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ABSTRACT

The main objective of this thesis is to study the convergence of sequences of various iterates to a fixed point in certain Banach spaces.

The preliminaries of metric spaces, normed linear spaces, and basic fixed point concepts are covered in the first chapter.

In the second chapter, the first section gives a brief statement of the problem of convergence of sequences of iterates.

The second section traces the development of some of the more important results on the convergence of sequences of iterates. The concept of a densifying mapping is then introduced and a similar development of theorems for these mappings is given.

The last section covers a more general sequence of iterates. An extension of a theorem by Senter [19] is presented and a corrected version of his original theorem is given. The corrected version is then shown to be a corollary of the new theorem. It is also shown that a condition \( Z \) of Rhoades [17] is a subset of one of the conditions of the new theorem. The final theorem in this section is an extension of one by Petryshyn and Williamson [15] to this more general sequence of iterates.
CHAPTER I
BANACH CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE AND FIXED POINTS

1.1 Introductory Concepts

In this chapter definitions and examples are given which have been used in the subsequent work.

Definition 1.1.1 Let \( X \) be a set and \( d \) be a function from \( X \times X \) into \( \mathbb{R}^+ \) such that for every \( x, y, z \in X \), we have

1) \( d(x, y) \geq 0 \),

2) \( d(x, y) = 0 \) if and only if \( x = y \),

3) \( d(x, y) = d(y, x) \),

4) \( d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \).

Then \( d \) is called a metric or distance function and the pair \( (X, d) \) is a metric space. A metric space may be denoted by \( X \) if the metric \( d \) is understood.

Definition 1.1.2 A sequence of points \( \{x_n\} \) of a metric space \( X \) is said to converge to a point \( z \) if given \( \varepsilon > 0 \). There exists a positive integer \( N_\varepsilon \) such that

\[ d(x_n, z) < \varepsilon \text{ for } n > N_\varepsilon. \]

We denote convergence by \( x_n \to z \) or \( \lim d(x_n, z) = 0 \).

Definition 1.1.3 A sequence \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence if for each
ε > 0, there is a positive integer \( N_\varepsilon \) such that
\[
d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } n, m > N_\varepsilon.
\]
A convergent sequence is always a Cauchy sequence but the converse is not true.

**Definition 1.1.4** A metric space \( X \) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in \( X \) converges to a point in \( X \).

**Definition 1.1.5** The diameter \( \delta(A) \) of a non-empty subset \( A \) of a metric space \( X \) is defined by
\[
\delta(A) = \sup \{d(x, y) \mid x, y \in A\}.
\]

If the diameter of \( A \) is finite, then \( A \) is said to be bounded, otherwise unbounded.

**Definition 1.1.6** A subset \( A \) of a metric space \( X \) is totally bounded if given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a finite number of subsets \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \) of \( X \) such that
\[
\delta(A_i) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad \text{and } A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i.
\]

**Remark 1.1.7** A totally bounded set is bounded but the converse is not true. However, in \( \mathbb{R} \) bounded and totally bounded are equivalent.

The following theorem is stated without proof.

**Theorem 1.1.8** A subset \( A \) of a metric space \( X \) is totally bounded if and only if every sequence of points of \( A \) contains a Cauchy subsequence. [8.]
Definition 1.1.9. A metric space $X$ is compact if every open covering of $X$ has a finite subcover.

Definition 1.1.10. A linear space over a field $K$ is a quadruple $(X, K, +, \cdot)$ where $X$ is a non-empty set,

- is a mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow x + y$ of $X \times X$ into $X$,
- is a mapping $(\alpha, x) \rightarrow \alpha \cdot x$ of $K \times X$ into $X$,

such that the following are satisfied for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\alpha, \beta \in K$:

i) $x + y = y + x$,

ii) $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$,

iii) there exists $0 \in X$ such that $x + 0 = x$,

iv) for each $x \in X$, there exists $-x \in X$ such that $x + (-x) = 0$,

v) $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot x = \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot x$,

vi) $\alpha \cdot (x + y) = \alpha \cdot x + \alpha \cdot y$,

vii) $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot x) = (\alpha \beta) \cdot x$,

viii) $1 \cdot x = x$.

We will write the linear space $X$ rather than $(X, K, +, \cdot)$.

Definition 1.1.11. Let $X$ be a linear space over $K$. A mapping $x \rightarrow ||x||$ of $X$ into the set $\mathbb{R}^+$ is called a norm on $X$ if the following are satisfied for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in K$.

i) $||x|| > 0$,

ii) $||x|| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,

iii) $||\lambda x|| = |\lambda| ||x||$,

iv) $||x + y|| \leq ||x|| + ||y||$. 
A normed linear space over $K$ is a pair $(X, \| \cdot \|)$, where $X$ is a linear space over $K$ and $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm on $X$. We will write a normed linear space $X$ rather than $(X, \| \cdot \|)$.

**Remark 1.1.12** Every normed linear space is a metric space with a metric $d$ defined on $X$ by

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Definition 1.1.13** A complete normed linear space is called a Banach space.

**Example 1.1.14** $C[a, b]$, the space of continuous functions on $[a, b]$ with

$$\|f\| = \sup \{|f(t)| \mid t \in [a, b]\},$$

is a Banach space.

**Example 1.1.15** $l^p$ for $p \geq 1$, the space of sequences $x = (x_n)$ for which $\sum |x_n|^p < \infty$ with $\|x\| = (\sum |x_n|^p)^{1/p}$, is a Banach space.

**Definition 1.1.16** A set $C$ in a Banach space $X$ is convex if

$$\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in C \quad \text{whenever } x, y \in C \text{ and } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

**Definition 1.1.17** The intersection of all closed, convex sets containing a set $G$ is a closed, convex set which contains $G$, and which is contained in every closed, convex set containing $G$. This set is the closed convex hull, or the convex closure of $G$ and is denoted by $\overline{\text{co}}(G)$.

**Definition 1.1.18** A Banach space $X$ is called uniformly convex if for
every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$, depending on $\varepsilon$, such that if $||x|| = ||y|| = 1$ and $||x-y|| \geq \varepsilon$ then $||x+y|| < 1-\delta$.

Remark 1.1.19 $X$ is uniformly convex if for any two points $x, y$ on the unit sphere $S$, the midpoint of the segment joining $x$ and $y$ can be close to but not on the sphere only if $x$ and $y$ are sufficiently close together. ($S = \{x \in X \mid ||x|| = 1\}$).

Definition 1.1.20 A Banach space $X$ is strictly convex if from $x, y \in X$ and $||x+y|| = ||x|| + ||y||$, it follows that $x = \lambda y$ where $\lambda > 0$.

Remark 1.1.21 $X$ is a strictly convex Banach space if whenever $||x|| = ||y|| = 1$ and $x \neq y$ then $||\frac{x+y}{2}|| < 1$. Thus, a uniformly convex space is strictly convex but not conversely.

1.2 Fixed point Concepts.

Fixed point theorems are useful mainly in existence theory of differential equations, partial differential equations, random differential equations, and in related areas. They have a very fruitful application in eigenvalue problems and boundary value problems.

Definition 1.2.1 Let $T:X \to X$ be a function on set $X$. A point $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed point of $T$ if $Tx_0 = x_0$, that is a point which remains invariant under a transformation $T$. We denote the set of fixed points of $T$ by $F(T)$.

Example 1.2.2 Let $T:[0,1] \to [0,1]$ be defined by $T(x) = \frac{x}{10}$.
Then \( T(0) = 0 \) and \( \hat{0} \) is a fixed point of \( T \).

**Definition 1.2.2** A mapping \( T : X \to X \) is a **contraction map** if

\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < k < 1.
\]

The following well-known theorem is due to Banach [1]. We include the proof for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 1.2.3** Banach Contraction Principle.

Let \( T : X \to X \) be a contraction mapping on a complete metric space \( (X, d) \). Then \( T \) has a unique fixed point.

**Proof** Let \( x_0 \) be any point in \( X \) and let

\[
x_n = Tx_{n-1} = T^n x_0.
\]

We wish to show \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.

Because \( T \) is a contraction mapping, we have

\[
d(x_1, x_2) = d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq kd(x_0, x_1),
\]

\[
d(x_2, x_3) = d(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq kd(x_1, x_2) \leq k^2 d(x_1, x_0),
\]

and in general

\[
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \leq k^n d(x_0, x_1).
\]

Now,
\[ d(x_n, x_m) = d(T^n x_0, T^m x_0) \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_{m-n}), \text{ for } m > n \]
\[ \leq \alpha^n [d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + \ldots + d(x_{m-n-1}, x_{m-n})] \]
\[ \leq \alpha^n [d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha d(x_0, x_1) + \ldots + \alpha^{m-n-1} d(x_0, x_1)] \]
\[ \leq \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) \left[ 1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \ldots + \alpha^{m-n-1} \right] \]
\[ = \frac{\alpha^n d(x_0, x_1)}{1 - \alpha} \]

Since \( \alpha < 1 \), \( d(x_n, x_m) \) is arbitrarily small for large \( n \). Thus, \( \{x_n\} \)

is a Cauchy sequence.

Show \( T \) has a fixed point.

Set the \( \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \). Since \( T \) is continuous

\[ Tx = T \lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} T x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{n+1} = x. \]

Thus, \( T \) has at least one fixed point.

Show \( T \) has only one fixed point.

Assume \( T \) has two distinct fixed points; say \( Tx = x \) and \( Ty = y \) where \( x \neq y \).

Then \( d(x, y) = d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) \).

That is, \( \alpha > 1 \), a contradiction to the fact that \( \alpha < 1 \).

Hence

\[ d(x, y) = 0 \]

and

\[ x = y. \]

Thus, \( T \) has one and only one fixed point.

Q.E.D.
Remark 1.2.4 Both conditions of the Theorem are necessary.

1. The mapping $T:(0,1) \rightarrow (0,1)$ defined by $Tx = x/2$ is a contraction map but has no fixed point, since $(0,1)$ is not a complete space.

2. The mapping $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $Tx = x+5$ is not a contraction and has no fixed points although $\mathbb{R}$ is complete.

Remark 1.2.5 The construction of the sequence $(x_n)$ and the study of its convergence is known as the method of successive approximations.

Definition 1.2.6 A mapping $T:X \rightarrow X$ is contractive if

$$d(Tx,Ty) < d(x,y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ where } x \neq y.$$  

Remark 1.2.7 A contractive mapping is continuous and clearly is more general than a contraction mapping.

Remark 1.2.8 Completeness of a space and a contractive mapping are not enough to ensure the existence of a fixed point.

Let $T: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $Tx = x + \frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan x$. Then $T$ is contractive, but $T$ does not have a fixed point, since $\arctan x < \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all $x$.

Therefore, fixed point theorems for contractive mappings require further restrictions on the space or extra conditions on the mapping or its range.
Definition 1.2.9 A mapping \( T : X \to X \) is said to be non-expansive if
\[
d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.
\]

Example 1.2.10 A translation map, identity map, and isometry are examples of non-expansive mappings.

Definition 1.2.11 A mapping \( T : X \to X \) is said to be quasi-non-expansive provided \( T \) has at least one fixed point \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \) and if \( p \in F(T) \) then
\[
||Tx - p|| \leq ||x - p|| \quad \text{for all } x \in X.
\]

Definition 1.2.12 A mapping \( T : X \to X \), is said to be asymptotically regular if
\[
||T^{n+1}x - T^n x|| \text{ converges to 0 as } n \to \infty, \text{ for all } x \in X.
\]

Definition 1.2.13 The set \( L(x) \) will denote the set of subsequential limit points of the sequence of iterates \( \{T^n x\}_{m=1}^\infty \).

That is the set of all \( y \in X \) such that
\[
y = \lim_{m \to \infty} T^{n_i} x \text{ for some subsequence } (T^{n_i} x)_{i=1}^\infty \text{ of the sequence } \{T^m x\}_{m=1}^\infty.
\]
CHAPTER II
CONVERGENCE OF THE SEQUENCE OF ITERATES

2.1 Introduction

Let $T$ be a non-expansive mapping of a Banach Space $X$ into itself. The question we are concerned with is finding the fixed points of $T$ by an iteration method

$$x_{n+1} = T x_n, \ n = 0, 1, 2 \ldots$$

(1)

where $x_0$ is a given initial approximation.

The basic question is whether or not the sequence in (1) converges. If $T$ is a contraction mapping then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to the unique fixed point of $T$. However, if $T$ is non-expansive then there is no guarantee that the sequence will converge and generally it does not.

Consider the following simple examples of non-expansive mappings which do not have convergent sequences.

**Example 2.1.1** If $T$ is a translation from $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$.

**Example 2.1.2** If $T$ is a rotation of the plane around the origin.

**Remark 2.1.3** In the second example the sequence $\{x_n\}$ does not converge in general. It converges only if the initial approximation is the origin.

We will investigate this concept of convergence of iterates for more general forms of iterations and various types of non-expansive mappings.
2.2 Convergence of a sequence of iterates

Consider mappings of the form

\[ T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \]

for \( T : X \to X \), and the sequence of iterates \( \{T_\lambda^n x_0\} \). Under certain restrictions this sequence of iterates converges.

The first result of this form was given by Krasnosel'skii [11] for \( \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \) and is stated below without proof.

**Theorem 2.2.1** Let \( X \) be a uniformly convex Banach space, \( C \) be a closed convex subset of \( X \), and \( T : C \to C \) be a non-expansive mapping such that \( T(C) \) is contained in a compact subset of \( C \). Then for an arbitrary \( x_0 \in C \) the sequence of iterates

\[ x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + Tx_n) \]

converges to a fixed point of \( T \) in \( C \).

This result was extended by Schaeffer [18] for \( \lambda > 0, \lambda < 1 \) where the sequence of iterates was

\[ x_{n+1} = \lambda x_n + (1-\lambda)Tx_n. \]

Edelstein [7] further extended Theorem 2.2.1 to a strictly convex Banach space.

Diaz and Metcalf [4] derived the following more general result which contained the result of Edelstein as a corollary.
Theorem 2.2.2 Let $T : X \to X$ be a continuous function, and suppose
that
i) $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,
ii) for each $x \in X$ with $x \notin F(T)$, $p \in F(T)$,
    
    $$d(Tx, p) < d(x, p).$$

Let $x \in X$. Then either $\{T^nx\}$ has no convergent subsequence or
$\lim_{n \to \infty} T^nx$ exists and belongs to $F(T)$.

Proof

Assume $L(x) \neq \emptyset$, otherwise there is nothing to prove.

Show $\lim_{n \to \infty} T^nx$ exists. If $T^nx \in F(T)$ for some integer $n \geq 1$, then
$\lim_{n \to \infty} T^nx$ exists and belongs to $F(T)$.

Assume, therefore, that $T^nx \notin F(T)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Then for
any $y \in F(T)$

$$d(T^{n+1}x, y) < d(T^nx, y), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

Thus, the sequence of reals is decreasing and a limit exists.

Show $L(x) \subseteq F(T)$. Let $T^nx \to p$ and we wish to show $p \in F(T)$.

Assume $p \notin F(T)$. Then for $y \in F(T)$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^{n+1}x, y) = d(\lim_{n \to \infty} T^nx, y) < d(\lim_{n \to \infty} T^nx, y)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^nx, y).$$

This is a contradiction since the sequence $\{d(T^nx, y)\}$ converges.
Therefore \( L(x) \subseteq F(T) \).

Show \( L(x) \) contains at most one point.

Assume to the contrary that \( L(x) \) contains at least two distinct points \( p \) and \( q \). Then there are strictly increasing sequences of positive integers \( \{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) such that \( \lim_{i \to \infty} T^{m_i}x = p \) and \( \lim_{i \to \infty} T^{n_i}x = q \). Now take a subsequence \( \{m'_{i_{n_i}}\}_{i_{n_i}=1}^{\infty} \) of \( \{m_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \) so that \( m'_{i_{n_i}} > n_i \) for \( i_{n_i} = 1, 2 \ldots \). Then \( \lim_{i_{n_i} \to \infty} T^{m'_{i_{n_i}}}x = p \).

Furthermore, because \( p \neq q \) we have \( T^{m}x \notin F(T) \) for \( m = 1, 2 \ldots \).

But condition (ii) implies
\[
d(T^{m_i}x, q) < d(T^{m_{i-1}}x, q) < \ldots < d(T^{n_i}x, q)
\]
and since \( \lim_{i \to \infty} d(T^{n_i}x, q) = 0 \). This implies \( \lim_{i \to \infty} T^{n_i}x = q \).

This is a contradiction of the assumption \( p \neq q \) and therefore \( L(x) \) contains at most one point.

Show \( \{T^m x\} \) converges to \( y \in F(T) \).

Since \( T^{n_i}x \to y \) therefore, for \( m > n_i \),
\[
|d(T^m x, y) - d(T^{m-1} x, y)| < \ldots < d(T^{n_i} x, y) < \varepsilon.
\]

This implies \( T^m x \to y \).

Q.E.D.

Using the above theorem Diaz and Metcalf [4] proved the following theorem which we state without proof.

**Theorem 2.2.3** Let \( C \) be a closed, convex subset of a strictly convex
Let $X$ be a Banach space and $T \colon X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying

- i) $||Tx - Ty|| < ||x - y||$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- ii) $T(X)$ is contained in a compact subset $C$ of $X$.

Then for $x \in C$, the sequence of iterates $(T^n x)$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.

Remark 2.2.4 We note that the earlier results are special cases. In the case that $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, we get the result due to Edelstein [7]. In the case where $X$ is a uniformly convex Banach space, we get the result of Schaeffer [18]. Finally, in the case where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and $X$ is a uniformly convex Banach space we get the result of Krasnoselskii [11].

The following result is also due to Diaz and Metcalf [4], it introduces a quasi non-expansive mapping.

Theorem 2.2.5 Let $X$ be a Banach space and $T$ be a continuous mapping of $X$ into itself such that

i) $T$ is asymptotically regular,

ii) $T$ is quasi non-expansive; i.e. whenever $p \in F(T)$,

$$||Tx - p|| \leq ||x - p||$$

for all $x \in X$.

Suppose that for some $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $(T^n x_0)$ has a convergent subsequence

$$\{T^n x_0\} \to z;$$

then $z$ is a fixed point of $T$ and $(T^n x_0) \to z$.

The following well-known theorem is due to Browder and Petryshyn [3].
Theorem 2.2.6. Let \( T : X \to X \) be a non-expansive, asymptotically regular mapping in a Banach space \( X \). Let \( F(T) \) be non-empty, and let \( T \) satisfy the following condition.

(A) \( (I - T) \) maps bounded closed sets into closed sets.

Then for each \( x_0 \in X \), the sequence \( \{T^n x_0\} \) converges to some point in \( F(T) \).

Proof If \( y \in F(T) \) then

\[
|T^{n+1} x_0 - y| \leq |T^n x_0 - y|, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

and the sequence \( \{T^n x_0\} \) is bounded. Let \( G \) be the closure of \( \{T^n x_0\} \). By condition (A) it follows that \( (I - T)G \) is closed. This along with the fact that \( T \) is asymptotically regular gives \( 0 \) in \( (I - T)G \). Thus, there exists a \( Z \in G \) such that \( (I - T)Z = 0 \); i.e., \( Z = TZ \).

Now this implies either \( Z = T^n x_0 \) for some \( n \), or there exists a subsequence \( \{T^n x_0\} \) converging to \( Z \). Since \( Z \) is a fixed point, it can be concluded that the sequence \( \{T^n x_0\} \) converges to \( Z \).

Q.E.D.

Diaz and Metcalf [4] proved the following.

Theorem 2.2.7 Let \( T : X \to X \) be a continuous, quasi non-expansive mapping of a Banach space \( X \) into itself such that
i) $T$ is asymptotically regular,

ii) the continuous real valued function $f$, defined by

$$f(x) = ||(I-T)x||$$

for $x \in X$, maps bounded, closed subsets of $X$ into closed sets of real numbers.

Then, for $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to some point in $F(T)$.

Proof The function $f$ maps bounded, closed subsets of $X$ into closed sets of real numbers.

Let $D$ be a bounded, closed set in $X$. Then condition (ii) implies that

$$f(D) = \{|| (I-T)x || \mid x \in D\}$$

is a closed set of real numbers. The norm function is continuous and therefore the inverse image of the set $f(D)$, with respect to the norm function, namely the set $(I-T)D$ is a closed subset of $X$.

Thus, every bounded, closed set $D$ is mapped by $I-T$ into a closed set.

Therefore, condition (ii) implies (A) of Theorem 2.2.6. Hence, the proof follows on the same lines as that of Theorem 2.2.6.

Q.E.D.

Diaz and Metcalf proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.8 Let $T:X + X$ be a continuous, quasi non-expansive
asymptotically regular mapping of a Banach space \( X \) into itself.

Suppose \( T \) satisfies

\( (A) \) \( (I-T) \) maps bounded, closed subsets of \( X \) into closed subsets of \( X \).

Then for \( x_0 \in X \), the sequence \( \{T^n x_0\} \) converges to a fixed point of \( T \).

Dotson proved the following [5].

**Theorem 2.2.9** Let \( X \) be a uniformly convex Banach space and \( T \) a quasi-non-expansive mapping of \( X \) into itself. Define the mapping \( T_\lambda \) by

\[
T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.
\]

Then the mapping \( T_\lambda \) is quasi non-expansive and asymptotically regular.

(See also Yadav's thesis for the proof).

**Theorem 2.2.10** Let \( T \) be a continuous, quasi non-expansive mapping of a uniformly convex Banach space \( X \) into itself. Also, if \( T \) satisfies

\( (A) \) \( (I-T) \) maps closed, bounded subsets of \( X \) into closed subsets of \( X \).

Define \( T_\lambda : X \to X \) by

\[
T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.
\]

Then for \( x_0 \in X \), the sequence \( \{T^n_\lambda x_0\} \) converges to some point in \( F(T) \).

**Proof** From Theorem 2.2.9 it follows that \( T_\lambda \) is a continuous, quasi non-expansive asymptotically regular mapping. Also \( F(T) = F(T_\lambda) \). Moreover, \( T_\lambda \) satisfies condition (A).
Thus, $T$ satisfies all the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2.8.

Q.E.D.

**Definition 2.2.11** Let $D$ be a bounded subset of a metric space $X$. We define the measure of non-compactness

$$
\alpha(D) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid D \text{ admits a finite covering consisting of subsets of diameter } \leq \varepsilon \}.
$$

**Remark 2.2.12** The following properties of $\alpha$ are very useful. See Nussbaum [12] for a proof.

1. $\alpha(A) \leq \delta(A)$ where $\delta(A) = \text{diameter of } A$.
2. If $A \subseteq B$, then $\alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$.
3. $\alpha(\bar{A}) = \alpha(A)$ where $\bar{A}$ is the closure of $A$.
4. $\alpha(A \cup B) = \max\{\alpha(A), \alpha(B)\}$.

**Definition 2.2.13** Let $T$ be a continuous mapping of a Banach space $X$ into itself. Then $T$ is **densifying** if

1. for all bounded sets $A \subseteq X$, we have $T(A)$ bounded and
2. $\alpha(TA) < \alpha(A)$ for $\alpha(A) > 0$.

The following theorem due to Nussbaum [13] is stated without proof.

**Theorem 2.2.14** Let $T : C \to C$ be a densifying mapping defined on a closed, bounded convex subset $C$ of a Banach space $X$.

Then $T$ has at least one fixed point. This result was also given
by Puri and Vignoli: Fixed points for densifying mappings, Accad.

The following Theorem is due to Singh [20].

**Theorem 2.2.15** Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $C$ be a closed, bounded, convex subset of $X$. Let $T: C \to C$ be a densifying mapping. Define a mapping

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1$$

such that $T_\lambda: C \to C$ and let $T_\lambda$ satisfy

(A), whenever $p \in F(T_\lambda)$,

$$||T_\lambda x - p|| < ||x - p||$$

for all $x \in C - T(T_\lambda)$.

Then for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence of iterates $\{T_\lambda^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.

**Proof** $F(T_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ from Theorem 2.2.14. Because $T$ is densifying, $T_\lambda$ is also densifying and $F(T_\lambda) \neq \emptyset$.

If we show that $\{T_\lambda^n x_0\}$ has a convergent subsequence the proof will follow from Theorem 2.2.2.

For each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence

$$A = \{T_\lambda^n x_0 \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$$

is bounded and it is transformed to

$$B = T_\lambda A = \{T_\lambda^n x_0 \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots\}.$$
Now \( a(A) = a(B \cup \{x_0\}) \)
\[= \max \{a(B), a(x_0)\} \]
\[= a(B).\]
Therfore, \( a(A) = 0 \) since \( T_\lambda \) is densifying.

Thus, \( \bar{A} \) is compact and \( \{T^n_\lambda x_0\} \) has a convergent subsequence.

Thus the result follows from Theorem 2.2.2.

Q.E.D.

The following result due to Petryshyn [14] follows as a corollary to Theorem 2.2.15.

**Theorem 2.2.16** Let \( X \) be a strictly convex Banach space, \( C \) be a closed, bounded, convex subset of \( X \), and \( T:C \to C \) be a densifying, non-expansive mapping.

Let \( T_\lambda = \lambda I + (I-\lambda)T \), \( 0 < \lambda < 1 \).

Then, for each \( x_0 \in C \), the sequence
\[x_{n+1} = T^n_\lambda x_0\]
converges to a fixed point of \( T \).

In the following theorem due to Yadav [24] quasi non-expansive mapping is used in place of non-expansive.

**Theorem 2.2.17** Let \( X \) be a strictly convex Banach space, \( C \) be a closed, bounded, convex subset of \( X \), and \( T:C \to C \) be a densifying mapping. Define \( T_\lambda : C \to C \) by
\[ T_\lambda = \lambda I + (1-\lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1, \]
such that
\[ ||T_\lambda x - p|| < ||x - p|| \]
for all \( x \in C \) and \( p \in F(T_\lambda) \). Then, for each \( x_0 \in C \), the sequence \( \{T_\lambda^n x_0\} \) converges to a fixed point of \( T \).

**Proof.** Because \( T_\lambda \) is quasi-non-expansive and \( X \) is a strictly convex Banach space, we have
\[ ||T_\lambda x - p|| < ||x - p|| \quad \text{for all} \]
\[ p \in F(T_\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad x \in C - F(T_\lambda). \]

The proof follows from Theorem 2.2.15.

The following result was given by Singh and Yadav [23].

**Theorem 2.2.18.** Let \( X \) be a Banach space, \( C \) be a closed, bounded, convex subset of \( X \), and \( T:C \to C \) be a densifying mapping. Define \( S:C \to C \) by
\[ S = a_0 I + a_1 T + a_2 T^2 + \ldots + a_K T^K, \]
where \( a_0 > 0, \quad a_1 > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{K} a_i = 1. \)

Let \( S \) satisfy
\[ ||Sx - p|| < ||x - p|| \quad \text{for} \quad p \in F(S) \]
and \( x \in C - F(S) \). Then, for each \( x_0 \in C \), the sequence \( \{S^n x_0\} \)
converges to a fixed point of $T$.

**Proof** By Theorem 2.2.14, we can say

$$F(T) = F(S) \neq \phi.$$ 

Since $T$ is densifying, $S$ is also densifying and therefore, the sequence of iterates $(S^n x_0)$ has a convergent subsequence (as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.15).

Then the proof follows from Theorem 2.2.15.

Q.E.D.

**Remark 2.2.19** By putting appropriate values of $\lambda$ and the $a_i$'s, it is possible to get the results of Diaz and Metcalf [4], Edelstein [7], Kirk [10], Krasnoselskii [11], Petryshyn [14], Reinermann [16], Schaeffer [18], and Singh [20] as corollaries.

Singh and Riggio [22] gave the following Theorem which is an extension of a result given by Barbutti and Guerra [2].

**Theorem 2.2.20** Let $X$ be a strictly convex Banach space, $C$ be a closed, bounded, convex, subset of $X$, and $T: C \to C$ be a densifying mapping satisfying

$$(B^*) \quad ||Tx - Ty|| \leq a||x - y|| + b(||Tx - x|| + ||Ty - y||), \quad x, y \in X.$$ 

Let $T : C \to C,$

$$T_\lambda = \lambda I + (I - \lambda)T, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$
Then, for each $x_0 \in C$, the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of $T$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2.14:

$$F(T) = F(T_a) \neq \emptyset.$$ 

Since $T$ satisfies (B) and $X$ is a strictly convex Banach space, we get

$$||T_x x - p || < ||x - p || \quad \text{for} \quad p \in F(T_a)$$

and for all $x \in C - F(T_a)$.

Then the proof follows from Theorem 2.2.15.

Q.E.D.

The following Theorem was given by Singh [21].

Theorem 2.2.21 Let $X$ be a strictly convex Banach space, $C$ be a closed, bounded, convex subset of $X$, and $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a densifying mapping satisfying

$$(A') \quad ||T_x x - y|| \leq a ||x - y|| + b (||x - T_x|| + ||y - T_y||) + c (||x - T_x|| + ||y - T_y||)$$

where $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $a + 2b + 2c \leq 1$.

Then for each $x_0 \in C$ the sequence of iterates $\{T^n x_0\}$ converges to a fixed point of $T$. 

Proof We wish to show that \(|T_ax - p| < |x - p|\) for \(p \in \mathbb{F}(T_\lambda)\) and for all \(x \in X - \mathbb{F}(T_\lambda)\). Then the proof will follow from Theorem 2.2.15.

Take \(p \in \mathbb{F}(T)\), then from \((A^\prime)\) we have

\[|T_x - p| \leq a|x - p| + b(|x - Tx|) + c(|x - p| + |p - Tx|)\]

\[(1-c)|T_x - p| \leq (a+c)|x - p| + b|x - Tx|\]

However,

\[|x - Tx| = |x - p + p - Tx| \leq |x - p| + |p - Tx|\]

and substituting we get

\[(1-c)|T_x - p| \leq (a+c)|x - p| + b|x - p| + b|p - Tx|\]

\[(1-b-c)|T_x - p| \leq (a+b+c)|x - p|\]

\[|T_x - p| \leq \frac{a+b+c}{1-b-c}|x - p|\]

Thus, \(|T_x - p| \leq |x - p|\).

In the case \(x \neq p\), we have

\[|T_\lambda x - p| = |T_\lambda x - T_\lambda p|\]

\[= |\lambda(T_x - p) + (I - \lambda)(x - p)|\]

\[= |x - p| \left| \frac{\lambda(T_x - p)}{|x - p|} + \frac{1 - \lambda}{|x - p|} \right|\]

If strict inequality holds, we get
\[
\| \lambda (T(x-p)) + (1-\lambda) (x-p) \| \leq \lambda \| T(x-p) \| + (1-\lambda) < 1.
\]

Hence,
\[
\| T_{\lambda} x-p \| < \| x-p \|.
\]

In the case equality holds, since \( (T(x-p))/\| x-p \| \) and \( (x-p)/\| x-p \| \) have unit norm and since \( X \) is a strictly convex Banach space, we get
\[
\| (T_{\lambda} x-p)/\| x-p \| \| < 1.
\]

Thus, \( \| T_{\lambda} x-p \| < \| x-p \| \) for \( x \neq p \).

Q.E.D.

Remark 2.2.22 In case \( b = c = 0, \ a = 1, \ \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \) we get a result due to Edelstein [7]. In case \( c = 0 \), we get Theorem 2.2.20 due to Singh and Riggio [22].

In case \( c = 0 \) and \( T \) is completely continuous, we get the result due to Barbuzzi and Guerra [2].

The following result is due to Petryshyn and Williamson [15].

Theorem 2.2.23 Let \( C \) be a closed subset of a Banach space \( X \) and let \( T: C \rightarrow X \) be continuous and satisfy

i) \( F(T) = \emptyset \)

ii) for each \( x \in C \) and \( p \in F(T) \),
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - p \| \leq \| x - p \| \]

\text{iii) there exists an } x_0 \in C \text{ such that}

\[ x_n = T^n(x_0) \in C \text{ for } n \geq 1. \]

Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges to a fixed point of \( T \) in \( C \) if and only if

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0. \]

\textbf{Proof} The condition \( \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0 \) is clearly necessary. To show that it is sufficient we shall assume it is true and show that \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.

Given \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is an \( n_1 > N \) such that \( n > n_1 \), then

\[ d(x_n, F(T)) < \epsilon/2. \]

For \( \ell, k \geq n_1 \)

\[ \| x_\ell - x_k \| = \| x_\ell - p - x_k + x_k - p \| \leq \| x_\ell - p \| + \| x_k - p \| \]

and for \( p \in F(T) \) we have the following from quasi non-expansive (ii)

\[ \| x_\ell - p \| \leq \| x_n - p \|, \]

\[ \| x_k - p \| \leq \| x_n - p \|, \]

\[ \| x_\ell - x_k \| \leq 2\| x_n - p \|. \]

Taking the infimum over \( p \in F(T) \) gives

\[ \| x_\ell - x_k \| \leq 2d(x_n, F(T)) < \epsilon. \]

Thus \( \{x_n\} \) is a Cauchy sequence.
Now we know that \( \{x_n\} \) converges to a point in \( C \) since \( C \) is closed. Furthermore, because \( T \) is continuous, \( F(T) \) is closed, then

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0,
\]

implies that \( \{x_n\} \) converges to a point in \( F(T) \).

Q.E.D.

The following Theorem, also due to Petryshyn and Williamson [15], is a restatement of the last theorem for the mapping \( T_\lambda \).

**Theorem 2.2.24** Let \( C \) be a closed convex subset of a Banach space \( X \) and let \( T: C \to X \) be a continuous mapping satisfying

(i) \( F(T) \neq \emptyset \),

(ii) \( T \) is quasi-nonexpansive,

(iii) there exists an \( x_0 \in C \) such that

\[
x_n = T_\lambda^n(x_0) \in C \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,
\]

and some \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \).

Then, \( \{x_n\} \) converges to a fixed point of \( T \) in \( C \) if and only if

\[
d(T_\lambda^n(x_0), F(T)) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

**Proof** We wish to show that \( T_\lambda \) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2.23.

Since \( C \) is convex, \( T_\lambda \) is well defined on \( C \) and \( F(T) = F(T_\lambda) \).

Condition (i) ensures the existence of a fixed point. Therefore,
for $p \in F(T)$, $\lambda \in (0,1)$, and $x \in C$ we have

$$||T_\lambda (x) - p|| = ||\lambda x + (1-\lambda)Tx - \lambda p - (1-\lambda)p||$$

$$\leq \lambda ||x - p|| + (1-\lambda)||Tx - p|| \leq ||x - p||.$$  

Thus, $T_\lambda$ is quasi non-expansive. From condition (ii), there is a $x_0 \in C$ such that $T_\lambda^n x_0 \in C$ for $n \geq 1$.

Hence, the conditions of Theorem 2.2.23 are satisfied.

Q.E.D.

2.3 Iterates of the form $\frac{tTn + (1-t_n) x_n}{n}$

The sequence of iterates discussed in section 2.2 is not a very general one and therefore the study of the sequence of iterates given as

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{tT_n + (1-t_n) x_n}{n}$$

with suitable restrictions on $t_n$ has been of considerable interest in recent years.

The following definitions are taken from Senter [19].

Definition 2.3.1 Let $P$ denote the set of positive integers and suppose $C$ is a convex non-empty subset of a Banach space $X$. For arbitrary $x_1 \in X,$

$$m(x_1, t_n, T)$$

will denote the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by
\[ x_{n+1} = (1-t_n) x_n + t_n T x_n \]

where \( t_n \in [a, b] \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( 0 < a < b < 1 \).

**Definition 2.3.2** Let \( X \) be a Banach space and \( C \) be a nonempty subset of \( X \). A mapping \( T : C \to C \) with a nonempty fixed point set \( F \) in \( C \) satisfies condition I if there is a nondecreasing function \( f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \) with \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( f(r) > 0 \) for \( r \in (0, \infty) \) such that
\[ |x - T x| \geq f(d(x, F)) \]

for all \( x \in C \) where
\[ d(x, F) = \inf \{ |x - z| : z \in F \}. \]

**Definition 2.3.3** If \( T : C \to C \) has a nonempty fixed point set \( F \), then \( T \) will be said to satisfy condition II provided there exists a real number \( \alpha > 0 \) such that
\[ |x - T x| \geq \alpha \cdot d(x, F) \]

for all \( x \in X \) where \( d(x, F) = \inf \{ |x - z| : z \in F \} \).

The following Lemmas are due to Dotson [5]. They are stated without proof.

**Lemma 2.3.4** (Dotson [5]) For a uniformly convex Banach space \( X \), if \( \{w_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) are sequences in the closed unit ball of \( X \) and if
\[ \{z_n\} = \{(1-t_n)w_n + t_n y_n\} \]

satisfies \( |z_n| = 1 \) where
Lemma 2.3.5 (Dotson [5]) Suppose \( C \) is a closed convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space \( X \).

If \( T: C \to C \) is quasi-nonexpansive then the fixed point set \( F \) of \( T \) is closed and convex.

The following theorem is due to Senter [19].

Theorem 2.3.6 Suppose \( X \) is a uniformly convex subset of \( X \) and \( T \) is a quasi non-expansive mapping of \( C \) into \( C \). If \( T \) satisfies condition \( I \) where \( F \) is the fixed point set of \( T \) in \( C \), then for arbitrary \( x_1 \in X \), \( M(x_1, t_n, T) \) converges to a member of \( F \).

Proof

If \( x_1 \in F \) the result is trivial.

Show the limit exists.

Assume \( x_1 \in C \setminus F \). For arbitrary \( z \in F \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) (the set of positive integers), we have from quasi non-expansiveness that

\[
|Tx_n - z| \leq |x_n - z|
\]

and

\[
|x_{n+1} - z| \leq (1 - t_n) |x_n - z| + t_n |Tx_n - z|
\]

\[
\leq |x_n - z|.
\]

Thus, \( d(x_{n+1}, F) \leq d(x_n, F) \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

The sequence \( \{d(x_n, F)\} \) is non-increasing and bounded below, so
\[
\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) \text{ exists.}
\]

Show the limit must be zero. (Using Lemma 2.3.4)

Suppose \( \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = b > 0. \)

Then for arbitrary \( z_0 \in F \)

\[
\lim_{n\to\infty} |x_n - z_0| = b > 0.
\]

Let \( N > 0 \) be an integer such that \( |x_n - z_0| \leq 2b^2 \) for all \( n \geq N. \)

Let \( y_n = \frac{Tx_n - z_0}{|x_n - z_0|} \) and \( w_n = \frac{x_n - z_0}{|x_n - z_0|} \).

Then \( |y_n| < 1 \) and \( |w_n| = 1 \) for all \( n \in F \) and for \( n \geq N, \)

\[
|w_n - y_n| = \frac{|x_n - Tx_n|}{|x_n - z_0|} \geq \frac{f(d(x_n, F))}{|x_n - z_0|} \geq \frac{f(b)}{2b^2} > 0. \quad \text{(From Condition I).}
\]

Thus, \( |w_n - y_n| \neq 0. \)

Now, \( \lim_{n\to\infty} |(1-t_n)w_n + t_n y_n| = \lim_{n\to\infty} |x_n + z_0|/|x_n - z_0| = b/2 = 1. \)

This contradicts Lemma 2.3.4 since \( \lim |w_n - y_n| \neq 0 \) implies that

\[\lim |(1-t_n)w_n + t_n y_n| \neq 1.\]

Therefore, \( \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0. \)

Show \( \{x_n\} \) converges to an element of \( F \)

Since \( \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, F) = 0 \), there corresponds to each \( \epsilon > 0 \) a positive integer \( N_\epsilon \) and \( z_\epsilon \in F \) such that

\[
|x_n - z_\epsilon| < \frac{\epsilon}{4},
\]

which implies \( |x_n - z_\epsilon| < \epsilon/4 \) for all \( n > N_\epsilon. \)
If $\varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{2^k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then for each $\varepsilon_k$ there is an integer $N_k > 0$ and a $z_k \in F$ such that

$$|x_n - z_k| < \frac{\varepsilon_k}{4} \text{ for all } n \geq N_k.$$ 

We require $N_{k+1} > N_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We have for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|z_k - z_{k+1}| = |z_k - x_{k+1} + x_{k+1} - z_{k+1}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_k}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon_{k+1}}{2} = \frac{3\varepsilon_{k+1}}{4}.$$ 

Let $S(z, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : |x - z| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

For $x \in S(z_{k+1}, \varepsilon_{k+1})$ we have

$$|z_k - x| = |z_k - z_{k+1} + z_{k+1} - x| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon_{k+1}}{4} + \varepsilon_{k+1} < 2\varepsilon_{k+1} = \varepsilon_k.$$ 

Therefore $S(z_{k+1}, \varepsilon_{k+1}) \subseteq S(z_k, \varepsilon_k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $S(z_k, \varepsilon_k)$ is a nested sequence of nonvoid closed spheres with radii $\varepsilon_k$ tending to zero. By the Cantor Intersection Theorem, $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} S(z_k, \varepsilon_k)$ contains exactly one point, say $w$.

By Lemma 2.3.5, $F$ is closed and the sequence $\{z_k\}$ in $F$ converges to $w$, therefore $w \in F$.

We have

$$|z_k - w| < \varepsilon_k \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$|x_n - z_k| < \frac{\varepsilon_k}{4} \text{ for all } n \geq N_k,$$

hence

$$|x_n - w| < \frac{5\varepsilon_k}{4} \text{ for } n \geq N_k.$$
Thus \( \{x_n\} \to w \).

Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.3.7 Let \( X \) be a uniformly convex Banach space and \( C \) be closed convex subset of \( X \). Suppose \( T(C + C) \) satisfies

\[
(A) \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq \beta(|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|) \quad \text{where} \quad 0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}
\]

OR

\[
(B) \quad |Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + b|y - Ty| + c|y - Tx| + d|x - y|.
\]

where \( \frac{1-b-c-d}{1-a-c} = \alpha > 0 \)

\[2a + b + c + d \leq 1,\]

and \( a, b, c, d \geq 0 \)

\[\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0.\]

Then \( T \) satisfies condition II and for any \( x_1 \in C, M(x_1, t_n T) \)

converges to the fixed point of \( T \).

Proof:

Show (B) is quasi non-expansive

If \( p \) is a fixed point of \( T \) where \( T \) satisfies (B) we have

\[
|Tx - Tp| = |Tx - p| \leq a|x - Tx| + b|y - p| + c|p - Tx| + d|x - p|.
\]

\[
|Tx - Tp| \leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |x - p|
\]

and

\[
\frac{a}{1-c} |x - Tx| = \frac{a}{1-c} |x - p + p - Tx| \leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - p| + \frac{a}{1-c} |p - Tx|.
\]

Substituting,
\[ |Tx - p| \leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - p| + \frac{a}{1-c} |p - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |x - p|, \]

Let \( a = \frac{a}{1-c} \). Then

\[
|Tx - Tp| \leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - p| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |1 - a| |x - p|
\]

\[
= \frac{a+b+d}{1-c} \frac{1-c-a}{1-c} |x - p|
\]

\[
= \frac{a+b+d}{1-c-a} |x - p|
\]

The constant \( \frac{a+b+d}{1-c-a} \leq 1 \) because we know

\[
2a + b + c + d \leq 1
\]

\[
a + b + d \leq 1 - c - a
\]

\[
\frac{a+b+d}{1-c-a} \leq 1
\]

and therefore,

\[
|Tx - Tp| \leq |x - p|
\]

and

\[ T \text{ satisfying (B) is quasi non-expansive.} \]

Show (B) satisfies condition II.

Assume \( T \) satisfies (B) and \( p \) is the fixed point; then

\[
|Tx - p| = |Tx - Tp| \leq a|x - Tx| + b|x - p| + c|p - Tx| + d|x - p|
\]

\[
= \frac{a}{1-c} |x - Tx| + (b+d) |x - p| + c|p - Tx|
\]

\[
|Tx - p| \leq \frac{a}{1-c} |x - Tx| + \frac{b+d}{1-c} |x - p|
\]

Now

\[ |Tx - p| = |Tx - x + x - p| \geq |x - p| - |Tx - x| \]

Substituting;
The \( C^2 \) is positive by hypothesis.

Thus, \( T \) satisfying (B) meets condition II.

Show (A) quasi non-expansive.

If \( T \) satisfies (A) and \( p \) is the fixed point of \( T \), we have

\[
|T_x - T_p| \leq \beta |x - p| + \beta |p - T_x|
\]

\[
|T_x - T_p| \leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}
\]

and for \( 0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} \) we have

\[
|T_x - T_p| \leq |x - p|
\]

and \( T \) is quasi non-expansive.

Show (A) meets condition II.

Assume \( T \) satisfies (A) and \( p \) is the fixed point of \( T \);

then

\[
|T_x - T_p| = |T_x - p| \leq \beta |x - p| + \beta |p - T_x|
\]

\[
(1-\beta) |T_x - T_p| \leq \beta |x - p|
\]

Now

\[
|T_x - p| = |T_x - x + x - p| \geq |T_x - x| - |x - p|
\]

Substituting,
\[(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| - (1 - \beta) |x - p| \leq \beta |x - p|\]

\[(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| \leq (\beta + 1 - \beta) |x - p|\]

\[(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| \leq |x - p|\]

\[-(1 - \beta) |Tx - x| \geq -|x - p|\]

\[|Tx - x| \geq \frac{1}{\beta - 1} |x - p| \]

\[= \frac{1}{1 - \beta} |x - p|\]

and for \(0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}\) the constant is positive.

Thus \(T\) satisfies condition II for (A).

Because \(T\) satisfying (A) or (B), meets condition I, is quasi non-expansive, and \(\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0\), it follows from Theorem 2.3.6 that \(M(x_1, t_n, T)\) converges to the fixed point.

Q.E.D.

We will state the following Theorem given by Senter [19], show where one condition is in error, and finally show the corrected version is a corollary of Theorem 2.3.7.

**Theorem 2.3.8** Let \(X\) be a Banach space and \(C\) be a subset of \(X\).

Suppose \(T : C \to C\) satisfies either

(A) \[|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta (|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|),\]

\[0 < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}\]

OR

(B) \[|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + b|y - Ty| + c|x - y|,\]

where \(a, b > 0, c > 0\) and \(a + b + c < 1\), and has a (unique) fixed point in \(C\).

Then \(T\) satisfies condition II.
If $C$ is convex and closed and $X$ is uniformly convex, then for any $x_1 \in C$, $M(x_1, t_{\frac{n}{T}})$ converges to the fixed point of $T$.

Remark 2.3.9 The proof for this theorem follows the same lines as Theorem 2.3.7. The error occurred when (B) was assumed to be quasi non-expansive with the restrictions given. However, assuming $p$ is the fixed point of $T$ and $T$ satisfies (B) we get

$$|Tx-p| \leq a|x-Tx| + c|x-p|,$$

Now,

$$|x-Tx| \leq |x-p| + |p-Tx|,$$

hence

$$|Tx-p| \leq a|x-p| + a|p-Tx| + c|x-p|,$$

i.e.

$$(1-a)|Tx-p| \leq (a+c)|x-p|,$$

$$|Tx-p| \leq \frac{a+c}{1-a} |x-p|.$$ 

Thus, (B) is quasi non-expansive only when

$$\frac{a+c}{1-a} \leq 1 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad a+c \leq 1-a \quad \text{and} \quad 2a + c \leq 1.$$

Therefore, there are not enough restrictions attached to the constants in (B).

We now restate the theorem assuming that $a = b$ in Senter's notation.

Theorem 2.3.10 (Corrected). Let $X$ be a Banach space and $C$ be a subset of $X$. Suppose $T:C \to C$ satisfies either,
\[ (A') |Tx - Ty| \leq B(|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|), \ 0 \leq B \leq \frac{1}{2}, \]

or

\[ (B') |Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + c|x - y| \quad \text{where } c \neq 1 \text{ and} \]

\[ 2a + c \leq 1, \]

and has a (unique) fixed point in \( C. \)

Then \( T \) satisfies condition II.

If \( C \) is convex and closed and \( X \) is uniformly convex, then for any \( x_1 \in C, M(x_1, t_n, T) \) converges to the fixed point of \( T. \)

**Remark 2.3.11.** The proof follows the same lines as Theorem 2.3.7. We will only show that \((B')\) is quasi non-expansive.

Assuming \( p \) is the fixed point of \( T \) and \( T \) satisfies \((B')\) we have

\[ |Tx - p| \leq a|x - Tx| + c|x - p|. \]

Now

\[ |x - Tx| \leq |x - p| + |p - Tx|, \]

and

\[ |Tx - p| \leq a|x - p| + a|p - Tx| + c|x - p|. \]

\[ (1-a) |Tx - p| \leq (a+c)|x - p| \]

\[ |Tx - p| \leq \frac{a+c}{1-a} |x - p|. \]

Thus, \( T \) satisfying \((B')\) is quasi non-expansive when

\[ \frac{a+c}{1-a} < 1, \]

or

\[ a+c < 1-a, \]

\[ 2a+c < -1. \]
Remark 2.3.12. The corrected statement of Senter Theorem (Theorem 2.3.10) follows as a corollary of Theorem 2.3.7.

1) Taking (B) with \(a = b, c, d = 0\) we get
\[|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta |x - Tx| + \beta |y - Ty|\]
with the restrictions
\[\beta > 0, \quad \frac{1}{1 - \beta} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\beta < 1.
\]
or \(0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\).
This is the same as \((A')\) of Senter.

2) Taking (B) with \(b, c = 0\) we get
\[|Tx - Ty| \leq a |x - Tx| + a |y - Ty| + d |x - y|\]
with the restrictions
\[2a + d < 1\]
and
\[\frac{1 - d}{1 + a} > 0\]
or equivalently \(d \neq 1\).
This is the same as \((B')\) of Senter if \(c\) of Senter is substituted for \(d\) above.

The remainder of the Theorems are equivalent and thus, Senter's Theorem follows as a corollary of Theorem 2.3.7.

The following definition is taken from Rhoades [17].

Definition 2.3.13 Let \(a, b, \gamma\) be real non-negative numbers satisfying \(a < 1, \beta, \gamma < \frac{1}{2}\). We say \(T: X \to X\) satisfies condition \(Z\) if for each \(x, y \in X\), at least one of the following is satisfied:

i) \[|Tx - Ty| \leq a |x - y|,\]

ii) \[|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta (|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|),\]
iii) \(|Tx - Ty| \leq \gamma (|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|)\).

Remark 2.3.14 We will show that condition Z is a subset of condition (B) in Theorem 2.3.4.

Condition (B) states

\[|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + b|x - Ty| + c|y - Tx| + d|x - y|\]

with \(a, b, c, d > 0\), \(2a + b + c + d < 1\) and \(\frac{1-b-c-d}{1+a-c} = a > 0\).

1. Taking (B) with \(a, b, c, d = 0\) and \(a = d\) we get

\[|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - y|\]

with the restrictions \(1 - a > 0\), \(a > 0\) or \(0 < a < 1\).

This is (i) of Rhoades' definition.

2. Taking (B) with \(a = 0, b = c = \beta\) and \(d = 0\) we get

\[|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta(|x - Ty| + |y - Tx|)\]

with the restrictions \(\beta > 0\) and \(\frac{1-2\beta}{1-\beta} > 0\) or equivalently, \(0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\).

This is condition (iii) of Rhoades' definition.

3. Taking (B) with \(a = \beta, b, c, d = 0\) we get

\[|Tx - Ty| \leq \beta(|x - Tx| + |y - Ty|)\]

with the restrictions \(\beta > 0\), \(2\beta < 1\) and \(\frac{1}{1+\beta} > 0\) or equivalently, \(0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}\).

This includes condition (ii) of Rhoades but it is to be noted that it also includes \(\beta = \frac{1}{2}\).
Remark 2.3.15: Several new conditions similar to Rhoades can be derived from (B) with different combinations of the constants. For example:

1. Taking (B) with $c, d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + b|y - Ty| + b|x - Ty|$$

$$2a + b \leq 1 \text{ and } b \neq 1.$$  

2. Taking (B) with $b, d = 0$ we get

$$|Tx - Ty| \leq a|x - Tx| + a|y - Ty| + c|y - Tx|$$

$$2a + c \leq 1 \text{ and } c \neq 1.$$  

We give the following theorem which extends Theorem 2.2.24 of Petryshyn and Williamson to a more general sequence of iterates; namely

$$x_{n+1} = t_nTx_n + (1 - t_n)x_n.$$  

Theorem 2.3.16 Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a Banach space $X$ and $T:C \to X$ be a continuous function. If the following conditions are satisfied

i) $F(T) \neq \emptyset$,

ii) $|Tx - p| \leq |x - p|$ for all $x \in C$ and every $p \in F(T)$,

iii) $x_{n+1} = t_nTx_n + (1 - t_n)x_n$ for all $x_0 \in C$ where $t_n \in [a, b]$ with $0 < a < b < 1$,

iv) $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, F(T)) = 0$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to a fixed point of $T$. 

Proof. We will construct a notation similar to Petryshyn and Williamson by setting

\[ x_{n+1} = T_{t_n} x_n = (1-t_n)x_n + t_n T x_n. \]

We wish to show that \( F(T) = F(T_{t_n}) \).

a) Consider

\[ T_{t_n} x = t_n T x + (1-t_n)x. \]

Let \( x_0 = T x_0 \).

Then

\[ T_{t_n} x_0 = t_n T x_0 + (1-t_n)x_0 \]
\[ = t_n x_0 + (1-t_n)x_0 = x_0. \]

That is \( T_{t_n} \) has a fixed point \( x_0 \).

b) Show \( x_0 = T x_0 \) if \( T_{t_n} x_0 = x_0 \)

\[ x_0 = t_n T x_0 + (1-t_n)x_0 \]
\[ = t_n x_0 + t_n x_0 = x_0. \]

Therefore, \( F(T) = F(T_{t_n}) \).

Show \( T_{t_n} \) is quasi non-expansive

That is to show \( |T_{t_n} x - p| \leq |x - p| \) for \( p \in F(T_{t_n}) \).

Taking the left hand side of the equation.
\[
|t_n T x + (1-t_n)x - p|
= |t_n T x - t_n p + t_n p + (1-t_n)x - p|
= |t_n T x - t_n p + (1-t_n)(x-p)|
\leq t_n |T x - p| + (1-t_n) |x - p|
\]

We are given that \(|T x - p| \leq |x - p|\).

Therefore
\[
|t_n x - p| \leq t_n |x - p| + (1-t_n) |x - p| \leq |x - p|
\]

We now have all the conditions necessary for Theorem 2.2.24 of Petryshyn and Williamson [15] to hold.

Therefore, \(\{x_n\}\) converges to a fixed point of \(T\).

Q.E.D.
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