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BACKGROUND

- Few studies investigate human resources (HR) practices for managing mobile employees (Connell & Burgess, 2014)

- **Existing studies in HR tend to focus on:**
  - Expatriation (Andresen, Biemann & Pattie, 2012)
  - Employees in multi-national enterprises (MNE’s) relocating with family for international assignments (Bozkurt & Mohr, 2011)

- **Gaps:**
  - **HR support** for other types of mobile workers (e.g., workplace itself is mobile)
  - **HR support** for families of mobile workers
RESEARCH OBJECTIVE

- To understand the leading and promising policies and practices that organizations use to manage mobile workers and balance concerns regarding employee productivity, family and well-being
METHODOLOGY

• Participants
  • Individuals with responsibility for managing mobile workers in Canadian organizations

• Recruitment
  • Canadian HR associations
  • Sector associations
  • Employer lists
  • Contacts of researchers in the project

• Anonymous online survey (English & French) & interview(s)
SURVEY

- Initial survey - 15 organizations
  - Focused on a broad definition of employee mobility
- Revised - 6 organizations
  - Narrowed to Fly In-Fly Out (FIFO)
  - Targeted recruitment to increase the number of respondents; FIFO as a challenging form of mobility for employees and families
- One interview to date
A diverse group of organizations have responded:

- 77% private sector
- 36% construction, 23% oil & gas, 9% aerospace, and 1 of a variety of other sectors (e.g., hospitality, power transmission, renewable energy, medical devices)
- 33% between 1-99 employees; 14% between 100-249; 10% between 250-499; 5% between 500-999 and 38% over 1000
- Of the 18 organizations that reported the percentage of unionized employees, on average 43% of the workforce was unionized
- 73% reported having employees who travel from another province in Canada; 68% reported their employees travel from multiple provinces within Canada; 50% travel from outside of Canada
- 57% reported offices in AB and ON; 43% in MB; 36% in BC and NL; 21% in SK and QC; 7% in NWT (one organization)
CHALLENGES

- Work-related fatigue: 75%
- Isolation: 75%
- Loneliness: 63%
- Challenges with training: 62%
- Retention: 62%
SUPPORTS

- Organizational supports provided for mobile workers:
  - employee assistance plans (100%)
  - communication tools allowing mobile workers to stay connected with their families while away (86%)
  - workplace wellness programs (86%)
  - exercise facilities (71%)
  - healthy foods choices (57%)
  - supports related to consideration of employees’ significant responsibilities (e.g. work schedule, location, child or elder care) (43%)
  - autonomy to choose when to take time off (43%)
FAMILY SUPPORTS

- Supports offered to support mobile workers’ family members:
  - emergency family leave (100%)
  - opportunity to be home for special occasions (78%)
  - employee and family assistance plan (73%)
  - short-term schedule flexibility when employees have family members who are ill (67%)
  - communication tools and supports (57%)
  - accommodations for employees with family members dealing with longer-term illness and rotational shiftwork for employees with two or more family members (33%)
OTHER POINTS

- **Challenges:**
  - transportation, road conditions, and flight schedules
  - anonymity of the environment in work camps
  - conflict between those permanent residents and those who fly-in and fly-out

- **Supports:**
  - Create an inclusive and home-like environment for those employees engaged in FIFO
    - Guidelines in terms of things like taking off boots at the front door, wearing proper attire in the dining room
  - Creating opportunities for recreation
    - Buses would take employees into town to interact with community members and take in activities such as golf, eating a meal at a restaurant etc.
PROJECT CHALLENGES

• Recruitment:
  • Multiple strategies = small number of participants (HR Associations, Sector associations, targeted employers, contacts of researchers engaged in the larger project)
  • Size of population is unknown - Statistics Canada simply does not collect this data

• There is no one department or role that is responsible for the concerns that arise due to employee mobility
CONCLUSIONS

- Majority of organizations provide supports that are reactive (e.g., EAPs, communication tools)
- Many concerns are left to employees to navigate
- Fewer employers provide flexibility and autonomy in creating schedules on a long-term basis that work for mobile employees given their family circumstances
- Yet proactive approaches would appear to be the types of accommodations more likely to assist with the challenges of balancing mobile work and family responsibilities
Thank you!
Questions?

You can reach me at:
kara.arnold@mun.ca
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