GLYCOLYTIC GENES FROM ESCHERICHIA COLI AS EVOLUTIONARY PROBES



LIAN C. LYONS-LOOI







Glycolytic Genes from Escherichia Coli as Evolutionary Probes

. Lian C. Lyons-Looi B.Sc.(Hons.)

A thesis submitted to the School of Graduate

Studies in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Department of Biochemistry

Memorial University of Newfoundland

September 1986

St. John's

Newfoundland

Permission has been granted to the National Library of Canada to microfilm this thesis and to lend or sell copies of the film.

The author (copyright owner) has reserved other publication rights, and neither the thesis norextensive extracts from it may be printed or otherwise reproduced without his/her written permission. L'autorisation a été accordée à la Bibliothèque nationale du Canada de microfilmer cette thèse et de prâter ou de vendre des exemplaires du film.

"D'auteur (titulaire du droit d'auteur) se réserve les autres droits de publication; ni la thèse ni de 'longs extraits de celle-oi ne doivent être imprimés ou autrement reproduits sams son autorisation écrite.

TSBN 0-315-45096-7

Abstract

Comparative studies based on the structure of ribosomes and their components suggested that there are three primary kingdoms: eubacteria, archaebacteria and urkaryotes. However, the validity of this hypothesis is being questioned. In order to resolve the evolutionary relationships among bacteria it is necessary to compare the primary structures of molecules other than those of the translational apparatus. The main objective of this project was to determine whether or not hybrid recombinant plasmids that contain sequences for glycolytic enzymes from Escherichia coli could be used to detect corresponding sequences in preparations of genomic DNA from distantly related organisms. Three plasmids from the Clarke-Carbon E. coli genomic library were chosen for this study. The plasmid pLC 16-4 contains the genes for triose-phosphate isomerase and phosphofructokinase, whereas it had been reported that pLC 10-47 and pLC>11-8 both contain the gene for enclase. Restriction endonuclease maps were constructed for these plasmids, and the location of the cloning vector was determined in each case by Southern blotting and probing with Col E1. Comparison of the restriction maps of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 indicated that there were no sequences in common in ' the genomic inserts of these plasmids. Subsequent studies at the protein level showed that only pLC 10-47 contains a gene coding for enclase. Genomic DNA was prepared from representative species of the Enterobacteriaceae, other gramnegative bacteria, gram-positive bacteria, and archaebacteria. The genomic DNA was subjected to Southern blotting and probed with radioactively-labelled pLC10-47 or pLC 16-4. The results from these hybridization studies indicated that genes encoding glycolytic enzymes in E. coli were not able to cross-react with DNA from species that had diverged from E. coli more than 40 million years ago. Therefore, the genes for glycolytic enzymes will not be of use as long range evolutionary probes.

Acknowledgements

Any task could hardly be completed without the help and assistance of other people.

I would like to thank Dr. W.S. Davidson my supervisor, for the help and supervison which he has so kindly provided throughout my research in his laboratory. His burst of enthusiasm, ideas and his willingness to discuss the project have made it possible for me to complete this thesis.

I would also like to thank the members of my supervisory committee, especially Dr. E. A. Barnsley for providing bacteria for this project and for allowing me to use the equipment in his laboratory, but most of all for his ability to read and get to the crux of my thesis so quickly and for providing valuable insights, suggestions and criticisms.

To people in Dr. Davidson's laboratory, I would like to express my appreciation for their friendship.

Last but not least to the school of Graduate Studies and NSERC, I would like to express my appreciation for providing funds for this project.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

1.1. The Origin of Life

1.2. Implications of the Fossil Record

1.3. Morphological Evolution

1.4. Molecular Evolution

1.5. The Evidence for Three Primary Kingdoms

1.6: How Good is the Evidence for Three Primary Kingdoms?

1.7. Can Glycolytic Enzymes and Their Genes Serve as Evolutionary 13 Probes!

R

10

11

15

15

15

17

17

17

10

10

20

20

20

22

22

23

23

24

25

25

25

28

28

34

2. Research Proposal

2.1. Purpose

2.2. Approach of Investigation

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

3.2. Strains and Plasmids

3.3. Growth Media and Buffers

3.4. Isolation of Plasmid DNA

3.5. Restriction Endonuclease Digestions

3.6. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

3.7. Growth of Prokaryotes

3.8. Isolation of Bacterial DNA

3.9. Southern Blotting

3.10. Nick Translation

3.11. Hybridization and Washing Conditions

3.12. Preparation of Extracts

3.13. Enolase Assay.

3.14. SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis 3.15. DEAE-Sephadex Chromatography

4. Characterization of pLC 16-4

4.1. Background

4.2. Results

4.2.1. Restriction Map of pLC 18-4

4.2:2. Location of the Cloning Vector on pLC 18-4

4.3. Discussion

· 4.3.1. Restriction map of pLC 16-4

	V	2
2	and a final sector shall be a first sector sec	
	4.3.2. The E. coli-Genés on pLC 18-4 38	
. 5.	Characterization of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 41	
	5.1. Background 41	
	5.1.1. Propeties of enclase 41	×.
× .	5.1.2. Enolase in E. coli	
	5.2. Results 43	
	5.2.1. Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 43	
10 A	5.2.2. Locations of the Cloning Vector Col E1 on pLC 10-47 and 47	
1000	pLC 11-8	
	5.2.3. Further Searches for Homologous Sequences 47	
	5.2.4. Possible Explanations for Results Obtained using Restriction 50	e.
	Endonucleases —	
÷.,	5.2.5. Search for Multiple Forms of Enclase in E. coli	
	5.2.6. Enclase Activity in Extracts of E. coli containing pLC 10-47, 52	
1.1	pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4	
	5.2.7. SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) of Extracts 52	
	of E. coli containing pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4	
an tin tanan Tana	5.3.4 Discussion 55	
	5.3.1. Enclase Genes 55	5
· ·	5.5.2. How Many Enolase Genes in E. cont. 55	
В,	Evolutionary Studies using pLC 16-4 and pLC 10-47 as 58	1
	Hydridization Probes	
	6.1. Background 58	
· · ·	B.2. Sequence Comparisons of Glycolytic Enzymes and Genes 61	
	6.2.1. Comparison of TPI Sequences 61	
	6.2.2. Comparison of Fraders Sequences 58	
1.1	6.2.3. Comparison of Enclase Sequences 72	
18 A.	8.4 Depulse 05 10-4 and pLO 10-47 as Evolutionary robes 82	
	8.4.1 Characterization of Canomia DNAs 85	
	6 4 2 Hybridization Studies: Enterobacteriaceae DNAs 85	
	6.4.2.1. Hybridization using K30 cloning vector as probe 85	
9 ^{6 6}	6.4.2.2. Hybridization with pLC 18-4 as Probe 88	
	6.4.2.3. Hybridization with pLC 10-47 as Probe 91	
	6.4.3. Hybridization Studies: Prokaryotic and Eukaryotic DNAs 91	
1	6.4.3.1. Hybridization with pLC 16-4 as Probe 94	٠
	6.4.3.2. Hybridization with pLC 10-47 as Probe 94 .	2
	6.5. Discussion 94	
	6.6. Conclusion 100 .	
7.	References 102	
. 8.	Appendix 1	
11.1		

List of Tables

UEPs for Several Proteins Table 1-1: Table 3-1: Sources of Bacteria 18 Table 3-2: Restriction Endonucleases and their Recognition Sequences 21 Table 4-1: Molecular Sizes and Distances Migrated by ' DNA 30 Digested with Hind III or Eco RI Table 4-2: A Summary of the Fragment Sizes Generated by 33 Restriction Digests of pLC 16-4 Summary of Restiction Digests of pLC-11-8 and pLC 10-47 Table 5-1: 45 Table 5-2: Enclase Activity of E. coli Containing Plasmids 58 Table 6-1: Per cent Amino Acid and Nucleotide Sequence Differences 67 of TPIs from E. coli (EC), B. stearothormophilus (BS), Yeast, Coelacanth (Coel), Chick, Rabbit and Human 71 4 Table 6-2: Per Cent Amino Acid Sequence Differences between E. coli, Bacillus stearothermophilus and Rabbit PFKs Table 6-3: Per Cent Amino Acid Sequence and Nucleotide Sequence

Differences between Yeast and Rat Enclases

List of Figures

	Figure 1-1:	Geological Era and the Fossil Record	2
	Figure 1-2:	Evolutionary Progression of Eukaryotes and Prokaryotes	. 5
	Figure 1-3:	Rates of Protein Evolution	8
	Figure 1-4:	Independent Evolution of Archaebacteria, Eubacteria and Urkarvotes	12
	Figure 4-1:	A Semi-logarithmic Plot of Molecular Weight Marker	· 31
	Flanna A 2.	Postsistion Endonucleuse Analysis of al C 16.4	1 20
	Figure 4-3	Four Site Man of nLC 18-4	25
	Figure 4.4.	A Restriction Endonuclease Man of pI C 16-4	30
	Figure 4.5:	A Restriction Man of ColsE1	37
	Figure 4-6:	Analysis of pLC 16-4 using Restriction Enzymes and	39
	Inguie 1-0.	Southern Blotting with the Cloning Vector K30 as	00
	Figure 5-1:	Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of pLC 11-8 and pLC	44
	Figure 5-2:	Restriction Endonuclease Maps for pLC-10-47 and pLC	46
~	Figure 5-3:	Search for Sequence Homology between pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8	48
>	Figure 5-4:	Restriction Digest Analysis of pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 and K30 using Four Base Recognition Endonucleases	49
	Figure 5-5:	Chromatography of E. coli JA200 in DEAE-Sephadex	51 -
	Figure 5-6:	SDS PAGE Analysis of Extracts of E. coli Carrying pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4	54
	Figure 6-1:	The Comparison of Amino Acid Sequences of TPI from	62
		E. coli, B. stearothermophilus, Yeast, Coelacanth, Chicken, Rabbit and Human	4
	Figure 6-2:	Nucleotide Sequences Comparison of TPI from E. coli, Yeast and Chicken	64
	Figure 6-3:	Amino acid sequence comparison of PFK from E.coli,	69
	131	-Bactitus stearothermophilus and fabbit	
	rigure 6-4:	Amino Acia Sequence Comparison of Yeast and Rat Enclases	13
	Figure 6-5:	Nucleotide Sequences of Enclase from Yeast and Rat	75

	Figure	6-6:	Comparison of the Amount of Sequence Differences at the Amino Acid and Nucleic Acid Level	1	33
	Figure	6-7:	Analysis of Prokaryotic Genomic DNAs by Gel	-	36
	Figure	6-8:	Electrophoretic Pattern of Genomic DNAs from	-	37 .
•	Figure	6-9:	Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA probed with	1	89
1	Figure	6-10:	Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA probed with	8	00
***	Figure	6-11:	Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA Probed with	1	02
	Figure	6-12:	pLC 16-4 Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA Probed with	1	93
	Figure	6-13:	Southern Blot of Genomic DNAs Probed with pLC 18-4		95
2	Figure	6-14:	Southern Blot of Genomic DNAs Probed with pLC 10-47		96

viii

List of Abbreviations

cpm DNA E. coli EDTA eno GAPDH

A,

kbp PAGE

PFK pfk rRNA SDS TEMED TPI tpi UEP

absorbance at x nm counts per minute deoxyribonucleic acid Escherichia coli ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid enolase gene ... glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase kilobase pairs polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis phosphofructo-kinase protein phosphofructo-kinase gene ribosomal ribonucleic acid sodium dodecyl sulphate' N.N.N',N'-tetramethylenediamine triose-phosphate isomerase protein triose-phosphate isomerase gene unit evolutionary period volts

Chapter 1

air .

Introduction

1.1. The Origin of Life

The origin of life has long been a matter of speculation. It is unfortunate that one may never be able to ascertain the truth. Even so, continuous research using a variety of approaches may provide valuable information upon which intelligent speculation may be based. For instance, it has been well established that our solar system, including the Earth, originated as early as 4.6 billion years ago and that the history of the Earth may be delineated by an orderly sequence of fossils that is used to assign geological eras and periods (Figure 1-I: Futuyma, 1983). The first evidence of biochemical reactions and cellular activity occurs in the Precambrian ers. The earliest Precambrian rocks are rich in unoxidized iron compounds that could not have formed in the presence of oxygen. This indicates that the atmosphere at that time lacked oxygen and ozone. Precambrian rocks dated 3.7 billion years old are somewhat different in that they contain peculiar layers of iron which almost certainly evince the presence of life (Futuyma, 1983). These rocks resemble deposits which are formed by iron-using bacteria. However, the oldest unquestionable traces of life are fossils of bacteria-like forms that were preserved in Swaziland (South Africa) sediments. Radioactive dating of these fossils indicates that they are between 3.2 to 3.5 billion years old (Dayhoff, 1978;



Figure 1-1: Geological Era and the Fossil Record

Source: Futuyma, 1983

Doolittle. 1980). These inhabitants of the earth were simple microscopic. organisms. Many of them were comparable in size and complexity to modern bacteria although the conditions under which these organisms lived differred greatly from those prevailing today. Fossils formed one billion years later indicate the presence of blue-green algae (cyanobacteria) which were capable of photosynthesis and the production of oxygen. Rocks produced during this period and since then reveal an oxygen-rich atmosphere. Therefore, it appears that prokaryotic cells were the first life-forms on Earth and that they dominated most of the Precambrian era.

1.2. Implications of the Fossil Record

Defining the time of the appearance of the first eukaryotes from the fossil record is difficult. This is because the criteria by which the carliest eukaryotes differred from their prokaryotic counterparts are few and in many cases open to debate. Schopf (1978) has listed, a series of criteria based on size, shape and morphological complexity that may be used to differentiate between eukaryotic and prokaryotic fossils. Structural characteristics of eukaryotes include branched filaments with internal cross walls, complex (e.g. flask-shaped) microfossils, large algal cysts, internal dense-bodies resembling the residues of eukaryotic organelles, and tetrads of cells, possibly representing the products of meiosis. The presence of these traits have been used to mark the origin of eukaryotes. Unforturnately, some of these presumed eukaryotic structures are dubious since in experiments performed with ultures of living blue-green algae Knoll and Barghoorn (1975) showed that an organelle-like mass appeared in degenerating blue-green algal cells. Ochler and co-workers (1078) have found that blue-green algae can form non-meiotic tetrads. These results cast doubt on the different dates that have been reported for the first appearance of the eukaryotic cells. Recently Vidal (1984) indicated that the origin of eukaryotes began 1.6 billion years ago in a form of green algae. It is reasonable then, to accept that a diversity of eukaryotic cells was present before 0.9 billion years ago, but animal fossils do not appear in profusion until the begining of the Cambrian period - 580 to 600 million years ago.

Based on comparative morphology, the fossil record seems to suggest that the prokaryotic genealogies are far more ancient than their eukaryotic counterparts. As a result it is generally accepted without other evidence, that eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotes. However, the questions of how and when this evolutionary event took place remain unanswered. The fossil evidence accumulated so far suggests that life is monophyletic: that is, all living organisms are descended from an ancient anserobic heterotrophic prokaryote, similar to that of a modern *Clostridium* (Fox *et al.*, 1980). The first eukaryotic cell was probably a unicellular alga, derived from a prokaryotic blue-green alga, and it was from this simple eukaryotic cell that higher plants arose. Algae that lost their photosynthetic ability evolved to form organisms such as protists, fungi and animals (Figure 1-2; Doolittle, 1980; 1982). The blue-green bacteria are viewed as the intermediate between the prokaryotes and "lower" photosynthetic eukaryotes and thus represent a steady evolutionary progression.



1.3. Morphological Evolution

A tacit assumption of the fossil record is that morphological changes reflect genomic changes. It is generally accepted that there is a relationship between morphological and genomic evolution, but morphological complexity may not in fact be an accurate index of the extent of genomic evolution (Schopf et al., 1975). This is supported—by evidence (reviewed by Wilson et al., 1977) that some vertebrates experience faster rates of phenotypic evolution than others. For example, frogs have remained essentially unchanged morphologically since the first frogs appeared whereas the present day mammals show a great variety of shapes compared with their common ancestor. Yet both frogs and mammals share the same rate of evolution at the protein level. It is difficult to assess the relative extent of genomic evolution of organisms from comparisons of morphological characteristics. One approach to investigate this coundrum has been to compare the sequences of specific gene products from different organisms.

1.4. Molecular Evolution

Many protein sequences have been determined and collected into a very useful, growing compendium: The Ailas of Protein Sequences and Structures (Dayhoff, 1978). Amino acid sequence comparisons of homologous proteins from different species revealed that the primary structures of proteins differ in a phylogenetically consistent manner. That is, the more closely related the species, the more similar are the amino acid sequences of their homologous proteins. Divergence times of organisms can be obtained from the geological record. Thus, the rates of amino acid substitution can be calculated for several proteins. It was

found that the rate of amino acid substitution is constant within a particular set of homologous proteins, but the rates are not necessarily the same for proteins having different functions (Wilson et al., 1977). This is illustrated in Figure 1-3. These observations form the basis for the concept of the "Molecular Clock" (Dickerson and Geis, 1969).

The rate of profein evolution is measured in terms of unit evolutionary period (UEP), i.e. the time in million of years required for one per cent change in amino acid sequence to accumulate between two divergent lineages. The greater the UEP, the slower the rate of change. It has been observed that there is a good correlation between the rates of molecular evolution of proteins and their biological functions. For example, fibrinopeptides evolve faster than cytochrome c. Fibrinopeptides have little known function after they are cut out of fibrinogen when it is converted to fibrin in a blood clot. Virtually any amino acid change that perms the peptides to be removed may be acceptable. On the other hand, cytochrome c interacts with macromolecules such as cytochrome oxidase and cytochrome c reductase. Its function is more specific, and any change in the structure that alters the function is detrimental. Therefore, cytochrome c is more conserved and its UEP is greater than that of fibrinopeptide. Table 1-1 shows the UEPs for several proteins.

Cytochrome c is found in mitochondria of nucleated cells and the protein has been used to examine the evolutionary relationships among eukaryotes. The amino acid sequences of cytochrome c have been determined for more than 35 species and a phylogenetic tree based on comparisons of these sequences has been



Protein	18	U.E.P. (million year	rs)	3		
Fibrinopeptide		- 1.1				1
Albumin		3 .				
Myoglobin		6				- 15
Cyctochrome c		15			12	
Triose-phosphate isomerase		19			٦	
GAPDH		20 -			- 2	
Histone 4		400				- ×,

Table 1-1: UEPs for Several Proteins

GAPDH denotes Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase

constructed (Dickerson, 1971). This phylogenetic tree is consistent with conventional taxonomic relationships that have been derived from classicalmethods such as comparative anatomy, embryology and paleontology. Thus, evolutionary data at the molecular level provide an invaluable tool for determining relationships among organisms. In particular, it is possible to predict when organisms last shared a common ancestor even in the absence of a fossil record. Although some prokaryotes contain a protein with similar function to the cytochrome c from eukaryotic mitochondria, it is not known whether these proteins are homologous. Therefore, cytochrome c cannot be used to determine the evolutionary relationships between prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

1.5. The Evidence for Three Primary Kingdoms

The ability to translate messenger RNA into protein is a common feature of all living organisms. Hence, molecules associated with the translational apparatus have been used to investigate the evolutionary origin of prokaryotes and cukaryotes. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) from the small ribosomal subunit was one of the first components to be investigated in detail (Woese and Fox, 1077). Comparative analyses of the structures of the 16S and 18S rRNAs from prokaryotes and eukaryotes involving an oligonucleotide cataloging approach were used to examine the phylogenetic relationships among these organisms. Each radioactively labelled rRNA was digested with ribonuclease T_1 and the resulting fragments were resolved by two-dimensional paper electrophoresis and then bequenced. Thus a catalog of oligonucleotide sequences characteristic of a specific organism was obtained. Association coefficients were calculated for all the pairwise combinations of these catalogs. Although the relationship between these

association coefficients and the actual number of nucleotide sequence differences is unknown, these values were used to construct dendrograms. The phylogeny that resulted from this analysis indicated that living systems can be categorized into three primary lineages: the eubacteria, the urkaryotes and the archaebacteria (Woese and Fox, 1977; Fox *et al.*, 1980). It appears that these lineages are equidistant from one another, and that they diverged independently from a common ancestor (Figure 1+4).

The eubacterial kingdom contains all the typical bacteria. It can be subdivided into the cyanobacteria, the gram-positive, and the gram-negative bacteria. The urkaryotes, the predecessor of the eukaryotes, are defined by the similarities in the structures of their cytoplasmic 18S rRNAs. Eubacteria and urkaryotes correspond to prokaryote and eukaryote in the conventional sense. The third kingdom, the archaebacteria, comprise methanogens, thermoscidophiles and halobacteria (for review, see Doolittle, 1980). This hypothesis has received support from independent studies on the three dimensional structures of ribosomal small subunits (Lake et al., 1982; Lake, 1983).

1.6. How Good is the Evidence for Three Primary Kingdoms?

Sequence comparisons of complete 16S or 16S-like rRNAs (18S) from organisms representative of the eubacteria, urkaryotes and archaebacteria revealed that the primary structure of the archaebacterial 16S rRNA is more similar to both its eubacterial and eukaryotic counterparts, than these two are fo one another (Gupta *et al.*, 1983). These results suggest that the rate of evolution in the archaebacterial lineage is slower than in the other two lineages, and that



Figure 1-4: Independent Evolution of Archaebacteria, Eubacteria and Urkaryotes

Source: Doolittle, 1980

the structure of the 185 rRNA in archaebacteria is closer to that of the common ancestor. One of the basic assumptions of phylogenetic studies at the molecular level is that the rate of evolution is constant along each lineage. Therefore, the comparison of the 185 rRNA sequences casts doubt on the dendrograms derived from the rRNA cataloging experiments.

Other studies on ribosomal components, namely comparisons of the aminofterminal sequences of ribosomal proteins (Yaguchi et el., 1982; Kimura and Langner, 1984), also question the relationships among the three primary kingdoms. These comparisons indicated that archnebacteria are more closely related to eukaryotes than to the eubacteria. Since the data accumulated from comparative studies on the molecules of the translational apparatus are insufficient to determine unequivocally the phylogenetic origins of prokaryotes and eukaryotes, molecules other those present in ribosomes should be examined. These macromolecules must satisfy the following criteria:

 the molecules must be ubiquitous in nature, that is be present in all organisms regardless of their taxonomic organization,

 they must be conserved molecules, with a slow but constant rate of evolution, and

 they should contain sufficient inherent information, derived from a common genetic locus, to allow a long range phylogenetic study.

1.7. Can Glycolytic Enzymes and Their Genes Serve as

Evolutionary Probes?

Glycolytic enzymes seem to satisfy the above criteria. The UEPs of the glycolytic enzymes examined to date, are surpassed only by those of histones (Wilson et al., 1977; Fothergill-Gilmöre, 1986; Table 1-1). This indicates that glycolytic enzymes are highly conserved. In addition, glycolytic enzymes are found in all organisms, and are easily isolated (Spring and Wold, 1971; Chin et al., 1981a). Many of the enzymes involved in this pathway have been investigated in great detail (Fothergill-Gilmore, 1986).

For example, the amino acid sequences of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) have been determined for a variety of eukarvotes including, human, pig, rat, chicken, lobster and yeast, and three prokarvotes (Thermus aqualicus, Bacillus stearothermophilus and Escherichia coli) (Milner et al., 1983; Branlant et al., 1983; Holland et al., 1983; Hocking and Harris, 1980;). These sequences are of similar length, approximately 333 amino acid residues, and their alignment clearly demonstrates that they are homologous proteins (Dayhoff et al., 1978). Sequence comparisons of the primary structures of GAPDHs from the above organisms showed that there is approximately fifty percent homology between the enzymes of prokaryotes and eukaryotes. This indicates that this glycolytic enzyme contains sufficient information to resolve the relationship among prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Moreover, the similarity in length of glycolytic enzymes among organisms provides an additional advantage over rRNAs in which the alignment of their sequences requires insertions and/or deletions to give maximum matching (Brimacombe, 1984). Thus, glycolytic enzymes and their genes should provide an excellent means for studying long range evolution.

Chapter 2

Research Proposal

2.1. Purpose

The main purpose of this project was to investigate the possibility of using cloned *E. coli* genes encoding glycolytic enzymes for long range evolutionary studies. Based on the available protein data, it was anticipated that the study of these genes would provide some clues to the phylogenetic relationships/within prokaryotes, and between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. This project was also designed to form the foundation for future studies concerning the regulation and expression of glycolytic genes in prokaryotes, and to determine how these prokaryotic genes differ from their eukaryotic counterparts.

2.2. Approach of Investigation

The source of the cloned *E. coli* glycolytic genes was the Clarke-Carbon clone bank. This clone bank was constructed by Clarke and Carbon in 1976. It is a genomic library which contains hybrid recombinant plasmids representing the entire *E. coli* genome. The plasmids were constructed by shearing total *E. coli* Kr12 (strain C3820: *H/rC*, *trpA58*, *metB,glyVeu58*) genomic DNA into fragments with an average size of 8.4 x 10⁶ daltons. These fragments were treated with A, 5'-exonuclease and then inserted into the Eco Ri site of the Col El cloning vector using poly dT-dA extensions. The resultant hybrid plasmids were transformed into another *E. coli* K-12 (strain JA200: F^+ , $\Delta trpES$, recA, thr, leu, lacY) (Clarke and Carbon, 1976). The hybrid plasmids pLC 16-4, pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 were selected for this project. These plasmids were first identified by Thomson *et al.* (1970) for their ability to complement *E. coli* mutations at the triosephosphate isomerase (*tpi*) locus (pLC 16-4) or in the enolase gene (pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8).

This project was divided into two sections. In the first part (Chapters 4 and 5) these three plasmids were characterized. This involved constructing restriction endonuclease maps, localing the position of the fector in each hybrid recombinant plasmid, and determining the approximate position of the glycolytic genes. The second part (Chapter 8) involved using the the cloned *E. coli* glycolytic genes to examine the extent of relatedness between organisms. Genomic DNA from representatives of the eubacteria, archaebacteria and eukaryotes was digested with restriction endonucleases and subjected to Southern blot analyses using the plasmids pLC 18-4 and pLC 10-47 as radioactively-labelled hybridization probes.

Chapter 3

Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Salmon sperm DNA, *Micrococcus lysodeikticus* DNA, *Clostridium* perfringens DNA, ribonuclease, proteinase K, and chemicals unless otherwise stated were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO., USA. Acrylamide, bisacrylamide, TEMED, and ammonium persulphate were BioRad products (BioRad Canada Ltd., Mississauga, Ont.). DEAE-Sephadex was bought from Pharmacia (Canada) Ltd., Dorval, Que. Restriction enzymes were obtained from Bethesda Research Labofatories (BRL) Inc., Gaithersburg, MD., USA. a³²P-dCTP and reagents for nick translation were bought from Amerisham, Oakville, Ont.

3.2. Strains and Plasmids

Cultures of Escherichia coli (JA200) and E. coli containing either pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4 were obtained from Dr. Barbara J. Bachmann at the E. coli Genetic Stock Center, New Haven, CT., USA. E. coli (WA802) harbouring Col El was a gift from Dr. L. Visentin, N.R.C, Ottawa, Ont. The names and sources of other organisms used in these studies are given in Table 3-1. Table 3-1: Sources of Backeria

R.	Organism 🖨	Source	1.	ATCC
	Salmenella cheleraesuis Salmenella tuohimurium	Biology Dept., Memorial University Biology Dept., Memorial University	5.8	12011 N/A
	Citrobacter freundii Shiqella sonnei	Biology Dept., Memorial University Biology Dept., Memorial University		8090 25931)
	Proteus mirabilis Enterobacter aerogenes	Biology Dept., Memorial University Dr. J. Wright, Memorial University		9240 E13048
8	Erwinia carotovora Serratia marcescens	Dr. J. Wright, Memorial University Dr. J. Wright, Memorial University		E 495 E13880
	Klebsiella pneumoniae Edwardsiella tarda	Biology Dept., Memorial University Dr. J. Wright, Memorial University	د	E13883 N/A
	Versinia enterocolitica Pseudomonas putida	Dr. J. Wright, Memorial University Dr. E. Barnsley, Memorial University		N/A N/A
	Bacillus subtilis Lactobacillus casei	Dr. E. Barnsley, Memorial University Biology Dept., Memorial University		E23856
	Halobacterium halobium Halobacterium volcanii	Dr. W.F. Doolittle, Dalhousie University Dr. W.F. Doolittle, Dalhousie University		N/A N/A

ATCC denotes American Type Culture Collection N/A denotes Not Available

3.3. Growth Media and Buffers

Yeast extract, bacto-tryptone and bacto-agar were purchased from British Drug House (Canada) Ltd., Halifax. The recipes for LB, M9 and other media are given in Appendix 1. The constituents of the commonly used buffers (e.g. 10 mM TE, SSC, TBE, Denhardt's solution) are described in Appendix 1.

3.4. Isolation of Plasmid DNA

A culture of E. coli containing the plasmid of interest was grown overnight at 37°C without shaking in 10 ml LB. 1 ml of the culture was used to inoculate 250 ml LB and the E. coli were grown with vigourous shaking at 37°C. When the Ason reached 0.5, chloramphenicol was added to a final concentration of 170 ug/ml and the culture was allowed to shake for another 12 to 15 hours. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and plasmid DNA was prepared according to the procedure of Maniatis et al. (1982), using the alkali/SDS lysis method. Covalently closed circular plasmid DNA was purified from crude extracts by equilibrium centrifugation in cesium chloride containing ethidium bromide. Plasmid DNA was extracted from the ultracentrifuge tube by puncturing the side with a 21 gauge needle, and drawing the solution into a syringe. Ethidium bromide was removed from the DNA by isoamyl alcohol extraction and the eesium chloride was removed by extensive dialysis against 10 mM TE. The purified plasmid DNA was recovered by ethanol precipitation at -20°C followed by centrifugation. The plasmid DNA was dissolved in 10 mM TE. The integrity of DNA preparations was checked by agarose gel electrophoresis.

3.5. Restriction Endonuclease Digestions

Table 3-2 shows the recognition sequences of the restriction endonucleases used in this project. Samples of DNA were digested overnight at 57°C in 30 ul reaction mixtures. Core buffer (supplied by the manufacturer) was used in all restriction endonuclease reactions except for Sma I when 20 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl, and 1 mM dithiothreitol was used.

3.6. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis

DNA fragments generated by restriction endonuclease digestion were separated by electrophoresis in 0.8 or 1.0% agarose gels. The buffer system used was TBE. After electrophoresis the gels were stained in 0.5 ug/ml ethidium bromide for half an hour and the DNA was visualized by ultra-violet transillumination (Ultra-violet Products, Inc., San Gabriel, California, U.S.A.). The results were recorded by photographing the gels using a Polaroid MP-4 acamera.

3.7. Growth of Prokaryotes

Bacterial cultures were started from single colonies from agar plates. Enteric bacteria and *Bacillus subtilis* were grown in LB at 37°C. *Pseudomonas* putida was grown in LB at 30°C. Species of Halobacteria were cultured at 37°C in broth containing a high concentration of salt (See Appendix 1).

Restrictio	n Endonuclease		Recognition Site				
Bam HI			G'GATCC			,	
Bgl I			GCCNNNN'NGGC			-	
Bgl II			A'GATCT	343 -		•	
Eco RI			G'AATTC				10
Нае Ш		٢.	GG.CC				
Hind III	× .		A'AGCTT				a 1
Hinf I			G'ANTG				
Kpn I			GGTAC'C				
Msp I		122	C'CGG				
Pst I			CTCGA G		1911		
Pvu'II			CAGCTG		1.12		
Sac I			GAGCT C				
SalI	a #	1.0	G'TCGAC				
Sma I	2	- ° - 2	CCC.GCC			Sec. 2	
TagI			T'CGA			A 14	100 ¹⁰
Xba I	2	1.00	T [*] CTAGA		. *	2 2	

Table 3-2: Restriction Endonucleases and their Recognition Sequences

denotes the site at which the endonuclease cleaves

3.8. Isolation of Bacterial DNA

的复数 的复数 化化学 化生产的

Bacterial cultures from the organisms listed in Table 3-1 were allowed to grow until stationary phase. The cells were harvested, lyzed and genomic DNA was isolated by the procedure of Marmur (1961). These crude preparations of DNA and Micrococcus lysodeikticus DNA and Clostridium perfringens DNA purchased from Sigma were dissolved in 10 mM TE and RNA was removed by the addition & heat-treated ribonuclease at a final concentration of 100 ug/ml. After incubating for 1 hour at 37 °C, the solution was adjusted to 0.5% SDS and 100-ug/ml proteinase K was added. The mixture was further incubated at 50°C for 2 hours and then deproteinized by extraction with an equal volume of phenol saturated with 50 mM Tris/HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS (pH 7.5). The aqueous and phenol phases were separated by centrifugation at 4.000x g for 15 minutes at 4ºC. The aqueous layer was adjusted to 0.2 M sodium acetate (pH 5.1), and the nucleic acids were precipitated by the addition of two volumes of ethanol. The purified DNA was dissolved in 10 mM TE and dialyzed extensively against the same buffer before use. 'This procedure yields high molecular weight DNA with an A280/A280 ratio of 1.8 ta 2.0

3.9. Southern Blotting

After agarose gel electrophoresis, DNA fragments were denatured in situ by soaking the entire gel in 300 ml of 0.5 M NaOH/1.5 M NaCl, with constant agitation at room temperature. The gels were incubated twice for 45 minutes. The gels were then neutralized in the presence of 0.5 M Tris/HCl, 3 M NaCl, pH 7.5 with agitation. This step was repeated twice for 30 minutes each time. After

22

N. 6. 6. 2. 2. 184

Second of a local second with the second
neutralization, the DNA fragments from plasmids were transfered to Genescteen (New England Nuclear, Boston, MASS., USA) using 10X SSC, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Method I, Catalog No. NEF-972). For Southern blotting of genomic DNA, Biodyne A nylon membranes (Pall Ultrafine Filtration Corperation, Glen Cove, N.Y.) were used. DNA fragments were fixed on to the filter's by baking the membrane for 2-4 hours at 80°C under vacuum.

3.10. Nick Translation -

Plasmid DNA was radioactively-labelled with $a^{32}P-dCTP$, using a nick translation kit purchased from Amersham. The reaction mixture was incubated at $10^{9}C$ for 90 minutes and the reaction was stopped by the addition of EDTA to a final concentration of 100 uM. Plasmid DNA was separated from unincorporated $a^{32}P-dCTP$ by chromatography in Sephadex G-50 in 10 mM TE containing 0.1% SDS. The DNA labelled using this method routinely had a specific activity of 10^{8} cpm per ug DNA.

3.11. Hybridization and Washing Conditions

and the States to so be seen at

The Genescreen filters were prehybridized in a solution containing 50% formamide, 5X SSC, 5X Denhardt's solution, 50 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8), 500 ug/ml salmon sperm DNA (sonicated, depurinated, single-stranded) and 1% glycine. Approximately 50 ul of the prehybridization solution per cm² 6f filter area was added to a sealable plastic pouch containing the GeneScreen. The pouch was heated, sealed and incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C with constant agitation for 24-36 hours. Hybridization was carried out in 25 ul of hybridization buffer per cm² of filter plus the radioactive probe (2500 cpm per unit cm area of the filter) at 42 $^{\circ}$ C

Line States

for 36 hours. The hybridization buffer consisted of 50% formamide, 5X SSC, 1X Denhait's solution, 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8) and 100 ug/ml salmon sperm DNA. After hybridization the filters were washed twice in 2X SSC, 0.1% SDS at room temperature for 30 minutes and then twice in 1X SSC, 0.1% SDS at 50 °C for the same period of time. The filters were dried at room temperature and subjected to autoradiography using Kodak X-Omat RP X-ray film (Kodak Canada, Inc., Toronto, Canada) for two to seven days, at -70 °C. A cassette with an intensifying screen (Dupont Cronex) was used to enhance the autoradiographic process.

3.12. Preparation of Extracts

E. coli was grown in M0 media, in the presence or absence of glucose. In the former case, glucose was added at a final concentration of 0.4%. Crude extracts were prepared from *E. coli* (JA200) alone and from *E. coli* (JA200) containing pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4. Cell density was monitored by measuring the absorbance at 600 nm.⁴ The cells were harvested in the midlog phase of growth by centrifugation, and the cells were resuspended in 50 mM imidazole/HCl, pH 6.8 containing 10 mM MgCl₂. Toluene was added to the suspension to a final concentration of 1% to aid in lysing the cells. Further lysis was completed by two minutes of sonication at 40 watts, using a Sonificer cell disruptor (Heat Systems, Ulltarsonics Inc., Plainview, N.Y). The cells were sonicated for 30 seconds, followed by cooling on ice water for one minute. The sonication and cooling steps were repeated four times. After sonication the cell extract was centrifuged for 10 minutes at 12,000x g at 4 0 C, and the clear supernatant was used for enolase wasays.

3.13. Enclase Assay

Enclase activity was measured by following the increase in the absorbance at 240 nm using a PYE UNICAM SP8-100 UV/Vis spectrophotometer. The assay mixture consisted of 50 mM imidatole/HCl, pH 6.8, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 2 mM of 2-phosphöglyceric acid.. The protein concentration of an extract was estimated from its absorbance at 280 nm. Specific activities are given in terms of the change in absorbance at 240 nm per minute per ml of extract with an absorbance at 280 nm of 1.

3.14. SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

Crude extracts of cultures, prepared as described in Section 3.12, were subjected to electrophoresis under denaturing conditions in 10% polyacrylamide gels using the procedure of Laemlli (1970). Bovine serum albumin, rabbit enolase, chicken lactate dehydrogenase and chymotrypninogen were used as standards. Gels were standed with 0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250 in 1.75 % perchloric acid for two hours and then destained in 7% acetic acid.

3.15. DEAE-Sephadex Chromatography

Crude extracts prepared as described above were dialysed overnight at 4°C against 2000 volumes of 10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.5. The dialyzed extract was applied to a column (1.5 gm x 20 cm) of DEAE-Sephadex equilibrated with 10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.5. The column was washed with 50 ml of this buffer and then a linear gradient (0 to 500 mM) (5 mM per ml) of NaCl in 10 mM Tris/HCl, pH 8.5 was applied. After the gradient was completed, 1 M NaCl in Tris/HCl, pH 8.5 was applied to the column. Tractions were tested for enolase activity using the standard assay and protein was monitored by following the absorbance

at 280 nm.

Chapter 4

Characterization of pLC 16-4

4.1. Background

Triose-phosphate isomerase (TFH) [E.C. 5.3.1.1.] catalyzes the interconversion of dihydroxyacetone-phosphate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate. This protein is one of the best characterized glycolytic enzymes. The amino acid sequence of this protein has been determined from eukaryotes such as human, rabbit, chicken, coelacanth, and yeast, (Dayhoff, 1978; Lu et al., 1984) and from a prokaryote, Bacillus stearothermophilus (Artavanis-Tsakonas and Harris, 1980; Kolb, 1980). Comparisons of the amino acid sequences showed that TPI is a highly conserved protein, suggesting that all TPI genes are descendents of a single primordial gene (Straus and Gilbert, 1985).

From genetic mapping of the *E. coli* chromosome, it is known that the genes encoding TPI and phosphofructo-kinase (PFK) are tightly linked to one another. The chromosomal location of the tpi locus is 87.8 min and the p/k A gene maps at the 87.7 min region of the chromosome (Bachmann, 1980). Thomson et al. (1979) have shown that the hybrid recombinant plasmid pLC 16-4 from the Clarke-Carbon clone bank (Clarke and Carbon, 1976) complements both TPI and PFK phenotypes. Therefore, it was decided to use pLC 16-4 as a source of the gene encoding TPI and PFK from *E. coli* to examine the extent of sequence homology at this locus among prokaryotes and eukaryotes. It was hoped that the information derived from these studies would also indicate whether or not it would be feasible in the future to use hybrid plasmids containing *E. coli* glycolytic genes as probes to isolate the genes for glycolytic enzymes from archaebacteria. Before the recombinant plasmid pLC=16-4 could be used for this evolutionary study it was necessary to characterize the plasmid. A restriction endonuclease map was constructed using six base recognition enzymes. The location of the cloning vector Col E1 in pLC 16-4 was determined by comparing the restriction maps of pLC 16-4 and Col E1, and by probing the recombinant plasmid pLC 16-4 with radioactively-labelled Col E1.

4.2. Results

4.2.1. Restriction Map of pLC 18-4

The restriction endonuclease map for pLC 18-4 was constructed from an analysis of the fragment sizes produced by single, double, and triple digests of pLC 18-4. The number of fragments produced by a restriction enzyme depends on the number of restriction sites it recognizes. For a circular DNA, the number of fragments generated by a single enzyme is the same as the number of cleavage sites made by the enzyme whereas in a double digestion, the total number of fragments details details the number of fragments generated by the first enzyme plus the number of fragments produced by the second enzyme.

DNA fragments travel through agarose gel matrices at rates that are

inversely proportional to the \log_{10} of their sizes (Helling *et al.*, 1974). Thus, a larger fragment migrates at a slower rate than a smaller fragment. A plot of $\log_{10}(\operatorname{size}$ in base pairs) versus distance migrated by standard markers enables the approximate size of the unknown fragments to be estimated (Table 4-1; Figure 4-1). Knowing the distance travelled by the DNA fragment of interest, then its size can be determined from the standard plot.

The strategy used for constructing a restriction map was as follows (Refer to Figure 4-2 and Table 4-2): Bgl II (lane 2) cuts the plasmid pLC 16-4 at two sites to generate fragments that migrated 46 mm and 109 mm from the point of application. These distances correspond to sizes of 11.5 kbp and 2 kbp respectively. When a double digest using Eco RI and Bgl II was carried out (lane 3), three fragments with sizes 11.5, 1.4 and 0.6 kbp were produced (the 0.6 kbp fragment was too small to be seen on this gel, Figure 4-2). Table 4-2 summarizes the sizes of the restriction fragments of the digest in Figure 4-2.

This showed that Eco RI cleaves the $\hat{2}$ kbp (Bgl II - Bgl II) to give the two smaller fragments (Figure 4-3(b)]. A triple digest using Bgl II, Eco RI and Hind IIF (lane 4) generated four fragments, indicating that Hind III cuts the 11.5 kbp (Bgl II - Bgl II) into 7.5 and 4.0 kbp. The two possible permutations of this digest are as shown in Figure 4-3(c) and (d). The relative orientation of the regitriction sites was achieved by examining the Hind III/Eco RI double digest. This produced two fragments of size 8.0 and 5.4 kbp (Figure 4-3(e)). Therefore, as illustrated in Figure 4-3, the 5.4 kbp Eco RI - Hind III fragment must contain a Bgl II site : 1.4 kbp from the Eco RI and 4.0 kbp from the Hind III site i.e. Figure

distance (mm)			• size (kbp)				
DNA/Hind III				-			
•	37					23.7	
	48					9.5	
	57					6.7	
	69					4.3	
	101					2.3	
	109			1		2.0	
DNA/Eco RI							
	37	1.0		4		.21.8	1.0
	53					7.6	
	60				22	5.9	
·	64				÷	5.2	
	78		1.00	100		3.4	
	P .	•		08.15.	a - 1		

Table 4-1: Molecular Sizes and Distances Migrated by λ DNA Digested with Hind III or Eco RI

The distance migrated by the DNA fragments was measured in millimeters.

(mm) from the point of origin i.e. where the DNAs were first loaded in

the wells.

30

Figure 4-1: A Semi-logarithmic Plot of Molecular Weight Marker versus Distance Migrated on an Agarose Gel

The markers used were generated by digesting λ DNA with Hind III or Eco RI, and their sizes and the corresponding distances travelled are listed in Table 4-1.



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11



Figure 4-2: Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of pLC 16-4 The gel was electrophoresed at 100 V, in TBE buffer. Lanes 2-10 correspond to pLC 16-4 digested with : (2) Bgl II, (3) Bgl II/Eco RI, (4) Bgl II/Eco RI/Hind III, (5) Hind III/Eco RI, (6) Bgl II/Hind III, (7) Bgl II/Sac I, (8) Bgl II/Sac I/Hind III, (9) Sac I/Hind III, (10) Hind III. Lanes 1 and 11 correspond to λ DNA/Hind III and λ DNA/Eco RI markers respectively.

32

1.	•	/ Digests	of pLC 16-4			
lestriction Enzy	mes Siz kt	e (Distance p mm)		,	!
gl II gl II/Eco RI gl II/Eco RI/Hi ind III/Eco RI gl II/Hind III gl II/Sac I	11. 11. nd II 7.	5 (46), 2.0 5 (46), 1.4 5 ⁶ (52), 4.0 0 (73), 5.5 5 (52), 4.0 9 (48), 3.0	(109) (128), 0.6 (71), 1.4 (60) (71), 2.0 (83), 2.0	(128), 0.6- (109) (109)		-
gl II/Sac I/Hin ac I/Hind III hind III	4 III 8. 12. 13.	3 (51), 3.0 5 (44), 1.0 5 (43)	(83), 2.0	(109)	•	Ť,
ee Figure 4-2 for t	he gel corres	oonding to the	ese data	· · · ·	î, r	d i i
	. А., ^с .	•	1	1. 		$\{x\}$
1		•		с. 1 ⁹	1	с.
. • - '	ć	à		 	4	
		9. 				į.
	·.		`		÷.,	
	•	5 ×		2	٠	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		17		

4-3(d) has the correct fragment size and orientation. In a similar manner, other endonucleases were mapped on pLC 16-4 (Figure 4-4).

4.2.2. Location of the Cloning Vector on pLC 16-4

The hybrid plasmid pLC 16-4 contains a piece of *E. coli* DNA insert, ligated into the cloning vector Col E1 by poly dA - dT tailing. The location of the cloning vector in pLC 16-4 was determined by a combination of restriction mapping and Southern blotting using radioactively-labelled Col E1 as the hybridization probe.

Dr. L. Visentin, N.R.C., Ottawa very kindly provided a restriction map for Col E1 (Figure 4-5). Col E1 has a unique site for Sma I. As pLC 16-4 was found to have only one Sma I site, this suggested that the unique Sma I restriction site is located in the region containing the vector, Col E1. Using this recognition site as a reference position, together with three Pst I and Pvu II recognition sites obtained from the Col E1 restriction map constructed by Dr. Visentin, the map for pLC 16-4 was established (Figure 4-4).

To locate the position of the cloning vector, Col E1, Southern transfer in conjunction with DNA-DNA hybriditation was used. The Col E1 plasmid was used as a ³²P-labelled probe to identify the location of the cloning vector on the restriction map. The plasmid pLC 16-4 was first digested with various restriction enzymes, as seen in Figure 4-8(a). The fragments generated were then transferedto Genescreen and hybridized with radioactively-labelled K30 (cloning vector) probe. The probe being homologous to the cloning vector annealed to fragments

34

Figure 4-3: Four-Site Map of pLC 16-4

B, E and H denote restriction sites of Bgl II, Eco RI and Hind III

respectively













Source: Dr. L. Visentin

of pLC 16-4 DNA containing the vector. These fragments were visualized by autoradiography (Figure 4-6(b)). For instance, the 1.4 kbp fragment of the pLC 16-4 digested with Pvu II (lane 4) or with Pvu II/Hind III (lane 13) is derived from the cloning vector. A fragment of the same size was seen when the cloning vector K30 was digested with Pvu II (lane 2).

4.3. Discussion

The electric constant with the state of

4.3.1. Restriction map of pLC 16-4

The size of pLC 16-4 is approximately 13.5 kbp, with the *E. coli* genomic DNA insert being 6.5 kbp. The restriction enzymes Hind III, Sac I and Kpa I each has a unique size on the genomic fragment, and Sma I cuts the cloning vector, once. During the course of this project three other laboratories independently worked on and published data on this plasmid. The restriction map for pLC 16-4 shown in Figure 4-4 is consistent with those generated by Shimosaka *et al.* (1982), Pichersky *et al.* (1983), and Hellings and Evans (1985).

4.3.2. The E. coli Genes on pLC 18-4

The approximate positions of the tpi and p/k A genes on pLC 16-4 were determined by subcloning fragments into pBR322 (Shimosaka *et al.*, 1982). Shimosaka and co-workers (1982) showed that tpi and p/k A genes can be transcribed independently, in spite of the fact that they are closely linked to one another. Their results also indicated that an Eco RI site is located in the p/k A gene region since this endonuclesse abolished the PFK activity. The tpi gene is located in the 0.9 kb Pst I fragment, and its nucleotide sequence has also been

38

and and have & have

" and the second second of a second second second to be a second second second second second second second sec

Figure 4-6: Analysis of pLC 18-4 using Restriction Enzymes and Southern Blotting with the Cloning Vestor K30 as Radioactively-Labelled

(a) Lane 1 and 2 correspond to K30 digested with Pst I and Pvu II respectively. Lane 3-13 are restriction digest of pLC 18-4 with (3) Pst I, (4) Pvu II, (5) BgI I, (6) BgI I/BgI II, (7) BgI I/BgI II/Hind III, (8) BgI I/Hind III, (9) BgI I/Hind III, (10) BgI II, (11) Hind III, (12) Pst I/Hind III, (13) Pvu II/Hind III. Lane 14 corresponds to 3 DNA-Hind III marker.

(b) Autoradiograph of Southern Blot of (a) Probed with ³²P-labelled Col E1.



10 11 12 13 14 • ~ į n

39a

determined (Pichersky et al., 1984). The enfire region between the genes encoding TPI and PFK has now been sequenced (Hellinga and Evanis, 1985). Two other genes have been identified between the glycolytic genes; they are (i) sbp, a gene for a periplasmic sulphate-binding protein, and (ii) cdh, a gene for the membrane-bound enzyme, CDP-diglyceride hydrolase.

Chapter 5

Characterization of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8

5.1. Background

5.1.1. Properties of enolase

2-Phosphoglycerate dehydrase, more commonly known as enolase; [E.C. 4.2.1.11) catalyzes the dehydration lo D-2-phosphoglycerate to phosphoenologruvate. As one of the glycolytic enzymes acting on three carbon compounds, enclase is found in the entire spectrum of biological forms (Spring and Wold, 1971). This enzyme has been isolated from more than 20 different sources: mammals (Wold, 1971); fish (Pietkiewicz et al., 1983); plants (Miernyk and Dennis, 1984); yeast (Chin et al., 1981a) and bacteria (Spring and Wold, 1971) including an extreme thermophile (Stellwagen et al., 1973). Because of its requirement for metal ions for proper catalytic function, enclase is often referred to as a metal-activated metalloprotein. Enolases isolated from different sources exhibit remarkably similar metal binding, metal activation and substrate specificity. In addition, it appears to have been preserved through evolution as a dimer (with the exception of certain thermophilic bacteria). Therefore, enclase should be ideal for studying evolutionary relationships between species.

5.1.2. Enclase in E. coli

The nucleotide sequences of the two yeast enclase genes have been determined (Holland *et al.*, 1981). The predicted amino acid sequences of the enclases encoded by these genes differ at only 20 of the 436 amino acid residues suggesting that these genes are the result of a recent duplication event. In addition, these genes are expressed differentially depending upon the carbon source in the media (McAlister and Holland, 1982). In contrast, only one form of enclase has been found in *E. coli* (Pfleiderer *et al.*, 1966; Spring and Wold, 1971). This is consistent with the *E. coli* chromosome containing a sin (enc) for enclase (Irani and Maitra, 1974; 1976), which appears to be constitutively expressed (Frankel and Vincoal, 1973). Thomson *et al.*, 1979).

Two hybrid recombinant plasmids (pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8) isolated from the Clarke-Carbon E. coli genomic clone bank (Clarke and Carbon, 1976) have been found to complement a strain of E. coli with a point mutation in its enclase gene (Thomson et al., 1979). As a start to comparing the structure and regulation of the E. coli enclase gene with its yeast counterparts, restriction endonuclease maps for pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 were constructed.

5.2. Results

5.2.1. Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8

Since only one locus has been identified for enclase on the *E. coli* chromosome, the working hypothesis was that the hybrid plasmids pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 both contain the *E. coli* enclase gene. If this were the case, these hybrid plasmids would represent overlapping clones of the *enc* locus. Therefore, there should be a region in the genomic DNA inserts which is common to both plasmids and this region should contain the enclase gene. In order to locate this region of homology, pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 were digested with restriction endonucleases that have six base pair recognition sequences and the fragment patterns were compared after separation by electrophoresis on agarose gels. Table 5-1 shows the results of these digests. The only fragments from pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 that comigrated were pieces of DNA that came from the cloning vector Col E1 (Table 5-1; Figure 5-1). For instance, the 1.2 kbp and 1.4 kbp fragments generated from Pst I and Pvu II digests respectively were seen in all the plasmids. DLC 10-47 - JLC 11-8 and the K30 vector.

Since it was possible that pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 overlapped by only a very small region, a series of double and triple digests were carried out. These data were used to construct restriction endonuclesse maps for both plasmids (see Section 4.2.1 for an explanation of the methodology employed). The maps are shown in Figure 5-2.



Figure 5-1: Restriction Endonuclease Analysis of pLC 11-8 and pLC 10-47 Bg, Bl, Ps and Pv denote Bgl I, Bgl II, Pst I and Pvu II respectively.

-			· · · · ·	
Restriction				
enzymes	pLC 10-47	pLC	11-8	(*)
Bam HI	19.3, 1.7	18	4	
Bgl I	12.0, 5.6, 2.8, 0.6	18	¥	
Bgl II	21.0	15.0. 2.	3, 1.35	
Eco RI	21.0	NC		
Hind III	21.0	NC		1
Kpn I	21.0	NC		
Pst I	12.0, 4.8, 1.9, 1.2, 0.6,	8.1. 5.3	1. 2.6. 1	.2. 0.95
•	0.4			
Pvu II	6.7, 5.2, 2.6, 1.4, 1.1,	4.7. 3.8	3. 3.1. 1	2.0. 1.8.
	0.8	1.4. 0.3	35	
Sal I	14.5, 3.6, 1.8, 1.0	NC	1 × 1	N
Sma I	11.8, 9.7	18.5. 2	5	- 10 - 10 - ²
Xba I	21.0	NC		2 ¹⁰ - 3
	×.	. 1		· · ·

Table 5-1: Summary of Restiction Digests of pLC 11-8 and pLC 10-47

NC denotes no restriction site

17

The numbers denote the fragment sizes, measured in kilobase pairs (kbp)



Figure 5-2: Restriction Endoauclease Maps for pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 The boxed regions correspond to the cloning vector, and the thin line represents the *E. coli* genomic DNA insert. The symbols used are : Bam HI (f), BgI I (f), BgI II (f), Eco RI (f), Hind III (f), Kpn I (f), Pst I (f), Pvu II (f), Sma I (f).

5.2.2. Locations of the Cloning Vector Col E1 on pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8

The location of the cloning vector in each plasmid was determined by Southern blot analyses using Col E1 as the radioactively labelled probe. The Sma I, Pst I, and Pvu II sites on Col E1 were known (see Figure 4-5) and they were used in the final construction of the restriction maps of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8. Comparisons of the restriction endoauclease maps of pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 revealed no regions of homology apart from the cloning vector.

5.2.3. Further Searches for Homologous Sequences

The plasmid pLC 11-8 was digested with with several restriction enzymes and analyzed by Southern blotting using pLC 10-47 as the probe. The patterns (Figure 5-3) were identical to those produced by probing with Col EI alone. In addition, when pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8, and Col E1 were digested with Has III, Hint I, Msp I, or Taq I (enzymes that recognize four base pairs) the only fragments in common to pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 were also present in the Col E1 digest (Figure 5-4). These results confirmed that, although it has been reported that pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 both complement the same enolase mutant, these plasmids do not share any sequences of *E. coli* DNA.



Figure 5-3: Search for Sequence Homology between pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 The restriction fragments of pLC 11-8 were separated on 0.8% agarose

gel, transfered to Genescreen and then hybridized with

radioactively-labelled pLC 10-47.

Lanes 1-11 correspond to restriction digest of

pLC 11-8 using the following enzymes : (1) Pst I, (2) Pst I/Bgl I,

(3) Pst I/Bgl I/Bam HI, (4) Pst I/Bam HI, (5) Bgl I/Bam HI, (6) Bgl I,

(7) Bam HI, (8) Bam HI/Pvu II, (9) Bam HI/Pvu II/Bgl I, (10) Pvu II/Bgl I.

(11) Pvu II. Lane 12 corresponds to pLC 10-47 digested with Pvu II.

48



Figure 5-4: Restriction Digest Analysis of pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 and K30 using Four Base Recognition Endonucleases

5.2.4. Possible Explanations for Results Obtained using Restriction

Endonucleases

It was obvious from the above results that pLC 10²47 and pLC 11-8 do not share any common insert from the *E. coli* genomic DNA. This seemed to suggest two possibilities :

1. Like eukaryotes, *E. coli* harbors more than one enolase gene, and pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 plasmids carry different enolase genes,

2. There is only one enclase gene in *E. coli*. In this case, either the plasmid pLC 10-47 or pLC 11-8 contains this gene.

5.2.5. Search for Multiple Forms of Enclase in E. coli

In order to determine if there is more than one enclase gene in *E. coli*, the enclase gene product was examined. *E. coli* JA200 grown in the presence or absence of glucose were used to investigate if the enclase gene was differentially expressed as is observed in yeast. Sonicated erude extracts from exponentially growing cells were dialyzed and then chromatographed on DEAE-Sephadex. The enzymes were eluted using a linear salt gradient. Figure 5-4 shows that the elution profiles of enclase activity were identical for *E. coli* grown in the presence or absence of glucose. The presence of only one peak of enzyme activity in each case is consistent with there being only one enclase gene in *E. coli* and this gene not being differentially expressed.

The presence of a single peak of enzyme activity indicates that only one plasmid, either pLC 10-47 or pLS 11-8 contains the gene. The ability of one of the plasmids to complement the *eno* mutation could be via another genetic locus and there is a precedent for this phenomenon (Clarke and Carbon, 1978).



and a state of the second state of the second

Figure 5-5: Chromatography of E. coli JA200 in DEAE-Sephadex Crude extracts of E. coli were chromatographed on

DEAE-Sphadex. The protein was eluted using a salt gradient.

a additionable interest of additional energy and provide a construction of a statistic rest of the same of the solution of the second of the s

52

5.2.6. Enclase Activity in Extracts of E. coli containing pLC 10-47,

pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4

In order to find out which plasmid carries the faolase gene, enolase activity in *E*, coli strains carrying the plasmids pLC 16-4, pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8, and those without plasmid were assayed. The relative specific enolase activity for strains harboring pLC 16-4 or pLC 11-8 were similar to that of the host strain alone (Table 5-2). However, *E. coli* carrying pLC 10-47 contained 26 fold more enzyme activity than the rest of the strains. The increase in enzyme activity in cells containing pLC 10-47 was attributed to the presence of the enolase gene on the plasmid, and this is equivalent to a gene dosage effect. This result strongly suggests that the hybrid plasmid pLC 10-47 contains the enolase gene.

5.2.7. SDS Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) of Extracts of

E. chircontaining pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4

SDS PAGE analysis of crude protein extracts from E. coli JA200 cells carrying pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8, pLC 16-4 or without plasmid showed that the former cells but not the liftier three, synthesized large amounts of a protein with an apparent molecular weight of approximately 45,000 daltons, which comigrated with the rabbit enclase (Finare 5-5). As enclase in E. coli consists of two identical subunits with a molecular weight of 45,000, this further supports the hypothesis that only pLC 10-47 contains the E. coli enclase gene.

E. coli	Plasmid		Glucose	Enclase Activity	
JA200	none		·	0.48	
JA200	none		+ `	1.0	
JA200	pLC 16-4		+	1.2	
JA200	pLC'11-8		+	0.9	
JA200	pLC 10-47		+	26.0	
	- /				

53 Table 5-2: Enclase Activity of *E. coli* Containing Plasmids

The values of the enclase activity are relative to the activity of E.

coli JA200 which did not carry any plasmid.



Figure 5-6: SDS PAGE Analysis of Extracts of E. coli Carrying pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 or pLC 16-4

Extracts of *E. coli* containing pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 and pLC 16-4, and those without plasmids lane C were subjected to electrophoresis in a 10% polyacrylamide-SDS gel and stained for protein. The molecular weight markers used were: lane A, bovine serum albumin (68,000) and chicken lactate dehydrogenase (35,000); lane B, rabbit enolase (45,000) and chymotrypsinogen (25,000).
5.3. Discussion

5.3.1. Enolase Genes

Eukaryotic organisms possess at least two genes for enclase. In mammals these genes are expressed in different tissues (Wold, 1071) and in plants the enclases may be compartmentalized in different organelles (Miernyk and Dennis, 1984). In yeast there are two enclase genes which arose by a recent gene duplication and they are known to be differentially expressed depending on the carbon source (McAlister and Holland, 1982). Since so much is now known about yeast enclase genes, comparisons of these genes with the corresponding gene in *E.* coli may yield insights into some of the differences in the regulation of "housekeeping" genes in prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

5.3.2. How Many Enclase Genes in E. coli?

Thomson *et al.* (1979) isolated several hybrid recombinant plasmids that complement *E. coli* glycolytic mutants. Among these are pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 which complement a point mutation at the eno-2 locus. Classical genetic studies (Irani and Maitra, 1974; 1978) indicate that there is only one enolase locus in *E. coli* and there is no evidence for more than one active form of the enzyme (Pfleiderer *et al.*, 1988). These results indicate that both pLC 10-47 and pLC 11-8 should contain the single *E. coli* enolase game. However, when the extent of DNA sequence homology in the *E. coli* genomic inserts of these two plasmids was compared, none was found.

Protein separation on DEAE-Sephadex using crude extracts from E. coli

- 55

and the subsequent enclase assay of each fraction showed one sharp peak of enzyme activity. The presence or absence of glucose in the growth media does not seem to effect the expression of enclase in $E. \, coli$. The enclase was eluted at approximately the same fraction, and the specific enzyme activity was the same for both cases. This suggests that enclase gene in $E. \, coli$ is not differentially expressed as has been observed in yeast, and confirms the conclusion of Fraenkel and Vinopal (1973) that glycolytic genes in $E. \, coli$ are not inducible. These results suggest that there is only one enclase gene and one enclase gene product in $E. \, coli$.

When enclase activity was measured in cells carrying pLC 10-47, pLC 11-8 and pLC 18-4, only pLC 10-47 exhibited an increase in enzyme activity over cells without any plasmids. This result suggests a gene dosage phenonenon, i.e. the difference in activity is due to the presence of an enclase gene on the plasmid which is translated to enzyme. This/was further confirmed by SDS PAGE. A major band corresponding to the molecular weight of rabbit enclase was seen only in extracts of cells containing pLC 10-47. The results shown in this chapter are consistent with the hypothesis that there is only enclase gene in *E. coli*, and that pLC 10-47 but not pLC 11-8 carries this gene.

It is conceivable that pLC 11-8 complements the eno-2 mutation with a gene product other than enclase. A possibility would be that a suppressor tRNA is carried by pLC 11-8, and examples of this phenomenon have been reported (Ratzkin and Carbon, 1977; Clarke and Carbon, 1978). However, this would not explain the enhanced enclase activity reported by Thomson *et al.* (1970) in wild

type E. coli carrying pLC 11-8. It is probable the pLC 11-8 which was obtained from the E. coli Genetic Stock Center is not the same pLC 11-8 that was described by Thomson *et al.* (1979). The Clarke-Carbon library is carried on micro-titre plates, and contamination of wells or incorrect sampling is often a problem (unidentified reviewer for "Gene"). Attempts are now being made by Dr. W.S. Davidson to resolve this point. It was decided not to use pLC 11-8 for the evolutionary studies.

Chapter 6

Evolutionary Studies using pLC 16-4 and pLC 10-47 as Hybridization Probes

6.1. Background

Traditionally, organisms have been categorized into prokaryotes and eukaryotes. The question of the evolutionary origins of these two groups of organisms has been a matter of speculation ever since the fundamental distinction between prokayotic and eukaryotic cells became evident. Various methods have been used to study the phylogenetic relationships among these organisms. Quantitative immunological comparisons of proteins (Howe and Hershey, 1984). protein electrophoresis (Kersters and De Lev. 1975) and comparison of the sequences of conserved molecules are some of the commonest methods used (Wilson et al., 1977). The macromolecules of interest should contain a "record" of their past evolutionary ancestry in order to allow construction of molecular phylogenetic trees. These trees provide a useful framework for analysis of rates of evolution and taxonomic relationships between organisms. Until recently, the study of molecular evolution was usually restricted to proteins. Now the emphasis has shifted to nucleic acids. This is because recombinant DNA techniques available today make sequencing of nucleic acid a relatively easy task.

Before the advent of recombinant DNA technology, evolutionary studies at the nucleic acid level were confined to the entire genome. For instance, information on nucleotide sequence relatedness among enteric bacteria has been derived from studies on hybridization of total bacterial DNAs (Brenner *et al.*, 1071). In this technique genomic DNA from a pair of different organisms was denatured, mixed and allowed to anneal. If there were sufficient conserved regions, the complementary bases will pair forming hybrid double-stranded DNAs. Thermal stabilities of the hybrid DNAs have been used as a measure of the extent of nucleotide sequence divergence among the bacteria, since mismatched bases lower the overall stability of the hybrid. The resistance of heteroduplexes (hybrid DNAs) to thermal denaturation was compared with homoduplexes, and the difference in thermostability, between the two duplexes provided an approximate per cent base substitution. However, studies using the entire genome were found to vary widely, even for closely related species (Brenner *et al.*, **1072**).

Later, major advances in evolutionary studies came with detailed analyses of repetitive DNA and coding regions, such as histone genes. However, the molecules under investigation are unique to eukaryotes. For instance, there are no histone genes and very little repetitive DNA in prokaryotes. This posed a problem for studying evolutionary relationships between prokaryotes and eukaryotes. Hence, evolutionary studies were extended to molecules that are common to both prokaryote and eukaryotes, e.g. ribosomal RNA (De Smedt and De Ley, 1977). It was the studies on partial sequence analysis of 185 or 185-like rRNAs (eukaryotic 185 rRNA) which first led Woese and Fox (1977) to propose

the novel concept of three primary kingdoms, i.e. the eubacteria, urkaryotes and archaebacteria. This tripartite division of extant life is incompatible with the conventionally accepted view, and has revolutionalized the basic dichotomic classification of prokaryotes and eukaryotes.

However, one should be cautious because the observations which led to the proposal of the three primary kingdoms were derived only from studies on ribosomes and their components. Although Lake and his co-workers (1982; 1983) originally provided evidence in favor of the three-way split, they have recently challenged this scheme and proposed that eubacteria and halobacteria are more closely related to each other than they are to any other group of organisms (Lake et al., 1985; Lake, 1986). Furthermore, it was suggested that eubacteria and halobacteria (which were originally grouped under archaebacterial kingdom) compose a monophyletic group known as the "photocytes" (Lake, 1986). The whole concept of photocytes and this novel classification has been opposed by other laboratories (Zillig, 1986; Lederer, 1986; Woese et al., 1986). Since a study of the macromolecules associated with translation has not clarified unequivocally the phylogenetic origins of prokaryotes and eukaryotes, molecules other than those which are part of the ribosome should be examined in order to clarify the validity of the "three-way split". Glycolytic enzymes seem to be suitable for such an evolutionary study, as they are highly conserved molecules (Fothergill-Gilmore, 1986) and are universally distributed in nature (Van Valen and Maiorana, 1980). (Criteria to which molecules must conform for these evolutionary studies have been listed in Chapter 1.) Since glycolytic enzymes are ubiquitous and are highly

conserved, one could imagine that their genes would be sufficiently homologous to permit cross-hybridization, between species that are distantly related. This Chapter describes the results of testing this hypothesis. The genes encoding *E. coli* glycolytic enzymes, specificly *eno*, *tpi* and *pfk A*, were used in this project to estimate how far in an evolutionary sense it is possible to use glycolytic genes as evolutionary probes.

6.2. Sequence Comparisons of Glycolytic Enzymes and Genes

In order to examine the potential of genes encoding *E. coli* glycolytic enzymes as long range evolutionary probes, the amino acid and nucleotide sequences of TPI, PFK A and enclase from various organisms were compared.

8.2.1. Comparison of TPI Sequences

The amino acid sequence for TPI has been determined from *E. coli* (Pichersky et al., 1984); *B. stearothermophilus* (Artavanis-Tsakonas and Harris, 1980); yeast (Alber and Kawasaki, 1982); coelacanth, chicken, rabbit and human (Lu et al., 1984) [see Figure 6-1).

The corresponding comparisons can also be made at the nucleic acid level for *ipi* from *E. coli* (Pichersky *et al.*, 1984) and yeast (Alber and Kawasaki, 1982), and the messenger RNA for the TPI from chicken muscle (Straus and Gilbert, 1985). These are shown in Figure 6-2.

Comparisons of these sequences (Figure 6-1; Figure 6-2) indicate that there is at least 40 to 50 per cent homology between the prokaryotic and eukaryotic TPIs (Table 6-1).

E. coli --MRHPLVMGNWKI.NGSRHMVHELVSNI.RKELAGVAGCAVATAPPEWYTD B. stearo. -- K IIA. MHKTLAEAVOF EDVKGVPP- DEVDSVV FLFRI. Yeast -MA TEF G F LOSIK I ER NTASIP-ENVE V C AT L Coelacanth AP- KFF G M DKKSLG IQT NAAKVP-FTGEIVC A L Chicken AP- KFF G M RKKSLG IHT EGAKLS- DTE VCGA SI L Rabbit APS KEF G M RKKNLG ITT NAAKVP- DTE VC TA Human APS KFF G M RLLNLG I T QGAKVP- DTE VCIG TA L 51 99 MAKREAEGSHIMLGAQNVNLNLSGAFTGETSAAMLKDIGAQYIIIGHSE E. coli B. stearo. VQAADGT-DLOKI TMHFABZ T V PV LVTVL TSVSLVKKPQVTV AY KA N VDQI C KWV L Yeast. Coelacanth F RLKVD-PKFGVA CYKVSK I'P I C VTWV L Chicken F RLKLD-AK GVA CYKVPK IP I AWV T. Rabbit F ROKLD-PK AVA CYKYTN I PG I C TWVVI. Human F ROKLD-EK AA CYKVTN-I PG I C TWVVL 100 149 RRTYHKESDEL IAKKFAVLKEQGLTPVLCIGETEAENEAGKTEEVCARQ E. coli B. stearo. HMFA T TVB VLAAFTR I II C SLE RO E DA VSOV S FH GQ VKHALG VGVI LE KK LE VE Yeast Coelacanth HVFG GQ VSHALSE GV A KLD R I G VFEV Chicken HVFG GQ V HALAE GVIA KLD R T K VFQE Rabbit HVFG GO V HALSE GVIA KLD R I K VFE

10	150	(a) ⁽⁴⁾				199	
E. coli	IDAVLKTQGAAAFEGAVI	AYEPVWAIG	TGKSATE	AQAQAN	VHKFI	RDHIA	
B. stearo.	EK LAGLTPQEVKIIL	L	S	ZB BS	CGH	SVVS	
Yeast	LN EEVKDWTNV V	/	LA	ED DI	I AS	KFL	
Coelacanth	TEVIADDVKDWSKV I		TS	Q S EI	L GKL	KWLK	
Chicken	TK IADNVKDWSKV I		т	Q E	EKL	GWLK	
Rabbit	TKVIADNVKDWSKV I		T	Q E	EKL	GWLK	1
Human	TKVIADDVKDWSKV I	N	т	Q EE	EKL	GWLK	

GQ V HALNE GVIA.

HVFG

Human

KLD R

I K VFE

Figure 6-1: The Comparison of Amino Acid Sequences of TPI from E. coli, B. stearolivernophilus, Yeast, Coelacanth, Chicken, Rabbit and Human

62 -

	200 .								249
E. coli	-KVDANIAEQVII	QYCC	SVNASN	AAEI	FAQP	DID	GALVGG	SLKAD	FA
B. stearo.	RLFGPEA AIR		KPD	IRDE	T.	ZZ		EPAS	L
Yeast	S LGDKA SELR	L	A G	VT	KDKA	V	F	PE-	·v
Coelacanth	EN SETV DS	I	TGAT	CK	ASE	v	F	P -	· v
Chicken	TH SDAV VQSR	I	TGGD	CK	AS H	٧	F	PE-	· v
Rabbit	SN SDAV QSTR	I	TGAT	CK	AS	٧	F	PE-	· v
Human	SN SDAV Q TR	I	TGAT	CK	S	۷	F	PE-	· v
`	250								
E. coli	VIVKAAEAAKQA								
B. stearo	QL Q GRHE		÷.		1.				
Yeast	D INSRN-								
Coelacanth	EYKDVR -								
Chicken	D INLH-								
Rabbit	D IN								
Human	DLIN								

The E. coli TPI amino acid sequence is given in full in capital letters. Only differences in the sequences of other TPIs are indicated. Deletions introduced to maximize the homology of sequence comparisons are indicated by B. stearo. is an abbreviation for *Bacillus* stearothermophilus. The reference for each TPI sequence is given in the text.

.

ATG --- CGA CAT CCT TTA GTG ATG GGT AAC TTG AAA E. coli Yeast GCT --- A AC TTC T C GGT --- GCT CCC A G A G TTC C Chicken GGT C G 14 26 E. coli CTG AAC GGC AGC CGC CAC ATG GGT CAC GAG CTG GTT TCT Yeast TA TTC AAA A TCC AT A G A A T GAA Chicken A GAG AAG A G GC TTG GG C A C CAC CG 39 E. coli AAC CTG CGT AAA GAG CTG GCA GGT GTT GCT GGC TGT GCG Yeast A T AAC CT CT TCT ATC CCA --- AA AAT GTC AA Chicken CG AA GGC CC AA CTC TCG --- C A ACC A 40 52 E. coli GGT GCA ATC GCA CCA CCG GAA ATG TAT ATC GAT ATG GCG T TT TGT T A CT CC C T A C TAC T T Yeast TG TT TG G G C T TC C C C T T T C Chicken 53 AAG CGC GAA GCT GAA GGC AGC CAC ATC ATG CTG GGT GCG E. coli Yeast . GTC TCT TTG T A G AAG CCA A G CT G C CGC AG A G CT T --- GCA A G T GGA G T CA A Chicken 66 CAA AAC GTG AAC CTG AAC CTG TCC GGC GCA TTC ACG GGT E. coli Yeast CC T T G GCT T T T Chicken TGT T AG GTA C AAG T 'T C . GAA ACC TCT GCT GCT ATG CTG AAA GAC ATC GGC GCA CAG E. coli C T AC CAA A C G T G T T T A Yeast ТТ Chicken G T AGC C A A AC T GCA 92 104 TAC ATC ATC ATC GGT CAC TCT GAA CGT CGT ACT TAC CAC E. coli Ċ G GT TT AAAAT TT Yeast Chicken GGGG CG C A G GAGCA GTT TTT

Figure 6-2: Nucleotide Sequences Comparison of TPI from E. coli, Yeast and Chicken

	105												117	
E. coli	AAA	GAA	TCT	GAC	GAA	CTG	ATC	GCG	AAA	AAA	TTC	GCG	GTG	
Yeast	CC		GA		AG	TC	Т	т	G C	G	AC	AAG	TC	
Chicken	GG	G		т	GT		т		CG	G	GG	Т	CAT	
										10. U				
	118												130	
E. coli	CTG	AAA	GAG	CAG	GGC	CTG	ACT	CCG	GTT	CTG	TGC	ATC	GGT	
Yeast	GCT	TT	GT		Т	GC	GG	GTC	AC	т	т			
Chicken	GCT	CTT	CT	Gλ		C	GG	GTC	A C	GCC	С	т	G	
	2													
	131												143	
E. coli	GAA	ACC	GAA	GCT	GAA	AAT	GAA	GCG	GGC	AAA	ACT	GAA	GAA	
Yeast		т	TTG	AA		G	AG	C	т	G		TTO	т	1
Chicken	G	AG	CTG	٨.	G	GA		т		т	G	G	G	
	- °			÷.,			-							
	144										11		156	
E. coli	GTT	TGC	GCA	CGT	CAG	ATC	GAC	GCG	GTA	CTG	AAA	ACT	CAG	
Yeast		GTT	AA	A A	٨	ΤĠ	٨	Т	. C	т	G	GAA	GTT	
Chicken	· G	TT	A	AG	ACC	ċ.		Т	ΛT	GCT	GT	AC	GT	
						9								
2.0	157	8					1						169	1
E. coli	GGT	GCT	GCG	GCA	TTC	GAA	GGT	GCG	GTT	ATC	GCT	TAC	GAA	
Yeast	AAG	AC			GG	ACT	AAC	TC		G				
Chicken	AAG	AC			GG	AGT	AAG	т		CT	C	Т	G	
	170												182	
E. coli	CCT	GTA	TGG	GCA	ATC	GGT	ACT	GGC	***	TCT	GCA	ACT	CCG	
Yeast	٨	C		C	т		C	. Т	TTG	G	Т		٨	
Chicken	A	Т		т		۸		Т		٨	T		С	
	183												195	
E. coli	 GCT	CAG	GCA	CAG	GCT	GTT	CAC		TTC	ATC	CGT	GAC	CAC	
Yeast	AA	GT	T	٨	٨	٨		GCT	C		* *	AG	TT	
Chicken	CAA		Т		AG		Т	GQ	AAG	CO		G	TGG	
			6											
	198						5						208	
E.coli	ATC	GCT		AAA	GTT	GAC	, GCT	AAC	ATC	GCT	GAA	CAA	GTG	
Yeast	TG		TCC	G	TG	GT	- 40	, G	GCT	C	AGC	G	т	
Chicken	C	AAA	AGC	CC	G	TCT	A	GCT	GT		CG	TC	ACT	

Ja-

		209							1					221
E. coli		ATC	ATT	CAG	TAC	GÇC	GGC	TCC	GTA	AAC	GCG	TCT	AAC	GCT
Yeast L	-	GA	C	TTA		т	т		CT		GT	AGC		C
Chicken		GG	C	ACT	Т	A	т	A	C	CT	GT	GGC		TG
-		222												234
E. coli		GCA	GAA	CTG	TTT	GCT	CAG	CCG	GAT	ATC	GAC	GGC	GCG	CTG
Yeast		TT	ACC	TC	AAG	AC	A	GT		G	т	т	TTC	Т
Chicken		AAG	•		GCC	TC		AT		GG	т		TTC	т
		235												247
E. coli		GTT	GGT	GGT	GCT	TCT	CTG	AAA	GCT	GAC	GCC	TTC	GCA	GTA
Yeast		C					Τ -	G	CA	٨		т	-	CT
Chicken				G			C	G	CA	G		т	TG	AT .
		248				×.								
E. coli		ATC	GTT	ÀAA	GCT	GCA	GAA	GCG	GCT	AAA	CAG	GCT		•
Yeast			AC	C	т					G	AC			
Chicken		Т	A C	T	A						T			

The E. coli sequence for TPI is given in full. Only differences in the corresponding sequences of the TPIs of yeast and chicken are indicated. The numbers refer to the corresponding amino acid sequences. Deletions (---) have been introduced where necessary to maximize homology. The reference for each sequence is given in the

text.

. . . Y

		EC	BS	Yeast	Coel	Chick	Rabbit	Human
EC	10			62		65		
BS		63						
Yeast		56	66			59		
Coel		59	63	52				
Chick		57	64	-19	24	A -		
Rabbit		56	63	49	19	14		
Human		57	61	51	22	16	6	
		14.10		A ⁶	02954			

Table 6-1:	Per cent Amino Acid and Nucleotide Sequence Differences
	of TPIs from E. coli (EC), B. stearothormophilus (BS), .
	Yeast; Coelacanth (Coel), Chick, Rabbit and Human

The values in the upper half of the matrix are per cent nucleotide i sequence differences and those in the lower half are per cent amino

The above sequences were compiled using a Los Alamos Sequence Analysis computer program

The amino acids at position 96 to 102 are invariant in all the species examined to date. Other regions that show homology at the amino acid level include the sequences from positions 73 to 80, 167 to 179, 211 to 218 and 233 to 242. However, the corresponding regions at the nucleotide level are not quite as conserved. This is due to the degeneracy of the genetic code and in particular to base substitutions at the third position of codons that do not alter the amino acid sequence.

6.2.2. Comparison of PFK Sequences

Phosphofructo-kinase (PFK) [EC 2.7.1.11] is one of the key enzymes involved in the glycolytic pathway. It catalyzes the conversion of fructose-6phosphate to fructose-1,8-diphosphate using ATP as the phosphorylation source. This enzyme is is subjected if allosteric activation and inhibition by various metabolites. In *E. coli*, PFK is encoded by two genes: pfk A and p/k B. These genetic loci map at 88 min and 38 min in the *E. coli* chromosome respectively. Eukaryotic PFKs are twice the size of the corresponding prokaryotic enzymes. It has been proposed that mammalian PFKs have evolved by duplication of a prokaryotic pfk (Poorman *et al.*, 1984). The amino acid sequences for PFK from *E. coli* (Daldal, 1984), *B. stearothermophilus* (Kolb *et al.*, 1980) and rabbit (Poorman *et al.*, 1984) have been determined and their alignment is shown in (Figure 6-3).

Comparison of the PFK amino acid sequences indicates approximately 30 to 40 per cent homology between the sequences, except for E. coli PFK B (Table 5-3). Certain regions of PFK are highly conserved; namely amino acid residues 4 to 11; 111 to 120; 151 to 171; and 281 to 297. However, PFK A and PFK B from *E. coli* showed less than 20 per cent homology. This is consistent with results that indicate that there is no immunological cross-reactivity between these two proteins (Daldal, 1984). There is no obvious homology between PFK B of *E. coli* and the PFKs of rabbit or *B. stearothermophilus*.

6.2.3. Comparison of Enclase Sequences

The amino acid sequence for enclase has been determined for yeast (Holland et al., 1981; Chin(et al., 1981a; 1981b) and rat (neuronal and non-neuronal) (Sakimura et al., 1985). Comparison of these sequences (Figure 8-4) indicates that there is at least 60 per cent homology between yeast and rat, and more than 80 per cent matches between neuronal and non-neuronal enclase sequences of rat (See Table 8-3). The corresponding comparisons were also made at the nucleotide level (Figure 8-5).

The amino acid sequences of enclase are more conserved than their corresponding nucleotide sequences. The amino acid sequence homology between the neuronal-specific and non-neuronal enclase of rat is greater than 80 per cent, whereas at the nucleotide level the match is approximately 75 per cent (Table 6-3). The enclase sequence comparisons between yeast and rat exhibit less homology. The amino acid and nucleotide sequences between the two species show approximately 60 per cent homology. It is of interest to note that the enclases within a species are more similar to one another than they are to the enclases in another species. This gives the impression that there has been independent duplications of the enclase genes in rat and yeast. This phenomenon

	1	1 50
1	E. coli A	IKKIGVLTSGGDAPGMNN-IRGVVRSALTEGLEVMGIYDGYLGLYEDRMV
	B. stearo.	- R · NS AA S KIYH V Y V H A IA-G
	Rab m-N	G A A , Q AAV A VGIFT AR FFVHE Q VDGGD-
	Rab m-C	A KONV AP A AAVRST IG IQ NR LVVH FE AKG
	E. coli B	-VR YT L-APSLDS-AT TPQ+IYPEENCAVPHRCSNPGGGINVA
		()
	. 8	51 100
	E. coli A	QLDRYSVSDMINRGG-TFLGCARCPEFRDENIRAVAIENLKN-GIDA
	B. stearo.	NIKKLEVGDVGDI H - I YT KT EGEKKG Q KH QG
	Rab m-N	HIREATWESVSHALOL - VI S KD EREG LR AH VKR TN
	Rab m-C	QIEEAGWSYVGGTTGQ -SK SK TLPKKSFE
,	E. coli B	RATAHLOGSATATEPAGGA GEHLVSLLA VP- TVEAKDWTRON
		111 150
	E. coli A	LVVIGDDGSYNGAMRLTENGFPCTGLP
	B stearo	
	Rah m-N	C G LT DTE SEUSDUISDIOKACKTTAFEATRSSYLNTV V
	Rah m-C	T E. RKOFDELCT FVVT
	F" coli B	H HVEASGEOVEEVVPCAA
١.		151 200
	E coli A	GTIDNDIKGTDYTIGFFTAL STYVEATORI RDTSSSHHATSVVEVVGRYC
	B stearo	P F D N'TD KT AT FRTY T HA
	Rah m-N	S FC N TOS HRITCIVATT AD ORTE L H
	Rab m-C	A VS · VP S ESV AD N ICTTC PIKOTAACTERVETT T C
	E cold B	OF FENT ETECAL I VICASI DEGUVI EN TOLT I DEVIVACALCETVI C
	A. COIL B	APPEALETEON FAIOOFLAANTERFIATT FUNNOONDOIAFO
		211 • 250
	F	
	B stars	TI BOAL A TTLT ADVDIN WTADL D UPD C TT
	B. BUWATO.	YA VTOLOG ADT ET CONDONNA VIARL & RER
	Rab m-C	Y ATH OL A DALAYTE ED TID CAMPENING & TELEVISION
	Rab m-C	TAIN OL A ADAATIF EF TIR GARVERLYG M TIVARGLYL
	P. COTT B	AC DY F - HI F YEARY F Y KERIAPODAKKY AFT
	1	
	Figu	e 6-3: Amino acid sequence comparison of PFK from E.coli,
		Bacillus stearothermophilus and rabbit
	10	

. ...

	251 300
E., coli A	ITEHMCDVDELAHFIEKETGRETRATVLGHIQRGGSPVPY
B. stearo.	VA GVGSG -FGRQ QEAF V V TAF
Rab m-N	VA GAIDSNGXPITSEGVKDLVWRRLTD V V T SAF
Rab m-C	RN KONENYTTOFTENLYS FOR T-FDS KN GHNO T F
E. coli B	VNSGKAKRVVVSLGPQEAL VDSENCIQ VPPALKSQ T GA
	301 350
E. coli A	DRILASRMGAYAIDLLLAGYGGRCVGIQ-NEQL
B. stearo.	V SAL R VE E QG N
Rab m-N	I G VE VMA ETPDTPA VSLSGN A
Rab m-C	NF TK K-AMNWMA KIKESYRNGRIFANTPDSG- LGMRKRA
E. coli B	GDR VGA TLKLAENASLEEMVRFGVAAGSAATLNQGTR
	351 400
E. coli A	VHHDIIDAIENMKRPFKGDWLDCAEKTVLMISEKGRFLYPETDDRSKNA
B. Stearo.	D - AE LA KHTIDORWYALSK LSI
Rab m-N	RI PI MECVOVT DVTKANDEKREDEAMKI RORSEMNNWEVYKI -I LAH
Rah m-C	FOPVTE-I ONOTOFEHRTPKFOWWI KI RPTI KTI AKYFIDI DTSFHAH
E coli B	CSH DTOI YAYI SP
L. COIL D	CON DIEL INIEDA
5 141	101
	401
E. COLL A	
B. stearo.	
Rab m-N	IRPPAPK-SGSYTV
Rab m-C	LEHISR R EAT
E. coli B	

The E. coli PFK A amino acid sequence is given in full in capital letters. Only differences in the sequences of other PKFs are indicated. (Deletions introduced to maximize the homology of sequence comparisons are indicated by ---. B. stearo. is an abbreviation for Bacillus stearothermophilus, Rab m-N and Rab m-C correspond to N- and C-halves of PFK from rabbit muscle. The reference for each PFK sequence is given in the text.

	E. coli A	B. stearo.	Rabbit m'N Rabbit m-C	E. coli B
4.1				1.2
E coli A			· · · ·	
B. stearo.	59	-		
Rabbit m-N	62	56		
Rabbit m-C	68	63	72 -	•
E. coli B	84	NH	NH NH	

 Table 6-2:
 Per Cent Amino Acid Sequence Differences between E. coli, Bacillus stearothermophilus and Rabbit PFKs

NH denotes no obvious homology between the two sequences compared.

The abbreviations are as in Figure 6-3.

The above sequences were compiled using a Los Alamos Sequence Analysis.

computer program

	1 60	
YEAST p46	AVSKVYARSVYDSRGTPTVEVELTTEKGVFRSIVPSGASTGVHEALEMRD	
DAT NNE	STITUETE N DYAT AL TY T	
DAT NOT		
RAI NOL	STATE A, DAVE W. TLE	
	51 100	
YEAST p46	GDKSKWMGKGVLHAVKNVNDVIAPAFVKANIDVKDQKAVDDFLISLDGTA	
YEAST p8	MNNN ALL	
RAT NNE	N TRF SK EHI KT L SKKLN VE EKI QLM EM E	
RAT NSE	QRYL K DHI ST LISSGLS VE EKL NLMLE E	
•	101 150	1
YEAST p46	NKSKLGANAILGVSLAASRAAPAEKNVPLYKHLADLSKSKTSPYVLPVPF	
YEAST D8	NA E	
RAT NNE	F VCK GAVG G R I A-GNPEV-T A	
RAT NSE	F VCK GA DL R I Q A-GNSD-LI A	
	151	
YFAST DAR	LINVI NGGSHAGGALAL OFFNTAPTGAKTFAFAL RTGSEVYHNI KSI TKKR	
YEAST DR		
DAT NUE		
DAT NEP		
WUT HOP		
	001	
TEAST P40	TGASAGNYGDEGGYAPNIQTAEEALDLIYDAIKAAGHDGKYKIGLDCASS	
YEAST p8		
RAT NNE	KD T F LENK E LPS AK YTDQ V M V A	
RAT NSE	KDT F LENS EVKE DK YTE MV MV A	
	251	
YEAST p48	EFFKDGKYDLDFKNPNSDKSKWLTQPQLADLYHSLMKRYPIVSIEDPFAE	
YEAST p8	E .VE M	
RAT NNE	YRA S D- A RYI PD K FI D D	
RAT NSE	YR SAPRCID GA QDFVRN D	
- K		

Figure 8-4: Amino Acid Sequence Comparison of Yeast and Rat Enclases

		301	1.2											350
	YEAST p46	DDT	EAT	SHF	FKTAG	IQIV	ADDL	TVTN	PKR	IATA	IEKK	AADALL	LKV	NQIG
	YEAST P8								ĸ			10		
	RAT NNE	Q	D	QK	TA	V	G			K	AGE	SCNC		
	RAT NSE	Q	A	K	TANY	9 T	G			ER	VE	CNC		
											1			•
ł	-	361	- ×											400
	YEAST p46	TLS	ESI	KAA	DSFA	AG₩G	VMVS	HRSG	ETE	DTFN.	ADLV	VGLRTG	QIK	TGAP
	YEAST p8					N	1				- 21			
ł	RAT NNE	SVI	L	Q CI	KLAQS	N		E		I		C		
	RAT NSE	SVT		Q CI	KLAQE	N				I		C		
	· · · · · ·	401												
	YEAST p46	ARS	ERL	AKL	QLLR	IEEE	LGDN	AVFA	GEN	FHHO	DKL	8		- A - A
	YEAST p8						K	Y.						
	RAT NNE	C		Y	· I		SK	K	RS	RNP	LAK			
		10200					1.11.1		1.000					

The amino acid sequence of the p46 yeast enclase if given in full. Differences in the sequences of the other enclases are indicated and identical amino acid residues are left as blanks. Deletions, introduced to maximize the homology of the sequence comparisons, are indicated by ---. RAT NSE and RAT NNE correspond to neuronal-specific and non-neuronal enclase sequence of rat respectively. The reference for each enclase sequences is given in the text.

YEAST p46 GCT GTC TCT AAA GTT TAC GCT AGA TCC GTC TAC GAC TCC YEAST p8 RAT NNE T C A T CTC GAG A GACCT C TT RAT NSE т A A CAG / G-A C GG CC GAG A TG 14 YEAST \$48 CGT_GGT ACC CCA ACC GTC GAA GTC GAA TTA ACC ACC GAA YEAST p8 RAT NNE т G G T CTC TA C RAT NSE AT C a a G T CTC CAT CC 39 AAG GGT GTT TTC AGA TCC ATT GTC CCA TCT GGT GCT TCT YEAST p46 C YEAST p8 C RAT NNE CC CTGTGCG G C AGC G C RAT NSE C C. G G T GCA C AG c c . 40 ACC GGT GTC CAC GAA GCT TTG GAA ATG AGA GAT GGT GAC YEAST p46 YEASR p8 RAT NNE CA CCA a CCC C AA RAT NSE т CA TT G CC GCA G 53 YEAST D46 AAA TCC AAG TGG ATG GGT AAG GGT GTT TTG CAC GCT GTT YEAST DB . RAT NNE GA CGC TC . G C CA A G RAT NSE CAG CGT AC T A c C.C AG 66 78 YEAST p46 AAG AAC GTC AAC GAT GTC ATT GCT CCA GCT TTC GTT AAG YEAST p8 C AC G T C RAT NNE GCA T A A ACT T CG GC RAT NSE O C C AGC AC G CC

Figure 6-5: Nucleotide Sequences of Enclase from Yeast and Rat

		19					-			Sec. 1				91	
	YEAST p46 YEAST p8	GCT	AAC	ATT T A	GAT	GTT	AAG	GAC	CAA	AAG	GCC	GTC	GAT	GAC	
	RAT NNE	AAG		CG	٨		GT	G	G	G	AAG	AT	C	CG	•
	RAT NSE	TCA	GG	CC	TC	G	GT	G	G	G .	AAG	CG	C	٨	
	10 T T T	5							•					2.1	
		92									8			104	
	YEAST p46	TTC	TTG	ATT	TCT	TTG	GAC	GGT	ACT	GCC	AAC	AAA	TCC	AAG	
	YEAST p8	- e-		TG			T		C			G			
	RAT NNE	CG	A	C	GAG	Ά.		C	٨	AG	• т		т		
	RAT NSE	CG	٨	CG	GAG		Т	- G		AG					
		. C							8						
		105												117	
	YEAST D46	TTC	GGT	GCT	AAC	GCT	ATC	TTG	GGT	GTT	TCT	TTG	GCT	GCT	
	YEAST DB									C	C	٨	C		
	RAT NNE	т		٨	т	C		С	٨	G	c	C	• •	TC	
	RAT NSE	т	G	C	Т	C		C		G	C	C	C	TG	
•	•														
		118					÷				•			130	
	YEAST D46	TCC	AGA	GCT	GCC	GCT	GCT	GAA	AAG	AAT	GTC	CCA	TTA	TAC	
	YEAST DB	GT		C	т					C			G		
	RAT NNE	G	ÅG	, T.	GT	C	TG	ĠG		GGG	G	G	CT		
	BAT NSE	a	AG		GG	Ā	C	G		G C	TG		C C	т	
		-					•		· ·					•	
	N.,	131		., ¹										143	
	VEAST DAR		CAC	тта	OCT	GAC	TTO	TOT	446	TCC	440	ACC	TOT	CCA	
	VEAST DR	CA	onto		401	ano				100	And.		101	oon	
	DAT NNT	COT		A T				a ć	000		CCT	010	OTC	A T	
	DAT NET	ćac	- e	й т.	v		C	0	00		TCC	CA	CTC	A T	
	AAT AUG	000		n		U. A	•	u i		~~	100	un	010		
		144											3	158	
	YEAST D46	TAC	GTT	TTG	CCA	GTT	CCA	TTC	TTO	AAC	GTT	TTG	AAC	GGT	
	YEAST DB									. •	-			1	
	RAT NNE	CTG	CCG	GC		C	TTC	AAT	G	T				C	
	RAT NSE	CTG	CCC	GT	G	. CC	TTT	AAT	G	т					
					1.								120		

		157	8											169	
	YEAST p46	GGT	TCC	CAC	GCT	GGT	GGT	GCT	TTG	GCT	TTG	CAA	GAA	TTT	
	YEAST p8				*				-					C	
	RAT NNE		T	Т		C	AAC	AAG		C	٨		. G	C	
	RAT NSE	C	T	Т	2	G	AAC	AAG		C	٨	G	Q	C	
		$2N^{-1}_{1} \leq 2$	1.0								1				
	a . 1	170												182	
•	YEAST D46	ATG	ATT	GCT	CCA	ACT	GGT	GCT	AAG	ACC	TTC	GCT	GAA	GCT	
	YEAST D8													C	
	RAT NNE		C	CTG	т	GTG	G		TCC	TT		CGG			
	RAT NSE		C	CTC		GTG	- 7		G	G	т	CGG	т	c	
										-			• *		
		183												105	
	VEAST DAR	TTG	AGA	ATT	GGT	TCC	GAA	GTT	TAC	CAC	AAC	TTO	440	TCT	
	YEAST DB						4741			ono	Ano		777.4		
	PAT NNF		C C		÷ .	G A	a	•			200	c	÷.	440	
	PAT NET		c	c				a		8	CA	c c		000	
	INT NOL	n	•	۰.							Un			444	
		196				•								208	
	VEAST DAS	TTO	100			101	TAC	COT	CCT	TOT		COT	110	OTC	
	VEAST DO	110	ACC	ANG	AAG	AUA	T		401	,	400	uut	Ano	410	
	DAT NHE		T	1	0	10	•	0				ACC	т		
2	DAT NOT		-			10			110	C.		AC.	9.4		
	KAI NOL	u u	- -		9.0	Au			ANU	UA.	10	NC.		u	
•	3 ²	000												001	
		209	~~~	~**	-	-		0.07					100	661	
	TANDI P40	Gat	UNC	UAA	001	001	911	001	CUA	ANG		UAA	AUI	001	
0	TEAST PE	280	-				-		-				~		
	RAI NNE			~ 4		2	-	-			-	10	CAG	ANC	
	KAI NSE	G	•		C	C	•	1.0				10	UNU	NNC	
	2				210			1.							
		222			_									234	
	YEAST P46	GAA	GAA	GCT	TTG	GAC	TTO	ATT	GIT	GAC	GCT	vic	ANG	dcc	
	TEAST D8.							-			100	542		Т	
	RAT NNE	٨		4	C	Ģ	C	U A	CCO	TCT	c	Т	UCA	AAG	
	RAT NSE	AGC				'G	C	0.0	AAG		c	. Т	Q C	AAG	

100 B	230												247	
YEAST p46	GCT	GGT	CAC	GAC	GGT	ŅĀĢ	GTC	AAG	ATC	GGT	TTG	GAC	TGT	
DAT NUT			т	100	10	•	T	GTC				т	070	
DAT NEE	č		÷	100	-			ar	т		ATO	-	CTO	
AAT NOE		v	•	NOG	~~		Λu	ar	- 24		AIG	. *	010	
	248						8.1					·.	280	1
YEAST p46	GCT	TCC	TCT	GAA	TTC	TTC	MAG	GAC	GGT	AAG	TAC	GAC	TTG	
RAT NNE		Ġ.	C	G		٨	G	CT	C		т		C	
RAT NSE		G		G	Т	٨	CGC	Т	C	A	,		1	
	261						а.						273	ŕ
YEAST D48	GAC	TTC	AAG	AAT	CCA	AAC	TCT	GAC	AAA	TCC	AAG	TGG	TTG	
YEAST DB				C		G A								
BAT NNE				TC		GT		т	GCC	AG	CG	AC	AC	
BAT NSE	Т			TC	'Т	GCT			CCT		CGA	C	AC	
	6				100									
	274				- ×	ĉ.,							286	
YEAST D46	ACT	GGT	CCT	CAA	TTG	GCT	GAC	TTG	TAC	CAC	TCC	TTG	ATG	
YEAST DB	000.40		GTC	G				٨						
RAT NNE		CCC	GAC	G	C	C		C		AG		C	C	
RAT NSE		GGG	GAC	. G	CT	GG	CA	CC	5	G	GA	Т	GC	
				- 87			1	1.2					1.1	
	287		19									•	299	
YEAST D46	AAG	AGA	TAC	CCA	ATT	GTC	TCC	ATC	GAA	GAT	CCA	TTT	GCT	1
YEAST DB .						8.	Υ.							
RAT NNE		GAC			GG	G		G			С		AC	
RAT NSE	CC.	AC	т	Ť	GG			т		С		C	AC	
	300								2				313	
YEAST p46	GAA	GAT	GAC	TGG	GAA	GCT	TGG	TCT	CAC	TTC	TTC	AAG	ACC	
YEAST DB														
RAT NNE	CG	C			Т			CAG	AG		ACA	GCT	Т	
BAT NSE	CG			. ¹⁸	c	A 10 14		C	AG		ACA	GCC	AT	
								- 1					~	

	314												326	
YEAST p46	GCT	GGT	ATT	CAA	ATT	GTT	GCT	GAT	GAC	TTG	ACT	GTC	ACC	
YEAST p8	C		਼			8							Т	
RAT NNE	A	C	C	. G.	GG	G	GG			сc	٨	G		
RAT NSE	TC	C	C	G	A	G	G			C	G	G		12
	1	22.1	3									-	\sim	
	327					*							339	
YEAST p46	AAC	CCA	AAG	AGA	ATT	GCT	ACC	GCT	ATC	GAA	AAG	AAG	GCT	
YEAST p8	1.00	2	GCT											
RAT NNE		T		CG	C	C	AG		GCA	GC	G A		TC	
RAT NSE		C		C C	C	AG	CGG	٨	GG	G	G	2	C	1
	340												352	
YEAST D46	GCC	GAC	GCT	TTG	TTG	TTG	AAG	GTC	AAC	CAA	ATC	GGT	ACC	
YEAST DB	Т							Т						
RAT NNE	TG	A	TGC	CC	C	CC	٨	G		G	Т	C	ТТ	
RAT NSE	TG	٨	TG		C	CC				G		c	TA	
4														
	353												365	
YEAST p46	TTG	TCT	GAA	TCC	ATC	***	GCT	GCT	CAA	GAC	TCT	TTC	GCT	
YEAST DB						G								
RAT NNE	G	AC	G	Т	CG	CG	G	TG	A.G	CTG	GC	CAG	TC	
RAT NSE	G C			G		С	G	TGC	AG	CTG	GC	CAG	AG	
	366		1										378	
YEAST p46	GCC	GGT	TGG	GGT	GTT	ATG	GTT	TCC	CAC	AGA	TCT	GGT	GAA	
YEAST p8	2.3	AAC			C		<u>.</u>			. 8	•			
RAT NNE	AAT	C			C		G		Т	C		AG	G	
RAT NSE	AA	C		G			G	AGT	T	CC		٨		
								7.	È.					
	379								· .				391	
YEAST p46	ACT	GAA	GAC	ACT	TTC	AAT	GCT	GAC	TTC	GTC	GTC	GGT	TTO	
YEAST p8					5					Т		1		
RAT NNE		G				T	C		C	G	G	G	CC	
RAT NSE	C	G		G		T	۸		CC	A	. 0	٨	C,	

	392			2 1						1			404	
YEAST p46	AGA	ACT	GGT	CAA	ATC	AAG	ACT	GGT	GCT	CCA	GCT	AGA	TCC	
RAT. NNE	TC		G	G				÷	C	C	TGC	C	т	
RAT NSE	ТТ	•		G	e,			1	C		TGC		Ť	
	405			1						12	2	×.	417	
YEAST p46 YEAST p8	GAA	AGA	TTG	GCT	AAA G	TTG	AAC	CAA	TTG	TTG	AGA	ATC	GAA	2
RAT NNE	G	CC	C	C	G	AC	т	G	A.C	CT			G	
RAT NSE		СТ	C	G	G	AC		G	C C	٨	G	т		
· · ·	418					₹. ¹							430	
YEAST p46 YEAST p8	GAA	GAA	TTG	GGT	GAC	AAC	GCT	GTT C	TTC	GCT C	GGT	GAA	AAC	
RAT NNE	G		C	C	AG		C	AAG	т	c	C	AGG	TC	
RAT NSE	G	G		G	G	GG	•	ĊĢC		G	Ņ			
5 ×	431										1			
YEAST p46 YEAST p8	TTC	CAC	CAC	GGT	GAC	AAA G	TTA G		•				ъ	
RAT'NNE RAT NSE		AGG		CCC	CTG	GCC	AAG C G							

The nucleotide sequence of the yeast p46 enclase gene is given in full. Only differences in the corresponding sequences of the yeast p8 enclase and rat neural-specific (NSE) and non-neuronal (NNE) are indicated. The numbers refer to the corresponding amino acid sequences. Deletions. (---) have been introduced where necessary to maximize homology.

	-	Yeast p46		Yeast	p8	Rat N	NE	. •	R	at NS	E
Yeast p46	•			5		41			\$	40	· .
Yeast p8	•	4				42	•			-10	
Rat NNE		39		39		-				25	
Rat-NSE		, 39	1	39	i	17		٢		•	

 Table 6-3:
 Per Cent Amino Acid Sequence and Nucleotide Sequence

 Differences between Yeast and Rat Enclases

The values in the upper half of the matrix are per cent nucleotide sequence differences and those in the lower half are per cent amino acid sequence difference.

The above sequences were compiled using a Los Alamos Sequence Analysis computer program

could also be explained by postulating concerted evolution of these genes (Zimmer et al., 1980).

The per cent amino acid sequence difference of TPI between yeast and mammals is 40-51, whereas the yeast and rat enclases differ by approximately 40 per cent. It can be concluded from this comparison that enclase evolves even X more slowly than TPI.

6.3. Potential of pLC 18-4 and pLC 10-47 as Evolutionary Probes

The amount of sequence divergence at the hucleic acid level parallels the per cent amino acid sequence difference very well (Figure 6-6). This is somewhat surprising as it is possible to have a situation where there are no amino acid sequence differences yet greater than 30 per cent difference in the nucleotide sequences because of the degeneracy of the genetic code. However, for hybridization purposes it is the overall amount of sequence homology that is important but rather the existance of homology in sufficiently long stretch of/ DNA. As an example, the amino acid sequences of yeast and *E. coli* TPIs are identical at residues 224 to 241 but in this region at the nucleic acid level there is a stretch of 22 nucleotides in which there are 2 substitutions. The theoretical temperature at which these sequences would hybridize in 6X SSC is 45°C. (See Meinkoth and Wahl (1984) for a review of the parameters that influence hybridization of nucleic acid probes and their detections of sequences of DNA fixed to solid supports.)

Figure 6-6: Comparison of the Amount of Sequence Differences at the Amino Acid and Nucleic Acid Level

closed circles represent data obtained from enolase sequence

comparison and crosses represent those from TPI



It is most unusual to be able to predict the optimum conditions for hybridization and washing when using a piece of DNA as a heterologous probe and these parameters are commonly determined by trial and error. Cloned genes. for a variety of proteins have been used as heterologous probes to identify and isolate the corresponding genes from different organisms: e.g. a Drosophila actin gene for sea urchin actin genes (Schuler and Keller, 1981; Durica et al., 1980); a veast cytochrome c gene for rat cytochrome c genes (Scarpulla et al., 1981); a sea urchin histone gene for chicken histone genes (Engel and Dodgson, 1981); the chicken glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene for the corresponding yeast genes (Musti et al., 1983); human globin cDNA clones for old world monkey globin genes (Martin et al., 1983); the calmodulin gene from the electric eel for a chicken calmodulin gene (Putkey et al., 1983); a rat cDNA for preproinsulin for the chicken insulin-gene (Perler et al., 1980); the Klebsiella nif genes for nif genes from a blue-green alga (Mazur et al., 1980); and a Drosophila gene for the major heat-shock protein was used to detect related sequences in mouse and yeast genomic DNA (Moran et al., 1983). Based on the observations of Moran et al. (1983) it was decided to use two sets of hybridization conditions in this study: the first was considered "high" stringency (400C, 50 per cent formamide. 5X SSC) and the other was "low" stringency (37°C, 30 per cent formamide, 5X SSC). Under less stringent conditions than the latter Moran et al. (1983) found that there was too much non-specific hybridization.

6.4. Results

6.4.1. Characterization of Genomic DNAs

Genomic DNAs were isolated from organisms representative of eubacteria, archaebacteria and eukaryotes. Electrophoresis of these DNA preparations in agarose gels indicated that the average sizes of the genomic DNAs were greater than 25 kilobasepairs. The DNA preparations from Shigella Sonnei and Halobacterium volcanii gave bands on the gel that had the appearance of plasmids (Figure 8-7). There was the suggestion that the DNA prepared from Serratia marcescens and Klebsiella pneumoniae also contained plasmids.

8.4.2. Hybridization Studies: Enterobacteriaceae DNAs

The genomic DNAs obtained from members of the Enterobacteriaceae were digested with Eco RI or Hind III. The fragments that were generated were separated by gel electrophoresis (Figure 6-8), denatured in *situ*, transfered to Biodyne A nylon membrane and hybridized with radioactively-labelled K30, pLC 10-47 or pLC 10-4 under two different conditions ("high" or "low" stringency).

6,4.2.1. Hybridization using K30 cloning vector as probe

K30 is the Col El plasmid that was used as the cloring vector for the E, coli genomic library (Clarke and Carbon, 1976) from which pLC 10-47 and pLC 18-4 were derived. The reason for using K30 ∞ a probe was to determine if there were sequences in the genomic DNA preparations that would cross-react with the clohing vector in addition to the E, coli inserts of pLC 10-47 and pLC 48-4.

K30 hybridized with the DNAs prepared from Shigella, Klebsiella and

and the state of the state of

Figure 6-7: Analysis of Prokaryotic Genomic DNAs by Gel Electrophoresis 2 ug of each genomic DNA was loaded on a 0.8% agarose gel and electrophoresis was carried out at 100 V.

(1) Escherichia coli; (2) Shigella sonnei; (3) Serratia

marcescens; (4) Klebsiella pneumoniae; (5) Pseudomonas pulida: (8) Bacillus subtilis: (7) Clostridium per fringens:

(8) Microccus lysodeikticus; (9) Halobacterium volcanii; (10)

Halobacterium halobium; (11) Halobacterium marismortui; (12)

Halobacterium trapanicum ; (13) & cut with Hind III; (14 and

15) Escherichia; (16) Shigella; (17) Salmonella typhimurium;

(18) Citrobacter freundii; (19) Serratia marcescens;

(20) Enterobacter åerogenes; (21) Klebsiella pneumoniae;

(22) Edwardsiella tarda; (23) Proteus mirabilis;

(24) Erwinia carolovora.



Figure 8-8: Electrophoretic Pattern of Genomic DNAs from Enterobacteriaceae Digested with Hind III Restriction fragments were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V. (1) Escherichia coli, (2) Shigella sonnei, (3) Salmonella choleraesuis, (4) Salmonella typhimurium, (5) Citrobacter freundii, (8) Serratia marcescens, (7) Enterobacter aerogenes, (8) Klebsiella pneumoniae, (9) Edwardsiella tardar (10) Yersinia enterocolitica, (11) Proleus mirabilis, (12) Erwinia carótovora. Lane 13 contained no DNA and lane 14 corresponds to λ DNA cut with Hind III.

27.


Serratia (Figure 6-9 and Figure 6-10). Similar patterns were seen irrespective of hybridization stringency except that the bands were more intense at low stringency. The interaction with the Shigella DNA was strongest whereas the signals with Serratia and Klebsiella were less, but of equal intensity. The hybridization of K30 with Shigella, Klebsiella and Serratia genomic preparations corresponds to interactions with plasmids in these strains. Plasmids have been isolated and partially characterized from these strains (W.S. Davidson, unpublished observations).

6.4.2.2. Hybridization with pLC 16-4 as Probe

Hybridization of the genomic DNA from Enterobacteriaceae at low stringency with the radioactively-labelled plasmid pLC 16-4 showed a wide range of cross-reactions (Figure 6-11 B and C). The following order of hybridization was observed: Shigella > Escherichia > or = Salmonella = Citrobacter > Serratia = Klebsiella. Some hybridization was occassionally observed with Yersinia, Proteus and Enterobacter. However, no hybridization (or occassionally non-specific hybridization) was seen with Edwardsiella or Erwinia.

The extent of cross-reaction seen with Shigella was probably due to the presence of a plasmid with sequences related to Col E1. Some of the crosshybridization seen with Servatia and Klebsiella was also attributed to the presence of Col E1 sequence-related plasmids.

Hybridization at high stringency showed an overall reduction in crossreactivity of most DNAs (Figure 6-11 A). The bands in the Shigelle lane were still prominent and hybridization was evident with Saimonella sp., Citrodater, Figure 6-9: Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA probed with K30 at High Stringency

Restriction fragments generated by Eco RI (A) or Hind III (B) were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V.

 Escherichia coli, (2) Shigella sonnei, (3) Salmonella cholsraesuis, (4) Salmonella typhimurium, (5) Citrobacter freundii, (6) Serratia marcescens, (7) Enterobacter aerogenes, (8) Klebsiella pneumoniae, (9) Edwardsiella tarda, (10) Yersinia enterocolitica, (11) Proteus mirabilis, (12) Erwinia carotovora. Lane 13 contained no DNA and lane 14 corresponds to 3 DNA cut with Hind III.



Figure 6-10: Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA probed with K30 at Low Stringency

Restriction fragments generated by Eco RI (A) or Hind III (B)

were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V.

(1) Escherichia, (2) Shigella, (3) Salmonella choleraesuis,

(4) Salmonella typhimurium, (5) Citrobacter,

(6) Serratia, (7) Enterobacter, (8) Klebsiella, (9)

Edwardsiella, (10) Yersinia, (11) Proteus, (12) Erwinia.

Lane 13 contained no DNA and 14 corresponds to > DNA cut with

Hind III.



90a

Serratia and Klessiella but these bands were less littense than those obtained when hybridization was carried out at low stringency. There was very faint or no hybridization seen, with "Yersinia, Proteus, Enterobacter, Edwardsiella or. Erwinia. Hybridization at high stringency with pLC 16-4 proved unsuccessful in detecting related sequences in all members of the Enterobacteriaceae. Therefore, it was decided that only low stringency hybridization conditions would be used in the following studies.

6.4.2.3. Hybridization with pLC 10-47 as Probe

Low stringency hybridization conditions were used to attempt to detect sequences related to pLC 10-47 in members of the Enterobacteriaceace. The results were similar to those seen when pLC 16-4 was used as the probe. Various amounts of hybridization were seen with DNA from Eecherichia, Shigella, Salmonella, Cifrobacter, Serratia and Klebsiella (Figure 6-12) but there was little or no cross-reaction with Yersinia, Proteus, Endrobacter, Edwardsiella or Erwinia DNA.

6.4.3. Hybridization Studies: Prokaryotic and Eukaryotic DNAs

Genomic DNA from representative members of the Enterobacteriaceae, Bacillus subtilis, Pseudomonas putida, Clostridium perfringens, Micrococcus Iysodeikticus, Halobacterium sp., chicken and human were used in a comparative hybridization study. These DNAs were cut with the restriction enzymes Eco RI or Hind III and then subjected to Southern blot analysis with K30, pLC 10-47 or pLC 16-4 as probes. Hybridization was carried out at low stringency. There was no hybridization of any of these genomic DNA preparations with K30.

9

Figure 6-11: Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA Probed with pLC 16-4

Restriction fragments generated by Eco RI (A and B) or Hind III (C)

were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V.

(1) Escherichia, (2) Shigella, (3) Salmonella choleraesuis,

(4) Salmonella typhimurium, (5) Citrobacter,

(6) Serratia, (7) Enterobacter, (8) Klebsiella, (9)

Edwardsiella, (10) Yersinia, (11) Proteus, (12) Erwinia.

Lane 13 contained no DNA and lane 14 corresponds to λ DNA cut with

Hind III.

Blot A was probed at high stringency whereas B and C were probed at low stringency.



92a

Figure 6-12: Southern Blot of Enterobacteriaceae DNA Probed with pLC 10-47

Restriction fragments generated by Eco RI (A) or Hind III (B)

were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V.

(1) Escherichia, (2) Shigella, (3) Salmonella

choleraesuis, (4) Salmonella typhimurium, (5) Citrobacter,

(6) Serratia, (7) Enterobacter, (8) Klebsiella,

(9) Edwardsiella, (10) Yersinia, (11) Proteus,

(12) Erwinia. Lane 13 contained no DNA and lane 14 corresponds to λ DNA cut with Hind III.



93a

6.4.3.1. Hybridization with pLC 16-4 as Probe

The recombinant plasmid pLC 18-4 way used in an attempt to detect sequences in genomic DNAs from bacteria and eukaryotes that correspond to the *tpi* or *pfk* genes from *E. coli*. There was no obvious cross-reaction with eukaryotic DNA. Hybridization was strong with *Escherichia, Salmonella*, and *Citrobacter* DNA but rather weak with *Yersithia* and *Pseudomonas* (Figure 6-13).

6.4.3.2. Hybridization with pLC 10-47 as Probe

Only DNA from Escherichia, Salmonella, Citrobacter, Yersinia and Pseudomonas showed any hybridization with pLC 10-47 (Figure 5-14). The bands appearing on the Yersinia and Pseudomonas samples were rather faint compared with those of Escherichia, Salmonella and Citrobacter. There was no crossreactivity with the other DNAs, except for the non-specific smear seen with chicken DNA.

6.5. Discussion

Bacterial genomes are presumed to have descended from a common ancestor, diverging from one another as each genome underwent a succession of changes such as base substitutions, duplications, and rearrangements (Riley and Anilionis 1978; 1980; Anilionis and Riley, 1980). However, the dates at which bacteria diverged from their common ancestors are at present open to debate. It has been suggested that prokaryotes can be divided into two primary kingdoms based on comparisons of the three-dimensional structures of their ribosomes and partial nucleotide sequences of rRNA. As only molecules involved in the translational apparatus were used to make this prediction, it is important to Figure 9-13: Southern Blot of Genomic DNAs Probed with pLC 18-4 Restriction fragments generated by Eco RI (A) or Hind III (B) were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V. (1) Escherichia coli; (2) Salmonella choleraesuis; (3) Citrobacter; (4) Yersinia; (5) Pseudomonas; (6) Bacillus; (7) Clostridium; (8) Micrococcus; (9) Lactobacillus; (10) Halobacterium volcanii; (11)

Halobacterium halobium; (12) Human; (13) Chicken. Lane

14 contained & DNA cut with Hind III.



95a

Figure 6-14 Southern Blot of Genbmic DNAs Probed with pLC 10-47 Restriction fragments generated by Eco RI (A) or Hind III (B) were separated on a 0.8% agarose gel at 100 V. (1) Escherichia coli; (2) Salmonella choleraeguis; (3) Citrobacter; (4) Yereinia; (5) Pseudomonas; (6) Bacillus; (7) Clositridium; (8) Micrococcue; (9) Lactobacillus; (10) Halobacterium volcanii; (11) Halobacterium halobium; (12) Human; (13) Chicken. Lane 14 contained > DNA cut with Hind III.

言言的感觉的现象。





1

2 -

4

examine other molecules to determine if they comply with the current hypothesis. In an attempt to gain a greater understanding of prokaryotic evolution the hybrid plasmids pLC 10-47 and pLC 18-4 were used as radioactively-labelled probes to search for nucleotide sequences corresponding to the glycolytic genes tpi, pfk A and *eno* among organisms representative of the three primary kingdoms.

The results from Southern blot studies indicated that there was significant hybridization between the plasmids pLC 16-4 and pLC 10-47 and Escherichia DNA. As these hybrid recombinant plasmids contain inserts of the Escherichia genome the intensity of the signal in such a hybridization served as a positive control and indicated 100 per cent homology. The interpretation of results was made difficult when it was found that the cloning vector for pLC 18-4 and pLC 10-47 (K30) gave positive results with the preparations of DNA from Shigella, Serratia, and Klebsiella. It has since been established that this cross-reaction was due to these strains of bacteria containing plasmids that contain sequences related to Col E1 (K30) (W.S. Davidson, personal communication).

The only members of the family Enterobacteriacese, other than Escherichia, to show strong hybridization signals with pLC 16-4 and pLC 10-47 were Shigella, Salmonella sp., Serralia, Citrobacter and Klebsiella. In the cage of Yersinia, Proteus and Enterobacter the bands were much less intense and sometimes undetectable. No cross-hybridization was seen with DNA from Equardsiella or Erwinia. Pseudomionas was the only non-member of the Enterobacteriacese to show any cross-hybridization with pLC 10-47 or pLC 16-4.

Several laboratories have used Southern blot analysis and/or hybridization studies in order to examine the evolutionary relationships among bacteria. For example the genes from Klebsiella pneumoniae that encode nitrogenase hybridized to the nitrogenase genes from a diverse array of other organisms that are able to fix nitrogen (Ruvkun and Ausubel, 1980). These organisms included gram-negative bacteria, gram-positive bacteria and cyanobacteria. However, the nif genes did not detect homologous sequences in closely related species that do not fix nitrogen. Another bacterial gene that has been used successfully to detect similar sequences in phylogenetically distant organisms is the tuf gene from E. coli. This gene, which gacodes the elongation factor (Tu) that functions in protein synthesis, is able to detect homologous sequences in Chromatium vinosum, a purple sulphur photosynthetic bacterium, (Filer and Furano, 1980) and in the chloroplast genome of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Watson and Surzycki, 1982). The following order of extent sequence homology between the E. coli tuf gene and DNA from other members of the Enterobacteriaceae was obtained: Salmonella = Shigella > Enterobacter = Serratia > Proteus > Erwinia > Yersinia. The extent of cross-reaction between E. coli luf and Pseudomonas DNA was greater than that between E. coli tu f and Yersinia DNA (Filer et al., 1981).

Riley and Anilionis (1980) assessed the extent of relatedness of several portions of the *E. coli* genome with those of other enteric bacteria. Their results with *tna*, *trp*, and *thy* indicated that *Escherichia* is most closely related to Shigella tollowed by Salmonella, Erwinia, Citrobacter, Enterobacter, Klebsiella

98

and then Serrafia. Similar results were obtained when lac was used as the probe except that no homologous sequences were found in Salimonella typhimurium. This is consistent with a lack of an inducible & galactosidase in this species. The speC gene of E. coli only detected similar sequences in Salimonella, Citrobacter, Klebsiella and Enterobacter although biosynthetic ornithine decarboxylase activity was detected in Edwardsiella, Proteus, Yersinia and Seralia (Wright and Boyle, 1984). (Shigella was not included in this study.) The results from Southern blot analysis and hybridization studies using specific probes are inagreement with reassociation studies that have used entire genomes (Brenner and Fakow, 1971). These earlier studies concluded that Escherichia and Shigella are very closely related. Citrobacter and Salimonella are thought to be the pext parents, with Klebsiella and Enterobacter more distantly related. Serratia, Erwinia and Edwardsiella specer to be even more distantly related and the Proteus group bears little sequence similarity.

Direct nucleotide sequencing of the trpG regions of Escherichia, Shigella, Salmonella and Serratia revealed that Escherichia is most closely related to Shigella. There are about three times as many nucleotide substitutions hatween Salmonella and Escherichia or Shigella than there are between Escherichia and Shigella. Serratia is a very distant relative of the other three and it appears as if a fusion of the trpG and trpD genes occurred in the common ancestor of Escherichia, Shigella and Salmonella after it branched off from the line leading to Serratia (Nichols et al., 1980).

Bearing in mind that some of the cross-reactions seen with Shigella,

Serratia and Klebsiella were due to the presence of Col E1 plasmid-related sequences, the results that were obtained using pLC 18-4 and pLC 10-47 as probes are consistent with previous studies and hypotheses concerning the phylogenetic relationships among the Enterobacteriaceae. The limited homology among members of the Enterobacteriaceae that was observed using plasmids harborning glycolytic genes as evolutionary probes suggests that the selective pressures on the DNA sequences of *eno*, *tpi* and *pfk* A have been less stringent than for other genes such as tuf, *nif*, *tna*, *trp* and *thy*. It has been assumed throughout this work that any hybridization observed between pLC 10-47 or pLC16-4 and bacterial genomic DNAs would likely be due to the genes for glycolytic enzymes. This of course need not be the case. The lack of hybridization indicates that the glycolytic genes are not participating, but positive results could be due to nonglycolytic genes carried on theseplasmids.

6.6. Conclusion

The point of this thesis was to test the possibility that genes for glycolytic enzymer could be used to detect similar sequences in the genomes of organisms distantly related to *Escherichia*. The results that were obtained with two independent plasmids carrying *E. coli* glycolytic genes indicate that this is not possible under the hybridization conditions tested. It has been estimated that *Escherichia* and *Erwinia* last shared a common ancestor about 40 million years ago whereas the divergence times for *Escherichia* and *Enterobacter* and *Escherichia* and *Proteus* are 20 and 30 million years ago respectively (Filer *et al.*, 1981). If these estimates are reliable, then it is probable that the genes for

the second second second second

Sanda Salara and Really

glycolytic enzymes will only be useful for detecting the corresponding genes in species that have been separated for less than 30 million years. This does not imply that comparison of the amino acid sequences of glycolytic enzymes would not be useful for long range evolutionary studies, but rather that this information must be obtained by more conventional methods.

Chapter 7

References

- Alber, T. and Kawasaki, G. 1982. Nucleotide sequence of the triose phosphate isomerase gene of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Mol. App. Genet. 1: 419-434.
- Anilionis, A. and Riley, M. 1980. Conservation and variation of nucleotide sequences within related bacterial genomes: Enterobacteria. J. Bacteriol, 143: 386-376.
- Artavanis-Tsakonas, S. and Harris, J.I. 1980. Primary structure of triosephosphate isomerase from *Bacillus stearothermiophilus*. Eur. J. Biochem. 108: 590-611.
- Bachmann, B.J. 1980. Linkage map of *Escherichia coli* K-12, Edition 7. Microbiol. Reviews 47: 180-230.
- Branlant, G., Flesch, G. and Branlant, C. 1983. Molecular cloning of the glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase of *Bacillus* stearothermophilus and *Escherichia* coli, and their expression in *Escherichia* coli. Géne 25: 1-7.
- Brenner, D.J. and Falkow, S. 1971. Molecular relationships among members of the enterobacteriaceae. Adv. Genet. 16: 81-118.
- Brimåcombe, R. 1984. Conservation of structure in ribosomal RNA. TIBS 9: 273-277.
- Chin, C.C., Brewer, J.M., Eckard, E. and Wold, F. 1981a. The amino acid sequence of yeast molase: Proparation and characterization of peptides produced by clemical and enzymatic fragmentations. J. Biol. Chem. 256: 1370-1376.

9. Chin, C.C., Brewer, J.M. and Wold, F. 1981b. The amino acid sequence of yeast enclase. J. Biol. Chem. 256: 1377-1384.

- C.arke, L. and Carbon, J. 1976. A colony bank containing synthetic Col. E1 hybrid plasmid representative of the entire E. coli genome. Cell 9: 01-09.
- Clarke, L. and Carbon, J. 1978. Functional expression of cloned yeast DNA in *Escherichia coli*: Specific complementation of argininosuccinate lyase (arg. H) mutations. J. Mol. Biol. 120: 517-532.
- Daldal, F. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of gene pfkB encoding the minor phosphofructokinase of Escherichia coli K-12. Gene 28: 337-342.
- Dayhoff, M.O. (Ed) 1978. In "Atlas of Protein Sequence and Structure", vol. 5, suppl. 3, Natl. Biomed. Res. Found., Washington, D.C.
- De Smelt, J. and De Ley, J. 1977. Intra- and infergeneric similarities of Agrobacterium ribbsomal ribonucleic acid cistrons. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 27: 222-239.
- Dickerson, R.E. and Geis, I. 1969. The Structure and Action of Proteins, W.A.Benjamin, Inc., Menlo Park, California.
- Dickerson, R.E. 1971. The structure of cytochrome c and the rates of molecular evolution. J. Molec. Evolution 1: 26-45.
- Doolittle, W.F. 1980. Revolutionary concepts in evolutionary cell biology. TIBS 5: 146-149.
- Doolittle, W.F. 1982. Evolutionary molecular biology: where is it going? Can. J. Biochem. 60: 83-90.
- Durica, K.S., Schloss, J.A. and Crain, Jr. W.R. 1980. Organization of actin. gene sequences in the sea urchin: Molecular cloning of an intron- containing DNA sequence coding for a cytoplasmic actin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 5683-5687.
- Engel, J.D. and Dogson, J.B. 1981. Histone genes are clustered but not tandemly repeated in the chicken genome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 78: 2856-2860.
- Fraenkel, D.G. and Vinopal, R.T. 1973. Carbohydrate metabolism in bacteria. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 27: 69-100.
- Filer, D. and Furano, V. 1980. Portions of the gene encoding factor Tu are highly conserved in prokaryotes. J. Biol. Chem. 255: 728-734.

A. T. 194

2014年の2015日からの後年の時間にある

- Filer, D., Dhar, R. and Furano, A.V. 1981. The conservation of DNA sequences over very long periods of evolutionary time. Eur. J. Biochem. 69-76.
- Fothergill-Gilmore, L.A., 1986. The evolution of the glycolytic pathway. TIBS 11: 47-51.
- 25. Fox, G.E., Stackebrandt, E., Hespell, R.B., Gibson, J., Maniloff, J., Vger, T.A., Wolfe, R.S., Balch, W.E., Tanner, R.S., Magrum, L.J., Zablen, L.B., Blakemore, R., Gupta, R., Bonen, L., Lewis, B.J., Stahl, D.A., Leubrsen, K.R., Chen, K.N. and Wesse, C.R. 1980. The phylogeny of prokaryotes. Science 200: 457-483.
- Futuyma, D.J. 1983. Science on Trial, p.73., Pantheon Books, New York.
- Gupta, R., Lanter, J.M. and Woese, C.R. 1983. Sequence of the 16S ribosomal RNA from Halobacterium volcanii, an Archaebacterium. Science 221: 656-659.
- Helling, R.B., Goodman, H.M. and Boyer H.W. 1974. Analysis of Eco R1 fragments of DNA from lambdoid bacteriophages and other viruses by agarcose gel electrophoresis. J. Virol. 14: 1235.
- Hellinga, H.W. and Evans, P.R. 1985. Nucleotide sequence and high level expression the major *Escherichia coli* phosphofructokinase. Eur. J. Biochem. 149: 363-373.
- Hocking, J.D. and Harris, J.I. 1980. D-glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase : Amino acid sequence of the enzyme of the extreme thermobile Thermus acuaticus. Eur. J. Biochem. 108: 571-573.
- Holland, M.J., Holland, J.P., Thill, G.P. and Jackson, K.A. 1981. The primary structures of two yeast enolase genes. J. Biol. Chem. 256: 1385-1385.
- 32. Holland, J.P., Labieniec, L., Swimmer, C. and Holland, M.J. 1083. Homologous nucleotide sequences at the 5' termini of messenger RNAs synthesized from the yeast enolase and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene families. J. Biol. Chem. 258: 5291-5299.
- 33. Howe, J. G. and Hershey, J.W.B. 1984. The rate of evolutionary divergence of initiation factors IF2 and IF3 in various bacterial species determined quantitatively by immunoblotting. Arch. Microbiol. 140: 187-192.

- Irani, M.H. and Maitra, P.K. 1974. Isolation and characterization of Escherichic coli mutants defective in enzymes of glycolysis. Biochem. Biochess. Res. Commun. 56: 127-133.
- Irani, M.H. and Maitra, P.K. 1976. Glyceraldehyde 3-P Dehydrogenase, glycerate 3-P kinase and enolase mutants of *Escherichia coli*: Genetic studies. Molec. Gen. Genet. 145: 85-71.
- 36. Kersters, K. and. De Ley, J. 1975. Identification and grouping of bacteria by numerical analysis of their electrophoretic protein = patterns. J. Gen. Microbiol. 87: 332-342.
- Kimura, M. and Langner, G. 1984. The complete amino acid sequence of the ribosomal protein HS3 from *Halobacterium marismortui*, an archaebacterium. FEBS Letters 175-213-218.
- King, M. and Wilson, A.C. 1975. Evolution at two levels in humans and chimpanzees. Science 188: 107-116.
- Knoll, A.H. and Barghoorn, E.S. 1975. Precambrian eukaryotic organisms: A reassessment of evidence. Science 190: 52-54.
- Kolb, E., Hudson, P.J. and Harris, J.I. 1980. Phosphofructokinase: Complete amino-acid sequence of the enzyme from Bacillus stearothermophilus. Eur. J. Biochem. 108: 587-597.
- Lake, J.A., Henderson, E., Clark, M.W. and Matheson, A.T. 1982. Mapping evolution with ribosome structure: Intralineage constancy and interlineage variation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108: 5048-5052.
- Lake, J.A. 1983. Evolving ribosome structure: Domains in archaebacteria, eubacteria and eucaryotes. Cell 33: 318-318.
- 43. Lake, J.A., Clark, M.W., Henderson, E., Fay, S.P., Oakes, M., Scheimman, A., Thornher, J.P. and Mah, R.A. 1985: Eubacteria, 'halobacteria, and the origin of photosynthesis: The photocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 3716-3720.
- Lake, J.A. 1986. In defence of bacterial phylogeny. Nature 321: 657-658.
- Laemmli, U.K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during assemble of bacterial phage. Nature 277: 680-685.

 Lederer, H. 1986. Archaebacterial status quo is defended. Nature 320: 220.

 Lu, H.S., Yuan, P.M. and Gracy, R.W. 1984. Primary structure of human triosephosphate isomerase. J. Biol. Chem. 259: 11958-11968.

 Maleszka, R., Wang, P.Y. and Schneider, H. 1982. A Col E1 hybrid plasmid containing *Eachsrichia coli* genes complementing D-xylose negative mutants of *Eacherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhimurium*. Can J. Biochem. 60: 144-151.

- 19 Maniatis, T., Fritsch, E.F. and Sambrook, J. 1982. In Molecular Cloning laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Publication.
- Marmur, J. 1981. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from microorganisms. J. Mol. Biol. 3: 208-218.
- Martin, S.L., Vincent, K.A. and Wilson, A.C. 1983. Rise and fall of the delta globin gene, J. Mol. Biol. 164: 513-528.
- Mazur, B.J., Rice, D. and Haselkorn, R. 1980. Indentification of bluegreen algal nitrogen fixation genes by using heterologous DNA hybridization probes. Prc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 186-190.
- McAlister, L. and Holland, M.J. 1982. Targeted deletion of a yeast enolase structural gene. J. Biol. Chem. 257: 7181-7188.
- Meinkoth, J. and Wahl, G. 1984. Hybridization of nucleic acids immobilized on solid supports. Analytic Biochem. 138: 267-284.
- Miernyk, J.A. and Dennis, D.T. 1984. Enolase isozymes from *Ricinus* communis: Partial purification and characterization of the isozymes.
 Arch. Biochem. Biochem. 2019;01:843-651.
- Milner, R.J., Brow, M.D., Cleveland, D.W., Shinnick, T.M. and Sutcliffe, J.G. 1983. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase protein and mRNA are both differentially expressed in adult chickens but not chick embryos. Nucleic Acids Research 11: 3301-3315.
- 57. Moran, L.A., Chauvin, M., Kennedy, M.E., Korri, M., Lowe, D.G., Nicholson, R.C. and Perry, M.D. 1983. The major heat-shock protein (hsp 70) gene family: related sequences in mouse, *Drosophilia* and yeast. Can. J. Biochem 81: 489-499.

106

- Musti, A.M., Zehner, Z., Bostian, K.A., Paterson, B.M. and Kramer, R.A. 1983. Transcriptional mapping of two yeast genes coding for glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase isolated by sequence homology with the chicken gene. Gene 25: 133-143.
- Nichols, B.P., Miozzari, G.F., van Cleemput, M., Bennett, G.N. and Yanofsky, C. 1980. Nucleotide sequences of the trpG regions of *Escherichia coli*, Shigella dysentariae, Salmonella typhimurium] and Serratia marcescens J. Mol. Biol. 142: 503-517.
- Oehler, J.H., Oehler, D.Z. and Muir, M.D. 1976. On the significance of tetrahedrad tetrads of Precambrian algal cells. Orig. Life 7: 259-287.
- 61. Pace, N. R., Stahl, D.A., Lane, D.J. and Olsen, G.J. 1985. Analyzing natural microbial populations by rRNA sequences. ASM News 51: 4-12.
- Perler, F., Efstratiadis, A., Lomedico, P., Gilbert, W., Kolodner, R. and [Doggson, J. 1980. The evolution of genes: the chicken preprioraling near Cell 20: 555-566.
- Pfleiderer, G., Neufahrt-Kreiling, A., Kaplan, R.W. and Fortnagel, P. 1066. Biochemical, immunological, and genetic investigations of the multiple forms of yeast enolase. Biochemische Zeitschrift 346: 269-281.
- Pichersky, E. et al. 1984. Nucleotide sequence of the triose phosphate isomerase gene of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 195: 314-320.
- 65. Pietkiewicz, J., Kustrzeba-Wojcicka, I. and Wolna E. 1983. Purification and properties of enolase from carp *Cyprinus carpio* comparison with enolases from mammals' muscles and yeast. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 75B: 603-608.
- 66. Poorman, R.A. Randolph, A., Kemp, R.G. and Heinrikson, R.L. 1984. Evolution of phosphofructo-kinase gene duplication and creation of new effector sites. Nature 309: 467-469.
- 67. Putkey; J.A., Ts'ui, K.F., Tanaka, T., Lagace, L. Stein, J.P., Lai, E.C. and Means, A.R. 1983. Chicken calmodulin genes. J. Biol. Chem. 258: 11864-11870.
- Ratzkin, R. and Carbon, J. 1977. Functional expression of cloned yeast. DNA in Escherichia coli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74: 487-491.

A State State

- Riley, M. and Anilionis, A. 1978. Evolution of the bacterial genome. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 32: 519-560.
- Riley, M. and Anilionis, A. 1980. Conservation and variation of nucleotide-sequences within related bacterial genomes: Enterobacteria. -J. Bacteriol, 143: 366-376.
- .71. Ruvkun, G.B. and Augubel, F.M. 1980. Interspecies homology of nitrogenase genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 191-195.
- 72. Sakimura, K., Kushiya, E., Obinata, M. and Takahashi, Y. 1985. Molecular cloning and the nucleotide sequence of cDNA to mRNA for non-neuronal enolase (aa enolase) of rat brain and liver. Nucleic Acids Research 13: 4365-4375.
- Scarpulla, R.C., Agne, K.M., Borlthel, J. and Wu, R. 1981. Structures, of a gene and pseudogene for rat cytochrome c. DNA-1: 98.
- Schopf, T.J.M., Raup, D.M., Gould S.J. and Simberloff, D.S. 1975. Genomic versus morphologic rates of evolution: Influence of morphologic complexity. Paleobiology 1: 63-70.
- Schopf, J.W. 1978. The evolution of the earliest cells. Sci. Am. 239: 110-138.
- Schuler, M.A. and Keller, E.B. 1981. The chromosomal arrangement of two linked actin genes in the seaturchin S. purpuratus. Nucleic Acid Research 9(3): 501-604.
- Shimosaka, M., Fukuda, Y., Murata, K. and Kimura, A. 1982. Application of hydrid plasmids carrying glycolysis genes to ATPproduction by *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 152: 98-103.
- Spring, T. G. and Wold, F. 1971. The purification and characterization of *Escherichia coli* enolase. J. Biol. Chem. 246: 6707-6802.
- Stellwagen, E., Cronlund, M.M. and Barnes, L.D. 1973. A thermostable enclase from the extreme thermophile *Thermus* acquaticus YT-1. Biochemistry 12: 1552-1559.
- Straus, D. and Gilbert, W. 1985. Chicken triosephosphate isomerase complements an *Escherichia coli* deficiency. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 2014-2018.

all as here and a state of the set

- Thomson, J. 1977. E. coli phosphofructokinase synthesized in vitro from a Col E1 hybrid plasmid. Gene 1: 347-356.
- Thomson, J., Gerstenberfer, P.D., Goldberg, D.E., Gociar, E., de Silva, A.O. and Fraenkel, D.G. 1979. Col El hybrid plasmids for *Escherichia coli* genes of glycolysis and the hexose monophosphate shunt. J. Bacteriol. 137: 502-506.
- Upholt, W.B. 1977. Estimation of DNA sequence divergence from comparison of restriction endonuclease digests. Nucleic Acid Res. 4(5): 1257-1265.
- Van Valen, L.M. and Maiorana, V.C. 1980. The archaebacteria and eukaryotic origins. Nature 287: 248-250.
- 85. Vidal, G: 1984. The oldest eukaryotic cells. Sci. Am. 250: 48-57.
- Watson, J.C. and Surzycki, S.J. 1982. Extensive sequence homology in the DNA coding for elongation factor Tu from *Escheichia coli* and the *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* chloroplast. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 79: 2264-2207.
- Wilson, A.C., Carlson, S.S., and White, T.J. 1977. Biochemical evolution. Ann. Rev. Biochem. 46: 573-639.
- Woess, C.R. and Fox, G.E. 1977. Phylogenetic structure of the prokaryotic domain: The primary kingdoms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.AP474 5088-5090.
- Wold, F. 1971. Enclase. In The Enzymes (Edited by Boyer, P.D.) 3rd edition, vol 5, pp499-538. Academic Press, New York:
- Wright, J.M. and Boyle, S.M. 1984. Intergeneric homology of the spcC gene encoding biosynthetic ornithine decarboxylase in *Escherichia* coli J. Bacteriol. 159: 1044-1076.
- Yaguchi, M., Visentin, P., Zuker, M.; Matheson, A.T., Roy, C. and Strom, A.R. 1982. Anino-terminal sequences of ribosomal proteins from the 30S subunit of archaebacterium *Halobacterium cutirubrum*. Zbl. Bakt. Hyz. I. Abt. Orig. C3: 200-208.

 Zillig, W. 1986. Archaebacterial status quo is defended. Nature 320: 220. Zimmer, E.A., Martin, S.L., Beverley, S.M., Kan. Y. W. and Wilson, A.C. 1980. Rapid duplication and loss of genes coding for the a chains of hemoglobin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 2158-2162.

Chapter 8

Appendix 1

1. LB (Luria-Bertani) Medium Per litre: Bacto-tryptone 10 g Bacto-yeast extract 5g NaCl 10 g Adjust pH to 7.5 with sodium hydroxide. 2. M9 Medium Per litre: Na,HPO, 6 g KH.PO. 3 g NaCl 0.5 g NH;Cl 1g Adjust pH to 7.4, autoclave, cool, and then add: 1 M MgSO4 2 ml 20% glucose 10 ml 1 M CaCl2 0.1 ml The above solutions should be sterilized separately by filtration (glucose) or autoclaving. 3. Growth Medium for Halobacteria Per litre: Tryptone' 2.5 g Yeast extract 5g NaCl 25 2 MgSO4.7H2O 15 g KCI 5g CaCl..6H.O 0.2 \$

4.	50 X Denhardt's solution Per litre:	:			
	Ficol				5 g
	Polyvinyl-pyrrolidone	3			5 g
	Bovine serum albumin (BSA)			,	5'g
5.	20X SSC Per litre:		•		
	NaCl				175.3 g
	Trisodium citrate				88.2 g
	Adjust pH to 7.0 using NaOH				
6.	50X TAE (Tris-Acetate) Per litre:	i i i			
	This hase	8			949 g
	Glacial acetic acid				57 1 ml
	0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0)	÷ s		100.0	100 ml
7,	5X TBE (Tris-Borate) Per litre:	Ŧ		Χ,	
	Tris hasa			n 19	54 m
	Porio anid				07 5 ml
	0 005 M EDTA (all 9 0)				- 90 ml
	0.000 MI EDIA (pH 8.0)				20 mi

8. <u>TE</u>

10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8.0) 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)







