

Abstract

Canada contains reserves of oil sand and heavy oil resources considered to be the largest amount of unconventional hydrocarbons deposited in unfavorable conditions. It needs more efforts and technological advancement to recover oil from such reserves. Steam flooding enhanced oil recovery technique is applied for more than 70% of heavy oil reservoirs to extract the oil. Three-dimensional (3D) displacement model can represent an appropriate approach and model for the steam flooding process. However, their physical limitations make it impossible to duplicate the real behavior of a reservoir in larger scale. So, it is important to develop scaling criteria for depicting the actual fluid behavior for unconventional reservoirs.

Scaled physical models have the unique advantage of capturing all physical phenomena occurring in a particular process by transforming the parameters into dimensionless numbers. This concept is applicable to fluid flow through porous media, where continuous alteration of rock and fluid properties can be characterized by various dimensionless numbers. In this study a set of dimensionless groups were developed using both inspectional and dimensional analyses. The new groups of dimensionless numbers can be used to characterize the reservoir rock and fluid properties for better explanation of complex rock/fluid phenomena for the steam flooding process. It should be noted that the complete set of scaling criteria is very difficult to satisfy. Therefore, some of the similarity groups must be relaxed in order to satisfy the most important parameters of the specific reservoir activities. The choice of which requirements to relax depends on the particular process being modeled. Scaling of the phenomena considered to be least important to a particular process might be relaxed without significantly affecting the major features of the process. The choice of an approach depends on the importance of the phenomena that are not scaled by that approach. Major scaling groups were found by applying different elimination techniques. The effect of those dominant dimensionless groups on recovery was evaluated through the study of process controlling parameters. A new group which is called Dykstra-Parsons coefficient is introduced to incorporate the reservoir heterogeneity. A combined dimensionless group was proposed to characterize and evaluate the performance and found to have the largest effect on oil recovery. Sensitivity analysis of scaling numbers is performed to find out the relative effect of each dimensionless numbers on oil recovery. Finally, a numerical simulation study is performed to quantify the effect of steam quality and permeability variations for different reservoirs.

This research work leads to the development of a procedure that can be applied to design a steam flooding EOR process. This process allows the assessment of different parameters to aid in the selection of optimum additive concentration to account for the uncertainties due to reservoir heterogeneity. The process is flexible; it can be applied to wide range of reservoir types as there exists a physical commonality between laboratory and field scale.

DEDICATION

To my dearest parents, my beloved wife and daughter

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis becomes a reality with the kind support and help of many individuals. I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all of them.

First, I would like to offer this endeavor to our Almighty God for the wisdom He bestowed upon me, the strength, peace of mind and good health to finish this research.

I sincerely wish to acknowledge my academic adviser, Dr. M. Enamul Hossain for his excellence guidance, continuous support, constructive comments and encouragement throughout my M. Eng. studies at Memorial University of Newfoundland. I am highly indebted to my academic adviser, Dr. Salim Ahmed for his guideline, valuable advice, inspiration, help as well as his time and attention.

I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my family members for their trust, support and patience during the whole period of the study. I want to especially thank my beloved and supportive wife HAPPY, who is always by my side when I need her most and helped me in making this study, and my lovable daughter, ANEESHA who served as my inspiration to pursue this undertaking.

I would like to express my heartiest appreciation and gratitude to head as well as all the faculty members of the Department of Process Engineering, staff and fellow students for their support and help and to make this study enjoyable and fruitful.

I would like to acknowledge School of Graduate Studies of Memorial University of Newfoundland, Research & Development Corporation of Newfoundland and Labrador (RDC), and Statoil Canada Ltd. for providing financial support to accomplish this research.

I am also thankful to my wonderful research group members and friends. Thank you all for being with me when I need help and support.

CO-AUTHORSHIP STATEMENT

I, Arifur Rahman, hold the primary author status for all the chapters in the thesis. However, each manuscript is co-authored by my supervisor and co-researcher, whose contributions have facilitated the development of this work as described below.

- **Arifur Rahman, Fatema Akter Happy, Salim Ahmed, M. Enamul Hossain. “Development of scaling criteria for enhanced oil recovery: A review”, This article has been published in the Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering.**

Statement: I, Arifur Rahman, the primary author and carried out the research and develop the literature review of scaling criteria development for different EOR process. I drafted the manuscript and incorporated the comments of the co-authors in the final manuscript. Co-authors helped in conceiving the idea and selection of appropriate approach for steam flooding process.

- **Arifur Rahman, Salim Ahmed, M. Enamul Hossain. “Development of Scaling Criteria for Steam Flooding Process using Rock and Fluid Memory Concept”, to be submitted to a journal.**

Statement: I, Arifur Rahman, the primary author and carried out the research and development of scaling criteria. I drafted the manuscript and incorporated the comments of the co-authors in the final manuscript. Co-authors helped in conceiving the idea and selection of appropriate approach for steam flooding process.

- **Arifur Rahman, Salim Ahmed, M. Enamul Hossain. “Scaled Physical Model Studies of Steam Flooding EOR Process”, to be submitted to a journal.**

Statement: I, Arifur Rahman, the primary author and carried out the research and development of scaled physical model of steam flooding EOR process. I drafted the manuscript and incorporated the comments of the co-authors in the final manuscript. Co-authors helped in conceiving the idea and improve the design of a steam flooding process.

- **Arifur Rahman, Salim Ahmed, M. Enamul Hossain. “Sensitivity Analysis of Scaled Model and Numerical Simulation Study of Steam Flooding Process”, to be submitted to a journal.**

Statement: I, Arifur Rahman, the primary author and carried out the research to study sensitivity analysis of scaled physical model and numerical simulation of steam flooding EOR process. I drafted the manuscript and incorporated the comments of the co-authors in the final manuscript. Co-authors helped in conceiving the idea and improve the quality of the manuscript.

Table of Contents

Contents	Page no.
ABSTRACT	i
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
CO-AUTHORSHIP STATEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Steam flooding	1
1.3. Scaling steam flooding process	3
1.4. Numerical simulation	4
1.5. Problem statement	4
1.6. Objectives	5
1.7. Structure of the thesis	5
Chapter Two: Development Of Scaling Criteria For Enhanced Oil Recovery: A Review	6
Preface	6
Abstract	6
2.1. Introduction	7
2.1.1. Enhanced oil recovery	7
2.1.2. Scaled model studies	8
2.1.3. Dimensionless scaling group	9

2.1.4. Motivation and purposes	10
2.2. Scaling approaches	10
2.2.1. Dimensional analysis	11
2.2.2. Inspectional analysis	12
2.2.3. Comparison of scaling approaches	13
2.3. Development of various scaling criteria	15
2.3.1. Capillary number	15
2.3.2. Bond number	16
2.3.3. Gravity number	16
2.3.4. Mobility ratio	16
2.3.5. Displacement efficiency	16
2.3.6. Dimensionless time	16
2.3.7. Aspect ratio	17
2.4. Scaling classification	17
2.4.1. Flow and fluid properties	17
2.4.1.1. Multi-phase flow	17
2.4.1.2. Two-phase flow	18
2.4.1.3. Capillary to viscous force	19
2.4.1.4. Fluid saturation and relative permeability	20
2.4.1.5. Rock and fluid memory	21
2.4.1.6. Spontaneous imbibition	21
2.4.1.7. Compositional flow simulation	22
2.4.2. Displacement techniques with initial and boundary conditions	22
2.4.2.1. Immiscible displacements	22
2.4.2.2. Controlled gravity drainage	23
2.4.2.3. Immiscible GAGD process	23
2.4.2.4. Miscible displacements	24
2.4.2.5. Water flooding	24
2.4.2.6. CO ₂ flooding	25
2.4.2.7. Steam flooding	25
2.4.2.9. Solvent/chemical injection	27

2.4.2.10. Polymer flooding	27
2.4.2.11. Micellar flooding	28
2.4.2.12. In-situ combustion	28
2.4.3. Reservoir geometry	29
2.4.3.1. Geometric factor	29
2.4. Small scale capillary number	30
2.5. Large scale capillary number	30
2.6. Gravity number	31
2.7. Dimensionless scaling groups for GAGD	33
2.8. Other scaling groups	33
2.5. Current research challenges and future directions	34
Concluding remarks	36
Acknowledgements	36
Nomenclature	37
References	38
Chapter Three: Development of Scaling Criteria for Steam Flooding Process using Rock and Fluid Memory Concept	46
Abstract	46
3.1. Introduction	46
3.2. Development of Scaling Criteria	48
3.2.1. Dimensional Analysis	48
3.2.1.1 Mathematical formulation using π -theorem	50
3.2.2. Inspectional analysis	52
3.2.2.1. Mathematical formulation using inspectional analysis	53
3.3. Dimensionless groups	55
3.4 Approaches to satisfy scaling groups	57
3.5. Comparison of different scaling approaches	61
Conclusions	67
Acknowledgements	68

Nomenclature	68
References	69
Chapter Four: Scaled Physical Model Studies of Steam Flooding EOR Process	70
Abstract	70
4.1. Introduction	70
4.2. Scaling procedure	72
4.2.1. Dimensional Analysis	73
4.2.2. Inspectional Analysis	74
4.2.2.1. Mathematical formulation	75
4.3. Dimensionless groups	76
4.4. Synthetic reservoir model	77
4.5. New proposed number and its significance	90
4.6. Critical analysis and observations	92
Conclusions and recommendations	93
Acknowledgements	93
Nomenclature	94
References	95
Appendix A	97
Appendix B	113
Chapter Five: Sensitivity Analysis of Scaled Model and Numerical Simulation Study of Steam Flooding Process	122
Abstract	122
5.1. Introduction	122
5.2. The governing equations	124
5.3. Dimensionless Groups	125
5.4. Scaling group for reservoir heterogeneity	128
5.5. Steam-oil viscosity ratio	129

5.6. Proposed new group	129
5.7. Sensitivity analysis of dimensionless numbers	131
5.8. Dominant scaling groups	131
5.8.1. New proposed number	131
5.8.2 Steam-oil viscosity ratio	132
5.8.3. Steam additive concentration	132
5.8.4. Capillary number	132
5.8.5 Mobility ratios	133
5.9. Secondary scaling groups	133
5.9.1. Longitudinal and transverse Peclet number	133
5.9.2. Oil and gas gravity number	134
5.9.3. Dykstra-Parsons coefficient	134
5.9.4. Dispersion number	134
5.9.5. Effective aspect ratio	134
5.10. Numerical reservoir simulation model	134
5.10.1. Relative Permeability	137
5.10.2. Initial conditions	140
5.10.3. Wellbore constraint	140
5.10.4. Basecase study	140
5.10.5. Effects of heterogeneity	141
5.10.6. The effect of steam quality	144
Conclusions and recommendations	148
Acknowledgements	148
Nomenclature	148
References	149

Chapter Six: Conclusions and Recommendations	151
6.1. Conclusions	151
6.2. Recommendations	152
References	153
Appendix C	155

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Various Scaling Dimensionless Numbers (Novakovic, 2002)	15
Table 2.2: Small/Core/Pore-Size Scale Capillary Number (N_c)	30
Table 2.3: Medium (Inter-well)/Large (Reservoir) Scale Capillary Number	31
Table 2.4: Gravity Number (N_g) for petroleum literature	32
Table 2.5: Dimensionless Scaling Groups for GAGD EOR Process	33
Table 2.6: Other Scaling Groups	34
Table 3.1: Dimensionless groups from dimensional analysis	51
Table 3.2: Dimensionless groups from inspectional analysis	55
Table 3.3: Influence of different dimensionless groups on each approach	63
Table 4.1: Dimensionless group from dimensional analysis	74
Table 4.2: Dimensionless groups from inspectional analysis	76
Table 4.3: Dimensional parameters are tabulated here for core experiments and synthetic reservoir	78
Table 4.4: Dimensional parameters for 0.1% wt. additive concentration of core experiments and synthetic reservoirs	78
Table 4.5: Dimensional parameters for 0.5% wt. additive concentration of core experiments and synthetic reservoirs	79
Table 4.6: Dimensional numbers for 0.1% wt. additive concentration core experiments and synthetic reservoir	80
Table 4.7: Dimensional numbers for 0.5% wt. additive concentration core experiments and synthetic reservoir	81
Table 4.1A: Input parameters with dimensions for dimensional analysis	97
Table 4.2A: Multiplicative Factors	103
Table 4.3A: Initial dimensionless groups from inspectional analysis	108
Table 5.1: Dimensionless Groups from Inspectional Analysis	126
Table 5.2: Common parameters involved in scaling groups	127
Table 5.3: Parameters involved in scaling groups for four different cases	128
Table 5.4: Values of each group corresponding to minimum and maximum level	130
Table 5.5: Reservoir and fluid properties	136
Table 5.6: Input parameters for relative permeability curve generation	137
Table 5.7: Permeability variation for three different cases with six different layers	141

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Principle procedure for dimensional analysis (Novakovic, 2002)	12
Figure 2.2. Principal procedure for inspectional analysis	13
Figure 2.3. Different scaling groups	14
Figure 3.1: Rayleigh's Method	49
Figure 3.2: Scaling Approaches	58
Figure 4.1: Comparison of oil recovery factor for four different cases with 0.1% additive concentration	83
Figure 4.2: Comparison of oil recovery factor for four different cases with 0.5% additive concentration	83
Figure 4.3: Longitudinal Peclet number	84
Figure 4.4: Transverse Peclet number	85
Figure 4.5: Water-oil mobility ratio	86
Figure 4.6: Steam-oil mobility ratio	86
Figure 4.7: Dispersion factor	87
Figure 4.8: Water-oil gravity number	87
Figure 4.9: Steam-oil gravity number	88
Figure 4.10: Effective aspect ratio	88
Figure 4.11: Capillary number	89
Figure 4.12: Dykstra-Parsons coefficient	89
Figure 4.13: Additive concentration	90
Figure 4.14: Steam-oil viscosity ratio	90
Figure 4.15: New proposed number	92
Figure 5.1: Absolute value of standardized effect	131
Figure 5.2: 3D view of reservoir model	135
Figure 5.3: Viscosity variation with temperature	137
Figure 5.4: Stone's model	138
Figure 5.5: Relative permeability of water and oil with water saturation	139
Figure 5.6: Relative permeability of gas and oil with liquid saturation	139
Figure 5.7: Viscosity, pressure, temperature variation with time	141
Figure 5.8: Oil and water recovery factor	142
Figure 5.9: Oil average saturation and oil production rate	143
Figure 5.10: Average temperature	143
Figure 5.11: Oil recovery factor and cumulative oil production with time	144
Figure 5.12: Cumulative oil production and oil recovery factor	145
Figure 5.13: Cumulative liquid production	146
Figure 5.14: Oil rate	146
Figure 5.15: Steam-oil ratio and average water saturation	147
Figure 5.16: Hydrocarbon pore volume and oil recovery factor	147