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Abstract

During embryonic germ layer development, cells must communicate with each other and their environment to ensure proper lineage specification and tissue development. Proteins of the connexin (Cx) or pannexin (PANX) protein families mediate cellular communication. Cx’s facilitate direct cell-cell communication through gap junction channels, while PANXs release small molecules into the extracellular space for autocrine or paracrine signalling. While previous reports suggest that gap junctional intercellular communication may contribute to germ layer formation, there have been no comprehensive expression analyses or genetic ablation studies on either Cxs or PANXs during human pluripotent stem cell (PSC) specification to the three embryonic germ lineages. I screened the mRNA profile and select protein expression of human Cx and PANX isoforms in undifferentiated human induced pluripotent stem cells and after directed differentiation into the three embryonic germ lineages: ectoderm, endoderm and mesoderm. RT-qPCR analysis of control iPSCs revealed upregulation of Cx62 in iPSC-derived ectoderm cells, Cx45 upregulation in ectoderm and mesoderm cells, and enrichment of Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37 and Cx40 in endoderm. However, Cx43 and PANX1 remain highly expressed in iPSCs as well as cells of each germ lineage. Despite high expression levels, both the Cx43 and the PANX1 CRISPR-Cas9 knockout iPSCs each successfully differentiated into cells of all three germ layers, suggesting that Cx43 and PANX1 are dispensable during directed iPSC lineage specification. This is the first comprehensive analysis of Cx and PANX isoform expression throughout iPSC germ layer segregation. Future studies will
reveal whether other Cx or PANX isoforms compensate for the loss of Cx43 and/or PANX1 in the generated knockout iPSCs cells during germ lineage specification.
Lay Summary

Just as communication is essential to people and societies, the cells that make up our bodies are in constant communication with each other. Very early in human embryonic development, cells must decide what sort of adult cell type they will become. While making fate decisions, cells communicate with each other to ensure that every individual cell is properly placed and functioning appropriately. When something goes wrong in this process, human developmental disorders can arise. In order to learn more about how cells communicate with each other during human embryonic development, this project will mimic the first embryonic cell fate decisions using human-induced pluripotent stem cells. Induced pluripotent stem cells are created by “reprogramming” adult skin cells into stem cells, which can then become any cell type in the body. With the development of straightforward gene-editing techniques (CRISPR-Cas9), genes that are involved in cell communication can easily be deleted or mutated. It is hypothesized that when the cells cannot communicate with each other, human stem cell fate decisions will be compromised. Herein is shown that deletion of heavily studies communication proteins, Cx43 or PANX1, does not impact early fate decisions of stem cells. This vital work will help us better understand human developmental disorders and hopefully one day uncover treatments and preventative measures.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Human Embryonic Development

The development of a complex multicellular organism from a single fertilized cell relies on the tight orchestration of several events, including cellular migrations and rearrangements, cellular morphology changes and cell fate specification (Chan et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2017). Cellular communication between neighbouring cells is highly regulated; specific timing and localization of signalling enables patterning and organization of the developing embryo. As such, the loss of cellular communication can be detrimental to processes including lineage specification, tissue patterning, and organogenesis (Perrimon et al., 2012). Upon oocyte fertilization, human embryonic development begins with a series of cell divisions called cleavage that results in 8 equivalent blastomeres (Figure 1.1). Each of these blastomeres retains the potential to form the entire living organism. Once the embryo extends past the 16 cell stage, cellular compaction and expression of adhesion molecules produces a morula. Cells within the morula develop polarity, and subsequent cell rearrangements and lineage restriction events produce a hollow ball of cells known as a blastocyst. Cellular specification within the blastocyst restricts cells to either the trophoblast, which gives rise to extra-embryonic tissues, or the inner cell mass (ICM), yielding the embryo proper. Implantation begins the next stage of embryo development and results in epiblast formation (Wolpert, 2015). In the weeks post-implantation, localized signalling gradients across the embryo will trigger large cellular rearrangements that coordinate the formation of the primitive streak and subsequent gastrulation. The three tissue layers that arise during gastrulation (ectoderm, mesoderm and
endoderm) are collectively known as the embryonic germ layers and will give rise to select organs unique to each lineage (Figure 1.1; (Tam & Loebel, 2007). For example, the ectoderm forms the skin and central nervous system, while mesoderm gives rise to muscle and connective tissue, and endoderm contributes to internal organs, including the pancreas and intestines (Kiecker et al., 2016; Zorn & Wells, 2009). Endodermal cell populations exist within both extraembryonic and embryonic structures. To give distinction between extraembryonic and embryonic endoderm, populations derived from the trophoblast are considered primitive endoderm while the epiblast-derived germ layer is considered embryonic or definitive endoderm.

1.2 Embryonic Gastrulation and Development of the Embryonic Germ Layers

In the developing embryo, Activin, Nodal, bone morphogenic protein (BMP), and WNT signalling induce gastrulation (Chhabra et al., 2019; Nostro et al., 2008; Tam & Loebel, 2007). WNT3 induces primitive streak formation and subsequent mesendoderm formation. Segregation towards mesoderm relies on prolonged WNT3 and BMP signalling while definitive endodermal lineages emerge in response to elevated levels of expression of Nodal and Activin A (Figure 1.2; (Tam & Loebel, 2007). Activation of the BMP pathways through Activin A induces Nodal signalling, to promote the expression of various endoderm-specific transcription factors such as FOXA2, GATA4, and SOX17 (Zorn & Wells, 2009). SOX17 is a robust marker for definitive endoderm formation (Wang et al., 2011). Loss of SOX17 in mice reduces definitive endoderm populations, limiting downstream foregut, hindgut, and midgut differentiation (Kanai-Azuma et al., 2002).
light of its strong implications in endoderm development SOX17 is widely used to validate the formation of endoderm during development.

Active WNT and bone morphogenic protein (BMP) and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) signalling permit mesoderm formation (Figure 1.2). Differentiating pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) fail to produce mesoderm when treated with the potent WNT inhibitor Dickkopf-related protein 1; DKK1 (Lindsley et al., 2006; Tam & Loebel, 2007). Furthermore, BMP/TGF-β induces the expression of known mesoderm and lineage markers MIXL1 (encoding for mix paired-like homeobox 1; MIXL1) and T (encoding for Brachyury). Treatment of embryos with BMP inhibitor Noggin downregulates T expression, while BMP4 actively promotes the formation of SMAD2/3-containing DNA binding complex that promotes expression of MIXL1 (Raymond et al., 2014; Sharma et al., 2017). It is therefore common to use MIXL1 as a marker of mesendoderm induction.

Prior to the formation of the primitive streak, a wave of Nodal expression migrates across the cells of the epiblast, inducing Lefty in its wake. As an effective inhibitor of WNT, active Lefty prevents specific cells from ingressing along the primitive streak (Engert et al., 2013). Partnered with Nodal, TFG-β and BMP inhibition, these cells differentiate into ectoderm (Figure 1.2; (Tam & Loebel, 2007; Tchieu et al., 2017). Premature neurectoderm development results from the absence of Nodal (Tam & Loebel, 2007). Thus the formation of ectoderm is reliant the on presence of Nodal and the absence of endoderm and mesoderm drivers.
Figure 1.1 – Cell potency is progressively lost as lineage specification occurs during embryogenesis or in vitro stem cell differentiation. Human development begins with the fertilization of the oocyte. A series of cleavages produces a 2-cell then a 4-cell embryo. Continued segregation events and cellular reorganization form the preimplantation embryo, comprised of the trophectoderm, the blastocoel, and the inner cell mass. Cells of the inner cell mass are pluripotent and develop into the embryo proper. After implantation, the developing embryo undergoes gastrulation forming the three germ layers that give rise to the early embryo cell types and tissues. Generation of human pluripotent stem cells for culture begins with either the isolation of undifferentiated cells of the preimplantation embryos inner cell mass (embryonic stem cells, ESCs) or reprogramming of terminally differentiated cells (induced pluripotent stem cells, iPSCs). Pluripotent stem cells (PSCs) have the capacity to form all cell types of the embryo. Lineage specification restricts pluripotent stem cells to the three germ layers. Resident cells of the three germ lineages are multipotent as they are only capable of producing cell types specific to one lineage. Multipotent cells respond to environmental signals, continuing to divide and differentiate until they reach terminal differentiation. Terminally differentiated cells are limited to one form and function. This figure was generated through the use of BioRender.com
Figure 1.2 - Signalling cascades and lineage markers involved in germ lineage specification. Human pluripotent stem cells, including ESCs and iPSCs express SOX2 and POU5F1 encoding for OCT4 as undifferentiated state markers. Loss of TGF-β and FGF-2 signalling allows PSCs to begin differentiating towards the three germ layers. PSCs with active WNT develop into mesendoderm marked by MIXL1 and T encoding for Brachyury. Continued WNT and BMP activity permit mesoderm development and continued expression of lineage marker Brachyury. Formation of endoderm is marked by SOX17 expression and relies on NODAL and Activin A activity. Inhibition of NODAL, BMP and TGF-β signalling prevents the formation of mesendoderm, and instead results in early ectoderm. This figure was generated through the use of BioRender.com
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1.3 Stem Cells

Model organisms have yielded seminal discoveries toward understanding embryogenesis. Recent advancements in the isolation and generation of human PSCs permit human-specific investigations into human developmental processes such as cellular differentiation and germ lineage specification (Shahbazi, 2020; Tam & Loebel, 2007).

The term “stem cell” refers to a unique classification of cells marked by their ability to self-renew and give rise (differentiate) to specialized cell types as determined by their potency (Biehl & Russell, 2009; Singh et al., 2016). Stem cells can divide both symmetrically and asymmetrically. Stem cells maintaining their potency will undergo symmetric division, which yields two daughter cells phenotypically identical to the parent cell. Asymmetric division of stem cells produces morphologically different daughter cells, leading to differentiation. Stem cells undergoing asymmetric division must exit the cell cycle and prevent cell death while altering their gene activity and morphology (Soufi & Dalton, 2016). Successful asymmetric division allows cells to progressively lose potency, and in this manner, can mediate cellular differentiation (Shahriyari & Komarova, 2013). Differentiation proceeds in a stepwise manner towards lineage commitment, the crucial stage that results in the emergence of the three embryonic germ layers. Signals from neighbouring cells can dramatically influence this process and subsequent cellular differentiation. For example, partial disruption of intercellular communication using Cre-loxP deletion of Cx43/Cx45 in ESCs prevents primitive endoderm formation, a crucial specifier of later embryonic cell types; endoderm, ectoderm, and mesoderm (Wörsdörfer et al., 2017). Similarly, chemical disruption of intercellular communication prevents ESC to
hepatocyte maturation and activity (Qin et al., 2016). Due to their nature and response to changes in cellular communication, stem cells are a sophisticated model system with widespread applications.

Potency describes the breadth of downstream cell types into which a stem cell can differentiate. Classification of a stem cell as either totipotent, pluripotent, multipotent or unipotent can be influenced by a stem cell’s source (Biehl & Russell, 2009; Raveh-Amit et al., 2013). Multipotent tissue-resident stem cells, somatic stem cells, are the most specialized type of stem cell. These cells reside in areas of the body requiring high turnover rates to maintain tissue integrity and functionality (Biehl & Russell, 2009). For example, one of the most proliferative somatic stem cell niches resides in the small intestine. The human intestinal epithelial lining is entirely replaced every 4 to 5 days by resident stem cells within intestinal crypts (Umar, 2010). Thus, somatic stem cells are considered multipotent, as they are restricted to select cell types specific to their resident tissue.

By contrast, pluripotent stem cells, including embryonic stem cells and induced pluripotent stem cells, are capable of forming any of the three primordial germ lineages. ESCs are generated through the isolation and culture of inner cell mass cells from the preimplantation blastocyst (Evans & Kaufman, 1981; Thomson & Odorico, 2000). Embryonic stem cells can be cultured in vitro indefinitely, remaining in undifferentiated proliferative states for over a year without replicative senescence (Rosler et al., 2004). Theoretically ESCs can differentiate into any cell type of the body as they originate from the preimplantation blastocyst inner cell mass (Thomson et al., 1998; Thomson & Odorico, 2000). As isolation of inner cell mass cells destroys the human embryo, ethical concerns
surround the generation and use of human ESCs. For a comprehensive review and discussion of the ethics surrounding the use of human embryonic stem cells please see (de Wert & Mummery, 2003; Lo & Parham, 2009). Without an abundantly available ethical source, the application of human ESCs is limited. Out of this ethical controversy and increased demand for personalized regenerative medicine came another source for pluripotent stem cells.

Induced pluripotent stem cells are a unique tool in cellular biology as they are produced through the reprogramming of terminal cell types such as dermal fibroblasts, leukocytes, or urinary endothelial cells (Takahashi et al., 2007). iPSCs have become the favoured model system for disease modelling or personalized regenerative medicine as they can be easily sourced from genetically matched donors without ethical debate (Zhao et al., 2013). Derived from healthy individuals as well as patients with disease, iPSCs represent a valuable model for human disease progression and pathogenesis. Similar to ESCs, iPSCs retain their ability to produce any cell type of the body while dividing in culture (Zhu & Huangfu, 2013). Forced expression of reprogramming factors c-Myc, OCT4, Klf4, and SOX2 (“Yamanaka factors”) reverts tissue-specific restrictions producing undifferentiated pluripotent cells (Takahashi et al., 2007). Effective cellular reprogramming requires dramatic genetic, epigenetic, morphological, and metabolic changes to occur within the cell while escaping apoptosis and senescence (Buganim et al., 2013). OCT4 and SOX2 strongly reinforce pluripotency, often ensuring their own expression through an autoregulatory positive feedback loop (Schmidt & Plath, 2012). SOX2 is the best described interacting partner of OCT4, often binding a composite OCT-SOX element within regulatory elements of pluripotency genes (Shi & Jin, 2010). Simultaneously binding this element SOX2 and
OCT4 cooperate to elevate expression of \textit{POU5F1, SOX2, Nanog, FGF-4, UTF1, ZFP206}, all of which modulate pluripotency (Ambrosetti et al., 1997; Chew et al., 2005; Nishimoto et al., 1999; Rodda et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2007).

The chromatin topology of terminally differentiated cells can prevent these pluripotency transcription factors from binding their targets (Takahashi et al., 2007). Present theories suggest the role of c-Myc and Klf4 during reprogramming is to promote an active chromatin environment rather than inducing pluripotency (Schmidt & Plath, 2012; Takahashi et al., 2007). c-Myc is thought to bind enhancer regions of essential pluripotency genes, where it recruits chromatin opening factors, including Klf4 and others (Chappell & Dalton, 2013; Takahashi et al., 2007). Once the chromatin is made available, pluripotency activating transcription factors such as OCT4 and SOX2 can actively bind their targets, promoting a gene-environment similar to ESCs (Chappell & Dalton, 2013; Schmidt & Plath, 2012; Takahashi et al., 2007). Often SOX2 and OCT4 can be used as markers of the pluripotent state using common methodologies such as Western blotting, RT-qPCR and immunofluorescence.

Human PSCs require very few exogenous signals to maintain their pluripotency \textit{in vitro}. iPSCs reprogrammed from somatic cells behave similarly to ESC, relying on FGF-2 and TGF-β signalling to maintain pluripotency (Lotz et al., 2013; Mullen & Wrana, 2017). FGF-2 is capable of binding all FGF receptors to regulate key transcription factors that act to promote the expression of SOX2, OCT4, and Nanog while stimulating the release of TGF-β (Mossahebi-Mohammadi et al., 2020). In PSCs, TGF-β manipulates the activity of the SMAD proteins, which bind promoter regions of \textit{SOX2, POU5F1} and \textit{NANOG}. 
(Gordeeva, 2019). The depletion of FGF-2 creates variances of growth factors in culture, increasing the probability of spontaneous differentiation (Lotz et al., 2013). Complete loss of FGF-2 and TGF-β mediates spontaneous differentiation (Lotz et al., 2013; Mullen & Wrana, 2017). Without these regulatory signals, stem cells follow their inherent preference towards the various cell types of the body.

Many functional assays exist to evaluate stem cell pluripotency, including the generation of chimeras, teratomas, embryoid bodies or in vitro differentiation assays. In vivo fusion of two embryos results in the formation of a chimera. Injection of genetically unique ESCs or iPSCs into a host embryo in vitro generates murine chimeras (Matsui et al., 1992; Okita et al., 2007). Similarly, teratomas assess stem cell pluripotency by determining their contribution to tumour formation. Cells are injected into an immunocompromised host, typically mice, and develop a resultant teratoma. Teratomas exhibiting characteristics of all three germ layers are presumed to have been generated from pluripotent stem cells (Singh et al., 2016). The above-described methods have been well established in mice: however, ethical considerations prevent their application in humans. As a result, human stem cell pluripotency is often assessed using embryoid bodies or in vitro monolayer differentiation assays (Singh et al., 2016). Used in conjunction with genetic editing, these assays represent powerful tools for analyzing the influence of a gene on pluripotency and cell lineage commitment (Singh et al., 2016).

1.4 Cellular Differentiation

Human PSCs have broad differentiation potential, as they can differentiate into any of the embryonic cell types. PSC differentiation can be directed toward a lineage of interest,
providing a precise way to study early timepoints in human development otherwise unethical or problematic to isolate (Qin et al., 2016). Decades of developmental biology research provide insight into the conditions required for germ layer formation and generally outline the signalling cascades found during embryogenesis as described in section 1.2 (Figure 1.2). Exposure to exogenous growth factors, cytokines, morphogens, or small molecules known to modulate differentiation in vivo can constrain cellular differentiation towards a desired cell type (Lam et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2016; Tchieu et al., 2017).

Directed endoderm differentiation protocols use FGF with Activin A to produce endoderm from human PSCs in culture (McLean et al., 2007; Sui et al., 2012). Other protocols use Activin A with a regulator of Wnt and β-Catenin signalling, including the chemical compound CHIR09921 (D’Amour et al., 2006; Pagliuca et al., 2014). Lam et al. describe effective mesoderm differentiation using CHIR09921 alongside Wnt3a or Activin A and BMP4 (Lam et al., 2014). Tchieu et al. 2017 describes a protocol using dual SMAD inhibitors to remove BMP and TGF-β activity, generating PAX6 positive neuroectoderm (Tchieu et al., 2017). Without PAX6 human PSCs fail to differentiate into neuroectoderm (Zhang et al., 2010). PAX6 is well described as a valuable and reliable marker for ectoderm formation.

In contrast to the external cues applied to cells during directed differentiation, spontaneous differentiation enables passive, cell-guided differentiation. Embryoid bodies (EBs) are three-dimensional aggregates of PSCs that attempt to mimic the early embryo's patterning and signalling (Lin & Chen, 2014; Mansergh et al., 2009; Simunovic & Brivanlou, 2017). This method effectively evaluates human stem cell pluripotency by
removing TGF-β and FGF-2, allowing stem cells to undergo spontaneous differentiation
(Mullen & Wrana, 2017). Embryoid bodies generally differentiate into cells of all three embryonic germ lineages, although they are not organized in the same manner as a developing embryo (Bratt-Leal et al., 2009; Pettinato et al., 2014). Additionally, the size and input cell number can influence the formation and differentiation of EBs. Large compact EBs inhibit nutrient diffusion and undergo core necrosis, while smaller embryoid bodies fail to thrive in culture (Pettinato et al., 2014). Although monolayer differentiation assays lack many spatial cues present in the above assays, new 3D culture methods and robust kits provide precise tools for investigating influential cellular signals during cell fate specification (Noort et al., 2021; Pettinato et al., 2014).

1.5 Cellular Communication

Cellular communication helps to spatially arrange the activities of nearly every cell in the human body. Cells can communicate with each other and their environment in different ways, including autocrine signalling, endocrine signalling, paracrine signalling, and direct cell-cell communication (Cooper, 2000; Esseltine & Laird, 2016). Endocrine signalling occurs when hormones travel to distant locations through the blood (Cooper, 2000). Autocrine signalling involves the release of signalling molecules intended to bind to their originating cell inducing signal transduction (Przybyla & Voldman, 2012). Paracrine signalling differs in that the released external cues act on neighbouring cells (Franco et al., 2008). Finally, direct cell-cell communication can be facilitated through gap junctions between adjacent cells.
1.6 Gap Junctional Intercellular Communication

Direct cell-cell communication is facilitated by the connexin (Cx) family of gap junction proteins. There are 21 distinct Cx family members, differentially expressed and regulated across various cell and tissue types. Connexins are transmembrane proteins arranged in a hexameric membrane hemichannel that can dock with hemichannels of neighbouring cells to facilitate gap junction intercellular communication (GJIC) (Figure 1.3; (Esseltine & Laird, 2016). Connexin protein assembly, hemichannel oligomerization and trafficking follow the classic secretory pathways for plasma membrane proteins, from the ER to the intermediate compartment, Golgi apparatus and finally the plasma membrane. The process of Cx oligomerization is unique from that of other transmembrane channels. Sequence homology within the third transmembrane domain governs the pairing of different connexin isoforms into hemichannels comprised of one (homomeric) or multiple isoforms (heteromeric) (Kelly et al., 2015; Koval et al., 2014). Once expressed at the cell surface, select residues and motifs in the extracellular loops regulate the interactions of each hemichannel (Bai et al., 2018). This high level of connexin isoform intermixing creates a complex hierarchy of channel selectivity, exponentially regulating cell signalling (Koval et al., 2014).

The ability of connexin hemichannels to dock with hemichannels on adjacent cells can be modulated by select post-translational modifications. Post-translational modifications affect other connexin protein interacting partners and even the biosynthesis and degradation of connexin channels (Johnstone et al., 2012). For example, several different protein kinases phosphorylate Cx43 carboxyl-terminal residues to alter channel
permeability, conductance, and gating (Ek-Vitorin et al., 2006; Lampe et al., 2000). Post-translational modification of Cx proteins is then essential to determining the activity and functionality of gap junction channels.
Figure 1.3 - Connexin channels mediate gap junctional intercellular communication.

Connexin (Cx) proteins have four transmembrane domains, two extracellular loops, one intracellular loop and cytoplasmic amino and carboxyl termini. Regions essential for connexins channel formation and gating such as transmembrane domains, N-terminus and extracellular loops are highly conserved. Meanwhile, the C-terminus and intracellular loop are highly variable between different connexin isoforms and appropriate targets for specific antibody detection. Connexin proteins are trafficked to the cell surface as a hexameric unit known as a hemichannel. Once expressed at the cell surface, hemichannels at the interface between adjacent cells can form gap junctions, mediating direct intercellular communication. Depending on the Cx isoform, gap junction channels exhibit different pore permeabilities but are generally permeable to molecules less than 1 kDa in size. This figure was generated through the use of BioRender.com
1.7  **GJIC in embryonic development and disease**

GJIC is established as early as the 8 cell embryo (Becker et al., 1992). Cx43 is the earliest expressed connexin in development and is widely expressed in adult tissues. The importance of GJIC in human development is exemplified by the numerous human germline Cx mutations which result in developmental disorders (Laird et al., 2017). Mice deficient in Cx43 die after birth due to obstruction of the right ventricular outflow leading to cardiac dysfunction (Lampe & Lau, 2004; Plum et al., 2000; Reaume et al., 1995). Replacement of Cx43 with Cx32 or Cx40 can partially rescue this lethality (Plum et al., 2000). Mutations in human Cx43 lead to atrial fibrillation and oculodentodigital dysplasia (Kelly et al., 2016; Nishii et al., 2014), while mutations in Cx32 cause X-linked Charcot-Marie Tooth disease (Bruzzone et al., 1994; Fairweather et al., 1994). Mouse models lacking Cx32 mimic the aforementioned human neuropathy alongside widespread liver dysfunction (Anzini et al., 1997; Nelles et al., 1996; Temme et al., 1997; Willecke et al., 1999). Embryonic loss of Cx26 in mice results in a lethal defect in transplacental glucose uptake, whereas Cx26 mutation in humans results in deafness and skin disease (Gabriel et al., 1998; Lee & White, 2009; Murgia et al., 1999). Proper establishment of GJIC is then quite evidently influential to the healthy development of the embryo.

1.8  **Connexins influence stem cell reprogramming and cell fate specification**

Collectively presently available research describes gene expression of Cx25, Cx26, Cx30, Cx30.2, Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx31.9, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, Cx45, Cx46, Cx47, Cx59, Cx62 in human iPSCs (summarized in Figure 4.1; (Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013). Despite the availability of several Cx transcripts, Cx40, Cx43, and
Cx45 are the only Cx described at the protein level in human ESCs (Huettner et al., 2006). Human PSC survival, pluripotency, and terminal differentiation rely on GJIC, and ectopic expression of Cx43 and Cx45 enhances the efficiency of iPSC reprogramming (Czyż et al., 2017; Dbouk et al., 2009; Esseltine et al., 2017; Ke et al., 2013; Ke et al., 2017). Furthermore, changes in GJIC can alter the differentiation potential of PSCs. For example, Cx43 has recently been shown to be upregulated during human ESC primitive endoderm differentiation, and Cx43-deficient PSCs exhibit compromised endoderm formation (Wörsdörfer et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2019). On the other hand, simultaneous overexpression of Cx32 and knockdown of Cx43 promotes ESC differentiation to hepatocytes (Pei et al., 2017; Qin et al., 2016). Such research highlights the dynamic relationship between GJIC and cell fate specification.

Cx32 and Cx43 both exert protective effects on hematopoietic stem cells (Hirabayashi et al., 2007b; Taniguchi Ishikawa et al., 2012). Mice lacking Cx32 possess higher counts of undifferentiated hematopoietic stem cells and as a result fewer hematopoietic progenitor cells, suggesting that dynamic expression of Cx32 may control the timing of hematopoietic progenitor cell maturation (Hirabayashi et al., 2007a, 2007b). Cx43 knockout mice, on the other hand, exhibit defects in blood cell formation, implicating Cx43 in hematopoiesis (Montecino-Rodriguez et al., 2000). Overexpression of Cx43 in human ESCs positively mediates cardiac differentiation, while mesenchymal stem cells deficient in Cx43 exhibit decreased osteoblast differentiation potential (Lin et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2008). Conversely, expression of Cx32 markedly decreases in the early stages of adipose-derived stem cell differentiation (Mannino et al., 2020). Therefore, it is apparent that connexins are
important for the maintenance and downstream specification of somatic stem cell populations.

1.9 **Cell-cell communication through the Pannexin family of large pore channels**

Pannexins (PANX1, PANX2, PANX3) are large pore-forming membrane channels that mediate paracrine signalling through the passage of small molecules between the cytosol and extracellular space (Figure 1.4; (Esseltine & Laird, 2016; Scemes et al., 2007; Shao et al., 2016). PANX1 is widely expressed, including throughout tissues derived from all three germ layers. PANX2 is primarily expressed in the nervous system, while PANX3 is restricted to skin, bone, and connective tissues (Crespo Yanguas et al., 2017; (Uhlén et al., 2015). Pannexin proteins have four membrane-spanning domains, two intracellular and two extracellular loops, an intracellular N-terminal loop and a cleavable C-terminus (Michalski et al., 2020). Recent Cryogenic electron microscopy based studies have demonstrated that PANX1 channels exist as a heptamer (Michalski et al., 2020; Qu et al., 2020). Pannexins were initially classified as gap junction proteins along with connexins; however, identifiable differences in localization, protein arrangement, and protein glycosylation have led to the reclassification of pannexins as single membrane-spanning large pore channels.

PANX1 glycosylation occurs within the extracellular domains, and thus it is unlikely that these proteins are capable of docking to form an intercellular channel (Boassa et al., 2007). PANX1 is initially glycosylated in the endoplasmic reticulum before passing to the Golgi apparatus. Here the glycosylated protein can be further modified before being trafficked to the plasma membrane (Boassa et al., 2008). It is now widely accepted that PANX1 has three different glycosylation states Gly-0, unglycosylated; Gly-1, high
mannose; Gly-2, complex carbohydrate (Figure 1.4). Several studies have demonstrated that these three main PANX1 glycosylation states can be resolved via Western blot as distinct molecular weight bands (Boassa et al., 2008; Penuela et al., 2007; Penuela et al., 2009). The level of glycosylation has been suggested to influence the trafficking of PANX1. Gly-0 PANX1 generally localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum, Gly-1 is found in the Golgi apparatus, while Gly-2 is expressed at the cell surface (Boyce et al., 2018). Pharmacological inhibition of glycosylation or site-directed mutagenesis of the N254Q glycosylation site blocks PANX1 cell surface expression (Boassa et al., 2008). Glycosylation of PANX1 can now be commonly detected using simple methods such as Western blotting.

Many mouse models implicate pannexins in disease development or progression, including Crohn’s and colitis, Alzheimer’s disease, various cancers, and ischemia (Aquilino et al., 2019; Diezmos et al., 2013a; Orellana et al., 2011; Penuela et al., 2014). One of the most well-defined roles of PANX1 is in cell death. When cells are stressed, open PANX1 channels allow the free flow of small molecules, including ATP out of the cell, thus accelerating apoptosis and potentially triggering the cell death of neighbouring cells (Crespo Yanguas et al., 2017). In contrast to their role mediating cell death, pannexins may support tissue-resident stem cell populations. PANX1 has been shown to regulate the self-renewal or differentiation of murine skeletal muscle satellite cells, neural precursor cells (NPCs) and adipose-derived stromal cells (Lee et al., 2018; Pham et al., 2018; Wicki-Stordeur et al., 2012; Wicki-Stordeur et al., 2016). PANX1 is therefore an attractive target for the investigation of endoderm related developmental diseases.
1.10 Pannexin channels in human development and disease

PANX1 is expressed in human oocytes and 2-cell and 4-cell stage embryos, suggesting a role for this protein in human embryonic development (Esseltine & Laird, 2016; Hainz et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2016). PANX1-/- mice remain fertile, producing typical size litters (Sang et al., 2019). However, presence of mutated PANX1 proves more damaging, as several detrimental germline mutations have been presented in humans. Heterozygous PANX1 mutations lead to decreased PANX1 protein expression, disruption of PANX1 trafficking and post-translational modification and perturbed channel function. These germline mutations lead to female human infertility as a result of primary oocyte death (Sang et al., 2019). The severity of symptoms increases with homozygous PANX1 mutation with the genetic variant PANX1-R217H causing severe neurological deficits in addition to primary ovarian failure (Shao et al., 2016). Although PANX1 has recently been identified in human oocytes and PSCs (Hainz et al., 2018; Sang et al., 2019), it is currently unknown if PANX1 similarly supports self-renewal or differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells.
Figure 1.4 – Pannexin proteins form transmembrane channels. The pannexin family is comprised of three isoforms PANX1, PANX2, PANX3. Pannexins have four transmembrane domains, two extracellular domains, one intracellular domain, and cytoplasmic amino and carboxyl termini. Glycosylation sites (location denoted by attached carbohydrate; green) have been confirmed in the extracellular loops of PANX1, PANX2 and PANX3. PANX1 arranges as a heptameric transmembrane channel, often interacting with cytoplasmic actin filaments (yellow). Unlike connexins, external glycosylation prevents the formation of gap junctions. Instead, pannexins act as single membrane channels in autocrine or paracrine signalling. This figure was generated through the use of BioRender.com
1.11 Genetic Engineering

CRISPR-Cas9 systems can be manipulated to allow for the induction of targeted genetic mutations. CRISPR guide RNAs (gRNA) contain a transactivating crRNA (tracrRNA) fused to a CRISPR RNA (crRNA) designed explicitly in compliment to a sequence of interest (Joberty et al., 2020). By predetermining the crRNA sequence, Cas9 activity and location of induced DNA breaks can be directed. Such DNA damage is repaired by either non-homologous end joining or through the homology-directed repair pathway (Hsu et al., 2014). Non-homologous end-joining can introduce small insertion or deletion mutations (indels), disrupting the reading frame and silencing the gene of interest (Guo et al., 2018). Meanwhile, homology-directed repair can be used to insert precise DNA sequences. Donor DNA sequences with homologous arms can introduce novel genes at the site of induced DNA breaks or encode fluorescent tag-fused proteins (Sharma et al., 2018; Verma et al., 2017). This technology is especially beneficial to uncovering how specific genes influence pluripotent stem cell reprogramming, survival, and cell fate specification. For example, targeting of select genes in hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells by CRISPR-Cas9 enables retention of multilineage differentiation potential (Mandal et al., 2014). CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering can be leveraged for disease modelling by either inducing or repairing human genetic mutation within pluripotent stem cells (Song et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2021). With this CRISPR systems advances genetic editing, making phenotypic analyses more precise with complete ablation in place of residual expression.
1.12 Rationale and objectives

As highlighted above, connexins and pannexins are widely expressed throughout the early embryo as well as adult tissues. Moreover, cell-cell communication impacts stem cell self-renewal and fate specification. Due to the early and widespread embryonic expression of Cx43, and the numerous diseases associated with Cx43 malfunction, it is hypothesized that complete genetic ablation of GJA1 (Connexin 43) will negatively impact germ lineage specification. Specifically, it is hypothesized that mesoderm and endoderm differentiation will be compromised in Cx43 knockouit iPSCs compared to control.

Similarly, because PANX1 is identifiable in the earliest stages of embryonic development and is most highly expressed in ectodermal-derived tissues, including brain and skin, it is hypothesized that PANX1 knockout iPSCs will exhibit impaired ectodermal lineage differentiation compared to control. In order to test these hypotheses, this project has three main objectives:

1) Characterize select connexin and pannexin expression in human iPSCs through RT-qPCR, Western blot, and immunofluorescent analysis.

2) Identify differences in select connexin and pannexin expression and subcellular localization in cells of the three germ lineages by differentiating control iPSCs into ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm cells.

3) Evaluate the effect of CRISPR-Cas9 genetic ablation of either PANX1 or GJA1 on the differentiation capacity of human iPSCs to the three embryonic germ lineages.
1.13 Summary of Findings

Gene expression analysis using RT-qPCR identified transcripts for 11 of the 21 connexin isoforms in iPSCs and the three germ layers. Transcripts for Cx62 were enriched in ectodermal cell types, while transcripts encoding for Cx45 increased post mesoderm and ectoderm formation. Endoderm populations had elevated transcripts encoding Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37 and Cx40 transcripts. Western blot revealed persistent expression of Cx43 and PANX1 throughout lineage specification. Interestingly our results suggest PANX1 is alternatively glycosylated and differentially localized across cells of each germ layer. PANX1-/- and GJA1-/- iPSCs generated using CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation maintain characteristics of PSCs, and successfully differentiate into ectoderm, mesoderm, or endoderm under directed differentiation. It was therefore concluded that neither Cx43 nor PANX1 are essential during lineage specification.
2 Materials & Methods

2.1 Cell Lines

Human female control, GJA1/- (Cx43) and PANX1/- induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) were obtained through a material transfer agreement with The University of Western Ontario (London, Ontario, CA) (Esseltine et al., 2020; Esseltine et al., 2017; Shao et al., 2019). Human male iPSCs were acquired from the NIGMS Human Genetic Cell Repository (GM25256*e, NIGMS Human Genetic Cell Repository). Mono-allelic EGFP insertion behind the endogenous GJA1 allele of GM25256*e iPSCs (Cx43-eGFP) was performed by The Allen Institute for Cell Science (AICS-0053-016iPSC, Allen Cell Collection).

2.2 Stem Cell Maintenance

Stem cells were housed in a humidified 37°C cell culture incubator supplemented with 5% CO2. iPSCs were fed daily with either Essential 8 media (Cat# A1517001, ThermoFisher, Waltham, Massachusetts, US) or mTeSR + (Cat# 05825, Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, British Colombia, CA). Healthy iPSCs in culture exist as large colonies, approximately 6mm in diameter, of tightly packed cells with high nucleus to cytoplasmic ratios, a pronounced nucleolus, and highly refractive colony borders (Nagasaka et al., 2017; Wakui et al., 2017). Stem cell cultures were passaged when colonies were large, round, and exhibited white multilayering at the center, typically every five days. Before passaging, regions of differentiation were identified through visual inspection and were aspirated from culture. Spent media was then removed, and the cells washed with
phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (Cat# 311-011-CL, Wisent, St-Bruno, Quebec, CA). Stem cell colonies were dissociated to clumps at room temperature using Gibco™ enzyme-free cell dissociation buffer (Cat# 13151014, ThermoFisher). Dissociation was allowed to progress until gaps in colonies were visible under the microscope while ensuring the cells remained attached to the dish, approximately 3 minutes. Dissociation was stopped by aspirating buffer from cells and adding 1ml of Essential 8 to the well. Stem cells were scraped from the culture ware using sterile cell scrapers and re-plated at a split ratio of 1:20. Cultureware was pre-coated for one hour by diluting cold Geltrex (1:100) (Cat# A1413302, ThermoFisher) or Matrigel (Cat# 08-774-552, ThermoFisher), according to manufacturer’s instructions in cold plain DMEM (Cat# 319-015-CL, Wisent).

For experiments requiring passage of single cells, stem cells were incubated at 37°C in Stem Pro Accutase (Cat# A1370701, ThermoFisher) for 5 to 8 minutes or until colonies float from culture ware. Colonies were dissociated to single cells by triturating suspension. The dissociation reaction was stopped by resuspending the single-cell suspension in plain DMEM. Cells were pelleted via centrifugation at 300x gravity for 5 minutes and resuspended in mTeSR+ containing Y-27632 (ROKi) at a final concentration of 10 uM (Cat#1254, Tocris, Oakville, Ontario, CA) to promote cell survival as single cells adhere to the polymerized Geltrex matrix (Claassen et al., 2009).

2.3 Directed Differentiation

Directed iPSC differentiation toward definitive endoderm, mesoderm, or ectoderm followed the protocol of the STEMDiff™ Trilineage Differentiation kit (Cat# 05230, Stem Cell Technologies). iPSCs used in endoderm differentiation were enriched in mTeSR+
iPSC maintenance media (Cat# 05825, Stem Cell Technologies) for five days before setup. Colonies reached approximately 80% in confluency, exhibiting multilayering and round edges before being taken for set up. Cultures containing minimal differentiation, 1 to 5%, were selected for directed differentiation. Accutase-digested single cells were plated at 50,000 cells/cm² for mesoderm or 200,000 cells/cm² for ectoderm and endoderm. Viable cell counts were determined in duplicate using Trypan Blue Solution, 0.4% (Cat#15250061, ThermoFisher) and counted using a TC20 Automated Cell Counter (Cat# 1450102, BioRad, Hercules, California, US). Required volumes of single-cell suspension per plate were determined using the following equation:

\[
\text{(Total Required Number of Cells} / \text{Average Viable Cell Concentration per mL}) \times 1000
\]

= Volume Cell Suspension Required (µL)

Single cells were incubated in mTeSR+ with ROCKi for 24 hours to promote single-cell survival. The next day, spent media was aspirated and replaced with STEMDiff™ Endoderm, Mesoderm, or Ectoderm Medium. Cultures were fed daily with fresh media until day five (Mesoderm, Endoderm) or day eight (Ectoderm), where differentiated cells were harvested for protein, RNA, or immunofluorescence as detailed below. Cells were fed 4 hours prior to harvest to ensure optimal cell viability and marker expression (Esseltine et al., 2020).

2.4 Spontaneous Monolayer Differentiation

iPSCs were seeded into Geltrex-coated Nunc™ Lab-Tek™ II CC2™ Chamber Slides (Cat# 154739, Thermo Scientific) or Matrigel-coated glass coverslips. The following day, iPSC maintenance media (Essential 8) was changed to Essential 6 (A1516401,
ThermoFisher), which lacks the crucial pluripotency factors TGF-β and FGF-2 (Mullen et al., 2017). Media was changed every 1 to 2 days during the spontaneous differentiation process (Gholamitabar Tabari et al., 2019). Differentiation was allowed to progress until day nine before processing for immunocytochemistry.

2.5 Immunofluorescence

Media from live cells was aspirated, and cells were washed twice with room temperature PBS solution pH 7.4 (140 mM NaCl (600-082-DG, Wisent), 2.6 mM KCl (P330-500, Fisher Scientific, Ottawa, Ontario, CA), 1.4 mM KH₂PO₄ (BP362500, Fisher Scientific). Cells were fixed at room temperature for 30 minutes, with 10% normal buffered formalin pH 7.13 (CA71007-344, VWR, Radnor, Pennsylvania, US). Formalin was aspirated, and cells washed twice with PBS.

Fixed cultures were incubated in primary antibodies (Table 1) diluted in blocking/permeabilization solution for 1 hour at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Blocking/permeabilization solution consisted of PBS with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (800-095-EL, Wisent) to block non-specific antibody binding and 0.1% Triton™ X-100 (T8532, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, US) to permeabilize cell membranes.

After incubation with primary antibodies, the cells were washed twice for 10 minutes with homemade PBS before adding dyes and secondary antibodies (Table 1). Fluorescently-conjugated secondary antibodies and dyes were diluted in the same blocking/permeabilization buffer described above. Secondary antibodies and cellular stains were incubated at room temperature in the dark for one hour to allow primary: secondary conjugation. The solution was aspirated, and cells were washed with PBS. Cover glass #1.5
was adhered to the slide with either Prolong™ Diamond Antifade Mountant (P36962, Life Technologies), containing DAPI nuclear stain or prepared Mowiol 4-88 (Cat# 81381, Sigma-Aldrich) mounting media containing DABCO (Cat# D013425G, Fisher Scientific). Slides were dried and stored in the dark at 4°C before imaging.

2.6 Confocal Microscopy

Acquisition of confocal images used an Olympus Fluoview FV10i-W3 confocal microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, JPN) running Fluoview v2.1.1.7 software, equipped with 60x/1.2 NA and 10x/0.4 NA water immersion lenses. Imaging used the following lasers to visualize fluorophores: DAPI/Hoechst 33342 (405 nm laser); Alexa Fluor 488/eGFP (473 nm laser); Phalloidin/Alexa Fluor 555 (559 nm laser); Alexa Fluor 647 (635 nm laser). Laser power and sensitivity were adjusted to visualize immunofluorescence and minimize background signal. Additional sample imaging used an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal microscope fitted with 10X0.4 NA, 20X/0.75NA or 40X/0.95NA and the following lasers: 405 nm, 458 nm, 568 nm, 633 nm. Images were analyzed using Fiji open-source software. When deemed necessary, fluorescent confocal images were subjected to brightness/contrast enhancement.

2.7 Image Analysis

Images were analyzed and pseudo-coloured with Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2013). The generation of composite images used Fiji Software. Brightness and contrast were equally adjusted for optimal visualization in all images using Fiji software. Quantitative analysis of protein colocalization used Fiji plugin JACoP (Just another colocalization plugin) to determine Mander’s coefficient values as described in Bolte et al.
In this case Mander’s coefficient was selected for this analysis as it measures co-occurrence of signals in addition to correlation and focuses on high intensity combinations. Thresholds were set for images subjected to colocalization assay were to minimize noise prior to analysis with JACoP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Protein</th>
<th>Host Species</th>
<th>Type of Antibody &amp; Fluorophore</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Vendor and Cat#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connexin 43</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>C6219, Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connexin 32</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:250</td>
<td>AP20645OU-N, OriGene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANX1</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Laird Laboratory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>AF1924, R&amp;D Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KDEL</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>AB12223, Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX2</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>AF2018, R&amp;D Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachyury</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>EPR18113, Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nestin</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>14-9843-82, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>DAPI</td>
<td>Cell Stain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>P36962, ThermoFisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>Hoechst 33342</td>
<td>Cell Stain</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>H3570, Fisher Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Donkey</td>
<td>Secondary (AF488)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>A21206, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Donkey</td>
<td>Secondary (AF488)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>A31572, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Donkey</td>
<td>Secondary (AF555)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>31572, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Donkey</td>
<td>Secondary (AF555)</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>31570, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### 2.8 Western Blotting

#### 2.8.1 Cell Lysis, Protein Extraction, and Protein Quantification

Cultures were placed on ice and washed twice with cold PBS. Cells were lysed with ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM tris HCL (Cat# M-26956, Fisher Scientific) pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 0.02% NaN₃ (Cat# S2002, Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% Triton X-100 (Cat# T8532, Sigma-Aldrich), protease inhibitors: 2 ug/mL leupeptin (Cat# AAJ6188MB, Fisher Scientific) and 2 ug/mL aprotinin (Cat# AAJ11388MB, Fisher Scientific) and the phosphatase inhibitors: NaF (10 mM) (Cat# S299-100, Fisher Chemical) and Na₃VO₄ (1 mM) (Cat# 81104, AlfaAesar). Wells were scraped, and the suspension homogenized by pipetting before transfer to a microcentrifuge tube. Lysates were centrifuged at 12,000 RPM for 10 minutes at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. Supernatant containing soluble proteins was transferred to a fresh tube and frozen at -20°C until protein concentration determination and subsequent analysis.

Protein concentration was determined in duplicate using the Pierce BCA protein kit (Cat# PI23225, ThermoFisher). Standard curves were generated using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (0 ug, 0.17 ug, 0.25 ug, 0.5 ug, 1 ug, and 2 ug). Final absorbance was read

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Stain (AF555)</th>
<th>1:500</th>
<th>A34055, Invitrogen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit Donkey</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>A31573, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat Donkey</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>AS32816, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat Donkey</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>A21082, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Goat</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>A32728, Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
at 562 nm using a VICTOR Multilabel Plate Reader (model 2030, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, US). Protein concentrations were extrapolated from the generated standard curve using the following formula $y = mx + b$. 20 ug of total protein was diluted into the same volume with PBS to ensure equal protein concentration. Protein denaturation occurred via addition 4x loading buffer pH 6.81 (10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Cat# 800-100-CG, Wisent), 0.5% bromophenol blue (Cat# AC403140050, ACROS Organics™, Geel, BE), 20% 2-mercaptoethanol (Cat# BP176100, Fisher Scientific) and 50% glycerol (Cat# G33-4, Fisher Scientific)) at 1:4 of the total sample volume. Samples were incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes to ensure protein denaturation before separation via SDS-PAGE.

2.8.2 SDS PAGE

Proteins were separated via SDS-PAGE on a 7.5% polyacrylamide gel (Cat# EC890, National Diagnostics, Atlanta, Georgia, US). Gels were run in a BioRad Mini-PROTEIN® Tetra Cell (Cat# 1658005) and the chamber filled with Running electrophoresis buffer pH 8.26 (25 mM tris (Cat# 1610719, BioRad), 190 mM glycine (Cat# 800-045-IK, Wisent), and 0.1% SDS (Cat# 800-100-CG, Wisent)) Gels were run at 100 V supplied by a PowerPac™ Basic Power Supply (Cat# 1645050, BioRad). Protein separation was determined in reference to the migration of 5ul of Precision plus all Blue standard (Cat# 1610393, BioRad). Post-separation, the protein samples were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, pore size of 0.45 um (Cat# 1620115, BioRad). Gel transfer used 100 V run in ice-cold transfer buffer pH 8.12 (25 mM tris base, 192 mM glycine, and 20% methanol (Cat# BP1105-4, Fisher Scientific)) at 4°C for one hour using BioRad Mini-
Protean transfer cell (Cat# 1703930, BioRad). Transfer was conducted at 100 V for one hour using a PowerPac™ Basic Power Supply (Cat# 1645050, BioRad).

2.8.3 Immunoblotting

The nitrocellulose membrane was blocked in 3% milk solution (instant skim milk powder, Carnation®) prepared in TBS-T solution pH 7.6 (15.2 mM Tris HCl (BP153-1, Fisher Scientific), 46.2 mM Tris base (Cat# 1610719, BioRad), 150.6 mM NaCl (Cat# 600-082-DG, Wisent), and 0.1% Tween 20 (Cat# BP337100, Fisher BioReagents)). Primary antibodies were diluted in 3% milk in TBS-T and incubated at 4°C overnight on a rotating platform (Table 2). After removing primary antibodies, membranes were washed three times in TBS-T for 5 mins each at room temperature on a rotating platform. The membrane was then incubated for 1hr at room temperature with secondary antibody solutions in 3% milk in TBS-T (Table 2). Membranes were washed three times in TBS-T for 5 minutes each.

2.8.4 Western Blot Imaging

Proteins were visualized with Clarity Western ECL Substrate (1705061, BioRad) and imaged on a GE ImageQuant LAS 4000 (28 9558 10, GE Healthcare, Chicago, Illinois, US). A comparison of the experimental bands to the protein ladder determined approximate molecular weight of proteins. Protein expression was normalized to the housekeeping gene GAPDH to account for protein loading across samples. All samples were run on one blot and probed for individual proteins of interest. When re-probing the same blot with antibodies of different host species, previous HRP was deactivated through the addition of 0.05% sodium azide to the primary antibody solution. Blots were stripped between
antibodies of the same host species by washing twice with fresh mild stripping buffer (1.5% glycine (Cat# 800-045-IK, Wisent), and 0.1% SDS (Cat# 800-100-CG, Wisent) 1% Tween 20 (Cat# BP337100, Fisher BioReagents) adjusted pH of 2.2) for 5 to 10 each wash. Followed by two ten-minute washes in PBS and two five minute washes in TBS-T. Loss of residual signal was ensured by redeveloping and exposing stripped blots.

Quantitative analysis of resolved Western blots was conducted using Fiji Software. Protein bands were normalized to internal loading control GAPDH. Prospective glycosylation states were determined by measuring total PANX1, followed by individual bands corresponding in size to the predicted molecular weight of each PANX1 glycosylation state (Boassa et al., 2008; Penuela et al., 2007; Penuela et al., 2009). Therefore, the intensity of each band is presented as a percent of total PANX1 in that sample.

Table 2.2: Primary and Secondary Antibodies Used in Western Blotting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Host Species/Dye</th>
<th>Antibody &amp; Fluorophore</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Vendor and Cat#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Connexin 43</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>C6219, Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANX1</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>AP20645OU-N, OriGene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17</td>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>AF1924, R&amp;D Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAX6</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>ab195045, Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen</td>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>Dilution</td>
<td>Catalogue Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachyury (T)</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>ab209665, Abcam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>MAB374, MilliporeSigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>ab290, Abcam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.9 RNA Analysis

2.9.1 Cell Lysis and RNA Extraction

Media was aspirated, and cells washed with PBS. According to the manufacturer's instructions, RNA extraction was conducted using the Purelink™ RNA Mini Kit (12183025, Thermofisher Scientific). Briefly, cultures were scraped and passed five to ten times through a 28G insulin syringe (Cat# BD329424, ThermoFisher) or homogenized via a Qiashredder (79654, Qiagen). 70% ethanol was added to homogenized samples to precipitate nucleic acid. Precipitated RNA was collected on RNA spin collection columns before on-column DNA digestion using the Purelink™ DNase Set (12185010, Thermofisher Scientific). Washed and purified RNA was eluted from the spin column into a prelabelled collection tube using 30 ul of RNAse free water. Samples stored at -80°C for long-term storage.

RNA concentration and purity were evaluated using the Nanodrop™ 1000 spectrophotometer running Nanodrop™ Operating Software version 3.81. Samples were measured at 260 nm using the 260/280 nm and the 260/230 ratio to measure nucleic acid purity. A 260/230 or 260/280 value of $\geq 2.0$ is expected for pure RNA samples as lower values could indicate carryover of isolation reagents including phenol (Johnson et al., 2012).
2.9.2 cDNA Conversion

Five hundred nanograms of extracted RNA was converted to complementary DNA (cDNA) using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit according to manufacturer’s instructions (4368814, Thermofisher Scientific). cDNA conversion was conducted in a T100 thermocycler (Cat# 1861096, BioRad). The generated cDNA was stored at -20°C for long-term storage.

2.9.3 Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR)

Quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis was performed using intercalating dye technology (ssoAdvanced SYBR green supermix) (Cat# 1725274, BioRad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Primer sets and sequences added can be seen in Table 4. PCR reactions were run on a ViiA™ 7 (ThermoFisher) running QuantStudio Real-Time PCR Software version 1.3 (Applied Biosystems). Cycle conditions are detailed in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of Reaction</th>
<th>Duration (seconds)</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Denature</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denature</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anneal</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>60-62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat 40x</td>
<td>Steps 2-3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Curve Step 1.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Curve Step 2.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Curve Step 3.</td>
<td>30 (incremental then hold)</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Curve Step 4.</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.4: Complete Primer Sets and Sequences used in RT-qPCR Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target or Gene Name</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Anneal Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Product Size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| GJB2 (Cx26)         | F: CCCGACGCAGAGCAAAC  
                      | R: CAGGGTGCAGACAAAGT  | 60              | 200              |
| GJB6 (Cx30)         | F: GAAGGGTCAGGTGTTAGGA  
                      | R: AGAGGGCGTACAAGTTAGAA  | 60              | 94               |
| GJB4 (Cx30.3)       | F: TGTGGTGGACGTACTTGCTG  
                      | R: GCGGGGCATGTCATAATCCT  | 60              | 101              |
| GJB3 (Cx31)         | F: CACTCTCTGGCATGGCTTCA  
                      | R: GTAGGTCGGGCAATGTAGCA  | 60              | 96               |
| GJB5 (Cx31.1)       | F: AAACAAGACGACCTCTTTC  
                      | R: CCCTCACAAGATGTTTTTCT  | 60              | 111              |
| GJB1 (Cx 32)        | F: TTCCCCATCTCCCCATGTC  
                      | R: CCCTCAAGGGCTAGCATTTTC  | 60              | 145              |
| GJD2 (Cx36)         | F: AGCAGCACTCCACTATGATCG  
                      | R: GTAGAGTAGGCGGCGTCTCTCG  | 60              | 286              |
| GJA4 (Cx37)         | F: TCAGCACAACCACCATGCT  
<pre><code>                  | R: GGATGCGCAGGCGACCATCTT  | 60              | 189              |
</code></pre>
<p>| GJA5 (Cx40)         | F: CCCAGTATACGAAGCCTTTC  | 60              | 136              |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Primer</th>
<th>Reverse Primer</th>
<th>Product Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GJA1 (Cx43)</td>
<td>TGCTGAGTGCCCTGAAACTTGCTGCTGCTGGTATG</td>
<td>AGCCACACCCTTCCCTCCAGCA</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJC1 (Cx45)</td>
<td>TACACGAACTGTCCAATGC</td>
<td>TCCCATCCCTGATTGTGCTA</td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJA10 (Cx62)</td>
<td>AGGCAACTTGAACTAGACCCCTT</td>
<td>GCCGTAAGTTGTACCTAGCCA</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>TGCTTTTACTCTGGTAAAG</td>
<td>CACTTGATTTTGGAGGATC</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOX17</td>
<td>GAGCCAAGGGCGAGTCCCCTGTA</td>
<td>CCTTCCACGACTTGCCAGCAT</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (Brachyury)</td>
<td>CGTCTCCCTTCAGCAAGGTCAA</td>
<td>Hs.PT.58.1243965</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POUF1 (Oct 4)</td>
<td>GAGAACCGAGTGAGAGGCAACC</td>
<td>CATAGTCGCTGCTTGATCGCTTG</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NESTIN</td>
<td>CTGCGGGCTACTGAAAAGTT</td>
<td>TCCAGGAGGGCTCTGTAGC</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.10 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis and plotting of raw data used Graph Pad Prism v.8. Graphs presented as ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Unless otherwise stated n ≥ 3 independent biological replicates. Statistical analysis between two groups used paired student's t-test. Larger data sets of three or more groups were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical
significance was identified by running a Tukey’s multiple comparisons test. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. 
3 Results

3.1 Connexin isoforms are differentially expressed during human iPSC specification to the three germ lineages

Given that aberrant GJIC is linked to numerous disease conditions, I investigated the expression of 11 connexin isoforms in human control iPSCs and after directed differentiation toward the three embryonic germ layers: ectoderm, endoderm and mesoderm (Figure 3.1; Laird et al., 2017). Directed differentiation was conducted using the StemDiff Trilineage Differentiation kit (StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, British Colombia, CA), and resultant populations were routinely screened via RT-qPCR, Western blotting and immunofluorescence to ensure proper lineage-specific gene expression. Expression of transcripts encoding Cx26, Cx31.1, and Cx43 showed no significant fluctuation during ectoderm, mesoderm, or endoderm restriction compared to start iPSC expression levels (p > 0.05) (Figure 3.1). Cx62 was 30.68 ± 20.94 fold higher in ectoderm as compared to undifferentiated iPSCs (p < 0.01) (Figure 3.1). Similarly, Cx45 is 1.451 ± 0.3097 fold higher post-ectoderm formation (p < 0.05) (Figure 3.1). Meanwhile, restriction of iPSCs to mesoderm significantly increased transcripts of Cx45 1.681 ± 0.3129 fold over iPSCs (p < 0.01) (Figure 3.1). Compared to undifferentiated iPSCs, endoderm specification resulted in increased transcript levels of Cx30.3 (6.439 ± 1.963 fold (p < 0.01)); Cx31 (2.819 ± 1.063 fold (p < 0.01)); Cx32 (7.51 ± 6.007 fold (p < 0.01)); Cx36 (3.964 ± 2.016 fold (p < 0.05)); Cx37 (5.826 ± 4.144 fold (p < 0.05)) and Cx40 (1.974 ± 0.2959 fold (p < 0.01)) (Figure 3.1). I continued my study with Cx43 and Cx32 due to the wide expression of Cx43 as well as Cx32’s implication in endoderm and ectoderm-related disorders.
(Sargiannidou et al., 2009; Scherer & Kleopa, 2012). These results describe the presence of transcripts for several Cx isoforms some for the first time in iPSCS, ectoderm, mesoderm or endoderm providing a suggestive background for future work.
Figure 3.1 – Connexin expression during iPSC lineage specification. Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) expression of mRNA transcripts that encode 11 of the 21 human connexin isoforms in human control iPSCs (grey dotted line) and after directed differentiation into ectoderm, endoderm and mesoderm. Data represent the standard error of the mean of 3 to 5 independent experiments. *, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01 compared to iPSC.
3.2 Cx43 protein expression persists throughout lineage specification

In line with the broad expression of Cx43 throughout the developing embryo, I found that Cx43 transcript abundance was comparable across control iPSCs and all three embryonic germ lineages (Figure 3.1). Due to the widely reported role of Cx43 in stem cell differentiation, along with my findings that Cx43 (GJA1) transcripts remained high during iPSC lineage commitment, I focused on this protein's expression and localization across the three germ lineages. While transcript abundance for Cx43 remained constant throughout lineage specification, I sought to determine if this was reflected in protein expression (Figure 3.2). Representative Western blots and immunofluorescence of lineage-specific proteins demonstrate the successful differentiation of iPSCs into ectoderm (PAX6 or Nestin), endoderm (SOX17), and mesoderm (Brachyury) (Figure 3.2A, B). Cx43 in all examined cell types was localized to small puncta at the interface between cells, indicative of gap junction plaques (Figure 3.2A). This result is consistent with multiple reports using a broad range of cell types confirming Cx43 localization to the cell surface (Hillis et al., 1997; Ke et al., 2013; Lin et al., 2018; Peng et al., 2019; Shao et al., 2019; Söhl et al., 2010; Wörsdörfer et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2019). In contrast to results presented with RT-qPCR, I found that Cx43 protein was similarly expressed in iPSCs, ectoderm and endoderm, but significantly increased in mesoderm (iPSCs 1.00 ± 0.0, Mesoderm 1.607 ± 0.222; Figure 3.2B, C).
Figure 3.2 – Cx43 protein expression and localization in iPSCs and cells of each germ lineage. (A) Representative immunofluorescent confocal micrographs demonstrating Cx43 (merged overlay; green) localization to large puncta (white arrows) at cell-cell interfaces, indicative of gap junction formation in cells differentiated into the three germ layers (SOX2, iPSCs; Nestin, ectoderm; Brachyury, mesoderm; SOX17, endoderm: merged overlay; purple) nuclei (Hoechst, nuclei: merged overlay; blue). Scale bars = 50 µm. Images representative of n=2 (endoderm), n=1 (iPSCs, ectoderm and mesoderm). Equal adjustments to brightness and contrast were made in Fiji. All images presented at the same magnification, zoom panels represent cropped and enlarged sections of original images. (B) Representative Western blots show Cx43 expression in PAX6-positive ectoderm, Brachyury-positive mesoderm, and SOX17-positive endoderm. (C) Densitometry revealed that total Cx43 protein expression remains comparable between iPSCs and cells of each germ layer. Data represent the standard error of the mean of 3 to 9 independent experiments analysed using one-way ANOVA.
3.3 **Human iPSCs tolerate GJA1 CRISPR-Cas9 manipulation**

Previous studies determined that Cx43 was dispensable for human iPSC survival and pluripotency gene expression (Esseltine et al., 2020). However, due to the wide expression profile and early developmental expression of Cx43, I sought to determine whether this protein plays a role in cell fate specification. Dr. Esseltine generated a GJA1-/- (encoding for Cx43) iPSC line via CRISPR Cas9 gene-editing (Esseltine et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2019). Figure 3.3A shows the alignment between generated CRISPR guide RNA (gRNA) and the GJA1 genomic sequence. Cx43 ablation was confirmed by immunofluorescence and Western blotting (Figure 3.3B, C). Meanwhile, the Cx43-eGFP reporter cell line was generated via CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing by the Allen Institute for Cell Science. Resultant iPSCs harbour a heterozygous insertion of the Cx43-eGFP construct at the endogenous GJA1 allele, that results in iPSCs with one wild-type GJA1 allele and one genetically altered to express Cx43-eGFP (Figure 3.3B, C). It is important to note that the Cx43-eGFP edited iPSCs are not overexpressing the reporter construct; instead, CRISPR-Cas9 editing allows the replacement of the endogenous allele with the edited construct. Produced cells express similar levels of Cx43 as the unedited cells normally would. Confocal fluorescent microscopy of control, GJA1-/- and Cx43-eGFP iPSCS revealed no observable difference in cellular morphology of the edited iPSCs compared to control (Figure 3.3C). Western blotting revealed bands of appropriate size for Cx43 (~ 43 kDa) in control iPSCs, which is absent in GJA1-/- iPSCs (Figure 3.3B). On the other hand, the Cx43-eGFP reporter cell line exhibits two distinct protein species corresponding to the unedited Cx43 allele (~43 kDa) and the Cx43-eGFP CRISPR knock-in allele (~60 kDa) (Figure 3.3B). Indeed, immunoblotting with a GFP-specific primary
antibody confirms that the 60 kDa band in the Cx43-eGFP cells corresponds to a GFP fusion protein. As noted above, immunofluorescence confirmed that Cx43 protein is expressed at the cell surface in wild-type human iPSCs, where it forms large puncta at opposing cell membranes, indicative of gap junction plaques containing hundreds of clustered gap junction channels (Figure 3.3C). Here I used the F-actin binding protein phalloidin to delineate the actin cytoskeleton and determine Cx43 gap junction plaque localization. As would be expected of gene knockout, this punctate staining pattern is absent in GJA1−/− iPSCs (Figure 3.3C). The generated Cx43-eGFP demonstrates comparable Cx43 localization to control cells (WT iPSCs 75.78 ± 7.14; Cx43-eGFP 72.76 ± 1.68) with large plasma membrane puncta, confirming that the addition of eGFP did not affect Cx43 expression or localization (Figure 3.3C). Taken together, these three human iPSC lines (control, GJA1−/− and Cx43-eGFP) enable a comprehensive evaluation of Cx43 during iPSC lineage commitment and differentiation.

3.4 Cx43-eGFP iPSCs differentiate into all three germ lineages

Aside from verifying the correct GFP insertion in the Cx43-eGFP reporter line, no other cell line validation was completed by the Allen Institute for Cell Science. As genetic manipulation can alter the inherent differentiation capacity of iPSCs, I wanted to ensure that the Cx43-eGFP iPSCs performed like control cells. Therefore, I performed passive spontaneous iPSC differentiation to evaluate the inherent differentiation potential of the Cx43-eGFP iPSCs. Contrary to directed differentiation, spontaneous differentiation does not involve exogenous signals, thus enabling the cells to undergo self-guided differentiation. Cx43-eGFP iPSCs, cultured in the absence of external pressures such as
strong morphogens and growth factors used in directed differentiation, successfully
differentiated into cell types from all three germ layers. This was determined through the
identification of regions rich in SOX17 positive nuclei indicating endoderm formation,
Brachyury positive nuclei indicative of mesoderm formation, and regions of Nestin
expression denoting ectoderm formation (Figure 3.4). As observed after differentiation of
unedited control iPSCs, the spontaneously differentiated Cx43-eGFP cultures expressed
Cx43 across cells of each germ layer and formed the typical puncta indicative of gap
junction plaques (Figure 3.4).
Figure 3.3 – CRISPR-Cas9 manipulation of GJA1 in human iPSCs. (A) Alignment of CRISPR-Cas9 gRNA targeted to the second exon of GJA1 antisense strand reference sequence, generated using NCBI gene and Clustal Omega. PAM sequence indicated in red. (B) Representative Western blots of Cx43 and GFP protein expression in WT, GJA1/- and Cx43-eGFP iPSCs along with loading control GAPDH. n=5 WT and GJA1/- iPSC; n=2 Cx43-eGFP iPSCs. (C) Immunofluorescence confirms the absence of Cx43 (merged overlay; green) in GJA1/- iPSCs and shows Cx43 forms gap junction plaques (white arrows) and localizes to the cell surface in WT iPSCs and Cx43-eGFP iPSCs. Actin (phalloidin, merged overlay; grey); nuclei (Hoechst, merged overlay; blue). Scale bar = 50 µm. Images representative of n=3. All images presented at the same magnification, zoom panels represent cropped and enlarged sections of original images. Fiji analysis was used to apply equal brightness, contrast adjustments where necessary. (D) Manders’ coefficient of Cx43 percent colocalization with phalloidin. Data representative of three biological replicates averaged from 1 to 6 individual images.
Figure 3.4 – Cx43 Localization in spontaneously differentiated Cx43-eGFP CRISPR knock-in iPSCs. Representative immunofluorescent confocal micrographs demonstrating Cx43-eGFP (merged overlay; green) localization to regions of spontaneously differentiated ectoderm (Nestin, merged overlay; purple), mesoderm (Brachyury, merged overlay; purple) or endoderm (SOX17, merged overlay; purple) cells. Nuclei (Hoechst, merged overlay; blue). Cx43 forms large plaques (white arrows) at the cell surface. Scale bars = 50 μm. Images representative of n=3. Equal brightness, contrast adjustments were made in Fiji.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spontaneous Ectoderm (Nestin)</th>
<th>Spontaneous Endoderm (SOX17)</th>
<th>Spontaneous Mesoderm (Brachyury)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Image: Hoechst]</td>
<td>[Image: Lineage Marker]</td>
<td>[Image: Cx43]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Image: Overlay]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Scale bars are shown at the bottom of the images.]
3.5 Cx43 is Dispensable During Lineage Specification

Previous reports using pharmacological gap junction blockers or Cx43 siRNA knockdown suggested that Cx43 influenced human and mouse PSC germ lineage specification (Peng et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019). To determine whether GJA1-/- iPSCs exhibited similar changes in germ lineage differentiation, I differentiated control and GJA1-/- iPSCs into ectoderm, endoderm, or mesoderm (STEMdiff™ Trilineage Differentiation kit, STEMCELL Technologies; Figure 3.5). In contrast to previous studies, I found no significant difference in ectoderm (PAX6), mesoderm (Brachyury) or endoderm (SOX17) cells differentiated from control or GJA1-/- iPSCs (Figure 3.5). As GJA1-/- iPSCs successfully differentiated into all three germ lineage under directed conditions, I concluded that Cx43 is dispensable during lineage specification.
Figure 3.5 – Directed differentiation of GJA1-/- iPSCs to the three germ layers. Representative Western blot and densitometry of differentiated WT and GJA1-/- iPSCs. (A) Ectoderm formation indicated by expression of PAX6, while (B) mesoderm evaluated by Brachyury expression and (C) endoderm formation confirmed by SOX17. Data represent the standard error of the mean of three independent experiments. ns: no significance.
3.6 Cx32 is Retained Intracellularly in iPSCs and Germ Lineages

While the prototypical Cx43 has been extensively studied, there are other intriguing and less well-known connexins expressed in human iPSCs. I specifically evaluated Cx32 after iPSC differentiation toward the three germ layers based on previous studies linking it to PSC lineage commitment as well as presented RT-qPCR evidence that it may be elevated in endoderm-derived cells (Figure 3.1; (Qin et al., 2016). As a proxy for plasma membrane localization, I analyzed the co-localization of Cx43 (Figure 3.3C) and Cx32 (Figure 3.6) with the actin binding molecule phalloidin. Mander’s co-localization coefficient confirms that the majority of Cx43 staining is co-localized with phalloidin in iPSCs (75.78 ± 7.14) (Figure 3.3C). Conversely, significant (p < 0.01) decreases in mander’s coefficient demonstrate that Cx32 colocalizes less with phalloidin, indicating that a large population of the Cx32 protein appears to be retained intracellularly (50.80 ± 6.36% iPSCs; 49.30 ± 7.75% ectoderm; 45.16 ± 3.49% mesoderm; 33.23 ± 8.89 endoderm; Figure 3.6). Future work will determine the specific subcellular localization of Cx32, which could illuminate its potential participation in lineage specification.
Figure 3.6 – Cx32 localization in iPSCs and cells of the three germ lineages. (A) Representative immunofluorescent confocal micrographs of Cx32 (merged overlay; green) along with actin (phalloidin, merged overlay; red) in undifferentiated iPSCs (left), after directed differentiation into ectoderm (middle left), mesoderm (middle right) endoderm (right). Inset: zoomed region of Cx32 and phalloidin localization. Cx32 forms differentially localized gap junction plaques (white arrows). SOX17-endo, Nestin-ecto, Brachyury-meso, SOX2-iPSC (merged overlay; purple); nuclei (Hoechst, merged overlay; blue). All images presented at the same magnification, zoom overlay represent cropped and enlarged sections of original Cx32 and phalloidin images used in Mander’s coefficient analysis. Scale bars = 50 µm. Images are representative of one biological replicate. (B) Manders’ coefficient of Cx32 percent colocalization to phalloidin. Data presented as standard error of the mean and represents analysis of 3 to 6 images taken from one biological replicate.
3.7 PANX1 is expressed in human iPSCs and cells of the three germ layers

In addition to connexins, recently human iPSCs were reported to express mRNA of the Pannexin (PANX) family of large pore membrane channels (Hainz et al., 2018). The PANX1 protein has been widely described in various terminal tissue types derived from the three embryonic germ layers. Therefore, I examined PANX1 protein expression in iPSCs as well as after ectoderm, endoderm, or mesoderm differentiation (Figure 3.7). Western blot shows expression of PANX1 in all three germ layers: PAX6 positive ectoderm, SOX17 positive endoderm, and Brachyury positive mesoderm (Figure 3.7A, B). Total PANX1 protein expression remains comparable between undifferentiated iPSCs and differentiated germ lineage cultures (Figure 3.7A).

3.8 PANX1 is alternatively glycosylated in cells from the three germ layers

The migration of PANX1 is well established, resolving as three distinct molecular weight bands on a Western blot, and these three bands have been shown to correspond to the three primary glycosylation species of PANX1 (Boassa et al., 2008; Penuela et al., 2007; Penuela et al., 2009). Thus, PANX1 glycosylation states are commonly inferred from Western blot analysis based on molecular weight. Although total PANX1 protein does not change after germ lineage differentiation, densitometric analysis of the molecular weight species corresponding to specific PANX1 glycosylation states revealed significant differences across the three germ layers. Similar to what was observed in iPSCs, 37.15 ± 2.47% of ectoderm PANX1 migrates on a Western blot corresponding to the molecular weight of the fully glycosylated isoform, while the high mannose molecular weight band comprises 25.59 ± 2.91% total protein and 37.24 ± 3.28% of PANX1 protein runs according
to the unglycosylated molecular weight (Figure 3.7B, C). Interestingly, one-way ANOVA (α=0.05) analysis showed the molecular weight band corresponding to fully glycosylated PANX1 is significantly elevated in mesoderm cells compared to iPSCs (61.25 ± 1.29% Gly2, 22.71 ± 7.58% Gly1 and 16.01 ± 6.43% Gly0). On the other hand, endoderm cells appeared to have a significant reduction in the bands corresponding to the Gly1 and Gly2 species. 61.09 ± 5.84% of endodermal PANX1 resolves as the correct molecular weight of the unglycosylated PANX1 species, while only 22.38 ± 2.35% correspond to the correct molecular weight of the fully glycosylated, with complex carbohydrate, species (Figure 3.7B, C).

3.9 PANX1 is differentially localized in Human Germ Lineages

PANX1 glycosylation reportedly regulates its trafficking to the plasma membrane (Boassa et al., 2007; Boassa et al., 2008). As the generated Western blots suggest that PANX1 may be differentially glycosylated across each germ lineage, I evaluated the subcellular distribution of PANX1 across these different lineages. As noted above, I used the actin-binding molecule phalloidin to delineate the cytoskeleton and determine PANX1 protein intracellular localization. In SOX2-positive iPSCs, Nestin-positive ectoderm and Brachyury-positive mesoderm cells, immunofluorescence shows PANX1 overlapping with Phalloidin-AF555 (72.01 ± 4.89% iPSC, 76.37 ± 6.84% colocalization ectoderm; 71.17 ± 0.62% colocalization mesoderm; Figure 3.8, inset, yellow regions). However, in SOX17 positive endoderm cells, PANX1 localized mainly to intracellular compartments with much less overlap with phalloidin (42.90 ± 8.78% colocalization endoderm; Figure 3.8 inset, white arrowheads). One-way ANOVA confirmed a significant decrease (p < 0.0001) in
Manders coefficient values evaluating PANX1 colocalization with actin. Therefore, it can be concluded that PANX1 resides primarily at the cell surface in undifferentiated iPSCs as well as ectoderm and mesoderm cells, while endoderm formation redistributes PANX1 to intracellular locations (Figure 3.8, inset).
Figure 3.7 - PANX1 is differentially glycosylated in the three germ layers. (A) Densitometric analysis of total PANX1 protein expression in iPSCs, ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm cells. (B) Representative Western blots with respective lineage markers. Western blot resolves PANX1 protein as three individual bands of different sizes corresponding with the molecular weight of the non-glycosylated protein (Gly0), high mannose (Gly1) or complex carbohydrate addition (Gly2). (C) Densitometric analysis of the three PANX1 glycosylation states as a percent of total PANX1. Data represent the standard error of the mean of 3 to 9 independent experiments. ns: no significance; * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01 compared to iPSCs.
Figure 3.8 - PANX1 is differentially localized in the three embryonic germ layers.

Representative immunofluorescent confocal images of PANX1 (merged overlay; green) along with actin (phalloidin, merged overlay; red) in iPSCs, ectoderm and mesoderm. Nuclei (Hoechst, merged overlay; blue); lineage markers (SOX2, Nestin, Brachyury, SOX17, merged overlay; magenta). Inset: regions of interest cropped and enlarged to highlight regional PANX1 localization. Arrows highlight intracellular PANX1 localization in endodermal cells. Scale bar = 50 µm, n=3 endoderm, n=1 ectoderm, mesoderm.
3.10 *PANXI*-/- human iPSCs remain viable and morphologically comparable to WT iPSCs

As I found above that PANX1 is expressed in undifferentiated iPSCs as well as all three embryonic germ lineages, I next questioned whether iPSCs can tolerate the loss of PANX1. CRISPR-Cas9 was used to genetically ablate *PANXI* in iPSCs (Figure 3.9). A single base pair deletion in the third exon of *PANXI* produced a frameshift mutation and up to 15 early stop codons (Figure 3.9A). Western blot and immunofluorescence reveal successful CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation, as *PANXI*-/- iPSCs no longer express PANX1 protein (Figure 3.9B, C). Other members of our research group have confirmed loss of PANX1 protein and mRNA through flow cytometry and RT-qPCR (Noort et al., 2021). As seen in the Western blots, non-specific bands in the *PANXI* knockout cells are occasionally detected. Depending on PANX1 expression and total protein loaded onto gels, I have found that these non-specific bands generally appear when longer exposure times were necessary to capture sufficient PANX1 protein signal. However, these bands were consistent across different samples and therefore considered non-specific. Thus, I am confident that the *PANXI*-/- iPSCs are indeed knocked out. *PANXI*-/- iPSCs appear morphologically indistinguishable from control cells and continue to grow as large colonies of tightly packed cells characteristic of human pluripotent stem cells (Figure 3.9C).
Figure 3.9 - PANX1 CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation in human iPSCs. (A) Gene schematic of CRISPR-Cas9 gRNA target site and induced mutations. CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation targeting the second PANX1 exon resulted in a single base pair deletion (red box), thus interrupting the reading frame and producing several early stop codons (red boxes). (B) Successful gene ablation and loss of PANX1 protein expression is demonstrated by representative Western blot and immunofluorescence. (C) Immunofluorescence images were acquired using consistent parameters and are representative of more than 5 replicate experiments. PANX1 (merged overlay; green); Nuclei (Hoechst, merged overlay; blue); Actin (Phalloidin, merged overlay; grey). Scale bar = 50 μm.
3.11 PANX1 is not required for iPSCs Germ Lineage Commitment

Elevated expression of PANX1 in human oocytes and embryos suggests a potential role of this protein during embryogenesis (Esseltine & Laird, 2016; Hainz et al., 2018; Shao et al., 2016). To determine whether loss of PANX1 impacted directed germ lineage differentiation, control and PANX1−/− iPSCs were differentiated into the three embryonic germ lineages (STEMdiffTM Trilineage Differentiation kit, STEMCELL Technologies). Western blot densitometric analysis showed similar ectoderm (PAX6), mesoderm (Brachyury) and endoderm (SOX17) expression between control and PANX1−/− iPSCs, indicating equal efficiency of germ lineage production in the absence of PANX1 protein (Figure 3.10). Directed differentiation to the three germ layers is therefore not reliant on PANX1 expression.
Figure 3.10 – *PANXI*<sup>−/−</sup> iPSCs Trilineage Differentiation. Representative Western blots and densitometric analysis of WT and *PANXI*<sup>−/−</sup> iPSCs differentiated into (A) ectoderm, (B) mesoderm and (C) endoderm. Data represent the standard error of the mean of three independent experiments. ns, no significance.
A) PAX6 Expression Relative to GAPDH (Normalized to GAPDH) (n=3)

B) Brachyury Expression Relative to PSC (Normalized to GAPDH) (n=3)

C) SOX17 Expression Relative to PSC (Normalized to GAPDH) (n=3)
4 Discussion:

In this study, I examined the gene expression profile of 11 connexin isoforms as well as pannexin1 in wild-type human iPSCs and, after directed differentiation into cells of the three embryonic germ layers. I found that several connexins are dynamically expressed across the different germ lineages and ultimately focused my studies on Cx43, Cx32 and PANX1. CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation was used to uncover how the loss of cell-cell communication through Cx43 or PANX1 impacted iPSC lineage commitment.

4.1 Cell-cell communication in human pluripotent stem cells.

Uniquely, connexin proteins form gap junctions at the interface between two cells facilitating direct passage of small molecules important for cell fate decisions. Previous work in human iPSCs revealed gene expression of Cx25, Cx26, Cx30, Cx30.2, Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx31.9, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, Cx45, Cx46, Cx47, Cx59, Cx62 (summarized in Figure 4.1; (Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013). On the other hand, only Cx40, Cx43, and Cx45 have been identified at the protein level in human ESCs (Huettner et al., 2006). My RT-qPCR screen revealed expression of Cx26, Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, Cx45 Cx62 in wild type human iPSCs (Figure 3.1). Similar to previous reports, I find that Cx43 is by far the highest expressed connexin isoform in undifferentiated iPSCs and can be readily detected at the protein level in cells from all three germ lineages (Peng et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019). However, given the connexin expression profile revealed by ourselves and others, it remains possible that
several different connexin isoforms work together to coordinate cell-cell communication in human iPSCs.

Several reports dispute the role of connexins in the establishment, survival, and maintenance of human PSCs (Esseltine et al., 2020; Esseltine et al., 2017; Ke et al., 2013; Ke et al., 2017; Oyamada et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2019; Sharovskaya et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2006). For example, dye transfer assays demonstrate reestablishment of GJIC during iPSC reprogramming (Sharovskaya et al., 2012), and siRNA knockdown of either Cx43 or Cx45 has been shown to negatively impact iPSC reprogramming efficiency (Esseltine et al., 2017; Ke et al., 2013; Ke et al., 2017). While broad-spectrum GJIC pharmacological inhibition kills human iPSCs, it appears as though this occurs independently from Cx43 as the Cx43/- iPSCs survive well in culture (Figure 3.3; (Esseltine et al., 2020). Comprehensive evaluation of the contribution of different connexin isoforms to human PSC survival and potency will help to resolve these discrepancies.
Figure 4.1 - Connexin expression profile described in literature. iPSCs reprogrammed from dermal fibroblasts express transcripts for Cx25, Cx26, Cx30, Cx30.2, Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx31.9, Cx37, Cx40, Cx45, Cx46, Cx47, Cx59, and Cx62 (Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013). Meanwhile, connexins 26, 32, 40, 43 have been reported in endoderm (Beyer et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2019; Qin et al., 2016; Saund et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2019). Connexins 36, 42, 43, and 45 are present in mesoderm cell populations (Berthoud et al., 2004; Wördörfer et al., 2017). Finally, available literature shows expression of connexins 26, 32, and 43 in ectoderm (Dicke et al., 2011; Raymond et al., 2014). This study suggests stable mRNA expression of Cx26, Cx30.3, Cx31.1, Cx36, Cx37, Cx43 and Cx62 iPSCs and after differentiation into all three germ lineages. Significant increases in prospective mRNA transcripts products for Cx62 were viewed in ectoderm, Cx45 in mesoderm, and Cx31, Cx32, and Cx40 in endoderm.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Connexin</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Connexin</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cx25</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx37</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx26</td>
<td>(Bayar et al., 2012; Dicka et al., 2011; Fukanaga et al., 2016; Oyamada et al., 2013; Qin et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Cx40</td>
<td>(Oyamada et al., 2013; Saund et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx20</td>
<td>(Fukanaga et al., 2016; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx42</td>
<td>(Berthoud et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx30.2</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx43</td>
<td>(Yang et al., 2013; Berthoud et al., 2004; Ke et al., 2013; Laird et al., 1986; Oyamada et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2009; Qin et al., 2016; Raymond et al., 2014; Saund et al., 2012; Woodforde et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx30.3</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx45</td>
<td>(Berthoud et al., 2004; Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013; Woodforde et al., 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx31</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx46</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx31.1</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx47</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx31.9</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
<td>Cx49</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx32</td>
<td>(Bayar et al., 2012; Dicka et al., 2011; Laird et al., 1986; Qin et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Cx62</td>
<td>(Ke et al., 2013; Oyamada et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx56</td>
<td>(Berthoud et al., 2004)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 The connexin mRNA profile of human iPSC derived germ lineages

Several connexin isoforms have been implicated in stem cell lineage commitment. The following section will discuss the connexins implicated in each individual germ lineage.

4.2.1 Connexins in ectoderm

The ectoderm is the germ layer responsible for forming the epidermis, brain, spinal cord, retina, inner ear, and peripheral nerves (Anthwal & Thompson, 2016; Gilbert, 2000b; Graw, 2010). My results suggest Cx26, Cx30, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, Cx45, and Cx62 expression in human iPSC-derived ectoderm cells. My results are consistent with previous reports that Cx26, Cx30, Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx37, Cx43, Cx45 are all expressed in the various regions of the human epidermis (Di et al., 2005; Di et al., 2001; Lucke et al., 1999; Salomon et al., 1994; Scott et al., 2012; Wiszniewski et al., 2000). Cx26 and Cx32 have been found in mouse embryonic ectoderm, and Cx43 protein expression has been documented in mouse ESC-derived ectoderm (Dicke et al., 2011; Parekkadan et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2019). Furthermore, Cx43/- mouse ESCs show defective lineage specification causing aberrant neuroectoderm formation (Parekkadan et al., 2008). My analysis suggests the upregulation of Cx45 and Cx62 mRNA transcripts post-ectoderm specification, which has not yet been described.

Defective GJIC underlies several ectodermal disorders, including congenital deafness, several skin disorders, neuropathies and others. Cx26 and Cx30 are essential for cochlear function and cell survival, as evidenced by the high incidence of Cx26/30 mutations observed in human congenital deafness (Cohen-Salmon et al., 2002; Laird et al.,
Several skin disorders, including Erythrokeratodermia variabilis et progressiva, are linked to mutations in Cx26, Cx30, Cx30.3, Cx31 and Cx43 (Berger et al., 2014; Fuchs-Telem et al., 2011; Kokotas et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2012; Teubner et al., 2003). Mutation and improper establishment of Cx31 have been linked to the development of peripheral neuropathies and deafness (Au et al., 2020; López-Bigas et al., 2001). Meanwhile, mutation of the GJB1 Cx32 encoding gene triggers the X-linked form of a debilitating demyelinating peripheral neuropathy (Bruzzone et al., 1994; Fairweather et al., 1994; Nelles et al., 1996). Cx36 is documented to regulate neuronal differentiation from neural progenitor cells (Hartfield et al., 2011). Furthermore, mRNA transcripts for Cx62 have been identified in human and mouse retinal tissue (Söhl et al., 2010). My results suggest significant upregulation of Cx62 (GJA10) post-ectoderm specification. Aside from being expressed in the human retina, platelet cells, skeletal muscle, and the heart, little is known about Cx62 (or its mouse ortholog Cx57) or its link to human disease (Sahli et al., 2021; Söhl et al., 2010; Söhl et al., 2003). Thus, additional work is needed to characterize Cx62’s role in ectoderm differentiation and potential downstream cell types. Investigation of Cx45 expression during embryonal and early fetal stages shows consistent expression throughout the spinal cord and developing ganglia (Jurić et al., 2020). Conditional knockout of Cx45 restricted neural precursor cell proliferation in mice making this isoform a key determinant of murine neural development (Khodosevich et al., 2012). The early expression of Cx45 in the human embryonic brain and the results presented in this thesis provide a strong foundation for further study of Cx45 during ectoderm differentiation.
4.2.2 Connexins in mesoderm

Mesoderm is responsible for muscle, blood progenitors, bone, cartilage and adipose tissue (Gilbert S, 2000; Prummel et al., 2020). The connexin profile in mesoderm is suggested to include Cx36, Cx42, Cx43, and Cx45 (Berthoud et al., 2004; Krüger et al., 2000; Peng et al., 2019; Wörsdörfer et al., 2017). My results suggest that human iPSC-derived mesoderm expresses Cx26, Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx31.1, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, Cx45, and Cx62.

Cx43 shRNA knockdown in spontaneously differentiated human ESCs results in under-representation of mesoderm populations, suggesting a role for Cx43 in mesoderm specification (Peng et al., 2019). Similarly, embryoid bodies generated with Cx43/Cx45 deficient mouse ESCs fail to spontaneously differentiate into mesoderm and endoderm. Interestingly, the formation of endoderm and mesoderm can be rescued through singular overexpression of Cx43 or Cx45, indicating that Cx43 and Cx45 might compensate for one another during lineage specification (Wörsdörfer et al., 2017). The results within this thesis revealed a significant increase in protein abundance of Cx43 in iPSC-derived mesoderm cells along with the upregulation of mRNA transcript for Cx45 in mesoderm. Continued work on GJA1-/- iPSC will identify possible compensation by Cx45 or other connexin isoforms in Cx43-knockout mesoderm cells.

Connexins display differential expression across the various chambers of the human heart. To date, the human heart has been found to express Cx37, Cx40, Cx43, and Cx45 (van der Velden & Jongsma, 2002; van Veen et al., 2001; Vozzi et al., 1999). Cx43 knockout mice die postnatally as a result of atrial malformations (Liao et al., 2001). Cx40,
Cx43 and Cx45 were considered as potential mesoderm targets as these connexins are expressed throughout the cell types of the heart and mutations which compromise the function of these isoforms can result in arrhythmias and other heart malfunctions (Desplantez, 2017). GJIC has been heavily implicated in cardiac development. The results in this study agree with literature reports that Cx45 is important for mesoderm development. Furthermore, a broad description of Cx’s in mesoderm specification could help identify targets for future work.

4.2.3 Connexins in endoderm

Resident cells of the endoderm develop into the liver, gallbladder, pancreas, parts of the respiratory system, small intestine, colon, and stomach (Gilbert, 2000a). Previous reports have identified Cx26, Cx32, Cx40 and Cx43 expression in endodermal-derived tissues and cells (Beyer et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2019; Saund et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2019). These results suggest that Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx32, Cx36, Cx37 and Cx40 are upregulated after iPSC differentiation into endoderm cells. Although several of these isoforms are known to be implicated in later-stage endoderm development, this is the first report of Cx30.3, Cx31, Cx36, Cx37 and Cx40 upregulation post human iPSC definitive endoderm specification.

Cx30.3 was previously described in human pancreatic islet cells (Serre-Beinier et al., 2009), and Cx30.3 overexpression in islet cells inhibits proliferation, enhances apoptosis and lowers insulin secretion heightening the risk of developing type II diabetes (Gässler et al., 2020). Similarly, Cx36 has been identified in late-stage endoderm cell types, including β-cells of the pancreas, where it participates in maintenance of insulin secretion
(Bosco et al., 2011). Therefore, early upregulation of Cx36 may act as a preventative effect against endodermal disorders.

Cx40 has been identified in the mouse and rat liver as well as the rat colon. Meanwhile, Cx31 and Cx37 are found in the small intestine, Cx31 in the colon and Cx37 in the liver (Maes et al., 2015). In contrast to the harmful effects caused by nonfunctional Cx31 proteins in humans, GJB3-/- mice fail to exhibit developmental deficiencies (Plum et al., 2001). Further work is necessary to elucidate the role and interactions of Cx31 in early endoderm development.

Cx32 has a well-documented role in late-stage endodermal differentiation. Cx32 protein expression has been identified in endoderm-derived tissues including the kidney, colon, and gallbladder (Wilgenbus et al., 1992). Pharmacological inhibition of Cx32 decreased human ESC differentiation toward hepatocytes (Qin et al., 2016). My RT-qPCR analysis described transcripts encoding Cx32 at comparative levels in iPSC, ectoderm, and mesoderm; however, Cx32 mRNA transcripts significantly increase in endoderm populations (Figure 3.1). Unlike Cx43, I found that a large proportion of Cx32 existed within intracellular pools with partial cell surface expression indicated by the presence of fewer small gap junction plaques at the cell surface. This intracellular localization pattern is similar what we find for Cx32-GFP overexpression in human AD293 cells (unpublished work from Mark Hemmings). However, my findings are in contrast to reports in other cell types where Cx32 was found to localize to gap junction plaques at the cell surface (Kojima et al., 2001; Nagy et al., 2003). Future studies will reveal the specific subcellular
compartments occupied by Cx32 in iPSCs and iPSC-derived germ cells, as well as the function of intracellular Cx32 in lineage specification.

I find that Cx43 is comparably expressed in iPSC, endoderm and ectoderm cells (Figures 3.1, 3.2, 3.4). This is in contrast to studies in which Cx43 is upregulated during directed human ESC endoderm differentiation (Peng et al., 2019). Indeed, the role of Cx43 in endoderm formation includes several contrasting reports. Peng et al. 2019 demonstrated that shRNA knockdown of Cx43 has no impact on endoderm formation denoted by typical expression of endoderm markers SOX17, FOXA2, and CXCR4 (Peng et al., 2019). On the other hand, a secondary study demonstrates that siRNA-mediated knockdown impedes definitive endoderm formation from human ESCs. Using CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation (Yang et al., 2019). I find that Cx43 knockout iPSCs readily differentiate into all three germ lineages, including endoderm. It can therefore be said that Cx43 is dispensable during iPSC restriction to ectoderm, mesoderm, or endoderm. The discrepancies between this study and the previously published reports may be the result of siRNA versus CRISPR-Cas9 gene ablation. CRISPR Cas9 improves upon the previous siRNA knockdown model system as the chances of residual Cx43 expression altering results is minimized. However, up-regulation of other connexins may occur in order to compensate for the loss of Cx43. For example, Cx45 has been shown to compensate for Cx43 downregulation (Peng et al., 2019). Future studies will determine whether the expression of any other connexins are altered in the Cx43 CRISPR-ablated iPSCs.
4.3 Pannexin1 in human stem cells and cell fate decisions

Pannexin channels mediate purinergic paracrine signalling by releasing small molecules to the extracellular environment. PANX1 is best known for mediating the release of ATP in response to mechanical stress, membrane depolarization, or changes in intracellular ion concentration (Penuela et al., 2013). Despite its well-documented role in terminal cell types and adult tissues, much less is known about the mechanisms by which PANX1 impacts embryonic development, stem cell populations or cell fate specification.

Much of what is known regarding PANX1 in stem cell fate decisions arises from work with somatic stem cells. Studies have suggested a role for pannexins in somatic stem cell maintenance and self-renewal. For example, PANX1 channels release ATP in neural precursor cells, activating P2 receptors and positively regulating progenitor cell proliferation (Wicki-Stordeur et al., 2012). PANX1 knockout mice show reduced neural precursor cells within the ventricular zone (Wicki-Stordeur et al., 2016). Meanwhile, pannexin-mediated ATP release from mesenchymal stem cells reportedly activates transcription factors involved in differentiation. For example, PANX1 knockout mice exhibit reduced adipose-derived stromal cell proliferation and increased adipogenic differentiation (Lee et al., 2018). On the other hand, functional PANX3 inhibits osteoprogenitor cell proliferation through inactivation of β-catenin while promoting osteoblast and chondrocyte differentiation through regulated calcium release (Ishikawa et al., 2014; Ishikawa & Yamada, 2017; Iwamoto et al., 2010). These studies suggest that pannexins play important and dynamic roles in the maintenance and differentiation across different somatic stem cell populations. Other members of our research group have found
that PANX1-/ iPSCs survive in culture with comparable morphology, proliferation and apoptosis rates to control iPSCs, indicating PANX1 is inessential to iPSC maintenance (Noort et al., 2021). Differences in these results could indicate PANX1 becomes increasingly crucial during late-stage differentiation.

4.4 Pannexin1 is alternatively glycosylated and localized in iPSC-derived germ lineages

PANX1 is expressed in the human oocyte as well as the 2- and 4-cell stage human embryo and its mutation has been linked to infertility and oocyte death (Sang et al., 2019; Shao et al., 2016). PANX1 transcripts have recently been identified in human PSCs (Hainz et al., 2018). I therefore, questioned the influence of PANX1 on early cell fate decisions and maintenance of pluripotency. Here I confirm that iPSCs express PANX1 protein at the cell surface (Figure 3.8). I find that PANX1 expression was comparable in iPSCs and throughout endoderm, ectoderm, or mesoderm specification. Cell surface expression of PANX1 was maintained in ectoderm and mesoderm, while endoderm specification internalized PANX1 (Figure 3.8). Similarly, PANX1 is primarily intracellular in primary osteoblasts (Penuela et al., 2008), and intestinal endothelial cells retain a partial intracellular pool in addition to cell surface PANX1 expression (Diezmos et al., 2013b). Intracellular PANX1 may or may not continue to signal from intracellular compartments; some studies suggest that ER-retained PANX1 acts as a calcium leak channel, increasing intracellular movement of Ca^{2+} ions (Vanden Abeele et al., 2006). It remains to be determined by which mechanism PANX1 is internalized in iPSC-derived endoderm cells or if the PANX1 intracellular pool plays a function in endoderm development.
Glycosylation has been suggested to control PANX1 trafficking (Gehi et al., 2011). Indeed, these results suggest that PANX1 internalization in endoderm was matched by decreases in PANX1 Gly1 and Gly2 states. The addition of a glycosylation-deficient PANX1 mutant, or the use of de-glycosylating enzymes including Peptide-N-Glycosidase F, would enable us to determine whether glycosylation is, in fact, the driver of pannexin localization in differentiated endoderm cells. Similarly, continued work would ascertain the exact subcellular localization of PANX1 to elucidate the process of PANX1 redistribution and potentially determine the function of intracellular PANX1.

4.5 PANX1 is not essential for iPSC directed differentiation into the germ lineages

The human protein atlas describes the wide profile of PANX1 expression developmentally relevant tissues that develop from all three germ lineages. Here I report for the first time the generation of a PANX1−/− human iPSCs generated using CRISPR-Cas9 systems. Genotyping, immunofluorescence, and Western blot validated loss of this protein in the clonal knockout. Despite confidence in the knockout, additional Western blot bands occasionally appear in the PANX1−/− samples when longer exposure times were necessary to resolve PANX1 protein. This typically coincided with less total protein loaded in the gel or longer exposure times necessary to identify PANX1 expression in wild-type cells. However, these additional bands were consistent across multiple samples and therefore considered non-specific. Directed differentiation of PANX1−/− iPSCs resulted in the successful formation of all three germ lineages, suggesting that PANX1 is not essential to iPSC differentiation toward the three embryonic germ lineages. However, our recently published article did uncover PANX1−/− lineage bias in an embryoid body model of
spontaneous, cell-guided differentiation (Noort et al., 2021). By day 5 of differentiation, PANX1-/- EBs become enriched for lineage-specific transcripts, mesendoderm (MIXL1), mesoderm (T, PDGFRA, NCAM1), and endoderm (SOX17, HNF1β). It remains to be determined if this bias results from decreased ectoderm commitment or variations in cell death or proliferation. Despite evident lineage bias under passive conditions, both Western blot and RT-qPCR confirmed the formation of all three germ lineages in PANX1-/- EBs. Exposure to exogenous pressures during directed differentiation supersedes loss of PANX1, indicating that while influential, PANX1 is inessential to germ layer formation. Further discussion of these results can be viewed in (Noort et al., 2021).

4.6 Study limitations

Our study took advantage of robust commercially available kits alongside CRISPR-Cas9 gene-editing systems in investigating the role of GJIC during lineage specification. The 2D monolayer culture system utilized in this project may be limited in its representation of lineage specification, as 2D cultures lack many of the spatial and patterning cues present in the developing embryo. Powerful morphogens and exogenous pressures present in directed differentiation might overcome the minor changes in lineage bias that results from PANX1 or GJA1 gene ablation. Although my study currently employs 2D spontaneous differentiation, this study would benefit from the addition of passively differentiated 3D embryoid body cultures. The inclusion of 3D cultures like embryoid bodies would allow the investigation of influential signals absent in 2D systems.

Connexins often dynamically regulate their expression and can compensate for the loss of a similar isoform. RT-qPCR analysis of the above discussed Cx isoforms in
CRISPR-Cas9 GJA1-/- iPSCs, ectoderm, mesoderm, and endoderm will identify possible compensatory mechanisms in the absence of Cx43. Despite these limitations, my study suggests a baseline of connexin and pannexin expression at a crucial time point in improving future experimental design to investigate potential regulators of germ layer segregation and aberrant mechanisms preceding onset of developmental disease.

4.7 Conclusions

The present study suggests that iPSC-derived germ lineages express a multitude of different connexins. This is the first broad characterization of connexin transcripts in human PSC-derived germ lineage specification. Significant changes in transcript levels point towards a potential role for select connexins in ectoderm, mesoderm, or endoderm specification.

In iPSCs and the three germ layers, Cx43 protein localized to the cell surface, forming large gap junction plaques (Figure 4.2). Despite continued expression throughout differentiation, I find that Cx43 is dispensable to directed germ layer formation. This is in direct contrast to previous reports suggesting Cx43 regulates endoderm or mesoderm differentiation. On the other hand my results suggest that Cx32 was primarily localized intracellularly in each cell type examined. Finally the results presented in this thesis suggest that PANX1 is expressed at the cell surface in iPSCs, mesoderm and ectoderm cells, while endoderm specification results in the redistribution or retention of PANX1 to intracellular compartments. CRISPR-Cas9 PANX1-/- iPSCs retain typical morphological characteristics and successfully produce all three germ lineages under directed differentiation. This data
suggests that PANX1 is unnecessary in the maintenance and pluripotency of iPSCs. Furthermore, that lineage specification is not reliant on PANX1.
Figure 4.2 – Expression and localization of connexin and pannexin proteins in iPSCs and the three germ layers. Intercellular communication proteins; Cx32, Cx43, and PANX1 are expressed in iPSCs, endoderm, mesoderm, and ectoderm cells. Cx43 was localized to gap junction plaques at the interface of adjacent cells, while Cx32 remained primarily intracellular. PANX1 was localized to the cell surface in iPSCs, ectoderm and mesoderm while being redistributed to intracellular compartments in endoderm cells. This figure was generated using BioRender.com
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein of Interest</th>
<th>Cell Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cx43</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Cells" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx32</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Cells" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANX1</td>
<td><img src="Image" alt="Cells" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- **iPSC**
- **Ectoderm**
- **Mesoderm**
- **Endoderm**
4.8 Perspectives and future directions

Here I report for the first time the expression and localization of several key mediators of cell-cell communication during human iPSC germ lineage commitment. The characterization of Cx expression in human iPSCs helps to validate previous reports and gives insight into possible dynamic changes that control cell fate specification. Herein, I use CRISPR-Cas9 to generate and characterize knockout iPSCs, paving the way for more thorough future investigations into the method by which these proteins influence human stem cell differentiation. As mutant mouse models frequently fail to recapitulate human disease, studies such as this could provide novel insights into the fundamental role of cell-cell communication during human development and disease progression.

Cx43 has been well studied. However, there remains much to learn about Cx32 and PANX1. Given that each of these proteins is associated with human disease, understanding by which means they work at the cellular level will provide necessary insight into disease pathology. PANX1 is widely reported to play an essential role in mouse brains, and dysfunctional PANX1 is implicated in neurodegeneration, seizures, stroke and other brain disorders. Therefore, it would be interesting to determine whether PANX1−/− iPSCs can successfully differentiate into neuronal cell types or whether human PANX1 germline mutations alter the function of human PSC-derived neurons. Additional work is needed to identify the subcellular compartment that houses Cx32 in the endoderm, as this could uncover a novel role of intracellular Cx hemichannels. Generation of specific antibodies or CRISPR-Cas9 GFP lines like that used in this thesis would open further avenues for investigation of protein localization and expression levels in the three germ layers.
In summary, these studies provide a strong foundation for research investigating the consequences of cell-cell communication in human stem cell fate decisions and leverage several novel technologies to study the impact of CRISPR-Cas9 genetic engineering on these processes. Future work investigating terminal cell types, engineered tissues or 3-dimensional organoid cultures will reveal the dynamic interplay between cell-cell communication in human cell fate specification, tissue architecture and function.
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