1,2-BIS(TRIMETHYLSILYLOXY)CYCLOBUTENE: A STUDY OF ACYLATION METHODOLOGY AND SYNTHETIC APPLICATION



DEAN W. STRICKLAND







1,2-BIS(TRIMETHYLSILYLOXY)CYCLOBUTENE: A STUDY OF ACYLATION METHODOLOGY AND SYNTHETIC APPLICATION

by

DEAN W. STRICKLAND

B.Sc. (Honours) 1991

Memorial University of Newfoundland

St. John's, Newfoundland

A thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master's of Science

Department of Chemistry

Memorial University of Newfoundland

St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada

August 1996

©1996



National Library of Canada Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

Acquisitions and Bibliographic Services Branch Direction des acquisitions et des services bibliographiques

395 Weilington Street Ottawa, Ontano K1A 0N4 395, rue Wellington Ottawa (Ontano) K1A (N4

Your Me Votre relérence

Our tie Note reterence

The author has granted an irrevocable non-exclusive licence allowing the National Library of Canada to reproduce, loan, distribute or sell copies of his/her thesis by any means and in any form or format, making this thesis available to interested persons.

L'auteur a accordé une licence irrévocable et non exclusive à la Biblioihèque permettant nationale du Canada de reproduire, prêter, distribuer ou vendre des copies de sa thèse de quelque manière et sous quelque forme que ce soit pour mettre des exemplaires de cette thèse à la disposition des personnes intéressées.

The author retains ownership of the copyright in his/her thesis. Neither the thesis nor substantial extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her permission. L'auteur conserve la propriété du droit d'auteur qui protège sa thèse. Ni la thèse ni des extraits substantiels de celle-ci ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sans son autorisation.

ISBN 0-612-17650-9

Canadä

Abstract

Kuwajima and co-workers have reported that the reaction of a ketal and 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene (1) in the presence of a Lewis acid catalyst with subsequent rearrangement of the cyclobutanone intermediate with trifluoroacetic acid affords 2,2-disubstituted 1,3-cyclopentanediones in reasonable yields. We have found that substituents, such as methyl groups, in the α -position to the spiro center significantly reduce the yield of product. In addition, substitution of the 1,2-ethanediol molety of the ketal with groups such as methyl and phenyl also reduces product yields.

Synthesis of the precursors of the juvenile hormone 6-ethyl-10methyldodeca-5,9-dien-2-one of the moth *Cecropia* in our first approach involved the addition of an ethyl group to 2-ethyl-2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (71) and reduction with sodium borohydride to afford 80 as a precursor for a Grobtype fragmentation. Analysis of 80 using nmr and X-ray crystallography indicated the structure shown in Figure 5. The alternative approach to the precursors or the juvenile hormone involved the reduction of 71 with sodium borohydride with subsequent introduction of the ethyl molety to generate 89. However, introduction of the ethyl group proved to be irreproducible. Both of our

- 11 -

strategies focused on the construction of the correct relative stereochemistry to enable a Grob-type fragmentation to produce the correct double bond isomer of 69.

Model studies toward the synthesis of fredericamycin A focused on [2+2] photochemical additions of 1 with various enones, in particular with spiro[3cyclopentene-1,1'-indan]-2,5-dione (99). However, while tests carried out between a simple enone and 1 provided limited results, the reaction between 99 and 1 afforded only starting material.

Acknowledgements

There are a great many individuals and groups to whom I owe an eternal debt of gratitude for helping in the completion of this document. I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Jean Burnell, for the opportunity to work in his labs and to engage in research on several challenging projects.

No thesis is possible without the efforts and skills of many individuals: thanks to both Dr. Chet Jablonski and Ms. Nathalie Brunet for NMR spectra, and I wish to thank Nathalie for being a dear, dear friend, being a kindred spirit, and just listening during some of the "difficult times"; Dr. Brian Gregory and Miss Marion Baggs are gratefully acknowledged for providing me with mass spectra, good advice and understanding, and the best shortbread cookies; and Dr. John Bridson for X-Ray crystallographic work.

I also wish to thank the Department of Chemistry and Dr. Jean Burnell for financial support. In addition, the secretarial staff of Joan Squires, Viola Head, Teresa Barker, Mary Taplin, and Carolyn Hawkins is most appreciated for their kindness and understanding. I would also like to thank Chemistry Stores, especially Bonnie Smith, for unwaivering cooperation in the procurement of chemicals and equipment.

- iv -

The faculty and staff of the Department of Chemistry, Sir Wilfred Grenfell College is most gratefully acknowledged. In particular, I would like to thank Dr. Robert Haines and Mr. Bill Layden for providing me with space to write this thesis and for instrumentation to collect data. In addition, thanks to Dr. Julian Dust for many helpful conversations and thanks to Mrs. Debbie Wheeler for allowing me to share her office. Finally, thanks to everyone in the lab, staff, and faculty for bringing back the joy of science to a soul that had lost the faith.

Finally, I wish to thank my academic family: my labmates. My heartfelt gratitude is extended to Tracy Jenkins, Jim Gillard, Yong-Jin Wu, and Pei-Ying Liu for helping with technical advice and encouragement. Special thanks are given to Ron Buckle and Lori Burry for helping to keep the dream alive for as long as possible, for sharing many great restaurants, for the great conversations, and for being kind to someone who truly appreciated it.

- V -

Table of Contents

Title		i
Abstract		II
Acknowled	ements	iv
Table of Co	tents	vi
List of Figu	S	viii
List of Tabl	5	ix
Glossary o	Abbreviations	x
Dedication.		xi
Chapter 1.	is-acylation of Ketals	
L	Introduction	2
11.	Results and Discussion	7
	a. Steric Bulk of the Ketal's Alcohol Moiety	8
	b. α-Substituents	10
	c. Aromatic Substrates	20
111.	Conclusions	15
IV.	Experimental Section	17

Chapter 2. Synthetic Applications

I.	Section A: Synthetic Approaches to the Precursors of the Juvenile			
	Hormones of Cecropia			

	i.	Introduction	33
	ii.	Results and Discussion	42
		Approach 1	42
		Approach 2	50
	iii.	Conclusions	55
Н.	Section	on B: Model Studies Towards the Synthesis of	
	Frede	aricamycin A	
	i.	Introduction	56
	ii.	Results and Discussion	63
	iii.	Conclusions	65
III.	Expe	rimental Section	66
	i.	Section A	66
	ii.	Section B	73
References.			77
Appendix			80

- viii -

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Steric Hindrance in Attack of 1	10
Figure 2.	Stabilization of Carbocation	18
Figure 3.	Comparision of γ_{anti} / γ_{gauche} Interactions Between 28	
	and 29	20
Figure 4.	Coordination of Borohydride Addition to the	
	Ketone	46
Figure 5.	X-ray Crystal Structure of 80	47
Figure 6.	NOED Spectrum of 89	52

List of Tables

Table 1.	13C nmr Shifts of Diones 28 and 29	19
Table 2.	Comparison of ¹³ C nmr Shifts of Compounds 79, 80,	
	and 89	53

Glossary of Abbreviations

APT	Attached proton test
Et	Ethyl
GCMS	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
hv	Ultraviolet irradiation
ir	Infrared spectroscopy
mp	Melting point
MgSO4	Magnesium sulfate
ms	Mass spectrometry, mass spectrum
NaHCO ₃	Sodium hydrogen carbonate
nmr	Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
SOCI2	Thionyl chloride
TFA	Trifluoroacetic acid
TLC	Thin layer chromatography
TMS	Trimethylsilyl
nar	Narrow

In loving memory of Gram ...

Chapter 1

Bis-acylation of Ketals

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1977 Kuwajima and co-workers¹ published a geminal acylation procedure for the conversion of acetals and aldehydes into 1,3cyclopentanedione derivatives through an intermediate pinacol. The value of this method is in the rapid generation of a five-membered ring that possesses two ketone functions. In this process, there is the formation of a quaternary center from a ketone, and the formation of a spiro center from a cyclic ketone. An example of the reaction is shown in **Scheme 1** with benzaldehyde as the substrate. 1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene² (1) in the presence of the Lewis acid TiCl₄ reacts with benzaldehyde to afford the cyclobutanone 2. This compound then rearranges to 3 upon treatment with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in a yield of 76%, over both steps,¹



Scheme 1

-2-

Kuwajima¹ noted that a variety of Lewis acids, e.g. TiCl₄, BF₃ El₂O, and tetr::butylammonium fluoride, could accomplish the first step. In addition, Kuwajima¹ showed that the resultant 1,3-cyclopentanedione could be subjected to hydroxide-induced cleavage to afford a v-ketoacid, as shown in the example in Scheme 2.





In 1984, Kuwajima et al.² updated their earlier procedure, and they assessed more carefully a number of different parameters involved in this geminal acylation. The nature of the Lewis acid proved to be important in the formation of the cyclobutanone. It was found that TiCl₄ was the most effective Lewis acid that they tested for reactions of 1 with aldehydes and aliphatic acetals, whereas BF₃-Et₂O was more effective with reactive acetals and ketals. An important parameter in the choice of the acid for the rearrangment of the intermediate pinacol was the ease of removal of excess acid upon work-up. Trifluoroacetic acid was favoured for this reason. Even though acidic media such as *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (*p*TsOH) in hot benzene, BF₃-Et₂O, and trimethylsilyl triflate (TMSOTf) in dichloromethane were highly effective, they were not as easily removed during work-up.

The overall process with a ketal substrate is believed to occur via the mechanism shown in Scheme 3. The first step is complexation of a ketal



Scheme 3

oxygen with the Lewis acid. Next, there is a condensation between 1 and the ketal complex to give a cyclobutanone intermediate. Complexation of the other ketal oxygen then allows for the rearrangement of the cyclobutanone intermediate to the 1,3-cyclopentanedione. A point worth noting is that Kuwajima stated that the reaction of 1 and ketones does not occur in either basic or acidic media.

Wu and Burnell⁴ were the first, to be later followed by Ayyangar *et al.*,⁵ to report a modification to the Kuwajime procedure whereby a large excess of a Lewis acid led directly from a ketal to a 1,3-cyclopentanedione without the necessity of isolation of the cyclobutanone.

A number of groups have capitalized upon the gerninal acylation methodology. Some examples follow. Anderson and Lee⁶ incorporated the method to generate the C-ring in a functionalized aromatic system 5 in 39% yield from 4 in a route toward analogs of trichothecane 6, as shown in Scheme 4.





Wu and Burnell⁴ used the spiro-annulation of 7 to 8 (Scheme 5) to introduce one of the five-membered rings of the fragrant sesquiterpene isokusimone in 85% yield.



Scheme 5

Burnell and Wu⁷ also used this method to generate the D-ring of 3-methoxyestra-1,3,5,8,14-pentaen-17-one, an estrone analog. Reaction of 9 with 1 gave a 1,3-cyclopentanedione, which was immediately cyclized again to provide the C-ring in an overall vield of 91% vield, as shown in Scheme 6.



Scheme 6

Finally, Kavash and Mariano[®] employed the strategy to generate the intermediate 12 in 73% yield from the aldehyde 11 in the construction of the harringtonine ring system, as depicted in Scheme 7.



II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Despite the reaction parameters that were considered by Kuwajima and co-workers^{1,3} and the examples of the incorporation of this method into synthesis, there remained a need to define more clearly other parameters involved in the reaction. For instance, what influence would the nature of the ketal have upon the outcome of the reaction? Thus, a thorough study was initialed in our laboratory. One point should be mentioned here before details of these studies are presented. Difficulties were encountered in locating some of the 1,3-cyclopentanedione products on thin-layer chromatography (tic) plates. The dikctone products were almost invisible with the usual visualization techniques, i.e., uv light, I₂, and acid sprays. In order to get around this problem, it was sometimes necessary to allow the column fractions to concentrate by evaporation. In other cases, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GCMS) was used to analyze each column fraction.

II. a. Steric Bulk of the Ketal's Alcohol Moiety

Kuwajima used methyl or ethyl ketals in his series of examples. Kuwajima did not employ ketals derived from 1,2-ethanediol, which is the most commonly seen example of a ketal in synthesis. Thus, it was important for us to demonstrate the formation of the 1,3-dikelone 14 from the ketal 13 derived from cyclohexanone. Ketal 13 was treated with 2.5 equivalents of 1 and 15 equivalents of boron trifluoride etherate at -78 °C. The solution was then warmed to room temperature overnight, and aqueous work-up afforded the 1,3cyclopentanecione 14 in 96% yield, as depicted in Scheme 8.



Scheme 8

- 8 -

It was found that the use of a bulkier alcohol moiety for the ketal dramatically reduced the yield of the 1,3-cyclopentanedione. It is unlikely that there is any electronic effect at work here for two reasons. First, methyl groups are not significant electron donors via induction. Secondly, the methyl groups are separated from the reacting center by two bonds. In the case shown in Scheme 9, the conversion of the ketal 15 into the dione 16 proceeded in only 48% yield. Burnell and co-workers⁸ also found that increasing the steric kulk by replacing the methyls in 15 with phenyl groups procluded conversion of this ketal



Scheme 9

to **16**. Thus, a bulky ketal can serve as a protecting group for the ketone in these geminal acylation reactions. This is important because work by Jenkins¹⁰ demonstrated that **1**,3-cyclopentanediones can indeed be formed directly from ketones. In the case of **15**, steric congestion affects the addition of the **1**,2-(bistrimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene to the ketal, according to **Case 1** and/or **Case 2** as depicted in **Figure 1**. In either situation, some part of the ketal **15** is in very

close proximity to the 1,2-(bistrimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene and must sterically hinder reaction.



Case 1

Figure 1: Steric Hindrance in Attack of 1

II. b. a-Substituents

The use of a ketal that is substituted on the 1,2-ethanediol moiety is not a common synthetic situation, but α -substitution is often desirable. Thus, we decided to test the effect of increasing the steric bulk of the substrate by the introduction of α -substituents. The first of these was the reaction of the ketal 17, derived from racemic 3-methylnonan-4-one and 1,2-ethanediol. Ketal 17 was converted to 18 as shown in Scheme 10 in only a 28% yield. This was a substantially lower yield than was obtained in the reaction of ketal 13. However, another column fraction of the reaction of 17 afforded a second product 19 as a mixture of diastereomers in 15% yield. The ¹H nmr spectrum of this compound



included signals at 5 4.26 and 3.85, which were consistent with the presence of an 2-hydroxyethyl group derived from 1,2-ethanediol. The free 1,2-ethanediol from the reaction must have attacked the 1,3-cyclopentanedione moiety of 18 to force open the ring and generate the ester.

Another example was the ketal 20, which was exposed to the same conditions as in Scheme 11. None of the expected 1,3-cyclopentanedione 21



Scheme 11

was isolated after chromatography. Instead, the ester 22 was obtained in 19% yield as the only identified material, as indicated in Scheme 12. This had to

- 11 -



have been formed from 21, also by the attack of the 1,2-ethanediol. Clearly, the 1.3-cyclopentanedione was fairly reactive even though it was sterically hindered. Given the results of Scheme 10 and Scheme 12, it was clear that these molecules were undergoing a phenomenon similar to what Kuwajima1 noted in the original publication which described the formation of v-ketoacids through base-induced cleavage. In our case, we were victims of a variation of this phenomenon whereby the 1,2-ethanediol released from the ketal acted as a nucleophile and forced an acid-induced cleavage, the postulated mechanism of which is shown in Scheme 13. Significantly, for the transformation to the ester to occur, the desired 1.3-cyclopentanedione must have been generated first; the retro-aldol occurred subsequently. Although this appears to be a problem, it is one that is easily solved by making use of the increased steric bulk of the 2,3butanediol. Therefore, we returned to the dimethyl diol ketals with the idea that the presence of the two methyl groups would decrease the nucleophilicity of the diol and would then prevent the destruction of the 1.3-cyclopentanedione. When





the dimethyl-substituted ketal 23 was exposed to the same conditions as in Scheme 14 there was a 56% conversion to 21 as determined by nmr. However, attempts to purify the sample by column chromatography failed, largely due to decomposition of the diketone on the silica. Indeed, we found that 1,3-diketone products derived from more substituted ketals were all prone to decomposition. Given that we also had difficulties in 'visualizing' the 1,3-diketones by tic,



chromatography of these materials was rather tricky and could thereby explain lower yields. We believe that recoveries could be enhanced if one were to carry on with the next synthetic step without rigorous purification at the 1,3-diketone stage.

An ac-substituted cyclic ketal 24 was subjected to the standard reaction conditions (as defined in the Experimental Section), which afforded the dione 25, but in only 36% yield (Scheme 15). There was none of the opened dione



Scheme 15

material. However, the yield was still far below that of the unsubstituted case.

The reason behind this was that the methyl group was located on one side of the ring. In order for the 1,2-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclobutene to approach, it must have attacked in the position anti to the methyl group. However, there was still a great deal of steric hindrance to the approach of the cyclobutene. If the cyclobutene were to approach syn to the methyl group, the steric hindrance would have been considerably worse. Thus, the net result was that the approach was blocked and the reaction rate dropped significantly.

We decided to look at a more complex cyclic example that also had an α -



a-methyl group. Hydrogenation of (R)-carvone gave tetrahydrocarvone as a 1:1 mixture of epimers (Scheme 16). Ketalization of this mixture with 1,2-ethanediol and pTsOH proceeded smoothly with concomitant epimerization of the α-center to yield an approximately 10:1 mixture of epimeric ketals 26 and 27, with the major product being the thermodynamically preferred isomer with both the methyl and the isopropyl groups equatorial. Reaction of this mixture with 1 took place more slowly than with ketal 13, but the desired diketone product 28 was isolated in modest yield after chromatography. It is synthetically important to note that the relative stereochemistry about the cyclohexanone ring was maintained in this reaction, and if any epimeric dione 29 was produced, it was in a quantity that was undetectable. This was consistent with equatorial addition onto the Ketal center and subsequent rearrangement without elimination to an intermediate vinvl ether. The relative stereochemistry of the reaction of 26 is in contrast with the reaction of tetrahydrocarvone mixture directly with 1 under the ketone conditions. (The nmr data that shows how the relative stereochemistry was determined is presented below.) This process gave the isomer 29 very predominately, but this result has not yet been rationalized in terms of a mechanism 10 Jenkins reacted 1 with the 1.1 mixture of ketones under acidic conditions in which the ketones should have been interconvertible by epimerization of the a stereogenic center. A 2.2:1 mixture of diols was isolated.

- 16 -

and then rearrangement of this mixture with trifluoroacetic acid provided very





predominately dione 29. Thus, the reaction proceeded under the kinetic regime summarized in Scheme 17. If the ketone reaction was also by equatorial attack, ¹⁶ then 1 must approach the reacting carbonyl syn to the axial methyl, an event that appears to invite steric hindrance. We can offer two explanations, both of which have important synthetic ramifications for the (room temperature) ketone versus the (-78 °C) ketal technology. Firstly, the ketone epimer must in fact have a significant population of both isomers **30** and **31** at room temperature. Whereas the isomer **30** should be thermodynamically preferred, **31** is less than 0.2 kcal/mol higher in energy, but it is completely unencumbered for equatorial attack by **1**. Thus, by this route, the major intermediate should be **32**, not **33** as originally claimed.¹⁰ Compound **32** is the same as the result of axial attack by **1** on isomer **30**. Secondly, whereas isomer **30** should indeed be sterically hindering towards incoming **1**, the initial complexation with Lewis acid may be greatly facilitated by the fact that the axial methyl can provide hyperconjugative stabilization of the intermediate carbocation, as shown in **Figure 2**. In the case where the intermediate has an axial methyl, the



Figure 2: Stabilization of Carbocation

rearrangement of the intermediate to the 1,3-diketone proceeds at a significantly higher rate. The reason for this is that in this intermediate there is a large steric interaction between the cyclobulanone molety and the α -methyl. This congestion will force the rearrangement to proceed at a higher rate than for the equatorial intermediate in order to alleviate the strain.

The assignment of the relative stereochemistry of **28** and **29** was done by comparing the ¹³C nmr spectra of **28** with the diketone **29**, generated directly from the ketone through the procedure of Jenkins and Burnell.¹⁰ These differences are consistent with a γ_{spectra} interaction from an axial substituent (methyl) in **29**.¹¹ **Table 1** assigns the ¹³C nmr signals for both

	Diketo	one ¹³ C nmr Shifts
Assignment	28	29
C-1 or C-4	217.8	214.6
C-1 or C-4	216.7	214.1
C-2 or C-3	35.7	34.3
C-2 or C-3	35.4	34.7
C-5	60.5	60.8
C-6	36.0	32.7
C-7	28.5	27.6
C-8	29.3	22.1
C-9	36.5	36.6
C-10	35.4	25.2
C-11	17.7	14.6
C-12	32.2	31.7
C-13 or C-14	19.6	19.7
C-13 or C-14	19.2	19.4

Table 1: ¹³C nmr Shifts of Diones 28 and 29

compounds. The signals for carbons C8 and C-10 and for the methyl group at C-6 for 29 should be compared with the same ¹³C nmr signals for 28. When the structures shown in Figure 3 are considered, one can see that in the case of 29, there are γ_{pache} interactions whereas in 28, there are no such interactions.



Figure 3: Comparison of Yanti/Ygauche Interactions Between 28 and 29

II. c. Aromatic Substrates

Evidence from other examples studied in our group showed that the presence of an α -double bond reduced yields of 1,3-cyclopentanediones very drastically relative to the saturated compounds.⁹ This led to our examination of the aromatic ketal 34 as shown in Scheme 18. Ketal 34 was smoothly converted to the 1,3-cyclopentanedione 35 in a synthetically creditable yield of 77%. It is likely in the cases of α .B-unsaturated compounds that polymerization is


- 21 -

Scheme 18

responsible for poor yields. Obviously, this is not the case with acetophenone as it is not likely to polymerize, thus eliminating an alternate reaction pathway.

III. CONCLUSIONS

From these results, it is ;:ossible to draw a few generalizations. The first of these is that increasing the steric bulk of the glycol moiety of the ketal retards conversion of the ketal to the dione. The implication of this is clear; in cases in which a molecule contains two or more ketone functions one may selectively ketalize one site with a very bulky glycol. That particular reaction center will not be converted as readily to a 1,3-cyclopentanedione, and it can then be deprotected for other synthetic transformations. Another conclusion that we can draw is that the presence of an α -substituent will reduce the yield of product, and, in the case of some acyclic substrates, none of the diketone can be isolated. In the same cases where we have α -substituents on acyclic molecules, the incorporation of a bulky glycol significantly impedes the degradation of the 1.3-cyclopentanedione products by subsequent attack by the liberated glycol to give the keto-ester. Thus, we can conclude that the choice of the glycol can offer choices in terms of product distributions. The very feature of steric bulk that lowers product yields in "unsubstituted" ketals can actually serve to bolster isolable yields of 1,3-cyclopentanedione products when used in cases of asubstituted acyclic ketals. This perhaps leads to the broad lesson of this study: this reaction is very sensitive to the steric environment. Thus, any synthesis employing this methodology will have to take this factor into account as it can provide substantial barriers to synthetic utility. However, this information also permits one to incorporate a high degree of selectivity in a synthesis, which can manifest itself both in terms of shorter synthetic schemes and in the degree of elegance of the design. Finally, we can conclude that the failure of the conjugated ketones/ketals to give acceptable vields is not due to the unsaturation itself, i.e. possible stabilization of carbocations, because acetophenone behaves normally. One might predict that in substrates that may be unsaturated but not enolizable that the reaction will proceed normally also

- 22 -

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures

Ketals were obtained by the acid-catalyzed action of a large excess of 1,2-ethanediol in benzene with azeotropic removal of water. Reagent 1 was prepared by the procedure of Bloomfield and Nelke,²

All bis-acvlation reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen using dichloromethane distilled from calcium hydride as the solvent. Flash column chromatography ("chromatography") employed 230-400 mesh silica gel with hexane and an increasing proportion of ethyl acetate as eluent. The ratios of ethyl acetate/hexane are reported below. Nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) spectra were recorded on a General Electric GE 300-NB (300 MHz for 1H) spectrometer. The 1H nmr spectra were acquired in solutions of deuteriochloroform (CDCl₃). Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hz. The ¹³C nmr spectra (75 MHz) were also acquired in CDCI₂, and chemical shifts are relative to the solvent (\$ 77.0). ¹³C nmr shifts are sometimes followed in parentheses by the number of attached protons on that carbon, which were derived from an attached proton test (APT) and/or heteronuclear correlation (HET-CORR) spectra. Assignments quoted for the ¹H and ¹³C nmr spectra is given when these are reasonably reliable and consistent with the correlation spectra. Whenever possible, assignments have been corroborated by the use of ChemWindows C-13 NMR Module version 1.2 (Softshell) and gNMR for Windows version 3.6 (Cherwell Scientific). Assignment of ¹H and ¹³C spectra are according to an arbitrary numerical scheme chosen for ease of identification and are indicated on the compound structure in the Appendix of nmr spectra. Low and high resolution mass spectral (MS) data were obtained from a V.G. Micromass 7070HS instrument using electron ionization at 70 eV. Infrared (ir) spectra were acquired as thin films using a Mattson Polaris FT-IR instrument, and intensities are noted as (s), (m), (w), (br) for strong, medium, weak, and broad, respectively. A Hewlett-Packard model 5890 gas chromatograph, equipped with a 12.5 m fused-silica capillary column with crosslinked dimethylsilicone as the liquid phase, coupled to a model 5970 mass selective detector was used for gas chromatography-mass spectrometric (GCMS) analyses. Melting points (mp) were obtained on a Fisher-Johns instrument and are uncorrected.

General Reaction Procedure. To a cooled solution (-78 °C) of a ketal and BF₃,Et₂O (15 equiv.) in dichloromethane (20 mL) was added dropwise a solution of 1 (3 equiv.) in dichloromethane (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight during which time the temperature was allowed to rise to room temperature (rt). The reaction mixture was poured into ice-water, and the organic layer was washed successively with water (x2), with a saturated

- 24 -

aqueous solution of NaHCO₃, and then with a saturated NaCl solution (x2). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated *in* vacuo. This is hereafter referred to as 'standard work-up'. Flash chromatography afforded the 1,3-cyclopentanediones. Standard visualization methods of tic plates proved difficult or ineffective in locating the 1,3 cyclopentanediones. In some cases, the 1,3-cyclopentanediones were found using GCMS analysis.

Spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (16)

To a stirred solution of ketal **15** (0.26 g, 2.0 mmol) and BF₃ Et₂O (3.7 mL, 30 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of **1** (2.0 mL, 6.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₃ (8.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight and standard work-up led, after chromatography (10/90), to spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione **16** (183 mg, 72%) as a solid: mp 60-61 °C (lit.¹² mp 61-62 °C); ir v_{mei} : 1755 (w) and 1720 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr 5: 1.4-1.7 (10H, br m, C-6 H₂, C-7 H₂, C-8 H₂, C-9 H₂, C-10 H₂), 2.68 (4H, s, C-2 H₂, C-3 H₃); ¹³C nmr 5: 215.8 (C-1, C-4), 55.9 (C-5), 34.3 (C-2, C-3), 29.2 (C-8), 24.9 (C-6, C-10), 20.4 (C-7, C-9); MS: 166 (100, M''), 137 (25), 124 (32), 112 (61), 111 (46), 85 (46), 81 (37), 67 (74), 56 (44). Exact mass calculated for C₁₀H₁₄O₂: 166.0993; found: 166 0985.

2-(1-Methylpropyl)-2-pentylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (18) and 2-Hydroxyethyl 6-methyl-4-oxo-5-pentyloctanoate (19)

To a stirred solution of ketal 17 (0.22 mg, 1.1 mmol) and BF, Et₂O (2.0 mL, 16 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 1 (0.88 mL. 3.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight and standard work-up led, after chromatography (15/85), to 2-(1methylpropyl)-2-pentylcyclopentane-1.3-dione 18 (0.07 g. 28%) as a very pale vellow liquid, and, in a later fraction collected by passing pure ethyl acetate through the column, 2-hydroxyethyl 6-methyl-4-oxo-5-pentyloctanoate 19 (46 mg, 15%) as an oil. For 18: ir ν_m; 1719 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr δ; 2.66 (4H, nar m, C-4 H₂, C-5 H₂), 1.80-1.61 (3H, m), 1.45 (1H, m, C-6 H₂), 1.31-0.95 (7H, m), 0.92 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C-14 H₂), 0.85 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, C-13 H₂ or 3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, C-6 H₃), 0.83 (3H, t, J = 7.0 Hz, C-6 H₃ or 3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, C-13 H₃); ¹³C nmr δ: 218.5 (C-1 or C-3), 218.1 (C-1 or C-3), 64.1 (C-2), 41.0 (C-11), 36.9 (C-7 or C-8), 36.8 (C-7 or C-8), 32.9 (C-10 or C-12), 32.2 (C-10 or C-12), 24.4 (C-4, C-5), 22.2 (C-9), 13.9 (C-14), 13.2 (C-13), 12.3 (C-6); MS: no M*, 195 (34), 169 (53), 168 (25), 154 (33), 139 (54), 126 (28), 125 (56), 112 (100), 69 (27), 55 (65), 41 (80). Exact mass calculated for C12H12O2 (M*-C2H2): 195.1384; found: 195.1380. For **19**: ir v_{est}: 3457 (br), 1736 (s), 1709 (s) cm⁻¹, ¹H nm č: 4.26 (2H, m, C-15 H₂), 3.85 (2H, nar m, C-16 H₂), 2.96-2.38 (6H, m), 1.76-1.05 (11H, m), 0.96-0.84 (9H, nar m).

2-Hydroxyethyl 5,6-dimethyl-4-oxoheptanoate (22)

To a stirred solution of ketal 20 (0.26 g, 2.0 mmol) and BF₃.Et₃O (3.7 mL, 30 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 1 (1.6 mL, 6.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight. Standard work-up led, after chromatography (5/95), to 2-hydroxyethyl 5.6-dimethyl-4-oxoheptanoate 22 (59 mg, 14%) as a pale vellow liquid; ir versit 3459 (br), 1737, 1711 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr 5; 4,23 (2H, nar m, C-10 H₂), 3,82 (2H, nar m, C-11 H₂), 2.80 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, C-2 H₂), 2.6 (1H, very br, OH), 2.60 (2H, t, J = 6.7 Hz, C-3 H₂), 2.36 (1H, quintet, J = 7.0 Hz, C-5 H₁), 1.97 (1H, octet, J = 6.8 Hz, C-6 H,), 1.04 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, C-9 Ha), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, C-7 or C-8 H₃), 0.87 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, C-7 or C-8 H₃); ¹³C nmr δ: 213.3 (C-4), 173.1 (C-1), 66,1 (C-10), 60,9 (C-11), 52,7 (C-5), 36,4 (C-3), 30,2 (C-2), 27,8 (C-6), 21.2 (C-7 or C-8), 18.7 (C-7 or C-8), 12.8 (C-9); MS; no M*, 199 (2), 186 (2), 174 (7), 155 (22), 145 (24), 112 (21), 101 (100), 85 (24), 71 (73), 45 (29), 43 (70), Exact mass calculated for C₈H₁₄O₄ (M*-C₃H₈ via McLafferty); 174.0892; found: 174.0890.

2-Methyl-2-(methylethyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (21)

To a stirred solution of ketal 23 (390 mg, 7.46 mmol) and BF₃.Et₂O (4.5 mL. 37 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 1 (2.0 mL, 7.4 mmol) and CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 27 h during which time the mixture warmed to rt. Standard work-up gave a mixture of the spirodiketone and starting material. Therefore, BF₃.Et₃O (4.5 mL, 37 mmol) and 1 (2.0 mL, 7.4 mmol) were added again to a CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) solution of the mixture. After stirring for 19 h, standard work-up was executed on the reaction mixture, afforded 213 mg (56%) of a product that ¹H nmr revealed was very predominantly 21. Attempts to purify this mixture by chromatography led largely to its destruction; however, a small amount (50 mg) of homogenous 21 was recovered: ir v_{mv}: 1759 (m), 1721 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr δ: 2.74 (4H, symmetrical m, C-4 H₂, C-5 H₂), 2.01 (1H, septet, J = 6.9 Hz, C-7 H₂), 1.06 (3H, s, C-6 H₂), 0.93 (6H, d, J = 6.9 Hz, C-8 Ha, C-9 Ha); ¹³C nmr δ; 216.8 (C-1, C-3), 59.5 (C-2), 35.6 (C-4, C-5), 33.8 (C-6), 17.3 (C-7, C-8), 15.3 (C-9); MS: 154 (28, M*), 139 (100), 112 (24), 111 (32), 83 (25), 56 (18), 55 (27), 43 (12), 41 (20). Exact mass calculated for C₉H₁₄O₂: 154.0993; found: 154.0989.

6-Methylspiro[4.4]nonane-1,4-dione (25)

To a stirred solution of ketal 24 (0.35 g, 2.5 mmol) and BF3.Et2O (4.5 mL,

37 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C was a added a solution of 1 (2.0 mL, 7.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight and standard work-up led, after chromatography (15/85), to 6-methylspiro[4.4]nonane-1,4-dione **25** (146 rng, 36%) as a colourless liquid: ir v_{max}: 1718 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr δ: 2.81-2.57 (4H, br m, C-2 H₂, C-3 H₂), 2.25 (1H, br m, C-6 H₁), 1.85 (5H, br m), 1.54 (1H, br m), 0.95 (3H, d, *J* = 7.2 H₂, C-10 H₃); ¹⁰C nmr δ: 217.3 (C-1 or C-4), 216.6 (C-1 or C-4), 66.7 (C-5), 46.9 (C-7), 36.2 (C-6), 35.8 (C-2 or C-3), 34.5 (C-2 or C-3), 33.5 (C-9), 24.6 (C-8), 15.2 (C-10); MS: 166 (64, M⁺), 151 (100), 125 (15), 109 (52), 95 (41), 81 (20), 67 (41), 55 (31), 41 (30). Exact mass calculated for C₁₀H₄₄Q₂: 166.0993; found: 166.0997.

(6R,9S)-6-Methyl-9-(methylethyl)spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (28)

To a stirred solution of ketal **26** (500 mg, 2.52 mmol) and BF₂Et₂O (4.6 mL, 38 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 1 (1.6 mL, 6.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (8.0 mL). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight. Standard work-up led, after chromatography (7/93), to 6-methyl-9- (methylehyl)spiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione **28** as a yellow oil (204 mg, 36%): ir v_{max} : 1717 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr 8: 2.92-2.49 (4H, complex m, C-2 H₂, C-3 H₂), 1.81 (3H, m), 1.57 (3H, m), 1.39 (1H, m, C-6 H₁), 1.28-1.00 (2H, m, C-9 H₁, C-12 H₁), 0.83 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, C-13 H₃ or C-14 H₃), 0.82 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz, C-13 H₃ or C-14

$$\begin{split} &H_{3}, 0.75 \; (3H, d, J=6.0 \, Hz, C-11 \, H_{3}); \, {}^{12}C \, nmr \; 5; \; 217.8 \; (C-1 \; or \; C-4), 216.7 \; (C-1 \\ or \; C-4), 60.5 \; (C-5), \; 36.5 \; (C-9), \; 36.0 \; (C-12), \; 35.7 \; (C-8), \; 35.4 \; (C-2, C-3), \; 32.2 \; (C-6), \; 29.3 \; (C-7), 28.5 \; (C-10), \; 19.6 \; (C-13 \; or \; C-14), \; 19.2 \; (C-13 \; or \; C-14), \; 17.7 \; (C-11); \; MS: \; 222 \; (3, M^*), \; 179 \; (1), \; 138 \; (10), \; 125 \; (15), \; 106 \; (48), \; 105 \; (23), \; 91 \; (100), \; 86 \; (25), \; 84 \; (40), \; 43 \; (31). \; Exact mass calculated for \; C_{14}H_{22}O_2; \; 222.1619, \; found: \; 222.1614. \end{split}$$

For the ketal (6R,9S)-6-Methyl-9-(methylethyl)-1,4-

dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (28): ¹H nmr & 3.98-3.91 (4H, complex m, C-11 H₂, C-12 H₃), 1.79-1.73 (1H, m), 1.70-1.58 (3H, complex m), 1.50-1.30 (3H, complex m), 1.14-0.96 (2H, complex m), 0.92-0.84 (9H, complex m, C-8 H₃, C-9 H₃, C-10 H₃);
¹³C nmr & 111.3 (C-1), 65.3 (C-11 or C-12), 64.8 (C-11 or C-12), 41.6 (C-2), 39.9 (C-6), 39.0 (C-4), 32.3 (C-7), 32.0 (C-5), 28.5 (C-3), 19.7 (C-8 or C-9), 19.5 (C-8 or C-9), 13.9 (C-10).

2-Methyl-2-phenyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (35)

To a stirred solution of ketal 34 (232 mg, 1.43 mmol) and BF₃ Et₂O (2.6 mL, 21 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at -78 °C was added a solution of 1 (1.1 mL, 4.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (6.0 mL). The reaction mixture warmed to rt overnight and then it was poured into water. The organic layer was washed with water (x2) and saturated NaCl solution, and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous

magnesium sulfate, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo.

Chromatography (15/85) provided 2-methyl-2-phenyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione **35** as a yellow oil (206 mg, 77%): ir v_{mac} : 1765 (m), 1724 cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr & 7.38-7.25 (3H, m, C-8 H₁, C-9 H₁, C-10 H₁,), 7.22-7.19 (2H, nar m, C-7 H₁, C-11 H₁), 2.82 (4H, broad symmetrical m, C-4 H₂, C-5 H₂), 1.43 (3H, s, C-12 H₃); ¹⁰C nmr δ : 213.0 (C-1, C-3), 136.8 (C-6), 129.3 (C-8, C-10), 127.9 (C-7, C-11), 126.3 (C-9), 61.9 (C-2), 35.2 (C-4, C-5), 19.7 (C-12); MS: 188 (100, M⁺), 145 (36), 132 (32), 105 (26), 104 (74), 103 (30), 78 (24), 77 (26), 51 (21). Exact mass calculated for C_wH₁-O₂; 188.0837; found: 188.0835. Chapter 2

Synthetic Applications

I. SECTION A: SYNTHETIC APPROACHES TO THE PRECURSORS OF THE JUVENILE HORMONES OF CECROPIA

i. INTRODUCTION

From the examples of synthetic applications described in Chapter 1, it is clear that the bis-acylation reaction is potentially useful in the construction of various natural products. We set out to synthesize the precursors of one such natural product, namely the juvenile hormone 36 of the moth *Cecropia*, as a further example of the synthetic utility of this type of reaction and to explore a



36

novel route to build this system. Our approach entailed the construction of particularly substituted 1,3-cyclopentanediones that could undergo controlled Grob-type fragmentations to afford carbon-carbon double bonds in the correct positions and in the correct geometrical isomers. This would be a significant challenge given earlier work in our group that indicated that these types of 1,3cyclopentanedione systems are extremely labile. In addition, we had to ensure that the construction of the 1,3-cyclopentanediones was selective enough so as to prevent too much diastereomeric contamination in the products, thereby ensuring that our approach would have significant advantages over an approach incorporating Wittig chemistry.

A number of groups have worked toward these types of natural products. Mori and co-workers¹⁰ in 1968 employed a linear sequence of attachments to obtain methyl 10-ecoxy-7-c/thyl-3.11-dimethyl-2,6-tridecadienoate (37) in a 15%



overall yield. Their synthesis started with the addition of the keto-ester 39 to the bromo-alkene 38 in the presence of base (Scheme 19). Further transformations



afforded an intermediate molecule 40, which underwent a Wiltig reaction to give 41 (Scheme 20). This material was then epoxidized with peroxybenzoic acid to



Scheme 20

give a mixture of epoxidized material that included 37. A significant problem with this synthesis was the lack of control of the geometry of the double bonds. Separation of the geometrical isomers was difficult, which reduced the overall effectiveness of the synthesis.

Hanson and Cochrane¹⁴ in 1971 reported two alternative approaches to the synthesis of these hormones. One approach incorporated flexibility with respect to chain length whereas the other provided a higher degree of stereochemical control. The first route made use of the Grignard addition of ethylmagnesium bromide to the ketone 42. The tertiary alcohol 43 that resulted underwent rearrangement with aqueous HBr to yield a mixture of alkenes 44 and 45 into Grignard reagents and addition to another equivalent of 42 with ring-opening



- 36 -

Scheme 21

under acid catalysis, the stage was set for a Wittig reaction between

diethyl(methoxycabonylmethyl)phosphonate and the ketone 46 to afford 47.

This material was then epoxidized to give the hormone 48, as seen in Scheme

22. In the second approach, care was taken to control the geometry of the first



Scheme 22

double bond. But-2-yn-1-ol 49 was converted to 3-iodebut-2-en-1-ol 50 by treatment with lithium aluminum hydride, sodium methoxide, and iodine. Alkylation of 50 with diethyl cuprate afforded (2)-3-methylpent-2-en-1-ol 51, but in only 15% yield from 49 (Scheme 23). Although this was a stereospecific





Scheme 23

synthesis, the yield of the alcohol was too low to carry through the remainder of the hormone synthesis. Consequently, a mixture of alcohols was carried through a series of steps which, like the first route, also involved a Wittig coupling.

In 1970 Findlay and co-workers¹⁵ reported a linear sequence that also utilized Wittig additions in the extension of the chain. In this case, a triple Wittig coupling sequence was used, as shown in **Scheme 24**. First, addition of the phosphoketal **52** to 2-butanone gave a mixture of isomers that included **53**. This, in turn, was coupled with another equivalent of **52** to give **54** after



Scheme 24

deprotection. Addition of sodium diethyl(methoxycarbony/methyl)phosphonate 55 to ketone 54 yielded the ester 56 which, after epoxidation, produced the hormone 57 as a racemic mixture, in 14% overall yield. Kutney et al.¹⁶ employed a similar Wittig coupling between 58 and 59 to afford 60 (Scheme 25) in 72% yield. Unfortunately, the yield for the entire synthesis was only 0.07%.



Scheme 25

In 1967, Trost and co-workers¹⁷ reported another linear sequence involving multiple Wittig reactions to produce **61** starting with 2-butanone in a



Scheme 26

racemic synthesis, which is very similar in strategy to that of Findlay (Scheme 26).

Finally, Corey et al.¹⁰ took a departure from the use of Wittig coupling when they synthesized 63 from 62. The synthesis consisted of a series of functional group transformations and alkylations to afford 63 in 8% overall yield (Scheme 27).



Scheme 27

We chose to pursue a linear approach to 37. However, we would attempt to control the geometry of the carbon-carbon double bonds by setting up the relative stereochemistry in the precursors in such a way as to force elimination to one geometrical isomer. In accord with our experience in the formation of 1,3cyclopentanediones,⁹ our route for the construction of the precursors to 37 (Scheme 28) would begin with the formation of the 1,3-cyclopentanedione 65 from the ketal 64. Grignard addition to 65 should afford 66, and this could be



0



68

64

Scheme 28

followed by reduction of the remaining ketone to yield the trans-diol 67. Then, conversion of the secondary alcohol to a leaving group, with stereochemical inversion, would afford 68. This precursor could then open via a Grob-type¹⁹ fragmentation to generate 69 selectively. Repetition of these steps would allow for the construction of the remaining links in the carbon chain of **37**. One important change to this proposal came out of work also carried out in our labs by Dr. Tracy Jenkins¹⁰ who developed conditions that permitted the generation of 1,3-cyclopentanediones *directly* from ketones. Note that the direct conversion of ketones to 1,3-cyclopentanediones reduces the number of steps in this synthetic approach.

ii. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Approach 1

Direct conversion of 2-butanone 70 to the 1,3-cyclopentanedione 71 proceeded smoothly, as seen in Scheme 29, with a very high degree of reproducibility of yields, typically 75-80% on a variety of scales.



Scheme 29

Column chromatography was not necessary to obtain 71 in a

homogeneous form. Instead, simple filtration of the black crude product through

a plug of activated charcoal and Florisil afforded a pale yellow oil that was sufficiently pure to use in the subsequent step.

The next step was to introduce the ethyl group (Scheme 30). In order to



Scheme 30

achieve maximum facial selectivity with complete reaction in the Grignard addition of ethylmagnesium bromide, the reaction was carried out at low temperature over an extended period. Although two Grignard additions were possible because of the two ketone functions in the substrate, we felt that reaction of one ketone would reduce the solubility of this initial, desired product, i.e., the product of initial addition would be much less available for a second addition. This was indeed the case, and there was a remarkable degree of facial selectivity found in the addition. The ¹⁰C nmr spectrum of the crude product mixture indicated that there was a significant factor favouring addition to one face of the ketone, which later proved to be syn to the methyl group. This was interesting in that conventional measures of "size", such as equatorial/axial ratios on cyclohexane, suggest that there is little difference. In Scheme 31, one can see that in 72, there are significant 1,3-diaxial interactions whereas in



conformer 73 the methyl is in the equatorial position and thereby eliminates repulsive interactions. The same argument is made with respect to 74 and 75 where the ethyl group replaces the methyl group. Once again, in this case, the

A-values for methyl and ethyl groups are nearly identical.²⁰ Thus, one would not expect to observe any degree of selectivity where these two groups are involved. If we look at 76 one can see serious steric interactions no matter which conformer the molecule is in. Making the extension to 77 and 78, one might think that there are steric interactions that will make an arrangement similar to 76 the norm. In the case of the two conformers 77 and 78 there is an eclipsing interaction between the methyl of the ethyl group and the geminal methyl group. There is a dramatic difference in that this molecule has steric interactions only in the case of 77, and that a simple rotation of a bond allows the methyl group of the ethyl group to sit over the face of the cyclopentane ring in the case of 78. This would eliminate the eclipsing steric interactions which are encountered in conformer 77. Since the case of 78 is now a viable situation, one can see how this methyl group now blocks addition to the face of the ring syn to the ethyl group and thereby favours addition to the face of the ring syn to the methyl and therefore anti to the ethyl groups, respectively.

A problem encountered at this stage was one that would plague this approach all the way through, namely the purification of the material by chromatography. Crude yields and spectra appeared good. However, substantial amounts of material were lost during chromatography. The polarity of an alcohol function may have permitted significant amounts of material to

- 45 -

adhere irreversibly to the column, or the material was simply destroyed on silica gel. Thus, **79** was obtained from **71** in only 48% yield after chromatography.

The next stage of the synthesis required the reduction of the second ketone (Scheme 32). This was accomplished with sodium borohydride at low



Scheme 32

temperature, again to attain a maximum level of facial selectivity. On the surface when one considers steric hindrance, the two faces of the ring are likely to be very similar. However, the borohydride was expected first to coordinate with the alcohol located at C-3, and then syn-addition of the hydride would produce the product with the two alcohols in a *trans* arrangement, **Figure 4**. Thus the



Figure 4: Coordinated Borohydride Addition to the Ketone reduction of the ketone, 79 afforded the diol, 80 in only 15% yield after column chromatography, but as before, it was the purification that led to very major losses of material. Both ¹H and ¹³C nmr of the crude compounds indicated virtually quantitative conversion to 80. No water was added to quench this reaction as the methanol that was used as eluent usually contained sufficient water to destroy any excess borohydride reagent as well as the complexed product. The reasoning behind this was very simple: we felt that we would lose significant amounts of material if extraction from aqueous solution was required. In addition, given that we were losing large amounts of materials during chromatography, we were unwilling to lose any more material by adding an aqueous extraction step to the purification.

An X-ray crystal structure of compound 80 confirmed the *trans* relationship of the alcohols (Figure 5).



Figure 5: X-ray Crystal Structure of 80

In compound **80**, the two alcohol moieties are *trans* to each other, but for the Grob fragmentation, the leaving group must be cis to the hydroxyl which will become the ketone. Thus, the secondary alcohol must be converted to a good leaving group and the stereogenic center must be inverted. In an effort to evaluate methods for accomplishing the task of converting the secondary alcohol of **80** to a halide, a number of the most promising mild techniques were tried, but none met with any acceptable degree of success. These included the conversion of the 1-butanol **81** to the 1-bromobutane **82** into the presence of triphenylphosphine and bromine by Wiley and co-workers²¹ as shown in **Scheme 33**. Downie and co-workers²² also made use of mild conversion

$$\sim$$
 OH + (C₆H₅)₃P + Br₂ \longrightarrow (C₆H₅)₃PO + \sim Br
81 82

Scheme 33

conditions to convert **81** to 1-chlorobutane **83** with triphenylphosphine and carbon tetrachloride as seen in **Scheme 34**. Olah and group²³ published a

 $\bigvee_{OH} + (C_6H_5)_3P + CCI_4 \longrightarrow (C_6H_5)_3PO + \bigvee_{OH} CI_8$

Scheme 34



Scheme 35

method of converting alcohols to alkylbromides with trimethylsilyl chloride and lithium bromide, Scheme 35. Thus, it was decided to employ more vigorous conditions, as outlined in Scheme 36. However, an introtable black





product, composed of a very large number of compounds by tic, was obtained from this method. These preliminary attempts underscore the fact that these acyclic 1,3-cyclopentanedione systems are very labile. Despite early successes with facial selectivity and yields of materials, it was decided to approach the Grob fragmentation precursor from another direction. This decision sprang from two realities. First, the sodium borohydride reduction of the second ketone was very slow, in the order of days to complete. The second reason was the inability to convert the secondary alcohol to a halogen effectively.

Approach 2

Like Approach 1, Approach 2 also set up a precursor for a Grob fragmentation. The preliminary synthetic transformations were carried out in the following manner. First, diketone 71 was reduced with sodium borohydride to 87 in 55% yield after chromatography (Scheme 37). Here again the problem of



Scheme 37

purifying compounds that contain alcoholic sites came back to trouble us: a crude yield of 98% versus an isolated yield of 55%. As was the case in our first approach, we had to try to demonstrate a very high level of facial selectivity in a situation in which A-values suggested that facial selectivity would be very poor.²⁰ Again, the ¹³C nmr spectrum of the crude product indicated that attack from one face was significantly favoured, on the order of 93:7. The same arguments that applied for selective addition of the Grignard reagent to **71** apply here as well, that is to say, that the methyl of the ethyl group will rest above the face of the ring and thereby block addition to that face of the ring. The next stage was the protection the hydroxyl group in **87** with chlorotrimethylsilane to give **88** in 51% **yield (Scheme 38)**. With the protected material in hand, the way was paved for an alkylation



Scheme 38

by a modified Barbier reaction on the remaining ketone. This was achieved using ultrasonic irradiation of 88 in the presence of a 90:10 lithium/sodium alloy and iodoethane, to provide 89 in an isolated yield of 37% (Scheme 39). This





reaction generated an ethyllithium reagent. Adjusting the shape of the flask did not impact on the reproducibility of the reaction nor did changing the solvent to diethyl ether from tetrahydrofuran. In addition, an attempt at alkylation with ethylmagnesium bromide afforded only trace amounts of product. In 89 the two hydroxyls were cis as required, thus inversion of the secondary alcohol was not required. We could make this assignment of relative stereochemistry by considering the ¹³C nmr shifts of **79**, **80**, and **89** as in **Table 2** where Y_{purcha} interactions force the ¹³C nmr signal further upfield as compared to shifts without these interactions. In addition, the nuclear Overhauser effect difference spectrum (NOED) of this molecule shown in **Figure 6** shows that saturation of the C-2 methyl signal afforded an enhancement of 4% in the C-3 hydrogen



Figure 6: NOED Spectrum of 89

signal. This demonstrates that the methyl and the C-3 hydrogen are syn to one another. The NOED spectrum also shows enhancement of a proton signal at δ

2.09 that is assigned as the proton at C-5 is syn. However, a suitable leaving group would have to be attached to the secondary alcohol to facilitate the Grob fragmentation. The removal of the trimethylsilyl group during the course of the sequence or during work-up came as a bonus. Unfortunately, the alkylation step could not be carried out reproducibly under the modified Barbier conditions.

Table 2: Comparison of ¹³C nmr Shifts of Compounds 79, 80, and 89

Assignment	Compound ¹³ C nmr Shifts		
	79	80	89
C-1	222.5	79.7	80.9
C-3	82.6	85.1	86.5
C-2	55.4	49.8	51.7
C-5	33.8	29.5	30.8
C-4	30.1	33.1	35.5
C-9	28.4	28.7	27.7
C-6	23.4	26.3	21.4
C-8	16.8	13.6	19.6
C-7 or C-10	8.9	9.4	9.0
C-7 or C-10	7.3	7.5	8.1

The lack of success with either approach to the fragmentation precursors precluded an evaluation of this approach to the control of geometry of the double bond. When the results of Chapter 1, particularly the difficulty associated with working with substrates that have α -alkyl substituents, are considered it is highly probable that similar influences constrain both approaches. In Approach 1, the sodium borohydride reduction of the ketone to 80 required an inordinate amount of time. Plausibly, the need for a long reduction time arose from the steric. congestion near the reaction site. Despite the relatively small size of the molecule, the key features were all contiguous. These features included one quaternary and one tertiary center, as well as the ketone/alcohol of 79 and 80. Given that lithium aluminum hydride is a more reactive reducing agent compared to sodium borohydride, it is likely that would be less selective given the terms of the Reactivity-Selectivity Principle.24 Thus, the reduction would likely proceed more quickly but would not have the degree of selectivity that is desired in this particular case. In addition, the difficulties found in converting secondary alcohols to halogens in the substrates that exhibited similar steric environments lent credence to this idea. Finally, the unreliability of the sono-alkylation was also likely a consequence of steric encumbrance. In this case, not only was the substrate itself sterically congested, owing to the presence of the trimethylsilyloxy group, but the alkylating agent was fairly bulky. In any case, if the problems of conversion/inversion with a halogen or adding the alkylating agent could be overcome, then the viability and value of this strategy will again become clear. Yet, despite the obvious problems with the synthetic approaches, there were valuable results gained from this exercise. First, the bis-acylation procedure worked well by producing a 1,3-cyclopentanedione product in very

- 54 -

reasonable yields. Experience from our labs indicated problems with low molecular weight molecules such as **71** in terms of product yields. Due to the high volatility of such small molecules, procedures to remove solvent often lead to loss of the desired product. Another significant discovery was the very high degree of facial selectivity of both Grignard additions and sodium borohydride reductions. In both approaches, there was a very high degree of selectivity for reaction onto the less sterically hindered face of the molecule. Finally, it is fairly certain that severe congestion encountered within a series of contiguously substituted centers, such as the case in these molecules, will offer potential problems to further synthetic transformations. Of course, depending upon the synthetic strategy employed, this could prove to be either a benefit or, in this case, a detriment.

iii. CONCLUSIONS

From the results of these two attempts at the synthesis of the precursors of the juvenile hormones of *Cecropia*, it is possible to draw some conclusions. First, it has been demonstrated that conformational factors can render the usual measure of steric size, i.e. A values, as far as methyl and ethyl groups are concerned, inadequate. We have exploited this effect very successfully to reduce diketones with a high degree of facial selectivity. The second conclusion we may draw is that the Grob fragmentation strategy appears to fail because of

- 55 -

the drastic conditions required for the generation of a suitable leaving group even more rapidly destroys the substrate.

II. SECTION B: MODEL STUDIES TOWARDS THE SYNTHESIS OF FREDERICAMYCIN A

i. INTRODUCTION

Fredericamycin A 92 is a chiral metabolite isolated²⁵ from *Streptomyces* griseus that exhibits significant antitumor, antifungal, and cytotoxic activity.²⁶ It has been the target of both total syntheses and of model studies. This



Fredericamycin A

92

compound has six rings and includes a spiro-center and heavy functionalization in terms of oxygen moieties. Kelly and co-workers²⁷ were the first to synthesize (±)-fredericamycin A in 1986 in an overall yield of 2%. They assembled the ring
system of 92 from the precursors \$3 and 94 (Scheme 40).



92

Scheme 40

In 1989 Julia and co-workers²⁶ reported the details of a study of the construction of the A-B ring system of fredericamycin A (Scheme 41). In this work, the aromatic ester 95 was treated with diethyl oxalate, which afforded 96 in 55% yield. Compound 96 was then transformed in a series of steps to 97.

- 57 -



Scheme 41

In 1988, Bach et al.²⁸ reported a series of Diels-Alder model studies of approaches to compounds similar to fredericamycin A. For example, 98 was added to 99 to afford 100 in 62% yield (Scheme 42).



A key step in our strategy towards fredericamycin A was to assemble the A-B-C-D-E-F ring system through a photochemical addition between 99 and 1. The retrosynthetic analysis presented in Scheme 43 shows how this would be a key step. In our scenario, 92 is constructed from 101, which contains the B-C-D-E-F ring system(For the construction of fredericamycin A, it would be necessary



Scheme 43

to use a more complex analog of 99). Compound 101 is itself derived from 102 using a Diels-Alder reaction with Danishefsky's diene. The tetracyclic compound 102 is the result of cyclobutane bond cleavage of 103, which in turn is the direct result of the photochemical addition of 1 to the tricyclic enedione 99. A simple example of this type of addition is provided by the work of Williams and coworkers.³⁰ in which they carried out an addition of 1 and the enone 104 in hexane to afford the adduct 105 in 73% yield after irradiation at 0 °C with a Corex-filtered medium-pressure mercury lamp for a period of 24 hours (Scheme 44). Compound 105 was then desilvlated with tetrabutvlammonium fluoride and



Scheme 44

oxidatively cleaved with sodium periodate to afford the 1.4-dione 106 in 71%

vield (Scheme 45).



106

Scheme 45

Van Audenhove and co-workers³¹ also used this technique for their work toward cis-hydrindanes and cis-decalins. The photochemical additions were carried out between 1 and enones such as 107 at room temperature in pentane or benzene with irradiation at 350 nm to afford an adduct, e.g. 108 in 75% yield (Scheme 46). It is worth noting that the ratio of 1 to 107 was 4:1, whereas in the work of Williams (*vicle supra*), the ratio of 1 to 104 was 1:1.2. Thus, a variety of conditions are possible for this type of reaction.



There are a few examples in which this type of photochemical addition has been incorporated into a synthesis. A couple of examples follow. In 1984, Vandewalle and co-workers³² developed a route to (\pm)-3,4,5,5a,7,8,8ac,8bcoctahydro-2ac, 5aβ-dimethyl-2H-naphtho[1,8-bc]turan-2,6(2aH)-dione 109 via the key intermediate 111, which was generated from a photochemical addition of 1 and 110 in a ratio of 1.2:1 in 80% yield (Scheme 47) following photolysis at 350 nm.



In another example, Anglea and Pinder³³ formed the adduct 113 from 1



and 112 in 24% yield during efforts toward the synthesis of (+)-balanitol (Scheme 48). Irradiation was carried out at room temperature with an

immersion lamp in a solution of 1 and 112 (5:1, respectively) in pentane.



Scheme 48

ii. Results and Discussion

The applicability of photochemical [2+2] cyclizations with 1 under a wide variety of conditions was encouraging. We embarked on the task of exploring the viability of a photochemical key step in a synthesis of (±)-fredericamycin A.

Our first attempts were carried out using simple test molecules. The first reaction was monitored using ¹H nmr in order to detect the loss of starting material and the formation of product. This would give us some idea of the amount of time needed under exposure to UV light. This experiment was attempted using 2-cyclohexenone 114 and 1 (Scheme 49). A preliminary



Scheme 49

spectrum was taken with the two materials in solution at time t = 0 h. Scans were taken at times t = 67 min, t = 226 min, and t = 346 min. Unfortunately, the only changes observed in the ¹H nmr spectra were the loss of the 2cyclohexenone signals. There were no new signals to accompany the loss of the starting material. The answer seemed to rest with the temperature inside the reactor chamber, which was at an o_{2} rating temperature in excess of 50 °C.

- 63 -

Under a constant stream of N₂ gas at this temperature, it appeared that the 2cyclohexenone was being lost due to evaporation. It was clear that the temperature of the reactants was going to have to be kept cool. We developed a water-cooled reaction chamber that could be placed in the reactor chamber as easily as a normal reaction vessel. When 2-cyclopentenone 116 and 1 were irradiated for 24 h at 350 nm in a water-cooled reaction chamber, a small amount of product 117 was recovered after chromatography (Scheme 50). Despite the



Scheme 50

very low yield, this result was encouraging. Given this fact, we decided to proceed immediately with a photochemical addition to the synthetic substrate 99. This substrate 99 was produced by the spiroannulation of 1-indanone¹⁰ and oxidation by Dr. Tracy Jenkins in our laboratory. The material 99 was added to



Scheme 51

1 and irradiated at 350 nm for 42 h, and the reaction was followed by tlc. Chromatography of the resulting crude material afforded only starting materials (Scheme 51). The UV absorption spectrum of 99 showed two major absorptions at 230 nm and 330 nm. The Pyrex glass in the water-cooled reaction vessel absorbed UV light in the region of 220-295 nm. Thus, only the longer wavelength absorption was significant, and the irradiation times were very long.

iii. CONCLUSIONS

Although the above results are very preliminary as part of a probing experiment, it is possible to make conclusions that can direct future work. It is clear that the amount of light getting through to the sample was limited and that temperature control was proving to be very difficult, especially in terms of volatile substrates. However, in the case of **99**, volatility was not a problem. In this case, it is possible that the lack of light transmission was not the only problem leading to very modest yields. It is also possible that the excited state of this enedione is too stable or unreactive to undergo addition. It may also be possible that energy is passed from the enedione molety to the aromatic section of the molecule. In order to counter these problems, there are a couple of possible strategies. First, one could reduce one of the ketone functions and thereby change the wavelength of the absorption. Another possibility is that one could add a substituent to the molecule and again, shift the absorption wavelength(s).

- 65 -

However, though these are sound and logical measures, they involve a long process which is outside the scope of this exploratory project.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION:

i. SECTION A

General Procedures

Reagent 1 was prepared by the procedure developed by Bloomfield and Nelke.² Flash chromatography employed 230-400 mesh silica gel with hexane and an increasing proportion of ethyl acetate as eluent. The ratios of ethyl acetate/hexane are reported below. Nuclear magnetic resonance (nmr) spectra were recorded on a General Electric GE 300-NB (300 MHz for ¹H) spectrometer. The ¹H nmr spectra were acquired in solutions of deuleriochloroform (CDCl₃), and, unless otherwise stated, shifts are relative to internal tetramethylsilane. Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in Hz. The ¹³C nmr spectra (75 MHz) were acquired in CDCl₃, and chemical shifts are relative to the solvent (6 77.0). ¹³C nmr shifts are sometimes followed in parentheses by the number of attached protons on that carbon, which were derived from an attached proton test (APT) and/or heteronuclear correlation (HET-CORR) spectra. Assignments quoted for

the ¹H and ¹³C nmr spectra are given when these are reasonably reliable and consistent with the correlation spectra. Whenever possible, assignments have been corroborated by the use of ChemWindows C-13 NMR Module version 1.2 (Softshell) and gNMR for Windows version 3.6 (Cherwell Scientific). Assignment of ¹H and ¹³C spectra are according to an arbitrary numerical scheme chosen for ease of identification and are indicated on the compound structure in the Appendix of nmr spectra. Low and high resolution mass spectra (MS) data were obtained from a V.G. Micromass 7070HS instrument using electron ionization at 70 eV. Infrared spectra (ir) were acquired as casts using a Mattson Polaris FT-IR instrument or a Bomem Michelson Series FT-IR spectrometer and intensities are noted as (s), (m), (w), (br) for strong, medium, weak, and broad, respectively. A Hewlett-Packard model 5890 gas chromatograph, equipped with a 12.5 m fused-silica capillary column with crosslinked dimethylsilicone as the liquid phase, coupled to a model 5970 mass. selective detector was used for gas chromatography-mass spectrometric (GCMS) analyses. Melting points were obtained on a Mel-Temp II melting point apparatus are uncorrected.

2-Ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione (71)

To a stirred solution of compound **70** (2.92 g, 40.5 mmol) in 100 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added BF₃.Et₂O (4.98 mL, 40.5 mmol) and **1** (16.2 mL, 60.8 mmol).

- 67 -

This was stirred for one hour, whereupon water (5.1 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 min. Heat was evolved during the addition of BFa, EtaO (74,7 mL, 608 mmol), and then the mixture was stirred for a further two hours. The reaction mixture was poured into ice-water. The organic layer was removed and washed with water (x2). The combined aqueous lavers were re-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (x2). The combined organic layers were washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO, then brine. The solution was dried over anhydrous MoSO. and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford a black oil. Diethyl ether (20 mL) was added, and the solution was filtered through a column of Florisil and charcoal. A further 300 mL of ether was passed through the column. The combined ether solutions were concentrated in vacuo to afford 4.24 g (75%) of 2-ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione as a pale yellow oil: ir v____: 1727 cm-1; ¹H nmr δ; 2,78 (4H, s, C-4 H, and C-5 H₂), 1,68 (2H, quartet, J = 7,5 Hz, C-6 H₂), 1.12 (3H, s, C-8 H₃), 0.82 (3H, t, J = 7.5Hz, C-7 H₃); ¹³C nmr δ: 216.7 (C-1 and C-3), 57.1 (C-2), 35.2 (C-4 and C-5), 28.8 (C-6), 18.1 (C-8), 8.8 (C-7); ms; 140 (38, M*), 125 (57), 101 (48), 69 (55), 43 (100). Exact mass calculated for C.H.,O.: 140.0837; found: 140.0837.

(2R',3R')-2,3-Diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylcyclopentan-1-one (79)

To a stirred solution of compound **71** (1.05 g, 7.48 mmol) and anhydrous diethyl ether (50 mL) at -78 °C was added slowly ethylmagnesium bromide (7.5

- 68 -

mI 3M in diethyl ether: Aldrich). The reaction was maintained at -78 °C for one hour before being allowed to warm to 0 °C where it was maintained for four hours. The reaction was quenched carefully with ice-water, the organic layer was separated, and it was washed with 6N HCI (x2) and H₂O (x2). The combined aqueous lavers were re-extracted with diethyl ether (x4). The combined ether extracts were dried over anhydrous MaSO,, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (5/95) afforded (2R*,3R*)-2,3-diethyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylcyclopentan-1-one (0.61 g, 48%) as a pale vellow oil: ir v_; 3462 (br), 1730 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr 5: 2.38 (2H, complex m, C-5 H.), 1.96 (2H, complex m, C-9 Ha), 1.56 (4H, complex m, C-4 Ha and C-6 Ha), 1.00 (3H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, C-7 Ha or C-10 Ha), 0.99 (3H, t, J = 7.6 Hz, C-7 Ha or C-10 H₂), 0.93 (3H, s, C-8 H₂); ¹³C nmr 5: 222.5 (C-1), 82.6 (C-3), 55.4 (C-2), 33.8 (C-5), 30.1 (C-9), 28.4 (C-6 or C-4), 23.4 (C-6 or C-4), 16.8 (C-7 or C-10), 8.9 (C-7 or C-10), 7.3 (C-8); ms: 170 (1, M*), 98 (16), 83 (24), 57 (100), Exact mass calculated for C10H18O2: 170.1306; found: 170.1308.

(1R',2S',3R')-1,2-Diethyl-2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-diol (80)

To a stirred solution of compound **79** (6.59 g, 38.7 mmol) and methanol (100 mL) at 0 °C was added sodium borohydride (1.76 g, 46.4 mmol) over a period of fifteen minutes. The reaction mixture warmed to room temperature overnight, and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The solid residue was dissolved in diethyl ether and filtered through a column containing silica gel and Celite using methanol as eluent. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography (15/85) afforded (1R*,2S*,3R*)-1,2-diethyl-2methylcyclopentane-1,3-diol (0.98 g, 15%) as a crystalline solid: mp: 52-55 °C; ir v_{mac} : 3425 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr 8: 4.27 (1H, t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, C-3 H₁), 2.19 (1H, complex m,), 1.83 (1H, complex m), 1.53 (7H, complex m), 0.99 (3H, t, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 0.92 (3H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, C-7 H₂ or C-10 H₂), 0.80 (3H, s, C-8 H₃); ¹³C nmr 8: 85.1 (C-1), 79.7 (C-3), 49.8 (C-2), 33.1 (C-9), 29.5 (C-5), 28.7 (C-4), 26.3 (C-6), 13.6 (C-7), 9.4 (C-8), 7.5 (C-10); ms: 155 (10, M*-OH), 125 (31), 82 (82), 57 (100), 55 (70), 43 (73). Exact mass calculated for C₁₀H₁₀O (C₁₀H₂₀O₂ - OH): 155.1436; found: 155.1439.

Attempted synthesis of (1R, 2S, 3S)-3-Chloro-1,2-diethyl-2methylcyclopentan-1-ol (86)

To a stirred solution of 80 (1.50 g, 8.71 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was added pyridine (2.11 mL) and SOCl₂ (1.52 mL). This was stirred overnight at room temperature to afford a black material, for which GCMS analysis did not show any component consistent with the structure 86.

(2R', 3R')-2-Ethyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylcyclopentan-1-one (87)

To a stirred solution of **71** (1.23 g, 8.77 mmol) and methanol (100 mL) at -78 °C was added sodium borohydride (0.098g, 0.26 mmol) over a period of five hours. Diethyl ether (100 mL) and brine (50 mL) were added to the crude mixture at -78 °C, and the mixture was separated. The aqueous layer was reextracted with ethyl acetate (x3), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and filtered, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography (25/75) afforded (2*R**, 3*R**)-2ethyl-3-hydroxy-2-methylcyclopentan-1-one (0.69 g, 55%): ir v_{max}: 3452 (s), 1727 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H nmr 5: 4.13 (1H, br, C-3 H₁), 2.45 (1H, m, C-5 H₁), 2.35-2.13 (2H, complex m, C-5 H₁, C-4 H₁), 1.96 (2H, complex m, C-4 H₁, C-3 OH), 1.57 (2H, symmetrical m, C-6 H₂), 0.98 (3H, s, C-8 H₃), 0.93 (3H, t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, C-7 H₃); ¹³C nmr 5: 221.1 (C1), 77.2 (C3), 53.4 (C2), 34.1 (C5), 27.7 (C6), 22.6 (C4), 18.6 (C8), 8.2 (C7); ms: 142 (30, M⁺), 124 (11), 82 (100), 71 (58), 55 (66), 41 (51), 29 (41), 27 (30). Exact mass calculated for C₄H₄, O₅; 142.0994; found: 142.0990.

(2R, 3R')-2-Ethyl-2-methyl-3-trimethylsilyloxycyclopentan-1-one (88)

To a stirred solution of 87 (0.41 g, 2.9 mmol) and pyridine (3.0 mL) at 0 °C was added chlorotrimethylsilane (1.09 mL, 8.6 mmol), and this was stirred for ten

-71 -

minutes at 0 °C before being permitted to warm to room temperature while stirring was continued overnight. Water and diethyl ether (3 x 100 mL) were added to the reaction mix't⁻⁻ and separated. The combined ether extracts were washed with 3M HCl (x2). The organic layer was then washed with a saturated solution of NaHCO₃. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and filtered, and the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. Flash chromatography (10/90) afforded (2R⁺, 3R⁺)-2-ethyl-2-methyl-3trimethylsilyloxycyclopentan-1-one (0.31 g, 51%) as a yellow oil: ¹H nmr 5: 4.02 (1H, t, *J* = 5.0 Hz, C-3 H₁), 2.40 (1H, m, C-5 H₁), 2.26-2.01 (2H, complex m, C-4 H₁, C-5 H₂), 0.84 (3H, t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, C-7 H₃), 0.13 (9H, s, Si(CH₃)₂); ^{1D}C nmr 5: 221.0 (C-1), 77.9 (C-3), 53.3 (C-2), 34.3 (C-5), 28.2 (C-6), 22.8 (C-4), 18.5 (C-8), 8.1 (C-7), 0.1 (Si(CH₃)₃); ms from GCMS: 214 (100, M⁺), 199 (75), 143 (21), 129 (69), 73 (98).

(1R', 2S', 3S')-1,2-Diethyl-2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-diol (89)

To a flask was added sodium metal (0.014 g) and lithium metal (0.092 g). The flask was evacuated and the two metals were heated to form an alloy. The alloy was allowed to cool to room temperature under vacuum. To this material was added anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (40 mL), a solution of 88 (0.053 g, 0.25 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (10 mL) and ethyl iodide (0.16 mL, 2.0

mmol). This mixture was subjected to low energy ultrasonic irradiation overnight. Ice-water was carefully added to the reaction mixture and then layers were separated. The organic laver was washed with H₂O (x2), and the combined aqueous layers were re-extracted with diethyl ether (x2). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO, and filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography (20/80) afforded (1R*, 2S*, 3S*)-1,2diethyl-2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-diol (0.016 g, 37%) as a yellow solid: mp: 64-67 °C; ir y___; 3410 (w), 1216 (s) cm^{-1; 1}H nmr δ; 3.89 (1H, m, C-3 H₂), 2.96 (1H, br d, J = 7.8 Hz, C-3 OH), 2.38 (1H, br s, C-3 OH), 2.17-1.72 (5H, complex m, C-4 H₂, C-5 H₂, C-6 H₃), 1.49 (2H, m, C-9 H₂), 1.20 (1H, d a, J = 12.6 Hz, 7.5 Hz, C-6 H₁), 0.97 (6H, t, J = 7.5 Hz, C-7 H₃, C-10 H₃), 0.74 (3H, s, C-8 H₃); ¹³C nmr δ: 86.5 (C-3), 80.9 (C-1), 51.7 (C-2), 35.5 (C-4), 30.8 (C-5), 27.7 (C-9), 21.4 (C-6), 19.6 (C-8), 9.0 (C-7 or C-10), 8.1 (C-7 or C-10); ms: 154 (10, M*-H₂O), 125 (44), 107 (24), 82 (100), 57 (97), 55 (84), 43 (69), 41 (51). Exact mass calculated for C10H18O (M*-H2O): 154.1358; found: 154.1351.

ii. SECTION B

General Procedures

Reagent 1 was prepared by the procedure developed by Bloomfield and Nelke.² All reagents were degassed prior to irradiation by bubbling nitrogen gas through reaction solutions for a period of at least 5 minutes. All photochemical reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Procedures and details regarding chromatography, spectroscopic assignments, etc. are consistent with those described in Section A. All photochemical reactions were carried out using an air-cooled Rayonet Microcore RPR-100 photochemical apparatus equipped with sixteen 350 nm lamps, each producing 24 watts. The water-cooled reactor consisted of a modified condenser that was sealed at the bottom end.

Attempted Synthesis of

1,8-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)tricyclo[6.2.0.02.7]decan-3-one 115

To an nmr tube was added 114 (0.032 g, 0.33 mmol), and 1 (0.31 g, 1.4 mmol) in C_gD_g (0.21 mL). An initial ¹H nmr scan was carried out at time t=0. Under an atmosphere of N₂, irradiation of the sample was carried out at 350 nm.

¹H nmr scans were carried out at t=1 h 7 m, t=3 h 46 m, and t=5 h 46 m, and these showed a progressive loss of **114** without the formation of new signals due to **115**.

1,7-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)tricyclo[5.2.0.026]nonan-3-one 117

To a water-cooled reaction chamber was added **116** (0.74 g, 9.0 mmol), and 1 (1.7 g, 7.5 mmol), in 2.0 mL of cyclohexane. The solution was irradiated for 24 h at 350 nm. The solvent was removed *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (5/95) afforded 1,7-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)tricyclo($5.2.0.0^{2.6}$]nonan-3-one **117** (0.08g, 3.5%) as a yellow oil: ¹H nmr 5: 3.11 (1H, m, C-3 H₁), 2.96 (1H, d, J = 11.4 Hz, C-2 H₁), 2.43 (2H, m, C-4 H₂), 2.07 (6H, complex m, C-5 H₂, C-8 H₂, C-9 H₂), 0.18 (9H, s, Si(CH_{3}), 0.17(9H, s, Si(CH_{3}),)¹, ¹⁰C nmr 5: 218.9 (C-3), 84.4 (C-7), 82.7 (C-1), 54.4 (C-2), 45.4 (C-6), 40.9 (C-4), 30.2 (C-8), 27.3 (C-9), 21.2 (C-5), 1.7 (Si(CH_{3}), 1.4 (Si(CH_{3}).

Attempted synthesis of 1,7-bis(trimethylsilyloxy)spiro(indane-1,4'tricvclo[5,2,0,0^{2,6}]nonane)-3'.5'-dione 103

To a water-cooled reaction chamber was added **99** (0.029 g, 0.15 mmol), and **1** (0.34 g, 1.5 mmol), in 1.0 mL of cyclohexane. The solution was irradiated for 42 h at 350 nm in the water-cooled Pyrex reaction chamber. The solvent was

removed *in vacuo*. Column chromatography (5/95) afforded starting material (0.0017g, 5.8%).

REFERENCES

- 1. Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 961.
- 2. Bloomfield, J. J.; Nelke, J. M. Org. Syn. 1977, Vol. 57, 1.
- Shimada, J.-I.; Hashimoto, K.; Kim, B. H.; Nakamura, E.; Kuwajima, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1759.
- 4. Wu, Y.-J.; Burnell, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4369.
- 5. Pandey, B.; Khire, U. R.; Ayyangar, N. R. Synth. Commun. 1989, 19, 2741.
- 6. Anderson, W. K.; Lee, G. E. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 501.
- 7. Burnell, D. J.; Wu, Y.-J. Can. J. Chem. 1989, 67, 816.
- 8. Kavash, R. W.; Mariano, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 4185.
- Wu, Y.-J.; Strickland, D. W.; Jenkins, T. J.; Liu, P.-Y.; Burnell, D. J. Can. J. Chem. 1993, 71, 1311.
- 10. Burnell, D. J.; Jenkins, T. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1485.
- Wehril, F. W.; Wirthlin, T. Interpretation of Carbon-13 Spectra, Heyden & Son: London, 1976, 37-38.
- 12. Burnell, D. J.; Wu, Y.-J. Can. J. Chem. 1990, 68, 804.
- 13. Mori, K.; Stalla-Bourdillon, B.; Ohki, M.; Matsui, M.; Bowers, W. S.
- Tetrahedron 1969, 25,1667.
- 14. Cochrane, J. S.; Hanson, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin / 1972, 361.

- 15. Findlay, J. A.; MacKay, W. D.; Bowers, W. S. J. Chem. Soc. 1970, 2631.
- 16. Kutney, J. P.; Balsevich, J.; Carruthers, R.; Markus, A.; McGrath, M. J.;
- Young, R. N.; Worth, B. R. Bioorganic Chem. 1978, 7, 289.
- 17. Dahm, K.; Trost, B.; Röller, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5292.
- 18. Corey, E. J.; Katzenellenbogen, J. A.; Gilman, N. W.; Roman, S. A.;
- Erickson, B. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 5618.
- 19. Grob, C. A.; Schiess, P. W. Angew. Chem. 1967, 6, 1.
- 20. March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry: Reactions, Mechanisms, and
- Structure, Fourth Edition, John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1992, 145.
- Wiley, G. A.; Hershkowitz, R. L.; Rein, B. M.; Chung, B. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 964.
- 22. Downie, I. M.; Holmes, J. B.; Lee, J. B. Chem. and Ind. 1966, 901.
- 23. Olah, G. A.; Balaram Gupta, B. G.; Malhotra, R.; Narang, S. C. J. Org.
- Chem. 1980, 45, 1638.
- 24. Buncel, E.; Wilson, H. J. Chem. Ed. 1987, 64, 475.
- Pandey, R. C.; Toussaint, M. W.; Stroshane, R. M.; Kalita, C. C.; Aszalos, A.
 A.; Garretson, A. L.; Wei, T. T.; Byrne, K. M.; Geoghegan, R. F.; White, R. J. J.
- Antibiot. 1981, 34, 1389.
- Warnick-Pickle, D. J.; Byrne, K. M.; Pandey, R. C.; White, R. J. J. Antibiot.
 1981, 23, 1402.

 Kelly, T. R.; Ohashi, N.; Armstrong-Chong, R. J.; Bell, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7100.

- 28. Julia, M.; Rolando, C.; Vincent, E.; Xu, J.-Z. Heterocycles 1989, 28, 71.
- 29. Evans, J. C.; Klix, R. C.; Bach, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 5519.
- 30. Williams, J. R.; Gaggiano, T. J. Synthesis 1980, 1024.
- Van Audenhove, M.; De Keukeleire, D.; Vandewalie, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 1979.
- 32. Van Hijfte, L.; Vandewalle, M. Synth. Commun. 1984, 14, 1149.
- 33. Anglea, T. A.; Pinder, A. R. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5537.

Appendix

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectra







4.0 33









07.7015 74.3 CPJ 150CT92 01.44 STRI +1.400 055 -222DAB [N CDCL3 13C
















05.126 1-2 GIAL 260021 06.51 STRT 1.600 038-1364 D1 COCL3 HI 3





















-0 77.61 964P892 44 15 69613 136 23963. 21.0 PGC











