THE CONTRIBUTION OF MULTIPATHING EFFECTS IN GROUND-WAVE RADAR RETURN FROM THE SEA SURFACE



TOTAL OF 10 PAGES ONLY MAY BE XEROXED

(Without Author's Permission)

RAVI SWARUP SRIVASTAVA







THE CONTRIBUTION OF MULTIPATHING EFFECTS IN GROUND-WAVE RADAR REQUEN FROM THE SEA SURFACE -

by

(C.) Ravi Swarup Srivastava, B. Eng.

A-thesis-submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Engineering

Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science * Memorial University of Newfoundland

November 1987

Newfoundland

St. John's

Prmission has been granted to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author (copyright owner) has reserved other publication rights, and heither the thesis nor extensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her written permission. L'autorisation à été accordée à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de microfilmer cette thèse et de prêter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

L'auteur (titulaire du droit d'auteur) se réserve les autres droits de publication, ni la thèse ni de longs extraits de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autorisation écrite.

SBN 0-315-43365-5

The second-order ground wave spectral cross section of the ocean surface given by existing theories corresponds to the case where both theoretical scatterings occur within the bounds of a specific area or patch of the ocean surface. Another additional term in the second-order cross section given by Walsh and Srivastava (1987), which represents the phenomenon where at least one scattering occurs outside the bounds of the patch of the ocean surface, is examined, the properties and significance of this off-patch scatter (a multipathing effect) are discussed.

The off-patch spectral cross section expression is simplified for a narrow beam receiving antenna. By using suitable numerical technifyes, a computer program is developed for calculating this cross section. The program is applicable for wide beam transmitting antenna. Theoretical Doppler spectra are generated for different radar frequencies and sea states to study the importance of this type of scatter. A comparison is carried out between the Doppler spectra of the above two kinds of scatter for various sea conditions to infer the effect of off-patch scatter in extraction of ocean surface parameters. Two possible cases, first when the radar is located on the open ocean and second when it is located on the beach, are considered for the comparison.

It is determined that off-patch scatter is not significant in Doppler regions near the first-order peaks, which are commonly used to extract the ocean surface parameters. However, at some Doppler frequencies its contribution may be important as contending ocean clutter in target detection problems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Married Anton a substration of the William

I wish to express wirsincere gratitude to Dr. John Walsh, Professor, Faculty of Englineering and Applied Science, för his excellent guidance and ihsight during the period of this study. The assistance and helpful suggestions provided by Dr. Satish Srivastava are also greatly appreciated.

This work was supported by a strategic research grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC G1596) to Dr. John Walsh.

Special thanks are due to Dean F. A. Aldrich, School of Graduate Studies, Dean G. R. Peters, and Associate Dean T. R. Chari, Faculty of Engineering and Applied Science, for providing this opportunity and teaching assistantships.

Also, the author would like to thank Randy Howell for his helpful discussion. Thanks are also due to Ms. Wanda Butler for her quick and efficient typing of this manuscript.

fried the state of the second



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ATT & Stat . in

Acknowledgement iii . Table of Contents v List of Figures List of Symbols x CHAPTER 3 SECOND-ORDER OFF-PATCH' SCATTER 3.1 Simplification of cross section expression /. . . . 15 .

a second second states and the second of the second states of the second second second second second second se

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

Title

First-order backscattered from a surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception

Three parts of the second-order backscattered from a surface patch and off the patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section-of an ocera burface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz gadar frequency. 10 knot wind speed and 0° wind direction with respect to patch direction (oppn sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency. 10 knot wind speed and 45° wind direction with respect to patch direction (open seq condition) for

Two parts of the Becond-order backscatteral spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch in normalized to patch area at 10 MBz radar / frequency, 10 knot wind speed and 90° wind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral trooss section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz radar frequency, 10 knot wind speed and 0 wind direction with respect to patch direction (poen sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz radar ~ frequency, 10 knot wind speed and 45° wind direction with respect to patch direction --(ocen sea condition) Two parts of the second-order Mackscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz redar frequency, Y0 knot wind speed and 90 sind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered , spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 0 wind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition) 51

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 45 wind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition).

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 90° wind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an 'coean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz radar frequency. 30 knot wind speed and 0 wind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25,4 Mig.rdar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 45° wind direction with respect to patch direction (open sea condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz radar frequency, 30 Anot wind speed and 90° yind direction with respect to patch direction (Jopen sea condition)

10

13)

Two parts of the second-order/backgcattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch , normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 10 knot wind speed and 0° wind * direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency. 10 knot wind speed and 45 wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based edudition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered Spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 10 knot wind speed and 90 wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition) 59

61

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an effean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 Mig radar frequency, AD knot wind speed and 0 wind direction with respect to patch direction [land based condition]

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 ME2, radar frequency, 10 knot wind speed and 45 wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered Spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz,radar frequency. 10 knot wind speed and 90 wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition) —

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 0° wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

20

19

.15-

16.

21

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MHz radar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 45° wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 10 MBz radar frequency. 30 knot wind speed and 90° wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition) 65

66

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 Mg.radwrfrequency, 30 khot wind speed and 0 wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch normalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz radar frequency. 30 knot wind speed and 45° wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

Two parts of the second-order backscattered Spectral cross section of an ocean surface patch formalized to patch area at 25.4 MHz, radar frequency, 30 knot wind speed and 90 wind direction with respect to patch direction (land based condition)

23

LIST OF SYMBOLS Second-order electromagnetic and hydrodynamic _contributions One way, ground wave attenuation function between the radar and the surface patch : Acceleration due to gravity : Free space gains of the transmitting and G., G receiving antennas in the direction of surface patch. Wave number vector = K,x : Magnitude of K : Incident radio wave number Received power of the backscattered signal Average transmitted power Ocean directional waveheight spectrum S(.) Sa(x) Sampling function sqn(x) Sign function Wind speed One-half the beamwidth of the receiving antenna "One-half the patch width of the ocean surface Normalized surface impedance Birac delta function δ(x) Wavelength of transmitted signal Doppler frequency normalized to the Braggfrequency Distance of the target



CHAPTER 1

1.1 General

In recent years, ground wave Doppler radars have gained popularity in remote sensing of ocean surface wayes. The theoretical formulation required to analyze the radar sea echo is · based on the interpretation of the eche power spectrum in terms of Barrick's equation for the spectral cross section. It is from this cross section that ocean surface parameters (e.g., significant wave height and directional waveheight spectrum) may be extracted. Barrick's model for the Doppler spectrum of the radar return for a small area (patch) of the ocean surface consists predominantly of first- and second-order scatter. First-order scatter is produced by the reflection of the transmitted signal from ocean waves whose wavelength is one-half the radar's wavelength and which are moving either towards or away from the radar. Figure 1 shows the firstorder scatter from a patch of the ocean surface. Second-order scatter (on-patch) is produced when the transmitted signal interacts with two ocean waves that exist within the boundaries of the patch and have different wavelengths in general:

In a different approach, Srivastava (1984) has developed an analytical model for the HF backscattered Doppler spectrum for the ocean surface. The first-order cross section is the same as derived by Barrick but the second-order cross section consists of three -

parts. The first part is equivalent to the second-order cross section derived by Barrick. The second part corresponds to the case where the first scatter occurs at the source point and the second scatter occurs on the patch. This additional term will be present only when the radar is surrounded by the open ocean (e.g., a ship or an offshore platform based radar), and this form of scatter does, not affect the regions of Doppler spectrum near the first-order peaks which are commonly used for estimating ocean wave parameters. The third part corresponds to the case where at least one scatter occurs from the ocean waves outside the boundaries of the patch. This kind of scatter which may be viewed as a multipathing effect will be referred to as the off-patch, scatter. The three parts of the second-order scatter are shown in figure 2.

In order to study the contribution of off-patch scatter, a software model has been developed. For estimating the spectral cross section, the model includes a numerical evaluation of an integral. This single variable integral is achieved from a fourth order integral by taking advantage of two Dirac delta functions and assuming a wide beam transmit antenna and narrow beam receive antenna. This model may be used to generate the spectral cross section of off-patch scatter for different radar frequencies and sea conditions. A comparison is carried out between the off-patch and on-patch cross section to infer the importance of off-patch scatter in the extraction of ocean surface parameters and other relevant information. The results lead to the conclusion that although off-patch scatter is not significant in extracting the ocean surface parameters, at some Doppler frequencies it may be very important as contending ocean clutter in target detection problems.

的现在分词 化化学

1.2 Literature Review

and the second second

The problem of reflection of waves from a rough surface has been carried out by many investigators. Most interest lies in the propagation of radio waves over rough ground or over the sea. Reyleigh introduced a perturbation technique in 1896 to study the reflection of acoustic waves from rough walls. Based on the above -approach Rice (1951) treated the problem-related to the reflection of electromagnetic waves from slightly rough surfaces. He modelled the surface as two dimensional and periodic and assumed the incident field to be a plane wave. He obtained the first-order and the higher-order expressions for the scattered field and derived the three scattered field components for horizontal and vertical polarizations. In 1964 Wait applied the above technique in the electromagnetic problem for ground wave propagation over flat earth. Wait (1971) and Barrick (1971) derived the expression for the modified surface impedance and scattering results. Wait assumed the surface to be one dimensional and periodic while Barrick concentrated on ground wave propagation over the rough sea.

Crombie (1955) conducted an experiment on radar return from the ocean surface at 13.56 MHz and he found two dominant peaks in the Doppler spectrum. He investigated these two peaks and came to the conclusion that they were produced by two ocean waves each having a wavelength equal to one-half the radar's wavelength, one moving towards and the other moving away from the radar. Based on this observation he established that the HF radars may be used in remote sensing of ocean waves. Later Barrick (1970) utilized the perturbation technique to find-the radar cross section of the ocean surface. He (1972) derived an average first- and second-order backscattered radar cross section for a patch of the ocean surface. The first order result offered a theoretical explanation for Crombie's experimental conclusion.

In a different approach, Walsh (1980) presented a Sormulation. for rough surface propagation and scattering problems. H technique is applicable to any time-invariant rough surface, and is open to any finite source instead of plane wave incidence. Based on this technique, a theoretical analysis was made by Srivastava (1984). for HF scattering from the ocean surface. Srivastava derived average first- and second-order of backscattered spectral cross section. For narrow beam reception the scattering area of the ' first-order backscattered field is shown to be a patch or small area of the ocean surface. But for the second-order case, the signals are received from the patch as well as from the surrounding regions. All these signals arrive at the same time. His second-order cross section contains two terms in addition to that provided by existing theories. Later, Walsh et al., (1986) extended the above results for wide beam reception and modified the expression for off-patch scatter. The latest work on this problem has been presented by Walsh and Srivastava (1987). The first additional term has already been studied

Same Street Street

and not found significant in problem of extraction of ocean surface parameters [Srivastava (1984), Walsh and Srivastava (1987)]. The second additional term which corresponds to off-patch scatter has not received complete study by other researchers and, actually, has been a controversial issue for several years regarding its importance to the problem of extracting ocean wave information from radar data. In an effort to settle this issue, its properties and significance for ocean wave measurements have been carried out.in this thesis.

1.3 Scope of Thesis

This thesis is primarily concerned with the examination of the effect of second-order off-batch scatter. The emergence of this off-patch term is totally based on the analytical model developed by Srivastava (1984) and Walsh and Srivastava (1987) for the HF backscattered Doppler spectrum for the ocean surface. The discrepancy between actual radar data and the theoretical Doppler spectrum provided by existing theories raised a suspicion of the interference of some other effects. To be able to see if the off-patch scatter is the cause of this discrepancy, a complete study is done to understand the physical properties and significance of this form of scatter. For this purpose, a software model has been implemented to generate the theoretical off-patch second-order cross section for different radar frequencies and sea states. These results are then compared with the on-patch cross section in order to draw a conclusion about its importance. It is found that though off-patch scatter is not significant in the extraction of ocean

surface parameters, it is in some cases, very important in target detection problems.

5%天子-1880-068月的行

and the state of the second state of the secon

Chapter 2 contains the radar range equation and the cross section expressions for first-order and the three parts of the second-order scatters. The definition of all the variables and parameters are given.

Chapter 3 deals with the reduction of the spectral cross section expression of the off-patch scatter to a computational form. The reduction is achieved by taking into account the presence of two . Dirac delta functions and approximating an integral using the rectangular rule for narrow beam reception. This cross section expression is obtained from the similar expression of Walsh and Srivastava (1987) for a wide beam receiving antenna.

Chapter 4 consists of results and discussions. A comparison is made in this chapter between the off-patch and on-patch spectra for different radar frequencies and sea states. Two possible cases are studied: firstly the case when the radar is located on the open ocean (e.g., a ship or an offshore platform based radar) and secondly when the radar is located on the beach. Results are discussed for all these cases.

Conclusions and recommendations for future work are presented in Chapter 5. Computer-programs are given in appendix.

BACKSCATTERED RADAR CROSS SECTION'

2.1 Radar Range Equation

The standard monostatic radar range equation for the received / power from a target may be written as [Barrick (1972)]

(2.1)

$$P_{r}^{O} = \frac{P_{t} G_{t} G_{r} \lambda_{O}^{2} |F|^{4}}{(4\pi)^{3} \rho_{O}^{4}} \sigma^{O}$$

where

 $P_r^0 = received power (watts)$

P_t = transmitted power (watts)

G_t = gain of transmitting antenna

G, = gain of. receiving antenna

 $-\lambda_{0} =$ wavelength of transmitted signal (meter)

F = one way ground wave attenuation function between

the radar and the target

 $\rho_o = \text{distance of the target (meter)}$

 σ^0 = radar cross section of the target (meter²)

G, and G, are dimensionless parameters.

We are considering only a small patch of the ocean surface for remote sensing and since that consists of many ocean waves moving with different velocities, there will be a band of Doppler shifts it the received signal. If $\omega_{\rm L}$ is the transmitted frequency and $\omega_{\rm r}$ is the received frequency, then the Doppler shift $(\omega_{\rm d})$ in the received signal may be defined as If instead of the received power, we use the received power spectrum then the backscattered power spectrum in terms of spectral cross section normalized to the patch area may be given as

$$P_{r}(\omega_{d}) = \frac{P_{L} G_{L} G_{r} \lambda_{0}^{2} |F|^{4/2}}{(4\pi)^{3} \rho_{0}^{4}} \text{ Ap } \sigma(\omega_{d}) .$$
(2.3)

where

and

 $P_{r}^{0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\omega_{d}} P_{r}(\hat{\omega}_{d}) d\hat{\omega}_{d}$

 $\omega_{d} = \omega_{r} - \omega_{r}$

3 E

 $\sigma^{o} = \frac{\hat{A}p}{2\pi} \int \neg \sigma(\omega_{d}) \, d\omega_{d}$

 $P_r(\omega_d)$ is the backscattered power spectrum and $\sigma(\omega_d)$ is the spectrum cross section normalized to patch area Ap.

The dimensions of the patch depend on the time delay between the transmitted and received signals, transmitted pulse width and the beamwidth of the receiving antenna.

The backscattered spectral cross section normalized to the patch area as given in Walsh and Srivastava (1987) consists of four parts and may be written as

 $\sigma(\omega_d) = \sigma_f(\omega_d) + \sigma_{s1}(\omega_d) + \sigma_{s2}(\omega_d) + \sigma_{s3}(\omega_d)$ (2.6)

successive and the second states

where σ_f is the first-order cross section normalized to the patch area and σ_{s1} , σ_{s2} and δ_{s3} represent three parts of the secondorder cross section normalized to the patch area. The derivations

the state of the s

(2.2)

(2.5)

of these cross sections for wide beam transmission and any receiving antenna (e.g., wide beam or narrow beam) are given in Walsh and Srivastava (1987). For narrow beam reception their expressions may be simplified further and are presented here. 2.2 First-Order Cross Section

The first-order cross section for narrow beam receiving antennas may be given as

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{f}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{d}}) = \frac{8\Delta\rho \left[\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{d}}\right]^{2}}{\pi q_{\mathbf{d}}^{3}} \left[\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{d}}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{q}} + \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathbf{0}} \right]^{2} \operatorname{sa}^{2} \left[\Delta_{p} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{d}}^{2}}{\boldsymbol{q}} - 2\boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathbf{0}} \right) \right] \right]$$

12.7

where $\eta = w_d/w_B$. $w_B = (2gk_0)^{1/2}$ is the Bragg frequency. Δ_p is one-half the patch width of the ocean sufface: g is the gravitational acceleration. $\vec{k}_0 = k_0^2$ is the incident radar wavenumber vector. S(-) represents the ocean directional waveheight spectrum as defined in Walsh and Srivastava (1987) in a form similar to that given by Lipa and Barrick (1982).

Assuming a large A_0 ; the limit of the squared sampling function [Sa²(x)]/ in Eq. (2.7) may be taken to the Dirac delta function. In this process Eq. (2.7) reguces to

 $\sigma_{f}(\omega_{d}) = 16k_{o}^{4} \sum_{m=\pm 1}^{\Sigma} \delta(\omega_{d} + m\omega_{B}) S(2mk_{o})$ (2.8)

where m = 1 and -1 are for summation.

2.3 Second-Order Cross section

The second-order cross section consists of three parts and may be given as follows:

2.3.1 First Part

The first part of the second-order cross section which corresponds to on-patch scatter may be given as

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{s1}(\omega_d) &= \frac{4k_d^4}{\pi^2} \sum_{m,m'=\pm 1} \int_p \int_q (C_e + C_h)^2 s(\vec{pk_1}) \\ &\quad s(\vec{mk_2}) \delta(\omega_d - m(gk_1)^{1/2} - m'(gk_2)^{1/2}) \end{split}$$

da da

* where m and m may take the values +1 and -1, defining four possible combinations of direction for the two scattering ocean wavenumber vectors $\vec{k_1}$ and $\vec{k_2}$. The spatial wavenumber p lies along the radar beam, with q perpendicular. Other variables and functions are defined as follows:

$$\begin{split} \vec{k}_{1}^{T} &= (p - k_{0})\vec{k} + q\vec{y} , \quad \vec{k}_{1} = |\vec{k}_{1}| \quad (2.10) \\ \vec{k}_{2} &= (-p + k_{0})\vec{k} - q\vec{y} , \quad \vec{k}_{2} = -|\vec{k}_{2}| \quad (2.11) \\ C_{e} &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\vec{k}_{0}^{2} - p^{2} - \vec{z}\vec{k}_{1} - \vec{k}_{2}|}{(\vec{k}_{1} - \vec{k}_{2})^{1/2}} \quad (2.12) \\ C_{h} &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\vec{k}_{1} + \vec{k}_{2} + \frac{(\vec{k}_{1}\vec{k}_{2} - \vec{k}_{1} - \vec{k}_{2})}{nn^{4}(\vec{k}_{1}\vec{k}_{2})^{1/2}(\vec{a}\vec{k}_{2} - \vec{a}^{2}_{1})} \right] \quad (2.13) \end{split}$$

 C_e and C_h are the second-order electromagnetic and hydrodynamic contributions respectively as given in Srivastava (1984).

2.3.2 Second Part

The second part of the second-order cross section may be written as

$$\begin{split} s_{2}(\omega_{d}) &= \frac{2k_{d}^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{m,m'=\pm 1}^{n} \sum_{p \neq q} \int \frac{(\vec{k}_{1} + \vec{k}_{o} \vec{y}' \cdot \vec{k}_{1})^{2}}{(\vec{k}_{1} + 2\vec{k}_{o}) \cdot \vec{k}_{1}} s(\vec{m}\vec{k}_{1}) \\ & \quad s(2m'\vec{k}_{o})\delta[(\omega_{1} + m(q_{1}))^{1/2} + m^{*}\alpha_{b}]dq dp \end{split}$$

where

$$\vec{k}_1 = \vec{p}_x + \vec{q}_y$$
, $\vec{k}_1 = |\vec{k}_1|$

2.3.3 Third Part

The third part of the second-order cross section derived by Walsh and Srivastava (1987) for a general receiving antenna has been simplified for narrow beam reception and is presented in Howell et al. (1987). This third part corresponds to off-patch scatter and may be given as follows

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{S3}(\omega_{d}) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{2} \Delta_{\theta} |F_{p}|^{4}} \sum_{m,m'=\pm 1}^{\infty} \int_{K_{1}=0}^{m} \int_{\phi_{1}=-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{K_{2}=0}^{\pi} \phi_{2}=-\pi \\ & |F_{0}(r_{b}, \psi_{b} + \phi_{c}) F_{0}(r_{c}, \psi_{c} \neq \phi_{c}^{2} + \pi) \\ & F_{0}(r_{a}, \phi_{c} + \pi) |^{2} \delta \Big(\frac{K_{c}}{1 + \cos \beta_{0}} - \frac{2}{2} k_{0} \Big) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{12} & \frac{9^2_{\rm c}}{k_{\rm c}} \frac{\dot{k}_{\rm s}}{k_{\rm c}} \frac{\phi_{\rm c}}{(1+\cos\beta\beta_0)^{1/6}{\rm tot} T} \, {\rm S}({\rm m}\vec{k}_1) \, {\rm S}({\rm m}\vec{k}_2)}{k_{\rm c}} \\ & - \delta(\omega_{\rm d} + {\rm m}({\rm g}{\rm K}_1)^{1/2} + {\rm m}^*({\rm g}{\rm K}_2)^{1/2}) {\rm d}\phi_2 {\rm d}{\rm K}_2 {\rm d}{\rm d}_3 {\rm d}{\rm K}_1 \, (2.15)} \\ & {\rm A restriction on the above equation is: } \quad |\phi_{\rm c}| \leq \Delta_0, \ {\rm other} \\ {\rm variables} \ {\rm and functions} \ {\rm are defined as follows:} \\ & \vec{k}_1 = \kappa_{1x} \, \dot{x} + \kappa_{1y}^* \, \dot{y} \, \ddot{y} \, K_1 = |\vec{k}_1|, \ \phi_1 = {\rm tan}^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{1y}}{K_{1x}} \right) \quad (2.16) \\ & \vec{k}_2 = \kappa_{2x} \, \dot{x} + \kappa_{2y} \, \dot{y} \, , \ K_2 = |\vec{k}_2|, \ \phi_2 = {\rm tan}^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{2y}}{K_{2x}} \right) \quad (2.16) \\ & \vec{k}_2 = \kappa_{2x} \, \dot{x} + \kappa_{2y} \, \dot{y} \, , \ K_2 = |\vec{k}_2|, \ \phi_2 = {\rm tan}^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{2y}}{K_{2x}} \right) \quad (2.16) \\ & \vec{k}_2 = \kappa_{2x} \, \dot{x} + \kappa_{2y} \, \dot{y} \, , \ K_2 = |\vec{k}_2|, \ \phi_2 = {\rm tan}^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{2y}}{K_{2x}} \right) \quad (2.16) \\ & \vec{k}_{\rm Cx} = 2K_{1x} + \kappa_{2x} (1 + \cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0) + \kappa_{2y} {\rm sin}\beta_0 {\rm sin}\alpha_0 \quad (2.16) \\ & \kappa_{\rm cy} = 2K_{1y} + \kappa_{2y} (1 + \cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0) + \kappa_{2y} {\rm sin}\beta_0 {\rm sin}\alpha_0 \quad (2.10) \\ & \phi_2 \, (\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2) = k_0 (\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_0) \, (2k_0(\vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_0) + k_0(\vec{k}_2 + \vec{k}_2) \\ & - 2\kappa_1^2 - 3\vec{k}_1 - \vec{k}_2 - \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2 + \kappa_2$$

where

$$\begin{split} & \xi(\beta,\alpha) = \frac{1}{(1+\cos\beta\beta)} \left[(2\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_0 + K_2 \sinh\beta\sin\alpha + \sin(\theta_2 + \theta_0) + (\bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_0)(1 + \cosh\beta\cos\alpha) \right] \quad (2.23) \\ & \text{det should be evaluated at } \beta = \beta_0 \text{ and } \alpha = \alpha_0, \beta_0 \text{ is the solution, of the equation,} \\ & \alpha_1 \cos^2\beta + \alpha_2 \cosh\beta + \alpha_3 = 0 \quad (2.24) \\ & \text{where} \quad (2.24) \\ & \text{where} \quad (2.24) \\ & \alpha_2 = -2K_2^2 \sin^2\theta_2 \\ & \alpha_3 = (-\sin^2\theta_2)(a_1 - \frac{a_2}{2} + k_2^2) \quad (2.25) \\ & \text{such that } \beta_0 \text{ is real, non-zero and satisfies the following equation,} \\ & (2K_1 \cos\theta_1 + K_2 \cos\theta_2) \cos\theta_2 \cosh\theta_0 [1 + \tanh^2\beta_0 + \tan^2\theta_2]^{1/2} = K_2 \sin[\beta_0 \tan \phi_2] (\sin^2\theta_2 + \cosh\beta_0] \quad (2.26) \\ & \text{spn(x) is the sign function defined as} \\ & \beta_0 \text{ is obtained, } \alpha_0 \text{ may be derived from} \\ & \tan\alpha_0 = \tanh\beta_0 \tan \phi_2 \quad (2.27) \\ & \theta_0 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

i and

- ACAN -

8195 (SP*1)

• • •

such that $0 \le \alpha_0 \le \pi$. Δ_{θ} is equal to one-half of the beamwidth of the receiving antenna. The function $F_{\rho}(\rho,\theta)$ is the same as the Sommerfeld attenuation function except that the numerical distance contains $\Delta_{\alpha}(\theta)$, instead of the normalized surface impedance Δ [Barrick (1970)]. $\Delta_{0}(\theta)$ is the average modified surface impedance in the propagation direction $\,\,\theta\,\,$ and it takes into account the surface roughness; The expression for the modified surface impedance is given . in Walsh and Srivastava (1987). $F_{p} = F_{p}(\rho_{o}, \theta_{o})$ is the one way ground wave attenuation function between the radar and the patch'.

r, is the distance between the source and first scattering point. r, is the distance between the first and second scattering points. r, represents the distance between the second scattering point and the receiving (or source) point. The corresponding three directions with respect to the x-axis are , $(\Psi_{\rm b} + \Phi_{\rm c})$, $(\Psi_{\rm c} + \Phi_{\rm c} + \pi)$ and $(\phi_{n} + \pi)$ _respectively. These distances and directions may be obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_{a} &= \frac{2\rho_{o}}{(1+\cosh\beta_{o})} & (2.28) \\ \mathbf{r}_{b} &= \frac{\rho_{o}\left(\cosh\beta_{b} + \cos\alpha_{o}\right)}{(1+\cosh\beta_{o})}, \quad \forall_{b} = \tan^{-1}\left[\frac{\sinh\rho_{o}\sin\alpha_{o}}{\cosh\beta_{o}\cos\alpha_{o} + 1}\right] & (2.29) \\ \mathbf{r}_{c} &= \frac{\rho_{o}\left(\cosh\beta_{o} - \cos\alpha_{o}\right)}{(1+\cosh\beta_{o})}, \quad \forall_{c} = \tan^{-1}\left[\frac{\sinh\rho_{o}\sin\alpha_{o}}{\cosh\beta_{o}\cos\alpha_{o} - 1}\right] & (2.30) \end{aligned}$$

The Eqs. (2.7), (2.9), (2.14) and (2.15) thus represent the first-order and the three parts of the second-order Doppler frequency dependent backscattered cross section of the ocean surface. These expressions are applicable for wide beam transmission and narrow beam reception.

 $(1 + \cosh\beta_0)$

CHAPTER 3

SECOND-ORDER OFF-PATCH SCATTER

3.1 Simplication of Cross Section Expression

The second-order off-patch cross section expression as given in Eq. (2.15) may be simplified by using some of its mathematical properties. We will first transform the three variables K_1 , ϕ_1 and K_2 to ney variables U, V and ϕ_c respectively such that Eq. (2.15) may be rewritten as λ .

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\mathbf{S}3} & (\omega_{\mathbf{d}}) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 \Delta_{\mathbf{b}} |\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{p}}|^4} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}' = \pm 1 \\ \mathbf{s}_2 = -\pi}} \int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{c}} \int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\Delta_{\mathbf{b}}} \int_{\mathbf{c}}^{\Delta_{\mathbf{b}}} \\ & |\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{D}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{b}}) + \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}}|\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{c}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{c}} + \pi)\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}} + \pi)|^2} \\ & \frac{Q_{\mathbf{c}}^2 (\vec{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{p}}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}}) \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{2}}}{(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{c}} + \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{c}}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}) \delta(\mathbf{u}) \delta(\mathbf{v})} \end{split}$$

· do db dv do

(3.2 (3.3)

(3.4)

(3.1)

 $v = \omega_d + m(gK_1)^{1/2} + m'(gK_2)^{1/2}$

 $\phi_{c} = \phi_{c}(K_{1}, K_{2}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2})$

 $= \frac{\kappa_c}{1 + \cosh\beta_0} - 2k_0$

3.1.1 Reduction of Integrals

The cross section expression as given by Eq. (3.1) consists of four integrals. It is noted, however, that this expression has two Dirac delta functions which may be used to reduce the number of integrations.

Since we know that . ---

 $\int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) \delta(x) \delta(y) dy dx = f(x, y) \Big|_{\substack{x=0\\ y=0}}$ provided $a \leq 0 \leq b$

 $c \leq 0 \leq d$

we may simplify Eq. (3.1) as

$$\begin{split} {}_{53}(\omega_d) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2 \Delta_\theta} |F_p|^4 \underset{m,m^+ \neq 1}{\xrightarrow{\Sigma}} \int_{\varphi_c^- \pi^- \varphi_c^- \pi^- \Delta_\theta}^{\Delta_\theta} |F_0(\mathbf{r}_b, \psi_b + \phi_c) \\ &\quad F_0(\mathbf{r}_c, \psi_c + \phi_c + \pi) F_0(\mathbf{r}_a, \phi_c + \pi) |^2 \\ &\quad \frac{Q_c^2(K_1, K_2, \phi_c) K_1 K_2}{K_c (1 + \cosh_\theta_c) |\det[|J|]} \lesssim (m_{k_1}^+) S(m_{k_2}^+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \end{split}$$

where now

$$U = \frac{\kappa_c}{1 + \cosh\beta_0} - 2k_0 = 0$$
(3.6)

(3.8)

 $V = \omega_{d} + m(gK_{1})^{\frac{1}{2}} + m'(gK_{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0$ (3.7)

 $\phi_{c} = \phi_{c} \left(\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \right)$

16

Using Eq. (3.6), we may write Eq. (3.5) as

· do do2

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{s3}(\omega_{d}) &= \frac{2k_{o}}{\pi^{2}\Delta_{\theta}|F_{p}|^{4}} \sum_{m,m'=\pm 1} \int_{\varphi_{2}=-\pi}^{\pi} \oint_{\varphi_{c}=-\Delta_{\theta}}^{\Delta_{\theta}} \left\{ |F_{o}(r_{b},\psi_{b}+\phi_{c})| + \int_{\varphi_{c}}^{\varphi_{c}} \int_{\varphi_{c}}^{\varphi_{c$$

If we represent the function within { } by $f(\phi_{C},\phi_{2}),$ we may rewrite Eq. (3.9) as

$$\sigma_{g3}(\hat{w}_{d}) = \frac{2k_{o}}{\pi^{2}\Delta_{\theta}|F_{p}|^{4}} \sum_{m, m^{*}=\pm 1/} \int_{\phi_{d}=-\pi}^{\pi} \phi_{e}^{e-\Delta_{\theta}} f(\phi_{c}, \phi_{d}) d\phi_{c} d\phi_{d}$$
(3.10)

(3.9)

$$E(\phi_{c},\phi_{2}) = [F_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{b},\psi_{b}+\phi_{c})F_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{c},\psi_{c}+\phi_{c}+\pi)F_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{a},\phi_{c}+\pi)]^{2}$$

$$-\frac{O_{c}^{2}(K_{1},K_{2},\phi_{c})K_{1}K_{2}}{K_{c}^{2}(\det||J|)} S(\mathfrak{g}_{1}^{*}K_{2}) \qquad (3.11)$$

For narrow beam reception, the integral with respect to ϕ_c can be approximated by multiplying the value of the integrand at the 'centre point by the width of the limits. For a better approximation, the limits on ϕ_c can be divided in n parts and so the Eq. (3.10) may again be written as

$$\sigma_{s3}(\omega_d) = \frac{2k_o}{\pi^2 \Delta_0 i^{s} p^{-4}} \sum_{m,m'=\pm 1}^{\infty} \int_{\phi_2=-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\int_{-\Delta_0}^{-(n-2)\Delta_0} f(\phi_c, \phi_2) d\phi_c \right]$$

$$+ \int \frac{-(n-4)\Delta_{\theta}}{n} f(\phi_{c},\phi_{2}) d\phi_{c} + \int \frac{-(n-4)\Delta_{\theta}}{n} f(\phi_{c},\phi_{2}) d\phi_{c}$$

$$+ \frac{-(n-4)\Delta_{\theta}}{n}$$

$$+ \dots + \int \frac{\Delta_{\theta}}{n} f(\phi_{c},\phi_{2}) d\phi_{c} d\phi_{c} d\phi_{2}$$

$$(3.12)$$

Using the rectangular rule to approximate the above integral, we may write β

$$\begin{split} s_{3}(\omega_{d}) &= \frac{2k_{0} \cdot \left(\frac{2-\omega_{0}}{n}\right)}{\pi^{2} \Delta_{0} |F_{p}|^{4}} , \sum_{m,m^{-1} \pm 1} \int_{\phi_{2}^{-\pi} \pi}^{\pi} \left[f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-1)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi + f \cdot f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-3)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) + f \cdot f \cdot f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-3)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) + f \cdot f \cdot f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-3)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) \right] d\phi_{2} \\ &+ \dots + f \cdot \left(\frac{(n-1)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) d\phi_{2} \\ &= \frac{4k_{0}}{n\pi^{2} |F_{p}|^{4}} \sum_{m,m^{-1} \pm 1} \phi_{2} - \pi \left[f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-1)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) + f \cdot f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-1)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) \right] \\ &+ f \cdot f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-1)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) + f \cdot \left(\frac{-(n-5)\Delta_{0}}{n} , \phi_{2}\right) \end{split}$$

+ ... + f $\left\{\frac{(n-1)\Delta_{\theta}}{n}, \left|\phi_{2}\right\} d\phi_{2}\right\}$

(3.13)

(3.15)

(3.16)

(3.17

3.1.2 Jacobian of Transformation

The Jacobian of the transformation may be given as

J = '	<u>ər</u> 9r ¹	<u>an</u>	$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial \phi_1}$	1	34) (34)		·	8 8
	<u> 2v</u>	- dr dv	• 0	•	· .			(3.14)
٠	.∂φ _c ∂κ ₁	<u>θφ</u> _c <u>∂κ</u> ₂	$\frac{\partial \phi_c}{\partial \phi_1}$			з ,	. •	

 $= \frac{\partial U}{\partial K_1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial K_2} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial \Phi_1} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial K_2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \Phi_1} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial \Phi_1} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \Phi_1} \left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial K_1} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial K_2} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial K_2} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial K_1} \right]$ $= \frac{\partial V}{\partial K_1} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial \Phi_1} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial K_2} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial K_2} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial \Phi_1} \right] + \frac{\partial V}{\partial K_1} \left[\frac{\partial U}{\partial \Phi_1} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial \Phi_1} - \frac{\partial U}{\partial \Phi_1} \frac{\partial \Phi_C}{\partial K_1} \right]$

Derivatives of V

 $\frac{\partial V}{\partial K_1} = \frac{1}{2} m \sqrt{\frac{q}{K_1}}$

ar 1 m' q

 $\frac{\partial v}{\partial b} = 0$

From Eq. (3.3) we may obtain the following partial derivatives V as

Using.Eqs. (3.15) to (3.17), we may rewrite the Jacobian as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{J}| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{K}_{2}}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \phi_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{K}_{2}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{K}_{2}} \frac{\partial \phi_{c}}{\partial \phi_{1}} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m} \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{q}}{\mathbf{K}_{2}}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \mathbf{K}_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{K}_{2}} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial \phi_{1}} \frac{\partial \phi_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{K}_{1}} \right) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Derivatives of - U

Vatives of - U Using Eq. (3.2) we may write the derivatives of-

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial R_1} = \frac{1}{1 + \cos \beta_0} \frac{\partial \kappa_c}{\partial R_1} - \frac{\kappa_c \sin \beta_0}{(1 + \cosh \beta_0)^2} \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial R_1}$$
(3.19)
$$- - \frac{\partial U}{\partial R_2} = \frac{1}{1 + \cosh \beta_0} \frac{\partial \kappa_c}{\partial R_2} - \frac{\kappa_c \sin \beta_0}{(1 + \cosh \beta_0)^2} \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial R_2}$$
(3.20)
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \theta_1} = \frac{1}{1 + \cosh \beta_0} \frac{\partial \kappa_c}{\partial \theta_1} - \frac{\kappa_c \sin \beta_0}{(1 + \cosh \beta_0)^2} \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \theta_1}$$
(3.21)

Derivatives of \$c

Eqn: (2.18) may be reproduced as $f_{n-1} = -1 \int_{0}^{K_{nv}} f_{nv}$

 $\phi_{c} = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{cy}}{K_{cx}} \right)$

where K_{CY} and K_{CX} are defined in Eq. (2.18). Taking partial derivatives of the above equation we are able to write

$$\partial \phi_{\mathbf{c}} = \frac{1}{1 + \binom{K_{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{y}}}{K_{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}}}^2} \frac{K_{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}}\partial K_{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{y}} - K_{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{y}}\partial K_{\mathbf{c}}}{(K_{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x}})^2}$$

 $\frac{K_{cx}}{K_{cx}^2 + K_{cy}^2} \frac{\partial K_{cy}}{\partial K_{cy}} - \frac{K_{cy}}{K_{cx}^2 + K_{cy}^2} \frac{\partial K_{ci}}{\partial K_{ci}}$
$$= \frac{\cos \phi_{c} \, \partial K_{cy}}{\sqrt{\kappa_{cx}^{2} + \kappa_{cy}^{2}}} - \frac{\sin \phi_{c} \, \partial K_{cx}}{\sqrt{\kappa_{cx}^{2} + \kappa_{cy}^{2}}}$$
(3.22)
sing Eqs. (2.16), (2.17) and (3.22) we may write the following,
lerivatives as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{c}}{\partial K_{1}} = \left[\cos \phi_{c} \left\{2\sin \phi_{1} + K_{2}\sin \phi_{2} \left(\sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}}\right) - \cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{1}}\right] - \kappa_{2}\cos \phi_{2} \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}}\right] + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \kappa_{2}\sin \phi_{2} \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \kappa_{2}\sin \phi_{2} - \cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \cosh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \kappa_{2}\sin \phi_{2}\cos (\phi_{2} - \phi_{c}) \left[\sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \cosh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} \right] \frac{1}{K_{c}} + \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} \right] \frac{1}{K_{c}} + \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} + \kappa_{2}\sin \phi_{2}\right] \frac{1}{K_{c}} + \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} + \kappa_{2}\sin \phi_{2}\right] \frac{1}{K_{c}} + \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} + \kappa_{2}\sin \phi_{2}\right] \frac{1}{K_{c}} + \left[\cosh \beta_{0}\cos \alpha_{0} + \kappa_{$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2} - \cosh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_2}) \\ -\cos \theta_2 \sinh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 - K_2 \cos \theta_2 (\cosh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2}) \\ +\sin \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_2} \end{pmatrix} - \sin \theta_0 (\cos \theta_2 + \cos \theta_2 \cosh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0) \\ + K_2 \cos \theta_2 (\sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2} - \cosh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2} + \sinh \beta_0) \\ + \sin \theta_2 \sinh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 + K_2 \sin \theta_2 (\cosh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2} + \sinh \beta_0) \\ -\cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_2} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{K_0}^{-1}$$

$$= \left[\sin((\theta_2 - \theta_0) (1 + \cosh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0) - \cos (\theta_2 - \theta_0) \sinh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 + K_2 \sin (\theta_2 - \theta_0) (\sinh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_2}) \right] \\ - K_2 \cos (\theta_2 - \theta_0) (\sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2} - \cosh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_2}) \\ - K_2 \cos (\theta_2 - \theta_0) (\sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_2} + \sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_2}) \right] \frac{1}{K_0}$$

$$(3.24)$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial \theta_1} = \left[2K_1 \cos (\theta_1 - \theta_0) + K_2 \sin (\theta_2 - \theta_0) (\sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \theta_1} + \sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \theta_1} \right] \frac{1}{K_0}$$

$$(3.25)$$

Derivatives of K/ The magnitude of \vec{K}_{c} as defined in Eq. (2.18) may be written as $K_{c} = [K_{cx}^2 + K_{cy}^2]^{1/2}$ = $\left[\left\{ 2K_{1x} + K_{2x}(1 + \cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0) + K_{2y}\sinh\beta_0\sin\alpha_0 \right\}^2 \right]$ + $\left\{ 2K_{1y} + K_{2y}(1 + \cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0) - K_{2x}\sinh\beta_0\sin\alpha_0 \right\}^2 \right]^{1/2}$ $\left[4K_{1x}^2 + K_{2x}^2 + K_{2x}^2 \cosh^2\!\beta_0 \cos^2\!\alpha_0 + K_{2y}^2 \sinh^2\!\beta_0 \sin^2\!\alpha_0\right]$ + $4K_{1x}K_{2x}$ + $4K_{1x}K_{2x}cosh\beta_{0}cos\alpha_{0}$ + $4\alpha_{1}\beta_{2}sinh\beta_{0}sin\alpha_{0}$ + $2K_{2x}^2 \cosh\beta_0 \cos\alpha_0$ + $2K_{2x}K_{2y} \sinh\beta_0 \sin\alpha_0$ + $2K_{2x}K_{2y}\cosh\beta_{o}\cos\alpha_{o}\sinh\beta_{o}\sin\alpha_{o}$ + $4K_{1y}^{2}$ + K_{2y}^{2} + $K_{2y}^2 \cosh^2 \beta_0 \cos^2 \alpha_0 + K_{2x}^2 \sinh^2 \beta_0 \sin^2 \alpha_0 + 4K_{1y}K_{2y}$ + $4K_{1y}K_{2y}cosh\beta_{o}cos\alpha_{o} - 4K_{2x}K_{1y}sinh\beta_{o}sin\alpha_{o}$ + $2K_{2y}^2 \cosh\beta_0 \cos\alpha_0 - 2K_{2x}K_{2y} \sinh\beta_0 \sin\alpha_0$ $-2K_{2x}K_{2y}cosh\beta_{o}cos\alpha_{o}sinh\beta_{o}sin\alpha_{o}$]^{1/2} $= (4K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_2^2 \cosh^2\beta_0 \cos^2\alpha_0' + K_2^2 \sinh^2\beta_0 \sin^2\alpha_0)$

+ 4(1 + $\cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0$) ($K_{1x}K_{2x} + K_{1y}K_{2y}$) + $4\sinh\beta_0\sin\alpha_0(\kappa_{1x}\kappa_{2y} - \kappa_{2x}\kappa_{1y})$ + $2K_2^2 \cosh\beta_0 \cos\alpha_0$]^{1/2} $= \left| 4K_1^2 + K_2^2 (\cosh\beta_0 + \cos\alpha_0)^2 + 4K_1K_2(1 + \cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0) \right|^2$ $(\phi_2 - \phi_1) + 4K_1K_2\sinh\beta_0\sin\alpha_0\sin(\phi_2 - \phi_1)^{1/2}$ (3.26) Now the derivatives of K_c may be written as follows $\frac{\partial K_{C}}{\partial K_{1}} = \frac{1}{2K_{C}} \left[\frac{\partial K_{1}}{\partial K_{1}} + \frac{2K_{2}^{2}}{(\cosh \beta_{0} + \cos \alpha_{0})} (\sin \beta_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \sin \alpha_{0} \right]$ $\frac{d\alpha_{0}}{d\kappa} + 4\kappa_{2}(1 + \cosh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0})\cos(\phi_{2} - \phi_{1}) + 4\kappa_{1}\kappa_{2}$ $\cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \left(\sinh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0 \frac{\partial\beta_0}{\partial K_1} - \cosh\beta_0\sin\alpha_0 \frac{\partial\alpha_0}{\partial K_1}\right)$ + $4K_2 \sinh\beta_0 \sin\alpha_0 \sin(\phi_2 - \phi_1) + 4K_1K_2 \sin(\phi_2 - \phi_1)$ $\cdot (\cosh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial K_1} + \sinh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \alpha_0}{\partial K_1}]$ $= \frac{1}{K_{c}} \left[4K_{1} + K_{2}^{2} (\cosh \beta_{0} + \cos \alpha_{0}) (\sinh \beta_{0} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \sin \alpha_{0} \frac{\partial \alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} \right]$ + $2K_2^2(1 + \cosh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0)\cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1) + 2K_1K_2\cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1)$

$$25$$

$$\left[(\sinh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} - \cosh\beta_{0}\sin\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} \right] + 2K_{1}(1 + \cosh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0})$$

$$+ \sin\alpha_{0}\sin(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) + \beta K_{1}K_{2}\sin(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1})$$

$$+ (\cosh\beta_{0}\sin\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{1}} + \sinh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{1}}) \right]$$
Similarly
$$\frac{\partial K_{0}}{\partial K_{2}} = \frac{1}{K_{0}} \left[K_{2}(\cosh\beta_{0} - \cos\alpha_{0})^{2} + K_{2}^{2}(\cosh\beta_{0} + \cos\alpha_{0}) + (\sinh\beta_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}} - \sin\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{2}}) + 2K_{1}(1 + \cosh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}}) + (\sin\beta_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}} - (\sin\beta_{0}\frac{\partial\alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{2}}) + 2K_{1}(1 + \cosh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}}) + (\sin\beta_{0}\frac{\partial\alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{2}} + 2K_{1}\sin\beta_{0}\sin\alpha_{0}\sin(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) + 2K_{1}K_{2}\sin(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) (\cosh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}} + (\sin\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\alpha_{0}}{\partial K_{2}}) \right]$$

$$+ 2K_{1}K_{2}\sin(\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}) (\cosh\beta_{0}\sin\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}}) + 2K_{1}(1 + \cosh\beta_{0}\cos\alpha_{0}\frac{\partial\beta_{0}}{\partial K_{2}} + (1 + 2K_{1}K_{2}\sin(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1})) + 2K_{1}K_{2}\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) + 2K_{1}K_{2}\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) + 2K_{1}K_{2}\cos(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) + 2K_{1}K_{2}\sin(\theta_{1} - \theta_{1}) + 2K_{1}K_{2}\sin(\theta_{1}$$

$$\sin \alpha_0 \cos (\phi_2 - \phi_1) + 2K_1 K_2 \sin (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \left(\cosh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \phi_1} \right)$$

(3.29)

(3.33)

Derivatives of β_0

 β_0 is the solution of the quadratic equation (2.24) and will have two values for each value of cosh β_0 and may be written as

+ $\sinh\beta_0\cos\alpha_0\frac{\partial\alpha_0}{\partial\phi_1}$

$$\beta_{o} = \pm \cosh^{-1} (C^{\pm})$$
(3.30)

where

$$\dot{x} = \frac{|\sin\phi_2 T(R_2^2 \sin\phi_2 \pm 2\tilde{K}_1^2 | 2K_1 \cos\phi_1 + K_2 \cos\phi_2 | - K_1 \cos\phi_2 | K_2 \cos\phi_2 + K_2 \cos\phi_2 \sin\phi_2 - K_2^2 \sin^2\phi_2 - K_$$

Out of four roots of β_0 , we have to take only one root for which C is real and C>1 and which satisfies the Eq. (2.26). Further from Eq. (3.30) we may write

$$\frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial C^{\pm}} = \pm \frac{1}{\{(C^{\pm})^2 - 1\}^{1/2}} = \frac{1}{\sinh \beta_0}$$
(3.32)

To obtain the derivatives of β_0 , we may use Eq. (3.30) and so are able to write the derivatives as follows

 $\frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial c^{\pm}} \frac{\partial c^{\pm}}{\partial x_1}$ (3.34) $\frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial \phi_1} = \frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial c^{\pm}} \frac{\partial c^{\pm}}{\partial \phi_1}$ (3.35) where $\frac{\partial \beta_0}{\partial a^2}$ is defined in Eq. (3.32). Derivatives of Ct In the above equations we still have to find the derivatives which may be written from Eq. (3.31) as follows $\frac{\partial c^{\pm}}{\partial K_{*}} = \left\{ \left[4K_{1}^{2}\cos^{2}\phi_{1} + 4K_{1}K_{2}\cos\phi_{1}\cos\phi_{2} - K_{2}^{2}\sin^{2}\phi_{2} \right] \right\}$ • $[\pm 2 \operatorname{sgn} \{ 2 \operatorname{K}_1 \cos \phi_1 + \operatorname{K}_2 \cos \phi_2 \} \{ 3 \sqrt{\operatorname{K}_1} \cos \phi_1 + \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\operatorname{K}_2}} \operatorname{K}_2 \cos \phi_2 \}$ $\cdot \{ \mathtt{K}_{1} \mathtt{cos}^{2} \phi_{1} + \mathtt{K}_{2} \mathtt{cos} \phi_{1} \mathtt{cos} \phi_{2} \}^{1/2} \pm \sqrt{\mathtt{K}_{T}} \mathtt{l} \mathtt{2} \mathtt{K}_{1} \mathtt{cos} \phi_{1}$ + $K_2 \cos\phi_2 |\cos\phi_1 \{K_1 \cos^2\phi_1 + K_2 \cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2\}^{-1/2}]$ - $[K_2^2|\sin\phi_2| \pm 2\sqrt{K_1}|2K_1\cos\phi_1 + K_2\cos\phi_2|$. $\cdot \{K_1 \cos^2 \phi_1 + K_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2\}^{1/2}\}$ $\cdot [8K_1\cos^2\phi_1 + 4K_2\cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2]$ |sin ϕ_2] $[4K_1^2\cos^2\phi_1 + 4K_1K_2\cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2 - K_2^2\sin^2\phi_2]^2$

Similarly $\frac{\partial C^{\mathbf{I}}}{\partial K_2} = \left\{ \left[4K_1^2 \cos^2 \phi_1 + 4K_1 K_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2 - K_2^2 \sin^2 \phi_2 \right] \right\}$ $\cdot [2K_2|\sin\phi_2| \pm 2sgn\{2K_1\cos\phi_1 + K_2\cos\phi_2\}\sqrt{K_1}\cos\phi_2$ • $\{\kappa_1 \cos^2 \phi_1 + \kappa_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2\}^{1/2} \pm \sqrt{\kappa_1} |2\kappa_1 \cos \phi_1 + \kappa_2|$ $\cdot \cos\phi_2 |\cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2 \{K_1 \cos^2\phi_1 + K_2 \cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2\}^{-1/2}\}.$ - $4K_2^2|\sin\phi_2| \pm 2\sqrt{K_1}|2K_1\cos\phi_1 + K_2\cos\phi_2|\{K_1\cos^2\phi_1\}$ + $\kappa_2 \cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2$ ^{1/2}] · $4\kappa_1 \cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2 - 2\kappa_2 \sin^2\phi_2$] · |sintol $[4K_1^2\cos^2\phi_1 + 4K_1K_2\cos\phi_1\cos\phi_2 - K_2^2\sin^2\phi_2]^2$ $\frac{\partial c^{\pm}}{\partial \phi_1} = \left[\left[\frac{4K_1^2 \cos^2 \phi_1}{1 + \frac{4K_1 K_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2}{1 - \frac{K_2^2 \sin^2 \phi_2}{1 + \frac{K_1 K_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2}{1 - \frac{K_1 K_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2}} \right]$ $\cdot [\bar{\tau} 4 \text{sgn} \{ 2 \text{K}_1 \text{cos} \phi_1 + \text{K}_2 \text{cos} \phi_2 \} \text{K}_1^{3/2} \text{sin} \phi_1 \{ \text{K}_1 \text{cos}^2 \phi_1 \}$ + $K_2 \cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2$]^{1/2} $\neq \sqrt{K_1} |2K_1 \cos\phi_1 + K_2 \cos\phi_2| \{2K_1$ $\cdot \cos\phi_1 \sin\phi_1 + \kappa_2 \sin\phi_1 \cos\phi_2 \} \{ \kappa_1 \cos^2\phi_1 + \kappa_2 \cos\phi_1 \}$ $(\cos\phi_2)^{-1/2} + [K_2^2|\sin\phi_2|\pm 2\sqrt{K_1}|2K_1\cos\phi_1 + K_2\cos\phi_2]$

$$\{ K_1 \cos^2 \phi_1 + K_2 \cos \phi_1 \cos \phi_2 \}^{1/2} \} \cdot [8K_1^2 \cos \phi_1 \sin \phi_1 + 4K_1 K_2 + 4K_1 + 4K_1 K_2 + 4K_1 + 4K_1 K_2 + 4K_1 + 4K_1$$

$$\frac{\sin\phi_1\cos\phi_2}{[4K_1^2\cos\phi_2 - K_2^2\sin^2\phi_2]^2}$$
(3.38)

Derivatives of a

Using Eq. (2.27) the derivatives of α_0 with respect to K_1 , K_2 and ϕ_1 may be obtained as follows

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_{0}}{\partial \overline{X}_{1}} = \frac{\tan \phi_{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \beta_{0}^{-}}{1 + \tan k^{2} \beta_{0} \tan^{2} \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial \overline{X}_{1}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_{0}}{\partial \overline{X}_{2}} = \frac{\tan \phi_{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \beta_{0}}{1 + \tanh^{2} \beta_{0} \tan^{2} \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial \overline{X}_{2}}$$

$$(3.40)$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_{0}}{\partial \overline{\Phi}_{1}} = \frac{\tan \phi_{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \beta_{0}}{1 + \tan^{2} \beta_{1} \tan^{2} \phi_{2}} \frac{\partial \beta_{0}}{\partial \overline{\Phi}_{1}}$$

$$(3.41)$$

Derivatives of ξ .

In order to find the derivatives of ξ as required to calculate 'det' in Eq. (2.22), we may utilize Eq. (2.23) which may also be written as

 $\xi(\beta,\alpha) \stackrel{\prime}{=} \frac{1}{(1 + \cosh\beta)} \left[2\kappa_1 \cos(\phi_1 - \phi_c) + \kappa_2 \sinh\beta \sin\alpha \sin(\phi_2 - \phi_c) \right]$

+ $K_2(1 + \cosh\beta \cosh\cosh) \cos(\phi_2 - \phi_c)$] (3.42)

To obtain above equation, we have used the following relationships

$$\vec{x}_{1} \cdot \vec{k}_{c} = |\vec{k}_{1}||\vec{k}_{c}| \cos(\phi_{1} - \phi_{c})$$

$$\vec{k}_{1} \cdot \vec{k}_{c} = K_{1}\kappa_{c}\cos(\phi_{1} - \phi_{c})$$

$$\vec{k}_{1} \cdot \vec{k}_{c} = K_{1}\cos(\phi_{1} - \phi_{c})$$
(3.43)
Similarly
$$\frac{1}{k_{2}} - \frac{k}{k_{c}} = K_{2}\cos(\phi_{2} - \phi_{c})$$
Now from Eq. (3.42) derivative of ξ may be written as
$$\frac{\partial^{2}\xi}{\partial p^{2}} = \frac{1}{(1 + \cosh\beta)^{2}} \left[2(\cosh\beta - 2)K_{1}\cos(\phi_{1} - \phi_{c}) + (\cosh\beta - 2) + K_{2}(1 - \cos\alpha)\cos(\phi_{2} - \phi_{c}) - K_{2}\sinh\beta \sin\alpha + \sin(\phi_{2} - \phi_{c}) - K_{2}\cosh\beta - 2) + (\cos\beta\beta - 2) + (\cos\beta\beta) + (\cos\beta\beta - 2) + (\cos\beta\beta) + (\cos\beta\beta - 2) + (\cos\beta\beta) + (\cos$$

Summarizing all the required derivatives as given in Eqs. (3.15) to (3.41) may be used to calculate the 'Jacobian', while Eqs. (3.44) to (3.46) may be used to calculate the 'det'.

CHAPTER 4

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

To generate the off patch spectral cross section at any Doppler frequency, the sum of integrals as given in Eq. (3.13) has to be evaluated. The function $f(\phi_c,\phi_2)$ is defined in Eq. (3.11). The beamwidth of the receiving antenna is assumed to be as 6^0 in all the computations. So $\Delta_{\beta} = 3^0$ or $\Delta_{\beta} = \frac{3x\pi}{180}$ radian. Also for convenience n is assumed as equal to 5. Therefore the cross section expression as given by Eq. (3.13) may be reduced to

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{s3}(\omega_0) &= \frac{4k_0}{5\pi^2|F_p|^4} \sum_{m,m'=\pm 1}^{\pi} \int_{\varphi_2=\pi}^{\pi} \left[f(\frac{-2.4\pi\pi}{180}, \varphi_2) \right. \\ &+ f(\frac{-1.2\pi\pi}{180}, \varphi_2) + f(0,\varphi_2) + f(\frac{1.2\pi\pi}{180}, \varphi_2) \\ &+ f(\frac{2.4\pi\pi}{180}, \varphi_2) \right] d\varphi_2 \end{split} \tag{4.1}$$

In the above equation, $\phi_{\rm c}$ has assumed the values as $\frac{-2.4 \, {\rm xr}}{180}$, $\frac{-1.2 \, {\rm xr}}{180}$, and $\frac{2.4 \, {\rm xr}}{180}$. If the variable $\theta_{\rm i}$ is used to represent these values, then it may be written as

 $W = \phi_{c} - \theta_{i} = 0$

(4.2)

where W is a substitution. Now Eqs. (3.6), (3.6) and (4.2) may be solved to obtain K_1, K_2 and ϕ_1 for given values of ϕ_2 , m, m⁴ and

 $\omega_{\rm d}$. But these solutions will be radar frequency-dependent. To avoid this problem all the three equations are normalized to radar frequency and in this way the obtained solutions may be used to generate the cross section for any radar frequency.

After normalization, Eqs. (3.6), (3.7) and (4.2) become

$$\begin{split} U &= \frac{1}{1 + \cosh \beta_0} \left[4K_1^{Q^2} + K_2^{Q^2} (\cosh \beta_0 + \cos \alpha_0) \right. \\ &+ 4K_1^{Q}K_2^{Q} (1 + \cosh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0) \cos (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \\ &+ 4K_1^{Q}K_2^{Q} \sinh \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sin (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \right]^{1/2} - 1 = 0 \quad (4.3) \\ &V &= \eta + m\sqrt{K_1^2} - m^{-1} \sqrt{K_2^2} \leq 0 \quad (4.4) \\ &W &= \tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2K_1^{Q} \sin \phi_1 + K_2^{Q} \sin \phi_2 (1 + \cosh \beta_0 \cos \alpha_0) - K_2^{Q} \cos \phi_2 \sin \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sin \alpha_0}{2K_1^{Q} \cos \phi_1 + K_2^{Q} \sin \beta_0 \sin \alpha_0 \sin \alpha_0} \right] \\ \end{split}$$

where K_1^0 = $K_1/2k_o$ and K_2^0 = $K_2/2k_o$ are normalized wavenumbers. η = ω_d/ω_B is the normalized frequency.

Eqs. (4.3), (4.4) (4.5) may now be solved. As it is evident from Eq. (4.4) that K_1^0 and K_2^0 have a direct relationship, we may substitute the expression of K_2^0 from Eq. (4.4) into Eqs. (4.3) and (4.5). So ultimately there will be only two equations to be solved.

To obtain the solutions of Eqs. (4.3) and (4.5), a minimization technique is chosen. The ranges for K_1^0 , ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are defined. For each value of ϕ_2 , the whole range of ϕ_1 is scanned, Similarly for each value of ϕ_1 , the complete range of κ_1^0 is scanned to find the acceptable solutions. The range of ϕ_2 is chosen as -179° S ϕ_2 S 179° with the increment of 2° . The range of ϕ_1 is taken as -180° to 180° with 1° interval. κ_1° is allowed to vary between 0.01 and 5.0 with varying increment. The increment for κ_1° between 0.01 and 0.1 is 0.01 and between 0.1 and 5.0 is 0.1.

Some important symmetric properties are investigated among the equations which may be very useful in saving computation time while obtaining solutions. These three symmetric properties may be summarized as follows.

(1) Let us consider Eq. (4.4). We may also write it as

 $\kappa_2^o = \left(\frac{-\eta - m\sqrt{\kappa_1^o}}{m}\right)^2$

Since m' may be either +1 or -1, we may also write the above equation as

$$\kappa_2^o = (\eta + m\sqrt{\kappa_1^o})^2$$

In the above equation, if the sign of η and m are changed at the same time then the value of κ_2^0 will remain the same. This means that the set of solutions for η will remain the same as for 4η or vice versa except that the sign of m will change. (2) Let us examine Eq. (4.3). In this equation if the sign of ϕ_2 , ϕ_1 and β_0 , are changed at the same time then there will not be any change in U. This indicates that if the sign of ϕ_2 is changed then ϕ_1 and β_0 will also change their sign with other values remaining the same. (3) Lastly if we investigate Eq. (4.5), it is evident that if ϕ_2 , ϕ_1 , β_0 and θ_1 change their sign simultaneously then W will also change in sign. In conjunction with the above symmetry this will imply that if we have solutions for $\phi_c - \theta_1 = 0$, then we can generate the solutions for $\phi_c + \theta_1 = 0$ or vice versa by changing the sign of ϕ_2 , ϕ_1 and β_0 . It is important to remember in all the above symmetry analyses that α_0 will always be positive as defined in Eq. (2.29).

The range of η is chosen from -3.08 to 3.08 with the increment of 0.04. Solutions are generated for η varying from -3.08 to 0.0 and other half solutions are quickly obtained by using the first symmetry property. Also the solutions have to be generated for five different cases corresponding to summation of five different functions in the cross section expression. Solutions are generated only for three cases and for the other two cases the solutions are obtained by use of the third symmetry property.

The strategy in solving the two equations is to take a value each for m, η and ϕ_2 within the designated range and then search for pairs of ϕ_1 and κ_1^0 which will satisfy the restriction on β_0 as given in Eq. (2.26). As has previously been discussed for each value of ϕ_1 we are scanning the complete range of κ_1^0 , so at one particular ϕ_1 there may be many κ_1^0 which will satisfy the required restrictions. Further between two consecutively obtained values of κ_1^0 we test the change of sign in U and W. This will ensure that there exists a solution between the two values of κ_1^0 . Once these conditions are met, an INEL subroutine is used to minimize

the summation of the absolute values of U and W. The returned value is accepted only if it is less than the summations of the absolute values of U and W at two values of K_1^0 .

Since the increment in ϕ_1 is taken as 1^0 , it is observed that almost same roots are obtained for several consecutive ϕ_1 . These roots are very close to each other and may be understood as multiple occurring of the same roots. To avoid the multiple occurring of the same rdot, only one root was accepted out of all the roots' having less than or equal to 2^0 difference in ϕ_1 .

The significance of off-patch scatter, is studied for two different cases. First, when the radar is located on the beach. In this case there will not be any signal return from behind the radar. To make sure that there is no signal return from behind the radar, a restriction has been put on θ_0 ; that is $|\theta_0| \leq 90^\circ$. Also the solutions may indicate an interaction with that area of shallow water surrounding the radar. Since it is unlikely that this is important, restrictions have been put while accepting the roots that all the three distances travelled by the signal during two scatters should individually be greater than one kilometer. These distances denoted by r_{ar} , r_{b} , and r_{c} are given in Eqs. (2.28) to (2.30).

The second case corresponds to the case when the radar is located on the open ocean (e.g., a ship or an offshore paltform based radar). Now the radar is surrounded by the water and signals may be received from all directions. Imposing restrictions on r_b and r_c as they should be greater than one kilometer, it is made sure that both the scatters occur distinctly and away from the radar. There is no restriction on $\theta_{\rm b}$ in this case.

After all the restrictions are met, the final accepted solutions are used to generate the cross section. In the computation of the cross section, roots are again denormalized so that they may be used for particular radar frequency and sea state. m' may take the value either +1 or -1 and it is decided depending on the values of 0, m and K1. Analysis of Eq. (3.7) is used to decide the value of m' .. From this equation it is evident that if m is . equal to 1 and ω_A is less than 0 and K_1 is less than or equal to ω_a^2/q then m' = 1 otherwise m' = -1. Likewise if ω_a is less than 0 but K_1 is greater than or equal to ω_d^2/g , then m' = 1. Else if $m \neq -1$ and ω_{d} is greater than or equal to 0 and K₁ is greater than ω_d^2/g , then m' = 1 otherwise m' = 1. But if ω_d is greater than 0 and K_1 is less than or equal to ω_d^2/g then m' = -1. σ'_{n2} is computed from Eq. (4.1) in conjunction with Eq. (3.11), Eq. (2.21) is used to calculate $Q_{c}(K_{1}, K_{2}, \phi_{c})$ and Eqs. . (2.22), (3.44), (3.45) and (3.46) are used for the calculation of 'det'. Jacobian may be computed by the use of Eqs. (3.14) to .(3.41). The Pierson-Moskowitz frequency spectrum with a cardiod directional distribution [Srivastava (1984)] is used to model the ocean waveheight spectrum. This directional waveheight spectrum as given in Walsh et al. (1986) may be written as

$$S(\vec{K}) = \frac{0.0162\pi}{K^4} e^{-0.74} \left(\frac{g}{KU_k^2}\right)^2 \cos^2\left(\frac{\phi - \theta_k}{2}\right)$$
(4.9)

 $K = K_{x} \dot{x} + K_{y} \dot{y}$, $\phi = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{K_{y}}{K_{x}} \right)$

U., = wind speed in meter per second

 $\theta_{..}$ = wind direction

g is the gravitational acceleration.

Also for computational ease Δ is used instead of the modified surface impedance (Δ_0) to evaluate the attenuation function in σ_{s3} . The cross section is generated for different radar frequencies and seafstates. The integral with respect to Φ_2 in Eq. (4.1) is evaluated using the rectangular rule. The distance of the patch in all cases is taken as 30 kilometers. It is verified that by changing the distance of the patch there is no significant variation in the cross section result.

In order to exhibit the significance of off-patch-scatter compared to on-patch scatter, two corresponding cross sections σ_{s1} and σ_{s3} are plotted for 10 MHz and 25.4 MHz radar frequencies. The two frequencies chosen provide a well representation of the HF region. Thus any conclusion drawn at these frequencies may be applied to HF radars in general. The case when the radar is located in the open ocean is considered Wirst. It is assumed in this case that the sea is fully developed in the total scattering region. Figures 3 to 5 show the individual spectrum of σ_{s1} and σ_{s3} for 10 MHz radar frequency and 10 knots wind speed. Wind directions are 0°, 45° and 90° (cross wind) respectively with reference to the direction of the patch. The Doppler frequencies (in Hz) corresponding to $2m_{s1}$ and $42^{3/4}$ mg (in rad/sec) are marked in spectral polts as σ_{s1}^{*} and "b" respectively. Examining the above plots it is clear that σ_{s1} is lower than σ_{s1} at all

Doppler points except at zero and beyond $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{\rm p}$ (corner reflector) Doppler frequencies. In these regions σ_{e3} is higher than σ_{e1} . Similar plots are presented in figures 6 to 8 but now the radar frequency is 25.4 MHz. In these plots σ_{e3} is higher than σ_{e1} only at zero, around $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{\rm B}$ and beyond $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{\rm B}$ Doppler frequency points. In these plots two first-order peaks at . two which lie in . the null regions are not shown. The regions around first-order peaks which are used for the extraction of ocean surface parameters are not affected by σ_{n2} . Therefore, in these regions the secondorder cross section may adequately be described by σ_{o1} alone. Figures 9 to 11 are plotted for 10 MHz radar frequency but 30 knots wind speed. Wind directions are maintained at 0°, 45° and 90°. In . these plots σ_{e1} is significantly lower than σ_{e1} at all Doppler points except at zero, around $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{a}$ and beyond $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{a}$ Doppler . frequency points. Same conclusions may be drawn if the radar frequency is raised to 25.4 MHz and the corresponding plots are presented in figures 12 to 14. Going from 0° to 90° wind direction, the effect of σ'_{s3} at zero Doppler decreases. Referring to the above examples the contribution of σ_{o3} is not important in the wave regions. Beyond $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_B^{}$ $\sigma_{s3}^{}$ is significantly higher than σ_{e1} but the value of σ_{e3} itself is lower in this region. It is interesting to note that σ_{s2} may also be present in this case as jdiscussed in Walsh and Srivastava (1987). This term has not been included in this study. The peak at zero Doppler is the effect of double first-order scatter phenomenon.

Now we consider the case when the radar is located on the beach . or near the shore. There will be a reduction in σ_{e3} as it is impossible for any scattering to occur on the land. σ_{s1} will be the same in this case. Figure 15 to 17 show the individual plots of σ.1 and σ.3 for a 10 NHz radar frequency and 10 knots wind . speed. Wind directions are again 0° , 45° and 90° respectively. σ_{e1} and 0,3 both are lower in these cases. Comparing the two it is evident that σ_{a} is significantly lower than σ_{a} except when $|\omega_d| > \pm 2^{3/4} \omega_p$. In these regions σ_{e3} is appreciably higher than σ_{s1} . If the radar frequency is raised to 25.4 MHz the results are almost the same except that $\sigma^{}_{s3}$ is now higher than $\sigma^{}_{s1}$ around $(\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_B^{})$ instead of only for $|\omega_d| > \pm 2^{3/4}\omega_B^{}$ and these plots are shown in figures 18 to 20. If the wind speed is increased there is an expected overall increase in the two spectra and so σ_{c1} and σ., for a 40 MHz radar frequency at 30 knots wind speed are plotted in figures 21 to 23. The three wind directions are 0°, 45° and 90°. σ_{e1} can be seen to be much lower than σ_{e1} at all Doppler points except around $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{\rm R}$. Similar plots are shown in figures 24 to 26 but for a 25.4 MHz radar frequency. Here also σ_{a2} is significantly lower than σ_{s1} at all Doppler frequencies except around $\pm 2^{3/4} m_{\rm h}$ but not at $\pm 2^{3/4} m_{\rm h}$. In these regions it is higher than or comparable to σ_{s1} . The plots of σ_{s1} in all the above examples are based on the computer program given in Walsh and Srivastava (1984) and have been used only to compare 0, with

0e1

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS

The effect of second-order off-patch scatter is examined. The spectral cross-section expression of this kind of scatter is -simplified to a computational form assuming a narrow beam receiving antenna. The transmitting antenna is assumed to be omnidirectional. . It is found that the contributoin of off-patch scatter compared to on-patch scatter is effective only at zero Doppler, around $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_p$ and beyond $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{r}$ frequency points. Around $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_{r}$ frequency points σ_{s3} is higher than or comparable to σ_{s1} but beyond $\pm 2^{3/4}\omega_n$ frequency points σ_{s3} is significantly higher than σ_{s1} . The Doppler regions near the first-order peaks, which are commonly used for estimation of ocean wave parameters are unaffected by off-patch scatter. Therefore, for the estimation of these parameters the second-order cross section may adequately be described by σ_{e1} alone. Based on these results it may be concluded that this form of scatter is not significant for the problem of extracting ocean surface parameters. However, it may be important in target detection applications when the target Doppler frequency is zero, near the "corner reflector" frequencies or beyond the corner reflector frequencies.

Future work in this area might include the effect of higherorder scatter, particularly that of third-order scatter. It is suspected that in high sea conditions the total spectral cross section may be strongly influenced by the third-order effect. If this is the case, then the contribution of third-order scatter must be taken into account in the design of any analysis technique to extract ocean spectral information from radar data.

a state of the second second



<u>FIG.</u> 1 First-order backscattered from a surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and marrow beam reception (ρ_0 - distance of patch, 2 $\Delta \rho$ - radial width of patch, 2 $\Delta \rho$ beamwidth of receiving antenna, Fra - ground wave attenuation function, with modified surface impedances)



 $\frac{F(G-2)}{2}$ Thise parts of the second-order backscattered from a surface patch and off the patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow-beam reception (ρ_{σ} distance of patch, $2\Delta\rho$ = radian width of patch, $2\Delta\rho$ = beamwidth of receiving antenna, F^{+} = ground wave attenuation function with modified surface impedances)



FIG. 3 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (open sea condition).



FIG. 4 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (open sea condition).



<u>FIG.5</u> Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean 'surface patch for omnidirectional transmission 'and narrow beam reception (open sea condition).

•





ě.

S. Sandi istan



FIG. 8 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface-patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (open sea condition).





52

manufacture de la section d







FIG. 13 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (open sea condition).





CROSS SECTION


FIG. 15 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (land based condition).

. .





FIG. 17 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (land based condition).







FIG. 20 Two parts of the second-order backscattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narcow beam reception (land based condition)

62







ALL T ABOUT STREET WE LET TURAL IT





scattered spectral cross section of the ocean surface patch for omnidirectional transmission and narrow beam reception (land based condition).



Martin Mary

Read Winnershill

REFERENCES

Barrick, D. E., "The interaction of HF/VHF radio waves with the sea surface and its implications", 1970, AGARD Conference Proc. Electromagnetics of the sea, 77, pp. 18.1 - 18.16.

Barrick, D. E., "Theory of HF and VHF propagation across the rough sea (Parts 1 and 2)", 1971, Radio Science, 6, pp. 517-533.

Barrick, D. E., "Remote sensing of sea state by radar, in Remote Sensing of the Troposphere" 1972, V. E. Derr, Ed., U.S. Govt. Printing, Washington, D.C., pp. 12.1-12.45.

Crombie, D. D., "Doppler spectrum of sea écho at 13.56 Mc/s", 1955, Nature, 175, pp. 681-682.

Howell, R., Srivastava, R. S., Srivastava, S. K., and Walsh, J., "Remote sensing of sea state using ground wave radars", 1987, Oceans '87 Conference Proc., pp. 877-882.

Lipa, B. J. and Barrick, D. E., "Analysis methods for narrow-beam. High-Frequency radar sea echo", 1982, NOAA Technical report no. ERL420-WRL56, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Colorado, 55 pp.

Rayleigh, Lord (J. W. Strutt), "The Theory of Sound, Vol. 11", 1945, Dover, New York, 504 pp. Rice, S. O., "Reflection of electromagnetic waves from a slightly rough surface", 1951, Theory of Electromagnetic waves, M. Kline, Ed., Interscience, New York, pp. 351-378.

Contraction and a second second

Srivastava, S. K., "Scattering of high-frequency electromagnetic waves from an ocean surface: An alternative approach incorporating a dipole source", 1984, Ph.D. thesis, 305 pp., Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Canada.

Wait, J. R., "Electromagnetic surface waves", 1964, Advances in Radio Research, J. A., Saxton, Ed., Academic, New York, pp. 157-217.

Wait, J. R., "Perturbation Analysis for reflection from two-dimensional periodic sea waves", 1971, Radio Science, 6, pp. 387-391.

Walsh, J., "On the theory of electromagnetic propagation across a rough surface and calculations in VHF region", 1980, OEIC report no. N00242, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada, 195 pp.

Walsh, J. and Grivastava, S. K., "Model development for feasibility studies of HF radars as ice hazard remote sensors", 1984, OEIC report no. N00397, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada. Walsh, J., Srivastava, S. K. and Dawe, B. J., "Analytic model development for the study of ground wave radars as remote sensors in an ocean environment", 1986, Tech. Rep. prepared for the Department of National Defence, Govt. of Canada, Ottawa, Canada (D.S.S. contract No. 25V84-00152).

Walsh, J. and Srivastava, S. K., "Rough surface propagation and scatter with applications to ground wave remote sensing in an ocean 'environment", 1987, Proc. AGARD (NATO) 40th EPP specialist meeting, Rome, Italy, pp. 23,1-23,15.

APPENDIX

了。而且是这些新世界的这些不是的的文

PROGRAM ID .: ROOT.FOR 0.0 THIS PROGRAM IS USED TO GENERATE THE ROOTS USING NORWALIZED EQUATIONS AND ASSUMING A NARROW BEAM RECEIVING ANTENNA • . • DIMENSION FIINEW (360) , NUMK1 (360) DIMENSION AFI2 (2.180) . AFI1 (2.180.150) . NFI2 (2) . NFI1 (2.180) DIMENSION AKINOT (2.180.150.2) .NK1 (2.180.150) DIMENSION AMU (2,180,150,2), SMIN (2,180,150,2) REAL MUNOT, KINOT, K2NOT, M1, KINEW (360, 750), MM (2) COMMON/UU/PI, M1, ETA, IFLAG, PH12, PH11, MUNOT, THETAI COMMON WFUN EXTERNAL SNOT CALL UERSET (0, LEVOLD) OPEN (UNIT=5, FILE='FORO12.DAT', TYPE='OLD') READ (5. +) THETAI READ (5. +) NNN DO 60 IE=1.NNN READ (5, +)A ETA=A . TOL=0.0001 PI=4. *ATAN(1.0) THETAI=THETAI*PI/180. DO 50 IC=1:2 M1=2+TC-3 MM (IC) =M1 PHI2D=-181. IPHI2=0 DO 40 IF#2=1.180 PHI2D=PHI2D+2. PHI2=PHI2D+PI/180 TFLAG=1 CALL CHANGE (FIINEW, N1, KINEW, NUMK1) IFLAG=2 IFI1=0

DO 30 I=1,N1 N2=NINK1 (T) PHI1=FI1NEW(I) +PI/180. ·IK1=0 DO 20 J=1.N2.2 AK1=KINEW(I.J) BK1=K1NEW(I, J+1) SFIRST=SNOT (AK1) SSECOND=SNOT (BK1) CALL ZXGSN (SNOT, AK1, BK1, TOL, K1NOT, XX=KINOT SUMMIN=SNOT (XXX) IF (SUMMIN.GT.SFIRST.OR.SUMMIN'.GT.SSECOND) GO TO IF (ABS (WFUN) .GT. 0. 1) GO 'TO 20 IK1=IK1+1 IF(IK1.EQ.1) IFI1=IFI1+1 IF(IFI1.EQ.1) IPHI2=IPHI2+1 AFI2(IC. IPHI2)=PHI2D AFI1(IC, IPHI2, IFI1)=PHI1+180./PI AK1NOT(IC, IPHI2, IFI1, IK1)=K1NOT AMU(IC, IPHI2, IFI1, IK1) = MUNOT SWIN(IC. IPHI2. IFI1. IK1)=SUMMIN NFI1(IC, IPHI2)=IFI1 NK1 (IC, IPHI2, IFI1) =IK1 CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE NFI2(IC)=IPHI2 CONTINUE DO 55 IC=1.2. WRITE (50, *) ETA, MM (IC), NFI2 (IC) DO 45 I=1,NFI2(IC) WRITE(50, *) AF12(IC, I), NFI1(IC, I) WRITE (50, *) (AFI1 (IC. I. J) , NK1 (IC. I. J) , (AK1NOT (IC. I. J.K) , AMU(IC, I, J, K), SMIN(IC, I, J, K), K=1, NK1(IC, I, J)); J=1.NFI1(IC.I)) 1 CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE STOP END

20 30

40

55

60

...... SUBROUTTINE ID : CHANGE THIS SUBROUTINE IS USED TO CHECK IF TWO EQUATIONS C TO BE SOLVED CHANGE THEIR SIGN FOR A PARTICULAR C C SET OF VARIABLES SUBROUTINE CHANGE (FIINEW, N1, KINEW, NUMKI) DIMENSION FIINEW (360) , UUFUN (360, 750) , NUMK1 (360) DIMENSION WWFUN(360.750) REAL MUNOT, K1NOT, K2NOT, K10LD (360, 750) , K1NEW (360. 750) COMMON/CH/ANGLE1, FFACT. UFUN COMMON WFUN DEL.1=.01 DEL 2= 1 DEL.3=.1 N1=0.09/DEL1+1. N2=0.9/DEL2 N3=9.0/DEL3 N12=N1+N2 NK1=N12+N3 FT1=-181. TT=0 DO 10 I=1.360 FI1=FI1+1. ANGLE1=FI1. DELK1=DEL1 KINOT=0.01-DELKI .I.I=0: DO 20 J=1.NK1 KINOT=KINOT+DELK1 IF (J.EQ.N1) DELK1=DEL2. IF (J.EQ.N12) DELK1=DEL3 SUM=SNOT (KINOT) IE (ABS (FFACT) .GT. 1.E-02) GO TO 20 JJ=JJ+1 IF (JJ.EQ: 1) II=II+1 FIINEW(II)=FII. NUMK1(II)=JJ UUFUN (II. JJ) =UFUN WWFUN (II, JJ) =WFUN KIOLD (II. JJ) =KINOT

CONTINUE CONTINUE N1=II II=0 DO 50 I=1.N1 N2=NUMK1(I)-1 IF (N2.EQ.0) GO TO 50 JJ=0 DO 60 J=1,N2 AMULT=UUFUN (I, J) +UUFUN (I, J+1) AMULT2=WWFUN(I, J) +WWFUN(I, J+1) IF (AMULT. GT. 0. 0. OR. AMULT2. GT. 0. 0) GD TO 60 J.J=J.J+2 IF(JJ.EQ.2) II=II+1 FIINEW (II) =FIINEW (I) K1NEW(II, JJ-1)=K10LD(I, J) KINEW(II, JJ)=K10LD(I; J+1) NUMK1 (II)=JJ CONTINUE . CONTINUE N1=II RETURN END

20

10

60

C
C
FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM ID: SNOT
THIS FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM IS REQUIRED TO CALCULATE
THIS FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM IS REQUIRED TO CALCULATE
THIS FUNCT'. POLING' AND THE THO FUNCTIONS THAT
EDEERGE FROM THO EQUATIONS

FUNCTION SNOT (XX) DIMENSION ACHMU(2), ANU(4) REAL M1.K1.K1X.K1Y.K2.K2X.K2Y.MU.KC.KCX.KCY COMMON/UU/PI.W1.ETA.IFLAG.FI2.PHI1.MU.THETAI COMMON/CH/ANGLE1.FFACT.UFUN COMMON WELIN IF (IFLAG.EQ.1)FI1=ANGLE1*PI/180.0 IF (IFLAG. EQ. 2) FI1=PHI1 . SNOT=1.0E25 UFUN=SNOT WFUN=SNOT FFACT=2.0/ K1=YY CFI2=COS(FI2) SFI2=SIN(FI2) CFT2T=COS (FT2) SFI2T=SIN(FI2) TFI2T=SFI2T/CFI2T CFT1=COS(FT1) SFI1=SIN(FI1) CFI1T=COS(FI1) SFIIT=SIN(FII) K2=(SORT(K1)+W1+ETA)++ K1X=K1+CFI1 K1Y=K1+SFI1 K2X=K2+CFI2 K2Y=K2+SFI2 FACT=4.0+K1+CFI1T+(K1+CFI1T+K2+CF12T B=-2.0*(K2*SFI2T) **2 A=FACT+B/2.0 C=-SFI2T+SFI2T+FACT+B/2. IF (A.EQ.0.0.AND.B.EQ.0.0) GOTO 40 IF (B.EQ.0.0.AND.C.EQ.0.0) GOTD 40 DISC=B+B-4.0+A+C IF (DISC.LT.0.0) GOTO 40 ACHMU(1)=0

ACHMU (2)=0

IF (A.EQ.O.O) THEN ACHMU(1)=-C/B ELSE IF (DISC.EQ.O.O) THEN ACHMU(1)=-B/(2.0+A) FLSE ACHMU(1)=(-B+SQRT(DISC))/(2.0*A) ACHMU (2) = (-B-SQRT (DISC)) / (2.0*A) END IF O=ITM AMU(1)=0 AMU(2)=0 AMU(3)=0 AMU (4)=0 NUMMU=0 CSGN=1 DO 50 TM=1 2 X=ACHMU(IM) NUMMU=NUMMU+2 IF (X.LE.1.0) GOTO 50 . AMU (NUMMU) = ALOG (X+SQRT (X+X-1.0)) AMU (NUMMU-1) =- AMU (NUMMU) CONTINUE DO 60 IN=1.4 IF (AMU(IN) .EQ.O.O) GOTO 60 X=AMU(TN) SHX=STNH(X) CHX=COSH(X) THX=SHX/CHX FFACT=(2.0*K1*CFI1T+K2*CFI2T)*CFI2T*CHX*SORT(1.0+ (THX+TF12T) ++2)-K2+SIGN(1.0.SHX+TF12T) + (SF12T++2+CHX) IF (ABS(FFACT) .GT. 1.E-2) GOTO 60 MIL=Y CHMU=CHK . SHMU=SHX IF (IN.GT.2) CSGN=-1 GOTO 65 CONTINUE IF (MU.EQ.0.0) GOTO 40 DEL=ATAN2 (SHMU+SFI2T, CHMU+CFI2T) IF (DEL.GT.-PI.AND.DEL.LT.O.d) DEL=DEL+PI CDEL=COS (DEL)

50

SDEL-STI (DEL) KCC=2.0+K1X+K2X2+(1.0+CHAU+CDEL)+K2Y+SHAU+SDEL KCC=2.0+K1X+K2Y+(1.0+CHAU+CDEL)-K2X+SHAU+SDEL KC=SQHT(KCX+2-KCY+2) UTUH=K7(1.0-CHAU)-1.0 FTUH=KTAN2(KCY,KCO)-THETATI FT(FLALE2.0) INETUHN SNOT=ABS (UTUN)+ABS (FTUN) RETORN

END

80					S
THETAT!	I · ANY-	VALUE	OUT	0F	FTVE
-3.080000					
-3.040000		×	12	61	
-3 000000					1
-2.960000		÷ .	8		
-2.920000					
-2.880000	*				
-2.840000	•. •		51.4		
-2.800000					
-2.760000		1.1			
-2.720000					
-2.680000					
-2.640000					101
-2.600000					
-2:560000					
-2.520000					
-2.480000		•			
-2 440000	x				
-2 400000					
-2 360000			5		1
-2 320000					
-2 280000					
-2 240000					
-2 200000	2				
-2.160000		3 A			
-2.120000					
-2 080000				47	
-2 040000					
-2 000000	,				
-1 960000					
-1.920000					
-1.880000			- 8	•	
-1 840000	*				
-1.800000			۰.		
-1 760000					°
-1 720000			1	2	
-1 681703	8 8 8		14	•	N.
-1 680000	-				8
			0		
-1 640000			~		

-1.550000 --1.520000 -1:480000 -1.440000 -1.414214 -1.400000 -1.360000: -1.320000 -1:280000 -1.240000 -1.200000 -1.160000 -1 120000 -1.080000 -1.040000 -1.000000 -0.9600000 -0.9200000 -0.8800000 -0.8400000 -0.8000000 -0.7600000 -0.7200000 -0.6800000 -0.6400000 -0.6000000 -0.5600000 -0.5200000 -0.4800000 -0.4400000 -0.4000000 -0.3800000 -0.3200000 -0.2800000 -0.2400000 -0.2000000 -0.1600000 -0.1200000 -8.000000E-02 -4.000000E-02 0.0000000

COARST AND

State of the state of the state of the

****** ****** PROGRAM ID : INT.FOR 'n THIS PROGRAM SORTS OUT THE MULTIPLE OCCURING OF SAME ROOTS AND CHECKS THE RESTRICTIONS FOR BEACH AND OPEN OCEAN CASES DIMENSION AF12(2,90), AF11(2,90,150) DIMENSION NFI2(2), NFI1(2,90) DIMENSION AKINOT (2,90,150,2) .SMIN (2,90,150,2) DIMENSION NK1 (2,90,150) , AMU (2,90,150,2) , KNT (90) DIMENSION RAN (2.90, 150, 2), RBN (2.90, 150, 2) DIMENSION RCN (2, 90, 150, 2) , THETAB (2, 90, 150, 2) DIMENSION JTEMP (5) REAL MM (2), KINOT / K2NOT, KCY, KCX, K1X, K2X, K1Y, K2Y ENTER (O OR 1) BEACH OR OPEN OCEAN ? 10 FOR OPEN OCEAN READ(5, *)LOC OPEN (UNIT=14.FILE='ROOT.DAT'.TYPE='OLD NO OF NORMALIZED DOPPLER POINTS * READ(14. +) NN PI=4. *ATAN (1.) OPEN (UNIT=77; FILE='INT. DAT', TYPE='NEW') WRITE (77. *) NN ROW=30. DO 5 NV=1 NN DO 10 IC=1.2 READ(14.*)ETA.MM(IC).NFI2(IC) DO 20 I=1.NFI2(IC) READ(14.*)AF12(IC.I).NFI1(IC.I) READ (14. *) (AFI1 (IC. I. J) , NK1 (IC. I. J) ; (AK1NOT (IC. I. J. K) , 1 AMU(IC, I, J, K), SMIN(IC, I, J, K), K=1, NK1(IC, I, J)),

1 J=1,NFI1(IC,I))

- 20 CONTINUE
- 10 CONTINUE

TO SORT OUT THE MULTIPLE ROOTS

DO 11 IC=1,2 IF (NF12(IC).EQ.0),00 TO 11 D0 12 I=1,NFI2(IC) MFI1=1 JTEMP(1)=1 IP(NFI1(IC,I).EQ.1)GO TO 12 / EMALL=BMIN(IC,I,1,1)

D0 13 J-2, NFI1(CC, 1) IF (AF11(CC, 1, J)-AF1(CC, 1, J-1)).LT.2.1) THEN IF (SUIN (CC, I, J, 1).LT. SMALL) THEN SMALL-SMIN(CC, I, J, 1) JTEMP (MF11)=J ELSE ELSE MFT1=MFT1+1 JTEMP (MF11)=J SMALL-SMIN(CC, I, J, 1) END IF END IF CONTINUE

NFI1(IC, I)=MFI1

D0 14 J=1,MFI1 JJ=TEMP(J) AFRI(IG,I,J)=AFI1(IG,I,JJ) HK1(IG,I,J)=HK1(IG,I,JJ) AK1NOT(IG,I,J)=AK1NOT(IG,I,JJ,1) AKU(IG,I,J)=AMU(IG,I,JJ,1) SWIN(IG,I,J,1)=SWIN(IG,I,JJ,1)

14 CONTINUE 12 CONTINUE

13

11 CONTINUE

CHECKING THE RESTRICTIONS

D0 30 IC-1,2 IF (NF12(IC))L2 () THEN WRITE(77 ,) ETA, MM(IC), NF12(IC) GOTO 30 EMD IF HF12=0 D1 40 I=1.NF12(IC) KHT(I)=0 D6 50 J=1.NF1(IC,I)

K=1 '

KINOT=AKINOT(IC, I, J, K) K2NOT=(SORT(K1NOT)+MM(IC)+ETA)+*2 CHMU=COSH (AMU (IC, I, J, K)) SHMU=SINH (AMU(IC.I.J.K)) SFIIT=SIN(AFI1 (TC. T. J) +PI/180.) CFI1T=COS (AFI1 (IC. I. J) +PI/180.) SFI2T=SIN(AFI2(IC.I) +PI/180.) CF12T=COS(AF12(IC, I)*PI/180.) DEL=ATAN2 (SHMU+SFI2T, CHMU+CFI2T) IF (DEL.GT. -PI AND.DEL:LT.O.) DEL=DEL+PI CDEL=COS (DEL) SDEL=SIN(DEL) SIB=ATAN2 (SHMU+SDEL. 1.+CHMU+CDEL) SIB=SIB+180./PI K1X=K1NOT+CFI1T K1Y=K1NOT+SFI1T K2X=K2NOT+CFI2T K2Y=K2NOT+SFI2T KCX=2.0+K1X+K2X+(1.0+CHMU+CDEL)+K2Y+SHMU+SDEL KCY=2.0*K1Y+K2Y*(1.0+CHMU*CDEL)-K2X*SHMU*SDEL FIC=ATAN2 (KCY. KCX) FIC=FIC+180./PI DEN=1 . + CHMU RAN(IC.I.J.K)=2./DEN RBN(IC, I.J.K) = (CHMU+CDEL)/DEN RCN(IC.I.J.K) = (CHMU-CDEL) /DEN THETAB (IC, I, J, K) =SIB+FIC IF (LOC.EQ. 0) THEN IF (RBN (IC, I, J, K) . GT. 1. / ROW . AND. RCN (IC. I. J. K) . GT. 1 1./ROW)GO TO 50 FLSE IF (RBN (IC.I.J.K).GT.1./ROW.AND.ABS (THETAB (IC.I.J.K)) LT. 90.0.0. AND . RCN (TC. T. J.K) . GT. 1. /ROW AND. 1 RAN(IC.I.J.K).GT.1./ROW)GO TO 50 END IF AFI1 (IC, I, J)=1000. KNT(I)=KNT(I)+1 CONTINUE IF (NFI1(IC.I).EQ.KNT(I)) THEN AF12(IC. I)=1000. MFI2=MFI2+1 ELSE . END TE CONTINUE

60,

10

	WRITE(77, *)	ETA,	II) MI	C), NF1	2(IC)	-MFI2			
	DO 200 I=1,	NFI2	(IC)					17 .	
••••	IF (AFI2(IC	, I) .	LT.9	99.)11	ĒN	50			-
1	WRITE(77)	AFI2	(IC.	I) .NFI	I (IC.	I)-KN	- (I)		
	DO 300 J=1.	NFI1	(IC.	1)					-
	K=1			-2	~				
	TF (AFT1 (TO	T.J	LT	999.1	THEN				
	BITE (77 .)	AFTI	(TC	T .D .	K1 (TC	TD	AKINOT	r (TC)	1 1
	ANTITC T I	r) 5	UTW	TC T	12.		- MALINO		
•	FUD TE	.,	and C	20,1,1					
	CONTINIE				-				-
•	CUNITAUE	-				-	•		
	END IF			-					
c	CONTINUE								
	CONTINUE							~	-
	CONTINUE								-
	CLOSE UNIT=	77	• •		-	-	-		
	CLOSE UNIT=	15							
	STOP				1.1				
	END	• •							

IMPLICITREAL+8 (A-H, 0-Z) REAL+4FNORT REAL+8KR, MU-COMPLEX*8CMPLX.PE COMPLEX+16NUMD, DCMPLX DIMENSION DOPLER (300) . SIGS3 (300) COMMON PI, GA, N COMMON/THREE/PE. WB. KR. COMMON/SPECN/U, THETA FREQUENCY (MHZ) ? READ (7, +) FREQ WIND SPEED (KNOT) ? READ (7 .+)U WIND DIRECTION (DEG) ? READ (7, +) THETA DISTANCE OF THE PATCH (KM) ? READ(7, +)ROW ROW=ROW+1000.DO PI=4. DO+DATAN (1. D0) GA=9.81D0 · KR=FREQ*PI/150.DO WB=DSQRT (2.DO+GA+KR) U=Ü*.5148D0 ---THETA=THETA+PI/180.DO NUMD=DCMPLX(8.D1, -7.2D4/FREQ) NUMD=DCMPLX (0. D0, -0. 5D0) *KR*ROW/NUMD PE=CMPLX (NUMD) CS3=DBLE (FNORT (PE)) CE3=4.D0+KR/(PI++2)/(CS3++4) CS3=CS3/5.0 CALL FUNCSN (DOPLER, SIGS3) WRITE(7, +)N-1 NP=N/2

C

C

C

C

PROGRAM ID : CROSS.FOR.

DO 25 I=NP+1, NP+(NP+1)/2 TEMP1=DOPLER(I) TEMP2=SIGS3(I) 85

THIS PROGRAM IS USED TO COMPUTE CROSS SECTION

OF OFF-PATCH SCATTER BASED ON THE ROOTS WHICH

ARE OBTAINED FROM THE SOLUTIONS OF TWO EQUATIONS

DOPLER (I)=DOPLER (3+NP+1-I) SIGS3 (I)=SIGS3 (3+NP+1-I) DOPLER (3+NP+1-I)=TEMP1 SIGS3 (3+NP+1-I)=TEMP2 CONTINUE

and the second state of th

D0 30 I=1,2*NP SIGS3(I)=5IGS3(I)*CS3 DOPLER(I)=DOPLER(I)/2./PI IF(I.E0.NP)G0 T0 30 WWITE(7,2)DOPLER(I),SIGS3(I) FORMAT(/2E13.5) CONTINUE

STOP

Best Starth

25

30 .

Cesssosses ****************

SUBROUTINE ID : FUNC3N

C

SUBROUTINE FUNCAN (DOPLER, SUMT) IMPLICITREAL+8 (A-H.O-Z) REAL+8 K1, K1X, K1Y, K1S, K1F, K1INC, K2, K2X, K2Y, KR, MU, NU, KC. KCX. KCY : JACOB REAL#4 FNORT COMPLEX*8 PE.PEA.PEB.PEC DIMENSION MM1 (2) ,NFI2 (2) ,FI2D (2,150) ,NFI1 (2,150) DIMENSION SUM (2.450) .FI1D (2.150.150) . 1 AK1NOT (2, 150, 150, 4) , AMU (2, 150, 150, 4) DIMENSION ACSGN (2, 150-150.4) .NK1 (2, 150.150) .SUMT (300) DIMENSION DOPLER (300)

FOLLOWING FIVE DATA FILES CORRESPOND TO THE SOLUTIONS CORRESPONDING TO FIVE DIFFERENT VALUES OF 'THETAI'

```
OPEN (UNIT=1.FILE='DATA00.DAT'.TYPE='OLD')
OPEN (UNIT=2, FILE='DATAT2', DAT', TYPE='OLD')
OPEN (UNIT=3, FILE='DATA21.DAT', TYPE='OLD')
OPEN (UNIT=4, FILE='DATA24.DAT', TYPE='OLD')
OPEN (UNIT=5, FILE='DATA42.DAT', TYPE='OLD')
K=1
```

ITT=0

ITT=ITT+1 SUMT (ITT)=0.0

COMMON PI.GA.NN COMMON/THREE/PE, WB, KR.

DO 3 NF=1.5 IF (ITT.EQ. 1) THEN NO OF NORMALIZED FREQUENCY POINTS ? READ (NF, +) NP NN=2+NP SWITCH=1.DO END IF IF (ITT.GE. (NP+1)) SWITCH=-1.DO. IF (ITT.EQ. (NP+1)) THEN REWIND NE READ (NF. +) NP ELSE · END IF

IF (ITT.GT.NN) GOTO 130

HOLD NOTEL 28

D0 10 IC=1.2 READ(NF,*)ETA, MAI(IC), NFI2(IC) ETA=ETA=SHITCH ND=ETA=NFB DOPLER(ITT)=ND MAI(IC)=MAI(IC)*SWITCH

JF (NFI2(IC) .LE.O) GOTO 10

:1

15

20

10

is an and the second

D0 20 I=1, NFI2(IC) READ(NF,*) FI2D(IC, I), NFI1(IC, I)

,D0 15 J=1,NF11(IC,I) READ(DF,=)F11D(IC,I,J),NK1(IC,I,J),AK1NOT(IC,I,J,K), ANU(IC,I,J)K),ACSON(IC,I,J,K) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE

D0 50 IC=1,2 IF (NFI2(IC).EQ.0) GOTO 50 M1=MM1(IC)

D0 60'1=1,NF12(IC) R12=F12D(IC,I)*P1/180.D0 SUM(IC,I)=0.D0

DO 70 J=1.NFI1(IC.I) FI1=FI1D(IC, I, J) +PI/180.D0-K1=AK1NOT(IC.I.J.K) +2.DO+KR CSGN=ACSGN (IC, I, J,K) MU=AMU(IC.I.J.K) IF (M1.EQ.1) THEN IF . (WD.LT.O.DO.AND.K1.LE. (WD+WD/GA)) M2=1-IF (WD.GE.0.DO) M2=-1 IF (WD.LT.O.DO.AND.K1,GE. (WD+WD/GA)) M2=-1 ELSE IF (W1 .EQ. -1) THEN IF (WD.GE.O.DO.AND.K1.GT. (WD+WD/GA)) W2=1 IF (WD:LT.0.DO) M2=1 IF - (WD.GT.O.DO, AND.K1.LE. (WD+WD/GA)) M2=-1 END IF CFI2=DCOS(FI2) SFI2=DSIN(FI2)

SFI2T=DSIN(FI2) TFI2T=SF12T/CF12T CHMU=DCOSH (MU) SHMU=DSTNH (MU) CFI1=DCOS(FI1) SFI1=DSIN(FI1) CFIIT=DCOS (FII) SFI1T=DSIN(FI1) K2= (DSQRT (K1) +M1+WD/3.132092D0) ##2 K1X=K1+CFI1 K1Y=K1+SFI1 K2X=K2+CFI2 K2Y=K2+SFT2 DEL=DATAN2 (SHMU+SF12T, CHMU+CF12T) IF (DEL. GT. -PI. AND. DEL. LT. 0. DO) DEL=DEL+PI CDEL=DCOS (DEL) . SDEL-DSIN (DEL) KCX=2. D0+K1X+K2X+(1. D0+CHMU+CDEL)+K2Y+SHMU+SDEL KCY=2 DO+K1Y+K2Y+(1 DO+CHMI+CDEL)-K2X+SHMI+SDEL KC=DSQRT (KCX++2+KCY++2) FIC=DATAN2 (KCY. KCX) CFIIC=DCOS(FII-FIC) SETIC=DSTN(ET1-ETC) CFI2C=DCO6(FI2-FIC) SFI2C=DSIN (FI2-FIC) GMUMU=((CHMU-2.DO)*(2.DO*K1*CFI1C+K2*CFI2C*(1.DO-CDEL)) -K2+SHMU+SDEL+SFT2C)/((1.D0+CHMU)++2) GMUDEL=K2*((1.DO+CHMU)*CDEL*SF12C-SHMU*SDEL*CF12C)/ ((1 DO+CHMU) ++2) GDELDE=-K2*(SHMU*SDEL*SFI2C+CHMU*CDEL*CFI2C)/(1.D0+CHMU) DET=DABS (GMUMU+GDELDE-GMUDEL++2) PCK1=((4.D0*K1*CFI1T*(K1*CFI1T+K2*CFI2T)-(K2*SFF2T)**2) *(CSGN+DSIGN(1.D0.2.D0+K1+CFI1T+K2+CFI2T)+(1.D0/DSORT(K1))) * (8.D0+K1+CFI1T+K2+CFI2T) +CFI1T+ (K1+CFI1T+K2+CFI2T) +CSGN+DSQRT(K1) +DABS(2.D0+K1+CFI1T+K2+CFI2T)+(CFI1T++2)) /DSQRT (CFI1T+ (K1+CFI1T+K2+CFI2T)) - (K2+K2+DABS (SFI2T) +CSGN 42 +2.0D0+DSQRT(K1) +DABS(2.D0+K1+CFI1T+K2+CFI2T) +DSQRT(CFI1T *(K1*CFI1T+K2*CFI2T)))*4.D0*CFI1T*(2.D0*K1*CFI1T+K2*CFI2T +)) *DABS (SFI2T) / (4. D0*K1*CFI1T* (K1*CFI1T+K2*CFI2T) - (K2*

SFI2T) **2) **2

CFI2T=DCOS(FI2)

PKCFI1=(K2*K2*(CHAU+CDEL)*(SHAU+PAUFI1-SDEL*PDELFI) +2.D0%K1*K2*((1.D0+CHAU+CDEL)*SFI21-SHAU+SDEL*CDE(21) +2.D0*K1*K2*(CFI21*(SHAU+CDEL*PAUFI1-CHAU+SDEL*DELFI) +SFI21*(CMU+SDEL*PAUFI1-SHAU+CDEL*PDELFI))/KC

PKCK2=(K2*(CHAU*CDEL)*(CHAU*CDEL*K2*(SHAU*PMUK2=SDEL* PDELK2))*2.D0*K1*((1.D0*CHAU*CDEL)*CT121*SHAU*SDEL*SFT21) *2.D0*K1*K2*(CF121*(SHAU*CDEL*PMUK2=CHAU*SDEL*PDELK2) *SFT21*(CHAU*SDEL*PMUK2=SHAU*CDEL*PDELK2))/KC

PKCK1=(4.D0*K1+K2*K2*(CHAU+CDEL)*(SHAU*PAUK1=SDEL* PDELK1)+2.D0*K2*(1.D0*CHAU*CDEL)*CFT21*SHAU*SDEL*SFT21) +2.D0*K1*K2*(CFT21*(SHAU*CDEL*PAUK1-CHAU*SDEL*PDELK1) +SFT21*(CHAU*SDEL*PAUK1+SHAU*CDEL*PDELK1))/KC

PURX=PCR2/SHAU PAUFI1=PCF11/SHAU PAUFI1=T2T1/(CHAU+2+(SHAU+TF12T)++2) PDE1X=FACT=PAUK1 PDE1X=FACT=PAUK2 PDE1X=FACT+PAUF11 GT121=DCOS_FT12=F11) GT121=DCOS_FT12=F11)

(K2*SFI2T)**2)**2

PGf1i=((4,D0*K1*GF1IT*(K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T)-(K2*GF12T)**2) *(-G5GN*4,D0*D5IGM(1,D0,2,D0*K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T)*K1*D5GRT (K1)*GF1IT*GF1IT*(K2*GF1IT*K2*GF1TT-G5GN*D5GRT(K1)*DABS (2,D0*K1*GF1IT*K2*GF1IT*K2*GF1TT*(2,D0*K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T)) /D5GRT(GF1IT*(K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T))*(K2*K2*DABS(GF12T)*O5GR %2,D0*D5GRT(K1)*DABS(2,D0*K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T)*D5GRT(GF1IT* (K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T))*4,D0*K1*GF1IT*(2,D0*K1*GF11T*K2*GF12T) (K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T))*4,D0*K1*GF1IT*(2,GF12T)*D5GRT(GF1IT* (K1*GF1IT*K2*GF12T))*4,D0*K1*GF11T*(2,GF12T)*D5GRT(GF11T*

- dpizr/DsgRT(GF11+K(1+0F11+K3+0F12T))-(K2+Q2+0ABS (SF12T)+050N+2.D0+DSgRT(K1)+0ABS(2.D0+K1+0F11+K2+0F12T) >DSgRT(GF11+(K1+0F11+K2+0F12T))+0ABS(SF12T)+ *(4.D0+K1+0F11+0F11+C2+0F12T)+0ABS(SF12T)+0ABS(SF12T)+

PCK2=((4.D0*K1*GFI1T*(K1*GFI1T*K2*GFI2T)-(K2*SFI2T)**2) *(2.D0*K2*DABS(GFI2T)*CSGN*2.D0*DSIGN(1.D0,2.D0*K1*GFI1T *K2*CFI2T)*DSGRT(K1)*CFI2T*DSGRT(GFI1T*(K1*GFI1T*K2* CFI2T)*CSGN*DSGRT(K1)*DABS(2.D0*K1*GFI1T*K2*CFI2T)*CFI1T

CONTRACTOR OF

D0 80 IC=1,2 IF (NF12(IC).EQ.0) GDTD 80 D0 90 I=1,NF12(IC). SUMT(ITT)=SUMT(ITT)+(2.D0*PI/180.D0)*SUM(IC,I) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE

RECTANGULAR INTEGRATION OF THE MATRIX SUM

42 ≤ 0,00 × 1 × 1 × (1 × (1 × (1 × 1 × 1 × 2) FUNCI= ((ATTN €Q/KC) * × 2) × (1 × 2) FUNC=FUNCI/JACOB CALL PHSPEC (M1 × K1 × (1 × 1) CALL PHSPEC (M2 × K2 × M2 × K2 × , 52) FUNC=FUNC=51 × 52 SUM (IC, 1) = SUM (IC, 1) + FUNC CONTINUE CONTINUE

70

60

50

80

PEA=PE+2.D0/(1.D0+CHMU)

PEB-PE* (CHRU+COEL)/(1.DO-CHRU)) PEC-PE* (CHRU+COEL)/(1.DO-CHRU) ATT=DELE (FNORT (PEA) *FNORT (PED) *FNORT (PEC)) QC=KR*K1=CTIT* (KR*(2.DO+K1=KPE1)*22+CFIZ1)-2.DO+K1=KL= K2-3.DO+K1=K2=CTI21)*1.K1*(K1*(K1*K1=K2*CI21) FURDI-LR*K1=K1=K1*(K1*(K1*K1=K1*K1*K2*CI21)) FURDI-L(ATTN=QC/KC)*2)*K1=K2/DEF FUNC=FURCI/JACOB

JACOB=1.566046D0+DABS(M1+(PUFI1+PFICK2-PUK2+PFICFI)/ DSQRT(K1)+M2+(PUK1+PFICFI-PUFI1+PFICK1)/DSQRT(K2))

PUK1=(PKCK1-KC*SHMU*PMUK1/(1.DO+CHMU))/(1.DO+CHMU) PUK2=(PKCK2-KC*SHMU*PMUK2/(1.DO+CHMU))/(1.DO+CHMU) PUF11=(PKCF11-KC*SHMU*PMUF11/(1.DO+CHMU))/(1.DO+CHMU)

PDELFI))/KG

PFICFI=(2.D0*K1*CFI1C+K2*SFI2C*(SHMU*CDEL*PMUFI1-CHMU* SDEL*PDELFI)-K2*CFI2C*(CHMU*SDEL*PMUFI1+SHMU*CDEL*

+K2*(CHMU+SDEL+PMUK2+SHMU+CDEL+PDELK2)))/KC

1 SDEL+PDELK2))-CFI2C+ (SHMU+SDEL

PFICK2=(SFI2C*(1.D0+CHMU*CDEL+K2*(SHMU*CDEL*PMUK2-CHMU*

PFICK1=(2.D0*SFI1C+K2*SFI2C*(SHMU*CDEL*PMUK1-CHMU*SDEL* PDELK1)-K2*CFI2C*(CHMU*SDEL*PMUK1+SHMU*CDEL*PDELK1))/KC


SUBROUTINE ID ; PMSPEC

THIS SUBROUTINE GIVES CORRESPONDING PIERSON-

長和生態。

MOSKOWITZ WAVEHEIGHT SPECTRUM

> SUBROUTINE PAGEGC(CC, N, 8) IMFLOTITEAL-98(A-H, 0-2) REAL-88 (X, Y, K COMMON FI COMMON FI COMMON FI S=0 (CAMON FI S=0 (CAMON FI S=50 (CAMON FI (CAMON FI S=50 (CAMON FI (

END

C.

c

CU

...... Cast FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM TD . FNORT C USED TO CALCULATE NUMERICAL DISTANCE ~ REAL FUNCTION FNORT (ND) COMPLEX F.ND.P.R.L.CEXP. CSORT. SECK. CMPLX REAL MAGP. A.B.C. PI. CABS. CHT P=ND F=CMPLX(0.0.0.0) CNT=1.0 R=CMPLX(0.0.1.0) PI=4.0+ATAN (140) MAGP=CABS(P) IF (MAGP .LE. 10.0) GO TO 300 A=REAL (P) B=ATMAG(P) C=ATAN2 (B.A) TF (C. LT. 0. 0) GO TO 310 ċ ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR C.GE.OF EXTRA TERM FOR C. GR.O F=-2.0*R*CSQRT(PI*P)*SCEX(-P) CONTINUE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION FOR ALL L=1.0 310 CNT=1.0 L=L+CNT/(2.0+P 305. F=F-L CNT=CNT+2: T=CABS (L) IF(T.GT.1.0E-04) GO TO 305 0 GO TO 320 CONVERGENT SERIES 300 F=1-R*CSORT(PI*P)*SCEX(-P) CNT=1.0 L=1:0 330 L=((-1.0)+L+(2.0+P))/CHT F=F+L CNT=CNT+2. T=CARS (L) TF (T. GT. 1. 0E-04) GO TO 330 FNORT=CABS(F) RETURN FND

FUNCTION SUBPROGRAM ID : SCEX

COMPLEX FUNCTION BCEX(ARGU) COMPLEX ARG.CEXP.CMPLX.ARGU REAL MAG BCEX-CMPLX(1.0,0.0) ICNT=0... ICNT=0... ICNT=0... ICNT=0... ICNT=1CNT.1.50.0)GG TO 400 ARG=ARG/2.0 ICNT=1CNT+2 MAG=CABS(ARG) IF(MAG:LT.60.0)GG TO 402 GO TO 403 GO TO 403 GO TO 403

402 CONTINUE DO 404 J=1,ICNT

403

404

SCEX=SCEX*(CEXP(ARG))

GO TO 401

400 SCEX=CEXP (ARG) 401 RETURN

RETURN

95

Ci- Mand

****** PROGRAM, ID': SYMROOT, FOR PROGRAM USED TOTOBTAIN THE ROOTS WHEN 'THETAI' IS CHANGED IN SIGN. BASED ON SYMMETRY PROPERTY. DIMENSION AFI2(2,150) AFI1(2,150,150) NFI2(2) DIMENSION AKINOT(2,150,150,2), NK1 (2,150,150) DIMENSION NFI1(2.150) . MM (2) DIMENSION AMU (2, 150, 150, 2) , SMIN (2, 150, 150, 2) CHARACTER*12 FILENAME WRITE (6; +) 'ENTER FILE NAME' READ (5. 19) FILENAME FORMAT (A12) K=1 OPEN (UNIT=41 NAME=FILENAME, TYPE='OLD') READ (41. +) NP WRITE (44, *) NP DO 5 IETA=1.NP DO 10 IC=1,2 READ (41. +) ETA, MM (IC) , NFI2(IC) IF (NFI2(IC) .LE.0) GO TO 10 DO 20 I=1.NFI2(IC) READ(41, *) AF12(IC, I), NFI1(IC, I) DO 30 J=1,NFI1(IC,I) READ(41, *) AFI1(IC, I, J), NK1(IC, I, J), AK1NOT(IC: 1.J, K) AMU(IC, I, J, K), SMIN(IC, I, J, K) CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE GENERATION OF ROOT . 1 D0,11 IC≥1,2 IF (NFI2(IC) . NE. 0) THEN

č

30

20

10

DQ 12 I=1,NFI2(IC) AFI2(IC,I)=-AFI2(IC,I)

D0 13 J=1, NF11(IC, I) AF11(IC, I, J) =-AF11(IC, I, J) AMU(IC, I, J, K) =-AMU(IC, I, J, K) CONTINUE

ELSE END IF CONTINUE REARRANGING THE ROOTS TER IS JUST A DUMMY VARIABLE DO 18-1C=1.2 DO 14 I=1.NFI2(IC) IF (NFI1 (IC. I) .LE. 1) GO TO 14 DO 15 J=1.NFI1(IC.I)/2 .TER=AFI1(IC. I. J) . AFI1(IC, I, J) = AFI1(IC, I, NFI1(IC, I)+1-J) AFI1 (IC, I, NFI1 (IC, I) +1-J) =TER TER=NK1 (IC. I'. J) NK1 (IC, I, J)=NK1 (IC, I, NFI1 (IC, I)+1-J) •NK1 (IC, I, NFI1(IC, I) +1-J) =TER TER=AKINOT (IC. I. J.K) --AK1NOT(IC, I, J, K) = AK1NOT(IC, I, NFI1(IG, I) +1-J, K) AKINOT(IC. I. NFI1(IC. I)+1-J.K)=TER TER=AMU(IC, I, J,K) AMU(IC. I. J. K) = AMU(IC. I. NFI1(IC. I) +1-J.K) AMU (IC.I.NFI1(IC.I)+1-J.K)=TER TER=SMIN(IC. I. J.K) SMIN (IC, I, J, K)=SMIN (IG, I, NFI1 (IC, I)+1-J, K) SMIN(IC, I, NFI1(IC, I)+1-J, K)=TER CONTTNUE CONTINUE IF (NF12(IC) .LE.1) GO TO 16 DO 50 I=1.NFI2(IC)/2 TER=AFI2(IC. 1)/ AF12(IC, I) = AF12(IC, NF12(IC)+1-I) AF12 (IC, NF12 (IC) +1-I) =TER TER=NFI1(IC.I) NFI1 (IC. I)=NFI1 (IC. NFI2(IC)+1-I) NFI1 (ÎC, NFI2 (IC)+1-I)=TER

12

11

C

15

CONTINUE

D0 51 J=1,50 TEREAFI1(IC,I,J) AFI1(IC,I,J)=AFI1(IC,NFI2(IC)+1-1,J) AFI1(IC,NFI2(IC)+1-1,J)=TER

•	TER=NK1 (IC, I, J)				
	NK1 (IC, I, J) =NK1 (IC, NFI2 (IC) +1	I-I, J)		• • •
	NK1 (IC.NFI2(IC)+1-I.J)=TER		÷ •		1.0
	TER=AKINOT (IC.I.J.K)	1			
	AKINOT(IC. I. J.K)=AKINOT(IC. N	12(1	C)+1	-I.,	J.K)
	AK1NOT(IC.NFI2(IC)+1-I.J.K)=7	TER	1		
	TER=AMU(IC.I.J.K)		2.18		
	AMU(IC.I.J.K)=AMU(IC.NFI2(IC)	+1-3	.J.K		•
	AMU(IC.NFI2(IC)+1-I.J.K)=TER				
	TER=SMIN(IC.I.J.K)				
	SMIN (IC. I. J. K) =SMIN (IC. NFI2 ()	IC) +1	-I.J	.K)	
	SMIN (IC. NFI2 (IC)+1-I. J.K)=TE	3			. *
	CONTINUE				
	CONTINUE		•	1.	1
ł	CONTINUE				
		× .			
	DO 151 IC=1.2				
	WRITE (44. +) ETA.MM (IC) .NFI2(IC	C)		21	. •
	DO 152 I=1.NFI2(IC)				
	WRITE (44. *) AF12 (IC. I) . NFI1 (IC	C.I)		24.5	
	DO 153 J=1.NFI1(IC.I)				

WRITE (44, +) AFI1 (IC, I, J), NK1 (IC, I, J), AK1NOT (IC, I, J, K)

AMU (IC, I, J, K), SMIN (IC, I, J, K)

98

153

51 50 -

152 CONTINUE 151 CONTINUE 5 CONTINUE

STOP

CONTINUE







