

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/veac056 Advance access publication date: 17 June 2022 Research Article

A new perspective on the evolution and diversity of the genus *Amdoparvovirus* (family *Parvoviridae*) through genetic characterization, structural homology modeling, and phylogenetics

Marta Canuti,^{1,*,†} Judit J. Pénzes,² and Andrew S. Lang^{1,*,‡}

¹Department of Biology, Memorial University of Newfoundland, 45 Arctic Ave., St. John's NL A1C 5S7, Canada and ²Institute for Quantitative Biomedicine, Rutgers the State University of New Jersey, 174 Frelinghuysen Rd, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA [†]https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9959-128X [‡]https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4510-7683

*Corresponding authors: E-mail: marta.canuti@gmail.com; aslang@mun.ca

Abstract

Amdoparvoviruses (genus Amdoparvovirus, family Parvoviridae) are primarily viruses of carnivorans, but recent studies have indicated that their host range might also extend to rodents and chiropterans. While their classification is based on the full sequence of the major nonstructural protein (NS1), several studies investigating amdoparvoviral diversity have been focused on partial sequences, leading to difficulties in accurately determining species demarcations and leaving several viruses unclassified. In this study, while reporting the complete genomic sequence of a novel amdoparvovirus identified in an American mink (British Columbia amdoparvovirus, BCAV), we studied the phylogenetic relationships of all amdoparvovirus-related sequences and provide a comprehensive reevaluation of their diversity and evolution. After excluding recombinant sequences, phylogenetic and pairwise sequence identity analyses allowed us to define fourteen different viruses, including the five currently classified species, BCAV, and four additional viruses that fulfill the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses criteria to be classified as species. We show that the group of viruses historically known as Aleutian mink disease virus (species Carnivore amdoparvovirus 1) should be considered as a cluster of at least four separate viral species that have been co-circulating in mink farms, facilitating the occurrence of inter-species recombination. Genome organization, splicing donor and acceptor sites, and protein sequence motifs were surprisingly conserved within the genus. The sequence of the major capsid protein virus protein 2 (VP2) was significantly more conserved between and within species compared to NS1, a phenomenon possibly linked to antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE). Homology models suggest a remarkably high degree of conservation of the spikes located near the icosahedral threefold axis of the capsid, comprising the surface region associated with ADE. A surprisingly high number of divergent amino acid positions were found in the luminal threefold and twofold axes of the capsid, regions of hitherto unknown function. We emphasize the importance of complete genome analyses and, given the marked phylogenetic inconsistencies across the genome, advise to obtain the complete coding sequences of divergent strains. Further studies on amdoparvovirus biology and structure as well as epidemiological and virus discovery investigations are required to better characterize the ecology and evolution of this important group of viruses.

Key words: carnivore amdoparvovirus; Aleutian mink disease virus; skunk amdoparvovirus; viruses of carnivorans; parvovirus; virus taxonomy

1. Introduction

Amdoparvoviruses are viruses within the genus Amdoparvovirus (formerly Amdovirus) of the family Parvoviridae (subfamily Parvoviriae). Their virions are small, nonenveloped particles of T = 1 icosahedral symmetry. The capsid, formed by the major capsid virus protein 2 (VP2) and the minor capsid protein VP1, contains a single molecule of single-stranded DNA. The genome includes two gene cassettes, whose expression is driven by a single promoter, flanked by imperfect palindromes that fold into terminal

partially double-stranded hairpins. The gene cassette on the left side of the genome contains information for the three nonstructural proteins NS1–3, while the one on the right encodes the two capsid proteins. Alternative splicing plays a crucial role in the protein expression strategy of amdoparvoviruses, as several different transcripts are generated through this mechanism, extending the coding capacity of the small genome from 4.5 to 5 kb. The three NS proteins possess the same N-terminal sequence but have variable C-terminal sequences, while the two capsid proteins possess

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License

⁽https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

the same C-terminal sequence, responsible for creating the capsid shell itself, but have different N-terminal truncations. In fact, VP1 contains a unique approximately forty additional amino acid (aa)long extension designated as VP1 unique region (VP1u) (Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015; Cotmore et al. 2019).

As with all other parvoviruses, the major NS protein (NS1) of amdoparvoviruses does not have polymerase activities and hence these viruses rely on the cellular replication machinery for their DNA replication. However, NS1 is crucial for viral replication. This protein, in fact, contains an evolutionarily conserved HuH (His-hydrophobic-His) nuclease motif linked to rolling circle replication (RCR) and a highly conserved helicase superfamily 3 (SF3) domain with helicase and ATPase activity (Cotmore et al. 2019; Pénzes et al. 2020). Due to its high level of conservation, the NS1 protein is used to determine parvoviral taxonomy (Pénzes et al. 2020). On the other hand, unlike most members of the Parvovirinae subfamily, the VP1u of amdoparvoviruses lacks a phospholipase A2 (PLA2) domain that normally mediates virus egress across the endosomal bilayer during infection (Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015; Cotmore et al. 2019; Pénzes et al. 2020). The major capsid protein VP2, constituting about 90 per cent of the capsid, is highly immunogenic and contains domains that are relevant for antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) during infections of the best-studied representative, Aleutian mink disease virus (AMDV) (McKenna et al. 1999; Bloom et al. 2001). ADE is a mechanism that facilitates viral entry into one of the virus' target replication cell types, circulating macrophages.

Amdoparvoviruses prevalently infect members of the mammalian order Carnivora (carnivorans). As mentioned above, the member of this genus that has been most well characterized is AMDV (species Carnivore amdoparvovirus 1), which has been a scourge of the mink-farming industry. AMDV is the causative agent of Aleutian disease, a progressive wasting syndrome characterized by hypergammaglobulinemia, weight loss, and anorexia, known to farmers since the late 1940s. After its genome was sequenced in 1988, AMDV remained the sole member of the genus Amdovirus, specifically named after this virus, until additional species were discovered in the 2010s (Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015). The genus now includes five species with official taxonomic designations (Pénzes et al. 2020), but a few additional recently discovered viruses possess the genetic characteristics typical of amdoparvoviruses. The closest known relatives to AMDV are skunk amdoparvovirus (SKAV, Carnivore amdoparvovirus 4) (Canuti et al. 2017) and Labrador amdoparvovirus 1 (LaAV-1) (Canuti et al. 2020a). Both viruses have been identified in North America and are found in animals that are close relatives to mink, specifically skunks and martens, respectively. However, LaAV-1 has also been found in foxes from the same area (Canuti et al. 2020a). SKAV, LaAV-1, and AMDV likely originated in and spread across North America, but AMDV now has a worldwide distribution due to international farming and movement of infected animals, followed by accidental escape or deliberate release of infected animals from farms (Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015; Franzo et al. 2021).

AMDV and SKAV are the only two viruses that have been the subject of studies evaluating the viral diversity and distribution. SKAV is likely endemic in skunks across North America, and the virus circulates with high local prevalence. Additionally, an ancient virus-host association has been postulated for SKAV, since it is characterized by a high genetic diversity and strains segregate based on their geographic origin (Britton et al. 2015; Nituch et al. 2015; Canuti et al. 2017; Glueckert et al. 2019; Alex et al. 2022). To the best of our knowledge, this virus has never been reported outside of Canada or the USA. On the contrary, AMDV

has been reported globally and its molecular epidemiology has been investigated extensively in farmed animals and somewhat among wild animal populations (reviewed in (Zaleska-Wawro et al. 2021)). The spread of AMDV as a direct consequence of fur animal import/export caused the same viral strains to circulate globally not only in farms, but also among free-ranging and wild mustelids since viruses are frequently exchanged between farms and wildlife (Zaleska-Wawro et al. 2021). However, once the virus has been introduced to wildlife, viral perpetuation also seems to occur among wild animals independently from farms (Leimann et al. 2015; Canuti et al. 2016, 2020a; Jakubczak et al. 2017; Virtanen et al. 2021). For these reasons, SKAV represents a better model than AMDV to study amdoparvoviral ecology and evolution in wildlife until divergent AMDV strains of non-North-American descent are identified.

The racoon dog and fox amdoparvovirus (RFAV, Carnivore amdoparvovirus 3) (Shao et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2021) and the red panda amdoparvovirus (RpAPV, Carnivore amdoparvovirus 5) (Alex et al. 2018), closely related to the AMDV-like viruses, were discovered in captive animals inhabiting fur farms and a zoo, respectively. Since there are no data about their distributions in wildlife, their host range and geographical distribution are still unconfirmed. Recently, a second red panda amdoparvovirus (referred to here as RpAPV-2) was discovered in captive Chinese red pandas (Zhao et al. 2022). More genetically distant viruses have been found in foxes. The gray fox amdovirus (GFAV, Carnivore amdoparvovirus 2) (Li et al. 2011), the red fox fecal amdovirus (RFFAV, only partially sequenced) (Bodewes et al. 2013), and the Labrador amdoparvovirus 2 (LaAV-2, only partially sequenced) (Canuti et al. 2020a) have been identified in foxes from North America (GFAV and LaAV-2) and Europe (RFFAV). Interestingly, amdoparvoviral fragments were also recently identified in rats (RtRn-ParV, only partially sequenced) (Wu et al. 2018) and horseshoe bats (Rp-BtAMDV1 and BtRl-PV/FJ2012, both only partially sequenced) (Wu et al. 2016; Lau et al., 2017), suggesting that the host range of amdoparvoviruses may not be restricted to carnivorans. This is further indicated by the presence of endogenous amdoparvoviral elements in rodents (Pénzes et al. 2018). Nevertheless, other than for AMDV and SKAV, there are no studies for amdoparvoviruses besides those describing their discovery, and knowledge about their diversity and distribution are still limited. Furthermore, AMDV is the only virus that has been grown in cell culture, and the biological properties of all other amdoparvoviruses can only be predicted based on sequence similarities within the genus at the moment.

Although sequences of the amdoparvoviral capsid proteins are relatively conserved across species, NS1 sequences are highly variable, even among viruses from the same species (Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015; Canuti et al. 2016, 2020a; Alex et al. 2022). For AMDV, different lineages have been observed that are characterized by an exceptionally high NS1 inter-lineage diversity and sometimes by different pathogenic potentials (Olofsson et al. 1999; Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015; Ryt-Hansen et al. 2017a; Prieto et al. 2020). Also, different SKAV lineages have been identified, but these seem to be more closely related to each other compared to AMDV and be geographically segregated (Canuti et al. 2017; Alex et al. 2022). For AMDV and SKAV, this antigenic stability has been hypothesized to be linked to ADE as the recognition of the virus by the host immune system would enhance viral replication (Canuti et al. 2016; Alex et al. 2022). However, as of yet no study has determined whether this could be theoretically applicable to other amdoparvoviral species. Besides this marked discrepancy in evolutionary dynamics of the two genes, the determination of the and the novel genome obtained in this study. Sequence alignments obtained with Clustal W (Larkin et al. 2007) were screened for recombination with recombination detection program (RDP) (Martin et al. 2015), and maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees were built with IQ-TREE 2 (Minh et al. 2020) using the bestfit substitution model identified as the one with the lowest Bayesian information criterion with the ModelFinder function (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). For each tree, branch support was assessed with both ultrafast bootstrap approximation (ufBoot) (Hoang et al. 2018) and Shimodaira-Hasegawa-like approximate likelihood ratio test (SH-aLRT) (Guindon et al. 2010). Recombination events were further explored with a bootscanning analysis performed with Simplot (Lole et al. 1999). Identities between sequence pairs were calculated as 1 - p distance and used to construct histograms, representing the frequency distributions of pairwise sequence identities between and within groups, with PAST 4 (Hammer, Harper, and Ryan 2001). The sequence motif identification and open reading frame (ORF) annotations were performed in Geneious R11 (Biomatters), and figures were finalized with INKSCAPE (https://inkscape.org).

2.3 Homology modeling

As there has not been any amdoparvoviral high-resolution structure deposited to the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (PDB) thus far, we relied on homology modeling to assess the location of certain structural elements of the amdoparvovirus capsid. This approach was greatly facilitated by the high structural conservation among parvoviral capsid proteins, characteristic of the entire Parvovirinae subfamily (Mietzsch, Pénzes, and Agbandje-McKenna 2019). Template searching was carried out by the pGenThreader (Lobley, Sadowski, and Jones 2009) profile-based fold recognition algorithm of the PSIPred suite (Moffat and Jones 2021). The prediction was executed independently in case of the VP2 of each representative of prospective or established amdoparvoviral species, and the template of the overall highest score was used ubiquitously in subsequent protein modeling applications to avoid possible false structural differences introduced by template bias. Protein modeling was carried out with Modeller v10.2 (Webb and Sali 2016). The models obtained were visualized using University of California at San Francisco (UCSF) Chimera (Pettersen et al. 2004) and complete 60mers were generated using the suite's built-in icosahedral matrix generator based on the I,222r orientation. The pseudo-atomic surface rendition of the AMDV capsid model was created using ChimeraX.

3. Results and discussion 3.1 Detection of a novel amdoparvovirus

Screening organ samples collected from a dead mink with a panamdoparvovirus PCR (Canuti et al. 2020a) allowed us to identify a novel amdoparvovirus. Since this virus was found in only one mink and considering that amdoparvoviruses sometimes lack host specificity (Shao et al. 2014; Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015; Nituch et al. 2015; Canuti et al. 2017, 2020a, 2020b), we could not conclusively assign a maintenance host to this virus. We decided to name it British Columbia amdoparvovirus (BCAV), according to the origin of the sample where the virus was identified. Because of sequence conservation between amdoparvoviruses, we were able to obtain the near-complete genomic sequence of BCAV, covering the full coding sequence but lacking the termini, whose sequences are difficult to obtain from uncultured parvoviruses. The obtained sequence was 4281 nt long and a Blast analysis showed it was 90.5 per cent identical to its closest relative, an

phylogenetic relationships among amdoparvoviruses is further complicated by the occurrence of recombination, which has been frequently observed for AMDV, especially in farm settings (Canuti et al. 2016; Virtanen et al. 2019, 2021), and SKAV (Canuti et al. 2017; Alex et al. 2022). Furthermore, while the parvovirus classification is based on the phylogenetic analysis and sequence comparisons of NS1 protein sequences (Pénzes et al. 2020), several older studies (reviewed in (Zaleska-Wawro et al. 2021)) investigating the diversity of AMDV focused on VP2 or compared only partial sequences. This led to difficulties in accurately recognizing the species diversity spectrum of amdoparvoviruses and possibly to an overestimation of AMDV genetic diversity because the sequence pool of this virus could be 'contaminated' with sequences from different viruses. For example, SKAV was recognized as representing a separate species from AMDV only after phylogenetic analyses of the complete coding regions of the genome revealed that previously obtained partial genomic fragments were not sufficient to clearly differentiate the two species (Nituch et al. 2015; Canuti et al. 2017). Additionally, to the best of our knowledge, no study as of yet has compared all available amdoparvoviral sequences or presented a phylogenetic analysis that includes all recognized and presumed members, leaving aspects of amdoparvoviral diversity, ecology, and evolution largely unexplored.

In this study, we report the full coding sequence of a novel amdoparvovirus identified in an American mink from British Columbia, Canada, and studied its potential geographic range through sequence database investigations. Furthermore, we investigated all amdoparvoviral sequences available to reconcile novel discoveries and long-known viruses. We assessed common amdoparvoviral molecular features and phylogenetic relationships to evaluate the extent of amdoparvoviral diversity, elucidate relationships among the currently known viruses, and incorporate this new information in the species-level taxonomy within this genus. Furthermore, to gain more insights on the mechanisms driving amdoparvoviral capsid evolution, we constructed models of the VPs and characterized the possible location of various variable and conserved structural regions.

2. Materials and methods 2.1 Sample

Organ samples were collected from one American mink (Neovison vison) that was trapped in the winter of 2015 in the Elk Valley, in the southeastern part of British Columbia, Canada. The carcass was part of a collection by wildlife researchers for various sampling protocols. On submission to a diagnostic laboratory, DNA was isolated from tissues as described (Britton et al. 2015) and amdoparvovirus screening polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) and complete genomic sequencing were subsequently done as previously reported (Canuti et al. 2016, 2020a, 2020b). The sample processing was carried out in accordance with guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care, with approved protocol 15-04-AL from the Memorial University Institutional Animal Care Committee. In accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care guidelines, this research was exempt from Animal Research Ethic Board review in Canada because samples were collected from an animal previously harvested for non-research purposes.

2.2 Sequence analyses

The study involved 1,919 sequences, including all amdoparvoviral sequences available in GenBank on 24 November 2021 (N = 1,894), twenty-four recently obtained SKAV sequences (Alex et al. 2022),

AMDV strain from the Netherlands (accession number KY997041). The molecular features of this virus will be analyzed in more detail in the following sections.

3.2 Recombination detection and final sequence datasets

From the original dataset of 1,919 amdoparvoviral sequences, all sequences that encompassed the full NS1 or VP2 ORFs were extracted for the following analyses. From this set, duplicate sequences (100 per cent nt identity), sequences with long stretches of undetermined bases, and sequences with indels causing frameshifts were removed. This process resulted in the selection of 329 full NS1 and 241 full VP2 sequences. For VP2, the area of the polyglycine stretch, which is highly variable in length between and within species (see below) and hence can interfere with phylogenetic reconstructions, was removed when phylogenetic trees were built and for pairwise identity calculations.

A recombination analysis was performed to identify and remove potentially chimeric sequences before building trees and achieve a better resolution of the phylogenetic clades, which is made problematic by the presence of recombinant sequences (Posada 2000), rather than to examine the recombination potential of amdoparvoviruses, which has previously been investigated (Canuti et al. 2016, 2017; Virtanen et al. 2019, 2021; Alex et al. 2022). These sequences could represent both recombinant viruses evolved during the co-infection of the same cell by two different viruses as well as artificial chimeras generated during sequence assembly from samples containing more than one virus. Nucleotide sequences were converted into protein sequences and used to build maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees, while individual gene alignments were used for recombination detection with RDP. Each event detected by RDP was carefully inspected by assessing the alignments and the generated graphs and trees to make sure that the potentially recombinant as well as parental sequences were correctly identified. Sequences identified as potentially recombinant that showed parents located in different main branches (i.e. inter-species chimeras) or for which one parent was unknown were removed from the dataset and new phylogenetic trees were built. This process was repeated until no further recombinant sequences were found. The analysis evidenced the presence of several recombination signals involving many different sequences with many different predicted breakpoints. As an example, the bootscanning analysis of a few potentially recombinant sequences is provided in supplementary material (Supplementary Fig. S1). Potentially chimeric NS1 sequences were mainly found among SKAV and AMDV (between the three main clades), confirming previous studies (Canuti et al. 2016, 2017; Virtanen et al. 2019, 2021; Alex et al. 2022). A potential recombination involving AMDV and RFAV was also detected (Supplementary Fig. S1). This consisted of a 400-nt AMDV-like sequence in a RFAV NS1 backbone. Given the low number of RFAV available sequences, this potentially recombinant sequence (strain SD17, accession number KY421419) was not removed from the final dataset as its presence did not affect the overall tree topology. For VP2, recombination was detected among AMDV, SKAV, BCAV, and LaAV-1. The lack of recombination detection for other viruses was likely related to the low number of available sequences, rather than the absence of this phenomenon in the evolutionary history of those groups. The final dataset included 280 full NS1 and 207 full VP2 sequences after the exclusion of 49 and 34 potentially recombinant AMDV NS1 and VP2 sequences, respectively.

The partially sequenced NS1 of Bt-Rl-PV (only approximately 30 aa shorter on the N-terminal side) was also included in the NS1 phylogenetic analyses. Furthermore, to achieve a more complete overview of amdoparvoviral diversity, partially sequenced viruses (RFFAV, LaAV-2, RtRn-ParV, and BtRl-PV) were used for additional phylogenetic analyses, as well as for within- and between-group identity analyses and sequence motif comparisons. However, only full sequences from viruses that were either already classified within species or that could be eligible for classification were used to make the histograms. Because we identified clades that were populated by many highly identical sequences for AMDV, all but one sequence from those clades were removed for calculating distance frequencies to avoid an overrepresentation of the signal caused by these strains. Finally, the whole non-redundant dataset was used for genome structure analyses and motif conservation assessment. Accession numbers of sequences used are available in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

3.3 Phylogenetic analyses

Phylogenetic relationships among groups of viruses were studied considering the two main ORFs separately because the structural and non-structural proteins are subject to different evolutionary forces and the mutation rates of genes encoding NS1 and VP2 are considerably different (Canuti et al. 2016, 2017). Therefore, we built two trees with the NS1 protein and nucleotide sequence alignments, the first normally also used for parvoviral taxonomic purposes (Pénzes et al. 2020), and a separate one with the nucleotide alignment of VP2 because the high protein sequence identity, resulting in the overrepresentation of invariable sites, made VP2 proteins unsuitable for group delineation (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. S2). Additional trees were built with partial alignments to estimate the phylogenetic placement of partially sequenced viruses (Supplementary Fig. S3). The results of these analyses are summarized in Fig. 1, where the positions of partially sequenced viruses in supplementary trees are indicated by dotted lines.

Although the topology of the NS1 and VP2 trees was slightly different and differences between groups in the VP2 tree were less noticeable, the same clades corresponding to different groups of viruses were clearly distinguishable in all trees. Specifically, viruses belonging to each of the five classified viral species are clearly separated into highly supported clades and additional clades corresponding to potential novel species (indicated by a star in Fig. 1) can also be seen. Novel groups corresponded to the recently discovered LaAV-1 (Canuti et al. 2020a) and RpAPV-2 (Zhao et al. 2022) and the virus described for the first time in this study (BCAV). Additionally, these trees show that viruses historically defined as AMDV could be divided into three well-separated groups, indicated here as AMDV-1, AMDV-2, and AMDV-3.

These trees also show that all viruses of mustelids and skunks (AMDVs, SKAV, LaAV-1, and BCAV) were closely related and always formed one highly supported clade, where SKAV is the outlier. Similarly, all viruses from foxes (GFAV, RFFAV, and LaAV-2) clustered closely together while viruses from red pandas (RdPAV and RdPAV-2) occupied an intermediate position. This distribution reflects the phylogenetic relationships among these groups of carnivorans and may reflect long-term virus–host co-evolution throughout the evolution of these hosts. Since RFAV has so far only been identified in foxes, raccoon dogs, and mink housed in farm settings, its reservoir host remains uncertain, and we prefer not to speculate about the position of this virus in the tree in relationship to its unknown reservoir host.



Figure 1. Phylogenetic analyses of amdoparvoviruses. The phylogenetic trees based on the NS1 protein sequences (left) and VP2 nucleotide sequences (right) were built with the maximum-likelihood method with the JTTDCMut + F + R5 and TVM + F + R7 models, respectively, using IQ-Tree. The outcomes of the SH-aLRT and bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are shown for the main nodes. Triangles represent collapsed redundant clades, and branch lengths are proportional to genetic distances as indicated by the scale bar. The predicted phylogenetic placements of partially sequenced viruses assessed with different analyses (Supplementary Fig. S3) are shown with dotted lines. The groups of viruses are color-coded and labeled according to virus abbreviations listed in Table 1. Official taxonomic names are indicated for species when available. The phylogenetic positions in the two trees of viruses whose genomes were fully sequenced are connected by straight lines and viruses that are eligible for official classification are indicated by a star.

Table	1.	List	of	amdo	parvov	viruses	and	their	current	classification	h status.

Virus name	Virus abbreviation	Species ^a	Reference strain	Maintenance host ^b	Reference sequence AN
Aleutian mink disease virus 1	AMDV-1	Carnivore amdoparvovirus 1	AMDV-G	Mink	NC_001662
Gray fox amdovirus	GFAV	Carnivore amdoparvovirus 2	-	Fox	NC_038533
Racoon dog and fox amdoparvovirus	RFAV	Carnivore amdoparvovirus 3	HS-R	Raccoon dog?	NC_025825
Skunk amdoparvovirus	SKAV	Carnivore amdoparvovirus 4	SK-23	Skunk	NC_034445
Red panda amdoparvovirus	RpAPV	Carnivore amdoparvovirus 5	-	Red panda	NC_031751
Aleutian mink disease virus 2	AMDV-2	Unassigned	HY 327	Mink	MG821261
Aleutian mink disease virus 3	AMDV-3	Unassigned	LM	Mink	KY680280
British Columbia amdoparvovirus	BCAV	Unassigned	BCWM-1	Mink?	ON375541
Labrador amdoparvovirus 1	LaAV-1	Unassigned	MART4	Marten?	MT770849
Red panda amdoparvovirus 2	RpAPV –2	Unassigned	patient12amdo01-4	Red panda	MZ357124
Red fox fecal amdovirus	RFFAV	Not eligible	S40	Fox	KF823809
Labrador amdoparvovirus 2	LaAV-2	Not eligible	F6	Fox	MT770902
Rattus nitidus parvovirus	RtRn-ParV	Not eligible	GZ2016	Rat	KY432922
Rhinolophus lepidus parvovirus	BtRl-PV	Not eligible	FJ2012	Bat	KJ641663

^aWhen a species lacks taxonomic designation but is eligible for classification, it is indicated as 'unassigned' while 'not eligible' indicates that the virus cannot be classified as a species because it has only been partially sequenced.

^bA question mark indicates that not enough data are available to determine the reservoir host with certainty.

^cAccession number.

Interestingly, the virus identified in rats (RtRn-ParV) was closely related to fox viruses. A previous study hypothesized that foxes may acquire amdoparvoviral infection through carnivory (Canuti et al. 2020a), and the presence of a rodent-specific amdoparvovirus clade that is the source of infection for foxes could explain this proximity. However, other types of cross-species transmission as well as the fact that viral particles could reach the digestive tract of rodents through the ingestion of contaminated food can also be hypothesized. Finally, as expected, the virus found in bats proved to be the most divergent sequence. Undoubtedly, studies on amdoparvoviruses in animals other than carnivorans are still lacking and future studies investigating the potential presence of amdoparvoviruses in other animal orders should be performed to increase our knowledge of the ecology and host spectrum of these viruses.

3.4 Identities within and between groups

Pairwise aa sequence identities were calculated for NS1 and VP2 proteins using the whole dataset (Fig. 2A). For NS1, the pairwise identity ranges show that sequences within each group are at least 83 per cent identical, a percentage that is slightly lower than the cut-off set as species demarcation criteria for parvoviruses (85 per



Figure 2. Sequence identity between and within amdoparvovirus groups. (A) Ranges of pairwise sequence identities calculated between NS1 (top-right) and VP2 (bottom-left) predicted protein sequence pairs within and between each group. Each cell is color-coded according to its value as indicated by the scale on the side ("Based on a fragment of 189 aa (~29 per cent of NS1); [%]Based on a fragment of 581 aa (~89 per cent of NS1); ^{\$}Based on a fragment of 161 aa (~25 per cent of NS1); ^{\$}Based on a fragment of 289 aa (~45 per cent of VP2); ²Based on a fragment of 254 aa (~45 per cent of VP2); ³Based on a fragment of 264 aa (~41 per cent of VP2)). (B) Histograms depicting the frequency distributions of pairwise sequence identities between and within groups. The frequency (vertical axis) of pairwise sequence identities (horizontal axis) calculated for each sequence pair for NS1 (left) and VP2 (right) predicted proteins for each bin are indicated by rectangles whose height corresponds to the number of occurrences. In both cases, values calculated for pairs within species are at the bottom, and a trend line is indicated for all graphs. For comparisons between sequences the major contributors to certain peaks are indicated, and a vertical dotted line indicates the 85 per cent identity cut-off for species demarcation.

cent) (Pénzes et al. 2020). Although the highest pairwise identity between sequences from the three different AMDV groups was approximately 88 per cent, the clear separation of the three clades in the phylogenetic tree as well as the lowest pairwise identity values (77–78 per cent) support the split of these viruses into three different species. Specifically, the overlap in pairwise sequence identities between and within the three AMDV clades is caused by a small percentage of strains, as can be observed clearly on the histogram shown in Fig. 2B. For all other comparisons, the highest pairwise identity found for two sequences of different groups was 83 per cent and this analysis is concordant with the phylogenetic analysis overall.

As it can be seen in Fig. 2, pairwise sequence identities between and within groups were much higher for VP2 than NS1, demonstrating that VP2 is much more conserved than NS1 for all amdoparvoviruses, which holds true even when comparing viruses from different species. Also in this case, the identities reflect the phylogenetic analyses and show how differences between VP2 proteins of different species are less clear among closely related viruses, being especially noticeable for AMDV-1 to -3, LaAV-1, and BCAV, indicating a more recent divergence time for the viruses of mustelids. Because antigenic stability could theoretically favor viral replication, previous studies have correlated a higher sequence conservation of VP2 to ADE (Canuti et al. 2016; Alex et al. 2022). This hypothesis will be evaluated further in the subsequent sections.

3.5 BCAV distribution

To investigate whether viruses similar to BCAV were previously partially sequenced and to explore potential hosts and geographic distribution, several trees were built with partial genomic sequences and using most of the amdoparvovirus sequences available in the non-redundant nt database in GenBank. In a tree built with an alignment of 843 sequences of approximately 280 nt of the NS1 gene, we found a clade that included, besides BCAV, several sequences from Canadian mink (both farmed and free-ranging) (Nituch et al. 2012; Persson et al. 2015) and one sequence from a Swedish free-ranging mink (Ryt-Hansen et al. 2017b) (Fig. 3). In this clade, the three sequences BCWM-1, UNC-13, and TF35 were over 85 per cent identical to each other at the aa level. The topology of the tree, however, did not reflect the one built with the full NS1 as many more groups could be observed (Supplementary Fig. S4). This indicates that this partial alignment may not be phylogenetically representative of the whole sequence.

In another tree built with 516 partial (500 nt) VP2 sequences, BCAV clustered with several other strains identified in mink and other mustelids worldwide (Mañas et al. 2001; Nituch et al. 2012) (Fig. 3). Unfortunately, the high identities between VP2 sequences of viruses of Musteloidea make species resolution in this region low. However, in this tree and in the tree built with the full VP2 (Fig. 1), BCAV formed a distinct cluster with the strain AMDV-Pullman. This is a strain that can induce severe diseases in Aleutian and related (e.g. Sapphire) mink, which possess a genetic mutation that makes them more susceptible to Aleutian disease (Anistoroaei, Krogh, and Christensen 2013), but has a greatly reduced pathogenicity in animals of other types (Eklund et al. 1968; Bloom et al. 1975; Hadlow, Race, and Kennedy 1983, 1985; Alexandersen 1986; Oie et al. 1996). Although our results might indicate that this less pathogenic virus could be part of a different viral species compared to AMDV-G (the prototypical AMDV-1 strain), unfortunately only its VP2 has been sequenced and no conclusive classification can be made at this point. Nonetheless, this could represent yet another example of misclassification.

Although the results from these analyses seem to indicate that viruses highly identical to BCAV are circulating in mink worldwide,



Figure 3. Phylogenetic analysis of BCAV. Clades from larger phylogenetic trees (843 NS1 sequences and 516 VP2 sequences) that include BCAV are showed. The original trees, shown in Supplementary Fig. S4, are based on partial NS1 (nt 602–882 of AMDV-1 strain G, accession number: JN040434) and VP2 (nt 2,721–3,200 of AMDV-1 strain G, accession number: JN040434) nt sequences and were built with the maximum-likelihood method with the TIM3 + F + R6 and TVM + F + R4 models, respectively, using IQ-Tree. The outcomes of the SH-aLRT and bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are shown. Each strain is labeled with the sequence accession number, followed by the strain name, the host in which it was identified, and the country of origin.

partial NS1 or VP2 sequences do not provide accurate results to conclusively establish relationships between the different viruses, and complete genomic sequences from additional related viruses are required to confirm our interpretations based on the currently available data.

3.6 Genome structure and conserved protein motifs

After defining virus groups and species, we compared their genome organizations. As shown in Fig. 4A, all viruses presented the typical amdoparvoviral genome organization. Additionally, splicing donor and acceptor sites, the locations of which were identified based on what was experimentally determined for AMDV (Qiu et al. 2006; Canuti, Whitney, and Lang 2015), were surprisingly very well conserved across species, including even the most distantly related amdoparvoviruses (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). The sizes of NS1 (641-654 aa) and NS2 (114-116 aa) proteins were also fairly consistent, while the variable location of the stop codon for the NS3 ORF made the size of this protein quite variable (59-102 aa) both within and between species (Supplementary Table S5). As expected, the NS1 RCR and helicase Walker motifs were highly conserved (Fig. 4B, Supplementary Table S5), as is the case for all parvoviruses (Cotmore et al. 2019; Pénzes et al. 2020). Similarly, the sizes of VP1 (669-673 aa, excluding the polyglycine stretch) and VP2 (625-630 aa, excluding the polyglycine stretch) proteins proved to be rather variable within and between species owing to the variable length of the polyglycine stretch (located at the beginning of VP2 and included between AMDV residues AEA and NST), which showed a length of 5-18 residues (Supplementary Table S6). The presence of a glycine-rich domain in the proximity of the structural protein N-terminus is well characterized in the family Parvoviridae and has been associated with high flexibility (Chapman and Rossmann 1993; Agbandje-McKenna et al. 1998; Mietzsch, Pénzes, and Agbandje-McKenna 2019). This dynamic region, known for its difficulty to resolve structurally, is capable of fitting through the fivefold channel of the parvoviral T = 1 icosahedral capsid and externalizing the N-terminus of the minor and major capsid proteins through this pore-like opening to the capsid surface (Subramanian et al. 2017; Mietzsch et al. 2020; Pénzes et al. 2021). This mechanism, however, has only been characterized for parvoviruses possessing the PLA2 domain and was deemed essential for late endosomal egress. The comparable location of the polyglycine region in amdoparvoviruses suggests that a similar mechanism might occur during their intracellular trafficking.

3.7 Capsid homology modeling

Template search by profile-based fold recognition revealed that the Amdoparvovirus capsid monomer may harbor a structure most similar to those of members of the genus Protoparvovirus, which corroborates previous findings suggesting that the two monophyletic genera share a recent common evolutionary past (Pénzes et al. 2018; Canuti et al. 2020b). In the case of each representative of all established and prospective Amdoparvovirus species, the highest ranked hits encompassed exclusively Protoparvovirus capsid structures deposited to the PDB thus far (P-values of 1e-21 to 1e-19). The highest match scores were obtained between the H1 parvovirus VP2 (PDB ID: 4G0R) and the derived VP2 protein of GFAV, yet the BtRl-PV VP2-derived aa sequence fold recognition produced the overall least significant P-values (3e-20 with H1 parvovirus to 1e-19 with Porcine parvovirus 1 (PDB ID: 1K3V)). Consequently, the H1 parvovirus VP2 structure was ubiquitously used as a template for homology modeling. Due to little structural similarity and absence from the template structure, the N-terminal ~45 aa and the C-terminal ~40 aa could not be reliably incorporated into the homology models.

The resulting icosahedral 60mers displayed a surface morphology remarkably similar to the AMDV-1 low-resolution 22 Å cryo electron microscopy structure (McKenna et al. 1999) (Fig. 5). Our models indicated that the amdoparvovirus capsid has a highly conserved eight-stranded jellyroll core with six loops exposed to the surface, comprising, in unison with the surrounding depressions, nine variable regions (VRs), all of which are structural homologs of the Protoparvovirus VRs. All these fast-evolving regions were limited to the capsid surface even in case of the most divergent BtRI-PV (Fig. 5A). Upon corresponding the models to the VP2derived protein sequence of the three prospective AMDV species, it was observed that differences over a longer region are limited to VRs 1, 3, and 5 (Fig. 5A), with possible alterations only of the conformation of Loop 1 (Fig. 5B). When comparing the VP2 sequences and the homology models throughout musteloidinfecting amdoparvoviruses (AMDV-1, AMDV-2, AMDV-3, BCAV, LaAV-1, SKAV, RFAV, RpAPV-1, and RpAPV-2), differences seem to accumulate in the positions of the VRs (Fig. 4C), with the trend being even more prominent when the entire genus was considered (Fig. 5A). Overall, Loops 2 and 3 showed the lowest sequence conservation and Loop 2 was the least conserved among the subclade containing viruses of Musteloidea (Fig. 4C). Surprisingly, for AMDV, Loop 1 was shown to have only weak immunogenicity to polyclonal antibodies from mink sera, while Loop 3 (VR7) and, especially, Loop 4 (VR7 and VR8) were highly immunoreactive (Bloom et al. 1997; Costello et al. 1999).

Loop 4 was remarkably conserved (Fig. 4C). The N-terminal area of Loop 4, which our models place to the wall of the threefold spikes (occupying residues 428–446) has been identified in AMDV to be capable of aggregating virus particles into immune complexes, mediating ADE, and neutralizing virus infectivity *in vitro* (Bloom et al. 2001). Despite the presence of detectable differences within the musteloid-infecting members of genus Amdoparvovirus



Figure 4. Genomic features of amdoparvoviruses. (A) Schematic representations of amdoparvoviral genomes with ORFs for NS (left) and structural (right) proteins. A sequence logo depicts sequence conservation across viruses at the level of each splicing donor (top) and acceptor (bottom) sites. Genomic positions are indicated in kb. (B) RCR and Walker motifs typical of parvoviral NS1 proteins are shown for representative strains of each virus group as indicated on the left. The sequence of RFFAV was partial. An asterisk at the bottom indicates that the residue was conserved across the whole alignment (280 sequences). (C) VP2 protein sequence conservation among viruses of Musteloidea. A dark tall bar corresponds to a residue conservation level. The locations of the four antigenic loops and of the immunogenic domain involved in ADE, experimentally determined for AMDV, are indicated with rectangles (D) Sequences corresponding to the immunogenic domain involved in ADE are shown for representative strains of each Musteloidea virus as indicated on the left.

(Fig. 4C and 4D, Fig. 5A), this domain is suspected to maintain structural conservation, as shown in Fig. 5B. The terminal part of the ADE-associated domain was the most conserved among musteloid-infecting viruses (Fig. 4D), while this was not true for viruses whose maintenance hosts are suspected to be a canid or a non-carnivoran (Supplementary Table S6). However, the Loop 4 region, flanked by VRs 7 and 8, is characterized by an almost identical 20-aa-long sequence even in divergent amdoparvoviruses, such as GFAV and BtRl-PV. Although this should be corroborated by *in vitro* experiments, these findings further support

the possibility that ADE is an evolutionary conserved pathogenic mechanism among all members of the genus *Amdoparvovirus* and imposes an important constraint on the evolution of the capsid proteins (Canuti et al. 2016; Alex et al. 2022). Furthermore, it is plausible that the entire Loop 4 plays a role in this process, involving the top and the inner wall of the threefold spikes. Because only a few sequences from viruses of foxes and of non-carnivorans are currently available, further studies providing more sequence information from these underrepresented groups will allow a better assessment of the conservation of this domain



Figure 5. Homology modeling of the VP2-derived as sequence throughout the genus *Amdoparvovirus*. (A) Rendered capsid surface (left) and lumen (right) of the AMDV-1 VP2 homology model is shown at a simulated atomic resolution. The large triangle highlights an asymmetric unit, with the fivefold symmetry axis marked by a pentagon, the twofold axis by an ellipsoid, and two threefold axes by triangles. On the top panel, differences among the three prospective AMDV species are presented, using the coloring code in the bottom of the figure. The middle panel displays the sequence comparison of VP2 proteins throughout amdoparvoviruses of musteloid hosts, while the bottom panel presents the same analysis encompassing the entire genus *Amdoparvovirus*. The region previously associated with ADE and the VRs and differences outside of these are colored according to the legend. Sequence differences concerning the conserved surface loop linking the β H and β I sheets together are also shown. The unaltered capsid prospective species AMDV-1 to -3 (top) and between amdoparvoviruses of musteloids. The region previously linked to ADE is indicated by the arrow (bottom). Symmetry axes, to orient the monomer, are indicated as in Panel A.

among closely related viruses. Furthermore, additional sampling efforts will be fundamental for obtaining amdoparvoviral strains (other than AMDV) that can be cultured on lymphatic cells in the presence of sera or monoclonal antibodies to repeat the experiments performed for AMDV (Bloom et al. 2001) and confirm or disprove that other species in this genus are capable of ADE and specifically define the domains involved. Furthermore, sera from infected animals are suitable for the purification of amdoparvoviral capsid-specific antibodies, which could be utilized to structurally characterize their interactions with various amdoparvoviral capsids, given that parvoviral capsid proteins can be effectively expressed and assemble spontaneously to virus-like particles in vitro (Mietzsch, Pénzes, and Agbandje-McKenna 2019). Single aa mutations and polymorphic regions outside of the VRs were not limited exclusively to the capsid surface. According to our models, on the luminal surface of the AMDV-1, 2, and 3 capsids such divergent residues are positioned in areas exclusively underneath the icosahedral threefold symmetry axis, while polymorphisms are accumulated in large numbers along the twofold axis as well in the entire genus. Apart from being the location of the VP1u and VP N-terminal externalization, the fivefold symmetry axis and the associated channel also serve as the sight of genome packaging and of uncoating, as shown for other parvoviral genera (Bleker, Sonntag, and Kleinschmidt 2005; Plevka et al. 2011). The high conservation of the luminal fivefold area and the inside wall of the DE loop (Fig. 5A) suggests the mechanisms of DNA movement into and out of the capsid are highly conserved.

Moreover, it also supports the idea of a ubiquitous VP1u externalization, even in the absence of the PLA2. The luminal threefold and twofold interfaces, on the other hand, have been associated with transcription initiation, DNA binding, and high pH sensitivity in some parvoviruses (Nam et al. 2011; Salganik et al. 2012, 2014). Considering the likelihood of differences in intracellular trafficking, virus tissue tropism, and host spectrum, these areas are highly likely to be under stronger diversifying selective pressure, which is in concordance with the variable nature of these luminal regions in an otherwise conserved environment.

3.8 Taxonomic implications

According to the ICTV rules for parvoviral classification, the NS1 proteins of viruses within the same genus are monophyletic and share at least 35-40 per cent sequence identity, while members of the same species are monophyletic and their NS1 proteins are over 85 per cent identical to each other. Additionally, it is a prerequisite for a virus to be classified as species to have its coding sequence fully sequenced from its likely host (Cotmore et al. 2019; Pénzes et al. 2020). According to these criteria, AMDV cannot be considered a single species as NS1 pairwise identities between AMDV strains are as low as 77 per cent. Although defining the taxonomy of these viruses is complicated by the presence of recombinant strains in the databases, the availability of many AMDV sequences made it possible to define more precisely the phylogenetic relationships among strains, even after removing a large number of potential recombinants. We could, therefore, identify three distinct phylogenetic clades of AMDV, which we called AMDV-1 to -3, and we propose that they have the characteristics to be classified as separate species, despite some overlap in pairwise identities between and within groups. Additionally, BCAV can also be considered an independent species, although its VP2 is highly identical (97.5 per cent) to a viral strain previously classified as AMDV.

Although a clear 85 per cent aa identity cut-off is not always met, we demonstrate here that LaAV-1 and RpAV-2 can also be classified as their own species. However, partially sequenced viruses are not eligible for classification. Thus, in addition to the five amdoparvoviral species currently accepted by the ICTV, we propose the definition of five additional species, which are indicated with a star in Fig. 1 and listed in Table 1. Proposed reference strains were selected to fulfill ICTV criteria for species classification (Supplementary Table S7), for not having detectable recombination signal in either of the two ORFs, and for showing consistent clustering in the two trees in Fig. 1 and Supplementary Figs S1 and S2.

3.9 Conclusions

For over 20 years after its genome was fully sequenced, AMDV was the only known amdoparvovirus, but the genus *Amdoparvovirus* has experienced a rapid and steady expansion during the last 10 years. Additionally, until a few years ago, only amdoparvoviruses of carnivorans were known, but today we are aware that rodents and chiropterans could also be hosts for these viruses. Sequence and structural similarities at key sites indicate that biological properties of amdoparvoviruses are preserved across the genus and imply that amdoparvoviral replication and pathogenicity strategies may be evolutionarily conserved, regardless of the host type. Specifically, a high sequence and structural

conservation of the capsid proteins may be connected to the use of ADE as a viral replication strategy for most, if not all, amdoparvoviral species. Additionally, our analyses showed evidence for virus-host co-evolution.

In this study we report the sequencing and characterization of a novel amdoparvoviral species that we identified in an American mink and showed that the VP2 sequence of this virus is highly identical to a partially sequenced AMDV strain that has been extensively used in pathogenicity experiments, highlighting again the importance of complete genome sequencing when studying amdoparvoviruses and the cruciality of performing NS1based analyses, since the high VP2 conservation may result in failure to distinguish separate viral species. Our analyses identified a total of five viral candidates that can be classified as novel amdoparvoviral species and four additional viruses that, although currently not eligible for classification, will hopefully be further characterized in future studies and become officially recognized species. Finally, our study highlights how the traditional viewpoint that describes AMDV as a virus with an exceptionally diverse NS1 should be shifted toward a new perspective, more genetically coherent, of a cluster of at least four different viruses with an exceptionally conserved capsid. These viruses, which may have different pathogenic potentials and may or may not have been co-circulating in the wild, started forcedly interacting with each other in mink farms, likely at a higher frequency than in naturally less-crowded environments. Intense farming facilitated the occurrence of recombination, making the distinction between the different viruses harder to perceive, and likely altered the selection pressure forces acting on the viral capsid, de facto interfering with the direction of viral evolution.

While much progress has been made during the last years in clarifying the diversity and host range of amdoparvoviruses, additional studies evaluating emerging and novel potential hosts should be performed to further our understanding of the ecology and evolutionary histories of these viruses and to set the ground for *in vitro* experiments to assess how pathogenicity and the pressure of the host immune response influence viral evolution.

Data availability

The sequence obtained in this study is available in GenBank under accession number ON375541.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at Virus Evolution online.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Ann Britton, Helen Schwantje, Melissa Todd, and Rich Weir from the Government of British Columbia for appreciated help with the sample collection.

Funding

Joint Mink Research Committee (to M.C. and A.S.L.). Molecular graphics and analyses performed with UCSF Chimera were developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of California, San Francisco, with support from NIH P41-GM103311.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

References

- Agbandje-McKenna, M. et al. (1998) 'Functional Implications of the Structure of the Murine Parvovirus, Minute Virus of Mice', Structure (London, England: 1993), 6: 1369–81.
- Alex, C. E. et al. (2022) 'Natural Disease and Evolution of an Amdoparvovirus Endemic in Striped Skunks (Mephitis mephitis)', Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, Online ahead of print: 1–10.
- et al. (2018) 'Amdoparvovirus Infection in Red Pandas (Ailurus fulgens)', Veterinary Pathology, 55: 552–61.
- Alexandersen, S. (1986) 'Acute Interstitial Pneumonia in Mink Kits: Experimental Reproduction of the Disease', Veterinary Pathology, 23: 579–88.
- Anistoroaei, R., Krogh, A. K., and Christensen, K. (2013) 'A Frameshift Mutation in the LYST Gene Is Responsible for the Aleutian Color and the Associated Chédiak-Higashi Syndrome in American Mink', Animal Genetics, 44: 178–83.
- Bleker, S., Sonntag, F., and Kleinschmidt, J. A. (2005) 'Mutational Analysis of Narrow Pores at the Fivefold Symmetry Axes of Adenoassociated Virus Type 2 Capsids Reveals a Dual Role in Genome Packaging and Activation of Phospholipase A2 Activity', *Journal of Virology*, 79: 2528.
- Bloom, M. E. et al. (2001) 'Identification of Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus Capsid Sequences Mediating Antibody-dependent Enhancement of Infection, Virus Neutralization, and Immune Complex Formation', *Journal of Virology*, 75: 11116–27.
- et al. (1997) 'Expression of Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus Capsid Proteins in Defined Segments: Localization of Immunoreactive Sites and Neutralizing Epitopes to Specific Regions', *Journal* of Virology, 71: 705–14.
- et al. (1975) 'Aleutian Disease of Mink: The Antibody Response of Sapphire and Pastel Mink to Aleutian Disease Virus', Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md.: 1950), 115: 1034–7.
- Bodewes, R. et al. (2013) 'Identification of Multiple Novel Viruses, Including a Parvovirus and a Hepevirus, in Feces of Red Foxes', *Journal of Virology*, 87: 7758–64.
- Britton, A. P. et al. (2015) 'Beyond Rabies: Are Free-ranging Skunks (Mephitis mephitis) in British Columbia Reservoirs of Emerging Infection?' Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 64: 603–12.
- Canuti, M. et al. (2017) 'Full Genetic Characterization and Epidemiology of a Novel Amdoparvovirus in Striped Skunk (Mephitis mephitis)', Emerging Microbes & Infections, 6: e30.
- ——— et al. (2020a) 'Multi-host Dispersal of Known and Novel Carnivore Amdoparvoviruses', Virus Evolution, 6: veaa072.
- —— et al. (2016) 'Driving Forces behind the Evolution of the Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus in the Context of Intensive Farming', Virus Evolution, 2: vew004.
- et al. (2020b) 'Ecology and Infection Dynamics of Multihost Amdoparvoviral and Protoparvoviral Carnivore Pathogens', Pathogens, 9: 124.
- Canuti, M., Whitney, H., and Lang, A. S. (2015) 'Amdoparvoviruses in Small Mammals: Expanding Our Understanding of Parvovirus Diversity, Distribution, and Pathology', Frontiers in Microbiology, 6: 1119.
- Chapman, M. S., and Rossmann, M. G. (1993) 'Structure, Sequence, and Function Correlations among Parvoviruses', Virology, 194: 491–508.
- Costello, F. et al. (1999) 'Epitope Mapping of Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus Virion Protein VP1 and 2', Scandinavian Journal of Immunology, 49: 347–54.
- Cotmore, S. F. et al. (2019) 'ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: Parvoviridae', Journal of General Virology, 100: 367–8.

- Eklund, C. M. et al. (1968) 'Aleutian Disease of Mink: Properties of the Etiologic Agent and the Host Responses', *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 118: 510–26.
- Franzo, G. et al. (2021) 'Impact of Viral Features, Host Jumps and Phylogeography on the Rapid Evolution of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus (AMDV)', Scientific Reports, 11: 16464.
- Glueckert, E. et al. (2019) 'Endemic Skunk Amdoparvovirus in Freeranging Striped Skunks (Mephitis mephitis) in California', Transboundary and Emerging Diseases, 66: 2252–63.
- Guindon, S. et al. (2010) 'New Algorithms and Methods to Estimate Maximum-likelihood Phylogenies: Assessing the Performance of PhyML 3.0', Systematic Biology, 59: 307–21.
- Hadlow, W. J., Race, R. E., and Kennedy, R. C. (1983) 'Comparative Pathogenicity of Four Strains of Aleutian Disease Virus for Pastel and Sapphire Mink', Infection and Immunity, 41: 1016–23.
- —— (1985) 'Temporal Replication of the Pullman Strain of Aleutian Disease Virus in Royal Pastel Mink', *Journal of Virology*, 55: 853–6.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D. A., and Ryan, P. D. (2001) 'PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis', *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 4: 9.
- Hoang, D. T. et al. (2018) 'UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation', Molecular Biology and Evolution, 35: 518–22.
- Jakubczak, A. et al. (2017) 'Comparative Molecular Analysis of Strains of the Aleutian Disease Virus Isolated from Farmed and Wild Mink', Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine, 24: 366–71.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S. et al. (2017) 'ModelFinder: Fast Model Selection for Accurate Phylogenetic Estimates', Nature Methods, 14: 587–9.
- Larkin, M. A. et al. (2007) 'Clustal W and Clustal X Version 2.0', Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 23: 2947–8.
- Lau, S. K. P. et al. (2017) 'Bats Host Diverse Parvoviruses as Possible Origin of Mammalian Dependoparvoviruses and Source for Batswine Interspecies Transmission', *Journal of General Virology*, 98: 3046–59.
- Leimann, A. et al. (2015) 'Molecular Epidemiology of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus (AMDV) in Estonia, and a Global Phylogeny of AMDV', Virus Research, 199: 56–61.
- Li, L. et al. (2011) 'Novel Amdovirus in Gray Foxes', Emerging Infectious Diseases, 17: 1876–8.
- Lobley, A., Sadowski, M. I., and Jones, D. T. (2009) 'pGenTHREADER and pDomTHREADER: New Methods for Improved Protein Fold Recognition and Superfamily Discrimination', *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England*), 25: 1761–7.
- Lole, K. S. et al. (1999) 'Full-length Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Genomes from Subtype C-infected Seroconverters in India, with Evidence of Intersubtype Recombination', *Journal of Virology*, 73: 152–60.
- Mañas, S. et al. (2001) 'Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus in Wild Riparian Carnivores in Spain', Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 37: 138–44.
- Martin, D. P. et al. (2015) 'RDP4: Detection and Analysis of Recombination Patterns in Virus Genomes', Virus Evolution, 1: vev003.
- McKenna, R. et al. (1999) 'Three-dimensional Structure of Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus: Implications for Disease Pathogenicity', Journal of Virology, 73: 6882–91.
- Mietzsch, M. et al. (2020) 'Comparative Analysis of the Capsid Structures of AAVrh.10, AAVrh.39, and AAV8', *Journal of Virology*, 94: e01769–19.
- Mietzsch, M., Pénzes, J. J., and Agbandje-McKenna, M. (2019) 'Twentyfive Years of Structural Parvovirology', Viruses, 11: 362.
- Minh, B. Q. et al. (2020) 'IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era', *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 37: 1530–4.

- Moffat, L., and Jones, D. T. (2021) 'Increasing the Accuracy of Single Sequence Prediction Methods Using a Deep Semi-Supervised Learning Framework', Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 37: 3744–51.
- Nam, H.-J. et al. (2011) 'Structural Studies of Adeno-associated Virus Serotype 8 Capsid Transitions Associated with Endosomal Trafficking', Journal of Virology, 85: 11791–9.
- Nituch, L. A. et al. (2015) 'Aleutian Mink Disease Virus in Striped Skunks (Mephitis mephitis): Evidence for Cross-species Spillover', Journal of Wildlife Diseases, 51: 389–400.
- et al. (2012) 'Molecular Epidemiology of Aleutian Disease Virus in Free-ranging Domestic, Hybrid, and Wild Mink', Evolutionary Applications, 5: 330–40.
- Oie, K. L. et al. (1996) 'The Relationship between Capsid Protein (VP2) Sequence and Pathogenicity of Aleutian Mink Disease Parvovirus (ADV): A Possible Role for Raccoons in the Transmission of ADV Infections', *Journal of Virology*, 70: 852–61.
- Olofsson, A. et al. (1999) 'Unusual, High Genetic Diversity of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus', Journal of Clinical Microbiology, 37: 4145–9.
- Pénzes, J. J. et al. (2021) 'Adeno-associated Virus 9 Structural Rearrangements Induced by Endosomal Trafficking pH and Glycan Attachment', *Journal of Virology*, 95: e00843–21.
- et al. (2018) 'Endogenous Amdoparvovirus-related Elements Reveal Insights into the Biology and Evolution of Vertebrate Parvoviruses', Virus Evolution, 4.
- —— et al. (2020) 'Reorganizing the Family Parvoviridae: A Revised Taxonomy Independent of the Canonical Approach Based on Host Association', Archives of Virology, 165: 2133–46.
- Persson, S. et al. (2015) 'Aleutian Mink Disease Virus in Free-ranging Mink from Sweden', PLoS ONE, 10: e0122194.
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. (2004) 'UCSF Chimera—a Visualization System for Exploratory Research and Analysis', *Journal of Computational Chemistry*, 25: 1605–12.
- Plevka, P. et al. (2011) 'Structure of a Packaging-defective Mutant of Minute Virus of Mice Indicates that the Genome Is Packaged via a Pore at a 5-fold Axis', *Journal of Virology*, 85: 4822.
- Posada, D. (2000) 'How Does Recombination Affect Phylogeny Estimation?' Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 15: 489–90.
- Prieto, A. et al. (2020) 'Molecular Epidemiology of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus Causing Outbreaks in Mink Farms from Southwestern Europe: A Retrospective Study from 2012 to 2019', *Journal of Veterinary Science*, 21: e65.
- Qiu, J. et al. (2006) 'The Transcription Profile of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus in CRFK Cells Is Generated by Alternative Processing of pre-mRNAs Produced from a Single Promoter', *Journal of Virology*, 80: 654–62.

- Ryt-Hansen, P. et al. (2017a) 'Global Phylogenetic Analysis of Contemporary Aleutian Mink Disease Viruses (Amdvs)', Virology Journal, 14: 231.
- ——— et al. (2017b) 'Outbreak Tracking of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus (AMDV) Using Partial NS1 Gene Sequencing', Virology Journal, 14: 119.
- Salganik, M. et al. (2014) 'Adeno-associated Virus Capsid Proteins May Play a Role in Transcription and Second-strand Synthesis of Recombinant Genomes', *Journal of Virology*, 88: 1071–9.
- et al. (2012) 'Evidence for pH-dependent Protease Activity in the Adeno-associated Virus Capsid', Journal of Virology, 86: 11877–85.
- Shao, X.-Q. et al. (2014) 'Novel Amdoparvovirus Infecting Farmed Raccoon Dogs and Arctic Foxes', *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 20: 2085–8.
- Subramanian, S. et al. (2017) 'Cryo-EM Maps Reveal Five-fold Channel Structures and Their Modification by Gatekeeper Mutations in the Parvovirus Minute Virus of Mice (MVM) Capsid', Virology, 510: 216–23.
- Virtanen, J. et al. (2019) 'Co-circulation of Highly Diverse Aleutian Mink Disease Virus Strains in Finland', The Journal of General Virology, 100: 227–36.
- et al. (2021) 'Diversity and Transmission of Aleutian Mink Disease Virus in Feral and Farmed American Mink and Native Mustelids', Virus Evolution, 7: veab075.
- Webb, B., and Sali, A. (2016) 'Comparative Protein Structure Modeling Using MODELLER', Current Protocols in Bioinformatics, 54: 5.6.1–5.6.37.
- Wu, Z. et al. (2018) 'Comparative Analysis of Rodent and Small Mammal Viromes to Better Understand the Wildlife Origin of Emerging Infectious Diseases', *Microbiome*, 6: 178.
- et al. (2016) 'Deciphering the Bat Virome Catalog to Better Understand the Ecological Diversity of Bat Viruses and the Bat Origin of Emerging Infectious Diseases', *The ISME Journal*, 10: 609–20.
- Yang, S. et al. (2021) 'Viral Metagenomics Reveals Diverse Viruses in the Feces Samples of Raccoon Dogs', Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 8: 693564.
- Zaleska-Wawro, M. et al. (2021) 'Seroprevalence and Molecular Epidemiology of Aleutian Disease in Various Countries during 1972–2021: A Review and Meta-Analysis', Animals, 11: 2975.
- Zhao, M. et al. (2022) 'Viral Metagenomics Unveiled Extensive Communications of Viruses within Giant Pandas and Their Associated Organisms in the Same Ecosystem', Science of the Total Environment, 820: 153317.