Partitioning behavior of trace elements between dacitic melt and plagioclase, orthopyroxene, and clinopyroxene based on laser ablation ICPMS analysis of silicate melt inclusions
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Abstract

Partitioning behavior of Sc, Ti, V, Mn, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Ba, La, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Yb, Hf, and Pb between dacitic silicate melt and clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, and plagioclase has been determined based on laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric (LA-ICPMS) analysis of melt inclusions and the immediately adjacent host mineral. Samples from the 1988 eruption of White Island, New Zealand were selected because petrographic evidence suggests that all three mineral phases are in equilibrium with each other and with the melt inclusions. All three phenocryst types are found as mineral inclusions within each of the other phases, and mineral inclusions often coexist with melt inclusions in growth-zone assemblages. Compositions of melt inclusions do not vary between the different host minerals, suggesting that boundary layer processes did not affect compositions of melt inclusions and that post-trapping modifications have not occurred.

Partition coefficients were calculated from the host and melt inclusion compositions and results were compared to published values. All trace elements examined in this study except Sr are incompatible in plagioclase, and all measured trace elements except for Mn are incompatible in orthopyroxene. In clinopyroxene, Sc, V, and Mn are compatible, and Y, Ti, HREE, and the MREE are only slightly incompatible. Most partition coefficients overlap the wide range of values reported in the literature, but the White Island data are consistently at the lower end of the published values. Results from the literature obtained using modern microanalytical techniques such as secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) or proton induced X-ray emission spectroscopy (PIXE) also fall at the lower end of the published values, whereas partition coefficients determined from bulk analysis of glass and crystals separated from volcanic rocks typically extend to higher values. Rapid crystal growth-rates, crystal zonation, or the presence of accessory mineral inclusions in phenocrysts likely accounts for the wide range and generally higher partition coefficients obtained using bulk sampling techniques. The results for 3+ cations from this study are consistent with theoretical predictions based on a lattice strain model for site occupancy. The results also confirm that the melt inclusion-mineral (MIM) technique is a reliable method for determining partition coefficients, as long as the melt inclusions have not experienced post-entrapment reequilibration.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

During the generation and crystallization of silicate melts, most elements are distributed unevenly between the melt phase and the crystallizing minerals (Shaw, 2006).
The partition coefficient describes the distribution of an element between a mineral and melt. For an element \( i \), the Nernst partition coefficient \( D_i \) is defined as

\[
D_{i,\text{melt}}^{\text{melt}} = \frac{C_{i,\text{melt}}}{C_{i,\text{Mineral}}}
\]

where \( D_i \) is the partition coefficient for element \( i \), and \( C_{i,\text{Mineral}} \) and \( C_{i,\text{Melt}} \) are the concentrations of element \( i \) in the crystal (mineral) and in the melt from which the mineral is precipitating, respectively (Beattie et al., 1993). Reliable values for partition coefficients for a wide range of minerals and silicate melt compositions are necessary to understand and model igneous processes such as crystal fractionation, partial melting, and assimilation (e.g., Gast, 1968; Zielinski and Frey, 1970; Pearce and Cann, 1973; Rollinson, 1993; Wilson, 1994; Shaw, 2006). Trace elements that exhibit a wide variation in geochemical behavior and have a wide range of abundances in natural samples, including large ion lithophile elements (LILE), high-field strength elements (HFSE), and rare earth elements (REE), are especially useful to understand and constrain these igneous processes (Rollinson, 1993; Wilson, 1994; Shaw, 2006).

An early method used to determine the distribution of trace elements between melt and minerals involved analysis of phenocrysts and the surrounding glassy matrix of extrusive volcanic rocks. Such studies include the pioneering work of Onuma et al. (1968), Schnetzler and Philpotts (1970), Hart and Brooks (1974), and continue to more recent studies by Irving and Frey (1984), Ewart and Griffin (1994), Thompson and Malpas (2000), and Norman et al. (2005). An alternative technique to determine the distribution of trace elements between melt and minerals involves laboratory crystal growth studies using either natural glasses (i.e., Hart and Dunn, 1993; Aigner-Torres et al., 2007), natural glasses enriched in trace elements (i.e., Adam et al., 1993; Adam and Green, 1994; Forsythe et al., 1994), or simple synthetic systems doped with trace elements (i.e., Shimizu, 1974; Zielinski and Frey, 1974; Gaetani and Grove, 1995; Lundstrom et al., 1998; Ayers and Luo, 2008). These various methods have been used to determine partition coefficients for a large number of elements in a variety of melt compositions. It is well known that partition coefficients vary as a function of many factors, including melt composition and H2O-content of the melt (Wood and Blundy, 2003), and this results in a wide range in reported partition coefficients, even for melt-mineral systems that appear to have had similar histories. This variability in turn results in a wide range of inferred parental melt compositions and magma evolution trends based on analyses of natural phenocrysts.

Recently, the melt inclusion-mineral (MIM) technique was introduced to determine partitioning behavior (Lu et al., 1992; Sobolev et al., 1996; Thomas et al., 2002, 2003; Zajacz and Halter, 2007). With this technique, the trace element abundances of melt inclusions (MI) and the immediately adjacent host crystal are measured and this information is used to calculate partition coefficients. It has only become possible to apply this technique in recent years following the introduction of microanalytical techniques such as Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS), Proton-Induced X-ray Emission spectroscopy (PIXE), and laser ablation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICPMS) that offer spatial resolutions on the order of 10 \( \mu \)m and highly precise and accurate analytical results. The MIM technique has the additional advantage that natural crystals and their parent melts with natural trace element abundances are analyzed. Moreover, the melt in the inclusions represents a sample of the melt phase from which the immediately adjacent host crystal was precipitating, thereby suggesting a close approximation to chemical equilibrium. In this study, the abundances of LILE, HFSE, and REE in glassy melt inclusions hosted in plagioclase, orthopyroxene, and clinopyroxene were determined and the data used to calculate partition coefficients between these minerals and daciesilicate melt.

2. GEOLOGIC BACKGROUND

Samples used in this study were from a recent (1988) eruption at White Island, New Zealand. White Island is an active andesitic-dacitic volcano located in the Bay of Plenty at the northern end of the Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ) on the North Island (Cole and Nairn, 1975). The North Island of New Zealand is part of the active boundary where the Pacific plate is being subducted beneath the Australian plate (Isacks et al., 1968). Volcanoes located within the 250 km long TVZ range from basaltic to rhyolitic in composition. White Island has been volcanically active for at least 10,000 years based on sediment analyses and the extent of hydrothermal activity (Giggenbach and Glasby, 1977). Maori legends and European explorers have documented volcanic activity for at least several hundred years, and modern crater building eruptions occurred in 1933, 1947, 1965–1966, 1968, 1971, 1976–1982, and 1986–1992 (Houghton and Nairn, 1991; Wood and Browne, 1996). The 1976–1982 and 1986–1992 activity is associated with the emplacement of a large body of basaltic andesite magma at about 0.5 km depth, and the volcanic activity alternated between strombolian and phreatomagmatic eruptive styles (Clark and Cole, 1989; Houghton and Nairn, 1991; Wood and Browne, 1996). The 1988 lavas at White Island are similar to the better-studied 1977 eruptive products that show a range in composition from mafic andesite (56 wt% SiO2) to dacite (64.3 wt% SiO2) (Graham and Cole, 1991). The lavas are interpreted to have been erupted from a zoned magma chamber (Graham and Cole, 1991). The samples used in this study were collected by Dr. C. Peter Wood of the Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences, Wairakei, New Zealand.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Mineral and melt inclusion petrography

Samples from the 1988 eruption at White Island consist of vesicular, porphyritic, gray andesite-dacite containing phenocrysts of plagioclase, clinopyroxene, and orthopyroxene in a glassy matrix that contains microlites of the same minerals and magnetite (Rapien, 1998; Rapien et al., 2003). Phenocrysts comprise 50–70 volume percent of the 1988 samples, and the order of abundance of phenocrysts...
is orthopyroxene > plagioclase > clinopyroxene. Crystals were separated from the surrounding matrix by crushing in a mortar with a pestle. Phenocrysts are euhedral to subhedral and range from 0.01 to 1 mm in size. Orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene phenocrysts show no evidence of chemical zoning. Some plagioclase is zoned when viewed with differential interference contrast microscopy after etching with fluoboric acid (Rapien, 1998) but these crystals show no chemical zoning when analyzed by EPMA. Minor phases include Ti–magnetite and acicular apatite.

Phenocrysts contain both mineral and melt inclusions, with both occurring as isolated inclusions or in Melt Inclusion Assemblages (MIAs, Bodnar and Student, 2006) defining crystal growth zones (Fig. 1A). All three phenocryst phases can be found as inclusions in the other two phases (e.g., orthopyroxene inclusions in plagioclase and clinopyroxene phenocrysts, plagioclase crystals in ortho- and clinopyroxene, etc.) (Fig. 1B–D). Mineral and melt inclusions display similar sizes and shapes and are often difficult to distinguish using optical microscopy. Coexisting mineral and melt inclusions in the same growth zone suggest crystallization of the host phase and precipitation of the mineral inclusions contemporaneously with entrapment of melt inclusions. This observation provides good evidence for chemical equilibrium between the mineral phases and the silicate melt.

Fig. 1. (A) Photomicrograph of a portion of an orthopyroxene crystal from the 1988 eruption at White Island showing several well-defined crystal growth zones containing mineral and MIs (from Rapien et al., 2003) Photograph taken in transmitted plane-polarized light. (B–D) Electron microprobe X-ray maps for Ca, Mg, and K showing a melt inclusion (MI) and two plagioclase inclusions (Plag) in the same growth zone in a clinopyroxene crystal. (E–G) Electron microprobe X-ray maps for Ca, Mg, and K showing the chemical homogeneity of two melt inclusions in a growth zone in a clinopyroxene crystal. X-ray maps in (B–G) were collected with a Cameca SX-50 electron microprobe at Virginia Tech using an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a beam current of 200 nA and operating in beam-scanning mode.
Mineral and melt inclusions vary in size from <10 to >100 μm, however, most inclusions are typically between 5 and 30 μm (Fig. 1B–G). Generally, isolated inclusions are larger than those in well-defined crystal growth assemblages. Melt inclusions are composed of homogeneous glass with or without a small bubble (Fig. 1E–G), but without any trapped solids or daughter minerals. Melt inclusion shape is rounded to elongate. The melt inclusions are assumed to be primary because they are trapped along crystal growth faces or between growth zones with no visible fractures. Samples from the 1988 eruption were selected because they contain abundant and large glassy melt inclusions with no evidence of devitrification or alteration. A complete description of MI in the sample from the 1988 eruption at White Island is provided by Rapien (1998) and Rapien et al. (2003).

3.2. Analytical techniques

Phenocrysts containing melt inclusions were mounted in epoxy, and the melt inclusions were brought close to the surface of the crystal for better visual inspection by controlled grinding and polishing by hand on glass plates (Thomas and Bodnar, 2002). Melt inclusions located along fractures or near the edges of crystals were ignored as those containing a shrinkage or vapor bubble. Some inclusions were exposed at the surface after polishing while others remained beneath the crystal surface. MI beneath the surface were analyzed by first removing the overlying host by laser ablation, as described below. The major and minor element compositions (Si, Mg, Ca, Fe, Na, K, Mn, P, and Ti) of exposed melt inclusions were determined using a Cameca SX-50 electron microprobe (EPMA) at Virginia Tech. For melt inclusion analyses, an accelerating voltage of 15 kV was used with a beam current of 5 nA and beam diameter of 5 μm to assure that only glass (melt inclusion) was included in the analytical volume. Using these analytical conditions and measuring Na and K first minimizes volatilization of those alkali elements (Student and Bodnar, 1999). Host (plagioclase, clinopyroxene, and orthopyroxene) crystals were analyzed using an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 20 nA, and beam diameter of 1 μm. A small beam diameter was used to minimize the effects of chemical zoning in the host and to include in the analytical volume only a small portion of the host immediately adjacent to the melt inclusion, as this most closely represents the composition of the crystal that was forming at the time the melt inclusion was trapped (i.e., the crystal in contact with the melt inclusion most closely approximates the crystal that was in equilibrium with the melt at the time of trapping). Precision of major element data is approximately 2% relative, and minor element precision is approximately 5% relative based upon multiple analyses.

Major and minor element abundances of some minerals and MI were measured by EPMA, and all major, minor, and trace element abundances of minerals and melt inclusions were determined by LA-ICPMS. All melt inclusions analyzed in this study were exposed at the surface either by hand polishing or by ablating the host overlying the melt inclusion prior to analysis (as described below) eliminating the need to make a host correction (Halter et al., 2002). LA-ICPMS analyses were conducted at Virginia Tech using an Agilent 7500ce quadrupole ICPMS and a Lambda Physik GeoLas 193 nm excimer laser ablation system coupled to an Olympus petrographic microscope equipped with a 25× UV and visible Schwarzschild objective (NA = 0.4) for analysis, plus 5× and 10× objectives for sample viewing. The He gas flow was ~60 mL/min during an ablation cell with a volume of ~1 cm³. Drill times were 10 ms for all elements and the oxide production rate was less than 1% [Additional information concerning the LA-ICPMS system is available at: http://www.geochem.geos.vt.edu/fluids/]. NIST 610 standard reference material (SRM) glass was analyzed two times before and after each analytical session that included 15–20 inclusions plus host phase and was used as the standard for data reduction and for drift correction (see Mutchler et al., 2008). Analyses of the NIST glass were accomplished using a discharge voltage of the laser of 27 kV and a pulse rate of 15 Hz. The energy density at the sample is a function of the aperture and attenuator settings which, for the analytical conditions used here, results in an energy density on the sample of about 10 ± 3 J/cm². Most inclusions and host phases were analyzed using a beam diameter of 24 μm. The same analytical conditions were used for melt inclusion and host analyses except that a pulse rate of 5 Hz was used for the host to improve signal stability, and the aperture for the laser spot was adjusted such that the melt inclusion was always larger than the spot size (i.e., the beam was entirely within the melt inclusion). Isotopes analyzed included 23Na, 25Mg, 27Al, 28Si, 39K, 40Ca, 42Sc, 49Ti, 51V, 55Mn, 88Sr, 89Y, 90Zr, 93Nb, 138Ba, 139La, 143Nd, 147Sm, 152Eu, 157Gd, 162Dy, 165Ho, 172Yb, 179Hf, and 208Pb.

For each LA-ICPMS analysis, a baseline signal was collected for approximately 30–40 s before the laser shutter was opened to begin to ablate the melt inclusion or host...
For analyses of melt inclusions exposed at the surface, the laser spot size was adjusted such that the entire spot was within the MI. The ablation process was continued until the ablation pit traversed from the melt inclusion into the underlying host crystal. The ablation was stopped after a few 10s of seconds of host ablation and the signal was collected until intensities returned to baseline levels (Fig. 2). The initial few seconds of data from each melt inclusion analysis were not included in data reduction to avoid possible errors from contamination on the sample surface from airborne particles or previously ablated material deposited onto the surface – only the relatively stable, flat-topped portion of the ablation spectrum was used to calculate element abundances. Analyses of the host phase were made by placing the laser spot completely in the host mineral and as close to the melt inclusion/host boundary as feasible.

Some inclusions were exposed at the surface by hand polishing and were analyzed as described above, while other melt inclusions remained covered by ≤100 μm of the host phase when the sample was placed into the laser ablation cell. Inclusions beneath the crystal surface were exposed by ablating the host using a laser pulse rate of 5 Hz and a laser spot size significantly larger than the melt inclusion. This technique was used to remove host material covering the buried MI to expose the inclusion at the bottom of a cylindrical hole that was about twice the diameter of the MI as observed in the plane of the sample surface. To expose the MI, the laser was fired 5–10 times and the sample was then examined optically. If the inclusion was not exposed at the center of the laser crater, then another sequence of 5–10 laser pulses was applied and the inclusion re-examined. This process was repeated until the MI was exposed and clearly visible at the bottom of the ablation.

Table 1
Average major and trace element compositions of host minerals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPMA</th>
<th>CPX (8)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>OPX (3)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Plag (3)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>52.69</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>54.32</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>52.98</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>28.46</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>16.15</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>24.86</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>18.22</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>13.12</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>99.56</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>99.44</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LA-ICPMSb</th>
<th>CPX (9)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>OPX (8)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Plag (5)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>53.62</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>56.183</td>
<td>1.595</td>
<td>52.571</td>
<td>2.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>31.280</td>
<td>1.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>1.377</td>
<td>15.465</td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>24.604</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>1.206</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>12.006</td>
<td>0.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>3.017</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sca</td>
<td>122.89</td>
<td>5.940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vb</td>
<td>523.229</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>349.40</td>
<td>22.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>21.74</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>172.26</td>
<td>15.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Number in parenthesis represents the number of analyses.

b Major elements in wt%; trace elements in ppm.
c Based upon four analyses.
pit. A unique feature of the Virginia Tech LA-ICPMS instrument that facilitates this process is that the sample stage is mounted on a research-grade petrographic microscope equipped with high quality reflected and transmitted light optics, which allows samples to be examined at various magnifications before and after ablation. After the signal returned to background level following this episode of "drilling" to expose the MI, the laser spot size was reduced such that it was contained entirely within the inclusion. This assured that only the MI would be sampled during the subsequent analysis. Within an MIA, the compositions of MI were consistent, independent of whether the MI was originally exposed at the crystal surface or was buried and exposed by "drilling" as described above.

The analytical conditions for the host mineral and MIs were the same, except that the minerals were analyzed for 20–30 s at each spot. Three or four analyses of the host mineral immediately adjacent to the MI were conducted to test for homogeneity in the host mineral composition. Several areas were analyzed for major and minor elements by both EPMA and LA-ICPMS to test for consistency between the two techniques, and the results are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Compositional data from EPMA analyses were used as an internal standard for the LA-ICPMS analyses. The LA-ICPMS data were also reduced assuming 100% oxides, and the results were identical within analytical error to the values determined using the internal EPMA standard. Most MI were only analyzed by LA-ICPMS and the data were reduced assuming 100% oxides. The time-resolved LA-ICPMS data were reduced using AMS analytical software (Mutchler et al., 2007; Mutchler et al., 2008).

Table 2
Average major and trace element compositions of melt inclusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EPMA</th>
<th>CPX MI (7)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>OPX MI (3)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Plag MI (5)a</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>65.39</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>65.57</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>66.06</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.20</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>98.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>98.50</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA-ICPMSb</td>
<td>CPX MI (22)a</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>OPX MI (27)a</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Plag MI (23)a</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>65.44</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>64.98</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>65.28</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>15.96</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>16.29</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>15.90</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea</td>
<td>38.18</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>154.45</td>
<td>31.93</td>
<td>144.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ve</td>
<td>350.46</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>312.32</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>132.32</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>132.32</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>180.16</td>
<td>44.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>164.62</td>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>164.62</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>180.16</td>
<td>44.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>38.18</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>154.45</td>
<td>31.93</td>
<td>144.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ve</td>
<td>350.46</td>
<td>17.21</td>
<td>312.32</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>132.32</td>
<td>32.90</td>
<td>132.32</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>164.62</td>
<td>31.22</td>
<td>164.62</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>13.07</td>
<td>9.97</td>
<td>27.09</td>
<td>5.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>10.95</td>
<td>19.44</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>9.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Number in parenthesis represents the number of analysis.
b Major elements in wt%; trace elements in ppm.
c Based upon four analyses.
4. RESULTS

4.1. Mineral compositions

Major element compositions of host crystals are shown in Fig. 3A (pyroxene) and 3B (plagioclase), and listed in Table 1. Also shown on Fig. 3A and B are the results from Rapien (1998) for samples from this same eruptive unit. The clinopyroxenes have an average augitic composition of En_{47}Fs_{13}Wo_{40} and orthopyroxenes have an average composition of En_{71}Fs_{27}Wo_{2} and these compositions are consistent with those from other island arc calc-alkaline andesitic rocks (Wilson, 1994). Plagioclase compositions are slightly more Ca-rich than compositions reported by Rapien et al. (2003), with an average composition of An_{67}Ab_{31}Or_{2}.

Trace element data for the host minerals are summarized in Fig. 4. Plagioclase is enriched in LREE relative to HREE and shows a large positive Eu anomaly (Fig. 4A). Clinopyroxene displays a concave-down REE pattern, with the middle REEs slightly enriched over both LREE and HREE (Fig. 4A). Orthopyroxene is relatively enriched in HREE relative to LREE (Fig. 4A). Plagioclase is enriched in K, Sr, Ba, and Pb and depleted in Y, Ti, Zr, and Hf compared to both pyroxenes (Fig. 4B). The trace element patterns obtained are typical of trace element patterns in plagioclase and ortho- and clinopyroxenes from andesitic to rhyolitic melts (Rollinson, 1993). Trace element zoning or heterogeneity was not observed in either pyroxenes or plagioclase.

4.2. Melt compositions

Average compositions of MI hosted in clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene and plagioclase determined using EPMA and LA-ICPMS are listed in Table 2. Compositions of MI from all three phenocryst minerals are identical within analytical error, confirming that all three were co-precipitating from the same melt (Rapien, 1998; Wardell et al., 2001). In terms of major element chemistry, the MIs resemble typical calc-alkaline dacites (Fig. 5) (Rollinson, 1993). Rapien et al. (2003) reported H_{2}O contents ranging from 0.36 to 0.89 wt% for melt inclusions in pyroxenes from the 1988 eruption and Wardell et al. (2001) reported an H_{2}O content of 0.6 wt% from melt inclusions from the 1989 eruption. All MI examined in this study are assumed to contain <1 wt% H_{2}O.

The most striking aspect of the trace element chemistry of the MIs is their uniformity. Despite being hosted in minerals with a wide range in trace element chemistries (Fig. 4), the trace element compositions of the MIs are, within analytical error, identical (Fig. 6). The MIs are slightly enriched in LREE, show a small negative Eu anomaly, and display a flat chondrite-normalized pattern for HREE (Fig. 6A). Negative anomalies in Sr, Eu, and (possibly) Pb probably...
reflect plagioclase fractionation (Bacon, 1990), and the trace element characteristics of the MIs are typical of arc magmas (Rollinson, 1993; Wilson, 1994).

To test the hypothesis that MIs trapped in plagioclase, clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene are indeed recording the evolution of an andesitic melt that was crystallizing clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene and plagioclase along a cotectic, experiments were conducted using the MELTS model that simulates crystallization of silicate melts (Ghiorso and Sack, 1995; Asimow and Ghiorso, 1998).

The starting composition selected is the mafic andesite erupted at White Island in 1977 (Graham and Cole, 1991). Conditions selected for the simulation and results are reported in Table 3.

Results from MELTS indicate that the andesitic magma, containing approximately 1% H$_2$O, underwent fractional crystallization at a pressure of about 1 kbar at an oxygen fugacity approximated by the QFM + 1 buffer. The composition of the melt remaining after 50% crystallization shows good agreement with the compositions of MI from White Island (Table 3). Equilibrium compositions of orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene predicted by MELTS show excellent agreement with measured compositions (Fig. 3), in spite of the fact that MELTS generally does not predict pyroxene compositions that are consistent with experimental phase equilibrium data (Yang et al., 1996). Plagioclase compositions predicted by MELTS are slightly less anorthitic than those analyzed from White Island (Fig. 3). Recently, Fowler et al. (2007) used MELTS to model the evolution of the Campanian Ignimbrite (Campania, Italy) and reported a similar difference between observed and modeled plagioclase compositions, which they interpreted to be the result of wall–rock assimilation. Overall, the results of MELTS simulations reproduced reasonably well the White Island MI and host mineral compositions (Table 3 and Figs. 3–6). The results are consistent with our interpretation that the melt trapped in White Island MIs represents an evolved stage of crystallization of an andesitic melt that was crystallizing cotectic plagioclase, clinopyroxene, and orthopyroxene.

4.3. Partition coefficients

Partition coefficients ($D_i$) were calculated from individual MI-mineral pairs. The average partition coefficients for the three different White Island phenocryst–melt pairs, and published partitioning data for pyroxene and plagioclase in andesite, dacite, and low-Si rhyolite are listed in Table 4 and summarized in Fig. 7. For most trace elements, the partition coefficients determined in this study using the MIM technique fall within the lower portion of the range of literature values (Fig. 7).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. MIM technique

The melt inclusion-mineral (MIM) technique for determining partitioning behavior of trace elements can provide precise and accurate results for many mineral–melt pairs (Thomas et al., 2002, 2003; Zajacz and Halter, 2007). However, one should be cognizant of the fact that partition coefficients obtained using the MIM technique may be subject to errors resulting from natural processes and analytical procedures. These include: (1) the possibility of contamination by the host mineral; (2) post-entrapment crystallization and fractionation of the melt; (3) post-entrapment exchange of Fe and Mg in mafic minerals; and (4) the possibility that a substantial portion of the inclusion represents a disequilibrium boundary layer formed at the time of crystalli-
All of these processes would lead to a scatter in the melt inclusion compositions, both within an individual phenocryst type, and between phenocryst types. At White Island, MI compositions do not vary within a phenocryst type or between mineral hosts (Table 2 and Fig. 6), effectively precluding the involvement of any of the processes listed above. For example, MgO abundance in plagioclase-hosted MI is neither higher nor lower than MI in pyroxene. If some pyroxene host had been included in the melt inclusion analyses, the concentration of MgO in MI in pyroxene would appear to be higher than its concentration in MI in plagioclase.

If MI cool slowly after trapping, some material may crystallize on the inclusion walls or daughter minerals may precipitate from the melt, thus changing the composition of the remaining melt (glass) in the MI (Danyushevsky et al., 2000; Gaetani and Watson, 2000; Danyushevsky et al., 2002; Bodnar and Student, 2006). If a significant amount of melt had crystallized on the inclusion walls following trapping, MgO would be lower in melt inclusions in pyroxene compared to MI in plagioclase. Similar arguments can be made for Sr and Al in plagioclase and for Ca in both plagioclase and clinopyroxene. Because the White Island MIIs were rapidly cooled from the trapping

Table 3
Summary of MELTS modeling of the crystallization of White Island andesite melt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F.C. P = 1 kbar</th>
<th>Starting comp.</th>
<th>Melt comp. at ~50% F.C.</th>
<th>Eq. assembl. at ~50% fract.</th>
<th>Avg. comp. WI</th>
<th>Avg. comp. host phases WI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QFM+1*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>57.42</td>
<td>65.05</td>
<td>50.115</td>
<td>65.67</td>
<td>52.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>29.33</td>
<td>13.761</td>
<td>28.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeOtot</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>10.49</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>16.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>4.83</td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>13.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MELTS model assumed fractional crystallization (F.C.) at 1 kbar and an oxygen fugacity of QFM + 1.

** Starting melt composition is from Graham and Cole (1991) their Table 1, sample no. 17, after adding 1% H2O and normalizing to 100%.

* Composition of the melt phase after 50% crystallization.

* Compositions of minerals in the equilibrium assemblage after 50% crystallization; also includes spinel (~70% magnetite).

* Average composition of White Island melt inclusions determined in this study.

* Average compositions of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and plagioclase from this study.

Table 4
Average partition coefficients (D) calculated using individual melt inclusion-host pairs. Also shown are D ranges from the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPX</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Literature values</th>
<th>CPX</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Literature values</th>
<th>OPX</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Literature values</th>
<th>OPX</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Literature values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0185–0.056</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0014–0.016</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.065–0.263</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>3.306</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>2.7–6.75</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.265–0.405</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.0433–0.0485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.37–0.615</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>1.1–5.2</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.038–0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>2.877</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>1.65–4.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.005–0.2205</td>
<td>2.422</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>1.55–19.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.28–2.7</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.265–0.755</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.01–0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.162–2.4</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.025–0.13</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.001–0.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005–0.33</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.0027–0.78</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001–5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001–0.14</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001–1.93</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001–5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.047–1.23</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.365–0.755</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001–0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.166–1.4</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.056–1.6</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.002–0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.377–5.95</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.025–0.42</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.003–0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.411–4.5</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.028–0.825</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.036–0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.583–1.41</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.027–0.223</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.036–0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>0.774–8.4</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.041–1.8</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0295–0.256</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.23–2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>0.634–7.55</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.115–2.2</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.013–0.123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.173–0.67</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.031–0.26</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.012–0.175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.11–0.63</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.17–0.54</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.23–2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MELTS model assumed fractional crystallization (F.C.) at 1 kbar and an oxygen fugacity of QFM + 1.

** Starting melt composition is from Graham and Cole (1991) their Table 1, sample no. 17, after adding 1% H2O and normalizing to 100%.

* Composition of the melt phase after 50% crystallization.

* Compositions of minerals in the equilibrium assemblage after 50% crystallization; also includes spinel (~70% magnetite).

* Average composition of White Island melt inclusions determined in this study.

* Average compositions of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene and plagioclase from this study.

* FeOtot calculated from FeO and Fe2O3 values from MELTS as: FeOtot = Fe2O3/1.113 + FeO.
temperature during an explosive eruption to produce a homogeneous quenched melt (glass), crystallization of the mineral host on the inclusion walls is unlikely.

Diffusive exchange of Fe, Ti, and Si between MIs and the host has been suggested in other studies based on compositional differences between MIs hosted in different mineral phases from the same sample (Danyushevsky et al., 2002). This process does not, however, seem to have affected the MI in this study as the Fe, Ti, and Si abundances in MI from the 1988 eruption at White Island do not vary with host phase (Table 2 and Fig. 6).

The lack of correlation between MI chemistry and host mineralogy also precludes modification of trace element abundances as a result of boundary layer processes. Boundary layers may develop when the crystal growth rate is faster than the rate of diffusion of cations towards or away from the crystal–melt interface (Bacon, 1989; Baker, 2008). The result is the development of a zone immediately adjacent to the growing crystal that is enriched in incompatible elements that are unable to diffuse away from the crystal fast enough to maintain a constant composition in the melt phase, or depleted in compatible elements that cannot diffuse to the growing crystal surface fast enough to compensate for the fact that they are being removed from the melt by crystal growth. If boundary layers develop at the crystal–melt interface, and if the melt at the interface
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Fig. 7. Partition coefficients (D_i) for LILE, HFSE, and REE from this study calculated from data listed in Tables 1 and 2 compared to published values (Philpotts and Schnetzler, 1970; Schnetzler and Philpotts, 1970; Ewart et al., 1973; Okamoto, 1979; Luhr and Carmichael, 1980; Green and Pearson, 1983; Fujimaki et al., 1984; Nash and Creecraft, 1985; Bacon and Druiit, 1988; Dunn and Sen, 1994; Ewart and Griffin, 1994; Forsythe et al., 1994). The symbols represent the average partition coefficient determined in this study, and the vertical line through the symbol represents the 2σ standard deviation. The bold vertical line to the left of data from this study represents the complete range of partition coefficients reported in the literature.
is trapped as a melt inclusion, incompatible elements would appear to be more compatible than they actually are, while compatible elements would appear to be less compatible than they would be without the presence of a boundary layer. If MIs in only one host phase are examined, it is difficult, if not impossible, to infer whether boundary layer processes have affected MI compositions. However, if two or more minerals are co-precipitating from the same melt, as is the case in this study, modifications to the melt inclusion composition by boundary layer processes can be recognized based on the compositions of melt inclusions contained in two or more hosts with different partitioning behavior for the same trace element. If boundary layers affected the MI compositions in this study, concentrations of elements that are compatible in one mineral and incompatible in another mineral should be significantly different in MI in the two different minerals. For example, Sr is compatible in plagioclase and incompatible in pyroxenes. If MI compositions were affected by the development of a boundary layer, the plagioclase-hosted MI should be depleted in Sr compared to pyroxene-hosted MI. Abundances for Sr (and all other measured elements) are similar in MI in all host phases (Table 2 and Fig. 6), suggesting that boundary layer processes did not affect the compositions of MIs in this study. Boundary layer processes should also have a larger relative effect on compositions of small inclusions compared to larger inclusions (assuming that the MI “size” reflects the thickness of the melt layer that is trapped to form the MI) and several workers have suggested that boundary layer effects only become important for inclusions smaller than 25 μm (Anderson, 1974; Lowenstern, 1995; Lu et al., 1995). Recent work by Fedele et al. (2008) failed to identify any compositional variations that could be related to boundary layer processes for MI as small as 10 μm in quartz and olivine. The melt inclusions studied here were all larger than 15 μm, and even the smallest inclusions analyzed did not show an enrichment in incompatible elements, or depletion in compatible elements, compared to the largest inclusions studied.

5.2. Comparison of results of this study with previously published data

Table 5 summarizes the currently available partition coefficients for orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, and plagioclase in andesitic to rhyodacitic melts, and includes the SiO₂ content, temperature, pressure, H₂O content of the melt, mineral composition, /O₂, and duration of the experiment if the information was reported as these variables are particularly important in controlling partitioning behavior (Wood and Blundy, 2003). Partitioning data from this study are generally consistent with the compatibility trends previously determined for these three different mineral phases. All measured trace elements except Mn are incompatible in orthopyroxene (Fig. 7B). The only element among those measured in this study that is compatible in plagioclase is Sr (Fig. 7C). The relatively high compatibility for Eu with respect to other REE in plagioclase reflects the reduction of Eu³⁺ to Eu²⁺, with the divalent cation being nearly as compatible in plagioclase as Sr (Aigner-Torres et al., 2007). Some transition metal elements (Sc, V, and Mn) are compatible in clinopyroxene, and Y, the MREE, HREE, and Ti are only slightly incompatible (Fig. 7A). Most of the calculated partition coefficients from this study are generally consistent with the compatibility trends previously determined for these three different mineral phases.

Most of the older published partition coefficient data for intermediate to silicic volcanic rocks is based on chemical analysis of glass and mineral separates using bulk analytical techniques (Philpotts and Schnetzler, 1970; Schnetzler and Philpotts, 1970; Ewart et al., 1973; Okamoto, 1979; Luhr and Carmichael, 1980; Fujimaki et al., 1984; Nash and Creer, 1985; Bacon and Druitt, 1988). The precision of partition coefficient data obtained using these bulk sampling techniques is poor because complete separation of phenocrysts from the matrix is not possible using mechan-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>SiO₂ (wt%)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>P (kb)</th>
<th>H₂O (wt%)</th>
<th>Mineral comp. (OPX, CPX, Plag)</th>
<th>/O₂, time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacon and Druitt (1988)*</td>
<td>63.6–72.2</td>
<td>880–950</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.4–6.0</td>
<td>En₆₆₋₇₁, En₄₃₋₄₉Wo₄₂₋₄₄, An₃₂₋₄₄</td>
<td>log/O₂ = −10.5 to −11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunn and Sen (1994)</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>1100–1140</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>En₆₃₋₇₀, An₅₄₋₆₈</td>
<td>log/O₂ = QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewart et al. (1973)</td>
<td>55–69</td>
<td>1050–1250</td>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>0.1–0.9</td>
<td>En₅₀₋₇₁, En₃₆₋₄₂Wo₅₆₋₄₀, An₄₀₋₅₅</td>
<td>log/O₂ = QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewart and Griffin (1994)</td>
<td>68.5–75.8</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>log/O₂ = QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsythe et al. (1994)</td>
<td>62.3–64.9</td>
<td>1072–1100</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>En₉₂₋₉₇, An₈₅₋₉₇</td>
<td>time = 6–24 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fujimaki et al. (1984)</td>
<td>55.0–70.8</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.5–3.5</td>
<td>En₃₂₋₄₆, An₈₅₋₉₇₋₄₁, An₄₀₋₅₂</td>
<td>log/O₂ = −7.8 to −8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green and Pearson (1983)</td>
<td>59.4–62.5</td>
<td>900–1050</td>
<td>7.5–20</td>
<td>2.0–5.0</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>log/O₂ = −12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luhr and Carmichael (1980)</td>
<td>56.5–61.1</td>
<td>940–1060</td>
<td>0.7–1.0</td>
<td>0.8–3.6</td>
<td>En₆₈₋₇₉, An₄₂₋₅₀Wo₄₀₋₄₂, An₃₀₋₅₅</td>
<td>log/O₂ = −12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nash and Creer (1985)</td>
<td>70.9–75.0</td>
<td>775–880</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.2–0.6</td>
<td>An₃₄₋₄₂</td>
<td>log/O₂ = −10.5 to −11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagasawa and Schnetzler (1971)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>log/O₂ = QFM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okamoto (1979)</td>
<td>58.5–73.1</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>An₆₀₋₆₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philpotts and Schnetzler (1970)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schnetzler and Philpotts (1970)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>An₇₇₋₇₇</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zajacz and Halter (2007)</td>
<td>56.6–57.3</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Temperature, mineral compositions, and /O₂ estimates derived from the companion paper by Druitt and Bacon (1990). NR = not reported.
ecial (crushing) techniques to separate glass from crystals. An additional limitation of the phenocrystal/matrix technique is that only the outermost portion of the phenocrystal can be assumed to be in equilibrium with the surrounding melt phase and, if the crystal is zoned, the trace element concentration of interior portions of the crystal may be different than that of the outer portion, introducing errors into the estimated partition coefficient (Albarede and Bottinga, 1972). Perhaps the most significant shortcoming of the phenocrystal–matrix technique is the likely presence of included accessory phases within the phenocrystal (Michael, 1988; Sisson, 1991). Allanite, monazite, zircon, apatite, xenotime, sphene, ilmenite, and alkali feldspar are repositories of numerous elements of geochemical interest (e.g., REEs, Y, Hf, Zr, Th, and U). These phases, when present as inclusions, result in values for the incompatible trace element content of the phenocrystal phase that are higher than the actual values (Jain et al., 2001; Hancher and van Westrenen, 2007) and predict erroneously high $D_i$ values for incompatible elements. Thomas et al. (2002) suggested this process to explain differences between published $D_i$ and those obtained in their study to determine mineral–melt partition coefficients for zircon using the MIM technique. Modern analytical techniques with excellent spatial resolution allow inclusions to be avoided during analysis and thus eliminate this problem, but the majority of data in the literature for intermediate-felsic composition magmas are from earlier studies that did not have access to modern in situ microanalytical techniques.

Early experimental studies to investigate trace element partitioning often involved compositions with trace element concentrations significantly higher than natural levels to facilitate analysis using EPMA, instrumental neutron activation analysis, or thermal ionization mass spectrometry (Nagasawa, 1970; Fujimaki, 1986). These unnaturally elevated trace element concentrations raise questions about the applicability of Henry’s Law behavior to interpret the experimental results (Drake and Holloway, 1978). Today, it is not necessary to use unnaturally elevated trace element concentrations in experimental studies owing to the availability of microanalytical techniques such as SIMS, LA-ICPMS, PIXE, and synchrotron X-ray fluorescence (SXRF) that offer good spatial resolution, ppb to ppm detection limits and high precision and accuracy. Questions remain, however, concerning the results from studies that used compositions with trace element abundances several orders of magnitude above natural abundances (Forsterst et al., 1994). Bindeman and Davis (2000) reported that partition coefficients determined experimentally using REE- and Y-enriched concentrations may be 30–100% higher than partition coefficients derived from samples that had natural abundances of these elements. Another possible source of error in experimental studies is related to the rapid crystal growth rates that are often used. Rapid growth rates can produce zoned crystals (Bottinga et al., 1966; Kouchi et al., 1983; Tsuchiyama, 1985; Watson and Liang, 1995; Watson, 1996) and non-equilibrium distribution of trace elements between phases (Albarede and Bottinga, 1972; Henderson and Williams, 1979; Tsuchiyama, 1985).

Recent phenocrystal–matrix studies have utilized PIXE (Ewart and Griffin, 1994) and SIMS (Dunn and Sen, 1994; Sano et al., 2002) to measure partition coefficients. These techniques allow foreign mineral inclusions and MI to be avoided during the host analysis. Combined with imaging techniques, these microanalytical techniques provide a means to recognize and quantify compositional zonation within phenocrysts. However, if the glass surrounding the crystal does not represent the melt that the outermost portion of the crystal precipitated from, even these microanalytical techniques will produce incorrect partition coefficients. Proving chemical and textural equilibrium between phenocrysts and the immediately surrounding matrix glass in a volcanic rock is often difficult, if not impossible. Use of the MIM technique with glassy MI represents a significant improvement in our ability to determine trace element partitioning behavior because there is no ambiguity concerning the temporal relationship between the melt and crystal, and analyses that include only melt (glass) or crystal in the analytical volume are easily obtained using LA-ICPMS or other microanalytical techniques.

Differences in partition coefficients observed when comparing data from different studies could be the result of differences in temperature, pressure, $H_2O$ content of the melt, $fO_2$, or melt or host chemistry (Table 5). Previous studies (as summarized by Wood and Blundy, 2003) have shown that these factors can significantly affect partitioning behavior. Lowering the temperature will typically increase $D$ for most elements because it raises $\Delta S_r$ for the substitution of the trace element into the crystal structure (Wood and Blundy, 2002). This effect has been observed in earlier studies (Lindstrom and Weill, 1978; Ray et al., 1983; Aigner-Torres et al., 2007). The effect of pressure has not been studied in detail for crustal conditions. However, Green and Pearson (1983) found that increasing the pressure from 7.5 to 20 kbar resulted in a small increase in $D$ for REE in clinopyroxene. Increasing the pressure can change the interatomic distance by up to 0.05 Å for pressures up to 50 kbar (Cameron and Papke, 1980). However, it is difficult to separate the effects of pressure from clinopyroxene mineral chemistry because tetrahedral Al content is strongly dependent upon pressure (Colson and Gust, 1989). Addition of $H_2O$ to an anhydrous melt lowers the $D$ because it reduces the activity of all trace components in the melt (Wood and Blundy, 2002) and decreases the $\Delta G$ of melting of dissolved trace elements (Green et al., 2000). However, Adam and Green (1994) found little difference in $D_{REE}$ for clinopyroxenes in equilibrium with basanite melts containing 2.0 and 5.0 wt% $H_2O$, respectively. An additional factor affecting partitioning behavior is the chemical composition of the mineral. Previous studies have shown that many trace elements are strongly affected by either the wollastonite or Ca-Tschermak content (Jones and McKay, 1992; Gaetani and Grove, 1995), or the tetrahedral Al content (LaTourrette and Burnett, 1992; Beattie, 1993; Hauri et al., 1994; McKay et al., 1994; Lundstrom et al., 1998; Francis and Minarik, 2008) of clinopyroxene. Similarly, partitioning of Sr and Ba in plagioclase feldspar is strongly
Table 6
Comparison of mean partition coefficients from this study to four recent studies with similar magma compositions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CPX\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>CPX\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>CPX\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>CPX\textsuperscript{d}</th>
<th>CPX\textsuperscript{e}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sc</td>
<td>3.306</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34–0.89</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.532</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>2.877</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.096–0.291</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>&lt;0.87</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
<td>0.004–0.02</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.626</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OPX\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>OPX\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>OPX\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>OPX\textsuperscript{c}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>4.055</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>13.6–71.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003–0.007</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.068–0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.19–0.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44–1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.021–0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.075–0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.0027</td>
<td>&lt;0.78</td>
<td>0.54–0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.063–0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002–0.0023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.013–0.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.063–0.089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.059–0.086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.069–0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.15–0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.39–0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.52</td>
<td>0.028–0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PLAG\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>PLAG\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>PLAG\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>PLAG\textsuperscript{d}</th>
<th>PLAG\textsuperscript{e}</th>
<th>PLAG\textsuperscript{e}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.04–0.057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.038</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.19</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>2.422</td>
<td>2.7–3.5</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>7.6–7.8</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.066</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.083–0.18</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.10–0.18</td>
<td>0.0051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.01–0.033</td>
<td>&lt;1.3</td>
<td>0.31–0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.38–0.55</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.28–0.33</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.082–0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.045–0.069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.033–0.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.55–0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.034–0.044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dy</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.025–0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.012–0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.35–0.84</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Results from this study.
\textsuperscript{b} Dunn and Sen (1994); melt composition reported in Table 5.
\textsuperscript{c} Ewart and Griffin (1994); melt composition reported in Table 5.
\textsuperscript{d} Forsythe et al. (1994); melt composition reported in Table 5.
\textsuperscript{e} Zajacz and Halter (2007); melt composition reported in Table 5.
dependent upon the anorthite content because albite is more elastic than anorthite (Blundy and Wood, 1992).

We have compared our results to recent studies of partitioning that utilized microanalytical techniques to analyze glass and minerals (Dunn and Sen, 1994; Ewart and Griffin, 1994; Forsythe et al., 1994), and which involved minerals and/or melt compositions similar to those in this study (Table 6 and Fig. 8). The mineral compositions and temperatures in the study of Dunn and Sen (1994) are similar to those of the present study; however, the SiO₂ content of the melt in the study of Dunn and Sen (1994) (59.5 wt%; Table 5) was slightly lower than that of the melt in this study (~65 wt%; Table 2). Melts studied by Forsythe et al. (1994) have SiO₂ contents (~62–65 wt%; Table 5) similar to the melts in this study, but the clinopyroxene composition is Fe- and Al-enriched (Table 5) compared to CPX from this study. The study of Ewart and Griffin (1994) included a wider range of melt composition, from andesite to low-Si rhyolite, compared to the present study.

Forsythe et al. (1994) report data for Ti, Zr and Nb, and partition coefficients determined in this study overlap with the lower end of the range in values from their study (Fig. 8C). The higher values reported by Forsythe et al. (1994) are consistent with previous studies (Hart and Dunn, 1993; McKay et al., 1994; Lundstrom et al., 1998) which have shown that D_HFSE are higher in clinopyroxenes with higher Al₂O₃ content, compared to those with lower Al₂O₃. The results of Forsythe et al. (1994) are also similar

Fig. 8. Partition coefficients (D) for LILE, HFSE, and REE from this study calculated from data listed in Tables 1 and 2 compared to published values from Dunn and Sen (1994) for orthopyroxene (A) and plagioclase (B) and from Forsythe et al. (1994) for clinopyroxene (C). The diamond-shaped point represents the average value from this study, and the thin vertical line centered on the average represents the 2σ standard deviation. The bold horizontal line represents the range in values from the literature.
to partition coefficients reported by Ewart and Griffin (1994) (Table 6), suggesting that even though the experiments of Forsythe et al. (1994) involved trace element-enriched compositions, trace element partitioning showed Henrian behavior. The results may reflect inherent differences in the substitution of HFSE compared to REE in the crystal lattice (Bindeman and Davis, 2000).

Partition coefficients for orthopyroxene determined in this study are generally lower than those from Dunn and Sen (1994) (Fig. 8A), with the exception of Nb and La. Partition coefficients reported by Dunn and Sen (1994) for plagioclase (Fig. 8B) are also generally higher than those from the present study, with the exception of Y and Zr, although in many cases the values agree within 2σ. The consistently lower values for the partition coefficients for orthopyroxene and plagioclase would not have been predicted based on the lower SiO₂ content of the melt in the study of Dunn and Sen (1994). Rather, the White Island samples should show higher partition coefficients for all incompatible elements because these elements are generally enriched in more silicic magmas. The effect of the differing SiO₂ content may be offset by the more calcic composition of plagioclase at White Island, which averages An₇₀ compared to An₅₄–₆₈ for plagioclase reported by Dunn and Sen (1994). The White Island orthopyroxene is also slightly more magnesian, averaging En₇₁ compared to En₆₃–₇₀ reported by Dunn and Sen (1994).

A direct comparison with the results of Zajacz and Halter (2007) has not been attempted because the physical and chemical conditions pertaining to the two studies are very different. However, most of the $D_{REE}$ from this study are consistently higher than those from Zajacz and Halter (2007), consistent with the lower SiO₂ content of MI compared to the MI in this study. The lower $D_{Si}$ and $D_{Ba}$ for plagioclase reported by Zajacz and Halter (2007) may reflect the higher anorthite content of plagioclase in their samples.

The relationship between partitioning behavior for trace elements and ionic radii (Shannon, 1976) was first described by Onuma et al. (1968) and Jensen (1973). Wood and Blundy (1997) and Blundy and Wood (2003) presented a
quantitative model based on the modified Brice equation (Brice, 1975) that describes the relationship between trace element substitution in minerals and substitution-induced strain in the crystal structure (Lattice Strain Model – LSM). The LSM relates the partition coefficient of an element \( i (D_i) \), with a radius \( r_i \), to the partition coefficient of an element \( o (D_o) \) that has an ionic radius \( r_o \) equal to the ideal size of the crystallographic site of interest:

\[
D_i = D_o \exp \left( \frac{-4\pi EN_A \left( \frac{r_i - r_o}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{3} (r_i - r_o)^3}{RT} \right) \tag{2}
\]

In Eq. (2), \( E \) is the Young’s modulus of the site of interest, \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number, \( R \) is the gas constant, and \( T \) is temperature in K. Two different approaches were used to compare the partitioning data from this study with the Bryce model described above. In the first case, \( r_o, D_o, \) and \( E \) were completely unconstrained and their values were obtained by fitting a parabolic equation to the experimentally measured homovalent cation elements. In the second case, the Young’s modulus, \( E \), was constrained to a published value. Values of the Young’s modulus used to fit the data were 200 GPa for plagioclase (Wood and Blundy, 2003), 360 GPa for orthopyroxene (Wood and Blundy, 2003), and 276 GPa for clinopyroxene (Wood and Blundy, 2003). Initially a Young’s modulus of 86 GPa (Bedard, 2003) was selected for orthopyroxene, but this value resulted in unrealistic values for \( r_o \) of 0.63 Å and \( D_o \) of 6.75. For this reason the value of 360 GPa (Wood and Blundy, 2003) was chosen, resulting in a better fit to the data. In the unconstrained case, the fit only defines one limb of the parabola for plagioclase and orthopyroxene, whereas both limbs are defined for all three minerals with the constrained fit.

Partition coefficients predicted by the Brice lattice strain model using Eq. (2) for the \( 3^+ \) cations are plotted in Figs. 9–11 for plagioclase, orthopyroxene, and clinopyroxene, respectively, and the fitting parameters are listed in Table 7. These “Onuma plots” display the parabolic shape for \( 3^+ \) cations that is predicted by the lattice strain model. Clinopyroxene displays both limbs of the parabola and there is little difference between the unconstrained fit and the constrained fit. The two curves are indistinguishable and plot on top of one another (Fig. 11). However, because of the limited size range of cations analyzed here, \( 3^+ \) cations for orthopyroxene and plagioclase only define one limb of the parabola for the unconstrained fit (Figs. 9 and 10). The unconstrained model predicts incorrect values of \( r_o \) and \( D_o \) as a result of only having one limb of the parabola defined (Figs. 9 and 10). Wood and Blundy (2003) note that lattice strain parameters for \( 3^+ \) cations entering plagioclase are difficult to derive because \( r^{3+} \) is clearly larger than La\( ^{3+} \), meaning that one limb of the parabola is not well-defined. Previous workers have suggested that the apices of these parabolae lie at an ionic radius slightly larger than that for La in plagioclase (Aigner-Torres et al., 2007) and at approximately the ionic radius of Lu for orthopyroxene (Schwandt and McKay, 1998; Norman et al., 2005).

The Brice equation was not applied to the \( 2^+ \) cations in this study for several reasons. First, compared to the \( 3^+ \) cations, relatively few \( 2^+ \) cations were measured. Of these, \( Pb^{2+} \) deviates significantly from the predicted behavior because it is a non-spherical ion resulting from lone pairs of electrons, and Mn does not always fit the predicted model because of electronic effects (Wood and Blundy, 2003). Wood and Blundy (2003) also note that fitted \( r_o \) values for \( 2^+ \) cations have higher uncertainties compared to ions with higher charges.

6. SUMMARY

The MIM technique described by Lu et al. (1992) and Sobolev et al. (1996) and further developed by Thomas et al. (2002, 2003) and Zajacz and Halter (2007) has been applied to determine partition coefficients for Sc, Ti, V, Mn, Sr, Y, Zr, Nb, Ba, La, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Ho, Yb, Hf, and Pb between clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, plagioclase, and dacitic melt. Samples from the 1988 eruption of White Island, New Zealand, contain clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, and plagioclase that were all co-precipitating from the same melt that was trapped as MIs. Compositions of MIs do not vary with host phase, indicating that boundary layer and post-entrapment processes have not affected the MI compositions. All trace elements examined in this study except Sr are incompatible in plagioclase, and all measured trace elements are incompatible in orthopyroxene except for Mn. In clinopyroxene, Sc, V, and Mn are compatible, and Ti, Y, HREE, and the MREE are only slightly incompatible. Results from this study are consistent with published partition coefficients; however, our values typically lie at the lower end of the range of published values. These differences are thought to be due to either synthetic crystal growth rates that are too fast and/or unnaturally elevated trace element concentrations in the melt for experimental studies, or the presence of crystal zonation or mineral inclusions in natural phenocryst–matrix studies, or some combination of these factors. The partitioning data for \( 3^+ \) cations in clinopyroxene is consistent with the crystal lattice strain model derived by Wood and Blundy (1997) and Blundy and Wood (2003).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>( r_o (\text{Å}) )</th>
<th>( D_o )</th>
<th>( E ) (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPX (constrained)</td>
<td>1.010 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0.986 ± 0.114</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPX (unconstrained)</td>
<td>1.009 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.987 ± 0.123</td>
<td>275.0 ± 97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPX (constrained)</td>
<td>0.953 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.309 ± 0.115</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPX (unconstrained)</td>
<td>0.879 ± 0.198</td>
<td>0.636 ± 1.37</td>
<td>193.0 ± 216.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag (constrained)</td>
<td>1.169 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.079 ± 0.017</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag (unconstrained)</td>
<td>1.344 ± 0.484</td>
<td>0.231 ± 0.811</td>
<td>64.8 ± 119.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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