SYNTHESIS AND IN VITRO BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF NEW NON-STEROIDAL PLATINUM (ID COMPLEXES DESIGNED FOR THE TREATMENT OF BREAST CANCER



YUEHUA HE







SYNTHESIS AND IN VITRO BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF NEW NON-STEROIDAL PLATINUM (II) COMPLEXES DESIGNED FOR THE TREATMENT OF BREAST CANCER

by

YUEHUA HE

A thesis submitted to the

School of Graduate Studies

in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

School of Pharmacy Memorial University of Newfoundland St. Jonh's, Newfoundland

January, 1995

Newfoundland

St. John's



National Library of Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services Branch Direction des acquisitions et des services bibliographiques

395 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0N4 395, rue Wellington Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0N4

Your Me Vote Merence

Our No Neerdleronce

The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons. L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission. L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-612-01863-6

Canadä

ABSTRACT

Breast cancer is the leading form of cancer among women in North America. The development of resistance to endocrine therapy as well as chemotherapy is presently the major obstacle to successful treatment of advanced breast cancer. Therefore, more potent and selective chemotherapeutic agents should be designed. An attractive solution to this problem is to combine both endocrine therapy and chemotherapy in a single agent. It may result in a more powerful approach to advanced breast cancer treatment.

In order to achieve this goal, a series of new triphenylethylene platinum (II) complexes 39a-d, 40a-c and 41 have been designed and synthesized. The commercially available benzyl, 4-hydroxyphenyl ketone was efficiently transformed in eight steps into the platinum (II) complexes 39a-d with an overall yield of around 30%. In a similar sequence of reactions, the complexes 40a-c and 41 were also synthesized, the overall yield exceeded 40%. All new compounds were fully characterized by their infrared and ¹H, ¹3C nuclear magnetic resonance and mass spectra. The final compounds 39a-d, 40 --c and 41 also passed element nalysis.

The biological activity of the complexes 39a-d, 40a-c and 41 were evaluated <u>in vitro</u> on both ER⁺ and ER⁺ breast cancer cell lines: MCF-7 and MDA-MD-231. The complexes 40b-c showed promising antitumor activity. Their IC50 is up to 28 fold lower than tamoxifen on MDA-MD-231, and 3 fold lower on MCF-7. However, there was no evidence of selective antitumor activity on ER⁺ breast cancer cell <u>in vitro</u>.

ii

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Gervais Bérubé, for his many hours of helpful instruction and discussion during the course of my research project.

Special thanks are given to Ms. Roberts, Dr. Kantha Arunachalam, Achilles Lau, Stephen Sherman, Cida Zhao, Dongyuan Yao, Sue Ellen Maher and John Bautista for their support, encouragement, and friendship.

I am grateful to Ms. Natalie Brunet for 300 NMR spectra, Ms. M. Baggs, Dr. Liang Tang and Dr. Feimeng Zhou for mass spectra. Special acknowledgement is given to Dr. René C.-Gaudreault and Mr. Jacques Lacroix for biological testing. I thank my supervisory committee, Dr. Ford and Dr. Loomis for their support during my study. I would like to thank Département de Chimie-Biologie, Université du Québec à Trois-Rivières for providing me laboratory space to accomplish my research work.

Sincere thanks are due to Mrs. Daphne Efford for her help and friendship. I am deeply grateful to my husband, my parents for their love, support, and encouragement.

The financial support from School of Pharmacy, Memorial University of Newfoundland in the form of a Memorial Graduate Fellowship and Medical Research Council of Canada is gratefully acknowledged.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title			i
Abstract			ii
Acknowledgements			III
Table of Contents			iv
List of Figures and Table			v
List of Schemes			vi
List of Spectra			vii
Glossary of Abbreviations		ix	
Dedication		xi	
Chapter 1	INTE	RODUCTION	1
Chapter 2	RES	ULT AND DISCUSSION	21
	2.1	Synthesis of Bishydroxy and Bismethoxy Triphenylethylene Platinum(II) Complexes 39a-d and 41	21
	2.2	Synthesis of Triphenylethylene Platinum(II) Complexes 40a-c	26
	2.3 <u>l</u>	n Vitro Antitumor Activity	33
	2.4 (Conclusion	38
Chapter 3	EXP	ERIMENTAL	39
	3.1	Synthesis	39
	3.2	In Vitro Antitumor Activity	67
REFERENCES			69
APPENDIX			76

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLE

Fig	ure	Page
1	Immunotargeting with MAb for The Treatment of Cancer	2
2	Estrogen Effects on Breast Cancer cell	8
3	The Mechanism of Antiestrogen Action for the Treatment of ER ⁺ Breast Cancer cell	9
4	The Illustration of the Interaction of the Non-Steroidal Pt (II) Complex with the ER and DNA	19
5	The Structure of the New Antiestrogens ICI 164, 384 and ICI 182, 780	19
6	¹ H NMR Spectra of Compounds 55c and 56c	31
7	13C NMR Spectra of Compounds 55c and 56c	32
8	The Reaction Equation of MTT Reduction	33
9	MCF-7 Cell Survival Curves	36
10	MDA-MB-231 Cell Survival Curves	37

Tab	le	Page
1	Inhibitory Concentration of Drug on both ER ⁺ and ER ⁻ Breast Cancer Cell Lines	34

LIST OF SCHEMES

Scheme	Page
1	21
2	22
3	25
4	26
5	28
6	30

LIST OF SPECTRA

Spectrum	page
IR of compound 46a	77
IR of compound 48a	78
IR of compound 49a	79
IR of compound 50a	80
IR of compound 39a	81
IR of compound 41	82
IR of compound 53c	83
IR of compound 55c	84
IR of compound 56c	85
IR of compound 57c	86
IR of compound 58c	87
IR of compound 40c	88
¹ H NMR of compound 46a	89
¹ H NMR of compound 48a	90
¹ H NMR of compound 49a	91
¹ H NMR of compound 50a	92
¹ H NMR of compound 39a	93
¹ H NMR of compound 41	94
¹ H NMR of compound 53c	95
¹ H NMR of compound 55c	96
¹ H NMR of compound 56c	97

¹ H NMR of compound 57c	98
¹ H NMR of compound 58c	99
¹ H NMR of compound 40c	100
13 C NMR of compound 46a	101
13 C NMR of compound 48a	102
13 C NMR of compound 49a	103
13 C NMR of compound 50a	104
13 C NMR of compound 39a	105
13 C NMR of compound 41	106
13 C NMR of compound 53c	107
13 C NMR of compound 55c	108
13 C NMR of compound 56c	109
13 C NMR of compound 57c	110
13 C NMR of compound 58c	111
13 C NMR of compound 40c	112

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

d	doublet	
dd	double doublet	
DHP	dihydropyran	
DMF	N, N-dimethylformamide	
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide	
E2	estradiol	
EGFR	epidermal growth factor receptors	
ER	estrogen receptor	
ER+	estrogen receptor positive	
ER-	estrogen receptor negative	
ERBA	estrogen receptor binding affinity	
ERE	estrogen response elements	
Et	ethyl	
hr	hour	
IGF	insulin like growth factors	
IR	infrared spectroscopy	
m	multiplet	
MAb	monoclonal antibody	
Me	methyl	
mp	melting point	
MS	mass spectroscopy	
мтт	3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide	

- NMR nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
- PBS phosphate-buffered saline
- Ph phenyl
- PPTS pyridinium, para-toiuenesulphonate
- pTSA para-toluenesulphonic acid
- q quartet
- RPMI Roswell Park Memorial Institute
- s singlet
- TGF tumor growth factors
- THF tetrahydropyranyl
- THP tetrahydrofuran
- TLC thin layer chromatography
- TMS tetramethylsilane
- TPA triphenylacrylonitrile

TO MY HUSBAND AND MY PARENTS

YOU MAKE IT ALL WORTH IT

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common form of cancer among women in North America. In 1994, it is estimated that 17,000 women will be diagnosed with breast cancer in Canada, representing approximately 30% of new cancer cases for all eites. Of the 27,600 cancer deaths, approximately 5,600 (20%) will be caused by breast cancer.¹ Therefore, finding an effective method to treat breast cancer is an important and urgent matter.

Currently, surgery with adjuvant radiotherapy is quite effective to treat breast cancer when the turnour has not metastasized by the time of treatment. However, even in the best circumstance, ten year survival rates of 50% have been unusual and some "clinical cures" may recur with fatal outcome as late as twenty years with such local treatment.² Therefore, a systemic approach such as chemotherapy plays an important role for a more effective cancer management.

At present, different types of drugs are used in chemotherapy, such as alkylating agents, DNA-intercalating agents, antihiotics, antimitotic agents, antimetabolites and so on. An ideal anticancer drug would eradicate cancer cells without harning normal tissues. Unfortunately, no currently available agents meet this criterion and clinical use of these drugs involves a weighing of benefits against toxicity in a search for a favorable therapeutic index.³

Another major problem in cancer chemotherapy is drug resistance, which means that tumors no longer respond to presently available chemotherapeutic agents. It is estimated that over 90% of all cancer death are, in some measure,

influenced by the problem of drug resistance.⁴ Some mechanisms of drug resistance have already been identified in human tumor cell lines. They include: decreased transport,⁵ altered drug activation,⁶ altered DNA repair,⁷ gene amplification,⁹ defective drug metabolism,⁹ altered target proteins and altered intracellular nucleotide pools.¹⁰ Faced with the complexity of the drug resistance mechanisms already identified, one might conclude that circumventing drug resistance is not a likely possibility.

An attractive solution which is being considered to solve these two problems is the use of drug targeting. The aim of drug targeting is to deliver drugs only to those sites needing treatment. When this objective is mel, not only toxic side effects will be minimized, but the efficacy of the treatment will be improved. Therefore, the tumor might be eradicated rapidly before any sign of resistance occurs.



Fig.1 Immunotargeting with MAb for the treatment of cancer.

The concept of drug targeting was first suggested by Paul Ehrich in the early 1900's.¹¹ He proposed that chemotherapeutic agents might be covalently joined to ligand substrates which had affinity for and selectivity to a target tissue such as malignant tumors. Since then, some biological and chemical molecules have been tried as ligand substrates for anticancer drug targeting, such as monoclonal antibodies (MAb). By covalently linking antitumor agents to MAb reactive with tumor-associated antigens, these drugs can be targeted to the tumors (Fig.1).¹² Numerous scientists are still working on this area.

The presence of substantial amounts of estrogen receptors (ER) in many human breast tumors is well known and is being used to select the most appropriate therapy such as endocrine therapy and/or chemotherapy for breast cancer patients.¹³ These receptors provide a potential targeting mechanism by which agents with estrogen receptor binding affinity (ERBA) could be concentrated selectively in the tumor tissue. For example, several groups have developed y-emitting estrogens as diagnostic imaging agents for human breast cancer.¹⁴ It might also prove possible to prepare conjugates of molecules with ERBA and cytotoxic agents, which would bind to ER and would thus concentrate their cytotoxic activity within ER-containing cells, sparing cells in nontarget tissues. It is this prospect of achieving a selective ER mediated killing of ER positive (ER*) breast cancer cells that has concerned scientists in the area of breast cancer. This thesis is also devoted to preparing this type of antitumor agent.

The development of antitumor agents with EFIBA for breast cancer has some encouraging experimental precedent. As early as the 1950s, several scientists have been trying to link nitrogen mustard (1), an alkylating agent, to a variety of steroid nuclei such as cholesterol (4), estrone (5), testosterone (6),







Nitrogen Mustard

Nitrosoureas 2 Cisplatin 3











6 R=H Testosterone 10 R=NCl



7 R=H Hydrocortisone 11 R= N Cl and hydrocortisone (7) to treat hormone dependent breast cancer.

Unfortunately, the compounds obtained, i.e., 8,¹⁵ 9,¹⁶ 10¹⁷ and 11¹⁸ displayed only moderate antitumor activities. It is believed that these steroid nuclei are not the most appropriate ligand for the ER.



12 Estradiol (E2)

Since the discovery of estradiol (12), the endogenous ligand for the ER, numerous attempts were made to conjugate it to alkylating agents. In the early attempts, alkylating agents such as nitrogen mustard (1), nitrosoureas (2), and cisplatin (3) were linked to the 3- and 17-position of the estradiol skeleton. However, the compounds obtained 13,¹⁹ 14,¹⁹ 15,²⁰ 16,²¹ 17²² and 18²³ showed only low biological activities against ER⁴ breast cancer.

Structure activity relationship studies of various estrogenic compounds have shown that in order to obtain the highest ERBA, the estrogenic steroid hormone with an estra-1,3,5(10)-triene-skeleton must have the 3- and 17βhydroxy groups available, presumably to form hydrogen bonds with the receptor protein at the binding sites.²⁴ Therefore, it has been suggested that the relatively weak antitumor activities of those agents against breast cancer were partly due to their inability to bind to the ER and thereby to accumulate in ER+ tissues.²⁵ Simply, the cytotoxic molety was inappropriately linked to the binding









sites of estradiol, namely carbon 3 and/or 17.

In order to retain the 3- and 17β-hydroxy groups on the estradiol (E₂) nucleus, a nitrosourea (2) molety was introduced at the 17α-position.²⁰ As expected, the resulting compound 19 had higher ERBA value than the 17β-derivative 15 (19=1.8%, 15=0.41%, E₂=100%).²⁶ The order of ERBA also correlates with the order of cytotoxicity against ER⁺ breast cancer. Moreover, compound 19 was more active than the mixture of estradiol and lomustine (20), the latter being a clinically useful antitumor nitrosourea.²⁶ Unfortunately, the estrogenic activity induced by this product made it less attractive since it could cause cardiovascular and other toxic side effects.²⁷

With the various studies of hormonal influence on breast cancer cell growth, one question has been put forward: 'Is an estrogenic molecule such as estradiol a good carrier of antitumor agents ?"

There is considerable evidence to suggest that estrogen has direct and indirect effects on proliferation of ER⁺ breast cancer cells (Fig.2).^{28,29} Estrogen can bind to the estrogen receptor to unmask the DNA binding domain. This domain can bind to the estrogen response elements (EREs) on the DNA to initiate the transcription of estrogen-sensitive genes and protein synthesis. Estrogen can also increase the production of tumor growth factors alpha (TGFq) that possibly interacts with epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFR) in an autocrine loop. Similarly, estrogen causes a decrease in some members of the TGFβ family which is a tumor suppressor.



Fig.2 Estrogen effects on breast cancer cell.

Alternatively, antiestrogen has ERBA, but no estrogentic activity. Therefore, antiestrogen can act as a competitive inhibitor of estrogen binding to the ER to prevent estrogen-stimulated changes in cellular biochemistry.²⁹ Until now, three mechanisms of antiestrogen action wore proposed: antiestrogen, A. reduces DNA binding by interfering with receptor dimerization (Fig.3, A);³⁰ B. induces conformational changes of the receptor that allow binding to DNA but do not promote events needed for gene transcription (Fig.3, B);³¹ C. causes a rapid disappearance of the ER from the target tissue, resulting in an insufficient amount of ER to bind the native ligand and elicit agonistic responses (Fig.3, C);³² Consequently, the blockage of estrogen action with antiestrogen remains a generally accepted method of treatment of ER+ breast cancer.



Fig.3 The mechanism of antiestrogen action for the treatment of ER+ breast cancer cell.

From these points of view, Katzenellenbogen thought that³³ the syntheses of new conjugates containing both antiestrogenic and cytotoxic molely could be of prime interest. Simply, an antiestrogenic molety would not only be used as the carrier of cytotoxic agents, but also could produce potential antitumor activity by itself. It may result in a powerful drug for the treatment of ER⁺ breast cancer.

Therefore, Katzenellenbogen and his co-workers selected tamoxifen (21), an antiestrogenic agent which is widely used for the treatment of ER⁺ breast cancer, as a carrier of toxic moleties to produce new anticancer drugs,^{33,36} Alkylating agents such as nitrogen mustard, nitrosourea, nitrosocarbamate were linked on the alkoxy side chain of tamoxifen because the ethyl side chain was found necessary for antiestrogenic activity.³⁴ Two analogues, the nitrogen mustard-tamoxifen 22 and the nitrosocarbamate-lamoxifen 24 showed dose related cytotoxicity in both ER⁺ and ER⁻ breast cancer cell lines: MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231, which was not suppressed by estradiol. However, tamoxitennitrosourea 23 demonstrated marked cytotoxicity in MCF-7 cells that was blocked by estradiol, whereas its activity in MDA-MB-231 cells was unaffected by estradiol. It seemed that the selective toxic activity of 23 against ER⁺ cell line was mediated via the ER. However, recent evidence suggested that the cytotoxicity of 23 resulted from the antiestrogenic effect of its hydrolysed product, bisdesmethyl tamoxilen 25,³⁵





21 Tamoxifen







The reason for these analogues of tamoxifen to be devoid of selectivity for ER⁺ breast cancer cells was rationalized by their low ERBA. As mentioned by Katzenellenbogen,³⁶ for a cell to be killed using a receptor uptake process, an adequate dose of drug must be delivered by the receptor system. However, the capacity of the ER uptake system is quite limited. From clinical assays on human breast tumors, it is known that the range of receptor content is 1000-10000 per cell. The calculation based on the number of ER and the possible drug concentration per cell demonstrates that the ERBA value of drugs should be at least 1% of estradiol (Eg=100%).³⁷ But the ERBA values of only 1.2%, 0.35% and 0.19% respectively.^{33,36} From this point of view, tamoxilen might not be a good carrier of antitumor agents for ER⁺ breast cancer since derivatives based on a relatively low affinity ligand would also have relatively low affinity (The ERBA value of tamoxilen is only 1.8% of Ep.)³⁶

More recently, German scientists, Knebel and co-workers, thought that a non-steroidal structure, 5-hydroxy-2-(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-3-methylindole (26) might be a suitable derivative to link a cytotoxic agent to because of its structural similarities with zindoxifene (27, ERBA=9.5% of E_2).³⁶ a drug which has been developed as an antiestrogen.³⁷ Moreover, it also showed high ERBA, i.e., 33% of E_2 .³⁹ They found that the nitrogen atom was the best position for the introduction of bulky substituents into the indole skeleton without much interference with the important binding sites of the molecule (C-5-OH and C-4⁺-OH).⁴¹ The cytotoxic moiety was linked to the indole skeleton by a spacer group in order to avoid strong steric interaction of the ligand with the ER binding sites. In their model, a cis-(diaminoalkane) dichloroplatinum (II) complex was used as the cytotoxic moiety. The parent compound cisplatin (3) is a potent

antineoplastic agent against solid tumors, especially testicular cancer,42 but has low activity against breast cancer.43 Moreover, cisplatin induces very serious side effects such as nephrotoxicity44 and ototoxicity45. They believed that the affinity of the new platinum complexes for the ER might increase the activity of such an agent on mammary tumors and reduce its toxic side effects. The compounds synthesized (28a-c) showed some specific binding affinity for the ER.46 The relative ERBA values of the compounds 28a, 28b, 28c were 1.0%, 1.3%, 6.5% respectively. The order of their ERBA values correlated with the order of their cytotoxicity.47 In vitro, only the growth of ER+ MCF-7 mammary tumor cells was inhibited, whereas ER* MDA-MB-231 cells did not respond. In vivo, a strong inhibitory effect was observed in ER+ MX1 mammary tumors of the mouse. The complex 28c, with six carbon atom side chain, reduced the tumor weight by 89% after six weeks of treatment (The dosage was 3x20 mg/Kg body weight/ week.). The effect on ER- tumors was weaker than on ER+ tumors.47 The complexes 29 and 30 also showed inhibitory effect similar to the complex 28c (the ERBA value of 29 and 30 = 5.2% and 4.4%, E2=100%).48,49 Moreover, there was no sign of nephrotoxicity observed in these experiments (usually cisplatin induces a serious nephrotoxic side effect).

These findings were rationalized by the specific binding affinity of those complexes. However, the antitumor activities of those complexes were still slightly less active than cisplatin itself which was used in subtoxic dosage (3x1.4 mg/Kg body weight/week). Therefore, it has been suggested that further investigation of steroidal or non-steroidal derivatives to link anticancer agents should be focused on the development of drugs with further improved antineoplastic activity based on enhanced ERBA. This could be achieved by coupling antineoplastic drugs to hormonal derivatives with relatively strong

ERBA.50









n= 4 (a), 5(b), 6(c)





In 1987, R. Gust⁵¹ and J. Karl⁵² successfully synthesized two new powerful hormonal platinum complexes, namely meso-[1,2-bis(2,6-dichloro-4hydroxyphenyl) ethylenediaminel dichloroplatinum (II) complex (32) and meso-[1,2-bis(2,6-dichloro-4-hydroxyphenyl) ethylenediamine] disulfatoplatinum (II) complex (33) for the treatment of ER+ breast cancer. They selected hexestrol (31), a non-steroidal synthetic estrogen as a ligand substrate to link the cytotoxic molety, platinum (II) complex. In comparative tests on ER+ and ERmammary tumors in cell culture (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines). the complexes 32 and 33 were not obviously selective, inhibiting both ER+ and ERmammary carcinoma. However, In vivo, a strong inhibitory effect of 32 was observed in ER⁺ MXT mammary tumors of the mouse. After four weeks of treatment at a dose of 3x6.5 mg/Kg body weight/week, the tumor weight was reduced by 88%, which was significantly more active than cisplatin at the highest tolerable dosage (3x1.5 mg/kg body weight/week). A further increase of efficacy was achieved with the water soluble sulfatoplatinum complex 33.

Preliminary biological studies of the complexes 32 and 33 showed that their high antitumor activity for ER+ breast cancer resulted from their ER mediated enrichment in the nuclei of ER+ tumor cells. A higher level of Pt in the tumor tissue than in skeletal muscle and blood was found.^{52,54} Moreover, both derivatives displayed estrogen-like properties:⁵⁵ a) it competed with estradiol for ER binding sites in a competitive manner at 0.1 µM concentration; b) it reduced the number of estradiol binding sites after a 16 hours incubation, and c) it increased the level of progesterone receptor. However, their relatively low ERBA (the ERBA value of 32 and 33 = 0.3%, 0.1% respectively, E₂=100%)⁵² is inconsistent with the enrichment theory. It suggests that the 1% ERBA threshold

minimum level might not be necessary for selectivity. It is acceptable that the complexes 32 and 33 might bind to an ostrogen-specific nuclear receptor, which also could cause an enrichment in the nucleus giving rise to an increased reaction with DNA.⁵² Recent studies suggested that the selective growth inhibitory effects of 32 and 33 also involved immunological factors.^{55,56}









Interestingly, the isomers of 32, namely d.I-[1,2-bis(2,6-dichloro-4hydroxyphenyl) ethylenediamine1 dichloroplatinum (II) complex displayed neither estrogenic activities nor cytotoxic effects for ER⁺ breast cancer.⁵² The exact mechanisms of their actions are still unclear. Numerous scientists are continuing to working in this area.

Although the new platinum complexes 32 and 33 display powerful antitumor activity against ER+ breast cancer, their estrogenic properties become a major obstacle in their clinical use.57 As mentioned before, estrogenic activity can cause cardiovascular side effects. H. Schonenberger and co-workers are trying to modify the structure of the 1.2-diphenylethylenediamine ligand in order to reduce its strong estrogenic potency.57



OH HC CN

ERBA = 72



37







36 ERBA = 270





38

n = 6(a), 8(b), 10(c)

n= 6(a), 8(b), 10(c), 11(d)

39







n= 6(a), 8(b), 10(c)

In this thesis, we are describing our efforts towards the design of new cisplatinum complexes with good ERBA. According to the enrichment theory, high ERBA is needed to result in a sufficient accumulation of drugs in the ER+ tumor tissue through the ER mediated transport process.^{36,37}

Pons and co-workers investigated the relationships of structure and ERBA of a variety of non-steroidal estrogen derivatives.⁵⁸ They found that hydroxylated triphenylacrylonitriles (TPA) had high ERBA, particularly the compounds 36 and 37 (the ERBA value of 36 and 37=270, E2=100). These studies suggest that TPA analogues 36 and 37 could be good andidates to link antitumor agents to because according to Von Angerer's findings, derivatives based on relatively high affinity ligand should also possess relatively high affinity.³⁶

Therefore, we design a series of new cisplatinum complexes 38a-c and 39a-d which are linked to the non-steroidal skeleton, TPA. We hope that these new cisplatinum complexes will have higher ERBA, therefore, higher antitumor activity against ER+ breast cancer than the platinum complex 28 synthesized by the German scientists.

The cytotoxic moiety, ethylenediamine platinum (II) complex, will be linked on the middle part of TPA skeleton in order to avoid strong steric interference with the important binding sites of the carrier ligand, i.e., the hydroxy groups. Long side chains with six, eight, ten or eleven carbon atoms will be added between the two portions: TPA skeleton and cisplatinum (II) complex. This should allow the two portions to be more flexible to react with the estrogen receptor and DNA respectively (Fig.4). The length and position of the side chain are also based on the structure of the pure steroidal antiestrogens ICI 164,364 and ICI 182,760 (Fig.5) recently described in the literature.⁵⁰ Such

estradiol with a long alkyl side chain on the 7-α position possesses sufficient ERSA (the ERBA value of ICI 164,384 and ICI 182,780=19%, 89% respectively, E2=100%)⁶⁰ and pure antiestrogenic activity. A pure antiestrogen is devoid of any estrogenic activity, therefore no estrogenic side effects will be induced. This structural analogy should confer upon our new compounds both antiestrogenic and cytotoxic activity.



Fig.4 The illustration of the interaction of the non-steroidal Pt (II) complex

with the ER and DNA.



Fig.5 The structures of the new antiestrogens ICI 164,384

and ICI 182,780.

TPA-cisplatinum (II) complexes 40a-c and 41 without hydroxy groups will also be synthesized. They will be our reference derivatives. Such compounds should have no ERBA and no hormonal activity. Their biological activity will be solely produced by their platinum portion. Therefore, it may help us to understand the possible mechanisms of actions of the compounds 38a-c and 39a-d containing hydroxy groups. Moreover, it may also help us to estimate the ERBA values of 38a-c and 39a-d more precisely since it is known that platinum complexes might produce non-receptor irreversible binding to proteins in the ER preparation.⁶¹

In our laboratory, we have already obtained the complexes 38a-c.⁶² In this project, we are going to report the synthesis of the remaining compounds 39a-d, 40a-c and 41, as well as their <u>in vitro</u> biological activities on MCF-7 (ER⁺) and MDA-MD-231 (ER⁻) human breast cancer cell lines.
Chapter 2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 Synthesis of Bishydroxy and Bismethoxy Triphenylethylene Platinum (II) Complexes 39a-d and 41.

As shown in Scheme 2, four new platinum (II) complexes 39a-d were obtained with a 30% overall yield from commercially available benzyl, 4hydroxyphenyl ketone, after eight steps.

Scheme 1



n= 6(a), 8(b), 10(c), 11(d)

Initially the appropriate iodotetrahydropyranyl ethers 44a-d were prepared. As illustrated in Scheme 1, the alcohols 42a-d were protected as a tetrahydropyranyl ether to give compounds 43a-d,⁸³ which, upon treatment with

Scheme 2





sodium iodide in dry acetone, gave the iodotetrahydropyranyl ethers 44a-d (95% average yield for the two steps).

Benzyt-4-hydroxyphenyl ketone cannot directly be used as the starting material in alkylating reaction (II, Scheme 2) since the existence of hydroxy group is able to quench the enolation of the ketone.⁶⁴ So we protected the hydroxy group as a methyl ether 45 by using dimethylsulfate and sodium hydroxide (I, Scheme 2).⁶⁵ The yield for this reaction was around 75% (98% based on the recovered starting material ketone).

Alkylation of 45 with the iodotetrahydropyranyl ethers 44a-d was achieved using sodium hydride in tetrahydrofuran to give compounds 46a-d with an average yield of 75% (98% taking consideration 44a-d recovered (II, Scheme 2). Addition of an excess of *p*-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide to the ketones 46a-d (III, Scheme 2)⁶⁶ and subsequent treatment of the crude tertiary alcohol intermediates 47a-d with pyridinium-*p*-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) in ethanol at reflux afforded the triphenylethylene alcohols 48a-d (IV, Scheme 2) as the result of dehydration of the tertiary alcohols and simultaneous deprotection of the tetrahydropyranyl ethers (85% average yield for the two steps).⁶³

With the desired triphenylethylenes 48a-d in hand, the following sequence of reactions are simple functional group transformations. Initially, alcohols 48a-d were transformed to the bromides 49a-d with carbon tetrabromide and triphenylphosphine in dry dimethylether (85% average yield, V, Scheme 2).⁶⁷ The amines 50a-d were obtained with an average yield of 90% by refluxing the bromides 49a-d in the presence of an excess of ethylenediamine in dry methanol (VI, Scheme 2).⁶⁸ Finally, demethylation with boron tribromide gave the intermediate bis-phenols 51a-d (VII, Scheme 2).⁶⁹ which, upon treatment

with potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) in a mixture of dimethylformamide (DMF) and water (VIII, Scheme 2), led to the desired platinum (II) complexes **39a-d** (60% average yield for the two steps).⁷⁰

Scheme 3



Reagents: K₂PtCl₄, DMF, H₂O, 25°C, 48hrs.

The platinum (II) complex 41 was easily obtained by reacting the amine 50d with potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) in a mixture of DMF and water (Scheme 3, 80% yield)).

All new compounds obtained were characterized by their IR, 1H NMR, 13C NMR and Mass spectrum. The final products 39a-d, and 41 passed element analysis (C, H, N).

2.2 Synthesis of Triphenylethylene Platinum (II) Complexes 40a-c.

The triphenylethylene platinum (II) complexes 40a-c were synthesized from commercially available starting material deoxybenzoin 52 (Scheme 4), in a similar sequence of reactions as used earlier for compounds 39a-d. The total yield exceeded 40%.

Scheme 4





VI



n = 6(a), 8(b), 10(c)

Reagents: (1) NaH, I-(CH₂)_n-OTHP, THF, 25°C, 18 hrs; (II) C_6H_3MgBr , diethyl ether 25°C, 6 hrs; (III) 95% ethanol, PPTS, reflux, 3 hrs; (IV) toluene, ρ TSA, reflux, 2 hrs; (V) CBr₄, Ph₃P, diethyl ether, 25°C, 24 hrs; (VI) ethylenediamine, methanol, reflux, 48 hrs; (VII) K₂PrCl₄, DMF, H₂O, 25°C, 48 hrs. One reaction which we would like to emphasize here is the dehydration and deprotection of the tertiary alcohols 54a-c (III, IV, Scheme 4) because it is quite interesting.



Scheme 5

Initially, we followed the same procedure as for the dehydration and deprotection of 47a-d (IV, Scheme 2). The tertiary alcohol 54c was allowed to react in the presence of PPTS in 95% ethanol at reflux for 8 hrs (Scheme 5). We

expected simultaneous dehydration of tertiary alcohol and deprotection of THP ether to form the alkylalcohol 56c. Unfortunately it was not the case. The IR spectrum of the product obtained showed a broad absorption at 3608-3300 cm-1 suggesting the presence of hydroxy group. If the product was 56c, a allylic methylene should appear around 8 2.43 in its ¹H NMR. Five quaternary carbons should also be observed between § 150.00 and 135.00 in its 13C NMR. However, there was no signal at & 2.43 in the ¹H NMR of the product obtained. An unexpected double doublet appeared at § 3.69 (Fig.6, A). The 13C NMR showed only three guaternary carbon at § 146.31, 145.97, and 140.00. Two unexpected signals were observed at δ 80.90 and 54.13 (Fig.7, A). Clearly, the product obtained was not 56c. It was the alcohol 55c. The signal at δ 80.90 was due to the carbon bearing the hydroxyl and the two phenyl groups, and the one at § 54,13 due to the carbon to which was attached the ten carbon side chain. Further treatment of 55c with a stronger acidic catalyst, i.e., ptoluenesulphonic acid (pTSA) in toluene at reflux (Scheme 5) produced the desired compound 56c. As expected, its ¹H NMR spectrum showed a multiplet at δ 2.43 accounting for the allylic methylene (Fig.6, B). Five signals at δ 143.43. 142.93, 142.40, 141.00 and 138.92 were also observed accounting for the five quaternary carbons in its 13C NMR spectrum (Fig.7, B).

This interesting result can be explained if we compare the structure of the substances 47a-d and 54a-c. An electron donating group is present on compounds 47a-d, i.e., a methoxy group which can assist the dehydration reaction (Scheme 6). Therefore, the compounds 54a-c without electron donating group on their aromatic ring need a stronger acidic catalyst *p*TSA and higher reaction temperature to achieve the same reaction.

This result emphasizes that a very subtle change in reaction condition and

the chemical structure of the substrate may drastically change the outcome of a chemical reaction.

All new compounds were characterized by IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, MS spectrum. All spectra were consistent with the assigned structures. The final platinum (II) complexes **40a**-c also passed element analysis (C, H, N).





Fig.6 ¹H NMR Spectra of Compounds 55c (A) and 56c (B).







2.3 In Vitro Antitumor Activity

Two human breast tumor cell lines were chosen based on their estrogen receptor content, to evaluate the antitumor activities of our new platinum (II) complexes.⁷¹ The cytotoxicity of our compounds was tested along with controls (cisplatin and tamoxifen) on both ER+ (MCF-7) and ER⁻ (MDA-MD-231) human mammary carcinomas in order to assess the potential selective anti-neoplastic effect on hormone-dependent breast cancer. The antitumor activity was evaluated with a colorimetric assay that uses the ability of viable cells to reduce a coloriess tetrazolium salt 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), into a thiazolyl blue MTT formazan (Fig.8).⁷² A recent report indicates that the MTT assay can be used to replace the [³H] -uridine assay for chemosensitivity screening. The colorimetric assay has the advantages of being safer, less costly and simpler than the radiometric assay.⁷³ The MTT assay is widely used now.⁷⁴



Fig.8 The Reaction Equation of MTT Reduction.

As shown by the MTT assays on two human breast cancer cell lines, our new compounds demonstrated cytotoxicity on both ER⁺ (MCF-7) and ER⁻ (MDA-MD-231) cells (Table 1). Clearly, the more lipophilic the compound, the better the cytotoxicity. The compounds **40b**-c without hydroxy groups showed similar cytotoxicity to cisplatin and higher cytotoxicity than tamoxifen, particularly on the MDA-MD-231 (ER⁻) cell line. This result was and can be explained by the following fashion:⁶² a more lipophilic compound could theoretically penetrate the lipophilic cell membranes more easily, therefore concentrate sufficiently in the cell to produce its biological activity.

Drug \ Cell Line	MCF-7 (ER+) IC50 (µM) ^a	MDA-MD-231 (ER ⁻) IC50 (μ.Μ) ^a
Cisplatin	3.1±0.3	2.4±0.2
Tamoxifen	11±1	28±3
39a	44±4	30±3
39b	34±3	24±2
39c	40±4	26±3
39d	16±2	7.0±0.6
40a	7.0±0.8	4.0±0.4
40b	3.4±0.3	1.5±0.2
40c	4.0±0.4	1.0±0.1
41	14±1	2.4±0.2

Table 1. Inhibitory concentration of drug on both ER⁺ and ER⁻ breast cancer cell lines.

a. Concentration inhibiting 50% of cell growth was determined graphically from the cell survival curves (Fig.9, 10). Data represent mean values ± SD for eight wells.

As we expected, platinum (II) complexes with a longer side chain has the tendency to increase the cytotoxic activity. The compound **39d** with eleven carbon atoms side chain was significantly more cytotoxic as compared with compounds 39a-c containing less carbon atoms. The reason might be as described before: (1) a compound with a longer side chain might allow the platinum (II) complex portion to alkylate DNA more efficiently due to the fewer sterio interactions between the triphenylethylene moiety and DNA; (2) the increase of carbon atoms in the side chain could increase the lipophilicity of the compound, therefore might improve its cytoloxic activity.

The complexes 39a-d with two hydroxy groups showed cytotoxic activities by inhibiting proliferation of the MCF-7 (ER⁺) cells, which appears not to be mediated by the ER. This seems to be the case since the proliferation of the MDA-MD-231 (ER⁺) cells was inhibited at a lower concentration as it was for the inhibition of MCF-7 (ER⁺) cells. However, it is important to indicate that the desired selectivity of the compounds 39a-d might be expressed more clearly (and possibly only) <u>in vivo</u> as demonstrated previously for the compounds 32a and 33. The hypothesis of ER mediate selectivity of compounds 39a-d should be and will be further evaluated in vivo in the future.











Each point represents the mean of eight wells. Error bars have been omitted for clarity.

2.4 Conclusion.

• 4

In a conclusion, eight new platinum (II) complexes have been synthesized and tested for their biological activities <u>in vitro</u>. The synthesis for these types of compounds is straightforward and efficient. The lipophilic compounds **40b-c** showed promising antitumor activity for both ER⁺ and ER⁻ human breast cancer cells <u>in vitro</u>.

Chapter 3

EXPERIMENTAL

3.1 Synthesis

3.1.1 General Procedures.

Anhydrous reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere, the setup assembled and cooled under dry nitrogen. Unless otherwise noted, starting material, reactant and solvents were obtained commercially and were used as such or purified and dried by standard means.75 Organic solutions were dried over magnesium sulphate (MgSO4), evaporated on a rotatory evaporator and under reduced pressure. All reactions were monitored by UV fluorescence, or staining with iodine or spraying with an aqueous solution of phosphomolybdic acid followed by heating the plate around 135 °C. Commercial TLC plates were Sigma T 6145 (polyester silica gel 60 Å, 0.25mm). Preparative TLC was performed on 1mm silica gel 60 Å, 20x20 plates (Whatman, 4861 840), Flash column chromatography was performed according to the method of Still and coworkers on Merck grade 60 silica gel, 230-400 mesh.76 All solvents used in chromatography had been distilled. Melting points were recorded on an Electrothermal 9100 apparatus and are uncorrected. The infrared spectra were taken on a Nicolet model 205 FT-IR, or Perkin Elmer model 2000 FT-IR spectrophotometer. Mass spectral assays were obtained using a VG Micromass 7070 HS instrument using an ionisation energy of 70 eV. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained in CDCI3 solution, unless otherwise noted,

on a General Electric GE 300-NB (300 MHz) instrument: chemical shifts were measured relative to internal standards: tetramethysilane (TMS, § 0.0 ppm) for 1H and CDClg (§ 77.0 ppm) for ¹³C NMR. Multiplicities are described by the following abbreviations: s (singlet), d (doublet), q (quartet), p (pentet), m (multiplet), dd (doublet), nq (triple quartet), and so on. The NMR assignments were assisted by ¹³C-¹H correlation (HET-CORH) 2-0 spectra.

3.1.2 Conversion of Bromoalcohols to Iodotetrahydropyranyl Ethers.

A. Synthesis of 1-tetrahydropyranyloxy-n-bromoalkane (43).

A solution of bromoalcohol 42 (27.6 mmol), dihydropyran (2.57 g, 30.6 mmol), and pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate (PPTS) (10mg, 0.04 mmol) in dichloromethane (CH2Cl2, 50 mL) was stirred for 5 hrs under nitrogen. Afterwards, sodium bicarbonate (NAHCO3, 500mg) and MgSO4 (5.0 g) were added to the reaction mixture and stirred 15 minutes before being filtered on a short pad of celite / tilica gel (1 cm / 4 cm) using CH2Cl2 as eluent. The filtrate was evaporated to a viscous oil 43 (98% yield) which was used without further purification in the next step.

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-6-bromohexane (43a)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-0) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 4.57 (1H, t, J=3.2 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.74, 3.50, and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.41 (2H, t, J=6.7 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂Bi), 1.3-2.0 (14H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS, m/e: 265 (M⁺ + 1), 163 (M⁺ - OTHP).

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-8-bromooctane (43b)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (5 ppm): 4.58 (1H, t, J=3.5 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.73, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.41 (2H, t, J=6.8 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂Br), 1.2-2.0 (18H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS (m/e): 293(M⁺ + 1), 191(M⁺ - OTHP).

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-10-bromodecane (43c)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (& ppm): 4.58 (1H, t, J=3.5 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.73, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.41 (2H, t, J=6.8 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂Br), 1.2-2.0 (22H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS (m/e): 321 (M⁺ + 1), 219(M⁺ -OTHP).

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-11-bromoundecane (43d)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 4.58 (1H, t, J=3.5 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.73, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.41 (2H, t, J=6.8 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂Br), 1.2-2.0 (24H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS (m/e): 335 (M⁺ + 1), 233(M⁺ -OTHP).

B. Synthesis of 1-tetrahydropyranyloxy-n-iodoalkane (44).

Sodium iodide (6.07 g, 40.5 mmol) was added to a solution of the crude bromide **43** (27mmol) in dried acetone. The reaction mixture was stirred at 23°C for 5 hrs. Then, most of the solvent was evaporated and the residue was transferred to an extraction flask with ether (150 mL) and water (100 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (6 X 50 mL), dried, filtrated and concentrated to a viscous liquid. The crude iodide **44** (98% yield) was used as such at the alkylation steo.

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-6-iodohexane (44a)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 4.57 (1H, t, J=3.2 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.74, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.19 (2H, t, J=7.0 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂), 1.3-2.0 (14H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS (m/e): 311 (M⁺ - H), 211 (M⁺ - OTHP).

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-8-iodooctane (44b)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 4.58 (1H, t, J=3.5 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.73, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>2OCHOC<u>H</u>2-), 3.19 (2H, t, J=7.0 Hz, -C<u>H</u>2), 1.2-2.0 (18H, m, -C<u>H</u>2-); MS (m/e): 339 (M⁺ -H), 239 (M⁺ -OTHP).

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-10-iododecane (44c)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 4.58 (1H, t, J=3.5 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.73, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.19 (2H, t, J=7.0 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂), 1.2-2.0 (22H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS (m/e): 367 (M⁺ - H), 267 (M⁺ - OTHP).

1-Tetrahydropyranyloxy-11-iodoundecane (44d)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 1170-1000 (C-O) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 4.58 (1H, t, J=3.5 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 3.87, 3.73, 3.50 and 3.38 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.19 (2H, t, J=7.0 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂), 1.2-2.0 (24H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); MS (m/e): 381 (M⁺ - H), 281 (M⁺ -OTHP). 3.1.3. Conversion of Benzyl-4-Hydroxyphenyl Ketone to Bishydroxy and Bismethoxy Trisphenylethylene Platinum (II) Complexes 39a-d and 41.

A. Synthesis of benzyl-4-methoxyphenyl ketone (45).

Benzyl-4-hydroxyphenyl ketone (2.12 g, 10 mmol) and sodium hydroxide (0.60 g, 15mmol) was dissolved in 250 mL ethanol by heating. The hot solution was added dropwise with dimethyl sulfate (1.51 g, 12 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed for 4 hrs. After evaporation, the residue was diluted with ether (200 mL) and washed with water (5x50 mL). The ethereal phase was dried and evaporated to give a white powder which was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 9:1). The yield was 80% average (98% taking in consideration the starting material ketone recovered). mp: 76.2-77.0 °C; IR, v_{max} (KBr): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 1680 (C=O), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.98, 6.90 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.87 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 7.30-7.21 (5H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.20 (2H, s, -C<u>H</u>₂-), 3.80 (3H, s, -OC<u>H</u>₃): 13°C NMR (δ ppm): 196.06, 163.37, 134.83, 130.79(2), 129.44, 129.25(2), 128.44(2), 126.63, 113.65(2), 55.32, 45.11. MS (m/e): 226 (M⁺), 135 (M⁺ - CH₂O₂O₄B).

B. Synthesis of 1-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-n-tetrahydro-pyrar/yloxyalkanone (46).

To a stirred suspension of sodium hydride (448 mg, 11.2 mmol, 60% dispension in mineral oil) in 150 mL dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) the ketone 45 (2.30 g, 10.2 mmol) was rapidly added. The reaction mixture was heated (50 °C) with water bath for 1 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling, 1tetrahydropyranyloxy-n-iodoalkano 44 (11.2 mmol) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture stirred overnight (16 hrs) at room temperature (23 °C). Most of the solvent was then evaporated and the residue was diluted with ether (200 mL) and treated with water (50 mL). The ethereal phase was washed thoroughly with water (6x50 mL), dried and evaporated to give an oil which was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 95:5). The yield was 75% average (98% taking into account the alkyl iodide 44 recovered).

1-(4'-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-8-tetrahydropyranyloxy-octanone (46a)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1680 (C=O), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.95, 6.85 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.93 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 7.32-7.14 (6H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.55 (1H, t, J=3.51 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O), 4.49 (1H, t, J=7.25 Hz, -C<u>H</u>-), 8.84, 3.69, 3.48, 3.34 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.79 (3H, s, -OC<u>H</u>₃), 2.23-1.18 (16H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); ¹3C NMR (δ ppm): 198.44, 163.12, 140.17, 130.82(2), 129.84, 128.69(2), 128.03(2), 126.73, 113.56(2), 98.73, 67.48, 62.24, 55.30, 53.17, 33.97, 30.70, 29.59, 28.40, 27.62, 25.99, 25.42, 19.60; MS (m/e): no M⁺, 326 (M⁺ - DHP), 239 (M⁺ - C_BH₁OTHP).

1-(4'-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-10-tetrahydropyranyloxy-decanone (46b)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1680 (C=O), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.96, 6.86 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.87 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 7.33-7.16 (5H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.57 (1H, t, J=3.51 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 4.49 (1H, t, J=7.24 Hz, -C<u>H</u>-), 3.86, 3.71, 3.50, 3.36 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 3.82 (3H, s, -OC<u>H</u>₃), 2.16-1.20 (20H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 198.60, 163.18, 140.23, 130.91(2), 129.91, 128.74(2), 128.09(2),

126.75, 113.62(2), 98.80, 67.64, 62.33, 55.39, 53.24, 34.06, 30.75, 29.71, 29.56, 29.36(2), 27.75, 26.17, 25.48, 19.66. MS (m/e): no M+, 354 (M+ - DHP), 239 (M+ - C₇H₁₄OTHP).

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{1-(4^-Methoxyphenyl)-2-phenyl-13-tetrahydropyranyloxy-tridecanone (46d)} \\ IR, \upsilon_{max} (thin film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2230-2860 (C-H), 1680 (C=O), 1600 \\ (C=C) cm^{-1}; 1H NMR (\delta ppm): 7.96, 6.87 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.94 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 7.32-7.1 (5H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.57 (1H, t, J=3.51 Hz, -OCHO-), 4.49 (1H, t, J=7.25 Hz, -C<u>H</u>-), 3.86, 3.72, 3.48, 3.37 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>_2OCHOC<u>H</u>_2-), 3.81 (3H, s, -OC<u>H</u>_3), 2.19-1.17 (26H, m, -C<u>H</u>_2-); 1³C NMR (\delta ppm): 198.61, 163.18, 140.26, 130.90(2), 129.98, 128.74(2), 128.12(2), 126.78, 113.65(2), 98.82, 67.67, 62.33, 55.39, 53.26, 34.09, 30.79, 29.74, 29.61, 29.55(4), 29.46(2), 27.77, 26.23, 25.51; MS (m/e): no M+, 396 (M^+ - DHP), 225 (M^+ - C_1H_2OTHP). \end{array}$

C. Synthesis of x-phenyl-y,y-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-x-alken-1-ol (48).

A Grignard reagent, *p*-methoxyphenyl magnesium bromide was prepared from magnesium (432 mg, 18.0 mmol) and 4-methoxyphenylbromide (2.81 g, 15.0 mmol) in the presence of a crystal of iodine in 100 mL of dry ether. The Grignard reagent was usually ready after stirring at room temperature (23 °C) overnight (18 hrs), but sometimes required heating at reflux to initiate the reaction. A solution of the ketone 46 (3.0 mmol) in dry ether was treated with the excess of the Grignard reagent for 6 hrs under nitrogen at room temperature (23 °C) and was then hydrolysed with 50 mL of 10% aqueous ammonium ohloride. The ether phase was washed with water (5 x 50 mL), dried and evaporated to give the crude tertiary alcohol intermediate 47. The oily residue refluxed with 95% ethanol in the presence of PPTS (100 mg, 0.40 mmol) for 8 hrs. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was taken with ether. The ethereal phase was washed with water (5 x 50 mL), dried and evaporated to an oil. Flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 7:1) gave a pure 48 in 85% average yield as a viscous oil.

7-Phenyl-8,8-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-7-octen-1-ol (48a)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, OH), 3080-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.67 (2H, d apparent, J=8.2 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.52 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.82, 3.66 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 3.55 (2H, t, J=6.60 Hz, -CH₂OH), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.65 (1H, br s, -OH), 1.50 (2H, p, J=7.30 Hz, -CH₂CH₂OH), 1.30-110 (6H, m, -(CH₂)₃); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.16, 157.37, 142.67, 139.73, 138.06, 136.25, 135.73, 131.84(2), 130.56(2), 128.54(2), 127.80(2), 128.58, 113.94(2), 126.6(2), 62.91, 55.18,

54.95, 35.85, 32.60, 29.42, 28.82, 25.32; MS (m/e): 416 (M+), 329 (M+ - $\rm C_{5}H_{10}OH).$

9-Phenyl-10,10-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-9-decen-1-ol (48b)

IR, ν_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, OH), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.88 (2H, d apparent, J=8.73 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.54 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.63 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 8.82, 3.67 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 3.60 (2H, t, J=6.63 Hz, -CH₂OH), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.64 (1H, br s, -OH), 1.51 (2H, p, J=7.30, -CH₂CH₂OH), 1.30-1.10 (10H, m, -(CH₂)₂₅); 1³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.12, 157.31, 142.91, 139.85, 137.94, 136.28, 135.77, 131.85 (2), 130.56(2), 129.55(2), 127.78(2), 125.81, 113.37(2), 112.63(2), 63.00, 55.19, 54.95, 35.91, 32.69, 29.26, 29.22, 29.15, 28.85, 25.61; MS (m/e): 444 (M⁺), 329 (M⁺ - C7H₄QOH).

11-Phenyl-12,12-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-11-dodecen-1-ol (48c)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, OH), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05, (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.72 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.60 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 8.13, 3.66 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 3.61 (2H, t, J=6.62 Hz, -CH₂OH), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.66 (1H, br s, -OH), 1.53 (2H, p, J=7.20 Hz, -CH₂OH), 1.38-1.10 (14H, m, -(CH₂)₇); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.10, 157.29, 142.89, 139.89, 137.88, 136.27, 135.80, 131.84(2), 130.57(2), 129.53(2), 127.75(2), 125.78, 113.34(2), 112.62(2), 62.95, 55.15, 54.92, 35.91, 32.71, 29.65, 29.48, 29.35(2), 29.20, 28.87, 25.68; MS (m/e): 472 (M⁺), 328 (M⁺ - C_OH₁OH).

12-Phenyl-13,13-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-12-tridecen-1-ol (48d)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, OH), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.09, (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.87 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.54 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.87 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.82, 3.67 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 3.63 (2H, t, J=6.62 Hz, CH₂OH), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.69 (1H, br s, -OH), 1.53 (2H, m, -CH₂CH₂OH), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.69 (1H, br s, -OH), 1.53 (2H, m, -CH₂CH₂OH), 2.17, 99, 136.30, 135.84, 131.87(2), 130.60(2), 129.55(2), 127.79(2), 125.79, 113.36(2), 112.66(2), 63.06, 55.20, 54.97, 35.94, 32.75, 29.71, 29.49(3), 29.39, 29.25, 28.90, 25.70; MS (m/e): 486 (M⁺), 329 (M⁺ - C₁OH₂OH).

D. Synthesis of 1-bromo-x-phenyl-y,y-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-x-alkene (49).

A solution of the alcohol 48 (2.25 mmol), carbon tetrabromide (2.98 g, 9.00 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (2.36 g, 9.00 mmol) in dry ether (100 mL) was stirred at room temperature (23 °C) for 24 hrs under a nitrogen atmosphere. The triphenylphosphine oxide precipitate was filtrated and the resulting solution was washed thoroughly with water (5x25 mL), dried and evaporated to an oil. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 95:5) to give the bromide 49 in 85% yield.

1-Bromo-7-phenyl-8,8-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-7-octene (49a)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.88 (2H, d apparent, J=8.73 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.81 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.82, 3.66 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OC<u>H</u>q), 3.32 (2H, t,

J=6.86 Hz, -CH₂Br), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.74 (2H, p, J=7.30 Hz, -CH₂CH₂Br), 1.40-1.18 (6H, m, -(CH₂)₃-); ¹³C NMR (5 ppm): 158.23, 157.40, 142.61, 139.59, 136.20, 136.20, 135.69, 131.84(2), 130.54(2), 129.54(2), 127.84(2), 125.69, 113.43(2), 112.67(2), 55.20, 54.96, 35.77, 33.91, 32.62, 28.75, 28.65, 27.80; MS (m/e): 478 (M⁺), 480 (M⁺ + 2), 329 (M⁺ - C₅H₁₀Br).

1-Bromo-9-phenyl-10,10-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-9-decene (49b)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2300-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; 1H NMR (5 ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.88 (2H, d apparent, J=8.59 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.54 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.69 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.82, 3.67 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OC<u>H</u>₃), 3.37 (2H, t, J=6.86 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂EH), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-C<u>H</u>₂-), 1.80 (2H, p, J=7.33 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂CH₂EH), 1.42-1.10 (10H, m, -(C<u>H</u>₂)₂); 1³C NMR (6 ppm): 158.14, 157.33, 142.89, 139.78, 137.99, 136.25, 135.77, 131.86(2), 130.57(2), 129.55(2), 127.80(2), 125.83, 113.37(2), 112.65(2), 55.19, 54.94, 35.89, 34.03, 32.74, 25.52, 29.00, 28.80, 28.56, 28.05. MS (m/e): 506 (M⁺), 508 (M⁺ + 2), 329 (M⁺ -C/<u>H</u>₁<u>4</u>B³.

1-Bromo-11-phenyl-12,12-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-11-dodecene (49c)

IR, v_{max} (th.n film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.77 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.84 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.80, 3.64 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OC<u>H</u>₃), 3.37 (2H, t, J=6.87 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂Br), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-C<u>H</u>₂-), 1.82 (2H, P, J=7.32 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂CH₂Br), 1.40-1.10 (14H, m, -(C<u>H</u>₂)7-); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.10, 157.30, 142.86, 139.80, 137.92, 136.21, 135.73, 131.82(2), 130.54(2), 129.51(2), 127.74(2), 125.77, 113.31(2), 112.60(2), 55.11, 54.88, 35.89, 33.97, 32.74, 29.61, 29.30(2), 29.15, 28.83, 28.66, 28.09; MS (m/e): 534 (M⁺), 536 (M⁺ + 2), 329 (M⁺ - C₉H_{1B}Br).

1-Bromo-12-phenyl-13,13-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-12-tridecene (49d)

IR, ν_{max} (thin film): 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; 1H NMR (5 ppm): 7.18-7.08 (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.71 Hz, H in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.54 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.71 Hz, H in para substituted anisyl group), 3.82, 3.67 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 3.39 (2H, t, J=6.86 Hz, -CH₂Br), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.84 (2H, P, J=7.34 Hz, -CH₂CH₂Br), 1.40-1.10 (16H, m, -(CH₂)₈-); ¹³C NMR (5 ppm): 158.14, 157.33, 142.94, 139.88, 137.92, 136.26, 135.81, 131.87(2), 130.60(2), 129.56(2), 127.75(2), 125.80, 113.36(2), 112.66(2), 55.17, 54.98, 35.91, 34.02, 32.79, 29.68, 29.44(2), 29.38, 29.21, 28.90, 28.71, 28.13; MS (m/e): 548 (M⁺), 550 (M⁺ + 2), 329 (M⁺ - C₁H₂D^h).

E. Synthesis of 1-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]-x-phenyl-y,y-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl) -x-alkene (50).

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, ethylenediamine (900 mg, 15.0 mmol) was added to a solution of the bromide 49 (1.50 mmol) in 80 mL of dry methanol. After boiling for two days under reflux (sometimes longer reaction period was required), the solvent was evaporated. The resulting residue was dissolved in ether (150 mL) and washed with a solution of NaHCO3 (30 mL, 5% aqueous) and with water (5x30 mL). The ethereal phase was dried and evaporated to a viscous oil 50. The vield was 90%. 1-{(2'-Aminoethyl)amino}-7-phenyl-8,8-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-7-octene (50a) IR, v_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, N-H), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1660 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.16-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.65 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 8.77, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.65 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 8.77, 6.53 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 2.79, 2.64 (4H, 2xt, J=5.92 Hz, -NHCH<u>2</u>CH<u>2</u>NH₂), 2.54 (2H, t, J=7.25 Hz, -CH₂NH-), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-) 1.78 (3H, br s, -NH- and -NH₂), 1.43-1.18 (8H, m, -(CH₂)4;) ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.14, 157.33, 142.86, 139.76, 137.99, 136.23, 135.73, 131.84(2), 130.55(2), 129.53(2), 127.78(2), 125.81, 113.37(2), 112.63(2), 55.16, 54.93, 52.42, 49.74, 41.58, 35.88, 29.90, 26.60, 28.66, 26.99; MS (m/e): 458 (M⁺). 428 (M⁺ - CH₂NH₂), 415 (M⁺ -CH₂CH₂NH₃), 329 (M⁺ - C_H+₁O_NHCH₂CH₂OH₂).

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-9-phenyl-10,10-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-9-decene (50b)

IR, u_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, N-H), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1660 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.88 (2H, d apparent, J=8.70 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 8.82, 3.67 (6H, 2x4 apparent, J=8.88 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 8.82, 3.67 (6H, 2x8, 2x-OCH₃), 2.80, 2.65 (4H, 2xt, J=5.85 Hz, -NHCH₂CH₂NH₂), 2.57 (2H, t, J=7.24 Hz, CH₂NH), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.50 (3H, br s, -NH- and -NH₂), 1.46-1.10 (12H, m, -(CH₂)₆); ¹3C NMR (δ ppm): 158.10, 157.33, 142.90, 139.85, 137.95, 136.26, 135.80, 131.87(2), 130.57(2), 129.54(2), 127.77(2), 125.79, 113.34(2), 112.62(2), 55.17, 54.94, 52.61, 49.53, 41.76, 35.92, 30.11, 29.64, 29.41, 29.24, 28.88, 27.27; MS (m/e); 486 (M⁺, 456 (M⁺ - CH₂NH₂), 43 (M⁺ - CH₂OH₂NH), 329 (M⁺ - CH₁ANNCH₂OH₂NH₂).

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-11-phenyl-12,12-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-11dodecene (50c)

IR, ν_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, N-H), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1660 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.18-7.05 (7H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.61 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.77, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.81 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.81, 3.66 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 2.83, 2.69 (4H, 2xt, J=5.90, -NHC<u>H</u>₂CH₂NH₂), 2.60 (2H, t), J=7.27 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂NH₂), 2.53 (3H, br s, -N<u>H</u>- and -N<u>H</u>₂), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH₂-), 1.48-1.10 (16H, m, -(CH₂)₈); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.06, 157.26, 142.86, 139.82, 137.84, 136.20, 135.73, 131.80(2), 130.52(2), 129.49(2), 127.71(2), 125.74, 113.28(2), 112.57(2), 55.09, 54.87, 51.98, 49.69, 41.27, 35.88, 29.80, 28.64, 28.4(3), 28.21, 28.64, 27.25. MS (m/e): 514 (M⁺), 484 (M⁺ - CH₂NH₂), 471 (M⁺ - CH₂CH₂NH₂).

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-12-phenyl-13,13-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-12tridecene (50d)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 3340 (br, N-H), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1660 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.16-7.08 (7H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 6.87 (2H, d apparent, J=8.73 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.87, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.73 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 3.82, 3.67 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OC<u>H</u>₃), 2.81, 2.66 (4H, 2xt, J=5.87, -NHC<u>H</u>₂C<u>H</u>₂NH₂), 2.60 (2H, t, J=7.23 Hz, <u>C</u><u>H</u>₂NH₂), 2.42 (2H, m, C=C-<u>H</u>₂-), 1.51 (3H, br s, -N<u>H</u>- and -N<u>H</u>₂), 1.48-1.10 (18H, m, -(C<u>H</u>₂)₉-); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 158.06, 157.29, 142.89 (39.85, 137.84, 136.24, 135.77, 131.84(2), 130.57(2), 129.53(2), 127.72(2), 125.75, 113.31(2), 112.59(2), 55.13, 54.91, 52.51, 49.89, 41.68, 35.90, 30.10, 28.66, 29.52(4), 29.23, 28.87, 27.32. MS (m/e): 528 (M+), 498 (M+ - CH₂NH₂),

485 (M+ -CH2CH2NH), 329 (M+ - C10H20NHCH2CH2NH2).

F. Synthesis of 1-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]-x-phenyl-y,y-bis(4'-hydroxy-

phenyl) -x-alkene (51).

A solution of 50 (0.665 mmol), in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was treated with a solution of boron tribromide (1M in CH₂Cl₂, 1.60 mL, 1.60 mmol) at -60 °C, under nitrogen atmosphere. After the addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm at a room temperature (23 °C) and was sittered for 18 hrs. The mixture was refluxed during 2 hrs, then cooled down with an ice bath before adding 10 mL methanol. The resulting solution was adjusted with saturated NaHCO₃ solution to pH=7, then evaporated to 2-3 mL, treated with saturated NaHCO₃ solution (30 mL), and extracted with ethyl acetate (5x30 mL). The crude yield is around 60-80%. The product 51 was used without further purification in the next step. We obtained the following three compounds:

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-7-phenyl-8,8-bis(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-7-octene (51a) 1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-9-phenyl-10,10-bis(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-9-decene (51b)

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-11-phenyl-12,12-bis(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-11dodecene (51c) 1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-12-phenyl-13,13-bis(4'-hydroxyphenyl)-12tridecene (51d)

G. Synthesis of 1-{cis-{(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-xphenyl-y,y-bis{4'-hydroxyphenyl)-x-alkene (39). A solution of potassium tetrachloroplatinate (II) (219 mg, 0.528 mmol) in 7.5 mL of a mixture of DMF and water (2:1) was added to a warm (35 °C) solution of the diamine 51 (0.528 mmol) in 5 mL of DMF. The resulting mixture (pH=9-10) was stirred in the dark for 2-3 days until the pH value reached 4-5. Then, one drop of N,N-dimethylsulfoxide was added and the stirring was continued for 2 hrs. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was suspended in saturated potassium chloride solution (30 mL). A vigourous stirring was essential in order to pulverized the lumps of platinum (II) complex 39. The resulting suspension was filtered, washed with water (100-250 mL), and dried in a desiccator. The product can be further purified either by flash column chromatography or by preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂:CH₃OH, 95:5). The crude vield was around 80%.

1-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethyl) amino] dichloroplatinum (II)}-7-phenyl-8,8-bis(4'hydroxyphenyl)-7-octene (39a)

$$\begin{split} mp > 138 \ ^{\circ}C \ (dec.); \ IR, \ \upsilon_{max} \ (KBr): \ 3400-3100 \ (O-H, N-H), \ 2930-2850 \ (C-H), \\ 1600 \ (C=C) \ cm^{-1}; \ ^{1}H \ NMR \ (acetone-d_{6}, \ \delta \ pm): \ 8.32, \ 8.09 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ 2xAr-OH), \ 7.20-7.10 \ (5H, \ m, \ Ar-H), \ 7.07, \ 6.86 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.52 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.52 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.52 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.52 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.52 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.65, \ 5.11, \ 4.98 \ (3H, \ 3xbr \ s, \ -NH- \ and \ -NH_2), \ 3.21, \ 3.06, \ 2.77, \ 2.67 \ (6H, \ 4xbr \ s, \ -CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), \ 2.46 \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-L_2), \ 1.78, \ 1.56 \ (2H, \ 4xbr \ s, \ -CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), \ 2.46 \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-L_2), \ 1.78, \ 1.56 \ (2H, \ 4xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-), \ 1.40, \ 1.10 \ (6H, \ m, \ -(CH_2)s-); \ 13C \ NMR \ (acetone-d_6, \ 8 \ ppm): \ 156.88, \ 155.07, \ 143.94, \ 139.72(2), \ 135.93, \ 135.52, \ 132.62(2), \ 131.30(2), \ 130.41(2), \ 126.807(2), \ 126.55, \ 115.73(2), \ 114.93(2), \ 56.12, \ 53.42, \ 47.78, \ 36.33, \ 27.64, \ 26.82 \ (NB, \ 2 \ carbons \ are \ hidden \ by \ acetone). \ Anal. \ calcd. \ for \ C_2B_{13}A_{2}C_{12}N_{2}O_{2}Pt-11/5H_{2}O: \ C \ 45.68, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.80; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.26, \ N \ 3.70; \ found: \ C \ 45.72, \ H \ 5.72, \ H$$

1-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-9-phenyl-10,10-bis(4'hydroxyphenyl)-9-decene (39b)

$$\begin{split} mp > 138 \ \ C \ (dec.); \ IR, \ \ v_{max} \ (KBr): \ 3400-3100 \ (O-H, N-H), \ 2930-2850 \ (C-H), \\ 1600 \ (G=C) \ em^{-1}; \ IH \ NMR \ (acetone-d_6, \ \delta \ ppm); \ 8.35, \ 8.13 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ 2xAr-OH), \ 7.20-7.10 \ (5H, \ m, \ Ar-H), \ 7.07, \ 6.86 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=6.84 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hin \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apperant, \ J=6.82 \ Hz, \ Hz, \ 7.24,$$

1-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-11-phenyl-12,12-bis(4'hydroxyphenyl}-11-dodecene (39c)

$$\begin{split} mp > 138 \ ^{\circ}C \ (dec.); \ R, \ v_{max} \ (KBr); \ 3400-3100 \ (O-H, N-H), \ 2930-2850 \ (C-H), \\ 1600 \ (G=C) \ cm^{-1}; \ H \ NMR \ (acetone-d_6, \ \delta \ ppm); \ 8.31, \ 8.09 \ (2H, \ 2xbr s, \ 2xAr-OH), \ 7.20-7.10 \ (5H, \ m, \ Ar-H), \ 7.07, \ 6.85 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.50 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 6.71, \ 6.48 \ (4H, \ 2xd \ apparent, \ J=8.50 \ Hz, \ H \ in \ para \ substituted \ phenol), \ 5.70, \ 5.08, \ 4.98 \ (3H, \ 3xbr s, \ -NH- \ and \ -NH_2), \ 3.25, \ 3.08, \ 2.78, \ 2.70 \ (6H, \ 4xbr s, \ -CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), \ 2.45 \ (2H, \ m, \ c=C-CH_2-), \ 1.82, \ 1.60 \ (2H, \ 2xbr s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (14H, \ m, \ -C(H_2)7-); \ ^{13}C \ NMR \ (acetone-d_6, \ \delta \ ppm); \ 156.80, \ 155.95, \ 143.94, \ 139.75, \ 139.55, \ 135.85, \ 135.50, \ 132.47(2), \ 131.17(2), \ 130.27(2), \ 128.43(2), \ 166.44, \ 115.54(2), \ 114.82(2), \ 56.04, \ 132.47(2), \ 131.17(2), \ 130.27(2), \ 128.43(2), \ 126.44, \ 115.54(2), \ 114.82(2), \ 56.04, \ 130.27(2), \ 128.43(2), \ 126.44, \ 114.554(2), \ 114.82(2), \ 56.04, \ 114.82$$

53.38, 47.71, 36.38, 27.72, 27.14 (N.B. 6 carbons are hidden by acetone). Anal. calcd. for C₃₂H₄₂Cl₂N₂O₂Pt·2H₂O: C 48.73, H 5.88, N 3.55; found: C 48.65, H 5.78, N 3.61.

1-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-12-phenyl-13,13-bis(4'hydroxyphenyl)-12-tridecene (39d)

$$\label{eq:mp} \begin{split} &mp > 138\ ^{\circ}C\ (dec.);\ IR,\ v_{max}\ (KBr):\ 3400-3100\ (O-H,\ N-H),\ 2930-2850\ (C-H), \\ &1600\ (C=C)\ cm^{-1};\ ^{1}H\ NMR\ (acetone-d_{6},\ \delta\ ppm):\ 8.31,\ 8.08\ (2H,\ 2xb\ rs,\ 2xAr-OH),\ 7.20-7.10\ (5H,\ m,\ Ar-H),\ 7.07,\ 6.85\ (4H,\ 2xd\ apparent,\ J=8.47\ Hz,\ \underline{H}\ in\ para\ substituted\ phenol),\ 6.71,\ 6.49\ (4H,\ 2xd\ apparent,\ J=8.47\ Hz,\ \underline{H}\ in\ para\ substituted\ phenol),\ 5.70,\ 5.09,\ 4.97\ (3H,\ 3xb\ rs,\ -NH-\ and\ -NH_2),\ 3.28,\ 3.08,\ 2.75\ (6H,\ 3xb\ rs,\ -CH_2NH-CH_2CH_2NH_2),\ 2.45\ (2H,\ m,\ -C=C-CH_2),\ 1.84,\ 1.62\ (2H,\ 2xb\ rs,\ -CH_2CH_2NH-),\ 1.40-1.10\ (16H,\ m,\ -(CH_2)_8);\ 13C\ NMR\ (acetone-d_6,\ \delta\ ppm):\ 157.46,\ 156.63,\ 144.61,\ 140.43,\ 140.20,\ 136.51,\ 136.17,\ 133.14(2),\ 131.84(2),\ 130.94(2),\ 129.10(2),\ 127.13,\ 116.20(2),\ 115.49(2),\ 56.71,\ 54.04,\ 48.37,\ 37.06,\ 28.39,\ 27.20\ (NB,\ 7\ carbons\ are\ hidden\ by\ acetone).\ Anal.\ calcd.\ for\ C_33H_4Cl_2N_2O_2Pt-2H_2O:\ C\ 49.38,\ H\ 6.03,\ N\ 3.48;\ found:\ C\ 49.32,\ H\ 6.08,\ N\ 3.52. \end{split}$$

H. Synthesis of 1-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethy I)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-12-

phenyl-13,13-bis(4'-methoxyphenyl)-12-tridecene (41).

The Platinum (II) complex **41** was obtained following the procedure of **39** taking **50d** as the starting material. The crude yield was around 80%. The product can be further purified either by flash column chromatography or by preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂:CH₃OH, 98:2). mp > 173 °C (dec.); IR, v_{max} (KBr): 3340 (br, N-H), 3090-3000 (Ar-H), 2930-2860 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR
(§ ppm): 7.16-7.08 (7H, m, Ar-H), 6.86 (2H, d apparent, J=8.62 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 6.76, 6.53 (4H, 2xd apparent, J=8.73 Hz, <u>H</u> in para substituted anisyl group), 5.65, 5.05, 4.92 (3H, 3xbr s, -N<u>H</u> and -N<u>H</u>₂), 3.80, 3.66 (6H, 2xs, 2x-OCH₃), 4.05, 3.18, 2.95, 2.75 (6H, 4xbr s, -CH₂NHCH₂CH₂NH₂), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-C<u>H₂-</u>), 1.75, 1.52 (2H, 2xbr s, -CH₂CH₂NH-), 1.40-1.06 (16H, m, -(C<u>H₂D₃-</u>); 1³C NMR (§ ppm): 158.15, 157.34, 142.93, 139.90, 137.93, 136.28, 135.81, 131.88(2), 130.61(2), 129.58(2), 127.80(2), 125.83, 113.40(2), 112.67(2), 55.45, 55.22, 54.98, 53.47, 46.93, 35.98, 29.78, 29.55(2), 29.45, 29.34, 29.24, 28.97, 27.41, 26.56. Anal. calcd. for C₃₅H₄₈Cl₂N₂O₂PI: C 52.89, H 6.09, N 3.52; found: C 52.83, H 6.06, N 3.51.

3.1.4 Conversion of Desoxybenzoin to Triphenylethylene Platinum (II) Complexes 40a-c.

A. Synthesis of 1,2-bisphenyl-n-tetrahydropyranyloxy-alkanone (53).

Desoxybenzoin 52 (2.00 g, 10.2 mmol) was rapidly added to a stirred suspension of sodium hydride (448 mg, 11.2 mmol, 60% dispension in mineral oil) in 150 mL dry tetrahydrofuran (THP). The reaction mixture was heated (50 °C) with water bath for 1 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere. After cooling, 1tetrahydropyranyloxy-n-iodoalkane 44 (11.2 mmol) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture stirred overnight (18 hrs) at room temperature (23 °C). Most of the solvent was then evaporated and the residue was diluted with ether (200 mL) and treated with water (550 mL). The ethereal phase was washed thoroughly with water (650 mL), dried and evaporated to give an oil which was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 98:2). The yield was 75% average (98% taking into account the alkyl iodide 44 recovered).

1,2-Bisphenyl-8-tetrahydropyranyloxy-octanone (53a)

IF, υ_{max} (thin film): 3082-3025 (Ar-H), 2933-2858 (C-H), 1683 (C=O), 1585 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 8.01-7.15 (10H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.55 (1H, t, J=3.55 Hz, -OC<u>HO</u>-), 4.53 (1H, t, J=7.21 Hz, -C<u>H</u>-), 3.84 (3.70, 3.47, 3.44 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 2.19-1.22 (16H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 199.94, 139.68, 136.83, 132.68, 128.75 (2), 128.53 (2), 128.39 (2), 128.09 (2), 126.83, 98.72, 67.45, 62.25, 53.54, 33.01, 30.68, 29.56, 29.36, 27.55, 25.99, 25.40, 18.62; MS (m(e); 380 (M⁺), 289 (M⁺ - OHP), 105 (C_RH₇-C=O).

1,2 Bisphenyl-10-tetrahydropyranyloxy-decanone (53b)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3092-3025 (Ar-H), 2933-2858 (C-H), 1683 (C=O), 1585 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 8.01-7.15 (10H, m, Ar-H), 4.56 (1H, t, J=3.53 Hz, -OCHOO), 4.54 (1H, t, J=7.29 Hz, -CH), 3.84 (3.71, 3.47, 3.35 (4H, 4xm, -CH₂OCHOCH₂-), 2.16-1.26 (20H, m, -CH₂-); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 199.93, 139.70, 136.84, 132.65, 128.71 (2), 128.50 (2), 128.35 (2), 128.07 (2), 126.79, 98.67, 67.51, 62.16, 53.53, 33.96, 30.68, 29.61, 29.45, 29.26 (2), 27.60, 26.09, 25.40, 19.60; MS (m/e); 408 (M⁺), 324 (M⁺ - DHP), 105 (CpH₇-C=O).

1,2-Bisphenyl-12-tetrahydropyranyloxy-dodecanone (53c)

mp: 59-59.5 °C. IR, v_{max} (КВг): 3092-3025 (Аг-Н), 2933-2858 (С-Н), 1683 (C=O), 1585 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 8.01-7.15 (10H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 4.57 (1H, t, J=3.50 Hz, -OC<u>H</u>O-), 4.53 (1H, t, J=7.22 Hz, -C<u>H</u>-), 3.85, 3.72, 3.49, 3.37 (4H, 4xm, -C<u>H</u>₂OCHOC<u>H</u>₂-), 2.15-1.23 (24H, m, -C<u>H</u>₂-); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 200.12, 139.82, 137.00, 132.74, 128.81(2), 128.61(2), 128.46(2), 128.20(2), 126.88, 98.84, 67.69, 62.34, 53.67, 34.06, 30.80, 29.76, 29.59, 29.51(2), 29.45(2), 27.72, 26.23, 25.51, 19.71; MS (m/e): 436 (M⁺), 352 (M⁺ - DHP), 105 (C₆H₅-C=O).

B. Synthesis of 1,1,2-trisphenyl-alkan-1,n-diol (55).

A Grignard reagent was prepared from magnesium (432mg, 18.0 mmol) and bromobenzene (2.36 g, 15.0 mmol) in the presence of a crystal of iodine in 100 mL of dry ether. The preparation of Grignard reagent required 4 hrs at room temperature (23 °C). A solution of ketone 53 (3.0 mmol) in dry ether was treated with the excess of the Grignard reagent for 6 hrs under nitrogen at room temperature (23 °C) and was then hydrolysed with 50 mL of 10% aqueous ammonium chloride. The ether phase was washed with water (5 x 50 mL), dried and evaporated to give the crude tertiary alcohol intermediate 54. The oily residue refluxed with 95% ethanol in the presence of PPTS (100 mg, 0.40 mmol) for 3 hrs. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was taken with ether. The ethereal phase was washed with water (5 x 50 mL), dried and evaporated to an oil. The crude 55 was used as such for next dehydration step. Flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 7:1) could produce a pure 55 (85% yield from 53).

1,1,2-Trisphenyl-octan-1,8-diol (55a)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3600-3300 (OH), 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2950-2850 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.59-6.96 (15H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.69 (1H, dd, J=11.42 Hz, J=2.86 Hz, -C<u>H</u>-), 3.50 (2H, t, J=6.62 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂OH), 2.57 (1H, br s, CO<u>H</u>), 1.90-1.06 (11H, m, -(C<u>H</u>₂)₅ and -CH₂O<u>H</u>); ¹³C NMR (δ ppm): 146.27, 145.98, 139.97, 129.96(2), 128.10(2) 127.60(2), 127.49(2), 126.60, 126.26, 126.20(2), 126.00, 125.61(2), 80.86, 62.76, 54.13, 32.52, 30.00, 29.22, 27.80, 25.41; MS (m/e): no M⁺, 357 (M⁺ - OH), 356 (M⁺ - H₂O), 183 (C_BH₅C(OH)C_BH₅).

1.1.2-Trisphenyl-decan-1,10-diol (55b)

The crude intermediate 55b was used for next dehydration step without purification.

1.1.2-Trisphenyl-dodecan-1,12-diol (55c)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 3600-3300 (OH), 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2950-2850 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (8 ppm); 7.59-6.96 (15H, m, Ar-H), 3.69 (1H, dd, J=11.42 Hz, J=2.86 Hz, -CH-), 3.60 (2H, t, J=6.62 Hz, -CH_2OH), 2.50 (1H, br s, COH), 1.90-1.06 (19H, m, -(CH_2)g- and -CH_2OH); ¹³C NMR (8 ppm); 146.31, 145.97, 140.00, 129.99(2), 128.14(2), 127.62(2), 127.48(2), 126.60 (126.24(3), 126.02, 125.60(2), 80.90, 62.98, 54.13, 32.72, 30.02, 29.47(2), 29.41, 29.34(2), 27.86, 25.63; MS (m/e): no M⁺, 413 (M⁺ - OH), 412 (M⁺ - H₂O), 183 (CgH₂C(OH)CgH₂).

C. Synthesis of x,y,y-trisphenyl-x-alken-1-ol (56).

The oily 55 (2.5 mmol) was dehydrated in 100 mL toluene in the presence of pTSA (100 mg, 0.56 mmol) at reflux for 2 hrs. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was extracted with ether and water (5 x 50 mL), dried and evaporated to an colorless product 56. Flash column chromatograph (hexane:acetone, 9:1) yield a viscous oil (99% yield from 55).

60

7,8,8-Trisphenyl-7-octen-1-ol (56a)

 $\label{eq:mp: 90.5-91.5 °C; IR, v_{max} (KBr): 3330 (br, OH), 3100-3000 (Ar-H), 2925-2825 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm^{-1}; ¹H NMR (\delta ppm): 7.34-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-H), 3.55 (2H, t, J=6.60 Hz, CH2OH); 13C NMR (\delta ppm): 10.26 (CH2OH); 13C NMR (\delta ppm): 10.26 (CH2OH); 13C NMR (\delta ppm): 11.34.3, 142.92, 142.40, 140.89, 138.92, 130.65(2), 129.52(2), 129.43(2), 128.08(2), 127.76(2), 127.30(2), 126.53, 126.10, 125.67, 62.91, 35.72, 32.58, 29.33, 28.72, 25.30; MS (m/e): 356(M^+), 289(M^+ - CH2OH). \end{tabular}$

9,10,10-Trispheny-9-decen-1-ol (56b)

IR, ν_{max} (thin film): 3330 (br, OH), 3100-3000 (Ar-H), 2925-2825 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; 1H MMR (δ ppm): 7.34-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.51 (2H, t, J=6.73 Hz, C-<u>H</u>2OH), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-C<u>H</u>2-), 1.45-1.14 (13H, m, -(C<u>H</u>2)₆- and C-H2O<u>H</u>); 1³C NMR (δ ppm): 143.22, 142.74, 142.19, 140.77, 138.84, 130.52(2), 129.26(4), 127.89(2), 127.60(2), 127.14(2), 126.36, 125.91, 125.49, 62.41, 35.62, 32.23, 29.35(2), 28.99, 28.58, 25.43; MS (m/e): 384 (M⁺), 269 (M⁺ - O₇H₄OH).

11,12,12-Trisphenyl-11-dodecen-1-ol (56c)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 3330 (br, OH), 3100-3000 (Ar-H), 2925-2825 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.3-4-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-H), 3.59 (2H, t, J=6.86 Hz, $-CH_2OH$), 2.43 (2H, m, $-C=C-H_2$ -), 1.52-1.15 (17H, m, $-(CH_2)_{R}$ - and $-CH_2OH$); ¹3C NMR (δ ppm): 143.43, 142.93, 142.40, 141.00, 138.92, 130.65(2), 129.47(2), 129.41(2), 128.41(2), 127.72(2), 127.72(2), 126.48, 126.01, 125.61, 62.91, 35.76, 32.60, 29.58, 29.45, 29.36(2), 29.17, 26.75, 25.63. MS (m/e): 412 (M⁺), 269 (M⁺ - C_4H_2OH).

D. Synthesis of 1-bromo-x,y,y-trisphenyl-x-alkene (57).

A solution of the alcohol 56 (2.25 mmol), carbon tetrabromide (2.98 g, 9.00 mmol) and triphenylphosphine (2.36 g, 9.00 mmol) in dry ether (100 mL) was slirred at room temperature (23 °C) for 24 hrs under a nitrogen atmosphere. The triphenylphosphine oxide precipitate was filtrated and the resulting solution was washed thoroughly with water (5x25 mL), dried and evaporated to an oil. The crude material was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane:acetone, 99:1) to give the bromine 57 in 86% yield.

1-Bromo-7,8,8-trisphenyl-7-octene (57a)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2930-2850 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.37-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.31 (2H, t, J=6.84 Hz, -C<u>H</u>2Br), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-C<u>H</u>2-), 1.74 (2H, p, J=7.20 Hz, -C<u>H</u>2CH2Br), 1.34-1.21 (6H, m, -(C<u>H</u>2)₃); ¹3C NMR (δ ppm): 143.42, 142.88 142.32, 140.76, 139.23, 130.64(2), 129.53(2), 129.41(2), 128.14(2), 127.79(2), 127.33(2), 126.59, 126.13, 125.71, 35.64, 33.90, 32.60, 28.70, 28.54, 27.79; MS (m/e): 418 (M⁺), 420 (M⁺+2), 269 (M⁺ -C_H1₀Br).

1-Bromo-9,10,10-trisphenyl-9-decene (57b)

IR, v_{max} (thin film): 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2930-2850 (C-H), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; 1H NMR (5 ppm): 7.37-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 3.36 (2H, t, J=6.86 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂Br), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-C<u>H</u>₂-), 1.79 (2H, p, J=7.36 Hz, -C<u>H</u>₂CH₂CH₂Br), 1.34-1.17 (10H, m, -(C<u>H</u>₂)₂5); 1³C NMR (5 ppm): 143.43, 142.93, 142.40, 140.94, 138.91, 130.67(20, 129.52(2), 129.45(2), 128.07(2), 127.75(2), 127.30(2), 126.53, 126.08, 125.66, 35.76, 34.05, 32.71, 29.45, 28.98, 28.69, 28.52, 28.02. MS (m)e): 446 (M⁺), 448 (M⁺ + 2), 269 (M⁺ - C_H₁₄Br).

1-Bromo-11,12,12-trisphenyl-11-dodecene (57c)

IR, υ_{max} (thin film): 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2300-2850 (C-H), 1600 (C=O) cm.₁: 1H NMR (δ ppm): 7.37-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-H), 3.38 (2H, t, J=6.86 Hz, CH_2GH, 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-H_2-), 1.82 (2H, p, J=7.20, CH_2CH_2Br), 1.34-1.16 (14H, m, -(CH_2)-r); 1³C NMR (δ ppm): 143.48, 142.98, 142.48, 141.05, 139.01, 130.69(2), 129.53(2), 129.46(2), 128.07(2), 127.73(2), 127.30(2), 126.53, 126.07, 125.66, 35.82, 34.04, 32.80, 29.59, 29.33(2), 29.18, 28.77, 28.68, 28.14; MS (m/e); 474 (M⁺), 476 (M⁺ + 2), 269 (M⁺ - CeH_4Br).

E. Synthesis of 1-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]-x,y,y-trisphenyl-x-alkene (58).

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, ethylenediamine (900 mg, 15.00 mmol) was added to a solution of the bromide 57 (1.50 mmol) in 80 mL of dry methanol. After boiling for two days under rellux (sometimes longer reaction period was required), the solvent was evaporated. The resulting residue was dissolved in ether (150 mL) and washed with a solution of NaHCO₃ (30 mL, 5% aqueous) and with water (5x30 mL). The ethereal phase was dried and evaporated to a viscous oil 56. The vield was 90%.

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-7,8,8-trisphenyl-7-octene (58a)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:result} R, \nu_{max} (\text{thin film}): 3290 (br, N-H), 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2930-2850 (C-H), 1650 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm^{-1}; ^{1}H NMR (\delta ppm): 7.35-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-H), 2.76, 2.61 (4H, 2xt, J=6.06 Hz, -NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), 2.51 (2H, t, J=7.25 Hz, -CH_2NH-), 2.43 (2H, m, -C=C-CH_2-), 1.80 (3H, s, br, -NH- and -NH_2), 1.38-1.18 (8H, m, -(CH_2)_4-); ^{13}C NMR (\delta ppm): 143.30, 142.80, 142.25, 140.80, 138.91, 130.54(2), 129.38(2), 129.32(2), 127.96(2), 127.64(2), 127.16(2), 126.41, 125.98, 125.54, 52.32, 49.65, 41.54, 35.65, 29.84, 29.41, 28.65, 26.86; MS \end{array}$

(m/e): 398 (M+), 368 (M+ -CH₂NH₂), 355 (M+ - CH₂CH₂NH), 269 (M+ - C₅H₁₀NHCH₂CH₂NH₂).

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-9,10,10-trisphenyl-9-decene (58b)

IR, υ_{max} (Ihin film): 3290 (br, N-H), 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2930-2850 (C-H), 1650 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (δ ppm): 7.34-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-<u>H</u>), 2.76, 2.65 (4H, 2xt, J=6.03 Hz, -NHC<u>H</u>₂CH₂NH₂), 2.56 (2H, t, J=7.23 Hz, -CH₂NH-), 2.42 (2H, m, -C-C-CH₂-), 1.58 (3H, s, br, -N<u>H</u> and -N<u>H</u>₂), 1.43-1.17 (12H, m, -(CH₂)₆), ¹3C NMR (δ ppm): 143.38, 142.91, 142.36, 140.98, 138.90, 130.62(2), 129.46(2), 129.40(2), 126.00(2), 127.67(2), 127.23(2), 126.44, 126.00, 125.58, 52.49, 49.85, 41.70, 35.74, 30.06, 29.52, 29.34, 29.12, 28.72, 72.22; MS (m/e): 428 (M⁺), 396 (M⁺ - CH₂NH₂), 383 (M⁺ - CH₂CH₂NH), 269 (M⁺ - C7H₄ANHCH₂CH₂NH₂).

1-[(2'-Aminoethyl)amino]-11,12,12-trisphenyl-11-dodecene (58c)

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:response} & [R, \upsilon_{max} (thin film): 3290 (pr, N-H), 3090-3015 (Ar-H), 2930-2850 (C-H), 1650 (N-H, bending), 1600 (C=C) cm^{-1}; ^1H NMR (\delta ppm): 7.34-6.86 (15H, m, Ar-H), 2.80, 2.66 (4H, 2xt, J=6.03 Hz, ~NHCH_2CH_2NH2), 2.59 (2H, t, J=7.23 Hz, ~CH_2NH), 2.42 (2H, m, -C=C-CH2-), 1.64 (3H, s, br, -NH- and -NH2), 1.48-1.15 (16H, m, -(CH_2)_8-); ^{13}C NMR (\delta ppm): 143.43, 142.97, 142.41, 141.05, 138.91, 130.66(2), 129.49(2), 129.43(2), 128.03(2), 127.70(2), 127.26(2), 126.48, 126.02, 125.61, 52.49, 49.90, 41.66, 35.79, 30.09, 29.61, 29.49(2), 29.43, 29.21, 28.76, 27.33; MS (m/e): 454 (M^+, 024 (M^+ - CH_2NH2), 411 (M^+ - CH_2CH_2NH2), 26(M^+ - CAH_2NHCH2). (M^+ - CH_2CH_2NH2), 201.21,$

F. Synthesis of 1-{cis-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum(II)}-x,y,ytrisphenyl-x-alkene (40).

A solution of potassium tetrachloroplatinate (III) (219 mg, 0.528 mmol) in 7.5 mL of a mixture of DMF and water (2:1) was added to a warm (35 °C) solution of diamine 58 (0.528 mmol) in 5 mL of DMF. The resulting mixture (pH=9-10) was stirred in the dark for 2-3 days until the pH value reached 4-5. Then, one drop of N,N-dimethylsulfoxide was added and the stirring was continued for 2 hrs. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was suspended in saturated potassium chloride solution (30 mL). A vigourous stirring was essential in order to pulverized the lumps of platinum (III) complex 40 The resulting suspension was filtered, washed with water (100-250 mL), and dried in a desiccator. The product can be further purified either by flash column chromatography or by preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂:CH₃OH, 98:2). The crude yield was around 80%.

ï-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-7,8,8-trisphenyl-7-octene (40a)

$$\begin{split} mp > & 210 \ ^\circ C \ (dec.); \ IR, \ v_{max} \ (KBr); \ & 3250-3150 \ (N-H), \ & 3090-3015 \ (Ar-H), \ & 2930-\\ & 2850 \ (C-H), \ & 1600 \ (C=C) \ cm^{-1}; \ ^1H \ MMR \ (\delta \ ppm); \ 7.33-6.86 \ (15H, m, \ Ar-H), \ & 5.51, \\ & 4.89, \ & 4.72 \ (3H, \ & 3xbr \ s, \ -NH- \ and \ -NL_2), \ & 3.35, \ & 3.09, \ & 2.72 \ \ (6H, \ & 3xbr \ s, \\ & -CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), \ & 2.39 \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-CH_2-), \ & 1.70, \ & 1.54 \ \ (2H, \ & 2xbr \ s, \\ & -CH_2OH_2NH, \ & 1.40.60, \ & 139.21, \ & 130.66 \ \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-2H_2-), \ & 1.70, \ & 1.54 \ \ (2H, \ & 2xbr \ s, \\ & -CH_2OH_2NH, \ & 1.40.60, \ & 139.21, \ & 130.66 \ \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-2H_2), \ & 129.112, \ & 127.82 \ \ (2), \ & 127.32 \ \ & 126.60, \ & 126.14, \ & 125.71, \ & 55.17, \ & 53.23, \ & 47.03, \ & 35.61, \ & 29.11, \ & 28.44, \\ & C^{-}_{20}Z_{20}, \ & 20.02, \ & Anal, \ & calc. \ & for \ C_{2B}H_3C \ \ & C_{2B}H_2C \ \ & C \ & 50.60, \ H \ & 5.71, \ N \ & 4.22; \ found th \ & C \ & 50.63, \ H \ & 5.20, \ N \ & 4.19. \end{split}$$

1-{Cis-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)}-9,10,10-trisphenyl-9decene (40b)

$$\begin{split} mp &> 210 \ ^\circ C \ (dec.); \ H, \ v_{max} \ (KBr); \ 3250-3150 \ (N-H), \ 3090-3015 \ (Ar-H), \ 2930-2650 \ (C-H), \ 1600 \ (C=C) \ cm^{-1}; \ 1H \ NMR \ (\delta \ ppm); \ 7.33-6.86 \ (15H, \ m, \ Ar-H), \ 5.56, \ 5.30, \ 5.04 \ (3H, \ sx, \ sr, \ h-L) \ and \ -NH_2), \ 3.38 \ 3.19, \ 3.05, \ 2.72 \ (6H, \ 4xbr \ s, \ -CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), \ 2.40 \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-CH_2), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2CH_2NH-1), \ 1.40-1.10 \ (10H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}), \ 1.67, \ 1.48 \ (2H, \ 2xbr \ s, \ -CH_2NH \ (2H, \ -CH_2NH \$$

1-{Cls-[(2'-aminoethyl)amino]dichloroplatinum (II)]-11,12,12-trisphenyl-11dodecene (40c)

$$\begin{split} mp > & 210 \ ^\circ C \ (dec.); \ H, \ \upsilon_{max} \ (KBr); \ & 3250-3150 \ (N-H), \ & 3090-3015 \ (Ar-H), \ & 2930-\\ & 2850 \ (C-H), \ & 1600 \ (C=C) \ cm^{-1}; \ ^1H \ MMR \ (\delta \ ppm); \ 7.33-6.86 \ (15H, \ m, \ Ar-H), \ & 5.62, \\ & 5.01, \ & 4.84 \ (3H, \ & 3xbr \ s, \ -NH \ - and \ - NH_2), \ & 5.50, \ & 3.15, \ & 2.88, \ 2.76 \ (EH, \ & 4xbr \ s, \\ & -CH_2NHCH_2CH_2NH_2), \ & 2.40 \ \ (2H, \ m, \ -C=C-CH_2-), \ & 1.68, \ & 1.50 \ \ (2H, \ & 2xbr \ s, \\ & -CH_2CH_2NH-), \ & 1.40-1.10 \ \ (14H, \ m, \ -CG_{2D}/2-). \ & 1.3C \ \ MMR \ (\delta \ ppm); \ & 143.44, \\ & 142.97, \ & 142.42, \ & 141.00, \ & 130.69(2), \ & 129.51(2), \ & 129.45(2), \ & 128.07(2), \\ & 127.75(2), \ & 127.28(2), \ & 126.53, \ & 126.65, \ & 5.54, \ & 5.54, \ & 5.38, \ & 4.86, \ & 5.83, \ & 2.967, \\ & 29.43(2), \ & 29.23(2), \ & 28.79, \ & 27.40, \ & 26.54, \ & Anal. \ & calcd. \ & for \ & C_{32}H_4Cl_2Cl_2N_2Pl: C \\ & 5.322, \ H \ & 5.89, \ N \ & 3.89; \ & (Nadd) \ & Calcd. \ & 5.28, \ & 15.80, \ & 15.80, \ \\ & Mathematrix \ & 15.80, \ & N \ & 3.89; \ & (Nadd) \ & 15.80, \ \\ & Mathematrix \ & 15.80, \ & N \ & 3.89; \ & (Nadd) \ & 15.80, \ \\ & Nadd) \ &$$

3.2 In Vitro Antitumor Activity

3.2.1 Materials of Microcytostasis Assay

A. Drugs:

39a-d, 40a-c and 41 synthesized as described previously; Cisplatin obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, USA; Tamoxifen obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company, Inc., USA.

B. Cell lines and culture:

Human breast cancer cell lines: MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection, Maryland, USA. Both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 2mM glutamine, 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibcol, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) and 100 U gentamycin/ml (Sigma Chemical Company, USA).

C. Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS, pH=7.4) prepared from PBS tablets (Oxoid, Unipath Ltd., England), dissolved in water as per manufacturer's instructions.

D. Microtitre Plates, 96 wells obtained from Flow Lab. Inc., McLean, Virginia, USA.

E. MTT and DMSO obtained from Sigma Chemical Company, USA.

F. Plate Reader: Behring Elisa Processor II (Behring, Marburg, Germany).

3.2.2 Method of Microcytostasis Assay

The MTT assay was carried out essentially as described by J. Carmichael and co-workers.⁷² Under sterile conditions, the 40 mM solution of

drugs in DMSO was diluted with fresh medium (RPMI-1640) to a concentration of 400 µM, then different drug dilutions were prepared in the culture medium (range 0.1- 400 µM). A total of 100 µL cell culture medium RPMI-1640 containing 2000 viable cells was plated per well into 96-well microtitre plate, and preincubated for 24 hours at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Then, the medium was removed from the cells, and 100 uL of fresh medium containing various concentrations of a drug was added to the cultures. Tests were performed in 8 wells for each test dilution, with appropriate control wells which received 100 µL of medium only. The cells were incubated with the drug for 72 hours. Next, the medium was removed and the cells were washed with the sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH=7.4). Cell survival was evaluated with MTT by the addition of a 50 µL solution containing 2.5 mg/mL in PBS:RPMI-1640 (1:4, v/v). After 4 hours incubation at 37 °C, the solution was aspirated from each well, and 100 uL DMSO was added to dissolve the precipitate of reduced MTT. The plates were shaken on a plate shaker for 15 minutes, then the absorbance was spectrophotometrically determined at 570 and 630 nm with a Behring Elisa Processor II (Behring, Marburg, Germany). Results from the plate reader were expressed as follows:

Percentage Cell Survival at Each Dilution

Mean Absorbance at Each Dilution

Mean Control Absorbance X 100.

Mean Control Absorbance

A dose response curve of percentage cell survival (ordinate) against drug concentration (abscissa) was constructed.

68

REFERENCES

- National Cancer Institute of Canada. in Canadian Cancer Statistics, Toronto, Canada. 1994.
- H. Auchincloss. in The Merck Manual (Fifteenth Edition), Edited by R. Berkow and A. J. Fletcher, Merck Sharp and Dohome Research Lab., Rahway, N.J. 1987. p1719-1722.
- J. M. Kauffman, S. K. Sengupta and W. O. Foye. In Principles of Medicinal Chemistry (Third Edition), Edited by W. O. Foye, Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, London. 1989. pp.757-784.
- R. C. Young. in Drug Resistance in Cancer Therapy, Edited by R. F. Ozols, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London. pp.1-12.
- (a) T. Ohnoshi, T. Ohmura and I. Takehashi. Cancer Res., 42, 1655 (1982);
 (b) A. M. Rogan, T. C. Hamilton and R. C. Young. Science, 224, 994 (1984).
- (a) M. N. H. Taitersall, U. K. Ganeshagur and A. V. Hoffbrand. Br. J. Haematol, 27, 39 (1974); (b) C. D. Stewart and D. J. Burke. Nature New Biol., 233, 109 (1971).
- (a) L. C. Ericson, G. Laurent and N. A. Sharkey. Nature, 288, 727 (1980);
 (b) H. Masuda, R. F. Ozols, G. M. Lai, A. Fojo, M. Rothenberg and T. C. Hamilton. Cancer Res., 48, 5713 (1988).
- (a) G. A. Curt, D. M. Carney and K. H. Cowan. New Eng. J. Med., 308, 199 (1983);
 (b) J. M. Trent, R. N. Buick and S. Olson. J. Clin. Oncol., 2, 8 (1984).
- K. H. Cown and J. Jolivet. J. Clin. Res., 31, 508A (1983).
 (a) R. C. Jackson and D. Niethammer. Eur. J. Cancer, 13, 567 (1977); (b)

D. G. Priest, B. E. Ledford and M. T. Doig. Biochem. Pharmacol., 29, 1549 (1980).

- P. Ehrlich. in The Collectal Papers of Paul Ehrlich, Edited by F. Himmelweit, Pergmon Press, London. 1913. p.510.
- G. A. Pietersz, J. Kanellos, M. J. Smyth, J. Zalcberg and I. F. C. Mckenzie. Immunol. Cell Biol., 65, 111 (1987).
- E. B. Thompson and M. E. Lippman. in Steroid Receptors and the Management of Cancer (vol.1), CRC press, 1979.
- J. A. Katzenellenbogen, D. F. Heiman, K. E. Carlson and J. E. Lloyd. in Receptor Binding Radiotracers (vol. 1), Edited by W. C. Eckelman, CRC press, 1982. pp.93-126.
- L. N. Owens, M. H. Benn and A. M. Creighton. Brit. Empire Cancer Res. Campaign Annu. Rep., 32, 417 (1954).
- T. Nogrady, M. K. Vagi and V. W. Adamkievies. Can. J. Chem., 40, 2126 (1962).
- E. Cioranescu and D. Raileanu. Acad. Rep. Populare Romine Studii Cercetari, 10, 295 (1962).
- D. Raileanu and E. Cioranescu, Acad. Rep. Populare Romine Studii Cercetari Chim, 11, 433 (1963).
- I. Niculescu Duvaz, A. Cambanis and E. Tarnauceanu. J. Med. Chem., 10, 172 (1966).
- H. Y. P. Lam, A. Begleiter, C. J. Goldenberg and C. M. Wong. J. Med. Chem., 22, 200 (1979).
- M. R. Berger, J. Floride, D. Schnahl, J. Schreiber and G. Eisenbrand. "ur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol., 22, 1179 (1986).
- 22. M. P. Georgiadis and S. A. Haroutounian. Inorganica Chimica. Acta, 138,

249 (1987).

- D. M. Spyriounis, V. J. Demopoulos, P. N. Kourounakis, D.Kouretas, A. Kortsaris and O. Antonoglou, Eur, J. Med. Chem., 27, 301 (1992).
- (a) H. P. Weber and E. Galantry. Helv. Chim. Acta., 55, 544 (1972); (b) R.
 Hahnel and E. Twaddle. J. Steroid Biochem., 5, 119 (1974).
- (a) G. LeClercq, M. C. Deboel and J. C. Heuson. Int. J. Cancer, 18, 750 (1976); (b) G. LeClercq, J. C. Heuson and M. C. Deboel. Eur. J. Drug Metab. Pharmacokinet., 1, 77 (1976).
- H. Y. P. Lam, P. K. T. Ng, G. J. Goldenberg and C. M. Wang. Cancer Treat. Rep., 71, 901 (1987).
- P. Ramwell, G. Rubanyi and E. Schillinger. in Sex Steriods and the Cardiovascular System, Springer Verlag, Berlin. 1992.
- (a) C. K. Osborne and C. L. Arteaga. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 15, 3 (1990); (b) T. Ikeda, D. Danielpour and D. A. Sirbasku. J. Cell Biochem., 25, 213 (1984).
- (a) V. C. Jordan and C. S. Murphy. Endocrine Res., 11, 578 (1990); (b) R.
 B. Dickson and M. E. Lippman. Endocrine Res., 8, 29 (1987).
- S. E. Fawell, R. White, S. Hoare, M. Sydenham, M. Page and M. G. Parker. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.(USA), 87, 6883 (1990).
- M. Sabbah, F. Gouilleux, B. Sola, G. Redeuilh and E. E. Baulieu. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA), 88, 390 (1991).
- M. K. Gibson, L. A. Nemmers, W. C. Beckman, Jr., V. L. Davis, S. W. Curtis and K. S. Korach. Endocrinology, 129, 2000 (1991).
- L. L. Wei, B. S. Katzenellenbogen, D. W. Robertson, D. M. Simpson and J. A. Katzenellenbogen. Breast Cancer Res. Treat., 7, 77 (1986).
- 34. G. LeClercq, N. Devleeschouwer and J. C. Heuson. J. Steriod Biochem., 19,

75 (1983).

- G. M. Anstead, C. S. Peterson and J. A. Katzenellenbogen. J. Steroid Biochem., 33, 877 (1989).
- J. A. Katzenellenbogen and B. S. Katzenellenbogen. Breast Cancer Res. Treat., 2, 347 (1982).
- 37. K. Krohn, K. Kulikowski and G. Leclerg, J. Med. Chem., 32 , 1532 (1989).
- R. Guegan, J. Diaz, C. Cazaubon, M. Beaumont, C. Carlet, J. Clement, H. Demarne and M. Meilet. J. Med. Chem., 29, 1152 (1986).
- E. Von Angerer, J. Prekajac and M. R. Berger. Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol., 21, 531 (1985).
- E. Von Angerer, J. Prekajac and J. Strohmeier. J. Med. Chem., 27, 1439 (1984).
- J. Strohmeier and E. Von Angerer. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim), 320, 407 (1987).
- 42. P. J. Loehrer and L. H. Einhorn. Ann. Intern. Med., 100, 704 (1984).
- C. L. Arteaga, B. J. Forseth, G. M. Clark and D. D. Von Hoff. Cancer Res., 47, 6248 (1987).
- 44. J. Gottlieb and B. Drewinko. Cancer Chemother. Rep., 59, 621 (1975).
- 45. J. Williams and N. Popovich. Drug Intell. Clin. Pharm., 12, 226 (1978).
- 46. N. Knebel and E. Von Angerer. J. Med. chem., 31, 1675 (1988).
- N. Knebel, C. D. Schiller, M. R. Schneider, H. Schonenberger and E. Von Angerer. Eur. J. Cancer Clin. Oncol., 25, 293 (1989).
- 48. N. G. Knebel, and E. Von Angerer. J. Med. Chem., 34, 2145 (1991).
- E. Von Angerer, H. Birnbock and N. Knebel. Anti-Cancer Drug Design, 4, 21 (1989).
- 50. B. Betsch, M. R. Berger, B. Spiegelhalder, D. Schmahl and G. Eisenbrand.

Eur. J. Cancer, 26, 895 (1990).

- R. Gust. Dissertation, University of Regensburg, Federal Republic of Germany, 1987.
- J. Karl, R. Gust, T. Spruss, M. R. Schneider, H. Schonenberger, J. Engel, K. H. Wrobel, F. Lux and S. T. Haeberlin. J. Med. Chem., 31, 72 (1988).
- M. R. Schneider, C. D. Schiller, A. Humm, T. Spruss, H. Schonenberger, W. Amselgruber and F. Sinowatz. Prostate, 15, 135 (1989).
- J. Engel, H. Schonenberger, F. Lux and P. Hilgard. Cancer Treat. Rev., 14, 275 (1987).
- 55. A. M. Otto, M. Faderl and H. Schonenberger. Cancer Res., 51, 3217 (1991).
- R. Gust, H. Schonenberger, U. Klement and K. J. Range. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim), 326, 967 (1993).
- 57. R. Gust. Arch. Pharm. (Weinheim), 327, 49 (1994).
- (a) M. Pons, F. Michel, A. Crastes de Paulet, J. Gilbert, J. F. Miquel, G. Precigoux, M. Hospital, T. Djasoo and J. P. Raynaud. J. Steroid Biochem., 20, 137 (1984); (b) G. M. Anstead, C. S. Peterson and J. A. Katzenellenbogen, J. Steroid Biochem., 33, 877 (1989).
- (a) J. Bowler, T. J. Lilley, J. D. Pittam and A. E. Wakeling. Steroids, 54, 71 (1989);
 (b) A. E. Wakeling and J. Bowler. J. Endocrinol., 112, R7 (1987);
 J. Steroid Blochem., 30, 141 (1988); J. Steroid Blochem., 31, 645 (1986);
 (c) R. Poulin, Y. Merand, D. Poirier, C. Levesque, J. M. Dufour, and F. Labrie. Breast Cancer Res. Treat., 14, 65 (1989);
 (d) A. E. Wakeling. J. Steroid Blochem., 34, 183 (1989);
 (e) R. Poulin, Y. Merand, D. Poirier, C. Levesque, J. M. Dufour, and F. Labrie. Breast Cancer Res. Treat., 14, 65 (1989);
 (d) A. E. Wakeling. J. Steroid Biochem., 34, 183 (1989);
 (e) A. P. M. Wilson, P. J. Weatherill, R. I. Nicholson, P. Davies and A. E. Wakeling. J. Steroid Biochem., 35, 421 (1990);
 (f) M. S. Galman, S. A. Sundstrom and C. R. Lyttle. J. Steroid Biochem., 36, 28 (1980);
 (e) A. P. Bavuelini, N. Giambiadi, C. Gelly and

G. Chetrite. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 37, 771 (1990); (h) S. E. Fawell, R. White, S. Hoare, M. Sydenham, M. Page, M. G. Parker. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.), 88, 390 (1990); (i) J. R. Pasqualini and B. L. Nguyen. Breast Cancer Res. Treat., 18, 93 (1991); (j) N. Giambiagi and J. R. Pasqualini. J. Mol. Endocrinology, 7, 9 (1991); (k) M. K. Gibson, L. A. Nemmers, W. C. Beckman, V. L. Davis, S. W. Curtis and K. S. Korach. Endocrinology, 129, 2000 (1991); (i) A. Claussner, L. Nedelec, F. Nique, D. Philibert, G. Teutsch, and P. Van de Velde, J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol., 41, 609 (1992).

- 60. A. E. Wakeling, M. Dukes and J. Bowler. Cancer Res., 51, 3867 (1991).
- J. P. DiZlo, K. E. Carlson, C. J. Bannochie, M. J. Welch, E. Von Angerer and J. A. Katzenellenbogen. J. Steroid Biochem. Molec. Biol., 42, 363 (1992).
- G. Berube, P. Wheeler, C. H. J. Ford, M. Gallant and Z. Tsaltas. Can. J. Chem., 71, 1327 (1993).
- M. Miyashita, A. Yoshikoshi and P. A. Grieco. J. Org. Chem., 42, 3772 (1977).
- L. G. Wade, Jr. in Organic Chemistry, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 1987. pp1100-1155.
- 65. G. N. Vyas and N. M. Shah. Org. Synth. Collect., IV, 836 (1963).
- M. S. Kharasch and O. Reinmuth. in Grignard Reactions of Nonmetallic Substances, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 1954. pp138-528.
- 67. J. Hooz and S. S. H. Gillani. Can. J. Chem. , 46, 86 (1968).
- 68. E. A. Werner. J. Chem. Soc., 113, 899 (1918).
- (a) D. L. Manson and O. C. Musgrave. J. Chem. Soc., part I, 1011 (1963);
 (b) J. March. in Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and

Structure, McGraw Hill, Inc., USA. 1968. pp344-345.

- M. P. Georgiadis and S. A. Haroutounian. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 138, 249 (1987).
- K. B. Horwitz, D. T. Zava, A. K. Thilagar, E. M. Jensen and W. L. McGuire. Cancer Res., 38, 2434 (1978).
- (a) J. Carmichael, W. G. DeGraff, A. F. Gazdar, J. D. Minna and J. B. Mitchell. Cancer Res., 47, 943 (1987); (b) F. Denizot and R. Lang, J. Immunol. Methods, 89, 271 (1989); (c) D. J. Adams. Cancer Res., 49, 6615 (1989).
- C. H. J. Ford, V.J. Richardson and G. Tsaltas. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol., 24, 295 (1989).
- R. C.-Gaudreault, M. A. Alaoui-Jamali, G. Batist, P. Béchard, J. Lacroix and P. Poyet. Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol., 33, 489 (1994).
- D. D. Perrin and C. F. Armarego. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals (Third Edition), Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York. 1988.
- 76. W. C. Still, M. Kahn and A. Mitra. J. Org. Chem., 43, 2923 (1978).

APPENDIX

The selected IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR of the synthetic samples were arranged according to the order in which they appear in the text. For the instruments employed, see General Procedures in *Charpter 3*.











IR Spectrum of Compound 49a.

Ł



IR Spectrum of Compound 50 a.



IR Spectrum of Compound 39a (KBr).

.







IR Spectrum of Compound 41 (KBr).























¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 46a.







¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 49 a.




















¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 56c.



¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 57c.



¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 58c.



¹H NMR Spectrum of Compound 40c.









13C NMR Spectrum of Compound 49a.







13C NMR Spectrum of Compound 41.



























