STRATIGRAPHY AND PALYNOLOGY OF CRETACEOUS AND TERTIARY ROCKS, NORTH BYLOT TROUGH, BYLOT ISLAND, NORTHWEST TERRITORIES, CANADA.

by

© Philip Henry Benham, B.Sc.

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF GRADUATE STUDIES IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE

> DEPARTMENT OF EARTH SCIENCES MEMORIAL UNIVERSITY OF NEWFOUNDLAND APRIL 1991

ST. JOHN'S NEWFOUNDLAND

ABSTRACT

Cretaceous and Tertiary strata of North Bylot Trough at Bight on Lancaster Sound are divided into five Maud formations representing three tectonic phases based on lithology and palynology. In detail, late Albian Hassel Formation fluvial quartz arenites were deposited at Maud Bight prior to rifting in Baffin Bay and Lancaster Sound (Phase 1). Late Campanian-mid Maastrichtian shelf mudstones (Bylot Island Formation) and mid to late Maastrichtian (Sermilik Formation) nearshore and beach deposited quartz arenites reflect uplift of the Byam Martin Mountains and separation from Eclipse Trough (Phase 2). Lower to middle Paleocene shale and thinly bedded sandstone (Navy Board Formation), lithic greywacke and over 200 metres of conglomerate (Aktineq Formation including the newly defined Maud Bight Member) are equivalent to strata in Eclipse Trough. The Navy Board Formation consists of braided stream, flood plain and lacustrine deposits. These strata are overlain by meandering stream deposits (Aktineq sandstone) alluvial fan (Maud Bight and strata Member). This tectonically deformed coarsening up sequence indicates renewed activity corresponding with the opening of Baffin Bay to the east and the Lancaster Aulacogen to the north (Phase

spores, pollen and fungal remains Based on three terrestrial palynomorph assemblage zones and one subzone containing components of both the Aquilapollenites and Normapolles Provinces are defined for the North Bylot Trough strata: Azonia cribrata-Aquilapollenites trialatus (AA) Zone, Porosipollenites porosus-Wodehouseia spinata (PW) Zone and the Paraalnipollenites alterniporus-Pesavis parva (PP) Zone. Subzone R lies within the AA Zone and consists of >85% recycled palynomorphs typical of mid late Albian to assemblages such as Cicatricosisporites, Tappanispora and Klukisporites. The AA Zone is late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian is characterized and by Azonia, Aquilapollenites, Trudopollis, Ceratiopsis and Lejeunia. Characteristic genera of the mid to late Maastrichtian PW Zone include Porosipollenites, Aquilapollenites, Beaupreaidites, Singularia and Wodehouseia. The PP Zone is Paleocene characterized early to mid and is by Paraalnipollenites, Trivestibulopollenites, Momipites, Triporopollenites, Caryapollenites and Pesavis.

Organic matter recovered from strata at Maud Bight is thermally immature but with sufficient burial in offshore Lancaster Aulacogen would generate liquid and gas hydrocarbons. Stratigraphic relationships in North Bylot Trough, when applied with geophysical data in Lancaster Sound

3).

should allow for delineation of a series of stratigraphic and structural traps.

KEY WORDS: Sedimentology, Palynology, Lancaster Sound, Bylot Island, Hydrocarbons, Cretaceous, Tertiary, Rifting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Dr. Elliott Burden for his supervision and the opportunity to do frontier work on Bylot Island. Terry Wiseman, Robert Rowsell, Helen Gillespie, Joshua Enookolook and Joseph Maktar provided companionship and capable assistance in the field.

Financial and logistical support was provided by the Geological Survey of Canada (Research Agreement Number 88-144), Polar Continental Shelf Project, Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development- Northern Scientific Training Program, Arctic Research Establishment, Northern Heritage Society- Science Institute of the Northwest Territories Northerner Employment Training, Employment and Immigration- Challenge 89, Amoco Canada, Texaco Canada (now ESSO Resources), M.U.N. bursaries and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council.

Information on access to the area and mention of boulder beds was provided by Garth Jackson and Rod Klassen of the Ottawa GSC. Dale Russell and Clayton Kennedy of the National Museum of Canada (Ottawa) instrumental in early attempts to access the foggy coast of Maud Bight.

Jim Basinger of the University of Saskatchewan provided plant macrofossil identifications. Acknowledgements also go to Helen Gillespie for help with lab work and Brian Sears and Wilf Marsh for advice and instruction in photography. Special thanks go to my wife, Celeste, as well as my mother and sister for their moral support and putting up with my innumerable slide shows.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of Peter Edward Benham, my dad; who inspired me with a wonder for nature from tales of his adventures as a young adult.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Dedication	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	x

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose and Objectives		1
1.2 Location and Access		2
1.3 Weather Conditions		4
1.4 Field Methods		5
1.5 Previous Studies		6
1.6 Geologic Setting		8
CHAPTER 2 STRATIGRAPHY AND SEDIMENTOLOGY		
2.1 Introduction		17
2.2 Formation Description	20	
2.2.1 Hassel Formation	20	
2.2.2 Bylot Island Formation		25
2.2.3 Sermilik Formation		36
2.2.4 Navy Board Formation		47

2.2.5 Aktineq Formation		57
2.3 Tectonism	76	
CHAPTER 3 PALEOBOTANY AND PALYNOLOGY		
3.1 Macroflora		78
3.2 Previous Palynological Studies		78
3.3 Palynological Preparation Methods		
3.3.1 Collection and Processing		79
3.3.2 Identification		84
3.4 Systematics		84
CHAPTER 4 BIOSTRATIGRAPHY		
4.1 Introduction		233
4.2.1 AA Zone	234	
4.2.2 R Subzone	237	
4.3 PW Zone		240
4.4 PP Zone		245
CHAPTER 5 BASIN HISTORY		
5.1 Basin History	251	
5.2 Petroleum Geology	256	
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS		261
REFERENCES CITED		263
PLATES		285
APPENDIX A COMPOSITE SECTIONS		308
APPENDIX B SECTION AND SAMPLE DESCRIPTION		313
APPENDIX C PETROLOGY		348

APPENDIX D PALYNOMORPH RANGE CHART in pocket APPENDIX E SUMMARY OF PALYNOLOGICAL COUNTS 353

LIST OF FIGURES (Short Titles)

Figu	re	Page
1.1	Regional setting of North Bylot Trough	3
1.2	Cross-section of Lancaster Aulacogen	15
2.1	Detrital plots of clay poor sandstone	21
2.2	Bylot Island Formation	26
2.3	Upper Sermilik strata	40
2.4	Close up of Sermilik	40
2.5	Shaley strata of Navy Board Formation	50
2.6	Detrital plots of clay rich sandstone	51
2.7	Thinly bedded Navy Board sandstone	54
2.8	Folded Navy Board strata	54
2.9	Stacked channels in the Aktineq sandstone	59
2.10	Aktineq sandstone	61
2.11	Plugged channel in the Aktineq sandstone	61
2.12	Crevasse splay in Aktineq sandstone	63
2.13	Navy Board truncated by Maud Bight Member	65
2.14	Typical Maud Bight boulder beds	68
2.15	Epsilon cross-sets in Maud Bight Member	68
2.16	Map of strata at Maud Bight	74
B.1 1	Map of general locations of field stations	313

LIST OF TABLES (SHORT TITLES)

TABLE

Page

1.1 Map Units of North Bylot Trough	9
2.1 Definition of lithofacies	18
2.2 Summary of lithofacies assemblages	29
3.1 Paleobotanical summary	81
4.1 Range chart of selected taxa	245
5.1 Regional stratigraphic correlation	256

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

Cretaceous and Tertiary outcrops are rare in the Eastern Arctic, especially along the margins of Lancaster Sound. Despite this, there is abundant geophysical evidence to show the that thick sedimentary wedges occur in offshore. Extensional faulting in Lancaster Sound, due to Cretaceous and early Tertiary rifting in Baffin Bay, created the Lancaster Aulacogen which filled with at least 6 kilometres of sediment (Kerr, 1980). Much of Kerr's (1980)interpretation of the sedimentary fill of Lancaster Aulacogen is based on geophysical studies and extrapolation from nearby but not adjacent sedimentary basins.

The study area, in North Bylot Trough, along the Maud Bight Coast, is one of the few areas where Cretaceous and Tertiary strata in the offshore are also preserved onshore. The only other outcrops occur in narrow grabens near Croker and Maxwell Bays, Devon Island, and on Somerset Island. This area is the best preserved onshore link to the submarine geology of Lancaster Aulacogen. The adjacent Eclipse Trough has a similar tectonic history and comparable sedimentation patterns but is a separate basin. A portion of North Bylot Trough with an area of 120 sq. km contains up to 500 meters

of Cretaceous and Tertiary clastic sediments lying on a downfaulted block of Proterozoic strata. It is bounded by the Byam Martin High in the southwest, Helikian sediments to the east and the Maud Bight shoreline in the north. The sediments probably extend at least 10 km. further offshore to the North Baffin Fault (Kerr, 1980) on the southern margin of Lancaster Aulacogen. About 6 kilometres east of Cape Hay there is a small outlier of Cretaceous strata which is related to the main body of sediment at Maud Bight.

The aim of this study is to map strata in detail and use sedimentology and palynology to determine the age, depositional styles, provenance, tectonic and climatic conditions for the strata in the Maud Bight region. This will allow for a more accurate interpretation of geophysical studies of Lancaster Sound and tie down loosely constrained dates on the formation of Lancaster Sound and Baffin Bay. It will also permit comparison with nearby Eclipse Trough which has different but tectonically related strata.

1.2 LOCATION AND ACCESS

Bylot Island is located in the Eastern Arctic at the northeastern tip of Baffin Island (Figure 1.1). The island measures approximately 15,000 sq. km. in area and is bounded by Baffin Bay, Eclipse Sound, Navy Board Inlet and Lancaster

Sound. The study area lies on the north coast of Bylot Island along the shores of Maud Bight, in Lancaster Sound.

Access was slightly different for each of the two field seasons of late June and early July of 1988 and 1989. Air



Figure 1.1 Regional and structural setting of North Bylot Trough (after G.D. Jackson and Davidson, 1975; Kerr, 1980; Waterfield, 1989).

Canada provided transportation north from Ottawa to Pond Inlet the first field season. The field crew stayed at the Arctic Research Establishment, run by Mr. H. Steltner, until expedition supplies were bought and plans were finalized. Using a helicopter provided by the Polar Continental Shelf Project we established base camp on southwestern Bylot Island. This procedure was necessary because Bylot Island has no permanent human population and is a designated bird sanctuary. The second summer, Canadian Airlines provided transportation from Montreal to Resolute Bay, Cornwallis The crew stayed at the Polar Continental Island. Shelf Project base while plans were finalized and a flight by Twin Otter aircraft was arranged. Base camp was once again set up on southwest Bylot Island due to an ongoing project. A helicopter at Maud Bight was necessary to cross glacial streams and access isolated outcrops. Both field seasons helicopter access to the Maud Bight region was severely limited by weather.

1.3 WEATHER CONDITIONS

Temperatures while at Maud Bight ranged from -2 to 7 C and it was invariably windy. Fog was the worst problem, resulting in one unpleasant three day stranding and several hasty retreats. The weather was otherwise sunny at Maud Bight

because only the best days were picked (with the help of Polar Shelf satellite weather reports) to fly up from base camp.

1.4 FIELD METHODS

The Cretaceous and Tertiary strata of Maud Bight are found on a low lying coastal plain. These sediments are best exposed along coastal cliffs and the banks of glacial streams sourced from the Byam Martin Mountains. The strata, including shale, sandstone and conglomerate, varied from consolidated and poorly cemented to moderately cemented rocks. A thin veneer of glacial debris covers much of the region and it was important to distinguish between the glacial drift and similar appearing Cretaceous and Tertiary sediments. They could be distinguished by fossil content, cementation, sedimentary structures and weathering.

Before arriving in the field, air photos were examined and outcrop sites were selected for study. In the field, 32 stations were made, ranging from cursory examinations to detailed stratigraphic sections. Stratigraphic sections were measured when there was good lateral and vertical exposure of strata and the outcrops were relatively unfaulted and free of slumping. Nineteen sections varying between 12 and 345 meters

in thickness were recorded. Weathering, frost heave and soil gelifluction obscured some of the structures but was unavoidable. Additional information was gained from helicopter flyovers.

Field description of the measured stratigraphy included lithology, grain size, colour, bedding thickness, contacts, cyclicity, macrofossils, sedimentary and biogenic structures. The stratigraphic sections were well distributed about the study area and allow for interpretation of lithofacies variations. Of the 87 sediment samples collected for petrology and clay mineral analysis 35 were eventually thin sectioned and 20 were analyzed for clay minerals. Cemented sediments were chosen for thin section work and shales for xray diffraction.

Over 100 samples were collected for palynology of which 74 were processed and 41 studied. Fine organic rich shales and siltstones were preferentially collected. The samples were collected at approximately 10 meter intervals but where the clastics were coarse, samples were obtained from the nearest available shale bed.

Plant macrofossils, including fossil logs and leaf fragments, were common. Well preserved leaves were collected for later identification.

1.5 PREVIOUS STUDIES

The northern and eastern coasts of Bylot Island were first described by A.P. Low (1906) as being composed entirely of crystalline rocks. The coast of Bylot Island was explored in more detail during an expedition led by Captain J.E. Bernier (1910) of the *D.G.S. Arctic*. R.S. Janes, Bernier's second officer, noted sedimentary rock formations containing coal deposits at Salmon River near the settlement of Pond Inlet and near Canada Point on Bylot Island. Further exploration turned up well preserved fossil trees and buds (Bernier, 1910). From the voyages of the *Minnie Maud*, Tremblay (1921) reported finding thin beds of coal south of Cape Hay.

There was no geological work done in the Maud Bight area until the 1960's. Aerial magnetic and radiometric profiles led Gregory <u>et al.</u> (1961) to conclude that Lancaster Sound, adjacent to the North Bylot Trough, was downfaulted. Several other geophysical studies were conducted in Lancaster Sound: Keen <u>et al.</u> (1972); Keen and Barrett (1973); Daae and Rutgers (1975); and H.R. Jackson <u>et al.</u> (1977). They added to the geophysical data on the region and confirmed the theory that Lancaster Sound was downfaulted.

The first modern onland study of the North Bylot Trough was Operation Bylot. In 1968 this helicopter supported

reconnaissance mapping project was launched by the Geological Survey of Canada (G.D. Jackson, 1969). The end result was a pair of 1:250,000 maps for northern and southern Bylot Island and portions of northern Baffin Island (G.D. Jackson and Davidson, 1975 and G.D. Jackson et al., 1975). The study covered rocks ranging in age from an Archean crystalline complex to Tertiary clastic sediments and Quaternary glacial cover. G.D. Jackson et al. (1975) defined the Eclipse Group as a 1200 metre thick sequence of Cretaceous and Tertiary clastic sediments bordering the Eclipse Sound. They then divided the strata into the units detailed in Table 1.1. G.D. Jackson and Davidson (1975) divided the Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks of the Maud Bight area into Cretaceous orthoquartzites undifferentiated, unconsolidated and Cretaceous to Tertiary sandstone and shale of variable colour, texture and bedding thickness (unit KT3 on G.S.C. Map 1397A). Samples of greywacke having an oily appearance and slight petroliferous odour were collected from the Maud Bight area by G.D. Jackson. They were reported as having moderate organic content but an immature grade for generation of liquid hydrocarbons (Artru et al., 1971 in G.D. Jackson and Davidson, 1975).

1.6 REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL SETTING

North Bylot Trough is part of the North Baffin Rift Zone (Miall <u>et al.</u>, 1980), which is composed of a series of parallel fault controlled extensional basins. G.D. Jackson <u>et al.</u> (1975) describe the North Baffin Rift Zone as sets of northwest trending horst and graben blocks with horsts of Archean crystalline rocks separating grabens of Paleozoic to Cenozoic sediments.

The regional tectonic and stratigraphic syntheses by G.D. Jackson <u>et al.</u> (1975), Kerr (1980), G.D. Jackson and Ianelli (1981) and G.D. Jackson and Sangster (1987) show these extensional structures to be truncated by the North Baffin Fault; an east-north east striking fault on the southern margin of Lancaster Aulacogen. H.R. Jackson <u>et al.</u> (1977), based on the previous geophysical studies, proposed that Lancaster Sound was an aulacogen: the failed third arm of a

Table 1.1 Map units of North Bylot Trough (units mapped by Jackson <u>et al.</u>, 1975)GROUP MAP UNIT LITHOLOGY -ECLIPSE GROUP KT₃ Undifferentiated greywacke, siltstone and shale, variable bedding thickness K Orthoquartzite, arkosic sandstone, coal

triple plate junction. The Lancaster Aulacogen formed from a triple junction just northeast of Bylot Island during the rifting of Baffin Bay. Three plates were involved during rifting; the North American plate and the Greenland and Queen Elizabeth Island microplates. Kerr (1980), J.C. Jackson and Halls (1988), De Paor <u>et al.</u> (1989) and Okulitch <u>et al.</u> (1990) all suggest a rotational pivot is located off the southeastern end of Ellesmere Island, north of which a compressional regime existed and south of which (including North Bylot Trough) the region existed under an extensional regime.

Kerr's 1980 regional synthesis divided strata in Lancaster Sound into a series of 8 unconformity bounded "sequences." There is sparse exposure of Cretaceous and Tertiary sediments along the borders of Lancaster Aulacogen so Kerr drew his inferences from strata in nearby Eclipse Trough and through geophysical studies in Lancaster Sound. He used a seismic profile from Daae and Rutgers (1975) to create a north-south cross-section of Lancaster Aulacogen (figure 1.2). The sediments in North Bylot Trough provide direct correlation with the strata in Lancaster Sound.

A review of Pre-Cretaceous stratigraphy at this stage is vital in understanding that Precambrian structural features control the distribution of faulting and sedimentation

throughout the history of the area. The basement of North Baffin and Bylot Island consists of a crystalline complex belonging to the Rae Province of the Precambrian Shield complex is dominantly composed (Hoffman, 1989). The of Archean and Aphebian banded migmatite, foliated granite, and monzocharnockite (G.D. Jackson and Davidson, 1975). K-Ar dates suggest that the rocks were metamorphosed during the Paleo-Helikian Hudsonian Orogeny (Wanless, 1970). The deformation and metamorphism during the Hudsonian Orogeny created a northwesterly trending structural grain defined by rock foliations and lineations. Deposition of the overlying strata and later tectonism in the Lancaster Sound region are subject to control by these Hudsonian structural trends (G.D. Jackson et al., 1975; and Kerr, 1980). In Kerr's (1980) terminology, these crystalline rocks are sequence 1.

After a period of uplift and erosion the Helikian Equalulik and Uluksan Groups were deposited. These strata, along with the Archean migmatites provide the source rocks for the Cretaceous and Tertiary strata at Maud Bight. The Equalulik Group, in stratigraphic order, consists of; the Nauyat, Adams Sound, Fabricius Fjord and Arctic Bay formations (G.D. Jackson and Ianelli, 1981). The Nauyat Formation, composed of interbedded plateau basalt flows and reddish terrestrial quartz arenites, is exposed on the

southern borders of the study area. The basalts were extruded along the major fault zones as a result of periodic tectonic activity during Helikian time (G.D. Jackson and Davidson, 1975). Conformably overlying the Nauyat are the quartz arenites of the Adams Sound Formation. The sediment was deposited in a braided stream and marginal marine environments (G.D. Jackson and Ianelli, 1981). The Fabricius Fjord Formation was not deposited near the study area. The Arctic Bay Formation, just south and west of Maud Bight, consists of intertidal to subtidal grey shale and minor stromatolitic carbonate (G.D. Jackson and Ianelli, 1981).

Lying unconformably upon the Equalulik Group are the Society Cliffs and Victor Bay formations of the Uluksan Group. Society Cliffs stromatolitic dolomites, gypsiferous dolomites, shales and cherts were deposited in intertidal to sabkha conditions (G.D. Jackson and Ianelli, 1981). Conformably overlying this the Victor Bay Formation is composed of sandy dolomite, dolomite and chert breccias, black pyritiferous shale and arkosic to quartzose sandstones (G.D. Jackson and Ianelli, 1981). This was deposited in euxinic subtidal and beach environments. G.D. Jackson and Ianelli (1981) suggest that increasing clastic material and coarse dolomite breccias are a result of Precambrian uplift of the Byam Martin High. The block faulting that occurred was

along northwest trends created during the Hudsonian Orogeny. G.D. Jackson and Davidson (1975) state that the Hadrynian Franklin Dikes were emplaced during this event.

Although Paleozoic sediments are postulated to be preserved in the structural low within Lancaster Sound, none have been found on Bylot Island. Brodeur Peninsula on northern Baffin and also Devon Island contain abundant lower Paleozoic carbonate and clastic sequences (Kerr, 1980).

During the late Cretaceous and Tertiary, deposition of sediment and deformation of existing strata were a result of the rifting between Greenland and North America. Areas affected by the event variously underwent extensional or compressional tectonism. Rifting began in the Labrador Sea in the lower Cretaceous and propagated northwest through Baffin Bay (Kerr, 1980; Burden and Langille, 1990). S.P. Srivastava (1978) estimated active sea floor spreading began in the southern portions of the Labrador Sea during the Campanian, the northern Labrador Sea by the Maastrichtian and Baffin Bay and Davis Strait actively spread between the Paleocene and Late Eocene. More recently Okulitch <u>et al.</u> (1990) describe Labrador Bay and Baffin Bay as a failed rift arm with new crust being generated only in Labrador Sea.

A period of rifting and sagging would have occurred before actual sea floor spreading began in the south,

allowing a pre-rift seaway to form (Henderson et al., 1980). The region from Labrador through to Baffin and Bylot Island existed under an extensional regime. Okulitch et al. (1990) state that the estimated 10% extension in the Baffin Island region is taken up within structural features in Jones, Lancaster and Cumberland Sounds. In response to the extension in Baffin Bay, the Queen Elizabeth Islands, containing Sverdrup Basin, underwent compressional deformation. The deformation can be divided into two events: first the Sverdrup Basin was broken into smaller, isolated basins by intrabasinal upwarps then the individual basins were variably affected by folding and reverse and normal faulting (Balkwill, 1978). The isolation of basins within the Sverdrup occurred between the Campanian and Eocene, while the second phase occurred between the mid Eocene and early Oligocene (Miall, 1986). While uplift within a compressional regime such as the Sverdrup Basin is expected, there also is uplift of Precambrian basement on Baffin and Bylot Islands. It is possible that the raised craton is a result of magmatic underplating which occurred around 60 Ma in the early stages of the Iceland mantle plume (Hoffman, pers. comm. to Burden, The upwarping and rejuvenated cratons provided a 1991). source for clastic sediments of the Sverdrup, Eclipse and North Bylot Troughs. Much of the faulting occurred along

existing faults from pre-Helikian time, and were otherwise controlled by the gneissicity of the basement rocks.

The faulting and extensional deformation during this time is known as the Eurekan Rifting Episode (Kerr, 1980). Northwards, on Ellesmere Island, where compressional regimes existed, the deformation was labelled the Eurekan Orogeny (Thornsteinsson and Tozer, 1970). Okulitch <u>et al.</u> (1990) state that 100 km. of compression was accommodated in a series of thrust faults on Axel Heiberg, Ellesmere and Greenland during that stage. The entire event is collectively known as the Eurekan Deformation (Kerr, 1980). The existence of these adjacent regimes suggests the presence of a transform pivot



Figure1.2 North-South structural cross-section from Devon Island to Eclipse Trough on Bylot Island (after Hea $\underline{\text{et}}$ $\underline{\text{al.}}$, 1980).

about which deformation can occur. It is likely located near southern Ellesmere Island (Kerr, 1980 and De Paor <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, 1989).

Lancaster Aulacogen formed during the Eurekan Rifting Episode. The rotation of microplates away from each other and subsidence within Baffin Bay allowed the formation of an aulacogen in Lancaster Sound during the latest Cretaceous (Kerr, 1980). Kerr (1980) suggests the existence of earlier widespread Cretaceous strata in a shallow sea in Lancaster Sound. Faulting propagated south into Eclipse Trough, resulting in equivalent tectonostratigraphic packages in both basins, although those in Lancaster Sound would probably be much thicker (Kerr, 1980). According to Kerr (1980), main faulting Lancaster Sound probably occurred in in the Paleocene to Eocene. There was likely minor activity right up to Miocene or Pliocene time with sediment continuing to fill the basin to present day. Geophysical studies note little deformation in the upper two kilometres of the strata in Lancaster Sound, suggesting relative tectonic inactivity at least since the Neogene (Keen and Barrett, 1973), although Baffin Bay still experiences moderate earthquake activity. Lancaster Sound, then, was an active aulacogen in the

Tertiary and the strata at Maud Bight are the only direct linkage to the sediments beneath the sound.

CHAPTER 2 STRATIGRAPHY AND SEDIMENTOLOGY

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Lithology, lithofacies and lithofacies associations that are defined for well exposed strata at Maud Bight and environmental Cape Hay allow for analysis and near distinction of the formations. Criteria for definition of facies are based on Reading (1986). Miall (1978) defined a lithofacies for fluviatile sequences series of only. Additional lithofacies defined or used by Rust (1978 and 1981), Eyles et al. (1983), Smith (1987), Eyles (1987) and DeCelles (1988) are incorporated in this study.

The lithofacies are defined in Table 2.1 and detailed in the lithology sections of the formations. In addition to lithology and paleontology each formation has a specific assemblage of lithofacies that make it distinct; the lithofacies assemblages for the formations are summarized in Table 2.2 and expanded upon in the formation descriptions. Outcropping strata are mapped in Figure 2.16.

The formation diagnostics consist of the following fundamentally required features: formation definition, distribution, thickness, synonyms, contacts, lithology, depositional environment, petrology and age. Composite sections of the strata and complete descriptions of all the measured sections at Maud Bight and Cape Hay are in Appendices A and B. Complete petrographic point counts are available

Table 2.1 Definition of Lithofacies

FACIES CODE	LITHOFACIES	SEDIMENTARY STRUCTURES	INTERPRETATION
Gb	Clast-Supported, poorly sorted, angular pebble to boulder size clasts	Structureless	Talus breccia
Gc∎	Mammive, clast-supported, polymodal, poorly sorted, pabble- boulder conglomerate, often channelized	Structureless	Hyperconcentrated flows; longitudinal gravel bare
Gch	Clast-supported, moderately sorted, pebble-cobble congomerate	Horizontally stratified	Longitudinal gravel bars sheet flood deposits
Gcp	Clast-supported, moderately sorted, pebble-cobble conglomerate; erosional or non-erosional base	Large-scale planar cross-stratified	Gravel ripples; transverse gravel bars
SM	Massive, poorly sorted sandy to pebbly mudstone with logs	Structureless	Muddy, cohesive debris flow
Sđ	Fine to coarse sandstone, siltstone, shale	Contorted beds, intraclasts	Soft sediment deformation; flooding events
St	Sandstone, medium to v. coarse or pebbly	Solitary or grouped trough cross-beds	dunes (lover flow regime)
Sp	Sandstone, medium to v. coarse or pebbly	Solitary or grouped planar cross-beds	linguoid, transverse bars; sand waves (lower flow regime)
Sr	Sandstone, very fine to very coarse	ripple marks	ripples (lower flow regime)
Sh	Sandstone, very fine to very coarse or pebbly	Horizontal lamination, parting lineation	planar bed flow (lower and upper flow regime)
51	Sandstone, fine	low-angle cross-beds	scour fills, crevasse splays, antidunes
5.	Erosional acours with intraclasts	crude cross-bedding	scour fills
Se	Sandstone, fine to coarse or with pebbly lag	broad shallow scours	scour (ills
F1	Sandetone, miltmtone, mudstone ± plant debrim	fine lamination very small ripples	overbank, waning flood deposits
Fm	mudstone, siltstone	massive, dessication cracks	overbank or drape deposits
Fb	mudstone, miltatone	bioturbated	shelf, tidal flat or rarely flood plain deposits
С	coal, logs, carbonaceous mudstone	plants, wud films	swamp deposits

Facies St, Sp, Sr, Sh, Sl, Se, Ss, Fl, Fm, Fr and C are adapted from Miall (1978), facies Gcm, Gch, Gcp and SM are adapted from Decelles(1988) and Sd from Eyles (1987).

Table 2.2Summary of Facies Assemblages --FORMATION FACIES ASSEMBLAGE ENVIRONMENT _____ Bylot Island Fb Marine shelf below storm wave base Bylot Island Fb, Se, Sr Marine shelf above storm wave base Sermilik Ss, St, SM, Sd Inner shelf Sermilik Ss, Sp, Sh, St, Upper shoreface, beach Sl, Gcp Fb, Fl, Ss, Sr, Bylot Island Tidal flat dissected Sh, Sl, C by channels Navy Board Fl, Fm, C, Sr, Flood plain, distal Se crevasse splay Crevasse splay, sheet flood deposits Sh, Sr, Se, Sd, Navy Board Sl, Fl, Fm, C rare Gcm Aktineq sst Ss, St, Sr, Sh, Meandering channel Se, C Aktineq Gch, Se, Sh Meandering channel with boulder lag Sermilik & Ss, Sr, Sh, Se Braided Stream Hassel? Maud Bight Gcm, Sl, Fr, C Medial alluvial fan Member Maud Bight Gcm, Gch, Sh, Proximal alluvial fan Member rare C Sermilik & Gb, Sh Talus slope M.B.M.

in Appendix C.

2.2 FORMATION DESCRIPTION AND DISTRIBUTION

2.2.1 Hassel Formation

Definition, Distribution and Thickness

The Hassel Formation (Heywood, 1957) is named for a quartzose sandstone dominated package near Isachsen Dome on Ellef Ringnes Island where is it sandwiched between the marine shales of the Christopher and Kanguk Formations. It is widely distributed in the Arctic and extends east from Eglington Island to Ellesmere, Bylot and North Baffin Islands (Miall <u>et al.</u>, 1980). Hassel Formation varies from 15 to 120 metres thick in Eclipse Trough (Miall <u>et al.</u>, 1980). Hassel is present as one small outcrop (<8 m.) of quartzo-feldspathic sandstone at Maud Bight.

Samples from the unconformably overlying Bylot Island Formation yield a recycled palynoflora equivalent to Hassel elsewhere in the Arctic. This suggests the previous existence of a widespread sheet of Hassel strata in North Bylot Trough. Kerr (1980) postulates Hassel is extensively preserved within Lancaster Aulacogen. The present distribution of the Hassel at Maud Bight consists of isolated pods of sandstone preserved in lows within the basin (composite section C).



Figure 2.1 Detrital plots for sandstones with low clay content; open circles, pluses and filled circles represent Hassel, Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations respectively. See Appendix C for Quartz-Feldspar-Lithic percentages.

Synonyms

Unit K, in the regional survey of Bylot Island by Jackson and Davidson (1975) and Jackson <u>et al.</u> (1975), included all Cretaceous quartzose sandstones in Eclipse Trough and the North Bylot Trough. Miall <u>et al.</u> (1980) divided the Cretaceous Eclipse Trough sandstones into Kh (Hassel) and Kk2 (Kanguk Formation). North Bylot Trough quartzose sandstones remained as undivided unit K. Benham and Burden (1990) mapped the Hassel at Maud Bight as unit 1 in the northeast part of the map area (see Figure 2.16). Poorly exposed outcrops in the northwestern corner of Maud Bight are lithologically similar to the Hassel (Benham and Burden, 1990), but, based on palynology more likely belong to the Sermilik Formation.

Contacts

The Hassel was observed to lie with great unconformity upon dolomite breccias of Helikian age Victor Bay Formation. It is overlain unconformably by the Bylot Island Formation mudstones.

Lithology

The Hassel at Maud Bight consists of a quartzofeldspathic sandstone overlying 3 metres of regolith. The regolith includes fragments of the underlying basement,
clays and quartzo-feldspathic sandstone. This grades into white, coarse grained sandstone with an indistinct banding that may be may be bedding (lithofacies Ss). Small, greenish concretions containing pyrite are common within this sandstone.

Petrology

Hassel sandstones are compositionally variable; the two samples recovered plot as a mature quartz arenite and an immature feldspathic litharenite (see Figure 2.1). The feldspathic litharenite was taken from sand filled fractures in the Helikian Victor Bay Formation that are overlain by the Hassel sand. It may be present due to a different depositional event or just from a different source rock. Feldspars rarely have a myrmiketic texture which is known to occur in plutonic rocks. Orthoclase is dominant over plagioclase and exhibits little alteration. Quartz displayed mainly straight and rarely undulose extinction. Lithic fragments include granitic, schistose and sedimentary clasts. The samples contain rare opaques (including magnetite), mica and garnets. The maturity of the quartz arenite is a result of its derivation from the quartz arenites of the Helikian Adams Sound Formation. Clasts are rounded to sub-rounded in form and moderately to well sorted.

Environmental Interpretation

The depositional environment of the Hassel in North Bylot Trough is questionably fluviatile due to poor outcrop and lack of sedimentary structures. The quartz arenites did not possess any features of marine deposition such as glauconite or marine trace fossils. The single outcrop was barren of palynomorphs but recycled assemblages in the overlying Bylot Island Formation suggest a rich terrestrial assemblage may be found elsewhere.

Hassel strata in Eclipse Trough are interpreted by Miall <u>et al.</u> (1980) to be braided stream deposits. There may also be a marine component because more recent field studies yielded marine trace fossils in Hassel shales and quartz arenites (Burden 1989, personal communication). Future study of the depositional environment of Hassel strata in Eclipse Trough has important implications when reservoir quality and distribution of equivalent strata in Lancaster Aulacogen is being determined.

Age

Hassel Formation elsewhere in the Arctic (Miall et al., Cenomanian. 1980) is Albian to Based on recycled palynomorphs in the overlying Bylot Island Formation the Hassel Formation at Maud Bight is inferred to be mid to late Albian in Characteristic age. qenera include Cicatricosisporites, Tappanispora, and Klukisporites.

Palynomorph samples from the actual Hassel outcrop were barren.

2.2.2 Bylot Island Formation

Definition, Distribution and Thickness

Strata of the Bylot Island Formation were originally mapped as part of the Kanguk Formation. Kanguk Formation was first named by Souther (1963) after an exposure of marine silty shale and sandstone on the Kanguk Peninsula of Axel Heiberg Island. As with the Hassel it is widespread across the Arctic Islands. Equivalent strata are found on the Arctic mainland near the Mackenzie River Delta (Miall, 1979). Jackson et al. (1975) and Jackson and Davidson (1975) mapped similar rocks in Eclipse Trough and named them map unit KT1. Miall et al. (1980) renamed much of this map unit as the Kanguk Formation. He recognized two divisions: the lower mudstone member Kk1 and upper member Kk2 composed of immature sandstone, siltstone and mudstone. Sparkes (1989) and Waterfield (1989) remapped the Eclipse Trough strata and have split the Kanguk on Bylot Island into new formations due to lithological variation, isolation within separate basins and different tectonic regimes. Sparkes (1989) defined the lower mudstone as the Bylot Island Formation and the upper sandstone as the Sermilik Formation. Jackson and

Davidson (1975) did not distinguish many rock units at Maud Bight during their reconnaissance of the area and classified it as map unit KT3.

The Bylot Island Formation is of variable thickness at Maud Bight due to variable original accommodation space within



Figure 2.2 Approximately 30 m thick section of grey and black massive mudstones of the Bylot Island Formation (composite section C). The staff is 2 m long.

the basin and subsequent erosion prior to deposition of the overlying Tertiary strata. The Bylot Island Formation in Eclipse Trough reaches a maximum of 420 metres (Sparkes, 1989) while equivalent strata at Maud Bight range up to 80 metres. At the foot of the Byam Martin Range all formations down to the Archean migmatite were removed prior to the deposition of the conglomerate of the Maud Bight Member of the Aktineq Formation. Thus the thickest continuous section of the Bylot Island Formation strata at Maud Bight is along the sea cliffs (composite section C). The mudstone in this in thickness. area reaches 80 metres Closer to the foothills, where it is overlain by the Sermilik Formation, it thins to 24 metres (composite section D). Where the Maud Bight Member overlies the mudstones, the package ranges from a maximum of 17 metres down to zero at the erosional edge. Approximately 45 metres of Bylot Island Formation is also preserved in a fault bounded outlier near Cape Hay (composite section A).

Synonyms

The Bylot Island Formation was mapped as unit KT3 at Maud Bight and unit K near Cape Hay by Jackson and Davidson (1975). Benham and Burden (1990) originally mapped the Maud Bight outcrop as unit 2. It is now named Bylot Island Formation after Sparkes (1989).

Contacts

Kanguk Formation rests unconformably to conformably on Hassel Formation throughout most of the Western Arctic (Miall, 1979). In Eclipse Trough the Bylot Island Formation disconformably overlies Hassel. In North Bylot Trough the Bylot Island Formation unconformably overlies Hassel, Helikian Victor Bay and Society Cliffs Formations as well as Archean banded migmatites.

In Eclipse Trough the Bylot Island Formation is, in part, overlain by the Sermilik and Pond Inlet Formations (Sparkes, 1989; Waterfield, 1989). At Maud Bight the Bylot Island Formation is conformably overlain by the Sermilik Formation sandstones. The contact is a sharp interbedded gradation from mudstone to medium grained sandstone. It is also overlain unconformably by the Aktineq sandstone and the Maud Bight Member conglomerates.

Lithology

At Maud Bight the lower strata of the Bylot Island Formation consist of lithofacies Fb while the upper strata consist of Fb, Se and Sr (see definition of lithofacies in Table 2.1 and lithofacies assemblages in Table 2.2). The lower strata are composed of grey to black mudstone, grey and brown siltstone and occasional thin yellowish bands of

jarositic clays. Fresh surfaces are dark grey to black. The sediment gives off a sulfurous odour. The bedding is poor to nonexistent in most places. Fresh surfaces often are mottled; this is suggestive of extensive bioturbation. Some surfaces exhibit recognizable Chondrites traces. Where the basal beds of Bylot Island Formation overlie Victor Bay Formation the rock consists of several decimetres of dolomitic breccia in a shaley matrix. The bed shows an orange discolouration from iron staining. Nearby, where it overlies the Hassel sandstone it lacks a basal breccia (composite section C). Above the Society Cliffs Formation the basal bed is a 20 cm thick conglomerate with angular to subrounded pebbles (1-3 cm long) of black chert, quartz sandstone and minor dolomite. Granitic and qneissic rocks are absent. The bed here is also a rusty orange colour.

The main component in upper strata of the Bylot Island Formation is the intensely bioturbated grey and brown mudstones (lithofacies Fb) but it also contains a minor siltstone and fine grained lithic sandstone component (Se, Sr). The 5-20 cm thick medium grey silty beds within the mudstones are usually bioturbated beyond the point of recognition as individual beds. Those not too churned contain rare small rip-up-clasts. At one site some of the unburrowed coarser beds show ripple cross-laminae. The upper strata are further distinguished by the presence of spherical calcitic concretions reaching 75 to 150 cm in diameter (see Figure 2.2). The weathered surfaces of these concretions reveal a highly bioturbated sediment with <u>Chondrites</u> as the only recognizable trace fossil. Excepting the concretions, the unit is uncemented and poorly consolidated. Logs and small wood fragments are present at one site but elsewhere no plant debris is apparent.

Cape Hay outlier of Bylot Island Formation The (composite section A) consists of shale interbedded with minor lithic sandstone (lithofacies Fb, Fl, Ss, Sr, Sh, Sl and C). The black shale has thin green silty laminae and is interbedded with rare very thin fine grained yellowish grey occasionally rippled sandstone beds. The shale varied from unbioturbated to poorly bioturbated. The sandstones are lenticular bodies scoured into the black flat-topped, shales. They fine upwards, are approximately 10 metres wide and range from 1.5 to 3 metres thick. The channel sediment fines from very coarse or pebbly sandstone to siltstone. Pebbles found in the scour fill are mainly under 1 cm and are derived from Precambrian migmatites. Coaly debris is common throughout the sandstone beds especially near the top. The channel sandstones are unbioturbated and massive except for occasional planar flow laminae. The sandstone is lithic and weathers grey-green, but fresh surfaces tend to be light grey with green grains visible in the coarser parts of the bed. The sandstone beds are moderately cemented and some contain small pyritic concretions. The base of the

Bylot Island Formation at Cape Hay consists of several metres of regolith including greenish and rusty discoloured shales and blocks of Precambrian carbonates.

At Maud Bight the contact of Bylot Island Formation and the overlying quartz arenites of the Sermilik Formation is typically sharp but gradational and conformable. The coarsening of the upper Bylot Island Formation strata is a precursor to the transition to the Sermilik sandstones. Although the contact between the two formations is often obscured, the gradation from mudstone to sandstone can be observed at several sites. The contact can be characterized at Maud Bight and Cape Hay as several metres of grey siltstone and mudstone interbedded with fine to medium grained guartz rich sandstone. The sandstone becomes more the division between the formations is common and arbitrarily placed at 50% sandstone. The transition beds occasionally show soft sediment deformation (flame structures). In one outcrop at Maud Bight it is marked by two coarse grained fining up beds. The first is a very coarse grained white quartz arenite containing small quartz arenite pebbles and wood with Teredolites in its lower portions. It fines over 5 metres back into a grey siltstone is overlain by a 1 metre thick and mudstone. This conglomerate bed and coarse grained quartz-rich sandstone. The conglomerate consists entirely of buff rounded Helikian Adams Sound quartz arenite cobbles 2-15 cm long. They are

poorly sorted and rest in a silty matrix which has a rusty reddish colouring (presumably from iron oxides). This too fines back into the mudstones. The exact point of transition is covered but several metres above there is only the massive quartz arenites of the Sermilik Formation.

Petrology

Two samples recovered from Bylot Island Formation at Cape Hay plot as quartz arenite and sublitharenite (Figure 2.1). Quartz grains often have secondary overgrowths and rarely exhibit undulose extinction. Lithic clasts consist of indurated and intraclastic mudstone, dolomite and chalcedonized dolomite. One sample contains rare fossil coralline algae fragments. Accessory minerals are rare but include opaques and zircon. Clay content varies from 4-10% and calcite cement averages 5% of the rock volume. Grains are moderately sorted and are rounded to subrounded.

A single sample from Maud Bight was coarse enough for detailed optical petrology; it plots as an arkose on Figure 2.1. Unaltered orthoclase is more common than plagioclase. Accessory minerals include biotite, glauconite and opaques. The glauconite component suggests deposition in a marine environment. Grains are angular to subangular and are moderately well sorted. Clay is a minor matrix component whereas calcite cement composes 10% of the rock volume.

Environmental Interpretation

The mudstones of the Bylot Island Formation were deposited in a shallow shelf setting at Maud Bight and estuarine conditions near Cape Hay.

Characteristics of shelves vary widely depending on the affect of physical processes such as tides, waves and currents. Most sedimentary structures formed by these processes at Maud Bight have been destroyed by intensive Chondrites, the only recognizable bioturbation. trace fossil, is found in a wide range of marine depositional environments. Intense bioturbation and increasing mud content reflect deposition in deeper and quieter waters (Davis, 1983). Larger scale features such as sand ridges are completely absent. Rare, unbioturbated siltstone/sandstone beds with ripple cross-laminae and occasional small rip-upclasts may represent storm beds. Palynological samples contain little terrestrial organic debris and an abundant marine microflora, suggesting a shelf environment.

The Bylot Island Formation strata in Eclipse Trough are interpreted to be basin plain mudstones intercalated with occasional distal turbidites (Sparkes, 1989). Flame structures and clastic dikes "typical of turbiditic deposition" in Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989) were absent in North Bylot Trough, except where the Bylot Island Formation gradationally coarsens up into the Sermilik Formation. Flame structures are present at one contact and a cobble rich debris flow with a muddy matrix at another. The typical transition beds at Maud Bight consisted of thinly bedded fine grained sandstone and mudstone over several metres. Progradational vertical sequences with transitions from shelf to shoreface environments typically contain a small transitional zone where the shelf muds begin interlayering with thin unbioturbated laminated sandstone beds such as in the Upper Cretaceous Mesaverde Group of Utah and Colorado (Reineck and Singh, 1980). Contorted storm beds (resembling the flame structures in the sandy beds of the Bylot Island Formation at Maud Bight) due to dewatering are common in transitional strata of the Middle Tertiary San Emigdio Formation of California (DeCelles, 1987).

At the Cape Hay outlier the formation is estuarine based on sedimentological characteristics and abundant marine palynomorphs. Components of estuaries include tidal flats, tidal channels and marshes. Tidal flats are low relief regions which are alternately exposed to flooding and dessication by tidal rise and fall. They may consist of marshy areas, mud and/or sand flats. The plains are dissected by a series of tidal channels which first fill with the rising tide, flood the tidal flat and then drain the region as the tide falls (Boggs, 1987). Sand typically occurs in the tidal channels and the lower portions of the flats while muds accumulate in the supratidal and upper intertidal zones of the flats (Boggs, 1987). The strata at

Hay consists of mudstones dissected by several Cape channels. Samples examined microscopically show a high concentration of marine palynomorphs, suggesting at least a marginal marine depositional system. Flaser bedding and rippled lenticular thin sand bodies were present in the mudstones and according to Davis (1983) are more likely to in tidally deposited strata than tidal bedding occur (bidirectional or herringbone cross-stratification), especially where sand supply is limited. Bioturbation varies from slight (such as Jade Bay, Germany) in sandy tidal flats to intense in mud rich flats (Reineck and Singh, 1980).

Organic debris can be common in tidal flat strata and is especially abundant in marshy areas (Boggs, 1987). Coal was reported from the vicinity of Cape Hay by Tremblay (1921) and abundant plant debris was noted in the mudstones and at the tops of tidal channels in this study. The high proportion of recycled terrestrial palynomorphs of approximately late Albian age suggests that the coal may be recycled from the Hassel Formation. The Hassel has produced coal from several outcrops near Pond Inlet on Baffin Island (G.D. Jackson et al, 1975).

The typical tidal channel on a mud flat is meandering due to the low relief of the drainage surface. Because of this tidal channels are reported to have typical characteristics of terrestrial meandering streams in addition to tidally influenced sedimentary structures and more intense bioturbation. Channels in this study, however, were not bioturbated, showed planar flow laminae, pebbly scour fill and considerably more plant debris than the surrounding mudstones. This suggests a moderate terrestrial input and possible choking of the channel by a land sourced flooding event. Pyritic nodules are present in the channel fill sediments; Boggs (1987) reports such nodules are common under reducing conditions in stagnant ocean basins, bogs and tidal flats.

Age

The Bylot Island Formation is upper Campanian to mid Maastrichtian in age. Characteristic palynomorphs include <u>Azonia</u>, <u>Aquilapollenites</u>, <u>Trudopollis</u>, <u>Ceriatopsis</u> and <u>Lejeunia</u>.

2.2.3 Sermilik Formation

Definition, Distribution and Thickness

The Sermilik Formation in Eclipse Trough was initially mapped by Jackson et al (1975) as unit K and later named by Miall <u>et al.</u> (1980) the Kanguk Formation sandstone member Kk2. Sparkes (1989) recently defined it as the Sermilik Formation. The formation is named after the Sermilik Glacier, near the type section in Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989). In North Bylot Trough Jackson and Davidson (1975) mapped Sermilik Formation as unit K.

The Sermilik reaches 472 metres in Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989) and up to 210 metres in North Bylot Trough where Tertiary erosion is minimal. Like the underlying Bylot Island Formation the Sermilik is entirely removed at the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains. It outcrops along the eastern margin of Maud Bight, in the centre of the basin where it is uplifted and folded and near Cape Hay where a down-faulted section is preserved (composite sections C, B and A respectively).

Synonyms

At Maud Bight and Cape Hay the Sermilik Formation was mapped as unit K by Jackson and Davidson (1975) and described as fine grained to pebbly quartzose sandstone with a rare shaley component. Portions of it were also included in map unit KT3 consisting of undifferentiated sandstones siltstones and shales of variable bedding thickness. Benham and Burden (1990) identified the same quartz arenites as These strata are equivalent to the Sermilik unit 3. Formation in Eclipse Trough. Some strata originally identified as Hassel (Benham and Burden, 1990) are transferred to Sermilik here, based on palynology.

Contacts

The base of the Sermilik Formation at Maud Bight and Cape Hay is conformable and gradational with the Bylot Island Formation. It is unconformably overlain by Tertiary shales, sandstones and conglomerates of the Aktineq Formation.

In Eclipse Trough the Sermilik Formation was defined as a wedge-like sand body within the Bylot Island Formation (Sparkes, 1989). Bylot Island Formation was not observed overlying Sermilik Formation at Maud Bight; it either was never deposited or was removed prior or during the deposition of the Aktineq Formation.

Lithology

The Sermilik Formation can be divided into two main components; "massive" glauconitic quartz arenite (lithofacies Ss, St, SM, Sd) and planar and crossbedded sandstone and conglomerate with minor shales (lithofacies Ss, Sp, Sh, St, Sl, Gcp).

The majority of this formation consists of the "massive" white to greenish tinged glauconitic quartz arenite. Much of it is truly massive but scattered sites reveal bedding and sedimentary structures. The sandstone typically is medium to coarse-grained but ranges from fine to very coarse-grained and pebbly. Curving, subhorizontal, moderately cemented sideritic bands run through the sandstone and may represent scour surfaces. Skolithos tubes were noted at one locality (plate 1, Figure 5); they are 3-10 cm long, up to 1 cm wide. The tubes are filled with white sand but the actual structure consists of greenish sands much richer in glauconite than the surrounding beds. It is possible that the glauconite grew preferentially here in response to the secretions the organism used to stabilize its living structure in shifting sands. Elsewhere scattered centimetre thick, horizontal to subhorizontal burrows occur. The thickest sections of Sermilik occur in the centre of the basin area. The lower portions of the sequence contain occasional shaley bands. The scours hinted by the presence of siderite bands are further distinguished by isolated pebbly lag deposits. The pebbles are 3-20 mm long red and consist of Adams Sound sandstone, granite and occasionally gneiss. The beds, when distinguishable, are 2-6 metres thick and fine upwards. The top of some beds show soft sediment deformation and/or ripples. Planar flow laminae are clearly visible in some beds.

Further up the section the scoured bases, fining up cycles and sedimentary structures become more evident. Planar laminae and ripples occur more frequently. Green, red and grey shale rip-up-clasts are common in the fine-grained beds. Overall, the beds become coarser towards the end of the sequence, with pebble lags (1-3 cm) and rip-up-clasts being common. Siderite cement binds the coarse beds but on the whole they remain uncemented.

One debris flow punctuates the upper strata of the Sermilik Formation. It consists of Adams Sound pebbles and green/red shale rip-up-clasts in a black muddy matrix. There are numerous coaly fragments in this 3 metre thick bed.

The planar and cross-bedded sandstone component of the Sermilik Formation is located only on the eastern edge of the Maud Bight shoreline (composite section C). The conglomerate and crossbedded sandstone lithology grades up from the massive



Figure 2.3 Approximately 20 m of coarse grained sandstone and conglomerate beds in the upper Sermilik Formation



Figure 2.4 Pebble stringers, herringbone cross-stratified and heavy mineral concentrated sandstones in upper strata of the Sermilik Formation. The pen is 15 cm long.

glauconitic quartz arenites (Figure 2.3). There is a transition over 5 metres from the massive beds to several decimetre thick beds of low angle planar and herringbone cross-stratified medium to coarse grained sandstone (Figure 2.4). The beds are often scoured and filled with a lag of 5-30 mm. long Adams Sound quartz sandstone clasts. Stringers of concentrated heavy minerals are common in the sands. These are overlain by a series of scour based fining upwards sequences and a gravel bar. The sequences fine from a pebble conglomerate to a siltstone or shale and are 2-4 metres thick. The well rounded conglomerate clasts are dominantly Adams Sound sandstones but Pre-Cambrian granites and gneisses become a more important component up through the section. Following this trend the sandstones contain more lithic clasts and also have a muddier matrix upsection. The finer sediments show lamination, ripples and occasional bioturbation. Wood with Teredolites is a rare component of the sequence (Plate 1, Figure 4). The gravel bar has a scoured base, is planar cross-bedded, lenticular, 1-4 metres thick and has an undulating megarippled upper surface. Clasts in the bed are 3-15 centimetres long and are all Adams Sound sandstones. They are moderately sorted but show no imbrication.

At Cape Hay the Sermilik Formation consists of white medium to coarse grained quartz arenite (composite section

A). The tabular beds are 2-4 metres thick, fine up and have scoured bases. The finer sediments contain abundant plant debris, such as needles and leaf fragments. Plant fragments line bedding planes and define sedimentary structures such as laminar flow and ripples near the top of the beds. The base of some beds contain small shale rip-up-clasts and rounded Helikian Adams Sound clasts. Yellowish discolourations mark bedding parallel concretions along the base and near the top of the beds. Here, as in Maud Bight, the rock is uncon-solidated to poorly cemented.

Near the foot of the mountains the Sermilik Formation is composed of blocks of Adams Sound Formation interbedded with quartz arenite (Lithofacies Gb and Sh). The unit fines up from a breccia/conglomerate to a pebbly sandstone with siltstone interbeds. The single outcrop is not well exposed and inaccessible within glacial stream canyons.

Petrology

The average Sermilik sandstone plots as a subarkose but the sandstone can be divided into two compositional populations. The "massive" Sermilik sandstones consist of mature quartz arenite and subarkose while the crossstratified Sermilik (composite cross-section C) consists of arkose, feldspathic litharenite and litharenite. Composition seems to vary due to different depositional environments with shelf sands being most compositionally mature.

Quartz is the dominant component of this sandstone; it is usually over 85% of total volume. Polycrystalline grains of quartz exhibit irregular to sinuous sutures suggestive of recycled quartzose sandstones. The quartz showed mainly straight but not uncommonly undulose extinction. The grains often contain vacuoles or inclusions of plagioclase or biotite. Rarely the vacuoles were arranged linearly suggesting stress. Secondary overgrowths were present on some grains: distinguished by thin clay coatings. Other polycrystalline grains show metamorphic style sutures. A few samples contained over 35% orthoclase feldspar grains but most sandstones contained under 10% feldspars. Lithic grains (granites, metamorphic and sedimentary rock fragments) usually are absent but range up to 10% of the rocks. Minor components include mica, hornblende, tourmaline, garnet, magnetite and other opaques. Glauconite grains are not uncommon and suggest shallow marine deposition. The majority of the sediments are poorly cemented to unconsolidated. Where cementation has occurred it is due to siderite cement or clays. The siderite is often visible as curving bands in outcrop, possibly representing scour surfaces.

Grains are subangular to subrounded and typically well sorted. Texturally and compositionally more mature sandstones in this member also showed much higher proportions of monocrystalline to polycrystalline quartz grains due to the instability of the polycrystalline grains. The Sermilik at Maud Bight is locally derived from the quartz arenites of the Adams Sound Formation and at least partially from the Precambrian migmatites. This differs from the Eclipse Trough where the Sparkes (1989) suggests the dominant source of the Sermilik is Archean plutonic rocks.

Environmental Interpretation

The Sermilik Formation consists of shoreface, foreshore and backshore deposits along a high energy shoreline.

The majority of the Sermilik Formation was deposited in the middle to upper shoreface. Sermilik strata appears mostly massive but distinctive features become apparent with closer observation. Scour based quartz arenite beds with pebbly lag, wave ripples and planar bedding are all typical of middle to upper shoreface deposits. <u>Skolithos</u> burrows are also common in this environment. Green mudchips in a coal and pebble rich debris flow and the upper strata of one section may be transported from a Sermilik flood plain or coastal marsh.

Exposures of Sermilik strata on the eastern Maud Bight sea cliffs contain features typical of the foreshore; low angle planar cross-beds herringbone cross-stratification, pebble lags and stringers of concentrated heavy minerals. Herringbone cross-stratification in subtidal and intertidal zones is a component of such tide dominated shorelines such

as in the (Lower Jurassic) Lower Coal Series, Bornholm, Denmark (Sellwood, 1972) and the Precambrian Wood Canyon Formation, Nevada (Davis, 1983). This suggests at least a moderate tidal influence in addition to wave dominant processes. Notably a gravel bar (likely cobbles on a storm beach) with a megarippled upper surface caps the strata containing those elements. Above the gravel bar are interbedded fine, bioturbated sediments rich in plant debris and metre thick conglomerate beds. Wood with Teredolites proximity to marine boring suggests а environment. Palynological slides yield abundant terrestrial plant debris as well as dinoflagellates. These finer grained strata were deposited in a backshore environment.

Modern examples of high energy shorelines in California and Oregon resemble Sermilik strata. Howard and Reineck (1981) describe the modern offshore of California as having intensely bioturbated fine silts with rarely preserved storm generated beds; these strata are equivalent to the Bylot Island Formation. The transition to the lower shoreface is marked by alternating HCS and bioturbated beds. McCubbin (1982) describes storm beds with a basal lag of shells or mud clasts, capped by wave ripples which may be obliterated by bioturbation. Bioturbation decreases and grain size increases towards the shoreline. Middle shoreface deposits consist of various sedimentary structures including seaward dipping low angle planar bedding, ripple cross-lamination,

trough cross-beds and vertical burrows including Skolithos and Ophiomorpha (Boggs, 1987). Upper shoreface sediments vary from sand to gravel size depending on wave energy and clastic source; they contain trough and herringbone crossbedding structures as well as rare Skolithos burrows (Boggs, 1987). Oregon Coast upper shoreface sediments are dominated by gently seaward dipping planar beds (Clifton et al., 1971). The shoreface and foreshore transition contains numerous pebble lags concentrated by breakers. Pebble lags in Miocene shoreline deposits of California are thin but laterally continuous and may show seaward-dipping imbrication produced by breakers in the surf zone (Clifton, 1981). In addition, gravel ripples or bars, such as those occurring in Sermilik strata, may be formed by rip currents. Upward-convex, planar cross-bedded gravel megaripples with foresets dipping shorewards in middle Tertiary shoreline deposits from California are ascribed to rip currents forming in storms (DeCelles, 1987). Parallel laminated sands and concentrated heavy minerals (similar to those observed from upper Sermilik strata) from swash are the main features of foreshore (beach) facies (Howard and Reineck, 1981; Clifton, 1981). Backshore strata include structures such as aeolian trough cross-beds, plant root disturbances terrestrial burrows and intermittent storm wave modification (Boggs, 1987). Upper Sermilik strata contain red and green mudstone chips similar to those which occur in lagoonal,

coastal marsh and flood plain deposits from Miocene progradational shoreline sequences in California (Clifton, 1981).

Sermilik at Cape Hay consists of a regressive sequence where fluvial strata gradationally overlie tidal flat strata of the Bylot Island Formation. Sermilik strata at Cape Hay are erosive based, fine up, contain rip-up clasts and fine plant debris. The tabular form of the sand bodies and lack of flood plain strata suggest braided rather than meandering stream deposition. Outcrop quality limits further interpretation of depositional environment.

Sermilik strata in Eclipse Trough is equivalent in age but interpreted by Sparkes (1989) to be a braid delta with submarine components. North Bylot Trough is a smaller and shallower basin and may not have accommodated such a depositional system. It is conceivable that Sermilik strata in offshore Lancaster Sound were deposited in a submarine environment.

Age

The Sermilik Formation is mid to late Maastrichtian in age. Characteristic genera include <u>Porosipollenites</u>, <u>Beaupreaidites</u>, <u>Aquilapollenites</u>, <u>Singularia</u> and Wodehouseia.

2.2.4 Navy Board Formnation

Definition, Distribution and Thickness

A series of black structureless to laminated shales and minor muddy sandstones in Eclipse Trough was named the Navy Board Formation by Waterfield (1989). He interpreted the strata to be basinal and pro-deltaic deposits. Black shales and thinly bedded sandstones of equivalent age in North Bylot Trough belong to the Navy Board Formation.

The Navy Board Formation at Maud Bight is tabular and approximately 50 metres thick throughout the basin.

Synonyms

At Maud Bight Jackson and Davidson (1975) mapped unit KT3; consisting of poorly consolidated sandstone, siltstone and shale of variable bedding thickness and colour. Benham and Burden (1990) mapped the same strata as units 4, 5 and 6. Unit 4, composed of shale and thin siltstone/sandstone interbeds is herein named the Navy Board Formation after Waterfield (1989).

Contacts

The Navy Board Formation at Maud Bight lies unconformably upon the Bylot Island Formation, Sermilik Formation and Precambrian migmatites. It is gradationally, erosively or unconformably overlain by the Aktineq Formation depending on proximity to the Byam Martin Mountains.

Lithology

The Navy Board Formation consists of two interfingering lithologies; black shale, with or without minor lenticular sandstone beds (lithofacies Fl, Fm, Fb, C, Sr and Se) and thin bedded sandstone and siltstone (lithofacies Sh, Sr, Se, Sd, Sl, Fl, Fm, C and rare Gcm).

The Navy Board strata in the northern half of North Bylot Trough at Maud Bight is dominantly composed of fissile black shale (Figure 2.5 and composite sections B and C). It occasionally has a mottled appearance from bioturbation. Lenticular siltstone/fine grained sandstone bodies 1-3 cm thick and 5-20 cm long are occasionally present; they typically have a rippled base and fine up. Fine plant debris is visible in the coarser sediment; some fragments are recognizable conifer needles and leaves. The shales contain occasional logs reaching 20 cm in diameter but usually under 10 cm. None of the logs show borings like those found in the Sermilik Formation.

Southwards, near the foot of the Byam Martin Range (composite section D), the Navy Board Formation coarsens to thinly interbedded shale, siltstone, sandstone and rare pebbly conglomerate. The sandstone beds are tabular and 5-40 cm thick (Figures 2.7 and 2.8). The weathered sediment is light grey to green in colour while fresh faces are light green. The sandstone is medium to coarse grained with isolated well rounded pebbles. The beds are often scour based and fine up into siltstone or shale. Ripples are sometimes present on the base of the bed. Rip-up-clasts of shale are common in the sandstone beds. They are typically small and angular (usually 2-6 cm long but may reach a maximum of 100 cm in length). The rip-up-clasts are located in the middle or on top of the



Figure 2.5 Approximately 10 m thick section of shale dominated Navy Board Formation near the Maud Bight shoreline.



Figure 2.6 Detrital plots for sandstones with high clay content; filled circle, plus and open circle symbols represent Navy Board Formation, Aktineq sandstone and Maud Bight Member respectively. See Appendix C for Quartz-Feldspar-Lithic percentages.

sandstone beds. The dominance of the sandstone beds varies locally due to switching of depositional energy regimes. The sandstones are interbedded with 2-20 cm thick siltstones and shales. These are dark grey to black on fresh and weathered surfaces. The beds may be laminated, mottled (from burrowing) or featureless. The fine sediments also contain fine plant debris. Less common are contorted zones which incorporate a series of sandstone and shale interbeds. The incorporated strata are deformed into tiqht folds, overturned, discontinuous or broken into twisted clasts. These zones vary from 50 to 150 cm in thickness. Boulder beds may also appear as isolated flat topped lenticular beds within the Navy Board Formation. These channelized beds occasionally exhibit epsilon cross-bedding. They are restricted to the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains and are the only channel features within the Navy Board Formation.

Petrology

Much of the Navy Board Formation is fine grained so samples available for thin section were limited to strata near the Byam Martin Mountains. Compositional values vary widely for the samples but the sediments all show textural and compositional immaturity. Sandstones of the Navy Board Formation have a clay component of at least 15% and so were plotted on Figure 2.6. The Navy Board sandstones are lithic greywackes with higher quartz and feldspar contents than strata of the Aktineq Formation. Quartz composed 23-57%, Feldspar 3-59% and lithics 0-67% of the rock. Lithic clasts include schist, granite and minor sedimentary rock fragments. Other components include biotite, hornblende, opaques, tourmaline, garnet, pyroxene and plant debris. Hornblende grains often are highly altered, with clay filled fractures.

Clays commonly fill pore spaces and compose up to 20% of the rock volume. Grains are angular to subangular and moderately to poorly sorted.

Sediments are mainly sourced from migmatites, granites, granodiorites and charnockites of an Archean crystalline complex from the Byam Martin Mountains. Subsidiary sources likely include the Helikian sedimentary strata as well as recycled Cretaceous and Tertiary strata.

Environmental Interpretation

The Navy Board consists mainly of thinly bedded sandstones with abundant rip-up-clasts at the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains, black shales along the shore of Maud Bight, and gradation of the two between. The depositional system is composed of a braided apron debouching from the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains into a flood plain or lacustrine environment.

The interbedded thin sandstones, siltstones and occasional conglomerates of the Navy Board resemble, in

part, deposits from a Malbaie type fluviatile system (Rust, 1981).



Figure 2.7 Detail of Navy Board strata near the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains. Thinly bedded sandstone and siltstone with rip-up-clasts, ripples and abundant plant debris now dominant over shale. Small divisions on staff are 10 cm.



Figure 2.8 Folding and faulting becomes more prominant in Navy Board strata on the southeastern corner of North Bylot Trough near the faults defiing the basin margin. Note the figure at the foot of the strata. Abundant rip-up-clasts and laterally discontinuous beds at Maud Bight are similar to Malbaie strata. In addition, deformed and broken strata are evidence of flash flooding at Maud Bight. There is a lack of channelling in these deposits except near the Byam Martin Mountains where several boulder filled channels slice through thinly bedded strata.

Strata of the Malbaie Formation (Rust, 1981) are variable laterally and vertically over several metres and consist of three major facies (Se, Sh/Sl, St). Facies Se consists of erosional scours filled with sand and mudstone intraclasts ranging from rounded pebble sized clasts to cm long clasts (Rust, 1981). Rust angular 40 (1981)concluded the rip-up-clasts formed when stagnant mud-rich flood plain pools evaporated and then the dessicated muds were ripped up during floods. Flood plain muds tend to have low potential for preservation as discrete beds (Rust, 1981). Facies Sh consists of sandstone with primary current lineation and some intraclasts scattered alonq the lineations. The planar laminae often grade into low angle stratification (S1). Trough cross-bedded sandstone (St) is third major component of the Malbaie alluvial the depositional system. The sandstones are interbedded with conglomerates; together they were interpreted by Rust (1981) as being deposited on a proximal braided alluvial plain. The fluvial system for the sandstone is characterized as containing elements of both the South Saskatchewan and Bijou

Creek types (Cant and Walker, 1978; McKee <u>et al.</u>, 1967); these are equivalent to architectural models 10 and 12 of Miall (1985a).

The Navy Board strata gradationally fine northwards to thin lenticular beds of fine rippled sandstone in black shale and finally to rocks consisting entirely of black shale. The shale dominated sediments of the Navy Board represent a transition to an alluvial flood plain from the Malbaie type alluvial system. Flood plain strata consist almost entirely of fine grained sediment which may or may not exhibit horizontal bedding, dessication cracks, organic debris, mottled bedding, mollusc shells, siderite or pyrite nodules and caliche (Reineck and Singh, 1980). Miall's (1985a) architectural element equivalent OF (Overbank Fines) is typified by finely laminated muds and silts with thin lenses of silt to fine sandstone showing ripple crosslamination. Other components include coals and crevasse splay sand sheets. Fossil logs and fine plant debris were common in the Navy Board shales. In addition, thin ripple cross-laminated sand lenses become common southwards and grade into interbedded thin shales and sandstones. Absence of marine palynomorphs in the Navy Board except for some recycled Cretaceous dinoflagellates, suggests non-marine deposition for formation. The general lack of paleosols, dessication cracks or rooted horizons may indicate the presence of a lake or series of lakes on the flood plain.

Age

The Navy Board Formation is lower Paleocene in age based on palynomorphs. Characteristic genera include <u>Paraalnipollenites</u>, <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u>, <u>Triporopollenites</u> and <u>Pesavis</u>.

2.2.5 Aktineq Formation

Definition, Distribution and Thickness

The Aktineq Formation was defined by Waterfield (1989) for Tertiary fluvio-deltaic deposits of reddish brown channel sandstones and black muddy siltstones found in Eclipse Trough. The Aktineq Formation at Maud Bight consists of similar strata as well as a new recognized laterally equivalent conglomerate package.

The Aktineq is a wedge of conglomerate, sandstone and shale varying from 5 to over 200 metres in thickness at Maud Bight. In general, thickness of the formation decreases away from the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains.

The Aktineq Formation boulder beds at Maud Bight are a distinct entity and were not noted in Waterfield's (1989) definition of the formation. The Maud Bight Member (new name) containing those strata is defined and detailed in this chapter. Section 6 (Appendix B) is the type section for
the Maud Bight Member of the Aktineq Formation and includes over 200 metres of conglomerate, minor sandstone and rare siltstone. The lower part of the Aktineq Formation remains undefined as a member and is informally called the Aktineq sandstone.

Synonyms

At Maud Bight the Aktineq Formation was first mapped by Jackson and Davidson (1975) as part of unit KT3 poorly consolidated sandstone, siltstone and shale of variable bedding thickness and colour. Benham and Burden (1990) mapped the same rocks as units 5 and 6, herein named the Aktineq Formation after Waterfield (1989).

Contacts

The Aktineq Formation at Maud Bight was deposited during active tectonism and lies unconformably upon the Navy Board Formation near the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains and conformably away from them (Figure 2.13). Aktineq also unconformably overlies the Sermilik Formation and Precambrian migmatites. It is covered by thin glacial deposits.

Lithology

The Aktineq Formation consists of interfingering lithologies; channelized lithic greywacke with minor

conglomerate lag (lithofacies Ss, St, Sr, Sh, Se, C and rarely Gch) and black shale, with or without minor lenticular sandstone beds (similar to those described in the lithology



Figure 2.9 Over 80 m of stacked channels and flood plain strata of the Aktineq Formation sandstone (Figures 2.10 and 2.12 are from this section).

section of the Navy Board Formation) which laterally grade into thick bedded conglomerate and sandstone (lithofacies Gcm, Gch, Sh, Sl, Fr and C).

Aktineq Sandstone

The Aktineq consists of sandstone beds 1-5 metres in thickness and subordinate shale beds (Figure 2.9). The sandstone beds are lenticular, flat topped and can reach 15 metres in width (Figure 2.10). They have scoured bases and fine up from medium grained sandstone to shale. The lithic sandstone is green on both fresh and weathered surfaces. The base of the bed often contains angular black shale and buff siltstone rip-up-clasts. The clasts may reach 50 cm in length but usually are under 10 cm. The sediment above the rip-up-clasts may show planar flow laminae but usually is featureless. Near the top of the bed, as the sandstone fines upwards, ripples are common. Fine plant debris is also common and may accumulate in the ripple troughs. The black shales and grey to buff siltstones often compose up to half of the bed thickness. They are sometimes laminated or have thin lenses of fine grained sand. The laminae are occasionally disturbed by bioturbation but the majority of completely intact. primary sedimentary structures are Occasional channel plugs consist of cut bank slump debris or rip-up-clasts (Figure 2.11).

Towards the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains the Aktinrq



Figure 2.10 Interbedded channels and overbank fines within the Aktineq sandstone.



Figure 2.11 Channel filled with rip-up-clasts or cut bank slump debris in the Aktineq sandstone.

is dominantly composed of medium to very coarse scour based sandstone which invariably contains rip-up-clasts and may have a boulder lag. Siltstone is a minor component of these fining

up beds and shale is rarely present except as rip-up-clasts. The beds range from 2-8 metres in thickness, reach 20 metres in length and are lenticular, scour based and flat topped. Rip-up-clasts are common at the scoured base but they can appear along multiple horizons in a single bed suggesting a pulsing or periodic deposition. They average 15-30 cm in length but can reach 150 cm. When present pebbles, boulders and logs occur at the base of the bed with the rip-up-clasts separate and lying directly above them. The subangular to subrounded polymictic conglomerate clasts range from 5 to 110 cm with an average of 40 cm. Sedimentary structures are uncommon but include minor trough crossbedding, planar flow laminae and rare wavy laminae at the top of the bed.

Specific horizons near the top of the sandstone beds commonly are moderately cemented while the rest of the rock is poorly cemented.

Shales interbedded with the sandstones contain ripples, minor load casting and small patches of centimetre size ripup-clasts. Mudcrack casts are common with up to 6 cm of vertical penetration. Wood fragments less the 20 cm long and 2 cm wide are abundant along with fine plant debris. Shales and interbedded sandstones occasionally showed thickening and coarsening upwards cycles (Figure 2.12).



Figure 2.12 Crevasse splay strata in the Aktineq sandstone.

Maud Bight Member

The Maud Bight Member consists of thick bedded conglomerate with minor interbeds of lithic greywacke and rare siltstone. The majority of this facies is massive to poorly bedded conglomerate (lithofacies Gcm, Gch, rare Sh and C), but also includes conglomerate interbedded with sandstone (lithofacies Gcm, Sl, Fr and C) and talus breccia (lithofacies Gb and Sh). The boulders in the conglomerate average 20-60 cm (Figure 2.14) but isolated angular blocks of Pre-Cambrian migmatite are over 4 metres long. Boulder size decreases rapidly away from the Byam Martin Mountains; from a maximum 3 or 4 metres to 40 cm in diameter where the conglomerate interlobes with the Aktineq sandstones. The subrounded clasts subangular to with roundness are increasing away from the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains. Clast composition is dominantly granitic, gneissic and Adams Sound sandstone as well as rare basaltic and gabbroic boulders (Nauyat Formation and Helikian dikes respectively). The matrix of the conglomerate is a coarse to very coarse green lithic sandstone which is visually indistinguishable from the rest of the Aktineq and the Navy Board Formation. The clasts show no imbrication or sorting in the massive conglomerates. Fossil logs are present.

Where the conglomerate is interbedded with sandstone (lithofacies Gcm, Sl, Fr and C) more information can be gleaned from the rocks. Beds range from 1 to 4 metres in



Figure 2.13 Approximately 100 m thick section showing the pronounced truncation of Navy Board strata by the Maud Bight Member boulder beds

thickness. They may be scour based and channelized but often are nonerosive and sheet-like (Figure 2.15). The larger clasts may sink into the underlying sands.

The sandstone typically comprises under 10% of the facies but may make up 50% of the rock. It forms 50-100 cm thick lensoid bodies under 6 metres wide and also extensive metre thick sand sheets. The sheets show drape over the boulders (seen in laminae). Conglomerate clast size normally ranges from 15-50 cm with scattered boulders reaching a maximum of 100 cm. The clasts are rounded to subangular and show no imbrication. Some of the beds fine up. The sand bodies may show undisturbed planar laminae and occasional rip-up-clasts. The conglomerate often contains logs and the finer sediment is rich in plant fragments. In one thin siltstone bed a rich layer of well preserved leaves and occasional rootlets was found.

The conglomerate strata are polymictic except in proximal alluvial deposits where clasts are angular, poorly sorted and are the same composition as the strata they overlie.

Some beds within the sequence appear to be highly weathered and show iron staining as if the bed was exposed for a long period before being covered. Overall the conglomerate beds are poorly cemented with calcite. Petrology

Visually the sandstones in the Aktineq sandstone and Maud Bight conglomerate are indistinguishable but they will be dealt with separately on the basis of moderate variations apparent in thin section.

The sandstone dominated northern exposures of the compositionally and texturally Aktinea Formation are immature. Point counts of the sandstones plot on Figure 2.6 lithic greywacke. Quartz grains range from 21-45%, as feldspar 18-43% and lithic fragments 17-61% of the rock. The lithic grains are mostly metamorphic but also include and indurated granites, quartz arenite shale clasts (respectively from Adams Sound and Arctic Bay Formations). Minor components include mica, garnet, hornblende and opaques. Logs were observed in outcrops but organic matter was rarely visible in thin section.

The grains are angular to subangular (often feldspar grains have retained their crystal form) and moderately sorted. Clay content was usually 15% and calcite cement was rare and never composed more than 5% of the rock.

Samples from conglomerate matrix and sandstone beds of the Maud Bight Member were composed of 14-48% quartz, 11-37% feldspar and 31-73% lithic fragments. The mean composition of these sandstones plot as a lithic greywacke on Figure 2.6 and have a higher lithic content than the rest of the Aktineq strata. Lithic clasts consist of gneissic, granitic and sedimentary fragments including shale intraclasts and well



Figure 2.14 Typical massive to poorly bedded Maud Bight Member boulder beds.



Figure 2.15 Epsilon cross-sets in boulder filled channels where the Maud Bight Member interfingers with the Aktineq sandstone. There is approximately 5 m of section.

indurated shale clasts from the Helikian Arctic Bay Formation. Minor constituents include mica, hornblende, pyroxenes, garnets, sphene and opaques. Organics are present in some of the samples.

Clays are common, usually making up 8% of the rock. The conglomerates are poorly cemented but can contain up to 12% calcite cement. Grains are often ringed with a thin calcite layer and some quartz grains show calcite growths in their fractures. Occasionally quartz grains have quartz overgrowths but whether this is from recycled Adams Sound or Cretaceous sediments is unclear.

The Maud Bight Member sediments are even less mature than the Aktineq sandstones due to minimal alluvial transport and breccias in the Maud Bight Member were the least mature due to almost zero effective transport. The clastic source is mainly the Archean crystalline complex but also includes Helikian sedimentary strata.

Environmental Interpretation

Humid alluvial fans deposited the conglomeratic Maud Bight Member at the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains and meandering streams flowing north from the fans deposited the Aktineq sandstones. Maud Bight Member

Alluvial fans occur where streams on steep slopes emerge from their confining valleys and distribute their bedload sediments in a radial fashion on the slope and basin floor. Streams emerging from the Byam Martin Mountains and facing a rapid drop to the floor of the North Bylot Trough provide the source for very coarse clastic material. The steep drop is a result of a northwest trending fault scarp which defines the margin of the North Bylot Trough. Alluvial fan/braided stream conglomerates are often associated with uplift along a fault zone; examples include the Cadomin Formation in Alberta (McLean, 1977) and the Kishenehn, Bonnet Plume, Ross River, Dawson and Hat Creek sequences of Yukon and B.C. (Long, 1981).

The alluvial strata at Maud Bight; composed of massive and poorly bedded conglomerate interbedded with sandstone compare well with typical features of the Scott type braided stream model (Miall 1978 and 1985a) although lacking trough or planar cross-bedding in the conglomerate due to larger clast size. Individual conglomerate beds are often hard to distinguish due to homogeneity of the strata although some channels were noted where sand was a moderate component. Rare sandy beds contain planar laminae and rare rip-upclasts. The boulders likely have been transported only a few kilometres but are moderately rounded by stream transport. Sand-rich strata exhibit fining up cycles over 10 metres of section; these are a product of gradual abandonment of the proximal depositional lobe (Galloway and Hobday, 1983).

Fan size is controlled by climate, drainage basin area, discharge, relief and source rock lithology (Galloway and Hobday, 1983). Alluvial fans are characterized as either humid (dominated by streams) or semi-arid (mass-flow deposits are dominant). Semi-arid fans consist of poorly sorted mud supported debris flows containing clasts up to boulder size, well sorted sheet flood sands, stream channel deposits and gravelly sieve deposits (Collinson, 1986). Debris flow clasts exhibit no rounding from transport although they may have previously been rounded by streams. Debris flows and other features of semi-arid fans were absent from Maud Bight Member strata. Humid alluvial fans form from deposition by braided streams such as model 2 (Scott type) of Miall (1985a). This model is typical of proximal alluvial fans and outwash braidplains; containing a tabular gravel sheets and longitudinal bars which divide numbers of broad, shallow channels which branch and join continuously downstream (Miall, 1985a). The channels are not entrenched and continuously change due to bar progradation and bank erosion, especially during peak seasonal discharge. The architecture of the deposit consists of thin indistinguishable tabular intersecting gravel sheets and occasional sandy bedforms containing ripples, planar laminae or cross-bedding (Miall, 1985a). Dessicated fine muds and silts are rare in this depositional system. Where perennial streams are present and the sediment is very coarse, transport may be restricted to seasonal events such as a sheet flood after heavy rainfall or due to melting snows (Galloway and Hobday, 1983). Also, channels which are well defined in the proximal fan, may lose water from percolation through porous strata, and become poorly defined in the distal fan (Galloway and Hobday, 1983). This can lead to sheet flooding being the dominant depositional process on the lower slopes. In humid climates stream dominated fans often have considerable vegetation cover (Galloway and Hobday, 1983).

The fans at Maud Bight may have had regions that were inactive long enough for vegetation to establish itself on the slope; well preserved leaves were found in one siltstone bed and fossil logs were common throughout the sequence. Rootlets penetrate the leaf bed and some of the other siltstone beds. In addition, some boulder beds exhibited weathering and iron-staining from long exposure prior to burial.

Conglomerates resembling those at Maud Bight were recently found along the margins of Eclipse Trough at the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains (Burden, 1990 personal communication). Thick conglomerate wedges at Lake Hazen, the Judge Daly Basin and Mokka Fjord on Axel Heiberg Island were deposited by a Scott type braided stream and are very similar to alluvial deposits in Maud Bight (Miall, 1981). It is further noted these fans lie directly against major reversed faults (Miall, 1981).

Aktineq Sandstone

Alluvial fans have condensed downslope fining trends due to rapid change of slope. The Maud Bight Member boulder beds extend no further than 5 kilometres from the foot of the Byam Martin Mountains before fining into sand dominated beds. Northern exposures of the Aktineq Formation at Maud Bight consist of interbedded channel sandstones and overbank fines. This reflects a transition from braided stream deposition to that of a sandy meandering stream (model 6 of Miall, 1985a) as the coarser sediment can no longer be transported on the basin floor. The plain at the foot of the alluvial fan consisted of a series of meandering streams flowing northwards from the fans, bordered by levees and surrounded by lakes.

Reineck and Singh (1980) divided fluvial deposits into three major groups; channel (channel lag, point bar, channel bar and channel fill), bank (levee and crevasse splay) and flood basin deposits (including flood plain and marsh). At Maud Bight channel bottoms often contain mud clasts, boulders and occasionally logs. The mud clasts may be derived from flooding or slump blocks from the cut side of the channel (Reineck and Singh, 1980). The mud clasts are angular showing little transport after deposition in the channel. Lateral accretion features were rarely observed but this may be a function of the outcrop orientation, uniform grain size or post depositional in-situ internal liquefaction of sediments. Observed minor trough and planar cross-bedding might be a



Figure 2.16 Outcrop map of Cretaceous and Tertiary strata in North Bylot Trough. The map is based on outcrop descriptions from field stations detailed in Appendix B. Units 1 to 6 represent Hassel, Bylot Island, Sermilik, Navy Board, Aktineq sandstone and Maud Bight respectively. part of poorly preserved lateral accretion surfaces. Two styles of channel fill occur; planar flow laminated sandstone fining up to rippled and parallel laminated siltstone and shale and occasionally shale filled channels with moderate shale slump block components. The latter represents typical oxbow lakes where a meander loop gets cut off at the neck, is isolated from the rest of the stream, and gradually fills with laminated fine sediments, organics and occasional cross-bedded sands (Reineck and Singh, 1980).

Probable levee and crevasse-splay deposits occur between channel sands at Maud Bight. Sandy beds containing ripples, load casting and rip-up-clasts fine up into dessication cracked muds rich in plant debris including small branches. Flood plain deposits (see description of fine grained strata in Navy Board Formation) are also a common component. The general absence of mudcracks or root casts in these fine grained sediments suggests some may have been deposited in standing water.

Age

The Aktineq Formation is lower to mid Paleocene in age based on plant macrofossils and palynology. One bed of siltstone from lower strata in the Maud Bight Member produced many well preserved plant macrofossils from a low diversity assemblage. These include <u>Equisetum</u> sp., <u>Metasequoia</u> <u>occidentalis</u> (Newberry) Chaney 1951 and <u>Trochodendroides</u> <u>arctica</u> (Heer) Berry 1926 and are probably early to middle Paleocene in age (Basinger, personal communication, 1988).

Characteristic palynomorphs include <u>Paraalnipollenites</u>, <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u>, <u>Caryapollenites</u>, <u>Pesavis</u> and Microthallites.

2.3 TECTONISM

Bight are The strata at Maud divided into tectonostratigraphic packages representing episodes of sedimentation and deformation (Benham and Burden, 1990). In phase 1 a broad sheet of Hassel sandstone was deposited across Bylot and North Baffin Islands prior to rifting. This package is preserved as isolated pods in North Bylot Trough and more extensively in Eclipse Trough. Phase 2 consists of Bylot Island and Sermilik Formation deposits in grabens formed during the early rifting of Baffin Bay. In this stage the extensional regime was along a NW-SE axis parallal to the axes of Baffin Bay, Eclipse Trough and Milne Inlet Trough. Bylot Island and Sermilik strata along the faulted margins of North Bylot Trough dip as much as 20 degrees towards the centre of the basin.

Navy Board and Aktineq strata were deposited in phase 3 and correspond with a change in tectonic regimes. At this time extension in Lancaster and Jones Sounds occurred on an east-west axis. In the early to mid Paleocene North Bylot Trough continued to be downdropped; Tertiary strata on the edge of the basin are faulted and compressed into tight folds (Figure 2.8). Northwards, into the basin and away from the faulted margin Navy Board and Aktineq sandstones are deformed into broad, shallow northwest trending anticlines and synclines.

The thick boulder beds of the Maud Bight Member are restricted to the mountain front on the southern margin of the basin. Rapid downdrop in conjunction with uplift of the Byam Martin Mountains allowed thick deposits of conglomerate to form within a short distance of the source. This is reflected by highly angular contacts between Maud Bight Member and Navy Board Formation near the foot of the mountains and mildly erosional to conformable relationships between Aktineq sandstone and Navy Board further into the basin.

CHAPTER 3 PALEOBOTANY AND PALYNOLOGY

3.1 MACROFLORA

Plant leaf fragments and logs are common in the Aktineq Formation. Typically the leaves are fragmentary with scattered complete specimens recovered from siltstones and fine sandstones. One siltstone bed in the Maud Bight Member conglomerates yielded abundant well preserved leaves in a low diversity assemblage. Identifiable specimens are listed in Table 3.1. Specimens from sample PB-74 were identified by J. Basinger (University of Saskatchewan). This low diversity assemblage is likely early to middle Paleocene in age (Basinger, personal communication, 1988).

Fossil logs found were permineralized or lignitic. Several of the logs recovered from the Sermilik Formation contained Teredolites borings.

3.2 PREVIOUS PALYNOLOGICAL STUDIES

Terrestrial palynology is used to develop the biostratigraphy of previously undated Cretaceous and Tertiary rocks of North Bylot Trough. The biostratigraphic framework developed will also be compared and contrasted with assemblages of similar age in nearby Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989; Waterfield, 1989). Previous biostratigraphic

reconnaissance studies (Jackson and Davidson, 1975, Miall et

al, 1980 and Ioannides, 1986) encountered serious problems in Eclipse Trough such as poor preservation, low diversity, poorly studied Arctic endemic taxa and lack of distinctive short ranging species. More intensive studies by Sparkes Waterfield (1989) (1989)and strengthened the biostratigraphic framework for Eclipse Trough; however their mainly marine strata still yielded sparse terrestrial assemblages. Other areas of the Arctic now have moderate biostratigraphic control; Felix and Burbridge (1973), McIntyre (1974), Doerenkamp et al., (1976) and Sweet et al. (1989), and well preserved assemblages at Maud Bight should help to extend correlations into the eastern Arctic.

3.3 PALYNOLOGICAL METHODS

3.3.1 Collection and Processing

Over 100 samples for palynological studies were collected from strata at Maud Bight and near Cape Hay. Much of the sediment was poorly consolidated and to limit contamination fresh undisturbed strata were exposed and sampled. Samples were never taken from slumped portions of the outcrop.

In the laboratory 72 samples were processed and 41

selected for study. They were examined for terrestrial and marine palynomorphs, fungal remains, plant debris and foraminifera test linings (Appendix D). The samples chosen for study are representative of the formations and allow for analysis of vertical sequences and lateral correlation within North Bylot Trough.

All processed samples were given a 5 digit laboratory number referring to the year processed and the sample identifying number. If damp, the samples were air dried for one day. Consolidated samples were wrapped in aluminum foil and crushed to millimetre size to help in the dissolution of the sediment. The samples were then weighed and placed in a plastic 250 ml beaker. Lithology dictated the sample weight required for processing; only 15-20 grams were necessary for siltstones and shales while up to 40 grams were needed for sandstones. Four <u>Lycopodium</u> tablets (totalling 48,400 \pm 1600 grains) were placed in each beaker with the samples. These helped provide an estimate of the palynomorph concentrations and were a good check to see if the sample had been properly processed (Stockmarr, 1971).

The next steps involving HCl, HF and Schulz's Solution were performed in a fume hood for safety. First, 150 ml. of 20% hydrochloric acid (HCl) was added to each plastic 250 ml. beaker to dissolve carbonates. A methanol or acetone squirt bottle was useful to control excessive effervescence. The

samples were immersed in HCl for approximately 12 hours and occasionally stirred. After this, samples were centrifuged and the acid was decanted. Distilled water was then added, centrifuged and decanted three times in order to remove any remaining hydrochloric acid. HCl free samples were important

Table 3.1 Paleobotanical Summary

 -Sample N any)	lumber Name	Photograph (if
-PB-74	<u>Equisetum</u> sp. Pl. 1, Fi	g. 1.
PB-74	Metasequoia occidentalis (Newberry) leaf Pl. 1, Fi	
PB-74	Trochodendroides arctica (Heer) Berr Pl. 1, Fi	
PB-74	Rhizome	
PB-145	Alnus sp. Pl. 1, Fi	g. 3.

in order to avoid the formation of obscuring crystals in the sample due to a reaction with the hydrofluoric acid.

Hydrofluoric acid (HF) was used to remove silicates. It added until all of the sample in the beaker was was submerged. Acetone was used to control excessive effervesence. The samples were occasionally stirred over a 12 hour period after which it was transferred to a plastic test tube, centrifuged and decanted. Any traces of HF were removed by multiple washing, centrifuging and decanting the test tube contents. A neutral sample was important for safety during the next step.

Some samples were not sufficiently washed after the HCl stage: remaining Ca^{2+} combined with F⁻ from the HF acid to form fluorite crystals which obscured the palynomorphs on the prepared slides. Where necessary, the remaining sample was reprocessed with heated HCl to remove these crystals.

Prior to sieving, the first of a series of 5 slides is prepared from a portion of the unoxidized unsieved sample. A set of slides containing unsieved, sieved and oxidized during samples allows control the final stages of preparation. After the unsieved slide is prepared the remaining sample is then sieved through 10 µm mesh screen using the technique described by Cwynar et al (1979). The acid in the previous steps dissolved the carbonate and silicate minerals but did not affect the organic matter. The

next step, involving oxidation, alters the organic matter so 2 slides were prepared from the sieved sample. In addition, approximately 15 ml of the residue is placed in a labelled vial for storage. Preservation of slides and residues at certain steps is important for it allows one to see where mistakes were made during processing. They can also be corrected without completely starting over.

The next step called for 20 ml of Schultz's solution to be added to the sample to oxidize the organic debris. Samples were stirred to allow equal oxidation within the vial and then left for 4-5 minutes. The sample was then washed and centrifuged three times and sieved with the 10 µm mesh for the final time.

Each sample was placed in a test tube and stained with three drops of Saffranin O. After 5 minutes each sample was washed, centrifuged and decanted until clear. Slide numbers 4 and 5 were prepared from the oxidized sieved and stained residue. The remaining sample was put in a labelled vial and stored.

To mount the slides two drops of polyvinyl alcohol and one or two drops of the sample were placed on a cover slip. A toothpick was used to spread the mixture evenly on the glass. The samples were left to dry under a box cover to limit exposure to possible contaminants. After drying, two drops of Elvacite (Dupont) were placed on the cover slip with the

residue. The coverslip was mounted on a labelled glass slide and left to dry for 8-12 hours.

3.3.2 Identification

Palynomorph taxonomy, counts and photography were completed with a Zeiss Photomicroscope III, serial number 047633. Kodak T-Max 100 E.I. film was used for photography with the optics set on interference contrast.

The slides were scanned for palynomorphs at 0.5 mm intervals at 400x magnification. A total of 200 terrestrial palynomorphs, not including the <u>Lycopodium</u> spike, was counted. A record of the number of <u>Lycopodium</u> spike grains encountered, as well as a tally on dinoflagellates and fungal remains, was kept. Palynomorphs indistinguishable due to corrosion, folding or debris cover were tabulated either in bisaccate or indeterminate categories for later analysis (Appendix E). One or two slides per sample were needed to complete the count.

3.4 SYSTEMATICS

Phyletic affinity becomes increasingly difficult to determine for palynomorphs from older strata as the numbers of extinct taxa (which cannot be compared with extant taxa) increase. Because of this, most morphological classification

systems suffer from an artificial and arbitrary division of taxa. There are a number of classification systems but two of the more common ones are the "Turma" system (Potonié and Kremp, 1954; Potonié, 1956; 1958; and 1960); and the "Natural" classification system (Couper, 1958; Filatoff, 1975; Singh 1964, 1971). Some authors use the "Natural" system for Tertiary spores and pollen and the "Turma" system for older palynomorphs (Couper, 1953 and 1958).

The "Turma" classification system is commonly used in pre-Tertiary assemblages because it is morphological and no phyletic relationships are implied. It is based on grouping of palynomorphs by similarities in aperture type, wall thickening or extension (saccae) and wall stratification (Dettmann, 1963). Singh's (1964) "Natural" system classified to family or genus based on similarity to modern forms. Burden (1982) and Burden and Hills (1989) generated an illustrated key based in part on both of these systems, yet avoiding implying natural relationships between genera. Classification of palynomorphs in this study is based on the key of Burden and Hills (1989) and similar keys of angiosperm pollen by McAndrews et al. (1973) and fungal remains by Elsik (1981) and Norris (1986).

Palynomorphs were divided into the following groups and subgroups:

TERRESTRIAL PALYNOMORPHS

Trilete Spores

Monolete Spores

Hilate Spores

Inaperturate Pollen

Monosaccate Pollen

Bisaccate Pollen

Monosulcate Pollen

Tricolpate Pollen

Tricolporate Pollen

Triporate Pollen

Stephanoporate Pollen

Binigeminate Pollen

Triprojectate Pollen

Syncolpate Pollen

FUNGAL REMAINS

Monocellate Fungal Spores

Dicellate Fungal Spores

Multicellate Fungal Spores

Mycelial Hyphae

Fungal Fruiting Bodies

MARINE MICROPLANKTON

Proximate Dinoflagellates

Each taxon's entry contains a photograph, synonymy, age

and distribution and remarks or description (where relevant). The number of specimens per sample is an average unless otherwise specified. The descriptive terms for relative abundance of palynomorphs are based on Appendix D. Palynomorph abundances range from very rare (1 specimen); rare (2-4); infrequent (5-9); common 10-14 and abundant (15 of count of 200 greater) out а palynomorphs. or Specimens/sample usually stay within the number given with each relative abundance.

Specimens identifiable to a genus are listed in the range chart as, for example, <u>Aquilapollenites</u> sp.; specimens detailed further but unassignable to a particular species were listed, for instance, as <u>Tricolporites</u> sp. 1, Tricolporites sp. 2 etc.

It should be noted that the informal subzone R within the Bylot Island Formation is defined where approximately 85% or more of the assemblage is recycled. Recycled palynomorphs generally had higher thermal alteration index colours, different fluorescence colours and more wear and tear than first cycle palynomorphs.

TERRESTRIAL PALYNOMORPHS

Trilete Spores

Genus <u>Cingutriletes</u> Pierce emend. Dettmann, 1963 Type Species: <u>Cingutriletes</u> <u>congruens</u> Pierce, 1961.

<u>Cingutriletes</u> <u>pockockii</u> (Burger) Burden and Hills, 1989 Figure 6.

Synonymy:

- 1980 <u>Stereisporites pockockii</u> Burger, p. 58, pl. 12, figs. 14-17.
- 1989 <u>Cingutriletes pockockii</u> (Burger) Burden and Hills, p. 17, pl. 1, figs. 30,31.
- 1989 <u>Cingutriletes</u> <u>pockockii</u> (Burger) Burden and Hills; Sparkes, p. 47, pl. 2, fig. 2.

Age and Distribution: Burden (1982) notes a Jurassic to Tertiary range and a cosmopolitan distribution for this taxa.

Remarks: This species is rare in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations; a single specimen was found in the Navy Board Formation.

<u>Cingutriletes</u> sp. 1

Figure 7

Synonymy:

in press <u>Cingutriletes</u> sp. Burden and Langille, pl. 3, fig. 2.

Age and Distribution: Specimens resembling <u>Cingutriletes</u> sp. 1 are reported from Neocomian? to late Albian or Cenomanian strata of the Quqaluit Formation, S.E. Baffin Island (Burden and Langille, in press). This taxon may be endemic to the Eastern Arctic.

Remarks: <u>Cingutriletes</u> sp. is described by Burden and Langille (in press) as a trilete triangular spore with straight to convex sides and rounded apices. The cingulum thins to 2-3 µm. at the apices and widens to 4-6 µm. in the interradial regions. The laesurae extend on the cingulum and are noted to have raised lips. Specimens in their study varied from 30-35 µm in diameter; Maud Bight specimens are the same size range.

This taxon is very rare and restricted to the Bylot Island Formation.

Genus Densoisporites Weyland and Kreiger,

emend. Dettmann, 1963

Type Species: Densoisporites velatus Weyland and Kreiger,

emend. Krasnova, 1961.

Densoisporites velatus Weyland and Kreiger, emend.

Krasnova, 1961

Figure 8.

Synonymy:

- 1963 <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> Weyland and Kreiger, emend. Krasnova; Dettmann, p. 84, pl. 19, fig. 4-8.
- 1971 <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> Weyland and Kreiger emend. Krasnova; Singh, p. 46, pl. 3, figs. 9,10.
- 1980 <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> Weyland and Kreiger emend. Krasnova; Wingate, p. 12, pl. 2, fig. 10.
- 1981 <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> Weyland and Kreiger; Herngreen and Chlonova, p. 447, pl. 1, fig. 6.
- 1986 <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> Weyland and Kreiger; Ricketts and Sweet, p. 19, pl. 1, fig. 14.
- 1989 <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> Weyland and Kreiger; Burden and Hills, pl. 15, figs. 1-5.

Age and Distribution: <u>D. velatus</u> is distributed in Valanginian to Danian strata worldwide (Dettmann, 1963).

Remarks: This species is very rare and restricted to the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations.

Genus <u>Antulsporites</u> Archangelsky and Gamerro, 1966 Type Species: <u>Antulsporites</u> <u>baculatus</u> (Archangelsky and Gamerro) Archangelsky and Gamerro, 1966.

> <u>Antulsporites</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> (Brenner) Archangelsky and Gamerro, 1966 Figure 9.

Synonymy:

- 1963 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> Brenner; p 58, pl. 13, figs 6,7; pl. 14, fig. 1.
- 1971 <u>Antulsporites</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> (Brenner) Archangelsky and Gamerro; Singh, p 109, pl. 15 figs. 6,7.
- 1980 <u>Antulsporites</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> (Brenner) Archangelsky and Gamerro; Wingate, p. 23, pl. 9, figs. 7,8.
- 1989 <u>Antulsporites</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> (Brenner) Archangelsky and Gamerro; Sparkes, p. 49, pl. 2, fig. 4.

Age and Range: <u>A.</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> is reported from the Albian Peace River Formation (Singh, 1971), Barremian to Albian strata in Maryland, U.S.A. (Brenner, 1963) and the Albian Bokchito Formation, Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980).

Remarks: This species is thought to be a recycled component in the Bylot Island Formation of Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989). It is very rare in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations at Maud Bight.

Genus <u>Appendicisporites</u> Weyland and Kreiger, 1953 emend Burden and Hills, 1989

Type Species: <u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>tricuspidatus</u> Weyland and Greifeld, 1953.

<u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>bifurcatus</u> Singh, 1964. Figure 10.

Synonymy:

- 1971 <u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>bifurcatus</u> Singh; Singh, p.56, pl. 4, figs. 3-5.
- 1971 <u>Appendicisporites bifurcatus</u> Singh; Playford, p. 544, pl. 104, fig. 10.
- 1975 <u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>bifurcatus</u> Singh; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 15, pl., fig. 10.
- 1987 <u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>bifurcatus</u> Singh; Langille, p. 60-61, pl.2, fig. 5.
- 1989 <u>Appendicisporites bifurcatus</u> Singh; Sparkes, p. 50-51, pl. 2, fig. 6.

Age and Distribution: The known range of this species is Barremian to Cenomanian (Zippi and Bajc, 1990). It occurs in mid and upper Albian Peace River area strata, Alberta (Singh, 1971), mid to upper Albian Swan River Group of Saskatchewan and Manitoba (Playford, 1971) and Aptian to upper Albian of southeast Baffin Island (Langille, 1987).

Remarks: One specimen was recovered from subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation at Cape Hay.

<u>Appendicisporites</u> sp. cf. <u>Anemia</u> <u>macrorhyza</u> (Maljavkina) Bolkhovitina, 1953.

Figure 11.

Synonymy:

1971 <u>Appendicisporites</u> sp. cf. <u>Anemia</u> <u>macrorhyza</u>? (Maljavkina) Bolkhovitina; Singh, p. 60-61, pl. 5, figs. 5-8.

Age and Distribution: Species described by Singh (1971) occurred in mid and upper Albian strata of the Peace River area, Alberta.

Remarks: A single specimen was found in the R subzone of the
Bylot Island Formation at Cape Hay.

<u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>matesovae</u> (Bolkhovitina) Norris, 1967 Figure 12.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Appendicisporites matesovae</u> (Bolkhovitina) Norris, p. 94, pl. 12, figs. 11, 13-14.
- 1971 <u>Appendicisporites</u> <u>matesovae</u> (Bolkhovitina) Norris; Singh, p. 62, pl. 5, fig. 9.
- 1971 <u>Appendicisporites matesovae</u> Singh; Playford, p. 544, pl. 104, fig. 11.
- 1972 <u>Appendicisporites matesovae</u> Singh; Rouse and Srivastava, p. 1164, figs. 7-9.

Age and Range: <u>A. matesovae</u> is reported from upper Albian strata of the lower Shaftesbury Formation, Peace River, Alberta (Singh, 1971), upper Albian lower Colorado Group, Alberta (Norris, 1967), and mid to upper Albian Swan River Group Manitoba and Saskatchewan (Playford, 1971). Rouse and Srivastava (1972) report it from Zone 1 (Albian) in the Bonnet Plume Formation, N.E. Yukon Territory.

Remarks: <u>A. matesovae</u> is very rare; two specimens were recovered from subzone R in the Bylot Island Formation.

Appendicisporites problematicus (Burger) Singh, 1971. Figure 13.

Synonymy;

- 1966 Plicatella problemata Burger; p. 245, pl. 10, fig. 3.
- 1971 Appendicisporites problematicus (Burger) Singh; Singh, p. 6-64, pl. 6, figs.1-6.
- 1971 <u>Appendicisporites</u> problematicus (Burger) Singh; Playford, p. 544, pl. 104, figs. 7-8.
- 1987 <u>Appendicisporites</u> problematicus (Burger) Singh; Langille, p. 61-62, pl. 2, fig. 6.
- 1989 <u>Appendicisporites</u> problematicus (Burger) Singh; Burden and Hills, p. 20-1, pl., figs. 5-6.

Age and Distribution: This taxon occurs in Berriasian and Valanginian strata of the Netherlands (Burger, 1966); Berriasian to Albian strata of Alberta (Singh, 1971), Aptian to upper Albian strata of southeast Baffin Island (Langille, 1987), and upper Albian or lower Cenomanian strata, Moose River Basin, northwest Ontario (Zippi and Bajc, 1990).

Remarks: One specimen was recovered from subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation, near Cape Hay.

Genus Camarozonosporites Pant ex Potonié emend.

Klaus 1960

Type Species <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>cretaceous</u> (Weyland and Kreiger) Potonié 1956.

<u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>ambigens</u> (Fradkina) Playford, 1971 Figure 14.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>insignis</u> Norris, p. 96-97, pl. 1, figs. 12-16.
- 1971 <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>insignis</u> Norris; Singh, p. 111, pl. 15, figs. 8-13.
- 1971 <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>ambigens</u> (Fradkina) Playford, p. 546, pl. 104, figs. 22,23.
- 1974 <u>Lycopodiacidites</u> <u>canaliculatus</u> Singh; Hopkins, p. 11, pl. 2, fig. 17.
- 1975 <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>ambigens</u> (Fradkina) Playford; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 15, pl.2, fig. 25.
- 1980 <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>ambigens</u> (Fradkina) Playford; Wingate, p. 10, pl. 1, fig. 10.
- 1989 Camarozonosporites ambigens (Fradkina) Playford;

Sparkes, p. 52-53, pl.2, fig. 8.

Age and Distribution: This taxon is reported from Albian and Cenomanian strata of Alberta (Singh, 1971), mid to upper Albian strata of Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980), Campanian and Maastrichtian at Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989) and Albian to Senonian strata of Yakutsk, U.S.S.R. (Fradkina; in Playford, 1971).

Remarks: The interradial crassitudes of <u>Camarozonosporites</u> distinguish it from <u>Hamulatisporis</u> Krutzsch emend. Srivastava, 1972 (Farabee and Canright, 1986) which has no such features.

This species is rare in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations.

Genus <u>Hamulatisporis</u> Krutzsch emend. Srivastava, 1972 Type Species: <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch, 1959. Hamulatisporis hamulatis Krutzsch, 1959

Figure 15.

Synonymy:

1965 <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch; Stanley, p. 242, pl.

29, figs. 7,8.

- 1969 <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch; Oltz, p. 119, pl. 39, fig. 19.
- 1969 Hamulatisporis hamulatis Krutzsch; Norton and Hall, p.
- 1, pl. 1, fig. 6.
- 1971 <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch; Felix and Burbridge, p. 11, pl. 1, fig. 14.
- 1973 <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch; Stone, p. 68, pl. 11, fig. 61.
- 1978 Hamulatisporis hamulatis Krutzsch; Wilson, p. 114, pl.
- 3, figs. 3,4.
- 1986 <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch; Farabee and Canright, p. 19-20, pl. 4, fig. 3.

Age and Distribution: <u>H. hamulatis</u> occurs in the Maastrichtian and Paleocene Hell Creek and Fort Union Formations of South Dakota (Stanley, 1965); Maastrichtian strata in Montana (Oltz, 1969); Maastrichtian in the Yukon and District of Mackenzie, N.W.T. (Wilson, 1978) and the Maastrichtian Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986). Wilson (1978) notes that the species ranges from Campanian to Paleocene in North America and Eocene in Europe.

Remarks: <u>H.</u> <u>amplus</u> Stanley has a size range of 50-83 μ m; <u>H.</u> <u>hamulatis</u> is smaller at 24-35 μ m (Stanley, 1965; Farabee and

Canright, 1986). Wilson (1978) suggests, however, that the species have no morphological differences apart from size and the two taxa may be artificial divisions of gradational end members. Specimens in this study range from 27-(40)-56 µm.

H. hamulatis is rare but present in all strata.

Genus Gleicheniidites Ross 1949 ex Delcourt and

Sprumont emend. Dettmann, 1963

Type Species: <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross, 1949. <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross, 1949

Figure 16.

Synonymy:

- 1958 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; Couper, p. 138, pl. 19, figs. 1-15.
- 1966 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; Burger, p. 239, pl. 3, fig. 5.
- 1971 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; Singh, p. 97, pl. 14, fig. 1.
- 1973 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; Hopkins and Balkwill, p. 14, pl. 1, fig. 23.
- 1974 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; McIntyre, pl. 14, figs. 4,5.
- 1989 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; Burden and Hills, pl. 4, fig. 11.

1989 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; Sparkes, p. 55-56, pl. 2, fig. 11.

Age and Distribution: <u>G. senonicus</u> is a cosmopolitan Jurassic and Cretaceous species.

Remarks: It is present in almost every sample (1-34 specimens per sample) and most abundant in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations.

> <u>Gleicheniidites</u> sp. cf. <u>circinidites</u> (Cookson) Dettmann, 1963

Figure 17.

Synonymy:

- 1964 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> sp. cf. <u>G. circinidites</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; Singh, p. 39, pl. 8, figs. 10, 11.
- 1971 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> sp. cf. <u>G. circinidites</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; Singh, p. 97, pl. 14, figs. 2,3.
- 1977 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>circinidites</u> (Cookson); Dörhöfer and Norris, pl. 2, fig. 24.
- 1989 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> sp. cf. <u>G.</u> <u>circinidites</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; Burden and Hills, pl. 4, fig. 12.
- 1989 <u>Gleicheniidites</u> sp. cf. <u>G. circinidites</u> (Cookson)

Dettmann; Sparkes, p. 56, pl. 2, fig. 12.

Age and Distribution: This is a cosmopolitan taxon ranging from the late Jurassic to early Cretaceous (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: There are 2-10 specimens per sample in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation and isolated occurrences in the other formations.

Genus Ornamentifera Bolkhovitina, 1966

Type Species: <u>Ornamentifera</u> <u>echinata</u> (Bolkhovitina) Bolkhovitina, 1966.

Ornamentifera baculata Singh, 1971

Figure 18.

Synonymy:

1971 Ornamentifera baculata Singh, p. 100, pl. 14, figs. 4,5.

1975 <u>Ornamentifera</u> <u>baculata</u> Singh; Brideaux and McIntyre. p. 15. pl. 2, fig. 29.

1989 <u>Ornamentifera</u> <u>baculata</u> Singh; Sparkes, p. 57, pl. 2, fig. 14.

Age and Distribution: This species is reported from mid to upper Albian Peace River area strata in Alberta (Singh, 1971), Aptian to Albian of the Horton River area, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T., (Brideaux and McIntyre, 1975) and upper

Albian strata, Moose River Basin, Northwestern Ontario (Zippi and Bajc, 1990).

Remarks: It is rare (1-2 specimens/slide) but present in all strata as a recycled component. Probable reworked specimens were found in basal strata of the Bylot Island Formation in Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989).

Genus Tappanispora Srivastava, 1972

Type Species: Tappanispora loeblichii Srivastava, 1972.

Tappanispora reticulata (Singh) Srivastava, 1975

Figure 19.

- 1971 <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Singh, p. 139, pl. 18, figs. 17,18.
- 1975 <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava, p. 64, pl. 29, figs. 7-9
- 1981 <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava; Srivastava, pl. 8, figs. 9,10.
- 1987 <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava; Langille, p. 72, pl. 3, fig. 8.
- 1989 <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava; Burden and Hills, p. 24, pl. 4, figs. 14-16.
- 1989 <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava; Sparkes, p. 58, pl. 2, fig. 15.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in middle Albian strata of Alberta (Singh, 1971), upper Barremian to mid Albian of Western Canada (Burden and Hills, 1989), mid Albian to lower Cenomanian of Colorado and Nebraska, U.S.A. (Pannella in Srivastava, 1975), Aptian to upper Albian of southeast Baffin Island (Langille, 1987).

Remarks: This species has a finer sculpture than \underline{T} . <u>scurrandus</u> Norris or \underline{T} . <u>loeblichii</u> Srivastava (Srivastava, 1972b). Only 3 specimens were found, all in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation near Cape Hay.

Genus <u>Lycopodiacidites</u> Couper emend. Potonié, 1956 Type Species: <u>Lycopodiacidites</u> <u>bullerensis</u> Couper, 1953. <u>Lycopodiacidites</u> <u>canaliculatus</u> Singh, 1971 Figure 20.

- 1971 <u>Lycopodiacidites</u> <u>canaliculatus</u> Singh, p. 38, pl. 1, fig. 15.
- 1987 <u>Lycopodiacidites</u> <u>canaliculatus</u> Singh; Langille, p. 66, pl. 2, fig. 13.
- 1989 Lycopodiacidites canaliculatus Singh; Sparkes, p. 58-59,

pl. 2, fig. 16.

Age and Distribution: The species has been reported from middle and upper Albian strata of the Peace River area, Alberta (Singh, 1971) and Aptian to upper Albian strata of southeast Baffin Island (Langille, 1987).

Remarks: <u>L. canaliculatus</u> is rare in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations.

Genus <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> Pflug and Thomson, 1953 Type Species <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>dorogensis</u> Potonié and Gelletich, 1933.

<u>Cicatricosisporites pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann, 1963

Figure 21.

Synonymy:

1963<u>Cicatricosisporites</u><u>pseudotripartitus</u>(Bolkhovitina)Dettmann, p. 55-56, pl. 10, figs 1-5.1971<u>Cicatricosisporites</u><u>pseudotripartitus</u>(Bolkhovitina)Dettmann; Singh, p. 77-78, pl. 9,

figs. 12-15.

1971 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann; Hopkins, p. 115, pl. 20, fig. 18.

- 1971 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann; Paden-Philips and Felix, p. 292-294, pl. 2, fig. 1.
- 1974 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann; Hopkins, p. 15, pl. 3, figs. 35,36.
- 1989 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann; Burden and Hills, pl. 6, figs. 1-4.

Age and Distribution: <u>C. pseudotripartitus</u> is cosmopolitan in Albian and Cenomanian strata. Dettmann (1963) reports it in Cenomanian strata of Yakutsk and W. Siberia and Albian of S.E. Australia. Specimens have also been recorded in Albian strata of Peace River area, Alberta (Singh, 1971), Cenomanian in Mississippi (Paden-Philips and Felix, 1971) and the ?Upper Valanginian to Aptian Isachsen Formation of Melville Island, District of Franklin, N.W.T. (Hopkins, 1971).

Remarks: It is very rare and present only in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation near Cape Hay.

Cicatricosisporites australiensis (Cookson) Potonié,

1956

Figure 22.

Synonymy:

- 1963 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Dettmann, p. 53, pl. 9, figs. 10-16.
- 1964 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Balme, pl. 7, fig. 4.
- 1967 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Norris, p. 92, pl. 11, fig. 14.
- 1971 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Singh, p. 69, pl. 7, figs. 12-15.
- 1974 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Hopkins. p. 15, pl. 3, fig. 32.
- 1975 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 15, pl. 1, fig. 37.
- 1987 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Langille, pl. 3, fig. 1.
- 1989 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Sparkes, p. 60-61, pl. 2, fig. 18.
- 1989 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Burden and Hills, pl. 5, fig. 4,5.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan; Late Jurassic and Cretaceous (Burden, 1982).

Remarks: There are 1-3 specimens/sample in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation near Cape Hay and scattered occurrences as high as the Aktineq Formation.

Cicatricosisporites subrotundus Brenner, 1963.

Figure 23.

Synonymy:

- 1963 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>subrotundus</u> Brenner, p. 51, pl. 10, figs. 1,2.
- 1967 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>subrotundus</u> Brenner; Norris. p. 93, pl. 11, figs. 23-26.

1971 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>subrotundus</u> Brenner; Singh, p. 79-80, pl. 10, fig. 4,5.

1989 <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>subrotundus</u> Brenner; Burden and Hills, pl. 6, figs. 11,12.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the upper Albian of the Potomac Group in Maryland, U.S.A. (Brenner, 1963), Albian of Central Alberta (Norris, 1967), middle and upper Albian, N.W. Alberta (Singh, 1971), and Aptian and Albian of

western Canada (Burden, 1982).

Remarks: A single reworked specimen was found in the Sermilik Formation.

Genus Radialisporis Krutzsch, 1967.

Type Species: <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch, 1967.

<u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch, 1967 Figure 24.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Anemia</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Stanley, p. 258, pl. 3, figs. 6,7.
- 1972 <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch; Rouse and Srivastava, p. 1177, pl. 5, figs. 54,55.
- 1972a <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch; Srivastava, p. 29, pl. 24, figs. 8-1, pl. 25, figs. 1,2.
- 1974 <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch; McIntyre, p. 36, pl. 14, figs. 15,16.
- 1989 <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch; Sparkes, p. 62, pl. 3, fig. 2.

Age and Distribution: The taxon has a late Campanian to Paleocene range. It is reported from the Maastrichtian of the

Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1972a), Paleocene of the Bonnet Plume Formation, Yukon Territory (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972), upper Campanian to mid Maastrichtian at Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974) and upper Campanian to upper Maastrichtian in Bylot Island and Sermilik strata in Eclipse Trough, Bylot Island (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: This species appears sporadically in Bylot Island and Sermilik strata (1-5 specimens/sample when present).

Genus <u>Klukisporites</u> Couper, 1958

Type Species: <u>Klukisporites</u> <u>variegatus</u> Couper, 1958. <u>Klukisporites</u> <u>pseudoreticulatus</u> Couper, 1958 Figure 25.

- 1958 <u>Klukisporites pseudoreticulatus</u> Couper, p. 138, pl. 19, figs. 8-10, figs. 8-10.
- 1964 <u>Dictyotriletes</u> <u>pseudoreticulatus</u> (Couper) Pocock; Singh, p. 64, pl. 7, figs. 12,13.
- 1971 <u>Klukisporites</u> <u>pseudoreticulatus</u> Couper; Singh, p. 96, pl. 13, figs. 12-15.
- 1975 Klukisporites pseudoreticulatus Couper; Brideaux

and McIntyre, p. 15, pl. 1, fig. 28.

in prep. <u>Klukisporites</u> <u>pseudoreticulatus</u> Couper; Burden and Langille, pl. 2, fig. 2.

Age and Range: This taxon is found in Upper Jurassic to lower Cenomanian strata in Western North America and England (Singh, 1971). Burden and Langille (in prep.) report it from the upper Albian or Cenomanian Quqaluit Formation.

Remarks: This species is rare in the Bylot Island Formation; a single specimen occurs in one sample in the Sermilik Formation.

Genus <u>Retitriletes</u> (van der Hammen, 1956 ex Pierce, 1961) emend. Döring, Krutzsch, Mai and Schultz, 1963 Type Species: Retitriletes globosus Pierce, 1961.

Remarks: <u>Retitriletes</u> species are difficult to identify and tend to be long ranging; they are not differentiated on the range chart.

<u>Retitriletes</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Döring, Krutzsch, Mai and Schultz, 1963

Figure 26.

Synonymy:

- 1966 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> Cookson; Burger, p. 247, pl. 15, fig. 2.
- 1971 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Singh, p. 40, pl. 2, fig. 1.
- 1971 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Playford, p. 537, pl. 103, fig. 2.
- 1972a <u>Retitriletes</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Krutzsch; Srivastava, p. 30, pl. 25, figs. 5-9, pl. 26, figs. 1-3.
- 1974 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Hopkins, p. 30, pl. 2, fig. 14.
- 1975 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 56, pl. 1, fig. 21.
- 1977 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Dörhöfer and Norris, pl. 1, fig. 24.
- 1981 <u>Retitriletes</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Döring <u>et</u> al.; Srivastava, p. 19, pl. 7, figs. 10, 11.
- 1989 <u>Retitriletes</u> <u>austroclavatidites</u> (Cookson) Döring <u>et</u> al.; Burden and Hills, pl. 6, fig. 31,32.

Age and Distribution: Singh (1971) reports this species is cosmopolitan and ranges from the Jurassic to Cretaceous.

Remarks: A few specimens occurred in most of the samples.

Retitriletes reticulumsporites

(Rouse, 1959) Burden and Hills, 1989

Figure 27.

Synonymy:

- 1963 Lycopodiumsporites reticulumsporites (Rouse) Dettmann, p. 45, pl. 7, figs. 4-7.
- 1971 <u>Lycopodiumsporites</u> <u>reticulumsporites</u> (Rouse) Dettmann; Singh, p. 43-44, pl. 3, fig. 1-2.
- 1972a <u>Retitriletes</u> <u>reticulisporites</u> (Rouse) Krutzsch; Srivastava, p. 2, pl. 27, fig. 5.
- 1989 <u>Retitriletes</u> <u>reticulumsporites</u> (Rouse) Burden and Hills, p. 71, pl. 7, figs. 4-5.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Bathonian to Albian (Burden and Hills (1989).

Remarks: Specimens are rare but found in all formations at Maud Bight.

Genus Stoverisporites Burger, 1976

Type Species: <u>Stoverisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger, 1976.

<u>Stoverisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger, 1976 Figure 28.

- 1971 <u>Kuylisporites lunaris</u> Cookson and Dettmann; Singh, p. 108, pl. 14, figs. 10,11.
- 1975 <u>Kuylisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> Cookson and Dettmann; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 14, pl. 1, fig. 16.
- 1980 <u>Kuylisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> Cookson and Dettmann; Wingate, p. 22, pl. 9, fig. 1.
- 1983 <u>Kuylisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> Cookson and Dettmann; Rao <u>et</u> al., pl. 1, fig. 14.
- 1984 <u>Stoverisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger; Burden, fig. 12 h.
- 1989 <u>Stoverisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger; Burden and Hills, p. 19, pl. 7, figs. 15-18.

Age and Range: Upper Barremian to upper Albian in western Canada (Singh, 1971, and Burden and Hills, 1989), Aptian-Albian rocks at Horton River, N.W.T. (Brideaux and McIntyre, 1975); Lower Cretaceous of S.E. Australia (Dettmann, 1963) and Lower Cretaceous, Gangapur Beds, Pranhita-Godavari Basin,

India (Rao et al., 1983).

Remarks: One specimen was present in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation near Cape Hay.

Genus <u>Biretisporites</u> Delcourt and Sprumont emend. Delcourt, Dettmann and Hughes, 1963

Type Species: <u>Biretisporites</u> <u>potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont, 1955.

<u>Biretisporites</u> <u>potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont, 1955 Figure 29.

Synonymy:

- 1963 <u>Biretisporites</u> cf. <u>B.</u> <u>potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Dettmann, p. 26, pl. 2, figs. 1,2.
- 1971 <u>Biretisporites potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Singh, p. 49, pl. 3, figs. 15, 16.
- 1980 <u>Biretisporites</u> <u>potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Wingate, p. 14, pl., fig. 5.
- 1987 <u>Biretisporites potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Langille, p. 74, pl. 3, fig. 15.
- 1989 <u>Biretisporites</u> <u>potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Burden and Hills, pl. 7, figs. 35,36.

Age and Range: Lower Cretaceous in Western Canada, Belgium and France (Singh, 1971), lower Cretaceous in S.E. Australia (Dettmann, 1963), Albian of Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980).

Remarks: <u>Biretisporites</u> <u>potoniaei</u> is a rare component in all formations at Maud Bight.

Genus Todisporites Couper, 1958

Type Species: <u>Todisporites</u> <u>major</u> Couper, 1958.

Todisporites minor Couper, 1958

Figure 30.

- 1958 Todisporites minor Couper, p. 135, pl. 16, fig. 9.
- 1964 <u>Todisporites</u> <u>minor</u> Couper; Singh, p. 45-46, pl. 1, fig. 22.
- 1971 <u>Todisporites minor</u> Couper; Singh, p. 50-51, pl. 4, fig. 2.
- 1980 <u>Todisporites minor</u> Couper; Wingate, p. 14, pl. 3, fig. 8.
- 1989 <u>Todisporites minor</u> Couper; Burden and Hills, p. 29, pl. 8, fig. 2.

Age and Distribution: Bajocian of England (Couper, 1958); Jurassic to lower Cretaceous (Pocock, 1962) of Western

Canada; and Albian and Cenomanian of Oklahoma (Hedlund in Singh, 1971; Wingate, 1980).

Remarks: Specimens are very rare but found in all strata at Maud Bight.

Genus Stereisporites Pflug, 1953

Type Species: <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>stereoides</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Pflug, 1953.

<u>Stereisporites</u> <u>antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann, 1963 Figure 31.

- 1963 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann, p. 25, pl. 1, figs. 20,21.
- 1965 <u>Sphagnum antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Stanley, p. 236, pl. 27, figs. 1-5.
- 1971 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann; Singh, p. 33-34, pl. 1, figs. 4-5.
- 1974 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann; McIntyre, pl. 14, fig. 1.
- 1975 Stereisporites antiquasporites (Wilson and Webster)

Dettmann; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 14, pl. 1, fig. 6.

- 1982 <u>Stereisporites antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann; Jarzen, pl. 1, fig. 2.
- 1987 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann; Langille, p. 75, pl. 3, fig. 15.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic to Tertiary (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: This is a common species (usually 4-10 specimens/sample and as high as 50 specimens/sample) in all strata at Maud Bight.

<u>Stereisporites</u> <u>regium</u> (Drozhastchich) Drugg, 1967 Figure 32.

- 1965 <u>Sphagnum regium</u> Drozhastchich; Stanley, p. 238, pl. 27, figs. 12-17.
- 1967 <u>Stereisporites regium</u> (Drozhastchich) Drugg, p. 37, pl. 6, fig. 20.
- 1974 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>regium</u> (Drozhastchich) Drugg;

McIntyre, p. 36, pl. 14, figs. 2,3.

- 1978 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>regium</u> (Drozhastchich) Drugg; Wilson, p. 109, pl. 1, fig. 4.
- 1989 <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>regium</u> (Drozhastchich) Drugg; Sparkes, p. 66-67, pl. 3, fig. 6.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian at Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974), Maastrichtian and Paleocene strata of Western Siberia and Paleocene of South Dakota (Stanley, 1965).

Remarks: <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>regium</u> is infrequent (1-7 specimens/sample where present) and is present in the Bylot Island to Aktineq strata.

Genus <u>Cingulatisporites</u> Pflug emend. Potonié, 1956 Type Species: <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>levispeciosus</u> Pflug, 1956. <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley, 1965 Figure 33.

Synonymy:

1965 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley, p. 243, pl. 30, figs. 1-8.

1969 Cingulatisporites dakotaensis Stanley; Norton and

Hall, p. 15, pl. 2, fig. 6.

- 1969 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley; Oltz, p. 120, pl. 39, fig. 22.
- 1973 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley; Stone, p. 66, pl. 10, fig. 56.
- 1975 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley; Norris <u>et</u> al., p. 349, pl. 3, fig. 2.
- 1986 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley; Farabee and Canright, p. 15, pl. 2, fig. 1.
- 1989 <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley; Sparkes, p. 67-68, pl. 3, fig. 7.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the upper Campanian Almond Formation of Wyoming (Stone, 1973); Maastrichtian Fort Union Formation of South Dakota (Stanley, 1965); Maastrichtian Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986), and Paleocene; Tullock Member of the Fort Union Group, Montana (Oltz, 1969). Sparkes (1989) reports it from the upper Campanian and Maastrichtian in the Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> is rare (1-4 specimens/sample) in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations and very rare in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations. It is one of the few late Cretaceous terrestrial palynomorphs

recovered from subzone R in the Bylot Island Formation.

Genus Deltoidospora Miner, 1935

Type Species: <u>Deltoidospora hallii</u> Miner, 1935. <u>Deltoidospora hallii</u> Miner, 1935 Figure 34.

- 1964 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>hallii</u> Miner; Singh, p. 80, pl. 9, figs. 13,14.
- 1971 <u>Deltoidospora hallii</u> Miner; Singh, p. 118, pl. 16, fig. 8.
- 1980 <u>Deltoidospora hallii</u> Miner; Wingate, p. 25, pl. 9, fig. 14.
- 1989 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>hallii</u> Miner; Sparkes, p. 68-69, pl. 3, fig. 8.
- 1989 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>hallii</u> Miner; Burden and Hills, p. 30, pl. 8, fig. 4.

Age and Distribution: It is widespread in the Jurassic and Cretaceous of North America (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: This species is common in all strata at Maud Bight and Cape Hay (6-15 specimens/sample).

<u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>diaphana</u> Wilson and Webster, 1946. Figure 35.

Synonymy:

- 1971 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>diaphana</u> Wilson and Webster; Singh, p. 119, pl. 16, fig. 9.
- 1973 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>diaphana</u> Wilson and Webster; Stone, p.64, pl. 10, fig,. 52.
- 1989 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>diaphana</u> Wilson and Webster; Burden and Hills, p. 30, pl. 8, fig. 5.

Age and Distribution: Late Jurassic to Tertiary of North America (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: It is very rare but present in all strata.

<u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>psilostoma</u> Rouse, 1959

Figure 36.

- 1964 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>psilostoma</u> Rouse; Singh, p. 80-81, pl. 9, fig. 15.
- 1971 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>psilostoma</u> Rouse; Singh, p. 120, pl.

16, fig. 12.

- 1974 <u>Deltoidospora psilostoma</u> Rouse; Hopkins, p. 18, pl. 4, fig. 48.
- 1989 <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>psilostoma</u> Rouse; Burden and Hills, p. 30. pl. 8, figs. 6-7.

Age and Distribution: <u>D. psilostoma</u> is common in the upper Jurassic to upper Cretaceous of Western Canada (Singh, 1971). It also occurs in the Albian of the Amund and Ellef Ringnes Islands, N.W.T. (Hopkins, 1974).

Remarks: This species is rare (1-2 specimens/sample) in the Hassel, Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations. Scattered specimens occur in the Navy Board Formation.

Genus <u>Cyathidites</u> Couper, 1953 Type Species: <u>Cyathidites</u> <u>australis</u> Couper, 1953. <u>Cyathidites</u> <u>australis</u> Couper, 1953 Figure 37.

- 1964 <u>Cyathidites australis</u> Couper; Singh, p. 70-71, pl. 8, fig. 12.
- 1971 Cyathidites australis Couper; Singh, p. 101, pl.

14, fig. 8.

- 1974 <u>Cyathidites</u> <u>australis</u> Couper; Hopkins, p. 12, pl. 2, fig. 19.
- 1989 <u>Cyathidites</u> <u>australis</u> Couper; Burden and Hills, p. 30, pl. 8, fig. 14.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic and Cretaceous (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: It is rare but present in all strata at Maud Bight and Cape Hay.

Cyathidites minor Couper, 1953

Figure 38.

- 1958 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Couper, p. 139, pl. 20, figs. 9,10.
- 1963 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Dettmann, p. 22, pl. 1, figs. 4,5.
- 1964 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Singh, p. 71, pl. 8, fig. 13.
- 1965 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; McGregor, pl. 7, figs. 3,4.

- 1967 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Srivastava, pl. 1, fig. M.
- 1971 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Singh, p. 101, pl. 14, fig. 9.
- 1973 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; B.D. Tschudy, p. 6, pl. 1, fig. 1.
- 1974 Cyathidites minor Couper; McIntyre, pl. 14, fig. 8.
- 1975 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 14, pl. 1, fig. 3.
- 1989 <u>Cyathidites minor</u> Couper; Burden and Hills, p. 30, pl. 8, fig. 15.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic to Cretaceous (Singh, 1971). It is abundant in Eclipse Trough strata (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: <u>C. minor</u> is common in all strata (typically 7-15 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Gemmatriletes</u> Pierce, 1961 Type Species: <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>morulus</u> PIerce, 1961. <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>clavatus</u> Brenner, 1968 Figure 39.

Synonymy:

- 1968 <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>clavatus</u> Brenner, p. 353, pl. 1, fig.7.
- 1983 <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>clavatus</u> Brenner; Singh, p. 30, pl. 1, figs. 2,3.
- 1988 <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>clavatus</u> Brenner; Sweet and McIntyre, fig. 6, Number 11.
- 1989 <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>clavatus</u> Brenner; Sparkes, p. 71-72, pl. 3, fig. 11.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in Albian to Cenomanian strata in N.E. Peru (Brenner, 1968), Cenomanian strata of Alberta (Singh, 1983), upper Turonian in the Foothills of the Rockies, Alberta (Sweet and McIntyre, 1988). Sparkes (1989) reports a single specimen from the Bylot Island Formation in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: <u>G.</u> <u>clavatus</u> is rare but occurs in all the formations.

Genus Osmundacites Couper, 1953

Type Species: <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper, 1953. <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper, 1953

Figure 40.

- 1958 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Couper, p. 134, pl. 16, figs. 4,5.
- 1963 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Dettmann, p. 32, pl., figs. 19-21.
- 1964 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Singh, p. 44, pl. 1, fig. 20.
- 1965 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; McGregor, pl. 2, fig. 12.
- 1966 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Burger, p. 251, pl. 20, fig. 3.
- 1967 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Norris, p. 88, pl. 10, fig. 14.
- 1971 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Singh, p. 50, pl. 4, fig. 1.
- 1972a <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Srivastava, p. 27, pl. 23, figs. 1-3.
- 1974 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; McIntyre, p. 36, pl. 14, figs. 10,11.
- 1974 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Hopkins, p. 13, pl. 2, fig. 24.
- 1989 <u>Osmundacites</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; Burden and Hills, p. 31, pl. 8, figs. 19,20.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic and Cretaceous (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: It is present in all formations (5-12 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Baculatisporites</u> Thomson and Pflug, 1953 Type Species: <u>Baculatisporites</u> primarius (Wolff) Thomson and Pflug, 1953.

<u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié, 1956 Figure 41.

- 1963 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Dettmann, p. 35, pl. 3, figs. 22,23.
- 1965 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; McGregor, pl. 7, fig. 10.
- 1971 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Hopkins, pl. 20, figs. 10, 11.
- 1971 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Singh, p. 48, pl. 3, fig. 14.
- 1974 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; McIntyre, p. 6, pl. 14, fig. 9.

- 1975 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 36, pl. 14, fig. 9.
- 1980 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Bebout, pl. 1, fig. 10.
- 1983 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Truswell, p. 141, pl. 1, figs. 3,4.
- 1989 <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; Burden and Hills, p. 31, pl. 8, figs. 21, 22.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Early Cretaceous (Dettmann, 1963; Singh, 1971; Truswell; 1983); Oligocene to Miocene in core from Baltimore Canyon, Atlantic outer continental shelf (Bebout, 1980).

Remarks: This species is rare (1-3 specimens/sample) but present in all strata.

Genus Echinatisporis Krutzsch, 1959

Type Species: <u>Echinatisporis</u> <u>longechinus</u> Krutzsch, 1959.

<u>Echinatisporis</u> <u>varispinosus</u>, (Pocock) Srivastava, 1975 Figure 42.

Synonymy:

1962 Acanthotriletes varispinosus, Pocock, p. 6, pl. 1,

figs. 18-20.

- 1964 <u>Acanthotriletes</u> <u>varispinosus</u>, Pocock; Singh, p. 43, pl. 1, fig. 17,18.
- 1971 <u>Acanthotriletes</u> <u>varispinosus</u>, Pocock; Singh, p.45, pl. 3, fig. 8.
- 1975 <u>Echinatisporis</u> <u>varispinosus</u>, (Pocock) Srivastava, p. 39, pl. 17, figs. 8-14, pl. 18, figs. 1-4.
- 1986 <u>Echinatisporis</u> <u>varispinosus</u>, (Pocock) Srivastava; Farabee and Canright. p. 17, pl. 2, figs. 9,10.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the Aptian-Maastrichtian of Western Canada (Srivastava, 1975); Maastrichtian in the Lance Formation of Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); and Maastrichtian strata in Eclipse Trough (Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: <u>Echinatisporis</u> <u>varispinosus</u> is very rare and only occurs in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations (1-4 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Impardecispora</u> Venkatachala <u>et al.</u>, 1968 Type Species: <u>Impardecispora</u> <u>apiverrucata</u> (Couper) Venkatachala <u>et al.</u>, 1968.
<u>Impardecispora humilis</u> (Delcourt and Sprumont) Burden and Hills, 1989 Figure 43.

Synonymy:

- 1963 <u>Trilobosporites</u> <u>humilis</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Brenner, p. 71, pl. 23, figs. 3,4.
- 1971 <u>Trilobosporites humilis</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Singh, p. 143, pl. 19, figs. 10-14.
- 1980 <u>Trilobosporites</u> <u>humilis</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; Wingate, p. 21, pl. 8, fig. 6,7.
- 1989 <u>Impardecispora humilis</u> (Delcourt and Sprumont) Burden and Hills, p. 75, pl. 11, figs. 8,9.

Age and Distribution: Barremian to Albian, North America (Burden and Hills, 1989).

Remarks: This species is very rare; several specimens were found in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation near Cape Hay.

Monolete Spores

Genus <u>Laevigatosporites</u> Ibrahim emend. Schopf, Wilson and Bentall, 1944.

Type Species: <u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>vulgaris</u> (Ibrahim) Ibrahim, 1933.

<u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug, 1953

Figure 44.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; Stanley, p. 252, pl. 32, figs. 1-3.
- 1972c <u>Laevigatosporites haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; Srivastava, p. 232, pl. 5, figs. 9,10.
- 1975 <u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; Srivastava, p. 50-51, pl. 25, fig. 5.
- 1978 <u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; Wilson, p. 117-118, pl. 4, figs. 7,8.
- 1981 <u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; Srivastava, p. 8, pl. 7, fig. 4.

Age and Range: Cosmopolitan. Mesozoic to Tertiary (Srivastava, 1975).

Remarks: Wilson (1978) states that the distinction of <u>L</u>. <u>ovatus</u> and <u>L</u>. <u>gracilis</u> from <u>L</u>. <u>hardti</u> is irrelevant and arbitrary, since all three have common form and the former two have size ranges fitting within that of <u>L</u>. <u>hardti</u> (20-70 μ m.). <u>L</u>. <u>hardti</u> ranged between 24-65 μ m. in this study. In Eclipse Trough Sparkes (1989) distinguished two distinct end members; <u>L</u>. sp. cf. <u>ovatus</u> (24-28 μ m.) and <u>L</u>. <u>hardti</u> (30-70 μ m.), while Waterfield (1989), noting morphological gradation, retained only the <u>L</u>. <u>hardti</u> classification.

This species was among the most common in the study area; it was present in every sample (17-30 specimens/sample and up to 66 specimens in one sample).

Genus Hazaria Srivastava, 1971

Type Species: <u>Hazaria</u> <u>sheoparii</u> Srivastava, 1971. <u>Hazaria</u> <u>sheoparii</u> Srivastava, 1971 Figure 45.

- 1971 <u>Hazaria sheoparii</u> Srivastava, p. 258, pl. 2, figs. 1-4.
- 1972 <u>Hazaria</u> <u>sheoparii</u> Srivastava; Rouse and Srivastava, p. 1172, pl. 2, figs. 15,16.
- 1974 <u>Hazaria</u> sheoparii Srivastava; McIntyre, p. 37, pl.

14, figs. 26,27.

- 1986 Hazaria sheoparii Srivastava; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 164, pl. 1, fig.
- 1990 Hazaria sheoparii Srivastava; Eberth et al., pl. 1, fig. 3.

Age and Distribution: This species is present in upper Campanian to Maastrichtian strata at Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); Campanian to Paleocene strata in the Banks Island region (Doerenkamp et al., 1976); mid to upper Campanian, Judith River Formation, Saskatchewan (Eberth et al., 1990); upper Campanian to upper Maastrichtian in Eclipse Trough, Bylot Island (Sparkes, 1989); Maastrichtian in the Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1971); the Bonnet Plume Formation (Maastrichtian) of the Yukon Territory (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972); the Coalspur Formation (Maastrichtian to Paleocene) of the Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986).

Remarks: Hazaria sheoparii is rare (1-4 specimens/sample) in all strata.

> Cicatricososporites Genus

Pflug and Thomson, 1953 ex. Krutzsch, 1959

Type Species: <u>Cicatricososporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Jansonius and Hills, 1976.

Cicatricososporites eocenicus

Hills, 1976

(Selling) Jansonius and

Figure 46.

Synonymy:

- 1964 <u>Schizaeoisporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Potonié; Singh, p. 62-63, pl. 7, fig. 8,9.
- 1969 <u>Schizaeoisporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Potonié; Vagvolgyi and Hills, p. 161, pl. 3, fig. 16.
- 1969 <u>Schizaeoisporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Potonié; Oltz, p. 125, pl. 40, fig. 4.
- 1976 <u>Cicatricososporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Jansonius and Hills, cards 468-469.
- 1980 <u>Schizaeoisporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Potonié; Wingate, p. 20, pl. 8, figs. 1-3.
- 1989 <u>Cicatricososporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Jansonius and Hills; Burden and Hills, p. 35, pl. 12, fig. 8.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the lower Cretaceous of Western Canada and the Eocene of Germany (Singh, 1964). Wingate (1980) reports it from the Albian Bokchito Formation of southern Oklahoma. Remarks: One specimen was found in the uppermost strata of the Sermilik Formation.

Hilate Spores

Genus <u>Aequitriradites</u> Delcourt and Sprumont, 1955,

emend. Cookson and Dettmann, 1961

Type Species: <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>dubius</u> Delcourt and Sprumont, 1955.

Aequitriradites sp. cf. spinulosus

Figure 47.

- 1963 <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; Dettmann, p. 93-94, pl. 22, fig. 7-13.
- 1964 <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; Singh, p. 88-89, pl. 11, fig. 12,13.
- 1971 <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; Singh, p. 34-35, pl. 1, fig. 6.

- 1971 <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; Playford, pl. 106, fig. 7.
- 1980 <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; Wingate, p. 8, pl. 1, fig.2.
- 1989 <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; Burden and Hills, p. 37, pl. 13, figs. 8,9.

Age and Distribution: Lower Cretaceous of S.E. Australia (Dettmann, 1963); Lower Cretaceous to Danian of U.S.S.R. (Bolkhovitina in Singh, 1971); mid to upper Albian of the Swan River Group of Saskatchewan and Manitoba (Playford, 1971); Albian of the Peace River area, Alberta (Singh, 1971); Albian of southern Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980).

Remarks: The ornament on the distal face of <u>A.</u> <u>spinulosus</u> consists of fine spinules of variable size while the proximal face is smooth to finely scabrate (Singh, 1964). The sculpture in specimens studied appears to be finer than those found at Peace River (Singh, 1971) or in Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980).

It is a very rare species; a maximum of 1 specimen/sample is found in the Bylot Island and lower Sermilik strata.

Inaperturate Pollen

Genus <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> Pflug, 1952, ex Thomson and Pflug emend. Potonié, 1958

Type Species: <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> <u>dubius</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug, 1953.

Inaperturopollenites sp.

Figure 48.

Synonymy:

- 1968 <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> sp.; Ting, p. 596, pl. 7, fig. 12,14.
- 1971 Inaperturopollenites sp.; Singh, p. 150, pl. 21, fig. 1.
- 1973 <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> sp.; Hopkins and Balkwill, p. 20, pl. 2, fig. 45.
- 1974 <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> sp.; Hopkins, p. 23, pl. 6, fig. 70.
- 1989 <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> sp.; Burden and Hills, p. 40, pl. 14, fig. 17.

in prep. <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> sp.; Burden and Langille, pl.
3, fig. 9.

Age and Distribution: <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> is cosmopolitan and ranges from the Mesozoic to Cenozoic.

Remarks: This species is present in all strata.

Genus Taxodiaceaepollenites Kremp, 1949 ex Potonié,

1958

Type Species: <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> Potonié ex Potonié, 1958.

<u>Taxodiaceaepollenites hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp, 1949 Figure 49.

- 1965 <u>Thuja</u> ? <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Stanley, p. 273, pl. 38, figs. 1-3.
- 1967 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; Srivastava, pl. 2, fig. C.
- 1971 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; Singh, p. 158, pl. 22, fig. 7.
- 1975 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 17, pl. 4, fig. 19.
- 1980 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; Wingate, p. 37, pl. 13, fig. 15.

- 1986 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; Farabee and Canright, p. 35, pl. 10, fig. 2.
- 1989 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; Burden and Hills, p. 40, pl. 14, fig. 18.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Cretaceous to Tertiary (Farabee and Canright, 1986).

Remarks: <u>T. hiatus</u> is abundant; the number of specimens/sample increases through the stratigraphic column; 5-8 specimens/sample in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation, 7-20 specimens/sample in rest of the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations and 16-74 specimens/sample in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Taxodiaceaepollenites

vacuipites (Wodehouse) Wingate, 1980 Figure 50.

- 1933 <u>Glyptostrobus</u> vacuipites Wodehouse; p. 494.
- 1980 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>vacuipites</u> (Wodehouse) Wingate; p. 37, pl. 13, fig. 16.
- 1989 <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> vacuipites (Wodehouse)

Wingate; Sparkes, p. 78-79, pl. 3, fig. 18.

Age and Distribution: Albian of Bokchito Formation, southern Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980); upper Campanian to Maastrichtian of Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations, Bylot Island (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: Wingate (1980) defined <u>T. vacuipites</u> as being morphologically similar to <u>Glyptostrobus</u> <u>vacuipites</u> from the Eocene Green River Shale of Colorado and Utah (described by Wodehouse in Wingate 1980). He kept it in the form-genus <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> because there was no evidence to support linking it to the modern genus. <u>T. vacuipites</u> is larger than <u>T. hiatus</u> and has folds in the exine parallel to the dehiscence (Wingate, 1980).

It is very rare (1-3 specimens/sample) but present in all strata at Maud Bight and Cape Hay.

Genus <u>Araucariacites</u> Cookson, 1947 ex Couper 1953 Type Species: Araucariacites australis Cookson, 1947.

Araucariacites australis Cookson, 1947

Figure 51.

Synonymy:

1958 Araucariacites australis Couper, p. 151, pl. 27,

figs. 3-5.

- 1963 <u>Araucariacites australis</u> Cookson; Dettmann, p. 105-106, pl. 26, fig. 15.
- 1971 <u>Araucariacites</u> <u>australis</u> Cookson; Singh, p. 156, pl. 22, fig.4
- 1974 <u>Araucariacites</u> <u>australis</u> Cookson; Hopkins, p. 22, pl. 5, fig. 66.
- 1975 <u>Araucariacites</u> <u>australis</u> Cookson; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 17, pl. 4, fig. 15.
- 1980 <u>Araucariacites</u> <u>australis</u> Cookson; Wingate, p. 37, pl. 13, fig. 14.
- 1989 <u>Araucariacites australis</u> Cookson; Burden and Hills, p. 40, pl. 14, fig. 19.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic to Tertiary (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: This species is very rare (1-3 specimens/sample) but present in all strata.

Genus <u>Sequioapollenites</u> Thiergart, 1938 Type Species: <u>Sequioapollenites</u> polyformosus Thiergart, 1938. <u>Sequioapollenites</u> paleocenicus Stanley, 1965

Figure 52.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Sequioapollenites paleocenicus</u> Stanley, p. 283, pl. 38, figs. 8-11.
- 1971 <u>Sequioapollenites paleocenicus</u> Stanley; Srivastava, p. 241, pl. 8, fig.2.
- 1989 <u>Sequioapollenites paleocenicus</u> Stanley; Waterfield, p. 126, pl. 4, fig. 16.
- 1990 <u>Sequioapollenites</u> <u>paleocenicus</u> Stanley; Burden and Langille, pl. 3, fig. 10.

Age and Distribution: Mid Campanian of southern Alberta (Jarzen, 1982); mid to upper Campanian, Judith River Formation, Saskatchewan (Eberth <u>et al.</u>, 1990); Paleocene of South Dakota (Stanley, 1965); Paleocene of Naheola Formation, Alabama (Srivastava, 1971); Paleocene of S.E. Baffin Island (Burden and Langille, 1990); Maastrichtian? to Paleocene strata of Eclipse Trough, Bylot Island (Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: This rare species occurs in the uppermost Sermilik, Navy Board and Aktineq Formations (1-4 specimens/sample).

The pollen is found in association with the <u>Metasequoia</u> needles in the Maud Bight Member of the Aktineq Formation. Metasequoia is found in floras of late Cretaceous and

Tertiary age (Tidwell, 1975).

Monosaccate Pollen

Genus <u>Cerebropollenites</u> Nilsson, 1958

Type Species: <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson, 1958.

<u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson, 1958 Figure 53.

- 1966 <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson; Burger, p. 261, pl. 27, fig. 4, pl, 28, fig. 1.
- 1971 <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson; Singh, p. 172, pl. 25, fig. 7.
- 1975 <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 16, pl. 3, fig. 35, 36.
- 1977 <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson; Dörhöfer and Norris, p. 88, pl. 1, fig. 3.
- 1980 <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson; Wingate, p. 40, pl. 15, fig. 5.
- 1989 <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson;

Burden and Hills, p. 41-42, pl. 15, figs. 12-14.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic and Cretaceous (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: This species is very rare and found only in subzone R of the Bylot Island Formation near Cape Hay.

Bisaccate Pollen

Genus Podocarpidites Cookson, 1947, ex Couper 1953

Note: specimens identifiable only to Genus <u>Podocarpidites</u> were grouped as Podocarpidites sp. on the range chart.

Type Species: <u>Podocarpidites</u> <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson, 1947. <u>Podocarpidites</u> <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson, 1947 Fiqure 54.

Synonymy:

1962 <u>Podocarpidites</u> cf. <u>P. ellipticus</u> Cookson; Pocock, p. 65, pl. 10, figs. 153-156.

- 1964 <u>Podocarpidites</u> sp. cf. <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson; Singh, p. 115-116, pl. 15, fig. 11.
- 1971 <u>Podocarpidites</u> sp. cf. <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson; Singh, p. 162, pl. 22, fig. 13.
- 1981 <u>Podocarpidites</u> <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson; Srivastava, p. 8, pl. 10, fig. 1.
- 1989 <u>Podocarpidites</u> sp. cf. <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson; Burden and Hills, p. 43, pl. 16, fig. 14.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic and Cretaceous (Singh, 1971; Burden and Hills, 1989).

Remarks: Srivastava (1975) considers <u>P. multesimus</u>, <u>P. ellipticus</u> and <u>P. biformis</u> to be conspecific, with <u>P. ellipticus</u> being senior synonym, because of similar morphologies and overlapping size ranges. More recently, Burden and Hills (1989) keep the species separate, presumably not noting any morphological gradation. Two distinct species were identified in this study; <u>P. ellipticus</u> and <u>P. multesimus</u>.

P. ellipticus is very rare but occurs in all formations.

Podocarpidites multesimus (Bolkhovitina) Pocock, 1962

Figure 55.

Synonymy:

- 1964 <u>Podocarpidites</u> <u>multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; Singh, p. 116, pl. 15, figs. 12,13.
- 1971 <u>Podocarpidites multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; Singh, p. 166, pl. 24, fig. .
- 1973 <u>Podocarpidites multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; B.D. Tschudy, p. 16, pl. 7, figs. 1,2.
- 1975 <u>Podocarpidites</u> <u>multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 16, pl 4, figs. 3,4.
- 1980 <u>Podocarpidites multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; Wingate, p. 38, pl. 14, fig. 7,8.
- 1989 <u>Podocarpidites multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; Burden and Hills, p. 43, pl. 16, fig. 14.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Jurassic and Cretaceous (Singh, 1971). Sparkes (1989) reports <u>P. multesimus</u> is rare in Bylot Island and Sermilik strata at Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: This species is rare but present in all strata (1-5 specimens/samples).

Genus <u>Pityosporites</u> Seward emend. Manum, 1960 Type Species: <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>antarcticus</u> Seward, 1914.

Note: Specimens identifiable to genus are classified as Pityosporites sp. in the range chart.

<u>Pityosporites</u> <u>alatipollenites</u> (Rouse) Singh, 1964 Figure 56.

Synonymy:

- 1964 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>alatipollenites</u> (Rouse) Singh, p. 123, pl. 16, fig. 10.
- 1971 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>alatipollenites</u> (Rouse) Singh; Singh, p. 173, pl. 25, fig. 9.
- 1973 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>alatipollenites</u> (Rouse) Singh; B.D.Tschudy, p. 15, pl. 6, fig. 1.
- 1989 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>alatipollenites</u> (Rouse) Singh; Burden and Hills, p. 43, pl. 16, fig. 15.

Age and Distribution: Upper Jurassic to upper Cretaceous of

Western Canada (Singh, 1971); upper Campanian in the Judith River Formation of Montana (B.D. Tschudy, 1973); Albian of S.E. Baffin Island (Langille, 1987); upper Campanian and Maastrichtian (Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations) in Eclipse Trough, Bylot Island (Sparkes, 1989 and Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: It is very rare but present in all strata.

<u>Pityosporites</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh, 1964 Figure 57.

- 1964 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh, p. 122, pl. 16, figs. 8,9.
- 1966 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh; Srivastava, p. 524, pl. 6, fig. 2.
- 1967 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh; Srivastava, p. 140, pl. 2, fig. M.
- 1971 <u>Pityosporites constrictus</u> Singh; Singh, p. 174, pl. 25, fig. 10.
- 1973 <u>Pityosporites</u> sp. cf. <u>P.</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh; B. D. Tschudy, p. 15, pl. 5, fig. 9.
- 1980 Pinuspollenites constrictus (Singh) Wingate, p. 40,

pl. 15, figs. 6,7.

1989 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh; Burden and Hills, p. 43, pl. 16, 17.

Age and Distribution: Lower Cretaceous of Western Canada (Burden and Hills, 1989); Albian strata in Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980); upper Campanian of the Judith River Formation in Montana (B.D. Tschudy, 1973); upper Campanian and Maastrichtian strata (Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations) in Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989 and Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: It is rare and occurs in the Bylot Island, Sermilik and Navy Board Formations.

<u>Pityosporites</u> <u>elongatus</u> (Norton) Norton and Hall, 1969 Figure 59.

- 1969 <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>elongatus</u> (Norton) Norton and Hall, p. 27, pl. 4, fig. 1.
- 1973 <u>Pityosporites elongatus</u> var. <u>elongatus</u> (Norton) B. D. Tschudy, p. 15, pl. 6, fig. 2-4.
- 1989 Pityosporites elongatus (Norton) Norton and Hall;

Waterfield, p. 114, pl. 4, fig. 4

Age and Distribution: Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene of Hell Creek Formation, Montana (Norton and Hall, 1969), upper Campanian of the Judith River Formation, Montana (B.D. Tschudy, 1973); Maastrichtian and Paleocene strata of Eclipse Trough strata (Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: It is present in all formations (1-27 specimens/sample).

<u>Pityosporites</u> <u>deweyensis</u> (Ting) n. comb. Figure 62.

Synonymy:

1968 Pinus deweyensis Ting, p. 574-576, pl. 2, figs. 7,8

Age and Distribution: Eocene and Oligocene strata in Idaho (Ting, 1968).

Remarks: Keeping with the practice of using fossil instead of modern nomenclature for fossil species, these are included in genus <u>Pityosporites</u>.

<u>P.</u> <u>deweyensis</u> is infrequent in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations (1-10 specimens/sample). The range of this species is extended into the Paleocene based in its occurrence in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Abiespollenites</u> Thiergart, 1937 emend. Potonié, 1958 Type Species: <u>Abiespollenites</u> <u>absolutus</u> Thiergart, 1937. <u>Abiespollenites</u> sp.

Figure 58.

Synonymy:

- 1971 Abiespollenites sp.; Singh, p. 168, pl. 24, fig. 8.
- 1989 <u>Abiespollenites</u> sp.; Burden and Hills, p. 43, pl. 17, fig. 1.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Cretaceous and Tertiary.

Remarks: Specimens fit Singh's description (1971). <u>Abiespollenites</u> sp. is rare (1-6 specimens/sample) but occurs in all formations.

Genus Alisporites Daugherty emend. Jansonius, 1971

Type Species: Alisporites opii Daugherty, 1941.

Alisporites bilateralis Rouse, 1959

Figure 61.

Synonymy:

- 1962 <u>Alisporites thomasii</u> (Couper) Pocock, p. 62, pl. 14, fig. 143.
- 1964 <u>Alisporites thomasii</u> (Couper) Pocock; Singh, p. 109, pl. 14, figs. 11,12.
- 1965 <u>Alisporites thomasii</u> (Couper) Pocock; McGregor, p. 24, pl. 7, fig. 39.
- 1966 <u>Alisporites thomasii</u> (Couper) Pocock; Burger, p. 259, pl 35, fig. 2.
- 1971 <u>Alisporites bilateralis</u> Rouse; Singh, p. 169, pl. 24, fig. 9.
- 1973 Alisporites thomasii (Couper) Pocock; B. D. Tschudy
- 1974 <u>Alisporites</u> <u>bilateralis</u> Rouse; McIntyre, pl. 14, fig. 38.
- 1975 <u>Alisporites</u> <u>bilateralis</u> Rouse; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 16, pl. 3, fig. 32.
- 1976 <u>Alisporites bilateralis</u> Rouse; Scott, p. 592, pl. 9, fig. 5.
- 1989 <u>Alisporites bilateralis</u> Rouse; Burden and Hills, p. 44, pl. 17, fig. 4,5.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Upper Jurassic to Cenomanian (Singh, 1971 and B. D. Tschudy, 1973).

Remarks: This species is found in all strata (4-10 specimens/sample and 25 specimens in one case).

<u>Alisporites grandis</u> (Cookson) Dettmann, 1963 Figure 60.

- 1957 <u>Alisporites rotundus</u> Rouse, p. 371, pl. 1, figs. 15,16.
- 1962 <u>Alisporites rotundus</u> Rouse; Pocock, p. 61-62, pl. 9, figs. 140-141.
- 1964 <u>Alisporites rotundus</u> Rouse; Singh, p. 110, pl. 14, figs. 13,14; pl. 15, figs. 1,2.
- 1966 <u>Alisporites grandis</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; Srivastava, p. 524, pl. 6, figs. 4,5,7 and 10.
- 1971 <u>Alisporites grandis</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; Singh, p. 170, pl. 25, figs. 1,2.
- 1973 <u>Alisporites grandis</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; B.D. Tschudy, p. 14, pl. 5, figs. 2,.
- 1980 Alisporites grandis (Cookson) Dettmann; Wingate, p.

39, pl. 15, fig. 1.

1989 <u>Alisporites grandis</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; Burden and Hills, p. 44, pl. 17, fig. 3.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Upper Jurassic to Tertiary (Wilson, 1978).

Remarks: Rare; (2-4 specimens/sample).

Genus Vitreisporites Leschik, 1955

Type Species: Vitreisporites signatus Leschik, 1955.

<u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson, 1958 Figure 63.

- 1958 Caytonipollenites pallidus (Reissinger) Couper, p.
 150, pl. 26, figs. 7,8.
- 1964 <u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson; Singh, p. 102, pl. 14, fig. 1.
- 1971 <u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson; Singh, p. 154, pl. 22, fig. 1.
- 1973 <u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson; Hopkins and Balkwill, p. 16, pl. 2, fig. 34.
- 1973 <u>Vitreisporites</u> pallidus (Reissinger) Nilsson;

Stone, p. 78, pl. 15, fig. 84.

- 1974 <u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson; Hopkins, p. 21, pl. 5, figs. 5,6.
- 1978 <u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson; Wilson, p. 124,125, pl. 5, figs. 13,14.
- Age and Distribution: This species is cosmopolitan and has a Jurassic to Cretaceous range.

Remarks: <u>V.</u> <u>pallidus</u> is very rare and occurs in the Bylot Island Formation.

Genus Cedripites Wodehouse, 1933

Type Species: <u>Cedripites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> Wodehouse, 1933. <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock, 1962 Figure 64.

- 1962 <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock, 63, pl. 10, fig. 49, 50.
- 1964 <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock; Singh, p. 112, pl. 15, fig. 6.
- 1967 <u>Cedripites canadensis</u> Pocock; Norris, p. 102, pl. 15, figs. 149, 150.

- 1971 <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock; Singh, p. 171, pl. 25, figs. 4,5.
- 1975 <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 16, pl. 3, fig. 37.
- 1989 <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock; Burden and Hills, p. 45, pl. 17, figs. 11,12.

Age and Distribution: Barremian to Albian of Western Canada (Burden, 1982). It is the most common bisaccate taxon in upper Campanian and Maastrichtian strata (Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations) at Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: It is common (5-19 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Pristinuspollenites</u> B.D. Tschudy, 1973 Type Species: <u>Pristinuspollenites</u> <u>microsaccus</u> B.D. Tschudy, 1973.

Pristinuspollenites microsaccus B.D. Tschudy, 1973 Figure 65.

- 1962 <u>Alisporites</u> sp. cf. <u>microsaccus</u> (Couper) Pocock, p. 61, pl. 9, figs. 138,139.
- 1965 ?<u>Pteruchisporites microsaccus</u> Couper; McGregor, pl. 7, fig. 31.

- 1973 <u>Pristinuspollenites</u> <u>microsaccus</u> (Couper) B.D. Tschudy, p. 17-18, pl. 7, figs. 4-6.
- 1987 <u>Pristinuspollenites</u> <u>microsaccus</u> (Couper) B.D. Tschudy; Langille, p. 94-95, pl. 5, fig. 14.
- 1989 <u>Pristinuspollenites</u> <u>microsaccus</u> (Couper) B.D. Tschudy; Burden and Hills, p. 45, pl. 17, figs. 14, 15.

Age and Distribution: Upper Jurassic and Cretaceous worldwide (Burden, 1982).

Remarks: It is very rare and occurs only in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations.

Monosulcate Pollen

Genus <u>Clavatipollenites</u> Couper, 1958 Type Species: <u>Clavatipollenites</u> <u>hughesii</u> Couper, 1958. <u>Clavatipollenites</u> <u>hughesii</u> Couper, 1958 Figure 66.

Synonymy:

1958 <u>Clavatipollenites hughesii</u> Couper, p. 159-160, pl. 31, figs. 19-22.

- 1967 <u>Clavatipollenites hughesii</u> Couper; Norris, p. 106, pl.16, fig. 20.
- 1971 <u>Clavatipollenites hughesii</u> Couper; Singh, p. 181, pl. 27, figs. 1-3.
- 1975 <u>Clavatipollenites</u> <u>hughesii</u> Couper; Brideaux and McIntyre, p. 17, pl. 4, fig. 30.
- 1977 cf. <u>Clavatipollenites</u> <u>hughesii</u> Couper; Doyle and Robbins, pl. 1, figs. 1-3, 20,21; pl. 3, figs. 1,2.
- 1989 <u>Clavatipollenites</u> <u>hughesii</u> Couper; Burden and Hills, p. 79, pl. 19, fig. 1,2.

Age and Distribution: Barremian-Aptian, England (Couper, 1958); Albian, Peace River area, Alberta (Singh, 1971); Barremian? to Cenomanian strata, Atlantic Coastal Plain (Doyle and Robbins, 1977); Albian, Horton River area, N.W.T. (Brideaux and McIntyre, 1975); Albian?-Cenomanian, lower Colorado Group, Central Alberta (Norris, 1967).

Remarks: <u>C.</u> <u>hughesii</u> has a size range 18-(20)-29 by 15-(18)-20 µm (Couper, 1958); specimens in this study were 26×19 µm.

<u>C. hughesii</u> is very rare and found only in the Sermilik Formation.

Genus Liliipollis Krutzsch, 1970

Type Species: Liliipollis liliioides Krutzsch, 1970.

Liliipollis sp. 1

Figure 67.

Synonymy:

- 1986 <u>Liliipollis</u> sp. Farabee and Canright, p. 53, pl. 19, figs. 6,7.
- 1989 <u>Liliipollis</u> sp. 1? Sparkes, p. 88-89, pl. 4, fig. 12.

Age and Distribution: It occurs in the upper Campanian and Maastrichtian Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations of Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989) and Maastrichtian of the Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986).

Remarks: <u>Liliipollis</u> sp. of Farabee and Canright (1986) consists of a monosulcate, fusiform grain with a sulcus that extends the entire length of grain. The exine is two layered and the nexine appears thin with the sexine being baculate with baculae arranged in a crotonoid reticulum pattern. Grains in their study were 30x18 µm. Sparkes' (1989) specimens are morphologically similar but somewhat larger; 38 (42) 44 x 22 (24) 25) µm. There was a single specimen found in the upper strata of the Sermilik Formation. It is 33µm in length and 20 µm in width.

The specimen in this study resembles those described by

Farabee and Canright (1986). Grains studied by Sparkes extend the size range of this species to 30-44 x 18-25 $\mu m.$

Genus Liliacidites Couper, 1953

Type Species: <u>Liliacidites</u> <u>kaitangataensis</u> Couper, 1953. <u>Liliacidites</u> <u>leei</u> Anderson, 1960 Figure 68.

Synonymy:

- 1973 <u>Liliacidites</u> <u>leei</u> Anderson; Stone, p. 83, pl. 17, fig. 96.
- 1986 <u>Liliacidites</u> <u>leei</u> Anderson; Farabee and Canright, p. 52, pl. 19, figs. 1,2.

Age and Distribution: Campanian, Almond Formation (Stone, 1973); Maastrichtian, Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); upper Cretaceous to lower Paleocene strata, San Juan Basin, New Mexico (Anderson <u>in</u> Farabee and Canright, 1986).

Remarks: It is very rare with 1-3 specimens/sample recovered from the Bylot Island, Sermilik and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Cycadopites</u> Wodehouse, 1933, ex Wilson and Webster, 1946.

Type Species: <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster, 1946.

<u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster, 1946 Figure 69.

- 1964 Cycadopites fragilis Singh, p. 103, pl. 14, fig. 2.
- 1965 <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; McGregor, p. 26, pl. 8, fig. 24.
- 1966 <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; Srivastava, p. 518, pl. 5, figs. 5-7.
- 1973 <u>Cycadopites follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; Stone, p. 76, pl. 15, fig. 78.
- 1978 <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; Wilson, p. 129, pl. 7, fig. 4.
- 1980 <u>Gingkocycadophytus</u> <u>nitidus</u> (Balme) de Jersey; Wingate , p. 36, pl. 13, fig. 12.
- 1980 <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; Bebout, pl. 2, fig. 5.
- 1983 <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; Fensome, p. 553, pl. 21, figs. 4,6.
- 1987 <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; Langille, p. 96, pl. 6, fig. 1.

Age and Range: Cosmopolitan. Late Paleozoic to Cenozoic (Fensome, 1983).

Remarks: Entylissa Balme differs from Cycadopites by having a sulcus which widens and merges with the outline of the body at the end of the grain, also, Entylissa has a granulate to scabrate sculpture while Cycadopites is psilate (Singh, 1971). Fensome (1983) states that Cycadopites has a variable being elongate to subspheroidal, morphology, and has sculpture ranging from psilate to scabrate or granulate. He stated that Gingkocycadophytus (Balme) de Jersey (Entylissa) and Cycadopites were just gradational end members and therefore the senior synonym (Cycadopites) should stand. While some sculptural and morphological variation did occur, the two taxa appear to be separate entities in the Maud Bight strata and are reported as such.

<u>C.</u> <u>follicularis</u> is common in all strata, with 5-15 specimens in most samples and as high as 23 specimens in one sample.

Genus <u>Entylissa</u> Naumova, 1939, ex Ishchenko, 1952 Type Species: <u>Entylissa</u> <u>caperatus</u> (Luber) Potonié and Kremp, 1954.

Entylissa nitidus Balme, 1957

Figure 70.

Synonymy:

- 1962 <u>Gingkocycadophytus</u> <u>nitidus</u> (Balme) de Jersey, p. 12, pl. 5, figs. 1-3.
- 1963 <u>Gingkocycadophytus</u> <u>nitidus</u> (Balme) de Jersey; Dettmann, p. 104, pl. 26, figs. 8,9.
- 1971 <u>Gingkocycadophytus</u> <u>nitidus</u> (Balme) de Jersey; Singh, p. 155, pl. 22, fig. 3.
- 1980 Cycadopites sp.; Wingate, p. 36, pl. 13, fig. 11.
- 1989 <u>Entylissa</u> <u>nitidus</u> Balme; Burden and Hills, p. 340, pl. 30, figs. 4,5.

Age and Distribution: Cosmopolitan. Triassic to Cretaceous (Singh, 1971).

Remarks: This species is rare, but found in all strata (1-4 specimens/sample).

Tricolpate Pollen

Genus Tricolpites Cookson ex Couper 1953 emend.

Potonié 1960

Type Species: Tricolpites reticulatus Cookson, 1947.

Tricolpites hians Stanley, 1965

Figure 71.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Tricolpites hians</u> Stanley, p. 321, pl. 47, figs. 24-27.
- 1968b <u>Tricolpopollenites</u> <u>hians</u> (Stanley) Elsik, p. 622, pl. 24, figs. 11-14.
- 1978 <u>Tricolpites hians</u> Stanley; Wilson, pl. 9, figs. 5,6.
- 1984 <u>Tricolpites hians</u> Stanley; Gaponoff, p. 6, pl. 4, figs. 12-14.
- 1986 <u>Tricolpites hians</u> Stanley; Farabee and Canright, p. 66, pl. 22, figs. 16-20.

Age and Distribution: Campanian to Paleocene in the Yukon Territory (Wilson, 1978); Maastrichtian in Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); Paleocene in South Dakota and Texas (Stanley, 1965; Elsik, 1968b); upper Paleocene Silverado Formation, California (Gaponoff, 1984). Maastrichtian and Paleocene strata in Eclipse Trough (Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: It is a rare component (1-5 specimens/sample) present in all but the R subzone of the Bylot Island Formation.

Tricolpites parvus Stanley, 1965

Figure 72.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Tricolpites parvus</u> Stanley, p. 322, pl. 47, figs. 28-31.
- 1968b <u>Tricolpites</u> <u>hians</u> Stanley; Elsik, p. 622-623, pl. 23, figs. 13-19.
- 1971 <u>Tricolpites parvus</u> Stanley; Singh, p. 210-211, pl. 32, figs. 12-17.
- 1972c <u>Tricolpites</u> <u>parvus</u> Stanley; Srivastava, pl. 25, figs. 5-18.
- 1973 <u>Tricolpites parvus</u> Stanley; Chmura, p. 111, pl. 23, fig. 1.
- 1986 <u>Tricolpites</u> <u>parvus</u> Stanley; Farabee and Canright, p. 68-69, pl. 24, figs. 2,3.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the Albian of the Peace River area, Alberta (Singh, 1971); Campanian to Maastrichtian, San Joaquin Valley, California (Chmura, 1973);
Maastrichtian of the Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); Paleocene of South Dakota, Texas and Alabama (Stanley, 1965; Elsik, 1968b; Srivastava, 1972c).

Remarks: <u>T. parvus</u> is a rare component (1-6 specimens/sample) present in all but the R subzone of the Bylot Island Formation.

Genus Rousea Srivastava, 1969

Type Species: Rousea subtillis Srivastava, 1969.

Rousea georgensis ? (Brenner) Dettmann, 1973

Figure 73.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Retitricolpites georgensis</u> Brenner; Norris, p. 108, pl. 18, figs. 1-4.
- 1968 <u>Retitricolpites</u> <u>georgensis</u> Brenner; Hedlund and Norris, p. 145, pl. 6, figs. 1,3.
- 1971 <u>Retitricolpites</u> <u>georgensis</u> Brenner; Singh, p. 200, pl. 30, figs. 1-6.
- 1971 <u>Retitricolpites</u> <u>georgensis</u> Brenner; Playford, p. 561, pl. 107, figs. 11,12.
- 1973 <u>Retitricolpites</u> <u>georgensis</u> Brenner; Burger, p. 7, pl. 3, figs. 2-4.

- 1975 <u>Retitricolpites</u> <u>georgensis</u> Brenner; Brideax and McIntyre, p. 17, pl. 4, figs. 31,32.
- 1975 <u>Rousea</u> <u>georgensis</u> (Brenner), Dettmann; Srivastava, p. 92, pl. 43, figs. 10,11.
- 1980 <u>Tricolpites</u> sp. cf. <u>Retitricolpites</u> <u>georgensis</u> Brenner; Wingate, p. 45, pl. 17, figs. 3,4.
- 1981 <u>Rousea</u> <u>georgensis</u> (Brenner), Dettmann; Srivastava, p. 27, pl. 11, fig. 3.
- 1988 <u>Rousea</u> <u>georgensis</u> (Brenner), Dettmann; Sweet and McIntyre, p. 506, fig. 7, No. 15,16.

Age and Distribution: Albian of Peace River area, Alberta (Singh, 1971); Albian of the Swan River Group, Saskatchewan and Manitoba (Playford, 1971); Albian of Horton River area, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T. (Brideaux and McIntyre, 1975); upper Turonian, Alberta Foothills (Sweet and McIntyre, 1988). Sparkes (1989) reports <u>R. georgensis</u> from upper Campanian and Maastrichtian strata (Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations) in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: It is very rare; single specimens were found in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations. The orientation of the specimens is not ideal for absolute identification.

Genus Striatopollis Krutzsch, 1959

Type Species: <u>Striatopollis</u> <u>sarstedtensis</u> Krutzsch, 1959. <u>Striatopollis</u> <u>tectatus</u> Leffingwell, 1971

Figure 74.

Synonymy:

1986 <u>Striatopollis</u> <u>tectatus</u> Leffingwell; Farabee and Canright, p. 64, pl. 22, figs. 5,6.

Age and Distribution: <u>S. tectatus</u> is reported from the Maastrichtian Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986).

Striatopollis is distinct from Simpsonipollis Remarks: Srivastava and Aesculidites Elsik in lacking pores (Simpsonipollis) and circumpolar sculpturing or colporae This species is very rare (1 - 4)(Aesculidites). specimens/sample) and restricted to the Navy Board and Aktineg Formations.

Genus <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> Potonié ex Potonié, 1960 Type Species: <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> <u>pudicus</u> (Potonié) Potonié, 1960.

Fraxinoipollenites variabilis Stanley, 1965

Figure 75.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> <u>variabilis</u> Stanley, p. 306, pl. 45, figs. 29-35.
- 1971 Fraxinoipollenites variabilis Stanley; Leffingwell, p. 45, pl. 8, figs. 8-10.
- 1972 <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> <u>variabilis</u> Stanley; Rouse and Srivastava, p. 1178, figs. 71-73.
- 1973 <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> <u>variabilis</u> Stanley; Stone, p. 88, pl. 18, figs. 116, 117.
- 1975 Fraxinoipollenites variabilis Stanley; Srivastava, p. 88, pl. 42, figs. 3-5.
- 1981 <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> <u>variabilis</u> Stanley; Srivastava, pl. 10, figs. 9,10.
- 1986 <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> variabilis Stanley; Farabee and Canright, p. 49, pl. 18, fig. 1.

Age and Distribution: This species is reported from the upper Campanian Almond Formation, Wyoming (Stone, 1973); Maastrichtian, Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); Paleocene, Fort Union Formation, South Dakota and Wyoming (Stanley 1965; Leffingwell, 1971) and Paleocene strata of the Bonnet Plume Formation, Yukon (Rouse

and Srivastava, 1972). Sparkes (1989) reports it from the upper Campanian to Maastrichtian Bylot Island Formation in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: <u>F.</u> <u>variabilis</u> is very rare (1-3 specimens/sample) and is found only in the Sermilik, Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Syncolpate Pollen

Genus Porosipollis Krutzsch, 1969

Type Species: <u>Porosipollis</u> <u>porosus</u> Krutzsch (Mchedlishvili) 1969.

Porosipollis porosus Krutzsch (Mchedlishvili) 1969.

Figure 76.

Synonymy:

- 1969 <u>Porosipollis porosus</u> Krutzsch, p. 407, pl. 1, figs. 10-14.
- 1974 <u>Syncolpites porosus</u> Mchedlishvili; McIntyre, p. 51, pl. 21, figs. 4,5.
- 1976 <u>Syncolpites</u> porosus Mchedlishvili; Doerenkamp <u>et</u> al., p. 407, pl. 2, figs. 1,2.
- 1989 <u>Porosipollis</u> <u>porosus</u> Krutzsch; Sweet <u>et al.</u>, p. 94, pl. 1, fig. 29.

1989 <u>Porosipollis</u> <u>porosus</u> Krutzsch; Sparkes, p. 98-99, pl. 5, fig. 7.

Age and Distribution: Senonian and Paleocene of Siberia (Krutzsch, 1969); upper Campanian to mid Maastrichtian strata, Horton River, (McIntyre, 1974); lower to mid Maastrichtian strata, Kanguk Formation, Banks Island, N.W.T. (Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, 1976); and mid or upper Maastrichtian strata, Police Island, Mackenzie River, N.W.T. (Sweet <u>et al.</u>, 1989). Sparkes (1989) reports it from the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: Sweet <u>et al.</u> (1989) define a <u>P. porosus</u> zone of mid or late Maastrichtian age at their Police Island section. This species is generally very rare but occurs in the Bylot Island, Sermilik and Aktineq Formations. Sample PB-113 from the Sermilik Formation contains 126 specimens. This anomaly is probably explained by the fact that the bed sampled is an ancient debris flow consisting of mud supported pebble size clasts and coaly fragments. Alternately a flower within the sample might yield a dominantly monospecific assemblage.

Tricolporate Pollen

Genus Tricolporites Cookson, 1947

Type Species Tricolporites prolata Cookson, 1947.

Tricolporites sp. 1

Figure 77.

Remarks: Specimens are tricolporate, prolate, $21 \times 16 \mu m$ (equatorial view) in size and scabrate. The colpi are narrow slits extending the full length of the grain. There are protruding annuli surrounding pores which are about 4 μm in diameter. Exine is psilate and under 1 μm thick. The protruding annuli distinguish it from Tricolporites. sp. 2.

It is very rare (1-2 specimens/sample) and restricted to the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Tricolporites sp. 2

Figure 78.

Remarks: Specimens are tricolporate and spindle shaped with the colpi extending the full length of the grain. Measurements of the specimens in equatorial view are 25-30 by

10-14 μ m. The exine is 1-1.3 μ m thick and psilate, although it often contains minute depressions (see photographed specimen).

This species is very rare (1-3 specimens/sample) and restricted to the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Cranwellia</u> Srivastava emend. Srivastava, 1969 Type Species: <u>Cranwellia striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava, 1966. <u>Cranwellia striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava, 1966 Figure 79.

Synonymy:

- 1966 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava, p. 537-538, pl. 11, figs. 1,4.
- 1970 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava; Takahashi, pl. 29, fig. 1a,1b.
- 1973 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava; Evitt, pl.
 1, fig. 25.
- 1973 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava; Chmura, p. 132-133, pl. 28, figs. 3,4.
- 1974 Cranwellia sp., McIntyre, pl. 21, fig. 9,10.
- 1981 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava; Chlonova, pl. 2, fig. 5.
- 1986 Cranwellia striata (Couper) Srivastava; Farabee and

Canright, p. 44-45, pl. 16, figs. 3-11.

1988 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava; Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, pl. 2, figs. 19,20.

Age and Distribution: Campanian to Maastrichtian strata, Western San Joaquin Valley, California (Chmura, 1973); Senonian strata, Siberia (Chlonova, 1981); Maastrichtian, Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1966); Maastrichtian, Japan (Takahashi, 1970); Maastrichtian, Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986) and Maastrichtian, North Slope, Alaska (Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, 1988).

Remarks: This species is very rare with 1-4 specimens/sample in upper Sermilik strata and one specimen in the Navy Board Formation.

<u>Cranwellia</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava, 1966 Figure 80.

Synonymy:

- 1966 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava, p. 58, pl. 11, figs. 3,7.
- 1972 Cranwellia rumseyensis Srivastava; Rouse and

Srivastava, fig. 27.

- 1973 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava; Stone, p. 88, pl. 18, figs. 118-119.
- 1978 Cranwellia rumseyensis Srivastava; Wilson, 135, pl.
 8, figs. 7,12.
- 1986 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1370, pl. 3, fig. 6.
- 1986 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava; Sweet, p. 1379, pl. 1, fig. 10.
- 1990 <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava; Eberth <u>et al.</u>, pl. 1, fig. 12.

Age and Distribution: Upper Campanian, Almond Formation, Montana (Stone, 1973); upper Campanian to Maastrichtian, Fish River area, Yukon Territory (Wilson, 1978); Maastrichtian, Bonnet Plume Formation, Yukon Territory (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972); Maastrichtian, Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986).

Remarks: Three specimens were found in the Sermilik Formation.

Triporate Pollen

Genus Carpinipites Srivastava, 1966

Type Species: <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava, 1966.

<u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava, 1966 Figure 81.

Synonymy:

- 1933 Carpinus ancipites Wodehouse, p. 510, fig. 42.
- 1966 <u>Carpinus ancipites</u> Wodehouse; Martin and Rouse, p. 197, pl. 8, figs. 74-76.
- 1967 <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava; Srivastava, p. 141, pl. 2, fig. R.
- 1971 <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava; Rouse et al., p. 236, pl. 8, figs. 12,15.
- 1978 <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava; Wilson, p. 142, pl. 10, fig. 2.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the upper Campanian to Maastrichtian, Lebo Formation, Yukon Territory (Wilson, 1978); upper Campanian to Paleocene (Bylot Island, Sermilik, Pond Inlet and Aktineq Formations) Eclipse Trough strata (Sparkes, 1989; Waterfield, 1989); Eocene, Burrard Formation, Vancouver, B.C. (Rouse et al., 1971).

Remarks: Wodehouse (1933) reports <u>Carpinus</u>' is distinct in having "pores very slightly or not at all protruding, exine surrounding pores scarcely or not at all thickened." This species is infrequent and occurs in the Sermilik and Aktineq Formations (1-12 specimens/sample).

> Genus <u>Caryapollenites</u> Raatz ex Potonié 1960 emend. Krutzsch 1961

Type Species: <u>Caryapollenites</u> <u>simplex</u> Potonié and Venitz, 1934.

<u>Caryapollenites</u> sp. cf. <u>inelegans</u> Figure 82.

Synonymy:

- 1978 <u>Caryapollenites</u> <u>inelegans</u> Nichols and Ott, p. 105-106, pl. 2, figs. 8,9.
- 1983 <u>Caryapollenites</u> sp. cf. <u>inelegans</u> Nichols and Ott; Wingate, p. 118, pl. 5, fig. 20.
- 1987 <u>Caryapollenites</u> <u>inelegans</u> Nichols and Ott; Pocknall, pl. 1, fig. 7.
- 1989 <u>Caryapollenites</u> sp. 1; Waterfield, p.136, pl. 5, fig. 10.

Age and Distribution: <u>C. inelegans</u> is reported from the Paleocene in the Wind River Basin, Wyoming (Nichols and Ott, 1978); upper Paleocene strata, central and southern Alberta (Demchuk, 1990) and Eocene Elko Formation, Nevada (Wingate, 1983). Waterfield (1989) recovered a single specimen resembling <u>C. inelegans</u> from lower Paleocene strata in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: According to Nichols and Ott (1978) <u>C. inelegans</u> has a size range of $26-(31)-34 \mu m$, while specimens assigned to <u>C.</u> sp. cf. <u>inelegans</u> by Wingate (1983) had a range of 18-23 μm . Specimens in this study had a range of 21-27 μm . This species is rare in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations (1-4 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> Hills and Wallace, 1969 Type Species: <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Simpson) Srivastava, 1975.

> <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Simpson) Srivastava, 1975 Figure 83.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> Zaklinskaia; Bratzeva, p. 123, pl. 1, fig. F.
- 1969 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace, p. 141, pl. 17, figs. 1-8.
- 1972 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; Rouse and Srivastava, p. 1177, fig. 59.
- 1974 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; McIntyre, p. 53, pl. 22, fig. 14.
- 1977 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; Rouse, p. 51, pl. 1, fig. 2.
- 1978 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; Wilson, p. 144, pl. 10, fig. 25.
- 1983 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; Wingate, p. 118, pl. 5, fig. 18.
- 1984 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>confusus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; Gaponoff, p. 98, pl. 7, figs. 10-11.
- 1986 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Simpson) Srivastava; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1371, pl. 4, fig. 1.
- 1988 Paraalnipollenites confusus (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; Frederiksen et al., p. 521, pl. 1, figs. 8,9.
- 1989Paraalnipollenitesalterniporus(Simpson)Srivastava; Sweet et al., p. 98, pl. 2, fig. 10.

1989 <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Simpson) Srivastava; McIntyre, p. 195, pl. 2, fig. 5.

Age and Distribution: P. alterniporus occurs in the Maastrichtian to Paleocene Eureka Sound Formation, Bathurst Island, N.W.T. (Hills and Wallace, 1969); upper Maastrichtian strata, Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); Maastrichtian, Yukon Territory (Wilson, 1978); (upper Maastrichtian to Paleocene) Coalspur Formation, central Alberta (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986); mid Maastrichtian strata, Alaska's North Slope (Fredericksen et al., 1988); upper Maastrichtian to Paleocene strata, Police Island, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T. (Sweet et al., 1989); lower Paleocene, Bonnet Plume Formation, northeastern Yukon (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972); lower to mid Paleocene, Eureka Sound Formation, Ellesmere Island (Rouse, 1977); Paleocene, Silverado Formation, California (Gaponoff, 1984); Paleocene, Eureka Sound Group, Somerset Island, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1989); and the Eocene Elko Formation of Nevada (Wingate, 1983). This species is rare in Eclipse Trough strata (Sparkes, 1989; Waterfield, 1989).

Remarks: Gaponoff (1984) noted this species has form variability ranging from the classic Normapolles with arci forming pseudopores to a form almost resembling

<u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> Pflug <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug. This species is infrequent in Sermilik and common in Navy Board and Aktineq strata (4-16 specimens/sample).

Genus Triatriopollenites Pflug, 1953

Type Species: <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>rurensis</u> Pflug and Thomson, 1953.

Triatriopollenites rurensis Pflug and Thomson, 1953 Figure 84.

Synonymy:

- 1978 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>rurensis</u> Pflug and Thomson; Schumacker-Lambry, pl. 13, figs. 4,8.
- 19891989 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>rurensis</u> Pflug and Thomson; Sparkes, p. 106-107, pl. 5, fig. 16.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in German Danian to Eocene strata (Thomson and Pflug <u>in</u> Jansonius and Hills, 1976); Paleocene strata of Belgium (Schumacker-Lambry, 1978) and the upper Campanian to Maastrichtian Bylot Island Formation, Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: Isolated specimens of <u>T. rurensis</u> occur in the Bylot

Island and Sermilik Formations but it becomes common (7-22 specimens/sample) in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

<u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>costatus</u> Norton, 1969 Figure 85.

Synonymy:

- 1969 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>costatus</u> Norton <u>in</u> Norton and Hall, p. 40-41, pl. 5, fig. 19.
- 1973 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>costatus</u> Norton; B.D. Tschudy, p. 31, pl. 11, figs. 4,5.
- 1975 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>costatus</u> Norton; Jarzen and Norris, pl. 2, fig. 3.
- 1990 <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>costatus</u> Norton; Eberth <u>et al.</u>, pl.1, figs. 20, 26.

Age and Distribution: Early Campanian, eastern Alberta (Jarzen and Norris, 1975); mid to upper Campanian Judith River Formation, Saskatchewan (Eberth <u>et al.</u>, 1990); upper Campanian, Judith River Formation, Montana (B.D. Tschudy, 1973) and Maastrichtian to Paleocene, Hell Creek, Tullock and Lebo Formations, Montana (Norton and Hall, 1969). Remarks: A single specimen was found in the upper strata of the Sermilik Formation.

Triatriopollenites sp.1

Figure 86.

Description: Grains are sub-spherical with protruding, annulate, isopolar, atriate germinals and average 24 μ m in diameter (5 specimens measured). The exine is thin (1 μ m) and psilate but thickens around the germinal (2.5 μ m). The porecanal index is approximately 0.14.

Remarks: The protruding germinal distinguishes it from <u>T.</u> <u>rurensis</u> and <u>T.</u> <u>costatus</u>. It resembles most <u>Triatriopollenites</u> sp. 1 (pl. 13, figs. 6,7) of Schumacker-Lambry (1978) which was recovered from Paleocene Belgian strata. This very rare species was only recovered from the Bylot Island Formation (1-2 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> Pflug <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug, 1953

Type Species <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> <u>betuloides</u> Pflug <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug, 1953.

Figure 87.

Synonymy:

- 1968 <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> <u>betuloides</u> Pflug, <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug; Nakoman, p. 538, pl. 4, figs. 27-30.
- 1978 <u>Betulaceoipollenites</u> sp., Christopher, p. 8, pl. 2, fig. 5.
- 1980 <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> cf. <u>T. betuloides</u> Pflug <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug; Bebout, pl. 5, fig. 7.
- 1986 Betulaceae-Myricaceae pollen, Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1370, pl. 3, fig. 3.
- 1986 <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> betuloides Pflug, <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug; Norris, p. 40, pl. 10, figs. 38-42.
- in prep. <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> <u>betuloides</u> Pflug, <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug; Burden and Langille, pl. 4, fig. 10.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, South Carolina (Christopher, 1978); upper Maastrichtian and Paleocene, central Alberta (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986); lower to mid Paleocene, Cape Searle Formation, Southeastern Baffin Island (Burden and Langille, in prep.); upper Oligocene and Pliocene, Mackenzie Delta, N.W.T. (Norris, 1986); upper Miocene, Turkey (Nakoman, 1968).

Remarks: Pflug (in Jansonius and Hills, 1976) describes <u>T</u>. <u>betuloides</u> as " 18-28 μ m; amb subcircular to convexly triangular; labrum not very prominent; annulus and endannulus may be weakly developed; vestibulum small... exine smooth, up to 2 μ m thick." It ranged from 19-(24)-27 μ m in this study.

This species is rare in the Sermilik but becomes common in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations (5-19 and in one case 28 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Momipites</u> Frederiksen and Christopher, 1978 Type Species: Momipites coryloides Wodehouse, 1933.

> Momipites wyomingensis Nichols and Ott, 1978 Figure 88.

Synonymy:

- 1978 <u>Momipites</u> <u>wyomingensis</u> Nichols and Ott, p. 100, pl. 1, figs. 1-4.
- 1986 <u>Momipites</u> wyomingensis Nichols and Ott; Farabee and Canright, p. 55, pl. 19, fig. 15.
- 1987 <u>Momipites</u> <u>wyomingensis</u> Nichols and Ott; Pocknall, pl. 1, fig. 3.
- 1989 <u>Momipites</u> <u>wyomingensis</u> Nichols and Ott; McIntyre, p. 195, pl. 1, fig. 3.
- 1989 Momipites wyomingensis Nichols and Ott; Sparkes, p.

105-106, pl. 5, fig. 15.

Distribution: This species Aqe and occurs in the Maastrichtian Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); Paleocene, Fort Union Formation, Wind River Basin, Wyoming (Nicholls and Ott, 1978); and the upper Paleocene Eureka Sound Group, Somerset Island, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1989). Sparkes (1989) reports it from the Sermilik Formation in Eclipse Trough (upper Campanian-upper Maastrichtian). Demchuk defined a lower Paleocene M. wyomingensis zone for strata in central and south-central Alberta based on the first appearance of the taxon, which also occurred in late Paleocene strata.

Remarks: According to Nichols and Ott (1978), <u>M. wyomingensis</u> is distinct from other <u>Momipites</u> species; it has the simplest morphology, with a convex form and no exinal structures near its pores. They report a size range of 19-(24)-27 µm while Farabee and Canright (1986) report a smaller variance; 23-25.5 µm. In this study grains varied from 20-(24)-27 µm.

It is very rare and occurs only in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Triporopollenites</u> Pflug and Thomson <u>in</u> Pflug

and Thomson, 1953

Type Species: <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>coryloides</u> Pflug <u>in</u> Thomson and Pflug, 1953.

<u>Triporopollenites</u> mullensis (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava, 1972

Figure 89.

Synonymy:

- 1972 <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava, fig. 61.
- 1988 <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava; Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, pl. 1, fig. 2.
- 1989 <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava; Dietrich et al., pl. 3, fig. 5.
- 1989 <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava; McIntyre, pl. 2, fig. 11.

Age and Distribution: Mid Maastrichtian to Paleocene, Colville River region, Alaska (Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, 1988); Paleocene, Bonnet Plume Formation, Northeastern Yukon (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972); Paleocene, Fish River and Aklak sequences, Beaufort Sea (Dietrich <u>et al.</u>, 1989); upper Paleocene, Somerset Island, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1989)

Remarks: It is rare in the Sermilik Formation and infrequent in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations (averaging 5-15

specimens/sample and 27 specimens in one sample.

Genus <u>Complexiopollis</u> Krutzsch, 1959 emend. R.H. Tschudy, 1973. Type Species: Complexiopollis praeatumescens Krutzsch, 1959

Complexiopollis sp.

Figure 90.

Age and Distribution: This genus ranges from the Cenomanian to Eocene (R.H. Tschudy, 1973).

Remarks: One specimen (21 µm in size) was found in the Navy Board FOrmation. It is partially obscured, limiting precise identification but its concavely triangular amb, elongate, structurally complex germinals and multilayered walls are characteristic of the genus <u>Complexiopollis</u> (Jansonius and Hills, 1976).

Genus Pseudoplicapollis Krutzsch, 1967

Type Species: <u>Pseudoplicapollis</u> <u>palaeocaenicus</u> Krutzsch, 1967.

<u>Pseudoplicapollis</u> <u>serenus</u> Tschudy, 1975 Figure 91.

Synonymy:

1975 <u>Pseudoplicapollis</u> <u>serenus</u> Tschudy, p. 22, pl. 13, figs. 8-12, text fig. 21.

1976 Pollen Type NC-2 Wolfe, p. 12, pl. 1, fig. 14.

1981 <u>Pseudoplicapollis serenus</u> Tschudy; Azema <u>et al.</u>, p. 267, pl. 6, fig. 9.

Age and Distribution: Santonian or Campanian strata near Vendée, France (Azema <u>et al.</u>, 1981); lower to upper Campanian strata, Middle Atlantic States, U.S.A. (Wolfe, 1976); and uppermost Campanian, Coffee Sand, Tennessee (R.H. Tschudy, 1975).

Remarks: Tschudy (1975) gives <u>P. serenus</u> a size range of 13.5-19 μ m; the specimen in this study is 21 μ m but is otherwise morphologically similar. A single specimen occurred in the Bylot Island Formation.

Genus <u>Trudopollis</u> Pflug emend. Krutzsch, 1967 Type Species: <u>Trudopollis pertrudens</u> (Pflug) Pflug, 1953. Genus <u>Trudopollis conrector</u> Pflug, 1953 Figure 92.

Synonymy:

- 1961 <u>Trudopollis conrector</u> Pflug; Groot <u>et al.</u>, p. 137, pl. 26, fig. 52.
- 1981 <u>Trudopollis conrector</u> Pflug; Pacltova, p. 205, pl. 17, figs. 2, 2b, 2c.
- 1981 <u>Trudopollis conrector</u> Pflug; Mikhelis, p. 229, pl. 13, figs. 5-10.
- 1989 <u>Trudopollis</u> <u>conrector</u> Pflug; Sparkes, p. 108-109, pl 5, fig. 18.

Age and Distribution: Santonian, Magothy Formation, Eastern U.S.A. (Groot <u>et al.</u>, 1961); Santonian, Bohemian Massif, Eastern Europe (Pacltova, 1981); Campanian to Paleocene, Sea of Azov (Mikhelis, 1981). Sparkes (1989) reports this species from the Bylot Island Formation (late Campanian to late Maastrichtian), Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: Several specimens were recovered from the Bylot Island Formation.

<u>Trudopollis</u> ex. gr. <u>arector</u> Figure 93.

Synonymy:

1981 <u>Trudopollis</u> ex. gr. <u>arector</u> Mikhelis, pl. 12, figs. 24-33.

Age and Distribution: It is reported from Campanian to Paleocene strata in the Sea of Azov area, Russia.

Remarks: Specimens recovered in this study resembled \underline{T} . ex. gr. arector of Mikhelis (1981).

It is very rare; 2 specimens were found in the uppermost strata of the Sermilik Formation.

Genus <u>Extratriporopollenites</u> Pflug emend. Skarby, 1968 Type Species: <u>Extratriporopollenites</u> <u>fractus</u> Pflug, 1953. <u>Extratriporopollenites</u> sp. 2 of McIntyre, 1974 Figure 94.

Synonymy:

- 1974 <u>Extratriporopollenites</u> sp. 2 McIntyre (pars) p. 52, pl. 2, figs. 3,4 only.
- 1989 <u>Extratriporopollenites</u> sp. 2 of McIntyre; Sparkes, p. 111-112, pl. 6, fig. 3.

Age and Distribution: Early to mid Maastrichtian, Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); upper Campanian to upper

Maastrichtian (Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations), Eclipse Trough, Bylot Island (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: It is very rare; several specimens were recovered from the Sermilik, Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Normapolles sp. 1

Figure 95.

Remarks: The single specimen recovered is a large (56 µm in diameter) triporate grain with a convex triangular form and protruding pores. The simple pore openings are 17 µm in diameter while the exine around the pores is faintly striate parallel to the pore opening. The exine thins towards the germinals and reaches a maximum thickness of approximately 1.5 µm. The grain is torn and corroded likely contributing to the pitted appearance of the exine. It superficially resembles <u>Jussiaea champlainensis</u> Traverse (pl. 1, figs. 13,14 of Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, 1976) and <u>Corsinipollenites</u> sp. (card 613 Jansonius and Hills, 1976 and pl. 6, figs. 21-23 and pl. 7, figs. 1-3, Wilkinson and Boulter, 1980) but lacks their pore structure which consists of a complex annular thickening of the endexine enveloped by ectexine which appears to almost separate the pore structure from the body.

A single specimen was found in the Sermilik Formation.

Stephanoporate Pollen

Genus <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> Pflug, 1953 Type Species: <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> <u>verus</u> (Potonié) Thomson and Pflug, 1953.

<u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> <u>verus</u> (Potonié) Thomson and Pflug, 1953.

Figure 96.

Synonymy:

- 1966 <u>Alnipollenites</u> <u>quadrapollenites</u> (Rouse) Srivastava, p. 50, pl. 7, fig. 3.
- 1967 <u>Alnipollenites</u> <u>quadrapollenites</u> (Rouse) Srivastava; Srivastava, p. 141, pl. 2, fig. 5.
- 1968 <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> <u>verus</u> (Potonié) Thomson and Pflug; Nakoman, pl. 5, figs. 3-5.
- 1968b <u>Alnus</u> <u>verus</u> Martin and Rouse; Elsik, p. 606, pl. 17, figs. 1-3.
- 1969 <u>Alnus verus</u> (Potonié) Martin and Rouse: Hopkins, p. 1118, pl. 7, figs. 76-83.
- 1969 <u>Alnipollenites</u> <u>verus</u> Potonié; Norton and Hall, p. 42, pl. 5, fig. 26.

- 1969 <u>Alnipollenites verus</u> Potonié; Oltz, p. 140, pl. 41, fig. 98.
- 1971 <u>Alnipollenites verus</u> Potonié; Felix and Burbridge, p. 15, pl. 3, fig. 25.
- 1973 <u>Alnipollenites quadrapollenites</u> (Rouse) Srivastava; Stone, p. 97, pl. 20, fig. 147.
- 1978 <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> <u>verus</u> (Potonié) Thomson and Pflug; Wilson, p. 145-146, pl. 11, figs. 9,10.
- 1980 Polyvestibulopollenites sp. Wilkinson and Boulter, p. 66, pl.10, figs. 30-36, pl. 11, figs. 1-13.
- 1983 <u>Alnipollenites verus</u> Potonié; Wingate, p. 122, pl. 6, figs. 20,21.
- 1984 <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> <u>verus</u> (Potonié) Thomson and Pflug; Gaponoff, p. 99, pl. 6, fig. 10.
- 1986 Polyvestibulopollenites verus (Potonié); Thomson and Pflug, Norris, p. 41, pl. 11, fig. 3,4.
- 1987 <u>Alnipollenites verus</u> Potonié; Pocknall, pl. 1, fig. 18.

Age and Distribution: Upper Campanian, Almond Formation, Wyoming (Stone, 1973); Campanian to Paleocene, Fish River area, Yukon Territory (Wilson, 1978); Maastrichtian, Hell Creek Formation, Montana (Norton and Hall, 1969); Cenozoic, Mackenzie Delta region (Norris, 1986); Paleocene, Texas (Elsik, 1968b); Eocene, Elko Formation, Nevada (Wingate,

1983); Paleocene, Silverado Formation, California (Gaponoff, 1984); Eocene, Kitsilano Formation, B.C. (Hopkins, 1969); and the Oligocene of the Western British Isles (Wilkinson and Boulter, 1980).

Remarks: This species has 4-6 pores and curved arci running between them (Wilson, 1986). Specimens with 5 pores were most common in this study.

<u>P. verus</u> is present in one sample from the Sermilik Formation and is rare in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations (1-7 specimens/sample).

Genus <u>Ulmoideipites</u> Anderson, 1960 Type Species: <u>Ulmoideipites</u> <u>krempi</u> Anderson, 1960. <u>Ulmoideipites</u> <u>krempi</u> Anderson, 1960 Figure 97.

Synonymy:

1986 <u>Ulmoideipites</u> <u>krempi</u> Anderson; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, pl. 4, fig. 29.

1988 <u>Ulmipollenites</u> <u>krempi</u> Anderson; Fredericksen <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, pl. 1, fig. 12.

Age and Distribution: This species is reported from mid

Maastrichtian and Paleocene strata of the Colville River region, North Slope, Alaska (Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, 1988) and Paleocene strata from the central Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986).

Remarks: Srivastava (1969a) considers <u>Ulmoideipites</u> to be the junior synonym of <u>Ulmipollenites</u> Wolff. Sweet (1986) retains both names stating that <u>Ulmipollenites</u> has an undulate or rugulate sculpture while <u>Ulmoideipites</u> originally defined by Anderson is verrucate. This species is rare (1-7 specimens/sample) and present in Navy Board and Aktineq strata.

Genus Polyatriopollenites Pflug, 1953

Type Species: <u>Polyatriopollenites</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Pflug, 1953.

Polyatriopollenites stellatus (Potonié) Pflug,

1953.

Figure 98.

Synonymy:

1966 <u>Pterocarya</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Martin and Rouse, p. 196, pl.8, figs. 79, 80.

- 1968 <u>Polyporopollenites</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; Nakoman, p. 539, pl. 5, figs. 10-12.
- 1969 <u>Pterocaryapollenites</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Thiergart; Norton and Hall, p. 42, pl. 5, fig. 25.
- 1969 <u>Pterocarya</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Martin and Rouse; Hopkins, p. 1121, pl. 8, figs. 122-124.
- 1972 <u>Pterocarya</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Martin and Rouse; Rouse and Srivastava, fig. 66.
- 1980 <u>Polyatriopollenites</u> sp. Wilkinson and Boulter, p. 66, pl. 11, figs. 14-16.
- 1983 <u>Polyatriopollenites</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Pflug; Wingate, p. 12, pl. 6, figs 25,26.
- 1986 <u>Polyatriopollenites</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Pflug; Norris, p. 41-42, pl. 11, figs. 5-7.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian to Paleocene, Atkinson Well, Tuktoyaktuk Peninsula, N.W.T. (Wilson, 1978); Eocene, Elko Formation, Nevada (Wingate, 1983); Eocene, Kitsilano Formation, B.C. (Hopkins, 1969); Oligocene, Queen Charlotte Islands, B.C. (Martin and Rouse, 1966); Oligocene, Western British Isles (Wilkinson and Boulter, 1980); upper Miocene, Turkey (Nakoman, 1968).

Remarks: P. stellatus is very rare (1-2 specimens/sample) in

the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations and two specimens occur in the uppermost strata of the Bylot Island Formation.

Genus Beaupreaidites Cookson, 1950

Type Species: <u>Beaupreaidites</u> <u>elegansiformis</u> Cookson, 1950. <u>Beaupreaidites</u> <u>angulatus</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava, 1969. Figure 99.

Synonymy:

1969c <u>Beaupreaidites</u> <u>angulatus</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava, p. 157-1574, pl. 1, figs. 3-5

1972 <u>Beaupreaidites</u> sp. Rouse and Srivastava, fig. 40.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1969c); Maastrichtian, Bonnet Plume Formation, Northeastern Yukon (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972).

Remarks: <u>Beaupreaidites</u> is characterized by Srivastava (1969c) as being grains with "three colpoid apertures, angulaperturate, apertures vestibulate, amb triangular with blunt to rounded angles and \pm rounded sides, shape oblate; sexine fine to coarsely reticulate, retipilate or verrucate, thinner in apertural areas." Srivastava reports <u>B. angulatus</u> has a size range of 50-80 µm while Samoilovitch and

Mtchedlishvili (<u>in</u> Srivastava, 1969c) describe specimens ranging from 49.1 to 61.7 μ m. Specimens recovered from Maud Bight ranged from 48-56 μ m in diameter. <u>Beaupreaidites</u> sp. in Rouse and Srivastava (1972) resembles this species.

This species occurs in one sample from upper Sermilik strata (7 specimens).

<u>Beaupreaidites</u> <u>mollis</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava, 1969 Figure 100.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Proteacidites</u> <u>mollis</u> Samoilovitch; Drugg, p. 57-58, pl. 8, fig. 37.
- 1969c <u>Beaupreaidites</u> <u>mollis</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava, p. 1573.

Age and Distribution: Upper (?) Maastrichtian of Western Siberia (Samoilovitch and Mtchedlishvili <u>in</u> Drugg, 1967); Maastrichtian to Danian, Upper Moreno Formation, Escarpado Canyon, California (Drugg, 1967).

Remarks: <u>Proteacidites</u> <u>thalmanii</u> Anderson is similar but differs primarily by having notched pores and coarser reticulation than <u>B. mollis</u> (Drugg, 1967). Martin (1973) feels that this taxon cannot be correctly assigned to either

<u>Beaupreaidites</u> or <u>Proteacidites</u> due to more complex pore structures, but since it hasn't been officially emended, the most recent version (<u>B. mollis</u>) is retained. The single specimen of <u>B. mollis</u> in this study was 25 μ m in size; Drugg (1967) reports a range of 22-(28)-32 μ m.

The grain was found in the Navy Board Formation.

Beaupreaidites sp. 1

Figure 101.

Description: The single specimen recovered is triaperturate, colpoid and has germinals that taper from 12 μ m to 8 μ m at the apertures. The colpi are short and are restricted to the apertural area. The grain has a convex triangular form and is 50 μ m in diameter. The exine thickens from 1 μ m in the intergerminal areas to 2 μ m at the germinal. The sculpture of the grain is tegillate-baculate though it becomes granulose around the apertures.

This specimen is distinguished from <u>B. angulatus</u> which has a much finer vermiculate ornamentation. <u>B. occulatus</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava has a straight-sided triangular amb whereas <u>B.</u> sp. 1 has a convex triangular amb (Srivastava, 1969c). It most resembles <u>B. libitus</u> Srivastava except that instead of a verrucate sculpture that fines towards the

aperture (Srivastava, 1969c) there is a sharp transition to granulose sculpture in the apertural zones.

Remarks: A single specimen was recovered from upper strata of the Sermilik Formation.

Pollen Tetrad

Genus <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> (Potonié) ex Potonié, 1960 Type Species: <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> <u>roboreus</u> (Potonié) Potonié, 1951 ex Potonié, 1960.

Ericaceoipollenites rallus Stanley, 1965

Figure 102.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> <u>rallus</u> Stanley, p. 296, pl. 44, figs. 15-18.
- 1973 <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> <u>rallus</u> Stanley; Stone, p. 90, pl. 18, fig. 122.
- 1978 <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> <u>rallus</u> Stanley; Wilson, p. 136, pl. 9, figs. 2,3.
- 1989 Ericaceae McIntyre, pl. 1, fig. 8.
Age and Distribution: Upper Campanian Almond Formation, Wyoming (Stone, 1973); Maastrichtian to Paleocene in the Atkinson Well, Tuktoyaktuk Peninsula, N.W.T. (Wilson, 1978); Paleocene, South Dakota (Stanley, 1965); and upper Paleocene, Eureka Sound Group, Somerset Island, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1989).

Remarks: <u>E.</u> <u>rallus</u> is very rare in the Sermilik Formation but becomes common (3-18 specimens/sample) in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Binigeminate Pollen

Genus Azonia Samoilovitch, 1961

Type Species: Azonia recta (Bolkhovitina) Samoilovitch, 1961.

Remarks: <u>Azonia</u> differs from <u>Wodehouseia</u> and <u>Singularia</u> in that it "lacks the interpreted columella and tectum layer equivalents of the ektexine (characteristic of the genera <u>Wodehouseia</u> and <u>Singularia</u>), lacks the carinate flange formed by these layers in <u>Wodehouseia</u>, and lacks the granules and/or spines restricted to these ektexine layers in <u>Wodehouseia</u> and Singularia" (Wiggins, 1976).

Azonia cribrata Wiggins, 1976

Figure 103.

Synonymy:

- 1976 <u>Azonia</u> <u>cribrata</u> Wiggins, pl. 61-62, pl. 1, figs. 21,22.
- 1988 <u>Azonia</u> <u>cribrata</u> Wiggins; Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, pl. 2, fig. 1.

Age and Distribution: Upper Campanian to basal Maastrichtian? strata of the Alaskan North Slope (Wiggins, 1976); mid Maastrichtian, Colville River, region, Alaska (Fredericksen et al., 1988)

Remarks: This species was very rare; specimens were only found in the Bylot Island Formation.

<u>Azonia jacutense</u> (Samoilovitch) Wiggins, 1976 Figure 104.

1969b <u>Wodehouseia</u> jacutense (Samoilovitch) Samoilovitch;

Srivastava, p. 1309, pl. 1, figs. 8,9.

- 1974 <u>Wodehouseia</u> jacutense (Samoilovitch) Samoilovitch; McIntyre, pl. 15, figs. 13,19.
- 1976 <u>Wodehouseia</u> jacutense (Samoilovitch) Samoilovitch; Doerenkamp et al., pl. 3, figs. 15,16.
- 1976 <u>Azonia</u> jacutense (Samoilovitch) Wiggins; p. 62, fig. 70.

Age and Distribution: This species has been recorded from Campanian-Maastrichtian strata, Banks Island, N.W.T. (Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, 1976); Maastrichtian, Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1969b); lower Maastrichtian strata, Horton River N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); and from Maastrichtian to Danian strata of Siberia (Samoilovitch and Mtchedlishvili, 1961). It hasn't been recorded in post Maastrichtian sediments in North America.

Remarks: Srivastava (1969b) notes that <u>Wodehouseia</u> jacutense from the Edmonton Formation has a much narrower flange than Russian specimens. Wiggins (1976) reassigned this species to the genus <u>Azonia</u> because it lacked typical <u>Wodehouseia</u> features. A single specimen was recovered from lower strata of the Sermilik Formation.

Genus Wodehouseia Stanley, 1961

Type Species: Wodehouseia spinata Stanley, 1961.

Wodehouseia spinata Stanley, 1961

Figure 105.

Synonymy:

- 1965 Wodehouseia spinata Stanley; Stanley, p. 324.
- 1966 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Srivastava, p. 548, pl. 11, figs. 8,11,13.
- 1967 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Srivastava, p. 143, pl. 3, fig. Q.
- 1969b <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Srivastava, p. 1309, pl. 1, figs. 3-5.
- 1969 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Norton and Hall, p. 58, pl. 8, fig. 3.
- 1969 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Oltz, p. 159, pl. 42, fig. 179.
- 1970 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Leffingwell <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, pl. 7, fig. 1-7.
- 1970 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Srivastava, pl. 3, fig. 9.
- 1972 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Rouse and Srivastava, fig. 52.
- 1973 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Evitt, p. 35, pl. 1, fig. 24.
- 1974 Wodehouseia spinata Stanley; McIntyre, pl. 15, fig.

18.

- 1976 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Wiggins, p. 62, pl. 3, figs. 1-4.
- 1978 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Srivastava, pl. 7, fig. 13.
- 1978 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Wilson, p. 148, pl. 11, fig. 16.
- 1981 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Chlonova, pl. 2, fig. 11.
- 1983 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Zhou and Wang, pl. 3, fig. 12.
- 1986 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1370-1372, pl. 4, fig. 31.
- 1989 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; Sweet <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, pl. 1, fig. 21.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, Hell Creek Formation, Montana (Norton and Hall, 1969); Maastrichtian, Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1970); Maastrichtian, Bonnet Plume Formation, Yukon Territory (Rouse and Srivastava, 1972); mid Maastrichtian, North Slope, Alaska (Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, 1988); upper Maastrichtian, North Slope, Alaska (Wiggins, 1976); Maastrichtian, Rudong Region, northern Jiangsu, China (Zhou and Wang, 1983); Maastrichtian to Paleocene, Police Island, Mackenzie River, N.W.T. and Maastrichtian to Paleocene of U.S.S.R (Wilson, 1978); Maastrichtian (Kemp Clay) and Danian (recycled? component in Kincaid Formation) strata of Texas (Evitt, 1973).

Remarks: Though rarely occurring in the lower Paleocene strata this species is typically used as a upper Maastrichtian indicator. Seven specimens were recovered from the Sermilik Formation.

<u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya, 1966 Figure 106.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Samoilovitch, p. 12, pl. 2, fig. 6.
- 1969b <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Srivastava, p. 1309, pl. 1, figs. 6,7.
- 1970 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Srivastava, pl. 2, fig. 11.
- 1974 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; McIntyre, pl. 15, figs. 15,16.
- 1976 Wodehouseia gracile (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya;

Doerenkamp et al., pl. 3, figs. 18,19.

- 1976 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Wiggins, p. 65, pl. 3, figs. 3,4.
- 1981 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Takahashi, tables 1,2.
- 1982 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Takahashi and Shimono, p. 89, pl. 11, figs. 4-14.
- 1988 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Fredericksen et al., pl. 2, fig. 2.
- 1989 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; Sweet <u>et al.</u>, p. 95, pl. 1, fig. 20.

Age and Distribution: Sweet et al. (1989) defined the W. gracile/Aquilapollenites parallelus Zone in the Brackett Coal Basin, N.W.T. as being mid? Maastrichtian in age. This species also occurs in uppermost Campanian to mid Maastrichtian strata of Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); Maastrichtian, Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1970); Maastrichtian of the Kanguk Formation, Banks Island, N.W.T. (Doerenkamp et al., 1976); upper Maastrichtian, Alaskan North Slope (Wiggins, 1976); Maastrichtian of Hida, Japan and Yenisey and Western Siberian Lowlands, U.S.S.R. (Takahashi, 1981); Maastrichtian of the Miyadani-gawa Formation, Japan (Takahashi and Shimono, 1982); and mid(?) Maastrichtian strata, Police Island, N.W.T. (Sweet

<u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, 1989). It has also been reported from the Maastrichtian to Danian strata of Siberia (Samoilovitch and Mtchedlishvili <u>in</u> Srivastava, 1969b). Sparkes (1989) reports it from the Sermilik and Bylot Island Formations in the Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: A single specimen was found in the Bylot Island Formation.

Wodehouseia quadrispina Wiggins, 1976

Figure 107.

Synonymy:

- 1976 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>quadrispina</u> Wiggins, p. 63, pl. 2, figs. 7-12.
- 1988 <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>quadrispina</u> Wiggins, Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, pl. 2, fig. 3.

Age and Distribution: Mid Maastrichtian, North Slope, Alaska (Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, 1988); upper Maastrichtian, North Slope, Alaska (Wiggins, 1976).

Remarks: Wiggins (1976) notes that while <u>W. quadrispina</u> has only 4 equatorial spines it otherwise has a close similarity and affinity to the many spined <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u>. A single specimen was found in upper Sermilik strata.

Genus <u>Singularia</u> Samoilovitch, 1961 Type Species: <u>Singularia</u> <u>aculeata</u> Samoilovitch, 1961. <u>Singularia</u> <u>aculeata</u> Samoilovitch, 1961 Figure 108.

Synonymy:

- 1974 Singularia aculeata Samoilovitch; McIntyre,
- 1976 <u>Singularia</u> <u>aculeata</u> Samoilovitch; Wiggins, p. 68, 74.

Age and Distribution: Upper Campanian to Maastrichtian, Horton River area, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); upper Maastrichtian, Alaska (Wiggins, 1976); Maastrichtian-Paleocene?, West Siberian Lowland (Samoilovitch and Mtchedlishvili in Wiggins, 1976).

Remarks: Two specimens were found in the Sermilik Formation.

Triprojectate Pollen

Genus <u>Mancicorpus</u> Mtchedlishvili emend. Srivastava, 1968 Type Species: <u>Mancicorpus</u> <u>anchoriforme</u> Mtchedlishvili, 1961.

Mancicorpus trapeziforme Mtchedlishvili, 1961

Figure 109.

Synonymy:

- 1974 <u>Mancicorpus</u> <u>trapeziforme</u> Mtchedlishvili; McIntyre, p. 49, pl. 20, figs. 5,6.
- 1976 <u>Mancicorpus trapeziforme</u> Mtchedlishvili; Doerenkamp et al., p. 409, pl. 3, fig. 2.
- 1989 <u>Mancicorpus</u> <u>trapeziforme</u> Mtchedlishvili; Sparkes, p. 120-121, pl. 6, fig. 11.

Age and Distribution: Uppermost Campanian to Maastrichtian of Horton River area, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974); Maastrichtian of Banks Island, N.W.T. (Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, 1976). Sparkes (1989) reports one specimen from the Sermilik Formation in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: Two specimens were found in a sample from upper Sermilik strata.

Genus Aquilapollenites (Rouse) Funkhouser, 1961

Type Species: Aquilapollenites quadrilobus Rouse, 1957.

Aquilapollenites augustus Srivastava, 1969

Figure 110.

Synonymy:

- 1969b <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>augustus</u> Srivastava, p. 137, pl. 3, figs. 18-21.
- 1978 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>augustus</u> Srivastava; Srivastava, pl. 7, fig. 3.
- 1986 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>augustus</u> Srivastava; Farabee and Canright, p. 39, pl. 11, figs. 3-8.
- 1986 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>augustus</u> Srivastava; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1370, pl. 2, fig. 2.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, Edmonton Formation, Alberta (Srivastava, 1969b); Maastrichtian, lower Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); Maastrichtian, Upper Hakobuchi Group, Hokkaido, Japan (Srivastava, 1978); Maastrichtian, Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986).

Remarks: A single specimen was recovered from the Aktineq Formation; it is thought to be recycled.

Aquilapollenites sp. cf. immiser

Figure 111.

Synonymy:

1986 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> immiser Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1378, pl. 1, figs. 6-9.

Age and Distribution: <u>A. immiser</u> is reported from uppermost Maastrichtian and lowest Paleocene strata, Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986).

Remarks: This specimen has a similar morphology to <u>A.</u> immiser described by Jerzykiewicz and Sweet (1986) but is larger; the measured distance from radial extremity to the opposite side of the grain is 30 μ m compared to 23 μ m in their study.

A single specimen was found in the Maud Bight Member of the Aktineq Formation.

Aquilapollenites reticulatus

(Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy

and Leopold, 1971

Figure 112.

Synonymy:

- 1961 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley, p. 348-349, pl. 8, figs. 12.
- 1965 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley; Stanley, p. 315, pl. 49, figs. 10-14.
- 1969 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley; Norton and Hall, p. 56, pl. 6, fig. 6.
- 1969 <u>Aquilapollenites reticulatus</u> Stanley; Oltz, p. 155, pl. 42, fig. 160.
- 1971 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold; Evitt, p. 35, pl. 2, figs. 9,19.
- 1973 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold; B.D. Tschudy, pl. 27, pl. 9, fig. 25.
- 1973 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley; Stone, p. 91, pl. 19, fig. 127.
- 1973 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley; Chmura, p. 140, pl. 31, figs. 1-5.
- 1978 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley; Wilson, p. 132, pl. 8, figs. 2,3.
- 1986 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold; Farabee and Canright, p. 42, pl. 15, figs. 1-6.
- 1986 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold; Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p.

1370, pl. 2, fig. 11.

1988 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold; Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, pl. 2, fig. 8.

and Distribution: Upper Campanian, Judith River Aqe Tschudy, 1973); Campanian Formation, Montana (B.D. to Maastrichtian, San Joaquin Valley, California (Chmura, 1973); Maastrichtian, South Dakota (Stanley, 1965); Maastrichtian, Hell Creek Formation, Montana (Norton and Hall, 1969); Maastrichtian, lower Lance Formation, Wyoming (Farabee and Canright, 1986); mid Maastrichtian, Colville River area, Alaska (Fredericksen et al., 1988); Maastrichtian and possibly lower Paleocene, Yukon and District of Mackenzie, N.W.T. (Wilson, 1978); Maastrichtian and lower Paleocene, Ravenscrag and Frenchman Formations, Saskatchewan (Sweet, 1978b); Maastrichtian and Paleocene, Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986); Danian (possibly reworked) of Texas (Evitt, 1971).

Remarks: This taxon is very rare and occurs only in the Bylot Island Formation.

Aquilapollenites trialatus Rouse, 1957

Figure 113.

Synonymy:

- 1969 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; B.D. Tschudy, p. 7, pl. 4, figs. 1-5.
- 1970 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; Srivastava and Rouse, p. 371, pl. 2, figs. 14-15.
- 1973 <u>Aquilapollenites trialatus</u> Rouse; B.D. Tschudy, p. 27, pl. 9, figs. 22-24.
- 1973 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; Jarzen and Norris, pl. 2, fig. 5.
- 1975 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; Wall and Singh, p. 1168, pl. 5, fig. 1.
- 1977 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; Jarzen, fig. 5, No. 2, 7.
- 1978 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse var. <u>trialatus</u> Tschudy and Leopold, 1971; Wilson, p. 133, pl. 8, fig. 5.
- 1978 Aquilapollenites trialatus Rouse; Srivastava, pl.
 6, fig. 2.
- 1982 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; Jarzen, pl. 1, fig. 14.
- 1988 <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse; Traverse, fig. 13.11 b.
- 1989 Aquilapollenites trialatus Rouse; Sweet et al., pl.

1, fig. 10.

Age and Distribution: Sweet et al. (1989) defined the A. trialatus Zone in the Brackett Coal Basin, N.W.T., as being late Campanian. This species is also reported from the upper Cretaceous, Colville River, Alaska (B.D. Tschudy, 1969); upper Cretaceous, Tyung region, Yakutsk Province, U.S.S.R. (Bolkhovitina in Srivastava and Rouse, 1970); upper Campanian, Horseshoe Canyon Formation, southern Alberta (Awai-Thorne in Wall and Singh, 1975); upper Campanian, Oldman Formation, southern Alberta (Rouse in Wall and Singh, 1975); Campanian, Buffalo Head Hills, North-Central Alberta (Wall and Singh, 1975); upper Campanian, Judith River Formation, Montana (B.D. Tschudy, 1973); Campanian, Alberta (Jarzen, 1977 and 1982); Maastrichtian, Atkinson Well, Tuktoyaktuk Peninsula, N.W.T. (Wilson, 1978) and Maastrichtian, Colorado (Traverse, 1988).

Remarks: <u>A.</u> <u>trialatus</u> is very rare (1-2 specimens/sample) and occurs only in the Bylot Island Formation.

FUNGAL REMAINS

Remarks: All abundance values for species in this section, except for <u>Pesavis</u>, are qualitative, not quantitative.

Monocellate Fungal Spores

```
Genus <u>Monoporisporites</u> Van der Hammen 1954 emend. Sheffy
and Dilcher, 1971.
```

Type Species: <u>Monoporisporites</u> <u>minutus</u> Van der Hammen, 1954. <u>Monoporisporites</u> <u>singularis</u> Sheffy and Dilcher, 1971 Figure 114.

Synonymy:

- 1971 <u>Monoporisporites</u> <u>singularis</u> Sheffy and Dilcher, p. 40, pl. 1, fig. 22; pl. 15, fig. 22.
- 1986 <u>Monoporisporites</u> <u>singularis</u> Sheffy and Dilcher; Norris, p. 19, pl. 1, figs. 6-8.

Age and Distribution: The genus occurs in Maastrichtian to Recent strata (Elsik, 1981). <u>M. singularis</u> is reported from the Eocene of Tennessee (Sheffy and Dilcher, 1971); Oligocene, Mackenzie Delta area (Norris, 1986). Remarks: Specimens of <u>M. singularis</u> are spherical, psilate, have a single pore and average 15 μ m in diameter. <u>M.</u> <u>singularis</u> is very rare in Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations and uncommon in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Dicellate Fungal Spores

Genus <u>Dicellaesporites</u> Elsik, 1968 emend. Type Species: <u>Dicellaesporites popovii</u> Elsik, 1968. <u>Dicellaesporites popovii</u> Elsik, 1968 Figure 115.

Synonymy:

- 1968a Dicellaesporites popovii Elsik, p. 269, pl. 2, fig. 9.
- 1976 <u>Dicellaesporites</u> <u>popovii</u> Elsik; Jansonius and Hills, card 773.
- 1981 Dicellaesporites popovii Elsik; Elsik, p. 65.
- 1986 <u>Dicellaesporites popovii</u> Elsik; Norris, p. 21, pl. 2, figs. 1,2.

Age and Distribution: This species occurs in the Paleocene of the Rockdale Lignite, Texas (Elsik, 1968a); Elsik (1981) later extends its range from Paleocene to mid Eocene. Norris

(1986) reports it from the Eocene to Oligocene (Richards and Kugmallit Formations) of the Mackenzie Delta area, N.W.T.

Remarks: Elsik (1981) describes <u>D. popovii</u> as being psilate, monoporate, aseptate or with one septum, typically oval in shape, one chamber may be a bit larger than the other and the pore is located off the apex.

This species occurs rarely in the upper strata of the Sermilik Formation and more often in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Multicellate Fungal Spores

Genus <u>Reduviasporonites</u> Wilson, 1962 emend. Elsik, 1981 Type Species: <u>Reduviasporonites</u> <u>catenulatus</u> Wilson, 1962 emend.

Elsik, 1981.

Reduviasporonites sp. 1

Figure 116.

Synonymy:

1976 <u>Reduviasporonites</u> sp., Jansonius and Hills, card 2343.

1981 Reduviasporonites sp., Elsik, pp. 153.

1986 <u>Reduviasporites</u> sp. cf. <u>R.</u> <u>catenulatus</u> Wilson, p. 23, pl. 2, figs. 40,41,43.

Age and Distribution: Elsik (1981) reports that <u>Reduviasporonites</u> occurs in upper Permian and upper Paleocene to Recent strata.

Remarks: Specimens consisted of a uniseriate chains of oval cells $8-18 \mu m$ by $5-10 \mu m$ which are attached by their long axes, they may or may not be branching. Cells are thin walled, psilate and often irregularly folded or torn.

Specimens were very rare in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Brachysporisporites</u> Lange and Smith, 1971 Type Species: <u>Brachysporisporites</u> pyriformis Lange and Smith, 1971.

> <u>Brachysporisporites</u> <u>cotalis</u> (Elsik and Jansonius) Norris.

> > Figure 117.

Synonymy:

1974 Granatisporites cotalis Elsik and Jansonius, p.

954, fig. 13.

- 1986 <u>Brachysporisporites</u> <u>cotalis</u> (Elsik and Jansonius) Norris, p. 24, pl. 2, figs. 45-47.
- 1989 <u>Brachysporites</u> sp. Dietrich <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, pl. 3, figs. 19, 21.

Age and Distribution: Paleogene, Mackenzie Delta region (Elsik and Jansonius, 1974): Eocene, Mackenzie Delta (Norris, 1986).

Remarks: Elsik and Jansonius (1974) describe this species as consisting of 3-4 cells, having a pistilliform shape, widest in middle and concave in its upper portions, and a terminal porate cell. The holotype is 24x14 µm.

This species is rare in the Aktineq and Navy Board and very rare in the Sermilik Formation.

Genus <u>Diporicellasporites</u> Elsik, 1968 Type Species: <u>Diporicellasporites</u> <u>stacyi</u> Elsik, 1968. <u>Diporicellasporites</u> sp. cf. <u>stacyi</u> Figure 118.

Synonymy:

1968a <u>Diporicellasporites</u> <u>stacyi</u> Elsik, p. 279, pl. 3, fig. 10-11. Age and Distribution: Paleocene, Rockdale Lignite, Texas (Elsik, 1968a).

Remarks: <u>D.</u> <u>stacyi</u> is described by Elsik (1968a) as being a "tetracellate, psilate, diporate fungal spore 11 to 13 μ m wide and 23 to 31 μ m long" with the septae being twice as thick as the 0.5 μ m wall and with the inner surface being granular to punctate. The specimen in this study resembles <u>D.</u> stacyi but is larger; 41x15 μ m.

A single specimen was found in the Maud Bight Member, Aktineq Formation.

Diporicellasporites reticulatus Elsik and Dilcher, 1974

Figure 119.

Synonymy:

1974 <u>Diporicellasporites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Elsik and Dilcher, p. 72, pl. 27, figs. 44-45.

Age and Distribution: mid Eocene, Lawrence clay pit, western Tennessee (Elsik and Dilcher, 1974).

Remarks: This fungal spore is elongate, diporate with the pores at the ends, has 5-10 septa which may be split, is asymmetric about the long axis, and ranges from 55-60 μ m in

length and 8-18 µm in width (Elsik and Dilcher, 1974).

It is infrequent in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations and very rare in all other strata.

Diporicellasporites sp. 1.

Figure 120.

Description: Diporate, multicellular fungal spore with 8-10 septa. The septa often are split and there is a slight constriction of the amb at the septa. The walls are $\approx 0.5 \mu m$ thick and psilate. The spore is straight to slightly curved about the long axis. The dimensions of <u>D.</u> sp. 1 are 35-48x7-8 μm .

Remarks: It is rare and occurs in the Sermilik, Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus Striasporonites nom. nud. Elsik, 1981

Type Species: <u>Striasporonites</u> <u>neogenicus</u> nom. nud. Elsik, 1981.

Striasporonites sp. 1

Figure 121.

Synonymy:

1981 Striasporonites Elsik, p. 88.

Age and Distribution: <u>Striasporonites</u> ranges from the Maastrichtian? and late Paleocene to Recent.

Remarks: Elsik (1981) describes Striasporonites as а "diporate, monoseptate fungal spore of variable shape, subspherical to elliptical; with symmetrical or asymmetrical amb, indented or not at the median or near median septum." The pores are located on the apices and may or may not be annulate and protruding. They have a pore chamber; typically of the raised collar type or with subsidiary basal septa. The exinal sculpture varies from longitudinal heavy ribs or costae to very fine striae. Occasionally the specimens have ornamental elements at low to high angles to the long axis of the spore.

The single specimen recovered is 40x18 µm, longitudinally striate, constricted at the central septum, the pores are apical and annulate and otherwise fits Elsik's diagnosis of Striasporonites.

The specimen was found in the Sermilik Formation.

Genus <u>Polyadosporites</u> Van der Hammen 1954 emend. Elsik 1981 Type Species: <u>Polyadosporites</u> <u>suescae</u> Van der Hammen 1954.

Polyadosporites suescae Van der Hammen 1954

Figure 122.

Synonymy:

19761976 <u>Polyadosporites</u> <u>suescae</u> Van der Hammen; Jansonius and Hills, card 2074.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, Columbia (Van der Hammen <u>in</u> Jansonius and Hills, 1976). The genus has a Maastrichtian to Recent range (Elsik, 1981).

Remarks: <u>P.</u> <u>suescae</u> is described by Van der Hammen (<u>in</u> Jansonius and Hills, 1976) as fungal spores composed of multiple psilate grains or cells that are "united along several axes or in a more or less regular manner," and are $40-55 \mu$ m in diameter.

<u>P. suescae</u> is very rare and occurs only in the Sermilik, Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Staphlosporonites</u> Sheffy and Dilcher, 1971 Type Species: <u>Staphlosporonites</u> <u>conoideus</u> Sheffy and Dilcher, 1971.

Staphlosporonites delumbus Norris, 1986

Figure 123.

Synonymy:

1986 <u>Staphlosporonites</u> <u>delumbus</u> Norris, p. 26, pl. 3, figs. 17-20.

Age and Distribution: Eocene to Oligocene, Kugmallit and Richards Formations, Mackenzie Delta region, N.W.T. (Norris, 1986).

Remarks: <u>S.</u> <u>delumbus</u> consists of a multicellular cone which increases from uni- to tri-serial polygonal cells. Specimens resemble those described by Norris (1986).

<u>S.</u> <u>delumbus</u> is very rare the Sermilik, Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Pesavis</u> Elsik and Jansonius 1974 Type Species: <u>Pesavis tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius, 1974. <u>Pesavis tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius, 1974

Figure 125.

Synonymy:

1974 Pesavis tagluensis Elsik and Jansonius, p. 956, pl.
1, figs. 5-9, 11 only.

- 1976 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Jansonius, p. 131, pl. 1, fig. 1.
- 1976 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Elsik, fig. 2v.
- 1977 Pesavis tagluensis Elsik and Jansonius; Rouse, pl.
 2, fig. 49.
- 1986 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Norris, p. 27, pl. 3, figs. 24,25.
- 1988 <u>Pesavis</u> sp. cf. <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, pl. 1, fig. 1.
- 1988 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Kalgutkar and Sweet, p. 123, pl. 6.2, figs. 6-12.
- 1989 <u>Pesavis tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Dietrich <u>et</u> al., pl. 3, fig. 22.
- 1989 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius; Ediger and Alisan, pl. 6, figs. 1-3.

Age and Distribution: Paleocene to Eocene of Washington, British Columbia, Alaska and the Mackenzie Delta, N.W.T. (Elsik and Jansonius, 1974); Paleocene, Colville River region, Alaska (Fredericksen <u>et al.</u>, 1988); mid Paleocene to upper Eocene strata in the Arctic (Rouse, 1977); Paleocene to Eocene, Mackenzie Delta area, N.W.T. (Norris, 1986) and upper Eocene to upper Oligocene, Northern Thrace Basin, Turkey (Ediger and Alisan, 1989).

Remarks: The original diagnosis of P. tagluensis by Elsik and Jansonius (1974) was that of a "multicellular fungal fruiting body consisting of a stalked central cell and two lateral arms consisting of some (5-8) cells each. Lateral arms ... curved, closely appressed. Two planes of symmetry present. Cells of the primary structure ... have secondary septate tagluensis hyphae-like filaments." Noting that Ρ. is morphologically variable, Kalgutkar and Sweet (1988) refined the original definition to include two end members; P. tagluensis and P. parva Kalgutkar and Sweet. P. tagluensis typically has a diameter of 30 µm or greater and 5-8 lateral arm cells while P. parva is 21-31 µm in size and only has 2-5 lateral arm cells (Kalgutkar and Sweet, 1986). Specimens of P. tagluensis in this study ranged from 31 to 40 µm.

<u>P. pesavis</u> is very rare and present only in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Pesavis parva Kalgutkar and Sweet, 1988

Figure 124.

Synonymy:

- 1974 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius (pars), p. 955, pl. 1, fig. 10 only.
- 1976 "Pesavis parva" Jansonius, p. 13, pl. 1, fig. 2.

1978a Pesavis sp. Sweet, p. 6, pl. 6.2, fig. 15.

- 1986 <u>Pesavis</u> <u>sp.</u> in Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, p. 1365, pl. 1, fig. 7.
- 1988 <u>Pesavis</u> parva Kalgutkar and Sweet, p. 123, pl. 6.1, figs. 6-12.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, Alberta Foothills (Jerzykiewicz and Sweet, 1986); Maastrichtian to lower Paleocene in western and northern Canada (Kalgutkar and Sweet, 1988) and Paleocene of S.E. Baffin Island (Burden and Langille, 1990). Sparkes (1989) reports two specimens of <u>P.</u> <u>parva</u> from the uppermost strata of the Bylot Island Formation, Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: <u>P. parva</u> ranged from 19 to 29 μ m in this study. This species is rare (1-4 specimens/sample) but occurs in the Navy Board, Aktineq and several samples from the Sermilik Formation.

Mycelial Hyphae

Fungal Hyphae Type C of Norris, 1986

Figure 127.

Synonymy:

1981 Genus Septohyphaeites Elsik, p. 164.

1986 Fungal Hyphae Type C, Norris, p. 27-28, pl. 4, figs. 9,10.

Age and Distribution: Elsik (1981) gives a range of Maastrichtian to Recent for the genus <u>Septohyphaeites</u>. Norris's (1986) Type C hyphae occur in Eocene and Oligocene strata, Mackenzie Delta area.

Remarks: These hyphae are the same as Type C hyphae described by Norris (1986); "hyphae 3-6 μ m wide, more or less constant width... cells 15-100 μ m long. Hyphal wall levigate, approximately 0.25 μ m thick. Septa 0.5-1.0 μ m wide with a minute pore that is scarcely visible. Lateral branches inserted at right angles to main axis of cell."

Type 1 hyphae were the most common form of fungal remains in the study area, often composing the majority of the fungal material.

Fungal Hyphae Type E of Norris, 1986 Figure 126.

Synonymy:

1986 Fungal Hyphae Type E, Norris, p. 28, pl 4, figs. 15,16.

Age and Distribution: Late Oligocene, Kugmallit Formation, Mackenzie Delta area (Norris, 1986).

Remarks: Specimens recovered are the same as Fungal Hyphae Type E (Norris, 1986); "Hyphae 4-6 µm wide, parallel sides straight or sinuous, with slight constriction at locus of septal insertion. Cells 12-30 µm long; hyphal wall levigate (and) very thin. Septa of same width as hyphal wall, usually strongly dentate; minute septal pore... balloon shaped (branching) cells... constricted at point of insertion."

It is very rare in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Fungal Fruiting Bodies

Genus <u>Plochmopeltinites</u> Cookson 1947 emend. Selkirk, 1975 Type Species: <u>Plochmopeltinites</u> <u>masonii</u> Cookson, 1947.

<u>Plochmopeltinites</u> <u>masonii</u> Cookson, 1947

Figure 128.

Synonymy:

1986 <u>Plochmopeltinites</u> <u>masonii</u> Cookson; Norris, p. 8, pl. 5, figs. 1-3.

Age and Distribution: Eocene to mid Oligocene, Mackenzie Delta area, N.W.T. (Norris, 1986).

Remarks: Genus <u>Plochmopeltinites</u> is distinct from other Microthyriales in having a flattened pycnidia, nearly circular form consisting of radiating intertwining strands of elongate cells, a thickened collar of cells surrounding the ostiole and ragged edges due to thinning of pycnidia (Elsik, 1981).

<u>P. masonii</u> is very rare and occurs only in the Maud Bight Member of the Aktineq Formation.

Genus Phragomthyrites Edwards, 1922

Type Species: Phragmothyrites eocaenicus Edwards, 1922.

Phragmothyrites sp.

Figure 129.

Synonymy:

1976 Phragmothyrites Jansonius and Hills, card 1987.

1981 Phragmothyrites sp. Elsik, p. 180.

Age and Distribution: The genus ranges from the Albian to Recent (Elsik, 1981).

Remarks: The type species was first reported as occurring on coniferous (<u>Podocarpus</u>?) leaves in lower Eocene strata, Mull, Scotland (Edwards <u>in</u> Jansonius and Hills, 1976). It is radiate, has a variable margin (usually smooth), nonostiolate and the centre cells are not modified in any way (Elsik, 1981). It differs from <u>Callimothallus</u> Dilcher in having aporate cells.

Specimens are rare and occur in the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations.

Genus <u>Callimothallus</u> Dilcher, 1965 Type Species: <u>Callimothallus</u> pertusus Dilcher, 1965. <u>Callimothallus</u> pertusus Dilcher, 1965 Figure 130.

Synonymy:

1965 <u>Callimothallus pertusus</u> Dilcher, p. 13-16, pl. 5, figs. 37-42; pl. 6, figs. 4-46;pl. 7, figs. 47-55. 1976 Callimothallus pertusus Dilcher; Jansonius, pl. 1, fig. 22.

- 1976 <u>Callimothallus</u> pertusus Dilcher; Jansonius and Hills, card 356.
- 1986 <u>Callimothallus pertusus</u> Dilcher; Norris, p. 30, pl. 6, figs. 4,6-9.

Age and Distribution: Paleocene Lasqueti Island strata and Chuckanut Formation, Southwestern British Columbia (Rouse <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, 1990); Paleogene, Mackenzie Delta region, N.W.T. (Jansonius, 1976); lower Eocene, Wilcox Formation, Lawrence clay pit, western Tennessee (Dilcher, 1965); mid Eocene (<u>Pesavis</u> zone) Richards Formation, Mackenzie Delta, N.W.T. (Norris, 1986).

Remarks: This species of epiphyllous fungi was first noted by Dilcher (1965) on cuticular preparations of leaves. <u>Callimothallus pertusus</u> as diagnosed by Dilcher (1965); "no free hyphae; stroma round, radiate, astomate, no central dehiscence, individual cells may possess single pore." Specimens in his study had a range of 30-250 µm.

<u>C. pertusus</u> is present in most samples from the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations, and possible corroded specimens were recovered from the Sermilik Formation.

Genus <u>Microthallites</u> Dilcher, 1965 Type Species: <u>Microthallites</u> <u>lutosus</u> Dilcher, 1965. <u>Microthallites</u> <u>lutosus</u> Dilcher, 1965 Figure 131.

Synonymy:

- 1965 <u>Microthallites</u> <u>lutosus</u> Dilcher, p. 16, pl. 10, figs. 83-85.
- 1976 <u>Microthallites</u> <u>lutosus</u> Dilcher, Jansonius and Hills, card 1665.
- 1981 Microthallites sp., Elsik, p. 177.
- 1989 <u>Microthallites</u> sp., Ediger and Alisan, p. 157, pl. 7, figs. 4-6.

Age and Distribution: Lower Eocene, Wilcox Formation, western Tennessee (Dilcher, 1965); upper Eocene to upper Oligocene Northern Thrace Basin, Turkey (Ediger and Alisan, 1989). Elsik (1981) reports an early Eocene to early Pliocene range for the genus.

Remarks: Specimens of <u>M. lutosus</u> have pycnidia which radiate dichotomizing rows of rectangular cells, circular ambs, irregular margins, more or less fimbriate and range from 25-40 µm in diameter (Dilcher, 1965; Elsik, 1981). Specimens reported from Turkey (Ediger and Alisan, 1989) ranged from

 $30-70 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$. Dilcher further notes that <u>Microthallites</u> is distinguished from <u>Microthyriacites</u> by having a central thick walled cell. Except in one uncertain case hyphae were not found in association with specimens of <u>M. lutosus</u> (Dilcher, 1965). A cluster of five specimens (Plate 7, figure 123) from this study, however, are all attached by strands of fungal hyphae which pass through the centre of each specimen. Specimens were 25-(30)-35 µm in diameter.

<u>M. lutosus</u> was found in several samples from the Aktineq Formation.

MARINE MICROPLANKTON

Remarks: This is not a marine algal study but two biostratigraphically important species are discussed.

Proximate Dinoflagellates

Genus <u>Ceratiopsis</u> Vozzhenikova emend. Bujak, Downie, Eaton and Williams, 1980 Type Species: <u>Ceratiopsis</u> <u>leptoderma</u> Vozzhennikova, 1963 <u>Ceratiopsis</u> <u>diebelii</u> (Alberti) Vozzhennikova, 1967.
Figure 132.

Synonymy:

- 1967 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> sp. cf. <u>D.</u> <u>diebelii</u>; Drugg, p. 16, pl. 2, fig. 6.
- 1974 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; McIntyre, p. 17, pl. 4, figs. 4,5.
- 1975 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; McIntyre, p. 67, pl. 4, figs. 1,2.
- 1976 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, pl. 4, fig. 7.
- 1977 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; Williams and Bujak, p. 46, pl. 5, fig. 1.
- 1978 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; Wilson, p. 151, pl. 11, fig. 15.
- 1980 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; May, p. 75, pl. 8, fig. 16.
- 1980 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; Croxton, p. 25, pl. 4, fig. 6; p. 27, pl. 5, fig. 6.
- 1985 <u>Ceratiopsis</u> <u>diebelii</u> (Alberti) Vozzhennikova; Williams and Bujak, p. 870, fig. 23, No. 17.
- 1986 <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>diebelii</u> Alberti; Ioannides, p. 19, pl. 11, figs. 6,7,10 and 11.
- 1988 <u>Ceratiopsis</u> <u>diebelii</u> (Alberti) Vozzhennikova; Shaozhi and Norris, p. 78, pl. 9, fig. 6.

Age and Distribution: Maastrichtian, Horton River (McIntyre, 1974;1975); Maastrichtian, Banks Island region (Doerenkamp <u>et</u> <u>al</u>., 1976) and Maastrichtian, offshore eastern Canada (Bujak and Williams, 1978). Sparkes (1989) and Waterfield (1989) report it from the upper Campanian to upper Maastrichtian Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations in Eclipse Trough.

Remarks: Specimens were recovered from subzone R beds of the Bylot Island Formation at Cape Hay and the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations at Maud Bight.

Genus <u>Paleoperidinium</u> Delfandre emend. Sarjeant, 1967 Type Species: <u>Paleoperidinium</u> <u>pyrophorum</u> (Ehrenburg) Sarjeant, 1967.

> <u>Paleoperidinium kozlowskii</u> (Gorka) Davey, 1970 Figure 133.

Synonymy:

- 1974 <u>Lejeunia kozlowskii</u> Gorka; McIntyre, p. 17, pl. 4, figs. 2,3.
- 1975 <u>Lejeunia kozlowskii</u> Gorka; McIntyre, p. 68, pl. 4, figs. 3,4.
- 1976 <u>Lejeunia</u> <u>kozlowskii</u> Gorka; Doerenkamp <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, p.

411, pl. 4, fig. 8.

1989 <u>Paleoperidinium kozlowskii</u> (Gorka) Davey, p. 127-128, pl. 6, fig. 18.

Age and Distribution: <u>P. koslowskii</u> occurs in upper Campanian to lower Maastrichtian Horton River area strata (McIntyre 1974;1975); upper Campanian to lower Maastrichtian strata, Banks Island and vicinity (Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, 1976) and upper Campanian to mid Maastrichtian Sermilik Formation, Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989).

Remarks: It is rare and only occurred in the Bylot Island Formation.

CHAPTER 4

BIOSTRATIGRAPHY

4.1 INTRODUCTION

Palynological analyses allow biostratigraphic division of Cretaceous and Tertiary strata from North Bylot Trough into four informally established palynological assemblage zones and one subzone in accordance with guidelines set in the North American Stratigraphic Code (NACSN, 1983) and the International Stratigraphic Guide (Hedburg, 1976).

These zones are the <u>Azonia</u> <u>cribrata</u> - <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> (AA) zone, the <u>Porosipollenites</u> <u>porosus</u> -<u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> (PW) zone and the <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> - <u>Pesavis</u> <u>parva</u> (PP) zone. Within the AA zone, subzone R is defined where assemblages contain 85% or more recycled palynomorphs.

Each zone is named after a selected pair of index species. Index species do not have to be present in every sample nor do they have to be restricted to the particular zone they are defining (Hedburg, 1976); this allows for a certain degree of interpretation when marking boundaries. The boundaries are marked as occurring between the uppermost sample of the lower zone and the lowermost sample of the upper zone. Significant taxa in the zones are listed in

Figure 4.1 with their known ranges based on available literature. Longer ranging taxa are recorded on the range chart only (Appendix C).

Species relevant to each assemblage zone are listed under the following subheadings: species (biostratigraphic or long ranging taxa) restricted to the biozone, species common in the zone but overlapping with other zones (biostratigraphic or long ranging), first occurrences and biostratigraphically important taxa which are present in multiple zones.

4.2.1 AZONIA CRIBRATA - AQUILAPOLLENITES TRIALATUS (AA) ZONE

Species restricted to this include zone Pseudoplicapollis Tschudy, Trudopollis serenus conrector Wiggins, Pflug, Azonia cribrata Wodehouseia gracile Samoilovitch) Pokrovskava, Aquilapollenites reticulatus (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold and Aquilapollenites trialatus Rouse.

Species first appearing in this zone but not restricted to it include <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> (Weyland and Krieger) Krasnova, <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch, Echinatisporis varispinosus (Pocock) Srivastava, Hazaria

<u>sheoparii</u> Srivastava, <u>Liliacidites</u> <u>leei</u> Anderson, <u>Porosipollis</u> <u>porosus</u> Krutzsch, <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>rurensis</u> Pflug and Thomson, <u>Monoporisporites</u> <u>singularis</u> Sheffy and Dilcher, <u>Ceratiopsis</u> <u>diebelli</u> (Alberti) Vozzhennikova and Paleoperidinium kozlowski (Gorka) Davey.

Distribution

The stratotype for the AA Zone is from sections 14 and 15 (composite section B in Appendix A) including samples PB-101 to PB-104 of the Bylot Island Formation. The 55 metres of strata included in sections 14 and 15 (composite section B) are herein designated as the parastratoype for the biozone.

Age

The AA Zone is late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian in age. The sparse terrestrial assemblage contains a number of biostratigraphically significant species. These include <u>Radialisporis radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch (late Campanian to Paleocene), <u>Echinatisporis varispinosus</u> (Pocock) Srivastava (Aptian to Maastrichtian), <u>Hazaria sheoparii</u> Srivastava (late Campanian to Paleocene), <u>Liliacidites leei</u> Anderson (upper Campanian-Maastrichtian), <u>Porosipollis porosus</u> Krutzsch (late Campanian to late Maastrichtian), Triatriopollenites rurensis

Pflug and Thomson (Maastrichtian to Eocene), Pseudoplicapollis serenus Tschudy (Santonian to Campanian), cribrata Wiggins (upper Campanian to mid Azonia Maastrichtian), Wodehouseia gracile Samoilovitch) Pokrovskaya Campanian to Maastrichtian), (late Aquilapollenites (Mtchedlishvili) Tschudy and Leopold (upper reticulatus Campanian to lowest Paleocene), Aquilapollenites trialatus Rouse (upper Campanian to Maastrichtian) and Monoporisporites singularis Sheffy and Dilcher (Maastrichtian to Recent).

Of these species <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> Rouse is at its peak in the upper Campanian; Sweet <u>et al.</u> (1989) defined an (upper Campanian) <u>A. trialatus</u> Zone in the Brackett Coal Basin, N.W.T.. <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>trialatus</u> does extend into the early Maastrichtian in that basin (Sweet <u>et al.</u>, 1989). This species is also dominantly reported from Campanian strata in Western Canada and the U.S.A. (B.D. Tschudy, 1973; Jarzen 1977 and 1982). <u>Pseudoplicapollis</u> <u>serenus</u> Tschudy ranges no higher than the Campanian suggesting that lower strata in this zone are at least upper Campanian in age.

There is a notable absence of late Maastrichtian and younger triporate pollen such as <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Simpson) Srivastava and <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>betuloides</u> Pflug, and <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse)

Srivastava. Azonia cribrata Wiggins ranges no higher than mid Maastrichtian on the North Slope of Alaska (Fredericksen et al., 1988). Wodehouseia gracile (Samoilovitch) Pokrovskaya, found in the upper strata of the biozone suggests an upper age of mid Maastrichtian. It is known to range from very late Campanian to late Maastrichtian (McIntyre, 1974; Wiggins, al. (1989) defined 1976). Sweet а Wodehouseia et gracile/Aquilapollenites parallelus Zone in the Brackett Coal Basin that is mid? Maastrichtian in age. The gradational contact with strata above the AA Zone (and the corresponding mid to late Maastrichtian age of the PW Zone) also restrict this zone to a mid Maastrichtian age.

The ranges of taxa in this zone suggest a late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian age. The AA Zone is in part equivalent McIntyre's (1974) microfloral zone H3 of Section CR 16B N-68 of Horton River (late Campanian to Maastrichtian). The late Campanian Brackett Coal Basin <u>Aquilapollenites trialatus</u> Zone of Sweet <u>et al.</u> (1989) is also characterized by occurrence <u>Azonia parva Wiggins, A. recta</u> (Bolkhovitina) Samoilovitch, <u>Aquilapollenites insignis</u> Mtchedlishvili and <u>A. turbidus</u> Tschudy. It is also equivalent to the Banks Island (late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian) <u>Expressipollis</u>,

<u>Orbiculapollis</u>, <u>Deflandrea</u> <u>biapertura</u> (CVI) zone of Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u> (1976).

4.2.2 Subzone R

Important species restricted to this subzone include, Cicatricosisporites pseudotripartitus (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann, Appendicisporites bifurcatus Singh, Appendicisporites sp. cf. Anemia macrorhiza (Maljavkina) Bolkhovitina, Appendicisporites problematicus (Burger) Singh, Stoverisporites lunaris (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger and Tappanispora reticulata (Singh) Srivastava. Those species characteristic of the zone but not restricted to it include Lycopodiacidites canaliculatus Singh, Klukisporites pseudoreticulatus Cingutriletes Couper, 1, sp. Aequitriradites spinulosus (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann and Cerebropollenites mesozoicus (Couper) Nilsson.

Biostratigraphically important species include <u>Ceriatopsis</u> <u>diebelli</u> (Alberti) Vozzhennikova and Paleoperidinium kozlowski (Gorka) Davey.

Distribution

The R subzone includes all Bylot Island Formation samples from Cape Hay and also sample PB-65 from Maud Bight. The presence late Cretaceous marine palynomorphs determine the recycled nature of the assemblages .

Age

Pollen in subzone R is recycled from mid or late Albian strata. The sparse terrestrial palynomorph assemblage does contain a number of biostratigraphically significant species. These include Cicatricosisporites pseudotripartitus (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann (upper Valanginian? to Cenomanian), Appendicisporites problematicus (Burger) Singh (Berriasian to Cenomanian), late Albian early Appendicisporites or bifurcatus Singh (Barremian to Cenomanian), Appendicisporites sp. cf. Anemia macrorhiza (Maljavkina) Bolkhovitina (mid to late Albian), Stoverisporites lunaris (Cookson and Dettmann) Albian), Burger (late Barremian to late Tappanispora Srivastava (late Barremian reticulata (Singh) to late Albian), Lycopodiacidites canaliculatus Singh (mid to late Albian), Klukisporites pseudoreticulatus Couper (late Jurassic to early Cenomanian) and Cingutriletes sp. 1 (Neocomanian? to late Albian or Cenomanian).

A number of species listed do not range beyond the late lunaris Albian including Stoverisporites (Cookson and reticulata Dettmann) Burger and Tappanispora (Singh) Srivastava. The occurrence of Lycopodiacidites canaliculatus Singh restricts the age of the source strata to mid or late Albian. Cingutriletes sp. 1 notably has also been reported in strata from Southern Baffin Island and ranges up into the late Albian or Cenomanian (Burden and Langille, in press).

The source assemblage of subzone R is probably equivalent to the Aptian to Cenomanian? Gemmatriletes clavatus - Cicatricosisporites potomacensis Zone (GC Zone) of the Quqaluit Formation, S.E. Baffin Island (Burden and Langille, in press), the mid to late Albian microfloral assemblage from Peace River Formation, Alberta (Singh, 1971), mid Albian assemblage in the Crossley Lakes Member (Langton Bay Formation), along the Horton River, N.W.T. (Brideaux and McIntyre, 1975), and the mid to late Albian assemblage from the Denton Shale Member of the Bokchito Formation in Southern Oklahoma (Wingate, 1980). It also has much in common with the mid to late Albian Christopher Formation assemblage of Ellef and Amund Ringnes Islands, N.W.T. (Hopkins, 1974).

The R subzone belongs within the AA Zone because of the presence of the dinoflagellate species <u>Ceriatopsis</u> <u>diebelli</u>

(Alberti) Vozzhennikova and <u>Lejeunia</u> <u>kozlowski</u> (Gorka) Davey; whose mutual occurrence are excellent indicators for Maastrichtian strata (McIntyre 1974 and 1975; Doerenkamp <u>et</u> <u>al.</u>, 1976 and Bujak and Williams, 1978). Biozone AA contains these species plus other taxa indicative of a late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian age such as <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch and Porosipollis porosus Krutzsch.

4.3 POROSIPOLLIS POROSUS - WODEHOUSEIA SPINATA (PW) ZONE

restricted to this Species zone include Cicatricososporites eocenicus (Selling) Jansonius and Hills, Liliipollis sp. 1, Monosulcites sp. 1, Cranwellia striata (Couper) Srivastava, Cranwellia rumseyensis Srivastava, Triatriopollenites costatus Norton, Trudopollis ex. gr. arector, Normapolles Beaupreaidites angulatus sp. 1, (Samoilovitch) Srivastava, в. mollis (Samoilovitch) 1, Azonia jacutense (Samoilovitch) Srivastava, B. sp. Samoilovitch, Wodehouseia spinata Stanley, Wodehouseia quadrispina Wiggins, Singularia aculeata Samoilovitch, Mancicorpus trapeziforme Mtchedlishvili and Striasporonites sp.1

Species first appearing but not restricted to this zone include Sequioapollenites paleocenicus Stanley, Fraxinoipollenites variabilis Stanley, Carpinipites ancipites (Wodehouse) Srivastava, Paraalnipollenites alterniporus (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace, Trivestibulopollenites betuloides Pflug, Triporopollenites mullensis (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava, Extratriporopollenites sp.2 of McIntyre, Ericaceoipollenites rallus Stanley, Dicellaesporites popovii Elsik, Brachysporites cotalis (Elsik and Jansonius) Norris and Pesavis parva Kalgutkar and Sweet.

Species characteristic of this zone but not restricted to it include <u>Porosipollis</u> <u>porosus</u> Krutzsch and <u>Hazaria</u> sheoparii Srivastava.

Distribution

The stratotype for the PW Zone is section 15 and 16 (composite section B of Appendix A) of the Sermilik Formation including samples PB-107, PB-113 and PB-117. The 200 metres of strata included in these sections (composite section B) are designated as the parastratotype for the PW Zone.

Age

The PW zone is mid to late Maastrichtian in age. Biostratigraphically significant species include Hazaria sheoparii Srivastava (upper Campanian to Paleocene), Liliipollis sp. 1 (upper Campanian to Maastrichtian), Porosipollis porosus Krutzsch (late Campanian to late Maastrichtian), Cranwellia striata (Couper) Srivastava (Maastrichtian), Cranwellia rumseyensis Srivastava (upper Campanian to Maastrichtian), Triatriopollenites costatus Norton (Campanian to Paleocene), Sequioapollenites paleocenicus Stanley (mid Campanian to Paleocene), Fraxinoipollenites variabilis Stanley (late Campanian to Paleocene), Carpinipites ancipites (Wodehouse) Srivastava (late Campanian to Eocene), Paraalnipollenites alterniporus (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace (late Maastrichtian to Trivestibulopollenites betuloides Eocene), Pflug (Maastrichtian to Miocene), Triporopollenites mullensis (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava (mid Maastrichtian to late Trudopollis conrector Pflug (Santonian Paleocene), to Maastrichtian), Extratriporopollenites sp.2 of McIntyre (late Campanian to late Maastrichtian), Polyvestibulopollenites trinus (Stanley) Norris (Maastrichtian to Miocene), Beaupreaidites angulatus (Samoilovitch) Srivastava (Maastrichtian), B. mollis (Samoilovitch) Srivastava (upper

Maastrichtian to Danian), Ericaceoipollenites rallus Stanley Campanian (upper to Paleocene), Azonia jacutense (Samoilovitch) Samoilovitch (Campanian to Maastrichtian in North America), Wodehouseia spinata Stanley (Maastrichtian to lower Paleocene), Wodehouseia quadrispina Wiggins (mid to upper Maastrichtian), Singularia aculeata Samoilovitch (late Campanian to Paleocene) and Mancicorpus trapeziforme Mtchedlishvili (late Campanian to Maastrichtian), Striasporonites sp.1 (Maastrichtian? to Recent), Dicellaesporites popovii Elsik (Paleocene to Oligocene) and Pesavis parva Kalgutkar and Sweet (late Maastrichtian to Paleocene).

	Aptian	Albian	Cenomanian	Turonian	Coniacian	Santonian early Campanian	tec	early Maastrichtian	mid Maastrichtian	late Maastrichtian	early Palecene	mid Paleocene	late Paleocene	Eocene	Oligocene	
1 <u>Stoverisporites lunaris</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger																1
2 <u>Cicatricosisporites pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Dettmann 3 <u>Lycopodiacidites canaliculatus</u> Singh																2
4 <u>Tappanispora reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava																4
5 <u>Pseudoplicapollis serenus</u> Pflug								•								5
6 Cingulatisporites dakotaensis Stanley							_									6
7 <u>Radialisporis radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch 8 Hazaria sheoparii Srivastava																8
9 <u>Porosipollenites porosus</u> Krutzsch																9
10 Azonia cribrata Wiggins							_									10
11 Aquilapollenites reticulatus (Mtched.) Tschudy and Leopold							_									11
12 Aquilapollenites trialatus Rouse							-									12
13 <u>Mancicorpus trapeziforme</u> Mtchedlishvili							_									13 14
14 <u>Singularia aculeata</u> Samoilovitch 15 <u>Carpinipites</u> ancipites (Wodehouse) Srivastava							_									14
16 <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> (wedenouse) strustava															_	16
17 Palaeoperidinium koslowski (Gorka) Davey							_		_							17
18 Paraalnipollenites alterniporus (Simpson) Srivastava															-	18
19 Polyatriopollenites stellatus (Samoilovitch) Srivastava									_							19
20 <u>Ceratiopsis diebelli</u> (Alberti) Vozzhenikova																20 21
21 <u>Triporopollenites mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava									_							22
22 <u>Wodehouseia spinata</u> (Stanley) 23 <u>Beaupreaidites angulatus</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava										_						23
24 <u>Momipites wyomingensis</u> Nicholls and Ott																24
25 Trivestibulopollenites <u>betuloides</u> Pflug									-							25
26 Beaupreaidites mollis (Samoilovitch) Srivastava									•							26
27 Caryapollenites sp. cf. inelegans Nicholls and Ott										-				_		27
28 <u>Pesavis parva</u> Kalgutkar and Sweet									•							28 29
29 <u>Callimothallus pertusus</u> Dilcher 30 <u>Pesavis tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius										•	_					30
JU FEBAVIS LAGINEIDID FIBIR and Camboning																50

Table 4.1 Range chart of selected taxa.

The abundance of <u>Porosipollis</u> <u>porosus</u> Krutzsch in the PW assemblage suggests a mid or late Maastrichtian age. This species is restricted to late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian strata at Horton River, N.W.T. (McIntyre, 1974) and early to mid Maastrichtian strata at Banks Island (Doerenkamp <u>et al.</u>, 1976). Sweet <u>et al.</u> (1989) define a mid or late Maastrichtian <u>P. porosus</u> Zone based on its first and last occurrences. <u>P.</u> <u>porosus</u> in this study ranges slightly lower than the zone it defines.

Cranwellia rumseyensis Srivastava is most common in the mid Maastrichtian strata of the Police Island Section (Sweet et al., 1989). Wodehouseia spinata Stanley is the most common binigeminate pollen in latest Cretaceous strata in North America. Wiggins (1976) describes it as abundant in upper Maastrichtian strata of Alaska's North Slope, although occasionally occurring in the lowest Paleocene. McIntyre (1974) and Wilson (1978) report it from Maastrichtian strata at Horton River and Police Island (Mackenzie River) respectively. Wodehouseia quadrispina Wiggins is restricted to mid and upper Maastrichtian strata (Wiggins, 1976). Sweet et al. (1989) report this taxon from the latest Maastrichtian Myrtipites scabratus/Aquilapollenites conatus var. collaris zone in the Brackett Coal Basin.

Several species first occurring in the PW zone including <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace and <u>Pesavis parva</u> Kalgutkar and Sweet first appear in the late Maastrichtian (McIntyre, 1974; Kalgutkar and Sweet, 1988). This allows assignment of a mid to late Maastrichtian age for the PW zone.

The PW zone is in part equivalent to the Singularia aculeata - Pesavis parva (SP) zone in Eclipse Trough which is early late Maastrichtian (Sparkes, 1989). It also correlative with mid to late Maastrichtian microfloral division H3 of Section CR 17A N-68, Horton River (McIntyre, 1974), the late Maastrichtian "Deflandrea" diebelli, Palaeoperidinium pyrophorum (CVII) zone of Banks Island (Doerenkamp et al., 1976) and the mid or late Maastrichtian Porosipollis porosus latest Maastrichtian and Myrtipites scabratus/Aquilapollenites conatus var. collaris zones of the Police Island Section, Mackenzie River, Brackett Coal Basin, N.W.T. (Sweet et al., 1989). The mid Maastrichtian assemblage Colville River region, in the North Slope, Alaska (Fredericksen et al., 1988), also resembles the PW zone.

4.4 <u>PARAALNIPOLLENITES</u> <u>ALTERNIPORUS</u> – <u>PESAVIS</u> <u>PARVA</u> (PP) ZONE

Species restricted to this zone include Striatopollis tectatus Leffingwell, Tricolporites sp. 1, Tricolporites sp. 2, Ulmoideipites krempi Anderson, Momipites wyomingensis Nichols and Ott, Caryapollenites sp. cf. inelegans Nicholls and Ott, Complexiopollis sp., Aquilapollenites augustus Srivastava, Aquilapollenites sp. cf. immiser, Reduviasporonites sp. 1, Diporicellasporites sp. 1, Diporicellasporites sp. cf. stacyi, Staphlosporonites delumbus Norris, Pesavis tagluensis Elsik and Jansonius, fungal hyphae type F, Phragmothyrites sp., Callimothallus pertusus Dilcher, Plochmopeltinites masonii Cookson and Microthallites lutosus Dilcher.

Those becoming more common in the PP Zone include <u>Sequioapollenites paleocenicus</u> Stanley, <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava, <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace, <u>Trivestibulopollenites betuloides</u> Pflug, <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava, <u>Polyvestibulpollenites verus</u> Potonié, <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> <u>rallus</u> Stanley, <u>Monoporisporites</u> <u>singularis</u> Sheffy and

Dilcher, <u>Dicellaesporites</u> <u>popovii</u> Elsik, <u>Brachysporites</u> <u>cotalis</u> (Elsik and Jansonius) Norris, <u>Diporicellasporites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Elsik and Dilcher, Fungal Hyphae Type C and <u>Pesavis</u> <u>parva</u> Kalgutkar and Sweet. A significant species continuing into the zone is Hazaria sheoparii Srivastava.

Distribution

The PP Zone lies within the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations. The stratotype for the PP Zone includes PB-4, PB-9, PB-12, PB-16, PB-62 and PB-64 of sections 1 and 4 (in composite section C of Appendix A). The parastratotype of the zone includes the 200 to 340 metre interval in composite section C (Appendix A).

Age

Based on rich terrestrial assemblages the PP Zone is early to mid Paleocene in age. Biostratigraphically important species include <u>Hazaria sheoparii</u> Srivastava (late Campanian to Paleocene), <u>Sequioapollenites paleocenicus</u> Stanley (mid Campanian to Paleocene), <u>Carpinipites ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava (late Campanian to Eocene), <u>Polyvestibulpollenites</u> <u>verus</u> Potonié (late Campanian to Oligocene), Ericaceoipollenites rallus Stanley (late Campanian to late

Paleocene), Ulmoideipites krempi Anderson, Beaupreaidites (Samoilovitch) Srivastava (late Maastrichtian mollis to Danian), Pesavis parva Kalgutkar and Sweet (Maastrichtian to early Paleocene), Striatopollis tectatus Leffingwell (Maastrichtian), Momipites wyomingensis Nichols and Ott (Maastrichtian to late Paleocene), Polyatriopollenites stellatus (Samoilovitch) Srivastava (Maastrichtian to upper Miocene), Paraalnipollenites alterniporus (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace (late Maastrichtian to Eocene), Trivestibulopollenites betuloides Pflug (Maastrichtian to Miocene), Triporopollenites mullensis (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava (mid Maastrichtian to late Paleocene), Caryapollenites sp. cf. inelegans (Paleocene to Eocene), Pesavis tagluensis Elsik and Jansonius (early Paleocene to Oligocene), Dicellaesporites popovii Elsik (Paleocene to Oligocene), Diporicellasporites reticulatus Elsik and Dilcher (mid Eocene) and Callimothallus pertusus Dilcher (Paleocene to mid Eocene).

Of these species <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> occurs in older strata but becomes abundant in this zone. The <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> zone of the Police Island Section (Sweet <u>et al.</u>, 1989) is defined as an increase in the abundance of this species (combined with a lack of

distinctive upper Cretaceous taxa) and is thought to be earliest Paleocene in age. According to McIntyre (1989) the coexistence of abundant P. alterniporus and Triporopollenites mullensis (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava is an indicator of Paleocene strata at Somerset Island. Associated occurrences of Momipites and Caryapollenites with these species is also typical of the Paleocene. A number of other species typical of the Paleocene also become abundant in the PP zone including Carpinipites ancipites (Wodehouse) Srivastava Trivestibulopollenites betuloides Pfluq, Potonié, Ericaceoipollenites Polyvestibulpollenites verus rallus Stanley, and Sequioapollenites paleocenicus Stanley. N.W.T., Banks Island, frequent of On occurrence Triporopollenites mullensis (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava, (Polyvestibulopollenites) Alnipollenites sp., Ericaceoipollenites sp., Ulmipollenites sp. and predominant Taxodiaceaepollenites hiatus/Sequioapollenites sp., Laevigatosporites ovatus (L. hardtii in this study) and <u>Stereisporites</u> antiquasporites, are characteristic of the TIa Paleocene zone (Doerenkamp et al., 1976). The disappearance of Hazaria sheoparii delimits the end of the TIa zone on Banks Island (Doerenkamp et al., 1976). н.

<u>sheoparii</u> occurs in Navy Board and Aktineq strata in this study.

<u>Pesavis parva</u> Kalgutkar and Sweet and <u>Pesavis tagluensis</u> Elsik and Jansonius are excellent index fossils; <u>P. parva</u> ranges from late Maastrichtian to early Paleocene and <u>P.</u> <u>tagluensis</u> from early Paleocene to Oligocene (Kalgutkar and Sweet, 1988). Overlapping occurrence of the two species, as at Maud Bight is indicative of lower to mid Paleocene strata. <u>Callimothallus pertusus</u> Dilcher occurs in Paleocene to mid Eocene strata (Rouse <u>et al.</u>, 1990; Norris, 1986) and <u>Dicellaesporites popovii</u> Elsik occurring in Paleocene to Oligocene strata (Elsik, 1981; Norris, 1986) may turn out to be good Paleogene indicators in the Canadian Arctic.

<u>Diporicellasporites</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Elsik and Dilcher, <u>Staphlosporonites delumbus</u> Norris, <u>Plochmopeltinites masonii</u> Cookson and <u>Microthallites lutosus</u> Dilcher have never been reported in strata older than Eocene; the range of these species is herein extended into the Paleocene. This is supported by the presence of early to mid Paleocene palynomorphs and absence of late Paleocene or younger palynomorphs such <u>Pistillipollenites mcgregorii</u> (Rouse) Elsik. The macroflora present in strata within this zone are

of probable early to middle Paleocene age (Basinger, personal communication, 1988) supporting the microfloral diagnosis.

Correlative biozones include the very similar Paleocene TIa zone, Banks Island (Doerenkamp et al., 1976), the lowest Paleocene Paraalnipollenites alterniporus zone of the Police Island Section, Mackenzie River, N.W.T. (Sweet et al., 1989) and the lower Paleocene Trivestibulopollenites betuloides -Pesavis parva Zone (TP Zone) of the Cape Searle Formation, S.E. Baffin Island (Burden and Langille, in press). Equivalent Arctic assemblages include pollen from lower Paleocene strata, Colville River, North slope, Alaska (Fredericksen et al., 1988). The PP zone also resembles somewhat the lower Paleocene assemblage in the Fort Union Formation, South Dakota (Stanley, 1965).

<u>CHAPTER 5</u> <u>BASIN AND REGIONAL HISTORY</u>

5.1 BASIN HISTORY

The Cretaceous and Tertiary geological history of the North Bylot Trough is established from lithological and facies analysis of the strata, structural relationships and palynology. The basin depositional and tectonic history was divided into three phases by Benham and Burden (1990). Hassel strata deposited during Phase 1 (mid to late Albian) are part of a series of sheet sandstones that formed along the continental margin from Labrador to Jones Sound in the lower Cretaceous due to crustal sag (Smith et al., 1989). The Hassel in Eclipse Trough is described by Miall et al. (1980) as having a fluviatile setting; this is based on presence of coal beds and a lack of glauconite and marine fossils. Kerr (1980) also postulated that a sheet of fluviatile sediment was deposited on the low lying craton prior to formation of Lancaster Aulacogen and opening of Baffin Bay. The presence of marine trace fossils in Hassel strata at Eclipse Trough suggest depositional conditions in the Lancaster Sound region may have been at least partly marine during Hassel time. Most of the Hassel strata in North Bylot Trough has been removed;

it only preserved in isolated down-faulted blocks and topographic lows.

Phase 2 includes the deposition of the Bylot Island and 25-30 million year hiatus. Sermilik Formations after a Recycled terrestrial palynomorphs in the Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations suggest that Hassel strata in the North Bylot Trough were reworked into these younger deposits. The Bylot Island beds were laid down during а marine transgression. The bioturbated grey mudstone of the Bylot (late Campanian-mid Maastrichtian) Island Formation was mainly deposited on a shallow marine shelf. These strata grade up into marine foreshore and beach deposits of glauconitic arenites of the (mid to late Maastrichtian) Sermilik Formation. The uppermost Sermilik Formation contains interbedded gravel megaripples, coaly sandstones, mudstones and a debris flow deposit from a shoreline marsh.

The Bylot Island Formation resembles equivalent in Eclipse Trough. In contrast the Maud Bight mudstones the Sermilik Formation have different. outcrops of depositional settings from those in Eclipse Trough. According to Sparkes (1989) the Sermilik Formation at Eclipse Trough was deposited in submarine fan and braid delta complexes as compared to foreshore and beaches in North Bylot Trough. Both

sedimentary packages, however, do show a similar coarsening up trend. The change from depositionally and compositionally equivalent strata is a result of increasing isolation of the two basins as the uplifting Byam Martin Mountains separated North Bylot Trough and Eclipse Trough (Benham and Burden, 1990). This increased tectonism probably marks the onset of rifting in Lancaster Sound and North Baffin Bay. The sea floor spreading in the Labrador Sea which began in the Campanian (S.P. Srivastava, 1978) was preceded by a period of rifting (Henderson et al., 1980) that extended into Baffin Bay. The presence of Aptian to early Cenomanian nonmarine sediments in southeast Baffin Island half-grabens (Burden and Langille, 1990), the Nûgssuag Embayment in western Greenland (Schiener, 1977) and Hassel strata in North Bylot Trough support minor rifting well before opening of Baffin Bay and considerably more rifting by the Maastrichtian (Table 5.1). The existence of 200+ metres of regressive Phase 2 strata suggests that sea level fall in conjunction with tectonic activity affected basin fill at this time. An angular unconformity marks the end of Phase 2 in North Bylot Trough.

Phase 3 contains rocks deposited during a episode of increased tectonism in the lower to middle Paleocene. Continuing uplift of the Byam Martin Mountains resulted in an

increasing depositional gradient; braided stream, flood plain and/or lacustrine? strata (Navy Board Formation) are overlain by a 200+ metre wedge of alluvial conglomerate and meandering stream deposited strata (Maud Bight Member and Aktineg sandstone). Increased tectonic activity is reflected in part by the deposition and deformation of the Navy Board and Atkineq Formations. The folding and faulting in these rocks correlate with the main rifting and volcanism in Baffin Bay (S.P. Srivastava, 1978; Peirce, 1982; S.P. Srivastava and Tapscott, 1986). Based largely on seismic studies, Beh (1975) suggested the main rifting in Lancaster Aulacogen occurred during the middle Eocene. Kerr (1980), tying in Eclipse Trough strata to rocks in the aulacogen, stated that rifting the latest Cretaceous and had ended by beqan in the Miocene/Pliocene. Tectonism in the southern end of North Bylot Trough actually ended in the middle Paleocene after the boulder beds of the Maud Bight Member were deposited. North Bylot Trough likely separated from Lancaster Aulacogen about this time when North Baffin Fault formed 10 kilometres offshore (Benham and Burden, 1990).

Exposures of Cretaceous and Tertiary strata at North Bylot Trough are restricted to the margin of the basin whereas Eclipse Trough strata are exposed over a larger area.

This results in North Bylot Trough being dominated by proximal depositional facies, such as the boulder beds of the Maud Bight Member. Similar strata are located along the rim of Eclipse Trough but are a minor component. In spite of this, strata in North Bylot Trough are equivalent to those in Eclipse Trough (Table 5.1). Pronounced angular unconformities at Maud Bight can all be found in Eclipse Trough though they may be more subdued. All Maud Bight strata are near the North Bylot Trough margins and therefore more subject to folding and faulting through the basin's history than Eclipse Trough. Tectonic activity in Lancaster Aulacogen and vicinity is reflected more strongly in the smaller North Bylot Trough.

One notable difference between Eclipse Trough and North

AGE	LABRADOR (BALKWILL AND MCMILLAN, IN PRESS)	OFFSHORE GREENLAND (ROLLE, 1985)	ONSHORE GREENLAND (HENDERSON ET AL., 1976; UMPLEBY AND HARDY, 1977)	S.E. BAFFIN (BURDEN AND LANGILLE, 1990)	ECLIPSE TROUGH (SPARKES, 1989; WATERFIELD, 1989)	NORTH BYLOT TROUGH THIS STUDY			
MIOCENE	Saglek Fm	Manitsoq Fm							
OLIGOCENE	Mokami Fm	Kangamuit Fm	Not Reported						
EOCENE	Kenamu Fm	مر ر Nukik Fm		Cape Dyer Baselt		Aktineg Fm			
PALEOCENE	Cartwright Fm		Kangilia Fm	Cape Searle Fm	Navy Board Fm	Navy Board			
MAASTRICHTIAN			سلير الم		Bylot Island Fm	Sermilik Fm Bylot Island Fm			
CAMPANIAN		Narssarmuit Fm	ممكمكمه						
SANTONIAN	Markland Fm		Unnamed Beds	\downarrow \mid \mid \mid \mid \mid					
CONIACIAN			Atane Fm						
TURONIAN	1		The second secon	1					
CENOMANIAN	1		Upernivik Naes Fm	fulled	Hassel Fm	Hassel Fm			
ALBIAN	Bjarni Fm		λ_{-}	Quqeluit Fm	p-p-p-p-p-				
APTIAN	m								
BARREMIAN	Alexis Fm		Kome Fm						
NEOCOMIAN	1								

Table 5.1 Regional stratigraphic correlation

Table 5.1 Regional stratigraphic correlat

Bylot Trough is the presence of an two regressive sedimentary packages in Eclipse Trough. Sparkes (1989) recognizes in Eclipse Campanian-early Maastrichtian Trough а late regressive event resulting in the Sermilik Formation braid delta and submarine fan complex. Waterfield (1989) notes a second regressive deposit; the lower Paleocene Pond Inlet Formation which overlies the Bylot Island Formation. Basin fill in Eclipse Trough is thought to be complexly related to regional tectonism and sea level rise and fall (Sparkes, 1989; Waterfield, 1989). Biostratigraphic control is poor in the Pond Inlet Formation and Burden (1991, pers. comm.) feels the strata may be upper Maastrichtian. If this is true, it calls into question the assignment of the Sermilik Formation to the single mid to upper Maastrichtian regressive package at North Bylot Trough. Assuming for a moment that the package at Maud Bight is equivalent to the Pond Inlet Formation, deposition of the sandstone would have begun earlier in Eclipse Trough than at North Bylot Trough. If Pond Inlet Formation was deposited at Maud Bight it may have been removed prior to deposition of the Navy Board and Aktineq Formation. Lancaster Sound may contain strata equivalent to the Pond Inlet Formation.

5.2 PETROLEUM GEOLOGY

Petroleum exploration in the eastern Arctic is limited by weather conditions, sea ice, high exploration costs and environmental concerns. Because of this, no wells have been drilled in the vicinity of Bylot Island, however several been drilled off southern Baffin Island and southwest Greenland. Numerous seismic studies have been done in Lancaster Sound and nearby in Baffin Bay; Smith et al. (1989) estimate 60,000 line-kilometres of seismic data have been recorded by various government surveys and petroleum companies. Seismic studies have delineated over 30 structural traps, these possibly containing up to 10 billion barrels of oil (Smith et al., 1989). The best structural traps are upthrown and tilted fault blocks (formed during initial rifting) which are draped with Tertiary sediments, but others include anticlinal structures formed by transcurrent faulting and possibly evaporite diapirs (Smith et al., 1989). Harper and Woodcock (in Smith et al., 1989) also note onlapping, subunconformity truncation and depositional edges in Lancaster Sound as potential stratigraphic traps.

Oil seeps and petroliferous strata off the eastern coast of Baffin Island (Scott Inlet, Buchan Gulf and Home Bay) are described in several studies (Levy, 1978; MacLean and Falconer, 1979; MacLean and Williams, 1983). MacLean et al. (1981) report marine organic rich mudstone of Campanian age in samples recovered from the Baffin shelf in Buchan Gulf and Bay as possible source rocks for the seeps. Home The equivalent strata in North Bylot Trough are the marine mudstones of the Bylot Island Formation. These contain abundant marine palynomorphs and may be good source rocks in Lancaster Sound. Marine organic material tends to generate oil rich hydrocarbons while terrestrial organic debris yields rich hydrocarbons. Through qas the use of sequence stratigraphy two unnamed transgressive marine sequences and a possible lacustrine deposit have been identified as potential source beds in Lancaster Sound (Smith et al., 1989). Other strata in North Bylot Trough with potential as source rocks are the shales of the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations. Palynological preparations of shales in the Navy Board and Aktineq are rich in terrestrial palynomorphs, leaf cuticle and wood fragments; hand samples often contain abundant in woody debris. A sample collected for geochemical analysis (McWhae, 1981) from a "Tertiary" outcrop at Maud Bight

(either Navy Board Formation or Aktineq sandstone) contained 1.8% total organic carbon (T.O.C.); the dominant organic type was thermally immature amorphous matter. Mature amorphous organic matter generates oil rich hydrocarbons.

Palynomorph exine colouration as an indicator of relative geothermal maturity is known as a thermal alteration index (T.A.I.). The T.A.I. of specimens recovered from upper Cretaceous and Tertiary strata in this study were (on a scale of 1-5) 1 to 1+ which is immature. Recycled lower Cretaceous palynomorphs from the Cape Hay strata of the Bylot Island Formation were immature to marginally mature (2- to 2+). Upper Cretaceous and Tertiary strata in North Bylot Trough were never buried deeper than a few hundred metres; similar rocks buried in Lancaster Sound would probably generate hydrocarbons.

Potential reservoir rocks in North Bylot Trough exist in the Hassel, Sermilik and Aktineq Formations. The Hassel Formation in the North Bylot Trough consists of porous clean arenites, limited to isolated pods and down-faulted blocks. A thicker and more continuous sequence likely exists in Lancaster Sound where it rests unconformably on Palaeozoic carbonates and Archean migmatites. It is overlain and potentially sealed by the Bylot Island mudstones. The

Sermilik Formation at Maud Bight consists almost entirely of very porous medium to coarse grained quartz arenite up to 200 metres thick. These beds likely extend into Lancaster Sound excellent reservoir potential. and show The Sermilik Formation is overlain by the shales of the Navy Board Formation creating a subunconformity truncation with the best potential in the North Bylot trapping Trough. The conglomerates and channel sands of what is likely Aktineq sandstone show fair to excellent porosity (22% porosity and 72 md permeability in one sample according to McWhae (1981)). It should be noted, however, that the Tertiary sandstones in North Bylot Trough tend to be clay-rich and pore space may be cloqged during diagenesis.

Potential source and reservoir rocks are present in North Bylot Trough but unfortunately they are immature. Sufficient burial of equivalent strata in Lancaster Sound would generate hydrocarbons in the region. Numerous structural and stratigraphic traps in Lancaster Sound have been defined by seismic studies. Similar basins around the world including the Anadarko Basin in Southeastern United States, Viking graben in the North Sea and Gulf of Suez (Kerr, 1980) have yielded large amounts of hydrocarbons and may provide analogues for exploration in Lancaster Sound

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSIONS

The Cretaceous and Tertiary depositional and tectonic history of North Bylot Trough consists of three phases. During the first phase sheet sands of the fluvial Hassel Formation were deposited across low-lying craton during the late Albian. Onset of rifting in Lancaster Sound and Baffin Bay is marked by the deposition of regressive Phase 2 strata. The shallow marine late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian Bylot Island Formation is conformably and gradationally overlain by foreshore and beach sandstones of the mid to late Maastrichtian Sermilik Formation. Increased tectonism in Phase 3 is reflected by the presence of a thick alluvial wedge with the coarsest material restricted to the basin margin. The early to mid Paleocene flood plain of the Navy Board Formation is conformably to unconformably overlain by thick alluvial fan (Maud Bight Member of the Aktineq Formation) and meandering stream sediments (Aktineg sandstone). Strata in North Bylot and Eclipse Trough are equivalent and differ only in that proximal depositional environments rather than distal are represented in North Bylot Trough. Basin fill, as in Eclipse Trough (Sparkes, 1989; Waterfield, 1989), is controlled by local tectonism
(formation of Lancaster Aulacogen) and global changes in sea level.

Based on terrestrial palynomorphs three biozones and one defined for subzone were strata at Maud Bight. The Aquilapollenites trialatus-Azonia cribrata (AA) zone ranges from upper Campanian to mid Maastrichtian. Subzone R within the AA zone consists dominantly of recycled palynomorphs typical of the mid to late Albian strata and presumably from the Hassel Formation. The other biozones are the mid to upper Maastrichtian Porosipollenites porosus-Wodehouseia spinata (PW) zone and the lower to mid Paleocene Paraalnipollenites alterniporus-Pesavis parva (PP) zone.

North Bylot Trough contains the only onshore expression of the stratigraphic sequence within Lancaster Sound and allows some tentative evaluations of offshore hydrocarbon potential. Organic matter from North Bylot Trough was found to be thermally immature to marginally mature. With sufficient burial in Lancaster Sound, the organic-rich marine Bylot Island Formation and terrestrial Navy Board and Aktineq Formations would generate hydrocarbons. Porous sandstones of the Hassel and Sermilik are potential reservoirs in Lancaster Sound; the Tertiary sandstones and conglomerates of the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations are clay-rich and may have

262

limited porosity. Seismic studies have defined numerous structural and stratigraphic traps within Lancaster Aulacogen. Similar basins in the North Sea and Gulf of Suez have yielded large amounts of hydrocarbons and may provide analogous exploration models for Lancaster Sound.

REFERENCES CITED

- Azema, C., Fauconnier, D. and Viaud, J.M. (1981) Microfossils from the Upper Cretaceous of Vendée (France). Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 35, pp. 237-282.
- Balkwill, H.R. (1978) Evolution of the Sverdrup Basin, Arctic Canada. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, Volume 62, Number 6, pp. 1004-1028.
- Balkwill, H.R. and McMillan, N.J. (in press) Mesozoic-Cenozic Stratigraphy of the Labrador Shelf. <u>In</u> Geology of the Continental Margin of Eastern Canada, <u>Edited by</u> Keen, M.T. and Williams, G.L., Geological Survey of Canada, Geology of Canada #2, pp. 1-61.
- Balme, B.E. (1964) The Palynological Record of Australian Pre-Tertiary Floras. <u>In</u> Ancient Pacific Floras, Tenth Pacific Science Congress Series, <u>Edited</u> by L.M Cranwell, University of Hawaii Press, pp. 49-81.
- Bebout, John W. (1980) Observed Stratigraphic Distribution of Spores, Pollen, and <u>Incertae</u> <u>Sedis</u> Palynomorphs in the Tertiary Section of the Cost No. B-2 Well, Baltimore Canyon, Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf. Palynology, Volume 4, pp. 181-196.
- Bebout, John W. (1981) An Informal Palynologic Zonation for the Cretaceous System of the United States Mid-Atlantic (Baltimore Canyon Area) Outer Continental Shelf, Palynology, Volume 5, pp. 159-194.

- Beh, R.L. (1975) Evolution and Geology of Western Baffin Bay and Davis Strait, Canada. <u>In</u> Canada's Continental Margins and Offshore Petroleum Exploration, <u>Edited</u> <u>by</u> C.J. Yorath, E.R. Parker, and Glass, Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 4, pp. 453-476.
- Benham, P.H., and Burden, E.T. (1990) Stratigraphy of Cretaceous-Tertiary rocks of North Bylot Trough, Bylot Island, N.W.T.. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part D, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 90-1D, p. 179-185.
- Bernier, J.E. (1910) Report on the Dominion Government Expedition to the Northern Waters and Arctic Archipelago of the D.G.S. Arctic. Department of Marine and Fisheries, Ottawa, Canada, 161 p..
- Boggs, Sam Jr. (1987) Principles of Sedimentology and Stratigraphy, Merrill Publishing Company, Columbus, OH., 784 p..
- Boland, D.C. (1986) Upper Jurassic (Portlandian) Sedimentology and Palynofacies of Cabo Espichel, Portugal. Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, 161 p..
- Bratzeva, G.M. (1967) The Problem of the Tasagainsk Flora with regard to Spore-Pollen Analytical Data. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 2, pp. 119-126.
- Brenner, G.J. (1963) The Spores and Pollen of the Potomac Group of Maryland. Maryland Department of Geology. Mines and Water Resources, Bulletin 7, 215.
- Brenner, G.J. (1968) Middle Cretaceous Spores and Pollen from Northeastern Peru. Pollen et Spores, Volume 10, pp. 341-383.
- Brideaux, W.W. and McIntyre, D.J. (1975) Miospores and Microplankton from Aptian- Albian Rocks along Horton River, District of Mackenzie. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 252, 85 p..
- Bujak, J.P. and Williams, G.L. (1978) Cretaceous Palynostratigraphy of Offshore Eastern Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 297, 19 p..

- Burden, E.T. (1982) Lower Cretaceous Terrestrial Palynomorph Biostratigraphy of the McMurray Formation, Northeastern Alberta. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Calgary, 422 p..
- Burden, E.T. (1984) Terrestrial Palynomorph Biostratigraphy of the lower part of the Mannville Group (Lower Cretaceous) Alberta and Montana. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 9, pp. 249-269.
- Burden, E.T. and Hills, L.V. (1989) Illustrated Key to Genera of Lower Cretaceous Terrestrial Palynomorphs (Excluding Megaspores) of Western Canada. American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists Contribution Series, Number 21, 147 p..
- Burden, E.T. and Langille, A.B. (1990) Stratigraphy and Sedimentology of Cretaceous and Paleocene strata in Half-Grabens on the Southeast Coast of Baffin Island, Northwest Territories. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, Volume 38, Number 2, p. 185-196.
- Burden, E.T. and Langille, A.B. (<u>in press</u>) Palynology of Cretaceous and Tertiary Strata, Southeast Baffin Island, Northwest Territories, Canada: Implications for the History of Rifting in Baffin Bay.
- Burger, D. (1966) Palynology of Uppermost Jurassic and Lowermost Cretaceous Strata in the Eastern Netherlands. Leidse Geologische Medelingen, Deel 35, pp. 209-276.
- Burger, D. (1973) Spore Zonation and Sedimentary History of the Neocomian, Great Artesian Basin, Queensland. Geological Survey of Australia, Special Publication 4, pp. 87-118.
- Burger, D. (1980) Palynology of the Lower Cretaceous in the Surat Basin. Australian Bureau of Mineral Resources, Bulletin 189, 204 p..
- Cant, D.J. and Walker, R.G. (1978) Fluvial Processes and Facies Sequences in the Sandy Braided South Saskatchewan River, Canada. Sedimentology, Volume 25, pp. 625-648.
- Chlonova, A.F. (1981) Senonian (Late Cretaceous) Palynofloral Provinces in Circumpolar areas of the Northern

Hemisphere. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 35, pp. 315-324.

- Chmura, C.A. (1973) Upper Cretaceous (Campanian-Maastrichtian) Angiosperm Pollen from the Western San Joaquin Valley, California, U.S.A.. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 141, pp. 89-171.
- Christopher, R.A. (1978) Quantitative Palynologic Correlation of Three Campanian and Maastrichtian Sections (Upper Cretaceous) from the Atlantic Coastal Plain, Palynology, Volume 2, pp. 1-28.
- Christopher, R.A. (1979) Normapolles and Triporate Pollen Assemblages from the Raritan and Magothy Formations (Upper Cretaceous) of New Jersey. Palynology, Volume 3, pp. 73-121.
- Clifton, H.E. (1981) Progradational Sequences in Miocene Shoreline Deposits, Southeastern Caliente Range, California. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 51, Number 1, pp. 165-184.
- Clifton, H.E., Hunter, R.E. and Phillips, R.L. (1971) Depositional Structures and Processes in the Nonbarred, High-energy Nearshore. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 41, pp. 651-670.
- Collinson, J.D. (1986) Alluvial Sediments. <u>In</u> Sedimentary Environments and Facies. <u>Edited</u> by H.G. Reading, Chapter 6, pp. 20-62.
- Couper, R.A. (1953) Upper Mesozoic and Cainozoic Plant Microfossils. New Zealand Geological Survey, Paleontological Bulletin 32, pp. 1-77.
- Couper, R.A. (1958) British Mesozoic Microspores and Pollen Grains: A systematic and Stratigraphic Study. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 103, pp. 75-179.
- Croxton, C.A. (1980) <u>Aquilapollenites</u> from the Late Cretaceous-Paleocene (?) of Central West Greenland. Grønlands Geologogiske Undersøgelse, Volume 101, pp. 5-27.

- Cwynar, L., Burden, E.T. and McAndrews, J. (1979) An Inexpensive Sieving Method for Concentrating Pollen and Spores from Fine-Grained Sediments. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 16, Number 5, pp. 1115-1120.
- Daae, H.D., and Rutgers, A.T.C. (1975) Geological history of the Northwest Passage. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, volume 23, p. 84-108.
- Davis, Richard A., Jr. (1983) Depositional Systems A Genetic Approach to Sedimentary Geology. Prentice Hall, Inc., New Jersey, 669 p..
- De Jersey, N.J. (1962) Triassic Spores and Pollen Grains from the Ipswich Coalfield. Queensland Geological Survey Publication 307, pp. 1-18.
- DeCelles, P.G. (1987) Variable Preservation of Middle Tertiary, Coarse-Grained, Nearshore to Outer-Shelf Storm Deposits in Southern California. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 57, Number 2, p. 250-264.
- DeCelles, P.G. (1988) Middle Cenozoic Depositional, Tectonic, and Sea Level History of Southern San Joaquin Basin, California. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, Volume 72, Number 11, pp. 1297-1322.
- Demchuk, T.D. (1990) Palynostratigraphic Zonation of Paleocene Strata in the Central and South-Central Alberta Plains. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 27, pp. 1263-1269.
- De Paor, D.G., Bradley, D.C, Eisenstadt, G. and Phillips, S.M. (1989) The Arctic Eurekan orogen: A most unusual fold-and-thrust belt. Geological Society of America Bulletin, Volume 101, p. 952-967.
- Dettmann, M.E. (1963) Mesozoic Microfloras from Southeastern Australia. Proceedings of the Royal Society of Victoria, Volume 77, Part 1, pp. 1-138.
- Dietrich, J.R., Dixon, J., McNeil, D.H., McIntyre, D.J., Snowdon, L.R., and Cameron, A.R. (1989) The Geology, Biostratigraphy and Organic Geochemistry of the Natsek
 E- 56 and Edlok N-56 wells, Western Beaufort Sea. In

Current Research, Part G, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 89-1G, p. 133-157.

- Dilcher, D.L. (1965) Epiphyllous Fungi from Eocene deposits in Western Tennessee, U.S.A.. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 116, pp. 1-54.
- Doerenkamp, A., Jardine, S. and Moreau, P. (1976) Cretaceous and Tertiary Palynomorph Assemblages from Banks Island and Adjacent Areas (N.W.T.). Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, Volume 24, Number 3, pp. 372-417.
- Dörhöfer, G. and Norris, G. (1977) Discrimination and Correlation of Highest Jurassic and Lowest Cretaceous Terrestrial Palynofloras in North-West Europe. Palynology, Volume 1, pp. 79-94.
- Doyle, J.A. and Robbins, E.I. (1977) Angiosperm Pollen Zonation of the Continental Cretaceous of the Atlantic Coastal Plain and Its Applications to Deep Wells in Salisbury Embayment. Palynology, Volume 1, pp. 43-78.
- Drugg, W.S. (1967) Palynology of the Upper Moreno Formation (Late Cretaceous-Paleocene) Escarpado Canyon, California. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 120, pp. 1-71.
- Eberth, D.A., Braman, D.R. and Tokaryk, T.T. (1990) Stratigraphy, Sedimentology and Vertebrate Paleontology of the Judith River Formation (Campanian) near Muddy Lake, West-Central Saskatchewan. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, Volume 38, Number 4, pp. 387-406.
- Ediger, Volkan S. and Cengiz Alisan (1989) Tertiary Fungal and Algal Palynomorph Biostratigraphy of the Northern Thrace Basin, Turkey. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 58, pp. 139-161.
- Elsik, W.C. (1968a) Palynology of a Paleocene Lignite, Milam County, Texas. I. Morphology and Taxonomy. Pollen et Spores, Volume 10, Number 2, pp. 263-314.
- Elsik, W.C. (1968b) Palynology of a Paleocene Lignite, Milam County, Texas. II. Morphology and Taxonomy (End). Pollen et Spores, Volume 10, Number 3, pp. 598-664.

Elsik, W.C. (1976) Microscopic Fungal Remains and Cenozoic Palynostratigraphy. Geoscience and Man, Volume 15, p.115- 120.

- Elsik, W.C. (1981) Fungal Palynomorphs. <u>In</u> Palynology Short Course Notes. Louisiana State University, October 4-6, pp. 1-242.
- Elsik, W.C. and Dilcher, D.L. (1974) Palynology and Age of Clays Exposed in Lawrence Clay Pit, Henry County, Tennessee. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 146, pp. 65-87.
- Elsik, W.C. and Jansonius, J. (1974) New Genera of Paleogene Fungal Spores. Canadian Journal of Botany, Volume 52, pp. 953-958.
- Etheridge, F.G. (1985) Modern Alluvial Fans and Fan Deltas. <u>In</u> Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Short Course Number 19, p. 101-126.
- Evitt, W.R. (1973) Maastrichtian <u>Aquilapollenites</u> in Texas, Maryland, and New Jersey. Geoscience and Man, Volume 7, pp. 31-38.
- Eyles, C.H. (1987) Glacially Influenced Submarine-Channel Sedimentation in the Yakataga Formation, Middleton Island, Alaska. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 57, Number 6, pp. 1004-1017.
- Farabee, M.J., and Canright, J.E. (1986) Stratigraphic Palynology of the Lower Part of the Lance Formation (Maastrichtian) of Wyoming. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 199, pp. 1-89.
- Felix, C.J. and Burbridge, P.P. (1973) Maastrichtian Age Microflora from Arctic Canada. Geoscience and Man, Volume 7, pp. 1-29.
- Fensome, R.A. (1983) Miospores from the Jurassic-Cretaceous Boundary Beds, Aklavik Range, Northwest Territories, Canada: Incorporating Taxonomic Reviews of Several Groups of Mid- to Late Mesozoic Miospores. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, 762 p..

- Filatoff, J. (1975) Jurassic Palynology of the Perth Basin, Western Australia. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 154, pp. 1-30.
- Folk, R.L. (1980) Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks. Hemphill Publishing Company, Austin, Texas, 182 p..
- Folk, R.L. and Pittman, J.S. (1971) Length-Slow Chalcedony: A New Testament for Vanished Evaporites. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 41, Number 41, Number 4,

pp. 1045-1058.

- Forbes, D.L. and Boyd, R. (1987) Gravel Ripples on the Scotian Shelf. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 57, Number, p. 46-54.
- Fredericksen, N.O. and Christopher, R.A. (1978) Taxonomy and Biostratigraphy of Late Cretaceous and Paleogene Triatriate Pollen from South Carolina. Palynology, Volume 2, pp. 113-146.
- Fredericksen, N.O., Ager, T.A. and Edwards, L.E. (1988) Palynology of Maastrichtian and Paleocene Rocks, Lower Colville River region, North Slope of Alaska., Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 25, pp. 512-527.
- Galloway, W.E. and Hobday, D.K. (1983) Terrigenous Clastic Depositional Systems: Applications to Petroleum, Coal and Uranium Exploration. Springer-Verlag, New York, 423 p.
- Gaponoff, S.L. (1984) Palynology of the Silverado Formation (late Paleocene), Riverside and Orange Counties, California. Palynology, Volume 8, pp. 71-106.
- Gregory, A.F., Bower, M.E., and Morley, L.W. (1961) Geological interpretation of aerial magnetic and radiometric profiles, Arctic Archipelago, Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 73.
- Groot, J.J., Penny, J.S. and Groot, C.R. (1961) Plant Microfossils and Age of the Raritan, Tuscaloosa, and Magothy Formations of the Eastern United States. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 108, pp. 121-140.
- Hea, J.P., Arcuri, J., Campbell, G.R., Fraser, I., Fuglem, M.O., O'Bertos, J.J., Smith, D.R. and Zayat, M. (1980) Post Ellesmerian Basins of Arctic Canada: Their Depocentres, Rates of Sedimentation and Petroleum Potential. <u>In</u> Facts and Principles of World Petroleum Occurrence, <u>Edited</u> by A.D. Miall, Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 6, pp. 447-488.
- Hedburg, H.D. (1976) International Stratigraphic Guide. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 200 p..
- Hedlund, R.W. and Norris, G. (1968) Spores and Pollen Grains from Fredericksburgian (Albian) Strata, Marshall County, Oklahoma. Pollen et Spores, Volume 10, Number 1, pp. 129-159.
- Henderson, G., Rosenkrantz, A. and Schiener, E.J. (1976) Cretaceous-Tertiary Sedimentary Rocks of West Greenland.

<u>In</u> Geology of Greenland, <u>Edited</u> by Escher, A. and Watt, W.S., Geological Survey of Greenland, Copenhagen, pp. 340-362.

- Henderson, G., Schiener, E.J., Risum, J.B., Croxton, C.A. and Anderson, B.B. (1980) The West Greenland Basin; <u>In</u> Geology of the North Atlantic Borderlands, <u>Edited by</u> Kerr, J.W., and Fergusson, A.J.), Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 7, pp. 399-428.
- Herngreen, G.F.W. and Chlonova, A.F. (1981) Cretaceous Microfloral Provinces. Pollen et Spores, Volume 23, Number 3-4, pp. 441-555.
- Heywood, W.W. (1957) Isachsen area, Ellef Ringnes Island, District of Franklin, Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 56-8.
- Hills, L.V. and Wallace, S. (1969) <u>Paraalnipollenites</u>, A New Form Genus from Uppermost Cretaceous and Paleocene Rocks of Arctic Canada and Russia. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 182, pp. 139-145.
- Hopkins Jr., W.S. (1969) Palynology of the Eocene Kitsilano Formation, Southwest British Columbia. Canadian Journal of Botany, Volume 47, pp. 1101-1131.
- Hopkins Jr., W.S. (1971) Palynology of the Lower Cretaceous Isachsen Formation on Melville Island, District of Franklin. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 197, pp. 109-131.
- Hopkins Jr., W.S. (1974) Some Spores and Pollen from the Christopher Formation (Albian) of Ellef and Amund Ringnes Island, and Northwestern Melville Island, Canadian Arctic Archipelago. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 7-12, 9 p..
- Hopkins Jr., W.S. and Balkwill, H.R. (1973) Description, Palynology and Paleoecology of the Hassel Formation (Cretaceous) on eastern Ellef Ringnes Island, District of Franklin. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 72-37, 31 p..
- Howard, J.D. and Reineck, H.E. (1981) Depositional Facies of High-Energy Beach-to-offshore Sequence, Comparison with Low Energy Sequence. Bulletin of American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Volume 65, pp. 807-830.
- Ioannides, N.S. (1986) Dinoflagellate Cysts from Upper Cretaceous - Lower Tertiary Sections, Bylot and Devon

Islands, Arctic Archipelago. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 371, 97 p..

- Jackson, G.D. (1969) Reconnaissance of North-Central Baffin Island. <u>In</u> Report of Activities, Part A, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 69-1, pp. 171-176.
- Jackson, G.D., Davidson, A., and Morgan, W.C. (1975) Geology of the Pond Inlet Map-Area, District of Franklin. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-25, 33 p..
- Jackson, G.D. and Davidson, A. (1975) Bylot Island Map-Area, District of Franklin. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-29, 12 p..
- Jackson, G.D. and Ianelli, T.R. (1981) Rift-Related Cyclic Sedimentation in the Neohelikian Borden Basin, Northern Baffin Island. <u>In Proterozoic Basins of Canada. Edited by</u> F.H.A. Campbell. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 81-10, pp. 269-302.
- Jackson, G.D. and Sangster, D.F. (1987) Geology and Resource Potential of a Proposed National Park, Bylot Island and northwest Baffin Island, Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 87-17, p. 31.
- Jackson, H.R., Keen, C.E., and Barrett, D.L. (1977) Geophysical Studies on the Eastern Continental Margin of Baffin Bay and in Lancaster Sound. Canadian Journal of Earth Science, Volume 14, pp. 1991-2001.
- Jackson, J.C. and Halls, H.C. (1988) Tectonic Implications of Paleomagnetic Data from Sills and Dykes in the Sverdrup Basin, Canadian Arctic. Tectonics, Volume 7, pp. 463-481.
- Jansonius, J. (1976) Paleogene Fungal Spores and Fruiting Bodies of the Canadian Arctic. Geoscience and Man, Volume 15, pp. 129-132.
- Jansonius, J. and Hills, L.V. (1976) Genera File of Fossil Spores. Special Publication- Department of Geology, University of Calgary.
- Jarzen, D.M. (1977) <u>Aquilapollenites</u> and Some Santalalean Genera; A Botanical Comparison. Grana, Volume 16, pp. 29-39.
- Jarzen, D.M. (1982) Palynology of Dinosaur Provincial Park (Campanian) Alberta. National Museums of Canada, Syllogeus Number 38, 69 p..

Jarzen, D.M. and Norris, G. (1975) Evolutionary Significance

and Botanical Relationships of Cretaceous Angiosperm Pollen in the Western Canadian Interior. Geoscience and Man, Volume 11, pp. 47-60.

- Jerzykiewicz, T. and Sweet, A.R. (1986) The Cretaceous-Tertiary Boundary in the Central Alberta Foothills. I: Stratigraphy. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 23, pp. 1356-1374.
- Jutard, G., and Plauchut, B.P. (1973) Cretaceous and Tertiary Stratigraphy Northern Banks Island. <u>In</u> Proceedings of the Symposium on the Canadian Arctic. <u>Edited by</u> J.D. Aitken and D.J. Glass. Geological Association of Canada, Saskatoon, pp. 203-219.
- Kalgutkar, R.M. and Sweet, A.R. (1988) Morphological, Taxonomy and Phylogeny of the Fossil Fungal Genus <u>Pesavis</u> from Northwestern Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 379, pp. 117-133.
- Kedves, M. (1980) Palynological Investigations of Sediments on the Lower Fish Clay, Denmark, II. Acta Mineralogica Petrographica Szeged. Volume 24, Number 2, pp. 355-376.
- Keen, C.E., and Barrett, D.L. (1973) Structural Characteristics of some Sedimentary Basins in Northern Baffin Bay. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 10, pp. 1267-1278.
- Keen, M.J., Johnson, J., and Park, I. (1972) Geophysical and Geological Studies in Eastern and Northern Baffin Bay and Lancaster Sound. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 9, Number 6, p. 698-708.
- Kerr, J.W. (1980) Structural Framework of Lancaster Aulacogen, Arctic Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, Bulletin 319, 24 p.
- Krutsch, W. (1969) Taxonomie Syncolp(or)ater Und Morphologisch Benachbarter Pollengattungen Und (Sporae Dispersae) Aus Der Oberkreide Und Dem Tertiär. Teil I: Syncolp(or)ate Und Syncolp(or)atoide Pollenforman. Pollen et Spores, Volume 11, Number 2, pp. 397-424.
- Langille, Andrew (1987) Sedimentology and Palynology of Cretaceous and Tertiary Strata, Southeast Baffin Island, Northwest Territories, Canada. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, 163 p..
- Leffingwell, H.A. (1971) Palynology of the Lance (Late Cretaceous) and Fort Union (Paleocene) Formations of the Type Lance area, Wyoming. <u>In</u> Symposium on Palynology of

the Late Cretaceous and Early Tertiary. <u>Edited by</u> R.M. Kosanke and A.T. Cross. Geological Society of America, Special Paper 127, pp. 1-64.

- Leffingwell, H.A., Larson, Donald A., and Valencia, Mark J (1970) A Study of the Fossil Pollen <u>Wodehouseia spinata</u>. I. Ultrastructure and Comparisons to Selected Modern Taxa. II. Optical Microscopic Recognition of Foot Layers in Differentially Stained Fossil Pollen and their Significance. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, Volume 18, Number 2, pp. 238-262.
- Levy, E.M. (1978) Visual and Chemical Evidence for a Natural Seep at Scott Inlet, Baffin Island, District of Franklin. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 78-1B, pp. 21-26.
- Long, D.G.F. (1981) Dextral Strike-slip Faults in the Canadian Cordillera and Depositional Environments of Related Fresh-Water Intermontane Coal Basins. <u>In</u> Sedimentation and Tectonics in Alluvial Basins, <u>edited by</u> A.D. Miall, Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 23, pp. 153-187.
- Low, A.P. (1906) The Cruise of the <u>Neptune</u>, 1903-1904. Government Printing Bureau, Ottawa, Canada, 55 p..
- MacLean, B. and Falconer, R.K.H. (1979) Geological/Geophysical Studies in Baffin Bay and Scott Inlet-Buchan Gulf and Cape Dyer-Cumberland Sound Areas of the Baffin Island Shelf. <u>In</u> Current Research, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 79-1B, pp. 231-244.
- Maclean, B., Falconer, R.K.H. and Levy, E.M. (1981) Geological, Geophysical and Chemical Evidence for Natural Seepage of Petroleum off the Northeast Coast of Baffin Island. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, Volume 29, Number 1, pp. 75-95.
- MacLean, B. and Williams, G.L. (1983) Geological Investigations of Baffin Island Shelf in 1982. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 83-1B, pp. 309-315.
- Martin, A.R.H. (1973) Reappraisal of some Palynomorphs of Supposed Proteaceous Affinity. 1. The Genus <u>Beaupreaidites</u> Cookson ex Couper and the Species <u>Proteacidites</u> <u>hakeoides</u> Couper. Special Publications, Geological Survey of Australia, No. 4, pp. 73-78.
- Martin, A.R.H. and Rouse, G.E. (1966) Palynology of Late Tertiary Sediments from Queen Charlotte Islands, British

Columbia. Canadian Journal of Botany, Volume 44, pp. 171-208.

- May, F.E. (1980) Dinoflagellate Cysts of the Gymnodiniaceae, Peridiniaceae and Gonyaulaceae from the Upper Cretaceous Monmouth Group, Atlantic Highlands, New Jersey. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 172, pp. 10-116.
- McAndrews, John H., Berti, Albert A. and Norris, G. (1973) Key to the Quaternary Pollen and Spores of the Great Lakes Regions, Life Sciences Miscellaneous Publication, Royal Ontario Museum, 61 p..
- McBride, E.F. (1963) A Classification of Common Sandstones. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 33, pp. 664-669.
- McCubbin, D.G. (1982) Barrier Island and Strand Plain Facies. <u>In</u> Sandstone Depositional Environments. <u>Edited</u> by P.A. Scholle and D. Spearing, American Association of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 31 pp. 247-29.
- McGregor, D.C. (1965) Triassic, Jurassic, and Lower Cretaceous Spores and Pollen of Arctic Canada. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-14, 57 p..
- McKee, E.D., Crosby, E.J. and Berryhill Jr., H.L. (1967) Flood Deposits, Bijou Creek, Colorado, June 1965. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 37, Number 5, pp. 829-851.
- McIntyre, D.J. (1974) Palynology of a Upper Cretaceous Section, Horton River, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T.. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 74-14, 57 p..
- McIntyre, D.J. (1975) Morphologic Changes in <u>Deflandrea</u> from a Campanian Section, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T., Canada. Geoscience and Man, Volume 11, pp. 61-76.
- McIntyre, D.J. (1989) Paleocene Palynoflora from Northern Somerset Island, District of Franklin, N.W.T.. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part G, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 89-1G, pp. 191-197.
- McLean, J.R. (1977) The Cadomin Formation; Stratigraphy, Sedimentology and Tectonic Implications. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, v. 25, pp. 792-827.
- Miall, A.D. (1978) Lithofacies Types and Vertical Profile Models in Braided River Deposits: a Summary. <u>In</u> Fluvial Sedimentology, <u>edited</u> <u>by</u> A.D. Miall, Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 5, pp. 597-604.

Miall, A.D. (1979) Mesozoic-Tertiary Geology of Banks Island,

Arctic Canada: The History of an Unstable Craton Margin. Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 387, 235 p..

- Miall, A.D. (1981) Late Cretaceous and Paleogene Sedimentation and Tectonics in the Canadian Arctic Islands. <u>In</u> Sedimentation and Alluvial Tectonics, <u>edited</u> by A. Miall, Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 23, pp. 221-272.
- Miall, A.D. (1985a) Architectural-Element Analysis: A new Method of Facies Analysis Applied to Fluvial Deposits. <u>In</u> Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Short Course Number 19, p. 33-82.
- Miall, A.D. (1985b) Multiple-Channel Bedload Rivers. <u>In</u> Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists Short Course Number 19, p. 83-100.
- Miall, A.D. (1986) The Eureka Sound Group (Upper Cretaceous-Oligocene), Canadian Arctic Islands. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, Volume 34, pp 240-270.
- Miall, A.D., Balkwill, H.R., and Hopkins Jr, W.S. (1980) Cretaceous and Tertiary Sediments of Eclipse Trough, Bylot Island Area, Arctic Canada, and their Regional Setting. Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 79-23, 20 p.
- Mikhelis, A.A. (1981) Normapolles Pollen in Cretaceous/ Paleogene Boundary Deposits of the Priazov'ye (Azov Sea Area). Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 35, pp. 209-229.
- Nakoman, Eran (1968) Contribution à l'étude de la Microflore Tertiare des Lignites de Seyitömer (Turquie). Pollen et Spores, Volume 10, Number 3, pp. 557-598.
- Nichols, D.J. and Ott, H.L. (1978) Biostratigraphy and Evolution of the <u>Momipites-Caryapollenites</u> Lineage in the Early Tertiary in the Wind River Basin, Wyoming. Palynology, Volume 2, pp. 93-112.
- Norris, G. (1967) Spores and Pollen from the Lower Colorado Group (Albian-? Cenomanian) of Central Alberta. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 120, pp. 72-115.
- Norris, G. (1986) Systematic and Stratigraphic Palynology of Eocene to Pliocene Strata in the Imperial Nuktak C-22 Well, Mackenzie Delta Region, District of Mackenzie, N.W.T.. Geological Survey of Canada. Bulletin 340, 89 p..
- Norris, G., Jarzen, D.M. and Awai-Thorne, B.V. (1975) Evolution of the Cretaceous Terrestrial Palynoflora in

Western Canada. The Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 13, pp. 333-364.

- North American Commission on Stratigraphic Nomenclature (1983) North American Stratigraphic Code. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, Volume 67, Number 5, pp. 841-876.
- Norton, N.J. and Hall, J.W. (1969) Palynology of the Upper Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary in the Type Locality of the Hell Creek Formation, Montana, U.S.A.. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 125. pp. 1-64.
- Okulitch, A.V., Dawes, P.R., Higgins, A.K., Soper, N.J. and Christie, R.L. (1990) Towards a Nares Strait Solution: Structural Studies on Southeastern Ellesmere Island and Northwestern Greenland, Marine Geology, Volume 93, pp. 369-384.
- Oltz, D.F. (1969) Numerical Analyses of Palynological Data from Cretaceous and Early Tertiary Sediments in East Central Montana, U.S.A.. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 128, pp. 90-166.
- Pacltova, B. (1981) The Evolution and Distribution of Normapolles Pollen During the Cenophytic. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 35, pp. 175-208.
- Paden Philips, P., and Felix, Charles J. (1971) A Study of Lower and Middle Cretaceous Spores and Pollen from the Southeastern United States. I. Spores. Pollen et Spores, Volume 13, Number 2, pp. 279-348.
- Pierce, J.. (1982) The Evolution of the Nares Strait Lineament and its Relation to the Eurekan Orogeny. <u>In</u> Nares Strait and the Drift of Greenland; A Conflict in Plate Tectonics, <u>Edited</u> by P.R. Dawes and J.W. Kerr, Meddelelser om Grønland, Geoscience, Volume 8, pp. 237-252.
- Playford, G. (1971) Palynology of Lower Cretaceous (Swan River) Strata of Saskatchewan and Manitoba. Paleontology, Volume 14, Part 4, pp. 533-565.
- Pocknall, D.T. (1987) Palynomorph biozones for the Fort Union and Wasatch Formations (Upper Paleocene-Lower Eocene), Powder River Basin, Wyoming and Montana, U.S.A.. Palynology, Volume 11, pp. 23-36.
- Pocock, S.A.J. (1962) Microfloral Analysis and Age Determination of Strata at the Jurassic-Cretaceous Boundary in the Western Canada Plains. Palaeontographica

Abt. B, Volume 111, pp. 1-95.

- Potonié, R. (1956) Synopsis der Gattungen der Sporae dispersae. I. Teil: Sporites. Beihefte zum Geologischen Jahrbuch, Volume 23, 103 p..
- Potonié, R. (1958) Synopsis der Gatungen der Sporae dispersae. II. Teil: Sporites (Nachtrage), Saccites, Aletes, Praecolpates, Polyplicates, Monocolpates. Beihefte zum Geologischen Jahrbuch, Volume 31, 114 p..
- Potonié, R. (1960) Synopsis der Gatungen der Sporae dispersae. III. Teil: Nachtrage Sporites, Fortsetung Pollenites mit Generalregister zu Teil I - III. Beihefte zum Geologischen Jahrbuch, Volume 39. 189 p..
- Potonié, R. and Kremp, G. (1954) Die Gattungen der Palaozoischen Sporae und ihre Stratigraphie. Geologisches Jahrbuche, Volume 69, pp. 111-194.
- Rao, P.V.R., Ramanujam, C.G.K. and Varma, Y.N.R. (1983) Palynology of the Gangapur Beds, Pranhita-Godavari Basin, Andhra Pradesh, The Paleobotanical Society, Lucknow, Geophytology, Volume 13, Number 1, pp. 22-45.
- Reading, H.G. (1986) Chapter 2, Facies. <u>In</u> Sedimentary Environments and Facies. <u>Edited</u> by H.G. Reading, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 4-19.
- Reineck, H.E. and Singh, I.B. (1980) Depositional Sedimentary Environments. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 683 p..
- Ricketts, B.D. and Sweet, A.R. (1986) Stratigraphy, Sedimentology and Palynology of the Kootenay-Blairmore Transition in Southwestern Alberta and Southeastern British Columbia. Geological Survey of Canada, 41 p..
- Rouse, G.E. (1957) The Application of a New Nomenclature Approach to Upper Cretaceous Plant Microfossils from Western Canada. Canadian Journal of Botany. Volume 35, Number 3, pp. 349-375.
- Rouse, G.E. (1977) Paleogene Palynomorph Ranges in Western and Northern Canada. American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists, Contribution Series 5A, pp. 48-65.
- Rouse, G.E., Hopkins, W.S. and Piel, K.M. (1971) Palynology of some Late Cretaceous and Early Tertiary Deposits in British Columbia and Adjacent Alberta. <u>In</u> Symposium on Palynology of the Late Cretaceous and Early Tertiary. <u>Edited by</u> R.M. Kosanke and A.T. Cross. Geological Society of America, Special Paper 127, pp. 213-246.

- Rouse, G.E., Lesack, K.A., and White, J.M. (1990) Palynology of Cretaceous and Tertiary strata of Georgia Basin, southwestern British Columbia. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part F, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 90-1F, p. 109-113, 1990.
- Rouse, G.E. and Srivastava, S.K. (1972) Palynological Zonation of Cretaceous and Early Tertiary Rocks of the Bonnet Plume Formation, Northeastern Yukon, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 9, pp. 1163-1179.
- Rust, B.R. (1978) Depositional Models for Braided Alluvium. <u>In</u> Fluvial Sedimentology, <u>Edited</u> by Miall, A.D., Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 5, pp. 605-625.
- Rust, B.R. (1981) Alluvial Deposits and Tectonic Style; Devonian and Carboniferous Successions in Eastern Gaspe. <u>In</u> Sedimentation and Alluvial Tectonics, <u>edited by</u> A. Miall, Geological Association of Canada, Special Paper 23, pp. 49-77.
- Samoilovitch, S.R. (1965) Pollen of new Upper Cretaceous Angiosperm Plant Species from Yakutia. In Paleofitologicheskii(u) Sbornik (Paleophytological Symposium), Edited by S.K. Samoilovitch, pp. 121-141. Unpublished English Translation.
- Samoilovitch, S.R. (1967) Tentative Botanico-geographical Subdivision of Northern Asia in late Cretaceous time. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 2, pp. 127-139.
- Samoilovitch, S.R. and Mtchedlishvili, N.D. (1961) Pollen and Spores of Western Siberia. Trudy, Vsesoyuznyi Nauchno-Issledovatel'skii Geologorazvedochnyi Institut, Leningrad, Volume 177, 342 pp. (Unpublished English Translation).
- Schiener, E.J. (1977) Sedimentological Observations on the Early Cretaceous Sediments in Eastern Parts of the Nûgssuaq Embayment. Grønlands Geologiske Undersøgelse, Rapport 79, pp. 45-61.
- Schumacker-Lambry, J. (1978) Palynologie du Landenian inferieur (Paleocene) a Gelinden-Overbroek / Belgique. Relations entre les microfossils et le sediment. Univ. Liege, 157 p..
- Scott, L. (1976) Palynology of the Lower Cretaceous Deposits
 from the Algoa Basin (Republic of South Africa). Pollen
 et Spores, Volume 18, Number 4, pp. 563-609.

- Sellwood, B.W. (1972) Tidal Flat Sedimentation in the Lower Jurassic of Bornholm, Denmark. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology and Palaeoecology, Volume 11, pp. 93-106.
- Shaozhi, M. and Norris, G. (1988) Late Cretaceous- Early Tertiary Dinoflagellates and Acritarchs from the Kashi Area, Tarim Basin, Xinjiang Province, China. Life Sciences Contributions, Number 150, Royal Ontario Museum, 93 p..
- Sheffy, M.V. and Dilcher, D.L. (1971) Morphology and Taxonomy
 of Fungal Spores. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 133,
 p. 34-51.
- Singh, C. (1964) Microflora of the Lower Cretaceous Mannville Group, East-Central Alberta. Research Council of Alberta, Bulletin 15, 239 p..
- Singh, C. (1971) Lower Cretaceous Microfloras of the Peace River Group, Northwestern Alberta. Research Council of Alberta, Bulletin 28, 542 p..
- Singh, C. (1983) Cenomanian Microfloras of the Peace River Area, Northwestern Alberta. Alberta Research Council, Bulletin 44, 322 p..
- Smith, D.R., Gowan, R.J. and McComb, M. (1989) Geology and Resource Potential of a Proposed National Marine Park Lancaster Sound, Northwest Territories. Geological Survey of Canada, Open File 2022, 52 p..
- Smith, G.A. (1987) The Influence of Explosive Volcanism on Fluvial Sedimentation: The Deschutes Formation (Neogene) in Central Oregon. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology, Volume 57, Number 4, pp. 613-629.
- Souther, J.G. (1963) Geological Traverse across Axel Heiberg Island from Buchanon Lake to Strand Fiord. <u>In</u> Geology of the North-Central part of the Arctic Archipelago, Northwest Territories (Operation Franklin). Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 20, pp. 426-448.
- Sparkes, K.E. (1989) Stratigraphy and terrestrial palynology
 of late Cretaceous Eclipse Group strata, Bylot Island,
 Northwest Territories, Canada. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis,
 Memorial University of Newfoundland, 195 p..
- Srivastava, S.K. (1966) Upper Cretaceous Microflora (Maestrichtian) from Scollard, Alberta, Canada. Pollen et Spores, Volume 8, Number 3, pp. 497-552.

- Srivastava, S.K. (1967) Palynology of Late Cretaceous Mammal Beds, Scollard, Alberta (Canada). Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, Volume, pp. 133-150.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1969a) Assorted Angiosperm Pollen from the Edmonton Formation (Maestrichtian), Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Botany, Volume 47, pp. 975-989.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1969b) Pollen Genus <u>Wodehouseia</u> and its Stratigraphic SIgnificance in the Edmonton Formation (Maestrichtian), Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 6, pp. 1307-1311.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1969c) Upper Cretaceous Proteaceous Pollen
 from the Edmonton Formation, Alberta, (Canada) and their
 Paleoecologic Significance. Canadian Journal of Botany,
 Volume 47, pp. 1571-1578.
- Srivastava; S.K. (1970) Pollen Biostratigraphy and Paleoecology of the Edmonton Formation (Maestrichtian), Alberta, Canada. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology and Palaeoecology, Volume 7, pp. 221-276.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1971) Monolete Spores from the Edmonton Formation (Maastrichtian), Alberta (Canada). Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 11, pp. 251-265.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1972a) Systematic Description of Spores from the Edmonton Formation (Maastrichtian), Alberta, Canada. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 139, pp. 1-36.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1972b) <u>Tappanispora loeblichii</u>, n. gen., n. sp., from the Kiamichi Formation (Albian) of Texas. Journal of Paleontology, Volume 46, Number 6, pp. 859-860.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1972c) Some Spores and Pollen from the Paleocene Oak Hill Member of the Naheola Formation, Alabama (U.S.A.). Review of Paleobotany and Palynology, Volume 14, pp. 217-285.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1975) Miospores from the Fredericksburg Group (Albian) of the Southern United States. Paleobiologie Continentale, Volume 6, Number 2, pp. 1-119.
- Srivastava, S.K. (1978) Cretaceous Spore-Pollen Floras; A Global Evaluation. Biological Memoirs, Paleopalynology Series- 5, Volume 1, Number 3, 130 p..
- Srivastava, S.K. (1981) Stratigraphic Ranges of Selected Spores and Pollen from the Fredericksburg Group (Albian)

of the Southern United States. Palynology, Volume 5, pp. 1-28.

- Srivastava, S.K., and Rouse, G.E. (1970) Systematic Revision
 of <u>Aquilapollenites</u> Rouse 1957. Canadian Journal of
 Botany, Volume 48, pp. 1591-1601.
- Srivastava, S.P. (1978) Evolution of the Labrador Sea and its Bearing on the Early Evolution of the North Atlantic. Royal Astronomical Society of London, Geophysical Journal, Volume 52, pp. 313-357.
- Srivastava, S.P. and Tapscott, C.R. (1986) Plate Kinematics of the North Atlantic. In The Geology of North America, Volume M, The Western North Atlantic Region, Chapter 23, The Geological Society of America, pp. 379-404..
- Stanley, E.A. (1961) The Fossil Pollen Genus <u>Aquilapollenites</u>. Pollen et Spores, Volume 3, Number 2, pp. 329-352.
- Stanley, E.A. (1965) Upper Cretaceous and Paleocene Plant Microfossils and Paleocene Dinoflagellates and Hystrichosphaerids from Northwestern South Dakota. Bulletin of American Paleontology, Volume 49, Number 222, 384 p..
- Stanley, E.A. (1970) The Stratigraphical, Biogeogeographical, Paleoautecological and Evolutionary Significance of the Fossil Pollen Group Triprojectacites. Bulletin of Georgia Academy of Science, Volume 28, pp. 1-44.
- Stockmarr, J. (1971) Tablets with Spores used in Absolute Pollen Analysis. Pollen et Spores, Volume 13, pp. 615-621.
- Stockwell, .H., McGlynn, J.C., Emslie, R.F., Sanford, B.V., Norris, A.W., Donaldson, J.A., Fahrig, W.F. and Currie, K.L. (1970) Geology of the Canadian Shield. <u>In</u> Geology and Economic Minerals of Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Economic Geology Report Number 1, Chapter X, pp. 548-590.
- Stone, F.J. (1973) Palynology of the Almond Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Rock Springs Uplift, Wyoming. Bulletin of American Paleontology, Volume 64, Number 278, p. 135..
- Sweet, A.R. (1978a) Palynology of the Lower Part, Type Section, Tent Island Formation, Yukon Territory. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 78-1B, pp. 31-37.

Sweet, A.R. (1978b) Palynology of the Ravenscrag and Frenchman

Formations. <u>In</u> Coal resources of Southern Saskatchewan: a Model for Evaluation Methodology. <u>By</u> S.H. Whitaker <u>et</u> <u>al.</u> Geological Survey of Canada, Economic Geology Report 30, pp. 29-38.

- Sweet, A.R. (1986) The Cretaceous Tertiary Boundary in the Central Alberta Foothills. II: Miospore and Pollen Taxonomy. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 23, pp. 1375-1388.
- Sweet, A.R. and McIntyre, D.J. (1988) Late Turonian Marine and Nonmarine Palynomorphs from the Cardium Formation, North-Central Alberta Foothills, Canada. <u>In</u> Sequences, Stratigraphy, Sedimentology: Surface and Subsurface. <u>Edited by</u> D.P. James and D.A. Leckie. Canadian Society of Petroleum Geologists, Memoir 15, pp. 499-516.
- Sweet, A.R., Ricketts, B.D., Cameron, A.R. and Norris, D.K. (1989) An Integrated Analysis of the Brackett Coal Basin, Northwest Territories. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part G, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 89-1G, pp. 85-99.
- Takahashi, K. (1970) Some Palynomorphs from the Upper Cretaceous Sediments of Hokkaido. Translated Proceedings of the Paleontological Society of Japan, Number 73, pp. 265-275.
- Takahashi, K., and Shimono, H. (1982) Maestrichtian Microflora of the Miyadani-gawa Formation in the Hida District, Central Japan. Bulletin of the Faculty of Liberal Arts, Nagasaki University, Natural Science, Volume 22, Number 2, pp. 11-188.
- Thomson, P.W. and Pflug, H. (1953) Pollen und Sporen des Mitteleuropäishen Teretiärs. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 94, pp. 1-138.
- Thornsteinsson, R. and Tozer, E.T. (1963) Mesozoic and Tertiary Stratigraphy. <u>In</u> Geology of the North-Central part of the Arctic Archipelago, Northwest Territories (Operation Franklin). Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 320, pp. 74-95.
- Thornsteinsson, R. and Tozer, E.T. (1970) Geology of the Arctic Archipelago. <u>In</u> Geology and Economic Minerals of Canada, Geological Survey of Canada, Economic Geology Report Number 1, Chapter X, pp. 548-590.
- Tidwell, W.D. (1975) Common Fossil Plants of Western North America. Brigham Young University Press, Provo, Utah, 197 p..

- Ting, W.S. (1968) Fossil Pollen Grains of Coniferales from Early Tertiary of Idaho, Nevada and Colorado (1). Pollen et Spores, Volume 10, Number 3, pp. 557-598.
- Traverse, A. (1988) Paleopalynology. Unwin Hyman, Boston, 600 p..
- Tremblay, A. (1921) Cruise of the <u>Minnie Maud</u>. The Arctic Exchange and Publishing Ltd., Quebec, Canada, 573 p..
- Truswell, E.. (1983) Recycled Cretaceous and Tertiary Pollen and Spores in Antarctic Marine Sediments: A Catalogue. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 186, pp. 121-174.
- Tschudy, B.D. (1969) Species of <u>Aquilapollenites</u> and <u>Fibulapollis</u> from two Upper Cretaceous Localities in Alaska. United States Geological Survey, Professional Paper 643-A, 17 p..
- Tschudy, B.D. (1973) Palynology of the Upper Campanian (Cretaceous), Judith River Formation, North-Central Montana. United States Geological Survey, Professional Paper 770, 42 p.
- Tschudy, R.H. (1973) <u>Complexiopollis</u> Pollen Lineage in Mississippi Embayment Rocks, United States Geological Survey, Professional Paper 743-C, 35 p..
- Tschudy, R.H. (1975) Normapolles Pollen from the Mississippi Embayment. United States Geological Survey, Professional Paper 865, 42 p..
- Umpleby, D.C. and Hardy, I.A. (1977) Field Work in the Nûgssuaq Embayment, West Greenland. <u>In</u> Current Research, Part B, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 77-1B, pp. 93-95.
- Vagvolgyi, A. and Hills, L.V. (1969) Microflora of the Lower Cretaceous McMurray Formation, Northeast Alberta. Bulletin of Canadian Geology, Volume 13, Number 2, pp. 155-181.
- Van der Plas, L. and Tobi, A.C. (1965) A Chart for Judging the Reliability of Point Counting Results. American Journal of Science, Volume 263, pp. 87-90.
- Wall, J.H. and Singh, C. (1975) A Late Cretaceous Microfossil Assemblage from the Buffalo Head Hills, North-Central Alberta. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 12, Number 7, pp. 1157-1174.

Wanless, R.K. (1970) Isotopic age map of Canada. Geological

Survey of Canada, Map 1256A.

- Waterfield, J.W. (1989) Stratigraphy, Sedimentology and Palynology of Cretaceous and Tertiary Strata, Southwest Bylot Island, Northwest Territories, Canada. Unpublished M.Sc. thesis, Memorial University of Newfoundland, 260 p.
- Wiggins, W.G. (1976) Fossil Oculata Pollen from Alaska. Geoscience and Man, Volume 15, pp. 51-76.
- Williams, G.L. and Bujak, J.P. (1977) Cenozoic Palynostratigraphy of Offshore Eastern Canada. <u>In</u> Contributions of Stratigraphic Palynology, Volume 1, Cenozoic Palynology. <u>Edited by</u> W.E. Elsik. American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists, Contributions Series, Number 5a, pp. 14-47.
- Williams, G.L. and Bujak, J.P. (1985) Mesozoic and Cenozoic Dinoflagellates. <u>In</u> Plankton Stratigraphy. <u>Edited by</u> H.M. Boli, J.B. Saunders and K. Perch-Nielson. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 847-964.
- Wilkinson, G.C. and Boulter, M.C. (1980) Oligocene Pollen and Spores from the Western Part of the British Isles. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 175, pp. 27-8.
- Wilson, Malcolm A. (1978) Palynology of three Sections across the Uppermost Cretaceous/Paleocene Boundary in the Yukon Territory and District of Mackenzie, Canada. Palaeontographica Abt. B, Volume 166, pp. 99-183.
- Wingate, F.H. (1980) Plant Microfossils from the Denton Shale Member of the Bokchito Formation (Lower Cretaceous, Albian) in Southern Oklahoma. Oklahoma Geological Survey, Bulletin 130, 89 p..
- Wingate, F.H. (1983) Palynology of the Elko Formation (Eocene) near Elko, Nevada. Palynology, Volume 7, pp. 92-131.
- Wodehouse,, R.P. (1933) Tertiary Pollen-II. The Oil Shales of the Eocene Green River Formation. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club, Volume 60, pp. 479-524.
- Wolfe, J.A. (1976) Stratigraphic Distribution of some Pollen types from the Campanian and lower Maestrichtian rocks (Upper Cretaceous) of the Middle Atlantic States. U.S. Geological Survey, Professional Paper 977, 7 p..
- Zhou, S.F. and Wang, L.Y. (1983) <u>Aquilapollenites</u> Fossils from the Rudong Region, Northern Jiangsu. Acta Paleontologica Sinica, Volume 22, Number 5, pp. 531-541. Unpublished English translation.

Zippi, P.A. and Bajc, A.F. (1990) Recognition of a Cretaceous Outlier in Northwestern Ontario. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, Volume 27, pp. 306-311.

PLATES

Specimens 1 and 2 were identified by J. Basinger, University of Saskatchewan. Except for figures 1-5 on plate 1, specimens were photographed in interference contrast. Palynological specimen figures list species name, palynology processing number with slide number in brackets, field sample number, microscopic coordinates and magnification.

PLATE 1

- Figure 1. <u>Equisetum</u> sp. and leaf of <u>Metasequoia</u> <u>occidentalis</u> (Newberry) Chaney; PB-74, Maud Bight Member.
- Figure 2. <u>Trochodendroides</u> <u>arctica</u> (Heer) Berry; PB-74, Maud Bight Member.
- Figure 3. Alnus sp.; PB-145, Navy Board Formation.
- Figure 4. <u>Teredo</u> bored permineralized wood; PB-37, Sermilik Formation.
- Figure 5. <u>Skolithos</u>; Section 4, Sermilik Formation. Ruler is 15 cm. long.



- Figure 6. <u>Cingutriletes</u> <u>pococki</u> (Burger) Burden and Hills; 89052 (2), PB-65, 81.7x7.5, 1060x.
- Figure 7. <u>Cingutriletes</u> sp. 1 90004 (1), PB-127, 90.8x14.5, 1060x.
- Figure 8. <u>Densoisporites</u> <u>velatus</u> (Weyland and Krieger) Krasnova; 89067 (2), PB-104, 87.4x2, 1060x.
- Figure 9. <u>Antulsporites</u> <u>distaverrucosus</u> (Brenner) Archangelsky and Gamerro; 89052 (2), PB-65, 93x9, 660x.
- Figure 10. Appendicisporites bifurcatus Singh; 90002 (1), PB-124, 95.7x9.3, 850x.
- Figure 11. <u>A.</u> sp. cf. <u>Anemia</u> <u>macrorhyza</u> (Maljavkina) Bolkhovitina; 90002 (1), PB-124, 99.1x11, 1060x.
- Figure 12. <u>A. matesovae</u> (Bolkhovitina) Norris; 89052 (1), PB-65, 105.6x10, 660x.
- Figure 13. <u>A. problematicus</u> (Burger) Singh; 90002 (1), PB-124, 93.8x17.1, 1060x.
- Figure 14. <u>Camarozonosporites</u> <u>ambigens</u> (Fradkina) Playford; 89068 (1), PB-107, 74x5.6, 1060x.
- Figure 15. <u>Hamulatisporis</u> <u>hamulatis</u> Krutzsch; 89045 (1), PB-35, 90.5x13.3, 1060x.
- Figure 16. <u>Gleicheniidites</u> <u>senonicus</u> Ross; 89052 (1), PB-65, 104.2x7.5, 660x.
- Figure 17. <u>G.</u> sp. cf. <u>circinidites</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; 90002 (1), PB-124, 81.9x10, 1060x.
- Figure 18. <u>O.</u> <u>baculata</u> Singh; 90002 (1), PB-124, 87.8x6, 1060x.



PL 2

- Figure 19. <u>Tappanispora</u> <u>reticulata</u> (Singh) Srivastava; 90003 (1), PB-125, 83.3x14, 1060x.
- Figure 20. Lycopodiacidites canaliculatus Singh; 89052 (1), PB-65, 84.8x7.3, 1060x.
- Figure 21. <u>Cicatricosisporites</u> <u>pseudotripartitus</u> (Bolhovitina) Dettmann; 90002 (1), PB-124, 79x9.2, 1060x.
- Figure 22. <u>C. australiensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; 90004 (1) PB-127, 94.8x6.5, 1060x.
- Figure 23. <u>C.</u> <u>subrotundus</u> Brenner; 89071 (1), PB-117, 87x12.3, 1300x.
- Figure 24. <u>Radialisporis</u> <u>radiatus</u> (Krutzsch) Krutzsch; 89071 (1) PB-117, 1060x.
- Figure 25. <u>Klukisporites</u> <u>pseudoreticulatus</u> Couper; 89052 (1), PB-65, 83.8x19.5, 660x.
- Figure 26. <u>Retitriletes</u> <u>austroclavitides</u> (Cookson) Krutzsch; 89071 (1), PB-117, 94.8x9.2, 1600x.
- Figure 27. <u>R.</u> reticulumsporites (Rouse) Burden and Hills; 89071 (1), PB-117, 101.4x10, 1060x.
- Figure 28. <u>Stoverisporites</u> <u>lunaris</u> (Cookson and Dettmann) Burger; 90003 (1), PB-125, 94.3x14.8, 660x.
- Figure 29. <u>Biretisporites</u> <u>potoniaei</u> Delcourt and Sprumont; 89070 (1), PB-113, 103.0x9.2, 660x.
- Figure 30. <u>Todisporites minor</u> Couper; 90002 (1), PB-124, 86.6x9, 660x.



- Figure 31. <u>Stereisporites</u> <u>antiquasporites</u> (Wilson and Webster) Dettmann; 89046 (1), PB-40, 78.5x9, 1060x.
- Figure 32. <u>S.</u> <u>regium</u> (Drozhastchich) Drugg; 89047 (1), PB-41, 85.4x12.2, 1060x.
- Figure 33. <u>Cingulatisporites</u> <u>dakotaensis</u> Stanley; 89068 (1), PB-107, 90.1x10, 1060x.
- Figure 34. <u>Deltoidospora</u> <u>halli</u> Miner; 89068 (1), PB-107, 101.6x10.3, 1060x.
- Figure 35. <u>D. diaphana</u> Wilson and Webster; 89018 (2), PB-91, 102.7x15.1, 660x.
- Figure 36. <u>D. psilostoma</u> Rouse; 90002 (1), PB-124, 98.5x12.3, 660x.
- Figure 37. <u>Cyathidites</u> <u>australis</u> Couper; 90007 (1), PB-136, 99.3x8, 660x.
- Figure 38. <u>C. minor</u> Couper; 89068 (1), PB-107, 99.3x8, 1060x.
- Figure 39. <u>Gemmatriletes</u> <u>clavatus</u> Brenner; 90007 (1) PB-136, 79.3x7.5, 660x.
- Figure 40. <u>Osmundacities</u> <u>wellmanii</u> Couper; 89071 (3), PB-117, 90.5x5.2, 660x.
- Figure 41. <u>Baculatisporites</u> <u>comaumensis</u> (Cookson) Potonié; 90071 (1), PB-117, 90.5x5.2, 1060x.
- Figure 42. <u>Echinatisporites</u> varispinosus Pocock; 89071 (1), PB-117, 79.7x13, 1060x.
- Figure 43. <u>Impardecispora humilis</u> (Delcourt and Sprumont) Burden and Hills; 90002 (1), PB-124, 92.3x10.0, 660x.
- Figure 44. <u>Laevigatosporites</u> <u>haardti</u> (Potonié and Venitz) Thomson and Pflug; 89071 (1), PB-117, 99.3x8.2, 1060x.
- Figure 45. <u>Hazaria</u> <u>sheoparii</u> Srivastava; 89057 (1), PB-42, 88x10.9, 1060x.
- Figure 46. <u>Cicatricososporites</u> <u>eocenicus</u> (Selling) Jansonius and Hills; 89071 (1), PB-117, 90.9x9.2, 850x.



- Figure 47. <u>Aequitriradites</u> <u>spinulosus</u>? (Cookson and Dettmann) Cookson and Dettmann; 90003 (1), PB-125, 79.5x10.5, 1060x.
- Figure 48. <u>Inaperturopollenites</u> sp.; 89020 (1), PB-1, 76.2x8.3, 1060x.
- Figure 49. <u>Taxodiaceaepollenites</u> <u>hiatus</u> (Potonié) Kremp; 89072 (1), PB-120, 81.7x5.4, 1060x.
- Figure 50. <u>T. vacuipites</u> (Wodehouse) Wingate; 89071 (1) PB-120, 81.7x5.4, 1060x.
- Figure 51. <u>Araucariacites</u> <u>australis</u> Cookson; 89071 (1), Pb-117, 84.2x8.3, 850x.
- Figure 52. <u>Sequoiapollenites</u> paleocenicus Stanley; 89072 (1) PB-120, 97x9, 1060x.
- Figure 53. <u>Cerebropollenites</u> <u>mesozoicus</u> (Couper) Nilsson; 90005 (1) PB-128, 76.9x12.6, 1060x.
- Figure 54. <u>Podocarpidites</u> <u>ellipticus</u> Cookson; 90008 (1) PB-138, 94.2x13, 660x.
- Figure 55. <u>P. multesimus</u> (Bolkhovitina) Pocock; 90008 (2) PB-138, 105.8x10, 660x.
- Figure 56. <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>alatipollenites</u> (Rouse) Singh; 90002 (1) PB-124 (1), 93.5x12.2, 660x.
- Figure 57. <u>P.</u> <u>constrictus</u> Singh; 89067 (2), PB-104, 73.9x6.1, 660x.
- Figure 58. <u>Abiespollenites</u> sp.; 90008 (2), PB-138, 97.1x9.3, 660x.
- Figure 59. <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>elongatus</u> (Norton) Norton and Hall; 89024 (1), PB-16, 85.1x17.2, 430x.


- Figure 60. <u>Alisporites</u> <u>grandis</u> (Cookson) Dettmann; 90008 (1), PB-18, 95.4x9, 660x.
- Figure 61. <u>A. bilateralis</u> Rouse; 89057 (2), PB-42, 80.6x10.3, 660x.
- Figure 62. <u>Pityosporites</u> <u>deweyensis</u> (Ting) n. comb.; 90008 (1), PB-138, 95.4x7.8, 660x.
- Figure 63. <u>Vitreisporites</u> <u>pallidus</u> (Reissinger) Nilsson; 90002 (1), PB-124, 104.4x12.1, 660x.
- Figure 64. <u>Cedripites</u> <u>canadensis</u> Pocock; 89071 (1), PB-117, 87.7x5.9, 660x.
- Figure 65. <u>Pristinuspollenites</u> <u>microsaccus</u> (Couper) B.D. Tschudy; 89057 (2), PB-42, 87.7x59, 1060x.
- Figure 66. <u>Clavatipollenites</u> <u>hughesii</u> Couper; 89071 (1), PB-117, 82.9x9.5, 1060x.
- Figure 67. <u>Liliipollis</u> sp. 1; 89046 (1), PB-40, 90x12, 1060x.
- Figure 68. <u>Liliacidites</u> <u>leei</u> Anderson; 89060 (1), PB-90, 78x10, 1060x.
- Figure 69. <u>Cycadopites</u> <u>follicularis</u> Wilson and Webster; 89071 (1), PB-117, 76.4x9, 1060x.
- Figure 70. <u>Entylissa</u> <u>nitidus</u> Balme; 89071 (1), PB-117, 77.8x9.3, 660x.
- Figure 71. <u>Tricolpites</u> <u>hians</u> Stanley; 89064 (2), PB-101, 92.3x12.5, 1060x.
- Figure 72. <u>T. parvus</u> Stanley; 89046 (1), PB-40, 76.2x14.5, 1060x.
- Figure 73. <u>Rousea georgensis</u> (Brenner) Dettmann; 89064 (1), PB-101, 85.1x7, 1060x.



- Figure 74. <u>Striatopollis</u> <u>tectatus</u> Leffingwell; 89014 (1), PB-54, 92x9, 1060x.
- Figure 75. <u>Fraxinoipollenites</u> variabilis Stanley; 89070 (1), PB-113, 89x9.9, 660x.
- Figure 76. Porosipollis porosus Krutzsch; 89070 (1), PB-113, 87.3x4.5, 660x.
- Figure 77. <u>Tricolporites</u> sp. 1; 89020 (1), PB-1, 82.3x9.5, 1060x.
- Figure 78. T. sp. 2; 89062 (1), PB-94, 93.2x10.1, 1060x.
- Figure 79. <u>Cranwellia</u> <u>striata</u> (Couper) Srivastava; 89070 (1), PB-11, 94.6x17, 660x.
- Figure 80. <u>C.</u> <u>rumseyensis</u> Srivastava; 90015 (1), PB-151, 86.3x5.6, 1060x.
- Figure 81. <u>Carpinipites</u> <u>ancipites</u> (Wodehouse) Srivastava; 90060 (1), PB-90, 96.8x4.4, 1300x.
- Figure 82. <u>Caryapollenites</u> sp. cf. <u>inelegans</u> Nichols and Ott; 89072 (1), PB-120, 82.3x6.9, 1060x.
- Figure 83. <u>Paraalnipollenites</u> <u>alterniporus</u> (Zaklinskaia) Hills and Wallace; 89062 (1), PB-94, 1300x.
- Figure 84. <u>Triatriopollenites</u> <u>rurensis</u> Pflug and Thomson; 89062 (1), PB-94, 72.8x10.1, 1300x.
- Figure 85. <u>T.</u> <u>costatus</u> Norton; 89071 (1), PB-117, 87.5x6.3, 1300x.
- Figure 86. T. sp. 1; 89026 (1), PB-25, 76.8x7.0, 1300x.
- Figure 87. <u>Trivestibulopollenites</u> <u>betuloides</u> Pflug; 90010 (1), PB-141, 8.2x11.5, 1060x.
- Figure 88. <u>Momipites</u> <u>wyomingensis</u> Nichols and Ott; 89061 (1), PB-92, 90.6x12.2, 1300x.
- Figure 89. <u>Triporopollenites</u> <u>mullensis</u> (Simpson) Rouse and Srivastava; 89068 (1), PB-107, 74.2x10.9, 660x.



- Figure 90. <u>Complexiopollis</u> sp.; 89012 (1), PB-49, 83.x6.8, 1300x.
- Figure 91. <u>Pseudoplicapollis</u> <u>serenus</u> Tschudy; 89026 (1), PB-25, 76.2x8.5, 1060x.
- Figure 92. <u>Trudopollis</u> <u>conrector</u> Pflug; 89066 (1), PB-103, 89.3x8.6, 1300x.
- Figure 93 <u>T.</u> ex. gr. <u>arector</u> Mikhelis; 89071 (1), PB-117, 83.8x14.6, 1300x.
- Figure 94. <u>Extratriporopollenites</u> sp. 2 of McIntyre; 89071 (1), PB-117, 83.4x14.5, 1060x.
- Figure 95. Normapolles sp. 1; 89068 (1); PB-107, 88.5x11.5, 660x.
- Figure 96. <u>Polyvestibulopollenites</u> <u>verus</u> (Potonié) Thomson and Pflug; 89062 (1), PB-94, 83.6x15.3, 1300x.
- Figure 97. <u>Ulmoideipites krempi</u> Anderson; 89072 (1), PB-120, 97.9x9, 1060x.
- Figure 98. <u>Polyatriopollenites</u> <u>stellatus</u> (Potonié) Pflug; 89061 (1), PB-92, 86.3x12.1, 1060x.
- Figure 99. <u>B.</u> <u>angulatus</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava; 89071 (1), PB-117, 85.7x9.5, 1060x.
- Figure 100. <u>B. mollis</u> (Samoilovitch) Srivastava; 90013 (1), PB-149, 89.9x13, 1060x.
- Figure 101. <u>B.</u> sp. 1; 89071 (1), PB-117, 97.4x12.3, 660x.
- Figure 102. <u>Ericaceoipollenites</u> <u>rallus</u> Stanley; 90008 (1), PB-138, 92.3x8, 1060x.
- Figure 103. <u>Azonia</u> <u>cribrata</u> Wiggins; 89070 (1), PB-113, 93.2x9, 1060x.
- Figure 104. <u>A.</u> jacutense Wiggins; 89067 (2), PB-104, 95.3x1.3, 660x.



- Figure 105. <u>Wodehouseia</u> <u>spinata</u> Stanley; 89071 (1), PB-117, 78.7x12.8, 1060x.
- Figure 106. <u>W. gracile</u> (Samoilovitch) Pokrovaskaya; 89066
 (1), PB-103, 77.8x6, 1060x.
- Figure 107. <u>W. guadrispina</u> Wiggins; 89071 (1), PB-124, 90.6x8.8, 1060x.
- Figure 108. <u>Singularia</u> <u>aculeata</u> Samoilovitch; 89071 (1), PB-117, 83.9x17.5, 660x.
- Figure 109. <u>Mancicorpus</u> <u>trapeziforme</u> Mtchedlishvili; 89071 (1), PB-117, 75.6x9.1, 1060x.
- Figure 110. <u>Aquilapollenites</u> <u>augustus</u> Srivastava; 90007 (1), PB-136, 95.2x10.1, 1060x.
- Figure 111. <u>A.</u> sp. cf. <u>immiser</u> Jerzykiewicz and Sweet; 89021 (1), PB-9, 92.9x4, 1060x.
- Figure 112. <u>A.</u> <u>reticulatus</u> Stanley, 89067 (1), PB-104, 99.2x11.5, 1060x.
- Figure 113. <u>A. trialatus</u> Rouse, 89066 (1), PB-103, 86.3x11, 1060x.
- Figure 114. <u>Monoporisporites singularis</u> Sheffy and Dilcher; 90010 (1), PB-141, 95.6x11.4, 1060x.
- Figure 115. <u>Dicellaesporites</u> <u>popovii</u> Elsik; 89071 (1), PB-117, 95.8x8.2, 660x.
- Figure 116. <u>Reduviasporonites</u> sp. 1; 90010 (1), PB-141, 82.6x10, 1060x.



- Figure 117. <u>Brachysporisporites</u> <u>cotalis</u> (Elsik and Jansonius) Norris; 90010 (1), PB-141, 90.2x11, 1060x.
- Figure 118. <u>Diporicellasporites</u> sp. cf. <u>stacyi</u> Elsik; 88124 (1), PB-71, 84.4x3.3, 1060x.
- Figure 119. <u>D. reticulatus</u> Elsik and Dilcher; 90007 (1), PB-136, 94.5x10.8, 660x.
- Figure 120. D. sp. 1; 89071 (1), PB-117, 81.1x9.2, 660x.
- Figure 121. <u>Striasporonites</u> sp. 1; 89047 (1), PB-41, 78.5x13, 1060x.
- Figure 122. <u>Polyadosporites</u> <u>suescae</u> Van der Hammen; 90010 (1), PB-141, 84.3x10, 1060x.
- Figure 123. <u>Staphlosporonites</u> <u>delumbus</u> Norris; 89046 (1), PB-40, 81.8x4.5, 660x.
- Figure 124. <u>Pesavis</u> <u>parva</u> Kalgutkar and Sweet; 89007 (1), PB-4, 86.6x4.6, 1060x.
- Figure 125. <u>P.</u> tagluensis Elsik and Jansonius; 89062 (1), PB-94, 71.9x10, 1060x.
- Figure 126. Fungal hyphae type F; 90010 (1), PB-141, 85.1x15.8, 660x.
- Figure 127. Fungal hyphae type C; 90009 (1), PB-140, 94.3x11.1, 660x.













- Figure 128. <u>Plochmopeltinites</u> <u>masonii</u> Cookson; 90010 (1), PB-141, 94.6x6.8, 430x.
- Figure 129. <u>Phragmothyrites</u> sp.; 88124 (1), PB-71, 100.5x7, 850x.
- Figure 130. <u>Callimothallus</u> pertusus Dilcher; 90008 (1), PB-138, 90.9x12, 430x.
- Figure 131. <u>Microthallites</u> <u>lutosus</u> Dilcher; 90010 (1), PB-141, 90.6x11.6, 430x.
- Figure 132. <u>Ceratiopsis</u> <u>diebelli</u> (Alberti) Vozzhennikova; 90005 (1), PB-128, 91.7x6.2, 660x.
- Figure 133. <u>Paleoperidinium koslowski</u> (Gorka) Davey; 90004 (3), PB-127, 93.2x8.4, 430x.











PL 11



Cretaceous and Tertiary strata of North Bylot Trough at Maud Bight on Lancaster Sound are divided into five formations representing three tectonic phases based on lithology and palynology. In detail, late Albian Hassel Formation fluvial quartz arenites were deposited at Maud Bight prior to rifting in Baffin Bay and Lancaster Sound (Phase 1). Late Campanian-mid Maastrichtian shelf mudstones (Bylot Island Formation) and mid to late Maastrichtian (Sermilik Formation) nearshore and beach deposited quartz arenites reflect uplift of the Byam Martin Mountains and separation from Eclipse Trough (Phase 2). Lower to middle Paleocene shale and thinly bedded sandstone (Navy Board Formation), lithic greywacke and over 200 metres of conglomerate (Aktineq Formation including the newly defined Maud Bight Member) are equivalent to strata in Eclipse Trough. The Navy Board Formation consists of braided stream, flood plain and lacustrine deposits. These strata are overlain by meandering stream deposits (Aktineq sandstone) and alluvial fan Bight Member). This tectonically deformed strata (Maud coarsening up sequence indicates renewed activity corresponding with the

opening of Baffin Bay to the east and the Lancaster Aulacogen to the north (Phase 3).

spores, pollen and fungal remains three terrestrial Based on palynomorph assemblage zones and one subzone containing components of both the Aquilapollenites and Normapolles Provinces are defined for the North Bylot Trough strata: Azonia cribrata-Aquilapollenites trialatus (AA) Zone, porosus-Wodehouseia (PW) Porosipollenites spinata Zone and the Paraalnipollenites alterniporus-Pesavis parva (PP) Zone. Subzone R lies within the AA Zone and consists of >85% recycled palynomorphs typical of mid to late Albian assemblages such as Cicatricosisporites, Tappanispora and Klukisporites. The AA Zone is late Campanian to mid Maastrichtian and is characterized by Azonia, Aquilapollenites, Trudopollis, Ceratiopsis and Lejeunia. Characteristic genera of the mid to late Maastrichtian PW Zone include Porosipollenites, Aquilapollenites, Beaupreaidites, Singularia and Wodehouseia. The PP Zone is early to mid Paleocene and is characterized by Paraalnipollenites, Trivestibulopollenites, Momipites, Triporopollenites, Caryapollenites and Pesavis.

Organic matter recovered from strata at Maud Bight is thermally immature but with sufficient burial in offshore Lancaster Aulacogen would generate liquid and gas hydrocarbons. Stratigraphic relationships in North Bylot Trough, when applied with geophysical data in Lancaster Sound should allow for delineation of a series of stratigraphic and structural traps.

KEY WORDS: Sedimentology, Palynology, Lancaster Sound, Bylot Island, Hydrocarbons, Cretaceous, Tertiary, Rifting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Dr. Elliott Burden for his supervision and the opportunity to do frontier work on Bylot Island. Terry Wiseman, Robert Rowsell, Helen Gillespie, Joshua Enookolook and Joseph Maktar provided companionship and capable assistance in the field.

Financial and logistical support was provided by the Geological Survey of Canada (Research Agreement Number 88-144), Polar Continental Shelf Project, Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development- Northern Scientific Training Program, Arctic Research Establishment, Northern Heritage Society- Science Institute of the Northwest Territories Northerner Employment Training, Employment and Immigration- Challenge 89, Amoco Canada, Texaco Canada (now ESSO Resources), M.U.N. bursaries and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council.

Information on access to the area and mention of boulder beds was provided by Garth Jackson and Rod Klassen of the Ottawa GSC. Dale Russell and Clayton Kennedy of the National Museum of Canada (Ottawa) instrumental in early attempts to access the foggy coast of Maud Bight.

Jim Basinger of the University of Saskatchewan provided plant macrofossil identifications. Acknowledgements also go to Helen Gillespie for help with lab work and Brian Sears and Wilf Marsh for advice and instruction in photography. Special thanks go to my wife, Celeste, as well as my mother and sister for their moral support and putting up with my innumerable slide shows.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the memory of Peter Edward Benham, my dad; who inspired me with a wonder for nature from tales of his adventures as a young adult.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Abstract		ii
Acknowledgements		iv
Dedication		vi
Table of Contents		vii
List of Figures		ix
List of Tables		x
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		
1.1 Purpose and Objectives		1
1.2 Location and Access		2
1.3 Weather Conditions		4
1.4 Field Methods		5
1.5 Previous Studies		б
1.6 Geologic Setting		8
CHAPTER 2 STRATIGRAPHY AND SEDIMENTOLOGY		
2.1 Introduction		17
2.2 Formation Description	20	
2.2.1 Hassel Formation	20	
2.2.2 Bylot Island Formation		25
2.2.3 Sermilik Formation		36
2.2.4 Navy Board Formation		47
2.2.5 Aktineq Formation		57
2.3 Tectonism	76	

CHAPTER 3 PALEOBOTANY AND PALYNOLOGY

3.1 Macroflora

3.2 Previous Palynological Studies	78	
3.3 Palynological Preparation Methods		
3.3.1 Collection and Processing	79	
3.3.2 Identification	84	
3.4 Systematics	84	
CHAPTER 4 BIOSTRATIGRAPHY		
4.1 Introduction	233	
4.2.1 AA Zone	234	
4.2.2 R Subzone	237	
4.3 PW Zone	240	
4.4 PP Zone	245	
CHAPTER 5 BASIN HISTORY		
5.1 Basin History	251	
5.2 Petroleum Geology	256	
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSIONS	261	
REFERENCES CITED	263	
PLATES	285	
APPENDIX A COMPOSITE SECTIONS	308	
APPENDIX B SECTION AND SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	313	
APPENDIX C PETROLOGY	348	
APPENDIX D PALYNOMORPH RANGE CHART	in pocket	
APPENDIX E SUMMARY OF PALYNOLOGICAL COUNTS	353	

LIST OF FIGURES (Short Titles)

Figu	re	Page
1.1	Regional setting of North Bylot Trough	3
1.2	Cross-section of Lancaster Aulacogen	15
2.1	Detrital plots of clay poor sandstone	21
2.2	Bylot Island Formation	26

2.3	Upper Sermilik strata	40
2.4	Close up of Sermilik	40
2.5	Shaley strata of Navy Board Formation	50
2.6	Detrital plots of clay rich sandstone	51
2.7	Thinly bedded Navy Board sandstone	54
2.8	Folded Navy Board strata	54
2.9	Stacked channels in the Aktineq sandstone	59
2.10	Aktineq sandstone	61
2.11	Plugged channel in the Aktineq sandstone	61
2.12	Crevasse splay in Aktineq sandstone	63
2.13	Navy Board truncated by Maud Bight Member	65
2.14	Typical Maud Bight boulder beds	68
2.15	Epsilon cross-sets in Maud Bight Member	68
2.16	Map of strata at Maud Bight	74
B.1 M	Map of general locations of field stations	313

LIST OF TABLES (SHORT TITLES)

TABLE

Page

1.1 Map Units of North Bylot Trough	9
2.1 Definition of lithofacies	18
2.2 Summary of lithofacies assemblages	29
3.1 Paleobotanical summary	81
4.1 Range chart of selected taxa	245
5.1 Regional stratigraphic correlation	256

APPENDIX A

LEGEND FOR COMPOSITE SECTIONS

provide an and the provided to the second distance of the second s	
777	PLANAR CROSSBEDS
مد	TROUGH CROSSBEDS
=	PARALLEL LAMINATION
ħ	RIPPLES
\sim	DESSICATION CRACKS
≯	SCOURS
w	CONTORTED BED
Л	FLAME STRUCTURE
В	INTENSE BIOTURBATION
â	BURROWS
•••	PEBBLES - BOULDERS
Ø	WOODY DETRITUS
*	ROOTS
θ	CONCRETIONS
RU	RIP UP CLASTS
~~~	UNCONFORMABLE SURFACE
24 T/M 10 F / 10	
CONGLO	MERATE
SANDST	

Q = 0	CONGLOMERATE
	SANDSTONE
	SILTSTONE
	MUDSTONE / SHALE

The composite sections are based on the measured stratigraphic sections in Appendix B. The stratigraphic sections used to generate the composite sections are as follows: A-19; B-11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18 and 26; C-1, 3 and 4 and D-2, 5, 6, 30, 31 and 32. For reference see Appendix map B1.



Note: The horizontal bar in the composite sections is a grain size indicator; m=mudstone or shale; s=siltstone; vf, f, m, c and vc respectively represent very fine, fine, medium, coarse and very coarse grained sandstone; p=pebble conglomerate and b=boulder conglomerate.





311

### COMPOSITE SECTION D







B.1 General map of field stations in North Bylot Trough. For more precise locations see general descriptions in this appendix. Composite sections represent the typical stratigraphic column in each of the following four areas: A=Cape Hay; B=western Maud Bight; C=northeastern Maud Bight and D=southeastern corner of North Bylot Trough.

### GENERAL DESCRIPTIONS FOR ALL FIELD STATIONS

Note: Each section and site is marked on Appendix Map B1. All sections are also located with respect to UTM coordinates on Energy, Mines and Resources 1:50000 topographic maps: Cape Liverpool (Map 38C/11) and Cape Hay (Map 38C/12). Both maps are located within UTM grid zone 17X. Because most sections were measured on flat-lying or shallowly dipping strata, their base and top occur within the same UTM coordinates. Two coordinates are given (base and top respectively) in cases where strata is inclined more steeply.

### Section 1

Location: Section measured along a prominent cliff on the west side of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight (see Figure 2.9): map 38C/12, UTM grid 612690.

Unit/ Formation	-	Heigł	nt Above
		Base	(m)
5 Akt. sst.	Sandstone: buff weathering homogeneous to trough cross- bedded, common angular RUC's 2-100 cm long, very coarse grained with subangular to subrounded polymictic boulders 10-110 cm long, with moderate woody debris; in fining up cycles 3-5.5 m thick separated by scour surfaces; interbedded with occasional lenticular RUC filled beds.	94.5	
4 Akt. sst.	<pre>Sandstone: buff weathering, grey on fresh surfaces, laminated and rippled, fine to medium grained; fining up into grey siltstone and black shale, rich in woody debris; interbedded with shale and siltstone; mud-cracked, rippled; beds under 30 cm in fining upward cycles associated with overall coarsening and thickening- upward cycles.</pre>	66.5	

3 Akt. sst.	<b>Sandstone</b> ; buff weathering, light grey-green on fresh surfaces, varying from homogeneous to laminated, planar cross-bedded,	52.5	
Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigh	nt Above
		Base	(m)
	rippled and/or contorted beds with abundant angular shale RUC's 1-50 cm long, fine to coarse grained, occasional calcite concretions and moderately rounded polymictic cobbles 2-12 cm long; interbedded by black <b>shale</b> or erosively over- lain by the next sandstone bed; in fining-upward cycles from 2-8 m thick.		
2 Navy Board weat	<b>Shale</b> ; black weathering; inter- bedded with minor <b>sandstone</b> ; buff hering, rippled, fine to medium grained, lenticular bedded (1-4 cm thick and up to 2 m long); unbioturbated.	26.5	
1 Navy Board?	<b>Sandstone</b> ; poorly exposed, light grey weathering, massive, coarse grained.	3.0	

## Section 2

Location: Section measured along a prominent cliff on the east bank of a glacially fed stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 602655.

Unit/	Description	Height
Formation		Above

Base (m)

		20.00	( /
4 M.B.M.	<b>Conglomerate</b> : massive, boulder supported, composed of subrounded granite, gneiss and quartz arenite clasts up to 60 cm in diameter, poorly cemented with calcite.		65.0
3 Navy Board int	<pre>Sandstone: light grey weathering, rippled with abundant RUC's; erbedded with dark grey siltstone and black shale; laminated with common woody debris; the sandstone</pre>	51.8	
Unit/ Formation	-	Heig	ht Above
		Base	(m)
	varies from 5 to 25 cm and the fine strata from 2 to 20 cm thick formin fining-upward cycles nested in over coarsening and thickening-upward cy ranging from 2 to 5 m thick.	ng Call	
2 Navy Board con	<b>Sandstone</b> , <b>siltstone</b> and <b>shale</b> 25.0 similar to unit 1 but variably torted, folded and/or broken into elongate clasts.		
1 Navy Board int	<pre>Sandstone: light grey weathering, rippled, commonly contains RUC's; erbedded with grey siltstone and black shale; laminated, rippled and containing leaf fragments; the sandstone varies from 4-20 cm thick and the finer strata from 2 to 20 cm thick forming fining-upward cycles nested in overall coarsening and thickening-upward cycles from 2 to 5 m thick.</pre>	1 5	

Section 3

	tion measured along the sea clif of Maud Bight: map 38C/11, UTM gri	
Unit/ Formation		Height Above
		Base (m)
28 Akt. sst.	Sandstone: buff weathering, massive or rippled, planar cross-bedded, horizontally laminated, containing RUC's, minor woody debris, slight bioturbation, fine to very coarse grained with calcite concretions; interbedded with laminated grey <b>siltstone</b> and black <b>shale</b> , rich in woody debris; in fining-upward cycles up to 4 m thick, separated by scours.	345.0
27	<b>Siltstone:</b> grey weathering,	291.0
Unit/ Formation	—	Height Above
		Base (m)
Navy Board wood	minor bioturbation, abundant y debris including logs; interbedded with grey-brown <b>shale</b> ; beds in fining-upward cycles (up	
	to 40 cm thick).	
26	to 40 cm thick). Covered interval.	261.0
25 Navy		261.0 253.0

Navy Board	occas	ering <b>sandstone</b> and grey <b>siltstone</b> sionally visible.		
23 Navy Board	woody	<b>Siltstone:</b> grey weathering, minor bioturbation, abundant debris; interbedded with grey-brown <b>shale</b> ; beds in fining- upward cycles (up to 40 cm thick).	232.0	
22 Navy Board	woody	<b>Sandstone:</b> brown weathering, laminated or rippled, burrows and debris common, medium grained with muddy matrix; interbedded with brown <b>siltstone</b> ; in fining-upward cycles 2-3 m thick.	227.0	
21 Sermilik		<pre>Shale: black weathering, bio- turbated, rich in woody debris; interbedded with buff weathering, rippled and laminated, fine grained sandstone and clast-supported cobble conglomerate; clasts 2-22 cm long; bedding variable from 40 cm to 2 m thick with coarser strata separated by scours.</pre>	220.0	
20 Sermilik		<b>Conglomerate:</b> buff weathering, megarippled, cobble supported, composed of well rounded, granite, gneiss and quartz arenite cobbles	215.5	
Unit/ Forma	ation	Description	Height Above	е
			Base (m)	
		3-15 cm in diameter, matrix consists of quartz arenite.		
19 Sermilik		Sandstone: white to buff weathering, coarse to very coarse grained quartz arenite with pebbly stringers; interbedded with conglomerate; gravel supported, composed of well rounded quartz arenite and granite clasts 3-15 cm	210.8	

	in diameter; fining-upward into wavy bedded <b>siltstone</b> and <b>shale</b> ; rich in woody debris; beds are 2 to 4 m thick and separated by scour surfaces.	
18 Sermilik	Sandstone: green-white weather- ing, low angle planar cross- bedded, herringbone cross-bedded and trough cross-bedded, with stringers of pebbles and heavy minerals, medium to coarse grained with abundant glauconite, varying from unconsolidated to poorly cemented by siderite, fining up cycles 10-50 cm thick separated by scour surfaces with quartz arenite pebble lag.	204
17 Sermilik	<b>Sandstone:</b> buff to greenish- white weathering, massive with occasional siderite cemented scour surfaces containing quartz arenite pebble lag.	199.0
16 Sermilik	<b>Sandstone:</b> black weathering, coaly, massive bed with a high mud content.	174.8
15 Sermilik	Sandstone: buff to greenish- white weathering, massive with occasional siderite cemented scour surfaces containing quartz arenite pebble lag, scours vary from 1 to 6 m apart, medium to coarse grained with abundant glauconite.	174.3
Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
14 Sermilik	<b>Conglomerate</b> : rusty reddish weathering, matrix supported, well rounded buff weathering quartz	140.5

	arenite cobbles 2-15 cm long, matrix consists of medium to coarse grained <b>sandstone</b> , bed fines- upward.
13 Sermilik	Sandstone: buff weathering, 138.5 massive, medium to very coarse grained, contains quartz arenite pebbles; fining up to homogeneous brown siltstone.
12 Sermilik	<b>Sandstone</b> : buff to greenish 132.5 weathering, massive, fine grained with calcite concretions (up to 10 cm) and <u>Teredolites</u> bored wood.
11 Bylot Island?	Obscured interval: probably 130.0 entirely mudstone.
10 Bylot Island	Mudstone: black weathering, with 122.0 bands of yellow jarositic clays, intensely bioturbated (including <u>Chondrites</u> ), unconsolidated; inter- bedded with minor brown and grey weathering siltstone and fine grained sandstone; the coarser beds are scour based, rippled or hummocky cross-stratified, contain RUC's, fine-upwards and are only bioturb- ated at their top.
9 Bylot Island	Mudstone: grey to black 97.0 weathering, intensely bioturbated, with calcite concretions up to 75 cm in diameter (contain well preserved <u>Chondrites</u> traces); interbedded with brown and grey weathering <b>siltstone</b> and fine grained <b>sandstone</b> ; layer boundaries indistinct due to intense bioturbation, sulfurous odour.

Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
8 Bylot to ad Island	<b>Mudstone</b> : poorly exposed, simi djacent outcrops.	lar 65.0
7 Bylot black Island	<b>Mudstone:</b> dark brown to grey or k weathering with bands of yellow jarositic clays, intensely bioturbated ( <u>Chondrites</u> ), uncon- solidated sulfurous odour.	49.0
6 Bylot cons: Island	Covered interval: appears to ist of black <b>mudstone</b> .	44.0
5 Helikian Victor Baylite Formation	<b>Dolomite</b> : breccia with boulder 42. size clasts including stromato- fragments; intensely fractured.	0
Fault	40.	0
4 Hassel	Sandstone: white weathering, with yellow banding, massive, medium to coarse grained, small greenish pyritic concretions, little clay content, moderately consolidated.	40.0
3 Hassel	<b>Regolith:</b> greenish <b>claystone</b> with increasing sand content upward, rare <b>dolomite</b> clasts near base.	36.6
Formation	<b>Dolomite:</b> breccia with boulder 35. size clasts including stromato- fragments; intensely fractured and infilled with clay <b>sandstone</b> ; greenish weathering, poorly consolidated.	0
Fault	33.	0
1	Mudstone: dark brown to grey or	33.0

Bylot	black weathering with bands of
Island	yellow jarositic clays, intensely
	bioturbated (Chondrites and others),
	sulfurous odour.

## Section 4

Location: Section measured on the east bank of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/11, UTM grid 655684.

Unit/ Formation	-	Heigł	nt Above
		Base	(m)
8 M.B.M.	Conglomerate: massive with well rounded clasts composed dominantly of foliated granite, augen gneiss and Adams Sound quartz arenite, 15-70 cm in diameter, beds 50 cm to 4 m thick; interbedded with sandstone: green weathering, lithic massive, coarse grained, logs common, beds up to 60 cm thick; separated by scour surfaces.		
7 M.B.M.	Sandstone: brown to grey weather- ing, rippled, rich in woody debris, fine to medium grained; interbedded with siltstone: grey weathering, laminated; beds under 15 cm thick, in fining-upward cycles, moderately cemented.	75.0	
6 M.B.M.	<b>Conglomerate</b> : grey-green weather- ing with a rusty iron oxide stain, massive, unimodal, clast supported with well rounded boulders of foliated granite, augen gneiss and Adams Sound sandstone, clasts 10-50 cm in diameter, occasional logs, matrix consists of green	64.0	

	weathering lithic, coarse grained <b>sandstone</b> , with separate garnet grains; moderately cemented with calcite.		
5 Sermilik	<b>Sandstone</b> : greenish-white weather- ing, massive, with occasional <u>Skolithos</u> , medium to coarse grained with quartz arenite pebbles, glauconitic, varies from poorly consolidated to moderately cemented.	57.0	
Unit/ Forma	Description ation	Heigh	 nt Above
		Base	(m)
4 Bylot Island	Obscured section: consisting of black <b>mudstone</b> and platy brown fine grained <b>sandstone</b> , sulfurous odour.	of	38.0
3 Bylot Island	Mudstone: black weathering, with yellow jarositic clay streaks, intensely bioturbated, sulfurous, unconsolidated.	18.0	
2 Bylot Island	Obscured section: consisting of black weathering <b>mudstone</b> .	11.0	
l Bylot Island	Mudstone: black weathering, with yellow jarositic clay streaks, intensely bioturbated, sulfurous, unconsolidated.	3.3	

### Section 5

Location: Section measured on the west bank of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight. It is located 1.4 km south of Section 4: map 38C/11, UTM grid 654670.
Unit/ Format	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
3 M.B.M.	<b>Conglomerate</b> : massive, clast supported, moderately rounded boulders 20-65 cm in diameter; <b>sandstone</b> matrix: green weatherin coarse grained; outcrop highly weathered.	25.0 g,
2 Bylot B Island	<b>Mudstone:</b> black weathering, nighly bioturbated, poorly consolidated.	19.5

_____ Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) _____ **Dolomite:** buff weathering, 2.0 1 Helikian laminated, stromatolitic, carbonates with salt crystal casts; thickly bedded with thin black shale interbeds; well cemented.

Section 6 Type Section for the Maud Bight Member

Location: Section measured along a prominent cliff on the west bank of glacial stream draining into Maud Bight. It is located approximately 1.2 km south of Section 5: map 38C/11, UTM grid 658658.

_____

Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
11 M.B.M mass	<b>Conglomerate:</b> Thickly bedded to ive, clast supported; composed of subrounded quartz arenite, granite and gneiss boulders 15-70 cm in diameter, logs common, some iron oxide coated beds, matrix consists of green weathering coarse grained <b>sandstone</b> , moderately cemented with calcite.	194.0
10 M.B.M.	Conglomerate: Thickly bedded, clast supported, composed of sub- rounded granite, gneiss and quartz arenite boulders 15-50 cm in dia- meter, with abundant RUC's and logs; occasionally interbedded with sandstone: green weathering, massive or laminated with laminae often draped over boulders, coarse grained; in fining-upward cycles, separated by scour surfaces, some iron oxide coated beds, strata moderately cemented with calcite.	75.0
Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
9 M.B.M.	<pre>Sandstone: grey-green weathering, massive, lithic, medium grained with abundant woody debris; inter- bedded with pebbly conglomerate lenses, minor black shale and grey siltstone; rippled, occasionally burrowed, containing abundant well preserved low diversity leaf assemblage; strata in fining</pre>	58.2

3	Mudstone: brown-black weatheri	
Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above Base (m)
4 Bylot Island	Sandstone: buff to white weather- ing, rippled, bioturbated, coarse to very coarse grained, fining- upward, moderately cemented.	10.5
5 Bylot with Island	Mudstone: brown-black weathering yellowish streaks, mottled, intensely bioturbated; interbedded with thin silty stringers, sulfurous odour, poorly consolidated	26.0 d.
6 Sermilik?	Covered interval: loose debris consists dominantly of quartz grains.	28.0
7 Sermilik	Sandstone: greenish-white 36.7 weathering, massive, contains occasional subhorizontal burrows, medium to coarse grained, glauconitic quartz arenite, moderately consolidated.	7
8 M.B.M.	<pre>stone and shale beds. Conglomerate: thickly bedded, clast supported, composed of subrounded quartz arenite, gneiss and granite cobbles 3-30 cm in diameter, abundant logs, matrix consists of coarse grained sandstone; beds are 2-4 m thick in fining-upward cycles separated by scours, poorly cemented with calcite.</pre>	43.0
	upward cycles separated by silt-	

3Mudstone: brown-black weatheringBylotwith yellowish streaks, mottled,

Island	intensely bioturbated; interbedded with thin silty stringers, sulfurous odour, poorly consolidated	
2	<b>Regolith:</b> consisting of black to	2.4
Bylot ru	sty red weathering <b>mudstone</b>	
Island	containing subrounded black chert, quartz arenite and dolomite pebbles 1-3 cm long, moderately cemented with calcite.	
1	<b>Dolomite:</b> buff weathering,	2.0
Helikian	laminated, stromatolitic,	
carbonateswi	th salt crystal casts; thickly	
	bedded with thin black <b>shale</b>	
	interbeds; well cemented.	

#### Site 7 Spot Check

Location: Brief observations made of outcrop on the west bank of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 626680.

Description: Approximately 17 m of **sandstone**: greenish white weathering, massive, occasionally rippled, coarse to very coarse grained, glauconitic quartz arenite with minor magnetite, poorly consolidated.

#### Section 8

Location: Section measured along the east bank of an unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/11, UTM grid 658617.

Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) 3 **Sandstone:** grey-green weathering, 29.0 Navy rippled, laminated, containing Board RUC's, occasionally burrowed, medium to fine grained, lithic

Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigł	nt Above
		Base	( m )
	composition; interbedded with laminated grey <b>siltstone</b> and black <b>shale</b> (shale more common than in Unit 1); in fining-upward cycles 5-40 cm thick, some separated by scour surfaces, woody debris abundant, poorly to moderately cemented with calcite.		
2 Navy Board clast	<b>Sandstone:</b> contorted, overturned or ripped into twisted, elongate is within a single bed; strata otherwise same as Unit 1.	3.7	
l Navy Board RUC's	<pre>Sandstone: grey-green weathering, rippled, laminated, containing s (1-20 cm long), occasionally burrowed, medium to fine grained, lithic composition; interbedded with laminated grey siltstone and black shale; in fining-upward cycles 5-40 cm thick, some separated by scour surfaces, woody debris abundant, poorly to moderately cemented with calcite.</pre>	3.0	

# Site 9 Spot Check

Location: View northward down into canyon cut by unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/11, UTM grid 566618.

Description: Approximately 50 m of thinly bedded **grey-green** weathering **sandstone**, **siltstone** and **shale** (same as Unit 1, Section 8); overlain by greater than 40 m of boulder **conglomerate** (same as Unit 6, Section 5).

Site 10 Spot Check

Location: Outcrop at the foot of Byam Martin Mountains immediately east of unnamed glacier (southeast of outcropping Cretaceous/Tertiary strata in North Bylot Trough): map 38C/11, UTM grid 749603.

Description: Precambrian Arctic Bay Formation; highly indurated shale and siltstone.

# Section 11

Location: Section measured along west bank of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 555720. Sections 11 to 16 were measured along this stream.

Unit/	Formation	Description	Heigh Base	Above
2 Akt.	sst.	<pre>Sandstone: green to buff weathering, rippled, laminated to massive, with RUC's, medium to fine grained; interbedded with shale: black to grey-black weathering, with abundant woody debris, containing lenticular beds of sandstone: buff weathering, rippled, up to 4 cm thick; in fining upward cycles with sandstone 30- 150 cm thick and shale 30-400 cm thick, separated by scour surfaces, shale more abundant than in Unit 1.</pre>	39.0 J-	
1 Akt.	sst.	Sandstone: green to buff weathering, rippled, laminated to massive, with RUC's up to 50 cm long, occasionally burrowed, lithic, medium to coarse grained, moderately cemented, contains calcite concretions; interbedded with grey siltstone and black shale:	10.0	

laminated, abundant woody debris; beds 40 cm to 5 m thick, in finingupward cycles, separated by fluted or curving scour surfaces.

# Section 12

Location: Section measured along east bank of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 558717.

Unit/	Formation	Description	Heigh	 nt Above
			Base	(m)
4 Akt.	sst.	Sandstone: buff weathering, rippled, laminated to massive with small RUC's, minor woody debris; interbedded with <b>siltstone</b> : brown weathering, laminated; beds 1.5- 3.0 m thick, in fining-upward cycles, separated by scour surfaces well cemented.	25.0	
3 Akt.	sst.	<pre>Sandstone: green to buff weather- ing, rippled, laminated, rich in woody debris; interbedded with buff, grey or black weathering, laminated siltstone and shale; beds under 20 cm thick, in fining-upward cycles; occasional very well cemented calcitic bands.</pre>	20.0	
2 Akt.	sst.	Sandstone: buff weathering, rippled, laminated to massive with small RUC's, minor woody debris; interbedded with siltstone: brown weathering, laminated; beds 1.5- 3.0 m thick, in fining-upward cycles, separated by scour surfaces well cemented.	25.0	

1	<b>Shale:</b> black weathering, with	11.0
Navy	plant debris; interbedded with	
Board	minor lenticular beds of <b>sandstone</b> :	
	buff weathering, rippled, fine	
	grained, in beds up to 4 cm thick.	

#### Section 13

Location: Section measured along west bank of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 563709.

Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigł	nt Above
		Base	(m)
1 Navy Board inte	<pre>Shale: black weathering, mod- erately bioturbated to mottled; rbedded with siltstone and fine grained sandstone: brown weathering, laminated, rippled; in lenticular beds (under 4 cm); coarser strata well cemented with carbonate.</pre>	25.0	

#### Section 14

Location: Section measured along east bank of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 566702.

_____

Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigł	nt Above
		Base	(m)
1 Bylot inter Island	Sandstone: grey weathering, nsely bioturbated, fine grained to silty; indistinctly interbedded with grey shale and siltstone; uncemented except for occasional spherical calcite concretions 1-2 m in diameter containing <u>Chondrites</u> and various sub-vertical trace fossils, slight coarsening upward through section.	31.9	
Section 15			
	ection measured along west bank draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/1 97.		
Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigh	nt Above
		Base	(m)
8 Sermilik	<b>Sandstone:</b> greenish-white to yellow-white weathering, massive	150.0	)
Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigł	 nt Above
		Base	(m)
	to rarely rippled, laminated, unbioturbated, glauconite present, medium to very coarse grained and pebbly, rich in well rounded quartz clasts up to 2 cm long, pebbles mainly occur on base of 3-8 m thick beds in fining-upward cycles, separated by scour surfaces.		

7 Sermilik	Sandstone: greenish-white weathering, rippled, laminated, with soft sediment deformation, unbioturbated, glauconite present, fine to very coarse grained and pebbly, rich in well rounded gran- itic and quartz clasts up to 2 cm long, pebbles mainly occur on base of beds up to 2 m thick, in fining- upward cycles, separated by scour surfaces.	90.5
6 Sermilik	Sandstone: greenish-white to yellow-white weathering, massive to rarely rippled and laminated, unbioturbated, glauconite present, fine to coarse grained, glauconite abundant in bands; interbedded with rare black shale; in fining-upward cycles 2-5 m thick separated by scours; poorly cemented with siderite marking scour surfaces.	84.0
5	Covered interval.	31.0
4 Sermilik	<pre>Sandstone: white weathering, rippled and possible HCS, occasional flame structures and other soft sediment deformation, rare vertical burrows, medium to coarse grained; interbedded with muddy sandstone and siltstone; in fining-upward cycles 10-100 cm thick, separated by scour surfaces, overall coarsening-upward trend.</pre>	27.3
3	Covered interval.	23.0
Unit/ Formation	—	Height Above
		Base (m)
2	Sandstone: white weathering,	20.0

Sermilik	occasional flame structures and other soft sediment deformation, rare vertical burrows, fine to medium grained; interbedded with muddy <b>sandstone</b> and <b>siltstone</b> ; in fining-upward cycles 10-100 cm thick, separated by scour surfaces, overall coarsening-upward trend.	
1 Bylot	<b>Shale:</b> black weathering, intensely bioturbated; with minor <b>siltstone</b>	15.0

Island wisps; coarsening-upward to medium grey mottled **siltstone**.

# Section 16

Location: Section measured along east bank of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 567697 to 567695.

Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
4 Sermilik	Sandstone: white weathering, massive with red, green and black shale RUC's, medium to very coarse grained with pebbly stringers, quartz arenite clasts are well rounded and 1-3 cm in diameter; interbedded with mudstone containin coaly fragments; in coarsening- upward cycles from 2 to 5 m thick; locally cemented with siderite.	81.5 g
3 Sermilik	<b>Sandstone</b> : white weathering, massive, fine grained, poorly cemented.	61.0
2 Sermilik	Mudstone: dark grey weathering 54. massive, with abundant black and green shale fragments, coal, quartz arenite pebbles up to 7 cm	3

in diameter; matrix supported _____ Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) _____ debris flow. 1 **Sandstone:** greenish-white weath- 50.0 Sermilik ering, massive to laminated and rippled, fine to very coarse grained, with pebble stringers, finer strata contains rare buff and green shale RUC's; in finingupward cycles 1-10 m thick, separated by scour surfaces, poorly cemented.

#### Site 17

**Location**: Outcrop mapped on the west bank of unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight, between the confluences of the two main tributaries: 400 m south of one and 1.5 km south of the other: map 38C/11, UTM grid 661638.

**Description:** Outcrop greenish white weathering, massive, poorly cemented, medium to coarse grained **sandstone**.

#### Section 18

**Location**: The section was measured on the east canyon wall of an unnamed glacial stream draining into Maud Bight. It is the first major stream immediately east of a major glacial extending to the sea between Cape Hay and Maud Bight: Map 38C/12, UTM grid 502713.

_____

Unit,	/ Formation	Description	Heigh	nt Above
			Base	( m )
4 Maud	Bight suppo	<b>Conglomerate:</b> polymodal, clast ~40.0 orted, composed of angular to sub-angular migmatite boulders 5-100 cm in diameter, moderately cemented with calcite.	)	
Unit,	/ Formation	Description	Heigł	nt Above
			Base	(m)
Navy	3 Boardturba	<b>Shale:</b> black weathering, unbio ated, contains occasional angular migmatite clasts near base.	_	22.0
2 Navy	Boardweatl	<b>Regolith:</b> brown, yellow and black hering boulder breccia with coarse <b>sandstone</b> and <b>clay</b> matrix; interbedded with black weathering <b>shale</b> in upper few metres; highly weathered.	10.0	)
1		Migmatite: highly fractured, highly weathered.	2.0	)

# Section 19

**Location:** Section measured along both banks of a minor glacial stream flowing on a plateau above sea cliffs composed of Precambrian carbonates approximately 10 km southeast of Cape Hay: map 38C/12, UTM grid 392752 to 392750.

Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)

9 Sermilik	Sandstone: white weathering, occasionally laminated, rippled, rare subhorizontal burrows, with bedding parallel calcite nodules, abundant woody debris, fine to coarse grained, with occasional well rounded quartz arenite pebbles up to 2 cm in diameter; in fining- upward cycles 1.5 to 4 m thick, separated by scoured surfaces; poorly cemented.	84.0
8 Bylot Island	Mudstone: black weathering with abundant plant debris; interbedded with sandstone: greyish yellow weathering, fine to medium grained; in fining-upward cycles up to 60 cm thick, overall coarsening and thickening upwards.	54.0
Unit/ Forma	Description ation	Height Above
		Base (m)
7 Bylot Island	Mudstone: black weathering, moderately bioturbated, occasional woody debris; interbedded with thin stringers of grey weathering siltstone.	Base (m)  51.0
Bylot	<pre>moderately bioturbated, occasional    woody debris; interbedded with thin    stringers of grey weathering</pre>	
Bylot Island	<pre>moderately bioturbated, occasional woody debris; interbedded with thin stringers of grey weathering siltstone. Partially obscured outcrop; cover</pre>	51.0 40.0 30.2

Island	bedded with <b>sandstone</b> : yellow weathering, fine grained, rippled bands <1 cm thick; moderately consolidated.	
3 Bylot Island	Sandstone: grey weathering, massive, with pyrite nodules, abundant woody debris, medium to coarse grained, in fining- upward cycles 1.5 m thick, with scour surface on base; moderately cemented.	7.0
2 Bylot Island	<b>Mudstone</b> : green-black weathering, moderately consolidated.	6.4
1	<b>Regolith: limestone breccia</b> (from the underlying Helikian Society Cliffs Formation) resting in grey,	5.0

#### Site 20

**Location**: The outcrop was observed on both banks of the next major glacial stream 1.3 km immediately east of Section 18: map 38C/12, UTM grid 502713.

green and rusty brown mudstone.

**Description:** Approximately 8 m of **conglomerate** composed of angular to subangular quartz arenite boulders with a quartzose **sandstone** matrix overlain by black weathering **shale**.

#### Section 21

**Location**: Section measured on the Maud Bight shoreline, along the sea cliffs, 300 m west of the mouth of a glacial stream. This stream is the third glacial stream east of the major

glacier resting on the western shore of Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 528721. Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) 2 Obscured outcrop; apparently all 30.0 Navy Boardblack shale.

1 **Shale:** black weathering, friable, 11.0 Navy Boardwith lenticular beds and wisps of brown weathering **siltstone**.

#### Section 22

**Location**: Section measured approximately 300 m from the mouth, on the east bank of the third stream east of the major glacier resting on the western shore of Maud Bight: map 38C/12, UTM grid 531718. Sections 22 to 25 were measured along this stream.

_____ Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) _____ _____ 1 **Shale:** black weathering, 16.2 Navy Boardunbioturbated; interbedded with rare **siltstone**: brown weathering, rippled, flaggy; with Metasequoia needles and occasional logs.

#### Site 23

**Location**: Outcrop observed approximately 500 m upstream of Section 22, on the east bank: map 38C/12, UTM grid 531713.

**Description:** Approximately 15 m of black **shale**, overlain by 8-10 m thick bed of calcite cemented boulder **conglomerate** which scours into the shale.

#### Site 24

**Location**: Outcrop observed approximately 800 m upstream of Section 22, on the east bank. The gully narrows at this point: map 38C/12, UTM grid 532704.

**Description:** Several meters of rusty migmatite **breccia** are overlain by interbedded **conglomerate** and **sandstone**; fining to pebbly **sandstone**. This is overlain by several meters of black weathering **shale** and then by 10 m of boulder **conglomerate**.

#### Site 25

**Location:** Approximately 550 m upstream of Section 22, on the east bank: map 38C/12, UTM grid 531699.

**Description:** Outcrop consists of several meters of **breccia**: composed of ultramafic and migmatitic clasts 2-20 cm in diameter; cemented with calcite.

#### Section 26

**Location:** Section measured on the Maud Bight shoreline along the sea cliffs approximately 600 m east of the mouth of a major glacial stream: map 38C/12, UTM grid 566722.

Unit/ Formation	Description	Height Above
		Base (m)
6 Akt. sst.	<b>Shale</b> : black weathering, unbioturbated; with lenticular interbeds of <b>sandstone</b> : grey weathering, rippled and fine	35.2

_____

Unit/	' Formation	Description	Heigh	nt Above
			Base	(m)
		grained.		
5 Akt.	sst.	Sandstone: grey to green weather- ing, laminated, rippled, with occasional RUC's up to 10 cm long, fine to medium grained; interbedded with siltstone and shale: grey to black weathering, mud-cracked, common plant debris; in fining-upward cycle up to 3.5 m thick, separated by score surfaces; poorly cemented with calcite.	on es	5
4 Akt.	sst.	<pre>Shale: black weathering, 21.0 unbioturbated; with lenticular 3-10 cm thick interbeds of sandstone: grey weathering, rippled and fine grained.</pre>	)	
3 Akt.	sst.	Sandstone: grey to green weather- ing, massive to laminated and rippled, with occasional RUC's at the base of the bed, fine to medium grained; interbedded with siltstone and shale: grey to black weathering laminated, mud-cracked, common fine plant debris; in fining-upward cycles up to 1.5 m thick, separated by scour surfaces; containing beddin parallel concretions otherwise poor cemented with calcite.	, ng	)
2 Akt.	sst.	<pre>Shale: black weathering, unbio- turbated; interbedded with fine grained sandstone and siltstone: grey weathering, laminated, rippled in fining-upward cycles up to 30 cm thick, separated by scour surfaces.</pre>	4.0	)
1 Akt.	sst.	<b>Sandstone:</b> grey weathering, massive, fining upwards to black	1.5	5

#### weathering **shale** over 1.5 m.

#### Site 27

**Location:** Outcrop observed on hillside and north bank of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight. It is approximately 2.1 km south of Section 2: map 38C/12, UTM grid 604631.

**Description:** Massive clast supported **conglomerate** composed of angular to subangular granite, Adams Sound quartz arenite and potassium feldspar augen gneiss boulders commonly up to 80 cm in diameter but occasionally up to 1.6 m; numerous coaly fragments occur on the slope; moderately cemented with calcite.

#### Site 28

**Location**: Outcrop observed on hillside and north bank of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight. It is approximately 1.3 km south of Section 2: map 38C/12, UTM grid 599640.

**Description:** Outcrop consists of thinly bedded rippled **sandstone** and laminated **shale** in fining-upward cycles up to 5 cm thick; channelized **sandstone**: laminated with RUC's, coarse grained, up to 1 m thick, scour based; and interbedded coarse grained **sandstone** and **conglomerate** with subangular to subrounded cobbles of quartz arenite and feldspar augen gneiss up to 20 cm in diameter; all overlain by massive boulder **conglomerate**; woody debris abundant throughout area.

#### Site 29

**Location:** Outcrop observed on the east bank of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight. It is approximately 2.3 km north of Section 2: map 38C/12, UTM grid 608676.

**Description**: Outcrop composed of **conglomerate**: massive, clast supported, clasts are subangular to subrounded and average 25-60 cm in diameter but occasionally reach 210 cm; poorly cemented with calcite.

#### Section 30

**Location**: Section measured on the west bank of a glacial stream. To the immediate east is a glacial moraine and very large glacier and 100 m to the south a Helikian dike is exposed: map 38C/11, UTM grid 676596.

			· <b></b>
Unit/ Formation	Description	Heigh	nt Above
		Base	(m)
6 Maud Bightclas	<b>Conglomerate</b> : thickly bedded, t supported, composed of subrounded granite and quartz arenite clasts 30-70 cm in diameter; occasionally inter- bedded with <b>sandstone</b> : green weathering, massive, medium grained; beds separated by scours.	49.5	5
5 Maud Bightweatl	<b>Shale</b> : black, green and red hering, with oxidized bands, may be sheared by faulting.	43.0	)
4 Maud Bightabund	<pre>Sandstone: buff weathering, dant woody debris; interbedded with shale: light grey weathering; in fining-upward cycles 3-10 cm thick; and single conglomerate bed: clast supported, composed of subrounded gneiss and granite boulders 30-70 cm in diameter, with very coarse grained sandstone matrix; interbedded with sandstone: buff weathering, massive, variable amounts of RUC's 10-100 cm long, abundant woody debris, fine to medium grained; also interbedded</pre>	33.(	)

	with light grey to black <b>shale</b> ; in fining-upward cycles up to 3.5 m thick, separated by scour surfaces.	
3 Maud Bightwell	Conglomerate: buff weathering, sorted, clast supported, composed of well rounded gneiss and granite boulders 30-70 cm in diameter, with very coarse grained sandstone matrix; interbedded with sandstone: buff weathering, massive or rich in RUC's 10-100 cm long, with abundant woody debris; in fining-upward cycles up to 3.5 m thick, separated by scour surfaces, poorly cemented.	24.0
2 Navy Board <b>silt</b>	Thin interbeds of orange weather- ing <b>sandstone</b> , buff weathering <b>stone</b> and grey to green	16.0
Unit/	Description	Height
Formation		Above
Formation		Above Base (m)
Formation	weathering <b>shale</b> ; in fining-upward cycles up to 5 cm thick; bedding varying from undisturbed to contort and incorporated in debris flows up to 1 m thick.	Base (m) 

## Section 31

**Location:** Section measured along the east canyon wall of a glacial stream draining into Maud Bight approximately 400 m northwest of where the stream's course shifts from westerly to northwesterly flow: map 38C/11, UTM grid 664615.

Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) _____ 3 **Conglomerate:** moderately sorted, 54.0 Maud Bightclast supported, composed of subrounded granite, gneiss and guartz arenite cobbles 20-50 cm in diameter; interbedded with **sandstone**: buff to green weathering, massive with occasional RUC's up to 5 cm long, fine to coarse grained, containing abundant woody debris; in fining-upward cycles 1.5-8 m thick, separated by scour surfaces. Conglomerate: well sorted, clast 2 33.0 Maud Bight supported, composed of subrounded granite, gneiss and quartz arenite cobbles 10-25 cm in diameter; interbedded with **sandstone**: buff to green weathering, massive, with abundant logs and fine plant debris, _____ Unit/ Description Height Formation Above Base (m) _____ occasional RUC's, medium to very coarse grained and pebbly, with silty lenses; in fining-upward cycles 1-2.2 m thick, separated by scour surfaces. Sandstone: green weathering, 1 21.7 rippled, laminated, with abundant Navy Board fine plant debris, fine grained; interbedded with **siltstone**: grey weathering, laminated; in finingupward cycles 5-20 cm thick.

#### Site 32

Location: Outcrop observed on the west bank of a glacial stream, approximately 800 m south of Section 1: map 38C/12, UTM grid 610682.

**Description**: 5 m thick outcrop of **sandstone**: grey to white weathering, laminated with rare RUC's, medium to coarse grained with pebbly stringers, pebbles composed of quartz arenite; in beds up to 30 cm thick, separated by scour surfaces. This is overlain by up to 4 m of **Conglomerate**: moderately sorted, clast supported, composed of subangular migmatite, foliated granite, augen gneiss, quartz arenite and amphibolite boulders up to 2 m (but averaging 30-60 cm) in diameter; interbedded with **sandstone**: buff weathering, medium to coarse grained; in fining-upward cycles up to 2 m thick separated by scour surfaces.

# APPENDIX B.2 SAMPLE LOCATION

Note: Sample position in stratigraphic column is given for measured sections only, not spot checks. Pal=palynomorph, Sed=sediment. All samples, thin sections and palynological slides and data are archived at Memorial University of Newfoundland and may be accessed by contacting Dr. E.T. Burden of Dept. of Earth Sciences.

SAMPLE #	SECTION #	<b>POSITION</b> (m)	SAMPLE TYPE
1	32	* * *	Pal
2	32	* * *	Sed
3	1	1.5	Sed
4	1	3.8	Pal
5	1	14.4	Pal
6	1	18.5	Pal
7	1	26.0	Pal
8	1	27.5	Sed
9	1	34.6	Pal
10	1	47.8	Pal
11	1	57.0	Pal
12	1	66.0	Pal
13	1	75.0	Sed
14	1	78.7	Pal
15	1	85.8	Sed
16	1	91.0	Pal
17	2	1.0	Pal
18	2	2.0	Sed
19	2	10.5	Pal
20	2	11.0	Sed
21	2	20.5	Pal
22	2	30.5	Pal
23	3	3.0	Pal
24	3	6.0	Pal
25	3	18.0	Pal
26	3	27.0	Pal
27	3	34.6	Pal

SAMPLE #	SECTION #	<b>POSITION</b> (m)	SAMPLE TYPE
28	3	39.0	Sed
29	3	44.5	Pal
30	3	65.6	Pal
31	3	76.0	Pal
32	3	86.0	Pal
33	3	96.0	Pal
34	3	106.0	Pal
35	3	116.0	Pal
36	3	130.5	Sed
37	3	132.5	Bored Wood
38	3	138.0	Pal
39	3	160.0	Sed
40	3	174.6	Pal
41	3	206.3	Pal
42	3	215.8	Pal
43	3	204.5	Sed
44	3	139.0	Sed
45	3	218.0	Sed
46	3	228.0	Pal
47	3	205.6	Sed
47B	3	208.0	Sed
48	3	253.0	Pal
49	3	266.0	Sed/Pal
50	3	279.0	Pal
51	3	288.5	Pal
52	3	300.2	Pal
52B	3	284.0	Log Cast

SAMPLE #	SECTION #	<b>POSITION</b> (m)	SAMPLE TYPE
53	3	312.5	Pal
54	3	323.5	Pal
55	3	334.0	Pal
56	3	344.0	Pal
57	4	1.0	Pal
58	4	12.0	Pal
59	4	47.0	Sed
60	4	60.8	Sed
61	4	64.5	Sed
62	4	65.5	Pal
63	4	77.0	Sed
64	4	74.4	Sed/Pal
65	5	3.5	Pal
66	5	14.0	Pal
67	6	3.5	Pal
68	б	15.0	Pal
69	б	25.0	Pal
70	6	35.0	Pal
71	б	43.0	Pal
72	б	50.0	Sed
73	6	55.0	Pal
74	6	58.0	Leaves
75	6	65.5	Pal
76	6	82.5	Sed
77	6	58.0	Pal
78	7	* * *	Sed
79	8	1.0	Pal

SAMPLE #	SECTION #	<b>POSITION</b> (m)	SAMPLE TYPE
80	8	9.5	Pal
81	8	19.0	Pal
82	8	25.7	Sed
83	8	28.5	Pal
84	10	* * *	Pal
85	10	* * *	Pal
86	10	* * *	Sed
87	11	4.5	Pal
88	11	5.7	Sed
89	11	19.0	Pal
90	11	32.0	Pal
91	2	46.2	Pal
92	12	11.0	Pal
93	12	15.6	Sed
94	12	18.4	Pal
95	12	20.2	Sed
96	13	2.6	Pal/Sed
97	13	14.5	Pal
98	14	20.0	Sed
99	14	9.0	Pal
100	14	18.0	Pal
101	14	28.0	Pal
102	15	4.0	Pal
103	15	14.5	Pal
104	15	24.9	Pal
105	15	38.6	Sed
106	15	37.5	Sed

SAMPLE #	SECTION #	<b>POSITION</b> (m)	SAMPLE TYPE
107	15	52.0	Pal
108	15	89.0	Sed
109	15	115.0	Sed
110	15	144.0	Sed
111	16	43.2	Pal
112	16	47.0	Sed
113	16	51.5	Pal
114	16	51.0	Coal
115	16	58.0	Sed
116	16	61.0	Pal
117	16	63.5	Pal
118	16	80.0	Sed
119	17	***	Sed
120	18	11.0	Pal
121	18	21.0	Pal
122	18	~26.0	Sed
123	19	7.0	Sed
124	19	10.0	Pal
125	19	18.3	Pal
126	19	28.0	Sed
127	19	41.0	Pal
128	19	50.0	Pal
129	19	79.3	Sed
130	21	0.5	Pal
131	21	10.0	Pal
132	22	1.5	Pal
133	22	14.0	Pal

SAMPLE #	SECTION #	<b>POSITION</b> (m)	SAMPLE TYPE
134	25	* * *	Sed
135	26	0.8	Sed
136	26	2.5	Pal
137	26	14.0	Pal
138	26	29.3	Pal
139	28	* * *	Sed
140	30	15.0	Pal
141	30	21.0	Pal
142A	30	29.6	Sed
142B	30	42.4	Pal
143	dike nr 30	* * *	Sed
144	31	* * *	Pal
145	31	0.5	Leaf
146	31	1.5	Sed
147	31	14.0	Pal
148	31	31.8	Pal
149	31	45.0	Pal
150	31	28.4	Pal
151	32	* * *	Pal
152	32	* * *	Sed
153	3	216.0	Pal
154	3	218.0	Pal
155	3	226.0	Pal

# APPENDIX C

#### POINT COUNT DATA

36 samples from Maud Bight and near Cape Hay were thin sectioned and stained for petrological study. The staining allowed plagioclase feldspars process and orthoclase feldspars to be easily distinguished. A number of samples were poorly consolidated to weakly cemented and were impregnated with epoxy before thin sectioning. 500 points were counted on each thin section unless grain size or thin section condition restricted counting to 250 points. Major constituents in a point count of 500 will be accurate to +/-4.5% of the actual volume 95% of the time (Van der Plas and Tobi, 1965). Sandstone samples with a clay matrix under 15% were classified according to McBride (1963) and over 15% matrix according to Folk (1980). These systems are purely descriptive and allow classification based on the major quartz (monocrystalline and polycrystalline), components; (orthoclase and plagioclase) feldspar and lithic fragments. Other components include hornblende, mica, opaque minerals, glauconite, tourmaline, garnet, organics and pyroxene. Clay content and cement type were also noted. A significant proportion of the opaque minerals are magnetite as over half of the total opaque heavy minerals in tested unconsolidated samples proved magnetic.

Sp	Qm	Qp	K	Pl	Li	Lm	Ls	Op	Bi	Gl	Hb	Mis
27	26	3	11	7	40	5	5	2	2	_	_	G
28	88	5	<1	2	-	-	2	2	<1	-	-	-
98	55	-	29	2	-	-	-	6	3	5	-	-
123	93	<1	-	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	-	Z
126	85	-	1	-	-	-	13	1	-	<1	-	-
36	40	-	16	17	5	-	-	<1	-	4	14	-
44	91	3	2	1	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-
45	41	13	15	17	3	2	-	3	4	-	4	-
47A	74	3	2	<1	-	-	-	18	-	-	-	Т
47B	80	5	9	1	2	-	-	3	-	-	-	Т
59	77	3	10	1	2	-	2	3	1	-	<1	-
70	81	2	3	<1	-	-	<1	<1	-	13	-	-
78	95	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
105	85	<1	7	1	-	-	-	2	1	3	-	Τ,Ρ
108	90	2	1	2	2	<1	-	1	<1	-	-	Τ,G
115	87	8	-	<1	-	-	-	4	-	_	-	Т

Point Count Results for the Hassel, Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations

Sp	Qm	Qp	K	Pl	Li	Lm	Ls	Op	Bi	Gl	Hb	Mis
118	57	27	4	2	_	3	7	_	_	_	-	-
129	71	1	13	-	3	-	-	6	-	7	-	-
152	37	<1	18	<1	-	29	6	2	3	-	4	T,G
155	36	<1	5	-	40	16	1	1	-	-	-	G

Abbreviations represent; Sp=Sample number; Qm=Monocrystalline quartz; Qp=Polycrystalline quartz; K=Potassium feldspar; Pl=Plagioclase feldspar; Lm=Metamorphic lithic clast; Li=Igneous lithic clast; Ls=Sedimentary lithic clast; Bi=Biotite; Gl=Glauconite; Hb=Hornblende; Op=Opaque; Mis=Miscellaneous grains; included in this column are T=Tourmaline; Z=Zircon; G=Garnet; O=organics and P=Pyroxene.

Sp	Qm	Qp	K	Pl	Li	Lm	Ls	Op	Bi	Gl	Hb	Mis
18	11	8	13	10	28	16	9	1	<1	_	_	_
72	29	<1	3	<1	14	49	2	<1	1	_	1	-
82	34	_	43	6	_	_	_	4	5	_	5	0
139	50	_	19	2	_	12	5	5	_	_	5	G,Z
142	37	_	23	6	_	18	7	3	5	_	2	G,P
8	22	-	20	10	28	10	-	2	2	-	1	G
15	38	<1	24	18	-	-	-	3	4	-	<1	G
61	16	12	5	-	59	-	-	2	-	-	<1	-
88	24	<1	15	11	30	10	-	3	4	-	-	Т
95	24	2	50	4	17	-	-	2	2	-	-	G,T
135	37	4	17	<1	33	-	<1	2	4	_	<1	Z
2	15	12	12	4	15	29	-	1	5	-	<1	GPT
60	27	<1	20	8	23	-	-	4	3	4	-	G

Point Count Results for Navy Board and Aktineq Formations

Sp	Qm	Qp	K	Pl	Li	Lm	Ls	Op	Bi	Gl	Hb	Mis
63	31	14	7	3	12	18	10	<1	2	-	-	GPO
122	9	7	12	2	-	62	-	<1	-	-	-	-
134	12	2	11	1	-	70	Ι	1	1	-	_	GPT

Abbreviations represent; Sp=Sample number; Qm=Monocrystalline quartz; Qp=Polycrystalline quartz; K=Potassium feldspar; Pl=Plagioclase feldspar; Lm=Metamorphic lithic clast; Li=Igneous lithic clast; Ls=Sedimentary lithic clast; Op=Opaque; Bi=Biotite; Gl=Glauconite; Hb=Hornblende; Mis=Miscellaneous grains; included in this column are T=Tourmaline; Z=Zircon; G=Garnet; O=Organics and P=Pyroxene.

QFL Percent, Matrix and Cement in samples from the Navy Board and Aktineq Formations

Sp#	Formation	Q	F	L	Clay	Cement
18	Navy Board	23	23	54	_	-
72	Navy Board	30	3	67	Y	-
82	Navy Board	41	59	0	Y	_
139	Navy Board	57	24	19	-	_
142	Navy Board	41	32	27	Y	_
8	Akt. sst.	24	33	42	-	_
15	Akt. sst.	40	43	17	Y	_
61	Akt. sst.	21	18	61	Y	-

Sp#	Formation	Q	F	L	Clay	Cement
18	Navy Board	23	23	54	-	_
88	Akt. sst.	27	29	44	-	_
95	Akt. sst.	26	56	18	Y	-
135	Akt. sst.	45	23	36	Y	Qtz
2	M.B.M.	31	18	51	Y	Calc
60	M.B.M.	32	37	31	Y	-
63	M.B.M.	48	11	42	Y	Calc
122	M.B.M.	17	15	67	Y	Calc
134	M.B.M.	15	12	73	Y	Calc

Abbreviations represent; Sp#=Sample number; Q=Quartz; F=Feldspar; L=lithic clast; Qtz=Quartz cement; Calc=Calcite cement; Hem=Hematite cement

QFL Percent, Matrix and Cement in samples from the Hassel Bylot Island and Sermilik Formations

Sp#	Formation	Q	F	L	Clay	Cement
27	Hassel	29	19	52	Y	-
28	Hassel	95	3	2	Ι	_
98	Bylot Island	65	35	0	Y	Calc
123	Bylot Island	97	0	3	Y	Qtz

Sp#	Formation	Q	F	L	Clay	Cement
27	Hassel	29	19	52	Y	-
126	Bylot Island	87	1	13	-	Calc
36	Sermilik	51	43	7	Y	-
44	Sermilik	94	5	1	Y	-
45	Sermilik	58	36	6	Y	-
47A	Sermilik	97	3	0	Y	-
47B	Sermilik	88	10	2	Y	-
59	Sermilik	84	12	4	Y	-
70	Sermilik	96	4	0	-	-
78	Sermilik	99	1	0	-	Hem
105	Sermilik	92	8	0	-	Hem
108	Sermilik	95	3	2	Y	Hem
115	Sermilik	100	0	0	-	-
118	Sermilik	84	6	10	-	Hem
129	Sermilik	82	14	4	Y	-
152	Sermilik	41	19	40	Y	Hem
155	Sermilik	37	5	58	-	Calc

cement; Hem=Hematite cement

Abbreviations represent; Sp#=Sample number; Q=Quartz; F=Feldspar; L=lithic clast; Qtz=Quartz cement; Calc=Calcite

# APPENDIX E SUMMARY OF ORGANIC REMAINS FROM PALYNOLOGICAL COUNTS

S=sample number; L=Lycopodium spike recorded during count; D=Number of Dinoflagellates; B=Unclassified bisaccates; I=Indeterminate grains including pollen and spores; F=Fungal remains.

The samples referred to are located on the composite stratigraphic sections in Appendix A, on the measured stratigraphic sections in Appendix B.1 and the Palynomorph Range Chart in Appendix D.

The Palynomorph Range Chart in Appendix D was generated through the Checklist Programme. It is a graphical representation of species abundances out of a total count of 200 grains in each sample.

Formation	S	L	D	В	I	F
Bylot Is.	25	140	>200	59	29	59
Bylot Is.	32	72	>200	64	60	28
Bylot Is.	35	108	>200	63	39	79
Bylot Is.	65	146	>200	78	74	0
Bylot Is.	101	243	>200	60	59	21
Bylot Is.	102	51	>200	43	25	27
Bylot Is.	103	32	>200	17	72	2
Bylot Is.	104	100	>200	21	24	5
Bylot Is.	124	65	>200	51	43	1
Bylot Is.	125	170	>200	67	80	1
Bylot Is.	127	101	>200	100	76	0
Bylot Is.	128	153	>200	99	27	4
Sermilik	40	42	>200	117	51	76
Sermilik	41	27	99	80	43	40
Sermilik	42	85	>200	64	60	28
Sermilik	107	130	>200	98	39	10
Sermilik	113	94	0	17	43	15

Formation	S	L	D	В	I	F
Sermilik	117	7	0	26	48	47
Sermilik	151	28	>200	71	63	74
Navy Bd.	4	12	0	62	24	54
Navy Bd.	17	3	1	35	49	35
Navy Bd.	21	17	5	42	74	74
Navy Bd.	49	11	2	29	50	53
Navy Bd.	91	13	6	21	66	71
Navy Bd.	92	24	2	11	46	26
Navy Bd.	120	10	0	13	29	2
Navy Bd.	140	22	0	29	56	303
Akt. sst.	12	14	0	22	54	71
Akt. sst.	16	32	0	52	52	76
Akt. sst.	54	14	1	30	53	78
Akt. sst.	94	108	4	26	46	21
Akt. sst.	136	52	0	52	88	200
Akt. sst.	138	25	0	20	29	29
M.B.M.	1	68	0	101	102	59
M.B.M.	62	18	>200	49	73	32
M.B.M.	64	7	21	26	46	174
M.B.M.	71	161	0	49	63	104
M.B.M.	141	0	0	23	78	206
M.B.M.	149	24	0	24	100	38

RANGE CHART OF GRAPHIC ABUNDANCES BY MANUAL ORDERING Key to Symbols -

Very Rare (1 Count) Rare (2-4 Counts) -Counts) (5-9 (10-1 Counts) (15+ Counts) Abundant

- Questionably Present
- Present

7

X

Present, Not Quantified

8

!

ė 

PB-127

PB-124

(AA) ZONE

İ i ļ

• • : 1 i

!

APPENDICISPORITES SP. CF. ANEMIA MACKORHYZA CICATRICOSISPORITES PSEUDOTRIPARTITUS GLEICMENIIDITES SP. CF. CIRCINIDITES SPINULOSUS CICATRICOSISPORITES AUSTRALIENSIS RPPENDICISPORITES PROBLEMATICUS KLUKISPORITES PSEUDORETICULATUS TAXODIACEAEPOLLENITES VACUIPITES STEREISPORITES ANTIQUASPORITES CICATRICOSISPORITES SUBROTUNDUS LYCOPODIACIDITES CANALICULATUS PRISTINUSPOLLENITES MICROSACCUS UINGULATISPORITES DAKOTAENSIS ECHINATISPORITES VARISPINUSUS CICATRICOSOSPORITES EOCENICUS SEQUIDAPOLLENITES PALEDCENICUS BACULATISPORITES COMAUMENSIS ANTULSPORITES DISTAVERRUCOSUS PITVOSPORITES ALATIPOLLENITES APPENDICISPORITES BIFURCATUS TAXODIACEAEPOLLENITES HIATUS CAMAROZONOSPORITES AMBIGENS APPENDICISPORITES MATESOUAE CEREBROPOLLENITES HESOZOICUS LAEVIGATOSPORITES HAARDTI HAHULATISPORITES HAHULATIS TRIATRIOPOLLENITES RURENSIS GLETCHENITOITES SENONICUS IMPARDECISPORITES HUMILIS BIRETISPORITES POTOWIRE DELTOIDOSPORA PSILOSTOMA PODOCARPIDITES ELLIPTICUS PODOCARPIDITES MULTESIMUS PITYOSPORITES CONSTRICTUS AEQUITRIRADITES SP. CF. CYCROOPITES FOLLICULARIS ARAUCARIACITES AUSTRALIS PITVOSPORITES DEWEVENSIS RADIALISPORIS RADIATUS STOVERISPORITES LUNARIS TAPPANISPORA RETICULATA ALISPORITES BILATERALIS OSMUNDACITES HELLMANII INAPERTUROPOLLENITES SP ORMAMENTIFERA BACULATA VITREISPORITES PALLIDUS CICATRICOSISPORITES SP DELTOIDOSPORA DIAPHANA CINGUTRILETES POCOCKII DEMSOISPORITES VELATUS GEMMATRILETES CLAUATUS PITVOSPORITES ELONGATUS CVATHIDITES AUSTRALIS STEREISPORITES REGIUM CEDRIPITES CAMADENSIS DELTOIDOSPORA MALLII POROSIPOLLIS POROSUS HINOR ALISPORITES GRANDIS CVATHIDITES NINOR CINGUTRILETES SP. HAZARIA SHEOPARII ABIESPOLLENITES SP PODOCARPIDITES SP PITYOSPORITES SP. ENTYLISSA NITIDUS ¢, RETITRILETES TODISPORITES PB-149 PB-71 PB-1 PB-141 . ×. . 1 . ..... ..... • • • • . . . . . . . . . . . . (PP) ZONE • . ; . . 8.... 6 1 8 -0 . 9. 1 1 į Ĥ. . • 1 PB-140 1000 ×. . j P8-91 Ð 8 . 0000 PB-21 · CELERE 9 ġ PB-17 I (PW) ZONE PB-151 ł (AA) ZONE PB-65 8 B 8 Н B Н H H H Т H PB-64 81.8 PB-62 PB-16 PB-54 • COLUMN - COLUMN . . 0000 8 • CLUD-8 ..... , i • . ..... . . -CONTRACTOR OF : . 1.11.71 ų, . . . ę (PP) ZONE PB-12 -÷, . . ġ . . . P8-9 . . P CLUMPED AND A PB-49 PB-4 . 8 8 F PB-42 PB-41 PB-40 PB-35 PB-32 (PW) ZONE 9 D-O-O ġ H H Đ . 8 8 1 Å Ĥ . . . 8 8 . A ì ġ 1 . T . ; Ĥ (AA) ZONE ġ Ĥ Å İ Ē . Ē Ĥ ļ . . . B PB-25 . • . 5 . - 00 PB-138 8.91.8 PB-90 PB-136 8.8. 8. 8.... ! 8 8.000 8 • • • • i • •••••• • • • • ė 1 8 E. . . . • . (PP) ZONE PB-94 1 . . ..... . . ļ PB-92 H 1 8 B . PB-120 Ģ Ŕ (PW) ZONE PB-113 H. ġ B 8 TITLE Ħ 8 ė 8 - 0000 . . 8 B PB-107 PB-104 PB-103 Å • • . ġ B 8 ŀ 11111 . . . . . • • • • • ł į U. : • • • ! . 8 • Ĥ 8 ; . ĥ • 9 0.00 İ PB-102 ; . (AA) ZONE i • ; ė à . • . . PB-101 P8-128 PT-R Ð Đ 8

•

ì . . TITLE

İ

ļ 8 80.

ė

8

: : . • • • •

i

İ .

i

÷

T

<pre>certerorollemites megozoious</pre>	I PITVOSPORITES ELOMORTUS A PITVOSPORITES COMSTRICIUS	PRISTINUSPOLLENITES HICROSACCUS	ENTYLISSA NITIDUS	E SEQUIORPOLLENIES PALEOCENICUS Pitvaspopites acuevenese	R PTITYOSTURIES ULHEVENSIS R Redialisports Radiatus		TRIATEDPOLLENITES RUBENSIS	TRICOLPITES HIANS	TRICOLPITES PARUUS		R AQUILAPOLLENITES TRIALATUS	B ADVILAPOLLENITES RETIGUIATUS	PACINIA CRIBBATA	I TRIPOROPOLLENITES MULLENSIS	LILIACIDITES LFFT				POLYCOLOGICAL CANOLICAL CA	CLARKE OF CONTRACTOR		and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se			CARPENIPLICS ANCIPLIES	I RIVEST BULUPULLENTES DETULOTOES		TRAXING FULLENITES VARIABILIS					PROPOSED A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION AND A CONCEPTION A		CARAGECTICA RUBURYENSIS		BERGURENIGIES SP. 1		ANGLCORPUS TRAPECIFORME	E TRUDOPOLLIS EX. GR. ARECTOR		TARANGENT CONTRATION AND FRANTSCORE				POLYUCET BULLOPOLI ENTRES VERUS	COMPLEXIONDLIS SP	TRICOLPORITES SP. 1	AQUILAPOLLENITES HUGUSTUS	ROUSEA GEORGENSIS	STRIATOPOLLIS SP. CF. TECIATUS	AUTLAPOLLENITES SP. CF. INHISER		PESAUIS TAGLUENSIS			HICROTHALLICS FERIOSOS			S	EC	TIO		0									
· 6	6 : 1 :		: !				I		112 - 211	- 6									ţ					•		110				!				• • •	•	!		•			•				•			:			!		Ĩ				× - × ×					PB- PB-	-14 -71 -1 -14		}	Ma	ud	Big	pht	Me	mb	er	
		÷	: H						-10 · G · E	ļ		• • • •		. Thursday									[	•			g) · g) · gg ·				•	•		•	ļ	•			2 2 2 2	•	•		[					·   ·				•	1	9		•	x . x . x .					PB- PB- PB-	-91 -21 -17 -15			Se	rmi	lik	For	ma	rma tion rma	1	
																																																												SE	СТ		٩C										
:	ġ.	÷	ļ					Ę	i					100.0					ij	i				:			!	:		•									• •	•		1	1	: 6	ē		1				÷		i	÷						e e		F'E	-6 -6 -1	2	ł	Ma	ud	Big	ght	Me	amb	)er	
		1	ġ						- !																Ê					l									ļ		•		ί		Į.	11	Ľ,				1	:		-		1	ξ.			el R		FE	)-5 )-1	2	{	Ak	tine	iq S	San	dst	one	9	
÷₿	: ;		÷		[:		H	8.8		:		:			:				Î				i	:			à									•			÷ ;		. [						1	; ;			:	ļ	Ī	:		1	x . x .					F'E	3-9 3-4 3-4	9	}	Na	ry i	Boa	ırd	For	ma	tior	č.
÷	Ť	Ì	i	÷		-	- 1	i	i	i		;		8										:			Ţ	İ							-		:	i													÷		÷	÷		-		x				PE	-4	2	}	Sei	mi	ik İ	For	mai	tion	r	
÷	1	H	+	• •			i	₿	8	B	i	•	İ	ļ	-	E	1					-		•	1	9		•								÷		:	• •	÷		-				-					÷	•	Ļ	÷	-	-			-			PS	1-3 1-3	s	l	Bv	lot	Isla	ind	Fo	rma	atio	,
•••		-	:	•	. 8			B		8	8	i												ġ		•	•			•	•	•		•	•	: :		,		•														÷						6			- 21		\$								
																																																												SE	ĊТ	ION	1 В										
43			÷	: 1			F							1		I									8		1							ĸ	÷					÷		1		8			:				1						× .		-	2		PE	1-1 1-9	38	}								
÷	İ		÷	!					1									•	•			!				l	1	•	•	× .	•	•	ж. •	÷	•	•	÷.			÷	÷				ę	9	ļ	÷									x . x .					PE	-1	36	1	Ak	tine	pd 3	San	dat	one	8	
: 6	ġ	8	i	Ì.			.								ł				:	ļ				÷				Å		÷	:	a. a	•	:	:			÷			÷				Ï	i	Ê	÷			: :		F			į						PE	9-9. 9-1:	20	}	Na	٧Y	Boa	ard	Fo	rma	itio	r.
÷		8		B	; 1					I						!	:	ļ			!	÷	ļ	ġ	÷			Ï	:	f	1	i	i		9	i	ļ	!	ĥ	ļ	ŀ	÷	•	•	•	•								•				÷	-			PE	8-1 8-1 8-1	13	}	Se	rmi	lik	For	ma	tior	ı	
$\frac{1}{2}$	ġ.		Ĥ	:	:	i										i	ė	i	i	ļ	ŗ	:	:	÷	-			÷	÷	÷	ł	:	:		÷	÷	;	:	Ì	÷	÷		÷	:	÷	;	:								-	-			_			PE	-10	04	1	Ru	lat	Inis	and	Ec	hrma	atic	
	B	: :	х. х	•	•		i		1					3			:	•		:	•		•	э. ж	•	•		÷	•		:				:	:	÷		:		•	•	•	•	ì	;	•	:	•	•	• •									C		PE	-1	02 01	١	- 7							"
																																													•															SE	ст	101	A										
						2																121		÷																											2.0		ι.	. 12	21			X	X	(		PE	-13	28	1								
1	9				÷.,	1			:	:	•		:	•	•	:	•	•	•	1	-		÷.	÷.									÷.	Ŷ				÷	$\sim$	10				÷	÷			è			•					- 1		X				PE	1-10 1-10	27	Į.	B	dos	1.1	and	1 6	orm		