Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.
VOL. 18 NO. 4 (1995) 745-748

THE BEST APPROXIMATION AND AN EXTENSION OF A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF F.E. BROWDER

V.M. SEHGAL[1] and S.P. SINGH[2]

[1]*Department of Mathematics, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, 82071, U.S.A.*

[2]*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada, A1C 5S7*

(Received March 17, 1994 and in revised form May 9, 1994)

ABSTRACT In this paper, the KKM principle has been used to obtain a theorem on the best approximation of a continuous function with respect to an affine map. The main result provides extensions of some well-known fixed point theorems.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Best approximation, fixed point theorem, KKM-map, p-affine map, inward set.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS: Primary 47H10, Secondary 54H25.

Let E be a locally convex topological vector space and C a non-empty subset of E. A mapping $p : C \times E \to [0, \infty)$ is a convex map iff for each fixed $x \in C, p(x, \cdot) : E \to (0, \infty)$ is a convex function. For $x \in C$, the inward set $I_C(x) = \{x + r(y - x) : y \in C, r > 0\}$. Browder [1] proved the following extension of the Schauder's fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 1. ***(Browder).*** *Let C be a compact, convex subset of E and $f : C \to E$ a continuous map. If $p : C \times E \to [0, \infty)$ is a continuous convex map satisfying*

(1) for each $x \neq f(x)$, there exists a $y \in I_C(x)$ with $p(x, f(x) - y) < p(x, f(x) - x)$, then f has a fixed point.

It may be stated that the importance of Theorem 1 stems from p being a continuous convex map instead of a continuous seminorm on E. In this paper, we use the KKM principle to obtain a result on the 'best approximation' that yields Theorem 1 with relaxed hypothesis on compactness.

Let X be a non-empty subset of E. Recall that a mapping $F : X \to 2^E$ is a KKM map if $F(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in X$, and for any finite subset $A = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X, C_0(A) \subseteq \cup\{F(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where $C_0(A)$ denotes the convex hull of A. Observe that if F is a KKM map, then $x \in F(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

It is shown by Fan [2] that if $F : X \to 2^E$ is a closed valued KKM map, then the family $\{F(x) : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property.

As an immediate consequence of the above result, we have:

LEMMA 2. *If X is a non-empty compact, convex subset of E and $F : X \to 2^E$ is a closed valued KKM map, then $\cap\{F(x) : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF. Define a map $G : X \to 2^X$ by

$$G(x) = F(x) \cap X.$$

Then $G(x)$ is a nonempty compact subset of X and G is a KKM map. Consequently, by [2], $\{G(x) : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since X is compact, it follows that $\cap\{G(x) : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$, and hence, $\cap\{F(x) : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$. □

The following lemma is essentially due to Kim [3]. We give a proof for completeness.

Note: In the following, $C_0(A)$: stands for the closed convex hull of A.

LEMMA 3. *If A and B are compact, convex subsets of E, then $C_0(A \cup B)$ is a compact, convex subset of E.*

PROOF. Since A and B are convex, it follows $C_0(A \cup B) = \{\lambda x + \mu y : x \in A, y \in B, \lambda, \mu \in [0, 1] \text{ and } \lambda + \mu = 1\}$. Clearly, $C_0(A \cup B)$ is a closed and convex subset of E. To show that $C_0(A \cup B)$ is compact,let $C = [0,1] \times [0,1] \times A \times B$ and $D = \{\lambda x + \mu y : x \in A, y \in B, \lambda, \mu \in [0,1]\}$. Then C is a compact subset of $Y = [0,1] \times [0,1] \times E \times E$ in the product topology on Y. Further, the mapping $f : Y \to E$ defined by $f(\lambda, \mu, x, y) = \lambda x + \mu y$ being continuous, it follows that $D = f(C)$ is a compact subset of E and, hence, $C_0(A \cup B) \subseteq D$ is compact. □

LEMMA 4. *Let X be a non-empty convex subset of E and $F : X \to 2^E$ a closed valued KKM map. If there exists a compact, convex set $S \subseteq X$ such that $\cap\{F(x) : x \in S\}$ is non-empty and compact, then $\cap\{F(x) : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF. Let $C = \cap\{F(x) : x \in S\}$. Then C is non-empty and a compact subset of E. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the family $\{F(x) \cap C : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property. To prove this, let A be a finite subset of X. Then $C_0(A)$ is compact and by Lemma 3, $D = C_0(S \cup C_0(A))$ is a compact and convex subset of X. Consequently, by Lemma 2, $\cap\{F(x) : x \in D\} \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\cap\{F(x) \cap C : x \in A\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\{F(x) \cap C : x \in X\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since C is compact and $F(x)$ is closed for each $x \in X$, it follows that $\cap\{F(x) \cap C : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$. This implies that $\cap\{F(x) : x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$.

Let X be a non-empty convex subset of E and $p : X \times E \to [0, \infty)$ a convex map. A mapping $g : X \to X$ is a p-affine map iff for each triple $\{x, x_1, x_2\} \subseteq X, y \in E$, and $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ with $\lambda + \mu = 1$,

$$p(x, y - g(\lambda x_1 + \mu x_2)) \leq \max\{p(x, y - g(x_i)) : i = 1, 2\}.$$

Note: If g is linear or affine in the sense of Prolla [4], then p being convex, it follows that g is p-affine in the above sense. It is immediate that if g is p-affine, then for any finite set $A = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq X$ and $\lambda_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$,

$$p(x, y - g(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i)) \leq \max\{p(x, y - g(x_i)) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$$

for each $x \in X, y \in E$. □

The following is the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 5. *Let X be a nonempty convex subset of E and $p : X \times E \to [0, \infty)$ a continuous convex map. Let $f : X \to E$ and $g : X \to X$ be continuous mappings with g p-affine. Suppose there exist a compact, convex set $S \subseteq X$ and a compact set $K \subseteq X$ such that*

(2) for each $y \in X \backslash K$ there exists an $x \in S$ such that $p(y, f(y) - g(y)) > p(y, f(y) - g(x))$. Then there exists a $u \in X$ that satisfies

(3) $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = \inf\{p(u, f(u) - g(x)) : x \in X\} = \inf\{p(u, f(y) - z) : z \in cl\ I_X(g(u))\}$.

PROOF. We first prove the left equality. For this, we define a mapping $G : X \to 2^X$ by

$$G(x) = \{y \in X : p(y, f(y) - g(y)) \leq p(y, f(y) - g(x))\}.$$

Clearly, $x \in G(x)$ and it follows that $G(x)$ is closed for each $x \in X$. We show that G is a KKM map. Let $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i, \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1, x_i \in X$ for each i. Suppose $y \notin \cup\{G(x_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$,

$$p(y, f(y) - g(y)) > p(y, f(y) - g(x_i)).$$

This implies that $p(y, f(y) - g(y)) = p(y, f(y) - g(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i)) \leq \max\{p(y, f(y) - g(x_i)), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\} < p(y, f(y) - g(y))$. This inequality is impossible and, consequently, $y \in \cup\{G(x_i) : i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, that is, G is a closed valued map. Now, since S is a compact convex subset of X, it follows by Lemma 2 that $C = \cap\{G(x) : x \in S\}$ is a nonempty closed subset of X. We show that $C \subseteq K$. Suppose $y \in C$ and assume that $y \in X \backslash K$. Then by hypothesis there exists an $x \in S$ such that $p(y, f(y) - g(y)) > p(y, f(y) - g(x))$. This implies that $y \notin G(x)$ for an $x \in S$ and, hence, $y \notin C$, contradicting the initial supposition. Thus, $C \subseteq K$ and, hence, $\cap\{G(x) : x \in S\}$ is a nonempty compact subset of K. Hence by Lemma 4, $\cap\{G(x) : x \in X\} \neq \phi$. If $u \in \cap\{G(x) : x \in X\}$, then for each $x \in X$, $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) \leq p(u, f(u) - g(x))$. Further, since $u \in X$, it follows that $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = \inf\{p(u, f(u) - g(x)) : x \in X\}$. This proves the first equality in (3). To prove right side of the equality in (3) we first show that for each $z \in I_X(g(u)) \backslash X, p(u, f(u) - g(u)) \leq p(u, f(u) - z)$. Now $z \in I_X(g(u)) \backslash X$ implies that there is a $y \in X$ and $r > 1$ such that $y = \frac{1}{r} z + (1 - \frac{1}{r}) g(u)$. Hence, by the first equality and p being convex, it follows that $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) \leq p(u, f(u) - y) \leq \frac{1}{r} p(u, f(u) - z) + (1 - \frac{1}{r}) p(u, f(u) - g(u))$, that is, $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) \leq p(u, f(u) - z)$ for each $z \in I_X(g(u)) \backslash X$. Since the last inequality is also true for any $z \in X$, it follows that $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) \leq p(u, f(u) - z)$ for each $z \in I_X(g(u))$. Further, since the functions f, g, and p are continuous and $g(u) \in I_X(g(u))$, it follows that $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = \inf\{p(u, f(u) - z) : z \in \text{cl}\,(I_X(g(u)))\}$. This proves the second equality in (3). □

As a simple consequence of Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 6. *Suppose X is a compact, convex subset of $E, p : X \times E \to [0, \infty)$ a continuous convex function and $f : X \to E$ a continuous function. Then for any continuous p-affine map $g : X \to X$, there exists a $u \in X$ that satisfies (3). Further,*

(i) if $f(x) \in \text{cl}\,(I_X(g(x)))$ for each $x \in X$ then $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = 0$,

(ii) if for each $x \in X$, with $f(x) \neq g(x)$ there exists a $y \in \text{cl}\,(I_X(g(x)))$ such that $p(x, f(x) - y) < p(x, f(x) - g(x))$, then $f(u) = g(u)$.

PROOF. Set $S = K = X$ in Theorem 5. Since $X \backslash K = \phi$, condition (2) in Theorem 5 is satisfied. Hence, there is a $u \in X$, that satisfies (3). Clearly, (i) implies $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = 0$. To prove (ii), suppose $f(u) \neq g(u)$. Then by hypothesis $p(u, f(u) - z) < p(u, f(u) - g(u))$ for some $z \in \text{cl}\,(I_X(g(u)))$. The last inequality contradicts (3). Hence, $f(u) = g(u)$. □

It may be remarked that if g is the identity mapping of X, then Corollary 6 yields Browder's Theorem 1 and also extends a recent result of Sehgal, Singh, and Gastl [5] if f therein is a single valued map.

For the next result, let $\boldsymbol{P}$ denote the family of nonnegative continuous convex functions on $X \times E$. Note if p_1 and $p_2 \in \boldsymbol{P}$, then so is $p_1 + p_2$. Also, if p is a continuous seminorm on E, then p generates a nonnegative continuous convex function on $X \times E$ defined by $\hat{p}(x, y) = p(y)$. A mapping $g : X \to X$ is $\boldsymbol{P}$ affine if it is p-affine for each $p \in \boldsymbol{P}$.

The result below is an extension of an earlier result of Fan.

THEOREM 7. *Let X be a compact, convex subset of E and $f : X \to E$ a continuous function. Then for any continuous $\boldsymbol{P}$ affine map $g : X \to X$,*

(4) either $f(u) = g(u)$ for some $u \in X$,

(5) or there exists a $p \in \boldsymbol{P}$ *and a* $u \in X$ *with* $0 < p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = \inf\{p(u, f(u) - z) : z \in cl\,(I_X(g(u)))$.

In particular, if $f(x) \in cl\,(I_X(g(x)))$ *for each* x*, then (5) holds.*

PROOF. It follows by Theorem 5 that for each $p \in \boldsymbol{P}$ there is a $u = u_p \in X$ such that $p(u, fu - gu) = \inf\{p(u, f(u) - z) : z \in \mathrm{cl}(I_X(g(u)))\}$. If for some $p, p(u, f(u) - g(u)) > 0$, then (5) is true. Suppose then, $p(u_p, f(u_p) - g(u_p)) = 0$ for each $p \in \boldsymbol{P}$. Set $A_p = \{u \in X : p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = 0\}$. Then A_p is a nonempty compact subset of X. Furthermore, the family $\{A_p : p \in \boldsymbol{P}\}$ has the finite intersection property. Consequently, there is a $u \in X$ that satisfies

(6) $p(u, f(u) - g(u)) = 0$ for each $p \in \boldsymbol{P}$.

If $f(u) \neq g(u)$, then since E is separated, there exists a continuous seminorm p on E such that $p(f(u) - g(u)) \neq 0$ and, hence, $\hat{p}(u, f(u) - g(u)) > 0$, contradicting (6). Thus, $f(u) = g(u)$. Hence, (5) holds in the alternate case. □

REFERENCES

BROWDER, F.E. On a sharpened form of Schauder fixed point theorem, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., 76 (1977), 4749-4751.

FAN, K. Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F.E. Browder, Math. Z., 112 (1969), 234-240.

KIM, W.K. Studies on the KKM-maps, MSRI-Korea, Rep. Ser., 14 (1985), 1-114.

PROLLA, J.B. Fixed point theorems for set valued mappings and the existence of best approximants, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, 5 (4) (1982-83), 449-455.

SEHGAL, V.M., SINGH, S.P., AND GASTL, , G.C. On a fixed point theorem for multivalued maps, Proc. First Intern. Conf. on Fixed Point Theory, Pitman Publishers, London, U.K. (1991), 377-382.


Advances in
Mathematical Physics
The Scientific
World Journal
Mathematical Problems
in Engineering
Advances in
Decision
Sciences
International Journal of
Combinatorics
Abstract and
Applied Analysis
Hindawi
Submit your manuscripts at
http://www.hindawi.com
International Journal of
Stochastic Analysis
Discrete Dynamics
in Nature and Society
Journal of Function Spaces
and Applications
Journal of
Applied Mathematics
Advances in
Operations
Research
International Journal of
Differential
Equations
Journal of
Probability
and
Statistics
International
Journal of
Mathematics and
Mathematical
Sciences
ISRN
Mathematical
Analysis
ISRN
Discrete
Mathematics
ISRN
Algebra
ISRN
Applied
Mathematics
ISRN
Geometry