	0.94	3.30	1.95	4.90	1.58
F	5.75	1.70	4.20	1.99	4.60	1.28	3.20	1.78	4.60	1.11
F	3.18		0.25		.72 0.	25	0.13	7.57	** 0.0	3

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Tı	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	รอ	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.40	1.77	3.60	1.36	4.40	1.43	3.40	1.80	5.00	1.55
F	5.05	1.88	3.90	1.92	5.90	0.94	2.80	2.18	5.40	1.11
F	1.20		3.45	8.34**	1.53		0.03	13.64*	0.77	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.35	1.56	4.60	1.36	2.70	1.27	4.50	1.63	3.10	2.02
F	3.75	2.02	5.60	1.02	2.90	1.81	5.50	1.12	2.90	2.02
F	1.05		1.67 24.48*		* 0.74	* 0.74		11.92**	1.07	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.85	1.88	2.10	1.70	4.70	1.95	2.80	1.33	4.10	1.30
F	2.85	2.17	2.20	1.08	5.40	1.91	2.30	1.42	5.00	2.00
F	0.00		0.50	26.33**	0.28		0.15	15.22**	* 1.86	

			Conse	vative	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.45	1.66	1.50	0.67	5.20	1.47	2.10	1.51	3.70	1.49
F	2.70	1.73	1.60	0.92	5.30	0.78	2.70	1.49	3.90	1.14
F	0.21		0.09	121.39	* 0.00	(	0.72	8.82**	0.18	

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.10	1.92	5.30	1.19	1.80	0.60	4.60	1.56	2.20	1.17
F	4.10	1.51	5.40	0.80	3.10	1.30	5.70	0.90	3.20	1.66
F	0.00		4.30*	73	3.84**	3.16	5.39*	29.	32** 0.0	)1

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.55	1.56	4.50	2.01	3.40	1.91	5.80	0.87	2.70	1.55
F	5.45	1.72	5.40	1.74	2.00	0.63	4.90	1.92	2.20	1.40
F	2.85		0.20		6.37**	4.28*	2.00	3	1.33**	0:16

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.40	1.74	3.70	2.10	5.20	1.54	2.10	0.94	4.90	1.30
F	2.05	1.07	1.90	1.22	5.40	1.50	2.30	1.68	4.90	2.26
F	8.27	*	2.19	21.43	3**	3.43	0.03		25.04**	0.03

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.90	1.84	3.30	2.15	5.50	1.75	2.50	2.06	4.70	2.10
F	1.80	0.93	1.70	0.90	6.00	1.10	2.20	1.89	5.10	2.02
F	5.43	1*	1.13	39.32	2** 4	.10	0.01	14.3	35**	0.27

			Conser	rvative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.80	1.83	6.10	1.22	2.40	1.69	4.60	1.62	2.10	1.30
P	5.80	1.57	6.30	0.64	3.00	2.19	5.30	1.85	3.60	2.46
F	3.26		0.60	46.23**	0.15		3.16	11.52**	0.42	

			Conse	rvative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.10	2.17	1.60	0.66	4.80	2.14	2.20	1.47	4.80	1.72
F	4.05	1.88	2.50	1.80	5.40	1.50	2.40	1.91	5.20	1.94
F	0.01		1.93	31.92**	0.08		0.26	20.96**	0.03	

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.80	1.21	3.90	1.30	3.70	1.79	4.20	1.66	4.10	1.81
F	5.45	1.60	3.50	1.43	4.70	1.27	4.50	1.36	5.00	1.00
F	0.58		0.38	1.05	2.06		1.46 0.16 0.36			

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.80	1.57	4.20	0.87	3.40	1.28	4.00	2.00	2.90	1.58
F	6.60	0.58	4.30	1.00	3.30	1.19	3.90	1.64	3.60	1.85
F	4.34*		0.00	6.05*	0.07		0.26	1.40 (	0.46	

		DO 01 00	Conse	cvative	liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.65	1.65	3.80	1.89	4.30	1.49	5.20	1.54	3.10	1.22
F	3.45	2.18	4.60	1.36	3.50	1.57	4.70	1.73	4.30	1.35
F	0.10		0.00		0.32 2.	29	0.51		5.49* 3.	00

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.25	1.73	3.50	1.63	4.50	1.75	3.40	1.69	3.50	1.36
F	5.15	1.85	2.70	1.73	5.10	2.12	3.70	1.73	4.90	1.92
F	10.69		0.03	7.	88**	1.34	2.28		1.34 0.	96

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.50	1.63	2.60	1.69	4.30	1.35	2.90	2.02	4.40	1.43
F	5.55	1.32	3.60	2.29	4.80	1.25	2.70	2.10	5.70	0.64
F F	4.76*		1.77	6	. 61* 0.	20	0.99	1	6.64**	1.85

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.35	1.93	4.40	1.56	6.10	0.70	2.80	1.66	5.10	1.04
F	2.05	1.16	1.70	0.78	5.30	1.10	2.20	1.60	5.60	1.50
F	6.33*		23.21*	* 5	3.22**	6.84*	0.01	3	3.80**	1.26

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag:	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.90	1.64	4.30	2.10	1.90	0.83	4.90	2.02	2.10	1.14
F	2.15	1.80	6.80	0.40	2.70	1.79	6.50	0.67	3.20	1.89
F	9.83**			11.57** 44.89** 3.07		3.07	6.99* 35.66** 0.24			

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.10	1.95	2.90	1.22	4.50	1.91	2.40	1.11	4.10	1.92
F	5.05	1.69	2.80	0.98	5.60	1.43	2.80	1.72	5.20	1.17
F	2.58		1.11	21.4	11**	1.59	2.19	16.	36** 0.	48

			Conse	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.55	1.60	2.10	1.37	4.80	1.33	2.90	1.76	5.90	0.83
F	3.95	1.99	3.10	2.07	5.40	0.92	2.30	1.49	5.10	0.54
F	0.47		2.62	25.	63**	0.16	2.81	48.2	** 0.	06

			Conser	rvative-	liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dise	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.45	1.07	4.00	2.10	5.40	1.56	3.10	2.07	4.70	2.05
F	3.05	1.91	2.00	0.77	4.40	2.01	2.60	1.74	5.90	1.76
F	1.43		7.06*	11.3	32**	.78	0.30	14.	77**	1.78

	la non-mark		Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.20	1.72	5.00	1.67	2.20	0.75	4.80	1.83	1.70	0.90
F	5.30	1.62	5.70	0.90	2.10	0.94	5.60	1.20	3.30	2.10
F	0.03		0.64	72.8	35** 1	.14	5.17*	26.	19** 0	.57

			Conse	vative	libera;		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	tee	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.50	1.60	5.90	0.70	2.60	1.28	5.80	1.17	2.60	1.28
F	3.20	1.66	5.70	0.78	2.70	1.49	5.30	1.62	2.00	1.10
F	0.32		0.02 72.1		16**	0.16	1.60	55.84	4** 0.01	

			Conse	cvativ	e-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.60	1.66	3.30	1.55	4.50	1.20	3.60	2.06	3.40	1.80
F	3.90	2.05	3.10	1.51	4.90	1.30	3.70	1.95	4.60	1.96
F	4.63		0.05	5	10.33**	0.41	1.01	(	0.29 0.	72

		2 000	Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.90	1.22	2.90	1.45	5.40	1.20	4.10	1.87	5.00	1.26
F	2.45	1.40	2.50	1.50	5.00	1.41	3.80	2.44	5.10	0.94
F	1.67		0.74	28.92	2** 0.	00	0.03		3.65	0.12

		100 100 100	Conser	vative	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-rad:	cal
	Agre	emer.t	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.70	1.87	5.60	1.50	3.50	1.50	5.50	1.36	3.60	1.96
F	3.00	2.02	5.40	1.62	3.30	1.79	5.20	2.04	2.00	1.73
F	1.22		0.14	15.	35** 0	.00	2.53	18.2	22**	1.18

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.10	0.94	2.80	1.99	4.40	2.06	2.00	0.63	5.00	0.89
F	3.70	1.85	2.10	1.45	6.00	0.89	2.30	1.95	5.30	1.68
F	11.31	**	0.66	24	55** 4	.29	0.41		11.43**	0.00

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.80	1.75	4.80	2.04	2.10	0.83	5.90	1.04	2.50	1.63
F	1.75	1.09	6.60	0.66	2.60	1.51	6.70	0.46	2.10	1.58
F	18.80	**	5.33*	45.	24** 1	.70	0.22	89.4	4**	2.01

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.00	1.58	5.40	1.11	2.60	1.62	5.60	1.69	3.20	1.99
F	3.95	1.86	5.90	0.94	2.90	2.07	5.40	1.28	1.50	0.92
F	3.52		0.64	33.4	42**	0.04	3.50	38.45	5**	2.18

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.80	1.69	2.60	1.28	4.70	1.68	3.60	1.74	3.70	1.73
F	2.45	1.56	2.40	1.56	5.50	1.02	2.80	1.72	4.30	1.62
F	1.51		0.41	30.6	55** 1.	13	0.03	1.98	1.	.52

-	on is th				-liberal	(c)	Т т	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	202	ree		gree		roe	T	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.25	1.41	3.00	0.89	4.40	1.50	3.10	1.70	4.30	1.85
F	3.95	1.56	3.30	1.49	3.80	1.33	3.90	1.64	3.90	1.76
F	2.10		0.12		1.63* 1.	04	0.12		1.07 1.	07

			Conse	vative	liberal		Tr	radition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	igree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.85	1.62	2.30	1.19	4.00	1.79	2.50	1.02	4.20	1.54
F	5.00	1.76	2.40	1.20	5.60	0.92	3.00	2.14	4.70	1.73
F	4.39		3.78	31.3	36**	2.94	0.82	9.44**	0	.00

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.80	1.54	5.40	1.36	3.60	1.62	4.70	1.00	3.40	1.62
F	2.60	1.46	5.20	1.78	2.50	0.92	4.90	1.76	2.50	1.12
F	6.08		1.79	21.4	17++	0.86	0.55	15.4	12**	1.36

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	6.00	1.00	5.50	1.02	2.70	1.55	4.60	1.36	4.30	2.00
F	5.70	1.38	5.00	1.90	2.80	0.98	4.30	2.10	2.80	1.66
F	0.59		0.18	28.05	** 0	.40	2.24	2.24	1.00	

The se	riousnes	s of A					1	the media. (C) Traditional-radical				
	7	ement	_	vative-	-liberal	aree		adition ree	T			
	-		-			1	-	_	Disagree			
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD		
М	1.85	1.31	3.70	2.53	4.90	1.64	3.60	1.62	3.60	1.62		
F	1.55	0.92	2.70	1.00	4.60	2.15	3.40	1.80	4.60	1.91		
F	0.66		1.03 5		.86* 0.	.86* 0.30			1.07 1.07			

Procre	ation sh	culd b	the so	le pur	ose of	sex.	(C,T)			
			Conse	vative-	-liberal	Ĺ	T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	λg	ree	Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.10	1.45	2.50	1.91	4.30	1.90	3.20	2.14	4.10	2.07
F	2.25	1.13	3.00	1.90	5.60	1.11	2.90	2.26	5.10	1.58
F	0.13		2.41	14.4	10**	0.48	0.27	5.2	5*	0.93

	200 0		Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tı	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.30	1.71	3.70	2.00	4.80	1.60	3.70	2.15	4.80	1.54
F	2.20	1.40	1.60	0.66	5.60	1.20	1.70	0.64	4.70	1.85
F	4.72*		1.80	27.	70** 8	.96**	3.68	14.0	02** 3	.01

			Conse	vative-	liberal		Tz	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.15	1.49	2.80	1.99	4.70	2.33	2.40	1.43	5.60	1.69
F	3.10	1.95	1.90	0.83	5.40	1.74	2.50	1.63	4.50	2.33
F	3.48		0.03	20.0	3** 1	.76	0.69	18.7	75**	1.00

			Conser	vative-	liberal	Local	TI	adition	al-radi	cal	
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	2.70	1.52	3.10	1.76	5.10	1.51	2.90	1.58	4.10	1.22	
F	3.05	1.69	2.30	1.49	5.40	1.56	2.40	1.50	5.10	1.30	
F	0.45		0.22	23.3	4**	1.09	0.28	17.31	* 2.	56	

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.75	1.76	2.70	1.62	5.20	1.72	2.70	1.79	4.50	1.86
F	6.35	1.31	3.10	1.76	5.50	1.36	2.60	1.74	4.60	2.15
F	10.10	**	0.42	20.5	66**	0.01	0.00	9.0	06**	0.03

			Conse	vative-	-liberal	- 20	Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.65	0.85	4.20	2.14	4.70	1.55	4.10	2.17	4.00	1.3
F	2.20	1.81	2.60	1.56	5.00	1.67	2.50	1.75	5.50	1.20
F F	1.44 1.25			5.20* 2.	66	0.01	-	6.89* 7.87**		

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
000	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.80	1.75	3.80	2.23	3.40	1.11	3.10	1.70	5.30	0.90
F	2.35	1.28	2.20	1.33	4.20	1.25	2.80	1.83	4.40	1.80
F	0.82		0.61	2	.42 5.	45*	1.26	12.62	**	0.31

	1		Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Agree		Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.45	1.88	3.90	1.30	5.00	1.26	3.40	1.80	4.20	1.89
F	5.25	1.44	2.30	1.10	5.20	1.47	2.20	1.08	5.80	0.87
F	2.16	2.16		2.65 21.62**		4.38*	0.17	19.9	.98** 8.09	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.85	1.31	3.10	2.17	5.30	1.42	2.50	1.63	5.40	0.66
F	2.50	1.96	2.00	1.79	5.30	1.79	1.90	1.64	4.90	2.02
F	1.44		0.83 20.77**		.83	1.10 31.74** 0.01		01		

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.90	1.67	1.70	0.64	4.90	1.64	1.70	0.90	4.40	1.36
F	2.75	1.44	2.10	0.83	4.80	1.17	2.90	1.97	5.00	1.73
F	0.09		0.16	60.83	3** 0	.44	3.06	21.74	** 0	.34

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.80	1.96	1.50	0.50	4.80	1.66	2.00	1.48	4.40	1.62
F	3.30	1.90	2.40	1.43	4.90	1.45	2.30	1.79	5.60	1.02
F	0.64		1.26 42.40** 0.81		.81	2.23 32.1		17** 0.80		

I would	be up	et if			my son		homosexual. (C,T) Traditional-radical				
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	5.75	1.48	2.80	1.60	4.80	2.04	2.10	1.45	4.90	1.92	
F	3.40	1.59	2.10	1.30	5.70	1.10	3.40	2.11	5.70	1.00	
F	22.20 0.04 29.3		34** 2.	40	3.53 20.85** 0.20			0			

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T2	adition	nal-radi	cal	
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	3.10	1.97	4.00	2.32	6.30	0.78	2.60	1.96	4.80	1.89	
F	2.80	1.89	2.40	1.74	4.70	2.10	1.80	1.40	5.30	1.35	
F	0.23 6.		6.85*	6.85* 14.15**		0.00	0.00 0.07 2		6.18** 1.36		

		0	Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.20	1.33	3.40	1.36	4.10	1.81	2.90	1.14	5.10	1.37
F	4.85	1.53	3.50	1.43	4.30	1.85	2.90	1.37	4.50	1.28
F	0.57		0.08	1	1.91 0.	01	0.48	19.3	34**	0.48

			Conse	vative	-liberal	_	Tr	aditio	nal-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.60	1.43	4.60	1.50	6.00	0.77	3.00	1.18	5.00	1.10
F	5.30	1.62	3.00	1.41	3.40	1.74	3.10	1.14	4.60	1.91
F	2.00		20.15	. :	3.70 1.	14	0.11		14.64**	0.30

Convic	ted pro	stitute	should	receiv	e long	jail te	rms. (C	,T)		
			Conse	rvative-	-liberal	1	T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.30	1.87	2.30	1.19	4.20	1.78	2.40	1.43	3.60	1.50
F	3.15	1.46	2.20	1.17	5.50	1.02	2.20	1.25	5.30	0.90
F	0.08		1.86 34.87**		.53	3.05	25.02**		4.89*	

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Agree		Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.80	2.09	2.80	1.66	5.20	1.78	2.40	1.56	4.60	1.91
F	4.60	2.06	3.30	2.33	5.80	1.08	2.50	0.92	5.50	0.92
F	1.41		0.87	17.3	30** 0	.01	1.16	31.2	28**	0.74

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	1.85	1.49	4.60	2.01	4.50	1.50	4.70	2.15	4.50	1.57
F	1.75	1.81	3.40	2.06	4.20	1.40	3.50	2.16	4.40	1.69
F	0.03		1.62		0.35 0.	58	1.05		.30 0.	75

			Conse	vative	-liberal		TI	adition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Agree		Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.70	1.82	2.30	2.15	5.80	1.17	2.70	1.68	5.30	1.27
F	4.75	1.76	1.80	0.87	5.70	1.10	2.00	1.00	5.50	1.20
F	3.27		0.41	62.	07**	0.18	0.33	48.	75**	1.06

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	λg	ree	Disa	gree	Agree		Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.55	1.43	2.30	0.64	4.50	1.96	2.40	0.92	4.10	1.22
F	4.25	1.70	2.70	1.10	5.00	1.67	2.70	1.73	5.20	1.54
F	11.13	**	0.88	22.0	04** 0.	01	2.29	20.	62**	0.75

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Ti	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.30	1.23	3.60	2.20	5.60	1.69	3.40	1.96	5.00	1.26
F	3.95	1.83	2.10	1.22	4.60	1.80	2.20	1.25	5.20	1.66
F	1.65		4.53*	14	1.69**	0.18	0.92	19.5	51**	1.81

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.10	1.41	3.10	1.97	5.40	1.28	3.20	2.23	5.80	0.87
F	1.60	1.16	1.90	1.04	5.40	1.62	3.20	1.99	4.20	1.94
F	1.43		1.40	32.	70**	1.40	1.71	8.0	58**	1.71

	L		Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	adical	
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
M	2.20	1.25	1.80	0.75	4.80	1.47	2.50	1.80	3.60	1.28	
F	2.35	1.53	1.80	1.08	5.40	1.85	1.70	1.00	5.30	1.73	
E	0.11		0.44	53.	56**	0.44	0.82	22.3	31**	6.31	

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.40	1.80	5.70	0.90	3.80	2.14	5.20	0.87	2.60	1.62
F	2.60	2.08	5.50	1.02	2.40	1.02	5.70	1.42	2.10	1.76
F	8.12*		3.09	30.1	16**	1.74	0.00	40.	.70**	1.06

Sex ca	n get be	oring.	(T)							
			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	D' sagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.25	1.89	3.50	1.75	4.50	1.57	4.10	1.87	4.90	1.04
F	4.25	1.84	4.20	1.40	4.10	1.81	3.60	1.74	5.50	1.43
F	2.72		0.08		0.68 1.	01	1.01		6.78*	1.13

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Agree		Dis	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	1.45	1.02	2.70	1.68	4.40	1.80	3.60	2.33	3.80	1.99
F	1.60	1.16	2.10	1.81	5.30	1.00	2.40	2.15	4.80	1.25
F	0.18		0.08	20	88**	1.96	0.02	3.5	90	2.79

			Conse	vative	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.00	1.84	4.20	1.54	3.60	1.56	3.70	1.68	4.10	1.87
F	3.80	2.04	3.90	2.17	4.30	1.10	4.90	1.14	4.40	1.11
F	3.62		0.13		0.03 0.	84	2.29		0.01	0.83

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Dis	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.55	1.83	3.50	1.86	4.60	2.01	3.00	1.84	5.20	1.40
P	4.15	1.98	4.00	1.90	4.10	1.14	4.50	1.91	4.00	0.77
F	0.94		0.00		1.05 0.	73	0.08	2.7	1 6	.83*

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tı	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.75	1.34	3.50	1.50	4.70	2.15	3.30	1.79	5.00	1.18
F	3.25	2.00	2.40	1.56	5.90	1.30	2.70	1.35	4.70	2.10
F	7.40		0.01	18.	09**	4.33*	0.67		11.38**	0.07

			Conse	rvative-	'iberal		Ti	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.75	1.26	5.00	1.00	4.40	1.43	4.90	1.14	2.90	1.64
F	4.30	1.49	4.60	2.29	2.60	1.20	4.90	1.81	1.70	0.46
F	1.01		4.48*	•	.26* 1.	81	1.73	32.5	53** 1.	73

			( nser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dise	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.10	1.34	4.60	1.50	5.10	1.37	3.90	0.94	4.30	1.55
F	4.70	1.55	3.40	1.50	3.50	2.01	2.80	1.17	3.20	1.47
F	1.63		6.77*	(	0.31 0.	14	6.39*		.84 0.1	00

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.15	1.39	3.40	1.36	4.70	1.35	3.80	1.40	4.60	1.36
F	4.75	1.64	3.60	1.85	5.20	1.17	3.00	1.61	5.00	0.89
F	1.48		0.52	8	96**	0.10	0.20	9.80	)**	1.80

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.90	1.67	3.80	1.33	4.70	0.90	4.10	1.81	4.40	1.20
F	4.65	1.28	4.10	1.70	4.40	1.02	3.90	2.02	4.50	0.67
F	2.42		0.00		1.99 0.	50	0.01	0.79	0.09	

			Conse	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	agree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.80	1.33	4.50	1.75	2.60	1.36	5.20	1.17	2.80	1.25
F	4.75	1.48	4.70	1.95	2.90	1.22	5.20	1.17	2.60	1.36
F	4.34*		0.2	22	12.09**	0.01	0.06	36	76++	0.06

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.80	1.57	4.90	1.81	4.40	1.96	4.20	1.99	5.00	1.90
P	3.70	1.71	4.70	1.42	4.60	1.91	4.50	1.02	3.50	2.16
F	4.28		0.00		0.25 0.	11	0.98	(	0.03 2.2	20

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.10	1.41	4.60	1.80	3.60	1.02	3.80	1.54	4.30	1.27
F	6.05	1.16	5.80	1.54	3.80	1.40	4.40	2.11	3.90	1.37
F	5.14	1*	2.05	9.4	12**	1.05	0.03	(	0.00	0.87

			Conse	vative-	-liberal		Tı	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.65	0.79	4.90	1.51	4.60	1.91	3.50	1.63	4.90	1.70
F	4.75	1.09	3.60	1.69	4.10	1.51	4.20	1.54	4.40	1.80
F	8.48		2.64	0.03	3 0	.52	0.03	2.07	1	.16

196	1		Conser	vative-	liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.00	1.48	4.40	1.69	4.20	1.78	4.50	1.69	4.30	1.79
F	5.30	1.42	3.70	1.55	4.50	0.92	3.70	1.62	5.20	1.25
F	0.41		0.16		.35 0.	97	0.01	1	.49 2.5	54

			Conser	vative-	libaral		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
1-3100000000000000000000000000000000000	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SE
М	5.30	0.90	4.00	1.73	5.20	1.60	4.30	1.95	5.50	0.81
F	5.20	1.36	3.40	1.50	5.00	0.77	3.90	1.64	4.30	1.35
F	0.07		0.69	8.4	0**	0.17	2.57		2.57 0.	64

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag:	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.25	1.73	2.80	1.40	4.70	1.49	4.20	0.60	4.40	1.43
F	5.70	1.65	3.50	1.91	4.10	1.14	3.40	1.20	4.80	1.47
P	0.68		0.01		5.17* 1.	67	0.24	3	. 84 2.	16

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	radition	nal-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	2.95	1.66	3.60	1.69	4.40	1.80	3.90	1.92	4.40	1.62
F	2.80	1.60	3.80	1.33	4.10	1.22	3.80	1.40	3.80	1.17
F	0.08		0.01	3	.17 0.	24	0.46	0.23	0.23	

			Conser	vative-	liberal		T	adition	al-radi	cal
00000 10 0	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	2.20	1.12	3.20	1.60	4.20	1.25	4.00	2.05	4.50	1.43
F	1.80	1.21	2.50	1.50	4.40	1.11	4.30	2.49	4.10	1.37
F	1.12		0.30	9.95**	0.	96	0.01	0.06	0.	. 31

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Tr	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dise	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	3.10	1.34	3.60	1.50	4.60	1.36	4.30	1.35	4.10	0.94
F	3.15	1.46	4.10	0.94	4.90	1.22	4.10	1.45	4.20	1.25
F	0.01		0.89	4	.51* 0.	06	0.01	(	0.01 0.	13

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Dis	igree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.80	1.50	4.60	0.80	4.50	1.12	4.20	1.47	3.90	1.92
F	3.95	1.83	4.90	1.04	4.30	1.19	4.30	1.73	4.70	1.35
F	2.45		0.02	1	.00 0.	51	0.68	0.0	l	0.41

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		T	adition	al-radio	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	6.80	0.40	5.60	1.28	1.50	0.67	4.50	2.01	2.00	1.48
F	6.80	0.87	5.60	0.92	2.20	1.54	4.90	1.64	2.10	2.2
F	0.00		0.83	95.	70**	0.83	0.16	18.28	3** 0	.06

			Conser	vative-	liberal		Ti	adition	al-radio	al
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.65	1.49	3.30	1.79	3.90	1.51	3.60	1.91	4.10	1.45
F	4.75	1.48	4.40	1.28	4.00	1.10	4.00	1.10	4.40	1.36
F	0.04		1.55		0.04 1.	08	0.50		.83 0.0	)1

	L		Conse	vative-	-liberal		T	radition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Dis	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	4.60	1.83	3.40	1.74	4.20	1.89	3.00	1.34	4.70	1.79
7	4.90	1.67	2.80	1.72	5.50	1.63	3.20	1.60	5.00	2.05
F	0.28		0.36	9.03	3**	2.66	0.19	9.3	7** 0	.01

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	raditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.00	1.30	4.30	1.68	4.00	1.26	3.30	1.55	4.50	1.36
F	4.80	1.69	4.00	1.41	4.30	1.19	3.30	1.49	4.40	1.20
F	0.17		0.00	(	0.00 0.	41	0.01	6.0	02*	0.01

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	aditio	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	4.60	1.77	3.60	1.69	3.70	1.79	4.20	1.83	4.90	1.04
F	5.00	1.34	4.10	1.04	4.40	1.43	4.00	1.61	3.90	1.30
F	0.62		1.41	- (	0.16 0.	04	1.48		0.37	0.66

			Conser	vative	-liberal		T	adition	nal-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	agree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	5.10	1.51	4.20	1.72	3.40	1.50	3.90	1.22	5.20	0.87
F	4.85	1.19	4.20	1.83	4.50	1.36	3.70	1.35	3.80	1.25
F	0.32		1.05		0.22 1.	.05	4.10		3.14	2.31

			Conser	vative	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
М	5.00	1.87	5.00	1.26	4.50	1.43	3.50	1.57	4.30	1.42
F	5.05	1.53	3.30	0.78	3.70	1.55	3.60	0.80	4.20	1.47
F	0.01		8.43**		0.01 1.	09	0.00	) :	2.43 0.	05

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Ti	adition	al-radi	cal
20.00	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	gree	Ag	ree	Disa	gree
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
м	3.40	1.88	3.60	1.91	4.30	1.42	2.80	1.17	4.50	1.57
F	3.05	1.24	2.70	1.00	5.20	1.60	3.40	1.91	5.00	0.77
F	0.46		0.00	10.0	**00	3.16	1.35	12	.18**	0.0

			Conservative-liberal				Traditional-radical				
	Agreement		Ag	ree	ee Disagree		Agree		Disagree		
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
м	5.20	1.29	3.30	1.55	4.70	1.27	4.30	1.79	4.40	1.50	
F	5.75	1.04	3.40	1.43	4.40	1.02	3.90	2.17	4.40	0.80	
F	2.09		0.05 7.30* 0.20				0.13 0.30 0.13				

			Conservative-liberal				Traditional-radical				
	Agre	ement	Ag	ree	Disa	Disagree		Agree		Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	5.65	1.62	4.50	1.75	4.50	1.50	4.00	1.48	4.60	2.11	
F	5.70	1.35	4.80	2.40	4.30	1.90	3.30	2.33	4.60	1.36	
F	0.01		0.01	0.01 0.15 0.15		15	0.32	32 2.34 0.3			

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Traditional-radical				
	Agre	Agreement		Agree		Disagree		Agree		Disagree	
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
м	5.45	1.94	4.60	1.56	4.70	2.05	3.50	1.86	4.10	1.45	
F	5.90	1.51	3.90	1.45	5.10	1.30	3.90	1.30	4.90	1.30	
F	0.64		0.08 1.46 1.04			1.45	2.58	0.1	16		

			Conser	vative-	-liberal		Traditional-radical				
	Agreement		Agree		Disagree		Agree		Disagree		
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	3.20	1.63	3.00	2.28	3.90	1.14	4.10	2.34	4.20	1.25	
F	3.80	2.01	2.40	1.56	4.10	1.97	3.20	1.89	4.30	1.55	
F	1.02		0.11	11 4.75* 0.45			0.44 1.00 0.69				

			Conservative-liberal				Traditional-radical				
	Agreement		Agree		Disagree		Agree		Disagree		
Sex of S	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
М	4.80	1.50	2.50	1.50	5.30	1.10	2.80	1.33	4.90	0.94	
F	4.35	1.80	2.90	1.37	4.80	1.72	3.30	1.79	5.10	1.70	
F	0.70		0.01 23.92**		92**	0.88	0.50	15.64**		0.09	

## Appendix B

#### Sample Calculations of Difference Scores and Classification of the Attitude Items

Example 1 - calculation of an L-C difference score which classifies the item as liberal

### Sexual abusers ought to be given counselling.

When presented as if someone else agreed with this statement, it received a rating on the liberal-conservative scale of 4.50 from male subjects, and 4.80 from female subjects with a mean of 4.70 (see section 2 of Appendix A for F values and standard deviations associated with the ratings for all items). The average liberal-conservative rating when the item was presented as having someone disagree with it was similarly calculated to be 3.30. The difference score (L-C score) was 4.70 - 3.30 = 1.40. The value of 7.55 (p<.01) for the agreement/disagreement ratings showed that the difference score was significantly different from zero in a positive direction and therefore the item was significantly liberal

## It's time to close the door to refugees.

The average liberal-conservative scale rating when the item was presented as having someone agree with it was 2.50 (male subject rating) + 3.10 (female subject rating) with a mean overall rating of 2.80. The average rating when the item was presented as having someone disagree with it was similarly calculated to be 5.25. The difference between the two ratings (L-C score) was - 2.45. This difference score was significantly negative (as shown by the F value of 30.91, pc.01) such that the item was classified as strongly conservative.

# Example 3 - calculation of a T-R difference score which classifies the item as radical

## The denominational school system in Newfoundland should be

The average traditional-radical scale rating when this item was presented as having someone agree with it was 5.30 + 5.90 (male and female subject rating respectively) with a mean of 5.60. The average rating when the item was presented as having someone

disagree with it was similarly calculated to be 2.20. The difference between the two ratings (T-R score) was 3.40. This difference score was cignificantly negative (as shown by the F value of 90.47,  $\underline{p}$ <.01) such that the item was classified as strongly radical.

# Example 4 - calculation of a T-R difference score which classifies the item as traditional

### Premarital sex will spoil the marriage.

The average traditional-radical scale rating when the item was presented as having someone agree with it was 3.20 (male subject rating) + 1.60 (female subject rating) with an average rating of 2.40. The average rating when the item was presented as having someone disagree with it was similarly calculated to be 4.80. The difference between the two ratings (T-R score) was -2.40. This difference score was significantly negative (as shown by the F value of 21.78, p<.01) such that the item was classified as strongly traditional.

### Appendix C

All items used in Phase 2 with the perceived attitudes of male and female targets, F values for agreement ratings and the dimension positions of items

All means are based on ten male and ten female subjects.

```
Sexual abusers ought to be given counselling.
                                                 (L)
 MEAN SD
                 MEAN SD
 4.10 1.64
                6.00 0.89
                               MALE SUBJECT
 5.10 1.92
                5.50 1.28
                               FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET
               FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT
                         SEX OF TARGET
                                           INTERACTION
    0.21
                         7.07*
                                                  3.01
Employers should require their employees to take drug tests.
 MEAN SD
                 MEAN SD
 3.10 1.22
                 4.50 1.43
                               MALE SUBJECT
 4.30 1.85
                 5.00 1.41
                               FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET
               FEMALE TARGET
  SEX OF SUBJECT
                         SEX OF TARGET
                                            INTERACTION
F= 3.69
                         3.66
                                                  0.41
It's time to close the door to refugees. (C)
MEAN SD
4.70 1.27
4.90 1.76
                MEAN SD
3.70 1.85
2.60 1.20
                              MALE SUBJECT
                              FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET
                FEMALE TARGET
                         SEX OF TARGET
  SEX OF SUBJECT
                                           INTERACTION
F= 0.58
                         14.83**
If a homosexual propositioned me, I would be within my rights to
physically attack that person.
 MEAN SD
                 MEAN SD
 5.10 1.30
                 2.10 0.83
                              MALE SUBJECT
 6.00 1.00
                 2.90 1.76
                              FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET
                FEMALE TARGET
  SEX OF SUBJECT
                          SEX OF TARGET
                                            INTERACTION
F= 3.69
                          56.86**
                                                  0.02
sex of subject- F value for subject rating
sex of target - F value for target rating
interaction - F value for the interaction of subject/target rating
L/c - position of item on liberal-conservative scale
T/R - position of item on traditional-radical scale
   - significant at p<.05
** - significant at p<.01
```

The frequency of wife battering is exaggerated. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.73 1.80 0.75 MALE SUBJECT 2.30 1.55 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.60 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET F- 5.04\* 16.19\*\* 2.00

The benefits of nuclear power stations outweigh the risks they pose.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.30 1.49 MALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.37 5.20 1.08 3.10 2.12 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 3.30 3.37 4.93+

Divorces can be obtained too easily these days. (C,T)

MEAN SD 4.40 1.02 3.90 1.58 N:AN SD 4.90 1.30 MALE SUBJECT 5.40 0.92 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET

F= 0.00

licences.

F= 1.06

FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

People convicted of impaired driving should lose their

8.00\*

2.00

15.61\*\*

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 1.28 5.90 0.94 MALE SUBJECT 6.60 0.66 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.00 1.41

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT INTERACTION

24.82\*\* The covers of many record albums are too sexually explicit. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.80 1.72 4.90 1.64 MALE SUBJECT 5.50 1.57 FEMALE SUBJECT 1.80 0.98 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.11 55.05\*\* 4.19

The denominational school system in Newfoundland should be abandoned. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.74 4.00 1.55 MALE SUBJECT 4.10 2.12 3.70 1.42 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F- 0.22 0.62

Explicit depictions of sexual activity in movies should be prohibited. (C.T)

0.00

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.64 MALE SUBJECT 2.00 0.77

2.40 1.02 5.40 1.11 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 5.37\* 42.45\*\* 2.14

Legalizing euthanasia would ease crowding in our hospitals and nursing homes.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.10 0.94 2.70 1.35 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.40 4.00 1.90 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 3.13 8.71\*\* 0.65

Ecologists have exaggerated the amount of pollution.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.55 2.50 1.63 MALE SUBJECT 4.30 1.10 2.70 0.78 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Fm 3.46 5.03\* 1.38

A hospital abortion should be available to any woman who wants one. (L.R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.30 1.62 5.00 1.84 MALE SUBJECT 5.40 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.40 2.06 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.14 8.62\*\* 2.00

```
Suicide is a fundamental right. (L,R)
MEAN SD
               MEAN SD
3.70 1.90
                3.10 1.70 MALE SUBJECT
4.60 1.69
               5.10 1.58 FEMALE SUBJECT
MALE TARGET
               FEMALE TARGET
  SEX OF SUBJECT
                      SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 3.64
                        0.03
                                         3.77
Abortion is murder. (C)
MEAN SD
               MEAN SD
               4.60 1.62 MALE SUBJECT
4.50 0.67 FEMALE SUBJECT
2.20 1.25
3.10 1.37
MALE TARGET
              FEMALE TARGET
                    SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
 SEX OF SUBJECT
F= 0.67
                         29.01**
                                        2.01
Marriage is outdated. (L.R)
  MEAN SD
                 MEAN SD
  3.00 1.26
                 1.90 0.83 MALE SUBJECT
 4.40 1.74
                2.00 1.61 FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET
               FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT
                    SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
19.24**
2.65
F= 2.00
Religion is mostly superstition. (L.R)
                 MEAN SD
 MEAN SD
                2.70 1.00 MALE SUBJECT
2.30 1.35 FEMALE SUBJECT
 3.30 1.55
  4.20 1.66
 MALE TARGET
                FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT
                    SEX OF TARGET
                                      INTERACTION
F= 0.24
                          8.36**
                                        2.26
A teacher should be allowed to physically punish children. (C)
 MEAN SD
                MEAN SD
 3.30 1.68
                 2.30 1.35 MALE SUBJECT
 2.80 1.54
                1.40 0.49 FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET
                FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT
                     SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 1.63
                          14.24**
                                        0.40
```

Canada ought to spend more money on the military.

| MEAN SD | MEAN SD | 4.50 1.57 | 2.30 1.35 | MALE SUBJECT | 5.20 1.60 | 2.30 1.42 | FEMALE SUBJECT | FEMALE TARGET | FEMALE T

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.43 31.42\*\* 0.59

A person who has used marijuana should not be appointed (C,T) to the Supreme Court.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.79 4.00 1.84 MALE SUBJECT

2.60 1.85 4.20 1.94 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F=0.02 7.57\* 0.40

Premarital sex will spoil the marriage. (C.T)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 2.10
 0.83
 3.60
 1.56
 MALE SUBJECT

 1.60
 0.80
 2.90
 1.37
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 2.01 14.52\*\* 0.07

Homosexuality should be accepted. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.73 5.60 1.43 MALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.78 5.10 1.76 FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.04 42.09\*\* 1.02

Bilingualism should be encouraged in all parts of Canada. (L,R)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.00
 1.00
 4.50
 1.63
 MALE SUBJECT

 4.50
 1.12
 5.40
 1.20
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.12 4.06 0.33

```
Sexist language should be avoided. (C)
  GR MASM
                 MEAN SD
                 6.00 0.77 MALE SUBJECT
  3.70 1.85
                 6.10 1.04 FEMALE SUBJECT
  3.20 1.83
  MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
                         32.45**
     0.15
                                         0.43
Canadians spend too much money on lotteries. (C,T)
  MEAN SD
4.40 2.20
                 MEAN SD
                 4.20 1.47 MALE SUBJECT
4.70 1.19 FEMALE SUBJECT
  3.20 1.33
  MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F- 0.37
                          1.81
                                         3.09
The strap is the only way to control unruly students. (C,T)
  MEAN SD
                 MEAN SD
  4.30 1.27
                2.60 1.62 MALE SUBJECT
1.50 0.67 FEMALE SUBJECT
  3.50 1.91
                FEMALE TARGET
 MALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 20.50** 0.13
F= 3.04
Rock videos exploit women. (C.T)
                MEAN SD
5.60 1.36 MALE SUBJECT
5.60 1.50 FEMALE SUBJECT
 MEAN SD
  3.90 1.76
3.00 2.10
 MALE TARGET
                 FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT
                      SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.57
                          15.92**
                                         0.70
Large families have the happiest children. (C.T)
  MEAN SD
                 MEAN SD
  2.80 1.33
                3.30 1.42 MALE SUBJECT
 3.60 1.69
                3.20 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT
 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET
 SEX OF SUBJECT
                     SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.31
                         0.03
                                          2.52
```

The feminist mo	vement deserves strong supp	port. (L.R)
	E/ 1823	
	SEX OF TARGET 34.95**	INTERACTION 0.35
Women would be	better off without men.	(R)
MEAN SD 2.40 1.56 1.90 1.22 MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 3.20 1.66 MALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.76 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT 0.21	SEX OF TARGET 8.74**	INTERACTION 0.35
Deliver - Commence -	should not pay for abortio	
MEAN SD 3.20 1.54 3.90 1.58 MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 3.50 1.20 MALE SUBJECT 3.50 1.43 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT 0.45	SEX OF TARGET 0.01	INTERACTION 0.64
Marijuana shoul	d be legalized.	(L,R)
MEAN SD 4.50 1.96 4.80 1.25 MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 2.90 1.22 MALE SUBJECT 2.20 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT 0.10	SEX OF TARGET 45.62**	INTERACTION 2.59
Infants should	not be picked up every time	me they cry.
MEAN SD 4.40 1.80 5.20 1.40 MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 3.90 2.07 MALE SUBJECT 5.30 1.42 FEMALE SUBJEC FEMALE TARGET	T
SEX OF SUBJECT 3.61	SEX OF TARGET 0.13	INTERACTION 0.30

Many people abuse prescription drugs.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.70
 0.78
 5.40
 0.66
 MALE
 SUBJECT

 4.20
 1.25
 5.30
 1.10
 FEMALE
 SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FUMALE
 TARGET
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.87 7.48\* 0.37

Drinking alcohol while pregnant should be considered child abuse.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.90 0.94 5.10 1.58 MALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.73 5.40 1.43 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.29 0.26 2.31

People are too worried about looking fat.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 1.55 5.90 1.30 MALE SUBJECT 5.40 1.80 4.90 1.70 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.42 0.11 1.38

All medical professionals should be tested for HIV.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.80 1.83 5.20 1.94 MALE SUBJECT 5.90 1.76 5.90 1.58 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.40 0.30 0.30

User fees should be introduced in the medicare system.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.10
 1.87
 3.40
 1.43
 MALE SUBJECT

 3.90
 1.22
 4.20
 1.25
 FE-GALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.23 0.51 3.19

Mentally ill people should not be allowed positions of responsibility. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.50 1.36 5.30 1.42 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.14 3.90 1.64 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET Fm 2.61 3.90 1.99

Life is too short to worry about high fat levels in food.

MEAN SD MEAN SD

3.70 1.68 MALE SUBJECT 2.40 1.80 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.90 1.45 5.50 1.50 FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Pm 0.09 8.41\*\* 6.50\*

Wildlife welfare is just as important as human welfare. (L)

24.70\*\*

v.11

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.50 0.81 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.04 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.10 1.64

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT

Doctors prescribe too many drugs.

F= 1.39

MALE TARGET

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.50 1.02 4.80 0.98 MALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.56 5.20 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 2.61 0.54 F= 0.10

Jail sentences should be given to those who dump toxic waste.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 6.30 0.46 6.40 0.66 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.04 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.43 FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 22.70\*\* 1.65 0.93

The government spends too much money on diet and nutrition programs. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.18 3.30 1.10 MALE SUBJECT 4.70 1.79 2.50 1.02 FURALE SUBJECT FURALE TRUBET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.01 11.52\*\* 3.08

Abortion should be made legally available to anyone. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.67 5.20 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.83 5.20 1.54 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION f= 0.36 4.11 1.03

A bit of stress is good for everyone.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.40 1.02 4.50 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.57 3.80 1.66 FEBALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.49 8.53\*\* 0.13

Circumcision should not be allowed.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.20
 1.72
 3.30
 1.42
 MALE SUBJECT

 5.50
 1.86
 4.10
 1.04
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 3.08 7.77\* 0.37

Industries should be forced to reduce toxic wastes. (L)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 5.80
 0.98
 6.00
 0.63
 MALE SUBJECT

 4.90
 0.83
 5.70
 1.73
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 1.80 3.08 1.11

The medicare health system is being widely abused.

MEAN SD MEAN SD

5.70 1.19 4.80 1.54 4.90 1.76 MALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT

FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 1.38 0.50

There are no alternatives to using animals in medical research. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.10 1.70 3.50 2.06 MALE SUBJECT

2.90 1.64 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.95 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.00 0.11 1.01

All hospital staff should be notified of HIV-positive patients.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.40 2.06 5.80 1.54 MALE SUBJECT

6.20 0.87 6.10 0.83 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 0.97 0.16 0.46

Elderly people take up too many hospital beds. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.20 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 2.50 0.81 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.49 4.60 1.20

MALE TARGET

FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT 0.06 8.52\*\* 3.23 F=

The legal system should have no jurisdiction (L) when two consenting adults have sex.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 6.10 1.22 5.80 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 6.10 0.83 FEMALE SUBJECT 6.40 0.66

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET 1.46 F= 0.61 -0.00

Wa make		entences should be handed	down to drug dealers.	(C.T)
MEAN	SD	MEAN SD 5.70 1.00 MALE SUBJECT 6.20 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
5.20	1.33	6.20 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE	TARGET	FEMALE TARGET		
SEX	OF SUBJECT	SEX OF TARGET 10.77**	INTERACTION	
F-	0.19	10.77**	1.51	
		onals have condescending	attitudes. (R)	
MEAN	SD	MEAN SD 5.10 0.94 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.14 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
4.70	1.10	5.10 0.94 MALE SUBJECT		
4.50	1.02	4.90 1.14 FRMALE SUBJECT		
MALE	TARGET	FEMALE TARGET		
			INTERACTION	
F-	0.26	SEX OF TARGET 1.76	-0.00	
		too much American televis	ion. (C)	
MEAN	SD	MEAN SD 4.80 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 4.30 1.68 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
3.90	1.97	4.80 1.54 MALE SUBJECT		
4.30	1.79	4.30 1.68 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE	PARGET	FEMALE TARGET		
SEX	OF SUBJECT	SEX OF TARGET 0.80	INTERACTION	
F-	0.01	0.80	0.80	
		end less money on the arm		
MEAN	SD	MEAN SD 5.10 1.51 MALE SUBJECT 5.20 1.25 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
3.30	1.42	5.10 1.51 MALE SUBJECT		
4.00	1.79	5.20 1.25 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE	TARGET	FEMALE TARGET		
SEA	OF SUBJECT	SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION	
F=	0.67	SEX OF TARGET 8.51**	0.34	
Newfor	andland sho	ould adopt Atlantic time.	(R)	
MEAN	SD	MEAN SD		
2.80	1.25	3.80 0.60 MALE SUBJECT		
3.70	1.62	3.00 1.26 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE	TARGET	MEAN SD 3.80 0.60 MALE SUBJECT 3.00 1.26 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
		SEX OF TARGET		
F-	0.01	0.37	11.77**	

It is better not to have sexual relations before marriage. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.90 1.22 MALE SUBJECT 4.70 1.42 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.60 1.11 1.80 0.87 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 1.23 24.91\*\* 16.44\*\*

No American weapons should be tested in Canadian territory.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.55 5.80 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.70 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.91 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 3.28 Fee 0.57 0.28

All members of the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary should carry side-arms. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.70 MALE SUBJECT 3.30 1.68 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.94 5.00 1.90 FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 5.61\* 2.75 F= 0.02

Most unemployed persons do not want to find work. (C)

MEAN SD 4.50 1.43 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.66 FEMALE SUBJECT MEAN SD 4.60 1.43 4.60 1.96 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Fm 1.54 7.30\* 5.84\*

An elected senate would be good for Canada. (L)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 1.28 5.30 1.35 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 0.87 4.80 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT - 0.57 F= 1.70 0 57

0717				should be taxed :	ore neavity.		
MEAN 5 00 1			MEAN S	D 60 MALE SUBJECT			
5.00 1 4.60 2	.01		5 10 0	54 PPMATE OF TROP	r		
MALE ?	PARGE	T	PENALE	TARGET			
SEX F-	or s	.01	•	SEX OF TARGET 0.19	INTERACTION 1.05		
Rural	News	oundla	inders s	hould be require	d to move to	larger	communitie
MEAN	ЯD		MEAN :	SD			
4.80	2.40	ĺ	4.60 1	.96 MALE SUBJECT			
5.60	1.85		4.60 1 5.90 1 FEMALE	.04 FEMALE SUBJEC	CT .		
SEX	OF S	UBJECT		SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION		
F-	2.1	9		0.01	0.22		
MEAN 3.40 4.20	SD 1.62 1.25	4.70 5.70	1.49 I 0.64 I	strongly encoure SD MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT	aged in all pa	rts of	Canada.
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE	3D 1.62 1.25	4.70 5.70	1.49 1 0.64 1	SD MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT	•		Canada.
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX	SD 1.62 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76	4.70 5.70 ET	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT TARGET	INTERACTION 0.12		Canada.
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F=	SD 1.62 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT TARGET SEX OF TARGET 23.06**  t hire homosexual	INTERACTION 0.12		Canada.
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F=	SD 1.62 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD SD SEARCH SUBJECT TARGET 23.06**	INTERACTION 0.12		Canada .
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F=	SD 1.62 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT TARGET SEX OF TARGET 23.06**  t hire homosexual  SD 1.47 MALE SUBJ1  1.49 FEMALE SUBJ1	INTERACTION 0.12		Canada .
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F= School MEAN 4.90 5.90 MALE	SD 1.62 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT rds sh	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT TARGET SEX OF TARGET 23.06**  t hire homosexual  SD 1.47 MALE SUBJ1  1.49 FEMALE SUBJ1	INTERACTION 0.12 L teachers. (	C,T)	Canada .
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F= School MEAN 4.90 5.90 MALE SEX	SD 1.62 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT rds sh 81 83 ET	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD AT MALE SUBJECT TARGET 23.06**  thire homosexual Communication of the	INTERACTION 0.12	G,T)	Canada.
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F= School MEAN 4.90 5.90 MALE SEX F= 0	SD 1.625 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76 boa	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT rds sh 81 83 ET UBJECT	1.49 I 0.64 I FEMALE  ould not make a second of the second	SD SUBJECT SUBJECT TARGET  SEX OF TARGET 23.06**  t hire homosexual  BD 1.47 MALE SUBJI 1.49 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.40 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.40 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.41 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.42 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.43 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.44 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.45 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.45 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.47 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.48 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.49 TEMBLE SUBJI 1.40 TEMBLE SU	INTERACTION 0.12	G,T)	Canada .
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F= School MEAN 4.90 5.90 MALE SEX F= Comparison MEAN 4.90 MALE SEX F= Comparison MEAN 4.90	SD 1.622 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76 boa 1.00 to 1.00	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT rds sh 81 83 ET UBJECT	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD SUML SUBJECT FRACES SUBJECT TRACES SUBJECT TRACES  SEX OF TRACES  1.47 FRACES  1.49 FRACES TRACES  SEX OF TRACES  37.40**  bited in all public  1.18 MALE SUBJECT	INTERACTION 0.12  L teachers. (CT STECT INTERACTION 8.92*	G,T)	Canada.
MEAN 3.40 4.20 MALE SEX F= School MEAN 4.90 5.90 MALE SEX F= 0 Smokin MEAN 4.90 3.40	SD 1.622 1.25 TARG OF S 2.76 boa	4.70 5.70 ET UBJECT rds sh 81 83 ET UBJECT	1.49 1 0.64 1 FEMALE	SD 1.47 MALE SUBJECT TARGET SEX OF TARGET 23.06**  t hire homosexual SD 1.47 MALE SUBJI 1.49 PERMLE SUBJ TARGET SEX OF TARGET 37.40**  bited in all publ SD 1.18 MALE SUBJECT SD 1.19 MALE SUBJECT ST	INTERACTION 0.12  L teachers. (CT STECT INTERACTION 8.92*	G,T)	Canada -

INTERACTION 26.41\*\*

SEX OF TARGET 30.80\*\*

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.19 Religion has no place in the public school system. (L.R)

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 3.66 11.38\*\* 2.29

Television devotes too much time to sports events.

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 11.27\*\* 65.59\*\* 1.60

The women's liberation movement deserves strong support. (L,R)

| MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD | | 3.00 | 1.41 | 4.90 | 0.70 | MALE SUBJECT | 3.00 | 0.89 | 5.50 | 1.36 | FEMALE SUBJECT | FEMALE TARGET | FEMALE TARGET |

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.48 49.22\*" 0.92

Mothers should be strongly encouraged to breastfeed their infants.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.40
 0.92
 5.50
 1.12
 MALE SUBJECT

 4.80
 0.98
 5.90
 0.83
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.13 18.30\*\* 0.00

People convicted of impaired driving should lose their licences.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 6.00
 1.26
 6.50
 0.67
 MALE SUBJECT

 2.90
 1.64
 6.10
 0.94
 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 14.88\*\*

Labour unions are too powerful.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.10 1.64 5.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 4.40 1,.50 4.50 1.43 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

Condoms should be made available to adolescents. (L,R)

| MEAN | SD | MEAN | SD | 4.80 | 2.40 | 4.60 | 1.96 | MALE SUBJECT | 5.60 | 1.85 | 5.90 | 1.04 | FEMALE SUBJECT | FEMALE TARGET | FEMALE TARGE

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 2.19 0.01 0.22

Most court sentences are too lenient.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.50 1.12 5.40 1.36 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.28 5.90 1.04 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.26 4.86\* 6.47\*

What young people need most of all is strict discipline (C,T) by their parents.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.62 4.80 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.66 3.50 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.34 0.46 0.81

Most people who don't get ahead just don't have enough will power.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 5.30
 1.00
 3.80
 1.78
 MALE SUBJECT

 5.30
 1.00
 4.10
 1.76
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.07 14.23\*\* 0.18

People place too much emphasis on respect for authority. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3,60 1,43

3.60 1.28 MALE SUBJECT 3.90 1.70 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.42 MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.12 0.07 0.07

Children who talk back to their parents should be punished. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.40 0.92 4.30 1.35 MALE SUBJECT

5.60 0.66 5.60 0.80 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 4.07 4.37 4.37

I have little respect for authority. (L.R)

MEAN SD 3.90 1.76 3.20 1.54 MEAN SD 2.80 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 2.10 1.14 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.91

6.62\*

Patriotism and loyalty to country are the first requirements of a good citizen. (C,T)

0.00

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 1.69 3.20 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 1.00 4.60 1.80 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.44 1.13 7.09\*

Traditional values are important guiding principles in my life. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.30 1.55 4.40 1.56 MALE SUBJECT 3.90 0.94 5.40 0.80 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 12.32\*\* 0.29 F= 2.95

Marriage is outdated and unnecessary. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

MALE TARGET

F= 3.97

2.70 1.55 MALE SUBJECT 2.10 1.14 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.60 0.92 4.10 1.58

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Fm 0.76 7.24\* 8.84\*\*

Violent rock videos are harmful to children. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.70 3.90 1.58 5.20 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 5.90 1.14 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.55 12.88\*\* 0.58

I take an active interest in politics. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.90 1.30 4.10 1.22 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.04 3.70 1.35 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.05 9.08\*\* 0.67

This country spends too much on foreign aid.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.50 1.02 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.60 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.69 5.30 1.49

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

Foreign aid should only be given to nations with a good (C) record of human rights.

2.78

0.23

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.90 1.81 5.40 1.43 MALE SUBJECT 3.50 1.28 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.20 0.98 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 7.23\* SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.05 1.74

Pornographic material should not be sold in Canada. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 1.73 MALE SUBJECT 5.20 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.90 1.92 2.20 1.33 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.15 38.44\*\* 1.20

Abortions should be readily available. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 1.55 4.70 1.79 4.50 1.36 MALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.02 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 1.05 0.07 F= 0.12

All women should be feminists. (R)

MALE TARGET

MEAN SD MEAN SD 1.80 0.60 2.70 1.49 MALE SUBJECT 2.00 1.00 3.10 1.30 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.47 10.06\*\* 0.10

Canada should withdraw from NATO. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.34 3.60 1.11 3.40 0.80 MALE SUBJECT 3.40 1.56 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.55 0.06 0.51

People found guilty of child abuse should be counselled, (L,R) not punished.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 1.80 0.87 3.90 1.87 MALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.70 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.92 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.19 3.93 3.93

Hemosexuals should not be parmitted to teach children. (C,2  MEAN BD 4.20.20.9 5.30.1.73 3.10.1.85 MALK SUBJECT FRACE FRACE SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE FRACE SEX OF TARGET SUBJECT FRACE FRACE SEX OF TARGET SUBJECT FRACE TROPE  Beauty contests are just harmless fun. (L)  MEAN BD 5.10.1.45 2.60.1.03 MALK SUBJECT 5.10.1.45 2.60.1.03 MALK SUBJECT FRACE TARGET  MALK TARGET FRACE SUBJECT FRACE TARGET  SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET FRACE TARGET  I have little interest in feminist issues. (C,T)  MEAN SD 5.80.1.40 4.20.1.17 MALE SUBJECT 5.80.1.47 5.80.1.47 5.80.1.47 5.80.1.47 5.80.1.71 FRACE TARGET  SEX OF SUBJECT FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION FRACE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET SEX OF TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET SEX OF TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET SEX OF TARGET SEX OF TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET SEX OF	,
Beauty contests are just harmless fun. (L)  MEAN SD 12.10 1.25 1.00 1.40 1.25 1.00 1.00 1.25 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.0	
Deauty contests are just harmless fun. (L)  MEAN SD 5.10 1.45 2.60 1.02 MALE SUBJECT 6.20 1.25 3.70 1.73 FYSAGE SUBJECT FACE TRACET  SEX OF SUBJECT F= 5.51*  I have little interest in feminist issues. (C,T)  MEAN SD 5.80 1.40 4.20 1.77 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.17 3.20 1.54 FYMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET  FEMALE TRACET  SEX OF SUBJECT FEMALE TRACET  SEX OF SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT MALE SUBJECT MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT MALE SUBJECT MALE SUBJECT MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT MALE	
MEAN SD 5.10 1.45 6.20 1.2	
5.10 1.45 2.60 1.02 MALE SUBJECT  MALE TANGET  SEX OF SUBJECT  I have little interest in feminist issues. (C,T)  MEAN SD  5.80 1.40 4.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT  5.80 1.47 3.20 1.54 FRANCE SUBJECT  MALE TANGET  MALE TANGET  MALE TANGET  MALE SUBJECT  SEX OF SUBJECT  FRANCE TANGET  FRANCE SUBJECT  INTERACTION	
MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION F= 5.51* SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION O.00  I have little interest in feminist issues. (C,T)  MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.80 1.70 4.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.17 3.20 1.54 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET EXC OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION	
MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION F= 5.51* SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION O.00  I have little interest in feminist issues. (C,T)  MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.80 1.70 4.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.17 3.20 1.54 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET EXC OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION	
The S.51* 30.00** 0.00  I have little interest in feminist issues. (C,T)  MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.80 1.40 4.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT S.80 1.17 3.20 1.34 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION  BEX OF SUBJECT SER OF TARGET INTERACTION	
MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.80 1.40 4.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.17 3.20 1.54 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FREALE TARGET EXC OF SUPPORT	
Sex education encourages kids to have sex. (C,T)	
MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.03 0.82 2.81	
Most priests and ministers don't understand the real world.  MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.30 1.42 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 2.09 4.80 0.87 FEMALE SUBJECT MOMENT STARGET FEMALE TRAGET	
MALE TARGET FROM TARGET	(L,R
SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.74 0.09 0.09	(L,R

Religious beliefs	are	important	quiding	principles	in	mv	life.	(C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.50 0.92 4.40 1.11 MALE SUBJECT 2.70 1.00 4.80 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.51 80.90\*\* 0.20

# The way a woman dresses has a lot to do with her being (C,T) sexually assaulted.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.81 2.20 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 5.30 1.68 2.00 1.55 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.96 27.37\*\* 2.80

## I am in favour of harsher penalties for those convicted of sexual assault.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.08 6.00 0.63 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.12 6.90 0.30 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.14 28.80\*\* 7.20\*

# The vast majority of men would never physically harm a woman. (T)

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.04 13.11\*\* 0.93

### The frequency of sexual assault is increasing in our society. (L)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 1.20 5.40 1.11 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.37 6.70 0.46 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 3.69 18.33\*\* 2.71

There is very little chance that a person convicted of sexual (C.T) abuse can be rehabilitated.

MEAN SD MERN OR 4.10 1.14 4.20 1.25 MALE SUBJECT 3.40 1.02 4.50 1.57 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.19 2.60 1.81

Nowadays, men run a serious risk of being unjustly accused of sexual assault.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.50 1.57 MALE SUBJECT 5.90 0.83 6.10 1.04 4.70 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 25.58\*\* F= 3.27 1.77

Women could prevent many sexual assaults by acting more forcefully. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.37 4.00 1.61 MALE SUBJECT 3.30 1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.50

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Pm 0.03 1.07 1.55

I would be reluctant to convict a person accused of rape unless (T) it were clear that the woman had tried to fight him off.

MZAN SD 4.20 1.72 2.70 1.55 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.30 2.00 1.61 FEMALE SUBJECT

F= 0.00

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 21.94\*\*

Defense attorneys in rape trials should not be allowed to introduce evidence concerning the woman's sexual history.

2.22

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.90 1.22 5.40 1.50 MALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.54 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.47 30.82\*\* 0.00

I favour therapy rather than prison sentences for persons (L.R) convicted of sexual assault.

5.40\*

MEAN SD 2.00 1.18 2.00 0.89 MEAN SD 3.50 1.75 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.89 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

F= 0.50

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

Once a woman has been accosted by an assailant, there is very (C.T)

0.50

0.14

1.90

little she can do in her own defense.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.55 3.20 2.09 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.60

4.40 1.65 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.32

Abortion should not be carried out under any circumstances. (C.T)

0.55

1.30

MEAN SD MEAN SD

2.80 1.66 1.80 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.70 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.66 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.32

A fetus should have no legal rights until it is born.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.94 3.60 1.56 MALE SUBJECT 2.70 1.19 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.30

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.04 7.84\* 2.56

Reading or viewing pornographic material increases violence (T) towards women.

MEAN SD 3.50 1.57 MEAN SD 5.40 1.02 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.40

5.80 1.47 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.10 31.36\*\* 1.58

A woman's place is in the home. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

4.00 1.67 4.70 1.68 1.60 0.80 MALE SUBJECT 1.80 1.08 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.33 27.10\*\* 0.24

Most feminists hate men. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

2.50 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 3.40 1.85 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.18 5.60 1.69 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 36.75\*\* 0.15 SEX OF SUBJECT F= 1.29

Kids sometimes need to be hit to teach them respect. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.80 1.25 MALE SUBJECT

3.50 1.69 3.90 1.70 1.20 0.40 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.42 17.88\*\* 6.19\*

Watching rock videos leads children to engage in disruptive (C.T) behaviour.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.60 1.74 4.90 1.64 MALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.99 5.30 0.78 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.00 20.40\*\* 1.13

Child abusers should be shot. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.84 3.10 1.76 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 2.28 4.60 2.54 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Fm 0.72 0.10 2.49

A sexually active person should use birth control. (L)

MZAN SD MEAN SD

5.70 0.90 6.10 0.83 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.33 6.80 0.60 FEIGLE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.15 7.88\* 1.45

No doctor should agree to sterilize women of child-bearing age.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 2.04 MALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.62 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.02 4.30 0.78 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.37 0.18

Fishermen deserve government help to pay for their boats. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.60 1.62 3.80 1.66 MALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.50 4.40 1.56 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.49 0.00 0.31

Video rental outlets ought to carry a greater selection of erotic films. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.90 1.45 3.40 1.28 MALE SUBJECT 1.90 1.30 FEMALE SUBJECT

4.90 1.70 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 1.92 30.12\*\* 3.35

Sex education does not belong in the schools. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.50 1.63 3.20 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 2.20 0.75 2.00 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 4.95\* 2.32 0.09

A woman who stays home to raise her children is contributing just as much as one who chooses a career.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.50 1.63 6.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 3.60 1.62 5.50 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 7.51\* F= 6.84\* 1.60

A career is as important for a woman as for a man. (L)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.95 6.50 0.50 MALE SUBJECT 3.80 1.40 6.80 0.40 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.49 36.00\*\* 2.25 Fm

Explicit violent activity in movies should be prohibited. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.30 1.55 5.20 1.33 MALE SUBJECT 3.30 1.42 5.40 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.04 24.91\*\* 0.06

More Transition houses should be established to aid battered women. (L)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 0.89 6.10 1.22 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.17 6.80 0.40 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET F= 0.02 45.13\*\* 7.42

Jail terms should be imposed on men who beat their wives. (L)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.72 4.50 1.36 6.30 1.19 MALE SUBJECT 6.20 1.78 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.50 9.67\*\* 0.44

There are many people who don't need a higher education. MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.30 1.27 MALE SUBJECT 3.90 1.70 4.10 1.70 3.00 1.90 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 5.74\* 0.50 F= 0.01 University students should pay a higher proportion of the cost of (C) their education than they do at present. MEAN SD 2.20 0.98 MALE SUBJECT MEAN SD 3.30 2.10 1.20 0.40 FEMALE SUBJECT 1.60 0.92 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 4.68\* F= 7.80\*\* 1.02 Everyone should have the chance to attend university. (L) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 2.15 6.30 0.90 MALE SUBJECT 5.50 1.12 6.60 0.66 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET 9.47\*\* F= 1.49 0.32 Furs belong on animals, not people. (R) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.10 1.45 4.00 1.61 4.80 1.60 MALE SUBJECT 5.20 1.72 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.07 3.47 0.24 Most members of Greenpeace are just publicity seekers. (C) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.20 MALE SUBJECT

INTERACTION

0.42

3.90 1.97 FEMALE SUBJECT

2.85

SEX OF TARGET

FEMALE TARGET

4.80 1.33

4.80 1.25 MALE TARGET

Fm 0.19

SEX OF SUBJECT

Politicians in Canada are too concerned with the problems of Quebec.

MEAN SD MEAN SD

MALE TARGET

F= 0.02

6.00 1.10 5.20 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 0.98 5.20 0.87 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT INTERACTION SEX OF TARGET F= 0.06 9.80\*\* 0.20

Free trade is good for Canada. (L.R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.60 MALE SUBJECT 4.70 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.90 1.97 4.70 1.00 FEMALE TARGET

THUTTERACTTON SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET F= 0.98 0.57 0.57

Violent protest against the government is sometimes justified. (L,R)

0.02

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 1.10 4.60 1.28 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 0.94 4.60 1.11 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 1.55

The testing of nuclear weapons should be banned.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.70 1.19 MALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.50 3.90 1.30 6.30 0.64 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.01 26.69\*\* 3.68

I worry about a nuclear war in my lifetime.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.90 1.64 4.30 1.85 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.30 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 7.47\* 0.11 F= 2.19

An increase in nuclear weapons will lead to war.

MEAN SD MEAN SD

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 11.31\*\*

5.10 0.94 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.72 3.90 1.76 5.60 1.28 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 8.33\*\* 0.79 F= 0.04

Nuclear arms are a deterrent to war. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.47 4.50 1.02 3.00 1.48 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 1.34 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET 7.21+ F= 1.41

Western countries should spend more money on nuclear weapons.

18.24\*\*

MEAN SD MEAN SD 1.60 0.66 MALE SUBJECT 1.90 0.83 4.20 1.66 2.20 0.87 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

The United Nations contributes little to peace and security in the world.

1.22

9.97\*\*

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.20 1.66 3.40 1.62 2.80 1.66 MALE SUBJECT

3.00 1.41 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F- 0.08 2.53 0.00

Organized religion has an important role to play in the modern world.

(C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.90 0.54 5.00 0.89 MALE SUBJECT

5.10 1.22 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.40 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT INTERACTION 8.15\*\* 10.06\*\* F= 4.19

The Church meddles too much in non-religious matters. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

5.10 1.37 5.40 1.85 4.50 1.36 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.22 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.65 1.20 0.13

Religious people are either ignorant or weak-willed. (R)

MEAN SD 2.50 0.92 MALE SUBJECT 2.50 1.20 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.00 1.00 3.90 1.81

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.71 11.24\*\* 2.52

Teen pregnancy is a result of high divorce rates. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.10 1.81 3.90 1.30 3.20 1.89 MALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.62 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 2.34

Too many immigrants are being allowed to come to this country. (C,T)

0.95

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.50 1.86 4.10 0.83 MALE SUBJECT 4.70 1.62 4.20 1.47 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

F= 0.05

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.08 0.97 0.01

I favour a more open immigration policy for Canada. (L.R)

MEAN SD 2.80 0.87 MEAN SD 3.50 1.28 MALE SUBJECT 3.30 1.49 5.20 0.75 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 6.17\* SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 18.44\*\* 3.93

Having children is (has been/will be) an important part of my life. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 6.00 1.00 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.54 4.80 1.47 6.30 0.78 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 12.13\*\* F= 0.12 0.15

The breakdown of the family is a serious social problem. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.90 0.94 6.00 0.77 MALE SUBJECT 4.70 1.62 6.00 0.77 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET F= 3.16 3.33 2.45

The death penalty is a strong deterrent to murder. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.80 1.83 4.40 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.18 5.00 1.34 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 0.26 F- 0.44 0.26

The high cost of keeping convicted murderers in prison for life is a strong argument for the death penalty.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.90 1.45 3.70 1.49 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.72 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.04 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.45 5.79\* 0.12

The death penalty is barbaric.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.50 1.36 4.80 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 3.50 2.06 4.10 1.76 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.07 6.61\* 2.27

The possibility of executing an innocent person is a strong argument against the death penalty.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 1.02 6.20 0.98 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.64 6.40 0.66 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.31 12.84\*\* 2.36

Serious offenses such as rape and murder warrant the death penalty. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.62 4.90 1.51 MALE SUBJECT 3.50 1.36 5.70 1.35 ZEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

Teachers should be closely monitored to prevent sexual abuse in the schools. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.90 5.10 0.94 MALE SUBJECT 5.30 1.00 5.40 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 2.59 2.24 1.56

Sexual abuse is a symptom of the increased prevalence of (C,T) homosexuality.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.40 1.74 2.70 1.42 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.08 3.70 1.42 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 4.74\* 5.30\* 0.26

If a person wants to commit suicide, it's up to them. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.64 3.60 1.36 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.47 1.50 0.50 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 2.84 22.38\*\* 14.32\*\*

Living together is just as good as being married. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.42

2.90 1.45 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 2.09 FEMALE SUBJECT 6.40 0.66

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 17.31\*\* 0.17 F= 8.54\*

Solving unemployment is more important than cutting the deficit.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 0.EU MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.66 5.80 1.08 5.60 1.11 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT Fm 1.27 0.72 1.99

Teachers in schools should not be allowed to strap children. (L.R)

0.05

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.80 5.60 1.56 MALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.49 6.70 0.46 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 6.08\*

Spare the rod and spoil the child.

F= 5.73\*

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.90 1.22 3.20 1.39 MALE SUBJECT

4.60 2.06 2.70 1.69 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.76 2.92 5.51\*

Sex before marriage is wrong. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 1.60 0.80 2.50 1.36 MALE SUBJECT 1.20 0.40 2.50 0.92 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.31 18.94\*\* 0.63 Women deserve the same rights that men have. (L.R)

5.70 1.62 4.80 1.54 MEAN SD

MALE TARGET

6.90 0.30 MALE SUBJECT 6.80 0.40 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.58 19.36\*\* 1.21

The right to die with dignity is a fundamental human right. (L.R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 1.62 5.80 0.87 MALE SUBJECT 5.60 1.43 6.00 0.63 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.41 1.20 0.01

It ought to be legal to give a terminally ill person an overdose (L,R) of drugs.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.27 3.80 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 3.80 1.47 4.10 1.58 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.25 0.98 3.93

Homosexuals should not be allowed to express their views in the media. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 1.96 2.80 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 3.90 1.51 2.60 0.92 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.52 18.93\*\* 0.49

Homosexuals are genetically defective. (C.T) MEAN SD MEAN SD

3.80 1.40 3.00 1.67 MALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.50 2.50 1.57 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Pm 0.07 11.38\*\* 2.29

An employer should have the right to refuse employment to homosexuals. (C,T)

2.42

0.01

0.49

INTERACTION

2.89

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.83 2.40 1.02 MALE SUBJECT 2.50 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.80 0.98

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT

SEX OF SUBJECT

F= 0.06

F= 1.90

F=1.58

SEX OF TARGET 27.97\*\* INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 4.30\*

Homosexuals should be allowed to hold church positions. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.47 MALE SUBJECT 3.40 1.69 3.20 1.72 4.10 1.51 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

3.59

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

The government should eliminate the sale of pornography. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 1.62 MALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.84 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.89 1.70 1.00

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 25.53\*\*

The rights of criminals should not get in the way of controlling (L,R) crime.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 0.49 5.40 1.20 MALE SUBJECT 5.60 0.92 4.30 1.68 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET

5.37\*

No animal should be used for research.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.70 1.79 4.70 1.95 MALE SUBJECT 2.70 1.35 4.20 1.78 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F- 0.14 13.05\*\* 0.27

It should be illegal to hunt animals for sport. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

3.20 1.99 4.20 1.83 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.60 5.40 1.80 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.46 8.55\* 1.69

The penalties for drinking and driving need to be toughened.

MEAN SD MEAN SD

4.90 1.58 6.60 0.49 MALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.56 5.50 1.63 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

MILE IANGE! PENALS IANGE!

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 3.42 7.93\* 0.36

No government funds should be awarded to agencies promoting abortion.

(C,T)
MEAN SD MEAN SD
4.10 1.37 3.80 1.54 MALE SUBJEC

4.10 1.37 3.80 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 3.00 1.55 2.50 1.02 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 5.93\* 0.86 0.05

The decision to have an abortion should be left up to the woman. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.30 1.95 5.10 1.70 MALE SUBJECT 3.80 1.60 5.10 1.76 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 7.23\*\* 0.24

A hospital abortion should be available to any woman on request. (L,R)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.00
 2.00
 4.50
 1.63 MALE SUBJECT

 4.70
 1.73
 3.80
 1.47 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE
 TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.00 0.16 2.02

Beauty contests teach young women that the most important thing is to look pretty.

0.44

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.72 5.20 1.40 5.80 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.49 3.37 0.21

Drug abusers deserve the help of society. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.80 1.60 5.20 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 5.40 1.50 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.12 PEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET THTERACTION F= 0.01 2.96

The penalties for illegal drug use should be increased. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.30 1.79 3.80 2.14 4.70 1.42 MALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.10 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.14 8.03 3.41

The number of abused children is often exaggerated.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.10 1.70 2.40 1.02 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.66 2.00 1.48 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.36 13.59\*\* 3.64

Judges are not being tough enough on those found guilty of child abuse.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 6.40 0.92 5.40 1.43 6.10 1.22 MALE SUBJECT 6.10 1.45 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.00 0.17 1.07

The government must get tougher with the major industrial polluters in this country.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.50 1.20 5.90 1.14 MALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.56 6.40 0.66 FEMALE SUBJECT FAGLE TARGET FEMALE TRAGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION
F= 0.17 15.56\*\* 6.30\*

Men and women should take equal responsibility in avoiding pregnancy. (L)

 MEAN
 SID
 MEAN
 SD

 6.20
 1.08
 6.80
 0.40
 MALE
 SUBJECT

 3.70
 1.73
 6.80
 0.40
 MALE
 SUBJECT

 MALE
 TARGET
 FEMALE
 TARGET
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 12.10\*\* 28.45\*\* 12.99\*\*

Birth control information should be given to children before puberty. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.50 1.63 4.30 2.05 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.60 5.70 1.79 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT

SEX OF TARGET

INTERACTION

5.41\*
5.41\*

Sex education should be the responsibility only of parents. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.26 3.50 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 2.70 1.19 2.10 1.14 FEMALE SUBJECT FRANCE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

F= 2.45 0.02 2.95

I enjoy nudity in magazines or movies. (L,R)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 5.80
 1.72
 2.80
 1.17
 MALE SUBJECT

 6.10
 1.81
 3.10
 1.76
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT 3EX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.20 60.00\*\* 0.00

Women should avoid going out to work when their children are very young. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.80 2.18 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.89 3.80 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.60 1.50 FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.38 INTERACTION SEX OF TARGET 7.38\* 0.60

True fulfilment for a woman comes from raising a family. (C,T)

MEAN SD 3.10 1.37 MALE SUBJECT 3.80 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT MEAN SD 4.10 1.37 4.90 1.64 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 8.84\*\* F= 1.69 0.02

A battered wife ought to stay in the marriage and try to make (C,T) the best of it.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.50 1.43 1.30 0.64 MALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.50 2.30 2.00 FEMALE SUBJECT PEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 6.22\* 18.67\*\* 1.39

The government ought to spend more on education.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 6.10 0.70 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.47 6.50 0.67 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.00 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET 0.81 F= 0.15 3.93

A degree is worthless unless it helps you get a good tob. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 2.01 4.30 1.62 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.66 FEMALE SUBJECT 6.20 0.60 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F- 2.04 4.95\* 2.71 Greenpeace was right in its fight to close down the seal hunt.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.80 1.78 MALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.50 1.91 3.60 1.96 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.79 6.40\* 0.01

It's time Greenpeace was outlawed. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.33 4.60 1.50 3.50 0.81 MALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.64 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.00 7.19\* 0.95

Most politicians are corrupt.

MALE TARGET

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.43 MALE SUBJECT 5.90 1.22 4.70 1.49 4.10 1.51 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.85 7.80\* 1.43

Voting is a waste of time. (R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.80 1.25 MALE SUBJECT 3.10 1.97 3.80 1.66 2.20 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.01 4.14 1.94

All nuclear arms should be dismantled.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.92 4.00 1.95 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.90 1.51 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT THTERACTION SEX OF TARGET F= 9.01\*\* 2.37 0.12

Nuclear weapons are a grave threat to our children and (L) future generations.

MEAN SD 5.20 1.17

MEAN SD 5.40 1.56 MALE SUBJECT 6.40 0.66 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.22 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.84 7.31\* 3.93

Boat people should be turned back at sea. (C, T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.30 1.68 4.10 1.58 3.50 1.02 MALE SUBJECT

4.30 1.49 FEMALE SUBJECT PEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET SEX OF BURJECT INTERACTION F= 0.27 0.61 1.69

A murderer deserves to suffer as much as the victim suffered. (T)

1.57

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.10 1.45 MALE SUBJECT 5.30 1.55 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.68 5.00 1.55

F= 0.15

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.17

Most sentences handed out by the courts are too lenient.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.66 MALE SUBJECT 6.00 1.00 4.60 1.36 5.30 1.10 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PENALE TARGET

INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET F= 2.51 0.01 2.68

It was wrong for Canada to abolish the death penalty.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.40 1.74 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.60 5.30 2.05 3.20 1.94 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.04 12.35\*\* 0.49

Police and prison quards deserve the protection that is (C) provided by the death penalty.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 1.50 3.80 0.98 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.14 4.70 1.19 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.43 3.31 1.19

The death penalty makes murderers of us all. (R)

MEAN SD 3.10 1.70 4.40 1.36 MALE SUBJECT 3.50 0.92 3.60 1.74 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F- 0.11 4.77\* 3.50

The amount of sexual abuse going on is greatly exaggerated.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.50 1.50 2.20 1.17 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 1.73 2.30 1.90 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.83 4.60\* 2.26

Anyone convicted of sexual abuse ought to be locked away for life. (C, T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 2.01 4.60 1.91 MALE TARGET 3.70 1.49 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.54 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.25 0.12 5.79\*

Married people are happier than singles. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.79 MALE SUBJECT 4.30 1.42 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.20 0.98 2.90 1.58 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.37 13.79\*\* 0.02 Unemployment insurance encourages people to be lazy. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

4.90 1.37 4.80 0.98 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.80 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.70

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.01 1.18 0.60

If someone I loved had a terminal illness and wanted to die, I (L,R) would help them find a way.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 1.56 5.60 0.66 MALE SUBJECT

5.80 0.87 5.10 1.22 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.15 0.92 0.92

Legalizing euthanasia would help ease the crowding in our (R) hospitals and nursing homes.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.00 MALE SUBJECT 3.30 1.10 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.25 5.20 1.47

F= 2.71

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET THTERACTION 13.84\*\*

Homosexuals should be arrested for public displays of affection. (C.T)

0.71

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.83 3.30 2.00 MALE SUBJECT 4.40 1.69 3.50 1.80 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.08 2.98 0.00

Homosexuals should be permitted to participate in team sports. (L.R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.50 1.91 2.80 1.94 5.10 1.45 MALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.85 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.70 5.05\* 0.40

Homosexuality is a sickness of our modern society. (C.T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.43

MALE TARGET

F= 1.39

2.80 2.09 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.99 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.95 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 17.10\*\* F= 0.16 0.43

Employers should not be forced to hire members of ethnic minority groups.

MEAN SD 5.80 1.47 5.50 1.57 MEAN SD 4.50 1.63 MALE SUBJECT 3.60 1.62 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 8.78\*

Teachers who are HIV-positive should not be allowed in the classroom. (C.T)

0.31

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 1.56 3.60 1.43 MALE SUBJECT

5.30 1.35 3.70 1.95 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.41 9.75\*\* 0.52

Humans are more important than other animals. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.80 2.36 5.80 1.78 4.10 2.02 MALE SUBJECT 3.80 1.60 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.17 13.10\*\* 3.04

I avoid driving after even a single drink. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.67 5.00 2.14 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.10 1.30 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 44.24\*\* F= 0.01 3.93

Premarital sex at an early age can leave emotional scars. (C.T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.00 1.41 2.80 1.08 4.60 1.80 MALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.74 36.97\*\* 3.09 Nobody expects to marry a virgin. (L,R) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.55 MALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.68 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.92 4.80 1.89 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET F X OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.17 1.70 0.37 Homosexual clergy should not be permitted to preach. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.80 1.83 5.80 1.99 4.00 2.00 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 2.14 PEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION P= 0.53 7.20\* 0.80 I think homosexuals need psychological or medical help. (C.T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.30 1.95 2.80 1.54 MALE SUBJECT 2.50 1.12 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.50 1.36 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.52 39.19\*\* 4.35 Homosexuals contribute positively to society. (L.R) MEAN SD 3.40 1.80 MEAN SD 4.20 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 2.20 0.87 4.00 1.55 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

1.38

9.33\*\*

F= 1.73

Homosexuals are just as moral as heterosexuals. (L.R) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.79 3.00 1.34 4.10 1.51 MALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.74 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.17 3.36 2.62 Homosexuals corrupt young people. (C,T) MEAN SD 3.40 1.85 5.00 1.61 3.20 1.89 MALE SUBJECT 3.40 1.69 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 16.20\*\* 9.80\*\* F= 1.26 Homosexuality should be against the law. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.50 1.86 2.80 1.66 MALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.78 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.00 1.48 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 13.49\*\* F= 1.71 2.61 I could continue to be a friend with someone who admitted (L,R) to being homosexual. MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.40 1.62 4.30 2.00 5.50 1.43 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.12 12.49\*\* 0.35 The idea of gav or lesbian marriages seems ridiculous. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.70 1.10 4.70 1.35 MALE SUBJECT 6.40 0.66 4.20 1.47 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET 33.39\*\* 4.70\* F= 0.04

MEAN SD 5.80 1.08		SD		
	6.30	0.64 MALE SUBJECT 0.70 FEMALE SUBJECT		
4.90 1.22	6.10	0.70 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE TARG	ET FEMAL	E TARGET		
SEX OF :	SUBJECT	SEX OF TARGET 7.20*	INTERACTION 1.22	
Persons c	onvicted of	date rape should re-	ceive mandatory counselling	. (
MEAN SD	MEAN	SD		
5.30 1.68 5.00 1.18	5.70	1.85 MALE SUBJECT		
5.00 1.18	5.90	1.85 MALE SUBJECT 1.76 FEMALE SUBJECT	•	
MALE TARG	ET FEMAL	E TARGET		
	BUBJECT	SEX OF TARGET		
F = 0.01		3.09	0.46	
MEAN SD	MEAN	2.14 MALE SUBJECT		
3.10 1.76 2.60 1.28 MALE TARGE	4.20 5.30 ET FEMAL	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT		
SEX OF	ET FEMAL	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION	
SEX OF	ET FEMAL	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET		
SEX OF : F= 0.18	et femai Bubject	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION	
SEX OF : F= 0.18	ET FEMAL SUBJECT ars exploit	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET SEX OF TARGET 21.30** females. (C)	INTERACTION	
SEX OF : F= 0.18	ET FEMAL SUBJECT ars exploit	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET SEX OF TARGET 21.30**  females. (C) SD 0.78 MALE SUBJECT	INTERACTION 3.78	
SEX OF : F= 0.18 Topless bases and salar spans and spans and salar spans and spans a	SUBJECT  ars exploit  MEAN 6.30 5.80	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET  SEK OF TARGET 21.30**  females. (C) SD 0.78 MALE SUBJECT 1.25 FEMALE SUBJECT	INTERACTION 3.78	
SEX OF : F= 0.18  Topless be MEAN SD 3.30 1.42 2.00 1.26 MALE TARGET	ET FEMAL SUBJECT ars exploit	1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT E TARGET  SEK OF TARGET 21.30**  females. (C) SD 0.78 MALE SUBJECT 1.25 FEMALE SUBJECT	INTERACTION 3.78	

disrespect for family values.

MEAN SD 4.80 1.40 4.90 1.37 MALE TARGET MEAN SD 5.50 1.20 MALE SUBJECT 5.40 1.28 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.00 SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.13

Hawing contrace	ptives available increases	prominguity	(C T)
			10,2,
MEAN SD	MEAN SD 3.30 1.90 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 2.10 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
3.70 2.24	3.30 1.90 MALE SUBJECT		
2.70 1.27	4.00 2.10 FEMALE SUBJECT		
SEX OF SUBJECT	T SEX OF TARGET 0.74	INTERACTION 2.64	
	ould be run like a legitim		(L,R)
MEAN SD	MEAN SD		
4.10 1.97	1.90 0.94 MALE SUBJECT		
4.90 1.22	1.70 0.90 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 1.90 0.94 MALE SUBJECT 1.70 0.90 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
	SEX OF TARGET 70.55**		
MEAN SD 3.50 1.20 3.10 1.58 MALE TARGET	destructive to society.  MEAN BD 6.10 0.54 MALE SUBJECT 5.00 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TRAGET T SEK OF TRAGET 59.48**		
	immoral people. (C,T)		
MEAN SD	MEAN SD 4.40 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.60 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
2.80 0.87	4.40 1.69 MALE SUBJECT		
3.80 1.54	4.20 1.60 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET		
	SEX OF TARGET 6.82*		
	" during lovemaking is ab		
MEAN SD	MEAN SD 3.30 1.79 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.17 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET		
2.70 1.49	3.30 1.79 MALE SUBJECT		
3.20 1.25	4.80 1.17 FEMALE SUBJECT		
MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET		
SEX OF SUBJECT F= 3.33	SEX OF TARGET 7.41*	INTERACTION 1.53	

Masturbation is	a normal sexual outlet	. (L,R)
4.90 1.92 4.50 2.38	MEAN SD	
4.90 1.92	4.20 1.60 MALE SUBJECT	
4.50 2.38	4.80 1.40 FEMALE SUBJE	icr
MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJEC	T SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION
F= 0.02	0.16	1.00
Phone sex is a	healthy outlet for sexu	al desires. (L,R)
MEAN SD	MEAN SD	
4.00 1.67	2.20 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.47 FEMALE SUBJE	,
5.30 0.90	2.80 1.47 FEMALE SUBJE	CT
MEAN SD 4.00 1.67 5.30 0.90 MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJEC		INTERACTION
F= 3.30	29.66**	0.79
I could live qu	ite well without sex.	(c)
MEAN SD	MEAN SD	
1.30 0.46	2.90 0.94 MALE SUBJECT	
1.50 0.67	4.30 1.79 FEMALE SUBJE	CT
MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 2.90 0.94 MALE SUBJECT 4.30 1.79 FEMALE SUBJE FEMALE TARGET	
	SEX OF TARGET 51.25**	
r= 3.76	51.25**	3.81
A person who ge deserves what t	ts a sexually transmitt hey get.	ed disease (C)
MEAN SD	NOZAN SD	
3.60 1.85	3.40 1.80 MALE SUBJECT	
4.00 1.84	NEAN SD 3.40 1.80 MALE SUBJECT 2.90 1.51 FEMALE SUBJE	CT
MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET	101.000
SEX OF SUBJEC	T SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION
F= 0.01	1.26	0.60
There is nothin partners.	g morally wrong with ha	ving multiple sex (L,R)
MEAN SD	MEAN SD 2.80 1.25 MALE SUBJECT 2.00 0.77 FEGILE SUBJE FEMALE TARGET	
4.00 1.84	2.80 1.25 MALE SUBJECT	
5.60 0.80	2.00 0.77 FEMALE SUBJE	CT
MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET	
SES OF SUBJEC		INTERACTION
r= 0.67	54.57**	13.64**

Nudist camps should be made illegal. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.70 1.73 3.90 1.76 MALE SUBJECT

3.10 2.02 4.80 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF BUBJECT 10.27\*\* 0.31 F= 1.02

Nudity should be permitted on public beaches. (L,R)

MEAN SD 2.30 1.35 MALE SUBJECT 2.50 1.12 FEMALE SUBJECT MEAN SD 4.90 1.76 6.00 0.63 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

F=1.73

SEX OF SUBJECT

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 78.06\*\*

1.70

There is nothing morally wrong with casual sex. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 1.67 4.40 1.28 MALE SUBJECT 6.30 0.78

3.60 1.74 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.26 13.16\*\* 5.33\*

I sometimes wish I were not sexual at all. (C)

MEAN SD 1.80 1.40 2.00 1.61 MEAN SD 3.90 1.45 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 1.73 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 19.17\*\* 0.01 F= 0.07

Religion is the cause of sexual guilt. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.40 4.40 1.50 MALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.10 3.50 1.69 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 4.25 2.08 0.75

Our government should try harder to prevent the (C,T) distribution of pornography.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.10 1.92 6.40 0.49 MALE SUBJECT 3.00 1.84 5.00 1.84 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PENALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 4.59\* 18.07\*\* 0.09

Pornography improves the sex lives of people who view it. (L,R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.90 1.22 MALE SUBJECT 2.40 1.85 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.60 1.20 5.10 1.37 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.00 25.55\*\* 1.32

Viewing sexually explicit material should be one's own business. (L)

0.04

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.60 1.20 MALE SUBJECT 6.40 0.49 6.30 0.78 5.40 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 12.69\*\*

The seriousness of AIDS is greatly exaggerated by the media. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.70 1.35 4.40 1.85 1.80 0.75 MALE SUBJECT 1.80 1.17 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

F= 0.13

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 18.59\*\* F= 3.09 4.39

Progreation should be the sole purpose of sex. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 1.40 0.49 2.20 1.25 MALE SUBJECT 3.00 1.84 3.30 1.42 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FENALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 7.41\* 1.96 0.40

NTERACTION 0.92	
NTERACTION 0.92	
NTERACTION 0.92	
NTERACTION 0.92	
NTERACTION 0.92	
0.92	
NTERACTION 0.01	
0.01	
(C,T)	
NTERROTTON	
NTERACTION 0.06	
0.06	
0.06	
0.06	
0.06	

Flirting is equivalent to sexual consent. (C,T) MEAN SD 1.90 1.37 MALE SUBJECT 2.00 1.18 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

MEAN SD 3.30 1.79 5.30 1.42 MALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT F= 5.54\*

SEX OF TARGET 20.18\*\* 3.30

INTERACTION

The seriousness of sexual harassment in the workplace (T) has been exaggerated. MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.20 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 1.80 1.17 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.68 4.80 1.33 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.49 34.71\*\* 3.86 Premarital sex happens too easily and too often. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.80 1.25 5.00 1.34 MALE SUBJECT 3.30 1.95 5.80 1.54 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.05 43.13\*\* 0.18 Premarital sexual intercourse is immoral. (C,T) MEAN SD 1.60 0.80 1.20 0.40 MEAN SD 2.60 1.20 MALE SUBJECT 2.60 1.74 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.23 12.23\*\* 0.34 Most men consider it important for women to stay (C,T) virgins until marriage. MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.40 2.06 3.90 1.76 MALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.60 1.50 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F=0.99 4.05 0.03 Homosexuality is disgusting. (C,T)

Homosexuality is disgusting. (C,T)
MEAN SD MEAN SD

5.90 1.51 4.00 1.48 MALE SUBJECT 4.70 2.15 3.20 1.78 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F=2.86 8.71\* 0.12

I would be upse	t if I learned that my son	were a homosexual. (C,T)
5.70 1.68	MEAN SD 4.80 1.72 MALE SUBJECT 5.40 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
	SEX OF TARGET	INTERACTION 0.09
There is no goo	d reason for having an abo	rtion. (C,T)
1.80 0.75	MEAN SD 1.90 1.45 MALE SUBJECT 2.50 1.43 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJEC	SEX OF TARGET 0.01	INTERACTION 0.09
	taken too seriously by fe	minists. (T)
MEAN SD 6.00 0.89 6.20 0.75 MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 4.80 1.40 MALE SUBJECT 4.30 1.62 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.12	T SEX OF TARGET 17.13**	INTERACTION 0.87
The government	should be doing more to st	op prostitution. (T)
MEAN 3D 3.80 1.25 3.80 1.60 MALE TARGET	MEAN SD 5.00 1.41 MALE SUBJECT 6.00 0.89 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT=1.14	SEX OF TARGET 17.57**	INTERACTION 1.52
Management Street Street Street	itutes should receive long	jail terms. (C,T)
2.10 1.22 3.20 1.40	MEAN SD 4.00 1.61 MALE SUBJECT 3.80 2.36 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET	
SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.57	SEX OF TARGET 5.45*	INTERACTION 1.47

I am uncomfortable about masturbation. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 2.48 4.50 1.57 MALY SUBJECT 4.80 2.09 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.00 2.32 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET P= 0.00 0.76 0.16 The danger of unprotected sex is over-rated. MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.60 1.11 MALE SUBJECT 1.20 0.40 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.60 1.36 3.40 1.74 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET THTERACTTON F= 2.81 2.97 People with multiple sex partners lack moral standards. (C.T) MEAN 9D MEAN SD 4.60 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 2.80 1.54 2.10 1.22 4.50 1.96 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT INTERACTION SEX OF TARGET F- 0.48 17.26\*\* 0.35 There is too much sex on television. (C.T) MEAN SD 3.10 1.76 2.30 1.62 MEAN SD 5.30 0.78 MALE SUBJECT 5.50 1.12 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F=0.52 29.42\*\* 1.01 Pornographic literature should be outlawed. (C.T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.00 1.95 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.47 FEMALE SUBJECT 2.10 0.70 2.30 0.64 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET

24.40\*\*

SEX OF SUBJECT

F= 1.37

INTERACTION

I would not want to be in the same room with someone who had AIDS. (C.T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.70 1.62 3.80 1.94 MALE SUBJECT 5.50 1.02 3.30 1.79 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.05 13.41\*\* 2.36 I would not want to send my child to a school where there was a (C,T) child with AIDS. MEAN SD 4.70 1.95 MALE SUPJECT 3.80 1.94 FEBALE SUJECT MEAN SD 4.30 1.55 4.40 2.50 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET THTERACTION F= 0.24 0.04 1.04 It is possible to enjoy sex with a person you do not like. (L.R) MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.00 1.95 3.00 1.73 MALE SUBJECT 5.60 1.69 2.60 1.20 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.03 20.83\*\* 0.83 Sex can get boring. (R) MEAN SD 3.20 1.99 MEAN SD 6.30 0.64 MALE SUBJECT 3.60 1.80 5.20 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.63 16.39\*\* 1.67 A woman who dresses provocatively has already given sexual consent. (C) MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.90 1.87 4.50 1.69 2.20 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 1.10 0.30 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

4.60\*

41.43\*\*

F= 0.24

Sex has nothing to do with power.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 3.20
 1.60
 3.30
 1.85
 MALE SUBJECT

 4.10
 1.64
 4.40
 2.11
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.59 0.41 0.10

Sex should occur only between equals.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.90 1.37 4.60 1.85 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.89 6.10 1.22 FEMALE SUBJECT

PEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.18 1.55 3.96

Dressing promiscuously is one of the causes of sexual harassment. (C,T)

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.17 36.10\*\* 3.30

Women should be more sexually assertive. (L.R)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.90 1.14 4.50 1.69 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.78 4.30 1.85 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TRAGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.03 4.86\* 1.09

Movies with women as victims add to violence against women.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.20 1.25 5.50 1.63 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.66 6.10 0.94 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.37 13.36\*\* 0.47 Ads with sex contribute to negative perceptions of women. (C,T)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 3.70
 1.62
 5.80
 1.47
 MALE
 SUBJECT

 3.80
 2.18
 5.20
 1.89
 FEMALE
 SUBJECT

 MALE
 TARGET
 FEMALE
 TARGET
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.17 SEX OF TARGET

INTERACTION 0.34

Mass murderers express society's underlying problems.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.40
 1.62
 4.80
 1.78
 MALE
 SUBJECT

 4.20
 1.89
 4.70
 1.68
 FEMALE
 SUBJECT

 MALE
 TARGET
 FEMALE
 TARGET
 FEMALE
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET
F= 0.04 1.49

INTERACTION 0.02

I support feminist principles and goals. (L,R)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 3.40
 1.80
 4.90
 0.83
 MALE SUBJECT

 3.60
 1.28
 5.00
 1.84
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET F= 0.06 19.46\*\*

INTERACTION 0.02

Women have the same access to occupations that men do.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.10
 1.64
 2.40
 1.20
 MALE SUBJECT

 4.60
 1.91
 3.80
 1.72
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.27 7.85\* 1.02

Domestic violence is not treated seriously enough by the legal system. (L)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.60 1.50 5.20 1.89 MALE SUBJECT 3.70 1.68 6.40 0.66 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 10.60\*\* 4.29

Police should have the power to detain the potentially violent.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.10 1.76 5.00 1.48 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.02 5.60 1.11 FEMALE SUBJECT

FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0 00 1.97 2.83

Mental problems are too often used to excuse horrible crimes.

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.84 0.57 -0.00

When the justice system puts offenders back on the streets, it puts the rest of us at risk. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.40 0.80 5.30 1.27 MALE SUBJECT 5.50 1.43 6.20 0.87 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE ARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.55 0.75 1.34

Stricter gun controls would prevent many crimes. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 1.27 5.60 1.43 MALE SUBJECT 4.80 1.83 5.80 1.25 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.08 2.00 0.58

Violence in society is not related to the availability of guns.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.50 1.69 3.30 1.35 MALE SUBJECT 4.10 1.81 2.60 1.11 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.01 2.20 1.29 There would be less violence against women if they were less pushy. (C)

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.23 7.66\* 0.54

Violence against women is due to a backlash against feminism. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.30 1.79 3.40 1.74 MALE SUBJECT 4.90 1.87 3.10 2.17 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.83 2.49 3.11

Child custody laws unfairly favour women.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 5.20
 1.78
 3.50
 1.96
 MALE SUBJECT

 6.40
 0.66
 3.00
 1.55
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.78 16.66\*\* 1.85

Homen and men should get equal pay for equal work. (L,R)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 5.50
 1.63
 7.00
 0.00
 NALE SUBJECT

 3.50
 1.69
 6.90
 0.30
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 7.23\* 37.98\*\* 5.71\*

Family breakdown is responsible for many crimes.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.08 5.60 0.66 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.89 5.30 1.49 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 1.65 3.66 0.80 Government should not fund abortions. (C,T)

FEMALE TARGET

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.80 1.33 3.10 1.45 MALE SUBJECT 3.40 1.50 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.30 1.79

MALE TARGET

control.

MALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT

F- 0.44 4.19 0.07

The solution to the deficit problem is to get people back to work. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.90 1.04 MALE SUBJECT 5.70 1.10 FEMALE SUBJECT 6.00 1.41 6.20 0.60 PALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.00 1.38 0.62

We won't solve the unemployment problem until we get the deficit under

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.80 0.87 4.40 1.11 MALE SUBJECT 5.50 0.81 5.50 1.20 FEMALE SUBJECT TEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 4.58\* 0.78 0.78

Free trade will most hurt the poorer people in this country.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 1.55 5.40 1.20 MALE SUBJECT 5.20 1.33 FEMALE SUBJECT 5.20 0.87 FEMALE TARGET MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION

Fm 0.08 0.03 0.03

The federal government is most responsible for the crisis in the fishery.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.70 1.19 5.30 1.19 MALE SUBJECT 4.00 1.90 4.20 1.17 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT F= 7.38\* 0.06 0.54 Research on reproductive technology should be stopped. (C,T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.80 1.40 3.90 1.64 MALE SUBJECT 3.20 1.54 2.80 1.40 FEMALE SUBJECT

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 5.46\* 2.56 0.38

FEMALE TARGET

A lot of people are cheating on the fishery-compensation package. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.40 0.80 4.70 1.19 MALE SUBJECT 5.90 0.94 5.70 1.10 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 3.95 2.30 0.71

A professor who expresses racially prejudiced views in class should be

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 0.90 5.60 1.11 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.43 6.20 0.98 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.04 25.35\*\* 12.42\*\*

A professor who has been convicted of sexual assault should be fired.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 1.73 5.00 1.61 MALE SUBJECT 5.10 1.76 5.70 1.19 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F = 0.18 0.11 0.95

A professor who has been convicted of a criminal offense (C,T) should be fired.

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 3.50
 1.50
 4.70
 1.00
 MALE SUBJECT

 5.90
 0.94
 5.20
 1.60
 FEMALE SUBJECT

 FEMALE TARGET
 FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 8.55\* 0.49 7.11\*

Olympic athletes who use banned drugs should be (C) disqualified from competition for life.

MEAN SD HEAN SD MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.83 4.70 1.73 3.80 1.72 4.40 1.43 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 2.23 0.02 F= 0.25

Experimental drugs should not be tested on animals.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 2.80 1.08 4.60 1.74 MALE SUBJECT 5.90 1.45 FEMALE SUBJECT 3.60 1.50 MALE TARGET PEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET THTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 23.75\*\* 0.35 F= 3.71

The mother should stay home with the baby. (C, T)

MEAN SD MEAN BD 1.90 1.04 MALE SUBJECT 3.60 1.80 5.30 1.79 2.50 1.96 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET

MALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 3.26 22.31\*\* 1.33

When it is the woman's word against that of her alleged assailant, I am inclined to believe the woman.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.40 1.50 3.30 1.42 5.40 1.62 MALE SUBJECT 6.00 1.00 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.24 19.22\*\* 4.06

The death penalty should never be applied.

MEAN SD MEAN SD 3.40 1.56 MALE SUBJECT 2.70 1.55 3.60 1.11 4.20 1.66 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION SEX OF SUBJECT 1.10 0.01 T-6.76\*

Pollution of the environment is not as serious as (C) some people would have us believe.

MEAN SD	MEAN SD
2.50 1.50	3.30 1.90 MALE SUBJECT
3.80 0.87	2.50 0.81 FEMALE SUBJECT
MALE TARGET	FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION Fw 0.39 0.26 4.53\*

Children should be discouraged from playing with toy guns.

MEAN	SD	MEAN SD	
2.60	1.91	5.40 1.02 MALE SUBJECT	T
2.60	1.02	5.20 1.72 FEMALE SUBJ	EC:
MATE	manorm	PEMATE MADOREM	

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.04 34.53\*\* 0.05

A woman who is beaten by her husband deserves what she gets.

MEAN	SD	MEAN SD
	2.11	1.70 1.49 MALE SUBJECT 1.20 0.60 FEMALE SUBJECT
	TARGET	FEMALE TARGET

MEAN SD

MEAN SD

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 12.27\*\* 3.28

Insanity should not be a defence in a criminal trial.

	1.19		MALE SUBJECT FEMALE SUBJECT	
	TARGET	FEMALE TAP		
	OF SUBJECT	SEX	OF TARGET	INTERACTION
F-	0.01		9.51**	0.38

People should be permitted to smoke in the workplace. (L)

MEAN	SD	MEAN	SD		
3.10	1.76	1.80	0.75	MALE S	UBJECT
5.00	1.79	3.10	1.97	FEMALE	SUBJECT
MALE	TARGET	FEMAL	E TA	RGET	

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 6.41\* 12.98\*\* 0.46

People who are abused grow up to be abusers. MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.20 1.17 4.80 1.40 5.00 1.41 MALE SUBJECT 6.20 0.75 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET PENCALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.72 3.41 6.06\* Abortions should only be conducted in emergency situations. (C,T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.30 1.68 MALE SUBJECT 4.50 1.36 FEMALE SUBJECT 4.70 1.62 4.40 1.11 MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 0.74 0.38 SEX OF SUBJECT F= 0.98 The annual seal hunt should be revived. (T) MEAN SD MEAN SD 5.50 1.69 5.10 1.45 4.30 1.73 MALE SUBJECT 2.90 1.37 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 5.06\* 7.47\*

Canadians depend too heavily on government handouts. (T)

5.70 1.19 4.70 1.73 MALE SUBJECT 5.30 1.10 4.70 1.10 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.14 7.58\* 0.47

University admission standards should be raised.

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION 1.22 1.35 0.08

Too many immigrants are being allowed to enter this country. (C.T)

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 2.32 7.47\* 0.59

An insult to your honour should not be forgotten. (T)

MEAN SD MEAN SD

4.50 1.20 3.90 1.04 MALE SUBJECT 5.80 0.60 4.30 1.27 FEMALE SUBJECT MALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 4.11 14.75\*\* 2.71

Suicide should be a matter of personal choice. (L,R)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.10
 1.51
 4.40
 1.74
 MALE
 SUBJECT

 5.50
 1.43
 4.40
 1.85
 FEMALE
 SUBJECT

 MALE
 TARGET
 FEMALE
 TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.94 1.99 6.08\*

Only countries with good records of human rights should receive this country's foreign aid. (C)

 MEAN
 SD
 MEAN
 SD

 4.50
 1.74
 4.50
 1.80
 MALE SUBJECT

 4.60
 1.62
 4.00
 1.79
 FEMBLE SUBJECT

 MALE TARGET
 FEMBLE TARGET
 FEMBLE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION f= 0.10 2.29 1.17

Most unemployed people are just lazy. (C)

MEAN SD MEAN SD 4.30 1.42 3.80 1.08 MALE SUBJECT 4.20 1.60 3.40 1.91 FEMALE SUBJECT FEMALE TARGET FEMALE TARGET

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION F= 0.17 2.92 0.16

The death penalty is necessary.

MEAN	·S.	MEAN SD	
5.20	1.60	3.20 1.40 MALE SUBJ	
4.20	2.52	3.80 2.27 FEMALE SU	BJECT

SEX OF SUBJECT SEX OF TARGET INTERACTION  $\mathbf{F}= 0.06$   $7.16^{\star}$  3.18







