THE ROLE OF ACTGCT MOTIF IN THE EXPRESSION OF THE MINIMAL CONSTITUTIVE ENHANCER OF THE HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS TYPE 18 IN C33A CERVICAL CARCINOMA CELLS



RAGHURAM HASNADKA







The Role of ACTGCT Motif in the Expression of the Minimal Constitutive Enhancer of the Human Papillomavirus Type 18 in C33A Cervical Carcinoma Cells

by

Raghuram Hasnadka B.V.Sc.

A Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

Faculty of Medicine

Memorial University of Newfoundland

1995

St.John's

Newfoundland



ary Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services Branch Direction des acquisitions et des services hibliographiques

395 Wellington Street . Ottawa, Onlano K1A 0N4 395, rue Welkington Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0N4

Your Me Votre rélérence

Our file Notre référence

The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive permettant à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission.

Canadä

L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-612-13901-8

ABSTRACT

The activity of the human papillomavirus type 18 minimal constitutive enhancer (nucleotides 7508-7738) was studied in C33A cervical carcinoma cells . Unidirectional deletion mutations were performed to delineate the minimal constitutive enhancer (MCE) region that is important for the human papillomavirus type 18 expression. Maximum reduction in the activity was seen when region V (nucleotides 7673-7738) was deleted. The deletion mutant enhancer pBK8315 (nucleotides 7508-7664) expressed only 6.5 percent of the full length MCE activity. Though the expression was the least with this mutant, all the regions appeared to be a requirement for the full activity of the MCE. Gel retardation assays with the full length MCE using C33A nuclear extract showed two complexes. Gel retardation assays were performed with deletion mutants having regions I-IV (nucleotides 7508-7664) and region V using C33A nuclear extract. The slower migrating complex associated with regions I-IV, while the faster migrating complex was bound to region V. To identify the important motif of region V and thereby the factor responsible for the expression, site directed mutagenesis was performed. The ACTGCT motif at nucleotides 7679-7684 was mutated. The in vivo activity of

ii

this site-directed mutant plasmid pBB155 was reduced to the extent similar to pBK8315, signifying the importance of this motif in the context of the full length MCE. When gel retardation assay was performed with the site-directed mutant using C33A nuclear extract, the faster migrating complex was abolished, while the slower migrating complex was present. The mutant MCE competed with the slower migrating complex, while the faster migrating complex was still present. The in vitro binding assays correlated with the in vivo activity in C33A cells. To further investigate the binding property of the mutant motif. UV crosslinking was performed using C33A nuclear extracts with MCE sequences from nucleotides 7667-7693. A band present with the wild type sequence was absent when the mutant sequence was used in the reaction. These experiments demonstrate the importance of the ACTGCT motif at nucleotides 7679-7684 and suggest the involvement of an ACTGCT binding protein (ABP) in the regulation of HPV 18 MCE activity.

iii

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitudes to Dr. Verna Skanes and Dr. Jon Church for their guidance and support for the completion of this thesis. Wy thanks to Dr. Mary Pater and Dr. Alan Pater for the supervision and for providing research facilities. I would like to take this opportunity to thank Dr. Christopher Sharpe and Dr.C.J. Michalaski for the financial support from the School of Graduate Studies and The Faculty of Medicine.

My thanks are due to Kumar, Swamy, Rakesh, Yang, Shyam, Jason, Masuma, Narayanan, Raghu, Prasad, Sri, Shindoh, Sun Qi, Satish, K.P. Singh, Sanjay, Khare, Chrissy, Nakaoh, Ming, Ge Jin for their friendship. I am very grateful to P.N.Ramanan and Balaji for their help with the computer programs. My thanks to Dr. V. Gopalakrishnan for his moral support.

This work would have been impossible without the invaluable support and encouragement of my family, especially my wife Jyothsna.

iv

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract		•••	• • • •	 	 	• • • •		ii
Acknowledgement	.s			 	 	····		iv
Table of conten	ts			 	 			v
List of figures				 	 	· · · ·	·	viii
List of abbrevi	ations.			 	 			ix

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Transcriptional regulation in eukaryotes1
1.1.1	Promoter elements in the regulation of
	transcription2
1.1.2	The role of enhancer elements3
1.1.3	The initiation complex5
1.1.4	Transcription factors in the
	regulation of transcription8
1.2.	Papillomaviruses10
1.2.1	The HPV genome12
1.2.2	HPVs in the etiology of cervical
	cancer16
1.2.3	The regulatory regions of HPV genome19
1.2.4	Role of the MCE in the expression of

	HPV 18
1.2.5	Cellular factors bind to the HPV 18 MCE22
1.3	Objectives of the study

CHAPTER 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1	Materials
2.2	Cell culture of C33A cells
2.3	Recombinant plasmids
2.3.1	Construction of deletion mutant plasmids31
2.3.2	Construction of mutant plasmid by
	site directed mutagenesis35
2.4	Transfection of C33A cells
2.5	Preparation of cell lysates40
2.6	Chloramphenicol Acetyl Transferase (CAT)
	assay40
2.7	Mobility shift assay42
2.7.1	Preparation of C33A nuclei42
2.7.2	Preparation of crude nuclear extracts43
2.7.3	Preparation of radiolabelled DNA probes43
2.7.4	Protocol for mobility shift assay44
2.8	Protocol for UV crosslinking assay45

CHAPTER 3 RESULTS

3.1	In vivo	activities	of	the	HPV	18	MCE	deletion	
	mutants	in C33A ce	11s.						. 47

3.2	Gel retardat:	ion	assays	to	st	udy	DN	A-protein	
	interactions	in	region	V	of	HPV	18	MCE	. 51

- 3.5 UV crosslinking to identify the factor interacting with the mutant region......61

CHAPTER 4 DISCUSSION

4.1	Importance of the minimal constitutive
	enhancer
4.2	Significance of the deletion mutants65
4.3	Site directed mutagenesis confirms the
	importance of a novel factor69
	Future directions76

REFERENCES

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig	1.	Schematic diagram of HPV 18 genome14
Fig	2.	Diagramatic representation of the cellular
		factors binding to the HPV 18 MCE24
Fig	3.	Schematic diagram of the recombinant
		plasmid pT8133
Fig	4.	The schematic representation of the deletion
		and site directed mutants37
Fig	5.	In vivo activities of deletion and site
		directed mutants in C33A cells, relative to
		the full length HPV 18 MCE activity49
Fig	6.	Gel retardation assay of HPV 18 MCE and
		deletion mutants BB8315 and SP9913 with
		C33A nuclear extract
Fig	7.	Gel retardation assay of wild type
		HPV 18 MCE and site directed mutant BB15560
Fig	8.	UV crosslinking of wild type and site
		directed mutant oligonucleotides with
		C33A nuclear extract63

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- ABP "ACTGCT binding protein"
- AP1 activator protein 1
- bp base pairs
- BPV bovine papillomavirus
- BRL Bethesda research laboratories
- BSA bovine serum albumin
- C celsius
- CAT chloramphenicol acetyl transferase
- cDNA complementary DNA
- CIN cervical intraepithelial neoplasia
- CTD carboxyl terminal domain
- DME Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium
- DNA deoxyribonucleic acid
- DNase I deoxyribonuclease I
- dNTP deoxynucleotide triphosphate
- DTT dithiothreitol
- FCS fetal calf serum
- HPV human papillomavirus
- kDa kiloDaltons
- MCE minimal constitutive enhancer

mRNA messenger RNA

- NCR noncoding region
- NF1 nuclear factor 1
- ORF open reading frame
- PBS phosphate buffered saline
- RNAPII RNA polymerase II
- SV 40 simian virus 40
- TEF-1 transcription enhancer factor-1
- URR upstream regulatory region
- UV ultraviolet

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Transcriptional Regulation in Eukaryotes

The pioneering work by Jacob and Monod (1961), on the regulation of genes involved in lactose metabolism in S.coli, laid the ground work for the since vastly progressed research on transcriptional regulation. They demonstrated the switching on of the synthesis of the enzyme β-galactosidase as a response to the presence of the substrate, lactose. This synthesis was mediated by the increased transcription of this gene.

In contrast to prokaryotic cells, which have a single RNA polymerase enzyme, eukaryotes contain three distinct RNA polymerases. RNA polymerase I (RNAP I) transcribes ribosomal RNA, RNAP II is the polymerase for protein coding genes, whereas RNAP III transcribes 55 rRNA and tRNA genes (reviewed in Zawel & Reinberg, 1993).

The accurate initiation of transcription by RNAP II requires promoter-containing sequences upstream of the coding region (Will et al., 1979). Promoters were identified by mutational analysis of ovalbumin and adenovirus major late gene coding sequences (Gannon et al., 1979; Corden et al., 1980). The role of sequences called enhancers, in transcription was first demonstrated by Grosschedl and Birnsteil (1980).

1.1.1 Promoter Elements in the Regulation of Transcription

The promoter sequence directs the accurate and efficient initiation of transcription by RNAP II. Promoters can be classified as proximal elements and the TATA box. In many protein coding genes, accurate transcription requires the presence of a TATA box, which is present about 25 bp upstream of the transcription start site. This directs the stable formation of the pre-initiation complex. The TATA element is believed to be responsible for determining the direction of transcription along the DNA template. Transcription is generally unidirectional. Some promoters have a CCAAT box present about 80 bp upstream of the TATA box. This was originally identified in the promoter of vertebrate globin genes (reviewed in Nussinov, 1990).

Promoters of many housekeeping genes lack TATA boxes, and instead have GC rich elements that are often within methylation-free islands (Bird, 1986). When a TATA box is not present, RNAP II is fixed at the start site for the initiation of transcription by an "initiator" element. It is a 17 base pair sequence containing the transcription start site. This was first identified in the lymphocyte-specific terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase gene (Smale & Baltimore, 1989).

In addition to the TATA box, a promoter also has upstream promoter elements (UPEs) which are 8-12 bp long sequences (reviewed in Maniatis et al.,1987). The UPEs function to increase the rate of transcription, while the TATA box ensures accurate initiation of transcription. GC box and CCAAT box are present in many genes transcribed by RNAP II. The strength of the promoter is believed to depend on the number of UPEs.

1.1.2 The Role of Enhancer Elements

Enhancers regulate promoters from a distance, in an orientation independent fashion. The enhancer element was first identified in SV40 (Banerji et al., 1981). This 200 bp DNA segment was positioned 200 bp upstream of the start site and could enhance transcription by 100 fold. Enhancers can activate transcription when present in either orientation. The basic unit of an enhancer element is called enhanson, which is an individual binding site for transcriptional activator proteins. By interchanging or duplicating the units, new enhancer elements can be created (Ondek et al., 1988; reviewed in Muller et al., 1988).

Enhancers are believed to increase the rate of transcription by increasing the density of RNA polymerase enzyme over a linked gene (Weber & Schaffner, 1985). The possible mechanisms to achieve activated transcription could be by tracking or looping of DNA (reviewed in Wang & Giaever. 1988). According to the scanning model proposed by Moreau et al. (1981), the RNAP II, after binding to the enhancer, slides in both directions along the DNA until it makes contact with the start site. The looping model proposes the interaction of enhancer and promoter through bound proteins, leading to the looping out of the intervening DNA sequences. Tracking can also induce DNA looping. The DNA structure is important for the action of enhancers. Deletion of DNA corresponding to a half helical turn is known to disrupt the enhancer activity, whereas removal of multiples of ten bases between SV40 enhancer and its promoter had no effect on enhancer activity (Schleif, R., 1988).

Enhancers can be categorized into two classes. The enhancers that respond to changes in the environment are called inducible enhancers. The enhancers active in specific tissues are called tissue-specific and the ones expressed at certain stages of development are temporal enhancers. Inducible enhancers have been known to be present in genes including heat shock, metallothionein genes. The activation of steroid responsive genes by the binding of steroid receptor proteins is well understood (reviewed in Maniatis et al., 1987; Pelham, 1982).

The expression of immunoglobulin (Ig) genes in lymphoid cells is a well studied example for tissue sperific expression. Immunoglobulins are expressed in B cells and lymphoid cells. The synergistic interaction between the immunoglobulin promoter and enhancer controls the tissuespecific expression of Ig genes (Muller et al., 1988). The high level expression of insulin genes in pancreatic endocrine cells is also controlled by the enhancer element. Tissuespecific enhancers are involved in the expression of elastase and chymotrypsin genes in the exocrine cells of pancreas (Edlund et al., 1985; Boulet et al., 1986).

1.1.3 The Initiation Complex

Accurate transcription by RNA polymerase II requires a preinitiation complex of a multifactor, nucleoprotein assembly. This involves the assistance of auxiliary factors, referred to as general transcription factors (GTFs). At least five general transcription factors (TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIID, TFIIE and TFIIF) are required to form an active initiation complex (Dynlacht et al., 1991; Buratowski et al., 1989).

Transcription factor IID (TFIID) was first identified in Drosophila nuclear extracts (Parker & Topol, 1984) . It is the only GTF having DNA binding activity. TFIID binds to the TATA box of RNAP II promoters and directs the orderly assembly of RNAP II and other GTFs to form the initiation complex (reviewed in Conaway & Conaway, 1993). This complex is capable of initiating a basal level of transcription. To mediate transcriptional regulation by upstream factors, TATA box binding protein (TBP) associated factors are required (reviewed in Tjian & Maniatis, 1994). In eukarvotes, only one TFIID molecule exists with the exception of Arabidopsis, where two genes encoding TFIID were found. TFIID appears to recognize variant TATA motifs with different affinities to mediate different responses. The association of other factors might enable this versatility (Hernandez, 1993; Gasch et al., 1990). The inner surface of TFIID interacts with the minor groove of the TATA box, leading to distortions in the DNA. Other factors bind to the outer surface of TFIID (Klug, 1993).

TFIIA is known to bind to TFIID-promoter complex, early in the initiation process. TFIIA is believed to counteract the negative regulators by competition, and stabilize the TFIID promoter complex (reviewed in Conaway & Conaway, 1993). FFIIB functions by recruiting RNAP II into the initiation complex and also binds to the TFIID-DNA complex directly. It is also believed to mediate interactions between the initiation complex and upstream activators. TFIIB binding to the initiation complex is speculated to be the ratelimiting step in the initiation (Buratowski, 1989; Lin & Green, 1991). TFILE is required for accurate *in vitro* transcription by RNAP II. TFILE binds to the initiation complex after RNAP II-TFILF are bound to TFILDAB (reviewed in Zawel & Reinberg, 1993). TFILF functions in bringing the RNAP II enzyme to the initiation complex. It is also involved in the elongation of transcription (Buratowski et al., 1989; Bengal et al., 1991).

A recent study suggests that RNAP II may form a multicomponent complex with some initiation factors before binding to the promoter site (Kcleske & Young, 1994). It is believed that components of the initiation complex such as TFIIA, TFIIB, TFIIE and TFIIH act as potential regulatory targets for activators. The activation domain of VF16 is known to interact with TFIE (Tian & Maniatis, 1994).

RNA polymerase II initiates transcription of eukaryotic protein coding genes with the assembly of the preinitiation complex. The RNAP II of HeLa cells contains 10 subunits with molecular weights ranging from 240 to 10 kDa. A unique C-terminal domain (CTD) is present in the largest subunit of eukaryotic RNAP II, which is absent in prokaryotic RNAP or eukaryotic RNAP I and RNAP III. This CTD has multiple repeats of consensus heptapeptide sequence containing serine and threonine residues, which can be phosphorylated (reviewed in Zawel & Reinberg, 1993). The CTD is known to bind at the promoter site by intercalating into DNA (Suzuki, 1990). The CTD is believed to function by mediating transcriptional activation through protein-protein functions as seen in activation by Gal 4 (Allison & Ingles, 1989). The RNAP II is in its unphosphorylated form when bound to the DNA initiation complex, at the time of transcription initiation. The CTD has to be phosphorylated to be capable of transcript elongation. TFTIH is believed to contain a CTD kinase activity responsible for the phosphorylation (Laybourn & Dahmus, 1990; Arias et al., 1991).

1.1.4 Transcription Factors in the Regulation of Transcription

The regulation of gene expression is controlled by the binding of nuclear factors to the conserved sequences present in the regulatory region of +he gene. These transcription factors bind to regulatory elements present within several hundred base pairs from the initiation site. This regulation can be mediated by the different transcription factors binding to their specific conserved sequences. Broadly, the transcription factors are classified into ubiquitous factors and tissue specific factors (Polyanovsky & Stepchenko, 1990). Deletion analysis of cloned transcription factors have identified regions of the factors responsible for DNA binding and transcriptional activation (reviewed in Mitchell & Tjian, 1989).

The DNA binding zinc finger motif has about 30 amino acids with two cysteine and two histidine residues that stabilize the binding by a tetrahedral coordination with Zn³ ion. This motif is found in transcription factor Spl, which activates transcription by binding to GC box present in the promoter. Spl has two glutamine rich regions which are responsible for the transcriptional activation by Spl (Courey & Tjian, 1988). The zinc finger motif is also seen in the DNA binding domain of steroid hormone receptors. It was first identified in RNAP III transcription factor TFIIIA which regulates transcription of 55 RNA gene (reviewed in Mitchell & Tjian, 1989).

The homeodomain (HD) present in +h^ ubiquitous Oct-1 factor recognizes the octomeric motif ATTTGUAT. This domain stretches over 60 amino acids. The HD is present in homeotic genes of Drosophila and vertebrates (Standt et al., 1988; reviewed in Mitchell & Tjian, 1989).

The transcription-replication factor CTF/NF-1 binds the GCCAAT box present in many genes. The DNA binding domain is localized in its NH₃-terminal end which has a basic region ideal for α -helical structure. The proline rich region present in its COOH-terminus, activates transcription in association with zinc fingers of Spl (reviewed in Mitchell & Tjian, 1989).

The transcription factor C/EBP has a region of 35 amino acids in which every seventh amino acid is a leucine. Similar regions are present in transcription factors GCM4, Myc, Fos and Jun. These leucine rich regions form an *n*-helix, with the leucines occurring every two turns on the same side, resembling a leucine zipper. This region facilitates dimerization of two protein molecules such that the adjacent basis rich region can bind to DNA (Landschultz et al., 1988).

The activation domain of transcription factors is distinct from their DNA binding domain. Though DNA binding domain is essential for transcriptional activation, it is not sufficient without the activation domain of the factor. The yeast transcription factor Gal4 has two acidic regions which can form amphipathic α -helical structure, with negative charges on one side of the helix available for contact with another protein (reviewed in Mitchell & Tjian, 1989; Hope & Struhl, 1986).

1.2 Papillomaviruses

Papillomaviruses are small, non enveloped, icosahedral double stranded circular viruses containing DNA of about 8,000 base pairs. They belong to the sub-family papillovirinae (Fields, 1990).

The study of papillomaviruses gained importance after the demonstration of the role of cottontail rabbit papillomavirus in the etiology of epithelial neoplasia. Later, the association of human papillomavirus with epidermodysplasia verruciformis established the causative role of papillomaviruses in humans (reviewed in Sousa et al., 1990).

More than 65 types of HPVs have been classified based on the species of origin and the lack of homology with other papillomaviruses from the same species (Payne et al., 1993; DeVilliers, 1989). Papillomaviruses are highly speciesspecific and tissue-specific. Vegetative growth of the viruses is see only in the nucleus of differentiated keratinocytes of epithelia. The viral particles are found only in the superficial layers of the epithelium (Broker & Botchan, 1986; Pfister, H., 1987).

Human papillomaviruses can be categorized as low risk and high risk HFVs. HFV 6 and HFV 11 are present in benign warts, exophytic condylomas but absent in high grade neoplasias. The high risk HFV 16 and HFV 18 are predominantly seen in high grade neoplasias of the anogenital region and invasive cancers of the cervix, vulva, penis and anus (Sang & Barbosa, 1992). Transfection of DNA from HFV 16 and HFV 18

11

into primary human keratinocytes can immortalize the cells, whereas HFV 6 and HFV 11 DNA fail to immortalize cells, leading to senescence (Woodworth et al., 1989).

1.2.1 The HPV Genome

The Bovine Papillomavirus 1 is taken as the prototype virus for PVs. The genome has ten open reading frames (ORFs), 8 early ORFs and 2 late ORFs as in fig 1. The ORFs are located on one strand of the viral DNA and transcripts are encoded from this strand. The L ORFs express only in terminally differentiated cells, whereas the E ORFs are expressed in non-productively infected and transformed cells (Chen et al., 1982; Baker & Howley, 1987). The HPV DNA is found integrated into cellular DNA in most HPV positive cervical carcinoma cell lines, while HPV DNA is present extrachromosomally in benign lesions (Durst et al., 1985).

The El ORF is the largest ORF and is well connerved in all the papillomaviruses. The El ORF encodes a modulator protein which is essential for the stable establishment of plasmid DNA. The regulator El-R, encoded by El ORF, in involved in the replication of DNA. The El-R gene is believed to be involved in DNA amplification as seen in the growth arrested cells (Lusky & Botchan, 1986; Burnett et al., 1989; Ustav & Steinland. 1991).

Fig.1.

Schematic diagram of HFV 18 genome organization. The upstream regulatory region (URR) is situated between Late (L) 1 open reading frame (ORF) and Early (E) 6 ORF. The 230 bp minimal constitutive enhancer (MCE) is present in the URR (Butz & Hoppe-Seyler, 1993).



The disruption of HPV 16 El gene is believed to increase the viral immortalization capacity, since a HPV 16 DNA clone isolated from a cervical carcinoma contained a deletion, resulting in a frameshift mutation of the El gene. Mutation of either the El or the E2 gene is believed to impart a high immortalization efficiency for HPV 16 (Romanczuk & Howley, 1992).

The E2 gene products are involved in regulating viral transcription and replication. The BPV-1 E2 transactivator stabilizes the replication pre-initiation complex by stimulating the binding of El replication protein to the replication site and thereby activates DNA replication (Yang et al., 1991). The E2 ORF of BPV 1 encodes a transactivator from full length ORF and two transcriptional repressors from the 3' end of ORF. The c-terminal region of the transactivator and repressor proteins is capable of forming dimers to bind to DNA. This dimerization between transactivator and repressor may lead to the formation of inactive heterodimers (McBride et al., 1989). The BPV-1 E2 transactivating protein can stimulate HPV 18 long control region and also repress the same. The binding of E2 protein between the CAAT and TATA element of the HPV 18 long control region may lead to sterical hindrance of the initiation complex (Thierry & Yaniv, 1987). E2 protein is known to compete with Sp1 and repress the E6 promoter of HPV 16 (Tan et al., 1991). The role of E2 ORF in the immortalization of cervical keratinocytes has been suggested, since HPV 16 with a mutation in the E2 ORF was unable to immortalize (Storey et al., 1992).

HPV 16 E4 protein has been reported to be present in cutaneous warts. This expression confined to the areas of epithelium expressing the L1 capsid protein. Unlike the classical DNA virus early proteins, the HPV E4 gene products are believed to play a role in virus maturation (Crum et al., 1990; Doorbar et al., 1986).

The E5 protein is the smallest viral transforming protein, with a molecular mass of 7 kDa containing 44 amino acids. The ability of BPV to transform fibroblasts *in vitro* is due to the E5 protein. The E5 gene from both BPV and HPV 16 can transform established epidermal keratinocytes. The transforming activity is encoded in its c-terminal domain (reviewed in Sousa et al., 1990; Leptak et al., 1991).

1.2.2 HPVs in the Etiology of Cervical Cancer

Research on the role of HPVs in the causation of female genital tract infections has been intensively pursued over the years. The association of HPVs with benign papillamatous lesions of the skin, plantar warts, flat warts, genital condylamas, epidermodysplasia verruciformis is well documented (Howley, 1982). The exposure of uterine cervix to HFV, leads to the formation of genital wart like lesions. The precancerous lesions of genital warts are referred to as cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN). The lesions appear predominantly at the junction of the squamous and columnar epithelium, known as the transformation zone. Here, the columnar epithelium is replaced by metaplastic squamous epithelium. The sexual transmissibility of these warts and the high incidence of this condition led to extensive studies on the pathogenesis of CIN (Nuova et al., 1987).

The oncogenic types of HPVs are known to be causative agents in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer. More than 90% of the cervical carcinoma biopsies reveal the presence of HPV DNA. The frequent detection of mRNA transcripts for early proteins E6 and E7 of HPV 16 and 18, strongly suggests the role of these proteins in the transformation of cells. These early proteins have been detected from cervical carcinoma cells *in vitro*. The inability to demonstrate these proteins or masking of E7 epitopes in the nucleus by binding with cellular proteins, oligomerization or self folding (zur Hausen, 1989; Smotkin & Wettstein, 1986; Grissmann, 1992; Kanda et al., 1991).

Supportive evidence for the oncogenic potential of the high risk HPVs has accumulated in abundance. Transfection

17

of HPV 16 and 18 DNA into primary human keratinocytes leads to immortalization of the cells, while the low risk type HPVs fail to immortalize keratinocytes. The immortalized cells show aneuploid karyotype like the cells from premalignant cells (Woodworth et al., 1989; Fu et al., 1983). When cells immortalized with high risk HPV DNA were grafted beneath a skin-muscle flap of athymic mice, the grafts exhibited dysplastic morphology and molecular alterations in gene expression, characteristic of intraepithelial neoplasia (Woodworth et al., 1990).

The HFV DNA of high risk types 16 and 18 are frequently integrated in cervical cancers and HFV positive cervical carcinoma cell lines. The viral DNA integration has been observed to occur in the general vicinity of known oncogenes. In HeLa cells the integration of HFV 18 DNA occurs at a site 50 kbp from the c-myc locus on chromosome 8. However the significance of this integration pattern is not clear. The viral DNA from low risk HFVs are usually present extrachromosomally in episomal form (Sang & Barbosa, 1992; Durst et al., 1985; Durst et al., 1987).

The genes directly involved in the transformation of primary human embryonic fibroblasts by HPV 16 were identified to be E6 and E7, by transfecting subgenomic fragments of HPV 16 DNA under the control of SV40 promoter (Watanabe et al., 1989). Similarly, it was shown that full length HPV 16 E6 and

18

E7 genes are required and sufficient for transformation of primary human keratinocytes (Halbert et al., 1991).

The knowledge about the association of E7 with pRB and E6 bindir to p53, gave information about the possible mechanism of tumorigenicity by E6 and E7. p53 mutations have been observed in metastasized cervical carcinomas, indicating the role of p53 in the pathogenesis of cervical cancer. It is hypothesized that specific interactions of E6 and E7 with p53 and pRB abrogate the functions of these proteins in cervical cancer by mutation of genes or by the binding itself. The E7 proteins encoded by the high risk HPVs bind pRB with higher affinity than the E7 proteins of the low risk HPVs, suggestive of the reason for the differential pathogenicity between the high risk and low risk HPV types (Dyson et al., 1989; Crook & Vousden, 1992; Schaffner et al., 1991; Munger et al., 1991). The role of glucocorticoid hormones in the transformation process has been studied. The inhibition of the hormone d pendent transformation of HPV 16 and ras was seen when baby rat kidney cells were treated with the hormone antagonist RU 486 (Pater et al., 1988; Pater & Pater, 1991).

1.2.3 The Regulatory Regions of HPV Genome

In most cervical carcinomas and tumor cell lines, HPV DNA is found integrated into the host genome leading to disruption of the El and E2 ORFs. The absence of these gene products is believed to cause deregulation of E6 and E7 expression, since E2 is the known repressor of E6 and E7 transcription. Thus, the expression of integrated HPV DNA is not regulated by HPV encoded proteins. After integration into host genome, E6 and E7 are regulated by host factors. Unlike the integrated DNA, episomal DNA is regulated by HFV encoded proteins (reviewed in McBride et al., 1991).

In the absence of any viral gene product, HPV 18 noncoding region (NCR) can function as a transcription control element in many cervical cell lines (Thiery & Yaniv, 1987). In all papillomaviruses, the NCR/upstream regulatory region (URR) has the replication and transcription regulatory regions. This is believed to regulate expression of the HPV ORFs. This NCR/URR is present between the end of the late ORF and the start of the E6 gene (Garcia-Carranca et al., 1988). There has been much interest in investigating the role of the NCR due to its ability to direct transcription of the downstream ORFs.

1.2.4 Role of the MCE in the Expression of HPV 18

The URR of HPV 18 has three distinct enhancer elements. Two of the enhancers are inducible by HPV 18 gene products, while the third enhancer does not require any HPV 18 gene product. This enhancer is termed as the minimal constitutive enhancer (MCE). The MCE is 230 bp long, present between the two inducible enhancers (see Fig 1.). The 388 bp enhancer present adjacent to the L1 ORF is the least conserved enhancer. This enhancer is believed to be involved in the viral gene expression when HPV 18 is in the episomal form. When integrated into host genome, as in malignant transformation of the cells, the HPV genome is not under the control of the E2 gene product. Viruses expressing only the E6 and E7 genes are known to be better transforming agents relative to the entire viral genome. The 237 bp enhancer element is present close to the E6 ORF. This element has TATA and CAAT boxes for RNAP II binding (Gius et al., 1988; Swift et al., 1987; Ward et al., 1989).

The constitutive enhancers of HPV 11, 16 and 18 are capable of activating expression of heterologous promoters to high levels in squamous epithelial cells in transient transfection assays. This suggests the role of the constitutive enhancers in the tissue tropic expression of HPVs (Mack & Laimins, 1991). Epidemiological studies indicate that HPV 18 is a particularly high risk virus. The incidence of tumor recurrence is higher with HPV 18 than with HPV 16. The virus is found to be associated more commonly with invasive cancers. The onset age is usually less by 10 years with HPV 18, compared to cancers caused by HPV 16. Lesions with

21
adenocarcinoma and small cell carcinomas are more associated with HPV 18. Infection with HPV 18 is therefore believed to cause rapidly progressive cancers of the cervix (Stoler, M.H. et al., 1992). When chimeric constructs of HPV 16, 18, E6 and E7 ORFs with SV40, HPV 16 and HPV 18 URRs were used in transformation assays, the construct with HPV 18 URR showed the highest immortalization efficiency. This indicates that the URR upstream of E6 and E7 is the major determinant of the differential immortalization activities of HPV 16 and HPV 18 and HPV 18 URR/E6/E7 is more efficient than the analogous region of HPV 16.

1.2.5 Cellular Factors Bind to the HPV 18 MCE

DNA-protein binding assays have demonstrated the binding of cellular factors to the HFV 18 MCE, as shown in fig 2. The importance of the MCE in the regulation of HFV expression in transformed cells has attracted extensive research in understanding this mechanism. Though the vast body of data has aided in understanding this intricate process, an unambiguous explanation is not available.

Three nuclear factor 1 (NF1) motifs have been identified in the HPV 18 MCE. NF1 is a DNA binding protein recognizing the CCAAT box. It is known to be important in the activation of cellular genes and DNA replication.

Fig 2.

Diagrammatic representation of celluar factors binding to the 230 bp HPV 18 minimal constitutive enhancer (nucleotides 7508-7738). AP1, NF1, Oct- 1 and KRF-1 are the factors known to bind to the MCE (Hoppe-Seyler and Butz,1994). The proposed binding of the "ACTGCT binding protein" is also depicted.



- AP1 國 NF1 △ Oct-1
- () Krf-1
- ABP (ACTGCT binding protein)

Experiments with the HPV 16 URR indicated the importance of NFI sites in the regulation, in association with API. The glucocorticoid responsiveness of HPV 16 enhancer is said to be partially dependent on the presence of NFI. Though ubiquitous in distribution, NFI is argued to be important in the cellspecific function of the HPV 16 enhancer. However, the contribution of NFI in the control of expression of HPV 18 URR is found to be only marginal (Chong et al., 1990; Apt et al., 1993; Butz & Hoppe-Seyler, 1993).

Both HPV 16 and HPV 18 URRs have activator protein 1 (AP1) elements. While HPV 16 has 3 binding sites, HPV 18 MCE has one element and another in an inducible enhancer. AP1 is a heterodimer of protooncogene products of *jun and fos*. AP1 is a transcription factor isolated from HeLa cells and is identical to the human *jun* gene product. AP1 is believed to be important in the expression of HPV 18 URR. In terminally differentiated cells, *jun B* homodimers are the AP1 elements involved. A recent study suggests the possible role of an unidentified factor present in C33A cells that is essential for activation of HPV 18 expression in association with *jun B* (Chong et al., 1991; Voqt & Bos, 1990; Thierry et al., 1992).

The octomer-binding transcription factor-1 (oct-1) has two low affinity binding sites on HPV 18 URR. One oct-1 site overlaps with the recognition sequence of KRF-1 and represses enhancer activity. Mutation of the oct-1 site at the 3'-end of HFV 18 MCE did not show an effect on the activity. Oct-1 is abundantly expressed in proliferating transforming cells. The site present in HFV 16 URR exhibits opposite effects in cervical and non-cervical cells. While it inhibits gene expression in non-cervical cells, a novel octomer binding factor present in cervical cells is believed to activate expression by displacing oct-1 (Hoppe-Seyler & Butz, 1994; Morris et al., 1993).

KRF-1 is a keratinocyte-specific transcription factor, known to bind the HPV 18 MCE. There is no known KRF-1 binding site on the HPV 16 URR. The specific distribution of this factor in keratinocytes is argued to be the reason for HPV 18 expression in keratinocytes. However, HPV 16 which is also a tissue tropic virus, does not have any control in its expression, from KRF-1 (Mack & Laimins, 1991; Hoppe-Seyler & Butz, 1994).

The HFV 16 URR has three motifs for transcriptional enhancer factor (TEF)-1, which is present in keratinocytes and cervical carcinoma cells (Ishiji et al., 1992). A cellular zinc-finger protein YY1 is believed to have a repressing role on HFV 18 expression. The deletion or mutation of this site in cervical carcinomas is hypothesized to be the mechanism in the development of carcinoma (Hoppe-Seyler & Butz. 1994).

1.3 Objectives of the Study

With the knowledge about the important role of the HPV 18 MCE in cervical carcinoma caused by the high risk HPV 18, the aim of this study was to understand the molecular mechanism involved in the regulation of HPV 18 MCE using C33A cervical carcinoma cells. The studies were performed using C33A cells, since they do not contain HPV DNA, thereby ruling out the involvement of virally encoded factors. This provides an environment to investigate the activity of HPV 18 MCE and its regulation by the host cellular factors.

The working hypothesis was that the identification of the MCE region most significant in regulating the activity of HPV 18 would be the first step towards meeting the objective. This region would then give information about the protein(s) binding to this fragment and the role in the regulation of HPV18 expression. The successful delineation of the MCE would then direct further attempts at identifying the protein(s) most important in the tissue tropism of the virus.

Earlier work by Dr. Pater's lab had identified five DNaseI protected regions in the MCE of HPV 18, labelled as regions I to V (Nakshatri et al., 1990). The possible role of an ACTGCT sequence present at nt 7679 to 7684 of region V of the HPV 18 MCE was speculated by the authors. The absence of this sequence in the non-oncogenic HPV 11, while also present at nt 7545 to 7550 of region I in HPV 18 MCE and at nt 7579 to 7584 of HPV 16 MCE was an interesting observation. No known transcription factor is known to recognize this sequence(Paisst & Meyer, 1992).

Generation of unidirectional deletions of the MCE from either end using exonuclease III wasthe first step in this direction. The deleted regions of the HPV 18 MCE would then be cloned upstream to the reporter chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) gene. The *in vivo* activities of these deletion mutants would be compared with the full length HPV 18 MCE by performing CAT assays using C33A cell extracts.

The aim of the study was also to identify factor(s) binding to the important region by DNA-protein binding assays. With the information from the deletion mutants the next step would be to perform site directed mutagenesis and identify the motif of HFV 18 MCE important and thereby the factor that is involved in the regulation.

CHAPTER 2

Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's (DME) medium was prepared according to Current Protocols in Molecular Biology by Wiley Interscience. Fetal calf serum (FCS), trypsin-EDTA solution were purchased from Gibco-BRL. The C33A cell line was maintained in the laboratory.

Restriction endonucleases were ordered from Gibco-BRL with their respective 10x React buffers. T4 DNA ligase and 5x premix, exonuclease III, mung bean nuclease, calf intestine alkaline phosphatase were also purchased from Gibco-BRL. Nick translation kit was obtained from Gibco-BRL. Reverse transcriptase was supplied by Life Science.

 $\label{eq:alpha} \alpha \{^{15} P\} dCTP \mbox{ and } \{^{15} S\} dATP \mbox{ were purchased from } Amersham. Nick columns were obtained from Pharmacia. Kodak was the supplier of thin layer chromatography (TLC) plates and x-ray films. Acetyl coenzyme A was obtained from Sigma and so was poly(dI-dC).poly(dI-dC) double strand, sodium salt from iharmacia.$

Muta-Gene Phagemid *in vitro* Mutagenesis kit was purchased from Bio Rad. Sequenase version 2.0 T7 DNA Polymerase Sequencing kit was obtained from USB. Synthetic oligonucleotides were purchased from General Synthesis and Diagnostics, Toronto and University Core DNA Services, University of Calgary, Calgary. 5-Bromodeoxyuridene was supplied by Sigma.

Recombinant plasmid pT81 was constructed by Marshall et al. (1989). The HPV 18 minimal constitutive enhancer was cloned to pUC19 by H. Nakshatri. Plasmids pA10cat and pSV2 cat were provided by B. Howard.

2.2 Cell Culture of C33A Cells

C33A cells were maintained in DME medium containing 10% heat inactivated FCS. For culturing purposes, a frozen vial of C33A cells was thawed in a 37°C water bath, centrifuged at 1,000 RPM with DME and 10% FCS and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet of cells was then resuspended with the medium and plated in a tissue culture plate. After overnight incubation at 37°C the medium was discarded and the cells were washed with PBS and trypsinized. After adding DME the cells were plated at 1:3 in tissue culture plates. The cells were maintained in culture by passaging on alternate days, when the plates were confluent.

2.3 Recombinant Plasmids

The plasmid pAlOcat was used in transfections as a negative control in chloramphenicol acetyl transferase (CAT) assays. This lacks enhancer sequences but has 21 base pair repeats and TATA box of SV40 cloned upstream of the chloramphenicol acetyl transferase gene (Laimins et al. 1982).

The plasmid pSV2cat (Gorman et al. 1982) has SV40 enhancer sequences and hence used as a positive control in CAT assays.

The recombinant plasmid pT81 (fig. 3) was constructed by Marshall et al. (1989). This has the 230 base pair HPV 18 minimal constitutive enhancer (nucleotides 7508-7738) cloned at the Bgl II site upstream to the 21 base pair repeats of the plasmid pA10cat, which has the chloramphenicol acetyl transferase reporter gene.

2.3.1 Construction of Deletion Mutant Plasmids

Unidirectional nested deletion of the 230 base pair long HPV 18 minimal constitutive enhancer was generated as described previously (Henikoff, 1982), with modifications as in the Strategene⁸ manual. The HPV 18 MCE cloned at the Xba I site of plasmid pUC19 was used for this purpose.

Fig 3.

Schematic diagram of recombinant plasmid pT81. Amp is the ampicillin resistance gene, CAT is the chloramphenicol acetyl transferase reporter gene, HPV 18 MCE has nucleotides 7508-7738 (Marshall et al., 1989).



Schematic diagram of pT81

The double strand DNA specific enzyme exonuclease III digests single strand DNA from a 3' end only and cannot digest a blunt end or 3' overhanging end. To create unidirectional deletion from region IV end towards region I of the MCE, restriction enzymes BamH I and Kpn I were used to linearize the plasmid. The deletion mutants created with this batch were labelled as pBK, according to the different time period of the reaction. The extent of exonuclease III deletion was controlled by the duration of the enzymatic digestion and determined by sequencing (Sanger et al., 1977).

The linearized DNA was taken at the rate of 5 µg/time point in 25 µl of ix exo III buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 µg/ml tRNA). The reaction was done at room temperature by adding 5 units of exo III enzyme per µg of linearized DNA. At regular time intervals, aliquots of digested DNA were added to tubes with 1x mung bean buffer (30 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM ZnCl₂, 50% glycerol) and placed on dry ice. The tubes were then heated at 68°C for 15 minutes and placed on ice. Fifteen units of mung bean nuclease diluted in 1x mung bean dilution buffer (10 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.0, 0.1 mM zinc acetate, 1 mM cysteine, 50% glycerol) was added to each tube and incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 µl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 9.5, 20 µl 8 M LiCl, 4 µl of 20% SDS. The mung bean protein was removed by phenol:chloroform extraction. The

linearized deletion mutants were recircularized by overnight incubation at 4°C after adding 2 units of T4 DNA ligase and ligation premix.

To create unidirectional nested deletions from region I towards region V, the pUC19 with HPV 18 MCE was linearized by digesting with Sal I and Pst I. The exonuclease III reaction was performed as explained. The mutants so generated were designated as pSP.

The deleted fragments of the HPV 18 MCE thus created were digested with EcoR I and Hind III, blunt ended and cloned into plasmid phlocat at its Bgl II site. Plasmids pBK8315, pBK575 and pBK8110 had fragments from nucleotides 7508-7664, 7508-7640 and 7508-7589 respectively. Similarly, plasmids pSP725, pSP936 and pSP9913 had nucleotides 7596-7738,7632-7738 and 7660-7738 respectively. The deletion fragments are depicted in fig. 4.

2.3.2 <u>Construction of Mutant Plasmid by Site Directed</u> <u>Mutagenesis</u>

The probable role of the ACTGCT sequence (nt 7679-7684) was speculated by Nakshatri et al., (1990). The sequence of the mutation to be generated was designed such that no spacing change and no known transcription factor binding site would be created as listed in the "compilation of vertebrate-

Fig 4.

The schematic representation of the deletions and site directed mutant. pT81: nucleotides 7508-7738 pBK8315: nucleotides 7508-7664 pBK575: nucleotides 7508-7640 pSP725: nucleotides 7596-7738 pSP936: nucleotides 7662-7738 Mutant pBB155 has a G at nucleotide 7680 instead of C present in pT81 and nucleotide 7662 is changed from G to A. The arrows represent boundaries of the regions I-V. The asterisks denote the mutated nucleotide generated by sitedirected mutagenesis.



encoded transcription factors" (Faisst & Meyer, 1992). To enable an efficient and easier screening of the mutant clones, a mutant oligonucleotide was designed to create the recognition site AGTACT for the restriction enzyme ScaI in place of the sequence ACTGCT present in the wild type HFV 18 MCE.

Wild type HPV18 enhancer

nt 7667-5'-CTAAGTAATAAAACTGCTTTTAGGCAC-3'-7693

Mutant HPV18 enhancer

nt 7667-5'-CTAAGTAATAAAAGTACTTTTAGGCAC-3'-7693

To generate the desired mutations of two nucleotides in region V, the Muta-gene[®] Phagemid *in vitro* Mutagenesis kit was used. The kit was purchased from Bio Rad. The plasmid pUC19 with HPV 18 MCE and vector plasmid pTZ19U were digested with Kpn I and Pst I. The HPV 18 MCE fragment and the linearized vector were eluted on S and S[®] NA45 membrane using the protocol provided therein. The eluted fragments were then ligated by incubating overnight at 16°C with T4 DNA ligase and ligase buffer. This plasmid was used to extract uracilcontaining phagemid DNA by transforming into CJ 236 cells.

A synthetic oligonucleotide 5'-GTGCCTAAAAGTACTTTT ATTACTTAG-3' was used as the primer to generate the desired mutation . In the mutant HPV 18 MCE, nucleotide 7680 was a G instead of the wild type C, and nucleotide 7682 was converted to an A in place of a G (fig 4) without altering the rest of the full length of MCE. The mutation created a new recognition site for the restriction enzyme Sca I and could be screened accordingly. The mutation was determined also by dideoxy sequencing (Sanger et al., 1977).

The Sma I - Hinc II fragment of the mutated MCE was cloned into the Bgl II site of pAl0cat to be designated as pBB155.

2.4 Transfection of C33A Cells

Transfections were performed by the calciumphosphate method (Graham and Vander Eb. 1973). Eight hours before transfection, confluent plates of C33A cells were passed at 1:3 dilution. 10 µg of plasmid DNA in 50 µl of 0.1 X TE buffer was added to 62 µl of 2M CaCl, and made to a volume of 500 µl with distilled water. A fine precipitate of DNA was formed by mixing with 500 µl of 2X HBS (0.28 M NaCl, 0.05 M HEPES, 2.8 mM Na, HPO₄ pH 7.1) under gentle bubbling of nitrogen. The precipitates were let to stand for 10 minutes before adding to the plated cells. The plates were then incubated at 37°C in a 3% CO, incubator. After 4 hours of incubation, the medium was discarded and 1.5 ml of 15% glycerol in 2X HBS was added to the plates. The cells were washed with DME after glycerol shock for one minute. Traces of glycerol were removed from the plates by three washes with DME. The cells were maintained at 37°C with fresh DME containing 10% calf serum for 48 hours before harvesting (Gorman et al. 1982).

2.5 Preparation of Cell Lysates

The cells were washed three times with PBS before adding 2 ml of Tris-EDTA-NaCl solution (0.04 M Tris-HCL pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 0.15 M NaCl). Cells were harvested with rubber policeman and transferred to eppendorf tubes. The cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4°C for 30 seconds. After discarding the supernatant, the cells were stored frozen at -70°C until further use.

Cell extracts were prepared by freeze-thawing the pellet. After thawing at 37°C, the cells were resuspended in 100 µl of 0.25 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.8. The tubes were then placed on liquid nitrogen for 5 minutes. The cells were subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles with intermittent vortexing. After centrifugation at 4°C for 5 minutes in an eppendorf microfuge, the supernatants were collected in fresh tubes.

2.6 Chloramphenicol Acetyl Transferase Assay (CAT)

The CAT assay protocol described by Gorman et al. (1982) was followed to estimate the *in vivo* activity of the plasmids. The assay mixture was made to a final volume of 100 µl with 10 µl of cell extract, 1 µl ¹⁴C chloramphenicol, 10 µl of 4 mM acetyl coenzyme A, 45 µl of 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.8 and 34 µl of distilled water. The tubes were then incubated at 37°C for an hour. 500 µl of ethylacetate was added to stop the reaction and extract chloramphenicol. The tubes were vortexed for 30 secs and then centrifuged at room temperature for 1 minute. The supernatant organic layer was transferred to fresh tubes and vacuum dried in a Savant aspiratur.

The dried organic layer was dissolved in 15 µl of ethylacetate and spotted on silica gel thin layer plates to be run with chloroform-methanol (95:5) in an enclosed glass jar. Acetylated and non-acetylated forms of chloramphenicol were separated by ascending chromatography. The TLC plates were exposed to Kodak x-ray films in the dark and left at room temperature. The spots of acetylated and non-acetylated forms were cut and counted by liquid scintillation. Data were expressed as the percentage of acetylated chloramphenicol against non-acetylated chloramphenicol. The results of the mutant plasmids were expressed relative to the CAT activity of the wild type plasmid pT81.

2.7 Mobility Shift Assay

2.7.1 Preparation of C33A Nuclei

Nuclear extracts were prepared as described by Hennighausen and Luban (1987). Forty 100 mM plates of cultured C33A cells were washed and scraped in 1x PBS solution. The cells were harvested by centrifuging at 1800 rom at 4°C in a Sorvall HB-4 rotor. The pellet of cells was resuspended in 10 volumes of chilled Dulbecco's phosphate buffered saline and centrifuged 5 volumes of 0.3 M sucrose in Buffer A was added to the pellet. Buffer A constitutes 10 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.5 mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and 2 ug/ml each of antipain, leupeptin and pepstatin A. The solution was transferred to a chilled Dounce glass homogenizer placed in ice. The cells were lysed with 8-12 strokes with a B pestle. Lysis was continued after 0.3-0.4% nonidet P-40 is added to the homogenate. The lysis of cells was monitored using a microscope. The homogenate was transferred to prechilled tubes and centrifuged at 2500 rpm at 4°C. The pelleted nuclei were washed twice in 0.3 M sucrose in buffer A without Nonidet P-40.

2.7.2 Preparation of Crude Nuclear Extracts

Nuclear extracts were prepared as described by Hennighausen and Luban (1987). The nuclei prepared by the method explained above were resuspended using an all-glass Dounce homogenizer in 2.5 pellet volumes of 400 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.9, 1.5 mM MgCl,, 0.1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 5% glycerol and 0.5 mM PMSF. The nuclei were lysed with 10 strokes using a B pestle. The nuclei were gently stirred at 4°C for 30 minutes and centrifuged at 39,000 rpm for 60 minutes in a 75 Ti rotor. The supernatant was dialyzed against 50 volumes of 20 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 75 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM DTT, 20% glycerol and 0.5 mM PMSF, for 2-4 hours. The extract was then centrifuged at 20,000 rpm for 15 minutes to remove precipitate and lipid. The nuclear extract was aliquoted and frozen at -70°C after brief freezing in liquid nitrogen. The concentration of nuclear protein was estimated by the Bradford method (1976) using Bio Rad kit.

2.7.3 Preparation of Radiolabelled DNA Probes

The DNA fragments that were used to make probes for gel shift assays were generated by digesting the pUC19 plasmid containing the HPV 18 MCE with enzymes Hind III and Sac I. The deletion mutant enhancers and site directed mutant enhancer were also obtained similarly. The fragments were electroeluted from 1% agarose gel. Radiolabelling of the probus was done by endlabelling the Hind III ends with o(¹²P]dCTP by reverse transcriptase. The reaction mix was passed through Sephadex G-50 columns to remove unincorporated nucleotides from endlabelled DNA.

2.7.4 Protocol for Mobility Shift Assay

20,000 cpm of radiolabelled probe was used for each reaction. 300 fold excess of nonlabelled fragments were added to the reaction wherever required, as specific competitors. The EcoR I - Hind III fragment of pUC19 was used as competitor to the few flanking pUC19 bases present in the cloned enhancer fragments. 5 µg of nuclear protein and 5 µg of double stranded poly (dI.dC) were used in the reaction. The assay was performed as explained previously (Chodosh et al. 1988). The individual components were added in a final volume of 15 ul containing 4 ul of 12 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.9, 4 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9. 60 mM KCl. 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 0.6 mM DTT and 300 ug/ml bovine serum albumin. The tubes were incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes. The labelled probe without nuclear extract was used as negative control. The reaction mixes were electrophoresed on 4% polyacrylamide gels at constant voltage, in a cold room. The circulation buffer contained 6.6 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5, 3.3 mM sodium acetate and 1 mM EDTA, which was constantly circulated using a pump. The gel was transferred to 3 mm filter paper, dried and exposed to x-ray film.

2.8 Protocol for UV Crosslinking Assays

The experiments were performed as described by Chodosh et al.,(1988). Complementary strands of synthetic oligonucleotides with nucleotides 7667-7693 were annealed as described elsewhere (Kadonaga & Tian, 1986).

The 27 mer wild type strands were: 5'-CTAAGTAATAAA ACTGCTTTTAGGCAC-3' and 5'-GTGCCTAAAAGCAGTTTTATTACTTAG-3'.

The two mutant oligonucleotides used were: 5'-CTA AGTAATAAAAGTACTTTTAGGCAC-3' and 5'-GTGCCTAAAAGTACTTTTATTACT TAG-3'.

The annealed oligonucleotides were nick-translated with α (³P)dCTP, 5-bromodeoxyuridine, DNAse I, polymerase I and dNTP mix. After incubating for 60 minutes at 16°C, it was precipitated in 95% ethanol and 3M NaCl solution at -70°C by adding 5 µg salmon sperm DNA. The probes were washed by centrifugation, dried and used in UV crosslinking assays.

The reaction was done similar to mobility shift assay but with 75,000 cpm probe, 10 µg of protein and 10 µg of poly (dI.dC). At the end of the incubation, the reaction mixes were transferred to Nunc vials and covered with Saran Wrap. The vials were placed under a Fotodyne UV lamp with emission wavelength of 310 nM and maximum intensity of 7000 µw/cm³, for 30 minutes. To the vials, equal volume of 2x loading buffer containing 25 ml 4x Tris-HCl/SDS pH 6.8, 20 ml glycerol, 4 g SDS, 3.1 g DTT, 1 mg bromophenol blue in 100 ml was added. The vials were boiled for 3 minutes and loaded on to a 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel. As a marker, commercially available prestained protein marker of high molecular weight range, comprising of myosin heavy chain - 200 kDa, phosphorylase b - 97.4 kDa, bovine serum albumin -68 kDa, ovalbumin - 43 kDa, carbonic anhydrase - 29 kDa, βlacto globulin - 18.4 kDa and lysozyme - 14.3 kDa was loaded.

CHAPTER 3

Results

3.1 In Vivo Activities of the HPV 18 MCE Deletion Mutants in C33A Cells

In order to estimate the relative *in vivo* activities of the deleted regions of HPV 18 MCE with the full length MCE, the deleted regions were cloned upstream to the reporter CAT gene, transfected to C33A cells and CAT assays were performed. Since C33A cells do not contain HPV DNA, the transfected HPV 18 MCE regions are under the direct control of the host cellular factors.

The recombinant plasmid, pT81 was transfected by the calcium phosphate method into C33A cells. The plasmids pAlOcat and pSV2cat were also transfected into the same batch of C33A cells each time, as negative and positive controls, respectively. CAT assays were performed to estimate the *in vivo* activities of the plasmids in C33A cells. The CAT reporter gene is driven by the full length HPV 18 MCE in plasmid pT81 and by SV40 enhancer in pSV2cat. In plasmid pAlOcat, the enhancer is absent, with only the promoter present. CAT assay, therefore, was used to measure the enhancer activity in C33A cells.

Fig 5.

In vivo activities of deleted and mutant MCE relative to the activity of the full length 230bp HPV 18 MCE in C33A cells. The results were obtained from three experiments using DNA from two plasmid preparations. The results are presented as a % of pT81 activity. The corresponding regions of the MCE are listed above each bar. The asterisks indicate mutation generated by site-directed mutagenesis.



Plasmid pT81 showed high activity in the C33A cervical carcinoma cells. Since the plasmid does not contain an enhancer element, the activity of pAlOcat was low(3.6 %). The activities of the deletion mutants in C33A cells were measured by transfecting the cells with the deletion mutant plasmids and performing CAT assays. The deletion mutants were cloned into pAlOcat similar to the wild type plasmid pT81. This enabled the estimation of *in vivo* activities of the deleted regions of enhancer, relative to the function of the wild type enhancer as shown in Fig 5.

The 230 base pair MCE of HPV 18 (nucleotides 7508-7738) was designated into regions I to V as described previously (Nakshatri et al. 1990). The wild type plasmid pT81 had all the five regions intact. The CAT activity of pBK 8315 (nucleotides 7508-7664) was drastically reduced to only 6.5 percent relative to the activity of pT81. This plasmid had the MCE fragment with regions I to IV. The reduction in enhancer activity was most significant when region V was deleted. This indicated the importance of the region V in the MCE and factor(s) binding there in, to the overall activity of the HPV 18 MCE.

Plasmid pBK575 (nucleotides 7508-7640) showed 5.3 percent activity in comparison to pT81. This plasmid had regions I to III of the MCE. Similarly, plasmid pBK8110 (nucleotides 7508-7589, containing regions I-II expressed only 5.1 percent of the pT81 activity.

The activities of the plasmids containing MCE fragments deleted from region I towards region V were also measured by CAT assays. Plasmid pSP725 (nucleotides 7596-7738) contained regions III to V of the MCE. The activity was 46.9 percent of the full length MCE. The regions I, II and III were deleted and cloned in plasmid pSP936 (nucleotides 7632-7738). The CAT activity of this plasmid was 17 percent to that of pT81. Plasmid pSP913 (nucleotides 7660-7738) containing only region V showed 9.16 percent activity as compared to pT81.

The activity of pSP9913 containing region V alone was almost twice that of plasmids containing the other regions but lacking the region V (pBK8315, pBK575, pBK8110), suggestive of the importance of region V in the HPV 18 MCE activity.

Since the plasmid pBK8315(nt 7508-7664) containing regions I-IV without region V showed a drastic reduction in activity, this indicates the importance of this region in the function of the HPV 18 MCE.

3.2 <u>Gel Retardation Assays to Study DNA-Protein Interactions</u> in Region V of HPV 18 MCE

After analysing the *in vivo* activity of the regions of MCE, the next objective was to investigate the DNA-protein interactions involving the region V which has an important role in the activity of the MCE as demonstrated by the CAT assay results.

To investigate the role of DNA-protein interactions of region V with the cellular factors present in C33A cells, gel retardation assays were done using C33A nuclear extracts (see Fig 6). The full length 230 base pair MCE was also used to study the protein interactions.

The deletion mutant enhancer fragment BK8315, which had nucleotides 7508-7664, was used to examine the effect of region V deletion on DNA-protein binding. This fragment had regions I-IV only. The fragment SP9913 containing nucleotides 7660-7738 has only the region V intact. This fragment was also used in gel retardation assays. The EcoR I - Hind III fragment of pUC19 vector was used as a competitor to the few bases of the polylinker region present flanking to the enhancer. In competition studies, nonlabelled fragments of BK8315 (regions I-IV) and SP9913 (region V) were separately added in reactions containing the labelled full length MCE probe to identify the specific binding to the region V.

Gel retardation of the HPV 18 full length MCE with C33A nuclear extracts consistently showed two distinct bands as shown in lane b in Fig 6. When nonlabelled MCE fragment was used as competitor, the bands were clearly competed (lane d). Competition with pUC19 polylinker sequence did not alter

Fig 6.

Gel retardation assay of HPV 18 MCE and deletion mutant enhancers BK8315 (regions I-IV) and SP9913 (region V) with C33A nuclear extract. 300 fold excess of non labelled competitor was added wherever indicated.

- a: 230bp HPV 18 MCE (wild type) probe alone.
- b: wild type probe with C33A nuclear extract.
- c: polylinker region of pUC 19 with b.
- d: nonlabelled wild type fragment with b.
- e: nonlabelled BK8315 fragment with b.
- f: nonlabelled SP9913 fragment with b.
- g: BK8315 probe alone .
- h: BK8315 probe with C33A nuclear extract.
- i: polylinker region of pUC 19 with h.
- j: nonlabelled BK8315 fragment with h.
- k: SP9913 probe alone.
- 1: SP9913 probe with C33A nuclear extract.
- m: polylinker region of pUC 19 with 1.
- n: nonlabelled SP9913 fragment with 1.



the binding pattern (lane c), thereby demonstrating the specific binding of the proteins with the MCE sequences.

Binding assay with the BK8315 (regions I-IV) fragment showed only the slower migrating complex (lane h). This complex was competed when the nonlabelled BK8315 fragment was added to the reaction (lane j). The band was visible when competed with pUC19 polylinker fragment (lane i). When this BK8315 fragment was used as a competitor with labelled full length probe (lane e), only the faster migrating complex was present, whereas the slow migrating complex was absent. This (lanes h ,e) demonstrates the association of the slower migrating complex (upper) with regions I-IV of MCE.

When the SP9913 fragment containing only the region V was used, the slower migrating band was not observed (lane 1). Only the faster migrating band was seen which was not affected by competition with pUC19 polylinker sequences(lane m),while competition with nonlabelled SP9913 did not show any band (lane n). When this region V fragment was used as competitor with the full length MCE (lane f), the faster migrating complex was greatly reduced, whereas the binding of the faster migrating complex (lower) to region V.

The gel retardation assays demonstrated two distinct complexes binding to the 230 base pair MCE. The binding of region V was specific to the faster migrating band and the slow migrating complex showed interactions with regions I to IV. The binding assays underlined the specific interactions of a protein complex with region V of HPV 18 MCE, correlating its in vivo activity in C33A cells. This demonstrates the binding of a protein complex to the region V, suggestive of its role in regulating the *in vivo* function of the region V.

3.3 In Vivo Activity of the Site Directed Mutant

With the identification of region V as an important region for the activity of the HFV 18 MCE, as demonstrated by in vivo CAT assays and the subsequent experiments on DNA protein binding which indicates the specific association of C33A nuclear factors with region V, the first objective of the project was addressed. The next aim was to identify the sequence of this region V that is specifically involved in regulating the MCE activity.

The probable functional role of the ACTGCT sequence was speculated by the Pater's group (Nakshatri et al. , 1990). The presence of this sequence in the MCE of the oncogenic HPV 18 and HPV 16 and its absence in the non oncogenic HPV 11 was an interesting observation . This sequence is not known to be recognized by any transcription factor (Faisst & Meyer , 1992).Since the region V was found to be important for the activity of MCE , the ACTGCT sequence present at nt 7679 - 7684 was hypothesized to be essential. To elucidate the role of this sequence, site directed mutagenesis of this sequence was performed on the full length MCE. While designing the mutation, care was taken not to create a known recognition site. For an easier and efficient screening of the clones, a restriction site AGTACT for the enzyme Sca I was created.

This mutant plasmid pBB155 , containing the mutant sequence AGTACT at nt 7679-7684 instead of the wild-type sequence ACTGCT, without changes in the rest of the full length MCE was transfected into C33A cells and CAT assays were performed (fig.5) to investigate the importance of this ACTGCT sequence in the HPV 18 MCE activity in C33A cells. The CAT activity of this mutant plasmid was drastically reduced to the extent similar to that expressed by deletion mutant plasmid pBK8315 (region V) as shown in Fig. 5. This demonstrates the importance of the ACTGCT sequence (nucleotides 7679-7684) in the *in vivo* activity of the HPV 18 MCE.

3.4 Gel Retardation Using the Site Directed Mutant

The demonstration of the important role in the regulation of the MCE activity by the ACTGCT (nucleotides 7679-7684), warranted further investigation in this regard. To address the involvement of DNA-protein interactions within

57
this short region of DNA, gel retardation assays were performed (Fig 7).

The 230 base pair full length MCE fragment was used in gel retardation experiment with C33A nuclear extract (lane b). Again, two distinct bands were present . When polylinker sequence of pUC19 vector was used as competitor, the bands were present (lane c). The bands were absent when non labelled full length MCE was used as specific competitor (lane d).

The addition of the mutant fragment BB155, which had the 230 base pair full-length MCE with mutations only at nucleotides 7680 and 7682, to the reaction (lane e) competed the slower migrating (upper) band but not the faster migrating (lower) band, indicating the inability of the mutant region to form a DNA-protein complex while the rest of the MCE binds to the proteins, thereby abrogating the slower migrating band only. When the mutant BB155 probe was used in gel retardation assay, only the slower migrating (upper) band was present(lane g). The binding pattern was similar to the gel retardation experiments done with the deletion mutant BK8315 containing regions I-IV (lane h, Fig. 6) . The addition of non labelled mutant BB155 to the reaction competed the complex (lane h) This (lane e.g) demonstrates the specific binding of a cellular factor to the ACTGCT motif, which is abrogated by the site directed mutation.

Fig 7.

Gel retardation assay using wild type HPV 18 MCE and mutant BB155. 300 fold excess of non labelled competitor was added wherever indicated.

- a: wild type probe alone.
- b: wild type probe with C33A nuclear extract.
- c: polylinker sequence of pUC19 with b.
- d: nonlabelled wild type fragment with b.
- e: nonlabelled mutant BB155 fragment with b.
- f: mutant BB155 probe alone.
- g: mutant BB155 probe with C33A nuclear extract.
- h: nonlabelled mutant BB155 fragment with g.



3.5 UV Crosslinking to Identify the Factor Interacting with the Mutant Region

Since the gel retardation assays were done using the full length MCE and mutant MCE, UV crosslinking experiment was performed using synthetic oligonucleotides of nt 7667-7693, to further investigate the effect of the mutation in the DNA protein interactions (Fig 8). Complementary strands of wild type and mutant synthetic oligonucleotides containing nucleotides 7667 to 7693 were annealed, labelled and crosslinked with C33A nuclear extract.

While the wild type oligonucleotides demonstrated an intense band corresponding to a 40 kDa protein (lane b), there was an abrogation of this band when mutant oligonucleotide strands were used (lane c). While wild type oligonucleotides shows faint bands between 97 and 200 kDa, these were not consistently observed in repeated experiments. The absence of the 40 kDa band in the reaction with mutant oligonucleotides was a consistently reproducible observation, indicative of the inability of a 40 kDa protein to bind to the mutant sequence. Experiments with the addition of nonlabelled mutant oligonucleotide with hultiple repeats of the ACTGCT sequence can be used to identify the specific protein binding to this sequence.

Fig 8.

UV crosslinking of wild type and site directed mutant oligonucleotides with C33A nuclear extract.

- a: wild type probe alone.
- b: wild type probe with C33A nuclear extract.
- c: mutant probe with C33A nuclear extract.



CHAPTER 4

Discussion

4.1 Importance of the Minimal Constitutive Enhancer

The important role of the promoter and enhancer elements in the control of HFV 18 gene expression is well documented (Gius et al., 1988; Thierry et al., 1987; Butz & Hoppe-Seyler, 1993). The 230 base pair MCE of HFV 18 is known to be cell-type specific. The control of this enhancer does not involve any HFV 18 encoded gene product (Swift et al., 1987; Marshall et al., 1989). Since the C33A cells used in this project does not have HFV DNA integrated into the genome, the studies were performed without the overlaying effects of viral encoded factors on the function of the MCE.

HPV 18 is more efficient in the immortalization of epithelial cells than HPV 16. It is also associated with the rapid progression of invasive cervical carcinomas (Kurman et al., 1988). The increased immortalization potential of HPV 18 is believed to be conferred by its upstream regulatory region, which contains the MCE (Romanczuk et al., 1991). The interaction of cellular proteins with the enhancer element is well established (Garcia-Carranca et al., 1988; Gloss et al., 1989; Nakshatri et al., 1990). The importance of cellular factors in the regulation of HPV 18 expression attracted attention in the pursuit of understanding this molecular mechanism. To address this question, the objective of this project was to identify the important region of the MCE and thereby the factor that plays a dominant role in this mechanism.

4.2 Significance of the Deletion Mutants

Unidirectional deletions of the MCE from either ends were generated to delineate the region most important for its function. Among all the deletion mutants, the deletion of region V showed the most reduction in activity. The plasmid pBK 8315 (nucleotides 7508-7664) containing region V had only 6.5 percent of the full length activity (Fig 5). This drastic reduction in the activity indicates the important role of region V of the MCE. Increased deletion of the enhancer towards region I did not show a further reduction in activity. An NF1 binding sequence is deleted in pBK8315. The reduced activity could be argued to be due to the absence of this NF1 binding to its motif. However, this is unlikely to be the reason, since NF1 is known to contribute only marginally to transcriptional activation (Gloss et al., 1989: Butz & Hoppe-Seyler, 1993). A Oct-1 binding site is also deleted in this mutant. But the inability of Oct-1 to bind would not lead to this reduced activity, since Oct-1 reportedly represses the enhancer activity (Mack & Laimins, 1991).

The deletion mutant pSP725 (nucleotides 7596-7738) containing regions III-V demonstrated 46 percent of pT81 activity. The deletion of two NF-1 binding sites present in regions I-II could not have contributed to this reduction, due to its known marginal contribution to the enhancer activity (Butz & Hoppe-Sevler, 1993). Interestingly, there is an ACTGCT motif at nucleotides 7545-7550, which has been deleted in mutant pSP725. This could be the possible reason for the slight reduction in the intensity of the upper complex in lane f of Fig 6, when region V fragment is used as a competitor with labelled full length probe. Since the importance of the ACTGCT sequence present at nt 7545-7550 has not been investigated by site-directed mutagenesis, it is not possible to analyse its involvement. However, the reduction in CAT activity is guite significant, since more than 50% of the activity is lost. In addition to the ACTGCT sequence, only two NF-1 binding sites are deleted in this mutant (pSP725). Both the NF-1 sites are half site NF-1 recognition motifs and are believed to be poorly bound by NF-1. Mutation of both the NF-1 sites did not lead to a strong reduction in the activity of the MCE, indicating the lesser role of these NF-1 sites (Butz & Hoppe-Seyler, 1993). This suggests a possible role of this ACTGCT sequence, which can be addressed by further studies.

The mutant pSP936 has regions IV-V (nucleotides 7632-7738). It expresses only 17 percent of activity relative to

pT81. In addition to the two NF-1 sites, ACTGCT motif, an AP1 site is also deleted (Offord & Beard, 1990). AP1 sites are known to be essential for the HPV 18 MCE activity (Thierry et al., 1992). A recent study demonstrated the role of Jun B as the predominant Jun component complexing with AP1 elements. Mutational analysis of this AP1 site established its importance in HeLa and HaCAT cells, However, mutation of the AP1 site resulted in a less drastic reduction in the transcriptional activity in C33A cells than in keratinocytes. It was suggested that in addition to the AP1, a vet to be identified factor is important in C33A cells (Offord & Beard, 1990: Thierry et al., 1992: Butz & Hoppe-Sevler, 1993). The mutant pSP9913 containing region V (nucleotides 7660-7738) has binding sites for NF1 and Oct-1. The reduced activity could be due to the deletion of two Oct-1 sites, two NF1 sites and AP1 element (Mack & Laimins, 1991; Gloss et al., 1989; Offord & Beard, 1990). Also, an octomer motif at nucleotides 7731-7738 in region V has been reported to inhibit HPV 18 expression in cervical cells by inhibiting the binding of NF1 to its site at nucleotides 7731-7735 (Morris et al., 1993).

It is important to note that the activity of the plasmid pSP9913 containing the region V alone is almost double that of the plasmids(pBK8315, pBK575, pBK8110) containing other regions of the MCE but without region V. The *in vivo* assays using deletion mutants clearly demonstrate the importance of region V and is suggestive of the role of a novel factor present in C33A cells, in the regulation of HPV 18 MCE expression.

The gel retardation assays using the wild type HPV 18 MCE and deletion mutants were performed to investigate the DNA- protein interactions involved in the region V of the MCE (see Fig 6). Since the deletion mutant BK8315 has regions I-IV, the use of this fragement would show the interaction of proteins with these regions. The use of SP9913 fragment containing region V only was designed to demonstrate the association of proteins with this region. Accordingly, two complexes were seen with the wild-type full length MCE (lane b). When the BK8315 fragment was used only the slower migrating complex was observed (lane h). The reaction using SP9913 demonstrated only the faster migrating complex (lane 1). Competition experiments were performed to identify the specificity of the complex formation with the regions of MCE. When C33A nuclear extracts were incubated with nonlabelied BK8315 fragment before adding the labelled wild-type probe, only the faster migrating complex was seen (lane 1). This demonstrates the binding specificity of the slower migrating complex to regions I-IV of the MCE. Similarly, when nonlabelled SP9913 fragment was included in the reaction with labelled wild-type probe, the slower migrating complex was abrogated while the faster migrating complex was observed (lame f). This shows the specific binding of region V to the faster migrating complex. To rule out the possibility of non specific binding,homologous nonlabelled fragments were added in the reactions (lame d, j, n). Nonlabelled pUC19 polylinker sites were added as competitors to demonstrate the specific binding of factors to the enhancer sequences (lame c, i, m).

The use of deleted fragments with regions I-IV (BK8315) and region V (SP9913) along with wild-type full length HPV 18 MCE in gel retardation assays using C33A nuclear extracts demonstrated the formation of a specific DNA -protein complex with region V.

4.3 Site Directed Mutagenesis Confirms the Importance of a Novel Factor

After delineating the region V as the important region in the functional regulation of HFV 18 MCE activity, the next objective was to identify the sequence involved in this regulation. Work done by the Pater's lab had speculated on the possible role of the ACTGCT sequence in the function of the HPV 18 MCE (Nakshatri et al., 1990). Since this sequence is also present in HFV 16, but absent in the non oncogenic HPV 11, the pursuit of investigation on this sequence seemed attractive. The suggestion by Thierry et al., (1992), on the

69

involvement of a novel factor in the regulation of HPV 18 MCE in C33A cells is supported by the findings in the present work, since no known transcription factor binds this sequence(Faisst & Meyer, 1992). However, the findings of the experiments performed in this study does not limit the boundary of this motif to only six nucleotides.

The in vivo activity of the mutant planmid pBB155 was similar to the activity of the deletion mutant pBK8315. Since only the ACTGCT motif was mutated without altering the other sequences of the HPV 18 MCE, this motif appears to have an important role in the regulation. UV crosslinking (Fig R) with C33A nuclear extract demonstrates the inability of a factor to bind to the mutant motif, thereby indicating the importance of the ACTGCT motif for the interaction of a protein factor with the DNA sequence.

Since the mutations do not create a change in the spacing between nucleotides , a control construct with two point mutations elsewhere in the MCE was not generated. Though a reasonable rationale exists to perform this control the generation of such mutant was not pursued . To facilitate a better screening efficiency, the design of the mutation was to create the recognition site AGTACT for the restriction enzyme ScaI. Interestingly, the sequence created is a palindromic sequence. The presence of a palindrome could lead to binding of factors in dimers. Since the gel retardation assay and uv

cross linking experiment demonstrated the abrogation of a complex, this can be ruled out. The presence of a perfect palindromic sequence in a double stranded DNA could lead to the formation of a pair of hairpinned structures called cruciform (Platt, 1955). It is plausible to believe that this formation can prevent the binding of a protein by structural inhibition rather than the change in the sequence. However, elegant experiments by Courey & Wang, (1983) have demonstrated that cruciform does not occur under physiological conditions. Isolated plasmids containing 68 bp perfect palindrome were found to be free of cruciform. The plasmid lacked cruciform even in vivo. Therefore it is unlikely that this is happening with the mutant plasmid used in this project. Moreover , the mutant sequence AGTACT creates a Scal recognition site which was utilized in the screening procedure. Only plasmids digested by ScaI enzyme were used for further cloning and transfection. Therefore the reduction in the in vivo activity and binding could be only due to the change in the wild type ACTGCT sequence and not due to cruciform formation.

A recent study on the role of API elements on HPV 18 transcription has outlined its importance (Thierry et al., 1992). However, it was observed by the authors that in C33A cells the transcription was efficient, despite the low amounts of API in the cells. It was speculated that an unidentified factor played a predominant role in C33A cells. The

71

suggestion was that Jun B would interact with an "unidentified transcriptional factor " in regulating HPV 18 expression. The cell type specificity of HPV 18 expression is believed to be regulated by a multifactor phenomenon (Thierry et al., 1992). A similar hypothesis has been proposed by Ishiji et al. (1992) in the cell type specific expression of HPV 16. The protein identified as transcriptional enhancer factor (TEF)-1 was shown to complex with the HPV 16 enhancer. A "TEF-1 coactivator" was believed to be associated with TEF-1 in the regulation of HPV 16 expression in keratinocytes and cervical carcinoma cells (Ishiji et al., 1992). The data presented here suggest an important role of the ACTGCT motif and indicates the involvement of an "ACTGCT binding protein" (ABP) in the regulation of HPV 18 expression. This appears to be a novel factor with a significant role in the regulation of HPV 18 transcription in C33A cervical carcinoma cells. This factor could mediate the regulation of HPV 18 expression, by its specific binding to the ACTGCT motif and also by its involvement in the assembly of the protein complex, that might be required for the full expression. Further experiments will elucidate the exact mechanism involved in the regulation of HPV 18 expression.

The cellular factors NF-1,Oct-1,AP-1 are known to be ubiquitous in their distribution, while the expression of HPV 18 is evidently tissue specific. It is therefore logical to infer that this tissue tropism is not regulated by these cellular factors. Though KRF-1 is hypothesized to be involved in the tissue tropism, the differential expression of HFV 18 with mutated KRF-1 site in HeLa and HaCat cells was not correlated with a difference in the binding pattern of KRF-1. The mutation of KRF-1 site did not lead to a strong reduction in the transcrpitional activity of HFV 18 in C33A cells(Butz & Hoppe-Seyler,1993). It has been speculated by Mack & Laimins,(1991) on the possible role of other unidentified factors in stabilising and activating transcription of HFV 18. Given these findings, the importance of the "ACTGCT binding protein" appears to be attractive.

Cotransfection of Jun B expression vector with reporter gene under the control of HPV 18 URR failed to show expression in fibroblasts (Thierry et al., 1992). This indicates the inability of Jun B to activate HPV 18 expression in non epithelial cells. The expression of HPV 18 was found to be very low when pT81 was transfected into 143B fibroblast cells. This could be due to the lack of the ACTGCT binding protein in 143B cells.

The products of early genes E6 and E7 of HPVs are known to be the oncoproteins involved in the transformation and tumor formation. The E6 and E7 gen- products of high risk HPVs are adequate for immortalizing primary rodent cells, while these genes of the low risk HPVs fail to immortalize. E7 alone is capable of immortalization unlike the E6 gene product which requires E7 for the successful immortalization. In cooperation with activated Ras oncogene, the HPV oncoproteins can induce a fully transformed phenotype resulting in tumor formation in mice. In association with Ras. E7 alone can cause transformation and is required for maintenance of the transformed phenotype. The infection of target cells with HPV and the subsequent expression of the oncoproteins is believed to be the first step towards tumor formation. The underlying mechanism involved in this process is believed to be due to the binding of E6 and E7 to p53 and pRb. The E6 protein from low risk HPVs does not lead to degradation of p53 like the E6 protein from HPV16 and HPV 18. Unlike the E7 proteins from the high risk HPVs, the E7 products of low risk HPVs bind pRb with lesser affinity. The expression of E6 and E7 genes is regulated by the E2 gene product. In benign lesions the HPV genome is found in episomal form, while the HPV genome integrates into the host genome in most malignant lesions (Mansur & Androphy, 1993; Munger et al., 1991; Gius et al., 1988). The integration of the HPV genome leads to the disruption of the E2 gene. The E6 and E7 are then regulated by the upstream regulatory region (URR) which has binding sites for many cellular factors (Garcia-Carranca et al., 1988).

Since the balance of the E2 products leads to inhibition of transcription, the disruption of this control is believed to lead to malignant change in the cells (Ward et al., 1989). This increase in expression of oncoproteins is mediated by the cellular factors that bind to the URR. It is therefore important to understand the role of cellular factors that interact with the MCE. It could be speculated that ABP has an important role in this multifactor mechanism.

The cellular transcription factor Spl is believed to be involved in the biology of papillomaviruses. The binding of E2 protein to the HFV 18 URR is known to sterically interfere with stable formation of the preinitiation complex. Spl is known to displace the binding of E2 and thereby leading to the activation (Hoppe-Seyler & Butz, 1994). It could be speculated that ABP contributes to the stabilization of the transcription machinery by interacting with the adjacent cellular factors.

The HPV 18 URR has a binding site for a cellular zinc-finger protein YY1 close to the GRE. The binding of the YY1 factor to this negative regulatory region of the HPV 18 URR leads to repression of the E6/E7 promoter. The YY1 binds to the silencer element with high affinity to negatively regulate the E6/E7 promoter. This repression appears to be overcome in some carcinomas by mutation or deletion of this region (Butz & Hoppe-Seyler, 1993; Baukneckt et al., 1992). It is tempting to speculate the possibe role of ABP in inactivating this silencer whenever it is present without alteration, thereby leading to the expression of E6 and E7. This could be one of the mechanisms employed by the virus to escape cellular control and thereby cause malignant transformation.

In summary, the ACTGCT motif (nucleotides 7679-7684) present in the HPV 18 MCE appears to be important and indicates the role of a novel ACTGCT binding protein (ABP) in the regulation of HPV 18 expression in C33A cells. Though the presence of the ACTGCT sequence alone is not sufficient to activate transcription, the mutation of this binding motif leads to a drastic reduction in the activity, suggestive of its requirment for the overall activity of the HPV 18 MCE.

Future Directions

The significance of this protein needs to be further investigated. While the present work deals with the ACTCCT sequence present at nt 7679-7684, it would be interesting to mutate the ACTGCT sequence of HFV 18 MCE present at nt 7545-7550 and study its activity. Both *in vivo* and *in vitro* changes need to be estimated. A plasmid with mutations in both ACTGCT sites and API element would be useful in knowing the interactions between the two factors. Since the mutation of API alone does not lead to *a* significant change in the HJV expression in C33A cells, the requirement of the novel factor can be demonstrated. The isolation and characterization of this factor from C33A cells will be useful. An oligonucleotide with multiple repeats of ACTGCT motif can be used as the probe to screen a C33A cDNA expression library. Functional analysis of the protein will further aid in the understanding of its role in transcriptional regulation.

An ACTGCT motif is present in the HPV 16 MCE also. No factor is known to bind at this site(Nakshatri et al.,1990). Gel shift assay using that region will indicate the presence of a DNA-protein complex. Further analysis by site directed mutation can also be done. These studies would help in understanding the role of this motif (and the factor) in the regulation of HPV 16 MCE in addition to its role in HPV 18 MCE which is evident from the present study.

Immortalized cells of early passage can be used for transient assays to look into the *in vivo* activity of HPV 18 MCE in these cells. Comparative studies could be done on the role of ABP by performing CAT assays using both the wild-type plasmid and mutant plasmid using cervical carcinoma cell lines and also in immortalized cells of early passage. Simultaneous binding assays should be done. The status of HPV DNA in the host cells must be analyzed. The effect of the transformation status of cells on the regulation of HPV 18 MCE by ABP can be addressed by these experiments. The effect of the factor on HFV 18 expression could be due to the differential distribution of the protein. Mobility shift assays using nuclear extract from different cell types will indicate whether the protein is ubiquitous or cell specific. The (increased)/presence of this factor might be a cause or effect of malignant transformation/HFV expression. The cDNA of the gene can be used in cotransfection assays in cells that do not activate HFV 18 expression/lack the protein.

The understanding about the regulatory role and tissue distribution of this protein might help in elucidating the mechanism of HPV 18 expression and malignant. transformation. With the kncwledge about this process, the clinical relevance of this factor can be understood.

REFERENCES

- Allison, L.A. and Ingles, C.J. (1989). Mutations in RNA polymerase II enhance or suppress mutations in GAL4. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 86, 2794-2798.
- Apt, D., Chong, T., Liu, Y. and Bernard, H-U. (1993). Nuclear factor 1 and epithelial cell-specific transcription of human papillomavirus 16. Journal of Virology, <u>67</u>, 4455-4463.
- Arias, J.A., Peterson, S.R. and Dynan, W.S. (1991). Promoter dependent phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II by a template bound kinase. <u>Journal of Biological Chemistry</u>, <u>266</u>, 8055-8061.
- Baker, C.C. and Howley, P.M. (1987). Differential promoter utilization by the papillomavirus in transformed cells and productively infected wart tissues. <u>The EMBO</u> <u>Journal</u>, <u>5</u>, 1027-1035.
- Banerji, J., Rusconi, S. and Schaffner, W. (1981). Expression of a β-globin gene is enhanced by remote SV40 DNA sequences. Cell 27, 299-308.
- Bengal, E., Flores, O., Krauskoff, A., Reinberg, D. and Aloni, Y. (1991). Role of the mammalian transcription factors IIF, IIS and IIX during elongation by RNAP II. <u>Molecular</u> <u>and Cellular Biology</u>, <u>11</u>, 1195–1206.

- Bird, A.P. (1986). GPG-rich islands and the function of DNA methylation. <u>Nature</u>, <u>321</u>, 209-213.
- Boulet, A.M., Erwin, C.R. and Ruther, W.J. (1986). Cellspecific enhancers in the rat exocrine pancreas. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, 81, 3599-3603.
- Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. <u>Analytical</u> <u>Biochemistry</u>, 72, 248-254.
- Broker, T.R. and Botchan, M. (1986). Papillomaviruses: retrospectives and prospectives. <u>Cancer Cells</u>, <u>4</u>, 17-36.
- Buratowski, S., Hahn, S., Guarente, L. and Sharp, P.A. (1989). Five intermediate complexes in transcription initiation by RNA polymerase I1. <u>Cell, 55</u>, 887-898.
- Burnett, S., Kiessling, U. and Pettersson, U. (1989). Loss of bovine papillomavirus DNA replication control in growtharrested transformed cells. <u>Journal of Virology</u>, <u>61</u>, 2215-2225.
- Butz, K. and Hoppe-Seyler, F. (1993). Transcriptional control of human papillomavirus (HPV) oncogene expression: Composition of the HPV Type 18 upstream regulatory region. Journal of Virology, 57, 6476-6486.
- Chen, E.Y., Howley, P.M., Levinson, A.D. and Seeburg, P.H. (1982). The primary structure and genetic organization

of the bovine papillomavirus type 1 genome. <u>Nature</u>, 299, 529-534.

- Chodosh, L.A., Baldwin, A.S., Carthew, R.W. and Sharp, P.A. (1988). Human CCAAT-binding proteins have heterologous sub-units. <u>Cell</u>, <u>33</u>, 11-24.
- Cole, T. and Danos, O. (1987). Nucleotide sequences and comparative analysis of the human papillomavirus type 18 genome. <u>Journal of Molecular Biology</u>, <u>193</u>, 599-608.
- Conaway, R.C. and Conaway, J.W. (1993). General initiation factors for RNA polymerase II. <u>Annual Review of</u> <u>Biochemistry</u>, <u>52</u>, 161-190.
- Corden, J., Wasylyk, B., Buchwalder, A., Sassone-Corsi, P., Kedinger,C. and Chambon, P. (1980). Promoter sequences of eukaryotic protein-coding genes. <u>Science</u>, 209, 1406-1414.
- Courey, A. and Tjian, R. (1988). Analysis of Spl in vivo reveals multiple transcriptional domains, including a novel glutamine-rich activation motif. <u>Cell, 55</u>, 887-898.
- Courey, A.J. and wang, J.C. (1983). Cruciform formation in a negatively supercoiled DNA may be kinetically forbidden under physiological conditions. <u>Cell</u>, <u>33</u>, 817-829.
- Crum, C.P., Barber, S., Symbula, M., Snyder, K., Saleh, A.M. and Roche, J.K. (1990). Coexpression of the human papillomavirus type 16 E4 and L1 open reading frames in early cervical neoplasia. <u>Virology</u>, <u>178</u>, 238-246.

- Chong, T., Chan, W-K. and Bernard, H-U. (1990). Transcriptional activation of human papillomavirus 16 by NF1, AP1, steroid receptors and possibly a novel transcription factor, FVF: A model for the composition of genital papillomavirus enhancer. <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>, 18, 465-470.
- Crook, T., Vousden, K.H. (1992). Properties of p53 mutations detected in primary and secondary cervical cancers suggest mechanisms of metastasis and involvement of environment carcinogens. <u>The EMBO Journal</u>, 8, 513-519.
- Davidson, I., Xiao, J.H., Rosales, R., Staub, A. and Chambon, P. (1988). The HeLa cell protein TEF-1 binds specifically and cooperatively to two SV40 enhancer motifs of unrelated sequence. <u>Cell</u>, <u>54</u>, 931-942.
- DeVilliers, E.M. (1989). Heterogeneity of the human papillomavirus group. <u>Journal of Virology</u>, <u>63</u>, 4898-4903.
- Doorbar, J., Campbell, D., Grand, R.J.A. and Gallimore, P.H. (1986). Identification of the human papillomavirus - la E4 gene products. <u>The EMBO Journal</u>, <u>5</u>, 355-362.
- Durst, M., Kleinheinz, A., Hotz, M and Gissmann, L. (1985). The physical state of human papillomavirus type 16 DNA in benign and malignant genital tumors. <u>Journal of General</u> <u>Virology, 66</u>, 1515-1522.

- Durst, M., Crole, C.M., Gissmann, L., Schwarz, E. and Huebner, K. (1987). Papillomavirus sequence integrate near cellular oncogenes in some cervical carcinomas. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, <u>80</u>, 3812-3815.
- Dynlacht, B.D., Hoey, T. and Tjian, R. (1991). Isolation of coactivators associated with the TATA-binding protein that mediate transcriptional activation. <u>Cell, 66</u>, 563-576.
- Dyson, N., Howley, P.M., Munger, K., Harlow, E. (1989). The human papillomavirus 16 E7 oncoprotein is able to bind to the retinoblastoma gene product. <u>Science</u>, <u>243</u>, 934-937.
- Edlund, T., Walker, M.D., Barr, J. and Ruther, W.J. (1985). Cell specific expression of the rat insulin gene: Evidence for role of two distinct 5' flanking elements. <u>Science</u>, 230, 912-916.
- Faisst, S. and Meyer, S. (1992). Compilation of vertebrateencoded transcription factors. <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>, <u>20</u>, 3-26.

Fields, B.N. ed. (1990). Virology, Raven Press, New York, NY. Fromental, C., Kanno, M., Nomiyama, H., Ruffenach, F. and Chambon, P. (1988). Cooperativity and hierarchial levels of functional organization in the SV40 enhancers. <u>Cell</u>, 54, 943-953.

- Fu, Y-S., Braun, L., Shah, K.V., Lawrence, W.P. and Robboy, S.J. (1983). Histologic, nuclear DNA and human papillomavirus studies of cervical condylomas. <u>Cancer Research</u>, 52, 1705-1711.
- Gannon, F., O'Hare, K., Perrin, F., LePennec, J.P., Benoist, C., Cochet, M., Breathnach, R., Royal, A., Garapin, A., Cami, B. and Chambon, P. (1979). Organization and sequence at the 5° end of a cloned complete ovalbumin gene. <u>Nature</u>, <u>278</u>, 227-232.
- Garcia-Carranca, A., Thierry, F. and Yaniv, M. (1988). Interplay of viral and cellular proteins along the long control region of human papillomavirus type 18. <u>Journal</u> of Virology, 62, 4231-4330.
- Gasch, A., Hoffman, A., Horikoshi, M., Roeder, R. and Chua, N. (1990). Arabidopsis thaliana contains two genes for TFIID. <u>Nature</u>, <u>346</u>, 390-394.
- Gissmann, L. (1992). Papillomaviruses and human oncogenesis. <u>Current Opinion in Genetics and Development</u>, 2, 97-102.
- Gius, D., Grossman, S., Bedell, M.A. and Laimins, L.A. (1988). Inducible and constitutive enhancer domains in the noncoding region of human papillomavirus type 18. <u>Journal</u> of Virology, 52, 665-672.
- Gloss, B., Yeo-Gloss, M., Meisterernst, M., Rogge, L., Winnacker, E.L. and Bernard, H-U. (1989). Clusters of nuclear factor 1 binding sites identify enhancers

of several papillomaviruses but alone are not sufficient for enhancer function. <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>, 2, 3519-3533.

- Gorman, C.M., Moffat, M.F. and Howard, B.H. (1982). Recombinant genomes which express chloramphenicol acetyltransferase in mammalian cells. <u>Molecular and Cellular Biology</u>, 2, 1044-1051.
- Graham, F. and VanderEb, A. (1973). A new technique for the assay of infectivity of human adenovirus 5 DNA. <u>Virology</u>, 52, 456-457.
- Grosschedl, R. and Birnsteil, M.L. (1980). Spacer DNA sequences upstream of the TATA AATA sequence are essential for promotion of histone H2A transcription in vivo. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u> <u>USA</u>, 77, 102-106.
- Halbert, C.L., Demers, G.W. and Galloway, D.A. (1991). The E7 gene of human papillomavirus type 16 is sufficient for immortalization of human epithelial cells. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Virology</u>, <u>65</u>, 473-478.
- Henikoff, S. (1987). Unidirectional digestion with exonuclease III in DNA sequence analysis. <u>Methods in</u> <u>Enzymology</u>, 155, 156-165.
- Hennighausen, L. and Luban, H. (1987). Interaction of protein with DNA invitro. <u>Methods in Enzymology</u>, <u>152</u>, 721-735.

- Hernandez, N. (1993). TBP, a universal eukaryotic transcription factor? <u>Genes and Development</u>, 7, 1291-1308.
- Hope, I.A. and Struhl, K. (1986). Functional dissection of a eukaryotic transcriptional activator GCN4 of yeast. <u>Cell</u>, 46, 885-894.
- Hoppe-Seyler, F. and Butz, K. (1993). A novel cis-regulatory element maps to the 5'- portion of the human papillomavirus type 18 upstream regulatory region and is functionally dependent on a sequence-aberrant Sp1 binding site. Journal of General Virology, 74, 281-286.
- Hoppe-Seyler, F. and Butz, K. (1994). Cellular control of human papillomavirus oncogene transcription. <u>Molecular</u> <u>Carcinogenesis</u>, 10, 134-141.
- Howley, P.M. (1982). The papillomaviruses. <u>Arch Pathol Lab</u> <u>Med.</u>, <u>106</u>, 429-432.
- Ishiji, T., Lace, M.J., Parkkinen, S., Anderson, R.D., Haugen, T.H., Cripe, T.P., Xiao, J-H., Davidson, I., Chambon, P. and Turek, L.P. (1992). Transcriptional enhancer factor (TEF)-1 and its cell-specific co-activator activate human papillomavirus-16, E6 and E7 oncogene transcription in keratinocytes and cervical carcinoma cells. <u>The EMEO</u> <u>Journal</u>, 11, 2271-2281.

- Jacob, F. and Monod, J. (1961). Genetic regulatory mechanisms in the synthesis of proteins. <u>Journal of Molecular</u> <u>Biology</u>, 2, 318-356.
- Kadonaga, J.T. and Tjian, R. (1986). Affinity purification of sequence specific DNA binding proteins. <u>Proceedings of</u> <u>the National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, 83, 5889-5893.
- Kanda, T., Zanma, S., Watanabe, S., Furuno, A. and Yoshiike, K. (1991). Two immunodominant regions of the human papillomavirus type 16 E7 protein are masked in the nuclei of monkey cos-1 cells. <u>Virology</u>, 182, 723-731.

Klug, A. (1993). Opening the gateway. <u>Nature</u>, <u>365</u>, 486-487.

- Koleske, A.J. and Young, R.A. (1994). An RNA polymerase II holoenzyme responsive to activators. <u>Nature</u>, <u>168</u>, 466-469.
- Kurman, R.J., Schiffman, M.H., Lancaster, W.D., Reid, R., Jenson, A.B., Temple, G.F. and Lorincz, A.T. (1988). Analysis of individual human papillomavirus types in cervical neoplasia: A possible role for type 18 in rapid progression. <u>Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.</u>, <u>159</u>, 293-296.
- Laimins, L.A., Khoury, G., Gorman, C., Howard, B. and Gruss, P. (1982). Host-specific activation of transcription by tandem repeats from simian virus 40 and Moloney murine sarcomavirus. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USB</u>, 79, 6453-6457.

- Landschultz, W.H., Johnson, P.F. and McKnight, S.L. (1988). The leucine zipper: A hypothetical structure common to a new class of DNA binding protein. <u>Science</u>, 240, 1759-1764.
- Laybourn, P.L. and Dahmus, M.E. (1990). Phosphorylation of RNA polymerase II H occurs subsequent to interaction with the promoter and before the initiation of transcription. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 265, 13165-13173.
- Leptak, C., Cajal, S.R.Y., Kulke, R., Horwitz, B.H., Reise II, P.J., Dotto, G.P. and DiMaio, D. (1991). Tumorigenic transformation of murine keratinocytes by the E5 genes of bovine papillomavirus type 1 and human papillomavirus type 16. Journal of Virology, 65, 7078-7083.
- Lin, Y.S. and Green, M.R. (1991). Mechanism of action of an acidic transcriptional activator in vitro. <u>Cell, 64</u>, 971-981.
- Lusky, M. and Botchan, M.R. (1986). A bovine papillomavirus type 1-encoded modulator function is dispensable for transient viral replication but it is required for establishment of the stable plasmid state. <u>Journal of Virology</u>, <u>60</u>, 729-742.
- Mack, D.H. and Laimins, L.A. (1991). A keratinocyte-specific transcription factor, KRF-1, interacts with AP1 to activate expression of human papillomavirus type 18 in squamous epithelial cells. <u>Proceedings of the National</u>

Academy of Sciences USA, 88, 9102-9106.

Maniatis, T., Goodbourn, S. and Fischer, J.A. (1987). Regulation of inducible and tissue specific gene expression. Science, 236, 1237-1244.

Mansur, C.P. and Androphy, E.J. (1993). Cellular

transformation by papillomavirus oncoproteins. <u>Biochimica</u> et Biophysical Acta, 1155, 323-345.

- Marshall, T., Pater, A. and Pater, M.M. (1989) Transregulation and differential cell specificity of human papillomavirus types 16, 18 and 11 cis-acting elements. <u>Journal of</u> Medical Virology, 29, 115-126.
- McBride, A.A., Byrne, J.C. and Howley, P.M. (1989). E2 polypeptides encoded by bovine papillomavirus type 1 form dimers through the common carboxyl-terminal domain: Transactivation is mediated by the conserved aminoterminal domain. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, 86, 510-514.
- McBride, A.A., Romanczuk, H. and Howley, P.M. (1991). The papillomavirus E2 regulatory proteins. <u>Journal of Biological Chemistry</u>, 265, 19411-18414.
- Mitchell, P.J. and Tjian, R. (1989). Transcriptional regulation in mammalian cells by sequence-specific DNA binding proteins. <u>Science</u>, 245, 371-378.

- Moreau, P., Hen, R., Wasylyk, B., Everett, R., Gaub, M.P. and Chambon, P. (1981). The SV40 72 base pair repeat has a striking effect on gene expression both in SV40 and other chimeric recombinants. <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u>, 2, 6047-6068.
- Morris, P.J., Dent, C.L., Ring, C.J. and Latchman, D.S. (1993). The octamer binding site in the HPV 16 regulatory region produces opposite effects on gene expression in cervical and non-cervical cells. <u>Nucleic Acids</u> <u>Research.21</u>, 1019-1023.
- Muller, M.M., Gerster, T. and Schaffner, W. (1988). Enhancer sequences and the regulation of gene transcription. <u>European Journal of Biochemistry</u>, 176, 485-495.
- Munger, K., Phelps, W.C., Bubb, V., Howley, P.M. and Schlegel, R. (1989). The E6 and E7 genes of the human papillomavirus type 16 together are necessary and sufficient for transformation of primary human keratinocytes. Journal of Virology, 63, 4417-4421.
- Munger, K., Yee, C.L., Fhelps, W.C., Pietenpol, J.A., Moses, H.L. and Howley, P.M. (1991). Biochemical and biological differences between E7 oncoproteins of the high- and lowrisk human papillomavirus types are determined by aminoterminal sequences. Journal of Virology, 65, 3943-3948. Nakshatri, H., Fater, M.M. and Pater, A. (1990). Ubiquitous
 - and cell-type-specific protein interactions with human

papillomavirus type 16 and type 18 enhancers. Virology, 178, 92-103.

- Nuova, G., Crum, C.P. and Silverstein, S. (1987). Papi)lomavirus infection of the uterine cervix. <u>Microbial Pathogenesis</u>, <u>3</u>, 71-78.
- Nussinov, R. (1990). Sequence signals in eukaryotic upstream regions. <u>Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular</u> <u>Biology</u>, <u>25</u>, 185-224.
- Offord, E.A. and Beard, P. (1990). A member of the activator protein 1 family bound in keratinocytes but not in fibroblasts required for transcription from a human papillomavirus type 18 promoter. <u>Journal of Virology</u>, <u>56</u>, 3740-3748.
- Ondek, B., Gloss, L. and Herr, W. (1988). The SV40 enhancer contains two distinct levels of organization. <u>Nature</u>, <u>333</u>, 40-45.
- Parker, C.S. and Topal, J. (1984). A drosophila RNA polymerase II transcription factor contains a promotoregion-specific DNA binding activity. <u>Cell</u>, <u>36</u>, 357-369.
- Pater, M.M., Hughes, G.A., Hyslop, D.E., Nakshatri,H. and Pater, A. (1988). Glucocorticoid- dependant oncogenic transformation by type 16 but not type 11 human papillomavirus DNA. <u>Nature, 335</u>,832-835.

Pater, M.M. and Pater, A. (1991). RU486 inhibits

glucocorticoid hormone-dependendent oncogenesis by human papillomavirus type 16 DNA. <u>Virology</u>, <u>183</u>, 799-802.

- Payne, D., Tyring, S.K., Doherty, M.G., Daya, D. and Chan, T-S. (1993). Case report-detection of human papillomavirus type 16 in plasma cells. <u>Gynecologic Oneology</u>, <u>48</u>, 406-412.
- Pelham, R.B. (1982). A regulatory upstream promoter element in the drosophila hsp 70 heat shock gene. <u>Cell</u>, <u>30</u>, 517-528.
- Pfister, H. (1987). Relationship of papillomavirus to anogenital cancer. <u>Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am.</u>, 14, 349-361.
- Platt, J.R. (1955). Possible separation of intertwined nucleic acid chains by transfer twist. <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, <u>41</u>,181-183.
- Polyanovsky, O.L. and Stepchenko, A.G. (1990). Eukaryotic transcription factors. <u>Bio Essays</u>, <u>12</u>, 205-210.
- Romanczuk, H., Villa, L.L., Schlegel, R. and Howley, P.M. (1991). The viral transcriptional regulatory region upstream of the E6 and E7 genes is a major determinant of the differential immortalization activities of human papillomavirus types 15 and 18. Journal of Virology, 55, 2739-2744.
- Romanczuk, H. and Howley, P.M. (1992). Disruption of either E1 or the E2 regulatory gene of human papillomavirus type

16 increases viral immortalization capacity. <u>Proceedings</u> of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 89, 3159-3163.

- Rosales, R., Vigneron, M., Macchi, M., Davidson, L., Xian, J.H. and Chambon, P. (1987). In vitro binding of cell specific and ubiquitous nuclear protsins to the octamer motif of the SV40 enhancer and related motifs present in other promoters and enhancers. <u>The EMBO Journal</u>, <u>6</u>, 3015-3025.
- Sang, B-C. and Barbosa, M.S. (1992). Single amino acid substitutions in *low-risk" human papillomavirus (HPV) type 6 E7 protein enhance features characteristic of the "high-risk" HPV E7 oncoproteins. <u>Proceedings of the</u> <u>National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, 89, 8063-8067.
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S. and Coulson, A.R. (1977). DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u> <u>USA,74</u>, 5463-5467.
- Scheffner, M., Munger, K., Byrne, J.C. and Howley, P.M. (1991). The state of the p53 and retinoblastoma genes: in human cervical cell lines. <u>Proceedings of the National</u> <u>Academy of Sciences USA</u>, 88, 5523-5527.

Schleif, R. (1988). DNA looping. <u>Science</u>, <u>240</u>, 127-128.
Smale, S.T. and Baltimore, D. (1989). The "initiator" as a transcription control element. Cell. 57, 103-113.
- Smotkin, D. and Wettstein, F.O. (1986). Transcription of human papillomavirus type 16 early genes in a cervical cancer and a cancer-derived cell line and identification of the E7 protein. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA</u>, 83, 4680-4684.
- Sousa, R., Dostani, N. and Yaniv, M. (1990). Control of papillomavirus gene expression. <u>Biochimica et Biophysica</u> <u>Acta</u>, <u>1032</u>, 19-37.
- Stoler, M.H., Rhodes, C.R., Whitbeck, A., Wolinsky, S.N., Chow, L.T. and Brocker, P.R. (1992). Human

papillomavirus type 16 and 18 expression in cervical neoplasias. <u>Human Pathology</u>, <u>23</u>, 117-127.

- Storey, A., Greenfield, I., Banks, L., Pim, D., Gook, T., Crawford, L. and Stanley, M. (1992). Lack of immortalizing activity of a human papillomavirus type 16 variant DNA with a mutation in the E2 gene isolated from normal human cervical keratinocytes. <u>Oncogene</u>, 2, 459-465.
- Standt, L., Clerc, R., Singh, H., LeBowitz, J., Sharp, P. and Baltimore, D. (1988). Molecular cloning of a lymphoidspecific cDNA encoding a protein that binds to a regulatory octomer motif. <u>Science</u>, 241, 577-580.
- Suzuki, M. (1990). The heptad repeat in the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II binds by intercalating into DNA. <u>Nature</u>, <u>344</u>, 562-565.

- Swift, F.V., Ehat, K., Younghusband, H.B. and Hamada, H. (1987). Characterization of a cell-type specific enhancer found in the human papillomavirus type 18 genome. <u>The EMBO Journal</u>, <u>6</u>, 1339-1344.
- Takahashi, K., Vigneron, H., Matthes, H., Wilderman, A., Zeake, M. and Chambon, P. (1986). Requirements of the stereo-specific alignments for initiation from the Simian virus 40 early promoter. <u>Nature</u>, <u>319</u>, 121-126.
- Tan, S-H., Gloss, B. and Bernard, H-U. (1991). During negative regulation of the human papillomavirus-16 E6 promoter, the viral E2 protein can displace Spl from a proximal promoter element. <u>Nucleic Acids Rescarch</u>, 20, 251-256.
- Thierry, F. and Yaniv, M. (1987). The BPV-1-E2 trans-acting protein can be either an activator or a repressor of the HPV 18 regulatory region. <u>The EMBO Journal</u>, <u>6</u>, 3391-3397.
- Thierry, F., Spyrou, G., Yaniv, M. and Howley, P.M. (1992). Two API sites binding jun B are essential for human papillomavirus type 18 transcription in keratinocytes. <u>Journal of Virology, 56</u>, 3740-3748.
- Tjian, R. and Maniatis, T. (1994). Transcriptional activation a complex puzzle with few easy pieces. <u>Cell</u>, <u>77</u>, 5-8. Ustav, M. and Stenlund, A. (1991). Transient replication of BPV-1 requires two viral polypeptides encoded by the EI

and E2 open reading frames. The EMBO Journal, 10, 449-457.

- Vogt, P.K. and Bosjt, T.J. (1990). Jun: Oncogene and transcription factor. <u>Advances in Cancer Research</u>, 55, 1-33.
- Wang, J.C. and Giaever, G.N. (1988). Action at a distance along a DNA. <u>Science</u>, <u>240</u>, 300-304.
- Ward, P., Coleman, D.V. and Malcolm, A.D.B. (1989). Regulatory mechanisms of the papillomaviruses. <u>Trends</u> in <u>Genetics</u>, 5, 97-99.
- Watanabe, S., Kanda, T. and Yoshiike, K. (1989). Human papillomavirus type 16 transformation of primary human embryonic fibroblasts requires expression of open reading frames E6 and E7. Journal of Virology, 63, 965-969.
- Weber, F. and Schaffner, W. (1985). Simian virus 40 enhancer increases RNA polymerase density within the linked gene. <u>Nature</u>, <u>315</u>, 75-77.
- Weil, P.A., Luse, D.S., Segall, J. and Roeder, R.G. (1979). Selective and accurate transcription at the Ad2 major late promoter in a soluble system dependent on purified RNA polymerase II and DNA. <u>Cell</u>, <u>18</u>, 469-484.
- Wilkinson, D.G., Bhatt, S., Ryseck, R.P. and Bravo, R. (1989). Tissue specific expression of c-jun and jun B during organogenesis in the mouse. <u>Development</u>, <u>106</u>, 465-471.

- Woodworth, C.D., Doniger, J. and DiPaolo, J.A. (1989). Immortalization of human foreskin keratinocytes by various human papillomavirus DNAs corresponds to their association with cervical carcinoma. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Virology, 63</u>, 159-164.
- Woodworth, C.D., Waggoner, S., Barnes, W., Stoler, N.H. and DiPaolo, J.A. (1990). Human cervical and foreskin epithelial cells immortalized by human papillomavirus DNAs exhibit dysplastic differentiation in vivo. <u>Cancer Research</u>, <u>50</u>, 3709-3715.
- Yang, L., Li, R., Mohr, I.J., Clark, R. and Botchan, M.R. (1991). Activation of BPV-1 replication in vitro by the transcription factor E2. <u>Nature</u>, <u>353</u>, 628-632.
- Young, R.A. (1991). RNA polymerase II. <u>Annual Review of</u> <u>Biochemistry</u>, <u>60</u>, 689-715.
- Zawel, L. and Reinberg, D. (1993). Initiation of transcription by RNA polymerase II: A multi-step process. <u>Progress in Nucleic Acids Research and Molecular Biology</u>, <u>44</u>, 67-108.
- zur Hausen, H. (1989). Papilloma viruses in anogenital cancer as a model to understand the role of viruses in human cancer. <u>Cancer Research</u>, <u>49</u>, 4677-4681.
- zur Hausen, H. (1991). Human papilloma viruses in the pathogenesis of anogenital cancer. <u>Virology</u>, <u>184</u>, 9 13.







