EXPRESSION OF BCL-2 HOMOLOGUES IN THE #-SYNUCLEIN-INDUCED PARKINSON DISEASE MODEL IN DROSOPHILA

PETER GITHURE M'ANGALE







EXPRESSION OF BCL-2 HOMOLOGUES IN THE *a-SYNUCLEIN-*INDUCED PARKINSON DISEASE MODEL IN DROSOPHILA

by

Peter Githure M'Angale, B.Sc.

A thesis submitted to the

School of Graduate Studies

in partial fulfilment of the

requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

Department of Biology

Memorial University of Newfoundland

September, 2011

St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador

Abstract

In Parkinson disease (PD), the age-dependent degeneration of dopaminergic neurons (DA) and loss of locomotor function have been shown to be correlated with prominent mitochondrial abnormalities and dysfunction. A number of genes are associated with inherited forms of PD and most of these genes encode protein products that interact with components of the mitochondria. The pro-survival Bcl-2 proteins are reputed to be the guardians of the mitochondria, an organelle central to the process of cell death in all animals. *Drosophila melanogaster* possess two mitochondria localized Bcl-2like proteins encoded by *dehcl*, which promotes cell death, and *Buffy*; which is pro-cell survival. The Bcl-2 proteins have been shown to have a dual role in the control of cell death and subsequent enculfment of cellular components (autoobacy).

In the *a-synuclein*-induced Drosophila model of PD, *Buffy* and *debcl* were overexpressed in the DA neurons and developing eye using the *UAS-GAL4* system of directed gene expression. Longevity and elimbing ability of these flies were influenced by these two *Bcl-2* genes: *debcl* enhances the severity of the *a-synuclein*-induced agedependent loss of elimbing ability. On the other hand, *Buffy* suppresses the *a-synuclein*induced PD-like phenotypes. When overexpressed in the developing neurons of the eye, a similar trend was observed with *Buffy* suppressing the eye defects. Taken together, these results suggest a protective role for *Buffy*, especially under *a-synuclein*-induced protein toxicity.

Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely convey my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Brian E. Staveley for a stimulating study and for giving me support academically, financially and in his friendship during the entire project.

I would like to thank the members of my supervisory committee, Dr. Tom Chapman and Dr. Andrei Igamberdiev for their advice, suggestions and guidance. I would like to thank Liqui Men for her help with the scanning electron microscope and Gary Collins for his technical support.

I would like to thank the Memorial University of Newfoundland School of Graduate Studies for offering me a grant and the National Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada for the Discovery Grant to Dr. Brian E. Staveley.

I would like to thank the members of the Staveley lab for their help and friendship. Specifically, I would like to acknowledge Rebecca for her help with fly husbandry and assays, Jennifer and Amy for for her help with discussions and advice on assays and statistical analysis, and a big shout out to Dave for being the best person ever, listening, advising and sharing on academic and life's challenges.

I would like to thank my lovely wife, Margaret and sons, Michael, Tamwa and Imani for standing by me when the thought of giving up was so strong, lifting me up when I was down and for being awesome through out my study. My mother for her continual and unconditional love for me and my late father for his unwavering belief that I would make something out of my life, R.I.P dad.

iii

Table of Contents

Abstractii
Acknowledgementsiii
Table of Contentsiv
List of Tablesvi
List of Figures
List of Abbreviations
List of Appendicesxi
Introduction1
Parkinson Disease1
PD Gene Loci
Drosophila as a model organism4
Making the Model of PD5
The UAS/GAL4 System
Drosophila models of PD6
The a-synuclein model6
The LRRK2 models9
The Parkin model11
The Pink1 models12
The DJ-1 models
The Pharmacological models14
The role of the mitochondria in cell death and PD15
Bel-2 proteins and the Apoptotic machinery16
Buffy and debcl; Drosophila Bel-2 homologues19
Debcl
Buffy
Mitochondria Morphology and Dynamics in Cell Death22
Mitochondrial factors and Apoptosis

Buffy and debcl activity at the Mitochondria24
PD genes at the Mitochondria
Materials and Methods
Drosophila media and culture
Drosophila stocks
Derivative lines
DNA extraction
Determination of DNA quality and quantity
Analysis of the derivative lines
Ageing Analysis
Climbing Assay
Scanning Electron Microscopy of the Drosophila eye
Results
Overexpression of Bel-2 genes in the dopaminergic neurons
Lifespan of standard and experimental lines
Climbing analysis of the standard and experimental lines
Overexpression of Bcl-2 genes in the a-synuclein model of PD42
Ageing analysis of the standard and experimental lines in the α -synuclein model42
Climbing analysis of the standard and experimental lines in the a-synuclein model
Debcl overexpression decreases climbing ability45
Buffy rescues climbing ability in α-synuclein model47
Recombinant line for GMR-GAL449
Eye analysis
Investigation of Bcl-2 overexpression in the eye
Expression of Bcl-2 homologues in the α-synuclein model of PD55
Discussion
Conclusion
References

List of Tables

Table 1 - Gene loci implicated in familial forms of Parkinson disease. 3
Table 2 - Genotypes of fly stocks and crosses used in the study. 29
Table 3 - The primers designed to detect the presence of a-synuclein. 32
Table 4 - The PCR thermal profile used to detect a-symuclein. 33
Table 5 - The Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) curve comparison of directed expression of Bcl-2 genes in the dopaminergic neurons. 38
Table 6 - A comparison of climbing index curves for the directed overexpression of the Bcl-2 in the dopaminergic neurons. 41
Table 7 - Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) comparison of survival curves for the directed overexpression of <i>Buffy</i> and <i>debcl</i> in the <i>a-synuclein</i> -dependent Drosophila model of Parkinson disease. 44
Table 8 - A summary of biometric analysis when Buffy and debel are overexpressed in the eye. 54
Table 9 - A summary of biometric analysis when the $Bcl-2$ genes are overexpressed in theeye.58

List of Figures

Figure 1 - Lifespan when Buffy and debcl are overexpressed in the dopaminergic	
neurons.	37
Figure 2 - Climbing analysis when <i>Bcl-2</i> homologues are overexpressed in the dopaminergic neurons.	40
Figure 3 - Longevity of flies coexpressing <i>a-synuclein</i> and <i>Bcl-2</i> family members in the dopaminergic neurons.	e 43
Figure 4 - The coexpression of <i>debcl</i> with <i>a-synuclein</i> result in an age-dependent loss i climbing ability.	n 46
Figure 5 - The coexpression of <i>Buffy</i> in the <i>a-synuclein</i> model of PD rescue the age- dependent loss in climbing ability.	48
Figure 6 - Agarose gel electrophoresis image for the detection of <i>a-symuclein</i> .	50
Figure 7 - Scanning electron micrographs when <i>Buffy</i> and <i>debcl</i> are overexpressed in the eye.	ne 52
Figure 8 - Directed expression of <i>Bcl-2</i> genes in the eye using the eye-specific driver <i>GMR-GAL4</i> .	53
Figure 9 - Scanning electron micrographs when <i>Buffy</i> and <i>debcl</i> are overexpressed in the eye.	не 56
Figure 10 - Directed coexpression of a-synuclein with Bcl-2 genes.	57

List of Abbreviations

AD	autosomal dominant		
ANOVA	analysis of variance		
Apaf	apoptosis protease activating factor		
AR	autosomal recessive		
ATP	adenosine triphosphate		
Bcl-2	B-cell lymphoma 2		
BH	Bcl-2 homology		
Bok	Bel-2 related ovarian killer		
bp	base pairs		
C-terminal	carboxy-terminal		
CI	confidence interval		
CMA	chaperone-mediated autophagy		
CNS	central nervous system		
DA	dopaminergic		
Ddc	DOPA decarboxylase		
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid		
ER	endoplasmic reticulum		
FPD	familial forms of Parkinson disease		
g	gram		
GAL4	yeast transcriptional activator for galactose-inducible genes		
GOF	gain-of-function		

GTPase	guanosine triphosphatase		
Hsp70	heat shock protein 70		
IAP	Inhibitors of apoptosis		
IFM	indirect flight muscles		
JNK	Janus kinase		
kDa	kilo Daltons		
L	litre		
LOF	loss-of-function		
LRRK2	leucine rich repeat kinase 2		
MAPKKK	mitogen activated protein kinase kinase kinase		
mL	millilitre		
MOM	mitochondrial outer membrane		
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid		
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA		
n	number of flies		
N-terminal	nitrogen terminal		
n/a	not applicable		
NAC	non-β amyloid component		
NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology Information		
PCD	programmed cell death		
PD	Parkinson disease		
PI3K	phosphoinositide 3-kinase		
PINK1	PTEN-induced kinase 1		

- PTEN Phosphatase and tensin homologue
- RING really interesting new gene
- ROS reactive oxygen species
- rpm revolutions per minute
- SEM standard error of the mean
- SEMs scanning electron micrographs
- SOD superoxide dismutase
- UAS upstream activating sequence
- UPS ubiquitin proteasome pathway
- UV ultra violet
- WT wild-type
- ηg nanogram
- α-synuclein alpha-synuclein
- µg microgram
- μL microlitre
- µm micrometre
- °C degree Celsius

List of Appendices

Appendix 1 – Effects of increased a-synuclein expression in the Drosophila a-synucle	ein
model of PD.	83

Introduction

Parkinson Disease

Parkinson disease (PD) belongs to a subgroup of human diseases referred to in general as neurodegenerative diseases, and is characterized by the progressive loss of specific neuronal populations, resulting in substantial disability and early death (Muqit and Feany, 2002; Lu and Vogel, 2008). PD is the most common movement disorder and the second most common neurodegenerative disease, afflicting about 1 to 2% of the population over 50 years of age. It is associated with selective and profound loss of dopaminergie (DA) neurons resulting in marked clinical features, which include muscle rigidity, resting tremors, postural instability, bradykinesia as well as non-motoric symptoms like autonomic, cognitive and psychiatric problems (Forno, 1996). The neuropathological hallmarks exhibited by PD patients are Lewy Bodies (LB) and Lewy neurites (LN) in surviving neurons. This is due to loss of neuromelanin-containing DA neurons in the Substantia nigra pars compacta (SN/pc) with presence of cosinophilic, intracytoplasmic proteinaceous inclusions comprised of *a*-synuclein and ubiquitin, among other proteins (Forno, 1996; Polymeropoulos *et al*, 1997; Leroy *et al*, 1998). This accumulation of proteins is believed to lead to cellular toxicity and PD pathogenesis.

Most cases of PD are believed to be sporadic with late-onset with no known causes but the discovery of the familial forms of PD (FPD)-associated genes has offered the opportunity to study the mechanisms of both FPD and sporadic PD pathogenesis on model organisms (Lu and Vogel, 2008; Cauchi and Heuvel, 2006). Postmortem studies have implicated defective mitochondrial complex 1 function, and oxidative damage in

I

nigrostriatal DA neurons in PD pathology (Lu, 2009). Indeed, most PD research is geared towards the genes with a function at or in the mitochondria.

PD Gene Loci

Currently, at least 16 distinct gene loci *PIRK1- PIRK16* have been described for FPD (Table 1) and some have been characterized at the molecular level (Thomas and Beal 2007, 2011). The identified gene loci are *a*-synuclein/*PIRK1/4* (Polymeropoulos *et al.*, 1997), parkin/*PIRK2* (Kitada *et al.*, 1998), Ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolasel (Uchl-1)/*PIRK5* (Leroy *et al.*, 1998), Phosphatase and tensin homologue [PTEN] induced kinase 1 (*Pink1*)/*PIRK6* (Valente *et al.*, 2004), DJ-*II/PIRK7* (Bonifati *et al.*, 2003), and leucine rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2)/*PIRK8* (Paisan-Ruiz *et al.*, 2004; Zimprich *et al.*, 2004). Other mutated loci that have been implicated in rare FPD are ATP 13A2 (a P-type ATPase)/*PIRK9* (Ramirez *et al.*, 2006), Grb10-Interacting GYF Protein-2 (GIGYF2)/*PIRK11* (Lautier *et al.*, 2006), Grb10-Interacting GYF Protein-2 (GIGYF2)/*PIRK11* (Lautier *et al.*, 2006), HTRA2 (a Serine protease)/PARK 13 (Strauss *et al.*, 2005), Phospholipase A2 (PLA2G6)/*PIRK14* (Paisan-Ruiz *et al.*, 2009) and F-box only protein 7 (FBXO7)/*PIRK15* (Di Fonzo *et al.*, 2009). Other PARK loci exist whose mutated gene is unknown (Dawson and Dawson, 2003; Thomas and Beal, 2007). Interestingly, most of the PD genes have a direct function at the mitochondria.

Locus	Gene	Chromosome	Inheritance	Function
PARK1/	a-synuclein	4q21	AD	presynaptic protein, Lewy
PARK4		4p14		body, lipid and vesicle
				dynamics
PARK2	Parkin	6q25.2-q27	AR	ubiquitin E3 ligase,
				mitophagy
PARK3	Unknown	2p13	AD	Unknown
PARK5	Uch L1	4p14	AD	ubiquitin C-terminal
				hydrolase
PARK6	Pink1	1p35-36	AR	mitochondrial kinase
PARK7	DJ-1	1p36.23	AR	chaperone, antioxidant
				oxidative stress
PARK8	LRRK2	12p11.2	AD	kinase, signalling,
				cytoskeletal dynamics,
				protein translation
PARK9	ATP13A2	1p36	AR	lysosomal type 5 P-type
				ATPase
PARK10	Unknown	1p32	AD	Unknown
PARK11	GIGYF2	2q36-q37	AD	IGF-1 signalling
PARK12	Unknown	Xq21-q25	X-linked	Unknown
PARK13	HtrA2 /Omi	2p12	Unknown	mitochondrial serine
				protease
PARK 14	PLA2G6	22q13	AR	Phospholipase enzyme
PARK 15	FBXO7	22q11	AR	Ubiquitin E3 ligase
PARK 16	Unknown	1q32	Unknown	Unknown

AD is autosomal dominant and AR is autosomal recessive (Adapted from Thomas, B & Beal MF 2007; 2011)

Drosophila as a model organism

The discovery of FPD-associated gene loci offered an opportunity to study PD in suitable model organisms (Cauchi and Heuvel, 2006; Lu and Vogel, 2008). The combination of our understanding of the molecular basis of PD, and the great advances in Drosophila genetics (Sang and Jackson, 2005; Marsh and Thompson, 2006) have made this organism a very powerful tool for understanding the pathophysiology of PD.

The exploitation of Drosophila melanogaster as a model organism is based upon the conservation of fundamental aspects of cell biology in both flies and humans (Cauchi and Heuvel, 2006). Of great importance is the relative ease that PD pathogenesis can be recapitulated in the model organism to reveal both elinical and neuropathological characteristics similar to those observed in humans (Sang and Jackson, 2005). Additional advantages are rapid generation times, cheap culturing requirements, large progeny numbers produced in a single cross and a small highly annotated genome devoid of genetic redundancy.

The presence of PD gene homologues and the high degree of functional conservation contribute to the ability to model PD in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Celotto and Palladino, 2005; Jeibmann and Paulus, 2009). The fly brain has over 300, 000 neurons and is organized into separate specialized areas for learning, olfaction, vision and memory (Wolf and Herbelein, 2003; Cauchi and Heuvel, 2006; Hardaway, 2010). Furthermore, the Drosophila eye is phenotypically easy to detect, tolerant to genetic manipulations and is dispensable for the survival of the fly (Chan and Bonini, 2000; Jeibman and Paulus, 2009). As a powerful genetic system, Drosophila provide the opportunity to carry out

large-scale genetic screens inexpensively and rapidly for mutations that influence related phenomena (Cauchi and Heuvel, 2006). The arsenal of genetic tools include the directed overexpression of transgenes under highly controlled conditions, genetic manipulations by transposon-based methods, and systems that allow directed gene expression.

Making the Model of PD

Modelling PD in *Drosophila melanogaster* relies upon two main approaches. The first approach depends upon the overexpression of wild-type or pathogenic forms of transgenes, resulting in a toxic gain-of-function (GOF) mechanism. The two genes *asymclein* (PARK1/4) and *Lrrk2* (PARK8) have been modelled in this way. The second approach is based upon the genetic inhibition of the endogenous gene to target a loss-of-function (LOF) mechanism. *DJ-1*, *Pink1* and *parkin* models employ this approach (Celotto and Palladino, 2005; Lu, 2009). To achieve either GOF or LOF, transposons-mediated mutagenesis (Rubin and Spradling, 1982) and transgenic RNA interference (RNAr) (Fire *et al.* 1998; reviewed in Sharp, 2001) are used. These methods of gene manipulation aided by directed gene expression have made Drosophila one of the most powerful genetic medie or anisms.

The UAS/GAL4 System

The genetic system of choice when modelling PD in Drosophila is the bipartite UAS/GAL4 system in which the transgene is inserted downstream of an upstream activating sequence (UAS) and can be expressed in a tissue and time dependent manner under the control of the yeast transcriptional activator GAL4 (Brand and Perrimon, 1993). In the absence of GAL4, the gene is inactive. Usually Drosophila carrying the transgene

(UAS) is crossed to the flies expressing GAL4 under the control of a cell or tissue specific promoter and in this case the PD protein expression is restricted to only GAL4 expressing tissues. The GAL4 driver lines utilized in PD modelling include the dopaminergic neurons promoter *Dde* (DOPA decarboxylase), the eye-specific promoter *GMR* (Glass Multimer Reporter), among many others (Gong and Golic, 2003). Defined UAS transgenic strains and Gal4 driver lines have enabled us to redefine how spatiotemporal research is performed in the fruit fly.

Drosophila models of PD

The a-synuclein model

The *u-synuclein* gene encodes a small, 140 amino acid, soluble, phosphorylated, presynaptic nerve terminal protein, which is the main component of Lewy bodies (LB) in both sporadic PD and FPD and its aggregation is believed to be the main neuropathogenic cause of PD (Feany and Bender, 2000; Michno *et al*, 2005). This production of insoluble protein aggregates has been implicated in neurotoxicity and PD pathogenesis (Feany and Bender, 2000; Auluck *et al.*, 2002; Singleton *et al.*, 2003). The aggregation of *a*-synuclein has been shown to mediate DA neuron toxicity and, specifically the non-fi-amyloid component (NAC) is essential for the aggregation and resulting neurotoxicity and aggregation (Periquet *et al.*, 2007), *a*-synuclein is phosphorylated on serine 129 and this selective and extensive phosphorylation of the serine 129 residue promotes fibril formation and contributes to PD pathogenesis (Fujiwara *et al.*, 2002). The mutation of serine 129 to alanine, which prevents its phosphorylation, completely suppressed DA

neuronal loss and its conversion to aspartate, which mimics phosphorylation, increased asynuclein toxicity. The phosphorylation of serine 129 by the G protein coupled receptor kinase 2 (GPRK2) increased α-synuclein selective neurotoxicity (Chen and Feany, 2005). The role of protein toxicity in disease pathogenesis is clearly exemplified by the aggregation of this protein resulting in PD.

Although Drosophila seems to lack a clear *a-synuclein* orthologue, the overexpression of human wild type and two mutant forms of *a-synuclein*, A30P and A53T (Feany and Bender, 2000), was able to reproduce the key features of PD such as, adultonset degeneration of DA neurons, filamentous intraneural inclusions containing *a*synuclein, and locomotor dysfunction. The directed expression of *a-synuclein* resulted in flies that were viable, accumulated aggregated *a-synuclein* nerinuclear and neuritic filamentous inclusions similar to Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites, age-dependent loss of dorsomedial DA neurons, neuronal degeneration, age-dependent loss of climbing ability and retinal degeneration (Feany and Bender, 2000; Auluck *et al.*, 2002). These features taken together showed a remarkable model system for understanding the pathophysiology of PD,

In early studies, the directed expression of *Hsp70*, a molecular chaperone upregulated in stress responses that refolds misfolded proteins, mitigates DA neuronal loss induced by *a-synuclein* in a PD model (Auluck *et al.*, 2002). This chaperone machinery protection results from either *a-synuclein* refolding or the augmentation of Hsp70 pathway after *a-synuclein* interference of chaperone activity, possibly through their sequestration. Several studies have been able to suppress *a-synuclein* induced phenotypes

in Drosophila PD models. Notable is the coexpression of the *α-synuclein* transgenes with parkin, which reduces retinal degeneration in the developing eye, improves the climbing ability of ageing flies when coexpressed in the DA neurons and slightly increases their survival (Haywood and Staveley, 2006). The overexpression of PTEN induced putative kinasel (*Pink1*) resulted in the rescue of the α-synuclein-induced phenotypes of premature loss of climbing ability, degeneration of the ommatidial array and developmental defects of the eye (Todd and Staveley, 2008). Investigation of the interaction of α-synuclein with other proteins seems to be an area attracting much attention in formulating therapies and in managing PD.

The coexpression of *Rab1*, a guanosine triphosphatase, with a-synuclein transgenic flies was sufficient to rescue DA neuronal loss (Cooper et al., 2006). a-synuclein was shown to block ER–Golgi vesicular trafficking and the ability of overexpression of *Rab1* to rescue the synucleinopathy might indicate the disruption of basic cellular functions in PD pathogenesis. The inhibition of Sirtuin2, a histone deacetylase (HDAC), by Adenylate kinase 1 or the guanylate kinase, AGK2 rescues a-synuclein-mediated toxicity of dorsomedial DA neurons (Outeiro et al., 2007). The complexity of protein-protein and organelle interactions is an area that needs elucidation, as shown by the ability of *a*synuclein to interact with a wide range of proteins and implicate protein toxicity as a major defect in most diseases including PD.

Exposure of *a-symuclein* flies to hyperoxia treatment results in neurotoxicity and DA neuronal degeneration (Botella *et al.*, 2008). This neurodegeneration is a result of DA neurons being specifically sensitive to hyperoxia-induced oxidative stress. The

coexpression of human Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD) with a-synuclein A30P reduced the observed neurodegeneration. Lowering the cytoplasmic level of oxidative stress confers protection to DA neurons.

The treatment of *a*-synuclein fly models with certain pharmacological agents such as L-DOPA restored the PD phenotype to normal, and the dopamine agonists pergolide, bromoeriptine and SK & F 38393 were also substantially effective. Atropine was found to be effective but to a lesser extent than the other antiparkinson compounds (Pendleton *et al.*, 2002). Indeed, a plethora of proteins and compounds are under investigation but none is as promising as those with a direct role in mitochondrial function.

Genomic investigation of the transcriptional program of *a-synuclein* PD models at pre-symptomatic, early and advanced stages revealed 51 signature transcripts including lipid, energy & membrane mRNAs that were highly distinct and either up-regulated or down-regulated in common cellular pathways (Scherzer *et al.*, 2003). When quantitative proteome analysis of the pre-symptomatic A53T *a-synuclein* Drosophila model of PD was performed, several proteins associated with membrane, ER, actin cytoskeleton, mitochondria and ribosome were found to be either up-regulated or down-regulated (Xun *et al.*, 2008). These variations show the complexity of biological systems and offer novel routes to study the etiology of PD.

The LRRK2 models

Leucine rich repeat kinase 2 (*LRRK2*) or dardarin encodes a large 2527 amino acid, multi-domain 280 kDa protein belonging to the ROCO protein super family that contains a leucine rich repeat (*LRR*) domain, a protein kinase domain of the MAPKKK family, a

Rho/Ras-like GTPase domain, as well as a WD40 repeat domain (Paisan-Ruiz et al., 2004; Zimprich et al., 2004; West et al., 2005). An additional domain C-terminal to the GTPase domain, termed carboxy-terminal of Ras (COR), has been described too. LRRK2 is a multiple function protein, with these domains being implicated in a host of cellular processes including transformation, focal adhesion, enzyme inhibition, cellular trafficking, stimulation of stress activated kinase (SAPK) among others. The LRRK2 MAPKKK domain has been implicated in the most PD cases, indicating alteration of enzymatic phosphorylation in the pathology of this gene (Taylor et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008). The increased phosphorylation results from a GOF and leads to the observed neurotoxicity.

Mutations in *LRRK2* gene cause autosomal dominant PD and are present in almost all of the functional domains. This observation, in addition to lack of deletions and truncations along with dominant inheritance, is consistent with a GOF mechanism. The precise physiological activity of this protein is not known, but the presence of multiple functional domains suggests its involvement in a wide variety of cellular functions possibly related to the mitochondria (Paisan-Ruiz *et al.*, 2004; Zimprich *et al.*, 2004; Banerjee *et al.*, 2009). The pleomorphic pathology of LRRK2-linked PD has led to the hypothesis that LRRK2 lies in a pathway upstream of other proteins implicated in the pathogenesis of not only PD, but other neurodegenerative diseases (Ross *et al.*, 2006; Taylor *et al.*, 2006) showing *a*-synucleinopathy and tauopathy and no direct interaction between Lrk2 and either *a*-synucleino ratu proteins (Rajput *et al.*, 2006). The multiple active domains in this protein confers additional functions that require a closer look when

investigating PD.

Drosophila has a single orthologue of *LRRK2*, which contains highly conserved motifs, the LRR domain serine/threonine kinase domain, Ras of complex proteins (ROC) domain and the GTPase domain (Lee *et al.*, 2007). In adult flies, the highest expression levels of the *Lrrk* transcript are in the head, indicating a potential role in the fly brain (Lee *et al.*, 2007). *Lrrk* shares transcriptional regulation with human *LRRK2*.

The Parkin model

The human parkin gene encodes a 465 amino acid protein containing an N-terminal ubiquitin domain, a central linker region and a C-terminal RING domain composed of two RING finger motifs separated by an in-between RING (IBR) domain (Giasson and Lee, 2001; Betarbet *et al.*, 2005). It functions as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets misfolded proteins to the ubiquitin proteasome pathway (UPS) for degradation (Kitada *et al.*, 1998) and loss of this function, due to mutation, leads to autosomal recessive early onset PD (AR-Juvenile Parkinsonism).

Mutant parkin flies are viable, show reduced longevity, a slight developmental delay, male sterility from a defect in spermatogenesis, locomotor defects due to apoptotic muscle degeneration (Greene et al., 2003), reduced body size and cell size, sensitivity to oxidative and environmental stress (Pesah et al., 2004) and loss and degeneration of DA neurons in the protocerebral posterior lateral (PPL) 1 cluster in the adult brain (Whitworth et al., 2005). Overexpression of a human *parkin* mutant (R375W) in Drosophila results in an age-dependent degeneration of specific DA neuronal clusters, concomitant locomotor deficits that accelerate with age and rotenone toxicity susceptible flies. The flies exhibit

prominent pleomorphic mitochondrial abnormalities in their flight muscles (Wang et al., 2007). The examination of the male germ line and the indirect flight muscles (IFM) in parkin null flies revealed mitochondrial defects and/or dysfunction as a common characteristic of pathology in these distinct tissues.

The Pinkl models

Human Phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) induced kinasel (*Pink1*) is a 581 amino acid protein with an N-terminal mitochondrial targeting signal sequence (MTS) and a highly conserved serine/threonine protein kinase domain of the Ca²⁺ calmodulin family (Thomas and Beal, 2007). Mutations in *Pink1* gene have been implicated in ARearly onset PD (Valente *et al.*, 2004; Beilina *et al.*, 2005) with disease pathogenesis probably due to loss of its kinase activity and subsequent protective role.

Drosophila contains a single homologue, encoding a 721 amino acid protein of 80 kDa, with both a mitochondrial targeting motif and serine/threonine kinase domain. Drosophila *Pink1* is found to localize in the mitochondria and *Pink1* transcripts were detectable at all developmental stages with high levels in the adult brain and testes. The human *Pink1* and *Drosophila melanogaster Pink1* show significant homology and functional conservation (Clark *et al.*, 2006; Park *et al.*, 2006). Drosophila *Pink1* PD models were generated by transgenic RNA*i* and transposon-mediated mutagenesis approaches. The resulting *Pink1* files have a host of phenotypes including viability, abnormally positioned wings, male steriilty, short lifespan, apoptotic degeneration, mitochondrial defects, energy depletion, increased sensitivity to multiple stresses including oxidative stress, indirect flight muscle degeneration, ommatidial and DA neuron

degeneration and finally locomotor defects. These phenotypes were remarkably similar to those found in parkin mutant flies (Petit *et al.*, 2005; Clark *et al.*, 2006; Park *et al.*, 2006; Yang *et al.*, 2006; Wang *et al.*, 2006; Dodson and Guo, 2007). It is the similarity between the phenotypes of parkin and *Pink1* flies that led to the suggestion that the two proteins function in the same pathway.

The sterility in *Pink1* mutant flies was due to mitochondrial defects in the spermatids, showing vacuolated nebenkerns and individualization defects. This male sterility was rescued upon expression of Drosophila *Pink1*, human *Pink1* and *parkin*. Pink1 indicatively had a role in spermatogenesis to regulate mitochondrial morphology (Clark *et al.*, 2006). Mitochondrial dysfunction was also implicated in locomotor deficit and IFM degeneration with the IFM having disorganized myofibrils that were highly vacuolated with swollen impaired mitochondria. In addition, these mitochondria had low levels of mtDNA, mitochondrial proteins, ATP and had fragmented cristae. Expression of Drosophila *Pink1*, human *Pink1*, and *parkin* restored the muscle integrity with normal mitochondrial ATP levels and myofibril morphology (Clark *et al.*, 2006; Park *et al.*, 2006; Yang *et al.*, 2006). This indicated that suppression of Drosophila *Pink1* could lead to agedependent muscle degeneration characterized by extensive mitochondrial dysfunction and DNA fragmentation indicative of apoptotic cell death.

The DJ-1 models

DJ-1 encodes a small, 189 amino acid protein that is ubiquitously expressed and highly conserved with homology to proteases, kinases and small heat shock proteins. It belongs to the ThiJ/ Pfp1 protein superfamily (Thomas and Beal, 2007). It is associated with various cellular functions that include a redox-sensitive molecular chaperone that is activated in an oxidative cytoplasmic environment, an antioxidant action by scavenging for ROS, ability to stabilize the antioxidant transcriptional master regulator nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor (*Nrf-2*) by preventing its association with its inhibitor Keap1 and its eventual ubiquitination, ability to increase cellular levels of glutathione by activating the glutamate cysteine ligase, cellular transformation by associating with activated Ras, transcriptional regulation, RNA helicase binding, RNA binding, androgen receptor signalling, spermatogenesis and fertilization, phosphatidyl inositol 3-kinase/Akt signalling through regulation of the tumour suppressor PTEN, and modulation of P53 and thus control of cell death and survival (Kim *et al.*, 2005; Menzies *et al.*, 2005; Yang *et al.*, 2005; Lev *et al.*, 2006; Da Costa, 2007; Dodson and Guo, 2007). It is possible that mutations in D-1 affecting its antioxidant function may contribute to PD pathogenesis.

Drosophila possess two homologues of the human DJ-J gene designated DJ-Ja and DJ-Jf. DJ-Ia is expressed predominantly in the testes and DJ-Jf is present in most tissues. DJ-Jf expression resembles the human DJ-J expression pattern (Menzies et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2005). In order to analyze their role, classical genetics and RNA/ were used to generate mutants that phenotypically were viable, fertile, had a reduced lifespan and showed no pre-adult lethality or defects (Menzies et al., 2005; Meulener et al., 2005; Park et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2005; Lavara-Culebras and Paricio, 2007).

The Pharmacological models

Although major insights have been gained from FPD models using genes responsible for PD neuropathology, the etiology of sporadic PD remains unknown. A strong association between sporadic PD and environmental toxins, especially mitochondrial complex I inhibitors such as rotenone, has been inferred from epidemiological studies. The sub-lethal chronic exposure of wild-type Drosophila flies to rotenone presented with characteristic locomotor dysfunction that was dose-dependent and a dramatic and selective loss of DA neurons in all of the brain clusters (Beal, 2001; Coulom and Birman, 2004; Jeibman and Paulus, 2009). Treatment of flies with paraquat led to impaired climbing capability and a short lifespan.

Treatment of flies with L-DOPA rescued the locomotor deficits but not neuronal death. In addition, the antioxidant melatonin alleviates both behavioral dysfunction and neuronal loss when co-exposed with rotenone (Coulom and Birman, 2004). Treatment of paraquat-impaired flies with cannabinoid receptor agonists (CP 55.940) and a JNK signalling specific inhibitor could rescue the impaired locomotor functions and shortened longevity phenotypes (Jeibman and Paulus, 2009). In addition, several other molecules with antioxidant activity, such as vitamin C, are currently being researched in several Drosophila models of PD.

The role of the mitochondria in cell death and PD

Apoptosis in mammals is regulated by proteins acting on the mitochondria or released from the mitochondria, which result in caspase (cysteinyl *aspartate proteases*) activation. The role of mitochondria in Drosophila apoptosis is still under investigation (reviewed in Krieser and White, 2010). In all cell death, the activation of caspases is the most important step and seems to be highly conserved in all organisms from *C. elegans* and Drosophila to mammals (Kombluth and White, 2005). Developmental cell death is

the most understood in Drosophila and requires the activation of caspases (Hay and Guo, 2006). The fly has 7 caspases, 3 long pro-domain "initiator" caspases and 4 "effector" caspases. The initiator caspase Drone is required for most developmental apoptosis (Xu *et al.*, 2005). Thus far, apoptosis in all organisms seems to require the activation of caspases.

Bel-2 proteins and the Apoptotic machinery

The Bel-2 family proteins are key regulators for cell death and survival in metazoans and include more than 20 members including Bel-2, a proto-oncogene. The Bel-2 family is comprised of up to four conserved Bel-2 homology (BH) domains designated BHI. BH2, BH3 and BH4, and corresponds to α-helical segments (Gross *et al.*, 1999; Chen and Abrams, 2000; Igaki and Miura, 2004; Quinn and Richardson, 2004; Doumanis *et al.*, 2007). They are made up of two subgroups, the multi-domain 3 or 4 BH domain family and the BH3-only domain family.

The group of multi-domain Bel-2 proteins has proapoptotic and prosurvival members. The antiapoptotic proteins include Bel-2, Bel-X_L, Mel-1, Bel-w, and A1/Bfl-1. They are characterized by the presence of BH1 to BH4 domains and have been implicated in the maintenance of mitochondrial integrity to prevent cytochrome e release, an important component of the apoptosome. They prevent the escape of other apoptogenic factors found in the inter-membrane space of mitochondria (Gross *et al.*, 1999; Igaki and Miura, 2004; Schwartz and Hockenberry, 2006; Doumanis *et al.*, 2007). The antisurvival members include Bax, Bak and Bok and contain the BH1 to BH3 domains that are required for mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) permeabilization. The BH3-only subfamily of Bel-2 proteins is proapoptotic and seems to trigger apoptosis in response to

developmental cues or cytotoxic damage (Cory and Adams, 2002; Schwartz and Hockenberry, 2006). Most of them act by binding to and neutralizing the antiapoptotic proteins. They include Bid, Bim, Bik, Bad, Bmf, Hrk, Noxa and Puma.

The ratio of proapoptotic to antiapoptotic Bel-2 proteins may determine the susceptibility of a cell to a death signal. This is achieved through their ability to form homodimers as well as heterodimers, suggesting a neutralizing competition among these subsets of the Bel-2 protein family. In addition, they are able to transform into integral membrane proteins (Gross *et al.*, 1999). Prior to death signals, these proteins localize to separate sub-cellular compartments. The proapoptotic members are found in the mitochondria, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or the nuclear membrane (Gross *et al.*, 1999; Cory and Adams, 2002; Schwartz and Hockenberry. 2006; Doumanis *et al.*, 2007). It is believed that the Bel-2 proteins control apoptosis by controlling caspase activation and by guarding mitochondrial integrity, thereby keeping enclosed a plethora of death activating molecules. The killer molecules include cytochrome c, AIF, endonuclease G, Smac/Diablo and HtrA2 (Gross *et al.*, 1999; Gaumer *et al.*, 2000; Cory and Adams, 2002; Richardson and Kumar, 2002; Bassik *et al.*, 2004; Schwartz and Hockenberry. 2006). Other Bel-2 functions include inhibition of mitochondrial oxidative stress, regulation of ER Ca²⁺ homeostasis, necrosis, and autophagic death.

Antiapoptotic proteins act to guard the mitochondrion, which is at the centre of the programmed cell death (PCD), from the proapoptotic members who possibly regulate mitochondrial morphological dynamics. These may be by interacting with fusion/fission factors and MOM permeabilization to release apoptogenic factors (Gross et al., 1999):

Karbowski et al., 2006; Brooks and Dong, 2007; Brooks et al., 2007). The Bel-2 proteins have been implicated in the regulation of cell cycle (Quinn and Richardson, 2004), and apoptosis through controlling apoptotic crosstalk between the mitochondria and the ER (Häcki et al., 2000; Cory and Adams, 2002). It has been shown that apoptotic agents perturbing ER functions induce a novel crosstalk between the ER and mitochondria that can be interrupted by ER-based Bel-2 proteins.

Cell death is important for embryogenesis, organ development and metamorphosis. Drosophila possesses a cell death regulatory machinery of complexity, similar to that of some mammals. It has most of the apoptotic pathway protein homologues that participate in the intrinsic and extrinsic cell death pathways, induced by p53 and Tumour necrosis factor (TNF) like ligand and receptor respectively. Drosophila has an Apoptotic protease activating factor (Apaf) called *Dark/Dapaf-I/HAC*; seven caspases including. *Dredd/Dcp-*2. *Dronc* which are initiator caspases, *Dcp-1, drICE, DECAY* which are executioner caspases, *Strica/*Dream and *Damm/*Daydream; two *Bcl-2* homologues, *dehcl* and *Buffy*; unique killer proteins, namely *reaper (rpr)*, head involution defective (*hid*) and *Grim*, and the caspase inhibitors -Drosophila inhibitor of apoptosis protein-*DIAP1/Thread* and *DIAP2. Stekle* is a killer protein found in Drosophila (Gaumer et al., 2000; Richardson and Kumar, 2002; Igaki and Miura, 2004). The presence of these death/survival protein homologues in Drosophila has made it a very excellent model organism to study cell death and survival.

Buffy and debcl; Drosophila Bcl-2 homologues

Drosophila possess two Bcl-2 homologues, as identified from the Drosophila expressed sequence tag (EST) database, termed debcl and Buffy: (Brachmann et al., 2000; Colussi et al., 2000; Igaki et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2000). These two proteins share a high degree of similarity to the mammalian proapoptotic protein Bold/Mtd, pore-forming Bel-2 proteins (Zhang et al., 2000). Both proteins share the BH1, BH2 and the C-terminal transmembrane domains of the Bel-2 protein family (Chen and Abrants, 2000; Richardson and Kumar, 2002; Igaki and Miura, 2004). Studies of these proteins in Drosophila employed directed gene expression in cell cultures and transgenic constructs, and utilized the GAL4/UAS system of spatiotemporal expression and RNA interference (Brachmann et al., 2000; Colussi et al., 2000; and reviewed in Richardson and Kumar, 2002). Several cellular processes have been studied, especially cell death, to ascertain the role of these proteins in Drosophila and the likely function of their orthologues in mammals. Debcl

The debcl protein has BH1, BH2, BH3 and C-terminal transmembrane domains, and in some quarters, is believed to have a weak BH4 domain (Igaki et al., 2000). The transmembrane domain is the membrane anchor (MA) used in localizing this protein to intracellular membranes). Consistent with its similarity to proapoptotic Bok/Mtd, *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies have shown debcl to be a killer protein. It induces cell death when overexpressed in mammalian and fly cell cultures and in fly tissues (Brachmann et al., 2000; Colussi et al., 2000; Igaki et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2000; Senoo-Matsuda et al., 2005; reviewed in Cory and Adams, 2002; Richardson and Kumar, 2002; Igaki and

Miura, 2004). The gene is regulated in various developmental stages and both subcellular fractionation and immunofluorescence confirmed it is associated with the outer mitochondrial membrane (MOM) via the MOM-targeting sequence.

The ectopic expression of *dchcl* in the developing eye resulted in a rough-eye phenotype due to a loss in photoreceptor morphology as well as a reduced number or a complete loss of photoreceptor neurons (Igaki *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, overexpression promoted apoptotic cell death, probably through a caspase-independent pathway but dependent on intracytoplasmic membrane localization of this protein. This *dchcl*-induced cell death could not be antagonized by p35, a broad spectrum caspase inhibitor, though a different study found that p35 was able to suppress this cell death and was caspasedependent (Colussi *et al.*, 2000). In addition, this protein genetically interacted with *DLP1*, *Dark* and mammalian prosurvival Bel-2 proteins. Debel was shown to function in the apoptotic response to UV irradiation via the DNA damage response pathway (Brachmann *et al.*, 2000) and participate in stress-induced apoptosis (Sevrioukov *et al.*, 2007). Taken together, these findings show the importance of debel in cell death.

The pan-neuronal knockdown of *debcl* results in lower locomotor activity and a shorter lifespan in adults, significantly lower ATP levels, increased neurotoxicity as exemplified by neurodegeneration and loss of photoreceptor neurons and retinal structure in Polyglutamine fly models (Senoo-Matsuda *et al.*, 2005). Intriguingly, overexpression of *debcl* suppressed polyQ-induced neurodegeneration including photoreceptor neurodegeneration and early adult mortality. Its down-regulation led to an accumulation of ubiquitinated proteins in Drosophila heads, suggesting that it may protect cells from

cytotoxicity induced by the disruption of proteasome function. In addition, its downregulation enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction including inhibitor-induced loss of membrane potential, morphological abnormalities and an impairment of the mitochondrial respiratory function.

Buffy

Although highly conserved in BH1, BH2, and BH3, Buffy does not have an obvious BH4 domain as it has a prosurvival role as a debcl inhibitor (Quinn et al., 2003). Buffy predominantly localizes to the ER and its N-terminus contains a functional nuclear localization signal (NLS). Without the membrane anchor, Buffy accumulates in the nucleus (Doumanis et al., 2007). In a contrasting study, it was observed to localize to the mitochondria (Quinn et al., 2003). In addition, its expression pattern correlates with that of debcl in development of Drosophila and that these two proteins physically interact.

RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown of *Buffy* results in embryonic apoptosis, whereas its overexpression inhibits both developmental PCD and ionizing radiationinduced apoptosis (Quinn et al., 2003; reviewed in Quinn and Richardson, 2004). In a genetic epistasis study, Buffy was found to be downstream of the RHG proteins (*Rpr*, *Hid*, *Grim*) and upstream of the apical caspase *Drone*. Moreover, *Buffy* overexpression could block caspase-dependent cell death. Interestingly, they showed that this protein could induce a *Gi/earlv-S* phase cell evele arrest.

Buffy knockdown significantly suppresses the proteasome-induced cell death and rotenone- or 3-NP-induced cell death in a PolyQ fly model (Senoo-Matsuda et al., 2005). Work with a Buffy mutant showed that irradiation stress-induced apoptosis mediated by
reaper was blocked by Buffy and resulted in a reduced response to ionizing radiation. They suggested that the Buffy-regulated antiapoptotic pathway was epistatic to the *debcl* proapoptotic pathway (Sevrioukov *et al.*, 2007). The observation that Drosophila Bel-2 proteins were not required for normal development was disputed by the finding that they exerted a limited control over PCD and were required for pruning cells in the developing CNS (Galindo *et al.*, 2009). A role for debcl in RHG killing has not been shown but it is required for heterologous killing by the murine Bax. Buffy is involved in the protection of mitochondrial integrity and function (Park *et al.*, 2006). When *Buffy* was overexpressed, the Drosophila *Pink1* phenotypes including the levels of the mitochondrial DNA, mitochondrial proteins and ATP were remarkably restored. These are intriguing results as *Pink1* is one of the gene loci implicated in Parkinson disease and its localization is the mitochondria. This suggests a strong involvement and interplay of mitochondrial function with disease pathogenesis.

Mitochondria Morphology and Dynamics in Cell Death

Mitochondria show structural changes that include swelling, cristae alterations and fragmentation of the mitochondrial network during cell death (Martinou and Youle, 2006). These changes in flies are caspase-dependent . Swelling is likely due to the permeabilization of the outer mitochondrial membrane (Abdelwahid *et al.*, 2007; Goyal *et al.*, 2007; Means and Hays, 2007). Increased mitochondrial fragmentation is evident during PCD and involves Drp-1, a mitochondrial fission protein. This fragmentation occurs prior to caspase activation in Drosophila cells and an inhibition of the caspases does not block it (Goyal *et al.*, 2007). The alteration of mitochondrial dynamics in

apoptotic cells point to a role of these processes in cell death. Their contribution could be in releasing proapoptotic factors such as Cytochrome C from the mitochondria, mitochondria membrane permeabilization leading to defects in mitochondrial function, and mitochondrial fission sites acting as scaffolding for localization of apoptotic molecules (Karbowski et al., 2002; Martinou and Youle, 2006). Taken together, these changes point to an important role for mitochondrial morphology as controlled by the fission/fusion machinery in cellular homeostasis and disease.

Mitochondrial factors and Apoptosis

The unique killer proteins Reaper, Hid and Grim in Drosophila (RHG) have been shown to localize to the mitochondria (Abdelwahid *et al.*, 2007), which is important for the permeabilization of the mitochondrial membrane and for the effective activation of PCD.

Freed from the mitochondria, cytochrome C and Drone have been shown to bind to Apaf-1 (Dark), which has both the CARD and WD40 domains required for similar activation in mammals (Kanaka et al., 1999; Rodriguez et al., 1999). Drosophila cytochrome C, Cyte-d, appears to be the major form found in germ lines and a loss of function leads to spermatid individualization defects (Arama et al., 2003). Inhibitors of apoptosis (IAP) inhibitors in Drosophila are the RHG proteins, which are controlled by either transcriptional upregulation or by phosphorylation through the MAP kinase pathway (Oberst et al., 2008). HtrA-2/Omi can also bind IAPs and is released from the mitochondria during apoptosis. It can bind DIAP and promote its cleavage and degradation (Challa et al., 2007). Apoptosis inducing factor (AIF) is another

mitochondrial protein that translocates from the mitochondria to the nucleus upon activation of apoptosis and is involved in DNA fragmentation (Joza et al., 2008). These plethora of death activating molecules are released from the mitochondria and seem to play a significant role towards the fate of the cell in life and death decisions.

Buffy and debcl activity at the Mitochondria

Buffy protects against mitochondrial damage in *Pink1* LOF mutations by suppressing the resulting phenotypes (Park et al., 2006). When *Buffy* was overexpressed, the *Pink1* phenotypes, excepting flight dysfunction, were remarkably restored, including the levels of mtDNA, mitochondrial proteins and ATP. These results suggested a strong involvement of mitochondrial dysfunction in PD pathogenesis and the Bel-2 protein family in the protection of mitochondrial integrity and function.

PD genes at the Mitochondria

Parkin genetically interacts with components of the mitochondrial fission/fusion machinery in testes and IFM and may be involved in the regulation of mitochondrial integrity (Deng et al., 2008). Furthermore, the knockdown of mitochondrial assembly regulator factor (Marf, a mitofusin orthologue in Drosophila) or Optic atrophy 1 (OptaI) or the overexpression of dynamin related protein 1 (drpI) rescued the phenotypes of muscle degeneration, cell death and mitochondrial abnormalities in parkin mutants. Parkin is selectively recruited to dysfunctional mitochondria with low membrane potential (Narendra et al., 2008) and promotes autophagy of damaged mitochondria, implicating a failure to eliminate dysfunctional mitochondria in the pathogenesis of PD.

DJ-1ß mutants have been shown to be sensitive to oxidative stress and when exposed

to paraquat displayed a severe loss of locomotor ability due to mitochondrial dysfunction (Park et al., 2005). This implicates DJ-1 in a defensive role against oxidative stress in the mitochondria especially since DJ-1 localizes to the mitochondria.

Parkin and Pink1 may function in a common pathway that protects cells against mitochondria-dependent cell death induced by toxic insults. The suppression of *Pink1* loss of function phenotypes by parkin expression was not due to a general protective role but a specific one against mitochondrial dysfunction (Clark *et al.*, 2006; Park *et al.*, 2006; Yang *et al.*, 2006; reviewed in Dodson and Guo, 2007; Poole *et al.*, 2008; Banerjee *et al.*, 2009). In general parkin acts downstream of Pink1 to maintain mitochondrial integrity and function. Indeed, Pink1 was recently shown to control the localization of parkin to the mitochondria by direct phosphorylation on its linker region and this phosphorylation enables parkin to translocate to the mitochondria (Kim *et al.*, 2008). The Pink1/ parkin pathway has been shown to play fundamental roles in regulating mitochondrial biogenesis or mitochondrial dynamics, such as fission/ fusion events.

The heterozygous LOF mutations in *drp1*, which encodes a key mitochondrial fission-promoting component (Frank *et al.*, 2001), are largely lethal in a Pink1 and parkin mutant background (Poole *et al.*, 2008). The overexpression of *drp1* or knockdown of *Opu1* and *Mfn2*, two mitochondrial fusion-promoting factors, suppressed the flight muscle degeneration, cell death and mitochondrial morphology defects induced by Pink1 or parkin mutations. In addition, an eye phenotype resulting from increased activity of the Pink1/ parkin pathway is suppressed by perturbations reducing mitochondrial fusion or enhanced by perturbations reducing mitochondrial morphology is

maintained by a dynamic balance between the opposing actions of mitochondrial fusioncontrolled by *Marf* and *Opa1* and mitochondrial fission- controlled by *drp1*. Genetic interactions exist between Pink1 and the testes specific mitofusin fly homologue Fuzzy onion (*Fzo*) and between Pink1 and *drp1* in the male germ line and IFM (Deng *et al.*, 2008). The role of mitochondrial dynamics in PD pathology show the important role this cellular activity plays in the balance between cell death and survival.

A study carried out in our lab showed that overexpression of Drosophila *Pink1* results in the rescue of *a-synuclein* induced phenotypes of premature loss of climbing ability, degeneration of the ommatidial array and developing eye defects (Todd and Staveley, 2008). The recent identification of Htra2/Omi as a Pink1 substrate (Plun-Favreau *et al.*, 2007) and possibly its involvement in the Pink1/ parkin pathway together with another mitochondrial localized protein, Rhomboid-7 (Whitworth *et al.*, 2008) suggests the importance of this organelle in the pathophysiology of PD. A host of other proteins are being investigated for their possible role in the maintenance of mitochondria integrity and PD etiology, mostly proteins involved in autophagy and mitophagy. RATIONALE

The discovery of mitochondrial defects in most cases of PD has prompted research into the role of proteins with a function in the mitochondria. Where Bcl-2 proteins likely play a role as guardians of the mitochondria, we conducted this study to analyze whether the PD-like phenotypes seen in the *a-symuclein* model would actually be influenced by overexpression of the two known *Bcl-2* homologues, *Buffy* and *debcl* in the dopaminergic neurons and in the developing compound eye of *Drosophila melanogaster*.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila media and culture

Stocks and crosses were maintained on a standard medium containing 65 g/L cornmeal, 50 ml/L molasses, 10 g/L yeast, 5.5g/L agar and -900 ml/L water. Fresh food was prepared by Dr. Brian E. Staveley approximately twice a month and treated with 2.5 ml/L propionic acid and 5 ml/L of 10% in ethanol methylparaben to prevent growth of mold. Seven millilitre aliquots of media were poured into vials, allowed to solidify, and refrigerated at 4°C to 6°C. Stocks were maintained on solid media for two to three weeks before transfer onto new media to reculture. Stocks were kept at room temperature (22 ± 2°C) while crosses and experiments are carried out at 25°C and 29°C.

Drosophila stocks

UAS-Buffy (Quinn et al., 2003) was generously provided by Dr. Leonie Quinn (University of Melbourne), y¹w^{6,23}; *P* (*EPgy22*) debel²¹⁰⁸⁷⁶, and y¹w^{6,23}; *P* (*EPgy22*) *Buffy¹⁷¹¹²⁹⁶* (Bellen et al., 2004) were from Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (Table 2). *UAS-a-symclein* (Feany and Bender, 2000) was generously provided by Dr. M. Feany of Harvard Medical School. The standard line w²¹⁰⁵ was received from Dr. Howard Lipshitz (University of Toronto), the standard lines *UAS-GFP* and *UAS-lacZ⁴⁺²* were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. Dr. J. Hirsch (University of Virginia) generously provided *Did-Gal4* flies (Li et al., 2000), and *GMR-GAL4*²¹ flies (Freeman, 1996) were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center at Indiana University.

Derivative lines

The UAS-a-synuclein/CyO: Ddc-GAL47M3 was generated and tested by Dr. Brian Staveley using standard homologous recombination methods and was used to overexpress a-synuclein in the dopaminergie neurons using the dopa decarboxylase (Ddc) driver. The GMR-GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein/CyO line was generated by Dr. Brian Staveley and tested by myself and was used to overexpress a-synuclein in the developing eye using the Glass Multiple Reporter (GMR) driver. PCR reactions and gel electrophoresis were used for analysis of recombination events.

Table 2: Genotypes of fly stocks and crosses used in the study.

GENOTYPE	ABBREVIATION	EXPRESSION	BALANCER	REFERENCE
CONTROL LINE w; UAS-lacZ ¹⁻¹⁻²	lacZ			Brand <i>et al.</i> 1994
DRIVER LINES w; GMR-GAL4 ¹² w; Ddc-GAL4	GMR-GAL4 Ddc-GAL4	Eye Dopaminergic neurons		Freeman, 1996 Li <i>et al.</i> , 2000
EXPERIMENTAL LINES UAS-Buff: Q y'w ^{67,21} ; P {EPgy2} Buffy ^{67,23} ; P {EPgy2} debcle ^{0057,1} w; UAS-a- symclein	UAS-Buffy:Q Buffy ⁴⁵⁴¹²⁵⁹ debel ⁴⁷¹⁰⁵⁵⁴⁷ a-synuclein			Quinn et al., 2003 Bellen et al. 2004 Bellen et al. 2004 Feany and Bender, 2000
DERIVATIVE LINES w: UAS-a- symclein/CyO; Ddc-GAL4/TM3 w; GMR-GAL4 UAS-a- symclein/CyO	a-symuclein;Ddc- GAL4 a-symuclein; GMR-GAL4	Dopaminergic neurons Eye	CyO; curly wings (Curly) TM3; Tubby body and short bristles (Stubble)	

DNA extraction

Between 10 and 15 adult flies were collected and frozen at -80°C overnight, and DNA was extracted (Gloor et al., 1993). The flies were placed in 500 µl of a simple DNA extraction or "squishing" buffer with proteinase K and completely homogenized. The tubes were incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes, 250 µl of phenol and 250 µl of chloroform were added and mixed by inversion and centrifuged at 4°C at 10,000 rpm for 10 minutes. The top aqueous layer was collected and 1 ml of 95% ice cold ethanol and 10 µl of 3M sodium acetate were added and precipitated at -20°C for 1 hour (or overnight). The tubes were centrifuged at 4°C at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant discarded then pellets were washed with 250 µl of 70% ethanol and further centrifugation at 4°C at 10,000 rpm for 3 minutes was done. Pellets were retained and the tubes spun down for 30 seconds, and the pellets were left to dry in the air, resuspended in 500 µl of ddH₂O and stored at -20°C.

For rapid DNA extraction, a secondary method was applied which involved squishing one fly in 50 μ l of ddH₂O under ice. The tube was centrifuged at 4° C at 10,000 rpm for 15 minutes and the supernatant was collected by pipetting out the top layer and 1 to 5 μ l was used directly in the PCR reaction.

Determination of DNA quality and quantity

The DNA extracted was qualified and quantified using the NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) that shows the concentration $(\eta g/\mu)$ and purity of the DNA by using the 260/280 ratio. The NanoDrop was first blanked using 2 μ l of ddH₂O and then 2 µl of DNA sample was loaded, readings for the concentration (ng/µl) and quality (260/280 ratio) were taken in triplicates and averaged and then recorded (Table 3).

Analysis of the derivative lines

The GMR-GAL4/UAS-a-symuclein CyO line was tested by using a PCR reaction to determine the amplification of DNA products from primers designed from the *Homo* sapiens synuclein, alpha (non A4 component of amyloid precursor) (SNCA), transcript variant 1 mRNA, NCBI reference sequence: NM_000345.3 using the NCBI primer design tool (Table 3).

The PCR reaction master mix was prepared by adding 5 µl of DNA to 5 µl of 10X PCR buffer (Qiagen), 2 µl of dNTPs, 2 µl of the forward primer, 2 µl of the reverse primer (Invitrogen), 2 µl of 25 mM MgCl₂ ions (Qiagen), 30 µl of ddH₂O and 2.1 µl of HotStarTaq Plus DNA polymerase to form 50 µl volumes. The PCR thermal cycler profile used is shown in Table 4.

The appropriate PCR product was determined by loading a mixture of 2 µl of 6X gel loading dye (NE BioLabs) and 10 µl of PCR product on a 1.5% agarose gel with 4 µl of 10 µg/ml ethidium bromide per 100 ml agarose. 2-5 µl of 1 mg/ml 2-log DNA ladder (NE BioLabs) was added and the gel electrophoresed at 120 V for 45 minutes. The gel was visualized in a UV transilluminator Chemilmager 4400 Ready (Alpha Inotech Corporation).

	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
Sequence (5' to 3')	TGTGCCCAGTCATGACA TTT	CCACAAAATCCACAGC ACAC
Length	20 nucleotides	20 nucleotides
Melting temperature (Tm)	60.0	60.0
Percent GC content	45.0	50.0
Initial concentration (nM)	165.4	133.7
Volume added to make 200 µM (µL) [Volume A]	827.0	668.0
Volume A used to make 10 µM working stock (µL)	10.0	10.0
Volume ddH2O added to volume A (µL)	190.0	190.0
Total volume working stock (µL)	200.0	200.0

Table 3. The primers designed to detect the presence of a-synuclein

Thermal Cycler Conditions	Temperature (°C)	Duration (minutes)
Hot start	95.0	5.0
3 Step cycling -Denaturation -Annealing -Elongation	93.0 60.0 72.0	0.5 0.5 35 CYCLES 4.0
Final extension	72.0	10.0
Hold	4.0	

Table 4. The PCR thermal profile used to detect a-synuclein

Ageing Analysis

Several single vial matings of three to five females plus three to five males were made of each genotype. A cohort of adult heterozygous male flies were collected upon eclosion. Approximately two hundred to four hundred flies were aged per genotype, at a density of ≤ 20 flies per vial. Adults were kept on fresh media replenished every other day. Flies were observed and scored every two days for presence of deceased adults. Flies were considered dead when they did not display any movement upon agitation (Staveley *et al.*, 1990). Longevity data was analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. Survival curves were compared using the log-rank test, a statistical test that compares the actual and expected number of failures (death) between survival curves at each individual failure event. Significance was determined at 95%, at a P-value less than or equal to 0.05 with Bonferroni correction of the family wise P value.

Climbing Assay

Several single vial matings of three to five females plus three to five males were made of each genotype. A cohort of adult heterozygous male flies were collected upon eclosion and scored for their ability to climb (Todd and Staveley, 2004). Adults were kept on fresh media replenished every other day. Every 7 days, 50 males from every genotype were assayed for their ability to climb 10 centimetres in 10 seconds in a clean climbing apparatus. Data was collected over a period of 90 days or until all the flies being assayed were all dead. Flies were maintained on standard commeal-yeast-molasses-agar medium at 25°C. Climbing analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 statistical software. Climbing curves were fitted using non-linear regression and compared using a 95% confidence interval with a 0.05 P-value.

Scanning Electron Microscopy of the Drosophila eye

Several single vial matings of three to five females plus three to five males were made of each genotype at 29°C and a cohort of adult heterozygous male flies collected upon eclosion and aged for three days on standard commeal-yeast-molasses-agar before being frozen at -80°C. Whole flies were mounted on SEM studs, desiccated overnight and coated in gold before photography at 170X magnification with a Hitachi S-570 scanning electron microscope was done. For each cross at least 20 eye images were analyzed using the NIH ImageI software (Abramoff et al. 2004) and biometric analysis was performed.

Results

Overexpression of Bcl-2 genes in the dopaminergic neurons

The key manifestation of PD is the age-dependent degeneration of the DA neurons. The selective death and degeneration of these neurons led us to investigate the effects of *Buffj* and *debcl* in these neurons. These genes were overexpressed in the DA neurons to assess whether they had any effect on the DA neurons. A standard line, that overexpressed *lacZ* was used as a control for examining the effects on either ageing or climbing.

Lifespan of standard and experimental lines

The ageing analysis was carried out in parallel to the climbing assays in order to account for changes in climbing ability as a result of premature senescence. The experimental and control lines were crossed to the driver line (Ddc-GAL4) to overexpress the Bcl-2 homologues and lacZ in the DA neurons. The results indicated there was a significant difference in the longevity of the flies when the $Buff_2$ transgenes were overexpressed in the DA neurons (Figure 1). The median lifespan was 62 days for the control flies and 64 days for the $Buff_2$ expressing flies (Table 5). The log-rank test showed the curves were significantly different (P<0.0001) from the control curve. The results show that overexpressing debcl has no significant effect on longevity of these flies.



Figure 1: Lifespan when *Buffy* and *debcl* are overexpressed in the dopaminergic neurons. Directed overexpression of *Buffy*-¹⁷¹⁷⁹⁹ and *UAS-Buffy*.Q in the dopaminergic neurons increases longevity. Longevity of files overexpressing *UAS-Buffy*.Q (In-313) and *Buffy*-¹⁷¹⁷⁹⁹ (n=267) in the neurons is significant (Fact on longevity) when compared to control files. Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.01, determined by log-rank). The genotypes are *Dde-GUAL/UAS-Buffy*.Q: *Dde-GUAL*, *Buffy*¹⁷¹⁷⁹⁷, *Dde-GUAL* and *debcl¹⁸⁶⁶⁴* had *LAL/UAS-Buffy*.Q: *Dde-GUAL*, *Buffy*¹⁷¹⁷⁹⁷, *Dde-GUAL* and *debcl¹⁸⁶⁶⁴* had *LAL*.

Table 5. The Log-Rank (Mantel-Cox) curve comparison of directed expression of <u>Bcl-2 genes in the dopaminergic neurons</u>, Survival curves were analyzed using log-rank test.

Genotype	# of Deaths	Median Survival (Days)	Chi Square	P value
UAS-lacZ	321	62	n/a	n/a
UAS-Buffy.Q	313	64	36.53	<0.0001
Buffy ¹⁷¹¹²⁵⁹	267	64	51.13	<0.0001
debcl ^{EY05743}	341	62	0.09	ns

Climbing analysis of the standard and experimental lines

The locomotor ability assay was carried out to investigate whether the overexpression of *Bcl-2* genes in the dopaminergic neurons would have an impact on these sensitive neurons. The result indicated that there was no statistically significant difference when the control (*UAS-lacZ*) was compared to either *UAS-BuffitQ* or *debcl*⁽¹⁰⁰⁷⁾, but was significant when compared to *Buffy*²⁷¹¹²⁹ (Figure 2). The *Buffy*⁴⁷¹¹²⁹ files climbed for longer than the other *Bcl-2* overexpressing flies and lost their climbing ability later (Table 6) with a P<0.0322.





Table 6. A comparison of climbing index curves for the directed overexpression of the *Bcl-2* in the dopaminergic neurons. The 95% confidence interval was compared between the *UAS-lacz* flues and the *Bcl-2* flues.

Genotype	# of flies	Mean of difference	95% CI	R square	P value	Significance
UAS-lacZ	50	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
UAS- Buffy.Q	50	-0.02	-0.176 to 0.128	0.01	0.73	ns
Buffy ^{FY11259}	50	0.25	0.026 to 0.47	0.38	0.03	significant
debcl ^{E105743}	50	0.01	-0.058 to 0.085	0.02	0.69	ns

Overexpression of Bcl-2 genes in the a-synuclein model of PD

The coexpression of the experimental lines with *a-synuclein* (UAS-a-synuclein CyO: Ddc-GAL4TM3) was undertaken to determine the effects of overexpressing the Bcl-2 homologues Buffy and debcl^{pmgra} in the dopaminergic neurons when coexpressed with *a-synuclein*. Both agging and climbing ability were analyzed and compared to results obtained in *a-synuclein* expressing control flies.

Ageing analysis of the standard and experimental lines in the a-synuclein model

The coexpression of the *Bcl-2* homologues with *u-synuclein* had significantly different survival curves (Figure 3). The coexpression of *UAS-Buffy-Q* with *a-synuclein* in the dopaminergic neurons showed an increase in the lifespan of these flies, but no difference was seen when coexpressed with *Buffy^{THEDP}* (Table 7). Strikingly, the coexpression of debel^{EYBHTD} with *a-synuclein* led to a significant increase in the lifespan of these flies.



Figure 3: Longevity of flus coexpressing a-synuclein and Bcl-2 family members in the dopaminergine neurons. Directed overexpression of UAS-Buffy O and debc/¹⁹⁸⁰ in the DA neurons increases longevity whereas flus overexpressing Buffy¹⁰¹⁰⁰ had no significant improvement in their lifespan. Longevity of flus overexpressing UAS-Buffy Q (m-315) and debcf¹⁹⁸⁰ (m-366) in the neurons is significantly increased compared to IacZ control (m-321). Longevity is shown as percent survival (P ~ 0.01, determined by the Outp-rank test), Genotypes are UAS-asynuclein/ Duff-CiALI/USI-sequencien/ UAS-Buffy Q: Dat-GiAL, UAS-asynuclein/Buffy¹⁰¹²⁹; Dat-GAL4 and UAS-asynuclein/ debf¹⁹⁸⁰; Dat-GAL4.

Table 7. Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) comparison of survival curves for the directed overexpression of *Buffr* and *debcl* in the *asymuclein*-dependent Drosophila model of <u>Parkinson</u> disease. Survival curves were analyzed using log-rank test.

Genotype	# of Deaths	Median Survival	Chi Square	P value
UAS-lacZ	323	60	n/a	n/a
UAS-Buffy.Q	315	68	103.3	<0.0001
Buffy ^{EY11259}	266	51	0.84	0.3596 ns
debcl ^{EY05743}	306	67	120	<0.0001
debcl ^{erosza}	306	67	120	<0.0001

Climbing analysis of the standard and experimental lines in the *q*-synuclein model

Loss of climbing ability is one of the phenotypes displayed by the α -synuclein model (Feany and Bender, 2000), and thus the assay is important in detecting the role being played by the overexpressed gene. We investigated the effect of coexpressing the *Bcl-2* genes with α -synuclein on the locomotor ability of these flies. The overexpression of *debcl*^(proces) resulted in a marked reduction in the climbing ability of these flies, whereas the overexpression of the two *Buffy* lines rescued the loss of climbing ability displayed by the *a*-synuclein model of PD.

Debcl overexpression decreases climbing ability

The overexpression of *debcl* in dopaminergic neurons resulted in a remarkable reduction in climbing ability (Figure 4). The *debcl* overexpression flies showed a decreased climbing ability over time, with these flies losing their ability earlier than the control flies which were also overexpressing *a-symuclein*. The decreased climbing ability was significantly different compared to the control with a P-value of 0.0231** with a mean difference of -0.8551 and confidence intervals of between -1.328 to -0.383.





Buffy rescues climbing ability in a-synuclein model

It was observed that overexpressing *Buffy* in the DA neurons had a significant effect on the climbing ability of these flies compared to the control (Figure 5). The coexpression of *Buffy* with *a-symuclein* in dopaminergic neurons resulted in a significant increase in the climbing ability of the affected flies.

Overexpression of *Buffy* in the dopaminergic neurons alongside *a-symuclein* suggests that *Buffy* counteracts the *a-symuclein* protein toxicity by significantly improving the climbing ability of these flies. The *Buffy* flies were able to climb over an extended period of time compared to the control flies, which lost their climbing ability at an early-onset. This was statistically significant at a P value less than 0.05. The 95% CI for *UAS-Buffy*.Q was between 0.06829 to 0.1066, while that of *Buffy*⁴⁷¹¹²⁹¹ was between 0.06608 to 0.1103 and thus the climbing curves were significantly different from the control flies with 95% CI at 0.04722 to 0.05965.



Figure 5: The coexpression of *Buffy*: in the *a-synaclein* model of PD rescue the agedependent loss in climbing ability. The directed overexpression of both *U.S-Buffy*. *and Buff*^(PTDP) in the dopaminergic neurons remarkably increased the climbing ability over time compared to the control (*lac2*). Analysis was by non-linear fitting of the climbing curves and significance was determined by comparing the 95% confidence interval. The genotypes are *UAS-a-synaclein*: *DA6-GA1-UUAS-baG2*. *UAS-Buffy*. *D*. *DA6-GA1-A*.

Recombinant line for GMR-GAL4

The recombinant line *GMR-GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein CyO* was developed from *GMR-GAL4*⁴⁷ and *UAS-a-synuclein* lines by standard homologous recombination methods. The recombinant line was tested for the presence of *UAS-a-synuclein* using PCR with primers designed as described. The recombinant chromosome was determined by electrophoresing the PCR product on agarose gel and checking the product size on the gel against the predicted product size from the primer design. The predicted PCR product was 165 bp long and the gel band corresponded to this value (Figure 6). The negative control was *UAS-lacZ* (Figure 6, Lanes 2 to 7) and there was no corresponding 165 bp PCR product, the only product was approximately between 30 to 50 bp long and likely represented primer dimers.





Arrow indicates possible primer dimers with an approximate size of 30 to 50 bp

Figure 6: Agarose gel electrophoresis image for the detection of *a-synuclein* recombinant chromosomes. Top panel, 2-4cg DNA ladder (lanes 1 and 7), UA8-asynuclein/GMR-GAL4 (lanes 2 to 6 and 8) and UA8-lacZ (lanes 9 to 11). Bottom panel, 2-log DNA ladder (lanes 1 and 11), UA8-lacZ (lanes 2 to 7), UA8-a-synuclein/Da6-CAL4 (lanes 8 to 10 and 12 to 14), UL8-a-synuclein (lanes 15 to 20). The predicted band van 165 hp long, the smaller band approximately 30 to 50 hp long likely represent primer dimers as indicated by arrows on the gel images.

Eye analysis

Eye development in Drosophila is very precise and the development of each ommatidium and the organization of the ommatidial array is tightly controlled (Thomas and Wassarman, 1999). The eye is a photoreceptor and thus a neuron. Under this precept, we investigated by biometric analysis whether overexpressing the *Bcl-2* genes would have any influence on the development of these specialized neurons. We first overexpressed the *Bcl-2* genes in the eyes using the eye specific driver *GMR-GAL4* and, secondly we overexpressed the *Bcl-2* genes in the Drosophila *a*-synuclein model using the derivative line *GMR-GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein/CyO*, which was already overexpressing *a-synuclein* in the background.

Investigation of Bcl-2 overexpression in the eye

The Bel-2 homologues and the standard line UAS-faceZ were all expressed in the eye to determine whether they caused a rough eye phenotype or other defects during eye development. Analysis of scanning electron micrographs (Figure 7) of eyes overexpressing these genes revealed that there was no significant difference in the area of the onumatidium (Figure 8-A) or the number of bristles (Figure 8-B) when these genes were overexpressed in the eye using the *GMR-GAL4* driver (Table 8).



Figure 7: Scanning electron micrographs when *Buffy* and *debcl* are overexpressed in the eye. (A) (*SMR-GAL+ UAS-lace, C*) (B) (*SMR-GAL+UAS-Buffy: Q*,(C) (*SMR-GAL+Buffy: and D*) (*SMR-GAL+Buffy: and D*) (*SMR-GAL+Buffy: and C*) (*SMR-GAL+Buff*



Figure 8: Directed expression of *Bcl-2* genes in the eye with the eye-specific driver *GMR-GAL4*. There was no significant difference in the area of ommatidium and the number of bristles when *Bcl-2* genes were overexpressed in the eye as determined by a one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison test (P+0.05 and 95% CI), error bars are SEM. Genotypes are *GMR-GAL4*; *UAS-lacZ*. *GMR-GAL4*; *UAS-Buffy-Q*. *GMR-GAL4: Buffy-¹⁰¹²⁰* and *GMR-GAL4*; *UAS-lacZ*. *GMR-GAL4*; *UAS-Buffy-Q*. *GMR-GAL4: Buffy-¹⁰¹²⁰*

Β.

Α.

Table 8: A summary of biometric analysis when Buffy and debcl are overexpressed in

the eye. The ommatidium area and bristle number were compared using one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison test.

A. Ommatidium area

Genotype	Mean area (um ²)	Mean difference	Significance (P<0.05)	95% confidence interval
UAS-lacZ	243.23	n/a	n/a	n/a
UAS-Buffy:Q	245.26	-2.02	no	-20.77 to 16.73
Buffy ^{EVII259}	239.01	4.23	no	-14.52 to 22.98
debcl ^{EY05743}	226.57	16.66	no	-2.088 to 35.41

B. Bristle number

Genotype	Mean number	Mean difference	Significance (P<0.05)	95% confidence interval
UAS-lacZ	413.01	n/a	n/a	n/a
UAS-Buffy.Q	414.14	-1.14	no	-75.86 to 73.57
Buffy ^{FY11259}	401.29	11.71	no	-63.00 to 86.43
debcl ^{EY05743}	421.29	-8.29	no	-83.00 to 66.43

Expression of Bcl-2 homologues in the a-synuclein model of PD

The Bel-2 homologues were coexpressed in the background of a-symuclein to determine their effect on the PD model in the Drosophila eye. The derivative line GMR-GAL4 UAS-a-symuclein/CyO was used to overexpress a single copy of a-symuclein in the background. Our standard line lacZ and the experimental lines Buffy; and debel were crossed to this derivative line and whole area of eye, bristle number and ratio of eye area disruption were analyzed (Figure 9). Ratio of eye area disruption was calculated by dividing the total area of the eye with the total disrupted area of the eye.

The directed expression of *dehcl* in the eye resulted in smaller eyes than the control flies when the total area of the eye was measured but no significance was seen with the *Buffi* flies (Figure 10). The mean area of the *dehcl* flies eye was 85108 um³, while the control had a mean area of 96791 um³ (Table 9-A). When the interommatidial bristles were counted, there was no significant difference in the number in either of the *Bcl-2* genes (P=0.05)(Table 9-B).

The ratio of the disrupted eye area show that there was significantly less disruption in the eyes of flies overexpressing $Buff_{27}$; while no significance was seen when *debcl* was overexpressed (P<0.05). The ratio of *UAS-Buff_2Q* was 0.25 corresponding to a 25% of the eye area being disrupted, while $Buff_{27}^{(27122)}$ was 0.27 (Table 9-C). The control flies had an area of disruption corresponding to 37% of the whole eye area, while there was no statistical significance in the ratio of disruption for the *debc*⁽²¹⁰⁷⁾ flies with a ratio of 0.41.



Figure 9: Scanning electron micrographs when Buffy and debcl are overexpressed in the eye. (A) GMR-GIAI UAS-a-symcleint/LAS-lac2 (S) GMR-GIAI UAS-asymcleint/UAS-buffy, (C) GMR-GIAI UAS-a-symcleint/Buffy^{r1109} and (D) GMR-GAIA UAS-a-symcleint/debcl^{mixeij}. Biometric analysis showed significant differences in the ommatidium area for debcl flies (P<0.05) but no significance was observed in the number of intervommidial britistes (P<0.05).



Figure 10: Coexpression of a-synacchin with Bcl-2 geness. There was significant difference in the near of ormanidium of debd flives (A), and no significance in the number of bristles (B) when Bcl-2 genes were overexpressed in the eye but the ratio of disrupted eye surface (C) was significantly different in flies overexpressing *Bell*; but no significance was determined to the flies overexpressing *Bell*; but constrained ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison test (P=0.05 and 59% C1), error bars are SEM. Genotypes are GMR-GAL4 UAS-asynacticut UAS-lac GMR-GAL4 UAS-asymuchide/Me²⁰⁰⁵.
Table 9: A summary of biometric analysis when the Bcl-2 genes are overexpressed in

the eye. The ommatidia area, bristle number and ratio of disrupted eye area were compared using one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparison test (P<0.05).

A. Ommatidia (Whole eye) area

Genotype	Mean area (um ²)	Mean difference	Significance (P<0.05)	95% confidence interval of difference					
					UAS-lacZ	96791	n/a	n/a	n/a
					UAS-Buffy.Q	91148.5	5643	No	-5954 to 17239
Buffy FY11259	95529.5	1261	No	-10335 to 12858					
debcl ^{E305743}	85108	11683	Yes	86.41 to 23280					

B. Bristle number

Genotype	Mean number	Mean difference	Significance (P<0.05)	95% confidence interval of difference
UAS-lacZ	454.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
UAS-Buffy.Q	429.64	24.76	No	-17.93 to 67.45
Buffy ^{ETH259}	436.1	18.3	No	-25.40 to 62.00
debcl ^{EY05743}	429.9	24.5	No	-19.20 to 68.20

C. Ratio of Disrupted eye area

Genotype	Mean ratio	Mean difference	Significance (P<0.05)	95% confidence interval of
				UAS-lacZ
UAS-Buffy.Q	0.25	0.12	Yes	0.06 to 0.18
Buffy ^{EV11259}	0.27	0.09	Yes	0.05 to 0.14
debcl ^{E305743}	0.41	-0.04	No	-0.12 to 0.028

Discussion

The Bcl-2 proteins are thought to be the guardians of the mitochondria, involved in the life and death decisions at the cellular level by initiating mitochondrial remodelling, mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization and the release of apoptotic factors from the mitochondria (Wang and Youle, 2009; Tanner et al., 2010). This delicate balance is maintained by the activity of the pro-survival and anti-survival members of the Bcl-2 family. The various members are considered to be either pro-survival, which in *Drosophila melanogaster* is the single member *Buffy*, or anti-survival, such as *debcl* (Brachmann et al., 2000; Colussi et al., 2000; Igaki et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2000).

In previous studies, the overexpression of *Buffy*-has been shown to suppress the *Pink1* mutant phenotypes (Park *et al.*, 2006) and suggest a role for this protein in 1) interacting with the Pink1 protein and other mitochondrial proteins or 2) in a pathway that regulates mitochondrial function and integrity. Studies show that both *Buffy* and *debcl* have little involvement in cell death during development (Sevrioukov *et al.*, 2007; Galindo *et al.*, 2009), though they have a role in regulating cell death that occurs in response to external stimuli (Sevrioukov *et al.*, 2007; Galindo *et al.*, 2009) and recently a role in the mitochondrial pathway for the activation of cell death during Drosophila oogenesis (Tanner *et al.*, 2011), all which point to an important role for these proteins in aspects of cell death. Indeed, early studies demonstrated that *debcl* acts in a "pro-death" mechanism while *Buffy* has been shown to play both roles of anti- and pro-survival (Quinn *et al.*, 2003; Wu *et al.*, 2010) depending on the stimuli.

A direct role for the Bcl-2 proteins in mitochondrial dynamics has been shown in the activation of cell death in *Drosophila melanogaster* during mid-oogenesis (Tanner et al., 2011) and in a Parkinson disease model, mutant for Pink1, a mitochondrial associated kinase (Park et al., 2006). The possible role of the mitochondria in PD pathogenesis makes the a-synuclein-induced model of PD (Feany and Bender, 2000) a very attractive model for investigating the role of Bel-2 proteins in interacting with PD proteins, and indirectly their role in regulating mitochondria function.

The recapitulation of PD-like symptoms in *Drosophila melanogaster* and especially the age-dependent loss of climbing ability led to the investigation of possible gene products that could counteract this phenotype (Feany and Bender, 2000; Auluck *et al.*, 2002; Haywood and Staveley, 2004). Mitochondrial dysfunction has been implicated in PD pathogenesis and thus we investigated the effect of overexpressing two known Drosophila *Bcl-2* homologues *Buffy* and *debcl* to understand their effect on PD-like phenotypes and indirectly whether they have any mitochondrial protective role. The overexpression of *Buffy* in a *Pinkl* PD model restored normal levels of mtDNA, mitochondrial proteins and ATP suggesting that Buffy has a protective and pro-survival role (Park *et al.*, 2006). The use of the climbing assay to determine the role of the various gene products in rescuing the α-synuclein-induced phenotypes has been widely applied (Feany and Bender, 2008). This assay allows for scoring of flies based on their loss of elimbing ability and is a key indicator of the effect the overexpressed gene has on the phenotype.

Persons with PD have gait abnormalities, cognitive and psychiatric problems which can result in substantial disability and early death (Forno, 1996). The α-synuclein model of PD in Drosonhila showed no difference in lifesnan between the control and wild type. A53T and A30P a-symuclein flies (Feany and Bender, 2000). In our study, Buffy was overexpressed in the DA neurons under the control of the Ddc-GAL4 driver, there was a significant difference in their longevity, with Buffy expressing flies living slightly longer than the control flies. It is vet unclear from our studies how Buffy is able to prolong the life of these flies when overexpressed in the DA neurons, but since it has been shown the resulting death of these sensitive neurons is partly due to defects in mitochondrial complex I function (Lu, 2009), the pro-survival Buffy likely plays a protective role in these neurons to increase longevity by protecting the mitochondria. The overexpression of the pro-death Bcl-2 member debcl in the DA neurons, did not have any significant effect on the lifespan of these flies. It is possible that the overexpression of debcl is not sufficient to counter the protective balance of Buffy, or more of this protein in conditions of normal cell function has no significant effect and is likely to exert its proapoptotic function under cellular stress-induced conditions.

Locomotor dysfunction is one of the behavioural manifestations of PD. The asynuclein model developed by Feany and Bender (2000) showed an age dependent loss in climbing ability, with the mutant flies being unable to climb above the first section of the climbing apparatus in the last days of their lives. When we overexpressed the Bel-2 genes in the DA neurons under the control of the Dde-GAL4 driver, the Buffy^{ATTEP} flies were the only ones to have a climbing index significantly different from the control flies. The

Buffy^{3/1129} flice climbed for longer and lost the climbing ability later than the control flics. This is possibly due to the Buffy flics living longer than the control flics and is likely due to the protective role that Buffy confers to the mitochondria. The anti-survival debcl flics showed no significant difference in their climbing ability when compared to the control flics. It seems that debcl does not lead to a reduction of locomotor function when overexpressed in the DA neurons. Taken together, these results would indicate an early protective role for Buffy in the DA neurons even in the absence of induced cellular stress, but however, debcl showed no degeneration of the DA neurons under normal cellular function.

In the Drosophila melanogaster model of PD, the UAS-a-synuclein/CyO: Ddc-GAL4/TM3 line, which overexpresses a-synuclein in the DA neurons, when crossed to UAS-Buffy-Q, showed a significant difference in the ageing curves. UAS-Buffy:Q flies had a survival median of 68 days which was slightly longer lifespan compared to the control flies. In Buffy⁰⁷¹²³⁹ flies, the survival median was 51 days, which was not significantly different from the control flies whose median survival was 60 days. A reduced lifespan has been reported in *debcl* knockdown studies (Senoo-Matsuda *et al.*, 2005), but not in Buffy studies. In our study, the overexpression of *debcl* in the DA neurons actually significantly increased the lifespan of these flies, indicating that *debcl* likely plays a protective role by increasing the rate of apoptosis in affected cells and thus possibly maintaining healthy neurons. This protective role was shown for *debcl* in PolyQ induced neurodegeneration (Senoo-Matsuda *et al.*, 2005) and further highlights the complex role played by the Bel-2 proteins in making life and death decisions.

When transgenic flies overexpressing a-synuclein in the DA neurons were crossed to Buffy EX11259 and UAS-Buffy O, the resulting progeny showed a remarkable recovery in their climbing ability compared to the control flies, which were expressing a-synuclein in the DA neurons in the background under the control of the Ddc-GAL4 driver. These results suggest that overexpressing Buffv in the DA neurons counteracts the a-synuclein induced phenotype of locomotor dysfunction over lifespan. We had hypothesized that when dehcl is overexpressed in the DA neurons in a a-synuclein PD model, the resulting flies would show an exacerbation in their locomotor dysfunction. Flies overexpressing debcl (debcl^{E10576}) displayed a reduced climbing ability compared to the control flies and were remarkably similar to flies overexpressing a double dosage of a-synuclein (see Appendix 1). This indicates that debcl acts to worsen the a-synuclein induced loss of climbing ability. Indeed, this suggests that it is the presence of excess Buffy products that triggers the observed improved climbing ability in these flies. It would therefore suggest that tipping the balance towards the pro-survival Buffy remarkably rescues the a-synuclein PD model from climbing dysfunction. Taken together, these results indicate an important role for Buffy in counteracting a-synuclein PD pathogenesis in as yet unknown mechanisms

The Drosophila eye is composed of between 700 to 800 ommatidia made up of photoreceptor cells, cone cells, pigment cells and bristle cells (Baker, 2001). The overexpression of *a-symuclein* in the developing eye results in a rough eye phenotype that can be analyzed for counteraction by the overexpressed gene product (Haywood and Staveley, 2004; Todd and Staveley, 2008). The use of *GMR-GAL4* to drive the

overexpression during late eye development has been shown to affect the eye morphology. This makes the fly eye an ideal model for the study of cell death and survival. Retinal degeneration and ommatidial array defects (Feany and Bender, 2000) are phenotypes observed when *a-symulcin* is overexpressed in the *Drosophila melanogaster* eyes using the eye-specific driver *GMR-GAL4*.

Directed overexpression of *Bcl-2* homologues in the eye showed no significant differences with the control when compared for ommatidium area or in the number of bristles. This indicated that elevated levels of the Bcl-2 proteins, Buffy and debcl do not alter the normal development of the eye and seems to have a limited role in neurogenesis under normal cellular conditions.

The Bcl-2 homologues were overexpressed along with a-synuclein in the developing compound eye using the GMR-GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein/(CyO) line. The coexpression of debcl with a-synuclein resulted in eyes smaller than the control flies and these flies had a normal number of interommatidial bristles when compared to the control flies. In flies overexpressing Buffy, the eyes were not significantly different from the control ones when whole eye area and interommatidial bristle number were compared. However, the flies coexpressing Buffy with a-synuclein developed eyes with less disruption in the development of the eye when compared to the control eyes. This points to a role for this protein in the regulation of eye development during stress-induced by a-synuclein toxicity. It is likely that during the protection of the mitochondria from protein toxicity insults by a-synuclein overexpression, Buffy ensures an almost normal neuronal differentiation resulting in eyes with less disruption. Taken toxether, these results show a

likely role for Buffy in neurogenesis and cell survival.

Studies have shown that Buffy is localized to the mitochondria (Quinn et al., 2003) and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) (Doumanis et al., 2007), two very important organelles in the development of PD, and a role for both mitochondrial and ER stress in the pathogenesis of PD has been demonstrated (Bouman et al., 2011). However, debel has been shown to localize to the mitochondria (Doumanis et al., 2007) and this is likely due to a function at the membranes of these organelles or within these organelles themselves.

The observed PD symptoms have been attributed to damaged DA neurons. In general, neurons are sensitive to mitochondrial function changes since they utilize higher levels of energy to function (Su et al., 2010). Synaptic transmission, axonal/dendritic transport, ion channel activity, and ion pump activity are energy-taxing processes (Kann and Kovacs, 2007). As such any mitochondrial stress or damage alters neuronal function and survival (Chan, 2006). Central to mitochondrial integrity and function are the mitochondrial fusion and mitochondrial fission processes that control mitochondrial dynamics.

Significant deficits in subunits and activity of mitochondrial respiratory chain complex 1, and mtDNA deletions in the DA neurons are a common feature of PD pathology. Identified familial PD genes are localized to and involved in mitochondrial function including *Pink1*, *parkin*, and *DJ-1* (Hencheliffe and Beal, 2008). In Drosophila the overexpression of mutant *Pink1* alters mitochondrial dynamics including the mtDNA and ATP levels and the overexpression of *Buffy*, which encodes a BeI-2 protein protects against these phenotypes (Park *et al.*, 2006). Pink1 is involved in mitochondrial turnover

by autophagy (Dagda et al., 2009), where the altered mitochondrial morphology and reduced ATP production targets the mitochondria to autophagy (macroautophagy) or mitophagy. In this role, Pink1, parkin and other proteins have been linked. The dysregulation of this process causes the accumulation of abnormal proteins and/or damaged organelles due to a failure by the cells to degrade proteins under normal conditions and under conditions of stress.

The vesicular nature of Lewy bodies led to the suggestion that the autophagiclysosomal pathway contributes to the formation or dissolution of Lewy bodies (Forno, 1996). In mice treated with MPTP, a mitochondrial toxin that induces mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress, other than α-synuclein, LC3 (Macrotubule associated protein 1A/1B light chain 3 or Atg8), autophagic vacuoles and macroautophagic components are found to accumulate in Lewy bodies (Xilouri and Stefanis, 2010). It is still unclear whether lysosomal dysfunction is secondary to accumulation of autophagic vacuoles, but evidence suggests an interplay in failure of the protein degradation system and macroautophagy.

The accumulation of α-synuclein in Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites has been attributed to failure of the UPS degradation system (Imai et al., 2000; Auluck et al., 2002) but recent studies show α-synuclein could be degraded by the lysosomal pathway and especially macroautophagy and the chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) (Xilouri and Stefanis, 2010). The inhibition of macroautophagy leads to the accumulation of WT αsynuclein showing it has an important role in normal α-synuclein interferes with its degradation

by CMA and the degradation of other products by CMA (Martinez-Vicente et al., 2008). The toxic effects of α-synuclein have been determined to be CMA dysfunction, lysosomal dysfunction, or inhibition of an early point in autophagosome formation by Rab1a interaction (Winslow et al., 2010; Xilouri and Stefanis, 2010). Induction of macroautophagy by treatment with rapamycin or overexpressing Atg7 or Beelin-1 rescued the α-synuclein induced phenotypes.

The autophagosome or autophagic vacuole engulfs cytosolic constituents such as organelles, proteins and lipids. Atg, Beclin-1 and several other proteins are involved in the formation of the phagosome (Xilouri and Stefanis, 2010). Bcl-2 family of proteins bind Beclin-1/Atg6. a BH3 only protein, in a multimeric complex that is involved in vesicle nucleation stage of the autophagosome formation (Maiuri *et al.*, 2007; Sinha and Levine, 2008; Xilouri and Stefanis, 2010). The discovery of the BH3 domain, a binding site for interaction between the antiapoptotic Bel-2 proteins and required for inhibition, in Beclin-1 showed that not only do Bel-2 proteins regulate apoptosis, but function as antiautophagic proteins (Sinha and Levine, 2008). Bcl-2 proteins seem to play a crucial role in maintaining the autophagic homoeostasis since phosphorylation of Beclin-1 that weakens this interaction promote autophagy (Zalekvar *et al.*, 2009). Thus Bel-2 proteins have a dual role in regulating apoptosis and autophagy.

In a recent study in C. elegans, overexpression of *a-symclein* was shown to inhibit mitochondrial fusion by binding to the outer mitochondria membrane, resulting in an agedependent mitochondrial fragmentation (Kamp *et al.*, 2010). This fragmentation was rescued upon coexpression of *Pink1*, *parkin* and *DJ-1* but not the mutant versions of these

proteins. Similarly, mutant *a*-synuclein (A53T) was shown to induce neuronal cell death by upregulating autophagy (macroautophagy) leading to mitochondrial removal (Choubey *et al.*, 2010). Using the same *a*-synuclein mutant, overexpression of *a*-synuclein in DA neurons was shown to interfere with mitochondria complex I, leading to an agedependent decrease in substrate specific respiration along with an increase in mitophagy (Chinta *et al.*, 2010). It seems the accumulation of *a*-synuclein interferes with the normal functioning of the mitochondria by either binding to the outer mitochondrial membrane, the inner mitochondrial membrane or associates with mitochondria membrane proteins, such as adenylate translocator (Zhu *et al.*, 2011), a component of the mitochondria permeability transition pore, leading to deformed mitochondria and depolarization of the mitochondrial membrane potential.

The overexpression of *Pinkl* enhances starvation-induced macroautophagy by interacting with Beclin-1 (Michiorri et al., 2010), and has a role in mitophagy (Narendra et al., 2008; 2010). It seems *Bitffy* plays a protective role in the mitochondria since its overexpression counteracts the loss of *Pinkl*, and in our study, it counteracts the induced PD phenotype of age-dependent loss of climbing ability. Mounting evidence points to disorders in macroautophagy homeostasis, and especially mitophagy, in the pathogenesis of PD. Bcl-2 proteins have been shown to play a dual role in apoptosis and autophagy and some theories suggest they have an overly active role for mitochondrial removal, leading to neuronal death and altering synaptic function, which manifest as motor and nonmotoric dysfunction. Since Bcl-2 proteins have been shown to block. Beclin-1 dependent autophagy by inhibiting the formation of the Beclin-1/Vps34 PI3K complex (Pattingre et

al., 2005), we are inclined to theorize that Buffy plays a similar role in Drasophila melanogaster. Excessive levels of autophagy leads to cell death, and as such Buffy may act to balance the levels of autophagy via the Beclin-1 pathway to remove damaged mitochondria but inhibit excessive removal of dysfunctional mitochondria, counteracting the PD-like symptoms in Drosophila. The accumulation of α-synuclein promotes excessive levels of macroautophagy leading to removal of mitochondria, and it is possible that once most neurons are depleted of mitochondria, synaptic function is interrupted. Buffy seems to restore this balance.

Conclusion

Bcl-2 proteins interact with the fusion/fission machinery to affect mitochondrial dynamics to regulate apoptosis, whereas they interact with familial PD genes such as *Pink1* to maintain mitochondrial integrity and with *Beclin-1* to maintain a healthy autophagic system in healthy cells to regulate mitophagy. Further work is required to clearly elucidate how *Buffy* and *debcl* associate with the familial PD genes and how they fit into the regulation of mitochondrial integrity and the tightly controlled autophagy.

References

Abdelwahid E, Yokokura T, Krieser RJ, Balasundaram S, Fowle WH, White K. (2007) Mitochondrial disruption in Drosophila apoptosis. *Dev Cell* 12:793–806

Abramoff MD, Magelhaes PJ and Ram SJ. (2004) Image Processing with ImageJ. Biophotonics Intl. 11: 36-42.

Arama E. Agapite J, Steller H. (2003) Caspase activity and a specific cytochrome C are required for sperm differentiation in Drosophila. *Dev Cell* 4:687–697

Auluck PK, Chan HYE, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM-Y, Bonini NM. (2002) Chaperone suppression of a-Synuclein toxicity in a Drosophila model for Parkinson's disease. *Science* 295: 865-868

Baker NE. (2001) Cell proliferation, survival, and death in the Drosophila eye. Seminars in cell & developmental biology 12: 499-507.

Banerjee R, Starkov AA, Beal MF, Thomas B. (2009) Mitochondrial dysfunction in the limelight of Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1792: 651-663

Bassik MC, Scorrano L, Oakes SA, Pozzan T, Korsemeyer SJ. (2004) Phosphorylation of Bcl-2 regulates ER Ca²⁺ homeostasis and apoptosis. *EMBO J* 23: 1207-1216

Beal MF. (2001) Experimental models of Parkinson's disease. Nat Rev Neurosci 2: 325-332

Beilina A, Van Der Brug M, Ahmad R, Kesavapany S, Miller DW, Petsko GA, Cookson MR. (2005) Mutations in PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 associated recessive Parkinsonism have differential effects on protein stability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 5703-5708

Bellen HJ, Levis RW, Liao G, He Y, Carlson JW, Tsang G, Evans-Holm M, Hiesinger PR, Schulze KL, Rubin GM, Hoskins RA, Spradling AC. (2004) The BDGP gene disruption project: single transposon insertions associated with 40% of Drosophila genes. *Genetics* 167: 761–781

Betarbet R, Sherer TB, Greenamyre JT. (2005) Ubiquitin-proteasome system and Parkinson's diseases. *Exp Neurol* 191: S17-S27

Bonifati V, Rizzu P, Squitierri F, Krieger E, Vanacore N, Swieten JC, Brice A, Duijn CM, Oostra B, Meco G, Heutink P. (2003) DJ-1 (PARK7), a novel gene for autosomal recessive, early onset Parkinsonism. *Neurol Sci* 24: 159-160

Botella JA, Bayersdorfer F, Schneuwly S. (2008) Superoxide dismutase overexpression protects dopaminergic neurons in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Dis* 30: 65-73 Bournan L, Schlierf A, Lutz AK, Shan J, Deinlein A, Kast J, Galehdar Z, Palmisano V, Patenge N, Berg D, Gasser T, Augustin R, Trumbach D, Irrcher I, Park DS, Wurst W, Kilherg MS, Tatzelt J, Winklhofer KF. (2011) Parkin is transcriptionally regulated by ATF4: evidence for an interconnection between mitochondrial stress and ER stress. *Cell Death Diff*, 18:769–82.

Brachmann CB, Jassim OW, Wachsmuth BD, Cagan RL. (2000) The Drosophila Bcl-2 family member dBorg-1 functions in the apoptotic response to UV-irradiation. Curr Biol 10: 547-550

Brand AH, Perrimon N. (1993) Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* 118: 401-415

Brooks C, Dong Z. (2007) Regulation of mitochondrial morphological dynamics during apoptosis by Bcl-2 family proteins. Cell Cycle 6: 3043-3047

Brooks C, Wei Q, Feng L, Dong G, Tao Y, Mei L, Xie ZJ, Dong Z. (2007) Bak regulates mitochondrial morphology and pathology during apoptosis by interacting with mitofusins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1043: 11649–11654.

Cauchi RJ, Heuvel M. (2006) The fly as a model for neurodegenerative diseases: is it worth the jump? *Neurodeg Dis* 3: 338-356

Celotto AM, Palladino MJ. (2005) Drosophila; A 'model' model system to study neurodegeneration. Mol Interv 5(5): 292-303

Challa M, Malladi S, Pellock BJ, Dresnek D, Varadarajan S, Yin YW, White K, Bratton SB. (2007) Drosophila Omi, a mitochondrial-localized 1AP antagonist and proapoptotic serine protease. *EMBO* J 263:144–3156

Chan DC. (2006) Mitochondria: dynamic organelles in disease, aging, and development. Cell 125: 1241–1252.

Chan HYE, Bonini NM. (2000) Drosophila models of human neurodegenerative disease. Cell Death Differ 7: 1075-1080

Chen L, Feany MB. (2005) α-Synuclein phosphorylation controls neurotoxicity and inclusion formation in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 8: 657-663

Chen P, Abrams JM. (2000) Drosophila apoptosis and Bcl-2 genes: Outliers fly in. J Cell Biol 148: 625-627

Chinta SJ, Mallajosyula JK, Rane A, Andersen JK. (2010) Mitochondrial alpha-synuclein accumulation impairs complex 1 function in dopaminergic neurons and results in increased mitophagy in vivo. Neuroscience Let 486: 235–239

Choubey V, Safiulina D, Vaarmann A, Cagalinec M, Wareski P, Kuum M, Zharkovsky A,

Kaasik A. (2011) Mutant A53T -Synuclein Induces Neuronal Death by Increasing Mitochondrial Autophagy. J Biol Chem 286: 10814–10824

Clark IE, Dodson MW, Jiang C, Cao JH, Huh JR, Seol JH, Yoo SJ, Hay BA, Guo M. (2006) Drosophila Pink1 is required for mitechondrial function and interacts genetically with Parkin. Nature 441: 1162-1166

Colussi PA, Quinn LM, Huang DCS, Coombe M, Read SH, Richardson H, Kumar S. (2000) Debel, a proapoptotic Bel-2 homologue: is a component of the Drosophila melanogaster cell death machinery. J Cell Biol 148: 703-714

Cooper AA, Gitler AD, Cashikar A, HaynesCM, Hill KJ, Bhullar B, Liu K, Xu K, Stratheam KE, Liu F, Cao S, Caldwell KA, Caldwell GA, Marsischky G, Kolodner RD, La Baer J, Rochet J-C, Bonini NM, Lindquist S. (2006) ar-Synuclein blocks ER-Golgi traffic and Rabl rescues neuron loss in Parkinson's models. *Science* 313: 324-328

Cory S, Adams JM. (2002) The Bel-2 family: regulators of the cellular life –or-death switch. Nat Rev Cancer 2: 647-656

Coulom H, Birman S. (2004) Chronic exposure to rotenone models sporadic Parkinson's disease in Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurosci 24: 10993-10998

Da Costa CA. (2007) DJ-1: A newcomer in Parkinson's disease pathology. Curr Mol Med 7: 650-657

Dagda RK, Cherra III SJ, Kulich SM, Tandon A, Park D, Chu CT. (2009) Loss of PINK1 function promotes mitophagy through effects on oxidative stress and mitochondrial fission. J. Biol. Chem. 284: 13843–13855.

Dawson TM, Dawson VL. (2003) Molecular pathways of neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease. *Science* 302: 819-822

Deng H, Dodson MW, Huang H, Guo M. (2008) The Parkinson's disease genes Pink1 and Parkin promote mitochondrial fission and/or inhibit fusion in *Drosophila*. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105(38): 14503–14508

Di Fonzo A, Dekker MC, Montagna P, Baruzzi A, Yonova EH, Correia Guedes L, Szczerbinska A, Zhao T, Dubbel-Hulsman LO, Wouters CH, de Graaff E, Oyen WJ, Simons EJ, Breedveld GJ, Oostra BA, Horstink MW, Bonifati V, (2009) FBXO7 mutations cause autosomal recessive, early-onset parkinsonian-pyramidal syndrome. *Neurology* 12:240–245.

Dodson MW, Guo M. (2007) Pink1, Parkin, DJ-1 and mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. Curr Opin Neurobiol 17: 331-337

Doumanis J, Dorstyn L, Kumar S. (2007) Molecular determinants of the subcellular localization of the Drosophila Bel-2 homologues DEBCL and BUFFY. Cell Death Differ 14:907-915

Feany MB, Bender WW. (2000) A Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Nature 404: 394-398

Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. (1998) Potent and specific genetic interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature* 391: 806-811

Forno LS. (1996) Neuropathology of Parkinson's disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 55: 259-272

Frank S, Gaume B, Bergmann-Leitner ES, Leitner WW, Robert EG, Catez F, Smith CL. Youle RJ. (2001) The role of dynamin-related protein 1, a mediator of mitochondrial fission. in apoptosis. *Dev. Cell* 1: 515–525.

Freeman, M. (1996) Reiterative use of the EGF receptor triggers differentiation of all cell types in the Drosophila eye. *Cell* 87: 651–660

Fujiwara H, Hasegawa M, Dohmaae N, Kawashima A, Masliah E, Goldberg MS, Shen J, Takio K, Iwatsubo T. (2002) α -Synuclein is phosphorylated in synucleinopathy lesions. *Nat. Cell Biol* 4: 160-164

Galindo KA, Lu W-J, Park JH, Abrams JM. (2009) The Bax/Bak orthologue in Drosophila, Debel exerts limited control over programmed cell death. Development 136: 275-283

Gaumer S, Guénal I, Brun S, Thêodore L, Mignotte B. (2000) Bcl-2 and Bax mammalian regulators of apoptosis are functional in *Drosophila*. Cell Death Differ 7: 804-814

Giasson BI, Lee VM-Y. (2001) Parkin and the molecular pathways of Parkinson's disease. Neuron 31: 885-888

Gloor GB, Preston CR, Johnson-Schlitz DM, Nassif NA, Phillis RW, Benz WK, Robertson HM, Engels WR. (1993). Type I repressors of P element mobility. Genetics 135: 81-95.

Gong WJ, Golic KG. (2003) Ends-out, or replacement, gene targeting in Drosophila. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100: 2556-2561

Goyal G, Fell B, Sarin A, Youle RJ, Sriram V. (2007) Role of mitochondrial remodelling in programmed cell death in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Dev Cell 12:807–816

Greene JC, Whitworth AJ, Kuo I, Andrews LA, Feany MB, Pallanck LJ. (2003) Mitochondrial pathology and apoptotic muscle degeneration in *Drosophila* Parkin mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 4078-4083

Gross A, McDonnell JM, Korsemeyer SJ. (1999) Bcl-2 family members and the

mitochondria in apoptosis. Genes and Dev 13: 1899-1911

Häcki J, Egger L, Monney L, Conus S, Rosse T, Fellay I, Borner C. (2000) Apoptotic crosstalk between the endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria controlled by Bel-2. Oncogene 19: 2286-2295

Hardaway JA. (2010) Genetics in Invertebrates: Modeling Dopaminergic Signaling and Neurodegeneration. Vanderbilt Rev Neurosci 2:55-61

Hay BA, Guo M. (2006) Caspase-dependent cell death in Drosophila. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 22:623-650

Haywood AFM, Staveley BE. (2004) parkin counteracts symptoms in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. BMC Neurosci 5: 14

Haywood AFM, Staveley BE. (2006) Mutant α-Synuclein-induced degeneration is reduced by Parkin in a fly model of Parkinson's disease. *Genome* 49: 505-510

Hencheliffe C, Beal MF. (2008) Mitochondrial biology and oxidative stress in Parkinson disease pathogenesis. Nat. Clin. Pract. Neurol. 4: 600–609.

Igaki T, Kanuka H, Inohara N, Sawamoto K, Núñez G, Okano H, Miura M. (2000) Drob-1, a Drosophila member of the Bel-2/CED-9 family that promotes cell death. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97: 662-667

Igaki T, Miura M. (2004) Role of Bel-2 family members in invertebrates. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1644: 73-81

Imai Y, Soda M, Takahashi R. (2000) Parkin suppresses unfolded protein stress-induced cell death through its E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase activity. J Biol Chem 275: 35661-35664

Jeibmann A, Paulus W. (2009) Drosophila melanogaster as a model organism of brain diseases. Int J Mol Sci 10: 407-440

Joza N, Galindo K, Pospisilik JA, Benit P, Rangachari M, Kanitz EE, Nakashima Y, Neely GG, Rustin P, Abrams JM, Kroemer G, Penninger JM. (2008) The molecular archaeology of a mitochondrial death effector: AIF in *Drosophila. Cell Death Differ* 15:1009–1018

Kamp F, Exner N, Lutz AK, Wender N, Hegermann J, Brunner B, Nuscher B, Bartels T, Giese A, Beyer K, Eimer S, Wikhlofer KF, Haass C. (2010) Inhibition of mitochondrial fusion by α-synuclein is rescued by PINK1, Parkin and D-11 *EMBO / 29:3571 - 3589*

Kann O, Kovaes R. (2007) Mitochondria and neuronal activity. Am. J. Physiol., Cell Physiol. 292: C641–C657.

Kanuka H, Sawamoto K, Inohara N, Matsuno K, Okano H, Miura M. (1999) Control of the cell death pathway by Dapaf-1, a *Drosophila* Apaf-1/CED-4-related caspase activator.

Mol Cell 4:757-769

Karbowski M, Lee YJ, Gaume B, Jeong SY, Frank S, Nechushtan A, Santel A, Fuller M, Smith CL, Youle RJ. (2002) Spatial and temporal association of Bax with mitochondrial fission sites. Drp1, and Mfn2 during apoptosis. J Cell Biol 159:931–938

Karbowski M, Norris KL, Cleland MM, Jeong S-Y, Youle RJ. (2006) Role of Bax and Bak in mitochondrial morphogenesis. *Nature* 443: 658-662

Kim RH, Peters M, Jang J, Shi W, Pintilie M, Fletcher GC, De Luca C, Liepa J, Zhou L, Snow B, Binari RC, Manoukian AS, Bray MR, Liu F-F, Tsao M-S, Mak TW. (2005) DJ-1, a novel regulator of the tumour suppressor PTEN. *Cancer Cell* 7: 263-273

Kim Y, Park J, Kim S, Song S, Kwon S-K, Lee S-H, Kitada T, Kim J-M, Chung J. (2008) Pinkl controls mitochondrial localization of Parkin through direct phosphorylation. Biochem Biophy Res Comm 377: 975-980

Kitada T, Asakawa S, Hattori N, Matsumine H, Yamamura Y, Minoshima S, Yokochi M, Mizuno Y, Shimizu N. (1998) Mutations in the Parkin gene cause autosomal recessive juvenile Parkinsonism. *Nature* 392: 605-608

Kornbluth S, White K. (2005) Apoptosis in Drosophila: neither fish nor fowl (nor man, nor worm). J Cell Sci 118:1779–1787

Krieser RJ and White K. (2010) Inside an enigma: do mitochondria contribute to cell death in Drosophila? Apoptosis 14: 961-968

Lautier C, Goldwurm S, Dürr A, Giovannone B, Tsiaras WG, Pezzoli G, Brice A, Smith RJ. (2008) Mutations in the GIGYF2 (TNRC15) gene at the PARK11 locus in familial Parkinson disease. *Am J Hum Genet.* 82:822-33.

Lavara-Culebras E, Paricio N. (2007) Drosophila DJ-1 mutants are sensitive to oxidative stress and show reduced lifespan and motor deficits. Gene 400: 158-165

Lee SB, Kim W, Lee S, Chung J. (2007) Loss of Lrrk2/PARK8 induces degeneration of dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. Biochim Biophys Res Comm 358: 534-539

Leroy E, Boyer R, Auburger G, Leube B, Ulm G, Mezey E, Harta G, Brownstein MJ, Jonnalagada S, Chernova T, Dehejia A, Lavedan C, Gasser T, Steinbach PJ, Wilkinson KD, Polymeropoulos MH. (1998) The ubiquitin pathway in Parkinson's disease. *Nature* 395: 451-452

Lev N, Roncevich D, Ickowicz D, Melamed E, Offer D. (2006) Role of DJ-1 in Parkinson's disease. J Mol Neurosci 29: 215-226

Li H, Chaney S, Roberts JJ, Forte M, Hirsh J, (2000) Ectopic G-protein expression in dopamine and serotonin neurons blocks cocaine sensitization in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Curr. Biol.* 10(4): 211–214. Liu Z, Wang X, Yu Y, Li X, Wang T, Jiang H, Ren Q, Jiao Y, Sawa A, Moran T, Ross CA, Montell C, Smith WW. (2008) A Drosophila model for Lrrk2-linked Parkinsonism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 2693-2698

Lu B, Vogel H. (2008) Drosophila models of neurodegenerative diseases. Ann Rev Pathol 4: 315-342

Lu B. (2009) Recent advances in using *Drosophila* to model neurodegenerative diseases. *Apoptosis* 14: 1008-1020

Maiuri MC, Zalckvar E, Kimchi A, Kroemer G. (2007) Self-eating and self-killing: crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis Nat Rev. Mol Cell Biol. 8: 741-752

Marsh JL, Thompson LM. (2006) Drosophila in the study of neurodegenerative disease. Neuron 52: 169-178

Martinez-Vicente M, Talloczy Z, Kaushik S, Massey AC, Mazzulli J, Mosharov EV, Hodara R, Fredenburg R, Wu D-C, Follenzi A, Dauer W, Przedborski S, Ischiropoulos H, Lansbury PT, Sulzer D, Cuervo AM. (2008) Dopamine-modified alpha-synuclein blocks chaperone-mediated autophagy. J Clin Invest. 118: 777-788

Martinou JC, Youle RJ. (2006) Which came first, the cytochrome c release or the mitochondrial fission? Cell Death Differ 13:1291-1295

Means JC, Hays R. (2007) Mitochondrial membrane depolarization in Drosophila apoptosis. Cell Death Differ 14:383–385

Menzies FM, Yenisetti SC, Min K-T. (2005) Roles of Drosophila DJ-1 in survival of dopaminergic neurons and oxidative stress. Curr Biol 15: 1578-1582

Meulener M, Whitworth AJ, Armstrong-Gold CE, Rizzu P, Heutink P, Wes PD, Pallanck LJ, Bonini NM. (2005) *Drosophila* DJ-1 mutants are selectively sensitive to environmental toxins associated with Parkinson's disease. *Curr Biol* 15: 1572-1577

Michiorri S, Gelmetti V, Giarda E, Lombardi F, Romano F, Marongiu R, Nerini-Molteni S, Sale P, Vago R, Arena G, Torosantucci L, Cassina L, Russo MA, Dallapiccola B, Valente E,M, Casari G. (2010) The Parkinson-associated protein PINK1 interacts with Beclin1 and promotes autophagy. *Cell Death Diff*. 17: 962-974

Michno K, Van de Hoef D, Wu H, Boulianne GL. (2005) Demented flies? Using Drosophila to model human neurodegenerative diseases. Clin Genet 67: 468-475

Muqit MM, Feany MB. (2002) modelling neurodegenerative diseases in Drosophila: a fruitful approach? Nat Rev Neurosci. 3: 237-243

Narendra D, Tanaka A, Suen D-F, Youle RJ. (2008) Parkin is recruited selectively to impaired mitochondria and promotes their autophagy. J Cell Biol 183(5): 795-803 Narendra DP, Jin SM, Tanaka A, Suen D-F, Gautier CA, Shen J, Cookson MR, Youle RJ. (2010) PINK1 is selectively stabilized on impaired mitochondria to activate Parkin. *PLoS Biol* 8: e1000298

Oberst A, Bender C, Green DR. (2008) Living with death: the evolution of the mitochondrial pathway of apoptosis in animals. *Cell Death Differ* 15:1139–1146

Outeiro TF, Kontopoulos E, Altmann SM, Kufareva I, Strathearn KE, Amore AM, Volk CB, Maxwell MM, Rochet J-C, McLean PJ, Young AB, Abagyan R, Feany MB, Hyman BT, Kazantsev AG, (2007) Sirtuin 2 inhibitors rescue a-Synuclein-mediated toxicity models of Parkinson's disease. *Science* 317: 516-519

Paisan-Ruiz C, Bhatia KP, Li A, Hernandez D, Davis M, Wood NW, Hardy J, Houlden H, Singleton A, Schneider SA. (2009) Characterization of PLA2G6 as a locus for dystoniaparkinsonism. *Ann. Neurol.* 65:19–23.

Paisan-Ruiz C, Jain S, Evans EW, Gilks WP, Simón J, Brug M, Munain AL, Aparicio S, Gil AM, Khan N, Johnson J, Martínez JR, Nicholl D, Carrera IM, Peña AS, Silva R, Lees A, Martí-Massó JF, Pérez-Tur J, Wood NW, Singleton AB. (2004) Cloning of the gene containing mutations that cause PARK8-linked Parkinson's disease. *Neuron* 44: 595-600

Park J, Kim SY, Cha G-H, Lee SB, Kim S, Chung J. (2005) Drosophila DJ-1 mutants show oxidative stress-sensitive locomotive dysfunction. Gene 361: 133-139

Park J, Lee SB, Kim Y, Song S, Kim S, Bae E, Kim J, Shong M, Kim J-M, Chung J. (2006) Mitochondrial dysfunction in *Drosophila* Pink1 mutants is complemented by Parkin. *Nature* 441: 1157-1161

Pattingre S, Tassa A, Qu X, Garuti R, Liang XH, Mizushima N, Packer M, Schneider MD, Levine B, (2005). Bel-2 antiapoptotic proteins inhibit Beclin 1-dependent autophagy. Cell 23;122(6):927-39.

Pendleton RG, Parvez F, Saped M, Hillman R. (2002) Effects of pharmacological agents upon a transgeric model of Parkinson's disease in *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Pharmacol Exp Therap 300: 91-96

Periquet M, Fulga T, Myllykangas L, Schlossmacher MG, Feany MB. (2007) Aggregated a-Synuclein mediates dopaminergic neurotoxicity in vivo. J Neurosci. 27: 3338-3346

Pesah Y, Pham T, Burgess H, Middlebrooks B, Verstreken P, Zhou Y, Harding M, Bellen H, Mardon G. (2004) Drosophila Parkin mutants have decreased mass and cell size and increased sensitivity to oxygen radical stress. Development 131: 2183-2194

Petit A, Kawarai T, Paitel E, Sanjo N, Maj M, SCheid M, Chen F, Gu Y, Hasegawa H, Salehi-Rad S, Wang L, Rogaeva E, Fraser P, Robinson B, St. George-Hyslop P, Tandon A. (2005) Wild-type Pinkl prevents basal and induced neuronal apoptosis, a protective effect abrogated by Parkinson disease-related mutations. *J Biol Chem* 280: 34025-34032 Plun-Favreau H, Klupsch K, Moisoi N, Gandhi S, Kjaer S, Frith D, Harvey K, Deas E, Harvey RJ, McDonald N, Wood NW, Martins LM, Downward, (2007) The mitochondrial protease HtrA2 is regulated by Parkinson's disease-associated kinase PINK1. *Nat Cell* Biol 9:1243–1252

Polymeropoulos MH, Lavedan C, Leroy E, Ide SE, Dehejia A, Dutra A, Pike B, Root H, Rubenstein J, Boyer R, Stenrose ES, Chandrasekharappa S, Athanassiadou A, Papapetropoulos T, Johnson WG, Lazzarini AM, Duvoisin RC, Lorio GD, Golbe LI, Nussbaum RL. (1997) Mutation in the α-Synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease. *Science* 276: 2045-2047

Poole AC, Thomas RE, Andrews LA, McBride HM, Whitworth AJ, Pallanck AJ. (2008) The Pink1/Parkin pathway regulates mitochondrial morphology. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 1638-1643

Quinn LM, Coombe M, Mills K, Daish T, Colussi P, Kumar S, Richardson H. (2003) Buffy. a Drosophila Bel-2 protein, has antiapoptotic and cell cycle inhibitory functions. EMBO J 22: 5568-3579

Quinn LM, Richardson H. (2004) Bcl-2 in cell cycle regulation. Cell Cycle 3: 7-9

Rajput A, Dickson DW, Robinson CA, Ross OA, Dächsel JC, Lincoln SJ, Cobb SA, Rajput ML, Farrer MJ. (2006) Parkinsonism, Lrrk2 G2019S, and tau neuropathology. *Neurol* 67: 1506-1508

Ramirez A, Heimbach A, Gröndemann J, Stiller B, Hampstire D, Cid LP, Goebel I, Mubaidin AF, Wriekat A, Roeper J, Al-Din A, Hillmer AM, Korsak M, Liss B, Woods CG, Behrens MI, Kubisch C. (2006) Hereditary Parkinsonism with dementia is caused by mutations in ATP 15A2, encoding a lysosomal type 5 P-type ATPase. *Nat Genet* 38(10): 1184-1191

Richardson H, Kumar S. (2002) Death to flies: *Drosophila* as a model system to study programmed cell death. *J Immunol Meth* 265: 21-38

Rodriguez A, Oliver H, Zou H, Chen P, Wang X, Abrams J. (1999) DARK, a Drosophila homolog of ApaI-1/ced-4, functions in an evolutionarily conserved death pathway. Nat Cell Biol 1:272–279

Ross OA, Toft M, Whittle AJ, Johnson JL, Papapetropoulos S, Mash DC, Litvan I, Gordon MF, Wszolek ZK, Farrer MJ, Dickson DW. (2006) Lrrk2 and Lewy body disease. Ann Neurol 59: 388–393

Rubin GM, Spradling AC. (1982) Genetic transformation of Drosophila with transposable element vectors. Science 218: 348-353

Sang T, Jackson GR. (2005) Drosophila models of neurodegenerative disease. Neuro Rx 2: 438-446 Scherzer CR, Jensen RV, Gullans SR, Feany MB. (2003) Gene expression changes presage neurodegeneration in a *Drosophila* model of Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol* Genet 12: 2457-2466

Schwartz PS, Hockenbery DM. (2006) Bel-2 related survival proteins. Cell Death Differ 13: 1250-1255

Senoo-Matsuda N, Igaki T, Miura M. (2005) Bax-like protein Drob-1 protects neurons from expanded Polyglutamine-induced toxicity in Drosophila. EMBO J 24: 2700-2713

Sevrioukov EA, Burr J, Huang EW, Assi HH, Monserrate JP, Purves DC, Wu JN, Song EJ, Brachmann CB. (2007) Drosophila Bel-2 proteins participate in stress-induced apoptosis. but are not required for normal development. Genesis 45: 184-193

Sharp PA. (2001) RNA interference. Genes Dev 15: 485-490

Singleton AB, Farrer M, Johnson J, Singleton A, Hague S, Kachergus J, Hulihan M, Peuralinna T, Dutra A, Nussbaum R, Lincoln S, Cravley A, Hanson M, Maraganore D, Adler C, Cookson MR, Muenter M, Baptista M, Miller D, Blancato J, Hardy J, Gwinn-Hardy K, (2003) a-Synuclein locus triplication causes Parkinson's disease. *Science* 302: 841

Sinha S, Levine B. (2008) The autophagy effector Beclin 1: a novel BH3-only protein. Oncogene. 27: S137–S148

Staveley BE, Phillips JP, Hilliker AJ. (1990) Phenotypic consequences of copper-zinc superoxide dismutase overexpression in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genome 33: 867-872.

Strauss KM, Martins LM, Plun-Flavreau H, Marx FP, Kautzman S, Berg D, Gasser T, Wszolek Z, Möller T, Bornemann A, Wolburg H, Downward J, Riess O, Schulz JB, Kröger R. (2005) Loss of function mutations in the gene encoding Omi/HtrA2 in Parkinson's disease. *Hum Mol Genet* 14: 2099-2111

Su B, Wang X, Zheng L, Perry G, Smith MA, Zhu X. (2010) Abnormal mitochondrial dynamics and neurodegenerative diseases. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1802: 135–142

Taylor JP, Mata IF, Farrer MJ. (2006) Lrrk2: a common pathway for Parkinsonism, pathogenesis and prevention? Trends Mol Med 12: 76-82

Thomas B, Beal MF. (2007) Parkinson's disease. Hum Mol Genet 16: 183-194

Thomas B, Beal MF. (2011) The genes of Parkinson's disease. F1000 Med Reports 25: 36-41

Thomas BJ & Wassarman DA. (1999) A fly's eye view of biology. Trends in Genetics. 15: 184 – 90.

Todd AM, Staveley BE. (2004) Novel assay and analysis for measuring climbing ability

in Drosophila. Drosophila Information Services 87:101-107.

Todd AM, Staveley BE. (2008) Pink1 suppresses α-Synuclein-induced phenotypes in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Genome 51: 1040-1046

Valente EM, Abou-Sleiman PM, Caputo V, Muqit MMK, Harvey K, Gispert S, Ali Z, Turco DD, Bentivoglio AR, Healy DG, Albanese A, Nussbaum R, González-Maldonado R, Deller T, Salvi S, Cortelli F, Gilks WP, Latchman DS, Harvey RJ, Dallapiccola B, Auburger G, Wood NW. (2004) Herediatry early-onset Parkinson's disease caused by mutations in PINK1. Science 304: 1158-1160

Wang C, Lu R, Ouyang X, Ho MWL, Chia W, Yu F, Lim K-L. (2007) Drosophila overexpressing Parkin R275W mutant exhibits dopaminergic neuron degeneration and mitochondrial abnormalities. J Neurosci 27: 8563–8570

Wang C, Youle RJ. (2009) The role of mitochondria in apoptosis. Annu. Rev. Genet. 43: 95-118.

Wang D, Qian L, Xiong H, Liu J, Neekameyer WS, Oldham S, Xia K, Wang J, Bodmer R, Zhang Z. (2006) Antioxidants protect PINK I-dependent dopaminergic neurons in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 15520-15525

Webb JL, Ravikumar B, Atkins J, Skepper JN, Rubinsztei DC. (2003) Alpha-synuclein is degraded by both autophagy and the proteasome. J Biol Chem. 278: 25009-25013

West AB, Moore DJ, Biskup S, Bugayenko A, Smith WW, Ross CA, Dawson VL, Dawson TM. (2005) Parkinson's disease-associated mutations in Leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 augment kinase activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 16842-16847

Whitworth AJ, Lee JR, Ho VM, Flick R, Chowdhury R, McQuibban GA. (2008) Rhomboid-7 and HtrA2(Omi act in a common pathway with the Parkinson's disease factors Pink1 and Parkin. *Dis Model Mech* 1:168–174

Whitworth AJ, Theodore DA, Greene JC, Benes H, Wes PD, Pallanek LJ. (2005) Increased glutathione S-transferase activity rescues dopaminergic neuron loss in a *Drosophila* model of Parkinson's disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102: 8024-8029

Winslow AR, Chen C-W, Corrochano S, Acevedo-Arozena A, Gordon DE, Peden AA, Lichtenberg M, Menzies FM, Ravikumar B, Imarisio S, Brown S, O'Kane CJ, Rubinszteinet DC. (2010) alpha-Synuclein impairs macroautophagy: implications for Parkinson's disease. J Cell Biol 190: 1023-1037

Wolf FW, Heberlein U. (2003) Invertebrate Models of Drug Abuse. J Neurobiol 54: 161– 178

Xilouri M, Stefanis L. (2011) Autophagic pathways in Parkinson disease and related disorders. Expert Rev. Mol. Med. 13: e8. Xu D, Li Y, Arcaro M, Lackey M, Bergmann A. (2005) The CARD-carrying caspase Drone is essential for most, but not all, developmental cell death in *Drosophila*. *Development* 132:2125–2134

Xun Z, Sowell RA, Kaufman TC, Clemmer DE. (2008) Quantitative proteomics of a presymptomatic A53T a-Synuclein Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Mol Cell Proteomics 7: 1191-1203

Yang Y, Gehrke S, Haque ME, Imai Y, Kosek J, Yang L, Beal MF, Nishimura I, Wakamatsu K, Ito S, Takahashi R, Lu B. (2005) Inactivation of *Drosophila* DJ-1 leads to impairments of oxidative stress response and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt signalling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 13670-13675

Yang Y, Gehrke S, Imai Y, Huang Z, Ouyang Y, Wang J-W, Yang L, Beal MF, Vogel H, Lu B. (2006) Mitochondrial pathology and muscle and dopaminergic neuron degeneration caused by inactivation of *Drosophila* Pink1 is rescued by Parkin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103: 10793-10798

Zalekvar E, Berissi H, Eisenstein M, Kimchi A. (2009) Phosphorylation of Beelin 1 by DAP-kinase promotes autophagy by weakening its interactions with Bel-2 and Bel-XL. *Autophagy* 5: 720-722

Zhang H, Huang Q, Ke N, Matsuyama S, Hanmock B, Godzik A, Reed JC. (2000) Drosophila prospoptoic Bel-2/Bax homologue reveals evolutionary conservation of cell death mechanisms. J Biol Chem 275: 27303-27306

Zhu Y, Duan C, Lü L, Gao H, Zhao C, Yu S, Uéda K, Chan P, Yang H. (2011) α-Synuclein overexpression impairs mitochondrial function by associating with adenylate translocator. *Inter J Biochem Cell Biol.* 43: 732–741

Zimprich A, Biskup S, Lichlmer P, Farrer M, Lincoln S, Kachergus J, Hulihan M, Uiti KJ, Canle DB, Jon Stoesi A, Préiffer RF. Patenge N, Carbajal IC, Vieregge P, Asmus F, Möller-Myhsok B, Dickson DW, Meitinger T, Strom TM, Wszolek ZK, Gasser T. (2004) Mutations in Lrrk2 cause autosomal dominant Parkinsonism with pleomorphic pathology. *Neuron* 44: 601-607

Appendix 1

While conducting our study we analyzed the effect of *a-synuclein* gene dosage and our results are presented here written in the journal format.

Effects of increased *a-synuclein* expression on the Drosophila *a-synuclein* model of Parkinson Disease.

ABSTRACT: a-synuclein toxicity is proposed to be one of the causes for the symptoms that manifest in PD. Since the discovery of familia forms of PD, but study of a-synuclein has shown that the mutated gene product is involved in PD and is a result of dysfunctional protein aggregation and accumulation. By expressing a single copy and two copies of asynuclein in the IP PD model, we show that locomotor ability and eye development as determined by eye area, interommatidial bristles number and ratio of eye disruption is more compromised in the files expressing two copies of a-synuclein than in files expressing a single copy. We suggest that increased severity in phenotype is due to increased or-synuclein protein toxicity.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson disease (PD) is the most common movement disorder and the second most common neurodegenerative disease, afficing about 1to 2% of the population over 50 years of age. It is associated with selective and profound loss of dopaminergic (DA) neurons, resulting in marked clinical features, which include muscle rigidity, resting tremors, postural instability and bradykinesia, as well as non-moorie symptoms. Ikke autonomic, cognitive and psychiatric problems (formo, 1996). There is presence of Lewy bodies which are intracytoplasmic inclusions containing a-synuclein, and ubiquiti among other protein aggregates. It is the accumulation of these proteins that is believed to lead to cellular toxicity and PD pathogenesis.

Drosophila is an important organism to model human degenerative disorders because the fly brain has over 300, 000 neurons and is organized into separate specialized areas for learning, olfaction, vision and memory (Wolf and Herbelein, 2003; Cauchi and Heuvel, 2006; Hardaway, 2010). Furthermore, the Drosophila rey is phenotypically easy to detect, tolerant to genetic manipulations and is dispensable for the survival of the fly (Chan and Bonini, 2000; Celotto and Palladino, 2005; Jeibman and Paulus, 2009). The genetic system of choice when modelling PD in Drosophila is the biparitic UxSrGAL4 system (Brand and Perrimon, 1993). We used this biparitie system to overcespress acsymule/in in the DA neurons and eyes of Drosophila melanogueter.

The directed expression of *a-symulclin* results in flies that are viable, accumulate aggregated *a*-symulclin in perinuclear and neuritic filamentous inclusions similar to Lewy bodies and Lewy neurites, age-dependent loss of dorsomedial DA neurons, neuronal degeneration, age-dependent loss of climbing ability, retinal degeneration (Feany and Bender, 2000: Alucke *ad.*, 2002), and omnatidal degeneration (Fodd and Starvley, 2008). This taken together, showed a remarkable model system for understanding the pathophysiology of PD.

To investigate whether the PD-like phenotypes become worse when more a-synuclein is accumulated, we overexpressed a-synuclein in the dopaminergic (DA) neurons and eyes of Drosophila melanogaster.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila media and culture.

Stocks and crosses were maintained on a standard medium containing 65 g/L commeal. 50 ml/L molasses, 10 g/L yeast, 5.5g/L agar and -900 ml/L water. Fresh food was prepared by Dr. Brian E. Staveley approximately twice a month and treated with 2.5 ml/L propionic acid and 5 ml/L of 10% in ethanol methylparahen to prevent growth of mold. Seven millilitre aliquots of media was poured into vials, allowed to solidify, and refrigerated at 4°C to 6°C. Stocks were maintained on solid media for two to three weeks before transfer onto new media to reculture. Stocks were kept at room temperature (22 ± 2°C) while crosses and experiments are carried out at 25°C and 29°C.

Drosophila stocks and lines

Ud3-a-symuclein (Feany and Bender, 2000) was generously provided by Dr. M. Feany of Harvard Medical School, UAS-lacZ was obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center, Dr. J. Hirsch (University of Virginia) generously provided Dd6-cidel Hies (Li et al., 2000), and GMR-GAL4²⁷ [lies (Freeman, 1996) were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center at Indiana University.

The UAS-a-synuclein/CyO; Ddc-GAL4/TMS was generated and tested by Dr. Brian Staveley using standard homologous recombination methods and was used to overexpress a-synuclein in the dopanienrefic neurons using the dopa decarboxylase (Ddc) dirver. The GMR-GAL4/UAS-a-synuclein/CyO line was generated by Dr. Brian Staveley and tested by myself by standard PCR procedures and was used to overexpress a-synuclein in the developing eve using the Glass Multiple Reporter (GAR) dirver.

Ageing assay

Several single vial matings of three to five females plus three to five males were made of each genotype. A cohort of adult heterozyogus made files were calcelcted upon eclosion. Approximately between two hundred and four hundred files were aged per genotype, at a density of <2 D0 files per vial. Adults were kept on fresh media replenished every other day. Files were observed and scored every two days for presence of deceased adults. Files were considered dead when they did not display any movement upon agitation (Staveley et al., 1990). Longevity data was analyzed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software. Survival curves were compared using the log-rank test, a statistical test that compares the actual and expected number of failures (death) between survival curves at each individual fulure event. Significance was determined at 95%, at a Pvalue less than or equal to 0.05.

Climbing analysis

Several single vial matings of three to five females plus three to five males were made of each geotype. A cohort of adult heterozygous made fives were collected upon eclosion and scored for their ability to elimb (Todd and Staveley, 2004). Every 7 days. 50 males from every genotype were assayed for their ability to elimb 10 centimetres in 10 seconds in a sterile climbing apparatus. Data was collected over a period of 00 days or until all the flies being assayed were all dead. Flies were maintained on standard commeal-yeastmolasses-agar medium at 25°C. Climbing analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 statistical software. Climbing caves were fitted using non-linear regression and compared using 9% confidence interval.

Scanning electron microscopy of the Drosophila eye

Several single vial matings of three to five females plus three to five males were made of each genotype at 29°C and a cohort of adult heterozygous male files collected upon eclosion and aged for three days on standard commenl-yearst-molasses-agar before being forzen at -80°C. Whole files were mounted on SEM studs, desiccated overnight and coated in gold before photography at 170X magnification with a Hitachi S-570 scanning electron microscope was done. For each cross at least 20 eye images were analyzed using the NIH magel software (Abramoff et al. 2004) and biometric analysis performed. The ratio of the area of disruption was calculated from the total area of the eye divided by the total disrupted area. Disrupted area was considered as an area occupying two to three fixed ommatidia.

RESULTS

Overexpression of a-synuclein in the dopaminergic neurons

An age-dependent loss and degeneration of DA neurons is implicated in the pathophysiology of PD (Forno, 1996), and especially the protein toxicity resulting from the accumulation and aggregation of a-synuclein in this neurons to form Lewy bodies. We overexpressed *a-synuclein* in the DA neurons and assayed the flies for longevity and locomotor ability.

a-synuclein does not alter lifespan when overexpressed in the DA neurons.

Directed expression of *a-symiclein* in the DA neurons using the DA-GAL1 driver dia not result in a significant change in the lifespan of this flies, as determined by comparing the survival curves by Log-rank (Mantel-Cos) test (Figure 1-A). The median survival for *a-symiclein* flies was 58 days compared to the *lacZ* flies, which was 62 days. The P value was 0.2019 (P-0.05) with a 95% C1 of between 0.2120 to 1.926.

a-synuclein flies have locomotor ability similar to control flies

The climbing analysis when *a-synuclein* is expressed in the DA neurons revealed no significant difference when compared to the control flies overexpressing *lacZ* (Figure 1-

B). The 95% CI for the *lacZ* flies was between 0.0788 to 0.0978 and that of *a-symuclein* flies was between 0.0727 to 0.0938 with a P value of 0.9212 (ns). This is possibly due to the driver we were using, *Ddc-GAL4* _{dttt}, which has previously been shown to have subtle phenotypes.

Directed overexpression of a-synuclein in the DA neurons in the a-synuclein model of PD.

An age-dependent loss of climbing ability is one of the recapitulated PD-like symptoms in lies (Feany and Bender, 2000), and is an important assay for detecting any changes in the phenotype. We hypothesized that elevated levels of *a-symclein* would exacertate the seen *a-symclein*-induced phenotype of age-dependent loss of climbing ability. We analyzed the lifespan and the locomotor ability of this flies to determine the effect of the elevated levels of *a-symclein*.

Overexpression of a-synuclein does not alter lifespan.

The coexpression of a symclein with a symclein (UAS-a-symclein CyO: Dele-GAL4/TM3) was done to determine the effects of elevated levels of a-symclein in a system that is expressing a single copy of a-symclein. The a-symclein flies showed no significant difference when compared to the control flies overexpressing lac2 (Figure 2-A). The median aurival was 60 days for the lac2 files, which were overexpressing a single copy of a-symclein in the DA neurons, and 58 days for the a-symclein flies, which were overexpressing two copies of a-symclein in the dopaminergic neurons, the chi square for the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test was (D093 with a P value of 0.9233 (Not significant), and a 95% c1 of between 0.2120 to 1.926. This indicates that survival is not dependent on the dosage of a-symclein.

a-synuclein overexpression decreases climbing ability

Flies expressing ac-synuclein in an ac-synuclein background in the DA neurons, when assayed show a decreased locomotor function compared to control liss (lac2), which were expressing a single copy of ac-synuclein (Figure 2-B). This suggests that ac-synuclein induced phenotype of decreased climbing ability is dose related and the observed decrease in climbing ability of this firs is due to an elevated or-synuclein dosage. The statistical analysis show a P-value of 0.0009** with mean of the difference being -0.6940 and a confidence interval of between -1.15 to -0.2786.

Eye Analysis

Eye development in Drosophila is very precise, the development of each ommatidium and the organization of the ommatidial array being tightly controlled (Thomas and Wasarman, 1999). We first overexpressed *a-symuclein* in the eyes using the eye specific driver *GMR-GAL4* and secondly, we overexpressed *a-symuclein* in the eye of Drosophila *a-symuclein* model using the derivative line *GMR-GAL4 U.SA-a-symuclein* /CyO, which was overexpressing *a-symuclein* in the background.

Expression of a-synuclein in the eye with GMR-GAL4.

Analysis of SEMs of eyes of flies overexpressing a single copy of *a-symuclein* compared to the control flies overexpressing *lacZ* revealed differences in eye development (Figure 3-A), but notable was a slight decrease in the overall area of the eye of *a-symuclein* flies.

a-synuclein expression in the eye alters area of the eye.

We found that the whole area of the eye (107802 ± 1311 ; 116459 ± 2153) (Figure 3-B 1) and the area of a single commatidum (216.6 ± 4.826 ; 233.2 ± 6.332) (Figure 3-B 10) were slightly reduced for a-symuciar lines when compared to the control files P=0.05 (Figure 3) respectively. The number of intercommatidial bristles did not show any significant difference (Figure 3-B III), with the control files having a mean number of 413 ± 2.29 and the asymuciar infise having a mean of 347.57 ± 2.89 bristles.

Expression of a-synuclein in the eye of a-synuclein-dependent model of PD

Investigation of the directed expression of *a-symuclein* was conducted with GMR-GAL4 UAS-*a-symuclein*(CyO, which was expressing one copy of *a-symuclein* in the eye. We compared the SEMs of the control flies, expressing one copy of *a-symuclein* and flies that were expressing two copies of *a-symuclein*.

Overexpressing a-synuclein in the PD model alters eye development.

We found that elevated levels of α -symiclen slightly altered overall eye development. The whole eye area (83464 ± 2520) (Figure 4-b) b) rivitel number (3417 ± 9276) (Figure 4-A11) and the ratio of disrupted area (0.4673 ± 0.0322) (Figure 4-B III) for α symiclen were significantly different from that of the control flies with whole eye area (06791 ± 1288) firstile number (454 ± 8 871) and ratio of disrupted area ($0.3152 \pm$ 0.0187) (Figure 3). This suggests that elevated expression of α -symiclen alters the normal development of the eye.

DISCUSSION

The accumulation of e-symtclein is implicated with the development of PD and the intracytoplasmic inclusions commonly referred to as Lewy bodies have been shown to contain aggregates of ac-symtclein, ubiquitin and other proteins (Forma, 1996; Polymeropoulos et al. 1997; Leroy et al. 1998). This accumulation of proteins is believed to lead to cellular toxicity and PD pathogenesis. The e-symtclein-induced PD model developed in Drosophila displayed an age-dependent loss in climbing ability among other PD-like symptoms (Feary and Bender, 2000). We investigated whether the overexpression of ac-symtclein would exacerbate the loss of climbing ability associated with ac-syntclein PD model files.

We first investigated what the effects of overexpressing *a-synuclein* in the DA neurons would be on the lifespan and locomotor ability. The resulting flies did not show any significant difference in longevity or in their climbing ability, the later was a surprise since previous studies have shown an age-dependent loss in elimbing ability (Feany and Bender, 2000; Haywood and Staveley, 2004; Haywood and Staveley, 2006). We attributed this anomaly to the use of the DA neurons-specific driver, *Duke-GML4*, which was on the "of cormosome, and has been shown to have very subtle phenotypes. Secondly, we crossed *UAS-a-symeletine* (2)0; *Duk-GAL4/TMB* line with *a-symeletin* that resulted in the progeny of these flies having a double dosage of *a-symeletin*, the resulting flies showed a severe age-dependent loss in their climbing ability, but had a similar lifespan to that of *UAS-a-symeletin Dak-GAL4/TAS-lac2* flies, which were overexpressing a single copy of *a-symeletin* and *ac2*. This points to the toxicity of *a-symeletin* in *Dp* tablogenesis, and by extension that *a-symeletin* and the *a-symeletin* in *Dp* tablogenesis, and by alticing in *a-symeletin* to the store *D* like phenotypes when overexpressed.

The directed expression of a-symclein in the eye of flies with GMR-GAL4 recealed significant differences in the morphology of the eye when compared to the lacZ expressing flies. The area of the whole eye and ommatidium was slightly decreased in a-symclein flies but the intercommatidial bristle number was not clanged. This would suggest that expressing a-symclein in the eye of flies affect neurogenesis but it seems to be limited to cell size. This might be attributed to the loss or death of the neurons due to a-symclein-induced toxicity. Expression of a-symclein in list share there expressing a single copy of a-symclein in the system of the compared in the size of the signal flies attributed to the loss or the size of the eye and in particular. I the overall area of the eye was reduced, 2) the interonmatidial bristles were reduced in number, and 3) the ratio of disrupted area of the eye was lingle copy of a-symclein. It is possible that the extra of the correspondence of the eyeural start in grater biological protein toxicity that cause the system for clearing malformed proteins to be stressed and lead to more neuronal cell death.

Recent work has suggested that a-synuclein toxicity results in chaperone-mediated autophagy and by sosomal dysfunction by interfering with its ability to degrade asynuclein and other products (Aulack et al., 2002; Martinez-Vicente et al., 2008; Winslow et al., 2010; Xilouri and Stefanis, 2010) and seem to lead to the up-regulation of autophagy. Indeed, neuronal death has been attributed to mitochondrial damage resulting from stress induced by a-synuclein and causing an age-dependent decrease in substrate specific respiration along with an increase in mitophagy (Chinta et al., 2010). It therefore, seems that accumulation of a-synuclein promotes mitochondrial depletion and interferes with synaptic function.

The results would suggest that higher levels of a-synuclein expression lead to a more severe form of PD-like symptoms and more studies are required to exactly pinpoint the role a-synuclein plays in the pathophysiology of PD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by Memorial University of Newfoundland School of Graduate

Studies Fellowship to PCM and hy a National Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada Discovery Grant to De Brian E. Staveley. Thanks to Liqui Men and Gary Collins for their technical assistance. Special thanks to Lennifer Slade. Amy Todd, Rebecca Mawhinney. David Lipsett and Colleen Connors for helpful discussion and technical assistance with data and experimental analysis.

REFERENCES

Abramoff MD, Magelhaes PJ and Ram SJ. (2004) Image Processing with ImageJ. Biophotonics Intl. 11: 36 - 42.

Auluck PK, Chan HYE, Trojanowski JQ, Lee VM-Y, Bonini NM. (2002) Chaperone suppression of α-Synuclein toxicity in a Drosophila model for Parkinson's disease. *Science* 295: 865-868

Brand AH, Perrimon N. (1993) Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* 118: 401-415

Cauchi RJ, Heuvel M. (2006) The fly as a model for neurodegenerative diseases: is it worth the jump? *Neurodeg Dis* 3: 338-356

Celotto AM, Palladino MJ. (2005) Drosophila; A 'model' model system to study neurodegeneration. Mol Interv 5: 292-303

Chan HYE, Bonini NM. (2000) Drosophila models of human neurodegenerative disease. Cell Death Differ 7: 1075-1080

Chinta SJ, Mallajosyula JK, Rane A, Andersen JK. (2010) Mitochondrial alpha-synuclein accumulation impairs complex I function in dopaminergic neurons and results in increased mitophagy in vivo. *Neuroscience Let* 486: 235–239

Feany MB, Bender WW. (2000) A Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Nature 404: 394-398

Forno LS. (1996) Neuropathology of Parkinson's disease. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 5: 259-272

Freeman, M. (1996) Reiterative use of the EGF receptor triggers differentiation of all cell types in the Drosophila eye. *Cell* 87: 651–660

Hardaway JA. (2010) Genetics in Invertebrates: Modeling Dopaminergic Signaling and Neurodegeneration. Vanderbilt Rev Neurosci 2:55-61

Haywood AFM, Staveley BE. (2004) parkin counteracts symptoms in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. BMC Neurosci 5: 14

Haywood AFM, Staveley BE. (2006) Mutant a-Synuclein-induced degeneration is

reduced by Parkin in a fly model of Parkinson's disease. Genome 49: 505-510

Jeibmann A, Paulus W. (2009) Drosophila melanogaster as a model organism of brain diseases. Int J Mol Sci 10: 407-440

Leroy E, Boyer R, Auburger G, Leube B, Ulm G, Mezey E, Harta G, Brownstein MJ, Jonnalagada S, Chernova T, Dehejia A, Lavedan C, Gasser T, Steinbach PJ, Wilkinson KD, Polymeropoulos MH. (1998) The ubiquitin pathway in Parkinson's disease. *Nature* 395: 451-452

Li H, Chaney S, Roberts JJ, Forte M, Hirsh J. (2000) Ectopic G-protein expression in dopamine and servotonin neurons blocks cocaine sensitization in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Curr. Biol.* 10: 211–214.

Martinez-Vicente M, Talloczy Z, Kaushik S, Massey AC, Mazzulli J, Mosharov EV, Hodara R, Fredenburg R, Wu D-C, Follenzi A, Dauer W, Przedborski S, Ischiropoulos H, Lansbury PT. Sulzer D. Cuervo AM. (2008) Dopamine-modified alpha-synuclein blocks chaperone-mediated autophagy. J Clin Invest. 118: 777-788

Polymeropoulos MH, Lavedan C, Leroy E, Ide SE, Dehejia A, Dutra A, Pike B, Root H, Rubenstein J, Boyer R, Stenrose ES, Chandrasekharappa S, Athanassiadou A, Papapetropoulos T, Johnson WG, Lazzarini AM, Duvoisin RC, Lorio GD, Golle LJ, Nussbaum RL. (1997) Mutation in the α-Synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease. *Science* 276: 2045-2047

Staveley BE, Phillips JP, Hilliker AJ. (1990) Phenotypic consequences of copper-zinc superoxide dismutase overexpression in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genome 33: 867-872.

Thomas BJ & Wassarman DA. (1999) A fly's eye view of biology. Trends in Genetics. 15 (5): 184 – 90.

Todd AM, Staveley BE. (2004) Novel assay and analysis for measuring climbing ability in Drosophila. Drosophila Information Services 87:101-107.

Todd AM, Staveley BE. (2008) Pink1 suppresses α-Synuclein-induced phenotypes in a Drosophila model of Parkinson's disease. Genome 51: 1040-1046

Winslow AR, Chen C-W, Corrochano S, Acevedo-Arozena A, Gordon DE, Peden AA, Lichtenberg M, Menzies FM, Ravikumar B, Imarisio S, Brown S, O'Kane CJ, Rubinszteinet DC, (2010) alpha-Synuclein impairs macroautophagy: implications for Parkinson's disease. J Cell Biol 1905: 1023-1037

Wolf FW, Heberlein U. (2003) Invertebrate Models of Drug Abuse. J Neurobiol 54: 161– 178

Xilouri M, Stefanis L. (2011) Autophagic pathways in Parkinson disease and related disorders. *Expert Rev. Mol. Med.* 13: e8.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Lifespan and locomotor ability when a-synuclein is overexpressed in the dopaminergic neurons. A. Directed overexpression of a-synuclein in the dopaminergic neurons has no effect on lifespan when flies overexpressing a-synuclein (n=324) and lacZ(n=321) were compared. Longevity is shown as percent survival (P < 0.05, determined by 0.05-rank). B. He climbing curves were analyzed by Non-linear fitting and compared at 95% Cl, there was no significance between the two curves. Error bars are SEM, the genotypes are Duf-CoIAU UMS-RZ and Duf-CoIAU (LMS-s-synuclein).

Figure 2: The coexpression of *a-synaclein* in the *a-synaclein* model of PD exacerbate the age-dependent loss in climbing ability. A. The directed oversexpression of both *asynaclein* and *lacZ* in the dopaminergic neurons had no effect on lifespan when single copy of *a-synaclein* (*lacZ* n=323) and two copies of *a-synaclein* (*a-synaclein* n=337) were oversexpressed. The survival curves were compared by Log-rank (P=0.05 B. *asynaclein* overexpression slightly decreased the climbing ability over time compared to the control files (*lacZ*). Analysis was by Non-linear fitting of the curves and significance was determined by comparing the 95% CI. The genotypes are *UAS-a-synaclein*: *Did-GALUUS-ISAC*. UAS-*a-synaclein*: *Did-GALUUS-ISAC*.

Figure 3: The directed expression of *a-synuclein* in the eye. A. Scanning electron micrographs of the eye when *a-synuclein* and *I*aC are overexpressed. B. The whole area of the eye (1) and the area of a single ommatidium (11) were significantly different (**) from the control files *V*=0.05. The number of bristles (11) idd not show any significant difference, with the control files having a mean number of 413 and the *a-synuclein* files having a mean of 347.57 bristles. The genotypes were *GMR-GAL4*, UAS-lacZ and *a-synuclein* GMR-GAL4.

Figure 4: The overexpression of a-synuclein in the Drosophila model of PD. Panel A: Scanning electron micrographs to both the control files (acz (A), overexpressing a single copy of a-synuclein, and a-synuclein files (B), overexpressing two copies of a-synuclein. Panel B: Biometric analysis of the eyes showing significance (*) for the whole area of the eye (1), the brisle number (11) and the ratio of disrupted eye area (11) when compared to the control files (P=0.05). The genotypes were GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-synuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-asynuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-asynuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UAS-a-asynuclein;UASlacZ and GAR=GAL4 UAS-a-asynuclein;UAS-asyn



Figure 1: Lifespan and locomotor ability when *a-synuclein* is overexpressed in the dopaminergic neurons.



Figure 2: The coexpression of *a-synuclein* in the *a-synuclein* model of PD exacerbate the age-dependent loss in climbing ability.











III.Bristle number



Figure 3: The directed expression of *a-synuclein* in the eye.

В.






Figure 4: The overexpression of a-synuclein in the Drosophila model of PD.







