SPIRO-ANNULATION STRATEGY IN THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF TERPENES AND A STEROID



YONG-JIN WU







SPIRO-ANNULATION STRATEGY

IN THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF TERPENES AND A STEROID

by

C YONG-JIN WU

B. Sc. (Honours), Hunan Normal University, Changsha,

Hunan, the People's Republic of China, 1983

A thesis submitted to the School of Graduate

Studies in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Chemistry

Memorial University of Newfoundland

St. John's

1

The state of the state of the

Ŀ

102 · · 20.

ź

Newfoundland

January 1991

Abstract

Kuwajima et al. reported that the Lewis acid-catalysed reaction of a ketal with 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) followed by rearrangement of the resulting cyclobutanone derivative with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) can provide a 2,2-disubstituted cyclopentane-1,3-dione in a reasonable yield. Our model studies wi:h a variety of ketals revealed that a large excess of BF₃:Et₂O and a longer reaction time can give cyclopentane-1,3-diones directly, and in better yields. This improved spiro-annulation procedure has been applied to the total synthesis of (\pm) -isokhusimone (65), (\pm) -3-methoxyestra-1,3,5,8,14-pentane-17-one (161), (\pm) -epitalenene(230).

Our synthesis of (\pm) -isokhusimone (65) began with norcamphor. 4,4– Dimethyl-2-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (114) readily available from norcamphor was converted to 3-(1,1-dimethyl-2-oxopropyl)cyclopentanone (112) in three steps, and then selectively ketalized. The ketal function underwent Lewis acidcatalysed spiro-annulation with 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) affording 7-(1,1-dimethyl-2-oxopropyl)spiro[4,4]nonane-1,4-dione (106), and intramolecular titanium-induced ketone-ketone coupling and oxidation provided (\pm) isokhusimone in an overall yield of 35% from norcamphor. In an alternative approach, 7-(1-carbomethoxy-1-methylethyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4,4]nonane (149) was prepared from 4,4-dimethyl=2-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (114) in three steps. Spiro-annulation proceeded in good yield, but subsequent titanium-induced ketone-ester coupling failed to provide the desired tricyclic product, 7,7dimethyltricyclo[6.2.1.0]^{1,5}jundecane-2,6-dione (147).

Our synthesis of (\pm) -3-methoxyestra-1,3,5,8,14-pentaen-17-one (161) was designed so that the D ring was generated by the Lewis acid-catalysed reaction of 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) with a ketal prepared from 6-methoxy-1-tetralone (220) via an ultrasonically induced Barbier reaction with 2-(2bromoethyl)-2,5,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (224).

Our total synthesis of $(\pm)-epi$ -pentalenene and of (\pm) -pentalenene was started with dimedone (446), which was converted to 7-ethyl-9,9-dimethyl-1,4dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (448) in three steps. The spiro-annulation of this ketal proceeded smoothly to produce 7-ethyl-9,9-dimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-1,4dione (444). Monoaddition of methyllithium and ozonolysis, followed by intramolecular aldol condensation provided 4,8,8-trimethyl-6-(1-oxopropy)spiro[4.4]nona-3,6-dien-1-one (443). The stereochemical outcome at C-9 depended on the order in which the double bonds of enone 443 were reduced. Catalytic hydrogenation and intramolecular aldol condensation provided a 1 : 5 mixture of rel-(4*R*,8*R*,9*R*)- (439) and rel-(4*R*,8*R*,9*S*)-2,6,6,9-tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.00^{4,8}]undec-1-en-3-one(440) in good yield, but, Birch reduction and catalytic hydrogenation followed by aldol $condensation produced a 4 : 1 mixture of 439 and 440, which were transformed in <math>(\pm)$ -pentalenene (230) and $(\pm)-epi$ -pentalenene (279) in a straightforward fashion.

Two model reactions pertaining to the synthesis of (\pm) -pentalenolactone (233) and some factors affecting geminal adjustion reactions were investigated. We discovered that the geminal adjustion reactions of ketals with 1,2bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (543) proceed in the same fashion as with 1,2bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) to provide 2,2-disubstituted cyclohexane-1,3diones in good yields. Our results were at variance with those reported by Pattenden and Tengue.

Acknowledgements

I am greatly indebted to my supervisor, Professor Jean Burnell, for his helpful guidance and timely encouragement through the course of my research.

Sincere thanks are due to Dr. Gervais Bérubé, Dr. A. G. Fallis, and Mr. Lei Bo (now Dr.) for their suggestions and many comments, but in particular I should like to thank Dr. Gervais Bérubé for his invaluable help when my first research project was initiated. I appreciated the interest of the entire group,

I should like to thank Dr. C. R. Jablonski, Messrs. A. Earle and R. Sammynaiken, and Miss N. Brunet for 300 MHz NMR spectra, Dr. B. Gregory and Miss M. Baggs for mass spectra, Dr. C. E. Loader for his excellent advice in the preparation of this manuscript, and the acting head of the department, Dr. A. R. Stein, for his permision to access the departmental laser printer.

I am very grateful to my wife, Ms. Pelying Liu, for frequent discussions, especially for her courageous support and unflagging solace.

Special acknowledgement is made to Professors B. Gregory and R. Poirier for proofreading and many valuable comments.

The financial support from both Memorial University in the form of a Memorial Graduate Fellowship and Professor Jean Burnell is gratefully acknowledged.

Table of Contents

Title	i
Abstract	ü
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	vii
Glossary of Abbreviations	viii
Dedication	x
Chapter 1. THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS of (±)-ISOKHUSIMONE	1
I. Introduction	1
II. Results and Discussion	25
III. Experimental	51
Chapter 2. A VERY SHORT SYNTHESIS OF (±)-3-METHOXY-	
ESTRA-1,3,5,8,14-PENTAEN-17-ONE	70
I. Introduction	70
II. Results and Discussion	85
III. Experimental	96
Chapter 3. THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF (±) - PENTALENENE AND	
(±)-epi-PENTALENENE	101
I. Introduction	101
II. Results and Discussion	134

10000

III. Experimental	187
Chapter 4. MODEL STUDIES RELATED TO THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS	
OF (±)-PENTALENOLACTONE	225
I. Introduction	225
II. Results and Discussion	229
III. Experimental	240
Chapter 5. INVESTIGATION ON THE FACTORS AFFECTING	
Chapter 5. INVESTIGATION ON THE FACTORS AFFECTING GEMINAL ACYLATION REACTIONS	251
Chapter 5. INVESTIGATION ON THE FACTORS AFFECTING GEMINAL ACYLATION REACTIONS	251 251
Chapter 5. INVESTIGATION ON THE FACTORS AFFECTING GEMINAL ACYLATION REACTIONS I. Results and Discussion II. Experimental	251 251 263
Chapter 5. INVESTIGATION ON THE FACTORS AFFECTING GEMINAL ACYLATION REACTIONS I. Results and Discussion II. Experimental References	251 251 263 271

List of Figures

Figure 1. Partial ¹ H NMR spectrum of 107d	
Figure 2. Partial ¹ H NMR spectrum of 130d	
Figure 3. GC-MS chromatogram of the 1:1 mixture of 144a and 144b	44
Figure 4. ¹ H NMR spectrum of AB system	
Figure 5. COSY-90 spectrum of unsaturated ketal 219b	
Figure 6. Mass spectrum of ketal 382	136
Figure 7. Mass spectrum of ketal 386	136
Figure 8. NOE difference spectra of spiro-diketone 381	138
Figure 9. Partial ¹ H NMR spectrum of the 1:3.5 mixture	
of 414 and 415	160
Figure 10. Partial ¹ H NMR spectrum of the 3.5:2 mixture	
of 414 and 415	164
Figure 11. ¹ H NMR spectrum of the 1:5 mixture of 439 and 440	175
Figure 12. ¹ H NMR spectrum of the 4 : 1 mixture of 439 and 440	177
Figure 13. ¹ H NMR spectrum of 439	184
Figure 14. ¹³ C NMR spectrum of 439	184
Figure 15. ¹ H NMR spectrum of 440	185
Figure 16. ¹³ C NMR spectrum of 440	185

Glossary of abbreviations

Ac	Acetyl
Am	Amyl = pentyl
APT	Attached proton test
9-BBN	9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane
BHT	2,6-Di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol
bp	Boiling point
Bu	Butyl
Bzl	Benzyl (= CH_2Ph)
COSY	¹ H- ¹ H Correlation spectrum
CW	Continuous wave
DBU	1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene
DBN	1,5-Diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene
DDQ	2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
DEAD	Diethyl azodicarboxylate
DIBAL	Diisobutylaluminum hydride
DIPHOS-4	1,4-Bis(diphenylphosphino)butane
DMAP	4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine
DMF	N,N-Dimethylformamide
DME	Dimethoxyethane
en	Ethylenediamine
Et	Ethyl
GC-MS	Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry
HMPA	Hexamethylphosphoric triamide

hv	Ultraviolet irradiation
IR	Infrared spectroscopy
LDA	! ithium diisopropylamide
LIHMDS	Lithium hexamethyldisilazide
Me	Methyl
MOM	Methoxymethyl
mp	Melting point
Ms	Mesyl = methanesulphonyl
MS	Mass spectrometry
mCPBA	meta - Chloroperoxybenzoic acid
NBD	Norbornadiene
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy
NOE	Nuclear Overhauser enhancement
PCC	Pyridinium chlorochromate
PDC	Pyridinium dichromate
PI.	Phenyl
PPTS	Pyridinum para-toluenesulphr viate
pTSA	para-toluenesulphonic acid
TBDMSCI	tert - butylchlorodimethylsilane
THF	Tetrahydrofuran
TFA	Trifluoroacetic acid
TLC	Thin layer chromatography
TMSCI	Chlorotrimethylsilane
Triton B	Benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide
Ts	Tosyl = $para$ - toluenesulphonyl

To my parents

THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF ISOKHUSIMONE

I. Introduction

Vetiver oil is an important raw material for the production of high quality perfumes. It contains several zizaane sesquiterpenes including (+)-zizaene * $(1)^{1/2}$ (+)-zizanoic acid $(2)_{3}^{3,4,5}$ (+)-epizizanoic acid $(3)_{6}^{6}$ and (-)-khusimone $(4)_{7}^{7}$ It has been postulated that the sesquiterpenes are responsible for retaining a strong woody fragrance.⁸ Khusimone (4), a minor but olfactively interesting component in the essential oil, has been reported to show repellent activity against some insect pests, such as cockroaches, flies, weevils and mosquitoes.⁹ A tricyclo[6.2.10^{1,5}]undecane skeleton is the basic structural feature of the zizaane-type sesquiterpenes. (-)-Prezizanol (5) and (-)-prezizaene (6), isolated from the essential oil of *Eremophila* geogei, a kind of sandalwood, were shown to have the same tricyclo[6.2.1.0^{1,5}]undecane ring system as in the zizaane-type sesquiterpenes, but they have a slightly different methylation pattern.¹⁰ In addition, (+)-prezizaene (6) and (+)-allokhusiol (7) were isolated from Indian vetiver oil.¹¹ It is interesting that all of these tricyclo[6.2.1.0^{1,5}]undecane sesquiterpenes have been found only in vetiver oil and sandalwood, and they all posses extremely strong woody fragrances.

The zizaane-type sesquiterpenes have been the subject of considerable synthetic activity not only due to their value to the perfume industry but also due to their unique structural features.¹² The first total synthesis of (\pm) -zizaene (1) was accomplished by

Alternative names appearing in the literature include tricyclovetivene, ^{la} khusinene, ^{le} and khusene, ^{4e}







zizaene

1

zizanoic acid 2

epizizanoic acid 3



OH

khusimone



prezizanol 5



prezizgene



Coates and coworkers¹³ via an intramolecular diazoalkane-carbonyl ring expansion as the key reaction (Scheme 1). The diazopentylnorcamphor intermediate 9 easily available from 8, underwent intramolecular ring expansion leading to the tricyclic molecule 11 in 67% yield. The high stereoselectivity of the cyclization 9 -+11 can be explained by an intramolecular exo approach to the carbonyl group giving the diazonium alkoxide intermediate 10. The alternative intermediate resulting from endo





attack is sterically disfavored relative to 10 by a 1,3 diaxial interaction. If one assumes that the diazo group is in an equatorial position in 10 due to minimization of charge separation, then concerted migration of the carbon-carbon bond antiparallel to the diazo leaving group gives the tricyclic ketone 11 directly. As a result of the rigid norbornyl moiety, there are no conformations of any of the possible diazonium alkoxide stereoisomers in which the alternative methylene carbon has an antiparallel orientation with the diazo group. Therefore, none of the bridged ring ketone 12 was produced. The tricyclic ketone 11 was converted into (\pm) –zizane in seven steps.

The same strategy was applied to the total syntheses of (-)-prezizaene (6) and (-)-prezizaene (5) (Scheme 2).¹⁴ The N-nitroso amide 13 was subjected to reaction with potassium tert-butoxide in tert-amyl alcohol. The diazoethyl ketone 14 thus generated underwent spontaneous cyclization and rearrangement affording 28% of the undesired ketone 16 and 34% of the desired ketone 15. Ketone 15 was transformed



(a) KO-t-Bu, t-AmOH; (b) KH, MeI; (c) MeLi, Et₂O; (d) MsCl, Et₂N.

into (-)-prezizanol (5) and (-)-prezizane (6) in two and three steps, respectively. The low regioselectivity of the cyclization $14 \rightarrow 15$ is the drawback to this approach.

Compound 11, a key intermediate in the Coates synthesis of (\pm) -zizaene (1), was prepared by Piers and coworkers¹⁵ by thermal rearrangement of a β cyclopropyl- $\alpha_{\alpha}\beta$ -unsaturated ketone (Scheme 3). Thermolysis of 3-(1-methyl-



cyclopropyl)-2-cyclohexenone (17) at 450°C, followed by base treatment of the initially formed product, afforded the annulated ketone 18 in 87% yield. Compound 18 was converted, via an eleven-step sequence, into the tricyclic ketone 11.

In Pattenden's¹⁶ approach to the ketone 11, the tricyclic skeleton was constructed by intramolecular photocycloaddition followed by Grob fragmentation (Scheme 4).



Irradiation of a 1 : 1 mixture of the enol acetates 19 produced a 7 : 3 mixture of the photoadducts 20 and 21 in 69% yield. The major photoadduct 20 led to the mesylate 22 by reduction followed by mesylation. Treatment of this mesylate with 0.5M NaOH effected simultaneous saponification and Grob fragmentation, with the formation of a mixture of methyl-epimers of the alkene 23, which was converted into a 1 : 3 mixture of the tricyclic ketones 11 and 24 by hydrogenation. Unfortunately, it was the minor product (11) that was the desired tricyclic compound for the synthesis of (±)-zizaene (1).

Independently, Oppolzer et al.17 approached the tricyclo[6.2.1.01,5]undecanedione



by an intramolecular photocycloaddition of 3-alkoxy-5-(1-cyclopentenylmethyl)-2-cyclopentenones followed by retro-aldol bond cleavage (Scheme 5). Irradiation of the methoxy dienone 25 furnished two unstable, regioisomeric photoadducts 26 and 27, which on Lewis acid-catalyzed fragmentation afforded the tricyclic diketone 28 (24% yield from 25) and the isomers 29 and 30 (31% yiel/ from 25). The problem associated with this approach is the low regioselectivity of the photocycloaddition of 25.

In Wiesner's¹⁸ synthesis of (\pm) -zizaene, cyclization of the $\beta_{\gamma\gamma}$ -unsaturated ketone 31 was accomplished by heating it in 80% acetic acid to yield a mixture of tricyclic epimers 32 and 33 in a ratio of 2:3 (Scheme 6). The minor compound 32 was transformed into (\pm) - zizaene in a number of steps. The key cyclization step is elegant but of low yield, and the overall synthesis is quite long due to a stereochemical problem at C-5.

Scheme 6



Posner et al.¹⁹ approached the zizaane skeleton from a monocyclic precursor using a double cyclization strategy (Scheme 7). 3-(1-Methyl-5-oxohexyl)-2cyclopentenone (34) underwent a base-promoted intramolecular Michael addition followed by an internal aldol cyclization to form the tricyclic keto alcohol 35, which might serve as a precursor to the tricyclic ketone 11.



MacSweeney et al.²⁰ achieved the syntheses of several zizaane sesquiterpenes starting from D - (+) - camphor by utilising a rearrangement of a tricyclo[6.2.1.0^{1,6}] –undecane system to form the desired tricyclo[6.2.1.0^{1,5}]undecane skeleton (Scheme 8).Compound 36 was subjected to a modified pinacol – type rearrangement in a mixture

Scheme 8



of triethylamine and pyridine to afford 37, which was transformed into (+)epizizanoic acid (3). Likewise, treatment of 38 produced compound 39, a key intermediate in the synthesis of (+)-zizanoic acid (2).

It is interesting that the same type of key reaction $(36 \rightarrow 37)$ was independently employed by Kido *et al.*²¹ to synthesize (+)-epizizanoic acid (3).

Hoffmann and coworkers²² approached the zizaane skeleton via carbocation-



induced intramolecular cycloaddition (Scheme 9). Compound 40a/b underwent Lewis acid-initiated tricyclization affording 41, 42 and 43, in a ratio of 1: 1.06 : 2.08, as well as a very small amount of 44. It seemed that the zizaane - type products were derived from 40a. This novel cyclization approach is relatively short; however, its poor yield and low stereoselectivity limits its practical use in synthesis.

Piers and coworkers²³ synthesized (±)-prezizanol (5) and (±)-prezizaene



(a) (4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl)'Li⁺; (b) $ZnCl_2$, THF; (c) 46, Pd(PPh_3)₄; (d) 110 °C; (e) MsCl, Et₂N.

(6) using a palladium-catalyzed coupling reaction and Cope rearrangement as key reactions (Scheme 10). Treatment of compound 45 with lithium 4,4'-di-tert-buylbiphenylide, conversion of the resulting cyclopropyl-lithium reagent into the corresponding organozinc chloride, followed by Pd(PPh₃)₄-catalyzed coupling of the latter species with the iodide 46 gave a 62% yield of 47, which was converted into the Cope rearrangement precursor 48 in four steps. Compound 48 was distilled at 110°C to afford the bicyclic diene 49 in quantitative yield. Compound 49 was transformed into (\pm) -prezizanol and (\pm) -prezizane in the nan eleven steps, respectively. Although the synthetic sequence is quite long, the key reactions employed are elegant.

Mori and coworkers²⁴ reported the total synthesis of (-)-prezizanol, (-)prezizane and (-)-allokhusiol as shown in Scheme 11. Compound 51, obtained from 50 in nine steps, underwent base-induced aldol condensation, and the resulting bicyclic ketone was converted into a mixture of 53 and 54 in a ratio of 82 18. The major isomer was subjected to the Mitsunobu procedure to give the desired isomer 54, which, in turn, was hydrogenated followed by Jones' oxidation leading to 55. Compound 56, easily prepared from 55 in two steps, cyclised smoothly in the presence of potassium ter-butoxide to give the tricyclic ketone 15, which was the key intermediate in the synthesis of (-)-prezizanol, (-)-prezizanen and (-)-allokhusiol.

As mentioned earlier, the norsesquiterpene (-)-khusimone is not only important to the perfume industry but also has an interesting dimethylmethylenetrikyclo[6,2.10^{1,5}]undecame skeleton. Therefore, much attention has been paid to its total synthesis. Apart from the degradation of natural zizanoie acid to (-)khusimone,²⁵ the first total synthesis of (\pm) -khusimone was accomplished by Büchi and coworkers³⁶ as summarised in Scheme 12. The Diels-Alder reaction of α chloroacrylonitrile and isoprene formed a mixture of two isomers 57 and 58 in a ratio of 7 : 3. The desired diene 59 was obtained by dehydrochlorination of the mixture with -1,5-diazabicyclo[4,3.0]non-5-ene (DBN) followed by fractional distillation in 55%



(a) 2% KOH, McOH; (b) NaBH₄, McOH; (c) Ph₃P, DEAD, PhCO₂H; (d) K₂CO₃; (e) H₂, [Rh(NBD)(DIPHOS-4)(CIO₄; (f) Jones' oxidation; (g) t-BuOK, THF; (h) KH, Mel; (i) MeLi; (j) MsCl, El₂N; (k) Hg(OAc); NaBH₄.

overall yield. Addition of 5-lithio-2-methyl-2-pentene (60) resulted in 75% of the trienone 61, which did not undergo the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction. Therefore, 61 was ketalised with 1,2-propanediol and the resulting diastereometric ketals (62) were heated at 250°C, and this was followed by acidic hydrolysis to afford a 55% yield of the intramolecular Diels-Alder adducts 63 and 64 in a ratio of 3 : 1. The minor isomer 64 underwent acid-catalyzed skeletal rearrangement to give an 80% yield of isokhusimone (65). The contrathermodynamic isomerization of isokhusimone (65) to khusimone (4) was achieved in two steps. Photosensitized oxygenation (Rose Bengal, EtOH-H₂O) followed by work-up with triethyl phosphite, yielded a mixture of allylic alcohols 66 (77%), which was reduced with zinc and hydrogen chloride in 75% yield to a mixture of 30% epikhusimone (67) and 70% (\pm)-khusimone (4). The total synthesis required ten steps, involved two isomer separations, and produced (\pm)-khusimone 4 in 1.7% overall yield. This approach was quite short, and the low overall yield resulted from the poor stereoselectivity of the intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of 62.

(-)-Khusimone (4) was also prepared by Liu and Chan²⁷ as outlined in Scheme 13. This synth: sis began with (-)-camphor-10-sulfonic acid (68), which, on fusion with sodium hydroxide, yielded 52% of $(-)-\alpha$ -campholenic acid (69). Acid 69 was then esterified and the resulting ester 70 was ozonolysed followed by aldol condensation to yield cyclohexenone 71 in 70% yield. Irradiation of compound 71 with 1,1diethoxyethene (72) and acidic hydrolysis produced two diastereomeric diketones, 73 and 74, in a ratio of 3 : 5. Of these two diketones, only the former was synthetically useful. Therefore, compound 73 was converted into 74 using a dehydrogenation and hydrogenation sequence. Treatment of compound 73 with pyridinium bromide perbromide in acetic acid induced consecutive bromination and dehyrobromination to yield 66% of 79 and 80 in a ratio of 1 : 7. Reduction of this mixture with zinc dust in acetic acid led to a 3 : 2 ratio of diketones 73 and 74 in 65% yield. Then, the cyclobu-





(a) 100 °C; (b) DBN; (c) separate; (d) 60; (e) H₂O⁺; (f) 1,2−propanediol, acid;
 (g) 250 °C; (h) separate; (i) pTSA, C₆H₆ (on 64); (j) ¹O₂; (k) (EtO)₃P; (l) Zn, HCL

Scheme 13



(a) NaOH, fusion; (b) K₂CO₂, MeI; (c) O₂, Ph₂P; (d) pTSA, C₄H₄; (e) 72, her (f) H₂O⁺; (g) reparate; (h) business exhibits textul, acid; (i) NaOH, MeOH; (j) NaH, MeMgBr; (k) CH₂N₄; (j) SOC₂ pyridine; (m) LIAH₄; (n) POC₁; (o)N₄CH(CO₂E). BF; PL₃O; (g) separate; (n) NaOH, MeOH (on 78); (r) pyridinium bromide performation; HOAr; (i) ZA, HOAc.

Scheme 13 continued



(t) 81; (u) BF3. Et,O; (v) NaOH; (w) Jones' oxidation.

tanone carbonyl of the diketone 74 was selectively ketalised and the ester was hydrolysed. Addition of methyllithium to the ketone and re-esterification of the acid with diazomethane gave 75. Dehydration of the tertiary alcohol, reduction of the ester to a primary alcohol, conversion of the alcohol into chloride, and deprotection of the eyelobutanone carbonyl provided ketone 76. The ketone 76 was ring-expanded by treatment with ethyl diazoacetate and boron trifluoride etherate to afford a 2 : 1 ratio of 77 and 78 in 86% yield. (-)-Khusimone was obtained from 78 *via* concomitant decarboxylation and ring closure. (-)-Khusimone (4), upon treatment with an excess of dimethylsulfonium methylide (81), produced a single epoxide 82 (52%), which underwent boron trifluoride etherate-induced rearrangement with inversion of tsreeochemistry to lead to a 100% yield of the aldehyde 83. This aldehyde was oxidized with Jones' reagent to (-)-epizizanoic acid (3) (77%). Likewise, (+)-zizanoic acid (2) was prepared from the aldehyde (84), which was available from 83 *via* epimerization on treatment with sodium hydroxide in methanol. The total synthesis of (-)-khusimone required sixteen steps, involved two isomer separations, and the overall yield was 2.7% from 68. In Liu's synthesis, the photochemical reaction (i.e. 71 \rightarrow 74) was not stereoselective. The separation of isomers (e.g. 73 and 74) can be tedious even if the undesired isomer (73) can be converted into the desired one (74). In addition, the ring expansion of the ketone 76 was not very regioselective.

Mori's⁸ synthesis of (-)-khusimone (4) is outlined in Scheme 14. The synthesis began with (S) - 5 - methoxycarbonylmethyl - 6.6 - dimethyl - 2 - cyclohexen - 1 - one(71), available in four steps from (-)-camphor-10-sulfonic acid by Liu's process. The tin tetrachloride-catalyzed cycloaddition of isoprene (85) to the enone 71 only from the less sterically hindered face gave 86 diastereo - and regioselectively in 35% vield. The cis-decalone 86 was converted easily into the trans-isomer 87, which, on treatment with phenylthiomethyllithium followed by lithium-ammonia reductive elimination of the resulting lactone, gave the desired exo - methylene acid 89. The acid was reduced, the primary alcohol was converted into the acetate, and the cyclohexene mojety was epoxidized selectively to yield a mixture of epoxides 91a/b. The epoxides were allowed to react with periodic acid to give 13.4% of the keto-aldehyde 93, accompanied by 85.5% of trans-diol 92, which, on reaction with lead tetraacetate, was converted into the desired keto-aldehyde 93. Compound 93 underwent basecatalyzed cyclization, and acetylation of the resulting alcohol generated the acetate 94. After reaction with hydroxylamine hydrochloride, the resulting oxime 95 was subjected to Beckmann rearrangement and the enamide 96 formed was hydrolysed. Finally, mesylation of the primary alcohol 97 and cyclization provided (-)-khusimone. In all, the Mori synthesis required fifteen steps from (S)-5-methoxycarbonylmethyl-6,6dimethyl-2-cyclohexenone (71), or nineteen steps from (-)-camphor-10-sulfonic acid (68). The overall vield was 6.9% from 71, 2.5% from 68. Mori's synthesis did avoid isomer separation, but his sequence was three steps longer than Liu's and overall

Scheme 14



(a) 85, SnCl₄; (b) NaOMe, NaOH; (c) PhSCH₂Li; (d) Li, NH₃; (e) LiAIH₄; (f) Ac₂O, pyridine;
 (g) mCPBA; (h) HIO₄; (i) Pb(OAc)₄; (j) 10 % KOH; (k) NH₃OH+HCl, pyridine; (l) MsCl, pyridine, DMAP; (m) MsCl, El₃N; (n) (-BuOK.

yield was lower.

Oppolzer and coworkers²⁸ developed an interesting route to (\pm) -khusimone (4) that proceeded via an intramolecular type-II magnesium-ene reaction (Scheme 15). The cyclopentenone conjugate addition of the α -enolate, derived from 3.3dimethylacrylate (98a), coupled with enolate trapping by alkylation with allyl bromide generated directly a mixture of two 2,3-disubstituted cyclopentanones 99a in 50% vield. Compound 99a was converted into the key precursor 100 by successive protection of the carbonyl group as an ethylene ketal, base-induced double bond migration, reduction of the ester with LiAlH4, mesylation of the resulting primary alcohol and treatment with LiCl. After slow addition to magnesium powder, the resultant Grignard reagent 101 was heated to furnish the cyclized organomagnesium chloride 102. which was converted into the acid 103 by trapping with CO2. The remarkable stereoselectivity of the cyclization was rationalized by examining the alternative transition states A and B. The boat conformation of the developing cyclohexane in transition state B causes a flagpole interaction between one C-7 methyl and the C-1 hydrogen, whereas the evolving chair conformation in A is easily attainable. Therefore, A is much favored over B, which entails the desired cis relative stereochemistry of C-5 and C-8 in 102. The carboxylic acid 103 was reduced, the resulting primary alcohol mesylated, and the ketal cleaved to furnish the ketomesylate 104. (±)-Khusimone was obtained from 104 via intramolecular alkylation. Oppolzer's synthesis required eleven steps from cyclopentenone and provided (±)-khusimone in 11% overall yield.

Oppolzer's synthesis²⁹ of (-)-khusimone relied on the π -facially selective aprotic Michael addition of the lithium dienolate derived from the chiral senecioate 98b to cyclopentenone. The Michael addition followed by *in situ* trapping of the intermediate enolate with allyl bromide proceeded with 53 : 18 facial selectivity to afford a mixture of four diastereomers, of which the predominant isomer (55, 65)-99b was isolated in 37% yield.



(a) LDA, 98; cyclopentenone; then allyl bromide; (b) ethylene glycol, pTSA; (c) NaOEt, EiOH; (d) LIAlH₄; (e) MsCl, pyridine; (f) LICl; (g) Mg powder; (h) CO₂; (i) MsCl, Et₄N; (j) HCl, H₂O; (k) t - BuOK.













In the Michael addition process, the enone approaches the dienolate from the less sterically hindered face as shown in C, thus invoking a staggered approach of the trigonal centers and the operation of electronic factors. Two transition states D and E can be considered. There is some steric repulsion between a cyclopentenone methylene and the dienolate methyl group in projection E. Furthermore, the carbonyl group and the enolate oxygen are too far away to permit chelation. Orientation D is largely free of steric constraints and prone to chelation. Therefore, (SS, 6S)-99b, which is formed via the more favorable transition state D, should predominate. Compound (SS, 6S)-99b was converted into (-)-khusimone (4) according to the same reaction sequence as in the synthesis of (\pm) -khusimone. The Oppolare synthesis required eleven steps, it involved one isomer separation, and produced (-)khusimone in 8% overall yield. This approach would be excellent if the facial selectivity in the asymmetric Michael addition were higher.

Although the tricyclo[6.2.1.0^{1,5}]undecane ring system has been synthesized by a number of successful approaches, the development of short and selective approaches to specifically functionalized ring systems of this type remains a challenge in modern synthetic organic chemistry. For this purpose, khusimone (4) was chosen as one of our synthetic targets because of its considerable importance to the perfume industry and its ready transformation to other members of the zizaane family, such as zizanoic acid (2) and epizizanoic acid (3).

The retrosynthetic analysis of all known approaches to khusimone (4) is shown in Scheme 16. Liu, Mori, and Oppolzer prepared khusimone 4 through a common intermediate 105 via a C ring disconnection. Liu's and Mori's syntheses began with 71, a B ring; while Oppolzer's synthesis started with cyclopentenone, an A ring. In Büchi's synthesis, the A, B' rings in 65 were constructed simultaneously from 61, a C' ring, via Diels-Alder reaction. Modification of the B' and C' rings led to the B and C rings of khusimone.

The retrosynthetic scission of the six-membered ring of the zizaane skeleton leads to a system with spiro-linked five-membered rings A and C, of which A can be a symmetrical cyclopentane-1,3-dione moiety (Scheme 16). It is well known that C-alkylation of cyclic β -diketones can be a very poor reaction,³⁰ but Kuwajima *et al.*³¹ demonstrated that the Lewis acid-catalyzed reaction of a dimethyl, diethyl or
Scheme 16



dibenzyl ketal 108 (but not a ketone) with 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene

(109) followed by rearrangement of the resulting cyclobutanone 110 with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) can give a 2,2-disubstituted cyclopentane-1,3-dione 111 in a reasonable yield (Scheme 17).^{*} Thus, our plan was to obtain an appropriately functionalised cyclopentanone ketal 107 on a C ring precursor, to spiro-annulate using 109, and finally to cyclize the B ring. Indeed, our synthetic proposal was confirmed to be plausible, and the following details this synthetic study.³⁴

Scheme 17



For other syntheses employing this reaction, see refs. 32 and 33.

II. Results and Discussion

In our retrosynthetic analysis we envisioned that ketal 107, the key substrate for the spiro-annulation reaction, could be synthesized from the diketone 112, which in turn might be prepared from compound 113 by oxidation. Addition of an organometallic reagent to the lactone 114 could give hydroxy ketone 113. We felt that the transformation of norcamphor 115 to the lactone 114 should be readily achieved (Scheme 13).

Scheme 18



Meinwald and Frauenglass³⁵ had reported a number of years ago that norcamphor (115) in concentrated sulfuric acid containing peroxyacetic acid led to the expected lactone 116 in 97% crude yield (Scheme 19). In contrast, the oxidation of norbornenone (119) under the same conditions gave the unsaturated lactone 120, but only as a minor product, the major product being an allylically rearranged product 121.

Baeyer-Villiger reaction under basic conditions (NaOH-H2O2 in Et2O-H2O) also gave 121. Thus, norcamphor was treated with m-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (mCPBA) in the presence of concentrated sulfuric acid following a procedure similar to that of Meinwald and Frauenglass. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometric (GC-MS) analysis of the crude product indicated only one compound. Infrared (IR) absorption at 1765 cm⁻¹ suggested a five-membered ring lactone, and the ¹³C NMR showed signals for two methines, at δ 37.7 and 86.2, of which the latter was connected to an oxygen. Furthermore, the ¹H-¹H correlation (COSY) spectrum indicated significant coupling between the protons on the two methines, meaning that the two methines were joined. Clearly, this compound could only have been the rearranged product 118. We believed that 118 was derived from 116 by acid catalysis. Indeed, the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of norcamphor with mCPBA in the absence of concentrated sulfuric acid at room temperature afforded only the desired product 116 in 86% vield after vacuum distillation. The complete regioselectivity of this Baever-Villiger oxidation can be rationalised according to the general order of likelihood of migration. or "migratory aptitude", sec-alkyl > pri-alkyl.36 In fact, no trace of another isomer 117 was detected by ¹H NMR and GC-MS analysis of the crude product. The structure of compound 116 was derived from the following spectroscopic evidence. A broad singlet at 6 4.866 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum indicated a proton on a carbon next to oxygen. The integration of this singlet was only one proton, which precluded the possibility of lactone 117. Of the two signals for methines in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (\$31.1 and 84.1), one (δ 84.1) was consistent with a methine bonded to oxygen. The IR absorption maximum for carbonyl was at 1730 cm⁻¹, which cannot fit structure 118. Addition of a small amount of concentrated sulfuric acid to lactone 116 in chloroform generated compound 118. A possible mechanism for this conversion is shown in





118







Scheme 19.

The α -methylation of the lactone 116 was carried out according to procedures derived from those of Posner³⁷ and Schlessinger.³⁸ Thus, the lithium enolate of the lactone 116, which was formed by slowly adding a solution of 116 to a solution of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) at -78°C, was treated with iodomethane in hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA) at -40°C furnishing a monomethylated product in 85% yield after flash column chromatography. If one assumes that the methyl group is added to the less sterically hindered *wo* face, then the resultant product should be 122 instead of 123. A methyl doublet in its ¹H NMR spectrum was resolved at δ 1.326 and the proton α to the carbonyl was found as a triple quartet at δ 2.524. Further α methylation utilizing the same procedure gave a colorless solid 114 in 83% yield. Two methyl singlets were found at δ 1.247 and δ 1.326 in its ¹H NMR spectrum. In practice, erude product 122 could be used for the further α -methylation without any purification, in which case an 82% yield of 114 was obtained from 116 (Scheme 20).

Scheme 20



By comparing the ¹³C NMR chemical shifts of compound 122 with those of 114, the tentative assignment of the relative stereochemistry at C-4 in compound 122 was confirmed. The C-6 in 114 should be shifted upfield relative to that in 122 because of the γ -gauche effect.³⁹ In contrast, the C-8 in 114 would be shifted upfield as compared with that in 123. The assignment of the ¹³C NMR spectrum was assisted by



 $^{13}\text{C}-^{1}\text{H}$ correlation, attached proton test (APT) and COSY spectra. For example, the APT spectrum of compound 114 can distinguish the two methine resonances in the ^{13}C NMR spectrum, which in turn can locate the corresponding proton signals via the $^{13}\text{C}-^{1}\text{H}$ correlation spectrum. From the COSY spectrum, a proton which is coupled to the protons on both C-1 and C-5 must be attached to C-8. With the assignment of the protons on C-8 possible, then the protons attached to C-6 and C-7 can be located in a straightforward fashion from the COSY and $^{13}\text{C}-^{14}$ correlation spectra. In this way, all the methylene carbons could be assigned from their corresponding protons. We assigned δ 24.0 for C-6, 31.2 for C-7, and 33.5 for C-8 of 114. The same principle was applied to compound 122. The chemical shift for C-6 was δ 29.9 and another two methylenes were at δ 32.4 and 32.3. The C-6 upfield shift of 5.9 ppm in 114 is due to the γ -gauch effect. This argument precluded the possibility of the alternative structure 123.

Initial experiments directed toward the preparation of the methyl ketone 113 were carried out with lactone and methyl magnesium binice after the method of Hutet et al.⁴⁰ Lactone 114 was allowed to react with 2.2 or 5 equivalents of methyl magnesium bromide in HMPA at 80°C. Chromatography on silica gel did give a very small amount of two products, of which the more abundant one was quite polar as revealed by thin layer chromatography (TLC), and the starting material 114 was recovered to the extent of 83%. Distinctive absorption in the IR spectrum of the less

The Party and



polar minor product appeared at 1710 cm⁻¹ and 3420 cm⁻¹ (broad), suggesting the presence of a carbonyl group and an hydroxyl. The three-proton singlet at δ 2.131 in its ¹H NMR spectrum might be derived from a methyl ketone molety. Thus, this minor product appeared to be the desired methyl ketone 113. The major product was believed to be the diol 125 from the following spectroscopic evidence. Prominent IR absorption came only at 3380 cm⁻¹ (broad), thus the product must contain an hydroxyl group, but not a carbonyl group. Four methyl groups were found as four three -proton singlets at δ 0.891, 0.908, 1.202 and 1.203 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The signals at δ 7.2,7 and 7.6.2 in its ¹³C NMR spectrum indicated two carbons attached to oxygen, one of which, as shown from the APT and ¹³C-¹H correlation spectra, was a methine, the other was a quarternary carbon. Several attempts to optimize the reaction conditions (e.g. temperature, reaction time, amount of the reagents) did not give any improvement. The slow reaction may be attributed to the quarternary carbon adjacent to the carbonyl group, and the reason for the formation of the major product 125 is that the methyl ketone 113 is more reactive than the lactone 114, and it would further react with CH₂MgBr once formed (Scheme 21).

An alternative route to methyl ketone 113 started with hydrolysis of the lactone with aqueous sodiun. hydroxide in ethanol. Acidic work-up gave the acid 124 as a colorless solid in quantitative yield. The IR spectrum showed absorption maxima for the carbonyl, 1700 cm⁻¹, and the O-H's, 2500 cm⁻¹-3600 cm⁻¹. The acidic proton (COOH) came at δ 12.01 in the ¹H NMR spectrum in CD₃SOCD₃, the hydroxyl proton of the alcohol at δ 4.45, and both peaks disappeared upon addition of D₂O. Addition of the alcohol at δ 4.45, and both peaks disappeared upon addition of D₂O. Addition of the alcohol at δ 4.45 were the desired ketone 113, 37% of diol 125, and 31% of the starting material 124 after flash column chromatography. It was reported that one of the major problems associated with the transformation of carboxylic acids 126 into methyl ketones 127 using methyllithium is added slowly enough to ensure the complete conversion of the acid into the lithium carboxylate before addition to the

Scheme 22



carbonyl by a second equivalent of methyllithium, then the formation of 128 must be the result of a slow hydrolysis rate of methyllithium relative to the breakdown of 129 into methyl ketone, producing 128 during the work-up procedure. It was found that quenching the reaction medium by addition of dilute acid with rapid stirring can minimize production of 128.42 More recently, Rubottom and Kim43 developed a ruocedure which can subvert the production of 128 by using a somewhat different approach, i.e., quenching the reaction mixture with a large excess of chlorotrimethylsilane (Me2SiCl)." The reaction of Me2SiCl with excess methyllithium is extremely fast, thereby leaving no organometallic reagent to react with methyl ketone in the subsequent aqueous work-up step. Indeed, treatment of 124 with 10 equivalents of methyllithium following the procedure of Rubottom and Kim gave a 71% yield of 113, 4% of 125, and 16% of starting material 124. The best results in our hands involved a longer term treatment of the hydroxy-acid 124 with 15 molar equivalents of methyllithium at room temperature followed by addition of 20 molar equivalents of chlorotrimethylsilane. In this instance flash chromatography afforded 85% of the methyl ketone 113 and 6% of diol 125. Addition of a large excess of methyllithium and simple aqueous work-up converted the methyl ketone 113 deliberately into the diol 125 in 76% yield along with 17% of starting material 113 (Scheme 21).

The conversion of the hydroxyketone **113** into the diketone **112** using potassium dichromate in sulfuric acid according to the procedure of Sanborn⁴⁴ gave a complex mixture. However, the oxidation with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC)⁴⁵ yielded the diketone **112** in nearly quantitative yield (Scherne 23). The IR spectrum of **112** showed absorption maxima for the five – membered ring ketone at **1740** cm⁻¹ and for the methyl ketone at **1700** cm⁻¹. The carbonyl carbons in the ring and side chain were

For the definition of work-up, see experimental.

[&]quot;We thank Dr. A. G. Fallis for bringing ref. 43 to our attention.





found at δ 218.2 and 212.9, respectively, in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. The crude product 112 was used for the subsequent ketalization without further purification.

At this stage in our effort, it was hoped that elaboration of the ring ketone of 112 into the symmetrical cyclopentane-1.3-dione moiety of the triketone 106 could be accomplished by the Lewis acid-catalyzed reaction of the monoketal 107 with 1,2bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) followed by rearrangement of the resulting cyclobutanone derivative 110 with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (Scheme 17). Kuwajima and coworkers³¹ reported that the geminal acylation reactions of the dimethyl, diethyl or dibenzyl ketals (but not ketones) with 109 gave satisfactory yields of the cyclopentane - 1,3-diones. On the other hand, regarding a related reaction leading to a keto-ester, they stated that the (cyclic) trimethylene ketal of 4-methyl-3cyclohexen-1-one met with considerable difficulties. With this in mind, we decided to prepare the mono- methyl or ethyl ketal, 107a or 107b. We thought that monoketalization might be possible because the side chain carbonyl is more sterically hindered than the ring carbonyl. To our disappointment, a mixture of 107a/130a or 107b/130b was produced under the following experimental conditions: (1) one molar equivalent of trimethy, or triethyl orthoformate with a catalytic amount of para-toluenesulfonic acid (pTSA) in refluxing absolute ethanol;46 (2) one molar equivalent of trimethyl or

Scheme 24



triethyl orthoformate with small amount of Amberlyst-15 in dichloromethane at 0°C or at room temperature;⁴⁷ (3) one molar equivalent of trimethyl or triethyl orthoformate with small amount of pyridinium p-toluene-sulfonate (PPTS) in benzene under reflux.⁴⁸ Each reaction was carefully monitored by TLC in which the monoketal 107a (or 107b) and the diketal 130a (or 130b) could be easily distinguished. If the reaction were stopped before the formation of the diketal, then we obtained a substantial amount of the starting material 112. On the other hand, if all the starting material were consumed, then the product was composed of both mono-ketal and diketal on the basis of TLC and ¹H NMR analysis. The ratio of the monoketal to diketal could be calculated from the ¹H NMR spectra.

We were confronted with a failure to prepare the dimethyl or diethyl ketals 107a and 107b; therefore, we decided to monoketalize the ring ketone of 112 selectively as a cyclic ketal, and we hoped that a cyclic ketal would behave similarly to a dimethyl or diethyl ketal in the geminal acylation reaction. Once again, the ketalization with ethylene glycol produced both 107e and 130e under a variety of conditions such as a catalytic amount of pTSA or PPTS in benzene under reflux with a Barrett waterseparator; BF2·Et2O in dichloromethane at -9°C after the method of Swenton et al.49; and a catalytic amount of Amberlyst-15 in dichloromethane at 0°C or at room temperature. As in the reactions with the trimethyl and triethyl orthoformates, if the reaction were stopped before the formation of 130c, then we were left with a substantial amount of starting material. However, when we stopped the reaction after all the starting material was consumed, the product was a mixture of 107c and 130c. For instance, the ketalization with 1.1 equivalents of ethylene glycol in benzene under reflux for 8 hours gave, after flash column chromatography, 49% of the monoketal 107c along with 40% of the starting material. When a large excess of ethylene glycol was added and the reaction mixture was heated overnight, the product we obtained was a 1:2 mixture of 107c and 130c as indicated by GC-MS analysis. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 107c showed a singlet for the methyl ketone at δ 2.128 and a multiplet for the four methylene protons of the 1,3-dioxolane mojety at δ 3.84-3.89. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of the diketal 130c, the methylene protons of the 1.3-dioxolane moieties appeared as an eight-proton multiplet at δ 3.83-3.97, and signals at δ 114.3 and 117.4 in its ¹³C NMR spectrum were proof of two ketal carbons. Since 107c could not be prepared in high yield, we focused our attention on another cyclic ketal, 107d. To our delight, the ketalization with 1.5 molar equivalents of 2.2-dimethyl-1.3propanediol catalyzed by PPTS in benzene under reflux for approximately 40 minutes vielded, after column chromatography, 89% of the monoketal 107d and only 4% of the diketal 130d. Accordingly, the IR spectrum of the monoketal 107d showed an absorption maximum only for the methyl ketone at 1710 cm⁻¹, and the ¹H NMR spectrum showed a singlet at δ 2.127 for the side - chain methyl. The signals at δ 108.3 and 213.4 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum were consistent with the presence of a ketal carbon and a ketone, respectively. In the IR spectrum of the diketal 130d, no carbonyl absorption was evident, but two ketal carbons appeared at δ 102.4 and 108.7 in its ¹³C spectrum. It was interesting to examine the methylene signals due to the 1.3-dioxane



systems of 107d and 130d in their 300 MHz ¹H NMR spectra (Figures 1 and 2). With respect to the cyclic ketal in 107d, both substituents attached +5 the ketal carbon are primary, thus it is expected that this 1,3-dioxane system would be conformationally mobile, and an average signal for both axial and equatorial protons should be observed. Indeed, a four-proton singlet appeared at 6 3.455 in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 107d (Figure 1). The same argument can be applied to the methylenes due to the 1,3-dioxane system attached to the ring in the dikctal 130d. As shown in Figure 2, a singlet at δ 3.469 was observed for four methylene protons. In contrast, the ketal derived from the methyl ketone is conformationally rigid due to the attachment of one tertiary substituent to the ketal carbon, therefore axial and equatorial protons are distinguishable and form an AB quartet. In agreement with our expectations, the methylene signals of the 1,3-dioxane system in the side chain of 130d appeared as two doublets on either side of δ 3.469. In general, equatorial protons are adshielded relative to axial protons,⁵⁰ thus the two equatorial methylene protons were assigned tentatively to be at δ 3.652, while the axial methylene protons were at δ 3.298. The deliberate preparation of the diketal 130d involved longer treatment of diketone 112 with a large excess of 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol and a catalytic amount of PPTS in benzene. Column chromatography provided 71% of the diketal 130d and 23% of the monoketal 107d.

With an efficient route to the monoketal 107d, we were at the threshold of the crucial spiro-annulation reaction. Since the cyclic ketals had never previously been used as substrates in the geminal acylation reactions leading to the 2,2-disubstituted cyclopentane -1,3- diones, we decided to examine first the reactions of some simple cyclic ketals instead of our valuable monoketal 107d (Scheme 25 and 26). Following the procedure of Kuwajima and coworkers,³¹ the cyclohexanone ethylene ketal (131) was treated with 1.1 equivalents of 109 and 1.0 equivalent of boron trifluoride etherate in dichloromethane at -78° C for three hours. Aqueous work-up and vacuum distillation provided the cyclobutanone derivative 132, which underwent pinacol-type rearrangement upon treatment with TFA under reflux. Flash chromatography did give the spiro-diketone 133, but in only 32% yield. The IR spectrum of 133 showed an absorption maximum for the ring carbonyl at 1716 cm⁻¹, and ¹H NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 62.677 for the four protons attached to the carbons next to the carbonyl



groups. The signal at δ 215.8 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum clearly indicated the presence of the new carbonyls. Furthermore, all our spectroscopic data and the melting point were in good agreement with those reported by Kuwajima and coworkers. Since the yield was unacceptable for our synthesis, we carried out numerous experiments by varying the Lewis acid, the relative amount of the reagents, the temperature, and the reaction time. Of the three Lewis acids examined (SnCl₄, TiCl₄, BF₃/Et₂O), BF₃/Et₂O was found to be the best. Several small-scale reactions indicated that using an excess of 109 and BF₃/Et₂O improved the yield. Furthermore, when 131 was treated with two equivalents of 109 and five equivalents of BF₃/Et₂O at -78°C for a longer period, such

133 0

Scheme 25

as six hours, the resultant crude product was found to contain the cyclobutanone derivative 132 as well as some spiro-diketone 133 as revealed by ¹H NMR analysis, Clearly, BF2 Et2O could catalyze the rearrangement of 132 to 133. Encouraged by this result, we decided to use more equivalents of BF3. Et2O and to run the reaction even longer. It was hoped that the spiro-diketone 133 could be obtained from the cyclic ketal 131 in a one-pot operation, without the isolation of the cyclobutanone derivative 132. Thus, the cyclic ketal 131 was treated with three equivalents of 109 and ten equivalents of BF2. EtO in dichloromethane at -78°C for six hours, and the resulting mixture was allowed to attain room temperature while stirring overnight. Aqueous work-up provided colorless crystals of the desired diketone 133 in nearly quantitative yield. This product proved to be pure by GC-MS, ¹H NMR as well as 13C NMR. Likewise, compound 133 was obtained in 98% vield from the 1.3-dioxane 134. The successful results from 131 and 134 prompted us to investigate the generality of this one-pot procedure. Indeed, several other cyclic ketals (135 \rightarrow 137) were treated with 109 and BF3 EtO in the same manner as 131 and the resulting crude products were purified by flash chromatography affording the 2,2-disubstituted cyclopentane - 1,3-diones (139 \rightarrow 141) in good yields (Scheme 26). Although the crude products were essentially pure by GC-MS analysis, we still applied column chromatography to remove a vellowish color from the products. The spectroscopic data for 139, 140 and 141 were identical with those reported.31,33 It should be noted that our one-pot procedure was simpler than the original two-step procedure, and our yields were generally higher than by the original two-step procedure. However, no unsubstituted cyclopentane - 1,3-dione was formed when 5,5-dimethyl-1,3dioxane (138) or s-trioxane was subjected to the spiro-annulation reaction, even after the addition of TFA.

This general procedure for the *direct* conversion of the cyclic ketals into the 2.2-disubstituted cyclopentane -1,3-diones led to the examination of the reaction of



(a) The crude product was confirmed to be pure by GC-MS, ¹H and ¹³C NMR, thus the yield reported was the crude yield. (b) Isolated yield.



the monoketal 107d with 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109). To our delight, exposure of 107d to three equivalents of 109 and 15 equivalents of BF₃Et₂O following our general procedure furnished, after column chromatography, an 86% yield of the triketone 106. Although the crude product was essentially pure by GC-MS analysis, we still applied column chromatography to get rid of a trace amount of some colored material. The IR spectrum of triketone 106 showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyls at 1725 cm⁻¹ and for the side -chain carbonyl at 1705 cm⁻¹. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, a singlet was evident at $\delta 2.141$ for the methyl ketone (CH₃CO) and a multiplet at $\delta 2.778$ was attributed to the four protons α to the ring carbonyl groups (COCH₂CH₂CO). The signals at 6 213.0, 215.0 and 215.7 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum represented the side – chain carbonyl and two ring carbonyls.

Since the diketals 130c, 130d had already been prepared, we were curious about their spiro-annulation products. Due to steric hindrance, we expected 142 and its hydrolyzed form 106 to be the major products. Thus, the diketal 130e was allowed to react with 109 furnishing, after aqueous work-up, 97% of triketone 106, 3% of hydrolyzed starting material 112, and none of 142 or of the tetraketone 143 as revealed by GC-MS analysis. Chromatography of the crude product gave an 86% isolated yield of the desired triketone 106. However, we obtained a 47% yield of triketone 106 along with 43% recovery of the hydrolyzed starting material 112 when the diketal 130d was subjected to the spiro-annulation reaction. One small-scale reaction with 130d generated a small amount of a compound tentatively identified as the tetraketone 143 by its mass spectrum. Although the triketone 106 could be obtained from the diketal 130e or 1304, in practice the spiro-annulation reaction of the monoketal 107d presented the cleanest route (Scheme 27).

Next we turned to the conversion of the triketone 106 into isokhusimone (65) via an intramolecular titanium-induced dicarbonyl coupling reaction. Since McMurry and coworkers⁵¹ reported a number of intramolecular dicarbonyl coupling reactions involving low-valent titanium, our initial attempts were made following their representive procedure.⁵² A dimethoxyethane (DME) solution of the triketone 106 was added via syringe pump over a 30 hour period to a refuxing slurry prepared from TiCl₃ and Zn-Cu couple. After an additional 14 hour period under reflux, the reaction mixture was passed through a small Florisil pad to remove the black slurry. Flash chromatography of the crude product gave 8% of isokhusimone (65), 29% of epimeric alcohols 144a/b, and a considerable amount of very nonpolar material. The starting material was recovered to the extent of 28%. The IR spectrum of isokhusimone (65)





showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyl at 1740 cm⁻¹ and the double bond at 1675 cm⁻¹. The protons of the methyl group attached to the double bond appeared as a singlet at δ 1.534 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The signals at δ 130.6, 136.3, and 222.6 in 1³C NMR spectrum represented the two olefinic carbons and ring carbonyl, respectively. Our IR and ¹H NMR spectra were identical with those kindly provided by Professor G. Büchi^{*} of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. The structures of 144a/b showed a broad absorption maximum for an hydroxyl group at 3370 cm⁻¹. The protons on the carbons bearing the alcohol functions were observed as overlapped

We are very grateful to Professor G. Büchi for making this comparison possible.

multiplets in the area of 4.0 ppm. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, the carbons attached to the oxygens were found at δ 79.7 and 76.3 and the double bond carbons at δ 128.9, 129.7, 138.8, and 139.5. The specific assignment of the ¹H and the ¹³C NMR spectra regarding the relative stereochemistry at C-2 was impossible at this stage. Although the epimeric alcohols 144a/b could not be separated by flash chromatography on silica gel, GC-MS analysis indicated a 1 : 1 mixture of the two diastereomers as shown in Figure 3. The structures of 144a/b were confirmed by clean conversion into isokhusimone (65) upon treatment with PCC in dichoromethane. With regard to the very





nonpolar side product, the IR and ¹³C NMR spectra indicated no carbonyl group present, and the many signals around δ 140 ppm in the ¹³C NMR spectrum implied a mixture of the overreduced and intramolecularly coupled hydrocarbons with gross structures 145 and 146. Thus, we realized that the overreduction and intramolecular coupling of the remaining ketone had to be suppressed in order to achieve a reasonable yield of the desired dicarbonyl coupling reaction product. Unfortunately, attempts to improve the yield of 65 by varying amounts of TiCl₃ and Zn-Cu couple met with little success, producing more 145 and 146 or resulting in more recovered starting material. In addition, our numerous attempts to vary the reducing agents (e.g. LiAlH₀, ⁵³ potassium metal, ⁵⁴ Zn-Ag couple⁵⁵) as well as their relative amounts did not improve the yield.

An alternative approach, to avoid the intramolecular coupling and overreduction, was to use high dilution technology, which might be achieved by adding slowly either the slurry of $TiCl_3$ and Zn-Cu couple or triketone 106 to a relatively dilute DME solution of reagents. Addition of the slurry made from Zn-Cu and $TiCl_3$ to the solution of triketone 106 in many portions over a long period of time was found to be fruitless. Nevertheless, when the triketone 106 was added *via* syringe pump over a fiftyone hour period to the refluxing slurry of $TiCl_3$ and Zn-Cu couple in a relatively large volume of DME (the overall concentration of triketone 106 was 0.02 M) followed by an additional four days at reflux, the product we isolated was 57% of isokhusimone (65), 21% of the epimeric alcohols 144a/b, and 8% of starting triketone 106 (Scheme 28).

Although 144a/b can be oxidized with PCC in nearly quantitative yield, it was more convenient to oxidize the mixture of reaction products without separation of 65 from 144a/b. The overall yield of isokhusimone (65) from 106 was 78%. Our synthesis required nine steps and produced (±)-isokhusimone (65) in a 35% yield from norcamphor. Büchi *et al.*²⁶ had converted isokhusimone (65) to khusimone (4) in two steps, and Liu and Chan³⁷ had prepared zizanoic acid and *epiz*izanoic acid from 4 in a straightforward fashion.

It was interesting to note that reduction of isokhusimone (65) with sodium borohydride provided only one of the epimeric alcohols, either 144a or 144b. If one assumes that the hydride approaches following a trajectory of approximately 120°.*56 then an examination of molecular models would indicate that the re-face of the ketone would be more sterically encumbered than the si-face, thereby resulting in the predominant formation of 144b. Thus, the single product obtained from above reduction was tentatively assigned 144b (Scheme 28). Its ¹H NMR spectrum showed a singlet at δ 1.421 for the vinvl methyl group and a double doublet at δ 4.002 for the proton on the carbon bearing the hydroxyl group. The signals at δ 79.7, 129.7, and 138.8 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum were due to the carbon attached to the oxygen and two sp² carbons. With compound 144b tentatively assigned, we were able to give a spectroscopic assignment to the signals of its epimer 144a from the spectrum of the mixture 144a/b (vide supra). In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the vinyl methyl was observed as a singlet at δ 1.479 and the proton on the carbon bearing the hydroxyl group as a multiplet at δ 4.031. The carbon attached to the oxygen and the two double bond carbons were found at δ 79.7, 128.9, and 139.5 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum.

An alternative approach to (\pm) -khusimone (4) was based on the retrosynthetic analysis detailed in Scheme 29. The key steps in this strategy were the spiroannulation reaction (i.e. 149 \rightarrow 148) and intramolecular carbonyl coupling of a ketone with an ester (i.e. 148 \rightarrow 147).

We thank Dr. Brian Gregory for kindly bringing ref. 56 to our attention.

.



With this idea in mind, the lactone 114 was treated with sodium methoxide in methanol under reflux to give the methyl ester 150. The IR spectrum showed absorption maxima for the hydroxyl group at 3430 cm⁻¹ (broad) and the ester carbonyl at 1730 cm⁻¹. A singlet at δ 3.660 in the ¹H NMR spectrum clearly arose from the methyl ester. This hydroxy-ester was converted cleanly into the keto-ester 151 upon treatment with PCC. The IR spectrum now showed absorption maxima for both the ring carbonyl at 1740 cm⁻¹ and the ester at 1730 cm⁻¹. The signals at δ 177.2 and 218.2 in the ¹³C NMR were assigned to the ester carbonyl and ring ketone, respectively. Ketalization was easily achieved with ethylene glycol and *p*TSA in benzene under reflux. Thus, we obtained an 83% yield of the ketal-ester 149 from 114. A multiplet at δ 3.890 in the ¹⁴H NMR spectrum represented the four protons of the dioxolane system. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed signals at δ 117.2 on 17.5 for the ketal carbon and ester carbonyl, respectively (Scheme 30).



Next, our attention turned to the investigation of the spiro-annulation reaction. Addition of 149 to a solution of three equivalents of 109 and fifteen equivalents of BF₃Et₂O at -78°C following our general procedure provided the spiro-annulated compound 148 in 80% yield after column chromatography (Scheme 31). Accordingly, the IR spectrum showed a broad absorption maximum for the ring carbonyls and the ester at 1715 cm⁻¹. The four protons α to the ketones were found as a broad singlet at δ 2.777 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The signals at δ 177.0 and 215.6 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum represented the ester carbonyl and the two ring carbonyls.

It was hoped that the McMurry coupling reaction of the keto-ester 148 would provide the tricyclic diketone 147 and/or further reduced product 152. Although McMurry and coworkers⁵⁷ published several examples of transformations involving





titanium-induced coupling of keto-esters, to the best of our knowledge, this reaction has been applied in the syntheses of only two natural products.⁵¹ In our case, several unidentified products were obtained when the keto-ester **148** reacted with TKCl₃/LiAH₄ and Et₃N following McMurry's⁵⁷ procedure. Treatment of the crude product with PCC did not give a simpler mixture as revealed from TLC and the ¹H NMR spectrum. Our numerous attempts to modify the reaction conditions (e.g. reducing agents, amounts, concentration) did not meet with success (Scheme 32).

Scheme 32



Although this second approach failed eventually, at least we were pleased to find that the geminal acylation reaction of the ketal ester 149 proceeded smoothly via the simple one – pot procedure developed in this synthetic study. Compared with some other approaches to the zizaane system in its racemic form, our first route was indeed short and efficient. It should be noted that chiral syntheses of zizaane sequiterpenoids could be achieved if readily available⁵⁸ (+) – or (-)–norcamphor had been used as the starting material instead of (\pm)–norcamphor.

III. Experimental

General Procedures

All reactions requiring nonaqueous conditions were performed in oven-dried glassware under a positive pressure of dry nitrogen. Solvents and reagents were purified by distillation. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and dimethoxyethane (DME) were distilled from sodium metal/benzophenone. Dichloromethane, diisopropylamine, toluene, benzene, and diethyl ether were distilled from calcium hydride. Pyridine was dried over anhydrous potassium hydroxide and distilled. Dimethylformamide (DMF) was dried over 4 Å Molecular Sieves, distilled, and stored over 4 Å Molecular Sieves, The phrase "work-up" means extraction of the crude product with diethyl ether or dichloromethane, washing the organic layers with water and with saturated sodium chloride, drving over anhydrous magnesium sulphate, filtration, and concentration by solvent removal with a rotary evaporator, and the term "in vacuo" refers to the removal of the solvent with a rotary evaporator followed by evacuation to constant sample weight. All reactions were monitored by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) or thin-layer chromatography (TLC). The plates were visualized by UV fluorescence, or staining with iodine, or spraying with an aqueous solution of phosphomolybdic acid, ceric sulphate and sulfuric acid followed by heating the plate (125-150 °C). Commercial TLC plates were Merck 60F-254. Flash chromatography was performed according to the method of Still and coworkers⁵⁹ on Merck Type 60 silica gel, 230-240 mesh. Melting points (mp) were determined on a Fisher-Johns apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on either a Perkin Elmer 283 spectrophotometer (and were corrected by using polystyrene film as calibration standard) or a Mattson FT-IR instrument, and the abbreviation "br" means a broad absorption. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were obtained in CDCL

solution, unless otherwise noted, on a General Electric GE 300–NB (300 MHz) instrument; chemical shifts were measured relative to internal standards: tetramethylsilane (TMS) for ¹H and CDCl₅ (δ 77.0 ppm) for ¹³C NMR. Multiplicities are described by the following abbreviations: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), dd (double doublet), tq (triple quartet), mm (multiple multiplets), and so on. The NMR assignments were assisted by attached proton test (APT), and ¹H–¹H correlation (COSY) and ¹³C–¹H correlation (HET–CORR) 2–D spectra. In the AB spin systems obtained in 300 MHz NMR spectra, the chemical shifts δ_A and δ_{θ} were calculated according to Equations (1) and (2).⁶⁰ respectively.

$$\delta_A = \frac{\nu - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\nu}{300} \tag{1}$$

$$\delta_B = \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\nu}{300}$$
(2)

 ν and $\Delta \nu$ were derived from Equations (3) and (4), respectively,

$$\nu = \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_4}{2} = \frac{\nu_2 + \nu_3}{2} \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta \nu = [(\nu_1 - \nu_4)(\nu_2 - \nu_3)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(4)

Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectrum of AB system



where, as shown in Figure 4, ν_1 , ν_2 , ν_3 , and ν_4 represented the observed frequencies (Hz) of the four peaks in the AB quartet system. ¹H NMR nuclear Overhauser enhancement (NOE) data were obtained from sets of interleaved experiments (16K) of 8 transients cycled 12 to 16 times through the list of irradiated frequencies. The decoupler was gated on in continuous wave (CW) mode for 6 seconds with sufficient attenuation to give a 70-90% reduction in intensity of the irradiated peak. Frequency changes were preceded by a 60 second delay. Four scans were used to equilibrate spins before data acquisition, but a relaxation delay was not applied between scans at the same frequency. NOE difference spectra were obtained from zero-filled 32K data tables to which a 1 to 2 Hz exponential line-broadening function had been applied. Except where noted, both the low and the high resolution mass spectral (MS) data were obtained on a V.G. Micromass 7070HS instrument. A Hewlett-Packard system (model 5890 gas chromatograph coupled to a model 5970 mass selective detector) equipped with a Hewlett-Packard 12.5 m fused silica capillary column with crosslinked dimethylsilicone as the liquid phase was used for GC-MS analysis. Microanalyses were accomplished by the Canadian Microanalytical Service Ltd., New Westminster, British Columbia,

2-Oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (116)

Norcamphor (115) (6.00 g, 52.5 mmol) and 85% m-chloroperoxyberzoic acid (mCPBA) (14.10 g, 69.4 mmol) were stirred in chloroform for two days at room temperature. The solution was washed with 10% NaOH (<5) and H₂O (<4), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated. Vacuum distillation of the residue provided pure lactone 116 (5.70 g, 86%). IR (film) ν_{max} : 1730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.62–2.05 (5H, mm), 2.171 (1H, dt, J = 2.3, 9.5 Hz), 2.491 (1H, dt, J = 1.9, 18.4 Hz), 2.554 (1H, br d, J = 4.6 Hz), 2.727 (1H, ddd, J = 1.8, 5.0, 18.4 Hz), and 4.866 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 2.55 (2), 31.1 (1), 31.8 (2), 35.0 (2), 39.9 (2), 80.4 (1), and 170.2 (0); MS (from GC– MS) m/z (%): 126 (5.5, M⁺), 98 (14), 97 (11), 83 (39), 82 (66), 69 (42), 67 (99), 55 (48), 54 (51), 42 (54), and 41 (100). *Exact mass* caled. for C₇H₁₀O₂: 126.0680; found: 126.0677.

2-Oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octan-3-one (118)

From norcamphor*

Repeating the above on a small scale, but with the addition of two drops of concentrated sulfuric acid, gave a dark solution (⁺) tr was mainly 118 (92% by GC–MS analysis) with a small amount of 116. For 118: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1765 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.50–2.08 (6H, mm), 2.290 (1H, dd, J = 1.6, 17.1 Hz), 2.78–2.94 (2H, mm), and 5.008 (1H, t, J = 5.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 23.2 (2), 33.3 (2), 33.4 (2), 35.9 (2), 37.7 (1), 86.2 (1), and 178.6 (0); MS (irom GC–MS) m/z: 126 (6, M⁺), 98 (31), 97 (29), 83 (17), 82 (12), 80 (22), 67 (100), 55 (56), 54 (54), and 41 (79).

From lactone 116

To lactone 116 (30.4 mg, 0.24 mmol) in chloroform was added two drops of concentrated sulfuric acid. After stirring at room temperature overnight, the mixture was washed with 10% NaOH (\times 3) and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with chloroform (\times 3). The combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (\times 2), saturated NaCl (\times 2) and dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* yielded 118 as a colorless oil (31.1 mg, 10%).

4-Methyl-2-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (122)

Lactone 116 (938 mg, 7.44 mmol) in dry THF was added slowly to 2.0 equivalents of LDA in THF at -78°C. The solution was stirred for one hour before a solution of MeI (3.17 g, 22.3 mmol) and HMPA (2.59 mL, 14.9 mmol) in THF was added over 10

We thank Mr. Paul F. Walsh for performing this experiment.

min. The mixture was allowed to warm to -40°C, and was stirred for one hour. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x4). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NACl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo* to provide the crude monomethyl-lactone 122 along with a small amount of the dimethyl-la-tone 114. This crude product was further α -methylated without further purification. For 122: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1720 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR &: 1.326 (3H, d, J = 7.5 Hz), 1.55 (1H, m), 1.83–2.15 (4H, mm), 2.267 (1H, br 1), 2.524 (1H, tq, J = 1.2, 7.5 Hz), and 4.817 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR &: 1.89, 29.5, 31.9, 32.0, 38.3, 45.2, 80.7, and 174.4; MS *m/z* (%): 140 (4, M⁺), 112 (4), 111(3), 97 (50), 96 (21), 83 (26), 81 (56), 68 (30), 67 (67), 56 (33), and 55 (100). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₄H₁-q₂-; 140.0836; found: 140.0833.

4,4-Dimethyl-2-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (114)

Repeatition of the above α -methylation procedure with crude 122 as the starting material gave the crude dimethyl-lactone 114. Chromatography on silica gel (4% acetone in petroleum ether) yielded pure 114 (936 mg, 82% from 116): mp 64-65°C; IR (Nujol) ν_{max}^2 : 1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.247 (3H, s), 1.328 (3H, s), 1.55-1.78 (2H, mm), 1.90-2.10 (3H, mm), 2.110 (1H, t, J = 5.5 Hz, methine), 2.269 (1H, br d, J = 13.0 Hz), and 4.772 (1H, br s, methine); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 24.0 (2), 24.4 (3), 28.4 (3), 31.2 (2), 33.5 (2), 43.1 (0), 43.4 (1), 80.5 (1), and 177.1 (0); MS (from GC - MS) m/₂ (%): 154 (2, M⁺), 111 (14), 110 (16), 95 (20), 69 (100), 67 (68), and 41 (75). Anal. calcd. for C_H₁, O₂: C 70.10, H 9.15; found: C 69.87, H 9.01.

cis-2-(3-Hydroxycyclopentyl)-2-methylpropanoic acid (124)

A solution of the dimethyl-lactone 114 (261 mg, 1.69 mmol) in ethanol, to which 10 mL of 10% NaOH was added, was heated at reflux overnight. Once the solution had cooled, H₂O and 5% HCl were added until pH 4.5. The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc (x5). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaCl (x3), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo* to give **124** as a colorless solid (289 mg, 99%): mp 105–106°C; IR (film) ν_{max} ; 3600–2500 (very br) and 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CD₃SOCD₃) & t.126 (3H, s), 1.05 (3H, s), 1.16 (1H, m), 1.35–1.48 (3H, m), 1.61 (1H, m), 1.48 (1H, m), 2.03 (1H, m), 4.01 (1H, m), 4.45 (1H, s, OH), and 12.01 (1H, s, CO₂H); ¹³C NMR (CD₃SOCD₃) & t.224, 225, 244, 34.9, 36.8, 43.1, 45.3, 71.3, and 178.8; Ms m/z (%): no M⁺, 155 (0.9), 154 (0.8), 128 (2), 111(9), 109 (22), 88 (90), 69 (67), 67 (100), and 41 (68). Anal. caled. for C₃H₁₆O₃: C 62.77, H 9.36; found: C 62.30, H 9.24.

cis-3-(3-Hydroxycyclopentyl)-3-methyl-2-butanone (113)

From hydroxy-acid 124

To an ice-bath-cooled solution of 124 (4.661 g, 27.06 mmol) in dry THF was added 1.4 M methyllithium in hexanes (228 mL, 319 mmol). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 47 hours, then at ice-bath temperature TMSCI (75 mL) was added all at once (solution became cloudy), followed by 5% HCI (solution cleared again). After stirring for 30 min, the mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (×3), and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (×2), saturated NaHCO₃ (×2), and saturated NaCl (×2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography on silica gel (5% acetone in petroleum ether) yielded hydroxy-ketone 113 (3.936 g, 85%) and a very small amount of the diol 125. For 113: IR (film) ν_{max} : 3420 cm⁻¹ (br) and 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.111 (3H s), 1.119 (3H, s), 1.22–2.02 (6H, mm), 2.131 (3H, s), 2.168 (1H, apparent quintet, J = 4.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H3): 2.18 (3), 2.20 (3), 24.8 (2), 25.6 (3), 35.4 (2), 36.8 (2), 45.0 (1), 49.3 (0), 73.1 (1), and 21.4.1 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 170 (04, M⁺), 152 (3), 127 (14), 109 (100), 86 (44), 67 (78), 55 (35), and 43 (82). *Exact maxs* calcd. for C₁₀H₁₅O₂: 170.1307; found: 170.1294; and calcd. $C_{10}H_{16}O$ (M⁺-H₂O): 152.1201; found: 152.1213. For 125: IR (film) ν_{max} : 3380 cm⁻¹ (br), 1470 cm⁻¹, and 1380 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (OH signals first removed by exchange) & 0.880 (3H, s), 0.893 (3H, s), 1.191 (6H, s), 1.383 (1H, m), 1.45 - 1.76 (4H, mm), 1.94 - 2.11 (2H, mm), and 4.220 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 19.7 (3), 20.4 (3), 26.2 (3), 26.3 (3), 26.5 (2), 34.8 (2), 38.9 (2), 41.3 (0), 43.8 (1), 72.7 (1), and 76.2 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): no M⁺, 153 (2), 150 (4), 135 (9), 110 (20), 109 (24), 84 (60), 83 (91), 69 (78), 67 (77), 59 (59), 55 (93), 43 (55), and 41 (100).

From dimethyl-lactone 114

2.8 M Methyl magnesium bromide in diethyl ether (0.79 mL, 2.2 mmol) was added to HMPA (2.94 mL) and the diethyl ether was removed by vacuum distillation at room temperature for 1.5 hours. A mixture of dimethyl-lactone 114 (154 mg, 1 mmol) and 1.5 mL HMPA was added at room temperature, the resulting solution was heated at 80°C in an oil bath for 20 hours. The cooled mixture was quenched with 20% NH₄Cl and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×4). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo*. Chromatography on silica gel (4% acetone in petroleum ether) gave 113 (15.0 mg, 5%), 125 (23.8 mg, 16%), and the starting material 114 (98.3 mg, 64%).

cis-3-(2-Hydroxy-1,1,2-trimethylpropanyl)-1-cyclopentanol (125)

To a solution of dimethyl-lactone 114 (158.8 mg, 1.03 mmol) in diethyl ether (30 mL) was added 1.40 M methyllithium in diethyl ether (4.4 mL, 6.18 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched by the cautious addition of H_2O , the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (\times 3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (\times 2), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide 113 (12.7 mg, 7%) and diol 123 (162.7 mg, 85%).

3-(1,1-Dimethyl-2-oxopropyl)cyclopentanone (112)

A solution of the hydroxy-ketone **I13** (3.6642 g, 21.52 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added to PCC (6.97 g, 32.3 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 26 hours. Filtration through a Florisil pad removed a black precipitate. Five volumes of anhydrous diethyl ether were passed through the pad, and concentration of the combined organic solutions provided the diketone **112** (3.5937 g, 99%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1740 and 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.144 (3H, s), 1.167 (3H, s), 1.54-2.40 (6H, mm), 2.175 (3H, s), and 2.537 (1H, m, methine), ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 21.2 (3), 21.5 (3), 24.0 (2), 25.5 (3), 38.6 (2), 40.0 (2), 43.4 (1), 48.7 (0), 21.2 (0), and 218.0 (0); MS m/z (%): 168 (0.5, M⁺), 140 (18), 125 (19), 97 (16), 86 (57), 83 (55), 82 (18), 69 (44), 55 (100), 43 (74), and 41 (*7). Exzet mass calcd. for C₁₀H₁₆O₂: 168.1149;

7-(1,1-Dimethyl-2-oxopropyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.4]nonane (107c)

A solution of diketone 112 (37.5 mg, 0.223 mmol), ethylene glycol (13.7 μ L, 0.25 mmol), and a very small amount of ρ TSA in benzene was heated under reflux for 8 hours with a Barrett water-separator filled with 4 Å Molecular Sieves. The cooled solution was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (×3) and the aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether (×2). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography on silica gel (4% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided the starting diketone 112 (15.0 mg, 40%) and the monoketal 107c (22.2 mg, 49%): ¹H NMR &: 1.072 (3H, s), 1.083 (3H, s), 1.35 – 1.95 (6H, mm), 2.128 (3H, s), 2.363 (1H, m), and 3.84–3.94 (4H, mm); MS (from GC–MS) *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 169 (2, M⁺ – COCH₃), 141 (6), 127 (100), 99 (31), 86 (17), 83 (19), 55 (38), 43 (40), and 41 (28).
7-(1-Methyl-1-(2-methyl-1,3-dioxa-2-cyclopentyl)ethyl) - 1,4-dioxaspim[4.4] nonane (130c)

A benzene solution of **112** (61.7 mg, 0.37 mmol) was heated overnight in the presence of a large excess of ethylene glycol (0.4 mL, 7.2 mmol) and a catalytic amount of PPTS. The product consisted of the monoketal **107**c and the diketal **130**c in 1 : 2 ratio as revealed by GC-MS analysis. Chromztography of the crude product provided the monoketal **107**c (17.1 mg, 22%) and the diketal **130**c (57.5 mg, 61%). For **130**c: **IR** (film) ν_{max} : 2975, 2880, 1475, 1370, and 1330 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 0.876 (3H, s), 0.912 (3H, s), 1.235 (3H, s), 1.41-1.56 (1H, m), 1.62-1.94 (5H, mm), 2.09-2.22 (1H, m, methine), and 3.83-3.97 (8H, mm); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 192 (3), 19.4 (3), 20.1 (3), 25.9 (2), 35.8 (2), 38.9 (2), 42.9 (0), 43.3 (1), 64.0 (2), 64.1 (2), 64.5 (2), 64.6 (2), 114.3 (0), and 117.4 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): no M⁺, 241 (3.5), 141 (2), 127 (40), 99 (19), 87 (100), 55 (14), and 43 (2).

2-(1,1-Dimethyl-2-oxopropyl)-8,8-dimethyl-6,10-dioxaspiro[4.5]decane (107d)

A solution of the diketone 112 (435.3 mg, 2.587 mmol), 2,2-dimethyl-1,3propanediol (700 mg, 6.72 mmol), and PPTS (approximately 120 mg) in benzene was heated under reflux for 40 min. The cooled solution was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x3) and the aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with H_2O (x2), saturated NaCl (x2), and dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solvent under vacuum followed by column chromatography on silica gel (8% acetone in petroleum ether) provided the monoketal 107d (577.0 mg, 88%) and the diketal 130d (37.6, 4%). For 107d: IR (film) ν_{max} ' 1710 and 1120 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 0.948 (3H, s), 0.965 (3H, s), 1.067 (3H, s), 1.078 (3H, s), 1.30-1.70 (3H, mm), 1.80-2.03 (3H, mm), 2.128 (3H, s), 2.30 (1H, m, methine), and 3.455 (4H, s); ¹²C NMR & (attached H's): 21.1 (2C, 3), 22.3 (3), 22.4 (3), 24.5 (2), 25.3 (3), 30.0 (0), 34.8 (2), 36.1 (2), 43.6 (1), 49.1 (0), 71.7 (2), 72.0 (2), 108.3 (0), and 213.4 (0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 239 (0.4, M⁺ - Me), 211 (2, M⁺ - COCH₃), 183 (2), 169 (94), 141 (18), 128 (14), 83 (100), 69 (86), 55 (64), 43 (52), and 41 (56). *Anal.* calcd. for C₁₃H₂₆O₃: C 70.83, H 10.30; found: C 70.86, H 10.20. For spectroscopic analysis of the diketal 130d, see below.

8,8-Dimethyl-2-(1-methyl-1-(2,5,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxa-2-cyclohexyl)ethyl)-6,10-dioxaspiro [4,5]decane (130d)

A solution of 112 (81.4 mg, 0.484 mmol) and a catalytic amount of PPTS with a large excess of 2,2–dimethyl–1,3–propanediol in benzene was heated under reflux for 19 hours. Chromatography of the crude product yielded the monoketal 107d (20.1 mg, 16%) and the diketal 130d (129.4 mg, 79%). For 130d: IR (film) ν_{max} : 2945, 2865, 1470, and 1120 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.704 (3H, s), 0.917 (6H, s), 3.937 (3H, s), 0.981 (3H, s), 1.143 (3H, s), 1.314 (3H, s), 1.460 (1H, m), 1.53–1.78 (3H, m), 1.981 (1H, dd, J = 8.9, 10.5 Hz), 2.112 (1H, dd, J = 7.4, 13.4 Hz), 2.273 (1H, m, methine), 3.298 (1H, d, J = 11.5 Hz), 3.469 (4H, s), and 3.652 (2H, d, J = 11.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 11.8 (3), 18.9 (3), 19.7 (3), 22.38 (2C, 3), 22.42 (3), 23.3 (3), 26.4 (2), 29.7 (0), 30.0 (0), 34.2 (2), 38.8 (2), 42.3 (1), 43.6 (0), 69.9 (2C, 2), 71.6 (2), 71.9 (2), 102.4 (0), and 108.7 (0); MS m/z (%); no M^+ , 325 (0.6), 205 (3), 169 (10), 141 (5), 129 (7), 115 (18), 88 (10), 86 (24), 56 (40), 47 (22), and 41 (44).

Typical procedure for reaction of 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) with a ketal: 2-ethyl-2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (140)

Compound 109 was prepared by the method of Bloomfield and Nelke.⁶¹ A solution of 2-ethyl-2-methyl-1,3-dioxolane (136) (280.5 mg, 242 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was stirred at -78°C as freshly distilled BF₃'Et₂O (2.98 mL, 24.2 mmol) was added, followed over a period of 5 min by a solution of 109 (1.61 mL, 6.05 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂. The mixture was allowed to attain room temperature while stirring overnight. The solution was washed with H₂O and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with H₂O (x2), saturated NaHCO₃ (x3), and saturated NaCl (x3). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to provide a yellow oil that showed as only one component on GC-MS. Chromatography of the oily residue yeielde colorless 140 (309.3 mg, 91.5%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1750 (shoulder) and 1720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.808 (3H, t, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.095 (3H, s), 1.668 (2H, q, *J* = 7.4 Hz), and 2.785 (4H, s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 8.7 (3), 17.8 (3), 28.6 (2), 35.0 (2), 56.9 (0), and 216.4 (2C, 0); MS *m/z* (%): 140 (51, M⁺), 25 (92), 97 (31), 84 (12), 83 (13), 69 (100), 56 (36), 55 (29), and 41 (83). *Exact* mass calcd. for C₈H₁O₂. 140.0837, found: 140.0843.

Spiro[4.5] decane - 1,4-dione (133)

From cyclohexanone ethylene ketal (131)

A CH₂Cl₂ solution of the 1,3-dioxolane 131 (263.6 mg, 1.86 mmol) was treated as above with BF₃·El₂O (0.46 mL, 3.72 mmol) and 109 (0.56 mL, 2.23 mmol). After the work-up, the yellow residue was passed through a small pad of Fiorisil and washed with five volumes of diethyl ether. Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* gave pure 133 (295.9 mg, 99%, crystallised from EtOAc): mp 60-61°C (ili.³¹ mp 61-62°C); IR ν_{max} : 1755 (weak) and 1720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ : 1.4 - 1.7 (10H, mm) and 2.677 (4H, s); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 20.4 (2C, 2), 24.9 (2), 29.2 (2C, 2), 34.3 (2C, 2), 55.9 (0), and 215.8 (2C, 0); MS *m/z* (%): 166 (100, M⁺), 137 (25), 124 (32), 112 (61), 111 (46), 85 (46), 81 (37), 67 (74), and 56 (44). *Exact mass* caled. for C₁₀H₁₄O₂: 1660993; found: 166.0985.

From 3,3-dimethyl-1,5-dioxaspiro[5.5]undecane (134)

A CH₂Cl₂ solution of 134 (200.1 mg, 1.09 mmol) was treated as above with BF₃Et₂O (1.34 mL, 10.9 mmol) and 109 (0.58 mL, 2.18 mmol). After the work-up,

the yellow color in the residue was removed by passing it through a small pad of Florisil to provide pure 133 (176.9 mg, 98%).

Spiro(bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,1'-[2,5]cyclopentanedione) (139)

The norcamphor ethylene ketal (135) (110.0 mg, 0.72 mm0) in CH₂Cl₂ was treated as above with BF₃Et₂O (0.22 mL, 1.8 mm0l) and **109** (0.36 mL, 1.45 mm0l). Chromatography of the residue (5% acetone in petroleum ether) provided pure **139** (192.0 mg, 82%, crystallized from diether ether): mp 108–109°C (lit.³¹ mp 109.5–110.5°C); IR (film) ν_{max} : 1760 (weak) and 1715 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 1.18–1.57 (6H, mm), 1.76–1.89 (2H, mm), 2.372 (1H, br t, bridgehead H), 2.480 (1H, br d, bridgehead H), and 2.51–3.07 (4H, mm); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 24.5 (2), 28.0 (2), 32.9 (2), 34.4 (2), 35.3 (2), 37.0 (1), 37.2 (2), 48.9 (1), 66.6 (0), and 213.1 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 178 (19, M⁺), 149 (46), 112 (100), 39 (15), 79 (13), 67 (19), 66 (12), and 65 (13). *Exact masc* caled. for C₁₁H₄O₂: 178.0993; found: 178.1002.

2,2,5,5-Tetramethyl-1,3-dioxane (137)

A solution of 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (15.62 g, 0.15 mmol) and Amberlyst-15 (3 g) in acetone (66 mL, 0.90 mmol) was heated under reflux overnight. Filtration through a Celite pad removed the resin. Two volumes of diethyl ether were passed through the pad, and H₂O was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x2), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2) and dried over MgSO₄, Simple distillation provided pure ketal 137 (9.05 g, 2%): pp 15-120°C; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) & 0.95 (6H, s), 1.40 (6H, s), 3.45 (4H, s).

2,2-Dimethylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (141)

The ketal 137 (209.9 mg, 1.46 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 was treated as above with $BF_3:Et_2O$ (1.80 mL, 14.6 mmol) and 109 (0.97 mL, 3.65 mmol). The crude product

consisted of 92.6% 141 as revealed by GC-MS analysis. Chromatography (5% acetone in petroleum ether) of the crude product gave pure 141 (124.8 mg, 68%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1725 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.153 (6H, s) and 2.810 (4H, s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 20.2 (2C, 3), 34.5 (2C, 2), 52.6 (0), and 216.3 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 126 (54, M⁺), 111 (19), 83 (18), 70 (100), 56 (23), 55 (21), and 42 (83). Exact mass calcd. for C₁H₁O₂: 126.0680; found: 126.0678.

5,5-Dimethyl-1,3-dioxane (138)

This procedure was derived from that of Schreiber and coworkers.⁶² A solution of 1,3,5-trioxane (5.0 g, 55 mmol), 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (26 g, 0.25 mol) and Amberlyst-15 (3 g) in CHCl₃ (150 mL) was heated under reflux overnight. The resin was removed by filtration through a Celite pad, and the pad was washed with two volumes of CHCl₃. Water was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with CHCl₃ (×2). The combined organic solutions were washed with saturated NaCl (×2) and dried over MgSO₄, Simple distillation provided pure **138** (154 g, 80%): bp 115– 119°C; ¹¹H NMR & 0.973 (6H, s), 3493 (4H, s), and 4.797 (2H, s).

7-(1,1-Dimethyl-2-oxopropyl)spiro[4.4] nonane-1,4-dione (106)

From the monoketal 107d

A solution of 107d (611.0 mg, 2.402 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 was cooled to $-78^{\circ}C$. Freshly distilled BF₂Et₂O (4.44 mL, 36.1 mmol) was added followed, dropwise, by a solution of 109 (1.60 mL, 6.05 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 . The mixture was stirred overnight, over which time the solution was allowed to attain room temperature. The black solution was washed with H_2O (x2), and the aqueous layers were extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x3) and saturated NaCl (x2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue (5% acetone in petroleum ether) provided pure 106 (491.2 mg, 87%): IR (film) ν_{max} 1760 (shoulder), 1725, and 1705 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.00 (3H, s), 1.36 (3H, s), 1.44 – 1.96 (6H, mm), 2.141 (3H, s), 2.491 (1H, m, methine), and 2.778 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 21.2 (3), 21.6 (3), 25.3 (3), 27.6 (2), 34.1 (2), 34.7 (2), 34.9 (2), 35.2 (2), 46.7 (1), 48.9 (0), 62.3 (0), 213.0 (0), 215.3 (0), and 215.7 (0); MS (from GC-MS) $m_l c'$ (%): 236 (0.1, M⁺), 221 (0.4), 193 (96), 176 (12), 175 (15), 151 (66), 137 (17), 133 (25), 125 (30), 111 (29), 109 (22), 86 (56), 55 (30), 43 (100), and 41 (56). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₂H₁₇O₂ (M⁺ – GOCH₂): 93.1227; found: 193.1215.

From the diketal 130c

A CH₂Cl₂ solution of the diketal 130e (129.4 mg, 0.359 mmol) was treated as above with BF₃:Et₂O (0.89 mL, 7.2 mmol) and 109 (0.58ml, 2.2 mmol). The GC-MS analysis of the crude product showed 106 as the almost exclusive component along with *ca*. 3% of hydrolysed starting material 112. Chromatography (5% acetone in petroleum ether) provided pure 106 (72.9 mg, 86%).

From the diketal 130d

A CH₂Cl₂ solution of the diketal 130d (129.4 mg, 0.380 mmol) was treated as above with BF₃:Et₂O (0.94 mL, 7.6 mmol) and 109 (0.61 mL, 2.3 mmol). The GC– MS analysis of the crude product showed a mixture of mainly 106 and the hydrolysed starting material 112 in 1 : 1 ratio. Chromatography on silica gel (5% acetone in petroleum ether) provided pure 106 (42.3 mg, 47%) and recovered 112 (27.6 mg, 43%). The following is the mass spectrum of a compound tentatively identified as 143 from the GC–MS of the crude product of one very small – scale reaction of 130d with 109: m/x (%): 304 (2, M⁺), 239 (5), 221 (2), 219 (2), 207 (24), 153 (25, complete side chain), 137 (23), 123 (100), 81 (33), 79 (24), 73 (15), 69 (18), 55 (18), and 41 (26). Intramolecular titanium-induced ketone-ketone coupling in 106: isokhusimone (65)

Zn-Cu couple was presared by the procedure of McMurry et al.52 The couple (556 mg, 8.56 mmol) was adued to TiCl₃ (516 mg, 3.35 mmol) in 150 mL dry DME, and this was heated under reflux for 4 hours. A solution of the triketone 106 (96.6 mg. 0.409 mmol) in 20 mL DME was added to the hot slurry over a period of 50.5 h using a syringe pump, then the reaction mixture was heated under reflux for another 84 h. The cooled solution was passed through a small pad of Florisil and the black precipitate was washed with three volumes of diethyl ether. After concentration under reduced pressure, the resulting residue was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to give, in order of elution, isokhusimone (65) (47.4 mg, 57%), a 1:1 mixture of the epimeric alcohols 144a and 144b (17.8 mg, 21%), and recovered triketone 106 (8.1 mg, 7%). For isokhusimone (65): IR (film) vmax: 1740 and 1675 (weak) cm⁻¹: ¹H NMR & 1.020 (3H, s), 1.039 (3H, s), 1.534 (3H, s), 1.64-1.88 (7H, mm), 2.025 (1H. m. methine), and 2.28-2.71 (3H. mm); 13C NMR & (attached H's); 12.2 (3), 23.1 (2), 24.7 (2), 25.1 (3), 28.2 (3), 35.7 (2), 38.0 (2), 38.3 (2), 40.5 (0), 48.6 (1), 55.9 (0), 130.6 (0), 136.3 (0), and 222.6 (0); MS m/z (%): 204 (15, M+), 189 (36), 161 (23), 133 (30), 119 (100), 105 (12), 91 (22), 77 (15), and 41 (29). Exact mass caled. for C14H200: 204.1513; found: 204.1531. For 144a (tentative assignment of relative stereochemistry at C-2): ¹C NMR &: 0.990 (3H, s), 1.037 (3H, s), 1.479 (3H, s), and 4.031 (1H. m):¹³C NMR (from the spectrum of the epimeric alcohols) & 13.4, 25.0. 25.1, 25.8, 32.6, 33.3, 36.6, 40.4, 47.7, 56.3, 79.7, 128.9, and 139.5; MS (from GC-MS) nearly identical with 144b. For 144b: see below.

rel-(1R,2R,8R)-Isokhusimol (144b)*

Isokhusimone (65) (38.2 mg, 0.187 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (8 mL) and cooled in ice – bath as sodium borohydride was added in small portions. TLC indicated a complete reaction within 30 min. Some water was added and much of the methanol was removed under reduced pressure. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo*. Although the crude oily residue (39.1 mg, 100%) was homogeneous by TLC and GC–MS, column chramatography (10% acetone in petroleum ether) was used to remove some yellow color. This afforded the alcohol tentatively assigned as epimer **144b** as a colorless powder: mp 102–103°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 3370 (very br) cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 0.989 (3H, s), 1.006 (3H, s), 1.20–2.35 (mm), 1.421 (3H, s), and 4.002 (1H, dd, J = 6.0, 11.2 Hz); ¹²C NMR & (attached H's): 12.5 (3), 24.1 (2C, 2), 24.9 (3), 28.3 (3), 28.4 (2), 32.1 (2), 36.2 (2), 40.4 (0), 47.2 (1), 53.0 (0), 76.3 (1), 129.7 (0), and 138.8 (0); MS (from GC– MS) m/z (%): 206 (29, M⁺), 191 (75), 173 (10), 163 (24), 145 (48), 119 (100), 105 (17), and 91 (25). *Exact mass* calcd for C₁₃H₄₀C (M⁺ – Me): 191.1435; found: 191.1435.

Oxidation of 144a/b and 144b

A solution of the 1 : 1 epimeric alcohols 144a/b (31.7 mg, 0.15 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) was added to PCC (49.6 mg, 0.23 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂. The solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. Filtration through a Florisil pad removed a black precipitate. Five volumes of anhydrous diethyl ether were passed through the pad, and evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* provided pure isokhusimone (65) (31.4 mg, 100%). Likewise, a CH₂Cl₂ solution of the alcohol 144b (27.8 mg, 0.13 mmol) was treated as above with PCC (58.2 mg, 0.26 mmol) to provide pure isokhusimone (65) (27.5 mg,

IUPAC name: rel-(1R,2R,8R)-6,7,7-trimethyltricyclo[6.2.1.01.5]undec-5-en-2-ol.

100%).

Methyl cis-2-(3-hydroxycyclopentyl)-2-methylpropanoate (150)

Sodium metal (800 mg) was added to dry methanol (160 mL) followed by the dimethyl–lactone **114** (1.1665 g, 7.564 mmol), and the solution was heated at reflux for 22 h. Much of the solvent was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure, then H₂O was added followed by concentrated HCl to pH 4.5. This was extracted with EtOAc (x4). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x2) and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated to give a yellow oil (1.5048 g) containing mainj **150** and a small amount of **114**. Only a small portion was purified; for **150**: IR(film) ν_{max} : 3430 (br) and 1730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.170 (3H, s), 1.175 (3H, s), 1.376 (1H, m), 1.55–1.77 (3H, mm), 1.95–2.20 (2H, mm), 2.21 (1H, very br, OH), 3.660 (3H, s), and 4.258 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 23.0 (3), 23.2 (3), 24.9 (2), 35.4 (2), 37.0 (2), 44.1 (0), 46.3 (1), 51.6 (3), 73.1 (1), and 178.3 (0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 169 (0.4), 155 (1.3), 111 (11), 110 (10), 109 (63), 102 (100), 87 (20), 83 (16), 69 (92), 67 (87), 55 (31), and 41 (68).

Methyl 2-methyl-2-(3-oxocyclopentyl)propanoate (151)

A CH₂Cl₂ solution of the crude **150** from the above reaction was stirred overnight in the presence of PCC (2.45 g, 11.37 mmol). The mixture was filtered through a Florisil pad, which was washed with four volumes of diethyl ether, and the combined organic solutions were concentrated *in vacuo* to give a colorless liquid (1.239 g). A small portion was purified; for **151**: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1740 and 1730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.205 (3H, s), 1.214 (3H, s), 1.646 (1H, m), 1.98 – 253 (6H, mm), and 3.687 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 22.5 (3), 22.6 (3), 24.4 (2), 38.8 (2), 40.4 (2), 43.7 (0), 43.7 (1), 51.8 (3), 1772 (0), and 218.2 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 184 (1, M⁺), 156 (3), 125 (175, 102 (100), 87 (14), 83 (57), 82 (19), 70 (13), 69 (28), 55 (65), and 41 (30),*Anal.* calcd. for C10H16O3: C 65.18, H 8.76; found: C 65.03, H 8.80.

7-(1-Carbomethoxy-1-methylethyl)-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.4] nonane (149)

To a benzene solution of the crude 151 from the above reaction was added ethylene glycol (4.3 mL, 75.8 mmol) and a small amount of *p*TSA. The mixture was heated under reflux with a Barrett water separator for 21 h. The solution was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x2). The aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether (x3) and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo* to give a yellow oil (1.5405 g). Chromatography (2% acetone in petroleum ether) of this material provided pure 149 (1.2429 g, 72% from 114): IR (film) ν_{max} 1730 and 1130 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.141 (3H, s), 1.144 (3H, s), 1.40–1.91 (6H, mm), 2.313 (1H, m, methine), 3.653 (3H, s), and 3380 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 22.2 (3), 22.4 (3), 24.7 (2), 35.7 (2), 37.4 (2), 43.7 (0), 45.1 (1), 51.4 (3), 63.9 (2), 64.0 (2), 117.2 (0), and 117.5 (0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 199 (1), 169 (9), 127 (100), 99 (78), 83 (22), 55 (30), and 41 (18). *Anal.* caled. for C₁₂H₂₀O₄, C 63.14, H 8.83.

7-(1-Carbomethoxy-1-methylethyl)spiro[4.4]nonane-1,4-dione (148)

A solution of **149** (52.2 mg, 0.229 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was cooled to -78° C and BF₃El₂O (0.43 mL, 3.45 mmol) was added, followed dropwise by a CH₂Cl₂ solution of **109** (0.25 mL, 0.92 mmol). This mixture was stirred for 28 h during which time the reaction was allowed to attain room temperature. The solution was washed with H₂O (x2), and the aqueous layers were extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaICO₃ (x3) and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed to provide unre **148** (46.1 mg, 80%): mp 32–33°C; IR (film) ν_{max} ; 1715 (br) cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & (CDCl₂): 1.174 (3H, s), 1.182 (3H, s), 1.53–1.95 (6H, mm), 2435 (1H, m, methine), 2.777 (4H, br s), and 3.668 (3H, s), 2.444 (1H, m), and 3.381 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR δ (CDCl₃) (attached H's): 22.7 (3), 22.9 (3), 27.8 (2), 34.1 (2), 34.6 (2), 34.8 (2), 35.2 (2), 43.5 (0), 48.2 (1), 51.6 (3), 62.4 (0), 177.0 (0), 215.3 (0), and 215.6 (0); ¹³C NMR δ (C₆C₆): 23.0, 23.4, 28.2, 34.6 (2C), 34.7, 35.4, 43.8, 48.9, 51.3, 62.3, 176.9, 214.3, and 214.5; MS m/z (%): 252 (0.4, M⁺), 250 (1), 193 (10), 191 (10), 190 (15), 151 (16), 150 (22), 149 (36), 102 (100), 87 (16), 55 (15),and 41 (26). Anal. calcd. for C₁₄H₂₀O₄: C 66.65, H 7.99; found: C 66.62, H 7.99.

Attempted intramolecular titanium-induced ketone-ester coupling in 148

Reaction media based on TiCl₃/Zn-Cu, TiCl₃/Zn-Ag couple as well as TiCl₃/LiAIH₄ all gave complex mixtures along with significant amounts of recovered starting material 148. (PCC oxidation of the resulting crude product did not appear to lead to a simpler mixture.)

Chapter 2

A VERY SHORT SYNTHESIS OF 3-METHOXYESTRA-1,3,5,8,14-PENTAEN-17-ONE

I. Introduction

Estrone (153) was isolated in 1929 from the urine of pregnant women, and it was the ⁴rst steroid hormone obtained in pure form. Estrone was originally considered as the main estrogenic hormone, but it has been recognized that estradiol (154) is the primary estrogen secreted by the ovary. Both compounds have been interrelated chemically as well as enzymatically with each other and with some structurally similar metabolites. Estrone was also isolated from all the major classes of vertebrates, from higher plants, and from some species of beetles.⁶³



The pronounced physiological activity of estrone and its position as a precursor to commercially important 19-norsteroids has made it the object of numerous, often ingenious, synthetic strategies. In addition, its well defined structure provides an excellent opportunity to test new reactions and to explore their stereochemistry.⁶⁴ In general, the basic framework of steroids 155, with the four rings designated A, B, C, and D, can be constructed starting with either one or several of the rings containing appropriate functionality for the addition of the remaining rings by aldol condensation, acid-initiated cyclization, Diels-Alder reaction, or other bond-forming reactions. The various synthetic approaches may be conceptualized in an abbreviated form as $AB \rightarrow ABCD$, $ABD \rightarrow ABCD$, etc., depending on the sequence in which the individual rings are added or formed.^{54e} Many successful approaches to estrone have been reported, but only a small selection of typical ones will be briefly discussed here, due to space limitations.

Regarding the early approaches to estrone, particularly noteworthy are the Torgov synthesis⁶⁵ (Scheme 33) and the Hughes-Smith synthesis⁶⁶ (Scheme 34). The Torgov approach belongs to the ABD → ABCD category. The reaction of vinyl carbinol 156 with 2-methylcyclopentane-1.3-dione (157), known as the Torgoy reaction, was thought to be base-catalyzed, and at first it was generally achieved in the presence of about 10% of a quaternary ammonium hydroxide, Triton B, in 50-60% yield. 65,66b,67 However, no reaction was observed when a full molar equivalent of base was utilized. In fact, 70% yield of the tricyclic diketone 160 was obtained when the reaction was carried out in the absence of base, thus showing that the reaction is not base-catalyzed; the reaction requires the free β -diketone 157 instead of the corresponding anion, and it may proceed via the ion pair 158 and hence to 160.68, 69 The enol ether intermediate 159, which could afford 160 by Cope rearrangement, was found to be impossible by some 18O-labeling studies.68 Cyclization and dehydration of 160 under strongly acidic conditions led to the tetracyclic estrapentaene 161, a key intermediate of the Torgov route to estrone (153). The introduction of the requisite trans-anti-trans stereochemistry into 161 was accomplished by a two-step reductive sequence. Hydrogenation of the 14.15 - double bond occurred mainly from the α -side. resulting in the 8-dehydroestrone methyl ether (162), which, in turn, was converted to the estrone methyl ether (163) by Birch reduction and re-oxidation at C-17. Finally, demethylation under strongly acidic conditions led to estrone (153) in high yield. The yield of the Torgov reaction was improved when the crystalline isothiuronium acetate 164, available from the unstable vinyl carbinol 156, was coupled with 157. In this way, the tricyclic dione 160 was isolated in 90% yield.^{68, 69a}

Scheme 33



(a) MeOH, HCI; (b) $H_{2^{*}}$ Pd–CaCO₃; (c) K/NH₃; (d) CrO₃; (e) HOAe, HBr; (f) HOAe, SC/(NH₂)₂.

The Hughes-Smith synthesis can be classified as an AD \rightarrow ABCD approach.⁶⁶ A mixture of Mannich base 166 and vinyl ketone 165 was condensed with 157 under basic conditions to furnish the bicyclic trione 167. The closure of the B,C rings was accomplished at the same time with *para*-toluenesulfonic acid (*p*TSA) in refluxing benzene to give the estrapentaene 161 in high yield.

Scheme 34



Danishevsky and coworkers⁷⁰ developed an ingenious synthesis of (+)-estrone utilizing 6-substituted α -picolines as A ring synthons in a variant of the Robinson annulation process as outlined in Scheme 35. Addition of the enone 168 to 157 gave the prochiral bicyclic trione 169 in quantitative yield. Asynmetric cyclization of 169 with L-phenylalanine and perchloric acid led to (+)-170 of 86% optical purity in 82% yield. This compound was converted into 171 in 45% yield in three steps. Birch reduction of 171 and hydrolysis of the intermediate bisenamine 172 yielded 1,5diketone 173, which cyclized to enone 174 in 90% yield. Deketalization of 174 with aueuous acid and Jones oxidation were followed by acid-catabyzed cyclization of the resultant triketone. The crude dienedione 175 thus obtained was transformed into (+)-estrone in three steps.

Scheme 35



(a)Et₃N, EtOAc; (b) L-phenylalanine, HClO₄; (c) Na/NH₃; (d) NaOH, EtOH;
 (e) H₃O⁺; (f) Jones oxidation; (g) pTSA, HOAc.

Salle

Johnson and coworkers⁷¹ reported a stereoselective synthesis of (\pm) -estrone based on a biomimetic olefin-cyclization strategy as outlined in Scheme 36. The Wittig reaction of *m*-methoxyphenylpropionaldehyde (176) with the phosphonium iodide 177 resulted in a 65% yield of olefin 178. The key intermediate 179 for the polyene cyclization was obtained from 178 by ketal hydrolysis, cyclization and reduction. Scheme 36



(a) PhLi; (b) HCl, EtOH; (c) NaOH; (d) NaAlH₂(OCH₂CH₂OCH₃)₂, THF; (e) SnCl₄.

Compound 179 underwent cyclization in the presence of tin(IV) chloride in dichloromethane leading to the isomeric tetracyclic compounds 180 and 181 in the ratio of 4.3 : 1, isolated in 59% and 12% yields, respectively. Fortunately, the cyclization of the 3-trimethylsilyl ether 182 proceeded cleanly to give, after solvolysis with methanol and benzoylation with benzoyl chloride, tetracycles 183 and 184 in a ratio of 20: 1. Both 180 and 183 were converted into (\pm) -estrone in a few steps. The Johnson approach belongs to the A \rightarrow AD \rightarrow ABCD category.

An alternative route to estrone was based on the retro-synthetic analysis shown in Scheme 37. The stereospecific construction of the B, C rings could be achieved in one step via an intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of an intermediate like 185. The ortho-xylylene moiety contained in 185 could be generated via thermal, photochemical, fluoride-initiated and transition metal-mediated routes.

Scheme 37



In Grieco's synthesis⁷² of esirone (Scheme 38), thermolysis of benzocyclobutene **186** and trapping of the intermediate *ontho*-xylylene **187** with the internal dienophile generated the trans-anti-trans steroid **188** in high yield. The *ontho*-xylylene can also be derived thermally by means of cheletropic elimination of sulfur dioxide, and this methodology was successfully applied in Oppolzer's⁷³ synthesis of estradiol (154) (Scheme 38). Thus, thermolysis of **189** in refluxing 1,2,4 - trichlorobenzene proceeded cleanly via 190 to give an 80% yield of *trans - anti-trans 3* -cyanoestratiene **191**.

Photolysis of ortho-toluyl ketonus can result in hydroxy ortho-xylylene, which can be trapped with internai dienophiles. Based on this concept, Quinkert and





















coworkers⁷⁴ devised the synthesis of estrone shown in Scheme 39. Thus, compound 192 was exposed to long wavelength UV light at 98°C in methylphenol to furnish 194 and a small amount of the 9β -hydroxy isomer.





Saegusa and coworkers^{75a} developed a synthesis of (\pm) -estrone involving the ortho-xylylene 196, which was generated by fluoride ion desilylation of ortho- $(\alpha$ -trimethylsilylalkyl)benzyltrimethyl ammonium salt 195 (Scheme 40). The reaction was carried out in acetonitrile under reflux for 1.5 hours, and (\pm) -estrone methyl ether (163) (containing 7-8% of the C-9 β -H isomer) was isolated in 86% yield. A similar strategy was employed in Magnus^{75b} approach. Compound 197 was treated with cesium fluoride in diglyme at 27°C for 20 hours leading, via ortho-xylylene 198, to (\pm) -liar-hydroxyestrone methyl ether (199) in 70% yield. The mild conditions

Scheme 40



- 79 -

based on a chair – like transition state, and compound **207** was the major stereoisomer because the bulky dihydronaphthalene group directed the bond formation during the second Claisen rearrangement process. Ozonolysis of aldehyde **207** and epimerization of the resulting tricarbonyl compound with sodium methoxide in methanol furnished a 69% yield of the diketone aldehyde **208**, which was converted into the tetracyclic compound **209** in 56% yield via the McMurry coupling reaction.

Scheme 42



(a) heat; (b) O₃; (c) NaOMe, MeOH; (d) TiCl₃, Zn-Ag.

Most recently, Mikami and coworkers⁷⁷ designed a novel asymmetric synthesis of (-)-estrone involving tandem Claisen-ene reactions (Scheme 43). Thus, a toluene solution of 211 and cyclic enol ether 210 in the presence of 2,6-dimethylphenol (10% mol) was heated in a sealed tube at 180°C for 60 hours. The reaction proceeded via the intermediate 212 to provide, after acidic treatment, 215 in 76% yield. Like in Ziegler's case, the stereochemistry of the first Claisen rearrangement can be interfor the cycloadditions in both cases were particularly noteworthy.

Vollhardt *et al.*⁷⁶ reported a novel synthesis of estrone based on the cooligomerization of a $\alpha\omega$ -diacetylene and a monoacetylene in the presence of a cyclopentadienylcobalt dicarbonyl catalyst (Scheme 41). Co-oligomerization of **200** with bistrimethylsilylacetylene (201) in the presence of five mol percent of CpCo(CO)₂ catalyst proceeded *via* 202 and 203 to yield in a chemo-, regio-, and stereospecific manner the steroid **204** in 71% yield. It was particularly noteworthy that the A, B and C rings were constructed in one operation (i.e. $D \rightarrow ABCD$). The bis-silylated estrotrienone 204 was converted into estrone in three steps.

Scheme 41



(a) CpCo(CO)2, 140 °C.

Ziegler and Lim⁵⁵ applied the tandem Cope-Claisen rearrangements to the synthesis of (\pm) -estrone (Scheme 42). Compound 205 on thermolysis underwent the tandem rearrangement $205 \rightarrow 206 \rightarrow 207$ to result in aldehyde 207 in 35% yield. The stereochemical outcome of the first Cove rearrangement can be easily rationalized preted by assuming a chair-like transition state, and the formation of compound 214 was attributed to the bulky dihydronaphthalene group, which directed the bond formation during the second ene reaction process. The transformation of the hydrolyzed tandem product 215 to (+)-estrone was accomplished by following Ziegler's procedure.

Scheme 43



(a) heat; (b) HCl, THF; (c) O3; (d) NaOMe, MeOH.





The synthetic approaches described above are only a few among many. After an examination of a number of syntheses of estrone, we realized that the D ring of the steroid was derived in many cases from either 2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (157) or 2-methyl-2-cyclopenten-1-one (216) (Scheme 44). We felt that the geminal acylation reaction could provide a general approach to the D ring of the steroid. Our synthesis was based on the retrosynthetic analysis as shown in Scheme 45. The estrapentaene 161, the key intermediate of the Torgov route, could be prepared

Scheme 45



from the tricyclic diketone 160 or 217. It was hoped that the Lewis acid-catalyzed reaction of either ketal 218 or 219 with 109 following our general one-pot procedure could provide the diketone 160 and/or 217. The following section describes our detailed study of this synthetic approach.⁷⁸

II. Results and Discussion

We envisioned that the cyclic ketal 218 (or 219) could be obtained by the addition of chloro-ketal 221a/b to 6-methoxy-1-tetralone (220) (Scheme 46), and we were confident that the chloro-ketal 221a/b in turn should be available from 4chlorobutanone.

Scheme 46



Indeed, the ketalization of 4-chlorobutanone (222) with ethylene glycol in the presence of PPTS in benzene under reflux gave, after vacuum distillation, a mixture of the desired ketal 221a along with small amount of the starting ketone and a considerable amount of some unidentified material. The ¹H NMR spectrum (60 MHz) of 221a showed a singlet at δ 3.85 for the four methylene protons of the diaxolane system and a singlet at δ 1.25 for the methyl group. The methyl group of the starting material amount of starting material by fractional distillation as well as column chromatograhy were unsuccessful. When 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol was utilized, a similar result was obtained except that the small amount of starting material was easily removed during the fractional distillation process.

Scheme 47



Faced with the difficulty of purifying the chloroketal 221a/b, we turned to an alternative preparation. Larson and coworkers⁷⁹ developed a convenient procedure for the synthesis of 2-(2-haloethyl)-1,3-dioxane 223a/b in a one-pot, two-step reaction as shown in Scheme 48. Considering the fact that the iodo-ketal cannot be stored for as long as the bromo-ketal, and that the ketal derived from 2,2-, dimethyl-1,3-propanediol 221b can be more easily purified than the corresponding ketal derived from ethylene glycol 221a, we decided to use 2-(2-bromoethyl) - 2,5,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (224) for our synthesis. Thus, following the procedure of Larson*et al.*, a mixture of 3-buten-2-one, sodium bromide and chlorotrimethyl-silane in acetonitrile was stirred overnight at room temperature. After addition of 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol, the mixture was stirred for another five hours. The colorless product was obtained in 58% yield after vacuum distillation. Although the boiling point of this product seemed to be quite constant, GC-MS analysis showed that it was a mixture of xuo compounds in a ratio of 77: 23. The major component showed the base peaks at*m*/2 223 and 221 (M⁺ - Me), indicating that it was the



expected bromoketal 224. The minor component showed the base peaks at m/z 179 and 177 (M⁺ - Me), which corresponded to the chloro-ketal 221b. In fact, the mass spectrum of the minor product was identical with that of 221b prepared from 4chlorobutanone (see Scheme 47). Accordingly, the two halogen-bearing methylenes of 224 and 221b were found as multiplets at 6 3.525 and 6 3.685, respectively, in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture. In addition, the ¹³C NMR spectrum showed signals at δ 98.4 and 97.8 for the ketal carbons of the bromo- and chloro-derivatives. It was interesting to note that the 2-(2-bromoethyl)-1,3-dioxane (223a), prepared by Larson *et al.*,⁷⁹ was also contaminated with 40% of some impurity which they claimed could not be removed by column chromatography on silica gel. We can suggest that their unidentified impurity was also the corresponding chloro-ketal. Clearly, the chloride was derived from the chlorotrimethylsilane. Since both the chloro- and bromo-ketals could be used for our synthesis, it was unnecessary to attempt to separate those compounds. The mixture of ketals **224/221b** was prepared in multigram quantities, and it could be stored in a freezer for several months without much decomposition.

Next, the addition of the ketals 224/221b to 6-methoxy-1-tetralone (220) was investigated (Scheme 49). Our initial plan was to make an organometallic derivative of the ketals 225 and then to add it to 220. Thus, the mixture of ketals were allowed to react with magnesium turnings or lithium slices in refluxing tetrahydrofuran. The reactions were found to be extremely slow even with ultrasonic irradiation. We turned to a modified Barbier reaction with ultrasonic irradiation reported by Luche and Damiano.80 To a tetrahydrofuran solution of a lithium-sodium alloy were added the tetralone 220 and an excess of the ketals 224/221b, and the mixture was irradiated in the water bath of an ultrasonic laboratory cleaner. The products we obtained after chromatography on silica gel were the desired alcohol-ketal 226 in a vield of 76%. and small amount of the diketal 227 (derived from the Wurtz coupling of 224/221b). and 19% of the starting material tetralone 220 was recovered. Several attempts to improve the yield of 226 by increasing the proportions of the lithium-sodium alloy and the ketals 224/221b met with little success. The IR spectrum of the alcohol-ketal 226 showed an absorption maximum for the hydroxyl group at 3460 cm⁻¹. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, $C-9^{\circ}$ appeared at δ 71.4. The structure the side product 227 was derived mainly from the mass spectrum and the following ¹³C NMR data. The spectrum showed eight resonances: two quarternary carbons (δ 98.9 and 29.9), of which the former was a ketal carbon, three methyl groups (6 20.4, 22.5, and 22.7), and three methylenes (37.7, 23.6, and 70.2), of which the last one was attached to oxygen.

[·] Carbons are identified by steroid numbering.



As we had anticipated, the ketal-alcohol 226 underwent dehydration very easily. In fact, when this compound was stored at room temperature for one week, we were left with a mixture that included 30% of the unsaturated compound 219b, 2% of the unsaturated ketone 228, along with 64% of the tertiary alcohol 226 (Scheme 50). These were separated by column chromatography on silica gel. Surprisingly, none of the alternative unsaturated ketal 218b appeared to be formed during the dehydration. The IR spectrum of 219b showed absorption maxima for the aromatic ring as well as



the 9,10-double bond, at 1610, 1500, and 1255 cm⁻¹. The proton on C-8 was found as a triplet at δ 5.759 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. In addition, the olefinic carbons showed resonances at δ 122.0 and 128.1. The position of the double bond was unambiguously established by a COSY spectrum with the assistance of ¹³C NMR, APT, and ¹³C-¹H correlation spectra. If the dehydration product were **218b**, then the allylic protons on C-12 should be vicinally coupled only with the olefinic proton on C-11. On the other hand, if the product were **219b**, then the allylic methylene (C-7) should be vicinally coupled to both the olefinic proton and to another methylene (C-6). The COSY spectrum (Figure 5) indicated the latter situation. The IR spectrum of the unsaturated methyl ketone **228** showed an absorption maximum for a carbonyl at 1715 cm⁻¹, and the methyl adjacent to the ketone was observed as a singlet at δ 2.140 in its ¹H NMR spectrum. Compound **219b** was produced in 96% yield by heating a benzene solution of **226** at reflux with 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol in the presence of small amount of PPTS.

Scheme 50







With the alcohol-ketal 226 and unsaturated ketal 219b in hand, the stage was set for the crucial geminal acylation reaction as in Scheme 51. Since the cyclization of the C ring (i.e. 217 → 161) is normally acid-catalyzed, we expected that the conversion of 226 (or 219b) to 161 could be achieved in one-pot by treatment with 1.2bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (109) and BF₂·Et₂O, followed by addition of a protic acid. Thus, compound 219b was exposed to four equivalents of 109 and fifteen equivalents of BF2·Et2O at -78°C following our general procedure. GC-MS analysis revealed that the crude product consisted of 83% of 217, whose mass spectrum showed a strong molecular ion at m/z 298. By GC-MS and by TLC, not even a trace of estrapentaene 161 was detected in the reaction mixture. The C ring closure was not accomplished by involving an even longer reaction period or by addition of more BF2.Et2O. However, when trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was added to a solution of crude 217 at room temperature, aqueous work-up provided a 91% vield of crude 161 as a pale brown powder. This crude product was proved to be very nearly pure by GC-MS analysis. Flash chromatography on silica gel gave only a 44% yield of very pure estrapentaene 161 as colorless crystals, whose melting point was 110-110.5°C. The melting point was not changed on admixture with an authentic sample kindly provided by Dr. Z. Stojanac' of the University of New Brunswick, and our melting point was in good agreement with the literature.65 The IR spectrum of 161 showed an absorption maximum characteristic of a five-membered ring (D ring) ketone at 1740 cm⁻¹. The C-18 methyl group appeared as singlet at δ 1.132 and the proton on C-15 as a broad triplet at 6 5.833 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. All spectroscopic data of our 161 were in full agreement with those of the authentic sample. The same result was obtained when the alcohol-ketal 226 was directly subjected to the geminal acylation reaction followed by

We are very grateful to Dr. Z. Stojanac for kindly providing the samples of 3-methoxyestra-1,3,5,8,14-pentaen-17-one (161) and 3-methoxyestra-1,3,5,8-tetraen-17-one (162).

addition of TFA. We feared that the relatively low isolated yield of 161 (44%) arose from the destruction of 161 on silica gel during flash chromatography; the crude yield had been high (91%). This was confirmed in two ways. We repeated the reaction in the presence of an internal reference compound^{*} (anthracene), and we converted the crude product 161 into 162 by catalytic hydrogenation over Pd-CaCO₃ before purification (Scheme 51). Column chromatography of the crude hydrogenated material provided a 70% yield of 162, whose melting point ($117-118^{\circ}$ C) was identical with that of an authentic sample provided by Dr. Z. Stojanac. (The mixed melting point with an authentic sample was also unchanged.) Furthermore, the spectra of our compound were in full agreement with those of an authentic sample.

In summary, the estrapentaene 161 was prepared from 6-methoxy-1-tetralone (220) by an efficient two-pot procedure in which the D ring was derived from the

$$\frac{N_P}{N_R} = K \left(\frac{n_P}{n_R} \right)_{GC-MS}$$
(5)

$$K = \frac{(N_P/N_R)}{(n_P/n_R)_{00\to 00}}$$
(6)

$$N_P = K \left(\frac{n_P}{n_R} \right)_{GC-MS} N_R \tag{7}$$

Actual Yield =
$$\frac{N_P}{N_S} \times 100\%$$
 (8)

The actual chemical yield of 236 to 164 was calculated based on the internal reference anthracene as follows. Here N₂, N₆, and N₂ Stand for the number of moles of product, reference, and starting materials, respectively, $(n_{1}/n_{2})_{C-2}$, with 64 GC-MS ratio of the product to reference; and K is the coversion constant which could be used to covere the GC-MS ratio to the actual molar ratio of the product to the reference. For a given creation, N₆, $(n_{2}/n_{2})_{C-2}$, with known. Thus, the actual number of moles of the product (V₂) could be calculated from equation (7) if K is known. The measurement of the conversion constant K was carried out by dissolving measured amounts of authenic product (P) and anthracence (P) in GLP, followed by CC-MS analysis. A simple calculation following equation (6) provided a k value of 0.49. When 0.81 mmol letal=aloolo 226 (N₂ = 0.51) and 0.52 mmol of anthraces (P) in GLP, and 0.52 mmol value of the product (P) was 0.67. Finally the actual yield (85%) was derived from equation (8).

Scheme 51



.
geminal acylation reaction involving cyclobutene 109. This strategy may be applicable also to the synthesis of some other steroids such as $2-azaestratriene 229.^{81}$



III. Experimental

2-(2-Bromoethyl)-2,5,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (224)

3-Buten-2-one (8.1 mL, 0.10 mol) was added to a stirred suspension of sodium bromide (15.4 g, 0.15 mol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (250 mL) under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen, then chlorotrimethylsilane (19.0 mL, 0.15 mol) was introduced by syringe over a period of 5 min. Stirring was continued at room temperature overnight. 2,2-Dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (15.6 g, 0.15 mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for a further 4 h. The mixture was poured into a flask containing petroleum ether (300 mL) and 8% aqueous Na2CO3 (100 mL) then stirred thoroughly and transferred to a separatory funnel: three layers were clearly evident. The lowest (aqueous) layer was removed, and 5% aqueous sodium thiosulfate (100 mL) was added: only two layers were visible. The organic layer was washed with water (x2), saturated NaCl, then dried over anhydrous MgSO4. The solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was distilled under vacuum to provide a mixture of 224 and 221b (the corresponding chloro compound) (12.2 g, 58%) in a ratio of 77 : 23, respectively (by GC-MS), as a colorless liquid, bp 63-64°C/0.1 Torr: for 224 ¹H NMR &: 0.855 (3H, s) and 1.032 (3H, s) (C-5 methyls), 1.385 (3H, s, C-2 methyl), 2.279 (2H, m, -CH2CH2Br), 3.406 (2H, d, J = 11.4 Hz, -CH2O-), 3.525 (2H, m, -CH2Br), 3.581 (2H, d, J = 11.4 Hz, -CH,O-); ¹³C NMR & 20.0 (C-2 methyl), 22.4 and 22.8 (C-5 methyls), 27.0 (-CH2Br), 29.8 (C-5), 42.9 (-CH2CH2Br), 70.4 (2C, C-4 and C-6), 98.4 (C-2); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): no M+, 223 (21, M+ - Me), 221 (20, M⁺ - Me), 153 (11), 151 (10), 137 (11), 135 (10), 129 (71), 107 (10), 69 (44), 56 (43), 55 (15), 43 (100) and 41 (51). For 221b 1H NMR as for 224 except &: 1.396 (3H, s, C-2 methyl), 2.190 (2H, m, -CH2CH2Cl), 3.685 (2H, m, -CH2Cl); 13C NMR as for

For General Procedures, sec 1.111

224 except & 39.5 (−CH₂Cl), 42.3 (−CH₂CH₂Cl), 97.8 (C−2); MS (from GC−MS) m/z (%): no M⁺, 179 (10, M⁺ − Me), 177 (35, M⁺ − Me), 129 (54), 109 (9), 107 (23), 93 (7), 91 (21), 69 (50), 56 (54), 55 (23), 43 (100) and 41 (41).

Alcohol-ketal 226

A flask containing lithium metal (60.5 mg, 8.72 mmol) and sodium metal (4 mg) under vacuum was heated with a heat gun to alloy the metals." The flask was cooled and an atmosphere of dry nitrogen was introduced. Dry THF (50 mL) was added followed by 220 (227.5 mg, 1.29 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) and a mixture of 224 and 221b (1.80 mL, 9.77 mmol). The flask was placed in an ultrasonic cleaning bath (Branson) and irradiated at room temperature for 4 h. After concentration of the mixture in vacuo, diethyl ether (30 mL) and saturated aqueous NH,Cl (30 mL) were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x4). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaCl (30 mL), dried over anhydrous MgSO₄, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Flash chromatography of the residue (3% acetone in petroleum ether) provided 226 (326.1 mg, 76%), the recovered 220 (42.0 mg, 19%) and the diketal 227 (30.1 mg). For 226^{**} IR (film) ν_{max} : 3460 (broad) and 1610 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 0.887 (3H, s) and 1.026 (3H, s) (dioxane's C-5 methyls), 1.371 (3H, s, C-18H2), 1.6-2.05 (8H, br m), 2.20 (1H, s, -OH, disappears with D2O shake), 2.75 (2H, m, C-6H₂), 3.433 (2H, d, J = 11.5 Hz, -CH₂O-), 3.559 (2H, d, J = 11.5 Hz, -CH₂O-), 3.780 (3H, s, -OCH₂), 6.590 (1H, d, J = 2.5 Hz, C-4H), 6.775 (1H, dd, J = 2.5, 8.7 Hz, C-2H), 7.482 (1H, d, J = 8.7 Hz, C-1H); ¹³C NMR & 19.7 (C-7), 20.4 (C-18), 22.4 and 22.7 (dioxane's C-5 methyls), 29.7 (dioxane's C-5), 30.1 (C-6), 32.4, 35.6 and 35.9 (C-8, C-11 and C-12), 55.0 (-OCH2), 70.1 (2C, -CH2O-),

We thank Dr. Gervais Bérubé for showing us the preparation of the Na-Li alloy.

[&]quot; Carbons are identified by steroid numbering.

71.4 (C−9), 98.8 (C−13), 112.4 (C−2), 112.8 (C−4), 127.6 (C−1), 134.8 and 138.0 (C−5 and C−10), 158.1 (C−3); MS m/z (%): no M⁺, 316 (5, M⁺−H₂O), 175 (13), 174 (68), 172 (17), 129 (14), 85 (65), and 83 (100). For **227**: mp 69−70°C; ¹H NMR *&*: 0.906 (6H, s) and 0.999 (6H, s) (dioxanes' C−5 methyls), 1.353 (6H, s, dioxanes' C−2 methyls), 1.422 (4H, m) and 1.711 (4H, m) (methylenes), 3.444 (4H, d, J = 11.3 Hz) and 3.530 (4H, d, J = 11.3 Hz) (−CH₂O− signals); ¹¹C NMR *&*: 20.4 (dioxanes' C−2 methyls), 2.25 and 22.7 (dioxanes' C−5 methyls), 23.6 (methylenes *β* to dioxanes), 29.9 (dioxanes' C−5's); 37.7 (methylenes α to dioxanes), 70.2 (−CH₂O−), 98.9 (dioxanes' C−2's); MS m/z (%): no M⁺, 299 (17, M⁺ − 15), 213 (7), 129 (100), 125 (27), 84 (16), 81 (29), 71 (19), 69 (77). 56 (31), 43 (100) and 41 (57).

Unsaturated ketal 219b

Approximately 8 mg of PPTS was added to a solution of 226 (105.6 mg, 0.32 mmol) and 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol (99 mg, 0.95 mmol) in benzene. After heating at reflux with a Barrett water-separator overnight the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 219b (95.4 mg, 96%), which crystallized in the freezer, mp 46–47°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1610, 1500 and 1255 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.920 (3H, s) and 1.029 (3H, s) (dioxane's C –5 methyls), 1909 (2H, m, C-12H₂), 2.218 (2H, m, C – 7H₂), 2.557 (2H, m, C – 11H₂), 2.707 (2H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, C – 6H₂), 3.473 (2H, d, J = 11.3 Hz, -CH₂O -), 3.573 (2H, d, J = 11.3 Hz, -CH₂O -), 3.773 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$), 5.759 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, C -8H), 6.708 (1H, apparent s, C – 4H), 6.723 (1H, partially overlapped, C – 2H), and 7.223 (1H, distreted d, C – 1H); ¹³C NMR & 20,7 (C – 18), 22.5 and 22.8 (dioxane's C – 5 methyls), 23.0 (C – 7), 26.5 (C – 11), 28.9 (C – (2), 94.9 (C – 13), 110.8 and 113.7 (C – 2 and C – 4), 122.0 (C – 8), 122.8 (C – 1), 128.1 (C –), 1560 and 138.5 (C – 5 methyls), 22.0 (M = /2.3); MS m/z (%): 316 (5, M⁺), 301 (3).

230 (5), 199 (5), 187 (17), 174 (82), 172 (29), 129 (81), 83 (20), 69 (55) and 43 (100). When this procedure was carried out for 3 hours in the absence of the diol, flash chromatography provided **219b** (81%) and **228** (6%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1715, 1610, 1500 and 1255 em⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 2.140 (3H, s, C-18H₃), 2.16-2.25 (2H) and 2.62-2.73 (6H) (methylenes), 3.790 (3H, s, $-OCH_3$, 5.719 (1H, t, J = 4.5 Hz, C-8H), 6.71 (2H, m, C-2H and C-4H), and 7.129 (1H, apparent d, J = 8.7 Hz, C-1H); ¹³C NMR &: 23.0, 26.7, 28.8, 30.1, 42.6, 55.2, 110.8, 113.9, 122.8, 123.5, 127.4, 134.8, 138.6, 158.4 (28), time m/c (%): 230 (8, M⁺), 187 (8), 172 (22), 125 (12), 111 (17), 107 (10), 97 (13), and 43 (100). *Exact Mass*: calcd. for C₁₅H₁₀Q₂; 230.1306; found: 230.1310.

3-Methoxyestra-1,3,5,8,14-pentaen-17-one (161)

With isolation of pure 161

A solution of 226 (271.2 mg, 0.81 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (30 mL) under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen was cooled to -78° C before BF₃7Et₂O (1.50 mL, 12.19 mmol). A solution of 109 (0.86 mL, 3.24 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) was added slowly. The mixture was stirred overnight as it was allowed to reach room temperature. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (3 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for a further 4 h. Water was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3). The combined organic solutions were washed with H₂O (×2), saturated NaHCO₃ (×2) and saturated NaCl, then dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to give 161 (226.8 mg, 91%) as a pale brown powder, mp 106-110°C. Flash chromatography (2% acetone in petroleum ether) provided a very pure fraction (100.4 mg, 44%) as colorless crystals, mp 110-110.5°C (mixed mp 110-110.5°C with similarly purified 161 synthesized by Torgov's method⁶⁵); IR (Nujol) ν_{max} ; 1740 and 1610 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.132 (3H, s, C-18H₃), 1.590 (1H, m) and 2.034 (1H, br d, J = 3.6, 12.9 Hz) (C-12H₂), 2.300 (1H, m) and 2.55-2.67 (3H, m) (C-7H₂ and C-11H₃), 2.794 (2H, t, J = 7.8 Hz, C-6H₃), 2.926 (1H, dd, J = 2.8, 23.4 Hz) and 3.309 (1H, br d, J = 23.4 Hz) (C-16H₂), 3.804 (3H, s, -OCH₃), 5.853 (1H, br t, $W_{1/2} = 6$ Hz, C-15H), 6.724 (1H, apparent s, C-4H), 6.739 (1H, partially overlapped, C-2H), and 7.243 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz, C-1H); ¹³C NMR & 20.5 (C-18), 22.6 and 22.9 (C-7 and C-11), 27.2 (C-12), 28.3 (C-6), 41.8 (C-16), 48.9 (C-13), 55.1 (-OCH₃), 111.0 and 113.5 (C-2 and C-4), 114.6 (C-15), 124.0 (C-1), 125.2, 128.5, 129.7, 138.0 and 146.8 (olefinic and aromatic), 158.5 (C-3), and 220.0 (C-17); MS m/z (%): 280 (64, M⁺), 252 (100), 237 (34), 223 (11), 178 (10), and 165 (16). A chemical yield of 83% was calculated by GC-MS analysis of a reaction involving **226** admixed with anthracene. Reactions starting with **219b** gave **161** in very similar yields. GC-MS analysis of reaction mixtures prior to the addition of TFA showed the mixtures to be composed very predominantly of **217**: MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 298 (50, M⁺), 280 (8), 241 (18), 240 (17), 228 (100), 227 (52), 171 (23), 165 (22), 153 (23), 141 (22), 128 (26), 115 (30), and 43 (58).

With hydrogenation of crude 161

Alcohol-ketal 226 (304.5 mg, 0.9104 mmol) with 109 (0.73 mL) and BF₃Et₂O (1.68 mL, 13.65 mmol) provided crude 161 after addition of TFA following the procedure above. This was dissolved in toluene (30 mL) and 5% Pd-CaCO₃ (90 mg) was added. The reaction was maintained under 1 atmosphere of H₂ until 24 mL of H₂ was taken up. The catalyst was removed and the filtrate was concentrated *in vacuo*. Flash chromatography (2% acetone in petroleum ether) afforded 3- methoxyestra-1,3,5,8-tetraen-17-one (162) (178.5 mg, 69%) as colorless crystals, mp 117-118°C (iii.⁶⁵ 120-121°C), which were identical spectroscopically with material prepared by reduction of the 161 that had been synthesized by Torgov's method.⁶⁵ mixed mp 117-118°C.

Chapter 3

THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF (±)-PENTALENENE AND (±)-epi-PENTALENENE

I. Introduction

Pentalenene⁸² (230), pentalenic acid⁸³ (231), and deoxypentalenic acid glucuron⁸⁴ (232a) were isolated, together with pentalenolactone^{85,86} (233), from fermentation broths of several species of Streptomyces. This group of angularly fused triguinanes, along with the sesquiterpenes isocomene^{87,88} (234), silphinene^{89,90} (235), 5-oxosilphiperfolene^{91,92} (236), and subergorgic acid^{93,94}(237), the diterpene laurenene^{95,96} (238) (Nature's only known fenestrane), the unusual sesterterpene retigeranic acid^{97,98} (239), and crinipellin^{99,100} (240), contain a tricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undecane moiety. Pentalenolactone (233) has antibiotic activity against a number of eukarvotic microorganisms as well as antiviral activity.^{101,102} Recent studies have revealed that pentalenolactone is a potent and specific inhibitor of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, an important enzyme in the glycolytic pathway.¹⁰³ Consistent with the notion that pentalenene (230) is the biosynthetic precursor of pentalenic acid (231) and pentalenolactone (233), labelled pentalenene has been shown to be incorporated into 231 and 233.104,105 Pentalenic acid (231) was proposed as the potential biosynthetic intermediate between pentalenene (230) and pentalenolactone (233), but this possibility was ruled out recently by means of other labelling studies.¹⁰⁵ The biosynthesis of 230, 231 and 233 was put forword by Cane and coworkers¹⁰⁵ based on the extensive investigations outlined in Scheme 52. Thus, farnesyl pyrophosphate (241) undergoes initial cyclization leading to humulene (242). Reprotonation of 242



230

















Pentalenolactone

HO2C

Isocomene 234 Silphinene

235





236





Laurenene

238







240

followed by cyclization generates the bicyclic carbocation 243. The conversion of 243 to pentalenene (230) was interpreted in terms of hydride shift, cyclization, and proton loss. Pentalenene (230) is biotransformed into 231 and 233 in several oxidative steps.

Scheme 52



The total synthesis of these architecturally intriguing molecules has captured the attention of synthetic organic chemists around the world.¹⁰⁶ These molecules are popular targets for the development of new cyclopentane annulation strategies. Additional interest in 230, 231, 232a has resulted from their interesting biosynthetic origin, the biosynthetic relationship of pentalenene (230) to other humulene-derived sesquiterpenes, and the antitumor activity of 232a.¹⁰⁷

The biosynthetic transformation of humulene (242) to the illudoids has long been considered to proceed *via* carbocation 243 to the protoilludyl cation (244).¹⁰⁸ In order to mimic this process, Ohfune and coworkers¹⁰⁹ prepared the tertiary alcohol 251 Scheme 53







247



(a) $h\nu$; (b) $Ph_3P = CH_2$; (c) $Ti(ClO_4)_3$; (d) MeMgBr; (e) HCO_2H .



as outlined in Scheme 53. Photochemical cycloaddition of enone 245 with ethylene proceeded stereoselectively to yield the cis-anti-cis cycloadduct 247 (75%) and the cis-syn-cis isomer 246 (8%). After separation, the major isomer 247 was subjected to Wittig reaction to give 248. Ring enlargement of 248 was achieved by treatment with Ti(ClO₄)₃ to provide the desired ketone 249 (57%), accompanied by the isomer 250 (19%). Compound 249 was converted quantitatively into alcohol 251 upon addition of methylmagnesium bromide. The alcohol 251 was heated in formic acid to give a 92% yield of pentalenene (230) and the tricyclic bridged formate 252 in a ratio of 3 : 7. This result can be interpreted in terms of the intermediacy of the protoilludyl cation 244. Wagner – Weerwein shift of 244 (route b) gives cation 253, which captures formate ion to provide 252. On the other hand, fragmentation of the central cyclobutane bond in 244 (route a) results in cation 243, which is converted into growters achieved the synthesis of pentalenene unintenionally four years before its isolation. This synthesis required five steps from the bicyclic enone 245; ti nuolved two isomer



separations, and provided pentalenene in 0.11% overall yield. Neither of the key rearrangement reactions (i.e. $248 \rightarrow 249$ and $251 \rightarrow 230$) was regioselective, thereby impairing greatly the efficiency of the synthesis.

Based on the biosynthetic concept, Pattenden and Mehta independently accomplished the total synthesis of pentalenene and Matsumoto prepared pentalenic acid.

Pattenden's¹¹¹ synthesis began with the ester 254, as outlined in Scheme 54. Reduction of the ester, oxidation of the primary alcohol, and addition of vinvimagnesium bromide to the resulting aldehyde followed by oxidation of the alcohol with manganese(IV) dioxide gave the enone 255 in high yield. Michael addition of malonate anion to 255, followed by decarboxymethylation of the resulting diester led to the δ -keto-ester 256. After cyclization under basic conditions, the product, an unstable cyclohexane-1,3-dione, was immediately converted into the corresponding t-butyldimethylsilyl enol ether 257. Compound 257 underwent clean, regioselective photochemical [2 + 2] cycloaddition resulting in 258, which was converted to the alcohol 259 upon addition with Me3CuLi2. The crucial Grob fragmentation was achieved in 73% yield when 259 was treated with hydrofluoric acid. The enone 260 was then converted into the penultimate cvcloocta-1.5-diene (261), a precursor to pentalenene, following Wittig reaction with methylenetriphenylphosphorane and isomerization of the resulting double bond with rhodium trichloride trihydrate. Finally, treatment of 261 with BF2 Et2O gave only a 38% yield of pentalenene (230), accompanied by a 25-35% yield of the isomeric hydrocarbon 262. The formation of 230 might proceed through carbocation intermediate 263, while 262 might arise from the alternative carbocation 264, which would undergo further rearrangement (i.e. $264 \rightarrow 265 \rightarrow$ $266 \rightarrow 267$). Pattenden's synthesis required fourteen steps from ester 254; it involved one isomer separation, and produced pentalenene in 4.66% overall yield. This synthesis could have been better if the co-production of the side product 262 had been



(a) LIAlH₄; (b) PCC; (c) vinyImagnesium bromide; (d) MnO_2 ; (e) CH₂(COOMe)₂, *t*-BuOK; (f) NaCl-H₂O-DMSO; (g) *t*-BuOK; (h) TBDMSCl, Et₃N; (i) hr, (j) Me₃CuLi₂; (k) HF; (l) Ph₃P=CH₂; (m) RhCl₃3H₂O; (n) BF₃:Et₂O.

suppressed during the final transannular cyclization (i.e. $261 \rightarrow 230$).

Mehta's¹¹² synthesis started with the commercially available 1,5-cyclooctadiene (268), as summarized in Scheme 55. Selective hydroboration of 268 with 9–BBN and successive oxidation furnished the cyclooctenone 269. To produce the diketone 271, 269 was subjected to kinetically controlled allylation with lithium hexamethyldisilazide



(LiHMDS) and the allyl group was oxidized with PdCl₂-CuCl and molecular oxygen. The stereochemistry of the methyl group next to the carbonyl in 271 was opposite to that of natural pentalenene. Therefore, 271 was equilibrated with methanolic KOH which led to a 1 : 4 mixture of 271 and desired epimer 272, from which the latter was isolated in 66% yield. When 272 was treated with sodium hydride in THF, a 4 : 1 mixture of 273 and 276 was formed. After column chromatography, the desired epimer 273 was separated in 49% yield. The crucial transannular cyclization of 273 was effected with formic acid in the presence of BF₃. Et₂O leading to the tricyclic ketone 274, via the carbonal intermediate 280, in 55% yield. The geminal dimethylation of the C-6 carbonyl group was achieved in three steps. Wittig reaction of 274 with (methoxymethyl)triphenylphosphonium chloride, and the acidic hydrolysis of the product gave aldehyde 275. After α -methylation followed by Wolff-Kishner reduction,





For reagents and conditions, see next page.



(a) 9-BBN; H₂O₂, NaOH; (b) PCC; (c) LiHMDS, allyl bromide; (d) PdCL₂-CuCl-DMF, H₂O, O₂; (e) KOH, MeOH; (f) NaH; (g) HCO₂H-BF₃Et₂O; (h) Ph₂P=CHOMe; (l) HClO₄; (j) KH, Mel; (k) NH₂NH₂, Na.

pentalenene was obtained in only 35% yield. epi-Pentalenene (279) was prepared in $\frac{1}{2,0,0,0}$ ($\frac{1}{2,0,0}$) ($\frac{1}{2$

Matsumoto's¹¹³ synthesis of pentalenic acid (231) started with a biogenetic - like cyclization of humulene (242) with $Hg(NO_3)_{2^*}$ followed by treatment with aqueous KBr to give two 10a-bromomercuri-3.6-secoprotoilludane derivatives (281) (31%) and 282 (21%) (Scheme 56). After separation, the two mercury compounds 281 and 282 were converted to two groups of corresponding 10a- and 10g-hydroxy compounds, 283 (49%) and 284 (33%), 285 (21%) and 286 (66%), respectively, following Whitesides' procedure (O_2 NaBH₄ DMF). The transformation of the 7-hydroxy compound 283 (or 284) into the corresponding exomethylene compound 286 (or 285)



(a) Hg(NO₃)₂; (b) KBr; (c) NaBH₄, O₂, DMF; (d) CrO₃; (e) NaBH₄; (f) Ac₂O, pyridine; (g) PBr₃; (h) AmONa, DMSO; (i) Li/EtNH₂; (j) BF₃:Et₂O; (K) SeO₂; (l) MnO₃; (m) KOH-MeOH.

was achieved by acetylation, bromination, and dehydrobromination. Note that the stereochemistry of the 7-hydroxy group in 285 was opposite to that of pentalenic acid. Therefore, compound 285 was converted to 286 by CrO_3 oxidation followed by NaBH₄ reduction. Compound 286 was obtained in 34% overall yield from humulene (242). Treatment of 286 with lithium in ethylamine gave the bicyclic ene-diol 287, which was cyclized in the presence of BF_3Et_2O to lead to the tricyclic molecule 288, but in only 20% yield. After oxidation with selenium dioxide, 288 provided an aldehyde, which was converted to pentalenic acid (231) by treatment with MnO_2 -KCN and successive hydrolysis with KOH-MeOH.

Matsumoto's synthesis required 12 steps from humulene (242); it involved three isomer separations, and produced pentalenic acid in 3.0% overall yield. The disadvantages of this approach were the tedious isomer separations and the crucial cyclization of 287, which was achieved in only 20% yield.

The first published report of a synthetic approach to pentalenene after its isolation came from Paquette and Annis¹¹⁴ as summarized in Scheme 57. A silvl enol ether 289 underwent smooth [2 + 2] cycloaddition with dichloroketene to furnish cyclobutanone 290 in 83% yield. Then the silicon-oxygen bond was cleaved in acidic methanol, and at the same time a methylhemiketal of the ring carbonyl was formed. This hemiketal was hydrolysed and the four-membered ring was expanded in the presence of diazomethane. The resulting cyclopentanone 291 was exposed to zinc dust in acetic acid to give the α -chloro enone 292, which, on conjugate addition with lithium bis(3-butenyl)cuprate, provided 293 in 76% yield. At this stage, the ketone 293 was added to methylmagnesium bromide, the double bond was ozonolyzed, the resulting aldehyde was protected as an acetal, and the chlorohydrin moiety was eliminated reductively to give 294 in 59% yield. Deprotection of 294 resulted in the aldehyde 295. The intramolecular cyclization of 295 with tin(IV) chloride in benzene proceeded efficiently, and the resulting tricyclic alcohol was oxidized cleanly with PCC to yield the ketone 296. Following the formation of 297 by kinetically controlled selenation of 296 and selenoxide elimination, conjugate addition of lithium dimethylcuprate provided 298 in 87.5% vield. It should be noted that the stereochemistry of the

(a) CL_CHCOCO, BLyk (b) MoOH, H_O''; (c) pTSA; H₂O; (c) CH_N_2 (c) Zn/HOA;; (l) (CH_1=CH-CH_2CH_2CuL; (g) CH_MgBr; (h) O₂: Me₂S; (l) estytene givest pTSA; (j) Na/NH₂; (k) PPTS; H₂O, acetone; (l) SeQ₄; (m) PCC; (n) LDA,



Scheme 57

OSIEt,

C-9 methyl group was incorrect in the adduct 298 relative to natural pentalenene (230). Indeed, Wolff-Kishner reduction of 298 provided an 83% yield of epipentalenene (279). Then 298 was converted to enone 299, which was subjected to Birch reduction. Again, 298 was formed exclusively. Since cuprate reactions are generally kinetically controlled and Birch reductions thermodynamically controlled, then it can be concluded that the C-9 methyl group in this tricyclic ketone strongly prefers the β -configuration, a result probably attributed to the strong non-bonded methylmethylene interaction in 300 (see F) relative to the methyl-hydrogen interaction in 298 (see G). Nevertheless, reduction of the enone 299 with (Ph₂P)₃RhCl/(C₂H₅)₃SiH provided 66% yield of 298 and 300 in a ratio of 1 : 2.24. Finally this mixture was subjected to Wolff-Kishner reduction to yield a mixture of pentalenene (230) and epipentalenene (279), of which the former was unfortunately the minor product. Paquette's synthesis required nineteen steps from 4,4-dimethylcyclopentenone; it involved one isomer separation, and produced pentalenene in 0.44% overall yield. The shortcoming associated with this approach was the poor stereochemical control of the C-9 methyl group.



Piers and Kamparatne¹¹⁵ described a total synthesis of pentalenene that employed a novel annulation strategy as shown in Scheme 58. Monoketalization of the bicyclic dione 301 with 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol, Wittig reaction of the remaining ketone, and deprotection of the ketal provided the enone 302. Since the cyclopropanation of this compound with diethylzinc-diiodomethane gave a complex mixture of products, the enone 302 was reduced with lithium aluminum hydride, and the resulting alcohol was subjected to cyclopropanation leading to 303 in 81% yield. After oxidation, the resulting ketone, upon treatment with TMSI-Et_N, was converted to the corresponding silvl enol ether, which was allowed to react with palladium(II) acetate in acetonitrile to produce enone 304 in 63% yield. Addition of the bifunctional Grignard reagent 305 to the enone 304 in the presence of copper(I) bromide-dimethyl sulfide complex led to adduct 306, which, upon treatment with base, cyclized smoothly to the tricyclic product 307, Catalytic hydrogenation of 307 resulted in a 5 : 95 mixture of 308 and 309, of which the former was the desired epimer. In fact, this mixture was converted into epi-pentalenene (279) by addition with methyllithium followed by dehydration. In order to reach pentalenene (230), 307 was isomerized in acid to compound 310, which on catalytic hydrogenation provided a 42 : 58 mixture of 308 and 309. To this mixture methyllithium was added and the resulting alcohol was dehydrated to furnish a 32% yield of pentalenene (230) and a 33% yield of epi-pentalenene (279). Piers' synthesis required twelve steps from cisbicvclo[3.3.0]octane-3.7-dione (301); it involved one isomer separation, and produced pentalenene in 4.69% overall yield. Unfortunately, the poor stereochemical control at C-9, as in the Paquette's synthesis, made this approach less elegant than it could be.

The Iwata synthesis,¹¹⁶ outlined in Scheme 59, began with 4,4-dimethyl-2cyclopenten-1-one (311). 1,2-Addition of crotylmagnesium bromide to the enone 311 afforded quantitatively the alcohol 312, which was subjected to chromic acid



(a) 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanedioi, ρTSA; (b) Ph₂P=CH₂; (c) H₂SO₄, acetone;
(d) LiAlH₄; (e) CH₂I₂-Et₂Zn; (f) PCC; (g) TMSI, Et₃N; (h) Pd(OAc)₂, MeCN; (i)
305, CuBr:Me₂S; (j) KH, THF; (k) ρTSA, CH₂Cl₂; (l) PtO₂, HOAc, H₂; (m) MeLi;
(n) ρTSA, C₄H₆.

oxidation with allylic rearrangement of the hydroxyl group. The resulting enone was converted into the alcohol **313** by reduction with lithium aluminum nydride. Treatment of the allylic alcohol **313** with ethyl vinyl ether in the presence of mercuric acetate furnished the Cope rearrangement product, an aldehyde, which was oxidized to the acid **314**. Conversion of **314** into its acid chloride, followed by treatment with diazomethane, furnished the diazo ketone **315**. Cyclopropanation of **315** with copper bronze provided the desired product **316** in 82% yield from **314** without any of the structural isomer **317**. Regioselective $C_2 - C_8$ bond cleavage of the cyclopropane ring in **316** was achieved by means of lithium/ammonia reduction leading to **318**. Protection of the ketone as a ketal, hydroboration – oxidation of the alkene, tosylation of the resulting primary alcohol, and deprotection of the ketal furnished the tosylate **319**, which underwent base – induced intramolecular cyclization leading to the mixture of **308** and **309** quantitatively. Following the procedure of Fiers, **a 1**.8: 1 mixture of pentalenene (230) and *epi*-pentalenene (279) was obtained in 77% yield. Iwata's synthesis required sixteen steps from enone **311**, and it involved one isomer separation.

Hudlicky and coworkers¹¹⁷ completed a total synthesis of pentalenene via a [2 + 3] strategy as depicted in Scheme 60. A bicyclic enone 320 was activated with BF₃ at low temperature, and the resulting complex was condensed with the lithio dienolate of ethyl 2-bromocrotonate to provide a 45% yield of vinylcyclopropane 322 (exo / endo = 1 : 1). Direct thermolysis of the cyclopropane 322 gave mainly the undesired bicyclic enone derived from the diradical cleavage of bond b. Therefore, 322 was subjected first to a Witig reaction leading to 323, which resulted in a 43 : 57 mixture of the triquinane 325 and the cleavage product 324 under pyrolytic conditions. The selective reduction of the α_{β} -unsaturated ester in the presence of the isolated olefin was successfully achieved when 325 was reacted with magnesium metal in methanol. The product was a 9 : 1 mixture of feimers 326 and 327, of which the minor possessed the



(a) crotył magnesium bromide; (b) CrO₃; (c) LiAlH₄; (d) CH₂=CH-OEI, Hg(OAc)₂; (c) Jones oxidation; (f) SOCI₂; (g) CH₂N₅; (h) Cu; (f) Li-NH₃; (f) ethylene glycol, *p*TSA; (k) B₂H₆; NaOH, H₂O₃; (f) TaCl; (m) H₂O⁺; (a) *t*-BuOK; (o) MeLi; (g) *p*TSA.



(a) LDA, 321; then 320; (b) Ph₂P=CH₂; (c) 585 °C; (d) Mg, MeOH; (e) EtONa;
(f) LiAlH₄; (g) MsCl, Et₃N; (h) LiEt₃BH; (i) pTSA, CH₂Cl₂.

same configuration at C-9 as natural pentalenene. Fortunately, base-catalyzed equilibration of the mixture of 326 and 327 provided a different ratio in which the desired epimer 327 was very slightly favored (55 : 45). After separation, 326 and 327 were individually converted in four steps into epi-pentalenene and pentalenene, respectively. These four steps were: reduction of the ester, mesylation of the primary alcohol, reduction of the mesylate, and isomerization of the double bond. Hudlicky's synthesis required nine steps from the bicyclic enone 320, and it involved one isomer separation. The [2+3] cyclopentene annulation reaction involved in the synthesis was conceptually interesting, but the poor selectivity of the diradical cleavage (i.e. bond a w.s. b in 322) rendered this approach less attractive. In addition, the stereochemical control at C-9 was problematic.

Crimmins and Deloach¹¹⁸ undertook an intramolecular photoadditioncyclobutane fragmentation approach to pentalenene, pentalenic acid and deoxypentalenic acid, as outlined in Scheme 61. The photoaddition precursor 331 was elaborated from the acetylenic diester 328 by conjugate addition of an organocopper reagent derived from 329 resulting in the product 330, which was selectively ozonolysized to the aldehyde. A Wittig reaction then gave 331. Irradiation of 331a resulted in smooth cycloaddition of the olefinic moieties to provide a 13:1 mixture of the photoadducts 332a and 333a. The cyclobutane ring of each was reductively cleaved with lithium in ammonia, which led to the β -keto-esters 334 and 335 in a ratio of 13 : 1. The stereoselectivity in the photoaddition could be improved by increasing the size of the vinyl substituent on the cyclopentenone from carbomethoxy (332a : 333a = 13 : 1) to carboethoxy (332b: 333b = 17: 1) to carboisopropoxy (332c: 333c > 20: 1). The observed stereoselectivity as well as the effect of the vinyl substituent on the cyclopentenone was interpreted in terms of Oppolzer's¹¹⁰ hypothesis that the secondary methyl group experiences a steric interaction with the vinyl substituent during the final bond formation of the cyclobutane ring, Next, hydrolysis-decarboxylation of the 13:1



(a) 339, Cul; (b) O₂ (c) Ph₂P=CH=CO₂E; (d) ba; (b) Li/NH₂: (f) HOAc=HC; (g) HC(OE)₂, pTSA; (h) (=BuOK (f) ethylene glycel, pTSA; (j) 10% HC, sectors; (k) MC, E₂A; (j) DBU; (m) LDA. Md; (s) CH₂C₄H₄OCSC, pyridine; (o) 200 °C; 20 Ton; (g) LDA, CO₂: CH₂N₂: (g) NBH₄ McOH; (r) H₂ N=C; (s) KOH; (f) A₂O-pyridine.

mixture of 334 and 335 in aqueous acid, esterification of the resulting acid, and Dieckmann cyclization produced the dione 336 in high yield. Compound 336 was converted into the keto-alcohol 337 via selective protection of the carbonyl, reduction of the remaining carbonyl with lithium in antmonia, and deprotection. Compound 337 underwent mesylation followed by elimination to give enone 338, which was transformed into alcohol 339 by α -methylation and Birch reduction. Alcohol 339 was elaborated into pentalenene in moderate yield by pyrolysis of the corresponding pcresol thiocarbonate.

For the synthesis of deoxypentalenic acid, enone 338 was converted into 340 by α -carboxylation, reduction of the ketone, and catalytic hydrogenation of the double bond. Mesylation of 340, elimination, and hydrolysis of the methyl ester furnished deoxypentalenic acid (232b).

The synthesis of pentalenic acid was achieved via the keto-alcohol 337. When it was subjected to α -carboxylation followed by esterification with diazomethane, the methyl ester 341 was formed. Sodium borohydride reduction of the ketone function in 341, acetylation, elimination of the resulting diacetate, followed by base hydrolysis afforded pentalenic acid (231).

Crimmins' syntheses of pentalenene, pentalenic acid and deoxypentalenic acid required seventeen, twenty, and seventeen steps, respectively, from the acetylenic diester **328**, and then provided pentalenene in 6.69% overall yield, deoxypentalenic acid in 4.67% overall yield, and pentalenic acid in 10.98% overall yield. For this approach, particularly noteworthy was the excellent stereochemical control of the C-9 methyl group during photoaddition.

Fukumoto and coworkers¹¹⁹ completed total syntheses of pentalenene, pentalenic acid, and deoxypentalenic acid via an intramolecular double-Michael reaction as the key step. The synthesis, as depicted in Scheme 62, began with enone **342**, which

was treated with 5-bromo-1-hexene and lithium under ultrasonic irradiation to give a 70% yield of alcohol 344. Oxidation of 344 in the presence of Florisil was accompanied by allylic rearrangement of the hydroxyl group to provide enone 345, which was converted into the primary alcohol 346 by hydroboration - oxidation. The alcohol function of 346 was oxidized with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC), then the resulting aldehyde was attacked by vinylmagnesium bromide, and the allylic alcohol was oxidized with pyridinium dichromate (PDC) to give the bis-enone 347. Compound 347 was heated together with chlorotrimethylsilane, triethylamine, and zinc chloride to give, after acidic hydrolysis, a 35% yield of 348 and a 65% yield of 349. The latter was treated with ethyl formate in the presence of sodium methoxide leading to a 90% yield of hydroxymethylene compound 350. After diazo-exchange using paratoluenesulphonyl azide and triethylamine, the diazo ketone 351 was irradiated to provide a 3.6 : 1 mixture of 352 and 353." Reduction of the carbonyl group of compound 352 to methylene was achieved by treatment with ethanedithiol in the presence of BF2:Et2O followed by desulphurization of the dithioketal with Raney nickel. Compound 354 was subjected to selenation followed by oxidative elimination to furnish a 46% yield of methyl deoxypentalenate (355), accompanied by a 37% yield of isomer 356. Reduction of ester 355 with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL) gave the allylic alcohol, which was treated with a pyridine-sulphur trioxide complex and the resulting product was reduced with lithium aluminum hydride to afford pentalenene (230) in moderate yield. Ester 352 was further elaborated into pentalenic acid (231) in six steps. Since sodium borohydride reduction of 352 resulted in mainly the undesired stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group, the alternative route to 357 was sought. Thus, hydrolysis of the ester to the acid, reduction of the ketone function with lithium in

It is our opinion that ester 353 could have been used in the same fashion as ester 352 for the synthesis of pentalenene, deoxypentalenic acid and pentalenic acid.



(a) 343, LL ultrazonic irradiation; (b) FCC, Floridii (c) (CgH₁₁)₂BH; (d) NoOH, H₁O₂ (c) FCC; (f) (H₂-CH1-MgBr; (g) FDC; (h) E $|\cdot|^{1}$ TMSCI, ZzG₂; (j) HCO₂; (j) HCO₂E, NaOM; (k) "N₂, m_{2} , m_{2

ammonia, and esterification with diazomethane back into the ester yielded 357, which underwent selenation and oxidative elimination then successive hydrolysis to lead to pentalenic acid (231). Fukumoto's synthesis of pentalence, pentalenic acid and deoxypentalenic acid required eighteen, seventeen and sixteen steps, respectively, from enone 342; this involved three, two, and three isomer separations, respectively, and produced pentalence in 2.8% yield, pentalenic acid in 10% yield and deoxypentalenic acid in 6.5% yield. Although the key intramolecular double-Michael reaction was unique, the poor stereoselectivity of this reaction (i.e. $347 \rightarrow 349$), as well as the poor regioselectivity of the selenation-elimination sequence (i.e. $354 \rightarrow 355$) made this approach, as a whole, less attractive.

Schore and Rowlev¹²⁰ developed an efficient synthesis of pentalenene involving an intramolecular Pauson-Khand cycloaddition. The synthesis, as shown in Scheme 63, began with conjugate addition of 2.6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenyl methyacrylate (BHT ester) (359) with 5-methylcyclopentenyllithium (358) followed by in situ methylation of the resulting enolate to give a 90% yield of 360. After reduction of the BHT ester 360 with lithium in ammonia, the primary alcohol 361 was converted into the envne 362 by tosylation, iodide displacement, and then displacement by acetylide. Enyne 362, when heated with Co2(CO), in heptane, produced a 51% yield of the mixture enones 363 and 364 in an 88 : 12 ratio. The observed stereoselectivity can be rationalised by examining two presumed intermediates H and I leading to enones 363 and 364, respectively. The 1.3-pseudodiaxial interaction developed between the C-9 methyl group and the C-5 methylene group in I is absent in H, thereby directing the cycloaddition in the desired direction. The mixture of enones 363 and 364 was subjected to Birch reduction to give a mixture of ketones 308 and 309, which were transformed into pentalenene and epi-pentalenene according to Piers' procedures. This synthesis of pentalenene required ten steps from the BHT ester 359; it involved one isomer separation, and produced pentalenene in 3.7% overall yield.

Scheme 63



(a) MeI; (b) Na – NH₃; (c) TsCl/pyridine; (d) LiI, HMPA; (e) Li – C=CH-en; (f) Co₂(CO)₈, 110 °C; (g) Li – NH₃; (h) MeLi; (i) ρ TSA.



Hua¹²¹ designed an asymmetric synthesis of (+)-pentalenene that employed chiral sulfinvially anions, thus establishing the absolute configuration of pentalenene for the first time. The synthesis, as outlined in Scheme 64, started with the 1,4addition of allyltrimethylsilane (365) to mesityl oxide (366) to provide 367 in 87% yield. Formation of the enol phosphate followed by in situ dehydrophosphonation with 2 equivalents of LDA gave a 61% yield of 368. Intramolecular Pauson-Khand cyclization of the α, ω -enyne 368 with Co₂(CO)₈ under an atmosphere of carbon monoxide vielded 58% of the racemic enone 320. The kinetic, selective resolution of 320 was made possible by taking advantage of the fact that the anion derived from (S)-allylp-tolyl sulfoxide (369) added to (+)-(R)-320 much more quickly than to (-)-(S)-320. Thus, treatment of racemic enone 320 with 0.5 equivalents of anion, prepared by the reaction of 369 with LDA, gave a 40% yield of adduct 370, and the (-)-(S)-320 was recovered to the extent of 45%. Addition of the sulfinylallyl anion, derived from the reaction of two equivalents of racemic cis-crotyl phenyl sulfoxide 371 with two equivalents of LDA, to one equivalent of (-)-320 furnished an 82% optically pure sulfoxide 372 in 91% yield. Reduction of the sulfoxide 372 with zinc in acetic acid provided the vinyl sulfide 373, which, upon hydrolysis followed by



(a) TiCl₄ⁱ (b) LDA, CIP(O)(OE1)₂ⁱ (c) LDAⁱ (d) Co₂(CO)₈ⁱ, CO; (c) 349, LDA; (f) racemic 371, LDA; (g) Zn-HOAe; (b) HCO₂H-TFA; (i) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (j) MeMgBr; (k) [(CH₃)₂N]₂POCI, E₃N, DMAP; (l) Li/EINH₂ⁱ (m) Raney Ni; (a) BF₃E₂O.

A CONTRACTOR

summer of a state of the state of the

To date, eleven syntheses of pentalenene including one asymmetric synthesis, three syntheses of pentalenic acid, and two total syntheses of deoxypentalenic acid have been reported. Ohfune, Mehta, and Pattenden accomplished their total syntheses through a common carbocation intermediate 243 with a strategy based on biosynthetic considerations (Scheme 65). On the other hand, Paquette, Hudlicky, Hua, Iwata, and Piers designed syntheses that have a common C ring disconnection as shown in Scheme 66. The retrosynthetic analysis of some other approaches is summarized in Scheme 67. Fukumoto constructed the B' and C rings via an intramolecular double– Michael addition of dienone 347 and ring contraction of the B' ring led to the B ring. In Schore's synthesis, the A and B rings were constructed simultaneously by means of an intramolecular Pauson–Khand cyclization. Crimmins' approach was based on the B ring disconnection, Photoaddition of 331 provided the C ring and Dieckmann condensation of the spiro–compound 379 built the B ring.

Due to the obvious structural similarities of pentalenene, pentalenic acid and deoxypentalenic acid, it would be more attractive to achieve their syntheses through a Scheme 65






common intermediate. We deemed ket: ne 380 an appropriate intermediate that might undergo double aldol condensation to generate the A and B rings with appropriate functionalities. The C-9 methyl group might be introduced via the corresponding carbonyl group. Obviously, 380 can be derived from a spiro-diketone 381, which, in turn, can be prepared from the corresponding ketal 382 with cyclobutene 109. Thus, our synthetic plan was to make 381 utilizing the one-pot spiro-annulation (geminal acylation) procedure that we had developed in the synthetic efforts toward isokhusimone, then to cleave the double bond by ozonolysis, to build the A and B rings by aldol condensations, and finally to manipulate some other functionalities to lead to the target molecules (Scheme 68). In this initial study our synthetic efforts were mainly devoted to the total synthesis of pentalenene. The following is a detailed description of our synthetic studies in this area.¹²²



II. Results and Discussion

As indicated in Scheme 69, our approach to pentalenene was designed with the view that its triquinane unit could be assembled through the use of two intramolecular aldol condensations (i.e. $380 \rightarrow 384$). We expected that catalytic hydrogenation of the exocyclic double bond of compound 383 would occur from the less sterically hindered face, thereby resulting in the requisite stereochemistry of the C-9 methyl.

Scheme 69



The preparation of the spiro-diketone 381 required the ethylene ketal 382. Babler and coworkers¹²³ reported that the reaction of isophorone with ethylene glycol



in toluene at reflax with continuous azeotropic removal of water gave a 65% yield of a mixture of ketals **382** and **386** after either vacuum distillation or chromatography on Florisil (Scheme 70). More recently Constantino *et al.*¹²⁴ improved the yield up to 88.5% by distilling out the azeotrope, and they separated both ketals by fractional distillation. Our initial efforts were to follow these procedures. However, as indicated by GC-MS analysis, a considerable amount of starting material was still present when the reaction was allowed to run for a few hours. Furthermore, after this time some oligomeric material with a relatively long retention time begant to form. We never found an optimized reaction time at which most of the starting material was consumed and only a small amount of high molecular weight by-product was produced. The highest yield of the mixture of the taixer of ketals was always **382**, but the ratio dependent on the reaction time. The ketals could be differentiated by their distinctive mass spectrum of ketal **382** is shown in Figure 6. The fragment at *m*/z 86



Figure 6. Mass spectrum of ketal 382

Figure 7. Mass spectrum of ketal 386



corresponds to 387 which arises via the homolytic retro-Diels-Alder reaction of 382.¹²⁵ Likewise, the peak at m/z 126 in the mass spectrum of 386 shown in Figure 7 can be rationalised as a fragment with the formula C7H10O2 (388). We believed that the oligomerization of isophorone taight be supressed if benzene were used instead of toluene. With this idea in mind, the reaction mixture was heated in benzene overnight. GC-MC analysis indicated that the crude product consisted of a ketal mixture and isophorone, along with a trace amount of oligomers. After work-up, some of the ketal 386 was hydrolysed back into isophorone as revealed by the GC-MS ratio of 386 to 382. The colored oligomeric material was easily removed by vacuum distillation and the distillate was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel to give a 62% vield of pure 382 and a 31% recovery of isophorone. Clearly ketal 386 was hydrolysed on silica gel, which was fortunate since 382 was the desired ketal for our synthesis. One recycle of the recovered isophorone provided another 20% yield of ketal 382, thus the overall yield of 382 was at least 82%. Besides the mass spectrum, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 382 were found to be distinguishable from those of 386, prepared via another route (vide infra), thus confirming the position of the double bond.

With supplies of 382 readily available, the crucial geminal acylation reaction was addressed (Scheme 70). Exposure of 382 to three equivalents of the cyclobutene 109 and fifteen equivalents of BF₃-Et₂O in dichloromethane following our general spiro – annulation procedure gave, after column chromatography on silica gel, a 72% yield of the spiro-diketone 381, and the hydrolysed starting material was recovered to the extent of 18%. Compound 381 showed an IR absorption maximum for the ring carbonyls at 1721 cm⁻¹. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, two-proton multiplets at δ 2.632 and 3.054 were attributed to tice protons in the five-membered ring. The carbonyls was unequivocally determined by means of an NOE experiment. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, two C-5 methyls appeared as a singlet at δ 0.942 and the vinyl methyl was found as a singlet at δ 1.759. As shown in Figure 8, irradiation at the olefinic proton at δ 5.205 resulted in NOE's at both δ 0.942 and 1.759, and a positive NOE at δ 5.205 was observed when the six-proton singlet at δ 0.942 was irradiated, which ruled out the possibility of compound **389**.

Figure 8. NOE difference spectra of 381



In order to achieve a direct comparison between 381 and 389, we intended to prepare 389 from the corresponding ketal 386. The ketal 386 was conveniently made by the reaction of isophorone with 1,2-bis(trimethylsilox))ethane using trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf) as a catalyst after the method of Tsunoda and Noyori.¹²⁶ However, only hydrolysed isophorone was recovered when ketal 386 was subjected to the spiro-annulation reaction. Nevertheless, Mr. Tracy Jenkins¹²⁷ of our laboratory discovered that direct treatment of isophorone with the cyclobutene 109 and BF₂-ELO affords a modest yield of 389 after chromatography on silica gel (Scheme 71). The IR spectrum of **389** showed an absorption maximum for the ring carbonyls at 1719 cm⁻¹. The carbonyl resonances appeared at δ 212.5. The four protons α to the carbonyls appeared as a multiplet at δ 2.850 in ¹H NMR spectrum. In fact, all the spectra of **389** were found to be quite different from those of **381**.

Scheme 71



Compound 381 underwent ozonolysis to give 380, which was converted into enone 390 in a 52% yield on treatment with pTSA in benzene at reflux (Scheme 72). The IR spectrum showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyls at 1725 cm⁻¹, for the conjugated carbonyl at 1664 cm⁻¹, and for the double bond at 1626 cm⁻¹. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the olefinic proton and the acetyl protons appeared as two singlets at δ 6.761 and 2.252, respectively. Since the ring closure of 390 by intramolecular abloc condensation should be extremely difficult because of the considerable ring strain, compound 390 was converted into trione 391 quantitatively by catalytic hydrogenation in methanol. The structure of the hydrogenation product was readily proved on the basis of the IR and ¹H NMR spectra. The IR spectrum showed broad absorption maxima for the carbonyls in the region of 1715 cm⁻¹. There was no signal for an olefinic proton in the ¹H NMR spectrum.

Attempted intramolecular aldol condensation of **391** under several standard conditions was unsuccessful. Neither *p*TSA in benzene at reflux nor alkoxide in hydroxylic solvents ever gave evidence of the production of any tricyclic enedione **384**.

Scheme 72



The failure of the intramolecular aldol condensation of the trione 391 might be attributed to the instability of the cyclopentane-1,3-dione molety. Based on this assumption, we decided to reduce one carbonyl and to protect the resultant alcohol as a tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether. Thus, compound 381 was treated with 0.25 equivalents of sodium borohydride in methanol followed by addition of 10% hydrochloric acid^{*} to

To our knowledge, acidic work-up is generally employed after sodium borohydride reduction.¹²⁸

give, after column chromatography, an 80% yield of monoalcohol. This product appeared to be pure by TLC analysis, but GC-MS showed clearly an approximate I : 1 mixture of two compounds with quite different mass spectra. In the ¹H NMR spectrum the olefinic protons were observed as broad singlets at 6 5.228 and 4.953 and the protons a to the hydroxyl groups appeared as multiplets at 6 4.230 and 4.222. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum the signals at 6 222.0 and 219.1 and at 6 131.8, 127.3, 116.4 and 137.7 represented carbonyls and double bond carbons, respectively. The two compounds could have been epimeric alcohols 392 and 393, or the double bond isomers

Scheme 73



(a) NaBH₄; H₂O; (b) NaBH₄; 10% HCl; (c) PCC; (d) 10% HCl.

392 and 395, or 393 and 394 (Scheme 73). In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the chemical shifts of the protons α to the hydroxyl groups in both compounds were close (δ 4.230 vs. 4.222), in contrast, the chemical shifts of the olefinic protons appeared quite different (δ 4.953 vs. 5.228). In addition, PCC oxidation of this mixture afforded an approximate 1: 1 mixture of two spiro-diketones 381 and 389. Thus, it could be concluded that the mixture consisted of double bond isomers instead of epimeric alcohols. Double bond isomerization must have occurred during the hydrochiric acid work-up. Indeed, when pure water was used in the work-up, then we were left with only one monoalcohol. To determine the stereochemistry of this product, we performed an NOE experiment. An NOE between the C-4** proton and the C-6 protons was expected for 393, but not for 392. On the other hand, there should have been an NOE between the C-4 proton and the C-10 protons in 392, but not in 393. Unfortunately, the NOE experiment on this reduction product was inconclusive. Therefore, we turned to an alternative solution.

Recall that NaBH₄ reduction followed by acidic work-up gave an approximate 1 : 1 mixture of double bond isomers. As a matter of fact, treatment of the monoalcohol prepared by NaBH₄ reduction and water work-up with 10% hydrochloric acid gave the same two double bond isomers as revealed by GC-MS analysis and ¹H NMR. Since the C-4 stereochemistry in both double bond isomers must be the same, we attempted to solve the C-4 stereochemistry in another isomer, i.e. 394 or 395. To achieve this, we required a pure sample of either 394 or 395. Treatment of 389 with 0.25 equivalents of NaBH₄ in methanol gave only one of the two double bond isomers as clearly indicated by ¹H NMR analysis. Again, NOE experiments were employed to tell the C-4 stereochemistry. Irradiation at δ 4.953 (clefinic proton) resulted in a 1.4% NOE at δ 4.222 (C-4 proton), and a 4.4% NOE at δ 4.953 was observed when

[&]quot; IUPAC numbering



the C-4 proton at δ 4.222 was irradiated, which established a *syn* relationship between the C-4 proton and the double bond. Thus, this NaBH₄ reduction product was clearly 394; therefore, the NaBH₄ reduction product of 381 could be assigned as 393. Both 393 and 394 were reconverted into their corresponding diketones 381 and 389 quantitatively upon oxidation with PCC (Scheme 73). It was very interesting to note the remarkable facial selectivity of the NaBH₄ reduction of the spiro-diketones 381 and 389. Steric effects may be partially responsible for the stereochemical outcome of the

NaBH₄ reduction of 389, but in the case of 381, the facial selectivity cannot be attributed to steric effects. One explanation is as follows. The double bond of the starting material and metal ion of the reagent might form a π -complex, thereby directing the reducing reagent to attack from the face syn to the double bond. The generality of the remarkable facial selectivity observed here is being investigated in more detail.

Further reduction of monoalcohol 393 with NaBH, provided cleanly the trans diol 396 (Scheme 73). Its IR spectrum showed an absorption maximum for hydroxyl groups at 3340 cm⁻¹. The trans stereochemistry of two hydroxyl groups was borne out by the fact that the two protons α to the hydroxyl groups appeared at δ 4.098 and 3.960 in the ¹H NMR spectrum. If the product were a cis diol 397, then the chemical shifts of the two protons α to the hydroxyl groups should be the same (they would be chemically equivalent). The trans stereochemistry of diol 396 was strictly confirmed by chemical transformation. Oxidation of 396 with one equivalent of PCC in dichloromethane provided an approximate 1:1 mixture of the monoalcohols, one of which, as indicated by ¹H NMR spectrum, was 393. Then another isomer must have been 392. The chemical shifts of the olefinic protons of 392 and 393 were approximately the same (\$ 5.225 vs. 5.231), but the carbinol protons in both compounds appeared quite different (6 4.230 vs. 4.308). If the diol were cis, then oxidation with one equivalent of PCC would produce only 393. The trans stereochemical outcome might be attributed to the possible intermediate J in which the hydride would attack from the face syn to the first hydroxyl group.

The *trans* diol 396 can be obtained directly from 381 by reduction with excess NaBH₄ (Scheme 74). However, a new substance was formed when 10% hydrochloric acid was used in the work-up procedure instead of pure water. Since treatment of 396 with 10% hydrochloric acid in methanol gave quantitatively the same compound, then the formation of this new compound must go through the *trans* diol 396. The structure



of this new compound was elucidated \pm cording to the following spectroscopic evidc ..., The mass spectrum showed the same molecular ion as the diol **397**, and the **IR** spectrum displayed an absorption maximum for an hydroxyl group at 3405 cm⁻¹. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum, we found five methylenes at δ 32.5, 36.6, 42.5, 46,3 and 51.0; two methines bearing oxygens at δ 77.0 and ¹³A; three methyls at δ 26.8, 31.5 and 32.5; and three quaternary centers at δ 30.4, 57.6 and 83.0, one of which (δ 83.0) was connected to an oxygen. Comparison of the ¹³C NMR spectrum of this new compound with that of **396** suggested the connection of the C-4 hydroxyl oxygen with C-7 and the conversion of the C-8 methine into methylene to give the new compound **398**. A mechanism for this acid-catalysed cyclization is outlined in Scheme 75. Protonation of the double bond in **396** can give two carbocations **401** and **402**. Carbocation **401**, thus leading to the tricyclic alcohol **398** exclusively.

The assignment of the C-2 stereochemistry in 398 was based on the *trans* diol 396. We thought that this assignment could be confirmed by a positive NOE between the C-2 hydroxyl proton^{*} and C-5 proton. In the ¹H NMR spectrum of the alcohol

It is known that an NOE experiment on an hydroxyl proton can be difficult, but it can be carried out by carefully choosing solvent and controlling the temperature.



398, the two carbinol protons, i.e. the C-2 proton and the C-5 proton, appeared as double doublets at δ 4.026 and 4.524, respectively. In order to achieve the unambiguous assignment for the C-5 proton for the NOE experiment, we prepared the acetate 404 by treatment of 398 with acetic anhydride and pyridine (Scheme 74). It was



anticipated that the C-2 proton of **398** would shift downfield significantly and the chemical shift of the C-5 proton would change very little. In fact, the C-2 and C-5 protons of the acetate **404** were observed as double doublets at δ 4.505 and 5.084, respectively. Thus, the the C-2 proton and the C-5 proton of acetate **404** were assigned at δ 5.084 and 4.505 and those of alcohol **398** were assigned at δ 4.026 and 4.524. It turned out that no significant NOE was observed between the C-5 proton and the C-2 hydroxyl.

Oxidation of 398 with PCC proceeded cleanly to give ketone 399 (Scheme 74). The IR spectrum of this compound showed an absorption maximum for the carbonyl at 1739 cm⁻¹. In addition, the carbonyl appeared at δ 216.3 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. The chemical shift of the C-5 proton was δ 4.604, which supported our previous assignment of the C-5 and C-2 protons in alcohol **398**.

Reduction of ketone 399 with sodium borohydride afforded a single product. Although the mass spectrum and R_{f} value of this product appeared to be the same as for alcohol 398, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic analysis suggested a different compound. The IR spectrum showed an absorption maximum for the hydroxyl group at 3420 cm⁻¹. Furthermore, the ¹³C NMR spectrum indicated the same number of primary, secondary, tertiary and quaternary carbons as those in 398. Clearly, this compound must have been be the epimeric alcohol 400. The C-5 and C-2 protons of 400 were observed at δ 4.265 and 3.854, respectively. Alcohol 400, upon treatment with PCC, was oxidized quantitatively back to ketone 399.

With the stereochemical studies of the sodium borohydride reduction on spirodiketone 381 finished, we returned our attention to the conversion of the monoalcohol 393 to the tricyclic intermediate 410 (Scheme 76). Treatment of 393 with *tert*-butylchlorodimethylsilane (TBDMSCI) and imidazole, using 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) as a catalyst, provided 405 accompanied by small amount of di-*tert*butyldimethylsilyl ether ((TBDMS)₂O) as revealed by GC-MS analysis of the crude product. However, column chromatography on silica gel gave only a 46% yield of 405, and 393 was recovered in 47% yield. It was evident that some product was hydrolysed back to the alcohol on silica gel. The IR spectrum of compound 405 showed an absorption maximum for the ring carbonyl at 1740 cm⁻¹. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, two methyl singlets at 6 0.054 and 0.086 and a nine-proton singlet at 6 0.087 were attributed to the protecting group.

After ozonolysis of 405, the crude product 406 was treated with sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature. The conjugated enones we isolated were



407 (21%) and 408 (2%). The IR spectrum of 407 showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyl at 1746 cm⁻¹, for the conjugated carbonyl at 1670 cm⁻¹, and for the conjugated double bond at 1623 cm⁻¹. In its ¹H NMR spectrum, the one – proton singlet at δ 6.692 and three – proton singlet at δ 2.284 were assigned to the olefinic proton and acetyl group, respectively. The structural assignment of alcohol 408 was based on the

fact that the ¹H NMR spectrum was quite similar to that of 407 except that no signals attributable to the *tert*-budyldimethylsilyl group were evident. Since IR and ¹³C NMR spectra were not feasible due to the small quantity of 408, classic chemical transformation was applied to confirm the structural assignment. As expected, PCC oxidation of 408 provided quantitatively the trione 390, whose ¹H NMR and mass spectra were completely superimposable with those of the authentic sample of 390 prepared previously. Our efforts to optimize the yield of 407 proved to be fruitless.

The conversion of enone 407 to saturated dione 409 was achieved easily by catalytic hydrogenation. Absorption maxima in the IR spectrum were observed at 1738 cm^{-1} for the ring carbonyl and at 1691 cm^{-1} for the acetyl carbonyl. No double bond was present as indicated by the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra.

At this stage, the crucial ring closure leading to the tricyclic compound 410 was examined. To our delight, upon treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature, a new UV-active substance was formed exclusively. Carbonyl stretching frequency at 1711 cm⁻¹ and C=C stretching frequency at 1630 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum of the product, olefinic resonance at δ 5.808 (1H, s) in the ¹H NMR spectrum, and a prominent peak at m/2 263 (M⁺ – (CH₃)₃C) in the mass spectrum, all suggested that this product was the elusive tricyclic enone 410. We were able to obtain 410 in yields as high as 85% after column chromatography.

Tricyclic enone 410 can serve as a key precursor to the enedione 384. However, the poor yield in the conversion of 406 to 407 was deemed unsatisfactory for our synthesis of pentalenene, so an alternative route was sought.

Ms. Peiying Liu¹²⁹ in our laboratory discovered that the epimeric mixture 411, upon treatment with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-disyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) and pTSA in benzene at reflux for several days, gave the trieneone 412 in a modest yield (Scheme 77). Based on this result, we thought that trienone 413 may serve as a possible precursor to pentalenene, and 413 might be derived from enone 414 and/or 415 as outlined in Scheme 78. The preparation of enone 414 and/or 415 was planned starting from keto-alcohol 418 and/or 419. viz diketone 416 and/or 417.

Scheme 77





This synthetic study began with the addition of methyllithium to 381 (Scheme 79). Since methyllithium is a very reactive reagent, we were worried about double addition. which would lead to a diol. Thus, compound 381 was treated with only one equivalent of methyllithium in ether at -78°C for two hours. GC-MS analysis of the crude product indicated a mixture of a monoalcohol and starting material. We were relieved that no detectable amount of diol was formed. Since some starting material remained after the above reaction, we tried adding five equivalents of methyllithium to 381. After column chromatography on silica gel. 'ye were left with two monoaddition products in isolated yields of 86% and 1.4%, accompanied by a 3% recovery of the starting material 376. Once again, no diol was isolated. (In fact, GC-MS analysis revealed that no diol was formed even when twenty equivalents of methyllithium were applied.) In the IR spectrum of the major product, absorption maxima appeared at 3426 cm⁻¹ for the hydroxyl and 1730 cm⁻¹ for the ring carbonyl. The three-proton singlet at δ 1.152 in its ¹H NMR spectrum was attributed to the C-4 methyl group. The quaternary carbon bearing oxygen was found at & 78.1 in the 13C NMR spectrum. All these spectroscopic data were in agreement with either 418 or 419. The relative stereochemistry of C-4 in the major adduct was unambiguously assigned on the basis of NOE data. For adduct 418, we expected an NOE between the C-4 methyl and the C-6 protons. On the other hand, in the case of 419, an NOE between the C-4 methyl and the C-10 protons should be observed. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, the two-proton AB system at δ 1.690 and 1.727 and two-proton broad singlet at δ 1.789 were attributed to the C-10 and C-6 protons, respectively. This assignment was further proved when a 3-4% NOE at & 1.690 and 1.727 (C-10 protons) was observed on irradiation at & 0.895 and 1.003 (the C-9 methyls). When the C-4 methyl at & 1.152 was irradiated, a 5% NOE at & 1.789 (C-6 protons) was evident, which established the syn relationship of the C-4 methyl and the double bond, i.e. 418. Regarding the minor product, the mass spectrum was very similar to that of the major adduct 418, but its ¹H NMR spectrum was different. The three-proton singlets at δ 1.777, 1.293, 0.996 and 0.857 were assigned as the C-7, the C-4 and the two C-9 methyls. The olefinic resonance at δ 5.178 (1H, br s) was quite close to that of 418 at δ 5.182 (1H, br s), suggesting that the two products were not double bond isomers. Thus, the minor adduct was assigned structure 419. The remarkable facial selectivity observed here in the methyllithium addition was consistent with that of the sodium borohydride reduction discussed previously.



418 R₁=Me,R₂=OH 419 R₁=OH,R₂=Me





With the keto-alcohol 418 in hand, we thought that simultaneous intramolecular aldol condensation and elimination of the tertiary alcohol in 420, prepared from 418 via ozonolysis, would give 426 and/or 427. After ozonolysis, the crude product 420 was allowed to react with sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature for twenty minutes. Usual work - up provided a single, very UV-active crystalline substance. In its mass spectrum, a strong peak at m/z 43 suggested a methyl ketone and a prominent molecular ion at m/z 218 implied that this product was derived from 420 by elimination of two equivalents of water. The IR absorption maxima at 3197 (br), 1650, 1595, 1461 cm⁻¹ indicated that this compound contained an hydroxyl, a conjugated carbonyl, and conjugated double bonds or an aromatic mojety. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, two three - proton singlets at δ 2.530 and 2.296 were assigned to two methyls. Another two methyl groups were found as one larger singlet at δ 1.178. A four-proton singlet at δ 2.712 might represent two methylenes attached to an aromatic ring. Carbon resonances at & 149.5, 146.5, 137.7, 132.5, 126.4 and 114.4 indicated an aromatic ring. This benzene derivative was pentasubsti ited as evidenced by the single aromatic proton resonance at δ 7.005 (1H, s) and one methine aromatic carbon resonance (δ 114.4). Based on this analysis, we concluded that the product possessed an indane skeleton with methyl, hydroxyl and acetyl groups attached to the benzene ring. Generally, the chemical shift of an aromatic proton is around δ 7.3 ppm. However, if this proton were adjacent to an acetyl group, the proton resonance would be shifted downfield to around δ 8.0 ppm. In contrast, if this proton were next to an hydroxyl group, then the proton resonance would be shifted upfield up to around δ 6.7 ppm. In our case, the aromatic proton resonance at δ 7.005 suggested strongly that this proton be located between acetyl group and hydroxyl group so that the deshielding effect of acetyl group roughly cancelled the shielding effect of the hydroxyl group. Thus, the structure of the product could be either 424 or 425. However, the reaction mechanism precluded the possibility of structure 425. The formation of 424 could be rationalized as below

(Scheme 80). The enone 421, derived from ozonolysis product 420 by intramolecular aldol condensation, underwent *retro*-aldol ring cleavage to give 422, which led to aromatic compound 424 by a second intramolecular aldol condensation followed by double bond isomerization and enolization of the ring carbonyl *via* 423.

Scheme 80



Since treatment of 420 with base resulted in ring cleavage, we turned to acidic conditions. Indeed, crude 420 upon treatment with ρ TSA in benzene at reflux with continuous azeotropic removal of water, gave a single, UV-active product. A strong peak at m/z 43 in its mass spectrum implied the methyl ketone moiety and a prominent molecular ion at m/z 218 indicated that the product was derived from 420 by elimination of two equivalents of water. IR absorption maxima appeared at 1741 cm⁻¹ for the nonconjugated carbonyl and 1671 cm⁻¹ for the conjugated carbonyl, which suggested enone 427 (Scheme 81). Further evidence was derived from ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. A methyl singlet at 6 2.260 represented the acetyl group. The integration of the ¹H NMR spectrum showed that there were only two olefinic protons, and a vinyl methyl resonance appeared at 6 1.640. Only two sp² methines were found at 6 121.5 and 157.1 in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. These facts ruled out structure 426. After column chromatography on silica gel, enone 427 was obtained in 69% yield. The reason why the 3,4-double bond in 427 did not isomerize to the conjugated 2,3-position remains uncertain. Nevertheless, some similar examples are known in literature. For instance, Kuwajima and coworkers reported that 3-methylenecyclopentanone 428 readily isomerized to the more stable *endo* olefinic isomer 429 in 54% yield, but none of the conjugated enone 430 was formed in the reaction (Scheme 81).

Catalytic hydrogenation of 427 preceeded quantitatively (Scheme 82). The IR spectrum of the crude product showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyl at 1733 cm³ and the methyl ketone at 1712 cm³. GC-MS analysis indicated a mixture of two isomers whose mass spectra were almost identical. These two isomers could be easily distinguished from the ¹³C NMR spectra of the mixture in which one set of signals was much larger than another set. It should be pointed out that there are three chiral centers in 416 or 417, thus four (racemic) isomers would be expected. However, only two isomers were formed in this case, which suggested either epimers at C-4 or C-6. The three-proton doublets at δ 1.049 and at δ 1.003 in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture were attributed to the C-4 methyls in the major isomer and minor isomer, respectively. The C-6 proton resonances in the major isomer and minor isomer appeared as double doubles at δ 3.234 and 2.913, respectively. The relative





stereochemistry of the two hydrogenation products was established later in the synthesis (vide infra).

Scheme 82



The conversion of the hydrogenation products to tricyclic enones 414 and 415 required an intramolecular aldol condensation, which might be troublesome due to the ring strain (Scherne 83). Our attempts to induce this cyclization by using either *p*TSA in benzene at reflux or sodium methoxide in methanol gave no detectable cyclization product. Nevertheless, treatment of the hydrogenation products with potassium *tert* –



butoxide in benzene under carefully controlled conditions cleanly produced a UVactive product. When the reaction was carried out in benzene at reflux, then several minutes were long enough for the reaction to be complete. Longer treatment resulted in complete destruction of the UV-active product. The cyclization at room temperaure required up to twenty minutes, which provided enough time for TLC analysis. In general, the reaction was performed at room temperature and closely monitored by TLC. When TLC showed complete conversion, the reaction was worked up. The IR spectrum of the product showed absorption maxima for a conjugated carbonyl at 1705 cm⁻¹ and for a double bond at 1631 cm⁻¹. Although this product appeared to be pure by TLC analysis, GC-MS analysis revealed clearly a 1 : 3.5 mixture of two isomers whose mass spectra were almost identical. Disappearance of a very strong peak at m/z45 for the methyl ketone moiety as well as a prominent molecular ion at m/z 204 implied the cyclization products **414** and **415**. In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of the mixture, there were two sets of signals, one of which was predominant. In the ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), an olefinic proton resonance was observed at δ 5.766. Doublets at δ 0.737 and 1.057 were attributed to the C-9 methyls of the major and minor epimers, respectively (Figure 9). The C-9 methyls of two isomers were shifted upfield in C₉D₆: δ 0.439 (d) for the major and δ 0.756 (d) for the minor isomer. The next issue to be addressed was the relative stereochemistry of each isomer. Examination of molecular models suggested that one cyclization product, **415**, might show an NOE between its C-9 methyl and its C-4 proton. The C-4 hydrogen of the major isomer was found as a double doublet at δ 2.567 (C₆D₆). Irradiation of this double doublet resulted in a 2% NOE of the C-9 methyl at δ 0.439 was irradiated. This NOE data indicated

Figure 9. Partial ¹H NMR spectrum of the 1: 3.5 mixture of 414 and 415 (CDCL₃)



the syn relationship between the C-9 methyl and the C-4 hydrogen in the major epimer, i.e. 415. No NOE between the C-9 methyl and the C-4 hydrogen was observed for the minor epimer, but, since the major epimer was assigned 415, then 414 must have been the minor epimer. The ¹³C chemical shifts of the quaternary double bond carbon in tricyclic enones 414 (δ 194.4) and 415 (δ 192.1) were unusually low-field, a result attributable to the considerable bond strain.¹³⁰ It should be pointed out that the relative stereochemistry at C-9 in the major epimer 415 was unfortunately opposite to that of natural pentalenee.

With the relative stereochemistry of the cyclization products **414** and **415** established, we were able to assign the relative stereochemistry of the earlier hydrogenation products. Since the cyclization products **414** and **415** were in a ratio of 1:3.5 and the hydrogenation product was composed of only two 1:3.5 isomers, it could be concluded that the hydrogenation products were C-4 epimers **416** and **417**, of which the latter was predominant. The relative stereochemistry at C-6 in both **416** and **417** was not established. Actually, the chirality at C-6 made no difference for our synthesis because the basic reaction condition can induce epimerization at C-6 leading to the desired stereochemistry for the cyclization.

The predominant formation of **417** over **416** in the catalytic hydrogenation could be easily rationalized (Scheme 82). If one postulates that the isolated double bond is hydrogenated much faster than the conjugated double bond, then one face of the isolated double bond in **427** would be seriously shielded by the acetyl group, thereby resulting in **417** as the major epimer.

Recall that the cyclization products were a 1:3.5 mixture of 414 and 415, of which only the former possessed the desired stereochemistry at C-9. Our plan was to prepare trienone 413 from the C-9 epimers 414 and 415, and we hoped that catalytic hydrogenation of 413 would then result in the desired stereochemistry at C-9 (Scheme 84). Therefore, the mixture or 414 and 415 was treated with DDQ and pTSA in benzene at reflux for one week. To our disappointment, GC-MS analysis indicated no detectable formation of doubly dehydrogenated product 413. A longer reaction time and a large excess of DDQ resulted in no improvement. The failure of this reaction might result from much greater ring strain in trienone 413 compared with 412.

Scheme 84



The predominant formation of epimer 417 was explained by assuming that the isolated double bond was hydrogenated prior to the conjugated double bond (Scheme 82). Then the ratio of 416 to 417 might be increased if the conjugated double bond were reduced before the isolated double bond. Lithium-annuonia reduction of 427 resulted in a complex mixture of at least three components as indicated by TLC analysis. Since this mixture, upon oxidation with PCC, gave exclusively one product, then it must have contained some overreduced products. The IR spectrum of the product after PCC oxidation showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyl at 1745 cm⁻¹, for the nonconjugated methyl ketone at 1710 cm⁻¹, and to the double bond at 1643 cm⁻¹. The single olefinic proton resonance at δ 5.690 in the ¹H NMR spectrum that there are two chiral centers (C-5 and C-6) in 431, thus two C-6 epimers might

have been expected from the Birch reduction. However, the 13 C NMR spectrum was consistent with the presence of only one product. Our attempt to solve the relative stereochemistry at C-6 by using NOE experiments was unsuccessful. Nevertheless, the chirality at C-6 did not matter for our synthesis.

Scheme 85



Since Birch reduction gave only isomer 431, the catalytic hydrogenation of 431 could only provide two C-4 epimers. Indeed, after catalytic hydrogenation, the resulting C-4 epimers 416 and 417 were treated with potassium *tert*-butoxide in benzene at room temperature for twenty minutes to provide an 84% isolated yield of 414 and 415 in 3.5: 2 ratio as calculated from the GC-MS data and by integration of the C-9 methyls in the ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure 10). Obviously, the hydrogenation products were a 3.5: 2: mixture of 416 and 417. It is important to note that the epimer 416, a minor product in the direct hydrogenation of 427, was predominant after hydrogenation of 431. The reason for the preferred formation of 416 in the hydrogenation of 431 remains uncertain, but at least we can rationalise the relatively high ratio of 416 to 417. In compound 431, whatever the stereochemistry at C-6 is, the acetyl group was located further away from 3,4-double bond than that in 427, and consequently, the steric hindering effect of the acetyl group must be much less, which would allow other directive effects to become important. Thus, some favorable interaction between the acetyl carbonyl group and the palladium catalyst might direct the hydrogenation preferentially to the face syn to the acetyl group.

Figure 10. Partial ¹H NMR spectrum of the 3.5 : 2 mixture of 414 and 415 (CDCl₂)



With the key intermediates 414 and 415 in hand, the conversion to pentalenene and epi-pentalenene was next addressed (Scheme 86). A 1:3.5 mixture of 414 and 415, derived from the direct hydrogenation route, was subjected to catalytic hydrogenation to afford quantitatively a mixture of 432 and 433, in 1 : 3.5 ratio by GC-MS. An IR absorption maximum appeared at 1739 cm⁻¹ for the carbonyl. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, no olefinic proton resonance was observed. The two threeproton doublets, at δ 0.965 and 0.949, and two one-proton double doublets, at δ 2.775 and 2.736 were attributed to C-9 methyls in 432 and 433 and the C-4 protons, respectively.

The 1 : 3.5 mixture of 432 and 433 was then allowed to undergo α -methylation. We expected 434 and 435 to be the very predominant products simply due to steric effects. The mixture was treated with 1.1 equivalents of lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) at -78°C followed by the addition of 1.2 equivalents of iodomethane. The resulting mixture was stirred at -40°C for forty minutes. GC-MS analysis showed that the crude product contained both starting material and monomethylated substances, most likely 434 and 435. Since substantial amounts of starting materials remained, we decided to use three equivalents of iodomethane. As indicated by GC-MS analysis, there were still some starting materials present and some dimethylated compounds 436 and 437 were formed. We attemped the separation of 434 and 435 from the crude product. Unfortunately, the R_f values of the starting materials, the monomethylated products as well as dimethylated products were so close that our efforts to separate them by column chromatography on silica gel employing a variety of eluting solvent systems were not successful.

Alternatively, 434 and 435 could be prepared from 414 and 415 by Birch reduction and subsequent trapping with iodomethane. Lithium -ammonia reduction followed by trapping with one equivalent of iodomathane afforded, once again, some monomethylated products along with a substantial amount of the starting materials. When excess iodomethane was used, the crude product contained mono- and dimethylated products 434, 435, 436, and 437 along with some starting materials as


revealed by GC-MS analysis.

Although we did not convert 414 or 432 into pentalenene (230), nevertheless, as shown in Scheme 87, 414 or 432 should be easily transformed into keto-ester 438, a very promising precursor to deoxypentalenic acid (232b). This work will be under way in the near future.

Scheme 87



A modified approach to pentalenene (230) and *epi*-pentalenene (279) is outlined in Scheme 88. We envisioned that enone 445 could be converted into 439 and 440 by following exactly the same reaction sequence as 385 to 414 and 415. The C-2 methyl in pentalenene and *epi*-pentalenene was derived from the starting material 445, thus obviating the introduction of the C-2 methyl at the final stage of the synthesis.



The preparation of cyclohexenone 445 started with with 5,5-dimethy|-1,3cyclohexanedione (dimedone) (446), which, upon treatment with *p*TSA in benzene and absolute ethanol at reflux with water removal by 4 Å Molecular Sieves in a Soxhlet extractor, gave the crystalline enol ether 447 in quantitative yield (Scheme 89).

Scheme 89



Addition of ethylmagnesium bromide to the enol ether 447 in THF was followed by acidic hydrolysis at room temperature (Scheme 89). Crude 445 was obtained in 98% yield, and it was used for the next ketalisation without further purification. It is worthwhile pointing out that the addition of ethylmagnesium bromide to 447 is a very exothermic reaction, therefore great care should be taken at the initial stage of the addition.

Ketalisation of 445 was carried out with ethylene glycol and ρ TSA in benzene at reflux overnight (Scheme 90). GC-MS analysis indicated the formation of four ketals, a small amount of oligomeric material along with some starting material. The structures of the four ketals were assigned by their mass spectra (Scheme 91). In the mass spectrum of 448, the strong peak at m/z 86 was attributed to the fragment 452

 $(C_4H_6O_2^+)$ formed via homolytic retro – Diels – Alder reaction of 448. Likewise, the strong peak at m/z 140 in the mass spectrum of 449 resulted from 453 $(C_7H_{12}O_2^+)$. The other two ketals, whose mass spectra were very similar, were (Z) – and (E) – isomers 450 and 151. The strong peak at m/z 127 in their mass spectra corresponded to a carbocation 455 $(C_7H_{11}O_2^+)$, which was derived from 450 or 451 by ring cleavage followed by hydrogen transfer via 454. Fortunately, the desired isomer 448 was found to the the major component as shown by GC–MS. After work – up with saturated sodium bicarbonate, most of the ketal 449 was hydrolysed back into starting material. Vacuum

Scheme 90





distillation of the crude product removed some yellowish materials, and the resultant colorless disti'late was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. We obtained a 62% yield of the ketal mixture, and the starting enone was recovered to the extent of 28%. One recycle of the recovered 445 gave another 16% yield of ketal mixture. Thus, the ketal mixture could be prepared in at least 78% yield. The ratio of 448 to 450 plus 451 was 4.5 : 1 on the basis of GC-MS. Since the separation of the desired ketal 448 from 450 and 451 was extremely difficult, we left the purification of the desired compound until a later stage.

Geminal acylation reaction leading to a cyclopentane-1,3-dione moiety was examined next (Scheme 92). Following our general procedure, the ketal mixture was treated with three equivalents of cyclobutene 109 and fifteen equivalents of BF2-Et2O at -78°C for six hours, and the resulting mixture was allowed to attain room temperature while stirring overnight. The GC-MS analysis showed clean conversion of the mixture of ketals to the spiro-diketones 444, 456 and 457. After chromatography on silica gel, we were left with a 77% yield of spiro-diketones 444, 456 and 457 and an 11% recovery of the hydrolysed starting material 445. The IR spectrum of the mixture of spiro-diketones showed absorption maxima for the ring carbonyls at 1725 cm⁻¹ and for a double bond at 1665 cm⁻¹. Since the major component of the mixture was assigned 444, then the two minor by-products, whose mass spectra were almost identical, must have been the (Z) - and (E) - isomers 456 and 457. According to the GC-MS report and integration of the ¹H NMR spectrum, the ratio of 444 to 456 plus 457 was 4.5 : 1. For 444, the olefinic proton appeared as a broad singlet at δ 5.042 in its ¹H NMR spectrum, and a three-proton triplet at 6 1.004 and a two-proton quartet at δ 2.049 were attributed to the ethyl group. The olefinic protons in 456 and 457 were found as multiplets at § 5.866. Indeed, the position of the double bond in 444 was confirmed as our synthesis continued.

The spiro-diketone mixture was treated twice with five equivalents of methyllithium at -78° C for *ca*. two hours to give the keto-alcohol mixture **458**, **459** and **460** along with some starting material as revealed by GC-MS analysis (Scheme 92). The IR spectrum of the crude mixture showed the absorption maxima at 3457 cm⁻¹ for the hydroxyl, and 1726 cm⁻¹ for the carbonyl. The separation of the keto-alcohol mixture from the starting material turned out to be quite difficult, therefore, the crude

Scheme 92



alcohol mixture was subjected to ozonolysis and subsequent treatment with pTSA in benzene at reflux, GC-MS analysis indicated compound 443, accompanied by very small amount of a side product, which was tentatively assigned as 461 based on the prominent molecular ion at m/z 206 in the mass spectrum (Scheme 92). The UVactive compound 443 was obtained in an isolated yield of 63% from the mixture of spiro-diketones. Its IR spectrum showed absorption maxima for the conjugated carbonyl at 1672 cm⁻¹ and for the ring carbonyl at 1748 cm⁻¹. The strong peak at m/z 57 in its mass spectrum implied the ethyl ketone mojety. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, a methyl triplet at δ 1.026 and a methylene quartet at δ 2.638 were attributed to the ethyl group attached to the carbonyl. The two olefinic protons were observed as a singlet at δ 6.676 and a multiplet at δ 5.757. Compound 443, on catalytic hydrogenation, gave quantitatively a mixture of C-4 epimers 441 and 442 in 1 : 5 ratio by integration of its ¹H NMR spectrum (Scheme 93). The IR absorption maxima were observed at 1736 cm⁻¹ for the ring carbonyl and 1711 cm⁻¹ for the side-chain carbonyl. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed only two isomers, one of which was very predominant. The two doublets, at & 1.043 and 0.999, and two double doublets, at & 3.242 and 2.928 could be assigned to C-4 methyls in 441 and 442 and C-6 protons, respectively. The assignment of the relative stereochemistry at C-4 in 441 and 442 was achieved from their corresponding cyclization products 439 and 440 (supra infra). As in the case of 416 and 417, the relative stereochemistry at C-6 in 441 and 442 was not determined.

The hydrogenation products, 441 and 442, upon treatment with potassium tertbutoxide in benzene at room temperature for ca. 20 minutes, underwent intramolecular aldol condensation to provide, after chromatography on silica gel, an 84% yield of the cyclization products 439 and 440 (Scheme 93). The IR spectrum of this mixture showed absorption maxima for the conjugated carbonyl at 1704 cm⁻¹ and for the double bond at 1667 cm⁻¹. Although only one peak was observed in the GC-MS spectr⁻m, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra indicated clearly a mixture of two C-9 epimers. In the ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), a broad methyl singlet at δ 1.66, and two methyl doublets, at δ 1.047 and 0.671 could be assigned as vinyl methyls in 439 and 440, and C-9 methyls, respectively. The proton chemical shifts of the C-9 methyls in 439 and 440 and the C-4 protons in C₆D₆ were 0.756, 0.416 and 1.987, 2.579, respectively. The assignment of the relative stereochemistry at C-9 in 439 and 440 was based the NOE data. Irradiation of the double doublet at δ 2.579 (C-4 proton in 440) resulted in an 1.2% NOE of the doublet at δ 0.416 (C-9 methyl in 440), and, vice versa, a 13.9% NOE of the doublet at δ 2.579 was observed when the signal at δ 0.416 was irradiated, which confirmed the relative stereochemistry in 440. As calculated from the integrations in the ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₃), the ratio of 439 to 440 was 1:5 .

Scheme 93



(Figure 11). It should be pointed out that the major product 440 possessed the stereochemistry at C-9 opposite to natural pentalenene. Nevertheless, it could serve as a precursor to *epi*-pentalenene.

Figure 11. ¹H NMR spectrum of the 1:5 mixture of 439 and 440 (CDCL₃)



It is worthwhile to recall that compound 427, upon direct hydrogenation followed by aldol condensation, produced a 1 : 3.5 mixture of 414 and 415, of which only the former possessed the requisite stereochemistry at C-4, but, in contrast, a 3.5 : 2 mixture of 414 and 415 was formed when 427 was subjected to Birch reduction, hydrogenation, and aldol condensation. Therefore, we examined the Birch reduction hydrogenation, and aldol condensation. Therefore, we and the Birch reduction in this series, also. Lithium-ammonia reduction of 443 followed by oxidation with PCC gave an 81% yield of 462 (Scheme 94). The IR absorption maxima at 1745 cm⁻¹ and 1709 cm⁻¹ were assigned as the ring carbonyl and the side –chain carbonyl, respectively. In

the ¹H NMR spectrum, only one o¹cfinic proton resonance at δ 5.683 (m) was apparent. The ¹³C NMR spectrum indicated a single compound, whose relative stereochemistry at C-4 was not established.

Scheme 94



Catalytic hydrogenation of 462 proceeded quantitatively, and the resulting mixture was subjected to base-induced intramolecular aldol condensation using potassium *tert*-butoxide in benzene at room temperature. The cyclization products 439 and 440 were isolated in 84% yield. The ratio of 439 to 440, as calculated by the integration of the ¹H NMR spectrum (CDCl₂), was 4 : 1 (Figure 12). The hydrogenation product must have been a 4 : 1 mixture of methyl epimers 441 and 442.





It should be mentioned that once, after Birch reduction sequence, we isolated a small amount of some other material along with the desired hydrogenation products 441 and 442. Its IR spectrum showed absorption maxima at 1740 and 1716 cm⁻¹ for the carbonyls, one of which (ν_{max}^{-1} 1740 cm⁻¹) appeared to be a five –membered ring carbonyl. The ¹³C and ¹H NMR spectra of this side –product were clearly different from those of 441 and 442. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed two sets of signals, of which one was slightly larger than the other, thus suggesting two isomers. Furthermore, these two isomers possessed the same number of primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary carbons as in 441 and 442. We soon realized that 463 and 464 were the possible side products (Scheme 95). Accordingly, the C–8 hydrogens of the major and minor epimers appeared as double doublets at 8.3759 and 3.156, respectively. The formation of 463 and 464 was rationalised starting from spiro–diketone 465, which might

be derived from 444 and 456/457 via double bond isomerization. Addition of methyllithium gave alcohol 466, which underwent ozonolysis followed by intramolecular aldol condensation to provide 467. Birch reduction followed by PCC oxidation and catalytic hydrogenation resulted in 463 and 464.



Scheme 95

It was interesting to note that the hydrogenation of 431 gave a 3.5 : 2 mixture of 416 and 417 (Scheme 85), but a 4 : 1 mixture of 441 and 442 was obtained from 462 by catalytic hydrogenation (Scheme 94). In other words, the facial selectivity was higher in the case of 462 than that in the case of 431. This fact is not yet understood.

With the key intermediates 439 and 440 in hand, the transformation to epipentalenene and pentalenene was indeed very straightforward. The hydrogenation of



the double bond and the reduction of the carbonyl can be achieved by either Birch reduction or catalytic hydrogenation followed by sodium borohydride reduction. Lithium-ammonia reduction of the 1 : 5 mixture of 439 and 440, prepared via the direct hydrogenation route, gave a mixture of saturated alcohols, which were assigned as 468 and 469 based on the following findings (Scheme 96). The IR spectrum showed absorption maximum for an hydroxyl at 3436 cm⁻¹ (broad). A prominent molecular ion at m/2 222 was observed in the mass spectrum. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, two double doublets at δ 3.521 and 3.564 were attributed to the protons α to the hydroxyl in 468 and 469, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectroscopic data for 468 were in excellent agreement with those reported by Crimmins *et al.*¹¹⁸ who arrived at this compound by a different route. Since the isolated yield ranged from 45% to 60% in several small scale reactions, the alternative two-step sequence was studied (Scheme 97). The catalytic bydrogenation of the 1 : 5 mixture of 439 and 440 proceeded smoothly to provide

the saturated ketones in nearly quantitative yield. The IR spectrum of the product showed an absorption maximum at 1736 cm⁻¹ for a nonconjugated carbonyl. If one assumes that syn hydrogenation occurs for **439** and **440**, then only two hydrogenation products are expected, but, GC-MS analysis and the ¹³C NMR spectrum showed clearly the presence of four compounds. Thus, the hydrogenation products must have been a mixture of four diastereomers (i.e. **470** and **471**). It is most likely that the C-2 methyl group prefers the *a* position due to steric reasons, thereby resulting in the epimerization on Pd-C catalysis. Treatment of the mixture of alcohols **472** and **473** in nearly quantitative yield.





The conversion of the alcohol 468 to pentalenene was previously reported by Crimmins et al.¹¹⁸ by pyrolyzing the p-cresol thiocarbonate derivative 474 (Scheme 98). Regarding this conversion, Crimmins claimed that the direct dehydration under



a variety of conditions such as SOCl₂ with pyridine, POCl₃ with pyridine in benzene, or elimination of the mesylate of 468 (*t*-BuOK, Me₂SO; DBU, benzene) gave either intractable mixtures or recovery of starting material. Since *p*TSA in benzene at reflux, a very common condition for dehydration, was not mentioned in Crimmins' paper, we decided to examine it first. The mixture of alcohols 472 and 473 prepared by catalytic hydrogenation and NaBH₄ reduction was treated with *p*TSA in benzene at reflux with continuous azeotropic removal of water. The reactions were closely followed by GC-MS and TLC analysis. As the reaction began, TLC indicated the formation of very nonpolar material which, as identified by GC-MS, were a mixture of *epi*pentalenene and pentalenene, of which the former was predominant. When GC-MS and TLC showed no starting material, the crude product was passed through a short column of silica gel eluting with anhydrous diethyl ether to get rid of the JTSA. GC- MS analysis of the resulting solution indicated a 1 : 5 mixture of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene, whose mass spectra were almost identical. A 1 : 5 mixture of epi-pentalenene and pentalenene was also obtained when a mixture of the alcohols 468 and 469 prepared *via* Birch reduction was treated with pTSA in benzene under reflux as above. In order to obtain pure samples for spectroscopic analysis, we needed to remove the solvent, which turned out a tough nut to crack because of the high volatility of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene. Evaporation of benzene at room temperature using the vacuum produced by an aspirator resulted in a significant loss of product. Distillation might have been an alternative solution. However, it can be difficult on very small scale. Nevertheless, the loss of product could be minimized when the evaporation of the solvent was carried out by using a very carefully controlled vacuum, and this was suboped when no solvent peaks appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum. In this way, we were able to obtain the mixture of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene in yields as high as 96%.

Pentalenene and epi-pentalenene proved to be very difficult to separate by column chromatography on silica gel using a variety of eluting solvent systems. Nevertheless, Piers and Karunaratne¹¹⁵ achieved the separation by chromatography on silver nitrate-impregnated silica gel eluted with perfoleum ether. Indeed, column chromatography of the 1 : 5 mixture of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene on 20% silver nitrate-impregnated silica gel eluted with pure pentane provided 69% epipentalenene and 7.5% pentalenene. In their ¹H NMR spectra the olefinic protons of epi-pentalenene and pentalenene appeared at δ 5.168 (br s) and δ 5.153 (br s), respectively, and the vinyl methyls of epi-pentalenene and pentalenene were observed as broad singlets at δ 1.597 and 1.614, respectively. The ¹³C NMR spectra showed the sp² carbons for epi-pentalenene, at δ 131.5 and 140.5, and for pentalenene were in good agreement with those provided by Professor E. Piers' of University of British Columbia.

Based on our experience, it was quite difficult to evaporate or distill a large volume of petroleum ether under vacuum without any loss of the products. In our case, an alternative solution to obviate the separation of pentalenene and enipentalenene was to achieve the separation of their precursors at an earlier stage of the synthesis. Since the boiling point of the tricyclic enones 439 and 440 was quite high, we wondered if both isomers might be separated. Not surprisingly, many of our initial efforts to separate them by employing a variety of solvent systems were unsuccessful. The separation was eventually achieved when the mixture was chromatographed on silica gel-impregnated with 20% silver nitrate eluted with 2% diethyl ether and 98% petroleum ether. From the 1:5 mixture of 439 and 440, prepared from the direct hydrogenation route, we were able to obtain a 8% of 439 and a 83% of 440, along with 5% of an the unresolved mixture of 439 and 440 which could be separated again. In the case of the 4 : 1 mixture of 439 and 440 derived from the Birch reduction sequence, we isolated a 75% yield of 439 and a 12% yield of 440, accompanied by a 5% yield of the unresolved mixture. With pure samples of 439 and 440 in hand, the previous assignment based on the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the mixture was indeed fully confirmed. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of tricyclic enones 439 and 440 are shown in Figure 13, 14, 15, and 16,

The transformation of pure 439 and 440 to pentalenene and *epi* – pentalenene was achieved by following the same reaction sequence as with the mixture of 439 and 440. Thus, compound 439 underwent catalytic hydrogenation to give a mixture of two epimers 470 as identified by GC-MS analysis. This hydrogenation mixture, without any purification, was treated with sodium borohydride to give the mixture alcohols 472.

We are very grateful to Professor E. Piers for making this comparison possible.





Next, we subjected the crude mixture of alcohols 472 to dehydration with pTSA in benzene. In this way, pentalenene was obtained in 88% overall yield from 439. Likewise, epi – pentalenene was prepared from 440 in roughly the same yield.

In summary, pentalenene and epi-pentalenene were synthesized in a stereoselective sense depending on the order in which the double bonds of enone 443 were reduced (i.e. Birch reduction followed by catalytic hydrogenation or direct catalytic hydrogenation). Our synthesis required twelve steps for pentalenene and eleven steps for epi-pentalenene from dimedone; it involved one isomer separation, and produced pentalenene and epi-pentalenene in 16.4% and 22.4% yields, respectively. Indeed, our synthesis was both short and stereoselective as compared with others. The strategies developed in this synthetic study should be amenable to the synthesis of other angularly fused triquinanes.

III. Experimental

7,9,9-Trimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (382)

A solution of isophorone (2.19 g, 15.2 mmol), ethylene glycol (4.2 mL, 76 mmol), and pTSA (300 mg) in benzene was heated under reflux overnight with a Barrett water-separator. Solid NaHCO3 was added, and the resulting yellow solution was saturated with water. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2). The solution was dried over anhydrous K2CO2 and evaporated in vacuo to give a yellow liquid. The yellow color was removed by vacuum distillation, and the resulting colorless distillate was chromatographed (1% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 382 (1.72 g, 62%) as a colorless liquid and recovered starting material, isophorone (651.3 mg, 31%). [The recovered isophorone was treated again with ethylene glycol and pTSA in benzene as above to give pure 382 (557.4 mg, 20%) and isophorone (180.1 mg, 9%). The overall vield of the ketal 382 was 82%.] For 382: ¹H NMR & 1.037 (6H, s), 1.591 (2H, s), 1.660 (3H, s), 2.123 (2H, s), 3.931 (4H, s), and 5.153 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 23.1 (3), 30.1 (2C, 3), 33.9 (0), 39.5 (2), 43.3 (2), 63.7 (2C, 2), 108.8 (0), 127.6 (0), and 130.9 (1); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%); 182 (46, M+), 167 (20), 96 (34), 87 (23), 86 (100), 81 (19), 43 (12), 42 (12), and 41 (13),

7,9,9-Trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene-1,4-dione (381)

A solution of 382 (300.1 mg, 1.65 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (60 mL) was cooled to -78°C. Freshly distilled BF₃Et₂O (2.03 mL, 16.5 mmol) was added followed, dropwise, by a solution of 109 (1.10 mL, 4.13 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight, over which time the solution was allowed to attain room

For General Procedures, see 1.III.

temperature. This mixture was added slowly to an ice-cooled saturated NaHCO₃ solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (×2) and saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the residue (3% acetone in petroleum ether) provided pure **381** (244.6 mg, 72%) and hydrolysed starting material isophorone (37.1 mg, 16%). For **381**: mg 85-86°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1721 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.942 (6H, s), 1654 (2H, s), 1.759 (3H, s), 2.027 (2H, br s), 2.632 (2H, m), 3.054 (2H, m), and 5.205 (1H, br s); NOE data: see Figure 8; ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 23.6 (3), 29.1 (2), 30.1 (2C, 3), 32.8 (0), 34.7 (2C, 2), 43.3 (2), 59.0 (0), 128.6 (0), 129.6 (1), and 214.2 (2C, 0); MS *m/z* (%): 206 (100, M⁺), 191 (22, M⁺ – Me), 178 (11), 165 (13), 149 (14), 145 (28), 131 (31), 107 (21), 91 (21), 85 (13), 81 (11), 79 (11), 57 (11), 55 (13), 43 (12), and 41 (16). *Exact mass* caled. for C₁₃H₁₆O₂: 206.1306; found: 206.1306.

7,9,9-Trimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene (386)

To a reaction flask containing CH_2CI_2 was added trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (Me_3SiOTf) (50 μ L) and the mixture was cooled to -78°C. 1,2-Bis(trimethylsiloxy)ethane (3.91 g, 19.0 mmol) was added followed by injection of isophorone (1.199 g, 8.62 mmol). The solution was stirred at -78°C for 2 hours and quenched at -78°C by adding dry pyridine (0.21 mL, 2.6 mmol). The reaction mixture was poured into a saturated NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with CH₂CI₂ (×3). The combined organic extrasts were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over anhydrous K₂CO₃, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting residue was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure **386** (0.66 g, 42%) as a colorless liquid: ¹H NMR & 0.995 (6H, s), 1.662 (2H, s), 1.700 (3H, s), 1.795 (2H, s), 3.932 (4H, m), and 5.535 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 23.64 (3), 28.9 (2C, 3), 31.1 (0), 44.3 (2), 45.8 (2), 64.1 (2C, 2), 106.8 (0), 120.8 (1), and 139.5 (0); MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): 182 (4, M⁺), 167 (6, M⁺ – Me), 137 (10), 126 (100), 107 (6), 99 (6), 86 (6), 82 (11), and 41 (7).

7,9,9 - Trimethylspiro[4.5] dec - 6 - ene - 1,4 - dione (389)*

A solution of isophorone (417.2 mg, 3.02 mmol) in CH2Cl2 was cooled to -78°C and BF2.Et,O (5.57 mL, 45.3 mmol) was added, followed dropwise by a CH2Cl2 solution of 109 (2.4 mL, 9.06 mmol). The mixture was stirred overnight during which time the reaction was allowed to attain room temperature. This mixture was added slowly to an ice-cooled saturated NaHCO3 solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH2Cl2 (x3). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaHCO3 (x2) and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (3% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide 389 (133.3 mg, 21%) along with some starting material. For 389: mp 64-64.5°C; IR (film) vma: 1719 cm-1; 1H NMR & 0.995 (6H, s), 1.617 (2H, s), 1.750 (3H, s), 1.818 (2H, s), 2.850 (4H, m), and 5.055 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's); 24.4 (3), 29.2 (2C, 3), 30.1 (0), 34.6 (2C, 2), 38.2 (2), 43.2 (2), 62.8 (0), 112.7 (1), 139.5 (0), and 212.5 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 206 (100, M⁺), 191 (64, M⁺ - Me), 163 (26), 150 (23), 149 (15), 145 (13), 135 (21), 131 (12), 121 (24), 107 (84), 105 (14), 93 (14), 91 (35), 85 (28), 79 (24), 77 (17), 65 (14), 55 (18), and 41 (28). Exact mass calcd. for C12H10O2: 206.1306; found: 206.1296

2-(2,2-Dimethyl-3-oxopropyl)-2-(2-oxopropyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (380)

Ozone was passed through a solution of **381** (200.3 mg, 0.97 mmol) in CH_2CI_2 at -78°C until the blue color persisted, indicating the completion of the ozonolysis. The excess O₃ was removed (i.e. the blue color disappeared) when O₂ was bubbled

We thank Mr. Tracy J. Jenkins for kindly informing us of this experimental procedure.

through the solution for ca. 5 min. The reaction system was purged with N_2 for ca. 10 min to remove the remaining O_2 . Next, Me_2S (3 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred overnight during which time the reaction was allowed to attain room temperature. Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* gave the crude **380** (90% pure by GC-MS analysis): MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): no M^+ , 210 (1.6, M^+ - CO), 178 (4), 167 (13), 154 (23), 139 (13), 125 (15), 112 (30), 111 (17), 107 (14), 97 (10), 79 (8), 55 (19), 43 (100), and 41 (19). This crude product was used for the next cyclisation without further purification.

6-Acetyl-8,8-dimethylspiro[4.4]non-6-ene-1,4-dione (390)

A Now of

A benzene solution of the crude 380 from the above reaction in the presence of a small amount of pTSA (200 mg) was heated under reflux for ca. 2 hours with a Barrett water – separator. Saturated NaHCO₃ was added to the cooled solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (3% acetone in petroleum ether) of the brown residue provided pure 390 (113.4 mg, 52% from 381) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1725, 1664, and 1626 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR &: 1.318 (6H, s), 1.891 (2H, s), 2.252 (3H, s), 2.734 (2H, m), 3.077 (2H, m), and 6.761 (1H, s); MS *m/z* (%): 220 (13, M⁺), 205 (5, M⁺ – Me), 201 (7), 192 (44, M⁺ – CO), 177 (33, M⁺ – COCH₃), 163 (24), 149 (9), 135 (28), 91 (10), 77 (12), 55 (25), and 43 (100, COCH₃⁺). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁, H₂, O₂: 220.1098; found 220.1087.

6-Acetyl-8,8-dimethylspiro[4.4] nonane-1,4-dione (391)

Compound **390** (54.9 mg, 0.25 mmol), dry methanol (30 mL), and 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips) were added to an hydrogenation flask, which was then shaken under 51 psi pressure of H₂ for 1 h. The solution was filtered through a small pad of Celite and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the residue (5% acetone in petroleum ether) provided **391** (55.2 mg, 100%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1715 (very br) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.171 (3H, s), 1.188 (3H, s), 1.505 (1H, d, J = 13.4Hz) and 1.756 (1H, d, J = 13.4 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 1.998 (1H, dd, J =7.0, 11.7 Hz), 2.086 (3H, s), 2.351 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 13.8 Hz), 2.60–3.00 (4H, mm), and 3.642 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 13.8 Hz, methine); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 27.9 (3), 29.7 (3), 30.1 (3), 35.6 (2C, 2), 40.2 (0), 43.5 (2), 49.0 (2), 62.3 (0), 65.5 (1), 208.2 (0), 216.3 (0), and 218.0 (0); MS m/z (%): 222 (2, M⁺), 180 (16), 179 (100, M⁺ – COCH₃), 138 (9), 137 (38), 123 (11), 96 (9), 95 (9), 83 (9), 43 (52, COCH₃⁺), and 41 (10). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₃H₁₈O₃: 222.1255; found: 222.1273; and for C₁₁H₁₅O₂ (M⁺ – COCH₃): 179.1071; found: 179.1055.

rel-(4R,5R)-4-Hydroxy-7,9,9-trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-1-one (393)

Spiro-diketone **381** (300.2 mg, 1.46 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (10 mL) and cooled in an ice bath. Sodium borohydride (13.8 mg, 0.37 mmol) was added in small portions, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min. Water was added, and much of the methanol was removed *in vacuo*. The solution was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (2% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided the pure monoalcohol **393** (243.1 mg, 80%) as a colorless oil and recovered starting material **381** (28.3 mg, 9%). For **393**: IR (film) ν_{max} : **3**460 (br) and 1727 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR *é*: 1.019 (3H, s), 1.043 (3H, s), 1.637 (3H, s), 1.51 - 2.58 (8H, mm), 4.230 (1H, m), and 5.228 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR *é* (attached H's): 27.3 (3), 28.0 (2), 30.3 (3), 31.2 (0), 32.0 (3), 33.3 (2), 34.8 (2), 35.0 (2), 54.4 (0), 74.5 (1), 127.3 (0), 131.8 (1), and 222.0 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 208 (53, M⁺), 193 (13, M⁺ - Me), 175 (87), 149 (79), 133 (86), 131 (32), 121 (42), 119 (55), 107 (50), 105 (38), 91 (62), 81 (32), 79 (34), 77 (37), 55 (47), 53 (33), 43 (74), and 41 (100). *Exact mass* calacl. for C₁₃H₂₀O₂: 208.1462; found: 208.1462. PCC oxidation of this monoalcohol provided the spiro-diketone **381** in nearly quantitative yield.

rel-(4R,5S)-4-Hydroxy-7,9,9-trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-6-en-1-one (394)

From spiro-diketone 381

Compound 381 (110.3 mg, 0.54 mmol) in methanol was treated as above with sodium borohydride (5.1 mg, 0.135 mmol). 10% HCl was added to the ice-cooled solution, and much of the methanol was removed *in vacuo*. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic layers were washed with water (x2), saturated NaHCO₃ (x2), and saturated NaCl (x2). The solution was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (3% acetone in petroleum ether) provided an approximate 1: 1 mixtur³ of double bond isomers 393 and 394 (79.7 mg, 80%) as revealed by GC-MS and spectroscopic analysis. Oxidation of this mixture with PCC provided quantitatively an approximate 1: 1 mixture of 381 and 389. r_{SF} the spectroscopic data for 394, see below.

From monoalcohol 393

To a methanol solution of monoalcohol 393 (41.7 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added 10% HCI, and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. Much of the solvent was evaporated *in vacuo* and the solution was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic layers were washed with water (×2), saturated NaHCO₃ (×2) and saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo* to give an approximate 1 : 1 mixture of 393 and 394 (41.6 mg, 100%) as indicated by GC-MS and spectroscopic analysis. Oxidation of this mixture with PCC gave an approximate 1 : 1 mixture of 381 and 389.

From spiro-diketone 389

Spiro-diketone 389 (15.1 mg, 0.073 mmol) in methanol was treated as for 381

with sodium borohydride (ca. 1 mg, 0.026 mmol) except that water was added in the work-up instead 10% HCI. The crude product was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to give pure **394** (10.4 mg, 69%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} 3464 (br), 1731 and 1451 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.966 (3H, s), 1.021 (3H, s), 1.629 (3H, s), 1.680 (2H, s), 1.81–2.54 (6H, mm), **4.215** (1H, m), and 4.957 (1H, br s); NOE data: irradiate 4.957: NOE at 4.215 (1.4%); irradiate 4.215: NOE at 4.957 (4.4%); ¹³C NMR & (2, 13.6, 12.6, 13.6, 12.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6, 15.6, 13.6, 15.6,

trans-7,9,9-Trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene-1,4-diol (396)

From the monoalcohol 393

The monoalcohol 393 (63.7 mg, 0.31 mmol) was dissolved in methanol (20 mL) and cooled in an ice bath as sodium borohydride (23.0 mg, 0.62 mmol) was added in several portions. The solution was stirred at 0°C for 30 min, and water was added. Much of the methanol was removed by evaporation *in vacuo*, and the product was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting residue was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide the diol 306 (65.1 mg, 100%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 3340 (very br) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR ϵ 1.027 (3H, s), 1.060 (3H, s), 1.20–1.75 (3H, mm), 1.662 (3H, s), 2.06–2.23 (3H, mm), 3.960 (1H, m), 4.089 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz), and 5.188 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR ϵ (attached H's): 24.1 (3), 29.0 (2), 29.7 (2), 31.1 (2C, 2 and 3), 32.1 (2C, 0 and 3), 38.6 (2), 48.5 - Me), 177 (36), 159 (70), 149 (21), 148 (20), 136 (29), 135 (32), 133 (100), 123 (35), 121 (25), 119 (33), 109 (20), 107 (38), 105 (39), 93 (27), 91 (38), 81 (29), 79 (23), 77 (20), 67 (19), 55 (32), 43 (64), and 41 (70). *Exact mass* calcd. for $C_{12}H_{15}O_2$ (M⁺ – Me): 195.1384; found: 195.1379.

From spiro-diketone 381

Compound 381 (39.8 mg, 0.19 mmol) was treated as above with sodium borohydride (14.4 mg, 0.38 mmol) to give the pure diol 396 (40.1 mg, 100%).

rel-(4R,5S)-4-Hydroxy-7,9,9-trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-1-one (392)

To a solution of the *trans* diol **396** (10.8 mg, 0.05 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was added PCC (10.8 mg, 0.05 mmol) and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. Filtration through a Florisil pad removed a black precipitate. Five volumes of diethyl ether were passed through the pad. The combined organic solutions were evaporated *in vacuo*, and the resultue was chromatographed (3% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide an approximate 1 : 1 mixture of epimeric monoalcohols 392 and 393 (7.2 mg, 67%) as a colorless oil and some recovered starting material 396. For 392: ¹¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 0.984 (3H, s), 1.060 (3H, s), 1.45 – 2.57 (8H, mm), 4.322 (1H, t, *J* = 5.8 Hz), and 5.236 (1H, br s); MS (from GC–MS) *m/z* (%): 208 (18, M⁺), 175 (78), 149 (87), 133 (100), 131 (40), 121 (62), 119 (63), 107 (60), 105 (51), 93 (31), 91 (71), 85 (23), 81 (37), 79 (42), 77 (46), 69 (37), 67 (26), 65 (32), 57 (31), 55 (61), 53 (33), 44 (36), 43 (82), and 41 (97). This mixture of epimeric alcohols was forther oxidized cleanly to spiro–diketone 392 upon treatment with PCC.

rel-(1R,25,55,75)-7,9,9-Trimethyl-6-oxatricyclo[5.3.1.0^{1,5}]undecan-2-ol (398) From spiro-diketone 381

Compound 381 (51.7 mg, 0.25 mmol) was treated as above with $NaBH_4$ (15.0 mg, 0.40 mmol). After the mixture was stirred at 0°C for about 30 min, 10% HCl was

added until pH 2. Much of the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with water (x2), saturated NaHCO₃ (x2) and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo*. The resulting residue was chromatographed (20% scetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure alcohol **398** (52.8 mg, 100%): mp 82–83°C; IR (film) ν_{max}^{-} 3405 (br) and 1463 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.996 (3H, s), 1.207 (3H, s), 1.282 (3H, s), 1.24–1.76 (8H, mm), 1.888 (1H, br dd, J = 1.8, 13.4 Hz), 2.09–2.24 (1H, m), 2.27–2.41 (1H, m), 4.026 (1H, dd, J = 1.4, 5.0 Hz, C–2 methine), and 4.524 (1H, dd, J = 4.4, 8.6 Hz, C–5 methine); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 26.8 (3), 30.4 (0), 31.5 (2), 32.5 (2C, 2 and 3), 36.6 (3), 42.5 (2), 46.3 (2), 51.0 (2), 57.6 (0), 77.0 (1), 83.1 (0), and 84.4 (1). *Exact mass* calcd, for C, H₂₇O₂₇: 210.1619; found: 210.1602.

From trans diol 396

To a methanol solution of *trans* diol 396 (55.7 mg, 0.27 mmol) was added 10% HCl until pH 2, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min. Work-up as above gave an oily residue which was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 398 (55.6 mg, 100%) as a colorless solid.

rel – (1*5*,2*5*,5*5*,7*5*) – 7,9,9 – Trimethyl – 6 – oxatricyclo [5.3.1.0^{1,5}] undecan – 2 – ol acetate (404)

To a solution of 398 (7.8 mg, 0.037 mmol) in pyridine (0.5 mL) was added acetic anhydride (0.5 mL), and the resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. Water was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with water (×2), 5% HCl (×3) and saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (5% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided the pure acetate 404 (8.3 mg, 89%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1746 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.964 (3H, s), 1.196 (3H, s), 1271 (1H, d, J = 11.5 Hz), 1.290 (3H, s), 1.349 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 1.414 (1H, d, J = 8.6 Hz), 1.452 (1H, d, J = 11.5 Hz), 1.53-1.75 (4H, mm), 2.00-2.20 (1H, m), 2.056 (3H, s), 2.26-2.43 (1H, m), 4.505 (1H, dd, J = 4.9, 8.4 Hz, C-2 methine), and 5.084 (1H, dd, J = 2.1, 5.5 Hz, C-5 methine); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 252 (10, M⁺), 192 (34), 165 (19), 136 (27), 135 (54), 109 (24), 57 (49), 56 (27), 43 (100), 42 (21), and 41 (64).

7,9,9-Trimethyl-6-oxatricyclo[5.3.1.01,5]undecan-2-one (399)

To a solution of the alcohol 398 (25.4 mg, 0.12 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was added PCC (39.0 mg, 0.18 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂. The solution was stirred at room temperature overnight. Filtration through a Florisil pad removed a black precipitate. Five volumes of diethyl ether were passed through the pad, and concentration of the combined organic solutions *in vacuo* provided pure ketone 399 (25.4 mg, 100%): mp 25°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1739 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.009 (3H, s), 1.197 (3H, s), 1.322 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 1.363 (3H, s), 1.370 (1H, d, J = 11.2 Hz), 1.494 (1H, br d, J = 14.0 Hz), 1.644 (1H, br d, J = 14.6 Hz), 1.70–1.83 (1H, m), 1.866 (1H, d, J = 14.0 Hz), 1.644 (1H, br d, J = 14.0 Hz); 2.17–2.37 (2H, mm), 2.58–2.71 (1H, m), and 4.604 (1H, t, J = 7.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 26.3 (3), 28.5 (2), 30.1 (0), 32.2 (3), 35.9 (3), 37.3 (2), 41.9 (2), 44.0 (2), 50.7 (2), 58.5 (0), 83.8 (1), 85.5 (0), and 216.3 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 208 (12, M⁺), 180 (16), 165 (11), 152 (47), 137 (7), 96 (100), 95 (16), 67 (10), 57 (41), 55 (14), 43 (66), and 41 (31). *Exact mass* caled. for C₁₃H₂₀O₂: 208.1462; found: 208.1463.

rel-(1R,2R,5S,7S)-7,9,9-Trimethyl-6-oxatricyclo[5.3.1.01,5]undecan-2-ol (400)

To an ice cooled solution of ketone 399 (80.6 mg, 0.39 mmol) in methanol was added sodium borohydride (14.7 mg, 0.39 mmol) in several portions. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 min. Water was added, and much of the methanol was evaporated *in vacuo*. The solution was extracted with diethyl ether (x3) and the combined organic layers were washed with water and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (5% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided pure alcohol **400** (78.1 mg, 96%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} ² 3/20 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.982 (3H, s), 1.186 (3H, s), 1.272 (1H, d, J = 11.1 Hz), 1.320 (1H, d, J = 14.4 Hz), 1.323 (3H, s), 1.438 (1H, br dd, J = 1.9, 13.4 Hz), 1.594 (1H, partially overlapped d, J = 14.4 Hz), 1.76–2.00 (4H, mm), 2.134 (1H, very br, OH), 3.854 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, C–2 methine), and 4.265 (1H, dd, J = 4.8, 7.9 Hz, C–5 methine); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 26.9 (3), 29.2 (2), 30.5 (0), 30.8 (2), 32.6 (3), 36.3 (3), 41.7 (2), 46.7 (2), 51.1 (2), 56.7 (0), 77.00 (1), 83.8 (1), and 84.4 (0); MS m/z (%): 210 (23, M⁺), 195 (7, M⁺ – Me), 192 (65, M⁺ – H₂O), 175 (32), 173 (45), 149 (47), 147 (48), 135 (48), 133 (31), 129 (48), 109 (80), 95 (34), 75 (92), 73 (82), 57 (73), 55 (40), 43 (100), and 41 (62). *Exact mass* caled. for C₁₃H₂₂O₂: 210.1619; found: 210.1619. This alcohol was converted cleanly into ketone **399** upon oxidation with PCC.

rel – (45,5S) – 4– (tert – Butyldimethylsiloxy) – 7,9,9 – trimethylspiro [4.5] dec – 7 – en – 1 – one (405)

terr-Butylchlorodimethylsilane (TBDMSCI) (232.0 mg, 1.54 mmol) was added portionwise to a stirred solution of the monoalcohol 393 (160.8 mg, 0.77 mmol), imidazole (157.3 mg, 2.31 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) (10 mg) in dry DMF (7 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to 80° C in an oil bath overnight. To the cooled solution was added diethyl either and water. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous layer was re-extracted with diethyl either (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with water (x2) and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vaccuo*. Chromatography (4% acetone in petroleum either) of the residue provided pure 405 (113.4 mg, 46%) as a colorless oil, recovered monoalcohol 393 (75.7 mg, 47%), and a small amount of di-terr-butyldimethylsilyl ether ((TBDMS)₂O). For 408: IR (film) ν_{max}^{-1} 1740 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 0.054 (3H, s), 0.086 (3H, s), 0.876 (9H, s), 0.910 (3H, s), 0.963 (3H, s), 1.563 (1H, d, J = 14.3 Hz), 1.671 (3H, br s), 1.70–1.82 (3H, mm), 1.93–2.22 (3H, mm), 2.30–2.53 (1H, m), 3.985 (1H, br t, J = 5.6 Hz), and 5.176 (1H, apparent br s, $W_{1/2} = 5.4$ Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): -4.6 (3), -3.8 (3), 18.1 (0), 23.7 (3), 25.9 (3C, 3), 27.7 (2), 30.0 (3), 31.7 (0), 32.2 (3), 35.5 (2), 33.7 (2), 35.1 (2), 54.2 (0), 76.6 (1), 128.2 (0), 131.7 (1), and 220.1 (0); MS m/z (%): 322 (43, M⁺), 265 (33, M⁺ - (CH₃)₃C), 221 (8), 209 (7), 179 (17), 175 (18), 173 (45), 164 (28), 149 (31), 147 (45), 133 (27), 131 (26), 129 (45), 119 (22), 105 (22), 101 (23), 91 (22), 75 (100), 73 (90), 59 (31), 57 (26), and 41 (45). *Exacr* mass calcd. for C₁₉H₃₄O₂Si: 322.326; found: 322.2293; and for C₁₅H₂₅O₂Si (M⁺ -(CH₃)₃C): 265.1623; found: 265.1659. For (TBDMS)₂O: MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 246 (06, M⁺), 231 (0.8, M⁺ - Me), 189 (30, M⁺ - (CH₃)₃C), 148 (16), 147 (100), 133 (6), 131 (4), 117 (8), 73 (18), 57 (7), and 41 (17).

rel - (25,3R) - 3 - (tert - Butyldimethylsiloxy) - 2 - (2,2 - dimethyl - 3 - oxopropyl) -2 - (2 - oxopropyl)cyclopentanone (406)

Ozone was passed through a solution of the silyl ether **405** (113.5 mg, 0.35 mmol) in MeCH (15 mL) at -78° C until a blue coloration appeared, indicating completion of the reaction. The excess ozone was removed by bubbling O₂ through the solution and the system was then purged with nitrogen. Dimethyl sulfide (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight during which time the reaction was allowed to rise to room temperature. Concentration *in vacuo* provided crude **406** (82% pure by GC– MS analysis): MS (from GC–MS) *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 297 (35, M⁺ – (CH₃)₃C), 279 (32), 239 (25), 225 (23), 213 (20), 211 (25), 205 (31), 197 (24), 195 (22), 169 (40), 163 (34), 151 (29), 145 (17), 139 (16), 137 (18), 109 (19), 95 (18), 75 (100), 73 (72), 59 (17), 43 (89), and 41 (33). This crude product was used for the next cyclisation without further purification.

To ice-cooled dry methanol (10 mL) was added sodium metal (24.2 mg, 1.05 mmol) followed by a methanol solution of the crude 406 from the above reaction. The resulting solution was stirred at 0°C for 8 min. Water was added, and much of the methanol was evaporated in vacuo. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to afford, in order of elution, 407 (25.1 mg, 21%) and rel-(4R,5S)-6-acetyl-4-hydroxy-8,8-dimethylspiro[4.4] non-6-en-l-one (408) (2.0 mg, 3%). For compound 407: IR (film) vmax: 1746, 1670, and 1623 cm-1; 1H NMR &: -0.046 (3H, s), 0.025 (3H, s), 0.875 (9H, s), 1.210 (6H, s), 1.435 (1H, d, J = 13.3 Hz), 1.580 (1H, m), 2.163 (1H, m), 2.284 (3H, s), 2.32-2.52 (3H, mm), 4.691 (1H, dd, J = 6.4, 10.6 Hz), and 6.692 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): -4.7 (3), -4.5 (3), 18.1 (0), 25.9 (3C, 3), 26.7 (3), 28.9 (3), 29.7 (3), 30.0 (2), 35.6 (2), 39.9 (2), 45.8 (0), 67.6 (0), 72.9 (1), 141.8 (0), 158.4 (1), 196.0 (0), and 217.2 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 336 (4, M+), 321 (2.3, M+ - Me), 303 (5.4, M+ -Me), 281 (7), 280 (23), 279 (100, M⁺ - (CH₂)₂C), 261 (23), 238 (8), 237 (40), 235 (15), 193 (17), 189 (11), 161 (14), 159 (14), 147 (9), 145 (16), 119 (8), 117 (7), 105 (8), 91 (10), 77 (8), 75 (34), 73 (37), 59 (8), 57 (11, (CH2)2C), 43 (42, COCH2+), and 41 (12). For the alcohol 408: ¹H NMR &: 1.242 (6H, s), 1.533 (1H, d, J = 13.3 Hz), 2.296 (1H, d, J = 13.3 Hz), 2.298 (3H, s), 1.57 - 1.71 (1H, m), 2.26 - 2.65 (3H, mm), and4.686 (1H, dd, J = 6.5, 4.0 Hz); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%); 222 (4, M⁺), 204 (10, M⁺ - H₂O), 179 (10, M⁺ - COCH₂), 176 (17), 163 (17), 162 (32), 161 (42, M⁺ -H2O - COCH2), 147 (37), 133 (15), 121 (14), 119 (25), 91 (17), 77 (18), and 43 (100, COCH3⁺). The alcohol 408 was converted into triketone 390 upon treatment with PCC.

Compound 407 (40.1 mg, 0.12 mmol), dry methanol (25 mL), and 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips) were added to an hydrogenation flask, which was then shaken under 51 psi pressure of H, for 1 h. The mixture was filtered through a small pad of Celite, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroleum ether) to vield pure 409 (41.2 mg, 100%): mp 77-77.5°C; IR (film) vmax: 1738 and 1691 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 0.051 (3H, s), 0.085 (3H, s), 0.905 (9H, s), 1.062 (3H, s), 1.114 (3H, s), 1.276 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz), 2.040 (1H, br d, J = 13.5 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 1.528 (1H, m), 1.860 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 11.2 Hz), 2.107 (1H, m), 2.132 (3H, s), 2.248 (1H, dd, J = 11.6, 13.5 Hz), 2.332 (2H, m), 2.949 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 13.5 Hz), and 4.389 (1H, dd, J = 6.2, 10.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): -4.7 (3), -4.3 (3), 18.0 (0), 25.8 (3C, 3), 29.4 (3), 30.0 (2C, 2 and 3), 30.2 (3), 36.0 (2), 37.9 (0), 41.2 (2), 44.4 (2), 57.7 (1), 62.4 (0), 75.0 (1), 209.9 (0), and 219.4 (0); MS m/z (%): no M+, 295 (5, M+ - COCH2), 283 (4), 282 (13), 281 (61, M⁺ - (CH₂)₃C), 263 (16), 239 (10), 189 (22), 161 (17), 147 (34), 131 (15), 121 (15), 75 (100), 73 (88), 62 (25), 59 (16), 45 (71), 44 (22), and 43 (79, COCH3*). Exact mass caled. for C15H25O2Si (M+ - (CH2)2C): 281.1572; found: 281.1573.

$rel - (4R_s R_s P_s) - 9 - (tert - Butyldimethylsiloxy) - 6,6 - dimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}] - undec - 1 - en - 3 - one (410)$

To ice-cooled dry methanol (10 mL) was added sodium metal (6.1 mg, 0.267 mmol) followed by a methanol solution of 409 (30.1 mg, 0.089 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at 6°C for *ca*. 10 min. Water was added, and much of the methanol was removed *in vacuo*. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (4% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided pure **410** (23.7 mg, 83%) as a colorless oil: IR ((tim) ν_{max} : 1741, 1711, and 1630 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.047 (6H, s), 0.873 (3H, s), 0.005 (9H, s), 1.037 (3H, s), 1.03 – 2.83 (9H, mm), 4.389 (1H, dd, J = 6.3, 9.9 Hz), and 5.808 (1H, s); MS m/z (%): no M⁺, 305 (M⁺ – Me), 281 (14), 265 (7), 264 (25), 263 (100, M⁺ – (CH₂)₃C), 207 (6), 189 (7), 161 (12), 147 (10), 145 (12), 105 (15), 91 (19), 77 (15), 75 (94), 73 (71), 59 (21), 43 (26), and 41 (30). *Exact mass* caled. for C₁, E₁₂₂₂₂₃₂(M⁺ – (CH₄)₄C): 263.14665 (nourd: 263.1464.

rel-(45,55)-4-Hydroxy-4,7,9,9-tetramethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-1-one (418)

To a solution of spiro-diketone 381 (89.4 mg, 0.43 mmol) in anhydrous diethyl ether (30 mL) at -78°C in a Dry Ice/acetone bath was added slowly a 1.4 M solution of methyllithium in diethyl ether (1.5 mL, 2.15 mmol), (The solution turned cloudy right after the addition of methyllithium.) The mixture was stirred at -78°C for 2 h. It was poured into an ice-cooled saturated solution of NaCl. Water was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic layers were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting oily residue was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to afford, in order of elution, the recovered starting material 381 (2.6 mg, 3%), 418 (82.3 mg, 86%) as a colorless oil, and rel-(4R.5S)-4-hydroxy-4.7.9.9-tetramethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-1-one (419) (1.3 mg, 1.4%). For 418: IR (film) vmax: 3426 (br) and 1730 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.896 (3H, s), 1.003 (3H, s), 1.152 (3H, s), 1.690 (1H, d, J = 13.4 Hz) and 1.727 (1H, d, J = 13.4 Hz) (AB quartet, C-10 methylene), 1.733 (3H, s), 1.789 (2H, br s), 1.826 (1H, s, OH), 1.86-2.00 (1H, m), 2.13-2.32 (2H, mm), 2.48-2.65 (1H, m), and 5.182 (1H, br s); NOE data: irradiate 0.896: NOE's at 1.690 and 1.727 (AB quartet) (3%), and 5.182 (9%); irradiate 1.003: NOE's at 1.690 and 1.727 (AB quartet) (4%), and 5.182 (14%); irradiate 1.152: NOE at 1.789 (5%): irradiate 5.182: NOE's at 1.733 (1.1%), 1.003 (0.7%), and 0.896 (0.5%); ¹³C NMR 6 (attached H's): 23.9 (3), 24.3 (3), 28.6 (3), 30.5 (2), 32.2 (0), 32.8 (3), 33.6 (2), 34.1 (2), 37.9 (2), 55.9 (0), 78.1 (0), 128.9 (0), 130.6 (1), and 220.0 (0); MS m/z (%): 222 (39, M⁺), 189 (14), 164 (22), 149 (21), 147 (20), 131 (22), 122 (22), 107 (20), 99 (44), 91 (19), 83 (18), 55 (18), 43 (100), and 41 (27). Exact mass calcd. for $C_{14}H_{22}O_{2}$: 2222.1618; found: 222.1605. For 419: ¹H NMR & 0.857 (3H, s), 0.966 (3H, s), 1.293 (3H, s), 1.361 (1H, apparent d, J = 13.5 Hz), 1.777 (3H, s), 1.560 (1H, apparent dt), 1.81 – 2.51 (7H, mm), and 5.178 (1H, br s); MS (from GC – MS) m/z (%): 222 (14, M⁺), 189 (7), 149 (13), 147 (18), 107 (19), 105 (11), 99 (45), 91 (21), 83 (19), 81 (13), 79 (11), 77 (12).5 (22), 43 (100), and 41 (25).

rel - (2R,3S) - 3 - Hydroxy - 3 - methyl - 2 - (2,2 - dimethyl - 3 - oxopropyl) - 2 - (2 - oxopropyl)cyclopentanone (420)

Ozone was passed through a solution of the alcohol 418 (63.7 mg, 0.29 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) at -78° C until the solution became blue. Excess ozone was displaced by a stream of O₂ (solution became colorless), and the system was then purged with nitrogen. Dimethyl sulfide (2 mL) was added at -78° C, and the mixture was stirred overnight during which time the reaction mixture was allowed to attain room temperature. Concentration *in vacuo* provided crude 420: MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 210 (1.1, M⁺ - COCH₃ - H), 182 (9, M⁺ - COCH₃ - CHO), 167 (9), 164 (9), 155 (17), 139 (14), 123 (21), 122 (14), 121 (18), 110 (14), 109 (18), 107 (35), 95 (33), 93 (20), 84 (16), 81 (31), 79 (29), 77 (18), 71 (20), 67 (32), 55 (31), 53 (19), 43 (100, COCH₃⁺), and 41 (50). This crude product was used for the next cyclisation without further purification.

5-Acetyl-7-hydroxy-2,2,4-trimethylindan (424)

To ice-cooled dry methanol (10 mL) was added sodium metal (26.7 mg, 1.16 mmol) followed by a methanol solution of the crude 420 from the above reaction. The
mixture was stirred at room temperature for ca. 1 h. Some water was added and much of the methanol was evaporated *in vacuo*. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (v2). The solution was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to give a yellow precipitate which was chromatographed (7% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure **424** (38.7 mg, 62%) as colorless crystals: mp 138–139°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 3197, 1650, 1595, and 1461 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.178 (6H, s), 2.296 (3H, s), 2.530 (3H, s), 2.712 (4H, s), 5.145 (1H, very br s, OH), and 7.005 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 16.8 (3), 29.2 (2C, 3), 29.8 (3), 39.7 (0), 43.9 (2), 47.2 (2), 114.4 (1), 126.4 (0), 132.5 (0), 137.7 (0), 146.4 (0), 149.5 (0), and 202.4 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 218 (33, M⁺), 204 (14), 203 (100, M⁺ – Me), 175 (9, M⁺ – COCH₃), 160 (7), 145 (6), 115 (8), 91 (9), 77 (7), 66 (9), and 43 (52, COCH₃⁻¹, *Exact mass* calcd, for C₁₄H₁₈O₂: 181.305; found: 218.1317; and for C₁₃H₁₄O₂ (M⁺ – Me): 203.1071; found: 203.1072.

6-Acetyl-4,8,8-trimethylspiro[4.4]nona-3,6-dien-1-one (427)

A benzene solution of the crude 420, prepared from the alcohol 418 (100.3 mg, 0.45 mmol) via ozonolysis as above, and ρ TSA (20 mg) was heated under reflux with a Barrett water-separator for 2 h. Saturated NaHCO₃ was added to the cooled solution, and the aqueous layers were extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (×3) and saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo* to give a black oily residue, which was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 427 (77.8 mg, 79%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1741, 1671, and 1626 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR 6: 1265 (3H, s), 1.309 (3H, s), 1.640 (3H, apparent q, J = 1.6 Hz), 1.723 (1H, d, J = 13.9 Hz) and 1.922 (1H, d, J = 13.9 Hz) (AB quartet, C-2 methylene), 2.260 (3H, s), 2.849 (1H, do fapparent quintets, J = 2.2, 22.7 Hz) (and 3.178 (1H, do 6866 (1H, s); COSY spectrum confirmed the significant long-range coupling between the C-2 methylene and the C-4 methyl; ¹³C NMR 6 (attached H's): 14.6 (3), 26.5 (3), 29.1 (3), 29.7 (3), 41.9 (2), 45.5 (0), 46.5 (2), 68.3 (0), 121.5 (1), 141.7 (0), 142.7 (0), 157.1 (1), 195.3 (0), and 219.3 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 219 (8, M⁺ + 1), 218 (37, M⁺), 190 (12), 176 (18), 175 (82, M⁺ - COCH₃), 161 (15), 147 (38), 133 (32), 105 (14), 91 (20), 77 (13), 53 (10), 34 (100, COCH₃⁺), and 41 (19). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₄H₁₈O₂: 218.1305; found: 218.1283; and for C₁₄H₁₈O₄: 175.1122; found: 175.1098.

rel−(4R,5R,6€)−6−Acetyl−4,8,8−trimethylspiro[4.4]nonan−1−one (416) and rel−(4S,5R,6€)−6−acetyl−4,8,8−trimethylspiro[4.4]nonan−1−one (417)

Compound 427 (89.1 mg, 0.41 mmol), dry methanol (30 mL), and 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips) were added to an hydrogenation flask, which was shaken under 51 psi pressure of H, for 1 h. The mixture was then filtered through a Celite plug and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was chromatographed on silica gel with 4% acetone in petroleum ether as the eluent to yield a mixture of two isomers 416 and 417 (91.1 mg, 100%) in 1 : 3.5 ratio: IR (film) of the mixture vms: 1733 and 1712 cm⁻¹; for the major epimer 417: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) &: 1.049 (3H, d, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.080 (3H, s), 1.177 (3H, s), 1.306 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) and 1.862 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 1.46-1.62 (1H, m), 1.77-2.60 (mm), 2.117 (3H, s), and 3.234 (1H, dd, J = 6.4, 13.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) & (attached H's): 15.6 (3), 28.7 (2), 29.3 (2C, 3), 30.7 (3), 37.3 (0), 38.3 (2), 41.4 (1), 45.6 (2), 52.2 (2), 58.8 (1), 59.6 (0), 209.1 (0), and 224.4 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 222 (15, M⁺), 194 (8), 180 (20), 179 (27, M⁺ - CH₃CO), 161 (18), 147 (25), 138 (34), 123 (29), 121 (25), 119 (19), 107 (19), 95 (36), 91 (19), 81 (24), 55 (29), 43 (100, COCH2⁺), and 41 (36); for the minor epimer 416: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) &: 1.003 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.060 (3H, s), 1.125 (3H, s), 1.306 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) and 1.862 (1H, d, J = 13.5 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 1.46-1.62 (1H, m), 1.77–2.60 (mm), 2.122 (3H, s), and 2.913 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 13.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) *é* (attached H's): 14.4 (3), 29.1 (2), 29.2 (3), 30.0 (3), 30.2 (3), 37.3 (2), 38.0 (0), 38.8 (1), 41.8 (2), 44.1 (2), 58.2 (1), 59.6 (0), 209.1 (0), and 224.4 (0); MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): 222 (10, M⁺), 179 (23, M⁺ - COCH₃), 166 (21), 152 (29), 138 (24), 123 (32), 121 (23), 95 (34), 81 (27), 55 (31), 43 (100, CH₃CO⁺), and 41 (38). *Exact mass* (epimeric mixture) caled. for C₁₄H₂₂O₂: 222.1618; found: 222.1612;

rel-(4R,8R,9R)-6,6,9-Trimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undec-1-en-3-one (414) and rel-(4R,8R,9S)-6,6,9-Trimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undec-1-en-3-one (415)

Potassium tert-butoxide (184.0 mg, 1.64 mmol) was added to a solution of epimers 416 and 417 (182.2 mg, 0.82 mmol) in dry benzene (40 mL) at room temperature, and the reaction was closely monitored by TLC. Once TLC showed the complete conversion (it generally required ca. 20 min), water was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), and the resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ followed by concentration in vacuo to give a slightly yellow oil, which was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a mixture of C-9 epimers 414 and 415 (141.2 mg, 84%) in 1 : 3.5 ratio: IR (film) of the mixture ν_{max} : 1705 and 1631 cm⁻¹; UV (methanol) of the mixture λ_{max} : 241 nm, $\epsilon = 1149 \text{ mol}^{-1} \cdot 1 \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$; for the major epimer 415: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ (CDCL₂): 0.737 (3H, d, J = 7.12 Hz), 0.930 (3H, s), 1.020 (3H, s), 1.08-2.73 (mm), 1.632 (1H, d, J = 13.3 Hz) and 1.940 (1H, d, J = 13.3 Hz) (AB quartet, C-7 methylene), 2.534 (2H, m, C-11 methylene), 2.692 (1H, dd, J = 5.8, 2.3 Hz, C-4 methine), and 5.766 (1H, s); ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ (C_cD_c): 0.439 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.830 (3H, s), 0.864 (3H, s), 1.02-2.23 (mm), 2.567 (1H, dd, J = 3.8, 6.0 Hz, C-4 methine), and 5.664 (1H, s); NOE data (C₆D₆): irradiate 2.567: NOE at 0.439 (2%); irradiate 0.439: NOE at 2.567 (11%); 13C NMR (from the mixture) δ (attached H's): 16.6 (3), 23.7 (2), 28.9 (3), 30.0 (3), 32.3 (2), 40.1 (1), 42.4 (0), 42.5 (2), 51.0 (2), 53.9 (1), 66.7 (0), 123.8 (1), 192.1 (0), and 215.1 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 204 (84, M⁺), 189 (18), 176 (6), 162 (47), 161 (28), 148 (100), 147 (64), 134 (37), 133 (53), 120 (53), 119 (46), 107 (70), 105 (46), 91 (60), 77 (39), and 41 (44); for the minor epimer 414: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ (CDCl₃): 0.889 (3H, s), 1033 (3H, s), 1.057 (3H, d), 1.15–2.44 (mm), 2.404 (1H, d, J = 9.7 Hz, C-4 methine), 2.612 (271, m, C-11 methylene), 5.766 (1H, s); ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ (C₆D₆): 0.722 (3H, d, J = 6.5 Hz), 0.889 (3H, s), 0.906 (3H, s), 1.02–2.23 (mm), and 5.649 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) δ (attached H's): 14.6 (3), 25.5 (2), 29.2 (3), 31.5 (3), 32.5 (2), 39.3 (2), 41.0 (0), 41.3 (1), 42.9 (2), 57.8 (1), 64.9 (0), 123.8 (1), 194.4 (0), and 214.7 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 204 (83, M⁺), 189 (22, M⁺ - Me), 176 (11), 162 (48), 161 (39), 148 (100), 147 (80), 134 (49), 133 (56), 120 (65), 119 (62), 107 (90), 105 (62), 14 (M6), 79 (37), 77 (54), and 41 (56). *Exact* mass (epimeric mixture) calcd. for C₁₄ t₁₄ S0.: 204.1503; found: 204.1486; and for C₁₄ L₆ (M⁺ - Me): 189.1295; found: 189.1298.

Attempted dehydrogenation of epimers 414 and 415 with DDQ

DDQ (284 mg, 1.25 mmol) was added to a solution of epimers 414 and 415 (51.7 mg, 0.25 mmol) from the above reaction in dry benzene (30 mL) and the resulting solution was heated under reflux for one week. No detectable formation of 413 was observed as revealed by GC-MS analysis. Longer reaction time and a large excess of DDQ made no difference.

rel-(55,65)-6-Acetyl-4,8,8-trimethylspiro[4.4]non-3-en-1-one (431)

To lithium (30 mg, 4.3 mmol) in liquid ammonia (30 mL) at -78°C was added a

We thank Ms. Peiying Liu for kindly informing us of this detailed experimental procedure.

solution of 427 (205.7 mg, 0.95 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The reaction temperature was raised to -33°C, and the mixture was stirred for ca. 30 min, whereupon solid NH₄Cl was added cautiously (the blue color disappeared right after the addition), and the ammonia was allowed to evaporate overnight. The residue was extracted with diethyl ether (x4), washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting crude product was then treated with PCC (614.3 mg, 2.85 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) overnight. Filtration through a Florisil pad removed a black precipitate, and five volumes of diethyl ether were passed through the pad. The combined solutions were concentrated in vacuo and chromatography (2% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided pure 431 (167.4 mg, 81%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1745, 1710, and 1643 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 0.80-0.93 (1H, m), 1.123 (3H, s), 1.133 (3H, s), 1.14-1.76 (6H, mm), 1.913 (3H, s), 2.028 (1H, t, J = 13.1 Hz), 2.848 (1H, 4 of quintets, J = 2.3, 23.3 Hz) and 2.954 (1H, d of quintets, J = 2.3, 23.3 Hz) (C-2 methylene), 3.492 (1H, dd, J = 6.3, 13.5 Hz), and 5.690 (1H, apparent s); ¹³C NMR 6 (attached H's): 15.6 (3), 28.8 (3), 29.3 (3), 29.7 (3), 37.8 (0), 41.6 (2), 43.6 (2), 49.5 (2), 60.2 (1), 64.1 (0), 121.1 (0), 144.2 (0), 207.8 (0), and 221.0 (0); MS m/z (%); 220 (14, M⁺), 177 (45, M⁺ - COCH₂), 149 (20), 107 (43), 93 (48), 91 (21), 77 (20), 43 (100, COCH2+), and 41 (35). Exact mass calcd. for C14H20O2: 220.1462; found: 220.1457; and for C12H17O (M+ - COCH2): 177.1279; found: 177.1279.

rel-(4R,5R,6E)-6-Acetyl-4,8,8-trimethylspiro[4.4] nonan-1-one (416) and rel-(4S,5R,6E)-6-acetyl-4,8,8-trimethylspiro[4.4] nonan-1-one (417)

Compound 431 (45.4 mg, 0.21 mmol), 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips), and dry methanol (20 mL) were placed in an hydrogenation flask which was then shaken under 51 psi pressure of H₂ for 1 h. The mixture was filtered through a Celite plug, and the solution was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroleum ether) to yield a mixture of epimers 416 and 417 (45.9 mg, 100%) in 3.5 : 2 ratio as revealed from the following cyclisation described below. For the spectroscopic data for these two epimers: vide supra.

rel – (4R,8R,9R) – 6,6,9 – Trimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undec – 1 – en – 3 – one (414) and rel – (4R,8R,9S) – 6,6,9 – trimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undec – 1 – en – 3 – one (415)

To a solution of epimers 416 and 417 (45.9 mg, 0.21 mmol) in dry benzene (30 mL) was added potassium tert-butoxide (47.1 mg, 0.42 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for ca. 20 min. Water was then added and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The yellow residue was chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide the methyl epimers 414 and 415 (34.1 mg, 81%) in 3.5 : 2 ratio. For the spectroscopic data for these two epimers. *vide supra*.

rel – (1*S*,4*R*,8*S*,9*R*) – 6,6,9 – Trimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undecan – 3 – one (432) and *rel* – (1*S*,4*R*,8*S*,9*S*) – 6,6,9 – trimethyltricyclo[6.3.0.1^{4,8}] undecan – 3 – one (433)

A 1 : 3.5 mixture of epimers 414 and 415 (61.3 mg, 0.30 mmol) from the direct hydrogenation sequence, 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula–tips), and dry methanol (30 mL) were placed in an hydrogenation flask, which was shaken under 51 psi pressure of H₂ for *ca*. 1 h. The mixture was then filtered through a Celite plug, and the solution was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was then chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a mixture of the epimers 432 and 433 (62.3 mg, 100%) in an approximate 1 : 3.5 ratio as revealed by GC–MS analysis: IR (film) of the mixture ν_{max} : 1739 cm⁻¹; for the major epimer 433: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) 6: 0.789 (3H, s), 0.949 (3H, d, J = 6.6 Hz), 1.025 (3H, s), 1.10–2.15 (mm), 2.449 (1H, m), and 2.736 (1H, dd, J = 7,6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) 6 (attached H's): 1.3 (3), 28.1 (3), 29.7 (2), 32.7 (2), 33.2 (2), 39.6 (0), 45.4 (1), 45.9 (2), 46.0 (1), 46.9

(2), 53.6 (1), 56.3 (2), 60.8 (0), and 224.1 (0); MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%); 206 (59, M⁺), 191 (31, M⁺ - Me), 177 (12), 163 (61), 150 (73), 121 (49), 107 (70), 95 (54), 93 (53), 91 (54), 81 (51), 79 (59), 55 (55), and 41 (100); for the minor epimer **432**. ¹H NMR (from the mixture) &: 0.965 (3H, d, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 0.985 (3H, s), 1.007 (3H, s), 1.10 -2.15 (10H, mm), 2.449 (1H, m), and 2.775 (1H, dd, *J* = 7.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) & 0.446 (1), 46.8 (2), 47.9 (2), 59.4 (1), 61.8 (0), and 223.9 (0); MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): 206 (60, M⁺), 191 (51, M⁺ - Me), 163 (63), 150 (48), 149 (46), 155 (30, 205 (3), 93 (65), 91 (67), 81 (58), 79 (72), 77 (54), 55 (58), and 41 (100).

3-Ethoxy-5,5-dimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (447)

The following procedure was derived from that of House and Gannon.¹³¹ A solution of absolute ethanol (350 mL), dry benzene (100 mL), 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione (dimedone) (31.3 g, 0.22 mol), and *p*TSA (400 mg) was heated under reflux with water removal by 4 Å Molecular Sieves in a Soxhlet extractor overnight. Much of the solvent was removed *in vacuo*, and 15% NaOH was added. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic solutions were washed with 10% NaOH (x3), water (x2), and saturated NaCl (x2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to provide pure 447 (37.5 g, 100%) as a coloriess solit mp: *ca.* 30°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1712 and 1607 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.076 (6H, s), 1.360 (3H, t, *J* = 7.0 Hz), 2.205 (2H, s), 2.280 (2H, s), 3.910 (2H, q, *J* = 7.0 Hz), and 5.338 (IH, s); ¹³C NMR 6 (attached H's): 13.9 (3), 28.0 (2C, 3), 32.2 (0), 42.6 (2), 50.5 (2), 63.9 (2), 101.2 (1), 175.8 (0), and 199.1 (0); MS (from GC-MS) *m*/*e*(%): 168 (38, M⁺), 112 (67), 84 (100), 69 (84), 68 (63), 55 (22). 43 (51), and 41 (30).

3-Ethyl-5,5- dimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (445)

To an ice-cooled solution of 447 (440.2 mg, 2.62 mmol) in anhydrous THF (30 mL) was added cautiously a 3.0 M solution of EtMgBr (1.40 mL, 4.19 mmol) in diethyl ether. The mixture was heated cautiously under reflux for *cz*. 1 h, and the cooled solution was poured slowly into an ice-cooled 10% HCl solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Diethyl ether was added, the aqueous layer was re-extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic solutions were washed with water (x3), 10% NaOH (x3), water (x3), and saturated NaCl (x2). The solution was then dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to give 445 (389.2 mg, 98%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1720 and 1631 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 1.038 (6H, s), 1.102 (2H, t, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 2.186 (2H, s), 2.215 (2H, q, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 2.219 (2H, s), and 5.882 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 11.1 (3), 28.1 (2C, 3), 30.8 (2), 33.4 (0), 43.8 (2), 50.9 (2), 123.3 (1), 165.5 (0), and 200.9 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 152 (8, M⁺), 96 (47), 81 (35), 77 (22), 68 (70), 67 (100), 55 (22), 53 (27), and 41 (31). For large scale preparation of 445, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight because of the uncontrollable viscous reaction under reflux.

7-Ethyl-9,9-dimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (448)

A solution of the enone 445 (3.4 g, 22.4 mmol), ethylene glycol (6.2 mL, 0.11 mmol), and pTSA (400 mg) in benzene was heated under reflux with a Barrett water-separator overnight. Solid NaHCO₃ was added to the cooled solution, and water was added until the solution became saturated. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo* to give a yellow oil. The yellow color was removed by vacuum distillation, and the colorless distillate was then chromatographed (1.5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a 4.5 : 1 mixture of 448 and (2)-7-ethylidene-14-dioxaspiro[4.5]decame (450) plus (E)-7-

ethylidene – 1,4– dioxaspiro [4.5] decane (451) (1.3 g, 62%) along with the recovered starting material 445 (1.4 g, 28%). [The recovered 445 was treated again with *p*TSA and ethylene glycol in benzene as above to give the same mixture of ketals 448, 450 and 451 (0.87 g, 16%) along with the recovered starting material 445 (192.3 mg, 6%).] For 447: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 1.050 (6H, s), 0.998 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.618 (2H, s), 1.972 (2H, q, J = 7.3 Hz), 2.150 (2H, s), 3.954 (4H, s), and 5.142 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 12.0 (3), 30.4 (2C, 3), 30.0 (2), 34.0 (0), 38.3 (2), 43.7 (2), 64.0 (2C, 2), 109.2 (0), 129.3 (1), and 133.4 (0); MS (from GC – MS) *m*/z (%): 196 (11, M⁺), 110 (34), 95 (49), 87 (34), 86 (100), and 41 (16). For 450 and 451: MS (from GC – MS) *m*/z (%): 196 (1, M⁺), 140 (6), 127 (100), 86 (10), 127 (11), 125 (20), 111 (10), 91 (10), 86 (0) for (14), 55 (13), 53 (11), 43 (12).

7-Ethyl-9,9-dimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene-1,4-dione (444)

A solution of the mixture ketals 448, 459 and 451 (300.2 mg, 1.53 mmol) from the above reaction in CH_2Cl_2 was stirred at -78°C as BF_2El_2O (1.88 mL, 15.3 mmol) was introduced, followed over a period of 10 min by a solution of 109 (1.22 mL, 4.59 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 . The mixture was allowed to attain room temperature while stirring overnight. The cooled solution was poured cautiously into an ice-cooled saturated NaHCO₃ solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (x3) followed by saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₆ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The black residue was then chromatographed (3% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a 4.5 : 1 mix – ture of spiro-diketones 444 and (Z)-7-ethylidene-9.9-dimethylspiro[4.5]decane-1,4-dione (457) (2593 mg, 77%) and hydrolysed starting material, isophorone (25.6 mg, 11%). IR (film) of the mixture ν_{max} 1770, 1725 and 1665 cm⁻¹, for 444: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 0.998 (6H, s), 1.004 (3H, t, J = 7.4 Hz, partially overlapped), 1.630 (2H, s), 1.822 (2H, s), 2.049 (2H, q, J = 7.4 Hz), 2.845 (4H, m), and 5.042 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) δ (attached H's): 11.7 (3), 29.1 (2C, 3), 30.6 (0), 30.8 (2), 34.5 (2C, 2), 37.8 (2), 41.5 (2), 62.7 (0), 111.3 (1), 144.5 (0), and 212.4 (2C, 0); MS

NMR (trom the mature) 6 (attached F15): 1.17 (3), 29.1 (2C, 3), 30.6 (0), 30.8 (2), 34.5 (2C, 2), 37.8 (2), 41.5 (2), 62.7 (0), 111.3 (1), 144.5 (0), and 212.4 (2C, 0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 220 (88, M⁺), 205 (41, M⁺ – Me), 192 (24), 177 (84), 163 (62), 159 (57), 145 (81), 93 (57), 91 (100), 79 (57), 77 (82), 55 (94), 53 (50), 43 (65), and 41 (99); for 456 and 457: MS of one isomer (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 220 (82, M⁺), 205 (76, M⁺ – Me), 191 (14), 178 (11), 177 (46), 164 (24), 163 (31), 159 (14), 149 (44), 145 (17), 135 (27), 131 (10), 122 (10), 121 (74), 119 (16), 107 (66), 105 (43), 103 (10), 95 (14), 93 (61), 92 (14), 91 (93), 85 (46), 81 (14), 80 (10), 79 (71), 78 (20), 77 (86), 69 (13), 67 (25), 66 (13), 65 (43), 63 (11), 57 (25), 56 (14), 55 (84), 53 (49), 52 (19), 51 (30), 43 (48), 42 (49), and 41 (100); of the other isomer: 220 (33, M⁺), 205 (12, M⁺ – Me), 192 (32), 178 (30), 177 (89), 163 (34), 159 (15), 149 (15), 145 (17), 135 (24), 121 (31), 119 (10), 109 (19), 107 (30), 105 (23), 95 (18), 93 (34), 91 (49), 85 (15), 81 (17), 79 (50), 69 (19), 67 (36), 65 (27), 57 (28), 56 (15), 55 (76), 54 (13), 53 (47), 52 (13), 51 (20), 43 (50), 42 (19), and 41 (100).

7-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-4,9,9-trimethylspiro[4.5]dec-7-en-1-one (458)

To a solution of the mixture of spiro-diketones **444**, **456** and **457** (200.4 mg, 0.91 mmol) at -78° C was added a 1.4 M solution of methyllithium in diethyl ether (3.25 mL, 4.55 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at -78° C for 2 h. This solution was then poured cautiously into an ice-cooled saturated NaCl solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic solutions were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO_φ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting slightly yellow oil was treated again with methyllithium in diethyl ether (3.25 mL, 4.55 mmol) following the same procedure to give a mixture of keto-alcohols 458, (Z)-7-ethylidene-4-hydroxy-49,9-trimethylspiro[4.5]decan-1-one (459), and (E)-7-ethylidene-4-hydroxy-49,9-trimethylspiro[4.5]decan-1-one (460): IR (film) of the mixture ν_{max}^{-} 3457 (br), 1726 and 1459 cm⁻¹; for 458: MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 236 (54, M⁺), 203 (17, M⁺ - H₂O - Me), 178 (30), 163 (20), 161 (18), 145 (26), 137 (33), 99 (69), 91 (21), 55 (26), and 43 (100). for 459 and 460: MS of one isomer (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 236 (46, M⁺), 178 (87), 163 (19), 145 (22), 137 (31), 99 (61), 91 (22), 77 (16), 55 (27), 43 (100), and 41 (34); of the other isomer m/z (%): 236 (22, M⁺), 178 (100, M⁺ - H₂O - Me), 163 (17), 153 (43), 135 (16), 121 (11), 99 (37), 69 (13), 55 (22), 43 (76), and 41 (27). Since it was difficult to separate the mixture of keto-alcohols 458, 459 and 460 from the corresponding spiro-diketones, the crude product was used for the ozonolysis without further purification.

4,8,8-Trimethyl-6-(1-oxopropyl)spiro[4.4]nona-3,6-dien-1-one (443)

Ozone was passed through a solution of the crude keto-alcohol mixture of 458, 459 and 460 from the above reaction in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) at -78°C until the solution turned blue. The excess ozone was removed by bubbling O₂ through the solution, and the system was then purged with nitrogen. Dimethyl sulfide (4 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight during which time the reaction was allowed to attain room temperature. The solvent was evaporated *in vacuo*. Benzene (50 mL) and *p*TSA (35 mg) were added to the residue. The resulting solution was haded to the cooled solution, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined extracts were washed with saturated NAHCO₃ (×2) and saturated NAC(×2), and the resulting solution was then dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The black residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 443 (133.1 mg, 63% from the *minuv* of the spiro-diketones **444**, **456** and **4**57): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1748 and 1672 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.026 (3H, t, *J* = 7.3 Hz), 1.250 (3H, s), 1.707 (1H, d, *J* = 1.39 Hz) and 1.898 (1H, d, *J* = 1.39 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 2.638 (2H, m), 2.848 (1H, d of quintets, *J* = 2.4, 22.7 Hz) and 3.197 (1H, d of quintets, *J* = 2.4, 22.7 Hz) (C-2 methylene), 5.757 (1H, m), and 6.676 (1H, s); COSY spectrum showed the significant long-range coupling between the C-2 methylene and the C-4 methyl; ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 7.8 (3), 14.5 (3), 29.0 (3), 29.6 (3), 31.6 (2), 41.8 (2), 46.2 (2), 52.0 (0), 68.4 (0), 121.3 (1), 141.6 (0), 142.0 (0), 155.5 (1), 198.1 (0), and 219.1 (0); MS *m*/z (%): 232 (45, M⁺), 217 (16, M⁺ – Me), 189 (33), 176 (28), 175 (100, M⁺ – CH₃CH₂CO), 161 (26), 147 (45), 133 (26), 91 (24), 57 (75, CH₃CH₂CO⁺), and 41 (20). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₅H₂₀O₂: 232.1463; found: 232.1460; and for C₁₂H₁₅O (M⁺ – CH₃CH₂CO): 175.1123; found: 175.1121. Compound 461 was very tentatively identified in the crude product by its MS (150, C9 S) *m/z* (%): 206 (48, M⁺), 191 (2, M⁺ – Me), 150 (22), 149 (100), 135 (15), 109 (6), 108 (62), 107 (28), 95 (8), 79 (8), 77 (14), 56 (11), 55 (18), 43 (38), and 41 (18).

rel-(4R,5R,6E)-48,8-Trimethyl-6-(1-oxopropyl)spiro[4.4]nonan-1-one (441)
and rel-(45,5R,6E)-4,8,8-trimethyl-6-(1-oxopropyl)spiro[4.4]nonan-1-one (442)

Compound 443 (279.1 mg, 1.20 mmol), dry methanol (30 mL), and 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips) were placed in an hydrogenation flask, which was then shaken at 51 psi pressure of H₂ for ca, 1 h. The mixture was filtered through a Celite plug and the solution was concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to yield a 1 : 5 mixture of epimers 441 and 442 (283.9 mg, 100%): IR (film) of the mixture ν_{max} 1731 and 1711 cm⁻¹; for the major epimer 42²: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ : 1.004 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.043 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.077 (3H, s), 1.175 (3H, s), 1.295 (1H, d, J = 13.7 Hz) and 1.852 (1H, d, J = 13.7

- 215 -

Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 2.443 (2H, q, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.42-2.52 (mm), and 3.242 (1H, dd, J = 6.4, 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) δ (attached H's); 7.6 (3). 15.4 (3), 28.7 (2), 29.0 (3), 30.6 (3), 34.7 (2), 37.1 (0), 38.2 (2), 41.2 (1), 45.6 (2), 51.8 (2), 57.8 (1), 59.5 (0), 211.6 (0), and 224.2 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 236 (28, M⁺), 180 (66), 179 (49, M⁺ - CH₃CH₂CO), 162 (31), 161 (42), 147 (48), 138 (58), 123 (27), 121 (28), 109 (27), 95 (35), 81 (28), 57 (100, CH2CH2CO+), 55 (47), 43 (25), and 41 (50); for the minor epimer 441: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) 6: 0.987 (3H, t, J = 7.4 Hz), 0.999 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.054 (3H, s), 1.121 (3H, s), 1.295 (1H, d, J =13.7 Hz) and 1.852 (1H, d, J = 13.7 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 2.433 (2H, g, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.42-2.52 (mm), and 2.928 (1H, dd, J = 6.9, 6.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) & (attached H's): 7.2 (3), 14.3 (3), 28.8 (2), 29.9 (3), 30.1 (3), 34.6 (2), 37.3 (2), 37.9 (0), 38.7 (1), 41.6 (2), 43.7 (2), 57.7 (1), 60.4 (0), 211.9 (0), and 223.4 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 236 (22, M⁺), 180 (57), 179 (60, M⁺ - CH₂CH₂CO), 161 (51), 152 (58), 147 (49), 138 (48), 137 (30), 123 (32), 121 (30), 119 (43), 109 (29), 107 (26), 95 (38), 81 (33), 79 (27), 67 (26), 57 (100, CH2CH2CO+), 55 (50), 43 (25), and 41 (49). Exact mass (epimeric mixture) calcd. for C16H26O2; 236.1776; found: 236 1773

rel – (4R,8R,9R) – 2,6,6,9 – Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undec – 1 – en – 3 – one (439) and rel – (4R,8R,9S) – 2,6,6,9 – tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undec – 1 – en – 3 – one (440)

To a solution of the epimers 441 and 442 (140.2 mg, 0.59 mmol) from the above hydrogenation reaction in dry benzene (40 mL) was added potassium tert-butoxide (32.4 mg, 1.18 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for ca. 30 min. Water was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The oily residue was then chromatographed (2% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a 1:5 mixture of C-9 epimers 439 and 440 (108.8 mg, 84%) as a colorless oil. For the spectroscopic data for these two epimers, *vide infra*.

rel - (5£,6£) - 4,8,8 - Trimethyl - 6 - (1 - oxopropyl)spiro[4.4]non - 3 - en - 1 - one (462)

Enone 443 (89.4 mg, 0.39 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added to lithium (13.5 mg, 1.95 mmol) in ammonia (30 mL) at -78°C, and the temperature was then raised to -33°C, at which temperature the reaction mixture was stirred for ca. 35 min. The reaction was guenched by the cautious addition of solid NHACI (the blue color disappeared after the addition), and the ammonia was allowed to evaporate overnight. Diethyl ether and water were added, the aqueous layer was re-extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO,, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in CH2CL (30 mL), and PCC (252.2 mg, 1.17 mmol) was added to this solution. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Filtration through a small pad of Florisil removed a black precipitate, and five volumes of diethyl ether were passed through the pad. Concentration of the combined solutions in vacuo gave a residue which was then chromatographed (3% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide the pure 462 (77.5 mg, 86%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1745 and 1709 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 0.954 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.138 (3H, s), 1.150 (3H, s), 1.582 (1H, d, J = 14.1 Hz) and 1.678 (1H, partially overlapped d, J = 14.1 Hz) (AB quartet, C-9 methylene), 1.49-1.74 (4H, mm), 2.151 (2H, a, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.00-2.32 (1H, m), 2.833 (1H, d of quintets, J = 2.3, 25.6 Hz) and 2.975 (1H, d of quintets, J = 2.3, 25.6 Hz) (C-2 methylene), 3.490 (1H, dd, J = 6.1, 7.2 Hz), and 5.683 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's): 7.4 (3), 15.4 (3), 28.7 (3), 29.7 (3), 34.9 (2), 37.8 (0), 41.5 (2), 43.5 (2), 49.4 (2), 59.1 (1), 64.1 (1), 120.9 (1), 144.3 (0), 210.1 (0), and 220.9 (0); MS m/z (%): 234 (24, M+), 178 (18), 177 (78, M⁺ - CH₂CH₂CO), 159 (11), 149 (34), 121 (25), 107 (64), 93 (67), 91 (29), 79

(20), 77 (27), 69 (23), 57 (100, CH₃CH₂CO⁺), 55 (21), and 41 (47). *Exact mass* caled. for C_{1x}H₂₂O₂; 234.1619; found: 234.1614.

rel - (4R,5R,6E) - 4,8,8 - Trimethyl - 6 - (1 - oxopropyl)spiro[4.4] nonan - 1 - one (441) and rel - (4S,5R,6E) - 4,8,8 - trimethyl - 6 - (1 - oxopropyl)spiro[4.4] nonan - 1 - one (442)

Compound 462 (114.3 mg, 0.49 mmol) from the above Birch reaction, dry methanol (30 mL), and 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips) were placed in an hydrogenation flask, which was shaken at 51 psi pressure of H₂ for ca. 1 h. The mixture was filtered through a Celite plug, and the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a 4 : 1 mixture of epimers 441 and 442 (111.3 mg, 97%). The ratio was established from the following cyclisation described below. For the spectroscopic data for these two epimers 441 and 442, vide supra. Once, a small amount of a mixture of rel-(4R,5R,8E)-4,7,7-trimethyl-8-(1-oxopropyl)spiro[4.4]nonan-1-one (463) and rel-(45,5R,8£)-4,7,7-trimethyl-8-(1-oxopropyl)spiro[4,4]nonan-1-one (464) was isolated along with the desired C-9 epimers 441 and 442. IR (film) of the epimeric mixture of 463 and 464 ν_{max} : 1740 and 1716 cm⁻¹; for the major epimer: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 0.970 (3H, s), 1.045 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.107 (3H, s), 1.040 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.15-2.62 (mm), and 3.759 (1H, dd, J = 6.3 and 7.3 Hz, C-7 methine): ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) & (attached H's): 7.3 (3), 14.7 (3), 27.0 (2), 29.2 (3), 31.5 (3), 32.7 (2), 35.7 (2), 37.3 (0), 39.1 (1), 44.1 (2), 51.2 (2), 54.3 (1), 64.9 (0), 210.8 (0), and 220.2 (0); for the minor epimer; ¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 0.729 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.014 (3H, t, J = 7.3 Hz), 1.014 (3H, s), 1.033 (3H, s), 1.15-2.62 (mm), and 3.156 (1H, dd, J = 4.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (from the mixture) δ (attached H's): 7.8 (3), 17.2 (3), 26.2 (2), 29.0 (3), 31.6 (3), 35.5 (2), 36.8 (2), 37.8 (0), 38.2 (1), 42.4 (2), 43.0 (2), 53.9 (1), 61.9 (0), 213.1 (0), and 220.9 (0).

rel - (4R,8R,9R) - 2,6,6,9 - Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undec - 1 - en - 3 - one (439) and rel - (4R,8R,9S) - 2,6,6,9 - tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undec - 1 - en - 3 - one (440)

Potassium tert-butoxide (58.4 mg, 0.52 mmol) was added to a solution of the epimers 441 and 442 (60.4 mg, 0.26 mmol) from the above hydrogenation in dry benzene (35 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for ca. 30 min. Water was added, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (v3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (v2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the residue with 3% acetone in petroleum ether as the eluent provided a 4 : 1 mixture of epimers 439 and 440 (45.8 mg, 82%). For the spectroscopic data for these two epimers, *vide infna*.

rel - (15,25,35,4R,85,9R) - 2,6,69 - Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undecan - 3 - ol (468) and rel - (15,25,35,4R,85,95) - 2,6,69 - tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undecan -3 - ol (469)

A 1 : 5 mixture of epimers 439 and 440 (57.4 mg, 0.26 mmol) from the direct hydrogenation sequence in THF (5 mL) was added to lithium (9 mg, 1.3 mmol) in ammonia (20 mL) at -78°C, and the temperature was raised to -33°C, at which temperature the mixture was stirred for 35 min. The reaction was quenched by the cautious addition of solid NH₄Cl (the blue color disappeared right after the addition), and the ammonia was allowed to evaporate overnight. Diethyl ether and water were added, the aqueous layer was re-extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the organic solution was washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroieum ether) to provide a 1 : 5 mixture of the alcohols 468 and 469 (34.8 mg, 60%): IR (film) of the mixture) & 0.949 (3H, d.J = 6.6 Hz), 1.014 (3H, d.J = 6.3 Hz), 1.024 (3H, s), 1.078 (3H, s), 1.13-2.19 (12H, mm), and 3.564 (1H, dd, J = 8.5, 1.2 Hz); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 222 (2.6, M⁺), 207 (4), 204 (12, M⁺ – H₂O), 193 (8), 189 (15, M⁺ – H₂O – Me), 166 (12), 165 (21), 162 (13), 161 (9), 151 (11), 149 (15), 148 (8), 147 (12), 137 (9), 136 (10), 124 (8), 123 (18), 121 (14), 119 (8), 110 (17), 109 (67), 108 (15), 107 (39), 105 (17), 95 (45), 94 (10), 93 (31), 91 (30), 81 (35), 79 (33), 77 (24), 69 (23), 67 (28), 65 (12), 57 (24), 55 (59), 53 (23), 43 (56), and 41 (98); for the minor epimer 468: ¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 0.876 (3H, d, J = 6.7 Hz), 1003 (3H, s), 1.016 (3H, d, partially overlapped with the signals of the major epimer 469), 1089 (3H, s), 1.13 - 2.19 (12H, mm), and 3.521 (1H, dd, J = 7.0, 9.0 Hz); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): no M^+ , 204 (13), $M^+ - H_2O$, 189 (19, $M^+ - H_2O - Me)$, 175 (6), 165 (11), 162 (12), 107 (38), 106 (10), 105 (17), 95 (51), 94 (11), 93 (31), 91 (29), 81 (33), 79 (31), 77 (23), 69 (23), 67 (28), 65 (12), 57 (24), 56 (10), 55 (59), 53 (23), 43 (56), and 41 (98). *Exact* mass (mixture of alcohols) calcd. for C₁₅H₂₈O: 222.1982; found: 222.1971; and for C₁₅H₂₉(M⁺ - H₂O): 204.1876; found: 204.1875.

rel – (15,9R) – 2,6,6,9 – Tetramethyltricyclo [6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undecan – 3 – one (470) and rel – (15, 95) – 2,6,6,9 – tetramethyltricyclo [6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undecan – 3 – one (471)

A 1 : 5 mixture of epimers 439 and 440 (180.4 mg, 0.83 mmol) from the direct hydrogenation sequence, dry methanol (30 mL), and 5% palladium on carbon (2 spatula-tips) were placed in an hydrogenation flask, which was shaken at 51 psi pressure of H_2 for *ca*. 1 h. The mixture was then filtered through a Celite plug, and the solution was concentrated. The residue was chromatographed (5% acction is petroleum ether) to provide a mixture of four diastereomers 470 and 471: (182.0 mg, 100%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) of the mixture ν_{max} : 1736 and 1462 cm⁻¹; the mass spectra (from GC-MS) for all four isomers were almost identical, *m/z* (%): 220 (77, M⁺), 205 (24, M⁺ - Me), 202 (9), 177 (31), 164 (75), 163 (87), 149 (41), 148 (82), 137

(20), 136 (28), 135 (30), 124 (81), 123 (25), 122 (22), 121 (64), 109 (72), 108 (31), 107 (67), 105 (24), 96 (21), 95 (66), 93 (54), 91 (50), 82 (36), 81 (52), 77 (42), 69 (27), 68 (18), 67 (34), 65 (19), 55 (64), 53 (29), 43 (25), and 41 (100).

rel - (1S, 9R) - 2,6,6,9 - Tetramethyltricyclo [6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undecan -3 - 01 (472), and rel - (1S, 9S) - 2,6,6,9 - tetramethyltricyclo [6.3.0.0^{4,8}] undecan -3 - 01 (473)

To an ice-cooled solution of the mixture ketones **470**, **471** (171.3 mg, 0.78 mmol) from the above reaction in methanol (25 mL) was added portionwise sodium borohydride (59.0 mg, 1.56 mmol), and the mixture was stirred at 0°C for *ca*. 35 min. Water was added and much of the methanol was removed *in vacuo*. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (4% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide a mixture of alcohols **472** and **473** (172.1 mg, 100%). Their mass spectra were almost identical with those of **468** and **469** (*vide supra*). *Exact mass* (mixture of alcohols) calcd. for $C_{13}H_{26}O$: 222.1982; found: 222.1976.

epi-Pentalenene (279) and pentalenene (230)

To a solution of the mixture alcohols 468 and 469 (55.2 mg, 0.25 mmol) from the above Birch reduction in benzene (20 mL) was added ρ TSA (8 mg), and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux with a Barrett water-separator filled with 4 Å Molecular Sieves for *ca*. 7 h. The cooled solution was added to a saturated NaHCO₃ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (×3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (×2). The resulting solution was dried over MgSO₄, and most of the solvent was removed *in vacuo*. The remaining solvent was evaporated under a very carefully controlled vacuum until the ¹H NMR spectrum of the product showed no solvent peaks. In this case, a 1 : 5 mixture of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene (48.3 mg, 96%) was obtained as a colorless oil. Likewise, a mixture of 472 and 473 (20.1 mg, 0.09 mmol) from the above sodium borohydride reduction was treated as above to provide a 1 : 5 mixture of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene (17.6 mg, 96%). For the spectroscopic data for these two final products, vide infra.

Preparation of silver nitrate-impregnated silica gel

All ope, ations were performed under dark conditions since silver nitrate is sensitive to light. To a round bottom flask containing silica gel (28 g) was added an aqueous solution of silver nitrate (7 g), and a minimum amount of water was then added until all the silica gel ws soaked. The flask was gently shaken, and the resulting slurry was evaporated *in vacuo* for *ca*. 40 min, until most of the water was removed. The flask was placed in an oven at 110°C overnight, and the cooled 20% silver nitrate – impregnated silica gel was ready to use.

Separation of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene

A 1:5 mixture of pentalenene and *epi*-pentalenene (48.3 mg) was chromatographed on the silver nitrate-impregnated silica gel with pure pentane as eluent to provide **pentalenene** (3.6 mg, 7.5%) and *epi*-**pentalenene** (33.3 mg, 69%).

Separation of two epimeric tricyclic enones: 439 and 440

A 1:5 mixture of 439 and 440 (60.4 mg) from the direct hydrogenation route was chromatographed on the silver nitrate - impregnated silica gel with 2% dicthyl ether in petroleum ether as elucent to provide pure 439 (4.8 mg, 8%), 440 (5.0 mg, 83%), and an unresolved mixture of 439 and 440 (2.4 mg, 4%). Likewise, chromatography of a 4: 1 mixture of 43°, and 440 (30.5 mg) from the Birch red. .ction sequence on the silver nitrate - impregnated silica gel gave pure 439 (22.7 mg, 75%), 440 (3.7 mg, 12%), and

an unresolved mixture of 439 and 440 (1.5 mg, 5%). The unresolved mixture of 439 and 440 was rechromatographed in the same fashion. For 439: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1706 and 1666 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & (CDCl₂): 0.807 (3H, s), 1.019 (3H, s), 1.047 (3H, d, J = 6.4 Hz), 1.663 (3H, br s), 1.16-2.04 (7H, mm); 2.365 (1H, d, J = 9.7 Hz, C-4 methine), 2.497 (2H. apparent t. J = 6.9, 7.9 Hz, C-11 methylene), ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ (C_cD_c): 0.756 (3H, d, J = 6.2 Hz), 0.866 (3H, s), 0.904 (3H, s), 1.652 (3H, br s), and 1.03-2.22 (mm); 13C NMR & 8.5 (3), 14.7 (3), 24.2 (2), 29.1 (3), 31.5 (3), 32.7 (2), 39.3 (2), 40.8 (0), 41.4 (1), 43.1 (2), 57.0 (1), 62.6 (0), 130.6 (0), 186.6 (0), and 214.3 (0); MS m/z (%): 218 (43), 203 (14, M⁺ - Me), 176 (15), 175 (12), 163 (12), 162 (72), 161 (20), 147 (27), 134 (10), 133 (17), 121 (100), 119 (13), 105 (17), 91 (24), 77 (13), 55 (12), 53 (11), 43 (20), and 41 (28). Exact mass caled. for C15H22O: 218.1669; found: 218.1665. For 440: IR (film) μmax: 1705 and 1667 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ (CDCl₂): 0.671 (3H, d, J = 7.1 Hz), 0.870 (3H, s), 1.010 (3H, s), 1.439 (1H, d, J = 12.9 Hz) and 1.551 (1H, d, J = 12.9 Hz) (AB quartet, C-7 methylene), 1.674 (3H, br s), 1.51-2.32 (5H, mm); 2.437 (2H. apparent t. C-11 methylene), 2.659 (1H. dd. J = 4.9 and 4.0 Hz. C-4 methine), ¹H NMR (from the mixture) δ (C₆D₆): 0.416 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.841 (3H, s), 0.849 (3H, s), 1.113 (1H, d, J = 12.7 Hz) and 1.304 (1H, d. J = 12.7 Hz) (AB quartet, C-7 methylene), 1.08-2.08 (7H, mm), 1.669 (3H, br s), and 2.579 (1H, dd, J = 3.7, 6.1 Hz); NOE data (C6D6): irradiate 0.416: NOE at 2.579 (14%); irradiate 2.579: NOE at 0.416 (1.2%); 13C NMR & 8.5 (3), 16.5 (3), 22.2 (2), 28.8 (3), 30.0 (3), 32.2 (2), 40.2 (1), 42.2 (0), 42.6 (2), 50.8 (2), 53.1 (1), 64.3 (0), 130.6 (0), 184.3 (1), and 214.7 (0); MS m/z (%); almost identical with that of 439. Exact mass calcd. for C15H20: 218.1669; found: 218.1669.

rel - (15,9R) - 2,6,6,9 - Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undecan - 3 - one(470)

Compound 439 (25.1 mg, 0.12 mmol), dry methanol, and 5% palladium on carbon (1 spatula-tips) were placed in an hydrogenation flask, which was shaken at 51 psi pressure of H_2 for *ca*. 1 h. The solution was filtered through a Celite plug and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the residue (5% acetone in petroleum ether) provided a mixture of two diastereomers 470 (26.2 mg, 100%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1736 and 1462 cm⁻¹; MS (from GC-MS): see page 219.

rel-(15, 9R)-2,6,6,9-Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undecan-3-ol (472)

To an ice-cooled solution of the mixture ketones **470** (26.2 mg, 0.12 mmol) from the above reaction was added portionwise sodium borohydride (9 mg, 0.24 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0°C for *ca*. 1 h. Water was added, and much methanol was removed *in vacuo*. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (v3), and the combined extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo* to provide a mixture of alcohols **472** (26.8 mg, 100%): IR (film) ν_{max}^2 3338 (br) and 1463 cm⁻¹; MS (from GC-MS): see page 220. *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₅H₂₄ (M⁺ - H₂O): 204.1876; found: 204.1852.

Pentalenene (230)

A solution of the mixture alcohols 472 (26.8 mg, 0.12 mmol) from the above reaction in dry benzene (20 mL) was heated under reflux with a Barrett water – separator for *ca*. 2 h. The cooled solution was poured into a saturated solution of NaHCO₅, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₅, saturated NaCl and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was removed under very carefully controlled vacuum to provide pentalenees (21.6 mg, 88%): ¹H NMR & 0.894 (3H, d, J = 6.8 Hz), 0.977 (3H, s), 0.982 (3H, s), 1.14–1.86 (9H, mm), 1.614 (3H, br s), 2.543 (1H, br d, J = 8.9 Hz), 2.664 (1H, m), 5.153 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 155 (3), 17.0 (3), 27.6 (2), 29.1 (32.5 (1), (3), 33.5 (2), 40.5 (0), 44.6 (1), 46.8 (2), 48.9 (2), 59.3 (1), 62.0 (1), 64.8 (0), 129.5 (1), and 140.4 (0); MS (from GC–MS) m/z (⁶); 204 (50, M⁺), 189 (43, M⁺ – Me), 162 (27), 161 (22), 149 (16), 148 (57), 147 (91), 133 (41), 120 (21), 119 (62), 117 (17), 115
 (16), 107 (33), 106 (74), 105 (99), 93 (25), 92 (25), 91 (100), 79 (30), 77 (38), 65 (19),
 (23), 53 (19), and 41 (69). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₅H₂₄: 204.1877; found: 204.1879.

rel-(15,95)-2,6,6,9-Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.04,8]undecan-3-one (471)

Compound 440 (41.5 mg, 0.19 mmol) was hydrogenated as 439 to provide a mixture of two epimers 471 (42.1 mg, 100%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1736 and 1462 cm⁻¹; MS (from GC-MS): see page 219.

rel-(15,95)-2,6,6,9-Tetramethyltricyclo[6.3.0.0^{4,8}]undecan-3-ol (473)

A mixture of ketones 471 (42.1 mg, 0.19 mmol) from the above hydrogenation was treated with sodium borohydride (14 mg, 0.38 mmol) as 470 to provide a mixture of alcohols 473 (43.1 mg, 100%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 3320 (br) and 1461 cm⁻¹; MS (from GC-MS): see page 220. *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₅H₂₄ (M⁺ - H₂O): 204.1876; found: 204.1879.

epi - Pentalenene (279)

「「「ない」」というちょ

" "ALL & THE PERSON AND

Salla'

A mixture of alcohols **473** (43.1 mg, 0.19 mmol) was treated with *p*TSA (10 mg) in benzene in the same way as the mixture of the alcohols **472** to provide pure *epi* – **pentalenene** (**279**) (34.3 mg, 88%): IR (film) ν_{max} ; 3027, 1445, 1377, and 1363 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.031 (3H, d, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 0.069 (6H, s), 1.25 – 1.70 (9H, mm), 1.397 (3H, br s), 2.625 (1H, br d, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 2.88 (1H, m) and 5.168 (1H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 13.4 (3), 15.3 (3), 28.4 (1), 29.1 (2), 31.5 (3), 2C), 32.8 (2), 39.7 (0), 44.9 (1), 46.0 (2), 50.3 (1), 54.5 (2), 63.2 (1), 131.5 (1), 140.5 (0); MS (from GC – MS) *m/z* (%): 204 (55, M⁺), 189 (48), 162 (30), 161 (24), 148 (61), 147 (96), 133 (43), 120 (22), 119 (63), 107 (33), 106 (74), 105 (100), 93 (25), 92 (25), 91 (98), 79 (29), 77 (37), 55 (30), and 41 (63). *Exact masc* calcl. for C₁₅, 44₂₄; 204.1877; found: 204.1879.

Chapter 4

MODEL STUDIES RELATED TO THE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF PENTALENO-LACTONE

I. Introduction

In 1957, Celmer et al.^{85a} isolated a new antibiotic from a Streptomyces broth culture. This substance, named PA-132, possessed excellent activity against Gram – positive and Gram –negative bacteria as well as against pathogenic and saprophytic fungi. In 1969, Takeuch^{185b} reported the isolation of PA-132 from Streptomycer sp. no. 8403 – MC. This substance, named pentalenolactone, was found to have inhibitory activity against nucleic acid synthesis in bacterial cells. Based on chemical and spectroscopic studies, structure 475 was tentatively assigned to this antibiotic.

In 1970, Duchamp and coworkers^{SSe} reported the isolation of pentalenolactone from a fermentation broth of *Streptomyces* UC 5319 during a screening for antilumor agents. Its tetrahydrobromohydrin derivative was unambiguously assigned structure **476** by means of crystallographic analysis. Accordingly, the structure of pentalenolactone was revised as 233. More recent studies have shown that pentalenolactone is a potent and specific inhibitor of glyceradehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, a key enzyme in the glycolytic pathway.^{854,105} In addition, pentalenolactone was found to have antiviral activity.¹⁰⁵

Streptomyces strains from which pentalenolactone was isolated produced a variety of related metabolites, such as pentalenolactones E (477), epi-F (478), G (479), H (480), P (481), and O (482). Many of these compounds were considered as

potential biosynthetic intermediates between pentalenene and pentalenelactone. In fact, labelled pentalenene was found to be incorporated into 477, 478, and pentalenelactone itself.¹⁰⁵



The biological activities and interesting structural features of pentalenolactone have made it an attractive synthetic target. After achieving the total synthesis of pentalenene and epi – pentalenene, we initiated a synthetic approach toward pentalenolactone. Our strategic plan for the synthesis is shown in Scheme 99. We deemed that the tricyclic enone 483, a very promising precursor, might be propared from enone 484 by deprotection of the hydroxyl, oxidation of the hydroxyl and isomerization of the double bond via RhCl₃'3H₂O. According to our experience in the synthesis of pentalenene, compound 485 should undergo aldol condensation to give enone 484. We had hoped, naively, that the conversion of 487 to 486 would follow directly via a one – pot spiro– annulation reaction with 3,4–dimethylcyclobutene derivative 490. The transformation of 489 to ketal 487 was expected to be achieved in a straightforward fashion. Thus, our synthesis was designed with the view that the A and B rings of pentalenolacrone might be constructed by a spiro–annulation reaction and an intramolecular aldol condensation, respectively.

Herein is provided a brief account of our synthetic endeavors in this area.

```
Scheme 99
```









=0

X,Y = protecting

group

II. Results and Discussion

Based on our retrosynthetic analysis, the crucial reaction was the geminal acylation reaction of the ketal 487 with 1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)-3,4-dimethylcyclobutene (490). Compared with some other spiro-annulation reactions examined previously, this one was of special interest in two respects. First, the ketal moiety in 487 was located at a position β to the lactone carbonyl group. Secondly, the 3,4dimethylcyclobutene 490 had not been explored in the spiro-annulation reaction. Thus, at the outset, it seemed wise to examine the reactions of the simple lactone ketal 491 as model for 487 with 109 and 490 with cyclohexanone ethylene ketal (131) (Scheme 100).

Our initial efforts to prepare ketal 491 were to use Weiler's¹³² dianion technique (Scheme 101). Ethyl acetoacetate was treated with sodium hydride followed by nbutyllithium (n-BuLi) and the resulting dianion was bubbled with formaldehyde gas produced from paraformaldehyde. After acidic work-up, we were left with a very complex mixture, in which none of the desired product 494 (or 489) was detected by GC-MS analysis. Repeating the reaction using water instead of hydrochloric acid in the work-up procedure again gave neither 494 nor 489.

Our next approach to **491** involved the condensation of the lithium enolate of **495** with formaldehyde to give **496**, which, upon treatment with acid, would afford **489** (Scheme 102). Unfortunately, our attempts to bring about this condensation following the procedure of Schlessinger and Poss¹³³ were unsuccessful.

An alternative approach to 491 relied on the mono reduction of diester 498, which was readily available from the dimethyl 1,3-acetonedicarboxylate (497) (Scheme 103). Jung and Miller¹³⁴ reported that direct reduction of 498 with 1.5 equivalents of diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL) in dichloromethane at -78°C



for two hours provided 499 in 82% yield. In our hands, the mono reduction proved to be quite difficult. Reaction of 498 with 1.5 equivalents of DIBAL resulted in the formation of monoaldehyde 499 along with a substantial amount of unreacted starting material as revealed by the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product and GC-MS analysis. The proton resonance at δ 9.7 (1H, t) represented the aldehyde. The separation of the monoaldehyde from the starting material was not easy due to the close R_f values under a variety of solvent systems. On the other hand, both ester groups in 498 were reduced when a large excess of DIBAL was applied. As a result, we were unable to achieve the clean conversion of 498 to 499.



Scheme 102





Fétizon and coworkers¹³⁵ reported that γ -, δ -, and even ε -lactones can be prepared from the corresponding diols in good yields using the silver carbonate-Celite reagent (Scheme 104). For example, γ -butyrolactone **502** and lactone **491** had been prepared from butane-1,4-diol and diol **501** in 90% and 60% yields, respectively. Confronted with difficulties preparing **491** or **489** by using simple reagents, we were forced to employ the expensive silver carbonate-Celite reagent. As a model reaction, butane - 1,4-diol in betzene was heated under reflux with a large excess of the silver carbonate-Celite reagent. After chromatography, we obtained a 76% yield of lactone **502**. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed a lactone carbonyl at δ 177.4 and three methylenes at δ 21.9, 27.5 and 69.0, of which the last one was attached to oxygen. The ketal of dimethyl acetonedicarboxylate (**498**) was reduced with lithium aluminum hydride. However, usual work-up procedures could not be applied in this case owing to the great solubility of the resulting diol **501** in water. Nevertheless, following the method of Mori and coworker,¹³⁶ a small amount of water was added dropwise to the crude reduction product followed by 15% sodiur: hydroxide solution. After filtration.

Scheme 103

the filter cake was washed with a large volume of THF. The combined organic solutions were dried over anhydrous potassium carbonate. In this way, we were able to obtain 501 in 94% yield. The IR absorption maximum at 3370 cm⁻¹ (broad) represented the hydroxyls. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed a ketal carbon at 6 111.7 and three methylenes at δ 39.1, 58.6 and 65.0, of which the last two were connected to oxygens. Treatment of diol 501 with silver carbonate – Celite provided the lactone 491 in an isolated yield of 67%. The IR spectrum showed an absorption maximum at 1740 cm⁻¹ for the lactone carbonyl. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, a two–proton singlet at δ 2.618 was assigned to the protons α to the carbonyl.

Scheme 104



With ketal 491 in hand, the geminal acylation reaction with 109 was next investigated (Scheme 100). To our disappointment, our numerous attempts to bring about this reaction under a variety of conditions including our general one did not give any detectable formation of the spiro compound 492. In every case, we obtained either the starting material 491 or some other unidentified substances.

The failure of 491 in the geminal acylation reaction might be attributed to the β carbonyl group. The generality of this phenomenon was next studied. The ketal 504, obtained easily from 503, failed to reac: with 109 to give 505. It was likely that 504 underwent ketal cleavage under reaction condition to provide 509, which was not expected to react with 109. To test this possibility, ketal 507 was prepared from 503 via 506. Unfortunately, no detectable formation of 508 was observed when 507 was subjected to the reaction with 109 (Scheme 105).

Scheme 105



Steric hindrance might be responsible for the failure of 507 to react with 109. Thus, we prepared the ketal 510 in which the steric effects should be much smaller. Exposure of 510 to 109 and BF_3 - Et_2O in dichloromethane following our general procedure provided no 511. In contrast, ketal 512 underwent a smooth geminal acylation reaction leading to a 91% yield \lesssim 513 after column chromatography. The IR absorption maximum at 1724 cm⁻¹ and a four-proton singlet at δ 2.815 in the NMR spectrum confirmed the structure 513. As expected, no 514 was formed when 498 was allowed to react with 109 (Scheme 106). Based on these studies, we concluded tentatively that a ketal β to a carbonyl does not undergo geminal acylation.

Scheme 106



Diol 515 did not react with 109 to give 516. In contrast, the geminal acylation reaction of the dimethyl ether 517 proceeded smoothly to furnish, after chromatography, a 74% yield of 518, a 6% yield of hydrolyzed starting material 520, and a small



(a) BF .Et20, 109, CH2Cl2.

amount of some other material (vide infra). The IR spectrum of 518 showed an absorption maximum at 1760 cm⁻¹ for the ring carbonyls. A four-proton singlet at δ 2.563 in the ¹H NMR spectrum represented two methylenes in the five-membered ring. For the hydrolysed starting material 520, the IR absorption maximum appeared at 1712 cm⁻¹ for the carbonyl. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed a carbonyl at δ 207.6,

.

two methylenes at δ 67.6 and 43.5, and a methyl at δ 59.0. The structure of the other material was derived from the following spectroscopic data. The IR absorption maxima at 1759 (shoulder) and 1715 cm⁻¹ indicated the carbonyls in the spiro-diketone system. In the ¹H NMR spectrum, two two-proton triplets at δ 1.995 and 3.199 and a methyl singlet at δ 3.085 may be attributed to methoxyethyl moiety (CH₃OCH₂CH₂) attached to a quaternary center. A methyl singlet at δ 1.031 might represent a methyl group attached to a quaternary center. A four-proton singlet at δ 2.673 indicated the two methylenes in the spiro-diketone system. Thus the structure of this substance was tentatively assigned as 519. Indeed, stucture 519 was in full agreement with its ¹³C NMR data. The formation of 519 was not understood. Exposure of 521 to 109 and BF₃/Et₂O in dichoromethane afforded 522 in 75% yield. However, no 524 was formed when the discetter 523 was treated with 109 and BF₂/Et₂O (Scheme 107).

With 518 and 522 available, we wondered if they could be converted into lactone 492 via diol 516. To our surprise, neither 518 nor 522 underwent deprotection to give 516 under several standard conditions (Scheme 108).



Scheme 108

Although the geminal acylation reaction of **491** with **109** was eventually unsuccessful, we were still interested in the reaction of **3**,4-dimethylcyclobutene **490** with simple ketals, which might be applied to the synthesis of some other natural products.

The preparation of 490 began with α , α' -dimethylation of dimethyl succinate.¹³⁷ It was anticipated that the products would be a mixture of mono- and multimethylated products. Indeed, it was the case. An optimized condition involved the treatment of dimethyl succinate with 2.2 equivalents of LDA and 2.2 equivalents of iodomethane at a temperature ranging from -40°C to 0°C for three hours. After vacuum distillation, we obtained a 73% yield of 5 : 4 *R* and *S* isomers 525, whose mass spectra were almost identical. The ¹H NMR spectrum (60 MHz) showed a six -proton singlet at δ 3.74 and a six -proton doublet at δ 1.17, thus ruling out the possibility of the alternative structure 527. As shown by GC-MS analysis, the mixture of isomers 525 was contaminated with 4% of 526, 2% of 538, and 1% of 529. The 3,4-dimethylcyclobutene 490 was pr-vared from 525 in 87% yield by following the same procedures as for cyclobutene 190 (Scheme 109).

Scheme 109


Cyclohexanone ethylene ketal (131) was treated with four equivalents of 490 and fifteen equivalents of BF₃-Et₂O in dichloromethane. GC-MS analysis of the crude product indicated a 4 : 3 mixture of *raws* and *cis* isomers 493, accompanied by 60% unidentified substances (Scheme 100). We were surprised to find that after column chromatography on silica gel the product was much less pure. In fact, neither chromatography on silica gel nor recrystallization from different solvent systems gave any improvement in purity, a result probably attributable to some undefined decomposition of 493. The troublesome purification was also found in some other spiroannulation reactions (*vide infra*).

In conclusion, two model reactions, i.e. $491 \rightarrow 492$, $131 \rightarrow 493$, turned out to be unsuccessful, which suggested strongly that our further efforts toward pentalenolactone by this exact strategy were unnecessary. Nevertheless, we learned that a ketal β to a carbonyl might refuse to undergo geminal acylation reaction and the purification of 2,2-disubstituted 4,5-dimethylcyclopentane-1,3-dione derivatives such as 493 can be problematic. This information is certainly valuable for a synthetic design involving a spiro-annulation reaction.

Attempted preparation of lactone 489 using Weiler's dianion

60% Oil dispersion of sodium hydride (440 mg, 10.0 mmol) was washed with hexane three times, and THF (50 mL) was added. To this solution at 0°C was added slowly ethyl acetoacetate (1.28 mL, 10.0 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at 0°C for 30 min. After addition of 1.60 M n-BuLi in hexane (6.56 mL, 10.5 mmol), the mixture was kept at 0°C for another 30 min. Formaldehyde gas was bubbled through the solution for 1 h. Work-up with water as usual provided many unidentified products. No improvement was made when 10% HCl was used in the work-up instead of pure water.

Attempted condensation of 495 with formaldehyde

To a solution of LDA (4.4 mmol) in THF (50 mL) at -78°C was added 495 once, and the mixture was stirred at -78°C for 30 min. Paraformaldehyde (180 mg, 6 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added, and solution was stirred at -78°C for 6 min. The reaction te:nperature was raised to -22°C, and it was kept at that temperature for another 30 min. The reaction was quenched with saturated NH₄Cl solution and worked up as usual. GC-MS, TLC and ¹H NMR analysis showed no detectable formation of 496. No improvement was evident when formaldehyde gas was used instead of paraformaldehyde.

Dimethyl 3-oxoglutarate ethylene ketal (498)

A solution of dimethyl 3-oxoglutarate (497) (50 g, 0.29 mol), ethylene glycol (24 mL, 0.43 mol) and pTSA (500 mg) in benzene was heated under reflux with a Barrett

For General Procedures see 1.III.

water-separator overnight. Saturated NaHCO₃ was added to the cooled solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ followed by saturated NaCl, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Vacuum distillation of the residue provided pure ketal **498** (26.82 g, 43%): bp 100–105°C/0.25 Torr; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) & 2.93 (4H, s), 3.70 (6H, s), and 4.05 (4H, s); MS (from GC–MS) m/z (%): no M⁺, 187 (0.3, M⁺ – OMe), 156 (0.3, M⁺ – 2 × OMe), 145 (100, M⁺ – CH₂COOMe), 126 (1.5), 113 (5), 103 (71), 101 (14), 86 (7), 69 (10), 59 (28), 45 (9), 43 (10), and 42 (23).

Methyl 3,3-(ethylenedioxy)-4-formylbutyrate (499)

To a solution of dimethyl 3-oxoglutarate ethylene ketal (498) (722.3 mg, 3.31 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) at -78°C was added dropwise 1.0 M solution of DIBAL in CH₂Cl₂ (4.97 mL, 4.97 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at -78°C for *ca*. 2 h. Water was added, and some precipitate formed. Filtration removed the precipitate, and the filtrate was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (x3). The combined organic solutions were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated *in vacuo*. GC-MS analysis and ¹H NMR of the crude product indicated a 3 : 7 mixture of the aldehyde 499 and the starting material 498. For 499: ¹H NMR (60 MHz) (from the mixture) 6: 2.7 (2H, s), 2.9 (2H, d), 3.9 (4H, s), 4.0 (3H, s), and 9.7 (1H, t); MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 145 (64, M⁺ - CH₂CO₂Me), 103 (70), 86 (15), 71 (21), 69 (17), 59 (34), 45 (39), 43 (88), and 41 (15). Three equivalents of DIBAL resulted in reduction of both aldehyde groups of **498** as shown by the integration of the ¹H NMR Spectrum of the crude product.

1,5 - Dihydroxy - 2 - pentanone ethylene ketal (501)

A solution of 498 (550.4 mg, 2.53 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (20 mL) was added slowly to a stirred ice-cooled suspension of LiAlH₄ (184 mg, 4.85 mmol) in dry diethyl ether (30 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight during which time the reaction was allowed to attain room temperature. Water (0.60 mL) was then added cautiously to the ice-cooled solution, followed by 15% NaOH (0.20 mL). The mixture was diluted with THF (100 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The solution was then filtered, the filter cake was washed with THF (150 mL), and the combined filtrates were dried over K_2CO_3 . Evaporation *in vacuo* provided pure diol **S01** (410.0 mg, 100%): IR (film) ν_{max} ; 3370 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.781 (4H, t, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 3.560 (4H, apparent q, *J* = 5.5 Hz), 3.321 (2H, t, *J* = 5.1 Hz), and 3.832 (4H, s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 39.1 (2C, 2), S8.6 (2C, 2), 65.0 (2C, 2), and 111.7 (0); MS *m/z* (%); no M⁺ : -(100, M⁺ - CH₂CH₂OH), 99 (39), 87 (16), 73 (26), 55 (13), 45 (31), 43 (67), and 42 (13). *Exact mass* caled, for C₃H₃O₃ (M⁺ - CH₂CH₂OH): 117.1551; found: 117.1556.

Silver carbonate on Celite

Celite was purified by washing it successively with methanol containing 10% concentrated HCI and then with distilled water until neutral. The wet Celite was dried in an oven at 120°C. The purified Celite (50.84 g) was added to a mechanically stirred solution of silver nitrate (57.62 g, 339 mmol) in distilled water (300 mL). A solution of sodium carbonate (18.91 g, 178.42 mmol) in distilled water (400 mL) was added slowly to the homogeneous supension. The resulting mixture was stirred for another 15 min, and the mixture was filtered. The yellow-green precipitate collected was dried *in vacuo* for *ca*. 3 h. The reagent thus prepared contained about 1 mmol of silver carbonate per 0.56 g. Since the following oxidation was carried out in benzene under reflux with a Barrett water-separator, a small amount of water still within the reagent could be removed by using the same appartus before the tiol was added.

γ-Butyrolactone (502)

A mixture of butane –1,4–diol (201.7 mg, 2.24 mmol) and Ag₂CO₃/Celite (30.62 g, 53.72 mmol) in benzene (200 mL) was heated under reflux with a Barrett water-separator for 24 h. Filtration removed the solids, and diethyl ether (100 mL) was used to wash the solids. The combined filtrates were concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure lactone 502 (162.5 mg, 84%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1770 cm⁻¹, ¹H NMR & 2.148 (2H, br quintet), 2.352 (2H, br t, J = 7.0 Hz), and 4.212 (2H, t, J = 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 21.9 (2), 27.5 (2), 68.4 (2), and 177.7 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 86 (10, M⁴), 56 (16), 42 (100), and 41 (49). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₄H₂O₂: 86.0367; found: 86.0365.

1,4,8-Trioxaspiro[4.5]decan-7-one (491)*

A mixture of diol 501 (410.0 mg, 2.53 mmol) and the silver carbonate–Celite (37.50 g, 65.78 mmol) in benzene was treated as previously with butane–1,4–diol to provide pure 491 (240.4 mg, 60%) as a coloress oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.901 (2H, t, J = 5.7 Hz), 2.618 (2H, s), 3.847 (4H, m), and 4.238 (2H, t, J = 5.7 Hz); ¹C NMR δ (attached H's): 32.9 (2), 41.4 (2), 64.5 (2C, 2), 65.5 (2), 105.2 (0), and 169.1 (0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 115 (2), 99 (83), 86 (100), 55 (31), 43 (25), and 42 (63).

2-Carbomethoxy-2-methylcyclopentanone (506)

A solution containing β -keto-ester 503 (5.00 g, 35.2 mmol) and potassium carbonate (19.45 g, 0.14 mol) in acetone (70 mL) was heated to 40°C. Iodomethane (4.4 mL, 70.36 mmol) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h. The cooled solution was filtered and H₂O was added. The aqueous layer

Alternative name: spiro(1,3-dioxacyclopentane-2,3'- δ -valerolactone)

was extracted with diethyl ether (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo* to provide **506** (5.51 g, 100%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max}^2 ; 1762 and 1742 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.22 (3H, s), 1.75 – 2.50 (6H, mm), and 3.61 (3H, s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 19.2 (3), 19.3 (2), 35.9 (2), 37.4 (2), 52.2 (3), 55.6 (0), 172.5 (0), and 215.5 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 156 (1, M⁺), 128 (70, M⁺ – CO), 125 (18), 113 (28), 101 (36), 97 (27), 69 (73), 68 (20), 55 (3), and 41 (100). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₆H₁₂O₃: 156.0788; found: 156.0778 and for C₇H₁₂O₂ (M⁺ – CO): 128.0837; found: 128.0837.

2-Carbomethoxy-2-methylcyclopentanone ethylene ketal (507)

A solution of 506 (3.22 g, 20.6 mmol), pTSA (200 mg) and ethylene glycol (2.30 mL, 41.3 mmol) in benzene was heated under reflux with a Barrett water – separator overnight. Saturated NaHCO₃ was added to the cooled solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ and saturated NaCl, dried over K_2CO_3 , and concentrated *in vacuo* to give ketal 507 (3.75g, 91%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} 1736 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.270 (3H, s), 1.52 – 1.93 (5H, mm), 2.39 – 2.53 (1H, m), 3.687 (3H, s), and 3.95 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 19.0 (3), 20.2 (2), 34.4 (2), 35.4 (2), 51.7 (3), 54.2 (0), 64.8 (2), 65.3 (2), 118.7 (0), and 175.2 (0); MS *m/z* (%): 200 (3, M⁺), 169 (7), 157 (1), 155 (1), 141 (10), 128 (1), 113 (26), 112 (13), 100 (52), 99 (100), 55 (24), and 41 (23). Exact mass calch for $C_{10}H_{16}O_4$; 200.1047; found: 200.1025 and for $C_{10}H_{10}O_4$ (%) – CH₄O₅ (160.0863; found: 169.0853.

2-(2-Carboethoxyethyl)-2-methylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (513)

The ketal 512 (289.9 mg, 1.54 mmol) was treated with BF₃:Et₂O (2.84 mL, 23.1 mmol) and 109 (1.03 mL, 3.85 mmol) following our general spiro-annulation procedure. Chromatography (10% acetone in petroleum ether) of the crude product pro-

vided pure **513** (297.5 mg, 91%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1724 (very br) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.130 (3H, s), 1.233 (3H, s, J = 7.1 Hz), 1.962 (2H, s, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.815 (4H, s), and 4.065 (2H, q, J = 7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 13.9 (3), 19.7 (3), 28.6 (2C, 2), 34.6 (2C, 2), 55.1 (0), 60.5 (2), 172.6 (0), and 215.5 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 212 (11, M⁺), 184 (9), 167 (15, M⁺ – CH₃CH₂0), 166 (17), 138 (36), 125 (100), 110 (20), 97 (24), 69 (22), 55 (36), 43 (20), and 41 (34). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₁H₁₆O₄; 212.1047; found: 212.1046.

1,5- Dimethoxy-3- pentanone ethylene ketal (517)

80% Dispersion of sodium hydride in mineral oil (420 mg, 14 mmol) was washed with hexane (x3), and THF (30 mL) was added followed by a solution of the diol 515 (387.6 mg, 2.39 mmol) in THF (10 ml). The mixture was heated cautiously in a 60°C oil bath for ca. 1 h, iodomethane (0.89 mL, 14.34 mmol) was added to the cooled solution, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The cooled solution was poured cautiously into ice -cooled water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over K₂CO₃, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (6% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided pure 517 (410.6 mg, 90%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} ; 1450 and 1399 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.806 (4H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.183 (6H, s), 3.340 (4H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), and 3.794 (4H, s); ¹³C NMR & (attached Hs): 37.6 (2C, 2), 59.0 (2C, 3), 65.1 (2C, 2), 68.8 (2C, 2), and 109.8 (0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 131 (87, M⁺ - CH₂CH₂OMe), 99 (76), 55 (15), 45 (100), and 43 (11). *Exact mass* calcd, for C_gH₁₁O₂ (M⁺ - CH₂CH₂OMe): 131.0707; found: 131.0704.

2,2-Di-(2-methoxyethyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (518)

A solution of the dimethyl ether 517 (300.7 mg, 1.58 mmol) was treated with BF₃·Et₂O (1.94 mL, 15.8 mmol) and 109 (1.26 mL, 4.74 mmol) following our general procedure. The residue was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 518 (250.7 mg, 74%), another substance tentatively identified as 2methyl-2-methoxyethylcyclopentane-1,3-dione (519) (17.1 mg), and the hydrolysed starting material 520 (13.1 mg, 6%). For 518 (colorless oil): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1760 and 1720 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.852 (4H, t, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.536 (4H, s), 3.045 (6H, s), and 3.122 (4H, t, J = 6.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR δ (attached H's); 36.2 (2C, 2), 37.8 (2C, 2), 55.5 (0), 58.5 (2C, 3), 68.4 (2C, 2), and 217.9 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): no M⁺, 156 (14, M⁺ -CH_=CH-OMe due to McLafferty rearrangement), 141 (42), 125 (7), 112 (8), 109 (23), 81 (10), 55 (12), 53 (10), 45 (100), and 41 (12). Exact mass caled. for C2H12O3 (M+ - CH_=CH-OMe): 156.0785; found: 156.0783. For 519 (colorless oil): IR (film) vms: 1759 (shoulder) and 1715 cm-1; 1H NMR &: 1.031 (3H, s), 1.995 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 2.673 (4H, s), 3.085 (3H, s), and 3.199 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR 6 (attached H's): 21.6 (3), 35.0 (2C, 2), 35.8 (2), 53.6 (0), 58.7 (3), 68.3 (2), and 217.2 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 170 (1, M+), 156 (5), 140 (14), 125 (42), 109 (11), 69 (53), 59 (30), 55 (28), 45 (100), 43 (16), and 41 (61). For 520 (colorless oil): IR (film) uma: 1712 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ: 2.702 (4H, t, J = 6.2 Hz), 3.325 (6H, s), and 3.643 (4H, t, J = 6.2 Hz); 13C NMR & (attached H's): 43.5 (2C, 2), 59.0 (2C, 3), 67.6 (2C, 2), and 207.6 (0); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): no M+, 115 (1, M+ - OMe), 114 (M+ - MeOH), 103 (2), 87 (11), 83 (5), 71 (3), 59 (4), 58 (4), 55 (10), 45 (100), and 43 (11),

1,5 - Dibenzyloxy - 3 - pentanone ethylene ketal (521)

š

Q

80% Dispersion of sodium hydride in mineral oil (7.08 g, 0.16 moi) was washed with hexane (x_3), and THF (150 mL) was added followed by a solution of the diol **515** (6.37 g, 39.3 mmoi) in THF (20 ml). The resulting mixture was heated cautiously under reflux for *ca*. 30 min, and benzyl bromide (18.1 mL, 0.16 moi) was added to the cooled solution. The solution was heated under reflux for *ca*. 5 h, and the cooled solution was pourced cautiously into ice-cooled water. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over K_2CO_5 and concentrated *in vacuo*. Vacuum distillation of the residue provided pure **522** (11.58 g, 86%): bp 184–185°C/0.3 Torr; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1497, 1453 and 1370 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 2004 (4H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.552 (4H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 3.532 (4H, s), 4.428 (4H, s), and 7.295 (10H, m); ¹³C NMR & (atached H's); 37.2 (2C, 2), 64.5 (2C, 2), 66.0 (2C, 2), 72.8 (2C, 2), 109.3 (2C, 0), 127.3 (2C, 1), 127.4 (4C, 1), 128.1 (4C, 1), and 138.0 (0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 207 (25, M⁺ – CH₂CH₂O₂CH₂C₄H₂O, 9 (16), 91 (100), 65 (13), and 43 (15). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₂H₁₅O₃ (M⁺ – CH₂CH₂OCH₂C₆H₃): 207.1020; found: 207.1021.

2,2-Di-(2-benzyloxyethyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (522)

A solution of the ketal **S21** (210.7 mg, 0.62 mmol) in CH_2CL_2 was treated with BF₃ZEL₂O (1.14 mL, 9.3 mmol) and 109 (0.33 mL, 1.24 mmol) following our general procedure. The crude product was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure **S22** (194.1 mg, 86%): IR (film) ν_{max} : 1757, 1710, 1495, 1453, 1418, and 1360 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 2.151 (4H, t, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.501 (4H, s), 3.483 (4H, s, J = 7.0 Hz), 4.428 (4H, s), and 7.391 (10H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 35.8 (2C, 2), 37.3 (2C, 2), 65.8 (2C, 2), 72.8 (2C, 2), 55.5 (0), 127.5 (4C, 1), 127.6 (2C, 1), 128.2 (4C, 1), 137.2 (2C, 0), and 217.6 (2C, 0); MS *m/z* (%): 366 (2, M⁺), 169 (18), 141 (14), 126 (8), 125 (4), 108 (3), 107 (3), 92 (13), 91 (100), 78 (3), 77 (4), 65 (10), 55 (3), and 41 (4).

1,5-Diacetoxy-3-pentanone ethylene ketal (523)

To an ice - cooled solution of the diol 515 (1.3470 g, 8.32 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) was added cautiously acetic anhydride (4.71 mL, 49.92 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. Water was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic solutions were

washed with water, saturated NaHCO₃ (x2) and saturated NaCl, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography (5% acetone in petroleum ether) of the residue provided the pure diacetate **523** (1.3941 g, 71%) as a coloriess oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1740 and 1370 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 1.976 (4H, t, J = 7.1 Hz), 2.010 (6H, s), 3.943 (4H, s), and 4.132 (4H, t, J = 7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 20.2 (2C, 3), 35.6 (2C, 2), 59.7 (2C, 2), 64.4 (2C, 2), 108.2 (0), and 170.1 (2C, 0); MS *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 159 (3, M⁺ - CH₂CH₂OCOCH₃), 100 (6), 99 (100), 55 (24), and 43 (57, CH₃CO⁺). *Exact mass* caled. for C₂H₁₁O₄ (M⁺ - CH₂CH₂OCOCH₃): 159.0657; found 159.0651.

Attempted preparation of the keto-alcohol 516

From the dimethyl ether 518

The dimethyl ether 518 (47.5 mg, 0.22 mmol) was treated with iodotrimethylsilane (TMSI) (83 μ L) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) following the procedure of Jung *et al.*¹³⁸ No 516 was isolated from the crude product by column chromatography on silica gel.

From the divenzyl ether 522

No desired diol 516 was isolated from the crude product produced by catalytic hydrogenation of 522 or by treatment of 522 with C_2H_4SH and $BF_4:Et_2O^{139}$.

Dimethyl 2,3-dimethylsuccinate (525)

Dimethyl succinate (7.0 g, 47.95 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was added to lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) (105.5 mmol) in THF (350 mL) at -78° C. The solution was stirred at -78° C for ca. 20 min during which time a yellow slurry formed. The reaction mixture was warmed to -5° C, and it was stirred at this temperature for 15 min. After the solution was cooled to -46° C, icd-omethane (7.76 mL, 124.67 mmol) was added (the yellow slurry was dissolved right after the addition). The solution was stirred at -40° C for 2 h, and the reaction was quenched by the cautious addition of water. The

aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO,, and concentrated in vacuo. Vacuum distillation of the residue provided a 5:4 mixture of the isomers 525 (15.33 g. 61%): 1H NMR (60 MHz) & 1.17 (6H, d), 2.78 (2H, m), and 3.74 (6H, s); MS (from GC-MS) of one isomer m/z (%): no M⁺, 143 (44, M⁺ - OMe), 142 (11), 115 (40). 114 (33), 99 (11), 88 (51), 87 (13), 83 (15), 69 (6), 59 (100), 56 (24), 55 (37), and 41 (23): of the other isomer m/z (%): no M⁺, 143 (43, M⁺ - OMe), 142 (9), 115 (27). 114 (29), 99 (11), 88 (74), 87 (18), 83 (13), 82 (7), 69 (6), 59 (100), 57 (13), 56 (26), 55 (40), 53 (8), and 41 (24), GC-MS analysis indicated that the product contained 4% of 526, 2% of 528, and 1% of 529: MS (from GC-MS) of 526 m/z (%): no M+, 129 (48, M⁺ - OMe), 113 (1.1), 101 (26), 100 (25), 87 (12), 85 (4), 74 (5), 73 (5), 69 (18), 59 (100), 55 (10), 42 (23), and 41 (30); of 528 m/z (%); no M⁺, 157 (14, M⁺ - OMe). 129 (28), 128 (17), 113 (13), 102 (21), 101 (18), 97 (13), 88 (42), 73 (100), 70 (16), 69 (40), 59 (40), 55 (33), and 41 (34); of 529 m/z (%); no M⁺, 171 (7, M⁺ - OMe), 143 (13), 127 (6), 102 (100), 87 (34), 83 (34), 73 (64), 70 (14), 69 (25), 59 (25), 55 (18), 43 (11), and 41 (11),

3,4-Dimethyl-1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (490)

A 5 : 4 mixture of the isomers **525** (13.92 g, 80 mmol) was treated with sodium metal (7.9 g, 344 mmol) and chlorotrimethylsilane (44.69 mL, 352 mmol) in toluene (500 mL) following the procedure of Bloomfield and Nelke⁶¹ to provide 490 (18.04 g, 87%): bp 55–56°C/0.30 Torr; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) & 0.19 (18H, s), 0.85–1.23 (6H, m), and 2.32 (2H, m). The mass spectra (from GC–MS) of both isomers **490 were** almost identical, m/z (%): 258 (49, M⁴), 243 (27), 229 (10), 155 (32), 153 (20), 149 (14), 148 (16), 147 (100), 133 (10), 75 (26), and 45 (32).

Spiro-annulation reaction of 490 with cyclohexanone ethylene ketal (131)

A solution of the ketal **131** (290.5 mg, 2.05 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was treated with BF₃Et₂O (3.78 mL, 30.75 mmol) and **490** (1.98 mL, 6.14 mmol) following our general procedure. GC–MS analysis of the crude product indicated a 4 : 3 mixture of the isomers **493**, accompanied by 60% of some unidentified substances. MS (from GC–MS) of one isomer *m*/z (%): 194 (86, M⁺), 179 (10), 152 (11), 151 (14), 140 (25), 139 (18), 125 (13), 111 (51), 110 (35), 109 (19), 83 (15), 82 (25), 81 (23), 79 (19), 67 (100), 56 (30), 55 (34), 54 (32), 53 (30), and 41 (62); of the other isomer *m*/z (%): 194 (89, M⁺), 152 (10), 140 (31), 139 (18), 125 (11), 111 (64), 110 (40), 109 (18), 93 (14), 82 (26), 81 (30), 79 (22), 77 (15), 69 (26), 67 (100), 56 (46), 55 (46), 54 (35), 53 (42), 43 (18), and 41 (83). Our efforts to separate the desired products by column chromatography on silicage lor recrystalization were unsuccessful.

114

Chapter 5

INVESTIGATION ON THE FACTORS AFFECTING GEMINAL ACYLATION REACTIONS

I. Results and Discussion

Our one – pot geminal acylation reactions had been successfully applied to synthesis of isokhusimone (65), the steroid diene (161), pentalenene (230) and epi – pentalenene (279). On the other hand, as seen from our model studies relevant to the synthesis of pentalenolactone, there are certainly some limitations associated with this geminal acylation reaction. Thus, we initiated the following investigations on some parameters that might affect the reaction.

1. Ketals α-, β-, or γ- to double bonds

As discussed in the pentalenene synthesis, the reaction of ketal 382 with cyclobutene 109 proceeded smoothly to afford 381. In contrast, ketal 386 failed to react with 109. We wondered if this were a general phenomenon. For this pursose, we chose to study ketals 530 and 531. 2 – Cyclohexen – 1 – one was treated with a large excess of ethylene glycol and a catalytic amount of pTSA in benzene under reflux overnight. Carefully controlled fractional distillation provided pure 530 and a 4 : 5 mixture of 530 and 531. The structural assignment of 530 and 531 can be easily achieved in the same manner as that of ketals 382 and 386 (*vide supra*). Exposure of pure ketal 530 to 109 and BF₂Ct₂O following our general procedure furnished 532 in 75% isolated yield. The IR absorption maximum appeared at 1718 cm⁻¹ for the ring carbonyl and at 1420

Scheme 110

じゅんち きししいかい



(a) BF3. Et2O, 109, CH2Cl2; (b) TFA.

 cm^{-1} for the double bond. The two two-proton multiplets at δ 2.724 and 2.920 in the ¹H NMR spectrum clearly indicated the protons of the cyclopentanedione molety. The position of the double bond in 532 was unambiguously established on the basis of its ¹H NMR spectrum. The multiplet at δ 2.154 attributed to the protons α to the double bond and the triplet at δ 1.737 represented the two protons β to the double bond. The ratio of the α protons to β protons was 2:1 as calculated from the integration, which allowed structure 532 only. Next, we subjected the 4:5 mixture of 530 and 531 to the spiro-annulation reaction, GC-MS analysis of the crude product indicated complete conversion to a single substance. This substance was obtained in 73% yield after column chromatography. ¹H and ¹³C NMR, TLC, IR, and GC-MS analysis showed unequivocally that this material was the spiro-diketone 532. Clearly, the ketal 531 was converted to 532 under the reaction condition. The double bond isomerization from 531 to 532 can occur either before (i.e. 531 → 530) or after the spiro-annulation reaction (i.e. 533 \rightarrow 532). If after, then treatment of 533 with BF₃:Et₂O in dichloromethane should give 532. Although isophorone directly underwent the spiroannulation reaction to give 389 in 21% yield, our attempts to prepare 533 by using the same procedure were unsuccessful. Unlike 2-cyclohexenenone ketal 530, 2cyclopentanone ethylene ketal (534) failed to undergo geminal acylation reaction leading to 535 or 536 (Scheme 110). As described in the steroid synthesis, the reaction of ketal 219b with 109 and BF3 Et2O provided 217, which, upon treatment with TFA, afforded the steroid diene 161

We concluded that a ketal α to a double bond may not be a good substrate for geminal acylation reaction, but double bonds further away are compatible with the reaction.

2. Ketal a to a carbonyl or to another ketal

To test the spiro-annulation reaction of a ketal α to a carbonyl or α to another ketal, we prepared butane-2,3-dione monoketal 537 and diketal 538. Treatment of butane-2,3-dione with 2,2-dimethylpropane-1,3-diul and Amberlyst-15 in dichloromethane following the procedure of Levine and Mauney¹⁴⁰ provided a 9 : 1 mixture of 537 and 538 after fractional distillation. Exposure of this mixture to 109 and BF₃/Et₂O gave neither 539 nor 540 (Scheme 111). The failure of 538 might be partially attributed to steric effects. The reason for the failure of 537 was not clear.

Scheme 111



3. Substituents on the cyclobutene ring

As shown in the synthetic studies toward pentalenolactone, the reaction of 3,4dimethylcyclobutene **490** with ketals did occur, but the purification of the resulting product such as **493** turned out to be problematic. We were curious about the behaviour of some other cyclobutene derivatives. To this end, 3,3dimethylcyclobutene **541** was prepared after the method of Bloomfield and Nelke.⁶¹ The geminal acylation of ketal **131** with **541** diseem to produce **542**, along with **50%** unidentified material as revealed by GC-MS analysis. However, we were unable to purify **542** by several standard techniques (Scheme 112).



4. 1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyloxy)cyclopentene and its derivative

Kuwajima and coworkers³¹ reported that cyclobutene **109** reacted with a ketal **108** using BF₃Et₂O as catalyst, to give a cyclobutanone derivative **110**, which, upon treatment with TFA, underwent rearrangement to a 2,2-disubstituted cyclopentane -1,3-dione **111**. We found that a large excess of BF₃:Et₂O and a longer reaction time afforded **111** *directly* in a better yield. In contrast, as reported by Pattenden and Teague,¹⁴¹ 1,2-bia(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (**543**) reacted initially with **108** in an analogous fashion to provide the cyclopentanone derivative **544**, but, when this was treated with *p*TSA in benzene under reflux, rearrangement to **2**,2disubstituted cyclohexane -1,3-dione did not occur; instead the product isolated in low yield was assigned structure **545** (Scheme **113**).

We were curious about the difference in behaviour between cyclobutene 109 and cyclopentene 543 in the reaction with ketals using BF_3/Et_2O as the catalyst. Thus, we examined the reactions of 543 with a variety of ketals (Scheme 114).¹⁴²



Ketal 131 was treated with three equivalents of 543 and fifteen equivalents of BF₃Et₂O in dichloromethane for six hours and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight while attaining room temperature. TLC and GC-MS analysis of the crude product showed a single substance. After column chromatography on silica gel, this substance was obtained in 89% yield. The ¹³C NMR spectrum showed seven signals, five methylenes (δ 18.4, 22.4, 25.5, 30.9 and 37.2), one quarternary center (δ 67.6), and one carbonyl (δ 209.6). Without any doubt, this substance was the symmetrical 2.2disubstituted cyclohexane-1,3-dione 548. The same product was obtained when cyclohexanone dimethyl ketal or diethyl ketal was subjected to the spiro-annulation reaction with 543. We next investigated the reaction of 137 with 543. GC-MS and TLC analysis showed complete conversion to a single product. The ¹³C NMR sj. actrum showed five signals, two methylenes (δ 22.1, 37.2), one methyl (δ 17.9), one quarternary carbon (δ 61.6), and one carbonyl (δ 210.3). Accordingly, the structure could be unambiguously assigned 551. Likewise, when ketals 136, 547 and 512 were allowed to react with 543, the products we isolated were undoubtedly the symmetrical 2,2disubstituted cyclohexane - 1,3-diones 550, 552 and 553, respectively, as strictly confirmed by 13 C NMR analysis. It should be noted that the product 549, derived from the norcamphor ethylene ketal (135), was an unsymmetrical molecule. Indeed, the 13 C NMR spectrum showed twelve signals, seven methylenes (6 18.2, 25.2, 27.6, 27.7, 37.1, 37.9 and 48.5), two methines (6 36.5 and 39.3), one quarternary center (6 76.0), and two carbonyls (6 207.4 and 206.9). The IR spectrum of each product (548 \rightarrow 553) displayed two bands in its carbonyl region, which was well known for many other 2, 2-disubstituted cyclohexane - 1,3-diones reported in literature.¹⁴³

Next, the dimethyl acetal 554 was allowed to react with 543 and BF2.Et2O (Scheme 115). After column chromatography on silica gel, we obtained a single crystalline substance. Besides the aromatic ring, the ¹³C NMR spectrum showed three methylenes (\$ 17.6. 31.0 and 37.4), one methine (\$ 85.4), one quarternary carbon (\$ 79.0), one methyl (\$ 57.2) and one carbonyl (\$ 219.7). We realised that this was the unrearranged adduct 555. Accordingly, a one-proton singlet at δ 4.313 and a methyl singlet at § 3.197 were evident in its ¹H NMR spectrum. It should be pointed out that there are two chiral centers in 555, but the ¹³C NMR spectrum showed only one adduct. The remarkable stereoselectivity of the addition between acetal 554 and 543 is particularly noteworthy. Since 555 refused to undergo the rearrangement under the reaction condition, we subjected it to pTSA in benzene under reflux. GC-MS analysis of the crude product indicated a mixture of several compounds. A similar result was obtained when TFA was employed instead of pTSA. Our efforts to separate the components of the crude mixture by chromatography were unsuccessful. Nevertheless, the isolation of 555 confirmed the intermediate proposed for the reaction of ketals with cyclopentene 543.

3,4-Disubstituted cyclopentene 557 was readily prepared from dimethyl camphorate (556) by using a standard acyloin procedure.⁶¹ However, the reaction of







this cyclopentene 557 with ketal 131 gave no detectable amount of 558. The products we obtained were a mixture of 559 and 560, derived from 557 via hydrolysis (Scheme 116). The steric hindrance could be responsible for the failure of 557 to react.





In general, 2,2-disubstituted cyclohexane-1,3-diones were prepared from

cyclohexane – 1,3 – diones by double alkylation, but the yields were uniformly poor due to the formation of unwanted O – alkylation as well as ring cleavage.³⁰ More recently, Bach and Klix¹⁴⁴ developed a four –step synthesis of spirocyclic 1,3 – diketones as outlined in Scheme 117. Thus, the Lewis acid initiated α –thioalkylation of sliyl enol ether 562 provides 563, which, upon treatment with NaIO₄, undergoes dehydrosulfenylation leading to enone 564. Oxidation of 564 with mCPBA gives α , β –epoxy ketone 565, which rearranges to 548 in 71% yield. Clearly, the reaction of ketals with 543 provides much more efficient method for the preparation of 2,2–disubstituted cyclohexane – 1,3–diones.

Scheme 117



5. Epoxides and ozonides

All the reactions we explored were those between ketals or acetals and cyclobutene derivatives such 109 or cyclopentene 543. Based on the mechanism of the geminal acylation reaction, epoxides such as 566 might serve as substrates as well. We postulated that the reaction of 566 with 109 might give 567, which undergo rearrangement leading to 568 as depicted in Scheme 118. Contrary to our expectation, no reaction was observed under several conditions. In every case, the product we obtained was the *trans* diol 569.

Scheme 118



Next, we examined the geminal acylation reaction of the ozonide 570, derived from 1,2-dimethylcyclohexene (Scheme 119). Compound 570, which possessed two "ketal" moleties, might react with 109 leading to the geminal acylation product 571. Once again, no 571 was formed when 570 was subjected to the standard reaction conditions with 109.

The failure of epoxides and ozonides as substrates in the geminal acylation reaction is probably due to the fact that epoxides and ozonides are not reactive enough relative to normal ketal. Scheme 119



II. Experimental

1,4-Dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-ene (530) and 1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-6-ene (531)**

A solution of 2-cyclohexen-1-one (50 g, 0.05 mmol), ethylene glycol (14 mL, 0.25 mmol), and pTSA (500 mg) in benzene was heated under reflux overnight with a Barrett water - separator. Saturated NaHCO₂ solution was added, the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (x3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaCl (x2). The organic solutions were then dried over K_CO, and evaporated in vacuo. Carefully controlled fractional distillation of the resulting residue provided pure 530 (0.9 g, 13%) and a 4 : 5 mixture of 530 and 531 (1.0 g, 14%). For 530 (colorless oil): ¹H NMR &: 1.756 (2H, t, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.262 (4H, m), 3.985 (4H, s), 5.56-5.66 (1H, m), and 5.68-5.78 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 24.4 (2). 30.9 (2), 35.6 (2), 64.2 (2C, 2), 107.7 (0), 124.1 (1), and 126.3 (1); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 140 (40, M⁺), 125 (15, M⁺ - Me), 86 (100), 67 (11), 43 (13), 42 (36), and 41 (13). For 531 (colorless oil): ¹H NMR (from the mixture) & 1.70-1.83 (4H, mm), 1.96-2.07 (2H, mm), 3.92-4.01 (4H, mm), 5.56-5.75 (1H, m), and 5.93-6.05 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's); 20.6 (2), 24.7 (2), 33.3 (2), 64.2 (2C, 2), 105.5 (0), 127.3 (1), and 132.7 (1); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 140 (2, M+), 112 (100), 110 (4), 109 (2), 95 (4), 86 (5), 79 (14), 77 (7), 68 (40), 55 (11), 43 (4), 42 (7), and 41 (9).

Spiro[4.5]dec-7-ene-1,4-dione (532)

A solution of the ketal 530 (119.3 mg, 0.85 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was cooled to -78°C. BF₃:Bt₂O (1.57 mL, 12.8 mmol) was added followed, dropwise, by a solution of 109 (0.57 mL, 2.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The mixture was stirred

^{&#}x27; For General Procedures, see 1.III.

[&]quot;We thank Mr. Paul F. Walsh for performing this experiment.

overnight, during which time the solution was allowed to attain room temperature. This mixture was added slowly to an ice – cooled saturated NaHCO₃ solution and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (×3). The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated NaHCO₃ (×2) and saturated NaCl (×2), dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed (3% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 532 (91.3 mg, 75%): mp 53–54°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1749, 1716, and 1438 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.733 (2H, t, J = 6.1 Hz), 2.134 (4H, m), symmetrical 16–line pattern centered at 2.824 (4H), and 5.755 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 20.8 (2), 25.8 (2), 27.0 (2), 34.1 (2C, 2), 55.3 (0), 122.9 (1), 125.4 (1), and 214.4 (2C, 0); MS *m/z* (%): 164 (100, M⁺), 149 (11), 136 (44), 135 (36), 122 (28), 121 (24), 108 (22), 107 (43), 93 (12), 91 (12), 81 (17), 80 (53), 79 (93), 78 (15), 77 (37), 56 (17), 55 (25), 54 (11), 53 (15), 52 (12), 51 (17), 43 (17), and 41 (13). *Exact mass* caled. for C₁₀H₁₂O₂: 164.0837; four: 164.0843.

A 4 : 5 mixture of 530 and 531 (114.1 mg, 0.815 mmol) was treated with $BF_{3}Et_2O$ (1.50 mL, 12.2 mmol) and 109 (0.54 mL, 2.0 mmol) as above to give pure 532 (94.9 mg, 71%).

2-Acetyl-2,5,5-trimethyl-1,3-dioxane (537) and 2,5,5-trimethyl-2~(2,5,5trimethyl-1,3-dioxa-2-cyclohexyl)-1,3-dioxane (538)

A mixture of butane -2,3-dione (1.96 g, 22.7 mmol), 2,2-dimethyl-1,3propanediol (3.60 g, 34.6 mmol), and Amberlyst-15 (8 g) in CH₂Cl₂ (70 mL) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resin was removed by filtration through a Celite pad, and two volumes of diethyl either were then passed through the pad. The combined solutions were concentrated *in vacuo*. Fractional distillation of the residue provided a 9 : 1 mixture of 537 and 538 (3.71 g, 95%) as a colorless liquid: for the monotextal 537: ¹H NMR (60 MHz) & 0.75 (3H, s), 1.20 (3H, s), 1.45 (3H, s), 2.25 (3H, s), and 3.50 (4H, s); MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): no M⁺, 129 (32, M⁺ – COCH₃), 69 (33), 56 (4), 43 (100, COCH₃), and 41 (26); for the diketal **538**: MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): no M⁺, 243 (2, M⁺ – Me), 173 (0.2), 129 (100), 115 (4), 69 (58), 56 (7), 43 (91), and 41 (28).

3,3-Dimethyl-1,2-bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclobutene (541)

Dimethyl 2,2-dimethyl succinate (20.18 g, 0.12 mol) was treated with sodium (11.46 g, 0.50 mol) and chlorotrimethylsilane (70 mL, 0.55 mol) in toluene (600 mL) according to the procedure of Bloomfield and Nelke⁶¹, which gave pure 541 (21.8 g, 73%): bp 90-91°C/0.20 Torr; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) & 0.21 (9H, s), 0.23 (9H, s), 1.10 (6H, s), and 1.95 (2H, s).

Spiro-annulation reaction of 541 with ketal 131

The ketal 131 (88.5 mg, 0.62 mmol) was treated with BF₃Et₂O (0.76 mL, 6.2 mmol) and 541 (0.75 mL, 2.5 mmol) in CH₂Ct₂ following our general procedure. GC-MS analysis indicated that the crude product consisted *ca*. 50% of 542: MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 194 (41, M⁺), 111 (15), 110 (100), 82 (27), 81 (12), 79 (16), 67 (86), 55 (20), 54 (19), 53 (16), 43 (10), and 41 (43). Attempts to purify 542 by column chromatography on silica gel or recrystalization were unsuccessful.

1,2-Bis(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (543)

Dimethyl glutarate (26 g, 0.16 mol) was treated with sodium (14.72 g, 0.64 mol) and chlorotrimethylsilane (81.23 mL, 0.64 mol) in toluene following the procedure of Bloomfield and Nelke⁶¹ to provide 543 (27.70 g, 70%): bp 66-67°C/0.4 Torr; ¹H NMR (.30 MHz) & 0.20 (18H, s), 1.80 (2H, m), and 2.30 (4H, t).

A solution of cyclohexanone ethylene ketal (131) (336.3 mg, 2.37 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) was cooled to -78°C under nitrogen. Freshly distilled BF₃·Et₂O (4.4 mL 36 mmol) was added followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of 543 (1.63 mL, 5.93 mmol) in dry CH2Cl2 (8 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight during which time the mixture was allowed to attain room temperature. Water was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH2Cl2 (x3). The combined organic solutions were washed with H₂O (x2), saturated NaHCO₂ (x2) and saturated NaCl (x2), dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo. The dark residue was chromatographed (5% acetone in petroleum ether) to provide pure 548 (379.4 mg, 89%) as colorless crystals: mp 71-72°C; IR (film) νmax: 1720 and 1690 cm-1; ¹H NMR δ: 1.42 (2H, m), 1.58 (4H, m), 1.90 (6H, m), and 2.687 (4H, apparent t, J = 7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 18.4 (2), 22.4 (2C, 2), 25.5 (2), 30.9 (2C, 2), 37.2 (2C, 2), 67.6 (0), and 209.6 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 180 (100, M+), 152 (18), 151 (16), 139 (14), 138 (18), 137 (16), 126 (34), 125 (43), 124 (24), 123 (26), 111 (11), 110 (36), 109 (61), 107 (11), 98 (19), 97 (26), 96 (21), 95 (17), 91 (15), 84 (13), 85 (21), 82 (18), 81 (61), 80 (14), 79 (41), 77 (18), 71 (10), 70 (27), 68 (10), 67 (71), 55 (53), 54 (21), 53 (23), 51 (11), 44 (27), 43 (51), 42 (60), and 41 (56). Exact mass calcd. for C11H16O2: 180.1149; found: 180.1167.

Spiro(bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,1'-[2,6]cyclohexanedione) (549)

The ketal **135** (168.0 mg, 1.09 mmol) was treated with BF₃⁻Et₂O (2.01 mL, 16.4 mmol) and **543** (0.75 mL, 2.73 mmol) as above to provide pure **549** (169.6 mg, 81%): mp 49-50°C; IR (film) ν_{max} : 1720 and 1690 cm⁻¹; ¹.4 NMR & 1.13-1.31 (3H, m), 1.39-1.51 (3H, m), 1.69 (1H, m), 1.822 (1H, dd, J = 2.6, 12.4 Hz), 2.10-2.24 (2H, m), 2.30 (1H, br s, bridgehead H), 2.54-2.76 (3H, m), 2.74 (1H, br s, bridgehead H), and

2.94 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 18.2 (2), 25.2 (2), 27.6 (2), 27.7 (2), 36.5 (1), 37.1 (2), 37.9 (2), 39.3 (1), 48.5 (2), 76.0 (0), 206.9 (0), and 207.4 (0); MS m/z (%): 192 (60), 164 (16), 163 (88), 137 (13), 136 (24), 135 (64), 127 (20), 126 (100), 125 (14), 122 (13), 121 (12), 109 (10), 108 (20), 98 (31), 97 (19), 95 (11), 93 (42), 91 (21), 80 (14), 79

(13), 12 (13), 130 (13), 130 (23), 130 (21), 17 (13), 17 (13), 18 (14), 18 (14), 18 (14), 19 (14),

2-Ethyl-2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (550)

The ketal **136** (213.8 mg, 1.84 mmol) was treated with BF₃:Et₂O (2.26 mL, 18.4 mmol) and **543** (1.26 mL, 4.60 mmol) as above to provide **550** (242.1 mg, 85%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1725 and 1695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 0.796 (3H, 1, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.206 (3H, s), 1.847 (2H, q, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 1.86 (1H, m), 2.05 (1H, m), and 2.675 (4H, m); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 9.1 (3), 17.7 (2), 17.9 (3), 30.8 (2), 37.9 (2C, 2), 66.2 (0), and 210.4 (2C, 0); MS *m*/*z* (%): 254 (66, M⁺), 139 (8), 112 (8), 111 (83), 98 (16), 97 (61), 84 (43), 83 (26), 81 (22), 79 (8), 70 (20), 69 (79), 56 (12), 55 (79), 53 (-... 43 (54), 42 (100), and 41 (86). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₉H₁₄O₂: 154.0993; found: 154.0987.

2,2-Dimethylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (551)

The ketal 137 (333.6 mg, 2.32 mmol) was treated with BF₃:Et₂O (4.28 mL, mmol) and 434 (1.27 mL, 4.64 mmol) to provide pure 5\$1 (242.1 mg, 75%): mp 34– 35°C (lit.^{67b} 35°C); IR (film) ν_{max} : 1720 and 1690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.307 (6H, s), 1.961 (2H, quintet), and 2.710 (4H, t, J = 6.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 17.9 (2C, 3), 22.1 (2), 37.2 (2C, 2), 61.6 (0), and 210.3 (2C, 0); MS m/z (%): 140 (47, M⁺), 97 (58), 85 (16), 70 (58), 69 (16), 67 (40), 55 (56), 43 (23), 42 (100), and 41 (54). Exact mass calcd. (2H, Q.; 140.0837; found: 140.0845.

2-Isopropyl-2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (552)

The ketal **547** (198.7 mg, 1.53 mmol) was treated with BF₃:Et₂O (2.82 mL, 22.9 mmol) and **543** (1.47 mL, 5.36 mmol) as above to provide the pure **552** (215.7 mg, 84%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1720 and 1690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR &: 0.848 (6H, d, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.059 (3H, s), 1.66 (1H, m), 2.12 (1H, m), 2.54 (3H, m), and 2.33 (2H, m); δ (attached H's): 9.0 (3), 17.2 (2C, 3), 18.3 (2), 34.6 (1), 37.6 (2C, 2), 71.7 (0), and 209.6 (2C, 0); MS (from GC-MS) *m/z* (%): 168 (47, M⁺), 153 (45, M⁺ - Me), 126 (25), 125 (100), 107 (10), 98 (37), 97 (38), 83 (66), 81 (10), 70 (32), 69 (17), 67 (13), 55 (88), 53 (18), 43 (51), 42 (82), and 41 (72). *Exact mass* calcd. for C₁₀H₁₆O₂: 168.1150; found 164.1147.

2-(2-Carboethoxyethyl)-2-methylcyclohexane-1,3-dione (553)

The ketal **512** (166.6 mg, 0.89 mmol) was treated with BF₃TEt₂O (1.64 mL, 13.3 mmol) and **543** (0.49 mL, 1.78 mmol) as above to provide pure **553** (165.4 mg, 83%) as a colorless oil: IR (film) ν_{max} : 1725 (br) and 1690 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR & 1.242 (3H, t, J = 7.2 Hz), 1.268 (3H, s), 1.97 (2H, m), 2.16 (4H, m), 2.685 (4H, m), and 4.090 (2H, q, J = 7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's): 14.1 (3), 17.5 (2), 20.8 (3), 29.4 (2), 30.4 (2), 37.7 (2C, 2), 60.5 (2), 64.3 (0), 172.7 (0), and 209.7 (2C, 0); MS (from GC–MS) m/; (%): 226 (30, M⁺), 198 (29, M⁺ – CO), 182 (11), 181 (95), 180 (43), 153 (17), 152 (100), 139 (69), 138 (91), 137 (13), 127 (13), 125 (13), 124 (51), 111 (61), 110 (14), 109 (12), 99 (21), 97 (30, 96 (59), 95 (12), 69 (36), 55 (93), 53 (12), 43 (36), 28(4), and 41 (56). Exzer mass calcd. for $C_{re}H_{10}Q_{re}$: 26.6 (1204; found 26.1201:

2-Hydroxy-2-(a-methoxybenzyl)cyclopentanone (555)

The benzaldehydo dimethyl acetal (554) (188.7 mg, 1.24 mmol) was treated with BF₃/Et₂O (2.28 mL, 18.6 mmol) and 543 (0.70 mL, 2.5 mmol) as above to provide pure 555 (219.7 mg, 81%) as colorless crystals: mp 8; -82.5°C; ¹H NMR & 1.05-2.48 (7H, mm), 3.197 (3H, s), 4.313 (1H, s), and 7.351 (5H, br s); ¹³C NMR & (attached H's); 17.6 (2), 31.0 (2), 37.4 (2), 57.2 (3), 79.0 (0), 85.4 (1), 127.6 (2C, 1), 128.0 (3C, 1), 136.6 (0), and 219.7 (0); MS m/z (%): no M⁺, 189 (0.2, M⁺ - OMe), 188 (1.3, M⁺ - MeOH), 160 (3.2), 133 (3.4), 132 (5), 131 (5), 129 (2.2), 128 (2.8), 123 (2.8), 122 (49), 121 (100, C₆H₂C⁺H(OMe)), 118 (14), 105 (20), 104 (31), 91 (44), 90 (10), 78 (12), 77 (71), 5.5 (16), 51 (13), 43 (10), and 42 (10). Exact mass calcd. for C₁₂H₁₃O₂ (M⁺ - OMe); 189.0915; found: 189.0892; and for C₁₂H₁₂O₂ (M⁺ - MeOH): 188.0813.

1,7,7-Trimethyl-2,3-bis(trimethylsiloxy)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene (557)

Dimethyl camphorate (556) (13.7 g, 60.1 mol) was treated with sodium metal (5.94 g, 0.26 mol) and chlorotrimethylsilane (32.79 mL, 0.26 mol) in toluene following the procedure of Bloomfield and Nelke⁶¹ to give 557 (17.08 g, 91 %) as a colorless liquid: $131-132^{\circ}C/0.30$ Torr; MS (from GC-MS) m/z (%): 312 (13, M⁺), 286 (10), 285 (27), 284 (100), 270 (12), 269 (46), 209 (9), 181 (10), 147 (11), 75 (11), 73 (93), and 45 (24).

Attempted geminal acylation reaction of 557 with ketal 131

A solution of the ketal **131** (277.3 mg, 1.95 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was treated with **557** (1.42 mL, 3.90 mmmol) and BF₃·Et₂O (3.60 mL, 29.3 mmol) following our general procedure provided a mixture of keto –alcohols **559** and **560** (100% conversion by GC–MS) as coloriess crystals: MS (from GC–MS) m/z (%): 168 (11, M⁺), 153 (6, M⁺ – Me), 12-5 (7), 107 (5), 95 (12), 84 (23), 83 (33), 71 (73), 70 (94), 69 (39), 67 (17), 55 (58), 53 (24), 43 (61), and 41 (100).

1,2 - Dimethyl cyclohexene ozonide (570)

Ozone was passed through a solution of 1,2-dimethylcyclohexene (99.0 mg, 1.20 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) at -78° C until the solution turned blue, indicating the completion of the ozonolysis. The excess ozone was removed by bubbling O₂ through the solution for ca. 5 min, and the system was then purged with nitrogen. This solution was used directly for the following geminal-acylation reaction.

Attempted spiro-annulation reaction of ozonide 570

To the above solution at -78° C was added BF₃·Et₂O (1.48 mL, 12 mmol) followed by the dropwise addition of a solution of 109 (1.28 mL, 4.80 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then stirred overnight during which time the reaction was allowed to attain room temperature. No detectable formation of 571 was obtained as revealed by GC-MS analysis of the crude product.

References

- Isolation: (a) G. Chiurdoglu and P. Tullen. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 66, 169 (1957);
 (b) M. Romanuk and V. Herout. Collect. Czech., Chem. Commun. 25, 2540 (1960); (c) K. Morikawa and Y. Hirose. Nippon Kagaku Zasshi 88, 795 (1967);
 [Chem. Abstr. 69, 10554 (1968)]; (d) R. Sakuma and A. Yoshikoshi. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 41 (1968).
- For a review on early isolation and stuctural investigations, see: N. T. Anh and M. Fétizon. Amer. Perfume. Cosmet. 80, 41 (1965); unfortunately, most of the strutures contained therein have been revised. See also N. H. Anderson. Phytochemistry 9, 145 (1970).
- (a) F. Kido, H. Uda, and A. Yoshikoshi. Tetrahedron Lett., 2815 (1967); (b) F. Kido, H. Uda, and A. Yoshikoshi. *ibid.*, 1247 (1968).
- (a) I. C. Nigam and H. Komae. J. Pharm. Sci. 56, 1299 (1967); (b) I. C. Nigam, C. Radecka, and H. Komea. *ibid.* 57, 1029 (1968); (c) I. C. Nigam, H. Komae, G. A. Neville, C. Radecka, and S. K. Paknikar. Tetrahedron Lett., 2497 (1968); (d) H. Komae and I. C. Nigam. J. Org. Chem. 33, 1771 (1968).
- E. Klein, R. Siewert, and W. Rojahn. Dragoco Rep. Ger. Ed. 2, 23 (1969); [Chem. Abstr. 71, 102045 (1969)].
- N. Hanayama, F. Kido, R. Sakuma, H. Uda, and A. Yoshikoshi. Tetrahedron Lett., 6099 (1968).
- (a) D. C. Umrani, R. Seshadri, K. G. Gore, and K. K. Chakravarti. Flavour Ind. 1, 623 (1970); (b) B. Maurer, M. Fracheboud, A. Grieder, and G. Ohloff. Helv. Chim. Acta 55, 2371 (1972).
- 8. K. Sakurai, T. Kitahara, and K. Mori. Tetrahedron 44, 6581 (1988).

- (a) S. C. Jain, S. Nowicki, T. Eisner, and J. Meinwald. Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 4639 (1982); (b) K. Komagata, I. Yamamoto, and H. Honda. Abstracts of Papers, the Annual Meeting of the Agric. Chem. Soc. of Japan, Tokyo, 723 (1987).
- (a) P. J. Carrol, E. L. Ghisalberti, and D. E. Ralph. Phytochemistry 15, 777 (1976); (b) E. L. Ghisalberti, A. H. White and A. C. Willis. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 1300 (1975).
- (a) N. H. Andersen and M. S. Falcone. Chem. and Ind. (London), 62 (1971); (b)
 R. N. Ganguly, G. K. Trivedi, and S. C. Bhattacharyya. Indian J. Chem. 16B, 20 (1978); (c) R. N. Ganguly, G. K. Trivedi, and S. C. Bhattacharyya. *ibid.* 16B, 23 (1978).
- C. H. Heathcock, S. L. Graham, M. C. Pirrung, F. Plavac, and C. T. White. in The total synthesis of natural products. Vol. 5. *Edited by* J. ApSimon, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. 1983. p. 473.
- 13 R. M. Coates and R. L. Sowerby, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 94, 5386 (1972).
- 14. P. R. Vettel and R. M. Coates. J. Org. Chem. 45, 5430 (1980).
- E. Piers and J. Banville, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1138 (1979); E. Piers, J. Banville, C. K. Lau, and I. Nagakura. Can. J. Chem. 60, 2965 (1982).
- 16. A. J. Barker and G. Pattenden. Tetrahedron Lett., 22, 2599 (1981).
- 17. W. Oppolzer and S. C. Burford. Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 788 (1980).
- A. Deljac, W. D. MacKay, C. S. J. Pan, K. J. Wiesner, and K. Wiesner. Can. J. Chem. 50, 726 (1972).
- A. Alexakis, M. J. Chapdelaine, and G. H. Posner. Tetrahedron Lett., 19, 4209 (1978).
- 20. D. F. MacSweeney and R. Ramage. Tetrahedron 27, 1481 (1971).

- 21. F. Kido, H. Uda, and A. Yoshikoshi. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 1755 (1972).
- H. M. R. Hoffmann, R. Henning, and O. R. Lalko. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 21, 442 (1982); H. M. R. Hoffmann and R. Henning. Helv. Clim. Acta 66, 828 (1983); H. M. R. Hoffmann, U. Eggert, U. Gibbels, O. Koch, R. Lies, and J. Rabe. Tetrahedron 44, 3899 (1988).
- 23. E. Piers, M. Jean, and P.S. Marais. Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 5075 (1987).
- 24. K. Sakurai, T. Kitahara, and K. Mori. Tetrahedron 46, 761 (1990).
- 25. B. Maurer. Ger. Offen. 2,350,388; [Chem. Abstr. 81, 25216K (1974)].
- 26. G. Büchi, A. Hauser, and J. Limacher. J. Org. Chem. 42, 3323 (1977).
- 27. H.-J. Liu and W. H. Chan. Can. J. Chem. 57, 708 (1979); idem. 60, 1081 (1982).
- 28. W. Oppolzer and R. Pitteloud. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 104, 6478 (1982).
- W. Oppolzer, R. Pitteloud, G. Bernardinelli, and K. Baettig. Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 4975 (1983).
- H. O. House. Modern synthetic reactions. 2nd ed. W. A. Benjamin, M. nlo Park, CA. 1972, p. 518; M. E. Garst and B. J. McBride, J. Org. Chem. 48, 1362 (1983), and references therein.
- J. Shimada, K. Hashimoto, B. H. Kim, E. Nakamura, and I. Kuwajima. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 106, 1759 (1984); E. Nakamura and I. Kuwajima. Org. Synth. 65, 17 (1987).
- (a) W. K. Anderson and G. E. Lee. J. Org. Chem. 45, 501 (1980); (b) W. K. Anderson and G.E. Lee. Synth. Commun. 10, 351 (1980); (c) W. Oppolzer and R. D. Wylie. Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 1198 (1980); (d) J. C. Evans, R. C. Klix, and R. D. Bach. J. Org. Chem. 53, 5519 (1988); (e) W. H. Bunnelle and W. R. Shangraw. Tetrahedron 43, 2005 (1987).

- 33. K. A. Parker, K. A. Koziski, and G. Breault. Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 2181 (1985).
- Y.-J. Wu and D. J. Burnell. Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 4369 (1988); D. Jean Burnell and Yong-Jin Wu. Can. J. Chem. 68, 804 (1990).
- 35. J. Meinwald and E. Frauenglass. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 5235 (1960).
- J. March. Advanced organic chemistry. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1985, p. 990.
- 37. G. H. Posner and G. L. Loomis. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 892 (1972).
- J. L. Herrmann and R. H. Schlessinger. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 711 (1973).
- R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bassler, and T. C. Morrill. Spectrometric identification of organic compounds. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. p. 260.
- 40. F. Huet, G. Emptoz, and A. Jubier. Tetrahedron 29, 479 (1973).
- 41. H. O. House and T. M. Bare, J. Org. Chem. 33, 943 (1968).
- For a review on the reactions of carboxylic acids with organolithium reagents, see: M. J. Jorgenson. Org. React. 18, 1 (1970).
- 43. G. M. Rubottom and C. -W. Kim. J. Org. Chem. 48, 1550 (1983).
- 44. L. T. Sandborn. Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. I, p. 340.
- 45. E. J. Corey and J. W. Suggs. Tetrahedron Lett., 16, 2647 (1975).
- A. Johannissian and E. Akunian. Bull. Univ. état. R. S. S. Arménie, No. 5, 235 (1930); [Chem. Abstr. 25, 921 (1931)].
- 47. S. A. Patwardhan and S. Dev. Synthesis, 348 (1974).
- 48. R. Sterzycki. Synthesis, 724 (1979).
- (a) J. S. Swenton, R. M. Blankenship, and R. Sanitra. J. Org. Chem. 97, 4941 (1975); (b) R. H. Burnell and J.-M. Dufour. Can. J. Chem. 65, 21 (1987).
- R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bassler, and T. C. Morrill. Spectrometric identification of organic compounds. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. p. 205.
- J. E. McMurry. Acc. Chem. Res. 16, 405 (1983); J. E. McMurry. Chem. Rev. 89, 1513 (1989). For a special titanium reagent for dicarbonyl coupling, see: D. L. J. Clive, K. S. K. Murthy, A. G. H. Wee, J. S. Prasad, G. V. J. da Silva, M. Majewski, P. C. Anderson, C. F. Evans, R. D. Haugen, L. D. Heerze, and J. R. Barrie, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 112, 3018 (1990).
- J. E. McMurry, M. P. Fleming, K. L. Kees, and L. R. Krepski. J. Org. Chem. 43, 3255 (1978).
- A. L. Baumstaark, E. J. H. Bechara, and M. J. Semigian. Tetrahedron Lett., 17, 3265 (1976).
- 54. J. E. Pauw and A. C. Weedon. Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 5485 (1982).
- 55. F. E. Ziegler and H. Lim. J. Org. Chem. 47, 5229 (1982).
- H. B. Bürgi, J. D. Dunitz, and E. Shefter. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 5065 (1973);
 H. B. Bürgi, J. M. Lehn and G. Wipff. *ibid.* 96, 1956 (1974); H. B. Bürgi, J. D. Dunitz, J. M. Lehn and G. Wipff. Tetrahedron 30, 1563 (1974). For reviews, see:
 F. M. Menger. Tetrahedron 39, 1013 (1983).
- 57. J. E. McMurry and D D. Miller. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 105, 1660 (1983).
- C. Le Drian and A. E. Greene. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 104, 5473 (1982), and references therein; D. Bordeaux and G. Gagnaire. Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 3353 (1982);
 C. Maignan and R. A. Raphael. Tetrahedron 39, 3245 (1983); C. R. Johnson and
 J. R. Zeller. Tetrahedron 40, 1225 (1984).
- 59. W. C. Still, M. Kahn, and A. Mitra. J. Org. Chem. 43, 2923 (1978).
- L. M. Jackman and S. Sternhell, Applications of nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy in organic chemistry. 2nd ed. Pergamon Press. 1969. p. 129.

- 61. J. J. Bloomfield and J. M. Nelke. Org. Synth. 57, 1 (1977).
- S. L. Schreiber, M. T. Goulet, and T. Sammakia. Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 6005 (1987).
- D. Taub. *in* The total synthesis of natural products. Vol. 2. *Edited by* J. ApSimon. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1973. pp. 641-725, and references therein.
- 64. (a) A. A. Akhrem and Y. A. Titov. Total steroid synthesis. Plenum Press, New York. 1970; (b) R.T. Blickenstaff, A. C. Ghosh, and G. C. Wolf. Total synthesis of steroids. Academic Press, New York. 1974; (c) G. Quinkert and H. Stark. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 22, 637 (1983); (d) D. Taub. in The total synthesis of natural products. Vol. 6. *Edited by J.* ApSimon. John Wiley & Sons, New York. 1984. p. 1; (e) K. P. C. Vollhardt. Acc. Chem. Res. 10, 1 (1977); K. P. C. Vollhardt. in Strategies and tactics in organic synthesis. Vol. 1. *Edited by T.* Lindberg. Academic Press, Inc., 1984. p. 299. For most recent estrone synthesis as of September, 1990, consult: T. Doi, K. Takahashi, J. Tsuji, and K. Yamamoto. Tetrahedron Lett, 34, 3313 (1990).
- S. N. Ananchenko and I. V. Torgov. Tetrahedron Lett., 1553 (1963); A. V. Zakharychev, S. N. Ananchenko, and I. V. Torgov. Steroids 4, 31 (1964); A. V. Zakharychev, I. Hora, S. N. Ananchenko, and I. V. Torgov. Tetrahedron Lett., 3585 (1966).
- (a) G. A. Hughes and H. S. Smith. Chem. Ind. (London), 1022 (1960); (b) G. H. Douglas, J. M. H. Graves, D. Hartley, G. A. Hughes, B. J. McLoughlin, J. Siddall, and H. S. Smith. J. Chem. Soc., 5072 (1963).
- (a) D. J. Crispin and J. S. Whitehurst. Proc. Chem. Soc., 22 (1963); (b) D. J. Crispin, A. E. Vanstone, and J. S. Whitehurst. J. Chem. Soc. (C), 10 (1970); (c) T. B. Windholz, J. H. Fried, and A. A. Patchett, J. Org. Chem. 28, 1092 (1963).

- 68. C. H. Kuo, D. Taub, and N. L. Wendler. J. Org. Chem. 33, 3126 (1968).
- (a) C. H. Luo, D. Taub, and N. L. Wendler. Angew. Chem. 77, 1142 (1965); (b)
 D. P. Strike, T. Y. Jen, G. A. Hughes, G. H. Douglas, and H. Smith. Steroids. 8,
 309 (1966); (c) A. V. Zakharychev, D. R. Lagidze, and S. N. Ananchenko.
 Tetrahedron Lett., 803 (1967).
- S. Danishevsky and P. Cain. J. Org. Chem. 39, 2925 (1974); J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 97, 5282 (1975); *ibid.* 98, 4975 (1976).
- 71. P. A. Bartlett and W. S. Johnson. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 7501 (1973).
- 72. P. A. Grieco, T. Takigawa, and W. A. Schillinger. J. Org. Chem. 45, 2247 (1980).
- 73. W Oppolzer and D. A. Roberts. Helv. Chim. Acta 63, 1703 (1980).
- G. Quinkert. Chimia, 31, 225 (1977); G. Quinkert, W. D. Weber, U. Schwartz, and G. Dürner. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 1027 (1980); G. Quinkert, W. D. Weber, U. Schwartz, H. Stark, H. Baier, and G. Dürner. Liebigs Ann. Chem., 2335 (1981); G. Quinkert, U. Schwartz, H. Stark, W. D. Weber, H. Baier, F. Adam, and G. Dürner. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 19, 1029 (1980); Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1999 (1982).
- (a) Y. Ito, M. Nakatsuka, and T. Saegusa. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 103, 476 (1981);
 (b) S. Djuric, T. Sarkar, and P. Magnus. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 102, 6885 (1980).
- R. L. Funk and K. P. C. Vollhardt. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 101, 215 (1979); E. D. Sterberg and K. P. C. Vollhardt. J. Org. Chem. 47, 3447 (1982).
- 77. K. Mikami, K. Tak-hashi, and T. Nakai. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 112, 4035 (1990).
- 78. D. J. Burnell and Y.-J. Wu. Can. J. Chem. 67, 816 (1989).
- G. L. Larson and R. Klesse. J. Org. Chem. 50, 3627 (1985); Y. -S. Lee, L. del Valle, and G. L. Larson. Synth. Commun. 17, 385 (1987).

- 80. J.-L. Luche and J.-C. Damiano. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 102, 7926 (1980).
- 81. R. J. Chorvat, J. R. Palmer, and R. Pappo. J. Org. Chem. 43, 966 (1978).
- 82. Isolation: H. Seto and H. Yonehara. J. Antibiot. 33, 92 (1980).
- Isolation: H. Seto, T. Sasaki, J. Uzawa, S. Takeuchi, and H. Yonehara. Tetrahedron Lett., 19, 4471 (1978).
- Isolation: S. Takahashi, M. Takeuchi, M. Arai, H. Seto, and N. Otake. J. Antibiot. 36, 226 (1983).
- Isolation: (a) B. K. Koe, B. A. Sobin, and W. D. Celmer. Antibiot. Annu. 672 (1957); (b) S. Takeuchi, Y. Ogawa, and H. Yonehara. Tetrahedron Lett., 2737 (1969); (c) G. Martin, G. Slomp, S. Mizsak, D. J. Duchamp, and C. G. Chidester. Tetrahedron Lett., 4901 (1970); D. J. Duchamp and C. H. Chidester. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B, 28, 173 (1982); (d) H. Seto, T. Sakaki, H. Yonehara, and J. Uzawa. Tetrahedron Lett., 19, 923 (1978); S. Aizawa, H. Akutsu, T. Satomi, S. Kawabata, and K. Sasaki. J. Antibiot. 31, 729 (1978); D. E. Cane and H. Yonehara. Tetrahedron Lett., 20, 2973 (1979).
- Synthesis: (a) S. Danishevsky, M. Hirama, K. Gombatz, T. Harayama, E. Berman, and P. F. Shuda. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 100, 6536 (1978); 101, 7020 (1979);
 (b) W. Parsons, R. H. Schlessingler, and M. L. Quesada. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 102, 889 (1980); (c) L. A. Paquette, G. D. Annis, and H. Schostarez. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 104, 6646 (1982); (d) D. E. Cane and P. J. Thomas. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 106, 5295 (1984); (e) T. Ohtsuka, H. Shirahama, and T. Matsumoto, Tetrahedron Lett, 24, 3851 (1983); (f) D. F. Taber and J. L. Schuchardt. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 107, 5289 (1985); (g) J. P. Marino, C. Silveira, J. Comasseto, and N. Petraganai. J. Org. Chem. 52, 4140 (1987).
- Isolation: L. H. Zalkow, R. N. Harris; D. Van Derveer, and J. A. Bertrand. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 456 (1977); L. H. Zalkow, R. N.Harris, and N. I.

Burke, J. Nat. Prod. 42, 96 (1979); F. Bohlmann, N. Le Van; J. Pickhardt, J. Chem. Ber, 110, 3777 (1977).

- Synthesis: (a) L. A. Paquette and Y. K. Han, J. Org. Chem. 44, 4014 (1979); J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 103, 1835 (1981); (b) W. Oppolzer, K. Bättig, and T. Hudlicky. Helv. Chim. Acta 62, 1493 (1979); Tetrahedron 37, 4539 (1981); (c) M. C. Pirrung, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 101, 7130 (1979); 103, 82 (1981); (d) W. G. Dauben and D. M. Walker, J. Org. Chem. 46, 1103 (1981); (e) P. A. Wender and G. B. Dreyer. Tetrahedron 37, 4445 (1981); (f) Y. Tobe, T. Yamashita, K. Kakiuchi, and Y. Odaira. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 898 (1985); (g) E. Wenkert and T. S. Arrhenius, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 105, 2030 (1983); (h) B. C. Ranu, M. Kavka, L. A. Higgs, and T. Hudlicky. Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 2447 (1984).
- 89. Isolation: F. Bohlmann and J. Jakupovic. Phytochemistry 19, 259 (1980).
- 90. Synthesis: (a) L. A. Paquette and A. Leone-Bay, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 105, 7352 (1983); J. Org. Chem. 47, 4173 (1982); (b) T. Tsunoda, M. Kodama, and S. Ito. Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 83 (1983); (c) P. A. Wender and R. J. Ternansky. Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 2625 (1985); (d) D. D. Sternbach, J. W. Hughes, D. F. Burdi, and B. A. Banks. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 107, 2149 (1985); D. D. Sternbach. *in* Strategies and tactics in organic synthesis. Vol. 2. *Edited by* T. Lindberg. Academic Press, Inc., 1989. p. 415. (e) M. T. Crimmins and S. W. Mascarella. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 108, 1435 (1986); (f) M. Franck-Neumann, M. Miesch, and E. Lacroix. Tetrahedron Lett., 30, 3333 (1989); (g) Y. K. Rao and M. Nagarajan. Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 107 (1988); (h) Y. Shizuri, M. Ohkubo, and S. Yamamura. Tetrahedron Lett., 30, 3797 (1989); (j) J. K. Dickson, Jr. and B. Fraser-Reid. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1440 (1990).
- Isolation: (a) F. Bohlmann and J. Jakupovic. Phytochemistry 19, 259 (1980); (b)
 F. Bohlmann, H. Suding, J. Cuatrecasas, H. Robinson, and R. M. King, Phyto-

chemistry 19, 2399 (1980).

- Synthesis: (a) L. A. Paquette, R. A. Roberts, and G. J. Dritna. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 106, 6690 (1984); (b) P. A. Wender and S. K. Singh. Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 5987 (1985).
- Isolation: A. Groweiss, W. Fanical, J. Clardy, H. Cun-heng, W. Zhongde, Y. Zhongnian, and L. Kanghou. Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 2379 (1985).
- Synthesis: (a) C. Iwata, Y. Takemoto, M. Doi, and T. Imanishi. J. Org. Chem. 53, 1623 (1988); (b) P. A. Wender and M. A. deLong. Tetrahedron Lett., 31, 5429 (1990).
- Isolation: R. E. Corbett, D. R. Lauren, and R. T. Weavers. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 1774 (1979); R. E. Corbett, C. M. Couldwell, D. R. Lauren, and R. T. Weavers. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 1791 (1979).
- Synthesis: (a) T. Tsundoa, M. Amaike, U. S. F. Tambuna, Y. Fujise, and S. Ito. Tetrahedron Lett., 28, 2537 (1987); (b) M. T. Crimmins and L. D. Gould, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 109, 6199 (1987); (c) P. A. Wender, T. W. von Geldern and B. H. Levine, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 110, 4858 (1988).
- Isolation: M. Kaneda, R. Takahashi, Y. Litaka, and S. Shibata. Acta. Crystallogr. Sect. B30, 358 (1974).
- Synthesis: (a) E. J. Corey, M. C. Desai, and T. A. Engler. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 107, 4339 (1985); T. A. Engler. *in* Strategies and tactics in organic synthesis. Vol. 2. *Edited by* T. Lindberg. Academic Press, Inc., 1989. p. 91. (b) L. A. Paquette, J. Wright, G. J. Drtina, and R. A. Roberts. J. Org. Chem. 52, 2960 (1987); J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 5806 (1988); (c) T. Hudlicky, L. Radesca-Kwart, L.-Q. Li, and T. Bryant. Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 3283 (1988); T. Hudlicky, A. Fleming, and L. Radesca. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 111, 6691 (1989); (d) P. A. Wender and S. K. Singh. Tetrahedron Lett., 31, 2517 (1990).

- Isolation: T. Anke, J. Heim, F. Knoch, U. Mocek, B. Steffan, and W. Steglich. Angew. Chem., 709 (1985).
- For synthetic approaches to the crinipellin skeleton, see: (a) G. Mehta, K. S. Rao and M. S. Reddy. Tetrahedron Lett., 29, 5025 (1988); (b) C. E. Schwartz and D. P. Curran. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 112, 9272 (1990).
- 101. B. K. Koe, B. A. Sobin, and W. D. Celmer. Antibiot. Annu., 672 (1957).
- 102. A. Nagagawa, H. Tomoda, M. V. Hao, K. Okano, Y. Iwai, and S. Omura. J. Antibiot. 38, 1114 (1985).
- 103. M. Duszenko and D. Mecke. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 19, 223 (1986); M. Duszenko, H. Balla, and D. Mecke. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 714, 344 (1982); S. Hartmann, J. Neeff, U. Heer, and D. Mecke. FEBS Lett. 93, 339 (1978); K. Mann, D. Mecke. Nature 282, 535 (1979); K.-H, Maurer, F. Pfeiffer, H. Zehender, and D. Mecke. J. Bacteriol. 193, 930 (1983); D. E. Cane and J. K. Sohng. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 270, 50 (1989).
- 104. D. E. Cane and A. M. Tillman. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 105, 122 (1983).
- 105. D. E. Cane, J. S. Oliver, P. H. M. Harrison, A. Abell, B. R. Hubbard, C. T. Kane, and R. Lattman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 112, 4513 (1990); and references therein.
- Reviews: L. A. Paquette. Top. Curr. Chem. 79, 41 (1979); 119, 1 (1984); Aldrichim. Acta 17, 43 (1984).
- (a) D. E. Cane and R. B. Nachbour. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 100, 3208 (1978); (b) J.
 R. Hanson, T. Marten, and R. Nyfeler. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 876 (1976); (c) J.
 J. Dugan. P. deMayo, M. Nisbet, J. R. Robinson, M. Anchel, J. Amer. Chem.
 Soc. 88, 2838 (1966); (d) T. C. Feline, G. Mellows, R. B. Jones, and L. Phillips. J.
 Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 63 (1974); (e) M. Tanabe, K. Suzuki, and W. C.
 Jankowski, Tetrahedron Lett., 2271 (1974).

- 108. G. Rucher. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 12, 793 (1973).
- Y. Ohfune, H. Shirahama, and T. Matsumoto. Tetrahedron Lett., 2869 (1976). See also: S. Misumi, T. Ohtsuka, Y. Ohfune, K. Sugita, H. Shirahama, and T. Matsumoto. Tetrahedron Lett., 31 (1979).
- 110. W. Oppolzer, F. Zutterman, and K. Bättig. Helv. Chim. Acta 66, 522 (1983).
- G. Pattenden and S. J. Teague. Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 3021 (1984); Tetrahedron 43, 5637 (1987).
- G. Mehta and K. S. Rao. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1464 (1985); J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 108, 8015 (1986).
- K. Sakai, T. Ohtsuka, S. Misumi, H. Shirahama, and T. Matsumoto. Chem. Lett., 355 (1981).
- 114. L. A. Paquette and G. D. Annis, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 104, 4504 (1982); *ibid.* 105, 7358 (1983).
- E. Piers and V. Karunaratne. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 959 (1984); Can. J. Chem. 67, 160 (1989).
- T. Imanishi, F. Ninbari, M. Yamashita, and C. Iwata. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 34, 2268 (1986).
- T. Hudlicky, M. G. Natchus, and G. Sinai-Zingde. J. Org. Chem. 52, 4641 (1987);
 T. Hudlicky, G. Sinai-zingde, M. G. Natchus, B. C. Ranu, and P. Papadopolous. Tetrahedron 43, 5637 (1987).
- 118. M. T. Crimmins and J. A. Deloach. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 108, 800 (1986).
- 119. M. Ihara, M. Katogi, K. Fukumoto, and T. Kametani. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 721 (1987); M. Ihara, M. Katogi, and K. Fukumoto. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 2963 (1988).

- N. H. Schore and E. G. Rowley, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 110, 5224 (1988). See also
 M. J. Knudsen and N. E. Schore. J. Org. Chem. 49, 5025 (1984).
- 121. D. H. Hua. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 108, 3835 (1986).
- 122. D. J. Burnell and Y.-J Wu. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. submitted.
- 123. J. H. Babler, N. C. Malek, and M. J. Coghlan. J. Org. Chem. 43, 1821 (1978).
- 124. M. G. Constantino, P. M. Nonate, and N. Petragnani. J. Org. Chem. 51, 253 (1986).
- 125. R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bassler, and T. C. Morrill. Spectrometric identification of organic compounds. 4th ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York. p. 18.
- 126. (a) T. Tsunoda, M. Suzuki, and R. Noyori. Tetrahedron Lett., 21, 1357 (1980); (b) J. R. Hwu, L.-C. Leu, J. A. Robl, D. A. Anderson, and J. M. Wetzel, J. Org. Chem. 52, 188 (1987).
- 127. T. J. Jenkins and D. J. Burnell. unpublished observations.
- D. W. Brooks, H. Mazdiyasni, and P. G. Grothaus. J. Org. Chem. 52, 3223 (1987).
- 129. P.-Y. Liu and D. Jean Burnell. unpublished observations.
- 130. S. K. Davidsen and C. H. Heathcock. Synthesis, 842 (1986).
- 131. W. F. Gannon and H. O. House. Org. Synth. 40, 41 (1961).
- 132. L. Weiler, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 92, 6702 (1970). For reviews, see: E. M. Kaiser, J. D. Petty, and P. L. A. Knutson. Synthesis, 509 (1977).
- (a) F. J. Vinick and H. W. Gschwend. Tetrahedron Lett., 19, 315 (1978); (b) R.
 H. Schlessinger and M. A. Poss, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 104, 357 (1982).
- 134. M. Jung and M. J. Miller. Tetrahedron Lett., 26, 977 (1985).
- 135. (a) V. Balogh, M. Fétizon, and M. Golfier. J. Org. Chem. 36, 1339 (1971); (b) M.

Fétizon, M. Golfier, and J.-M. Louis. Tetrahedron 31, 171 (1975). For reviews, see: A. McKillop and D. W. Young. Synthesis, 401 (1979); and references therein.

- 136. K. Mori. Tetrahedron 30, 4223 (1974); K. Mori and H. Iwasawa. Tetrahedron 36, 87 (1980).
- 137. D. Wilkening and B. P. Mundy. Synth. Commun. 14, 227 (1984).
- 138. M. E. Jung and M. A. Lyster. J. Org. Chem. 42, 3761 (1977).
- 139. K. Fuji, K. Ichikawa, M. Node and E. Fujita, J. Org. Chem. 44, 1661 (1979); M. Node, H. Hori, E. Fujita, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I, 2237 (1976). For reviews, see: M. V. Bhatt and S. U. Kulkarni. Synthesis. 249 (1983).
- 140. S. G. Levine and C. U. Mauney. Synth. Commun. 18, 689 (1988).
- 141. G. Pattenden and S. Teague. Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 1403 (1982).
- 142. Y.-J. Wu and D. J. Burnell. Tetrahedron Lett., 30, 1021 (1989).
- See especially: S. N. Ananchenko, I. V. Berezin, and I. V. Torgov. Izvest. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Otdel. Khim. Nauk, 1644 (1960).
- 144. R. D. Bach and R. C. Klix. J. Org. Chem. 50, 5440 (1985).

Appendix

The selected ¹H NMR spectra and gas chromatograms from the GC-MS of the synthetic samples were arranged according to the order in which they appear in the text. For the instruments employed, see General Procedures in 1.111.



116 (CDCl₃)



- 287 -





- 289 -

i



















130d (CDCl₃)





139 (CDCl₃)



- 300 -



1: 1 Mixture of 144a and 144b (CDCl₃)







- 304 -



- 305 -





148 (CDCl3)



226 (CDCl₃)

4



219b (CDCl₃)






- 312 -





- 314 -





- 316 -













398 (CDCl₃)

۰,



399 (CDCl₃)



400 (CDCl₃)





407 (CDCl₃)



- 327 -



409 (CDCl3)



- 329 -



- 330 -



419 (CDCl₃)







1: 3.5 Mixture of 416 and 417 (CDCl₂)









1: 3.5 Mixture of 432 and 433 (GC-MS)









- 341 -



0

PPM



;

3

2





1:5 Mixture of 468 and 469 (GC-MS)





1:5 Mixture of pentalenene and epi-pentalenene(CDCl₃)

Pentalenene (CDCl₃)










- 349 -



519 (CDCL)





532 (CDCl₃)



548 (CDCl3)





550 (CDCl₃)





- 357 -





555 (CDCl₃)







