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Abstract

In this research dynamic modeling of a remote hybrid power system and feasibility of a pumped hydro storage system is presented. Current hybrid system in Ramea, Newfoundland has an electrolyzer, storage and hydrogen generator system. This research proposes a pumped hydro storage as a replacement to the hydrogen system. Detailed MATLAB-Simulink modeling has been done for every component of the Ramea hybrid power system. Incorporation of a pumped hydro system and some lead acid batteries will eliminate the low turn around efficiency of the electrolyzer and hydrogen generator system. The system dynamic model presented here is fast, accurate and includes dynamic and supervisory controllers. The proposed real time supervisory controller algorithm observes the available surplus/missing power in the system and regulates pump/turbine and charging/discharging of the battery bank to maintain a stable system frequency. This paper presents dynamic model, supervisory controller design and algorithm, six case studies and detailed simulation results.
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Introduction

Ramea is a small island located off the south coast of Newfoundland, Canada. In 2004, Ramea was selected as the first pilot project site for a Wind-Diesel hydrogen hybrid power system which was led by the Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro. The main objective of this project was to demonstrate substantial improvement of energy efficiency and reliability after incorporating Wind-Diesel Integrated Control System (WDICS) in the island’s grid which can reduce the use of diesel power by hosting green renewable wind energy in remote and isolated location. This wind-diesel pilot system is generating almost 1million kWh of electricity and offsetting nearly 750 tons of greenhouse gas emissions per annum [1] [2].

Wind energy system in Ramea has six 65kW Windmatic 15s and three 100kW NorthernPower100 wind turbines (WT). Three 925kW Diesel engine generators (DEG) are used as the main power source. A Hydrogen Electrolyzer and Storage (HES) and a 250kW Hydrogen Powered Generator (HPG) have been installed to increase the renewable energy penetration. When wind power generation exceeds the load, the electrolyzer produces hydrogen from water electrolysis which is stored in the storage tanks. And when harnessed wind power is inadequate to supply the total load the stored hydrogen is fed into a HPG as a fuel which delivers electricity to the grid and maintains the stability [2]. This HES system produces hydrogen at 70% efficiency and HPG generates electricity at less than 35% efficiency. Overall it gives a poor turn around conversion efficiency that is less than 25% [3]. Ramea system has many operational issues due to its complexity. So far, it never operated as designed. Detailed information, analysis and dynamic simulation for the optimal size and site selection of a pumped hydro storage (PHS) system replacing the HES and HPG has been presented in Ref. [4]. It has been explained that almost 37% renewable energy fraction can be attained using a 150kW PHS system with a 3932m³ water reservoir at 63m height on top of ‘Man of War’ hill [4]. Topographical map of that hill shows that it has 2000m² of area to build a 2m high hydro storage reservoir. In Ref. [4] only 24s of dynamic simulation had been presented as it took days of computer time to simulate 1min of system operation. Moreover the simulation didn’t converge in the time period of 11s to 16s. Simple first order modeling of every system component can
considerably reduce the simulation time, make the analysis easier and gives fairly accurate solutions. Research [5] shows the system stability of a self-governing hybrid renewable power generation and storage system connected with isolated loads by time-domain simulations. As storage subsystems, that hybrid system had a battery bank (BB) and a flywheel system. Three mathematical models have been investigated for three different sets of operating points and disturbance conditions. But the presented mathematical subsystems are too simple where nonlinear efficiency, friction and response time are ignored and there is no controller in the model. The real challenge is to model practical subsystems with simple first order models juxtaposing all efficiencies, dynamic frictions, different time constants related to the subsystem parameters.

A simple, fast and novel method has been introduced in this research work to simulate system dynamics of Ramea hybrid power system with a proposed PHS. A block diagram of Ramea hybrid power system is shown in FIG. 1. Some system details may be found in [6]. A BB has been used to supply or store the intermittent power as induction motor (IM) and centrifugal pump (CP) or turbine and generator require some time to reach a certain rated operating point and have larger time constant than a battery bank. A controllable dump load (DL) has also been used to dump the excess power. The presented model has PID controllers with all its subsystems. Characteristic data and parameters of the aforementioned WTs and DEG used in Ramea hybrid system are taken from the respective manufacturers. All other subsystem models e.g. CP, Pelton Wheel Turbine (PWT), BB have been created using first principle and data obtained from manufacturers. In this study dynamic models with 1st order transfer functions (TF) are considered. Simulations have been done for one day (86400s) for six extreme cases. Detailed results and analyses are presented in the later part of this paper.

**Dynamic Modeling**

i. Wind Speed Data

Wind speed data (1Hz) from the Prince Edward Island (PEI), Newfoundland, Canada is used. Average value of the data was adjusted to represent wind speed at Ramea. Two wind speed average have been considered here e.g. 2.9m/s and 13.75m/s.

ii. Load Data

Ramea load data for two days is used from Ref. [4]. Two 24 hour load curves are used from the data array with averages of 303kW and 800kW.

iii. Wind Turbine Model

WT power curves have been collected from the corresponding manufacturers. Power curve data was fitted with a 6th order polynomial.

As shown in FIG. 2 necessary cut in and cut out wind speed conditions have been applied in the WT model. Wind turbine time constants are used following the equation from the Ref. [7]:

\[ H_{WT} = 1.87 \times P_{WT}^{0.0597} \]  

(1)

In Eq. (1) \( H_{WT} \) is the mechanical inertia time constant and \( P_{WT} \) is the power of the WT in watts. So calculation gives 3.6s for 65kW Windmatic 15s [8] and 3.7s for 100kW Northern Power 100 [9]. In FIG. 3 all WTs, load...
demand and varying DEG output are connected with an adder ‘add1’. Output of ‘add1’ represents the power available or lack in the grid which has to be managed by the PHS or BB.

iv. Diesel Engine Generator Model

DEG in Ramea has a rated output of 925kW. There are three DEG but only one is used at a time. A DEG can be operated down to 30% of its rated output i.e. the DEG can operate from 300kW to 925kW. However, a DEG always keeps running at 300kW (a minimum), whatever the case, to maintain a stable system frequency. Time constant of DEG is taken as it is used in Ref. [5] so 

\[ \text{TF}_{\text{DEG}} = \frac{1}{2s + 1} \]

This value has been verified from the datasheet of a DEG of almost same rating.

The acceleration time constant of DEG is calculated by the following Eq. (2).

\[ J = S_n \frac{T_{\text{DEG}}}{\omega_n^2} \]  

Here, \( J \) is the moment of inertia; \( \omega_n \) is the rated angular velocity, which equals \( 2\pi f \); \( S_n \) is the DEG nominal apparent power; \( T_{\text{DEG}} \) is the acceleration time constant rated to \( S_n \). In the datasheet of the DEG, \( J = 20\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \). That results in the acceleration time constant of DEG of \( T_{\text{DEG}} = 2 \text{ s} \). With this time constant this DEG needs about 10s to reach its steady state value.

Frequency droop curve has been introduced considering that this DEG has a \( \Delta P/\Delta f \) ratio of 300kW/1Hz. The MATLAB code used here is,

\[ \text{dpu} = 1 - \frac{(62 - (\Delta f + 60))}{2} \]

In Eq. (3) dpu is per unit excess power that will be injected to balance out the frequency deviation, \( \Delta f \) in the grid.

v. Induction Motor and Centrifugal Pump Model

Considering their individual characteristics IM and CP are modeled together in a block (IM and Pump block in FIG. 3). The CP takes relatively large time to respond to a sudden change than an IM. Comparing the starting time of a combination of IM and CP from Ref. [10], a 4000hp – 1000rpm pumping system takes approximately 2:30 minutes to reach its rated output. Here we assumed that a 200hp pumping system needs 30s to settle down to its steady state. Therefore transfer function of this block is 

\[ \text{TF}_{\text{CP}} = \frac{1}{(5s + 1)} \]

\( K_P = 0.473, K_I = 0.3391 \text{ and } K_D = 0 \) are used in PID controller of CP. Built in tuner of Simulink PID block has been used for this block as well as rest of the blocks in this model to determine suitable controller parameters. Efficiencies of IM and CP are considered as 95% and 80% respectively which gives a total efficiency of 75%. Eq. (4) is used in MATLAB code to determine \( q_{\text{res}} \) pumping water flow to reservoir.

\[ q_{\text{res}} = \frac{(ppump \cdot pmeff)}{(hres + Hloss) \cdot dens \cdot g} \]  

Here, \( ppump \) = power delivered to the pumping system which can vary from 30% to 100% of the rated output e.g. 100kW to 300kW; \( pmeff = 75\% \); \( hres = 63\text{m} \) (height of the reservoir); \( Hloss \) = penstock friction loss; \( dens =  

FIG. 3 SIMULINK - MATLAB EMBEDDED FUNCTION BLOCKS BASED DYNAMIC MODEL OF RAMEA HYBRID POWER SYSTEM WITH PUMPED HYDRO STORAGE, BATTERY BANK AND DUMP LOAD
1000kgm$^{-3}$ and $g = 9.81$ms$^{-2}$.

**vi. Penstock Model**

Penstock is designed as $L_{pipe} = 70$m in length and $D_{pipe} = 0.3$m in diameter. Reynolds number is selected assuming that water flow is laminar inside the pipe. A minor loss coefficient for water meter is used here as $k_{lossco}$ which is taken equal to 7 [11].

\[ V_{elowaterpump} = q_{res}/A_{pipe}; \]
\[ Re = 2000; \]
\[ flam = 64/Re; \]
\[ h_{pipefric} = (8*flam*L_{pipe}*q_{res}^2)/(g*n^2*D_{pipe}^5); \]
\[ h_{lossmeter} = k_{lossco}*(V_{elowaterpump}^2)/(2*g); \]
\[ H_{loss} = h_{pipefric} + h_{lossmeter}; \]

Eq. (5) used here is Darcy–Weisbach equation for friction inside the penstock [12]. Here $H_{loss}$ is calculated in each step for new $q_{res}$.

**vii. Water Reservoir Model**

The proposed water reservoir has a total volume of 4000m$^3$. In simulations initial volume is considered as 2000m$^3$. Pump action will be stopped if water volume exceeds 3950m$^3$ and turbine action will be terminated if water volume goes below 150m$^3$. Total water volume in the reservoir can be determined from water flows in both ways or from the height of water in the reservoir (see upper reservoir block in FIG. 3).

**viii. Turbine Model**

A 150kW PWT has been used here which has very good partial flow efficiency as shown in FIG. 4 [13]. The blue curve here is for a twin jet setup. Synchronous generator and PWT are modeled together using a combined efficiency of 70% and time constant of 2s as DEG. TF of this block is $TF_{TURB} = 1/(2s + 1)$. $K_{P} = 0.4732$, $K_{I} = 1.6955$ and $K_{D} = 0$ are used in PID controller of PWT (turbine block in FIG. 3). The power output of the turbine generator block is as in Eq. (6)

\[ P_{turb_{out}} = q_{pen_{in}}*hres*dens*g*turbineff \]

Here, $P_{turb_{out}}$ = turbine output power, $q_{pen_{in}}$ = incoming water flow to the turbine and $turbineff = 70\%$ as turbine efficiency.

**ix. Battery Bank Model**

In an isolated grid BB used as storage provides fast response which makes them favorable to improve power quality and gain system reliability. A bank of 300 batteries has been used here each having a capacity of 200Ahr. Total 15 branches are connected in parallel where each branch consists of 20 batteries in series delivering a DC battery bus voltage of 240V. A charging and a discharging block have been created to control the current and monitor the State of Charge (SOC) of the battery. A SLA battery should not be discharged when SOC goes down to 40%. Total coulomb capacity can be determined from the calculations below in (7), (battery bank block in FIG. 3)

\[ \text{Total cap} = \text{individual cap} * 3600 * \text{no. of branch} \]
\[ = 200*3600*15 = 10800000 \text{C} \text{(at 100% SOC)} \]

So the BB operates from 100% SOC to 40% SOC (4320000C). For charging current a maximum 10% of the individual capacity will be allowed as in (8) where charge$\_i$ = total charging current, ind$\_cap$ = individual capacity and nbats$\_para$ = no. of branches.

\[ \text{charge}\_i = 0.1 * \text{ind}\_cap * \text{nbats}\_para \]
\[ \text{discharge}\_i = 0.33 * \text{ind}\_cap * \text{nbats}\_para \]

A maximum of 72kW surplus power can be utilized to charge the BB almost instantaneously. For a maximum power shortage of 234kW can be supplied from the BB by discharging it to ‘one third of the individual capacity’. In (9) discharge$\_i$ = total discharging current. In this model initial SOC is chosen as 70% which is equivalent to 7560000C. While discharging, battery bank can be discharged at any rate below 0.33CA but that will affect the effective capacity of battery following the ‘Peukert’s law’.

\[ I_t = C\left(\frac{C}{I_H}\right)^{k-1} \]

Here, ‘$I_t$’ is the effective capacity at discharge rate of $I$, $I_H$ is the rated discharge time, in (hours), $k$ is the
Peukert constant which is 1.2 for SLA battery. Along with this in this model the combined efficiency of battery and converters is considered as 80%. Eq. (11) is used to calculate the power delivered \((p_{bat\_out})\) by the battery where \(v_{bat} = 240V\), \(i_{bat\_in}\) = total discharging current and \(bateff = 80\%\).

\[
p_{bat\_out} = v_{bat} \times i_{bat\_in} \times bateff \tag{11}
\]

TF of Battery Discharge block (FIG. 3) is \(T_{FF} = 1/(0.02s +1)\). As SLA battery has a very fast response in the range of milliseconds [14], here modeled BB takes less than 100ms to reach steady state. Coefficients for the PID controller of this block are \(K_p = 0.0001\), \(K_i = 213617.933\) and \(K_d = 0\). The BB will provide power while mechanical subsystems are starting up.

\section*{x. Dump Load Model}

A 1MW (maximum) controllable dump load has been used to curtail the excess power from the grid while the wind speed is considerably high and/or the load demand is low. Maximum power dissipation in the dump load is 800kW (in the case 2 where wind speed is high and load is low). A PWM controller can be used to dump the surplus power from the grid to the dump load. The dump load help reduce the frequency spikes in the grid.

\section*{xi. Model of the Electrical System}

The system inertia constant M and load-damping constant D have been used same as in Ref. [5]. The gain has been changed from 1.0 to 0.15 as 1.0 makes the system too sensitive. TF of the electrical system has been considered as \(T_{FELEC} = 0.15/(0.2s +0.012)\). Therefore, 0.05pu power deviation will cause 0.01pu or 0.6Hz frequency deviation (see electrical system block in FIG. 3).

\section*{Supervisory Controller}

PID controllers have been used to control all individual subsystems. To determine the optimum coefficients for the PID controllers, Simulink built in PID tuner has been used. Limiters have been used to clip all out of range values. A simple flowchart of the algorithm used in this model as the supervisory controller is shown in FIG. 5. It is shown as a block ‘controller’ in the FIG. 3. DEG has the last priority to take control. Pump and Pelton wheel operate with the highest priority as per the requirement and BB compensates for the intermittent deviations due to the inertial delay of rotating mechanical devices. In each step supervisory controller keeps measuring the reservoir water volume, SOC and the grid power. When DEG takes control, a differential block measures the frequency deviation from the set value and according to the frequency droop characteristics curve of the DEG it adjusts its output power.

\section*{Results: Six Case Studies}

For different conditions of wind speed and load six cases are proposed in Table 1. These six cases cover possible normal and extreme operation of the hybrid power system.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline
Case & Load & Wind speed \\
\hline
1 & Low (200kW to 330kW) & Low (0m/s to 9m/s) \\
2 & Low (200kW to 330kW) & High (10m/s to 20m/s) \\
3 & High (590kW to 990kW) & Low (0m/s to 9m/s) \\
4 & High (590kW to 990kW) & High (10m/s to 20m/s) \\
5 & Abrupt load change (500kW to 700kW at 200s and vice versa at 700s) & Steady in midrange (5m/s) \\
6 & Steady in midrange (500kW) & Abrupt wind speed change (8m/s to 11m/s at 200s and vice versa at 700s) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Six different cases of load and wind speed}
\end{table}

Simulation of the developed system model shown in FIG. 3 has been done for one day i.e. 86400s. Site wind speed data and load data have been used [4]. Data is from the year 2001. Inspecting site wind speed data and load data the lowest 24 hours average load was found to be 303kW on September 3, 2001 which is referred as ‘Low load’ in this paper. Load varies between 200kW to 330kW from 12:00:00AM to 11:59:59PM. And the highest load found in the year of 2001 is 800kW on December 29, 2001 where load varied from 590kW to 990kW throughout the day. This is referred as ’High load’ in this paper.

Observing all daily average of wind speed data it has been found that on September 17, 2001 a lowest daily average of wind speed was recorded which was 2.9m/s and wind speed stayed between 0m/s to 9m/s. This is referred here as ‘Low wind’. Highest daily average wind speed has been found to be 13.75m/s on February 26, 2001 where it varied between 10m/s to 20m/s. This wind speed pattern is referred as ‘High wind’ in this paper. As an abrupt change of load, it is assumed that for a 1000s time period load changes to 700kW from 500kW at t=200s and drops to 500kW
again at $t=700s$ while wind speed stays steady at 5m/s. On the other hand, abrupt change of wind speed has been considered as a rise to 11m/s from 8m/s at $t=200s$ and again dropping back to 8m/s at $t=700s$. For this change the load has been assumed a constant at 500kW. Results for all six case studies with theses extreme conditions are presented below.

FIG. 5 SIMPLE FLOWCHART OF THE ALGORITHM USED AS SUPERVISORY CONTROLLER
Case 1: Low Load and Low Wind
In Case 1, low load and low wind speed have been used as inputs to the dynamic model and system outputs are observed. From FIG. 6 to FIG. 10 it can be observed that in the first 30000s load was very low so CP and BB charger worked to store the excess energy. From 30000s to 50000s load was increasing and PWT and BB delivered the necessary power. After 50000s reservoir is empty so DEG takes control and supply a maximum of 450kW for some time. System frequency remains almost stable that day. A sudden frequency dip of 1.3Hz is observed in FIG. 10 when load is increasing rapidly after t = 30000s and PWT respond slowly. Such a frequency dip is acceptable in remote hybrid power systems. This case study indicates that the developed model and supervisory controller is capable of correctly simulating the hybrid power system. The transients observed in these figures are not instantaneous rather slow variations. The x-axes cover a whole day simulation (86400s) so these spikes are basically steady variations lasting minutes. In FIG. 11, a zoomed result from 57700s to 57800s has been shown.

Case 2: Low Load and High Wind
In case 2, a low load and high wind speed have been used in the dynamic model to observe the system outputs and responses. FIG. 12 shows the selected data. Daily load cycle and random variation is shown in the top section of FIG. 12. From FIG. 13 to FIG. 16 it can be observed that as wind is high and load is low, the water reservoir and BB become fully charged in the first 8000s and 10000s respectively. After that all the excess power goes to the dump load. The maximum power dissipation in the dump load is 800kW. System frequency is totally stable for all time as it is maintained by the diesel. These results also show that the developed model is capable of correctly simulating...
FIG. 9 BB CHARGING CURRENT (kA), CHARGING POWER (kW), PERCENTAGE OF STATE OF CHARGE, DISCHARGING CURRENT (kA) AND THE POWER TO THE GRID (kW) DUE TO THE DISCHARGING OF THE BATTERY ARE SHOWN FOR THE CASE 1

FIG. 10 GRID SURPLUS POWER (kW) WITH AND WITHOUT PUMPED STORAGE, BATTERY AND DUMP LOAD AND THE RESULTANT FREQUENCY DEVIATION FOR THE CASE 1

FIG. 11 SIMULATION RESULT HAS BEEN ZOOMED FROM 57700s TO 57800s TO SHOW THE TRANSIENTS. IN TOP FIGURE, THE GRID AVAILABLE POWER (kW) AND DEG VARYING OUTPUT (kW) IS SHOWN AND IN THE LOWER FIGURE THE RESULTANT FREQUENCY DEVIATION IS SHOWN FOR THE CASE 1

FIG. 12 LOAD DEMAND (kW) AND WIND SPEED (m/s) DATA FOR CASE 2

5500s reservoir becomes empty and by 10000s BB almost discharged. This is because load is very high and WT are not delivering enough power due to very low wind speed. DEG delivers the required amount No power dumping happened in this case. System frequency remains quite stable though two frequency dips of 0.6Hz and 0.9Hz are observed when load suddenly dips around 40000s and 60000s. Such small frequency dips are considered acceptable for remote hybrid power system.

Case 3: High Load and Low Wind

In case 3, high load and low wind speed have been used as inputs to the dynamic model to observe the outputs and system responses. Selected one day load data and wind speed are shown in FIG. 17 below.

From FIG. 17 to FIG. 21 it has been observed that in first a
FIG. 13 IN THE TOP PART, GRID AVAILABLE POWER (kW) AND DEG OUTPUT (kW) (WITH FLAT 300kW VALUE) ARE SHOWN AND IN THE LOWER PART DUMP POWER (kW) IS SHOWN FOR THE CASE 2

FIG. 14 PUMPING POWER (kW), PUMPING WATER FLOW RATE (m³/s), UPPER RESERVOIR WATER VOLUME (m³), TURBINE WATER FLOW (m³/s) AND TURBINE GENERATED POWER (kW) FOR THE CASE 2

Case 4: High Load and High Wind

For case 4, high load and high wind speed have been used as inputs to the dynamic model and observe the outputs and system responses. FIG. 22 shows the selected load and wind speed data for the case 4. The system simulation results are shown in the FIG. 23 to FIG. 26 below. From FIG. 22 to FIG. 26 it has been observed that in the first 7000s reservoir becomes full and by 12000s BB is showing 100% SOC. As wind is very high WTs are generating enough power to the system so DEG delivers only 300kW and maintains the system stability. Remaining excess power is being dumped depending on the load changes. System frequency is stable for the whole time. One frequency dip of 0.4Hz is observed at 65000s. This happens when hydro generation turns on for a while.
FIG. 17 LOAD DEMAND (kW) AND WIND SPEED (m/s) DATA FOR THE CASE 3

FIG. 18 IN TOP FIGURE, GRID AVAILABLE POWER (kW) AND DEG VARYING OUTPUT (kW) (FROM 400kW TO 925kW) ARE SHOWN AND IN THE BOTTOM PART DUMP POWER (kW) IS SHOWN FOR THE CASE 3

FIG. 19 PUMPING POWER (kW), PUMPING WATER FLOW (m³/s), UPPER RESERVOIR WATER VOLUME (m³), TURBINE WATER FLOW (m³/s) AND TURBINE GENERATED POWER (kW) ARE SHOWN FOR THE CASE 3

FIG. 20 CHARGING CURRENT (kA), CHARGING POWER (kW), PERCENTAGE OF STATE OF CHARGE, DISCHARGING CURRENT (kA) AND INJECTED POWER TO THE GRID (kW) DUE TO THE DISCHARGING OF THE BATTERY ARE FOR THE CASE
Case 5: Abrupt Change of Load While Wind Speed Is Steady in the Midrange

For this case study a simple step change in the load is assumed. This case study is done to observe the system dynamics in case of a change in the load. From FIG. 26 to FIG. 31 it has been found that PWT supplies a maximum of 150kW power for the whole time and DEG delivers the excess required amount from 200s to 700s. No water pumping and no power dumping occur. Such sudden load change of 200kW leads to a frequency fluctuation of 0.2Hz that dies down in 40s. System is capable of maintaining an almost stable frequency in such rare event.
Case 6: Load Is Steady in the Midrange and Wind Speed Is Changed Abruptly

In this case study load is a constant while wind speed is increased and then decreased. The system inputs are shown in the FIG. 32. Simulation results are shown in FIG. 32 to FIG. 36.

From FIG. 31 to FIG. 36 it has been found that as wind speed increases the water pumping starts and operates of its maximum rating from t=200s to 700s. BB is charging too for a while. DEG is supplying 300kW all time.

At 700s a sudden 1.1Hz system frequency dip is found when wind speed drops from to 8m/s from 11m/s. Such a large dip may not be acceptable. But a sudden wind speed drop of 3m/s is impossible. This case study shows that the proposed supervisory controller...
FIG. 29 PUMPING POWER (kW), PUMPING WATER FLOW (m$^3$/s), UPPER RESERVOIR WATER VOLUME (m$^3$), TURBINE WATER FLOW (m$^3$/s) AND TURBINE GENERATED POWER (kW) ARE PLOTTED ABOVE FOR THE CASE 5

FIG. 30 BB CHARGING CURRENT (kA), CHARGING POWER (kW), PERCENTAGE OF STATE OF CHARGE, DISCHARGING CURRENT (kA) AND INJECTING POWER TO THE GRID (kW) DUE TO THE DISCHARGING OF THE BATTERY ARE PLOTTED ABOVE FOR THE CASE 5

FIG. 31 THE GRID SURPLUS POWER (kW) WITH AND WITHOUT PUMPED STORAGE, BATTERY AND DUMP LOAD AND THE RESULTANT FREQUENCY DEVIATION FOR THE CASE 5

FIG. 32 LOAD DEMAND (kW) AND WIND SPEED (m/s) DATA FOR THE CASE 6

All above discussed 6 cases show almost steady system frequency for extreme conditions. These are extreme situations for the load demand and wind speed data in a year. Real situations may be much milder than above selected cases.
FIG. 33 IN THE TOP PART, GRID AVAILABLE POWER (kW) AND DEG VARYING OUTPUT (kW) (WITH A FLAT 300kW) AND IN BOTTOM PART DUMP POWER (kW) IS SHOWN FOR THE CASE 6

FIG. 34 PUMPING POWER (kW), PUMPING WATER FLOW (m$^3$/s), UPPER RESERVOIR WATER VOLUME (m$^3$), TURBINE WATER FLOW (m$^3$/s) AND TURBINE GENERATED POWER (kW) ARE SHOWN ABOVE FOR THE CASE 6

Conclusions
This paper presented a dynamic simulation model and a supervisory controller for a remote hybrid power system with a proposed pumped hydro storage. From the simulation results, based on six possible extreme cases, it can be concluded a) a minimum of 300kW operation of DEG permits higher penetration of wind energy and leads to a low diesel consumption and maintains a fairly stable system frequency b) proposed dump load addition will prevent the system frequency spikes in high wind and make the system operation easier and results in less frequency deviations. Expected response of pump hydro system with battery storage is acceptable for a remote location like Remea

FIG. 35 CHARGING CURRENT (kA), CHARGING POWER (kW), PERCENTAGE OF STATE OF CHARGE, DISCHARGING CURRENT (kA) AND INJECTED POWER TO THE GRID (kW) DUE TO THE DISCHARGING OF THE BATTERY ARE PLOTTED ABOVE FOR THE CASE 6

FIG. 36 GRID SURPLUS POWER (kW) WITH AND WITHOUT PUMPED STORAGE, BATTERY AND DUMP RESISTANCE AND THE RESULTANT FREQUENCY DEVIATION ARE SHOWN ABOVE FOR THE CASE 6
Island. Simulation of the presented dynamic model with proposed PHS, BB and dump load is significantly fast. Using a 0.01s time step, a day i.e. 86400s simulation takes about 30min to complete on a computer with Intel Core2Duo 2.1GHz processor. The system model presented in this paper includes all real world characteristics curves, nonlinear efficiencies, losses and a supervisory controller. Moreover, this model can be used to check system stability and be modified easily for possible future extension to the hybrid power system. Wind data and load data of any day can be used with the model to determine the system expected response. This model allows us to simulate few months of operations of Ramea hybrid system and study parameters such as fuel consumption. Such a study is not possible in any commercially available software. Incorporating higher order complicated system components models in the blocks of this model can improve this model but that will considerably increase the simulation time. As a future work, system AC voltage analysis can be done to observe the voltage variations in the system.
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